

Ramsey numbers and extremal structures in polar spaces

John Bamberg¹, Anurag Bishnoi², and Ferdinand Ihringer³

ABSTRACT. We use p -rank bounds on partial ovoids and the classical bounds on Ramsey numbers to obtain various upper bounds on partial m -ovoids in finite polar spaces. These bounds imply non-existence of m -ovoids for various new families of polar spaces. We give a probabilistic construction of large partial m -ovoids when m grows linearly with the rank of the polar space.

In the special case of the symplectic spaces over the binary field, we show an equivalence between partial m -ovoids and a generalisation of the Oddtown theorem from extremal set theory that has been studied under the name of nearly m -orthogonal sets over finite fields. We give new constructions for partial m -ovoids in these spaces and thus m -nearly orthogonal sets, for small values of m . These constructions use triangle-free graphs whose complements have low \mathbb{F}_2 -rank and we give an asymptotic improvement over the state of the art. We also prove new lower bounds in the recently introduced rank-Ramsey problem for triangles vs cliques.

1. Introduction

One of the main areas of research in finite geometry is to understand various extremal substructures inside a given finite geometrical space. For example, understanding the largest possible sets of points in finite projective or affine spaces with the property that no three points are collinear is a classical problem with connections to additive combinatorics [2, 19] and coding theory [24]. We will study problems of a similar flavor in the geometrical spaces known as the *finite classical polar spaces*, which were introduced by J. Tits [32] as natural geometries corresponding to the finite classical simple groups. Their main geometric property, as shown by Buekenhout and Shult [11], is the so-called *one or all axiom*: if P is a point and ℓ a line not incident with P , then P is collinear with either all points of ℓ or with exactly one point of ℓ . In fact, abstract polar spaces are defined as point-line geometries satisfying this axiom. We will be interested in finite classical polar spaces that arise from equipping a finite vector space with a sesquilinear or quadratic form. The points of the polar space are then the one-dimensional totally isotropic or singular vector subspaces and the lines are the two-dimensional totally isotropic or totally singular vector subspaces, with respect to the given form.

Besides applications to group theory, polar spaces give rise to various interesting combinatorial objects like strongly regular graphs [10], two-weight codes [12], and Turán graphs [4]. The incidence structures known as generalised quadrangles are special cases of polar spaces, and since their inception, they have been used to construct various extremal objects in graph theory. Recently, other polar spaces have also found combinatorial application, with the symplectic space over the binary field being implicitly used in the work of Conlon and Ferber on multicolour Ramsey numbers [15], and graphs related to the rank one Hermitian spaces being used in the breakthrough work of Mattheus and Verstraete on off-diagonal Ramsey numbers [26].

In this paper, we will show applications in the reverse direction, that is, applying bounds and constructions from extremal combinatorics to improve our knowledge of substructures of finite classical polar spaces known as m -ovoids. One of our main contribution is a novel constructions of partial m -ovoids that uses triangle-free graphs for which the adjacency matrix of the complement of the graph has low rank over the binary field.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA, PERTH, AUSTRALIA.

²DELFT INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DELFT UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, NETHERLANDS.

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHENZHEN, CHINA.

E-mail addresses: john.bamberg@uwa.edu.au, A.Bishnoi@tudelft.nl, ferdinand.ihringer@gmail.com.

The study of ovoids in polar spaces dates back to the work of Tits on geometric constructions of certain Suzuki groups [31, 33, 34], by using a particular polar space. In [29], Thas synthesised these objects as ovoids of polar spaces: a set \mathcal{O} of points such that every maximal totally isotropic subspace meets \mathcal{O} in exactly one point. In terms of the collinearity graph of the polar space¹, these are independent sets that meet every maximal clique in exactly one vertex, and in particular, they are the largest possible independent sets. The study of m -ovoids begins implicitly with the work of Segre [27], but was introduced for finite generalised quadrangles by Thas [30], and further extended by Shult and Thas [28] to all finite polar spaces. Here, an m -ovoid is defined to be a set \mathcal{O} of points such that every maximal totally isotropic subspace meets \mathcal{O} in exactly m points. This was also extended to strongly regular graphs by Eisfeld [18] in 1998. It is one of the purposes of this paper to give bounds on the parameter m for which m -ovoids can exist by using simple bounds from Ramsey theory. We emphasise that we are interested in the asymptotic growth of m in terms of, say, the rank of the polar space or the characteristic of the defining field. Bamberg, Kelly, Law, and Penttila [6] gave the following lower bound on m for which an m -ovoid can exist in elliptic quadrics, symplectic spaces (in even dimension), and Hermitian spaces (in odd dimension):

$$m \geq \frac{\sqrt{4q^{e+r-1} + 9} - 3}{2(q-1)}.$$

Note, $q = p^h$ is the size of the defining field, where p is a prime, and r is the rank of the polar space. The parameter $e \in \{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2\}$ depends on the type of the polar space (see Section 2). Asymptotically, we have $m = \Omega(q^{r/2})$ if we fix q and vary r , and $m = \Omega(p^{h(e+r-3)/2})$ if we fix (r, h) and vary p . This was recently improved by De Beule, Mannaert, and Smaldore [16]: $m = \Omega(\sqrt{r}q^{r/2})$ if we fix q and vary r , and no change in the lower bound on m (asymptotically) if we fix (r, h) and vary p . While all of these results give necessary conditions for the existence of m -ovoids, they do not give any upper bounds on the maximum size of a partial m -ovoid, which is a natural problem to study for polar spaces that have no m -ovoids. By using the classical upper bounds on Ramsey numbers, we note in Theorem 3.1, that a partial m -ovoid \mathcal{O}_m satisfies

$$|\mathcal{O}_m| \leq R(m+1, (n+p)^{h(p-1)}) < (n+p)^{hm(p-1)}.$$

From this simple bound we can deduce that (Corollary 3.2), for an m -ovoid of a finite polar space of rank r to exist, we must have $m + 2 \log_p(2m) \geq \frac{r-1}{p-1}$. While our bound on m is much weaker than the earlier bounds, it has the advantage of being applicable to *all* finite classical polar spaces. Therefore, for all the cases not-covered by the previous results, where the earlier techniques cannot show any non-existence results, we get new non-existence results. In Section 4 we give other upper bounds on partial 2-ovoids in polar spaces using a combination of spectral methods and the rank bound on 1-ovoids, which gives a new simple proof of known non-existence results on 2-ovoids [6].

