

UNIPOTENT QUANTUM COORDINATE RING AND COMINUSCULE PREFUNDAMENTAL REPRESENTATIONS

IL-SEUNG JANG, JAE-HOON KWON, AND EUIYONG PARK

ABSTRACT. We continue the study of realization of the prefundamental modules $L_{r,a}^{\pm}$, introduced by Hernandez and Jimbo, in terms of unipotent quantum coordinate rings as in [18]. We show that the ordinary character of $L_{r,a}^{\pm}$ is equal to that of the unipotent quantum coordinate ring $U_q^-(w_r)$ associated to fundamental r -th coweight. When r is cominuscule, we prove that there exists a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on $U_q^-(w_r)$, which is isomorphic to $L_{r,a\eta_r}^{\pm}$ for some $\eta_r \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be the untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with the generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ with $I = \{0, \dots, n\}$. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the associated Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group, and let $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subquotient of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ without the degree operator.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ be the Borel subalgebra of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In [13], Hernandez and Jimbo introduced a category \mathcal{O} of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules partly motivated by the existence of a limit of normalized q -characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules [12, 36]. This category contains the finite-dimensional $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, and an irreducible module in \mathcal{O} , which is infinite-dimensional in general, is characterized in terms of tuples $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_0}$ of rational functions ($I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$), which are regular and non-zero at $z = 0$.

For $a \in \mathbb{C}(q)^{\times}$ and $r \in I_0$, the irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules $L_{r,a}^{\pm}$ corresponding to $(\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_0}$ such that

$$\Psi_r(z) = (1 - az)^{\pm 1}, \quad \Psi_i(z) = 1 \quad (i \neq r),$$

are called the prefundamental modules, where $L_{r,a}^-$ (resp. $L_{r,a}^+$) is called the negative (resp. positive) prefundamental module. They are the building blocks in \mathcal{O} since each irreducible module in \mathcal{O} is a subquotient of a tensor product of prefundamental representations and one dimensional modules.

Date: Thursday 6th June, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B37, 22E46, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. quantum affine algebras, unipotent quantum coordinate rings, prefundamental modules, braid group symmetries.

I.-S Jang is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00277388).

J.-H. Kwon is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2020R1A5A1016126).

E. Park is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant funded by the Korea Government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00273425 and NRF-2020R1A5A1016126).

Let \mathfrak{g} be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} associated to $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I_0}$. The ordinary \mathfrak{g} -character $\text{ch}(L_{r,a}^\pm)$ of $L_{r,a}^\pm$ is given by a formal power series in $\mathbb{Z}[[e^{-\alpha_i} \mid i \in I_0]]$, where α_i denotes the simple root of \mathfrak{g} for $i \in I_0$. There is a product formula given by

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ch}(L_{r,a}^\pm) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{\Delta}^+} (1 - e^{-\beta})^{[\beta]_r}},$$

which was conjectured in [34] and proved in [30] except for $r = 2, 5$ when $\mathfrak{g} = E_8^{(1)}$ (see Table 1). Here $\hat{\Delta}^+$ is the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} and $[\beta]_r$ is the coefficient of the simple root α_r in β .

In this paper, we continue the study of realization of $L_{r,a}^\pm$ in terms of unipotent quantum coordinate rings as in [18]. Let W (resp. \widehat{W}) be the (resp. extended) affine Weyl group associated with \mathbf{A} , where $\widehat{W} \simeq W \rtimes \mathcal{T}$ with \mathcal{T} the group of automorphisms on Dynkin diagram of \mathbf{A} . For $r \in I_0$, let λ_r be a weight in the dual Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}^* of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the r -th fundamental coweight of \mathfrak{g} , and let $w_r \in W$ be such that $t_{-\lambda_r} = w_r \tau \in \widehat{W}$, where $t_{-\lambda_r} \in \widehat{W}$ denotes the translation on \mathfrak{h}^* associated to λ_r and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. Let $U_q^-(w_r)$ denote the unipotent quantum coordinate ring generated by the root vectors associated to a reduced expression of w_r corresponding to $\Delta^+ \cap w_r(-\Delta^+)$, where Δ^+ is the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} .

We first prove that $U_q^-(w_r)$ has the same \mathfrak{g} -character as $L_{r,a}^\pm$, that is,

$$(1.2) \quad \text{ch}(U_q^-(w_r)) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{\Delta}^+} (1 - e^{-\beta})^{[\beta]_r}}.$$

Combining (1.1) and (1.2), one may expect to have a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on $U_q(w_r)$ which is isomorphic to $L_{r,a}^\pm$. Indeed, we constructed such a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure when \mathfrak{g} is of type $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$, and r is minuscule in [18]. The action of the Chevalley generator $e_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ for $i \in I_0$ is given by the usual q -derivation on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, while the action of e_0 is given by a left or right multiplication by the root vector corresponding to the negative maximal root of \mathfrak{g} .

The main result in this paper is to extend the result in [18] to the case when r is cominuscule, that is, $B_n^{(1)}$ with $r = 1$, $C_n^{(1)}$ with $r = n$, $E_6^{(1)}$ with $r = 1, 6$, and $E_7^{(1)}$ with $r = 7$ including the cases of $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$ with r minuscule, equivalently cominuscule (hence, $[\beta]_r = 1$ for all $\beta \in \hat{\Delta}^+$ in (1.1)). We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.4, Theorem 5.14). *When r is cominuscule, there exists a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on $U_q^-(w_r)$, which is isomorphic to $L_{r,a\eta_r}^\pm$ for some $\eta_r \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.*

The $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structures on $U_q^-(w_r)$ are still defined in almost the same way as in [18]. But the computation of the ℓ -highest weight of $1 \in U_q^-(w_r)$ with respect to the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -actions is more involved than in [18]. So we use the quantum shuffle approach following [29] to root vectors in $U_q(w_r)$ to have an explicit description of the action of e_i ($i \neq 0$) on them (see Appendix A). Recently, a category of modules over Borel subalgebra of twisted affine Kac-Moody algebra is developed in [39] to establish twisted QQ -systems, and then the prefundamental modules in that category are also constructed following [13]. It would be interesting to realize those modules by our approach for some cases.

We remark that when the action of e_0 is given by a left multiplication by the root vector corresponding to the maximal root in $\Delta^+ \cap w_r(-\Delta^+)$ with respect to a reduced expression of w_r , the space $U_q^-(w_r)$ has a well-defined $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure for any r . But it is not irreducible unless r is cominuscule. Thus the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module $U_q^-(w_r)$ is not isomorphic to $L_{r,a}^\pm$ in general except for cominuscule r (see Remark 4.9 for further discussion). So we expect a completely different description of e_0 on $U_q^-(w_r)$ to have an isomorphism to $L_{r,a}^\pm$ for non-cominuscule r .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the realization of the q -boson algebra following [33], and then introduce the braid group symmetries on it. In Section 3, we recall some properties of $t_{-\lambda_r}$, which play crucial roles throughout the paper. In Section 4, we show that $U_q^-(w_r)$ has a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure, which belongs to \mathcal{O} . In Section 5, we prove that the character of $U_q^-(w_r)$ coincides with that of $L_{r,a}^\pm$ (Theorem 5.1), and when r is cominuscule, it is isomorphic to $L_{r,a}^\pm$ up to shift of spectral parameter a (Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.14).

Acknowledgement. The proof of Lemma 5.12 is inspired by a comment of an anonymous referee of [18] (cf. Remark 5.13).

2. BRAID GROUP SYMMETRIES ON q -BOSON ALGEBRA

2.1. Cartan data and quantum group. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix with an index set I . We assume that

- $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i \in I}$ is a diagonal matrix such that $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and DA is symmetric,
- P^\vee is a free abelian group of rank $2|I| - \text{rank} A$, which is the dual weight lattice,
- $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \in P^\vee \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of simple coroots,
- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P^\vee$ is the \mathbb{C} -linear space spanned by P^\vee , and \mathfrak{h}^* is the dual space of \mathfrak{h} ,
- $P = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(P^\vee) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the weight lattice,
- $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid i \in I\}$ is the set of simple roots,
- $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ is the set of roots, where Δ^+ (resp. Δ^-) is the set of positive (resp. negative) roots.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Kac-Moody algebra over \mathbb{C} associated with the Cartan datum $(A, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee, P, \Pi)$, where \mathfrak{h} is the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Take a symmetric bilinear \mathbb{C} -valued form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{h}^* such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $i \in I$ following [20, § 2.1]. In particular, for $i, j \in I$,

$$a_{ij} = \langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a pairing between \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* . Here \mathfrak{h} is regarded as a dual space of \mathfrak{h}^* , so $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \alpha_j(h_i) = \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle$ for $i, j \in I$.

Fix an indeterminate q . Set $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}(q)$ to be the base field. The *quantum group* corresponding to \mathfrak{g} , denoted by $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, is the \mathbf{k} -algebra generated by the symbols e_i, f_i ($i \in I$), and q^h ($h \in P^\vee$) subject to the following relations:

$$q^0 = 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'},$$

$$q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i, \quad q^h f_j q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j, \quad e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{k_i - k_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{1-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} (-1)^m e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-m)} e_j e_i^{(m)} = 0, \quad \sum_{m=0}^{1-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} (-1)^m f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-m)} f_j f_i^{(m)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$$

where $q_i = q^{d_i}$, $k_i = q^{d_i h_i}$, $e_i^{(m)} = e_i^m / [m]_{q_i}!$, and $f_i^{(m)} = f_i^m / [m]_{q_i}!$ for $i \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Let $U_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by q^h for $h \in \mathfrak{P}^\vee$. Also, we denote by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i (resp. f_i) for $i \in I$. Then $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has the *triangular decomposition*, that is,

$$(2.1) \quad U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$$

as a \mathbf{k} -vector space.

An element $x \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is said to be homogenous if there exists $\xi \in \mathfrak{P}$ such that $q^h x q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \xi \rangle} x$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{P}^\vee$, where we denote ξ by $\text{wt}(x)$ which is called the *weight* of x .

Let us adopt a Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, where the comultiplication Δ and the antipode S are given by

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \quad \Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + k_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i,$$

$$(2.3) \quad S(q^h) = q^{-h}, \quad S(e_i) = -k_i^{-1} e_i, \quad S(f_i) = -f_i k_i,$$

for $i \in I$. We remark that Δ in (2.2) is denoted by Δ_+ in [22], which coincides with the choice of Δ in [13].

2.2. q -Boson algebras. Let $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the \mathbf{k} -algebra generated by the symbols e'_i and f_i for $i \in I$ satisfying the following relations:

$$e'_i f_j = q_i^{-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j e'_i + \delta_{ij},$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} e'_j e_i^m = 0, \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i f_i^{1-a_{ij}-m} f_j f_i^m = 0, \quad (i \neq j),$$

for $i, j \in I$ (see [22]). Let $\mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e'_i (resp. f_i) for $i \in I$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ as a \mathbf{k} -algebra.

Lemma 2.1. [22, Corollary 3.4.9] *The \mathbf{k} -algebra $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, where f_i acts on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by the left multiplication of $f_i \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, and e'_i acts on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ inductively by $e'_i(1) = 0$, $e'_i(f_j) = \delta_{ij}$, and*

$$(2.4) \quad e'_i(xy) = e'_i(x)y + q_i^{\langle h_i, \text{wt}(x) \rangle} x e'_i(y)$$

for homogeneous elements $x, y \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark 2.2. There exists another q -derivation of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (see [21, Lemma 8.2.1]), which is slightly different from a q -derivation e''_i in [22]. More precisely, for $i \in I$, let e_i^* be

the q -derivation of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, which is defined by $e_i^*(f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ($j \in I$) and, for homogeneous $x, y \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$e_i^*(xy) = q_i^{\langle h_i, \text{wt}(y) \rangle} e_i^*(x)y + x e_i^*(y).$$

Following [22], we have $e'_i e_i^* = e_i^* e'_i$ and $e_i^* = * \circ e'_i \circ *$, where $*$ is the antiautomorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as a \mathbf{k} -algebra given by $e_i^* = e_i$, $f_i^* = f_i$, $(q^h)^* = q^{-h}$ for $i \in I$ and $h \in \mathbf{P}^\vee$.

Put

$$\mathbf{e}'_i := -(q_i - q_i^{-1})k_i e_i$$

for $i \in I$. Let $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by \mathbf{e}'_i , k_i , and f_i for $i \in I$. We denote by $\tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\tilde{U}_q^0(\mathfrak{g})$) the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by \mathbf{e}'_i (resp. k_i) for $i \in I$. Note that k_i is not invertible in $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 2.3. ([33])

- (a) *There exists an isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras between $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ by $e_i \mapsto \mathbf{e}'_i$.*
- (b) *By the multiplication on $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \tilde{U}_q^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$$

as a \mathbf{k} -vector space.

- (c) *For $i, j \in I$, we have $\mathbf{e}'_i f_j = q_i^{-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j \mathbf{e}'_i + \delta_{ij}(1 - k_i^2)$.*

Let J be the two-sided ideal of $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by k_i for all $i \in I$. We define

$$\mathfrak{U} = \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})/J.$$

By abuse of notation, let us write \mathbf{e}'_i and f_i for the image of \mathbf{e}'_i and f_i in \mathfrak{U} , respectively. Then we denote by \mathfrak{U}^+ (resp. \mathfrak{U}^-) the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of \mathfrak{U} generated by \mathbf{e}'_i (resp. f_i) for $i \in I$.

Now, we have a realization of $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ as a subquotient of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ [33, Chapter 15].

Lemma 2.4. *There exists an isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras from \mathfrak{U} to $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathbf{e}'_i \mapsto e'_i$ and $f_i \mapsto f_i$ for $i \in I$.*

Let

$$(2.5) \quad M = \frac{\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})}{\sum_{i \in I} \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \mathbf{e}'_i + \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) k_i}$$

be the left $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by left multiplication. Since each k_i acts on M trivially due to the relations $k_i \mathbf{e}'_j = q_i^{\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} \mathbf{e}'_j k_i$ and $k_i f_j = q_i^{-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j k_i$ for $i, j \in I$, we regard M as a \mathfrak{U} -module, where

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \overline{m} = \overline{\mathbf{x}m},$$

where $\mathbf{x} = x + J \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $\overline{m} \in M$.

