

# Lagrangian formulation for perfect fluid equations with the $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetry

Timofei Snegirev<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,  
Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radioelectronics,  
Lenin ave. 40, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*

## Abstract

Lagrangian formulation for perfect fluid equations which hold invariant under the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei group with half-integer  $\ell$  is proposed. It is based on a Clebsch-type parametrization and reproduces Lagrangian description of the Euler fluid equations for  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ . The transition from the Lagrangian formulation to the Hamiltonian one is analyzed in detail.

---

\*timofei.v.snegirev@tusur.ru

# 1 Introduction

Fluid mechanics with conformal symmetries currently attracts considerable attention in connection with the AdS/CFT-correspondence [1] and the fluid/gravity duality [2]. In particular, the latter can be understood as a hydrodynamic limit of the former in which the formalism of fluid mechanics is applied with the aim to an effective description of a strongly coupled quantum field theory. At the same time successful efforts to extend holography to strongly coupled condensed matter systems [3–5] stimulate investigations of fluid dynamics with non-relativistic conformal symmetries.

In contrast to the unique relativistic conformal algebra, there are several options available in the non-relativistic case. A well known example is the Schrödinger algebra [6–8], which has been found to be relevant for a wide range of physical applications (see the review [9] and references therein). The Schrodinger group was originally discovered as the maximal kinematical invariance group of the Schrodinger equation for a free massive particle [7]<sup>1</sup>. In addition to the Galilei transformations it contains dilatation and special conformal transformation. Surprisingly enough, the non-relativistic contraction of the relativistic conformal algebra [12] does not result in the Schrodinger algebra. The latter fact stimulates interest in the study of other finite-dimensional conformal extensions of the Galilei algebra which are combined into a family known in the literature as the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei algebra [13,14]. It is characterized by an arbitrary integer or half-integer parameter  $\ell$  and in  $d$  spatial dimensions it is a semidirect sum of  $sl(2, R) \oplus so(d)$  and the Abelian ideal formed by  $2\ell + 1$  vector generators. The Abelian ideal carries the spin- $\ell$  representation of  $sl(2, R)$  so the parameter  $\ell$  is sometimes called the conformal "spin". The case  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$  reproduces the Schrodinger algebra, while  $\ell = 1$  is recovered in the non-relativistic limit of the relativistic conformal algebra. The latter is usually referred to as the conformal Galilei algebra [12]. The  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei algebra and its dynamical realizations have been under extensive investigation in recent decades (see e.g. [15–22] and references therein).

Previous studies of non-relativistic conformal symmetries in the context of fluid mechanics revealed interesting results. A perfect fluid described by the Euler equations has the Schrödinger symmetry ( $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ ) provided a specific equation of state is chosen [23, 24] which links pressure to density. For a viscous fluid the Schrodinger symmetries are partially broken leaving one with the dilatation and Galilei symmetries [25]. Attempts to discover the conformal Galilei symmetry ( $\ell = 1$ ) for systems which derive from relativistic conformally invariant hydrodynamic equations did not lead to success [25–27]. In the non-relativistic limit such systems proved to be of limited physical interest. Reasonable hydrodynamic equations can be obtained as a result of a more subtle non-relativistic contraction, but they do not enjoy conformal Galilei symmetries. It was recently shown that one can construct generalized perfect fluid equations which accommodate the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetries for an arbitrary  $\ell$  [28, 29]. In particular, these equations contain the generalized Euler equation with higher material derivatives, which reduces to the perfect fluid equations for  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Given a set of equations of motion, it is always desirable to have a Lagrangian formulation. The latter provides powerful tools for analyzing a dynamical system. A Lagrangian formulation for the non-relativistic perfect fluid equations was proposed in [30, 31] (for mod-

---

<sup>1</sup>In fact, similar non-relativistic conformal structure has been known since 19th century due to the work on classical mechanics [10] and the heat equation [11].

ern developments see [32–36]). Instead of physical variables related to the fluid density and the velocity vector field, it deals with either coordinates of a fluid particle or non-physical variables that are expressed via physical ones in a non-trivial way. A description in terms of physical variables can be achieved within the Hamiltonian formalism [37]. The peculiarity of the latter formulation is that the Poisson brackets among the physical fields are non-canonical. In order to identify canonical variables and go over to a Lagrangian description, the Clebsch parametrization [38] of the velocity vector field is to be applied.

