

NUMERICAL APPROXIMATION FOR VARIABLE-EXPONENT FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION-WAVE EQUATION

XIANGCHENG ZHENG*, HONG WANG†, AND WENLIN QIU‡

Abstract. This work considers the variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation, which describes, e.g. the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media with varying material properties. Rigorous mathematical and numerical analysis for this model is not available in the literature, partly because the variable-exponent Abel kernel may not be positive definite or monotonic. We overcome these difficulties to design two numerical schemes and derive their stability and error estimate based on the proved solution regularity, with $\alpha(0)$ -order and second-order accuracy in time, respectively. Numerical experiments are presented to substantiate the theoretical findings.

Key words. fractional, variable exponent, well-posedness and regularity, error estimate, second order

AMS subject classifications. 35R11, 65M12, 65M60

1. Introduction. We consider the fractional diffusion-wave model of variable exponent $1 < \alpha(t) < 2$

$${}^c\partial_t^{\alpha(t)}u(\mathbf{x}, t) - \Delta u(\mathbf{x}, t) = f(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

equipped with initial and boundary conditions

$$u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \partial_t u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \bar{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega; \quad u(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a simply-connected bounded domain with the piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ with convex corners, $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ with $1 \leq d \leq 3$ denotes the spatial variables, f and u_0 refer to the source term and the initial value, respectively, and the fractional derivative of order $1 < \alpha(t) < 2$ is defined by the symbol $*$ of convolution [12]

$${}^c\partial_t^{\alpha(t)}u := (k * \partial_t^2 u) = \int_0^t k(t-s)\partial_s^2 u(\mathbf{x}, s)ds, \quad k(t) := \frac{t^{1-\alpha(t)}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha(t))}. \quad (1.3)$$

In general, the $-\Delta u$ in (1.1) could be extended to $-\nabla(K(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) + c(\mathbf{x})u$ for the symmetric, positive definite diffusivity tensor $K(\mathbf{x})$ and the non-negative reaction coefficient $c(\mathbf{x})$ without affecting the analysis, and we use $-\Delta u$ for simplicity.

For the constant-exponent case, that is, $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha$ for some $1 < \alpha < 2$, model (1.1)–(1.2) has been used in various fields. For instance, it is pointed out in [15, 16] that model (1.1)–(1.2) with $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha$ governs the propagation of mechanical diffusive waves in viscoelastic media which exhibit a power-law creep. Thus, there exist significant progresses on the mathematical and numerical studies of model (1.1)–(1.2) with $\alpha(t) \equiv \alpha$, see e.g. [2, 5, 10, 18, 25]. The variable exponent could accommodate the change of the media properties [3, 22] such that the variable-exponent model (1.1)–(1.2) may be more practical.

*School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. (Email: xzheng@sdu.edu.cn)

†Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. (Email: hwang@math.sc.edu)

‡Corresponding author. School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China. (Email: qwillkx12379@163.com)

There exist sophisticated numerical studies on variable-exponent time/space-fractional diffusion problems [19, 24, 26, 29], while rigorous analysis for diffusion-wave type equations such as model (1.1)–(1.2) is not available in the literature. For variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave models containing an additional temporal leading term $\partial_t^2 u$, the mathematical analysis and a first-order-in-time scheme are recently proposed in [28], and a second-order finite difference scheme is analyzed in [3]. For model (1.1)–(1.2) where ${}^c\partial_t^{\alpha(t)} u$ serves as the leading term, the existing methods do not apply directly. The inherent reason is that the variable-exponent Abel kernel $k(t)$ could not be analytically treated by, e.g. the integral transform, and may not be positive definite or monotonic. Indeed, it is commented in [9] that the analysis of variable-exponent subdiffusion remains an open problem, and so does the variable-exponent fractional diffusion-wave equation.

Recently, a convolution method is developed in [27], which converts the variable-exponent subdiffusion to more feasible formulations such that the corresponding analysis becomes tractable. We employ this idea to convert model (1.1)–(1.2) to a transformed equation, based on which we address its well-posedness and solution regularity. For numerical analysis, a main difficulty is that a convolution term in the transformed model involves a complicated convolution kernel that may not be positive definite or monotonic, which restricts the choice of quadrature rules in constructing high-order schemes and introduces non-monotonic or even variable-sign coefficients that deteriorates the structures of numerical schemes. In [27], an exponential factor is introduced to resolve this issue in Volterra integro-differential equations, while for models involving temporal derivatives, this method does not work.

To circumvent the difficulty, we approximate this intricate term with the help of piecewise linear interpolation. Then we design suitable combinations of different discretization methods to approximate multiple terms in the transformed equation such that the $\alpha(0)$ -order and second-order accuracy in time could be achieved and proved under a very weak constraint on $\alpha(t)$. Numerical experiments indicate that both methods exhibit the desired accuracy, even the imposed constraint on $\alpha(t)$ is not satisfied.

The rest of the work is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce preliminary results and the mathematical analysis of the proposed model. In Sections 3–4, the $\alpha(0)$ -order and second-order schemes are developed and analyzed, respectively, and their accuracy is substantiated in the last section.

2. Mathematical analysis.

2.1. Notations. For the sake of clear presentation, we introduce the following spaces and notations. Let $L^p(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ be the Banach space of p th power Lebesgue integrable functions on Ω . Denote the inner product of $L^2(\Omega)$ as (\cdot, \cdot) . For a positive integer m , let $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space of L^p functions with m th weakly derivatives in $L^p(\Omega)$ (similarly defined with Ω replaced by an interval \mathcal{I}). Let $H^m(\Omega) := W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^m(\Omega)$ be its subspace with the zero boundary condition up to order $m - 1$. For a non-integer $s \geq 0$, $H^s(\Omega)$ is defined via interpolation [1]. Let $\{\lambda_i, \phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be eigen-pairs of the problem $-\Delta\phi_i = \lambda_i\phi_i$ with the zero boundary condition. We introduce the Sobolev space $\check{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $s \geq 0$ by

$$\check{H}^s(\Omega) := \left\{ q \in L^2(\Omega) : \|q\|_{\check{H}^s}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^s (q, \phi_i)^2 < \infty \right\},$$

which is a subspace of $H^s(\Omega)$ satisfying $\tilde{H}^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{H}^2(\Omega) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ [23]. For a Banach space \mathcal{X} , let $W^{m,p}(0, T; \mathcal{X})$ be the space of functions in $W^{m,p}(0, T)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. All spaces are equipped with standard norms [1, 4].

We use Q to denote a generic positive constant that may assume different values at different occurrences. We use $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the L^2 norm of functions or operators in $L^2(\Omega)$, set $L^p(\mathcal{X})$ for $L^p(0, T; \mathcal{X})$ for brevity, and drop the notation Ω in the spaces and norms if no confusion occurs. For instance, $L^2(L^2)$ implies $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Furthermore, we will drop the space variable \mathbf{x} in functions, e.g. we denote $q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as $q(t)$, when no confusion occurs.

For $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \min\{\pi, \frac{\pi}{\alpha_0}\}$ and $\delta > 0$, let Γ_θ be the contour in the complex plane defined by

$$\Gamma_\theta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| = \theta, |z| \geq \delta\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z)| \leq \theta, |z| = \delta\}.$$

For any $w \in L_{loc}^1(\mathcal{I})$, the Laplace transform \mathcal{L} of its extension $\tilde{w}(t)$ to zero outside \mathcal{I} and the corresponding inverse transform \mathcal{L}^{-1} are denoted by $\mathcal{L}w(z) := \int_0^\infty \tilde{w}(t)e^{-tz}dt$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\mathcal{L}w(z)) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} e^{tz} \mathcal{L}w(z) dz = w(t)$. The following inequalities hold for $0 < \mu < 1$ or $\mu = \alpha_0$ and Q independent from z [7, 13]

$$\int_{\Gamma_\theta} |z|^{\mu-1} |e^{tz}| |dz| \leq Qt^{-\mu}; \quad \|(z^\mu - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq Q|z|^{-\mu}, \quad \forall z \in \Gamma_\theta, \quad (2.1)$$

and we have $\mathcal{L}(\partial_t^\mu w) = z^\mu \mathcal{L}w - (I_t^{1-\mu} w)(0)$, see [6].