Lemma 2.5. *M is isomorphic to $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ as a $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3(b)–(c), we have $M \cong U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ as a \mathbf{k} -vector space, so the canonical projection ι from $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ to M gives an isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -vector spaces. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3(c) that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \\ x \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{x} \\ U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & M \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{U}$ is the image of $x \in \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ through Lemma 2.4, and the vertical arrows denote the actions of x and \mathbf{x} , respectively. \square

2.3. Braid group symmetries on q -boson algebra. Let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} , which is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by the simple reflection s_i given by $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \alpha_i$ for $i \in I$. Let $R(w) = \{(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \mid i_j \in I \text{ and } w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}\}$ be the set of reduced expressions of w , where ℓ is the length of w .

For $i \in I$, let T_i be the \mathbf{k} -algebra automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad T_i(q^h) = q^{s_i(h)},$$

$$(2.7) \quad T_i(e_i) = -f_i k_i, \quad T_i(e_j) = \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^{-r} e_i^{(s)} e_j e_i^{(r)} \quad (j \neq i),$$

$$(2.8) \quad T_i(f_i) = -k_i^{-1} e_i, \quad T_i(f_j) = \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^r f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(s)} \quad (j \neq i),$$

for $j \in I$, where $s_i(h) = h - \langle h, \alpha_i \rangle h_i$. Note that $T_i = T_{i,1}''$ in [33].

Proposition 2.6. *For $i \in I$, we have $T_i(\tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. By (2.6)–(2.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad T_i(\mathbf{e}'_j) = \begin{cases} (q_i - q_i^{-1}) q_i^2 f_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ (-1)^{a_{ij}} (q_i - q_i^{-1})^{a_{ij}} \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^{2rs+ra_{ij}-r} q_j^{sa_{ji}} \mathbf{e}'_i^{(s)} \mathbf{e}'_j \mathbf{e}'_i^{(r)} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.7. *For $i \in I$, we have a homomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras*

$$T_i : \mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(e'_i) &= (q_i - q_i^{-1}) q_i^2 f_i, \\ T_i(e'_j) &= (-1)^{a_{ij}} (q_i - q_i^{-1})^{a_{ij}} \sum_{r+s=-a_{ij}} (-1)^r q_i^{2rs+ra_{ij}-r} q_j^{sa_{ji}} e'_i^{(s)} e'_j e'_i^{(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.6, the map T_i is the map on $\mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ induced from

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{T_i} & \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{T_i} \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathfrak{U} \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is the isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras (cf. Proposition 2.3(a)). This implies our assertion. \square

Example 2.8. Suppose that A is simply-laced, that is, $q_i = q$ and $a_{ij} \in \{2, 0, -1\}$ for all $i, j \in I$. Then

$$T_i(e'_i) = (q - q^{-1})q^2 f_i,$$

$$T_i(e'_j) = \begin{cases} e'_j & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0, \\ \frac{q^{-1}e'_i e'_j - q^{-2}e'_j e'_i}{-(q - q^{-1})} & \text{if } a_{ij} = -1. \end{cases}$$

This is reminiscent of the one introduced by [24] (up to suitable scalar multiplication).

Corollary 2.9. *Let $w \in W$ be given with $(i_\ell, \dots, i_1) \in R(w)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ be given such that $T_{i_k} \dots T_{i_1}(u) \in \mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Then $T_{i_\ell} \dots T_{i_1}(u) \in \mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is independent of the choice of a reduced expression of w , which we denote by $T_w(u)$.*

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ be the isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras in Proposition 2.3, and let $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{U} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the composition (cf. (2.11)). If $T_i(\psi(u)) \in \tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, then

$$(2.11) \quad T_i(u) = \psi^{-1} T_i \psi(u)$$

since $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})} = \psi^{-1}$. By our assumption, we have

$$(2.12) \quad T_{i_k} \dots T_{i_1} \psi(u) \in \tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Hence it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$(2.13) \quad T_{i_\ell} \dots T_{i_1}(u) = \psi^{-1} T_{i_\ell} \dots T_{i_1} \psi(u).$$

The right-hand side in (2.13) does not depend on the choice of a reduced expression of w . \square

Remark 2.10. For $i \in I$, we define

$${}^*U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})[i] = T_i^{-1}(U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})) \cap U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

It is known in [33] (cf. [37]) that there exists an orthogonal decomposition of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$${}^*U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) = {}^*U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})[i] \oplus U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})f_i$$

with respect to the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)_{\mathbf{K}}$ in [22, Proposition 3.4.4]. Let $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})[i]$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})[i]$) be the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$) generated by $\tilde{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$) and ${}^*U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})[i]$. Then we have $T_i(\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})[i]) \subset \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, so one may extend the domain of T_i to be $\mathcal{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})[i]$.

3. EXTENDED AFFINE WEYL GROUPS

3.1. **Affine root systems.** We follow the convention in [20]. Assume that the generalized Cartan matrix A is of affine type $X_n^{(1)}$, where its Dynkin diagram is as shown in Table 1.

type	Dynkin diagram	type	Dynkin diagram
$A_1^{(1)}$			
$A_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 2$)			
$B_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 3$)		$A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 3$)	
$C_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 2$)		$D_{n+1}^{(2)}$ ($n \geq 2$)	
$D_n^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 4$)			
$E_6^{(1)}$			
$E_7^{(1)}$			
$E_8^{(1)}$			
$F_4^{(1)}$		$E_6^{(2)}$	
$G_2^{(1)}$		$D_4^{(3)}$	

TABLE 1.

Let us take the index set by $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ so that $\mathring{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I \setminus \{0\}}$ is of finite type. Put $I_0 = I \setminus \{0\}$ for simplicity.

Let

$$\mathbf{Q} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}^\vee = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}h_i,$$

which are the root and coroot lattices for \mathfrak{g} , respectively, and set $\mathbf{Q}_+ = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_i$ and $\mathbf{Q}_- = -\mathbf{Q}_+$.

Let $\delta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the imaginary null root and $K \in \mathfrak{h}$ the canonical central element given by

$$(3.1) \quad \delta = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad K = \sum_{i \in I} a_i^\vee h_i,$$

where a_i (resp. a_i^\vee) is the (resp. dual) Kac label for \mathbf{A} (resp. ${}^t\mathbf{A}$). The numerical labels in Table 1 are the Kac labels for \mathbf{A} and ${}^t\mathbf{A}$, where we omit those equal to 1.

Set $\theta = \delta - \alpha_0$ and $\theta^\vee = K - h_0$. We denote by d the scaling element. Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{\Lambda_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{h} \mid i \in I\}$) be the set of the fundamental weights (resp. coweights) of \mathfrak{g} , where $\langle \Lambda_i, h_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, $\langle \alpha_i, \Lambda_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ ($i, j \in I$), and $d = \Lambda_0^\vee$. Put

$$\mathbf{P} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i + \mathbb{C}\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}^\vee = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i^\vee + \mathbb{C}K.$$

Let us take a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear \mathbb{C} -valued form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{h} following [20, (6.2.1)] with respect to \mathbf{D} (see Remark 3.1), and then $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is the \mathbf{k} -linear isomorphism from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{h}^* induced from (\cdot, \cdot) , that is, $\langle \nu(h), h' \rangle = (h, h')$ for $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$. We still denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the induced \mathbb{C} -valued bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* by $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Let $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ denote the underlying finite-dimensional simple Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to $\mathring{\mathbf{A}}$, and let $\mathring{\mathfrak{h}}$ be its Cartan subalgebra, which is defined by the \mathbb{C} -linear span of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Let $\mathring{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ be the root lattice of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\{\varpi_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ be the set of the fundamental weights, and let $\mathring{\mathbf{P}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i$ be the weight lattice of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that θ is equal to the maximal root of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ by regarding $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}$ as a sublattice of $\mathbf{P}/\mathbb{Z}\delta$. Similarly, we denote by $\mathring{\mathbf{Q}}^\vee = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}h_i$ (resp. $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}^\vee = \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i^\vee$) the coroot (resp. coweight) lattice of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\mathring{\Delta}^+$ (resp. $\mathring{\Delta}^-$) be the set of positive (resp. negative) roots of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Let $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathring{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ denote the orthogonal projection of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ onto $\mathring{\mathfrak{h}}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) , where we also use the same notations for \mathfrak{h} and $\mathring{\mathfrak{h}}$. For $i \in I_0$, we have

$$\Lambda_i = \bar{\Lambda}_i + a_i^\vee \Lambda_0, \quad \Lambda_i^\vee = \bar{\Lambda}_i^\vee + a_i d$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}_0 = \bar{\Lambda}_0^\vee = 0$. Note that $\bar{\Lambda}_i$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_i^\vee$ coincide with ϖ_i and ϖ_i^\vee as elements of $\mathring{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ and $\mathring{\mathfrak{h}}$, respectively.

3.2. Extended affine Weyl groups. Let W (resp. \mathring{W}) be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} (resp. $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$), where \mathring{W} is naturally regarded as a subgroup of W .

For $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the endomorphism t_β of \mathfrak{h}^* is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad t_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda + \langle \lambda, K \rangle \beta - \left((\lambda, \beta) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta, \beta)\langle \lambda, K \rangle \right) \delta,$$

which is called the *translation* by β . The family of translations satisfies the additive property and it acts on $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$.

Remark 3.1. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $X_n^{(1)}$. Put

$$r_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X = A, D, E, \\ 2 & \text{if } X = B, C, F, \\ 3 & \text{if } X = G. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we take $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i \in I}$ with $d_i = r_{\mathfrak{g}} a_i^{-1} a_i^{\vee}$ for $i \in I$, while $d_i = a_i^{-1} a_i^{\vee}$ in [20, section 6]. But the formula (3.2) is independent of the choice of D in both cases (see [20, Lemma 6.5 and (6.5.2)]).

Let

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{M} = \nu \left(\mathbb{Z}(\dot{W} \cdot \theta^{\vee}) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{M}} = \left\{ \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid (\beta, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } i \in I_0 \right\}$$

be the \mathbb{Z} -lattices of \mathfrak{h}^* .

Proposition 3.2. *We have*

$$\mathbf{M} = \nu \left(\dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee} \right) \supseteq \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{M}} = \nu \left(\dot{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee} \right) \supseteq \dot{\mathbf{P}}.$$

In particular, $\nu(\varpi_i^{\vee}) = d_i^{-1} \varpi_i$ for $i \in I_0$, which forms the \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$.

Proof. First, the proof of $\mathbf{M} = \nu \left(\dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{\vee} \right)$ can be found in [20, §6.5]. Note that $\nu(h_i) = d_i^{-1} \alpha_i$ with $d_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$, so we have $\mathbf{M} \supseteq \dot{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Second, let us verify $\widehat{\mathbf{M}} = \nu \left(\dot{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee} \right)$. Take $\beta \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$. For $i \in I_0$, we have

$$\langle \alpha_i, \nu^{-1}(\beta) \rangle = (h_i, \nu^{-1}(\beta)) d_i = (\alpha_i, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus $\nu^{-1}(\beta) \in \dot{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee}$, equivalently, $\beta \in \nu \left(\dot{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee} \right)$. Conversely, let $\beta \in \nu \left(\dot{\mathbf{P}}^{\vee} \right)$. For $j \in I_0$, we have

$$(\alpha_j, \beta) = (d_j h_j, \nu^{-1}(\beta)) = \langle \alpha_j, \nu^{-1}(\beta) \rangle \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so $\beta \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$.

Finally, we have $d_i \nu(\varpi_i^{\vee}) = \varpi_i$, since $\langle \nu(\varpi_i^{\vee}), h_j \rangle = (\varpi_i^{\vee}, h_j) = \langle \alpha_j, \varpi_i^{\vee} \rangle d_j^{-1} = \delta_{ji} d_i^{-1}$. Hence $\widehat{\mathbf{M}} \supseteq \dot{\mathbf{P}}$. We complete the proof. \square

Let $T_{\mathbf{M}}$ (resp. $T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}$) be the group of translations consisting of t_{β} (3.2) for $\beta \in \mathbf{M}$ (resp. $\beta \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$). Note that $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ is defined so that $T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}$ acts on Δ , and $T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}}$ is normalized by \dot{W} (cf. [20, (6.5.7)]).

We define

$$\widehat{W} = \dot{W} \times T_{\widehat{\mathbf{M}}},$$

which is called the *extended affine Weyl group* of \mathfrak{g} . Since it is known that W is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\dot{W} \times T_{\mathbf{M}}$ [20, Proposition 6.5], we have $W \subset \widehat{W}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ the \mathbb{R} -linear span of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Let

$$C_{\text{af}} = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (\lambda, \alpha_i) \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in I_0, \text{ and } (\lambda, \theta) \leq 1 \right\}$$

which is called the *affine Weyl chamber* (also called *fundamental alcove*). Let \mathcal{J} be a subgroup of \widehat{W} , which stabilizes C_{af} .

Proposition 3.3 ([4]). *The subgroup \mathcal{T} is isomorphic to $\widehat{W}/W \simeq T_{\widehat{M}}/T_M$, and \widehat{W} is isomorphic to $W \rtimes \mathcal{T}$, where each element of \mathcal{T} is understood as an affine Dynkin diagram automorphism.*

By Proposition 3.3, for $\hat{w} \in \widehat{W}$,

$$\hat{w} = w\tau,$$

where $w \in W$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. The length of $\hat{w} \in \widehat{W}$ is defined by the length $\ell(w)$ of w , which is also denoted by $\ell(\hat{w})$. Note that $\mathcal{T} = \{\hat{w} \in \widehat{W} \mid \ell(\hat{w}) = 0\}$. For $w \in \widehat{W}$, we define

$$\Delta^+(w) = \Delta^+ \cap (w\Delta^-) \subset \Delta^+.$$

Then it is well-known that $\ell(w) = |\Delta^+(w)|$.