It was recently shown [39] that the approach involving non-canonical Poisson brackets [37] can be adapted to construct a Hamiltonian formulation of the generalized perfect fluid equations with the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetries for an arbitrary half-integer  $\ell$ . Aiming at a Lagrangian formulation, it is important to understand whether canonical variables exist in which the perfect fluid equations with the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetries arise from the variational principle. The goal of this work is to elaborate on this issue.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly review the generalized perfect fluid equations invariant under the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei group [28] and their Hamiltonian formulation developed in [39]. In Sect. 3, we construct the Lagrangian formulation based on a Clebsch-type parametrization in which the generalized perfect fluid equations arise from the variational principle. In Sect. 4, the Dirac method is used to analyze constraints which arise after transition to the Hamiltonian formalism. A relation to the Hamiltonian description in terms of non-canonical Poisson brackets [39] is studied in detail. In the concluding Sect. 5, we summarize our results and discuss possible further developments.

## 2 Equations of motion and Hamiltonian formulation

Let us take briefly remind the structure of the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei algebra [14]. Its generators include temporal translation  $H$ , dilatation  $D$ , special conformal transformation  $K$  and a set of vector generators  $C_i^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, 2\ell$ . The latter correspond to spatial translations ( $k = 0$ ), Galilei boosts ( $k = 1$ ) and the so-called constant accelerations ( $k > 1$ ). The structure relations of the algebra read<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} [H, D] &= H, & [H, C_i^{(k)}] &= kC_i^{(k-1)}, \\ [H, K] &= 2D, & [D, C_i^{(k)}] &= (k - \ell)C_i^{(k)}, \\ [D, K] &= K, & [K, C_i^{(k)}] &= (k - 2\ell)C_i^{(k+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

They can be realized in a non-relativistic space-time parameterized by  $(t, x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , by the following way<sup>3</sup> [14]

$$H = \partial_0, \quad D = t\partial_0 + \ell x_i \partial_i, \quad K = t^2 \partial_0 + 2\ell t x_i \partial_i, \quad C_i^{(k)} = t^k \partial_i.$$

Generalized perfect fluid equations invariant under the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei group were formulated in a recent paper [28]

$$\partial_0 \rho + \partial_i (\rho v_i) = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^{2\ell} v_i = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i p, \quad p = \nu \rho^{1 + \frac{1}{\ell d}}, \quad (2.2)$$

<sup>2</sup>The algebra also includes spatial rotation which in what follows will be disregarded.

<sup>3</sup>Throughout the text we use the notations:  $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Summation over repeated indices is understood.

where  $\rho(t, x)$ ,  $v_i(t, x)$ ,  $p(t, x)$  are the density, the velocity vector field, and the pressure, respectively, and  $\mathcal{D} = \partial_0 + v_i \partial_i$  is the material derivative. The first equation is the continuity equation, while the second and third equations describe the generalized Euler equation with higher derivatives and the equation of state which links the pressure to the density,  $\nu$  being a constant. For  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ , the equations (2.2) reproduce the perfect fluid equations invariant under the action of the Schrodinger group [24]. In what follows, we will refer to the model (2.2) as the  $\ell$ -conformal perfect fluid.

For half-integer values  $\ell = n + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , the equations (2.2) admit a Hamiltonian formulation [39]. In order to construct it, auxiliary fields  $v_i^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$  are introduced with  $v_i^{(0)} = v_i$  and the second equation in (2.2) is rewritten as the equivalent first order system

$$\mathcal{D}v_i^{(k)} = v_i^{(k+1)}, \quad \mathcal{D}v_i^{(2n)} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i p. \quad (2.3)$$