Finally we introduce the concept of positive definiteness. A kernel $\beta(t)$ is said to be positive definite if $\int_0^{\bar{t}} q(t)(\beta * q)(t)dt \geq 0$ for any $q \in C[0, \bar{t}]$ and for each $0 < \bar{t} \leq T$, and $\beta_\mu(t) := \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)}$ with $0 < \mu < 1$ is positive definite, see e.g. [20].

Throughout this work, we consider smooth $\alpha(t)$. Specifically, we assume $\alpha(t)$ is three times differentiable with $|\alpha'(t)| + |\alpha''(t)| + |\alpha'''(t)| \leq L$ for some $L > 0$. We also denote $\alpha_0 = \alpha(0)$, $I_t^\mu w := \beta_\mu * w$ and $\partial_t^\mu w = \partial_t(\beta_{1-\mu} * w)$.

2.2. Well-posedness and regularity. We apply the convolution method proposed in [27] to convert model (1.1) to a more feasible formulation. For this purpose, we apply the variable substitution $z = s/t$ to perform calculations as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * k)(t) &= \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha(0)-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha(0)-1)} \frac{s^{1-\alpha(s)}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha(s))} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(t-tz)^{\alpha(0)-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha(0)-1)} \frac{(tz)^{1-\alpha(tz)}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha(tz))} t dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{(tz)^{\alpha(0)-\alpha(tz)}}{\Gamma(\alpha(0)-1)\Gamma(2-\alpha(tz))} (1-z)^{\alpha(0)-2} z^{1-\alpha(0)} dz =: g(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $g(t)$ is called a generalized identity function. It is shown in [27] that $g(0) = 1$, $|g| \leq Q$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $|g'| \leq Q(|\ln t| + 1)$. Then we calculate the convolution of (1.1) and β_{α_0-1} as

$$\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * [(k * \partial_t^2 u) - \Delta u - f] = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

We invoke (2.2) to obtain

$$g * \partial_t^2 u - \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \Delta u = \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * f. \quad (2.4)$$

An application of the integration by parts leads to the transformed model

$$\partial_t u - \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \Delta u = p_u + g(t)\bar{u}_0, \quad p_u := \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * f - g' * \partial_t u. \quad (2.5)$$

equipped with the initial and boundary conditions (1.2).

We take the Laplace transform of (2.5) to obtain $z\mathcal{L}u - u_0 - z^{1-\alpha_0}\Delta\mathcal{L}u = \mathcal{L}(p_u + g(t)\bar{u}_0)$, that is, $\mathcal{L}u = z^{\alpha_0-1}(z^{\alpha_0} - \Delta)^{-1}(u_0 + \mathcal{L}(p_u + g(t)\bar{u}_0))$. We take the inverse Laplace transform to obtain

$$u = F(t)u_0 + \int_0^t F(t-s)(p_u(s) + g(s)\bar{u}_0)ds \quad (2.6)$$

where the operator $F(\cdot)$ is defined as $F(t)w := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} e^{tz} z^{\alpha_0-1} (z^{\alpha_0} - \Delta)^{-1} w dz$.

We show the well-posedness of model (1.1)–(1.2) in the following theorem, the proof of which can be found in the Appendix.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose $f \in W^{1,1}(L^2)$ and $u_0, \bar{u}_0 \in \check{H}^2$, then model (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique solution in $W^{2,p}(L^2) \cap L^p(\check{H}^2)$ for $1 < p < \frac{1}{2-\alpha_0}$ and*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\check{H}^2)} \leq Q(\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + \|u_0\|_{\check{H}^2} + \|\bar{u}_0\|_{\check{H}^2}). \quad (2.7)$$

From the derivations (2.3)–(2.5) we find that a solution in $W^{2,p}(L^2) \cap L^p(\check{H}^2)$ to (1.1)–(1.2) is also a solution to (2.5)–(1.2). Conversely, it is shown by the derivations around (5.8) that a solution in $W^{2,p}(L^2) \cap L^p(\check{H}^2)$ to (2.5)–(1.2) is also a solution to (1.1)–(1.2). Thus, the models (2.5)–(1.2) and (1.1)–(1.2) are indeed equivalent and it suffices to focus the attention on (2.5)–(1.2).

REMARK 2.1. *Under suitable smoothness assumptions on the data, one could follow [27, Theorems 3 and 4] to prove the following regularity results for $n = 1, 2$ and $m = 0, 1$*

$$\|\partial_t^{n+1} u\|_{\check{H}^{2m}} \leq Q t^{\alpha_0 - (n+1)}. \quad (2.8)$$

We omit the proof of the above results due to the similarity to [27, Theorems 3 and 4] and focus the attention on the numerical approximation.

3. An α_0 -order scheme. From this section we turn the attention to the numerical approximation. As mentioned at the end of the last section, it suffices to consider the numerical approximation of (2.5)–(1.2). If we denote $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_0 - 1 \in (0, 1)$, (2.5) could be rewritten as

$$\partial_t u + \int_0^t g'(t-s)\partial_s u(s)ds - \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds = \bar{f}(t), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\bar{f}(t) = \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s)f(s)ds + g(t)\bar{u}_0$. If we further define $\tilde{u}(t) = u(t) - u_0$, equation (3.1) becomes

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} + \int_0^t g'(t-s)\partial_s \tilde{u}(s)ds - \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s)\Delta \tilde{u}(s)ds = \mathcal{F}(t), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\tilde{u}(0) = 0; \quad \mathcal{F}(t) = (\beta_{\bar{\alpha}} * (\Delta u_0 + f))(t) + g(t)\bar{u}_0. \quad (3.3)$$

In this section, we propose and analyze an α_0 -order-in-time scheme for problem (3.2)–(3.3).

3.1. A weak constraint on $\alpha(t)$. The numerical analysis in the current and the next sections depends on an additional constraint on $\alpha(t)$:

$$\alpha'(0) = \alpha''(0) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The reason of imposing this condition is to improve the properties of the generalized identity function g , cf. Lemma 3.1, to facilitate the numerical analysis. It is worth mentioning that this is a very weak constraint since for any smooth $\alpha(t)$, one could find a sequence of smooth functions $\{\alpha_\sigma(t)\}_{\sigma>0}$ satisfying $\alpha'_\sigma(0) = \alpha''_\sigma(0) = 0$ for any $\sigma > 0$ such that $\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_\sigma(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, one could replace the smooth $\alpha(t)$ in the model by an approximate function $\tilde{\alpha}(t)$ (satisfying $\tilde{\alpha}'(0) = \tilde{\alpha}''(0) = 0$) whose error could be arbitrarily small such that the constraint of the condition (3.4) on $\alpha(t)$ could be arbitrarily weak.

To prove this claim, we construct $\alpha_\sigma(t)$ by setting $\alpha_\sigma(t) = \alpha_0$ on $t \in [0, \sigma]$, $\alpha_\sigma(t) = \alpha(t)$ on $t \in [2\sigma, T]$ and $\alpha_\sigma(t) = \alpha_s(t)$ for $t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]$ for some smooth function $1 < \alpha_s(t) < 2$ satisfying

$$\alpha_s(\sigma) = 0, \quad \alpha_s(2\sigma) = \alpha(2\sigma); \quad \alpha'_s{}^+(\sigma) = \alpha''_s{}^+(\sigma) = \alpha'''_s{}^+(\sigma) = 0; \quad (3.5)$$

$$\alpha'_s{}^-(2\sigma) = \alpha'^+{}(2\sigma), \quad \alpha''_s{}^-(2\sigma) = \alpha''^+{}(2\sigma), \quad \alpha'''_s{}^-(2\sigma) = \alpha'''^+{}(2\sigma), \quad (3.6)$$

where $-$ and $+$ imply the left-hand and right-hand derivatives, respectively. By this construction, $\alpha_\sigma(t)$ is a three times continuously differentiable function and satisfies $\alpha'_\sigma(0) = \alpha''_\sigma(0) = 0$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_\sigma(t)| \leq \max\left\{ \max_{t \in [0, \sigma]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_0|, \max_{t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_s(t)| \right\}. \quad (3.7)$$

By $\alpha(t) - \alpha_0 = \alpha'(\xi)t$ we have $|\alpha(t) - \alpha_0| \leq Lt$, while $\alpha(2\sigma) = \alpha_s(2\sigma)$ implies

$$|\alpha(t) - \alpha_s(t)| = |\alpha(t) - \alpha(2\sigma) + \alpha_s(2\sigma) - \alpha_s(t)| \quad (3.8)$$

$$= |\alpha'(\xi_1)(t - 2\sigma) + \alpha'_s(\xi_2)(2\sigma - t)| \leq Q(2\sigma - t), \quad t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]. \quad (3.9)$$

Combine the above estimates to get $\max_{t \in [0, T]} |\alpha(t) - \alpha_\sigma(t)| \leq Q\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$.