In Section 5.1, we give a general probabilistic construction of partial m -ovoids, which shows that for $m \geq cr$, with any constant $c > 1/2$, there is a partial m -ovoid of size $q^{\Omega(r)}$ in a polar space of rank r . We then focus on a special case for constructions. Suppose $q = 2$, we are in a symplectic space $W(2r-1, 2)$ defined over the vector space \mathbb{F}_2^{2r} . For $m = 2$, the Ramsey number $R(3, 2r+2)$ yields the bound $|\mathcal{O}_2| \leq O(r^2/\log r)$. In Section 5.2, we give an explicit construction of partial 2-ovoids in $W(2r-1, 2)$ of size at least $(2r)^{1.12895}$. We also show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $m > 1$ such that for all large enough r , $W(2r-1, 2)$ possesses a partial m -ovoid of size at least $(2r)^{\frac{\log(16)}{\log(10)} - \varepsilon}$. The convergence is quite sharp and it leads to new constructions for various small values of m . The construction is somewhat surprising to a finite geometer: a triangle-free graph with low complementary 2-rank is constructed, and then infinitely many graphs with low complementary 2-rank are produced via the strong product (through a result of [7]). These graphs can be embedded in binary symplectic spaces of a certain dimension, because of their connection to *nearly orthogonal sets* [14]. We have

¹The collinearity graph of any point-line geometry is the graph on points with two points adjacent if they lie on a common line.

discovered a new triangle-free graph with low complementary rank which also improves the known bounds on nearly orthogonal sets and the recently studied rank-Ramsey problem for triangles [7]. In this special case of $W(2r - 1, 2)$ partial m -ovoids are also linked to a certain generalisation of the the well-known Oddtown set families [8]. In Section 5.2, we state this generalised version of the Oddtown problem and establish its connection to partial m -ovoids.

2. Background on finite classical polar spaces

Throughout, we will use the symbol q for a prime power $q := p^h$, p prime and $h \geq 1$, and we will denote the finite field of order q as \mathbb{F}_q . The vector space of dimension d over \mathbb{F}_q will be written as $V(n, q)$, and $\text{PG}(n, q)$ will denote the projective space with underlying vector space $V(n + 1, q)$. Let f be a (reflexive) sesquilinear or quadratic form on $V(n + 1, q)$. The elements of the finite classical polar space \mathcal{P} associated with f are the totally singular or totally isotropic subspaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ with relation to f , according to whether f is a quadratic or sesquilinear form. The Witt index of the form f determines the vector space dimension of the maximal subspaces contained in \mathcal{P} ; the rank \mathcal{P} equals the Witt index of its form, and the (projective) dimension of generators will be one less than the Witt index. Hence, a finite classical polar space of rank r embedded in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ has an underlying form of Witt index r , and contains points, lines, \dots , $(r - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces. The elements of maximal dimension are called its *generators*. Recall that a partial m -ovoid is a set of points that meets every generator in at most m points, and it is called an m -ovoid if it meets every generator in exactly m points. Also recall that for $m = 1$ these are simply called partial ovoids, and ovoids, respectively.

We will use projective notation for finite polar spaces so that they differ from the standard notation for their collineation groups. For example, we will use the notation $W(n - 1, q)$ to denote the symplectic polar space coming from the vector space $V(n, q)$ equipped with a non-degenerate alternating form. Here is a summary of the notation we will use for finite polar spaces, together with their ranks and ovoid numbers, that is, the size of a putative ovoid in the polar space. In this table the parameter e helps us compute various other combinatorial parameters of the polar space, and essentially arises from knowing the number of points of the ‘rank 1’ polar space of the same type (which is $q^e + 1$, where q is the order of the defining field). For example, a polar space of rank r and type e over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q has $(q^r - 1)(q^{r+e-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ points and $\prod_{i=1}^r (q^{i+e-1} + 1)$ generators. The size of a putative ovoid, written as the Ovoid Number, is equal to $q^{r+e-1} - 1$.

TABLE 1. Notation for the finite classical polar spaces, together with their ovoid numbers.

Polar Space	Notation	Ovoid Number	Type e	Rank r
Symplectic	$W(n - 1, q)$, n even	$q^{n/2} + 1$	1	$n/2$
Hermitian	$H(n - 1, q^2)$, n odd	$q^n + 1$	3/2	$(n - 1)/2$
Hermitian	$H(n - 1, q^2)$, n even	$q^{n-1} + 1$	1/2	$n/2$
Orthogonal, elliptic	$Q^-(n - 1, q)$, n even	$q^{n/2} + 1$	2	$(n - 2)/2$
Orthogonal, parabolic	$Q(n - 1, q)$, n odd	$q^{(n-1)/2} + 1$	1	$(n - 1)/2$
Orthogonal, hyperbolic	$Q^+(n - 1, q)$, n even	$q^{n/2-1} + 1$	0	$n/2$

Let \mathcal{P} be a finite polar space defined by a sesquilinear or quadratic form f , and let X be a point of the ambient projective space. Then X^\perp is the set of projective points whose coordinates are orthogonal to X with respect to the form f . Note that when f is a quadratic form, it determines a (possibly degenerate when q is even) bilinear form² g , and two projective points X and Y are orthogonal with relation to f if, by definition, they are orthogonal with relation to g . A partial ovoid is thus a set of pairwise non-orthogonal points of a polar space. We refer to [25] for further details on polar spaces.