3.3. Translations t_r . For $r \in I_0$, let us collect some known properties of $t_{-\nu(\overline{\Lambda}_r^\vee)}$ following [4, 15, 20, 38] (cf. [7, 26]). We write $\lambda_r = \nu(\overline{\Lambda}_r^\vee)$ and $t_r = t_{\lambda_r}$ for simplicity. By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$(3.4) \quad t_r^{-1} = w_r \tau$$

for some $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ and $w_r \in W$.

Proposition 3.4. *We have*

$$\Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) = \left\{ \alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+, 0 \leq k < (\lambda_r, \alpha) \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\beta \in \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$ be given. Then there exists $\gamma \in \Delta^-$ such that $\beta = t_r^{-1}(\gamma) \in \Delta^+$. It is well-known (e.g. [20, Proposition 6.3]) that γ is in $\mathring{\Delta}^-$ or given as $\alpha + \mathbf{k}\delta$ for some $\alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}$ and $\mathbf{k} < 0$. Since $\langle \gamma, K \rangle = 0$ and $t_r^{-1} = t_{-\lambda_r}$, we have by (3.2)

$$\beta = t_r^{-1}(\gamma) = \gamma + (\gamma, \lambda_r)\delta \in \Delta^+$$

If $\gamma \in \mathring{\Delta}^-$, then $\beta \in \Delta^-$, which contradicts to $\beta \in \Delta^+$. So we assume that $\gamma = \alpha + \mathbf{k}\delta$ for some $\alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}$ and $\mathbf{k} < 0$. Then

$$\beta = (\alpha + \mathbf{k}\delta) + (\alpha + \mathbf{k}\delta, \lambda_r)\delta = \alpha + (\mathbf{k} + (\alpha, \lambda_r))\delta.$$

Put $k = \mathbf{k} + (\alpha, \lambda_r)$. Since $\beta \in \Delta^+$ and $\mathbf{k} < 0$, we have $\alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$ and $0 \leq k < (\alpha, \lambda_r)$. Conversely, let $\beta = \alpha + k\delta$ for $\alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$ and $0 \leq k < (\alpha, \lambda_r)$. Then we have

$$t_r(\beta) = t_r(\alpha) + k\delta = \alpha + (k - (\alpha, \lambda_r))\delta \in \Delta^-,$$

which implies $\beta \in \Delta^+ \cap t_r^{-1}(\Delta^-) = \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *The length of t_r^{-1} is given by*

$$\ell(t_r^{-1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+} (\lambda_r, \alpha).$$

Proof. It follows directly from Proposition 3.4. \square

Proposition 3.6. *For $i \in I$, we have*

$$\ell(s_i t_r^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \ell(t_r^{-1}) + 1 & \text{if } i \neq r, \\ \ell(t_r^{-1}) - 1 & \text{if } i = r, \end{cases} \quad \ell(t_r^{-1} s_i) = \begin{cases} \ell(t_r^{-1}) + 1 & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ \ell(t_r^{-1}) - 1 & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $i \in I$, it is well-known that

$$(3.5) \quad s_i \Delta^\pm = (\Delta^\pm \setminus \{\pm \alpha_i\}) \cup \{\mp \alpha_i\}.$$

Let us first consider $\ell(s_i t_r^{-1})$. If $i \neq 0, r$, then $t_r^{-1}(-\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$ by (3.2). By combining it with (3.5), $\Delta^+(s_i t_r^{-1}) = \Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) \cup \{\alpha_i\}$. If $i = 0$, then $t_r^{-1}(\alpha_0 + a_r \delta) = \alpha_0$, so $\alpha_0 \notin \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$, while $\alpha_0 \in \Delta^+(s_0 t_r^{-1})$. As a result, $\ell(s_i t_r^{-1}) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) + 1$ for $i \neq r$. Suppose $i = r$. Since $t_r^{-1}(\alpha_r) = \alpha_r + \delta$, we have $t_r^{-1}(\alpha_r - \delta) = \alpha_r$, so $\alpha_r \in \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$. Thus, $\Delta^+(s_r t_r^{-1}) = \Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) \setminus \{\alpha_r\}$ by (3.5). This shows $\ell(s_r t_r^{-1}) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) - 1$.

Second, we consider $\ell(t_r^{-1} s_i)$. If $i = r$, then $t_r^{-1} s_r(-\alpha_r) = \alpha_r + \delta$, so $\ell(t_r^{-1} s_r) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) + 1$ by (3.5). If $i \neq 0, r$, then $t_r^{-1} s_i(-\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$ implies $\ell(t_r^{-1} s_i) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) + 1$. Suppose $i = 0$. Then $t_r^{-1}(-\alpha_0) = (a_r - 1)\delta + \theta \in \Delta^+$, so $(a_r - 1)\delta + \theta \in \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$. Note that $a_r - 1 \geq 0$ by its definition. By (3.5), we have

$$\Delta^+(t_r^{-1} s_0) = \Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) \setminus \{(a_r - 1)\delta + \theta\},$$

which implies $\ell(t_r^{-1} s_0) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) - 1$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *The element $w_r \in W$ in (3.4) has a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ such that $s_{i_1} = s_r$ and $s_{i_\ell} = s_{\tau(0)}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, we know

$$\ell(s_r t_r^{-1}) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) - 1 < \ell(t_r^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(t_r^{-1} s_0) = \ell(t_r^{-1}) - 1 < \ell(t_r^{-1}).$$

This proves our assertion. \square

Remark 3.8. In the proof of Proposition 3.6, we have

$$t_r^{-1}(\alpha_i) = \begin{cases} \alpha_i & \text{if } i \in I_0 \setminus \{r\}, \\ \alpha_r + \delta & \text{if } i = r, \\ \alpha_0 - a_r \delta & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\alpha_r = t_r^{-1}(\alpha_r - \delta)$ and $\theta = t_r^{-1}(\theta - a_r \delta)$, which implies that $\alpha_r, \theta \in \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$.

Example 3.9. Let us illustrate Proposition 3.4, Corollary 3.5, and Proposition 3.6 for types $A_2^{(1)}$, $C_2^{(1)}$, and $G_2^{(1)}$. By (3.2) and Proposition 3.3, one may obtain a reduced expression of t_r^{-1} as follows:

(1) Type $A_2^{(1)}$. We have

$$t_r^{-1} = \begin{cases} s_1 s_2 \tau_1 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ s_2 s_1 \tau_2 & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Here τ_r is given by $i \mapsto i - r \pmod 3$ for $r = 1, 2$.

(2) Type $C_2^{(1)}$. We have

$$t_r^{-1} = \begin{cases} s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ s_2 s_1 s_2 \tau & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \{\alpha_1, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \delta + 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Here τ is given by $\tau(0) = 2$ and $\tau(1) = 1$.

(3) Type $G_2^{(1)}$. We have

$$t_r^{-1} = \begin{cases} s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_0 & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) = \begin{cases} \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \delta + 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2\} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \begin{aligned} & \{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} \\ & \cup \{\delta + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \delta + 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, \delta + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\} \\ & \cup \{2\delta + \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2, 2\delta + 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2\} \end{aligned} & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.10. Let us assume that $a_r = 1$ (see Table 1). We denote by \tilde{w}_r a reduced expression of w_r (3.4). Then we obtain an explicit description of \tilde{w}_r given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_{(r,n)} \mathbf{s}_{(r-1,n-1)} \cdots \mathbf{s}_{(1,n-r+1)} & \text{for type } A_n \text{ with } r \in I_0, \\ (1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1) & \text{for type } B_n \text{ with } r = 1, \\ \mathbf{s}_n \cdot \mathbf{s}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{s}_1 & \text{for type } C_n \text{ with } r = n, \\ \mathbf{s}_{(1,n)} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{(1,n-2)}^{-1} & \text{for type } D_n \text{ with } r = 1, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_1 \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_2 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{n-1} & \text{for type } D_n \text{ with } r = n, \\ (1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 2, 4, 5, 3, 4, 2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6) & \text{for type } E_6 \text{ with } r = 1, \\ (6, 5, 4, 3, 1, 2, 4, 3, 5, 4, 2, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1) & \text{for type } E_6 \text{ with } r = 6, \\ (7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1, 2, 4, 3, 5, 4, 2, 6, 5, 4, 3, 1, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7) & \text{for type } E_7 \text{ with } r = 7, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{s}_{(i,j)} = (i, i+1, \dots, j)$, $\mathbf{s}_k = (n, n-1, \dots, n-k+1)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_k = \begin{cases} s_n \mathbf{s}_{(k,n-2)}^{-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \mathbf{s}_{(k,n-1)}^{-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ s_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k = n-1, \end{cases}$$

and the dot \cdot means the concatenation of two sequences. Here $\mathbf{s}_{(i,j)}^{-1}$ is understood as the sequence obtained by reversing $\mathbf{s}_{(i,j)}$. For type $D_n^{(1)}$, a description of \tilde{w}_{n-1} is obtained from \tilde{w}_n by replacing n with $n-1$.

4. UNIPOTENT QUANTUM COORDINATE RING AS A MODULE IN \mathcal{O}

4.1. Unipotent quantum coordinate rings. Let \mathfrak{g} be as in Section 2.1. Let $w \in W$ be given. For $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in R(w)$, we have $\Delta^+(w) = \{\beta_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq \ell\}$, where

$$(4.1) \quad \beta_k = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_k) \quad (1 \leq k \leq \ell).$$

For $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$(4.2) \quad F(c\beta_k) = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{k-1}} \left(f_{i_k}^{(c)} \right) \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-c\beta_k}.$$

In particular, when $c = 1$, we call it the *root vector* of β_k . For $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell$, put

$$(4.3) \quad F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) = F(c_1\beta_1) \cdots F(c_\ell\beta_\ell),$$

which is called a *PBW monomial* associated with $\sum_{k=1}^\ell c_k\beta_k$.

Assume that $\Delta^+(w)$ is linearly ordered by $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_\ell$. The following q -commutation relations for (4.3) are known [31] (cf. [25]):

$$(4.4) \quad F(c_j\beta_j) F(c_i\beta_i) - q^{-(c_i\beta_i, c_j\beta_j)} F(c_i\beta_i) F(c_j\beta_j) = \sum_{\mathbf{c}'} f_{\mathbf{c}'} F(\mathbf{c}', \tilde{w})$$

for $i < j$ and $c_i, c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where the sum is over $\mathbf{c}' = (c'_k)$ such that $c_i\beta_i + c_j\beta_j = \sum_{i \leq k \leq j} c'_k\beta_k$ with $c'_i < c_i$, $c'_j < c_j$ and $f_{\mathbf{c}'} \in \mathbf{k}$.

Definition 4.1. For $w \in W$, we denote by $U_q^-(w)$ the vector space over \mathbf{k} generated by $\{F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell\}$.

We remark that $U_q^-(w)$ does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{w} \in R(w)$, and it is the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{F(\beta_k) \mid 1 \leq k \leq \ell\}$ by (4.4).

Let $F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w})$ be the dual of (4.3) with respect to the Kashiwara bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{K}}$ on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ [22, Section 3.4]. Since $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is a (twisted) self-dual bialgebra with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{K}}$, we regard $F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w})$ as an element of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$(4.5) \quad F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) = \frac{1}{(F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}), F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}))_{\mathbf{K}}} F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}) \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ and let $U_q^-(w)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{up}}$ be the \mathcal{A} -lattice generated by $F^{\text{up}}(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w})$ for $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell$. Then the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra $U_q^-(w)$ is called the *unipotent quantum coordinate ring*, since $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} U_q^-(w)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{up}}$ is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of the unipotent subgroup $N(w)$ of the Kac-Moody group associated to w (see [10, 25]).

4.2. Category \mathcal{O} and prefundamental modules. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of untwisted affine type. Let $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the *quantum affine algebra* associated to \mathfrak{g} without the degree operator q^d . It is well-known in [1] that $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is also isomorphic to the \mathbf{k} -algebra generated by $x_{i,r}^\pm$ ($i \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{Z}$), $k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I_0$), $h_{i,r}$ ($i \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$), and $C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ subject to the following relations:

$$C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \text{ are central with } C^{\frac{1}{2}} C^{-\frac{1}{2}} = C^{-\frac{1}{2}} C^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1,$$

$$k_i k_j = k_j k_i, \quad k_i k_i^{-1} = k_i^{-1} k_i = 1,$$

$$k_i h_{j,r} = h_{j,r} k_i, \quad k_i x_{j,r}^\pm k_i^{-1} = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_{j,r}^\pm,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_{i,r}, h_{j,s}] &= \delta_{r,-s} \frac{1}{r} [ra_{ij}]_i \frac{C^r - C^{-r}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \\
[h_{i,r}, x_{j,s}^\pm] &= \pm \frac{1}{r} [ra_{ij}]_i C^{\mp|r|/2} x_{j,r+s}^\pm, \\
x_{i,r+1}^\pm x_{j,s}^\pm - q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_{j,s}^\pm x_{i,r+1}^\pm &= q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_{i,r}^\pm x_{j,s+1}^\pm - x_{j,s+1}^\pm x_{i,r}^\pm, \\
[x_{i,r}^+, x_{j,s}^-] &= \delta_{i,j} \frac{C^{(r-s)/2} \psi_{i,r+s}^+ - C^{-(r-s)/2} \psi_{i,r+s}^-}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \\
\sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_{i,r_{w(1)}}^\pm \cdots x_{i,r_{w(k)}}^\pm x_{j,s}^\pm x_{i,r_{w(k+1)}}^\pm \cdots x_{i,r_{w(m)}}^\pm &= 0 \quad (i \neq j),
\end{aligned}$$

where r_1, \dots, r_m is any sequence of integers with $m = 1 - a_{ij}$, \mathfrak{S}_m denotes the group of permutations on m letters, and $\psi_{i,r}^\pm$ is the element determined by the following identity of formal power series in z :

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \psi_{i,\pm r}^\pm z^{\pm r} = k_i^{\pm 1} \exp \left(\pm (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} h_{i,\pm s} z^{\pm s} \right).$$

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ be the subalgebra of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $x_{i,0}^+$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I_0$ and $C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$. Let \mathfrak{t} be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ generated by $k_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I_0$, and let $\mathfrak{t}^* = (\mathbf{k}^\times)^{I_0}$ be the set of maps from I_0 to \mathbf{k}^\times , which is a group under pointwise multiplication.