With the condition (3.4), we analyze the properties of $g(t)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Under the condition (3.4), $|g^{(j)}(t)| \leq Q$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $j = 1, 2$ where $g(t)$ is given by (2.2).*

Proof. From (2.2) it is clear that it suffices to show $|B^{(j)}(t)| \leq Q$ where $B(t) = (tz)^{\alpha(0) - \alpha(tz)}$. If $\alpha'(0) = 0$, the Taylor expansion gives $B(t) = e^{-\int_0^{tz} (tz-s)\alpha''(s)ds \ln(tz)}$ such that we have for $\bar{p} = tz$

$$\begin{aligned} |B'(t)| &= \left| B(t) \partial_{\bar{p}} \left(- \int_0^{\bar{p}} (\bar{p} - s) \alpha''(s) ds \ln(\bar{p}) \right) \frac{d\bar{p}}{dt} \right| \\ &= \left| -B(t)z \left[\ln(\bar{p}) \int_0^{\bar{p}} \alpha''(s) ds + \frac{1}{\bar{p}} \int_0^{\bar{p}} (\bar{p} - s) \alpha''(s) ds \right] \right| \leq Q(|\bar{p} \ln \bar{p}| + 1) \leq Q. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of B'' could be obtained similarly based on $|\ln(\bar{p})\alpha''(\bar{p})| \leq Q$ derived from $\alpha''(0) = 0$ and $|\alpha'''| \leq L$. \square

3.2. Construction of α_0 -order scheme. Let N be a positive integer and $\tau = T/N$ be the uniform temporal step size with $t_n = n\tau$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$. For simplicity, we denote $\tilde{u}^n := \tilde{u}(t_n)$ and define

$$\delta_t v^n = \frac{v^n - v^{n-1}}{\tau}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad \delta_t^{(2)} v^n = \frac{3}{2} \delta_t v^n - \frac{1}{2} \delta_t v^{n-1}, \quad 2 \leq n \leq N,$$

$$v^{n-1/2} = \frac{v^n + v^{n-1}}{2}, \quad t_{n-1/2} = \frac{t_n + t_{n-1}}{2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N.$$

We consider (3.2) at $t = t_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$

$$\partial_t \tilde{u}(t_n) + \int_0^{t_n} g'(t_n - s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds - \int_0^{t_n} \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t_n - s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds = \mathcal{F}(t_n). \quad (3.10)$$

We utilize the second-order BDF, see e.g. [8, 11], to discretize the first left-hand side term of (3.10)

$$\partial_t \tilde{u}(t_1) = \delta_t \tilde{u}^1 + (R_1)^1, \quad \partial_t \tilde{u}(t_n) = \delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{u}^n + (R_1)^n, \quad 2 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$(R_1)^1 = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau t \partial_t^2 u(t) dt, \quad (3.12)$$

$$(R_1)^n = -\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (t - t_{n-1})^2 \partial_t^3 u(t) dt + \frac{1}{4\tau} \int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_n} (t - t_{n-2})^2 \partial_t^3 u(t) dt. \quad (3.13)$$

For the second left-hand side term of (3.10), we apply the piecewise linear interpolation

$$\int_0^{t_n} g'(t_n - s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds = \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \frac{\tilde{u}^k - \tilde{u}^{k-1}}{\tau} + (R_2)^n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.14)$$

where $w_k = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t) dt = g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (R_2)^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[\partial_t \tilde{u}(t) - \frac{\tilde{u}^k - \tilde{u}^{k-1}}{\tau} \right] g'(t_n - t) dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[\int_{t_{k-1}}^t \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) \frac{s - t_{k-1}}{\tau} ds - \int_t^{t_k} \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) \frac{t_k - s}{\tau} ds \right] g'(t_n - t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By swapping the double integrals we get

$$\begin{aligned} (R_2)^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [g(t_n - s) - g(t_n - t_k)] \frac{s - t_{k-1}}{\tau} \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [g(t_n - t_{k-1}) - g(t_n - s)] \frac{t_k - s}{\tau} \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which further gives

$$\begin{aligned} (R_2)^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[g(t_n - s) - \left(g(t_{n-k}) \frac{s - t_{k-1}}{\tau} + g(t_{n-k+1}) \frac{t_k - s}{\tau} \right) \right] \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \left[\frac{t_{k-1} - s}{\tau} \int_s^{t_k} g''(t_n - \theta) (t_k - \theta) d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{s - t_k}{\tau} \int_s^{t_{k-1}} g''(t_n - \theta) (t_{k-1} - \theta) d\theta \right] \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

For the third right-hand side term of (3.10), we employ the second-order convolution quadrature rule [13] to get

$$\int_0^{t_n} \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t_n - s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds = \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^j + \omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^0 \right) + (R_3)^n, \quad (3.16)$$

where the quadrature weights $\chi_j^{(\bar{\alpha})}$ can be obtained by the following power series [13, Lemma 5.3]

$$\left[\frac{(1-\zeta)(3-\zeta)}{2} \right]^{-\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \chi_j^{(\bar{\alpha})} \zeta^j, \quad \chi_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} = \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=0}^n 3^{-j} c_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} c_j^{(\bar{\alpha})} = \mathcal{O}(n^{\bar{\alpha}-1})$$

with $c_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} = (-1)^n \binom{-\bar{\alpha}}{n}$. The correction weights are given as

$$\omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} = \frac{n^{\bar{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The quadrature error $(R_3)^n$ in (3.16) could be bounded by [21, Lemma 7]

$$\begin{aligned} |(R_3)^n| \leq & Q \left[\tau^2 t_n^{\bar{\alpha}-1} |\Delta \bar{u}_0| + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}+1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} |\partial_s^2 \Delta u(s)| ds \right. \\ & \left. + \tau^2 \int_0^{t_{n-1}} (t_n - s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} |\partial_s^2 \Delta u(s)| ds \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Now we invoke (3.11), (3.14) and (3.16) in (3.10) to get

$$\delta_t \tilde{u}^1 + w_0 \delta_t \tilde{u}^1 - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^1 \chi_{1-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^j + \omega_1^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_1) + R^1, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{u}^n + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{u}^k - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^j + \omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{u}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_n) + R^n, \quad (3.19)$$

for $2 \leq n \leq N$ where $R^n = (R_3)^n - (R_2)^n - (R_1)^n$ and $\tilde{u}^0 = 0$. Then we drop the truncation errors to get the following temporal semi-discrete scheme

$$\delta_t \tilde{U}^1 + w_0 \delta_t \tilde{U}^1 - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^1 \chi_{1-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^j + \omega_1^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_1), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{U}^n + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{U}^k - \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^j + \omega_n^{(\bar{\alpha})} \Delta \tilde{U}^0 \right) = \mathcal{F}(t_n), \quad (3.21)$$

with $2 \leq n \leq N$ and $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$. After getting \tilde{U}^n , define $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ as the temporal semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1).