²When f is a quadratic form, $g(v, w) := f(v + w) - f(v) - f(w)$ is a bilinear form, symmetric when q is odd, alternating when q is even.

2.1. Some p -rank bounds for partial ovoids of finite polar spaces. Our results in the next two sections rely on p -rank bounds for partial ovoids which are summarised in this section, cf. [3, 9].

THEOREM 2.1 (Folklore, cf. [3, 9]). *Let \mathcal{O} be a partial ovoid in a symplectic space in a vector space of even dimension $n \geq 4$ over a field with $q = p^h$, where p is prime, elements. Then*

$$|\mathcal{O}| \leq \binom{p+n-1}{p-1}^h + 1.$$

THEOREM 2.2 ([3, Theorem 1.6]). *Let \mathcal{O} be a partial ovoid in a quadric in a vector space of dimension $n \geq 4$ over a field with $q = p^h$, where p is prime, elements. Then*

- (a) *If $p = 2$ and n even, then $|\mathcal{O}| \leq n^h + 1$.*
- (b) *If $p = 2$ and n odd, then $|\mathcal{O}| \leq (n-1)^h + 1$.*
- (c) *If $p > 2$, then*

$$|\mathcal{O}| \leq \left[\binom{n+p-2}{p-1} - \binom{n+p-4}{p-3} \right]^h + 1.$$

- (d) *If $p > 2$ and there exists a positive integer u satisfying*

$$u+1 \equiv n \pmod{2} \text{ and } n-3 \leq up \leq n+p-5,$$

then

$$|\mathcal{O}| \leq \left[\binom{n+p-2}{p-1} - \binom{n+p-4}{p-3} - \binom{up+2}{n-1} + \binom{up}{n-1} \right]^h + 1.$$

THEOREM 2.3 ([3, Theorem 1.8]). *Let \mathcal{O} be a partial ovoid in a Hermitian polar space in a vector space of dimension $n \geq 4$ over a field with $q = p^{2h}$, where p is prime, elements.*

- (a) *We have*

$$|\mathcal{O}| \leq \left[\binom{n+p-2}{p-1}^2 - \binom{n+p-3}{p-2}^2 \right]^h + 1.$$

- (b) *If there exists a positive integer u satisfying*

$$n-2 \leq up \leq n+p-4,$$

then

$$|\mathcal{O}| \leq \left[\binom{n+p-2}{p-1}^2 - \binom{n+p-3}{p-2}^2 - \binom{up+1}{n-1}^2 + \binom{up}{n-1}^2 \right]^h + 1.$$

3. A Ramsey bound on partial m -ovoids

A classic result of Erdős and Szekeres [20] states that $R(s, t) \leq \binom{s+t-2}{s-1}$, where $R(s, t)$ denotes the smallest number of vertices v that for any graph Γ on v vertices, either Γ contains a clique of size s or a coclique of size t . For fixed s , this upper bound is roughly $O(t^{s-1})$, which was improved to

$$R(s, t) \leq c_s \frac{t^{s-1}}{(\log t)^{s-2}}$$

by Ajtai, Komlós and Szemerédi [1]. For $s = t$, a recent breakthrough of Campos et al. [13] showed that

$$R(t, t) \leq (4 - \epsilon)^t,$$

thus making an exponential improvement over the classic upper bound of $\binom{2t-2}{t-1} \approx (1 + o(1)) \frac{4^t}{\sqrt{\pi t}}$.

We show that partial m -ovoids can give lower bounds for Ramsey numbers, or equivalently, upper bounds on Ramsey numbers imply upper bounds on the size of a partial m -ovoid.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let k be the maximum size of a partial 1-ovoid in a finite polar space \mathcal{P} . Then for any partial m -ovoid \mathcal{O}_m of \mathcal{P} , we have*

$$|\mathcal{O}_m| < R(m+1, k+1).$$

PROOF. Let Γ be the collinearity graph of \mathcal{P} . Then Γ does not contain a coclique of size $k+1$, since k is the maximum size of a partial ovoid. The induced subgraph H on \mathcal{O}_m does not contain a clique of size $m+1$, as any maximal clique of Γ corresponds to a generator of the polar space and hence meets \mathcal{O}_m in at most m points. Therefore H is a graph on \mathcal{O}_m vertices with no clique of size $k+1$ and no coclique of size $m+1$, thus proving the bound. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ and let $r \geq (m + \log_p(2m)^2)(p-1) + 1$. Then a polar space of rank r over a field of characteristic p does not possess an m -ovoid.*