Let $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})^\pm$ (resp. $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})^0$) be the subalgebras of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $x_{i,r}^\pm$ for $i \in I_0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $k_i^{\pm 1}$, $\psi_{i,\pm r}^\pm$ for $i \in I_0$, $r > 0$ and $C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$). If we put $U_q(\mathfrak{b})^+ = U'_q(\mathfrak{g})^+ \cap U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{b})^0 = U'_q(\mathfrak{g})^0 \cap U_q(\mathfrak{b})$, then we have $U_q(\mathfrak{b})^+ = \langle x_{i,r}^+ \rangle_{i \in I_0, r \geq 0}$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{b})^0 = \langle \psi_{i,r}^+, k_i^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} \rangle_{i \in I_0, r > 0}$.

Remark 4.2. Throughout this paper, we assume that $C^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$ acts trivially on a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.

Let V be a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module. For $\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we define the weight space of V with weight ω by

$$(4.7) \quad V_\omega = \{v \in V \mid k_i v = \omega(i)v \ (i \in I_0)\}.$$

We say that V is \mathfrak{t} -diagonalizable if $V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*} V_\omega$.

A series $\Psi = (\Psi_{i,m})_{i \in I_0, m \geq 0}$ of elements in \mathbf{k} such that $\Psi_{i,0} \neq 0$ for all $i \in I_0$ is called an ℓ -weight. We often identify $\Psi = (\Psi_{i,m})_{m \geq 0}$ with $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_0}$, a tuple of formal power series, where

$$\Psi_i(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \Psi_{i,m} z^m.$$

We denote by \mathfrak{t}_ℓ^* the set of ℓ -weights. Since $\Psi_i(z)$ is invertible, \mathfrak{t}_ℓ^* is a group under multiplication. Let $\varpi : \mathfrak{t}_\ell^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the surjective morphism defined by $\varpi(\Psi)(i) = \Psi_{i,0}$ for $i \in I_0$.

For $\Psi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, we define the ℓ -weight space of V with ℓ -weight Ψ by

$$V_\Psi = \left\{ v \in V \mid \text{for any } i \in I_0 \text{ and } m \geq 0, \exists p_{i,m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } (\psi_{i,m}^+ - \Psi_{i,m})^{p_{i,m}} v = 0 \right\}.$$

For $\Psi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, we say that V is of *highest ℓ -weight Ψ* if there exists a non-zero vector $v \in V$ such that

- (i) $V = U_q(\mathfrak{b})v$ (ii) $e_i v = 0$ for all $i \in I_0$ (iii) $\psi_{i,m}^+ v = \Psi_{i,m} v$ for $i \in I_0$ and $m \geq 0$.

A non-zero vector $v \in V$ is called a *highest weight vector of ℓ -weight Ψ* if it satisfies the conditions (ii) and (iii). There exists a unique irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module of highest ℓ -weight Ψ , which we denote by $L(\Psi)$.

Definition 4.3. [13, Definition 3.7] For $r \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, let $L_{r,a}^\pm$ be an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module of highest ℓ -weight Ψ with

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - az)^{\pm 1} & \text{if } i = r, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \neq r. \end{cases}$$

The $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module $L_{r,a}^-$ (resp. $L_{r,a}^+$) is called the *negative* (resp. *positive*) *prefundamental module*.

We define a map $\sim : \mathring{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ given by $\tilde{\omega}_i(j) = q_i^{\delta_{ij}}$ for $i, j \in I_0$. Note that $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is given by $\tilde{\alpha}_i(j) = q_i^{a_{ij}}$ for $i, j \in I_0$. We define a partial order \leq on \mathfrak{t}^* by $\omega' \leq \omega$ if and only if $\omega' \omega^{-1}$ is a product of $\tilde{\alpha}_i^{-1}$'s. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, put $D(\lambda) = \{\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \omega \leq \lambda\}$.

Definition 4.4. [13, Definition 3.8] Let \mathcal{O} be the category of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules V such that

- (i) V is \mathfrak{t} -diagonalizable,
- (ii) $\dim V_\omega < \infty$ for all $\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*$,
- (iii) there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that the weights of V are in $\bigcup_{j=1}^s D(\lambda_j)$.

The category \mathcal{O} is closed under taking a finite direct sum, a quotient, and a finite tensor product of objects in \mathcal{O} . Also, the quotients of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules in \mathcal{O} are in \mathcal{O} .

The simple objects in \mathcal{O} are characterized in terms of tuples $\Psi = (\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I_0}$ of rational functions regular and non-zero at $z = 0$, so-called *Drinfeld rational fractions*, which can be regarded as a natural extension of *Drinfeld polynomials* [5, 6], as follows:

Theorem 4.5. [13, Theorem 3.11] *For $\Psi \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, $L(\Psi)$ is in the category \mathcal{O} if and only if $\Psi_i(z)$ is rational for all $i \in I_0$.*

Remark 4.6. For $\Psi, \Psi' \in \mathfrak{t}_\ell^*$, it follows from the formulas of $\Delta(\Psi_{i,\pm k}^\pm)$ and $\Delta(x_{i,k}^+)$ [9] (see also [13, Theorem 2.6]) that $L(\Psi\Psi')$ is a subquotient of $L(\Psi) \otimes L(\Psi')$. Since we have by [13, Corollary 4.8, Corollary 5.1] that $L_{r,a}^\pm \in \mathcal{O}$ for all $r \in I$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, we conclude that any irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module in \mathcal{O} is a subquotient of a tensor product of prefundamental modules and one dimensional modules.

4.3. $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on $U_q(w)$. Let $\hat{w} \in \widehat{W}$ be given, where \hat{w} can be written as $\hat{w} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \tau$ with $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ by Proposition 3.3. Then we define

$$(4.8) \quad T_{\hat{w}} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_\ell} \tau \in \text{Aut}(U_q(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where τ is understood as an automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ [1]. Note that $T_{\hat{w}}$ is independent of the choice of the reduced expression of \hat{w} . For simplicity, we set $T_\lambda = T_{i_\lambda}$ in the case of $\hat{w} = t_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \widehat{M}$.

Lemma 4.7. $T_{-\lambda_r}(e_i) = e_i$ for $i \in I_0 \setminus \{r\}$.

Proof. By Remark 3.8, we have $t_{-\lambda_r}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$. This yields that $T_{-\lambda_r}(e_i) = e_i$ for $i \in I_0 \setminus \{r\}$ by [1, Lemma 3.2]. \square

Let us consider the $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M (2.5), and let

$$\iota : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow M$$

be the canonical projection from $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ to M , which is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules by Lemma 2.5. For $w \in W$, we define

$$(4.9) \quad M_w = \iota(U_q^-(w)) \subset M.$$

We may identify $U_q^-(w)$ with M_w .

Lemma 4.8. *M_w is closed under the action of \mathbf{e}'_i for all $i \in I$.*

Proof. Note that the convention for quantum unipotent coordinate rings $A_q(n(w))$ used in [21, 24] is different from that in the setting of this paper. The quantum coordinate ring $A_q(n(w))$ in [24] is generated by the dual root vectors defined by using $T_i^* := * \circ T_i \circ *$.

Let A_w be the \mathbf{k} -linear subspace of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ spanned by all elements $x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$e'_{i_1} \dots e'_{i_\ell} x = 0$$

for any sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I^\ell$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\ell \alpha_{i_k} = \beta \in \Delta_+ \cap w\Delta_+$, where $\ell = \text{ht}(\beta)$. Since [24, Theorem 2.20] says $A_q(n(w)) = A_w$ in the convention of [24], we have

$$U_q^-(w) = *(A_w).$$

By Remark 2.2, for any $x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, we have that $x \in U_q^-(w)$ if and only if

$$e^*_{i_1} \dots e^*_{i_\ell} x = 0$$

for any sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I^\ell$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\ell \alpha_{i_k} = \beta \in \Delta_+ \cap w\Delta_+$. Since e^*_j commutes with e'_i , we have

$$e^*_{i_1} \dots e^*_{i_\ell}(e'_i x) = e'_i(e^*_{i_1} \dots e^*_{i_\ell} x) = 0$$

for any $x \in U_q^-(w)$ and any sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I^\ell$ with $\sum_{k=1}^\ell \alpha_{i_k} \in \Delta_+ \cap w\Delta_+$. Therefore the element $e'_i(x)$ is contained in $U_q^-(w)$ for any $x \in U_q^-(w)$ and $i \in I$. This proves that M_w in (4.9) is closed under the action of \mathbf{e}'_i for all $i \in I$. \square

Remark 4.9.

- (1) By Lemma 4.8 and [22, Lemma 3.4.2], M_w has a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure, where the action of k_i ($i \in I$) given as in (4.12). Then $M_w \in \mathcal{O}$ by its definition, but M_w is not of highest ℓ -weight, since any highest ℓ -weight vector cannot generate M_w as a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.
- (2) There exists a category $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules introduced by Hernandez [11] and further studied by Mukhin and Young [34] belong to \mathcal{O} as $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules. On the other hand, Hernandez and Leclerc introduced two subcategories of \mathcal{O} , denoted by \mathcal{O}^+ and \mathcal{O}^- , in [14] (see [14, Remark 3.10] for their relationship with $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$). Since $\mathbf{e}'_i(\mathbf{1}) = 0$ for all $i \in I$, it follows from (5.5) and (5.6) that the ℓ -weight of $\mathbf{1}$ is given by $\Psi_i(z) = 1$ for all

$i \in I_0$. Hence M_w has a simple constituent whose highest ℓ -weight is neither positive nor negative (see [14, Definition 3.8] for definition of positive and negative ℓ -weights). This means that M_w is neither in \mathcal{O}^+ nor in \mathcal{O}^- , while M_w is contained in both \mathcal{O} and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ as a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.

For $r \in I_0$, let us write $M_r = M_{w_r}$ for simplicity, where w_r is given in (3.4). For $r \in I_0$, let

$$x_0 = T_{-\lambda_r}(e_0).$$

Lemma 4.10. *We have*

$$x_0 = q_0^{-t_r^{-1}(h_0)} y_0$$

for some $y_0 \in U_q^-(w_r)$ such that $e'_r(y_0) = 0$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.7, one can take a reduced expression of w_r , say $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$, with $i_1 = r$ and $i_\ell = \tau(0)$. By (2.7) and (4.8), we compute

$$(4.10) \quad x_0 = (T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{\ell-1}}(k_{\tau(0)}^{-1})) (-T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{\ell-1}}(f_{\tau(0)})).$$

Put $y_0 = -(T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{\ell-1}}(f_{\tau(0)}))$. By Definition 4.1, we have $y_0 \in U_q^-(w_r)$. Since $i_1 = r$, we have by Proposition 3.6 and [33, Proposition 40.1.3]

$$T_r^{-1}(y_0) \in U_q^-(s_r w_r) \subset U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Thus it follows from [33, Proposition 38.1.6] (cf. [25, Lemma 4.13]) that $e'_r(y_0) = 0$. Since $k_0 = q_0^{h_0}$ and $q_0 = q_{\tau(0)}$, we have by (2.6),

$$T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{\ell-1}}(k_{\tau(0)}^{-1}) = q_0^{-t_r^{-1}(h_0)}.$$

We complete the proof. \square

By Lemma 2.4, Corollary 2.7, and Remark 2.10, T_i is also understood as a \mathbf{k} -algebra homomorphism from $\mathfrak{U}^+[i]$ to \mathfrak{U} , where $\mathfrak{U}^+[i]$ is the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of \mathfrak{U} generated by \mathfrak{U}^+ and $*U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})[i]$. By replacing T_i with T_i in (4.8), we denote by $\mathbf{T}_{-\lambda_r}$ the map associated to $T_{-\lambda_r}$. Put

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{T}_{-\lambda_r}(e'_0).$$

Proposition 4.11. \mathbf{x}_0 is a well-defined element in \mathfrak{U}^- , and

$$\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \overline{m} = -(q_{\tau(0)} - q_{\tau(0)}^{-1}) q_{\tau(0)}^2 y_0 \overline{m}$$

for $\overline{m} \in M_r$, where y_0 is given in Lemma 4.10.

Proof. It follows from (2.9) and Corollary 3.7 that

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{T}_{-\lambda_r}(e'_0) = (q_{\tau(0)} - q_{\tau(0)}^{-1}) q_{\tau(0)}^2 \mathbf{T}_{w_r s_{\tau(0)}}(f_{\tau(0)}) \in \mathfrak{U}^-.$$

Here $\mathbf{T}_{w_r s_{\tau(0)}}(f_{\tau(0)}) \in \mathfrak{U}^-$ by the similar argument of Corollary 2.9. \square

The following is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.7 (cf. (2.10)), Corollary 2.9, Lemma 4.7, and Lemma 4.10 (cf. (4.10)).

Corollary 4.12.

- (1) We have $\mathbf{e}'_r(\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \overline{m}) = q_0^{a_{0r}} \mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \overline{\mathbf{e}'_r m}$ for $\overline{m} \in M_r$.
(2) For $i \in I_0 \setminus \{r\}$, we have $T_{-\lambda_r}(\mathbf{e}'_i) = \mathbf{e}'_i$.