Then one can verify that the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k v_i^{(k)} v_i^{(2n-k)} + V \right), \quad V = \ell dp, \quad (2.4)$$

puts the original equations of motion into the Hamiltonian form  $\partial_0 \rho = \{\rho, H\}$ ,  $\partial_0 v_i^{(k)} = \{v_i^{(k)}, H\}$  provided the non-canonical Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho(x), v_i^{(k)}(y)\} &= -\delta_{(k)(2n)} \partial_i \delta(x-y), \\ \{v_i^{(k)}(x), v_j^{(m)}(y)\} &= \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \delta_{(k)(2n)} \partial_i v_j^{(m)} - \delta_{(m)(2n)} \partial_j v_i^{(k)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{k+1} \delta_{(k+m)(2n-1)} \delta_{ij} \right) \delta(x-y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

are introduced. Here  $\delta_{(k)(m)}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Within the Hamiltonian formalism the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei algebra is realized as follows. The Hamiltonian  $H$  (the conserved energy) (2.4) links to temporal translation while conserved charges associated with the dilatation, special conformal transformation and vector generators read

$$\begin{aligned} D &= tH - \frac{1}{2} \int dx \rho \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k (k+1) v_i^{(k)} v_i^{(2n-k-1)}, \\ K &= t^2 H - 2tD - \frac{1}{2} \int dx \rho \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (-1)^k \left( (n+1)(2n+1) - k(k+1) \right) v_i^{(k-1)} v_i^{(2n-k-1)}, \\ C_i^{(k)} &= \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \frac{k!}{(k-s)!} t^{k-s} \int dx \rho v_i^{(2n-s)}, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2n+1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $v_i^{(-1)} = x_i$ . Under the Poisson brackets (2.5), the conserved charges obey the algebra (2.1), which is extended by the central charge [40]

$$\{C_i^{(k)}, C_j^{(m)}\} = (-1)^k k! m! \delta_{(k+m)(2n+1)} \delta_{ij} M, \quad M = \int dx \rho. \quad (2.7)$$

For a perfect fluid ( $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $n = 0$ ) the Hamiltonian formulation involving non-canonical Poisson brackets was originally given in [37].

### 3 Clebsch parametrization and Lagrangian formulation

In order to demonstrate how the equations (2.2) can be obtained from the variational principle, let us first recall (for more details see e.g. [33]) how the Lagrangian for a perfect fluid is built which correctly reproduces the continuity equation and the Euler equation

$$\partial_0 \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathcal{D}v_i = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i p. \quad (3.2)$$

In three spatial dimensions this is achieved by making recourse to the Clebsch parametrization for the velocity vector field

$$v_i = \partial_i \theta + \alpha \partial_i \beta, \quad (3.3)$$

which involves three scalar functions  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} L &= - \int dx \rho (\partial_0 \theta + \alpha \partial_0 \beta) - H \\ &= - \int dx \rho (\partial_0 \theta + \alpha \partial_0 \beta) - \int dx \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho v_i v_i + V \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian (the total energy) with  $v_i$  in (3.3). The variation under  $\theta$  gives the continuity equation (3.1), while the variations with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  give

$$\mathcal{D}\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}\beta = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where (3.1) was taken into account.

Finally, varying with respect to  $\rho$  and using (3.5), one gets

$$\mathcal{D}\theta - \frac{1}{2} v_i v_i + V'_\rho = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

As a result, the Euler equation (3.2) are satisfied

$$\mathcal{D}v_i = \mathcal{D}(\partial_i \theta + \alpha \partial_i \beta) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}, \quad p = \rho V'_\rho - V. \quad (3.7)$$

In order to generalize the construction above to the  $\ell$ -conformal perfect fluid, we go over to the equivalent first order system (2.3). In the case of half-integer  $\ell = n + \frac{1}{2}$ , the starting equations read

$$\partial_0 \rho + \partial_i(\rho v_i^{(0)}) = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathcal{D}v_i^{(k)} = v_i^{(k+1)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mathcal{D}v_i^{(2n)} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i p, \quad p = \nu \rho^{1+\frac{1}{2d}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Note that these equations are completely equivalent to (2.2) and hence completely characterize the  $\ell$ -conformal perfect fluid.