PROOF. The size of an m -ovoid in a polar space of rank r and type e over \mathbb{F}_q , with $q = p^h$, is $m(q^{r+e-1} + 1) = m(p^{hr+he-1} + 1)$. As $p \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$, we can assume that $r \geq 7$. We want to apply Theorem 3.1 with $R(m+1, k+1) \leq \binom{m+k}{m} \leq k^m$, where $k = \binom{p+n-1}{p-1}^h$. Here we used that $n \geq 2r \geq 14$ and $m \geq 2$. Hence, it suffices to show that if $r \geq (m + \log_p(2m)^2)(p-1) + 1$, then

$$m \cdot p^{hr+he-1} \geq \binom{p+n-1}{p-1}^{mh}.$$

Taking logarithms and using $n \leq 2r + 2$ shows that this is implied by

$$(1) \quad r-1 \geq m(p-1) \log_p(2r+2+p).$$

As $r/\log(2r+C)$ grows monotone in r , it suffices to show Inequality (1) for $r = (m + \log_p(2m)^2)(p-1) + 1$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \log_p(2r+2+p) &\leq \log_p((2m+2 + \log_p(2m)^2)(p-1)) \\ &\leq \log_p(p-1) + \log_p(2m+2 + 2\log_p 2m) \\ &\leq 1 + \log_p(2m+2 + 2\log_p 2m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to show Inequality 1, it suffices to show that

$$(2m)^2 \geq 2m+2 + 2\log_p 2m.$$

This is easily verified for $p \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. \square

We note that while the results of [6], [16], and [22] on the non-existence of m -ovoids only apply to the polar spaces $Q^-(2r+1, q)$, $W(2r-1, q)$, and $H(2r, q^2)$. The bound in Corollary 3.2 applies to all finite classical polar spaces.

4. Spectral bounds on partial 2-ovoids and non-existence of 2-ovoids

In this section we show the bounds on partial 2-ovoids that one can obtain by using spectral arguments and compare them to results based on Ramsey numbers. We show that while the bound on partial 2-ovoids are worse, they allow us to show the non-existence of 2-ovoids in a wider class of polar spaces.

Let P be a point of a finite polar space \mathcal{P} . We can project the elements of \mathcal{P} incident with P to (abstractly) obtain a finite polar space with the same type as \mathcal{P} , but with rank one less than that of \mathcal{P} . For instance, each line of \mathcal{P} incident with P maps to a point of the *quotient* polar space. By using the non-existence of ovoids in the quotient polar space, we can rule out the existence of 2-ovoids in the original polar space.

THEOREM 4.1 ([6, Theorem 14]). *The following polar spaces do not admit 2-ovoids:*

- (i) $W(2r - 1, q)$ for $r > 2$ and q odd;
- (ii) $Q^-(2r + 1, q)$ for $r > 2$;
- (iii) $H(2r, q^2)$ for $r > 2$;
- (iv) $Q(2r, q)$ for $r > 4$.

We say that a graph, neither complete nor edge-less, is *strongly regular* with parameters (v, k, λ, μ) if it has v vertices, degree k , each pair of adjacent vertices x, y have precisely λ common neighbours, and each pair of distinct nonadjacent vertices x, y have precisely μ common neighbours. The eigenvalues of a strongly regular graph are $k \geq e^+ \geq 0 > e^-$ (cf. [10]). The collinearity graphs on the points of a polar space are strongly regular graphs. We will use the following well-known result (see [10, Prop. 1.1.6]).

LEMMA 4.2. *The induced subgraph on a vertex set Y of a k -regular graph Γ of order v with smallest eigenvalue s has average degree at least $|Y|\frac{k-s}{v} + s$.*

Now we can use both the information of the strongly regular graph, and the size of partial ovoids of a quotient polar space, to bound the size of a partial 2-ovoid.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $r \geq 3$ and let \mathcal{O}_2 be a partial 2-ovoid of a finite polar space \mathcal{P} of rank r and with defining field of order q . Then $|\mathcal{O}_2| \leq q \cdot b(n - 2, q) + \sigma_r$ where σ_r is the ovoid number of \mathcal{P} , and $b(n', q)$ is the maximal size of a partial ovoid in a polar space of the same type as \mathcal{P} in an n' -dimensional vector space over the field with q elements.*

PROOF. First, the collinearity graph Γ on the points of \mathcal{P} is a strongly regular graph. Let σ_r be the ovoid number of \mathcal{P} . Then the parameters of Γ (see [10, §2.5.2]) are

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \sigma_r \frac{q^r - 1}{q - 1}, & e^+ &= q^{r-1} - 1, \\ k &= q \frac{q^{r-1} - 1}{q - 1} \sigma_{r-1}, & e^- &= -\sigma_{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2, we find an element $P \in \mathcal{O}_2$ adjacent to at least $M := |\mathcal{O}_2|\frac{k-s}{v} + s$ vertices. Hence, as no line contains more than 2 points, $\mathcal{O} := \{\langle P, Q \rangle / P : Q \in Y, Q \in P^\perp\}$ has size at least 1. Since \mathcal{O} is a partial 1-ovoid of P^\perp / P , we have

$$|\mathcal{O}_2| \frac{k-s}{v} \leq b(n-2, q) - s.$$

So, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{O}_2| &\leq \frac{v}{k - e^-} (b(n-2, q) - e^-) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_r \frac{q^r - 1}{q - 1}}{(q \frac{q^{r-1} - 1}{q - 1} + 1) \sigma_{r-1}} (b(n-2, q) + \sigma_{r-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_r}{\sigma_{r-1}} b(n-2, q) + \sigma_r. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\sigma_r = q\sigma_{r-1} - q + 1$ and so $|\mathcal{O}_2| \leq q \cdot b(n-2, q) + \sigma_r$. □

Together with Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, and Theorem 2.3, we have the following:

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $r \geq 3$ and let \mathcal{O}_2 be a partial 2-ovoid of a finite polar space \mathcal{P} of rank r and with defining field of order q . Then*

$$|\mathcal{O}_2| \leq \begin{cases} q^r + q + 1 + q \left[\binom{p+2r-3}{p-1} \right]^h & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \cong \mathbb{W}(2r-1, q), \\ q^{r-\varepsilon} + q + 1 + q \left[\binom{n+p-4}{p-1} - \binom{n+p-6}{p-3} \right]^h & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \cong \mathbb{Q}^\varepsilon(2r-1, q), \\ q^{2r+1} + q^2 + 1 + q^2 \left[\binom{n+p-4}{p-1}^2 - \binom{n+p-5}{p-2}^2 \right]^h & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \cong \mathbb{H}(n-1, q^2), n \text{ odd}, \\ q^{2r-1} + q^2 + 1 + q^2 \left[\binom{n+p-4}{p-1}^2 - \binom{n+p-5}{p-2}^2 \right]^h & \text{if } \mathcal{P} \cong \mathbb{H}(n-1, q^2), n \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Note that Theorem 4.1 is also implied by Corollary 4.4.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let $r \geq (1 + \log_p(7))p + 1$ for $p \geq 5$, or $r \geq 6$ for $p = 2, 3$. Then a polar space of rank r over a field of characteristic p does not possess a 2-ovoid.*

PROOF. The size of a 2-ovoid in a polar space of rank r and type e over \mathbb{F}_q , with $q = p^h$, is $2(q^{r+e-1} + 1) = (q^{r+e-1} + 1) + (p^{hr+he-1} + 1)$. Note that the first summand also occurs in the bounds in Corollary 4.4, so we only need to show that $p^{hr+he-1} + 1$ is larger than $q \binom{p+2r-3}{p-1}^h + q - 1$.

First we show the claim for $p \geq 3$. Using $p - 1 \geq 2$, we have

$$q \binom{p+2r-3}{p-1}^h + q - 1 \leq p^h \cdot (2r + e + p)^{h(p-1)} \leq (2r + e + p)^{h(p-1)+1}.$$

We want to show that

$$p^{hr+he-1} > (2r + e + p)^{h(p-1)+1}$$

as then 2-ovoids cannot exist. This is equivalent to

$$(h(r-p+1) - 2) + (h(p-1) + 1) = hr + he - 1 > (h(p-1) + 1) \log_p(2r + e + p).$$

We can bound the left-hand side by $hr + he - 1 \geq h(r-1)$ and the right-hand side by $(h(p-1) + 1) \log_p(2r + e + p) \leq hp \log_p(2r + p + 2)$. Hence, it suffices to show that

$$r - 1 > p \log_p(2r + p + 2).$$

For $r = (1 + \log_p(7))p + 1$, we find

$$\log_p(2r + p + 2) = \log_p((3 + 2 \log_p(7))p + 4) \leq \log_p((4 + 2 \log_p(7))p) = 1 + \log_p(4 + 2 \log_p(7)).$$

As $2 \log_p(7) < 3$ for $p \geq 5$, this shows $r - 1 > p \log_p(2r + p + 2)$.

For $p = 2, 3$, it can be verified that $r \geq 6$ suffices. \square

Corollary 3.2 gives the condition of the form $r \geq (2 + \log_p(4)^2)(p-1) + 1$ which is worse than Corollary 4.5 for $p \geq 3$.

5. Constructions

In this section we give a general probabilistic construction of partial m -ovoids, when m grows at least linearly with respect to the rank r of the polar space, and an explicit construction for the special case of $m = 2$ in the binary symplectic polar space, via an equivalence with *nearly orthogonal sets*. We also give constructions of partial m -ovoids for $m > 2$ that have a probabilistic component in them.

5.1. Probabilistic construction. In this section we give a general probabilistic construction of partial m -ovoids, when m grows at least linearly with respect to the rank r of the polar space.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *In a finite polar space of rank r , type e , over a finite field of order q , there exists a partial m -ovoid of size*

$$q^{\Omega(r)},$$

for every $m \geq 0.51r$.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{P} be a finite polar space of rank r and type e over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Let $N = \theta_r(q^{r+e-1} + 1)$ be the total number of points in \mathcal{P} , where $\theta_r = (q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$ and let $M = \prod_{i=1}^r (q^{i+e-1} + 1)$ be the total number of generators. Pick a random subset S of \mathcal{P} by picking each point independently with probability ρ , where the exact value of ρ will be specified later. For a fixed generator, the probability that S contains at least $m + 1$ points from it is

$$\binom{\theta_r}{m+1} \rho^{m+1}.$$

Therefore, by the union bound (for probabilities) over all generators, if we have $M \binom{\theta_r}{m+1} \rho^{m+1} < 1/2$ then with probability $> 1/2$, S does not contain $m + 1$ points from any generator, that is, it is a partial m -ovoid. Since $M = (1 + o(1))q^{r(r+1)/2+r(e-1)}$ and $\binom{\theta_r}{m+1} < q^{(r-1)(m+1)}/2$, taking

$$\rho = q^{-r(r+1)/(2(m+1)) - r(e-1)/(m+1) - r + 1}$$

suffices to get the inequality. The expected size of S is $\rho N = q^{r-r(r+1)/(2(m+1)) - r(e-1)/(m+1)}$, and with probability $\geq 1/2$ there exists an S with size at least $\lfloor \rho N \rfloor$, since we have a binomial distribution. Therefore, with a positive probability there exists a set of size at least $\lfloor q^{r-r(r+1)/(2(m+1)) - r(e-1)/(m+1)} \rfloor$ that meets every generator in at most m points. In particular, for $m = 0.51r$, we have the existence of a partial m -ovoid of size $q^{\Omega(r)}$. \square

