

FUNCTIONS WITH IMAGE IN A STRIP

TIRTHANKAR BHATTACHARYYA, ANTHONY G. O'FARRELL,
SHUBHAM RASTOGI AND VIJAYA KUMAR U.

ABSTRACT. We consider special functions.

Dedicated to the memory of K. R. Parthasarathy
whose teaching has had a great influence on the first named author.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The question.** Let \Re and \Im denote respectively the real part and the imaginary part of a complex number. We begin with the following question. Do the conditions $0 \leq \Re F(z) \leq 1$ and $F(z) + z\overline{F(z)}$ holomorphic oblige a function $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be constant?

For $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$(LF)(z) := F(z) + z\overline{F(z)}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

This is an \mathbb{R} -linear function on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}}$, which preserves continuity, differentiability of any order, and real analyticity.

Consider the strip

$$\text{Strip} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \Re z \leq 1\}.$$

Theorem 1. *If $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \text{Strip}$ and LF is holomorphic then F is constant.*

In Section 2 we prove this by appealing to known facts about the extreme points of a certain convex set of functions.

When one considers holomorphic functions with positive real part, it is not surprising that the representation by Herglotz plays a role. Using that we prove the following theorem in Section 3.

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{H} be a complex, separable Hilbert space and let $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a function satisfying*

- (1) *the real part of F is a positive contraction,*
- (2) *the function $F(z) + zF(z)^*$ is holomorphic.*

Then F is constant.

Date: 2024-06-12 00:27:43Z.

We then apply Theorem 2 to find all factorizations of the shift semi-group.

2. THE CONVEXITY ARGUMENT

Let $F = b + ia$, where $b : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $a : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and assume $g = LF$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{D} .

Then

$$g(z) = (1 + z)b(z) + i(1 - z)a(z).$$

Let

$$\phi(z) := \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}.$$

Define

$$h_1(z) := b(z)\phi(z) + ia(z), \quad h_2(z) := \phi(z) - h_1(z).$$

Then

$$h_1(z) = \frac{g(z)}{1 - z}$$

is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} and

$$\Re h_1(z) = b(z)\Re\phi(z) = b(z)\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2} \geq 0$$

on \mathbb{D} .

If h_1 is nonconstant, then $\Re h_1(z) > 0$ on \mathbb{D} . Since $z \mapsto \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ maps the unit disc conformally onto the right half-plane, there exists a holomorphic function $\psi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$h_1(z) = \frac{1 + \psi_1(z)}{1 - \psi_1(z)}.$$

If, on the other hand, h_1 is constant on \mathbb{D} , then there is a constant ψ_1 in the closed unit disk such that

$$h_1(z) = \frac{1 + \psi_1}{1 - \psi_1}.$$

So in all cases we can say that there exists a holomorphic function ψ_1 on \mathbb{D} such that $|\psi_1| \leq 1$ and

$$h_1(z) = \frac{1 + \psi_1(z)}{1 - \psi_1(z)}.$$

We also have $\Re h_2 \geq 0$ on \mathbb{D} , so in the same way there exists a holomorphic function ψ_2 on \mathbb{D} such that $|\psi_2| \leq 1$ and

$$h_2(z) = \frac{1 + \psi_2(z)}{1 - \psi_2(z)}.$$

Thus

$$(1) \quad \frac{1 + \psi_1(z)}{1 - \psi_1(z)} + \frac{1 + \psi_2(z)}{1 - \psi_2(z)} = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}.$$

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of holomorphic functions f on \mathbb{D} that have $f(0) = 1$ and $\Re f > 0$ on \mathbb{D} . Let

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{\Re h_j(0)} [h_j(z) - i\Im h_j(0)].$$

Then $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$(\Re h_1(0)) \cdot f_1(z) + (\Re h_2(0)) \cdot f_2(z) = \phi(z).$$

This expresses $\phi(z)$ as a convex combination of f_1 and f_2 . But by [4, Theorem 1.5], ϕ is an extreme point of the convex set \mathcal{P} , so we conclude that $f_1 = f_2 = \phi$. So

$$\frac{1 + \psi_j(z)}{1 - \psi_j(z)} = i\Im \frac{1 + \psi_j(0)}{1 - \psi_j(0)} + \Re \left(\frac{1 + \psi_j(0)}{1 - \psi_j(0)} \right) \frac{1 + z}{1 - z},$$

So, with $h := h_1$,

$$h(z) = \Re h(0)\phi(z) + i\Im h(0),$$

$$(2) \quad \Re h(z) = \Re h(0)\Re \phi(z) = \Re h(0) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2}.$$

Since $h(z) = b(z)\phi(z) + ia(z)$, we have

$$(3) \quad \Re h(z) = b(z) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2}.$$

By (2) and (3),

$$b(z) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2} = \Re h(0) \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

So $b(z)$ is the constant

$$\Re h(0) = \Re \frac{1 + \psi_1(0)}{1 - \psi_1(0)}.$$

Now $h(z)$ is analytic and $b\phi(z)$ is analytic, so $h(z) - b\phi(z)$ is analytic. But this means that $ia(z)$ is analytic and imaginary-valued. So $a(z)$ is constant.