3.3. Analysis of semi-discrete scheme. We first establish the stability of the semi-discrete scheme.

THEOREM 3.2. *Under the condition (3.4), the numerical solution \tilde{U}^m of (3.20)-(3.21) with $1 \leq m \leq N$ satisfies*

$$\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq Q \sum_{n=1}^m \tau \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

REMARK 3.1. *By $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ we immediately obtain the stability of U^n*

$$\|U^m\| \leq Q \left(\|u_0\| + \|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

Proof. We take the inner product of (3.20)-(3.21) with $\tau\tilde{U}^1$ and $\tau\tilde{U}^n$, respectively, and sum for n from 1 to m to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\delta_t\tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)}\tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^n) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} (\delta_t\tilde{U}^k, \tilde{U}^n) \\ + \tau^{1+\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla\tilde{U}^j, \nabla\tilde{U}^n) = \tau \sum_{n=1}^m (\mathcal{F}(t_n), \tilde{U}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

By [17, Equation (3.9)] we obtain

$$\tau(\delta_t\tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)}\tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^n) \geq \frac{3}{4}\|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\|\tilde{U}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2 + \|\tilde{U}^0\|^2). \quad (3.23)$$

Then we apply $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$ to obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{U}^k = \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{U}^n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{n-k-1} - w_{n-k}) \tilde{U}^k \right], \quad (3.24)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} \delta_t \tilde{U}^k \right) \tilde{U}^n \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^m \left[w_0 \tilde{U}^n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{n-k-1} - w_k) \tilde{U}^{n-k} \right] \tilde{U}^n \right| \\ &\leq |w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m |\tilde{U}^n|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{n-k-1} - w_k| |\tilde{U}^{n-k}| |\tilde{U}^n|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and thus

$$\left| \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k} (\delta_t \tilde{U}^k, \tilde{U}^n) \right| \leq Q \left[|w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{n-k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^{n-k}\| \|\tilde{U}^n\| \right]. \quad (3.26)$$

Besides, following from [14, Lemma 4.1] and $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})} (\nabla\tilde{U}^j, \nabla\tilde{U}^n) \geq 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Based on (3.23), (3.26) and (3.27), (3.22) turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{4}\|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4}(\|\tilde{U}^{m-1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2) + Q|w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\|^2 \\ &\quad + Q \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{n-k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^{n-k}\| \|\tilde{U}^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\| \|\tilde{U}^n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let K satisfy $\|\tilde{U}^K\| = \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|\tilde{U}^n\|$, then

$$\frac{1}{4}\|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq Q|w_0| \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\| + Q \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{n-k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\|.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and the expression of w_k , we have

$$|w_0| \leq \int_0^\tau |g'(t)| dt \leq Q\tau, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \leq \tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k+1}} |g''(t)| dt \leq Q\tau. \quad (3.28)$$

Combining three formulas above we obtain

$$\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq \|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{U}^n\| + 4\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\mathcal{F}(t_n)\|, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

The an application of the Grönwall's lemma completes the proof. \square

Then we prove the error estimate of the semi-discrete scheme.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $U^m = \tilde{U}^m + u_0$ be the semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1) with \tilde{U}^m satisfying (3.20)–(3.21). Then the following error estimate holds under the condition (3.4)*

$$\|u(t_m) - U^m\| \leq Q\tau^{\alpha_0}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. We denote $\tilde{\rho}^n = \tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}^n$ with $0 \leq n \leq m \leq N$ with $\tilde{\rho}^0 = 0$. Then we subtract (3.20) and (3.21) from (3.18) and (3.19), respectively, to get the error equations, which have the same form as (3.20)–(3.21) with \tilde{U}^n replaced by $\tilde{\rho}^n$ and $\mathcal{F}(t_n)$ replaced by R^n . Thus, an application of Theorem 3.2 yields $\|\tilde{\rho}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_3)^n - (R_2)^n - (R_1)^n\|$. To bound right-hand side terms, we apply (2.8), (3.12) and (3.13) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_1)^n\| &= \tau \|(R_1)^1\| + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|(R_1)^n\| \\ &\leq \int_0^\tau t^{\alpha_0-1} dt + Q\tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} t^{\alpha_0-3} dt + \int_0^{2\tau} t^{\alpha_0-1} dt \leq Q\tau^{\alpha_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

By (3.15), Lemma 3.1 and (2.8), we have

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_2)^n\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \leq Q\tau^2. \quad (3.30)$$

In addition, applying (3.17) and (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_3)^n\| &\leq \tau^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \tau t_n^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \|\Delta \bar{u}_0\| + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}+2} \int_0^{t_m} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \\ &\quad + \tau^3 \sum_{n=2}^m \int_0^{t_{n-1}} (t_n - s)^{\bar{\alpha}-1} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \leq \tau^2 \|\Delta \bar{u}_0\| \int_0^{t_m} s^{\bar{\alpha}-1} ds \\ &\quad + Q\tau^{\alpha_0+1} + Q\tau^{\bar{\alpha}+1} \int_0^{t_m} s^{\alpha_0-2} ds \leq Q\tau^{\bar{\alpha}+1} = Q\tau^{\alpha_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

We combine the above estimates and use $\tilde{\rho}^m = u(t_m) - U^m$ to complete the proof. \square

3.4. Analysis of fully-discrete scheme. Define a quasi-uniform partition of the domain Ω with a mesh diameter h . Let $S_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the set of continuous and piecewise linear functions on Ω with respect to this partition. Let I be the identity operator and the Ritz projection $\Lambda_h : H_0^1 \rightarrow S_h$ is defined by

$$(\nabla(\varphi - \Lambda_h \varphi), \nabla \chi) = 0, \quad \text{for any } \chi \in S_h, \quad (3.32)$$

with the following approximation property [23]

$$\|(I - \Lambda_h)\varphi\| \leq Qh^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^2}, \quad \|\nabla(I - \Lambda_h)\varphi\| \leq Qh \|\varphi\|_{H^2}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^2 \cap H_0^1. \quad (3.33)$$

Then, for any $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, the weak formulation of (3.18)-(3.19) reads

$$(\delta_t \tilde{u}^1, \chi_1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{u}^1, \chi_1) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{u}^1, \nabla \chi_1) = (\mathcal{F}(t_1) + R^1, \chi_1), \quad (3.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{u}^n, \chi_2) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{u}^k, \chi_2) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{u}^j, \nabla \chi_2) \\ & = (\mathcal{F}(t_n) + R^n, \chi_2), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

and the fully-discrete scheme aims to find $\tilde{U}_h^n \in S_h$ with $\tilde{U}_h^0 = 0$ such that

$$(\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^1, \chi_1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^1, \chi_1) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{U}_h^1, \nabla \chi_1) = (\mathcal{F}(t_1), \chi_1), \quad (3.36)$$

$$(\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{U}_h^n, \chi_2) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^k, \chi_2) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{U}_h^j, \nabla \chi_2) = (\mathcal{F}(t_n), \chi_2) \quad (3.37)$$

for $2 \leq n \leq N$. After yielding \tilde{U}_h^n , we define the fully-discrete numerical approximation U_h^n to the solution $u(t_n)$ of (3.1) as

$$U_h^n = \tilde{U}_h^n + \Lambda_h u_0, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N. \quad (3.38)$$

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.2, the following stability holds for the fully-discrete scheme

$$\|\tilde{U}_h^m\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_{0,h}\| + \|\bar{u}_{0,h}\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

By $\tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n = (u^n - U_h^n) - (I - \Lambda_h)u_0$, the estimate of $u^n - U_h^n$ is reduced to that of $\tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n$. Define

$$\tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n = \Lambda_h \tilde{u}^n - \tilde{U}_h^n - (\Lambda_h - I)\tilde{u}^n := \tilde{\xi}^n - \tilde{\eta}^n, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.39)$$

where $\tilde{\xi}^0 = 0$ and the estimate of $\tilde{\eta}^n$ is known.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let U_h^m be the fully-discrete approximation of $u(t_m)$ defined in (3.38) and the condition (3.4) holds. Then*

$$\|u(t_m) - U_h^m\| \leq Q (h^2 + \tau^{\alpha_0}), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. Subtracting (3.36)–(3.37) from (3.34)–(3.35), respectively, and using (3.32)

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \chi_0^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}^1, \nabla \tilde{\xi}^1) \\
 & = (R^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + w_0(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1), \\
 & (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\xi}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau^{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{n-j}^{(\bar{\alpha})}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}^j, \nabla \tilde{\xi}^n) \\
 & = (R^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n), \quad 2 \leq n \leq N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, summing the above formulas for n from 1 to m and applying (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tau(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\xi}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n) \\
 & \leq \tau \sum_{n=1}^m (R^n, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n w_{n-k}(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k, \tilde{\xi}^n) + \tau(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n, \tilde{\xi}^n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the analysis of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\|\tilde{\xi}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\tilde{\xi}^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|R^n\| + \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |w_{n-k}| \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k\| + \tau \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1\| + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n\|. \quad (3.40)$$