5.2. Oddtown, nearly orthogonal sets, and triangle-free graphs. A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a t -element set is an *Oddtown* if $|S|$ is odd for every $S \in \mathcal{F}$, and $|S \cap T|$ is even for every pair of distinct elements $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$. It was shown by Berlekamp [8], that the size of an Oddtown is at most t using a simple linear algebraic argument. There is a direct connection between partial m -ovoids of binary symplectic spaces and similar families of subsets. Let V be the $2r$ -dimensional vector subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^{2r+1} consisting of all even weight vectors, i.e., $V = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{2r+1}) : \sum_{i=1}^{2r+1} x_i = 0\}$. Then V along with the ‘‘standard’’ dot product, $(x_1, \dots, x_{2r+1}) \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_{2r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2r+1} x_i y_i$ gives rise to a symplectic polar space isomorphic to $W(2r - 1, 2)$. Let \mathcal{O} be a partial m -ovoid in this model of $W(2r - 1, 2)$. Then the vectors representing elements of \mathcal{O} have the following properties:

- (i) For all $u \in \mathcal{O}$, $u \cdot u = 0$.
- (ii) For any $(m + 1)$ distinct vectors $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in \mathcal{O}$ there exists $i \neq j$ such that $x_i \cdot x_j = 1$.

If we think of vectors here as characteristic vectors of subsets of $\{1, \dots, 2r + 1\}$, then in terms of set families, we have a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[2r+1]}$ such that for all $S \in \mathcal{F}$, $|S|$ is even, and for all distinct $S_1, \dots, S_{m+1} \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists an $i \neq j$ such that $|S_i \cap S_j|$ is odd. By taking complements of these sets, we obtain the following generalisation of an Oddtown:

DEFINITION 5.2 (Generalised Oddtown). A family \mathcal{G} of subsets of a t -element set is a *generalised Oddtown*, with parameter m , if:

- (i) for all $S \in \mathcal{G}$, $|S|$ is odd;
- (ii) for all distinct $S_1, \dots, S_{m+1} \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists an $i \neq j$ such that $|S_i \cap S_j|$ is even.

When $m = 1$, we have an Oddtown.

Let Γ_t be the graph whose vertices are the proper subsets of $\{1, \dots, t\}$ of odd cardinality, and two such subsets are adjacent if they are not equal and have intersection of odd cardinality. For t odd, Γ_t is isomorphic to the collinearity graph of the symplectic space $W(t, 2)$, and for t even, Γ_t is isomorphic to the induced subgraph of the collinearity graph, on the points of $W(t - 1, 2)$ not lying in a fixed hyperplane. Therefore, the clique number of Γ_t is $2^{\lfloor (t-1)/2 \rfloor}$. A generalised Oddtown with parameter m translates to a subset of the vertices of Γ_t not containing an $(m + 1)$ -clique. So if $m > 2^{(t-1)/2}$, then the set of all subsets of $\{1, \dots, t\}$ of odd cardinality is a generalised Oddtown.

To avoid unnecessary case distinctions depending on the parity of t , we will assume that t is odd and write $W(t, 2)$. If t is even, then everything we write holds for the graph obtained above from $W(t - 1, 2)$. Recall that a subset \mathcal{F} of vectors of \mathbb{F}^t is called *m -nearly orthogonal* if its elements are not self-orthogonal and every subset of $m + 1$ members includes an orthogonal pair. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$, it

is clear from the discussion above that this is equivalent to our generalised Oddtown problem as well as to the maximal size of a partial m -ovoid in $W(t, 2)$. Thus, the results of [21] on nearly orthogonal sets imply that there exists a partial 2-ovoid of $W(t, 2)$ of size $t^{1+\delta}$ for some constant $\delta > 0$. In particular, the generalised Oddtown problem with parameter 2 does not have a linear bound. More recently, it has been shown in Theorem 1.1 of [14] that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for all integers $t \geq m \geq 2$, there exists a partial m -ovoid of $W(t, 2)$ of size at least

$$t^{\delta m / \log m}.$$

Note that this does not imply anything for small m . In all of the aforementioned results, δ can be calculated without too much effort from the respective proofs. For instance, the proof of [14, Theorem 1.1] shows that we find a partial 800-ovoid of size $100^{1.25\ell - C}$ in $W(100^\ell, 2)$.

Here we give an explicit construction for partial 2-ovoids that improves these previous results:

PROPOSITION 5.3. *There exists a 2-nearly orthogonal set of size at least $t^{1.12895}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^t that can be constructed explicitly.*

PROOF. Consider the Cayley graph $\Gamma = \text{Cay}(G, S)$ with $G = \mathbb{F}_{2^h}^2$ and $S = \{(a, a^3) : a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^h}^2 \setminus \{0\}\}$. In Theorem 2 of [17] it is shown that the adjacency matrix of the complement $\bar{\Gamma}$ of Γ has 2-rank at most

$$\tau := \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2} (2 + \sqrt{2})^h.$$

Following the proof of Theorem 1.11 in [21], this implies that we can embed $\bar{\Gamma}$ in \mathbb{F}_2^τ . As Γ is triangle-free, see [17, §2.3], this embedding corresponds to a 2-nearly orthogonal set. Hence, we obtain the asserted bound (note: $\log(4) / \log(2 + \sqrt{2}) \approx 1.12895$). \square

COROLLARY 5.4. *There exists a partial 2-ovoid of $W(t, 2)$ of size at least $t^{1.12895}$ that can be constructed explicitly.*

In [7, Theorem 4.6] we find the following result, where we note that their proof works for any field, not only the reals:

THEOREM 5.5. *Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer. Let Γ be a graph on n vertices and $r := \text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}}(A_\Gamma + I)$ for some field \mathbb{F} . Then, for every large enough h , there exists a graph Δ such that:*

- (1) *the clique number of Δ is at most m ,*
- (2) *$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}}(A_\Delta + I) \leq r^h$,*
- (3) *the graph Δ has*

$$\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{n}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^m t^{m+1} a_i \right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}}} \right)^h - 1$$

vertices. Here a_i denotes the number of cliques of size i in Γ .