This proves Theorem 1.

3. THE OPERATOR VALUED CASE - THE HERGLOTZ ARGUMENT

The proof of Theorem 2 requires the Herglotz representation of a holomorphic function on the disc with positive real part. We quote from [5].

Theorem 3 (Theorem 2.1 in [5]). *A function $A : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is analytic with non-positive real part for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ if and only if it admits a representation:*

$$(4) \quad A(z) = i\text{Im}A(0) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{z + \exp(it)}{z - \exp(it)} d\Sigma(\exp(it))$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, with a positive operator valued measure Σ . Furthermore, such a Σ is unique.

As before, assume that $F(z) = B(z) + iA(z)$ where the functions B and A defined on \mathbb{D} take values in the set of self-adjoint elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, $F(z) + zF(z)^* = (1+z)B(z) + i(1-z)A(z)$. Call this $g(z)$. Since $g(z)$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{D} , so is $h_1(z) = \phi(z)B(z) + iA(z)$ whose real part is $B(z)\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-z|^2}$. Let $h_2(z) = \phi(z)I - h_1(z)$ whose real part is $(I - B(z))\frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-z|^2}$. Since $B(z)$ is a positive contraction, both these real parts are positive operators for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Hence, we have measures Σ_1 and Σ_2 taking values in the cone of positive elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$h_j(z) = i\text{Im}h_j(0) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{z + \exp(it)}{z - \exp(it)} d\Sigma_j(\exp(it)), j = 1, 2.$$

Adding them, we get

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{z + \exp(it)}{z - \exp(it)} d\Sigma(\exp(it))$$

where $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$. Now, we invoke the uniqueness of the measure in Herglotz representation. That implies that Σ must be the Dirac measure with mass at 1. Since Σ_1 and Σ_2 are non-negative operator valued measures, they are concentrated at $\{1\}$. Let $B_j = \Sigma_j(\{1\})$ and $A_j = \text{Im}h_j(0)$. Then,

$$B_1 + B_2 = I \text{ and } h_j(z) = iA_j + \frac{1+z}{1-z}B_j$$

for $j = 1, 2$.

Now we prove a small lemma which will be required to complete the proof.

Lemma 1. *If $F, g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$F(z) + zF(z)^* = g(z) \text{ if and only if } (1 - |z|^2)F(z) = g(z) - zg(z)^*.$$

Proof. If $F(z) + zF(z)^* = g(z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(z)^* + \bar{z}F(z) &= g(z)^*, \\ zF(z)^* + |z|^2F(z) &= zg(z)^* \end{aligned}$$

and subtracting, we get $(1 - |z|^2)F(z) = g(z) - zg(z)^*$. The converse is routine. \square

Resuming the proof of Theorem 2, we note that

$$h_1(z) = iA_1 + \frac{1+z}{1-z}B_1$$

and hence

$$g(z) = (1+z)B_1 + i(1-z)A_1 = B_1 + iA_1 + z(B_1 - iA_1) = C + zC^*$$

where $C = B_1 + iA_1$. Consequently,

$$F(z) = \frac{g(z) - zg(z)^*}{1 - |z|^2} = C.$$

4. APPLICATION

As an application, we deduce a known result about factorizations. Let \mathcal{E} be a finite-dimensional complex separable Hilbert space. The shift semigroup $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{E} = (S_t^\mathcal{E})_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{E})$ is defined by

$$(S_t^\mathcal{E}f)x = \begin{cases} f(x-t) & \text{if } x \geq t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $t \geq 0$. When $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}$ we just write $\mathcal{S} = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ instead of $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{E}$. We shall change the underlying space by a unitary conjugation so that we can use the theory of holomorphic functions. If $S^\mathcal{E}$ is the co-generator, i.e., the Cayley transform of the generator of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}^\mathcal{E}$, then we define a unitary operator $W_\mathcal{E} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{D}}^2(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$(5) \quad W_\mathcal{E}((S^\mathcal{E})^n(\sqrt{2}e^{-x}f)) = z^n f \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{E}, n \geq 0.$$

Let $\varphi_t(z) = \exp(-t\phi(z))$. Then it is known that

$$(6) \quad W_\mathcal{E}S_t^\mathcal{E}W_\mathcal{E}^* = M_{\varphi_t \circ \mathbf{z}^\mathcal{E}} \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

where $\mathbf{z}^\mathcal{E} = zI_\mathcal{E}$.