A key ingredient of the construction above was the Clebsch parametrization of the velocity vector variable. For the  $\ell$ -conformal perfect fluid one has a set of vector variables  $v_i^{(k)}$  and it seems natural to expect that a Clebsch-type decomposition will be needed for each of them. It turns out, however, that in order to obtain the equations (3.8)-(3.10) from the variational principle only the highest component  $v_i^{(2n)}$  should be Clebsch-decomposed, while the remaining vector variables  $v_i^{(k)}$  with  $k < 2n$  may remain intact. Up to a field redefinition, a suitable Clebsch-type decomposition can be chosen in the form

$$v_i^{(2n)} = \partial_i \theta + \alpha \partial_i \beta + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} v_j^{(k)} \partial_i v_j^{(2n-k-1)}. \quad (3.11)$$

When  $n = 0$ , there is no sum on the right hand side and the decomposition for the Euler fluid (3.3) is reproduced. The generalized Lagrangian reads

$$L = - \int dx \rho \left( \partial_0 \theta + \alpha \partial_0 \beta + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} v_i^{(k)} \partial_0 v_i^{(2n-k-1)} \right) - H, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $H$  is Hamiltonian (2.4) with  $v_i^{(2n)}$  in (3.11). Thus, the basic variables for the Lagrangian (3.12) are the scalar fields  $\rho$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and a set of vector fields  $v_i^{(k)}$  with  $k < 2n$ .

Let us demonstrate how the equations (3.8)-(3.10) follow from the Lagrangian (3.12). By varying the Lagrangian with respect to  $\theta$ , one obtains the continuity equation (3.8). Variations with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  give (3.5), as before. Varying with respect to  $v_i^{(k)}$  and taking into account (3.8), the equations (3.9) are reproduced. Finally, varying with respect to  $\rho$  and using (3.5), one gets

$$\mathcal{D}\theta - v_i^{(0)} v_i^{(2n)} + \frac{(-1)^n}{2} v_i^{(n)} v_i^{(n)} + V'_\rho = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

As a result, the equation

$$\mathcal{D}v_i^{(2n)} = \mathcal{D} \left( \partial_i \theta + \alpha \partial_i \beta + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} v_j^{(k)} \partial_i v_j^{(2n-k-1)} \right) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_i p, \quad (3.14)$$

is satisfied as well, where  $p = \rho V'_\rho - V$ .

Because the Lagrangian (3.12) involves only the first temporal derivative, a transition to the Hamiltonian formalism will lead to constraints. In the next section, we use the Dirac method [41] to analyze such constraints and demonstrate how the non-canonical Poisson brackets (2.5) show up.

## 4 Dirac's constraint analysis

For simplicity of the presentation, let us focus on the  $\ell = \frac{3}{2}$  case. The corresponding Lagrangian is given by (3.12) with  $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= - \int dx \rho \left( \partial_0 \theta + \alpha \partial_0 \beta - v_i^{(0)} \partial_0 v_i^{(1)} \right) - H \\ &= - \int dx \rho \left( \partial_0 \theta + \alpha \partial_0 \beta - v_i^{(0)} \partial_0 v_i^{(1)} \right) - \int dx \left( \rho v_i^{(0)} v_i^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \rho v_i^{(1)} v_i^{(1)} + V \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian (2.4) with  $v_i^{(2)}$  defined in (3.11)

$$v_i^{(2)} = \partial_i \theta + \alpha \partial_i \beta - v_j^{(0)} \partial_i v_j^{(1)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Further simplification occurs if one sets the scalar variables  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to zero as particular solutions to the equations (3.5). This will not affect the final result but simplify the calculations. In this case, the phase space consists of basic variables  $X^A = (\rho, \theta, v_i^{(0)}, v_i^{(1)})$  and their conjugate momenta  $P^A = (p_\rho, p_\theta, p_i^{(0)}, p_i^{(1)})$ , which obey the canonical Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho(x), p_\rho(y)\} &= \delta(x - y), & \{v_i^{(0)}(x), p_j^{(0)}(y)\} &= \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y), \\ \{\theta(x), p_\theta(y)\} &= \delta(x - y), & \{v_i^{(1)}(x), p_j^{(1)}(y)\} &= \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