Using this result and a 32-vertex triangle-free graph with low complementary rank, we prove the following.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *For sufficiently large t , the following is true:*

- (1) *There exists a 28-clique-free graph with complementary 2-rank t and $t^{1.12936}$ vertices.*
- (2) *There exists a 42-clique-free graph with complementary 2-rank t and $t^{1.15392}$ vertices.*
- (3) *For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an m such that there is an infinite family of m -clique-free graphs with complementary 2-rank t and $t^{\frac{\log(16)}{\log(10)} - \varepsilon}$ vertices.*

PROOF. Consider the following vectors S of \mathbb{F}_2^5 :

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1), \\ &(1, 1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

As homogeneous coordinates of projective points, they form a *cap* Σ of $\text{PG}(4, 2)$: a set of points with no 3 collinear. Now we construct the *linear representation* $L(\Sigma)$ of Σ , a vertex-transitive graph, as

follows. The vertices are the vectors of \mathbb{F}_2^5 , and we join u and v by an edge if their difference $u - v$ lies in S . Since S is inverse-closed (because our vectors are over \mathbb{F}_2), it follows that $L(\Sigma)$ is undirected. Moreover, since Σ is a cap, it follows that $L(\Sigma)$ is regular of degree $|S|$ and triangle-free. It has 32 vertices and 144 edges. Furthermore, if A is the adjacency matrix of $L(\Sigma)$, then $\text{rank}_2(A + I) = 10$. Hence, we can apply Theorem 5.5 with $a_1 = 32$ and $a_2 = 144$. For $m = 28$, Theorem 5.5 gives a graph Δ with

$$\frac{1}{28} \cdot 13.48011787424788^h$$

vertices and $\text{rank}_2(A_\Delta + I) = 10^h$. The calculation for $m = 42$ is similar. The limit of

$$\frac{32}{(32 + 144 \cdot 2^{m+1})^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}$$

for $m \rightarrow \infty$ is 16 which shows the last claim. \square

We note that $\log(16)/\log(10) > 1.20412$.

COROLLARY 5.7. *For t sufficiently large, $W(t, 2)$ possesses a partial 28-ovoid of size $t^{1.2936}$ and a partial 42-ovoid of size $t^{1.15392}$.*

REMARK 5.8.

(i) *The 9-regular graph \mathcal{D} on 32 vertices in the proof of Proposition 5.6 was the best found via a large search for triangle-free graphs with low complementary 2-rank. It was found by taking graphs of homogeneous coherent configurations of order at most 33 in the database provided by [5]. We also considered graphs of Schurian association schemes of primitive groups of degree at most 80, with permutation rank at most 6. No ‘better’ graph has been found than \mathcal{D} , so far, in terms of $\log_r(n)$, where n is the order and r is the complementary 2-rank.*

(ii) *The spectrum of \mathcal{D} is*

$$9^{(1)}, 5^{(1)}, 1^{(21)}, (-3)^{(7)}, (-7)^{(2)}.$$

*Therefore, using the graph \mathcal{D} in the place of \mathcal{C} in [7, Corollary 4.5(1)], we find that $\nu_2(32\ell) \leq 11\ell + 21$, where $\nu_d(n)$ is the smallest rank of $A_G + I$ over d -clique-free graphs G of order n . Therefore, $\nu_2(n) \leq (11/32 + o(1))n$. This is an improvement on the bound in [7, §4] where it was shown that $\nu_2(n) \leq (3/8 + o(1))n$. In particular, the **Rank-Ramsey number** $R^k(3, n)$ satisfies $R^k(3, 11\ell + 22) > 32\ell$, for every $\ell > 2$. We minimize $\frac{11\ell + 22}{32\ell - \log 2}$ at $\ell = 38$. Hence, this improves the exponent in [7, Theorem 4.1(2)] from $\log_{296}(232) \approx 0.957$ to $\log_{608}(440) \approx 0.950$. That is, we obtain that $\nu_d(n) = O\left(n^{\log_{608}(440)}\right)$ for sufficiently large d .*

6. Conclusion

We have established a new connection between partial m -ovals in finite classical polar spaces and Ramsey numbers (Theorem 3.1). It is conceivable that this connection can lead to new explicit constructions for various Ramsey numbers. Of particular interest is the case when m is linear in the rank of a polar space, as it can be used to show that $R(t, t) > \exp(t)$ via an explicit construction (see Proposition 5.1 which shows the existence of these objects), which is one of the main open problems in Ramsey theory. Our connection to partial m -ovals can also lead to improved lower bounds on various small Ramsey numbers.

Our constructions in the binary symplectic space use graphs with certain rank properties to construct large partial m -ovals. It will be of interest to look for similar constructions in other finite classical polar spaces. For other fields we lose the direct connection to nearly orthogonal sets. Perhaps it is still possible to use the construction in [23] on nearly orthogonal sets over arbitrary fields. We also believe that the probabilistic construction can be improved further.

Finally, it would be very interesting to improve the upper bounds on partial m -ovals or prove their asymptotic tightness for some values of m by giving better constructions.