For $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, we define \mathbf{k} -linear operators on M_r as follows:

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbf{t}_i(u) = \begin{cases} q^{(\alpha_i, \text{wt}(u))} u & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ q^{-(\theta, \text{wt}(u))} u & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{e}_i(u) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}'_i(u) & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ a\mathbf{x}_0 u. & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $u \in M_r$ is homogeneous. Note that \mathbf{e}_i is well-defined by Lemma 4.8 and Proposition 4.11 with (4.4).

Now, we are in position to state our first main result of this paper, which gives a family of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules in the category \mathcal{O} for all untwisted affine types.

Theorem 4.13. *There exists a \mathbf{k} -algebra homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : U_q(\mathfrak{b}) &\longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(M_r) \\ e_i &\longmapsto \mathbf{e}_i \\ k_i &\longmapsto \mathbf{t}_i \end{aligned}$$

Hence M_r becomes a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module, which we denote by $M_{r,a}$. Moreover, $M_{r,a}$ belongs to \mathcal{O} .

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $i \in I$, let us write the quantum Serre relation (cf. Section 2.1) as follows:

$$R_a(i; X, Y) := \sum_{k=0}^{1-a} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i X^k Y X^{1-a-k},$$

where X and Y are symbols. For $i, j \in I$, let us check the following relation:

$$R_{a_{ij}}(i; \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) = 0.$$

Case 1. $i, j \in I_0$. The relation in this case follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4.

Case 2. $i = 0$ and $j \in I_0 \setminus \{r\}$. By Proposition 2.3, (4.11), and Corollary 4.12(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{a_{0j}}(0; \mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_j) &= R_{a_{0j}}(0; aT_{-\lambda_r}(\mathbf{e}'_0), \mathbf{e}'_j) = a^{1-a_{0j}} T_{-\lambda_r}(R_{a_{0j}}(0; \mathbf{e}'_0, \mathbf{e}'_j)) = 0, \\ R_{a_{j0}}(j; \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_0) &= R_{a_{j0}}(j; \mathbf{e}'_i, aT_{-\lambda_r}(\mathbf{e}'_0)) = aT_{-\lambda_r}(R_{a_{j0}}(j; \mathbf{e}'_i, \mathbf{e}'_0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $i = 0$ and $j = r$. As \mathbf{k} -linear operators, it follows from Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 4.12(1) that

$$(4.13) \quad \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_0 = a\mathbf{e}'_r \mathbf{x}_0 = aq_0^{a_{0r}} \mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{e}'_r = q_0^{a_{0r}} \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_r$$

(cf. [18, Remark 3.11]). Note that it is known in [22, (3.2.8)] that

$$(4.14) \quad \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - q_i^{2k} z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q_i^{(n-1)k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i z^k,$$

$$(4.15) \quad \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - q_i^{-2k} z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q_i^{-(n-1)k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i z^k,$$

where z is an indeterminate. Put $b = 1 - a_{0r}$ and $c = 1 - a_{r0}$. By (4.13), we have

$$R_{a_{0r}}(0; \mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_r) = a^b \left(\sum_{k=0}^b (-1)^k q_0^{-a_{0r}k} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ k \end{bmatrix}_0 \right) \mathbf{e}_r (\mathbf{e}_0)^b = a^b \left(\prod_{k=0}^{-a_{0r}} (1 - q_0^{2k}) \right) \mathbf{e}_r (\mathbf{e}_0)^b = 0,$$

$$R_{a_{r0}}(r; \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_0) = a^c \left(\sum_{k=0}^c (-1)^k q_0^{a_{0r}k} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ k \end{bmatrix}_0 \right) \mathbf{e}_r (\mathbf{e}_0)^c = a^c \left(\prod_{k=0}^{-a_{0r}} (1 - q_0^{-2k}) \right) \mathbf{e}_r (\mathbf{e}_0)^c = 0,$$

where we apply (4.14) (resp. (4.15)) by putting $z = 1$, $n = b$ (resp. $n = c$), and $i = 0$.

It is straightforward to check the remaining relations for \mathbf{t}_i and \mathbf{e}_i . We leave the details to the reader (cf. [18, Theorem 3.10]).

Finally, it follows from (4.9) that the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module M_r is \mathfrak{t} -diagonalizable, where each weight space of M_r is finite-dimensional. Also, all weights of M_r are in $D(\bar{\Gamma})$, where $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the map from I to \mathbf{k}^\times by $i \mapsto 1$ for all $i \in I$. Hence, M_r is an object of \mathcal{O} (see Definition 4.4). \square

Remark 4.14. Let us consider the case of $a_r = 1$ (see Table 1). By Remark 3.8, we have

$$\theta = \delta - \alpha_0 = t_r^{-1}(-\alpha_0) = w_r(-\alpha_{\tau(0)}) \in \Delta^+(w_r).$$

By Corollary 3.5 (cf. Remark 3.10), a reduced expression of w_r is unique up to 2-braid relations (cf. [18, Lemma 3.2]). In the proof of Proposition 4.11, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \left(q_{\tau(0)} - q_{\tau(0)}^{-1} \right) q_{\tau(0)}^2 \mathbb{T}_{w_r s_{\tau(0)}}(f_{\tau(0)}),$$

and hence \mathbf{x}_0 coincides with the one in [18, (3.17)] up to scalar multiplication (for types A and D).

More precisely, let $F(\beta_\ell)$ be the root vector with respect to $w_r = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ (cf. (4.2)), where $\beta_\ell = \theta$ by Remark 3.10. By [29, Section 2.2] (see also [25, Lemma 2.12]) and [33, Proposition 38.2.3], we compute

$$(F(\beta_\ell), F(\beta_\ell))_{\mathbf{K}} = \prod_{i \in I_0} (1 - q_i^2)^{a_i}.$$

Then we have (cf. (4.5))

$$F^{\text{up}}(\beta_\ell) = \frac{1}{\left(q_{\tau(0)} - q_{\tau(0)}^{-1} \right) q_{\tau(0)}^2 \prod_{i \in I_0} (1 - q_i^2)^{a_i}} (\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \bar{\Gamma}).$$

Hence, the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -action in (4.12) recovers the one in [18, (4.19)] up to scalar multiplication. In this sense, Theorem 4.13 can be viewed as a generalization of [18, Theorem 4.20] for all untwisted affine types.

Proposition 4.15. *For $r \in I_0$ with $a_r \geq 2$, the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module $M_{r,a}$ is not irreducible.*

Proof. Let us consider a subset of $\Delta^+(w_r)$ given by

$$\Delta^+(w_r)_{\max} = \left\{ \alpha + (a_r - 1)\delta \in \Delta^+(w_r) \mid \alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+ \text{ with } (\lambda_r, \alpha) = a_r \right\}.$$

Let $U_q^-(w_r)'$ be the \mathbf{k} -linear subspace of $U_q^-(w_r)$ spanned by monomials in PBW vectors $F(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Delta^+(w_r)_{\max}$. For $i \in I_0$, suppose $e_i' F(\gamma) \neq 0$ for $\gamma \in \Delta^+(w_r)_{\max}$. Write

$\gamma = \alpha + (a_r - 1)\delta$ for $\alpha \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$. By Lemma 4.8, $e'_i F(\gamma)$ is written as a linear combination of monomials in PBW vectors associated to $\Delta^+(w_r)$, say

$$(4.16) \quad e'_i F(\gamma) = \zeta_i F(\gamma - \alpha_i) + \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell} \eta_{\mathbf{c}} F(\mathbf{c}, \tilde{w}_r).$$

for some $\zeta_i, \eta_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathbf{k}$. Note

$$\text{wt}(e'_i F(\gamma)) = \begin{cases} -(\alpha - \alpha_i) - (a_r - 1)\delta & \text{if } e'_i F(\gamma) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and then $\alpha - \alpha_i \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$ if $e'_i F(\gamma) \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.4 and (4.16).

Suppose that $\eta_{\mathbf{c}} \neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{c} = (c_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell$. By weight consideration, $c_k \geq 2$ for some k or the number of k 's such that $c_k \neq 0$ is strictly greater than 1. Also, we have

$$\alpha - \alpha_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k \mathring{\beta}_k, \quad a_r - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k L_k,$$

where $\beta_k = \mathring{\beta}_k + L_k \delta \in \Delta^+(w_r)$ with $\mathring{\beta}_k \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$. Here $L_k < (\lambda_r, \mathring{\beta}_k)$ by Proposition 3.4. Write $(\lambda_r, \mathring{\beta}_k) = L_k + r_k$ for $r_k \geq 1$. Then we obtain

$$a_r \geq (\lambda_r, \alpha - \alpha_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k (\lambda_r, \mathring{\beta}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k (L_k + r_k) = (a_r - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k r_k > a_r + 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence if $e'_i F(\gamma) \neq 0$, then $e'_i F(\gamma) = \zeta_i F(\gamma - \alpha_i)$ for $\zeta_i \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. This implies that $U_q^-(w_r)'$ is closed under the action of e'_i for all $i \in I_0$. On the other hand, the weight of y_0 in Lemma 4.10 is $\alpha_0 - a_r \delta = -\theta - (a_r - 1)\delta \in -\Delta^+(w_r)_{\max}$ by the definition of x_0 . Thus, $U_q^-(w_r)'$ is closed under the action given by left multiplication of y_0 by (4.4).

Set $M'_{r,a} = \tau(U_q^-(w_r)')$. Then our assertion so far implies that $M'_{r,a}$ is a non-trivial proper $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -submodule of $M_{r,a}$ by (4.9) and Proposition 4.11. \square

Remark 4.16. By Proposition 4.15, $M_{r,a}$ cannot be isomorphic to $L_{r,a}^-$ (up to shift of spectral parameter) even though $\text{ch}(M_{r,a}) = \text{ch}(L_{r,a}^-)$, while it is isomorphic to $L_{r,a c_r}^-$ for some $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ if $a_r = 1$ (see Section 5).

Example 4.17. Let us consider $M_{1,a}$ for type $C_2^{(1)}$. By Example 3.9, in this case, we have

$$M'_{1,a} = \mathbf{k}\langle \mathbf{x}_0^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \rangle.$$

Observe

$$\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \\ a \mathbf{x}_0^2 & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{e}'_1 \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ by Corollary 4.12(1), and $\mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{e}'_2 \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ by Lemma 4.8 and $\text{wt}(\mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{x}_0) = -\theta + \alpha_2 - \delta = -2\alpha_1 - \delta \notin \Delta^-(w_1)$. Thus $M'_{1,a}$ is a non-trivial proper submodule of $M_{1,a}$.

5. PREFUNDAMENTAL MODULES

5.1. Character formulas. For a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module V in \mathcal{O} , it has a weight space decomposition with respect to $\{k_i \mid i \in I_0\}$, that is,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*} V_\omega,$$

where V_ω is given as in (4.7). For $\omega \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we also write $V_\beta = V_\omega$ for $\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}$ with $\tilde{\beta} = \omega$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[[e^\beta]]_{\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}}$ be the ring of formal power series in variables e^β for $\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}$ with $e^\beta \cdot e^\gamma = e^{\beta+\gamma}$. Then we define

$$\text{ch}(V) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}} (\dim V_\beta) e^\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[[e^\beta]]_{\beta \in \mathring{\mathfrak{P}}},$$

which is called the *ordinary $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ -character* of V . We often call it the *character* of V if there is no confusion.

Theorem 5.1. *For $r \in I_0$, we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \text{ch}(M_r) = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-\beta})^{[\beta]_r}},$$

where $[\beta]_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is given by $\bar{\beta} = \sum_{s \in I_0} [\beta]_s \alpha_s$. Furthermore, we have $\text{ch}(M_r) = \text{ch}(L_{r,a}^\pm)$ except for the cases that $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$ is of type E_8 with $r \in \{2, 5\}$.

Proof. Since $\tau(\Delta^\pm) = \Delta^\pm$ for $\tau \in \mathcal{J}$, we have $\Delta^+(t_r^{-1}) = \Delta^+(w_r)$. Thus (5.1) follows from Definition 4.1 and Proposition 3.4. It is proved in [30] that the character of the limit of the Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules $W_{s, q_i^{-2s+1}}^{(r)}$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ coincides with the formula (5.1) except for the cases that \mathfrak{g} is of type E_8 with $r \in \{2, 5\}$. Note that it is conjectured to hold for all r in [34]. Since $L_{r,1}^-$ is the limit of $W_{s, q_i^{-2s+1}}^{(r)}$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ [13], its character is equal to the right-hand side of (5.1). Furthermore, it is known in [13] that

$$\text{ch}(L_{r,1}^-) = \text{ch}(L_{r,a}^-) = \text{ch}(L_{r,a}^+)$$

for any $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$. By (5.1), we conclude $\text{ch}(M_r) = \text{ch}(L_{r,a}^\pm)$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 5.2. For $r \in I_0$, we say that r is *cominuscule* if $a_r = 1$, that is, $(\lambda_r, \alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in \mathring{\mathfrak{Q}}_+$ with $(\lambda_r, \alpha) \neq 0$ or $[\beta]_r = 1$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^+(t_r^{-1})$ in (5.1). We say that $L_{r,a}^\pm$ are *cominuscule* if r is cominuscule.

Remark 5.3. By Proposition 3.4, we have $\Delta^+(w_r) \subset \mathring{\mathfrak{Q}}_+$ if $r \in I_0$ is cominuscule. Hence, when $r \in I_0$ is cominuscule, one may regard $U_q^-(w_r)$ as a \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$.

5.2. Realization of cominuscule negative prefundamental modules. Let $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ be given. For coincidence with [18] (see Remark 4.14), we renormalize the 0-action (4.12) by

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{e}_0(u) := a \left[\frac{1}{(q_{\tau(0)} - q_{\tau(0)}^{-1}) q_{\tau(0)}^2 \prod_{i \in I_0} (1 - q_i^2)^{a_i}} \mathbf{x}_0 \right] u = a \mathbf{x}_0 u,$$

and then we denote by $\rho_{r,a}$ the representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ on M_r . Note that $\rho_{r,a}$ coincides with $\rho_{r,a}^-$ in [18, Theorem 4.20]. We denote by $M_{r,a}$ the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module corresponding to $\rho_{r,a}$.