A pair of isometric semigroups $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$ is said to be a *factorization* of an isometric semigroup \mathcal{V} if \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 are commuting and

$$(7) \quad V_t = V_{1,t}V_{2,t} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

By using the unitary operator $W_{\mathcal{E}}$, a factorization of the shift semigroup translates to finding a pair of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$ -valued bounded holomorphic functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 on the unit disc \mathbb{D} with

$$\|\psi_j\|_{\infty} = \sup\{\|\psi_j(z)\| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} \leq 1$$

for $j = 1, 2$ and satisfying any one of the two equivalent statements:

- (a) the semigroups $(M_{\varphi_t \circ \psi_1})_{t \geq 0}$ and $(M_{\varphi_t \circ \psi_2})_{t \geq 0}$ factorize $(M_{\varphi_t \circ \mathbf{z}^{\mathcal{E}}})_{t \geq 0}$,
- (b) $1 \notin \sigma_p(\psi_j(z))$ for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and ψ_1 and ψ_2 satisfy

$$(8) \quad (I + \psi_1(z))(I - \psi_1(z))^{-1} + (I + \psi_2(z))(I - \psi_2(z))^{-1} = \phi(z)I$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Compare this with (1).

We call such a pair (ψ_1, ψ_2) of functions a factorizing pair. Proving the above needs some effort and it will be a repetition if we went into the details of the proofs here. The details are available in [1].

As a consequence of (8), we have

$$0 \leq \Re(I + \psi_j(z))(I - \psi_j(z))^{-1} \leq \Re\phi(z)I_{\mathcal{E}}$$

for any factorizing pair (ψ_1, ψ_2) . Let

$$B(z) = \Re(I + \psi_1(z))(I - \psi_1(z))^{-1} / \Re\phi(z).$$

Clearly, $B(z)$ is a positive contraction for each z in \mathbb{D} . Note that

$$(I + \psi_1(z))(I - \psi_1(z))^{-1} = \phi(z)B(z) + iA(z)$$

where $A(z) = \Im(I + \psi_1(z))(I - \psi_1(z))^{-1} - \Im(\phi(z))B(z)$ is a function on \mathbb{D} taking values in self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{E} . Since

$$(I + \psi_1(z))(I - \psi_1(z))^{-1}$$

is holomorphic, the stage is set for application of Theorem 2. Thus, we get that $A(z) = -A$ for all z in \mathbb{D} and $B(z) = B$ for all z in \mathbb{D} where A is a self-adjoint operator and B is a positive contraction. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t \circ \psi_1(z) &= e^{t[iA+(z+1)(z-1)^{-1}B]} \text{ and} \\ \varphi_t \circ \psi_2(z) &= e^{t[-iA+(z+1)(z-1)^{-1}(I-B)]} \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We have proved the following theorem which is a special case of the main result of [1].

Theorem 4. *Let $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$ be a factorization of $(M_{\varphi_t \circ \mathbf{z}^{\mathcal{E}}})_{t \geq 0}$. Then there exist self-adjoint matrices A and B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$ with $0 \leq B \leq I$ such that*

$$(9) \quad V_{j,t} = M_{\varphi_{j,t}} \text{ for all } t \geq 0, j = 1, 2,$$

where $\varphi_{j,t} \in H_{\mathbb{D}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$ is defined by

$$(10) \quad \varphi_{1,t}(z) = e^{t[iA+(z+1)(z-1)^{-1}B]} \text{ and } \varphi_{2,t}(z) = e^{t[-iA+(z+1)(z-1)^{-1}(I-B)]}.$$

Conversely, suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are self-adjoint and $0 \leq B \leq I$, if we define $\varphi_{j,t}$ as in (10) then $\varphi_{j,t} \in H_{\mathbb{D}}^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}))$ for $j = 1, 2, t \geq 0$ and $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$ as defined in (9) is a factorization of $(M_{\varphi_t \circ \mathbf{z}^{\mathcal{E}}})_{t \geq 0}$.

Acknowledgements The first named author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the Maynooth University and the J C Bose fellowship JCB/2021/000041 of SERB. The fourth named author is supported by the D S Kothari postdoctoral fellowship MA/20-21/0047. Research is supported by the DST FIST program - 2021 [TPN - 700661]. All authors thank the referee for very useful suggestions for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bhattacharyya, S. Rastogi, K. B. Sinha, Vijaya Kumar U. On factorization of the shift semigroup. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.15343>
- [2] M. Heins. Extreme normalized analytic functions with positive real part. Ann Acad Sci Fenn A I Math 10 (1985) 239-245.
- [3] F. Holland. The extreme points of a class of functions with positive real part. Math Ann 202 (1973) 85-87.
- [4] G. Schober. Univalent Functions – Selected Topics. Springer LNM 478. 1975.
- [5] K. B. Sinha. Herglotz’ representation for operator valued functions and the spectral theorem for unitary operators. Infin Dimens Anal Quantum Probab Relat Top, this issue.

T. Bhattacharyya and Vijaya Kumar U., DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012.

E-mail addresses: tirtha@iisc.ac.in and vijayak@iisc.ac.in

A. G. O’Farrell, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MAYNOOTH UNIVERSITY, NAAS, CO. KILDARE, W23HW31 IRELAND.

E-mail address: anthony.ofarrell@mu.ie

S. Rastogi, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI 400076, INDIA.

E-mail address: shubhamr@math.iitb.ac.in