By $|w_{n-k}| \leq Q\tau$ and (3.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n |w_{n-k}| \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^k\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|\partial_t \eta(t)\| dt \\
 & \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \int_0^{t_n} \|\partial_t \eta(t)\| dt \leq Qh^2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^2}.
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\tau \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1\| \leq \int_0^\tau \|\partial_t \tilde{\eta}(t)\| dt \leq Q\tau h^2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^2}, \quad (3.42)$$

$$\tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\delta_t^{(2)} \tilde{\eta}^n\| \leq 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \int_{t_{n-2}}^{t_n} \|\partial_t \tilde{\eta}(t)\| dt \leq Qh^2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^2}. \quad (3.43)$$

We invoke the regularity estimate (2.8), (3.41), (3.42) and (3.43) in (3.40) and use the discrete Grönwall's lemma to complete the proof. \square

4. A second-order scheme. We propose and analyze a second-order-in-time scheme for problem (3.2)–(3.3).

4.1. Construction of second-order scheme. Let $\hat{G}(t) = \int_0^t g'(t-s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds$ and integrate (3.2) from t_{n-1} to t_n and multiply the resulting equation by $1/\tau$ to get

$$\delta_t \tilde{u}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \hat{G}(t) dt - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds dt = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \mathcal{F}(t) dt =: \bar{F}^n \quad (4.1)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$. We combine the middle rectangle formula and (3.14) to discretize the second left-hand side term as

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \widehat{G}(t) dt = \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{u}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] + (R_2)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + (R_4)^n, \quad (4.2)$$

where $(R_2)^n$ denoted by (3.14) and

$$(R_4)^n = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \widehat{G}(t) dt - \frac{\widehat{G}(t_n) + \widehat{G}(t_{n-1})}{2}. \quad (4.3)$$

To approximate the convolution term, we apply the averaged PI rule [18] to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) \Delta \tilde{u}(s) ds dt &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) \Delta \check{u}(s) ds dt + (R_5)^n \\ &:= I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\Delta \tilde{u}) + (R_5)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Here $I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\varphi) = \omega_{n,1} \varphi^1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \omega_{n,j} \varphi^{j-1/2}$ with $\omega_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{\min(t,t_j)} \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) ds dt > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and the truncation error

$$(R_5)^n = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) [\Delta \tilde{u}(s) - \Delta \check{u}(s)] ds dt, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\check{u}(s) = \tilde{u}^1$ for $0 < s < \tau$ and $\check{u}(s) = \tilde{u}^{n-1/2}$ for $t_{n-1} < s < t_n$ and $n \geq 2$. We invoke the above approximations in (4.1) to get

$$\delta_t \tilde{u}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{u}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\Delta \tilde{u}) = \bar{F}^n + (R_*)^n, \quad (4.6)$$

where $(R_*)^n = (R_5)^n - (R_4)^n - (R_2)^{n-1/2}$ with $1 \leq n \leq N$. Then we drop the truncation errors to get the following semi-discrete scheme: $\tilde{U}^0 = 0$ and for $1 \leq n \leq N$

$$\delta_t \tilde{U}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0 \tilde{U}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) \tilde{U}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\Delta \tilde{U}) = \bar{F}^n. \quad (4.7)$$

After getting \tilde{U}^n , define $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ as the temporal semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1).

4.2. Analysis of semi-discrete scheme. We first establish the following stability result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the condition (3.4), the numerical solution \tilde{U}^m of (4.7) satisfies for $1 \leq m \leq N$*

$$\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq Q \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\bar{F}^n\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

REMARK 4.1. *By $U^n := \tilde{U}^n + u_0$ we immediately obtain the stability of U^n*

$$\|U^m\| \leq Q \left(\|u_0\| + \|\Delta u_0\| + \|\bar{u}_0\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right).$$

Proof. Taking the inner product of (4.7) with $\tau\tilde{U}^1$ and $\tau\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}$, respectively, and summing the resulting equations for n from 1 to m we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\delta_t\tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t\tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) + \frac{w_0}{2} \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2 \\ & + \sum_{n=2}^m \left[w_0 \|\tilde{U}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k) (\tilde{U}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) \right] + \tau \left(I_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\nabla\tilde{U}), \nabla\tilde{U}^1 \right) \\ & + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m \left(I_{\alpha}^{n-1/2}(\nabla\tilde{U}), \nabla\tilde{U}^{n-1/2} \right) = \tau(\bar{F}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\bar{F}^n, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

We estimate the first two left-hand side terms as

$$\tau(\delta_t\tilde{U}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t\tilde{U}^n, \tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) \geq \frac{\|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 - \|\tilde{U}^0\|^2}{2} = \frac{\|\tilde{U}^m\|^2}{2}. \quad (4.9)$$

By [18, pp. 483], the following estimate holds

$$\tau(I_{\alpha}^{1/2}(\nabla\tilde{U}), \nabla\tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (I_{\alpha}^{n-1/2}(\nabla\tilde{U}), \nabla\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}) \geq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

We invoke (4.9)–(4.10) in (4.8) and use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{U}^m\|^2 & \leq |w_0| \|\tilde{U}^1\|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \left[|w_0| \|\tilde{U}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{U}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}\| \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\| \right] \\ & + 2\tau \|\bar{F}^1\| \|\tilde{U}^1\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\bar{F}^n\| \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let K be such that $\|\tilde{U}^K\| = \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|\tilde{U}^n\|$, then

$$\|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq |w_0| \|\tilde{U}^K\| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^K \left[|w_0| + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \right] \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^K \|\bar{F}^n\|.$$

By (3.28), we further obtain $\|\tilde{U}^m\| \leq \|\tilde{U}^K\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=2}^m \|\tilde{U}^{n-1/2}\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\bar{F}^n\|$. Then an application of the discrete Grönwall's lemma completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $U^m = \tilde{U}^m + u_0$ be the semi-discrete numerical solution of (3.1) with \tilde{U}^m satisfying (4.7). Then under the condition (3.4), we have*

$$\|u(t_m) - U^m\| \leq Q\tau^2, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. We subtract (4.7) from (4.6) to get the following error equations

$$\delta_t\tilde{\rho}^n + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0\tilde{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)\tilde{\rho}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}} \right] - I_{\alpha}^{n-1/2}(\Delta\tilde{\rho}) = (R_*)^n \quad (4.11)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$ with $\tilde{\rho}^0 = 0$ and $\tilde{\rho}^n = u(t_n) - U^n$. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\|\tilde{\rho}^m\| \leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_5)^n - (R_4)^n - (R_2)^{n-1/2}\|. \quad (4.12)$$

Then we estimate the truncation errors. By (3.30) we have $\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_2)^{n-1/2}\| \leq Q\tau^2$.

Then, (4.3) gives

$$(R_4)^n = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \widehat{G}(t) dt - \widehat{G}(t_{n-1/2}) + \left[\widehat{G}(t_{n-1/2}) - \frac{\widehat{G}(t_n) + \widehat{G}(t_{n-1})}{2} \right] := R_{41}^n + R_{42}^n,$$

which, together with the Taylor expansion, gives

$$\begin{aligned} R_{41}^n &= \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1/2}} (t - t_{n-1})^2 \partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_n} (t_n - t)^2 \partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t) dt, \\ R_{42}^n &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1/2}} (t_{n-1} - t) \partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_n} (t - t_n) \partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \widehat{G}(t) &= g'(0) \partial_t \tilde{u}(t) + \int_0^t g''(t-s) \partial_s \tilde{u}(s) ds, \\ \partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t) &= g'(0) \partial_t^2 \tilde{u}(t) + g''(t) \tilde{u}_0 + \int_0^t g''(s) \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(t-s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Combine the above five equations to get

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_4)^n\| \leq \tau \int_0^{t_{\frac{1}{2}}} t \|\partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t)\| dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_{\frac{1}{2}}}^{t_1} \|\partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t)\| dt + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \tau^2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \|\partial_t^2 \widehat{G}(t)\| dt,$$

and we apply Lemma 3.1, Theorem 2.1, (2.8) and $\partial_t \tilde{u}(t) = \partial_t u(t)$ to get

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_4)^n\| \leq Q \left[\tau \int_0^{t_{1/2}} t^{\alpha_0-1} dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_{1/2}}^{t_1} t^{\alpha_0-2} dt + \tau^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} t^{\alpha_0-2} dt \right] \leq Q\tau^2.$$