We state our second main result in this paper, which is an extension of [18, Theorem 4.22] to all cominuscule $r \in I_0$ (see Table 1).

Theorem 5.4. *For cominuscule $r \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, there exists $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that as a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module,*

$$M_{r,a} \cong L_{r,ac_r}^-.$$

Corollary 5.5. *For $r \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module $M_{r,a}$ with respect to (4.12) and (5.2) is irreducible if and only if r is cominuscule.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.15 and Theorem 5.4. \square

Remark 5.6. It is shown in [13, Section 5] that $L_{r,a}^+$ is a σ -twisted dual of $L_{r,a}^-$ as a representation of the asymptotic algebra, where σ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{k} -algebras from $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to $U_{q^{-1}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, for cominuscule $r \in I_0$, one can also obtain a realization of $L_{r,a}^+$ by using Theorem 5.4 following [13]. Note that the authors use S^{-1} (2.3) to give a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module structure on the graded dual space of $L_{r,a}^-$ in the reason of [13, Remark 3.19]. On the other hand, it is known in [18] for types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$ with cominuscule $r \in I_0$ that there exists another way to give a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -modules structure on M_r directly, which is isomorphic to $L_{r,a}^+$ up to shift of spectral parameter. This can be extended to all cominuscule r , which will be discussed in Section 5.4.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.4. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.4 and Remark 5.6.

To prove Theorem 5.4, we shall compute explicitly the ℓ -highest weight of a scalar multiple of $1 \in M_{r,a}$, denoted by $\mathbf{1}$. Note that the parameter $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ in Theorem 5.4 is determined after we finish to compute the highest ℓ -weight of $M_{r,a}$.

Let 2ρ be the sum of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} . Take a reduced expression $t_{2\rho} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N} \in W$ and define a doubly infinite sequence

$$(5.3) \quad \dots, i_{-2}, i_{-1}, i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots,$$

by setting $i_k = i_{k \pmod{N}}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (5.3) gives a convex order $<$ (cf. [35, Definition 2.1]) on the set of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} :

$$(5.4) \quad \beta_0 < \beta_{-1} < \cdots < \delta < \cdots < \beta_2 < \beta_1,$$

where β_k is given by

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} s_{i_0} s_{i_{-1}} \cdots s_{i_{k+1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the root vector \mathbf{E}_{β_k} by

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{E}_{\beta_k} = \begin{cases} T_{i_0}^{-1} T_{i_{-1}}^{-1} \cdots T_{i_{k+1}}^{-1}(e_{i_k}) & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(e_{i_k}) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

We define the root vector \mathbf{F}_{β_k} in the same way with e_{i_k} replaced by f_{i_k} in (5.5). Recall that $\mathbf{E}_{\beta_k} \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\beta_k} \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [33, Proposition 40.1.3]). In particular, if $\beta_k = \alpha_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $\mathbf{E}_{\beta_k} = e_i$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\beta_k} = f_i$ (cf. [35, Corollary 4.3]).

Lemma 5.7. ([18, Lemma 4.2]) *For $i \in I$ and $k > 0$, the root vectors $\mathbf{E}_{k\delta \pm \alpha_i}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{k\delta \pm \alpha_i}$ are independent of the choice of a reduced expression of $t_{2\rho}$. \square*

Let $o : I \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be a map such that $o(i) = -o(j)$ whenever $a_{ij} < 0$. Recall that

$$(5.6) \quad \psi_{i,k}^+ = o(i)^k (q_i - q_i^{-1}) C^{+\frac{k}{2}} k_i (\mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} - q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i})$$

for $i \in I$ and $k > 0$ ([3], cf. [2, Proposition 1.2]). We remark that the right-hand side of (5.6) is also independent of the choice of a reduced expression of $t_{2\rho}$ due to Lemma 5.7.

The following lemma together with (5.6) enables us to compute the action of $\psi_{i,k}^+$ for $i \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ on a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module.

Lemma 5.8. (cf. [18, Lemma 4.3]) *For $i \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{E}_{(k+1)\delta - \alpha_i} = -\frac{1}{q_i + q_i^{-1}} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i} - q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i} \right. \\ \left. - \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} + q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i} \right).$$

Proof. The proof is almost identical with the one of [18, Lemma 4.3] by using [1, Lemma 4.2]. Note that $\tilde{\psi}_{i,k} = \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} - q_i^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i}$ in the case of non-symmetric types. \square

For $i \in I$, we define the \mathbf{k} -linear subspace $U_q^+(\delta - \alpha_i)$ of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\delta - \alpha_i}$ by

$$U_q^+(\delta - \alpha_i) = \sum_{\beta} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i - \beta},$$

where the sum is over $\beta \in \Delta^+$ such that $\delta - \alpha_i - \beta \neq \alpha_0$. The following formula plays an important role in the subsequent computation of the highest ℓ -weight of $M_{r,a}$.

Lemma 5.9. *Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $X_n^{(1)}$ and r is cominuscule. Then we have*

$$(5.7) \quad \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} - \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \in U_q^+(\delta - \alpha_r),$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} = \begin{cases} (-q^{-1})^{n-1} (e_{r+1} \dots e_{n-1} e_n) (e_{r-1} \dots e_2 e_1) e_0 & \text{if } X = A, \\ q^{-2n} (q^{-1} + q) (e_2 e_3 \dots e_n) (e_n e_{n-1} \dots e_2) e_0 & \text{if } X = B, \\ \left(\frac{q^{-1}}{q^{-1} + q} \right)^{n-1} (e_{n-1})^2 (e_{n-2})^2 \dots (e_1)^2 e_0 & \text{if } X = C, \\ q^{-2n+4} (e_2 e_3 \dots e_{n-2} e_{n-1}) (e_n e_{n-2} \dots e_3 e_2) e_0 & \text{if } X = D \text{ and } r = 1, \\ q^{-2n+4} (e_{n-2} e_{n-3} \dots e_2 e_1) (e_n e_{n-2} \dots e_3 e_2) e_0 & \text{if } X = D \text{ and } r = n-1, \\ q^{-2n+4} (e_{n-2} e_{n-3} \dots e_2 e_1) (e_{n-1} e_{n-2} \dots e_3 e_2) e_0 & \text{if } X = D \text{ and } r = n, \\ q^{-10} (e_3 e_4 e_5 e_6 e_2 e_4 e_5 e_3 e_4 e_2) e_0 & \text{if } X_n = E_6 \text{ and } r = 1, \\ q^{-10} (e_5 e_4 e_3 e_1 e_2 e_4 e_3 e_5 e_4 e_2) e_0 & \text{if } X_n = E_6 \text{ and } r = 6, \\ q^{-16} (e_6 e_5 e_4 e_2 e_3 e_1 e_4 e_5 e_6 e_3 e_4 e_5 e_2 e_4 e_3 e_1) e_0 & \text{if } X_n = E_7 \text{ and } r = 7. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The formulas (5.7) for $X = A, D$ are already proven in [18, Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.12]. We refer the reader to [32, Lemma 6.5] for the proof of (5.7) when $X = C$. Note that the reduced expression of w_r in [32, Remark 6.3] coincides with the one in Remark 3.10 up to 2-braid relations.

Let us verify two remaining cases $X = B, E$. For simplicity, let us write

$$a, b]_q := ab - q^{-1}ba.$$

Case 1. $X = B$. Set $x_0 := T_{n-1} \dots T_2(e_1)$ and $x := T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-1} T_n(x_0)$. It is straightforward to check

$$x_0 = e_{n-1}, e_{n-2}]_q, e_{n-3}]_q, \dots, e_2]_q, e_1]_q$$

(cf. [18, Lemma 4.6]). Since

$$T_{n-1} T_n(e_{n-1}) = T_n^{-1}(T_n T_{n-1} T_n(e_{n-1})) = e_{n-1} e_n^{(2)} - q^{-2} e_n e_{n-1} e_n + q^{-4} e_n^{(2)} e_{n-1},$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-2} T_{n-1} T_n(e_{n-1}, e_{n-2}]_q, e_{n-3}]_q, \dots, e_2]_q, e_1]_q) \\ &= T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-2} T_{n-1} T_n(e_{n-1}, e_{n-1}]_q, e_{n-2}]_q, \dots, e_3]_q, e_2]_q) \\ &= T_1 T_2 \dots T_{n-2} \left(e_{n-1} e_n^{(2)} - q^{-2} e_n e_{n-1} e_n + q^{-4} e_n^{(2)} e_{n-1} \right), e_{n-1}]_q, e_{n-2}]_q, \dots, e_3]_q, e_2]_q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$x = e_1, e_2]_q, e_3]_q, \dots]_q, e_{n-1}]_q, e_n^{(2)}]_q, e_{n-1}]_q, e_{n-2}]_q, \dots, e_3]_q, e_2]_q + X,$$

where X is the sum of the remaining monomials $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ such that $e_{i_k} \neq e_1$. In this case, the Dynkin diagram automorphism τ_1 of $B_n^{(1)}$ is given by

$$\tau_1(1) = 0, \quad \tau_1(0) = 1, \quad \tau_1(k) = k$$

for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Since $E_{\beta - \alpha_1} = \tau_1(x)$, we prove the desired formula by the above formula of x .

Case 2. $X = E$. First, we prove the case of $n = 6$ with $r = 1$. One check

$$\begin{aligned} y &= T_1 T_3 T_4 T_5 T_6 T_2 T_4 T_5 T_3 T_4 T_2 T_1 T_3 T_4 T_5(e_6) \\ &= e_1, e_3]_q, e_4]_q, e_2]_q, e_5]_q, e_4]_q, e_3]_q, e_6]_q, e_5]_q, e_4]_q, e_2]_q. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the Dynkin diagram automorphism τ is given by

$$\tau(0) = 6, \tau(1) = 0, \tau(2) = 5, \tau(3) = 2, \tau(4) = 4, \tau(6) = 1, \tau(5) = 3.$$

Since $E_{\delta - \alpha_1} = \tau(y)$, the desired formula follows from the above formula of y . The proof for the case of $n = 6$ with $r = 6$ is similar.

Second, we prove the case of $n = 7$ with $r = 7$. One check

$$\begin{aligned} z &= T_7 T_6 T_5 T_4 T_3 T_1 T_2 T_4 T_3 T_5 T_4 T_2 T_6 T_5 T_4 T_3 T_1 T_7 T_6 T_5 T_4 T_3 T_2 T_4 T_5 T_6(e_7) \\ &= e_7, e_6]_q, e_5]_q, e_4]_q, e_2]_q, e_3]_q, e_4]_q, e_5]_q, e_1]_q, e_3]_q, e_4]_q, e_6]_q, e_5]_q, e_2]_q, e_4]_q, e_3]_q, e_1]_q. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the Dynkin diagram automorphism τ is given by

$$\tau(0) = 7, \tau(1) = 6, \tau(2) = 2, \tau(3) = 5, \tau(4) = 4, \tau(5) = 3, \tau(6) = 1, \tau(7) = 0.$$

Hence, the desired formula follows from the above formula of z . \square

For simplicity, for $x \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, let us write $\bar{x} \in M_{r,a}$ by x if there is no confusion (cf. (2.5) and (4.9)). Following [18], it is not difficult to obtain inductively the following formulas from [18, Lemma 3.6], Lemma 5.8, Lemma 5.9, Corollary A.4, and Corollary A.6.

Proposition 5.10. *Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type $X_n^{(1)}$ and r is cominuscule. Then we have*

$$E_{k\delta - \alpha_i}(1) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{kn-1} q^{-k(n+1)+2} (q - q^{-1})^{k-1} a^k f_r & \text{if } X = A \text{ and } i = r, \\ (-1)^{k-1} (q^{-2n} (q^{-1} + q)a)^k q^{-2(k-1)} (1 - q^{-4})^{k-1} f_1 & \text{if } X = B \text{ and } i = 1, \\ (-1)^{k-1} (q^{-n+1} a)^k q^{-2(k-1)} (1 - q^{-4})^{k-1} f_n & \text{if } X = C \text{ and } i = n, \\ (-1)^{k-1} q^{-2k(n-1)+2} (q - q^{-1})^{k-1} a^k f_r & \text{if } X = D \text{ and } i = r, \\ (-1)^{k-1} (aq^{-10})^k q^{-k+1} (1 - q^{-2})^{k-1} f_r & \text{if } X_n = E_6 \text{ and } i = r, \\ (-1)^{k-1} (aq^{-16})^k q^{-k+1} (1 - q^{-2})^{k-1} f_7 & \text{if } X_n = E_7 \text{ and } i = 7, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$E_{\delta - \alpha_i}(f_r) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-1} q^{-n+1} a f_r^2 & \text{if } X = A \text{ and } i = r, \\ q^{-2n} (q^{-1} + q) a f_1^2 & \text{if } X = B \text{ and } i = 1, \\ q^{-n+1} a f_n^2 & \text{if } X = C \text{ and } i = n, \\ q^{-2n+4} a f_r^2 & \text{if } X = D \text{ and } i = r, \\ a q^{-10} f_r^2 & \text{if } X_n = E_6 \text{ and } i = r, \\ a q^{-16} f_7^2 & \text{if } X_n = E_7 \text{ and } i = 7, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.11.

- (1) For types $A_n^{(1)}$, $D_n^{(1)}$, and $E_{6,7}^{(1)}$ with cominuscule $r \in I_0$, let us take a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ of w_r such that $\beta_1 = \alpha_r$ and $\beta_\ell = \theta$ as in Remark 4.14. Then we have

$$F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k) \in \iota_{\varpi_r}(G^{\text{up}}(\varpi_r)), \quad e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k)) = \begin{cases} F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k - \alpha_i) & \text{if } (\alpha_i, \beta_k) = 1 + \delta_{i,r}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where ι_{ϖ_r} is the embedding from the irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module $V(\varpi_r)$ associated to ϖ_r into $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$, and $G^{\text{up}}(\varpi_r)$ is the dual canonical basis of $V(\varpi_r)$ (see [18, Section 3.1] for more details and references therein). This is proved in [18, Lemma 3.6] for types A and D , but the proof also holds for type E with help of Proposition 3.6 (or Remark 3.10), since we use only some properties of dual canonical basis of $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the proof of [18, Lemma 3.6], which are available for general types [25, 33].