From the conditions determining the canonical momenta  $P^A = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_0 X^A)}$  the following primary constraints arise

$$\Phi^A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi_\rho \\ \phi_\theta \\ \phi_i^{(0)} \\ \phi_i^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_\rho \\ p_\theta + \rho \\ p_i^{(0)} \\ p_i^{(1)} - \rho v_i^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then according to Dirac's method [41] the total Hamiltonian reads

$$H_T = H + \int dx (\lambda_\rho \phi_\rho + \lambda_\theta \phi_\theta + \lambda_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(0)} + \lambda_i^{(1)} \phi_i^{(1)}), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $H$  is the canonical Hamiltonian in (4.1) and  $(\lambda_\rho, \lambda_\theta, \lambda_i^{(0)}, \lambda_i^{(1)})$  are the Lagrangian multipliers. Requiring the constraints to be conserved over time,  $\partial_0 \phi = \{\phi, H_T\} = 0$ , one unambiguously specifies the Lagrangian multipliers

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\rho &= -\partial_i (\rho v_i^{(0)}), & \lambda_i^{(0)} &= v_i^{(1)} - v_j^{(0)} \partial_j v_i^{(0)}, \\ \lambda_\theta &= \frac{1}{2} v_i^{(1)} v_i^{(1)} + v_j^{(0)} (v_j^{(2)} - \partial_j \theta) - V_\rho, & \lambda_i^{(1)} &= v_i^{(2)} - v_j^{(0)} \partial_j v_i^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The latter fact implies that all the constraints (4.4) are second-class. The same conclusion is reached by analyzing the Poisson brackets among the constraints  $\Phi_A = (\phi_\rho, \phi_\theta, \phi_i^{(0)}, \phi_i^{(1)})$ , which form the non-degenerate matrix

$$\Lambda_{AB}(x, x') = \{\Phi_A(x), \Phi_B(x')\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & v_i^{(0)} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho \delta_{ij} \\ -v_i^{(0)} & 0 & -\rho \delta_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_x \delta(x - x'). \quad (4.7)$$

The inverse matrix reads

$$\Lambda_{AB}^{-1}(x, x') = \{\Phi_A(x), \Phi_B(x')\}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \frac{v_i^{(0)}}{\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{v_i^{(0)}}{\rho} & 0 & -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\rho} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\rho} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_x \delta(x - x'), \quad (4.8)$$

such that  $\int dz \Lambda_{AC}^{-1}(x, z) \Lambda_{CB}(z, x') = \delta_{AB} \delta(x - x')$ .

In order to make connection with the Hamiltonian formulation presented in section 2, one should resolve the constraints (4.4) and deal with the Dirac bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{A(x), B(y)\}_D &= \{A(x), B(y)\} + \int dz \left[ \{A(x), \phi_\theta(z)\} \{\phi_\rho(z), B(y)\} \right. \\ &\quad - \left( \{A(x), \phi_\rho(z)\} - \frac{v_i^{(0)}(z)}{\rho(z)} \{A(x), \phi_i^{(0)}(z)\} \right) \{\phi_\theta(z), B(y)\} \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{v_i^{(0)}(z)}{\rho(z)} \{A(x), \phi_\theta(z)\} + \frac{1}{\rho(z)} \{A(x), \phi_i^{(1)}(z)\} \right) \{\phi_i^{(0)}(z), B(y)\} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\rho(z)} \{A(x), \phi_i^{(0)}(z)\} \{\phi_i^{(1)}(z), B(y)\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where  $A(t, x)$  and  $B(t, x)$  are two arbitrary field variables of the phase space.

By resolving the constraints, one eliminates the canonical momenta from the consideration reducing the set of fields to  $\rho$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $v_i^{(0)}$  and  $v_i^{(1)}$ . Substituting them in (4.9) and taking into account (4.3), one obtains the following non-zero Dirac brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho(x), \theta(y)\}_D &= \delta(x - y), \\ \{\theta(x), v_i^{(0)}(y)\}_D &= \frac{v_i^{(0)}}{\rho} \delta(x - y), \\ \{v_i^{(0)}(x), v_j^{(1)}(y)\}_D &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

When  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not zero, it suffices to add the following Dirac brackets

$$\{\theta(x), \alpha(y)\}_D = \frac{\alpha}{\rho} \delta(x - y), \quad \{\alpha(x), \beta(y)\}_D = \frac{1}{\rho} \delta(x - y). \quad (4.11)$$

Using (4.10) and (4.11), one can verify that the non-canonical Poisson brackets (2.5) are reproduced for  $n = 1$  with  $v_i^{(2)}$  defined in (4.2). Also one can easily identify the canonical pairs  $(\rho, \theta)$ ,  $(\rho\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\rho v_i^{(0)}, v_i^{(1)})$ . The same pairs result from the Lagrangian (4.1).