Acknowledgements. The third author was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO). The first author thanks Delft University of Technology for their hospitality while he was on sabbatical there, where most of this work was done. We thank Peter Frankl for discussing the Oddtown Theorem and its possible generalisations with us. We also thank Sam Mattheus for various fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi, *A note on Ramesy numbers*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, **29** (1980) 354–360. [3](#)
- [2] N. Alon, A. Shpilka, and C. Umans, *On sunflowers and matrix multiplication*, Comput. Complexity **22** (2013), 219–243. [1](#)
- [3] O. Arslan and P. Sin, *Some simple modules for classical groups and p -ranks of orthogonal and Hermitian geometries*, J. Algebra **327** (2011) 141–169. [2.1](#), [2.1](#), [2.2](#), [2.3](#)
- [4] S. Ball and V. Pepe, *Asymptotic improvements to the lower bound of certain bipartite Turán numbers*, Combin. Probab. Comput., **21** (3), (2012), 323–329. [1](#)
- [5] J. Bamberg, A. Hanaki, J. Lansdown, *AssociationSchemes, a GAP package for working with association schemes and homogeneous coherent configurations*, Version 3.0.0. <http://www.jesselansdown.com/AssociationSchemes>, GAP package, 2023. [i](#)
- [6] J. Bamberg, S. Kelly, M. Law, and T. Penttila, *Tight sets and m -ovoids of finite polar spaces*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **114** (2007) 1293–1314. [1](#), [3](#), [4.1](#)
- [7] G. Beniamini, N. Linial, and A. Schraibman, *The Rank-Ramsey Problem and the Log-Rank Conjecture*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.07337> [1](#), [5.2](#), [ii](#)
- [8] E. R. Berlekamp, *On subsets with intersections of even cardinality*, Canad. Math. Bull. **12** (1969) 471–474. [1](#), [5.2](#)
- [9] A. Blokhuis and G. E. Moorhouse, *Some p -ranks related to orthogonal spaces*, J. Alg. Combin. **4** (1995) 295–316. [2.1](#), [2.1](#)
- [10] A. E. Brouwer and H. Van Maldeghem, *Strongly Regular Graphs*, Cambridge University Press, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 182, 2022. [1](#), [4](#), [4](#)
- [11] F. Buekenhout and E. Shult, *On the foundations of polar geometry*, Geometriae Dedicata **3** (1974), 155–170. [1](#)
- [12] R. Calderbank and W. M. Kantor, *The geometry of two-weight codes*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **18** (2) (1986), 97–122. [1](#)
- [13] M. Campos, S. Griffiths, R. Morris, and J. Sahasrabudhe, *An exponential improvement for diagonal Ramsey*, arXiv preprint (2023) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.09521>. [3](#)
- [14] D. Chawin and I. Haviv, *Nearly orthogonal sets over finite fields*, arXiv preprint (2024) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.08274v1>. [1](#), [5.2](#)
- [15] D. Conlon and A. Ferber, *Lower bounds for multicolor Ramsey numbers*, Adv. Math. **378** (2021), 107528. [1](#)
- [16] J. De Beule, J. Mannaert, and V. Smaldore, *Some non-existence results on m -ovoids in classical polar spaces*, European J. Combin., **118** (2024), 103943. [1](#), [3](#)
- [17] H. Deng, H. Huang, G. Weng, and Q. Xiang, *The BCH family of storage codes on triangle-free graphs is of unit rate*, 2023. arxiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.04820>. [5.2](#)
- [18] J. Eisfeld, *On the common nature of spreads and pencils in $PG(d, q)$* , Discrete Math., 189(1-3):95–104, 1998. [1](#)
- [19] J. S. Ellenberg and D. Gijswijt, *On large subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no three-term arithmetic progression*, Ann. of Math. **185** (2) (2017), 339–343. [1](#)
- [20] P. Erdős, G. Szekeres. *A combinatorial problem in geometry*, Compos. Math. **2** (1935) 463–470. [3](#)
- [21] A. Golovnev and I. Haviv, *The (generalized) orthogonality dimension of (generalized) Kneser graphs: Bounds and applications*, Theory of Computing, **18** (2022), 1–22. [5.2](#), [5.2](#)
- [22] N. Hamilton and R. Mathon, *Existence and non-existence of m -systems of polar spaces*, European J. Combin., 22(1):51–61, 2001. [3](#)
- [23] I. Haviv, S. Mattheus, A. Miloević, and Y. Wigderson. *Larger nearly orthogonal sets over finite fields*, arxiv preprint (2024) <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.01057>. [6](#)
- [24] R. Hill, *Caps and codes*, Discrete Math. **22** (2) (1978), 111–137. [1](#)
- [25] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas. *General Galois geometries*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1991. Oxford Science Publications. [2](#)
- [26] S. Mattheus and J. Verstraete. *The asymptotics of $r(4, t)$* , Ann. Math., **199** (4) (2024). [1](#)
- [27] B. Segre, *Forme e geometrie hermitiane, con particolare riguardo al caso finito*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **70** (4) (1965), 1–201. [1](#)
- [28] E. E. Shult and J. A. Thas, *m -Systems and partial m -systems of polar spaces*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **8** (1996) 229–238. [1](#)
- [29] J. A. Thas, *Ovoids and spreads of finite classical polar spaces*, Geom. Dedicata **10** (1981), 135–143. [1](#)
- [30] J. Thas, *Interesting pointsets in generalised quadrangles and partial geometries*. Linear Algebra Appl., **114-115** (1989), 103 – 131. [1](#)
- [31] J. Thas and H. van Maldeghem, *Suzuki-Tits ovoids through the years*. Arch. Math. **121** (2023), 511 – 521. [1](#)

- [32] J. Tits, *Sur la trialité et certains groupes qui s'en déduisent*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (2):13–60, 1959. [1](#)
- [33] J. Tits, *Ovoïdes à translations*, Rend. Mat. e Appl. **21** (5) (1962), 37–59. [1](#)
- [34] J. Tits, *Ovoïdes et groupes de Suzuki*, Arch. Math. **13** (1962), 187 – 198. [1](#)