- (2) For types $B_n^{(1)}$ and $C_n^{(1)}$ with cominuscule $r \in I_0$, we also have


$$F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k) \in \iota_{\varpi_r}(G^{\text{up}}(\varpi_r)).$$

However, we cannot apply the argument in the proof of [18, Lemma 3.6] to obtain a formula of the action of e'_i on $F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k)$. Alternatively, we use an explicit realization of


$F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k)$ in terms of quantum shuffle algebra [29], and then we yield similar formulas in Corollary A.4 and Corollary A.6 for types B and C , respectively (cf. [19, Proposition 3.14]).

- (3) Thanks to (1) and (2), the crystal graph of $V(\varpi_r)$ is helpful to compute the formulas of Proposition 5.10. For the convenience of the reader, we provide the crystal graph of $V(\varpi_r)$ for type E_6 (resp. E_7) [16], which coincides with the following enumeration of $\Delta^+(w_r)$ with respect to (4.1) up to shift of weights, where we denote by $\mathbf{a}_{\text{acdef}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{b}_{\text{acdefg}}$) the positive root $\mathbf{a}\alpha_1 + \mathbf{b}\alpha_2 + \mathbf{c}\alpha_3 + \mathbf{d}\alpha_4 + \mathbf{e}\alpha_5 + \mathbf{f}\alpha_6 \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{a}\alpha_1 + \mathbf{b}\alpha_2 + \mathbf{c}\alpha_3 + \mathbf{d}\alpha_4 + \mathbf{e}\alpha_5 + \mathbf{f}\alpha_6 + \mathbf{g}\alpha_7 \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$), and two positive roots are connected by a single edge if their difference is equal to $\pm\alpha_i$ for some $i \in I_0$.

Type E_6 with $r = 6$. Note that $\Delta^+(w_1)$ is obtained from $\Delta^+(w_6)$ by replacing $6 \leftrightarrow 1$ and $5 \leftrightarrow 3$.



Type E_7 with $r = 7$.



where the boxed positive root is equal to θ . Similarly, when r is cominuscle, the enumeration of $\Delta^+(w_r)$ for types A_n and D_n under the same convention as above coincides with the crystal graph associated to ϖ_r [17, 27] up to shift of weights. Note that the crystal graph of $V(\varpi_r)$ for types B_n and C_n can be realized from the crystal of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ [22], where \mathfrak{g} is of type A_{2n-1} , by using the similarity of crystals [23] in terms of $\Delta^+(w_r)$ (see [28]).

We denote by $\mathbf{1}$ a scalar multiple of $1 \in M_{r,a}$. Finally, we are ready to compute the ℓ -weight of $\mathbf{1} \in M_{r,a}$.

Lemma 5.12. *For cominuscle $r \in I_0$ and $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, there exists $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that the ℓ -weight $(\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I}$ of $\mathbf{1} \in M_{r,a}$ is given as follows:*

$$(5.8) \quad \Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - ac_r z} & \text{if } i = r, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \neq r, \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $V = M_{r,a}$ for simplicity. By Proposition 5.10, there exist $b \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $c \in \mathbf{k}$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_r} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = b \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_{2\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = c \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} \neq 0$, which spans the weight space $V_{\overline{\alpha_r - 1}}$ of V . Thanks to Lemma 5.7, one can find an element $h \in U_q(\mathfrak{b})^0$ such that

$$(5.10) \quad [h, \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_r}] = \mathbf{E}_{(k+1)\delta - \alpha_r}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (cf. [1, 2]). Since $\mathbf{1}$ is a simultaneous eigenvector of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})^0$, there exists $d \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $h\mathbf{1} = d\mathbf{1}$. By (5.10), we have

$$h\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{E}_{2\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} h\mathbf{1} = (c + d)\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1},$$

that is, the element h acts on $V_{\overline{\alpha_r - 1}}$ as the scalar multiplication by $c + d$.

We prove that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$(5.11) \quad \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = c^{k-1} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1}.$$

Suppose that the equality holds for k . Then it follows from (5.10) and induction hypothesis that

$$\mathbf{E}_{(k+1)\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = h\mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_r} h\mathbf{1} = (c + d)c^{k-1} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} - dc^{k-1} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = c^k \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1}.$$

This prove (5.11). By (5.6) and (5.11), we compute

$$\Psi_{r,k}^+(\mathbf{1}) = o(r)^k (q_r - q_r^{-1})(-q_r^{-2}) \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_r} \mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_r}(\mathbf{1}) = -b(q_r^{-1} - q_r^{-3})o(r)^k c^{k-1} \mathbf{1}.$$

Thus, the r -th component of the ℓ -weight of $\mathbf{1}$ is given by

$$(5.12) \quad \Psi_r(z) = 1 - b(q_r^{-1} - q_r^{-3}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} o(r)^k c^{k-1} z^k = 1 - \frac{b(q_r^{-1} - q_r^{-3})o(r)z}{1 - o(r)cz}.$$

On the other hand, let $i \neq r$. By Proposition 3.4, we know that $-\alpha_i$ is not a weight of V . Therefore, we conclude that $\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i} \mathbf{1} = 0$. This implies $\Psi_i(z) = 1$ by (5.6) and Lemma 5.8.

The remaining task is to determine whether (5.12) is of the form in (5.8) with respect to ρ . Since we have by (5.12)

$$\Psi_r(z) = \frac{1 - (c + b(q_r^{-1} - q_r^{-3}))o(r)z}{1 - o(r)cz},$$

it is enough to show that $c = b(q_r^{-3} - q_r^{-1})$. It follows from the following formulas which can be obtained by using Proposition 5.10 (cf. [18, (4.17)]):

$$\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_r} \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = \begin{cases} a(-q^{-1})^{n-1} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = A, \\ aq^{-2n}(q^{-1} + q) \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = B, \\ aq^{-n+1} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = C, \\ aq^{-2n+4} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = D, \\ aq^{-10} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X_n = E_6, \\ aq^{-16} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X_n = E_7, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{2\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-1} aq^{-n+1}(q^{-3} - q^{-1})a\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = A, \\ aq^{-2n}(q^{-1} + q)(q_1^{-3} - q_1^{-1})\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_1} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = B, \\ aq^{-n+1}(q_n^{-3} - q_n^{-1})\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_n} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = C, \\ aq^{-2n+4}(q^{-3} - q^{-1})\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X = D, \\ aq^{-10}(q^{-3} - q^{-1})\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_r} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X_n = E_6, \\ aq^{-16}(q^{-3} - q^{-1})\mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_7} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } X_n = E_7. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we complete the proof. \square

Remark 5.13. While we prove Lemma 5.12 for types A and D in [18] by case by case approach, we prove it here in a more uniform way. This is inspired by a comment of an anonymous referee of [18] for an attempt to compute the highest ℓ -weights in a uniform way.

Proof of Theorem 5.4. By Lemma 5.12, there exists $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that the maximal element $\mathbf{1} \in M_{r,a}$ has the ℓ -weight $(\Psi_i(z))_{i \in I}$ of the following form

$$\Psi_i(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - ac_r z} & \text{if } i = r, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let N be the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -submodule of $M_{r,a}$ generated by $\mathbf{1}$. By Theorem 4.5, L_{r,ac_r}^- is a maximal quotient of N , but it follows from Theorem 5.1 that $M_{r,a}$ is isomorphic to L_{r,ac_r}^- as a $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module. \square

5.4. Realization of cominuscule positive prefundamental modules. Let \mathbf{x}_0 be given as in (5.2). Following [18, Section 3.3], we define \mathbf{k} -linear operators on M_r by

$$(5.13) \quad \mathbf{t}_i(u) = \begin{cases} q^{(\alpha_i, \text{wt}(u))} u & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ q^{-(\theta, \text{wt}(u))} u & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{e}_i(u) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}'_i(u) & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ aq^{-(\theta, \text{wt}(u))} u \mathbf{x}_0 & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the \mathbf{k} -linear operators \mathbf{e}_i for $i \in I$ are well-defined due to (4.4) and Lemma 4.8.

When $r \in I_0$ is cominuscule, (5.13) coincides with [18, (3.20)] for types A and D (cf. Remark 4.14).

The following theorem is an extension of [18, Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 4.17] to all cominuscule $r \in I_0$.

Theorem 5.14. *For cominuscule $r \in I_0$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : U_q(\mathfrak{b}) &\longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(M_r) \\ e_i &\longmapsto \mathbf{e}_i \\ k_i &\longmapsto \mathbf{t}_i \end{aligned}$$

is a representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ on M_r . Moreover, there exists $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ such that the $U_q(\mathfrak{b})$ -module M_r is isomorphic to L_{r,ac_r}^+ .

Proof. First, we check the quantum Serre relations for $\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid i \in I\}$. It is enough to verify the relations involving \mathbf{e}_0 . Let us fix a homogenous element $u \in M_r$.

Case 1. Suppose that $(\alpha_i, \theta) = 0$. In this case, we have

$$\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_0(u) = aq^{-(\theta, \beta)} \left\{ \mathbf{e}'_i(u) \mathbf{x}_0 + q^{(\beta, \alpha_i)} u \mathbf{e}'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) \right\} = aq^{-(\theta, \beta + \alpha_i)} \mathbf{e}'_i(u) \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i(u).$$

Here $\mathbf{e}'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ follows from [18, Lemma 3.8] for types ADE (cf. Remark 5.11), Corollary A.4 for type B , and Corollary A.6 for type C .

Case 2. Suppose that $(\alpha_i, \theta) \neq 0$. In this case, we check only the following relation which occurs in the case of $a_{i0} = -2$:

$$(5.14) \quad \mathbf{e}_i^3 \mathbf{e}_0 - (q_i^{-2} + 1 + q_i^2) \mathbf{e}_i^2 \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i + (q_i^{-2} + 1 + q_i^2) \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i^2 - \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i^3 = 0,$$

since the other relations (in which $a_{i0} = a_{0i} = -1$) can be shown by the similar way as in the proof of [18, Theorem 3.10] with the help of Corollary A.4 for type B . In fact, the above relation occurs only when $X = C$ and $q_i = q$. Set $s = (\theta, \beta)$ and $t = (\beta, \alpha_i)$. By using Corollary A.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{e}_i)^3 \mathbf{e}_0(u) &= aq^{-s} (\mathbf{e}'_i)^3(u) \mathbf{x}_0 + (aq^{-s+t-4} + aq^{-s+t-2} + aq^{-s+t}) (\mathbf{e}'_i)^2(u) \mathbf{e}'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ &\quad + (aq^{-s+2t-4} + aq^{-s+2t-2} + aq^{-s+2t}) \mathbf{e}'_i(u) (\mathbf{e}'_i)^2(\mathbf{x}_0), \\ \mathbf{e}_i^2 \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i(u) &= aq^{-s+2} (\mathbf{e}'_i)^3(u) \mathbf{x}_0 + (aq^{-s+t-2} + aq^{-s+t}) (\mathbf{e}'_i)^2(u) \mathbf{e}'_i(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ &\quad + q^{-s+2t-2} \mathbf{e}'_i(u) (\mathbf{e}'_i)^2(\mathbf{x}_0), \\ \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i^2(u) &= aq^{-s+4} (\mathbf{e}'_i)^3(u) \mathbf{x}_0 + aq^{-s+t} (\mathbf{e}'_i)^2(u) \mathbf{e}'_i(\mathbf{x}_0), \quad \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_i^3(u) = aq^{-s+6} (\mathbf{e}'_i)^3(u) \mathbf{x}_0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.14). By *Case 1–Case 2*, we prove our first assertion.

Second, we compute the highest ℓ -weight of $\mathbf{1} \in M_r$ with respect to (5.13). Following the proof of Lemma 5.12, it is enough to determine

$$\mathbf{E}_{k\delta - \alpha_i}(1), \quad \mathbf{E}_{\delta - \alpha_i}(f_r).$$

By Lemma 5.9, we have

$$(5.15) \quad E_{k\delta - \alpha_i}(1) = \begin{cases} (-q^{-1})^{n-1} a f_r & \text{if } X = A, i = r, \text{ and } k = 1, \\ q^{-2n}(q^{-1} + q) a f_1 & \text{if } X = B, i = 1, \text{ and } k = 1, \\ a q^{-n+1} f_n & \text{if } X = C, i = n, \text{ and } k = 1, \\ a q^{-2n+4} f_r & \text{if } X = D, i = r, \text{ and } k = 1, \\ a q^{-10} f_r & \text{if } X_n = E_6, i = r, \text{ and } k = 1, \\ a q^{-16} f_7 & \text{if } X_n = E_7, i = 7, \text{ and } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(5.16) \quad E_{\delta - \alpha_i}(f_r) = \begin{cases} (-q^{-1})^{n-1} q^2 a f_r^2 & \text{if } X = A \text{ and } i = r, \\ a q^{-2n+2}(q^{-1} + q) f_1^2 & \text{if } X = B \text{ and } i = 1, \\ a q^{-n+5} f_n^2 & \text{if } X = C \text{ and } i = n, \\ a q^{-2n+6} f_r^2 & \text{if } X = D \text{ and } i = r, \\ a q^{-8} f_r^2 & \text{if } X_n = E_6 \text{ and } i = r, \\ a q^{-14} f_7^2 & \text{if } X_n = E_7 \text{ and } i = 7, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (5.15) and (5.16), we have $c = 0$ in (5.9). Since the scalar b in (5.9) can be determined explicitly by (5.15) and (5.16) (in fact, a scalar multiple of $a \in \mathbf{k}^\times$), it follows from (5.12) that there exists $c_r \in \mathbf{k}^+$ such that $\Psi_r(z) = 1 - a c_r z$. Combining it with Theorem 5.1, we prove our second assertion. \square

APPENDIX A. QUANTUM SHUFFLE ALGEBRAS AND ROOT VECTORS

Let us summarize the description of dual root vectors for types B_n and C_n following [29].