To bound $(R_5)^n$, define

$$u^*(s) = \begin{cases} \tilde{u}(t_1), & 0 < s < \tau, \\ \tau^{-1} [(t_i - t) \tilde{u}(t_{i-1}) + (t - t_{i-1}) \tilde{u}(t_i)], & t_{i-1} < s < t_i, \quad i \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

such that we could split $(R_5)^n$ as $(R_5)^n = (R_{51})^n + (R_{52})^n$ where

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{51})^n &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) [\Delta \tilde{u}(s) - \Delta u^*(s)] ds dt, \\ (R_{52})^n &= \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \int_0^t \beta_{\bar{\alpha}}(t-s) [\Delta u^*(s) - \Delta \tilde{u}(s)] ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then, following from [18, Lemma 3.2 and Eq. (3.19)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_{51})^n\| &\leq Q \left(\int_0^{t_1} t \|\Delta \partial_t u(t)\| dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} \|\Delta \partial_t^2 u(t)\| dt \right), \\ \tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_{52})^n\| &\leq Q \left(\tau \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\Delta \partial_t u(t)\| dt + \tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} \|\Delta \partial_t^2 u(t)\| dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we use (2.8) to get $\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_5)^n\| \leq Q\tau \int_0^{2\tau} t^{\alpha_0-1} dt + Q\tau^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_m} t^{\alpha_0-2} dt \leq Q\tau^2$. We finally invoke the above estimates in (4.12) and apply the discrete Grönwall's lemma to complete the proof. \square

4.3. Analysis of fully-discrete scheme. Based on the notations in Section 3.4, the weak formulation of (4.6) reads for any $\chi_3 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_t \tilde{u}^n, \chi_3) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0(\tilde{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)(\tilde{u}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right] \\ + (I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\nabla \tilde{u}), \nabla \chi_3) = (\bar{F}^n, \chi_3) + ((R_*)^n, \chi_3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

and the fully-discrete numerical scheme aims to find $\tilde{U}_h^n \in S_h$ such that $\tilde{U}_h^0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_t \tilde{U}_h^n, \chi_3) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0(\tilde{U}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)(\tilde{U}_h^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right] \\ + (I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\nabla \tilde{U}_h), \nabla \chi_3) = (\bar{F}^n, \chi_3), \quad \chi_3 \in S_h. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Then, the fully-discrete numerical approximation U_h^n to (3.1) is given by (3.38) with \tilde{U}_h^n defined by (4.15).

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we could derive the following stability result

$$\|\tilde{U}_h^m\| \leq Q \left(\|\Delta u_{0,h}\| + \|\bar{u}_{0,h}\| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_m} \|f(t)\| \right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Then we prove the convergence in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let U_h^n given by (3.38) be the fully-discrete numerical approximation to (3.1) with \tilde{U}_h^n defined by (4.15). Then the following estimate holds*

$$\|u(t_m) - U_h^m\| \leq Q (h^2 + \tau^2), \quad 1 \leq m \leq N.$$

Proof. Using the notations in (3.39) and (3.32), and subtracting (4.15) from (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^n, \chi_3) + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0(\tilde{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)(\tilde{\xi}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right] \\ + (I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}), \nabla \chi_3) = ((R_*)^n, \chi_3) + (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n, \chi_3) \\ + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[w_0(\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)(\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \chi_3) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

We choose $\chi_3 = \tau \tilde{\xi}^1$ and $\chi_3 = \tau \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}$ with $2 \leq n \leq m$ in (4.16), respectively, and sum the resulting equations from 1 to m to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^1, \tilde{U}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t \tilde{\xi}^n, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \\ + \frac{w_0}{2} \|\tilde{\xi}^1\|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^m \left[w_0 \|\tilde{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)(\tilde{\xi}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \right] \\ + \tau(I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{1/2}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}), \nabla \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (I_{\bar{\alpha}}^{n-1/2}(\nabla \tilde{\xi}), \nabla \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \\ = \tau((R_*)^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m ((R_*)^n, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) + \tau(\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \tau \sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \\ + w_0(\tilde{\eta}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^1) + \sum_{n=2}^m \left[w_0(\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (w_{k-1} - w_k)(\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \tilde{\xi}^{n-1/2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\xi}^m\| &\leq Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_*)^n\| + Q\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n\| \\ &\quad + Q \sum_{n=1}^m |w_0| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\| + Q \sum_{n=2}^m \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}\| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

By the proof of Theorem 4.2 and (3.33) we get

$$2\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|(R_*)^n\| + 2\tau \sum_{n=1}^m \|\delta_t \tilde{\eta}^n\| \leq Q\tau^2 + Qh^2 \|u\|_{L^1(H^2)}, \quad (4.18)$$

and (3.28) gives

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^m |w_0| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\| + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |w_{k-1} - w_k| \|\tilde{\eta}^{n-k-\frac{1}{2}}\| \right] \leq Qh^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}. \quad (4.19)$$

Invoking (4.18)–(4.19) in (4.17) and using the discrete Gronwall's lemma we have $\|\tilde{\xi}^m\| \leq Q(h^2 + \tau^2)$, which, together with $\|\tilde{\eta}^m\| \leq Qh^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty(H^2)}$, completes the proof. \square

5. Numerical experiments. We provide numerical examples to verify the theoretical analysis of two fully-discrete schemes. Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $h = \frac{1}{J}$ for some $J > 0$. We measure the temporal and spatial convergence rates by $\text{rate}^t = \log_2 \left(\frac{E_1(2\tau, h)}{E_1(\tau, h)} \right)$ and $\text{rate}^x = \log_2 \left(\frac{G_1(\tau, 2h)}{G_1(\tau, h)} \right)$ with errors computed by $E_1(\tau, h) = \left(h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} |U_{h,j}^N(\tau, h) - U_{h,j}^{2N}(\tau/2, h)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $G_1(\tau, h) = \left(h \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} |U_{h,j}^N(\tau, h) - U_{h,2j}^N(\tau, h/2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Here the notation $U_{h,j}^N(\tau, h)$ refers to the numerical solution at the last time step computed under the time step size τ and the spacial mesh size h .

Example 1: Convergence of α_0 -order scheme. Let $T = 1/2$, $u_0 = \sin(\pi x)$, $\bar{u}_0 = \sin(2\pi x)$ and $f = 0$. We choose $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}t^3$ such that the condition (3.4) is satisfied. We present errors and convergence rates in Tables 5.1–5.2 under different values of α_0 , which justify the $O(\tau^{\alpha_0} + h^2)$ accuracy of the α_0 -order scheme proved in Theorem 3.4.

Example 2: Convergence of second-order scheme. Let $T = 1$, $u_0 = x^4(1-x)^4 \in \check{H}^4$, $\bar{u}_0 = x^2(1-x)^2 \in \check{H}^2$ and $f = 0$. We select $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{4}t^3$ such that the condition (3.4) is satisfied. We present errors and convergence rates in Tables 5.3–5.4 with different choices of α_0 , which indicate the $O(\tau^2 + h^2)$ accuracy of the second-order scheme second-order accuracy as proved in Theorem 4.3.

Example 3: Convergence without condition (3.4). Let $T = 1$, $u_0(x) = \sin(\pi x)$, $\bar{u}_0(x) = x^2(1-x)^2$ and $f(x, t) = 1$. We select $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{8}\sin(t)$ such that the condition (3.4) is not satisfied. Nevertheless, Table 5.5 shows that both methods still exhibit the desired convergence rates. Thus, it is interesting to investigate the error estimate of both schemes without the condition (3.4) that will be studied in the near future.

Table 5.1: Errors and convergence rates in time under $J = 16$ for Example 1.

N	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.5$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.9$	
	$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t		$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t		$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t
1024	8.7528×10^{-6}	*	512	3.1318×10^{-5}	*	256	7.3897×10^{-5}	*
2048	4.0753×10^{-6}	1.10	1024	1.0789×10^{-5}	1.54	512	1.8645×10^{-5}	1.99
4096	1.8287×10^{-6}	1.16	2048	3.7405×10^{-6}	1.53	1024	4.7059×10^{-6}	1.99
8192	8.3180×10^{-7}	1.14	4096	1.3035×10^{-6}	1.52	2048	1.1923×10^{-6}	1.98
16384	3.5793×10^{-7}	1.22	8192	4.5598×10^{-7}	1.52	4096	3.0514×10^{-7}	1.97

 Table 5.2: Errors and convergence rates in space under $N = 32$ for Example 1.