The constraint analysis above can be readily generalized to the case of arbitrary half-integer  $\ell$ . One can see from the Lagrangian (3.12) that the canonical pairs include  $(\rho, \theta)$ ,  $(\rho\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\rho v_i^{(k)}, v_i^{(2n-k-1)})$ , where  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ .



## 5 Conclusion

To summarize, in this work the Lagrangian formulation for the generalized perfect fluid equations, which hold invariant under the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei group with arbitrary half-integer parameter  $\ell$ , was constructed. It is based on a suitably chosen Clebsch-type parametrization and correctly reproduces the Lagrangian description of a Euler fluid in [33] for  $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ . The Dirac method was used in order to analyze constraints which arose after transition to the Hamiltonian formalism. It was demonstrated that all the constraints are second-class. The corresponding Dirac brackets were computed, which reproduced the Hamiltonian description in [39] given in terms of non-canonical Poisson brackets.

Turning to possible further developments, it would be interesting to explore supersymmetric extensions of the Lagrangian (3.12) in the spirit of [42, 43]. The possibility to use the Lagrangian in Sect. 3 within the context of the fluid/gravity correspondence is worth exploring as well.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, grant No 23-11-00002.

## References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, *The large  $N$  limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113], arXiv:hep-th/9711200.
- [2] M. Rangamani, *Gravity and hydrodynamics: Lectures on the fluid-gravity correspondence*, Class. Quant. Grav. 26 (2009) 224003, arXiv:0905.4352.
- [3] D.T. Son, *Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry*, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 046003, arXiv:0804.3972.
- [4] K. Balasubramanian, J. McGreevy, *Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 061601, arXiv:0804.4053.
- [5] Y. Nishida, D.T. Son, *Unitary Fermi gas, epsilon expansion, and nonrelativistic conformal field theories*, Lect. Notes Phys. 836 (2012) 233, arXiv:1004.3597.
- [6] R. Jackiw, *Introducing scaling symmetry*, Phys. Today, 25 (1972), 23.
- [7] U. Niederer, *The maximal kinematical invariance group of the free Schrödinger equation*, Helv. Phys. Acta 45 (1972) 802-810.
- [8] C. R. Hagen, *Scale and conformal transformations in Galilean-covariant field theory*, Phys. Rev. D5 (1972) 377.
- [9] C. Duval, M. Henkel, P. Horvathy, S. Rouhani, P. Zhang *Schrödinger symmetry: a historical review*, arXiv:2403.20316.