A.1. Quantum shuffle algebras. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{w_1 < \dots < w_n\}$ be a linearly ordered set of alphabets, and let \mathcal{W} be the set of words with alphabets in \mathcal{A} . We put $w[i_1, \dots, i_k] = w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_k}$ for i_1, \dots, i_k . We regard \mathcal{W} as the ordered set with respect to the following lexicographic order:

$$w[i_1, \dots, i_a] < w[j_1, \dots, j_b]$$

if there exists an r such that $w_{i_r} < w_{j_r}$ and $w_{i_s} = w_{j_s}$ for $s < r$, or if $a < b$ and $w_{i_s} = w_{j_s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq a$. For a word $w = w[i_1, \dots, i_k] \in \mathcal{W}$, we say that w is a *Lyndon word* if $w < w[i_s, i_{s+1}, \dots, i_k]$ for $2 \leq s \leq k$. Let $l \in \mathcal{W}$ be a Lyndon word. Write $l = l_1 l_2$ such that $l_1 \neq l$ and l_1 is a Lyndon word of maximal length. Then l_2 is also a Lyndon word. We call $l = l_1 l_2$ a *standard factorization* of l . For $\mathbf{w} = w[i_1, \dots, i_s] \in \mathcal{W}$, we denote by $|\mathbf{w}|$ the weight $\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_s}$.

Let \mathcal{GL} be the set of *good* Lyndon words. Then we have a bijection

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{GL} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathring{\Delta}^+ \\ w[i_1, \dots, i_r] & \longmapsto & \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_r} \end{array} .$$

where $\mathring{\Delta}^+$ is the set of positive roots for $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$. For $\beta \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$, let $l(\beta)$ be the word in \mathcal{GL} corresponding to β under (A.1). We denote by $<$ the linear order on $\mathring{\Delta}^+$ induced from that on \mathcal{GL} under (A.1).

Remark A.1. Note that the $<$ coincides with the convex order induced from the reduced expression of the longest element w_0 obtained from Remark 3.10 up to 2-braid relations.

Let \mathcal{F} be the free \mathbf{k} -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} . We denote by \cdot the multiplication of \mathcal{F} , which is given by the concatenation on words. We define

$$(x \cdot i) * (y \cdot j) = (x * (y \cdot j)) \cdot i + q^{-(|x \cdot i|, |j|)}((x \cdot i) * y) \cdot j,$$

and $x * w[] = w[] * x = x$, for homogenous $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i, j \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}, *)$ is an associative \mathbf{k} -algebra, which is called the *quantum shuffle algebra*. Note that there exists an \mathbf{k} -algebra embedding Φ from $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ into $(\mathcal{F}, *)$.

From now on, we identify $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ with its image of Φ , denoted by \mathcal{U} . For $\beta \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$, we still denote by $F^{\text{up}}(\beta)$ the image of the dual root vector $F^{\text{up}}(\beta) \in U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ (see [29, Section 5] for more detail). If there is no confusion, then we omit the \cdot , that is, write $x \cdot y = xy$ for homogeneous $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. For homogenous $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{F}$, we also denote by $|\mathbf{w}|$ its weight in an obvious manner.

For each $i \in I_0$, the derivation e'_i is interpreted as an \mathbf{k} -linear endomorphism of \mathcal{F} , denoted by \mathbf{e}'_i , by

$$(A.2) \quad \mathbf{e}'_i(w[i_1, \dots, i_k]) = \delta_{i, i_k} w[i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}] \text{ and } \mathbf{e}'_i(w[]) = 0,$$

and then $\Phi(\mathbf{e}'_i u) = \mathbf{e}'_i \Phi(u)$ for $u \in U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Remark A.2. In [29], the author chose the braid group symmetry on $U_q^+(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ as $T'_{i,-1}$ with $q = v^{-1}$, while we have took $T''_{i,1}$ on $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ with $q = v$ (see [33, Section 37.1.3]). It is known in [33, Section 37.2.4] (cf. [25, 37]) that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) & \xrightarrow{-} & U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ T''_{i,1} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow T'_{i,-1} \\ U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) & \xrightarrow{-} & U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}) \end{array}$$

where ω is the automorphism of $U_q(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that $\omega(e_i) = f_i$, $\omega(f_i) = e_i$ and $\omega(k_i) = k_i^{-1}$ for all $i \in I_0$. Hence one can calculate the (dual) PBW basis of $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ by using [29].

A.2. Root vectors of type B_n . We take $\mathcal{A} = I_0$ with the linear order given by

$$(A.3) \quad 1 < 2 < \dots < n.$$

We remark that (A.3) is reversed to the one in [29, Section 8.2], so we compute the dual root vectors with respect to (A.3). The set \mathcal{GL} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{GL} = & \{w[i, \dots, j] \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{w[i, i+1, \dots, n]w[n, n-1, \dots, j] \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition A.3. (cf. [8, Corollary 6.7]) *For $\beta \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$, one has*

$$F^{\text{up}}(\beta) = \begin{cases} w[i, \dots, j] & \text{if } l(\beta) = w[i, \dots, j], \\ (q^{-1} + q) w[i, i+1, \dots, n] w[n, n-1, \dots, j] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the case of $l(\beta) = w[i, \dots, j]$, it follows from the induction on $j - i$ that we have $F^{\text{up}}(\beta) = w[i, \dots, j]$. Let us consider the case of $l(\beta) = w[i, i+1, \dots, n] w[n, n-1, \dots, j]$. Let

$$\mathbf{w} = w[i, i+1, \dots, n] w[n, n-1, \dots, j].$$

It follows from [29, Theorem 5] that $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{U}$. Clearly, $\max(\mathbf{w}) = l(\beta)$. Then let us write \mathbf{w} as a linear combination of $F^{\text{up}}(\beta)$ for $\beta \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \leq l(\beta) \\ |\mathbf{u}| = |\mathbf{w}|}} \lambda_{\mathbf{u}} F^{\text{up}}(|\mathbf{u}|).$$

But it is known in [29, Corollary 27] that $l(\beta)$ is the smallest good word of weight β . Note that it follows from [25, Theorem 4.29] that each dual root vector is contained in the dual canonical basis. By [29, Theorem 40], we conclude that $\mathbf{w} = \lambda_{l(\beta)} F^{\text{up}}(\beta)$ and $\lambda_{l(\beta)}^{-1} = (q^{-1} + q)$ by calculating the coefficient of $l(\beta)$ in $F^{\text{up}}(\beta)$. \square

Corollary A.4. *Let $i \in I_0$. Assume that $e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta)) \neq 0$. Then we have*

$$e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta)) = F^{\text{up}}(\beta - \alpha_i).$$

Proof. It follows directly from (A.2) and Proposition A.3. \square

A.3. Root vectors of type C_n . We take $\mathcal{A} = I_0$ with the linear order given by

$$n < n-1 < \dots < 1,$$

which corresponds to the standard order in [29] under the identification of k with $n - k + 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. The set \mathcal{GL} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{GL} = & \{w[j, j-1, \dots, i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\} \\ & \cup \{w[n, n-1, \dots, j, n-1, n-2, \dots, k] \mid 1 \leq j \leq k < n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition A.5. [29, Lemma 54] *For $\beta \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$, one has*

$$F^{\text{up}}(\beta) = \begin{cases} w[j, j-1, \dots, i] & \text{if } l(\beta) = w[j, j-1, \dots, i], \\ w[n] (w[n-1, n-2, \dots, j] * w[n-1, n-2, \dots, k]) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary A.6. *Let $i \in I_0$. Assume that $e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta)) \neq 0$. Then we have*

$$e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta)) = \begin{cases} (q^{-1} + q) F^{\text{up}}(\beta - \alpha_i) & \text{if } \beta - 2\alpha_i \in \mathring{\Delta}^+, \\ F^{\text{up}}(\beta - \alpha_i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only prove the case of $\beta - 2\alpha_i \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$, since the other case follows immediately from Proposition A.5. Assume that $l(\beta) = w[n, n-1, \dots, j, n-1, n-2, \dots, k]$. Then we have $i = j = k$ (otherwise, $\beta - 2\alpha_i \notin \mathring{\Delta}^+$). Since e'_i is a \mathbf{k} -linear derivation of \mathcal{U} with respect to $*$, we have

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{aligned} e'_i(F^{\text{up}}(\beta)) &= w[n](w[n-1, n-2, \dots, i+1] * w[n-1, n-2, \dots, i] \\ &\quad + q^{-1}w[n-1, n-2, \dots, i] * w[n-1, n-2, \dots, i+1]) \end{aligned}$$

Let us take $\beta_k \in \mathring{\Delta}^+$ ($k = 1, 2$) so that

$$l(\beta_k) = \begin{cases} w[n-1, n-2, \dots, i+1] & \text{if } k = 1, \\ w[n-1, n-2, \dots, i] & \text{if } k = 2, \end{cases}$$

with $\beta_1 < \beta_2$. By Proposition A.5, we have $F^{\text{up}}(\beta_k) = l(\beta_k)$ for $k = 1, 2$. Then the desired formula follows from (A.4) and the dual formula of (4.4) (see [25, Theorem 4.27]). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Beck, *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), no. 3, 555–568.
- [2] Jonathan Beck, Vyjayanthi Chari, and Andrew Pressley, *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 3, 455–487.
- [3] Jonathan Beck and Hiraku Nakajima, *Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 2, 335–402.
- [4] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.
- [5] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, Corrected reprint of the 1994 original.
- [6] ———, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 59–78.
- [7] Ivan Cherednik, *Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and affine root systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **150** (1992), no. 1, 109–136.
- [8] Sean Clark, David Hill, and Weiqiang Wang, *Quantum shuffles and quantum supergroups of basic type*, Quantum Topol. **7** (2016), no. 3, 553–638.
- [9] Ilaria Damiani, *The R-matrix for (twisted) affine quantum algebras*, Representations and quantizations (Shanghai, 1998), China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, 2000, pp. 89–144.
- [10] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, and J. Schröer, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), no. 2, 337–397.
- [11] David Hernandez, *Representations of quantum affinizations and fusion product*, Transform. Groups **10** (2005), no. 2, 163–200.
- [12] ———, *The Kirillov-Reshetikhin conjecture and solutions of T-systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **596** (2006), 63–87.
- [13] David Hernandez and Michio Jimbo, *Asymptotic representations and Drinfeld rational fractions*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 5, 1593–1623.
- [14] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and category \mathcal{O} for representations of Borel subalgebras of quantum affine algebras*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 9, 2015–2052.
- [15] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [16] Il-Seung Jang, *A combinatorial realization of Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for type E arising from translations*, J. Algebra **595** (2022), 660–694.

- [17] Il-Seung Jang and Jae-Hoon Kwon, *Quantum nilpotent subalgebras of classical quantum groups and affine crystals*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **168** (2019), 219–254.
- [18] Il-Seung Jang, Jae-Hoon Kwon, and Euiyong Park, *Unipotent Quantum Coordinate Ring and Prefundamental Representations for Types $A_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2023), no. 2, 1119–1172.
- [19] Il-Seung Jang, Jae-Hoon Kwon, and Akito Uruno, *Crystal bases of parabolic verma modules over the quantum orthosymplectic superalgebras*, Journal of Algebra (2024).
- [20] Victor G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, third ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [21] Seok-Jin Kang, Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, and Se-jin Oh, *Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (2018), no. 2, 349–426.
- [22] Masaki Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.
- [23] ———, *Similarity of crystal bases*, Lie algebras and their representations (Seoul, 1995), Contemp. Math., vol. 194, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 177–186.
- [24] Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh, and Euiyong Park, *Monoidal categories associated with strata of flag manifolds*, Adv. Math. **328** (2018), 959–1009.
- [25] Yoshiyuki Kimura, *Quantum unipotent subgroup and dual canonical basis*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), no. 2, 277–331.
- [26] Yasushi Komori, *Theta functions associated with affine root systems and the elliptic Ruijsenaars operators*, Physical combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999), Progr. Math., vol. 191, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 141–162.
- [27] Jae-Hoon Kwon, *RSK correspondence and classically irreducible Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **120** (2013), no. 2, 433–452.
- [28] ———, *Lusztig data of Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux in types B and C* , J. Algebra **503** (2018), 222–264.
- [29] Bernard Leclerc, *Dual canonical bases, quantum shuffles and q -characters*, Math. Z. **246** (2004), no. 4, 691–732.
- [30] Chul-hee Lee, *Product formula for the limits of normalized characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2021), no. 13, 10014–10036.
- [31] Serge Levendorskiĭ and Yan Soibelman, *Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991), no. 1, 141–170.
- [32] Xingpeng Liu, *On multiplicity-free weight modules over quantum affine algebras*, preprint (2023), arXiv:2302.13686.
- [33] George Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010, Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [34] E. Mukhin and C. A. S. Young, *Affinization of category \mathcal{O} for quantum groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 9, 4815–4847.
- [35] Dinakar Muthiah and Peter Tingley, *Affine PBW bases and affine MV polytopes*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **24** (2018), no. 5, 4781–4810.
- [36] Hiraku Nakajima, *t -analogs of q -characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules of quantum affine algebras*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 259–274.
- [37] Yoshihisa Saito, *PBW basis of quantized universal enveloping algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), no. 2, 209–232.
- [38] Minoru Wakimoto, *Lectures on infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [39] Keyu Wang, *$Q\tilde{Q}$ -systems for twisted quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **400** (2023), no. 2, 1137–1179.

(I.-S. Jang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INCHEON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, INCHEON 22012, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `ilseungjang@inu.ac.kr`

(J.-H. Kwon) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND RIM, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `jaehoonkw@snu.ac.kr`

(E. Park) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SEOUL, SEOUL 02504, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `epark@uos.ac.kr`