J	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.5$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.9$	
	$G_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^x		$G_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^x		$G_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^x
32	1.2692×10^{-3}	*	32	1.0846×10^{-3}	*	32	9.7202×10^{-4}	*
64	3.1770×10^{-4}	2.00	64	2.7120×10^{-4}	2.00	64	2.4487×10^{-4}	1.99
128	7.9451×10^{-5}	2.00	128	6.7802×10^{-5}	2.00	128	6.1332×10^{-5}	2.00
256	1.9864×10^{-5}	2.00	256	1.6951×10^{-5}	2.00	256	1.5340×10^{-5}	2.00
512	4.9662×10^{-6}	2.00	512	4.2376×10^{-6}	2.00	512	3.8355×10^{-6}	2.00

 Table 5.3: Errors and convergence rates in time under $J = 32$ for Example 2.

N	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.4$		N	$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	
	$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t		$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t		$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t
64	6.6596×10^{-7}	*	128	1.4208×10^{-7}	*	256	2.8927×10^{-7}	*
128	1.5674×10^{-7}	2.08	256	3.0527×10^{-8}	2.22	512	7.4650×10^{-8}	1.95
256	3.8792×10^{-8}	2.01	512	6.6848×10^{-9}	2.19	1024	1.9004×10^{-8}	1.97
512	1.0059×10^{-8}	1.95	1024	1.4854×10^{-9}	2.17	2048	4.7766×10^{-9}	1.99
1024	2.5388×10^{-9}	1.97	2048	3.3234×10^{-10}	2.16	4096	1.1901×10^{-9}	2.00

 Table 5.4: Errors and convergence rates in space under $N = 32$ for Example 2.

J	$\alpha_0 = 1.2$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.4$		J	$\alpha_0 = 1.7$	
	$G_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^x		$G_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^x		$G_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^x
64	7.6547×10^{-7}	*	64	7.3519×10^{-7}	*	64	7.8619×10^{-7}	*
128	1.8981×10^{-7}	2.01	128	1.8450×10^{-7}	1.99	128	1.9978×10^{-7}	1.98
256	4.7254×10^{-8}	2.01	256	4.5943×10^{-8}	2.01	256	5.0350×10^{-8}	1.99
512	1.1799×10^{-8}	2.00	512	1.1468×10^{-8}	2.00	512	1.2558×10^{-8}	2.00
1024	2.9489×10^{-9}	2.00	1024	2.8657×10^{-9}	2.00	1024	3.1355×10^{-9}	2.00

Appendix: Proof of Theorem 2.1. We first consider model (2.5)–(1.2) with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$, and define the space $\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2) := \{q \in W^{2,p}(L^2) : q(0) = \partial_t q(0) = 0\}$ equipped with the norm $\|q\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} := \|e^{-\sigma t} \partial_t^2 q\|_{L^p(L^2)}$ for some $\sigma \geq 1$, which is equivalent to the standard norm $\|q\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)}$ for $q \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$. Define a mapping $\mathcal{M} : \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2) \rightarrow \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ by $w = \mathcal{M}v$ where w satisfies

$$\partial_t w - \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \Delta w = p_v, \quad (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \quad (5.1)$$

equipped with zero initial and boundary conditions.

Table 5.5: Errors and convergence rates in time under $J = 32$ for Example 3.

	N	α_0 -order scheme		N	Second-order scheme	
		$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t		$E_1(\tau, h)$	rate ^t
$\alpha_0 = 1.4$	256	2.5712×10^{-5}	*	256	3.2336×10^{-6}	*
	512	1.0747×10^{-5}	1.26	512	7.8155×10^{-7}	2.05
	1024	4.3248×10^{-6}	1.31	1024	1.8832×10^{-7}	2.05
	2048	1.7029×10^{-6}	1.34	2048	4.5506×10^{-8}	2.05
	4096	6.6169×10^{-7}	1.36	4096	1.0967×10^{-8}	2.05
$\alpha_0 = 1.85$	64	3.8174×10^{-4}	*	64	1.6006×10^{-4}	*
	128	1.0288×10^{-4}	1.89	128	3.9321×10^{-5}	2.03
	256	2.9044×10^{-5}	1.82	256	9.6861×10^{-6}	2.02
	512	8.3513×10^{-6}	1.80	512	2.3999×10^{-6}	2.01
	1024	2.4114×10^{-6}	1.79	1024	5.9855×10^{-7}	2.00

By (2.6), w could be expressed as $w = \int_0^t F(t-s)p_v(s)ds$. To show the well-posedness of \mathcal{M} , we need to show $w \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$. Differentiate $w = \int_0^t F(t-s)p_v(s)ds$ twice in time to obtain $\partial_t^2 w = \partial_t p_v + \int_0^t F'(t-s)\partial_s p_v(s)ds$. Direct calculation yields

$$\partial_t p_v = \beta_{\alpha_0-1}f(0) + \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \partial_t f - g' * \partial_t^2 v, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\partial_t^\varepsilon \partial_t p_v = \beta_{\alpha_0-\varepsilon-1}f(0) + \beta_{\alpha_0-\varepsilon-1} * \partial_t f - [\partial_t(\beta_{1-\varepsilon} * g')] * \partial_t^2 v, \quad (5.3)$$

where, by properties of g below (2.2), we have $|\beta_{1-\varepsilon} * g'| = \left| t^{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-z)^{-\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)} g'(tz) dz \right| \leq Qt^{1-2\varepsilon}$ and

$$|\partial_t(\beta_{1-\varepsilon} * g')| = \left| \int_0^1 \frac{(1-z)^{-\varepsilon}}{\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)} [(1-\varepsilon)t^{-\varepsilon} g'(tz) + t^{1-\varepsilon} g''(tz)z] dz \right| \leq Qt^{-2\varepsilon}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then we apply (5.2), the Young's convolution inequality, $C([0, T]; L^2) \subset W^{1,1}(L^2)$ [1], $\beta_{\alpha_0-1} \in L^p(0, T)$ and $v \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ to bound $\partial_t p_v$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t p_v\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} &\leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + Q\|e^{-\lambda t} g'\| * \|e^{-\lambda t} \partial_t^2 v\|_{L^p(0,T)} \\ &\leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + Q\|e^{-\lambda t} t^{-\varepsilon}\|_{L^1} \|v\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + Q\sigma^{\varepsilon-1} \|v\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\|e^{-\sigma t} t^{-\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0,T)} = \int_0^T e^{-\sigma t} t^{-\varepsilon} dt \leq \sigma^{\varepsilon-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{-\varepsilon} dt \leq Q\sigma^{\varepsilon-1}$. We use the Laplace transform to evaluate

$$\mathcal{L} \left[\int_0^t F'(t-s)\partial_s p_v(s)ds \right] = (z^{\alpha_0-\varepsilon}(z^{\alpha_0} - \Delta)^{-1})(z^\varepsilon \mathcal{L}(\partial_s p_v)). \quad (5.5)$$

Take the inverse Laplace transform of (5.5) to get $\int_0^t F'(t-s)p_v(s)ds = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-s)\partial_s^\varepsilon \partial_s p_v(s)ds$ where $\mathcal{R}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} z^{\alpha_0-\varepsilon}(z^{\alpha_0} - \Delta)^{-1} e^{zt} dz$. Use (2.1) to bound \mathcal{R} by

$$\|\mathcal{R}(t-s)\| \leq Q \int_{\Gamma_\theta} |z|^{\alpha_0-\varepsilon} |z|^{-\alpha_0} |e^{z(t-s)}| |dz| \leq \frac{Q}{(t-s)^{1-\varepsilon}}.$$

We invoke this and apply (5.3) and (5.4) to bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_0^t F'(t-s)\partial_s p_v(s)ds \right\| \\ &\leq Qt^{\varepsilon-1} * [\beta_{\alpha_0-\varepsilon-1}\|f(0)\| + \beta_{\alpha_0-\varepsilon-1} * \|\partial_t f\| + t^{-2\varepsilon} * \|\partial_t^2 v\|] \\ &\leq Q\beta_{\alpha_0-1}\|f(0)\| + Q\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * \|\partial_t f\| + Q\beta_{1-\varepsilon} * \|\partial_t^2 v\|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\left\| e^{-\sigma t} \left\| \int_0^t F'(t-s) \partial_s p_v(s) ds \right\| \right\|_{L^p(0,T)} \leq Q(\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + \sigma^{\varepsilon-1} \|v\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)})$.