- [10] C.G.J. Jacobi, *Gesammelte Werke*, Berlin Reimer (1884).
- [11] S. Lie, *Über die Integration durch bestimmte Integrale von einer Klasse linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen*, Arch. Math. 6 (1881) 328.
- [12] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Exotic Galilean conformal symmetry and its dynamical realisations*, Phys. Lett. A 357 (2006) 1, hep-th/0511259.
- [13] M. Henkel, *Local scale invariance and strongly anisotropic equilibrium critical systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 1940, cond-mat/9610174.
- [14] J. Negro, M.A. del Olmo, A. Rodriguez-Marco, *Nonrelativistic conformal groups*, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 3786.
- [15] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, *Acceleration-extended Galilean symmetries with central charges and their dynamical realizations*, Phys. Lett. B **650** (2007) 203, hep-th/0702179.
- [16] C. Duval, P. Horvathy, *Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures*, J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 465206, arXiv:0904.0531.
- [17] S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, J. Lukierski, *Galilean conformal mechanics from nonlinear realizations*, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 085013, arXiv:1101.1658.
- [18] C. Duval, P. Horvathy, *Conformal Galilei groups, Veronese curves, and Newton–Hooke spacetimes*, J. Phys. A **44** (2011) 335203, arXiv:1104.1502.
- [19] J. Gomis, K. Kamimura, *Schrodinger equations for higher order non-relativistic particles and  $N$ -Galilean conformal symmetry*, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 045023, arXiv:1109.3773.
- [20] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, *Dynamical realization of  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei algebra and oscillators*, Nucl. Phys. B **866** (2013) 212, arXiv:1208.1403.
- [21] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, P. Kosinski, P. Maslanka, *On dynamical realizations of  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei groups*, Nucl. Phys. B **876** (2013) 309, arXiv:1305.6805.
- [22] D. Chernyavsky, A. Galajinsky, *Ricci-flat spacetimes with  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetry*, Phys. Lett. B **754** (2016) 249, arXiv:1512.06226.
- [23] M. Hassaine, P. A. Horvathy, *Field-dependent symmetries of a non-relativistic fluid model*, Annals Phys. 282 (2000) 218-246, arXiv:math-ph/9904022.
- [24] L. O’Raifeartaigh, V.V. Sreedhar, *The maximal kinematical invariance group of fluid dynamics and explosion-implosion duality*, Annals Phys. 293 (2001) 215, hep-th/0007199.
- [25] P.A. Horvathy, P.-M. Zhang, *Non-relativistic conformal symmetries in fluid mechanics*, Eur. Phys. J. C **65** (2010) 607, arXiv:0906.3594.
- [26] I. Fouxon, Y. Oz, *Conformal Field Theory as Microscopic Dynamics of Incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 261602, arXiv:0809.4512.

- [27] I. Fouxon, Y. Oz, *CFT Hydrodynamics: symmetries, exact solutions and gravity*, JHEP 0903 (2009) 120.
- [28] A. Galajinsky, *Equations of fluid dynamics with the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B **984** (2022) 115965, arXiv:2205.12576.
- [29] A. Galajinsky, *The group-theoretic approach to perfect fluid equations with conformal symmetry*, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 2, 026008, arXiv:2210.14544.
- [30] J. Serrin, *Mathematical Principles of Classical Fluid Mechanics*, Handbuch der Physik 8 (1959) 124.
- [31] C. Eckart, *Variation Principles of Hydrodynamics*, Phys. Fluids 3 (1960) 421.
- [32] B. Bistrovic, R. Jackiw, H. Li, V.P. Nair, S.-Y. Pi, *Non-abelian fluid dynamics in Lagrangian formulation*, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 025013, hep-th/0210143.
- [33] R. Jackiw, V.P. Nair, S.Y. Pi, A.P. Polychronakos, *Perfect fluid theory and its extensions*, J. Phys. A **37** (2004) R327, arXiv:hep-ph/0407101.
- [34] V.P. Nair, R. Ray, S. Roy, *Fluids, anomalies and the chiral magnetic effect: A group-theoretic formulation*, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 025012, arXiv:1112.4022.
- [35] D. Capasso, V.P. Nair, J. Tekel, *The isospin asymmetry in anomalous fluid dynamics*, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 085025, arXiv:1307.7610.
- [36] V.P. Nair, *Topological terms and diffeomorphism anomalies in fluid dynamics and sigma models*, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 085017, arXiv:2008.11260.
- [37] P.J. Morrison, J.M. Greene, *Noncanonical Hamiltonian Density Formulation of Hydrodynamics and Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 790.
- [38] A. Clebsch, J. Reine Angew. Math. 56 (1859) 1.
- [39] T. Snegirev, *Hamiltonian formulation for perfect fluid equations with the  $\ell$ -conformal Galilei symmetry*, J. Geom. Phys. 192 (2023) 104930, arXiv:2302.01565.
- [40] A. Galajinsky, I. Masterov, *Remarks on  $l$ -conformal extension of the Newton-Hooke algebra*, Phys. Lett. B **702** (2011) 265-267, arXiv:1104.5115.
- [41] P.A.M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York, 1964.
- [42] R. Jackiw, A.P. Polychronakos, *Supersymmetric fluid mechanics*, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 085019, hep-th/0004083.
- [43] A. Galajinsky, *Equations of fluid mechanics with  $N = 1$  Schrodinger supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B 999 (2024) 116450, arXiv:2312.04084.