We summarize the above estimates to conclude that

$$\|w\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q(\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + \sigma^{\varepsilon-1} \|v\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)}), \quad (5.6)$$

which implies that $w \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ such that \mathcal{M} is well-posed. To show the contractivity of \mathcal{M} , let $w_1 = \mathcal{M}v_1$ and $w_2 = \mathcal{M}v_2$ such that $w_1 - w_2$ satisfies (5.1) with $v = v_1 - v_2$ and $f \equiv 0$. Thus (5.6) implies $\|w_1 - w_2\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\sigma^{\varepsilon-1} \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)}$. Choose σ large enough such that $Q\sigma^{\varepsilon-1} < 1$, that is, \mathcal{M} is a contraction mapping such that there exists a unique solution $u \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ for model (2.5)–(1.2) with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$, and the stability estimate could be derived directly from (5.6) with $v = w = u$ and large σ and the equivalence between two norms $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)}$ for $u \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)}. \quad (5.7)$$

For (2.5)–(1.2) with non-zero initial conditions, a variable substitution $v = u - u_0 - t\bar{u}_0$ could be used to reach the same model with u, f, u_0, \bar{u}_0 replaced by $v, f + \Delta u_0 + t\Delta\bar{u}_0, 0$ and 0 , respectively. As $u_0, \bar{u}_0 \in H^2$, we apply the well-posedness of (2.5)–(1.2) with u_0 to find that there exists a unique solution $v \in \tilde{W}^{2,p}(L^2)$ with the stability estimate $\|v\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f + \Delta u_0 + t\Delta\bar{u}_0\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)}$ derived from (5.7). By $v = u - u_0 - t\bar{u}_0$, we finally conclude that $u = v + u_0 + t\bar{u}_0$ is a solution to (2.5)–(1.2) in $W^{2,p}(L^2)$ with the estimate $\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(L^2)} \leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + Q\|u_0\|_{H^2} + Q\|\bar{u}_0\|_{H^2}$. The uniqueness of the solutions to (2.5)–(1.2) follows from that to this model with $u_0 = \bar{u}_0 \equiv 0$.

Then we show that the solution to (2.5)–(1.2) is also a solution to (1.1)–(1.2). Note that (2.5) implies (2.4) by integration by parts, which, together with $g = \beta_{\alpha_0-1} * k$, leads to $\beta_{\alpha_0-1} * [k * \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u - f] = 0$. The convolution of this equation with $\beta_{2-\alpha_0}$ is

$$\beta_1 * [k * \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u - f] = \int_0^t (k * \partial_s^2 u - \Delta u - f) ds = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Differentiate this equation leads to the original governing equation (1.1), which implies the existence. The uniqueness of the solutions to (1.1)–(1.2) in $W^{2,p}(L^2)$ follows from that of (2.5)–(1.2). Finally, we apply the governing equation (1.1) to find

$$\|\Delta u\|_{L^p(L^2)} = \|k * \partial_t^2 u - f\|_{L^p(L^2)} \leq Q\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(L^2)} + Q\|u_0\|_{H^2} + Q\|\bar{u}_0\|_{H^2},$$

which completes the proof.

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12301555), the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFA1008903), the Taisihan Scholars Program of Shandong Province (tsqn202306083), and the National Science Foundation (DMS-2012291 and DMS-2245097).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adams, J. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Elsevier, San Diego, 2003.
- [2] M. D'Elia, Q. Du, C. Glusa, M. Gunzburger, X. Tian, Z. Zhou, Numerical methods for nonlocal and fractional models, *Acta Numer.*, 29 (2020), 1–124.

- [3] R. Du, Z. Sun, H. Wang, Temporal second-order finite difference schemes for variable-order time-fractional wave equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 60 (2022), 104–132.
- [4] L. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, V 19, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1998.
- [5] B. Fan, M. Azaiez, C. Xu, An extension of the Landweber regularization for a backward time fractional wave problem. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 14 (2021), 2893–2916.
- [6] B. Jin, *Fractional differential equations—an approach via fractional derivatives*, Appl. Math. Sci. 206, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [7] B. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, Two fully discrete schemes for fractional diffusion and diffusion-wave equations with nonsmooth data, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 38 (2016), A146–A170.
- [8] B. Jin, B. Li, Z. Zhou, Correction of high-order BDF convolution quadrature for fractional evolution equations. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 39 (2017), A3129–A3152.
- [9] Y. Kian, M. Slodička, É. Soccorsi and K. Bockstal, On time-fractional partial differential equations of time-dependent piecewise constant order. arXiv:2402.03482.
- [10] H. Liao, T. Tang, T. Zhou, Positive definiteness of real quadratic forms resulting from the variable-step L1-type approximations of convolution operators. *Sci. China Math.*, 67 (2024), 237–252.
- [11] H. Liao, T. Tang, T. Zhou, A new discrete energy technique for multi-step backward difference formulas. *CSIAM Trans. Appl. Math.*, 3 (2022), 318–334.
- [12] C. Lorenzo, T. Hartley, Variable order and distributed order fractional operators, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, 29 (2002), 57–98.
- [13] C. Lubich, Convolution quadrature and discretized operational calculus. I and II, *Numer. Math.*, 52 (1988) 129–145 and 413–425.
- [14] C. Lubich, I. Sloan, V. Thomée, Nonsmooth data error estimates for approximations of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term, *Math. Comp.*, 65 (1996), 1–17.
- [15] F. Mainardi, "Nonlinear waves in solids," in: IUTAM Symposium edited by J. L. Wegner and F. R. Norwood, ASME/AMR, Fairfield, New Jersey (1995), p. 93; F. Mainardi, *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, 46, 549 (1993).
- [16] F. Mainardi, The time fractional diffusion-wave equation. *Radiophys Quantum Electron*, 38 (1995), 13–24.
- [17] W. McLean, V. Thomée, Numerical solution of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 35 (1993), 23–70.
- [18] W. McLean, K. Mustapha, A second-order accurate numerical method for a fractional wave equation, *Numer. Math.*, 105 (2007), 481–510.
- [19] M. Zayernouri, G. Karniadakis, Fractional spectral collocation methods for linear and nonlinear variable order FPDEs. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 293 (2015), 312–338.
- [20] K. Mustapha, W. McLean, Discontinuous Galerkin method for an evolution equation with a memory term of positive type, *Math. Comp.*, 78 (2009), 1975–1995.
- [21] W. Qiu, G. Fairweather, X. Yang, H. Zhang, ADI Finite Element Galerkin methods for two-dimensional tempered fractional integro-differential equations, *Calcolo*, 60 (2023), 41.
- [22] J. Suzuki, M. Gulian, M. Zayernouri, M. D'Elia, Fractional modeling in action: a survey of nonlocal models for subsurface transport, turbulent flows, and anomalous materials. *J. Peridyn. Nonlocal Model.* 5 (2023), 392–459.
- [23] V. Thomée, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1054, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [24] F. Zeng, Z. Zhang, G. E. Karniadakis, A generalized spectral collocation method with tunable accuracy for variable-order fractional differential equations, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 37 (2015), A2710–A2732.
- [25] Z. Zhang, Z. Zhou, Backward diffusion-wave problem: stability, regularization, and approximation, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 44 (2022), A3183–A3216.
- [26] T. Zhao, Z. Mao, G. E. Karniadakis, Multi-domain spectral collocation method for variable-order nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, 348 (2019), 377–395.
- [27] X. Zheng, Two methods addressing variable-exponent fractional initial and boundary value problems and Abel integral equation. arXiv: 2404.09421v2.
- [28] X. Zheng, H. Wang, Analysis and discretization of a variable-order fractional wave equation. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 104 (2022), 106047.
- [29] P. Zhuang, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical methods for the variable-order fractional advection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear source term, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47 (2009), 1760–1781.