

# NON-LINEAR MICROLOCAL CUT-OFF FUNCTORS

BINGYU ZHANG

ABSTRACT. To any conic closed set of a cotangent bundle, one can associate 4 functors on the category of sheaves, which are called non-linear microlocal cut-off functors. Here we explain their relation with the microlocal cut-off functor defined by Kashiwara and Schapira, and prove a microlocal cut-off lemma for non-linear microlocal cut-off functors, adapting inputs from symplectic geometry. We also prove two Künneth formulas and a functor classification result for categories of sheaves with microsupport conditions.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

In the study of microlocal theory of sheaves, a crucial tool is the microlocal cut-off lemma of Kashiwara and Schapira (see [KS90, Proposition 5.2.3, Lemma 6.1.5], [D'A96] and [Gui23, Chapter III]), which constructs certain functors that enable us to cut off the microsupport of sheaves functorially. On the other hand, in the study of symplectic topology, very similar functors were defined and studied for different purposes [Tam18, NS22, Kuo23].

Precisely, for a smooth manifold  $M$ , a conic closed set  $Z \subset T^*M$ , and  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$ , we will study the *non-linear microlocal cut-off functors*  $L_U, L_Z, R_U, R_Z : \text{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M)$  together with fiber sequences of functors  $L_U \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow L_Z, R_Z \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow R_U$  defined using adjoint data of the split Verdier sequence  $\text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M; U)$ . See Section 3 for more details.

The main goal of this article is proving a non-linear microlocal cut-off lemma using the wrapping formula of  $L_Z$  introduced in [Kuo23].

**Theorem A** (Non-linear microlocal cut-off lemma, Theorem 3.5 below.). *For a conic closed set  $Z \subset T^*M$ , and  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$ , we have*

- (1) (a) *The morphisms  $F \rightarrow L_Z(F)$  and  $R_Z(F) \rightarrow F$  are isomorphisms if and only if  $SS(F) \subset Z$ .*  
(b) *The morphism  $L_U(F) \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $F \in {}^\perp\text{Sh}_Z(M)$ , and the morphism  $F \rightarrow R_U(F)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $F \in \text{Sh}_Z(M)^\perp$ .*
- (2) (a) *The morphisms  $L_U(F) \rightarrow F$  and  $F \rightarrow R_U(F)$  are isomorphisms on  $U$ .*  
(b) *If  $0_M \subset Z$ , the morphism  $F \rightarrow L_Z(F)$  and  $R_Z(F) \rightarrow F$  are isomorphisms on  $\text{Int}(Z) \setminus 0_M$ . Equivalently, we have  $SS(L_U(F)) \cup SS(R_U(F)) \subset \overline{U} \cup 0_M$ .*

In fact, all of them except (2)-(b) follow directly from the definition. Only (2)-(b) of the theorem is a non-trivial fact.

To explain why the theorem generalizes the microlocal cut-off lemma in [KS90], we shall explain the relation between non-linear microlocal cut-off functors and microlocal cut-off functors in [KS90]. Here, we follow the notation of [Gui23, Section III.1] for microlocal cut-off functors.

We take  $M = V$  to be a real vector space,  $\lambda \subset V$ , and  $\gamma \subset V^*$  as pointed closed convex cones (we assume further that  $\lambda$  is proper). The comparison is summarized in Table 1, where the first column marks corresponding references, and the last column marks their position in this article. Functors in the same columns are isomorphic with corresponding  $U$  and  $Z$ .

Based on this comparison, we see that the non-linear microlocal cut-off lemma recovers [KS90, Proposition 5.2.3, Lemma 6.1.5], and [GS14, Proposition 3.17, 3.19, 3.20, 3.21]. We also mention that we say those functors are *non-linear* since we did not use the vector space structure on construction and proof comparing with Kashiwara-Schapira's.

---

*Date:* June 6, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A27 (Primary), 53D35 (Secondary).

This article	$Z$	$U$	$L_U$	$L_Z$	$R_U$	$R_Z$	Definition 3.3
[Gui23, Section III.1]	$V \times \lambda^{\circ a}$		$P'_\lambda$	$Q_\lambda$	$Q'_\lambda$	$P_\lambda$	Proposition 5.1
[GS14, Section 3]		$V \times \text{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$	$L_\gamma$		$R_\gamma$		Example 5.3

TABLE 1. Known microlocal cut-off functors.

We remark that our approach aims to explain the microlocal cut-off lemmas as globally as possible on  $T^*M$  using input from symplectic topology. Therefore, we did not consider the refined microlocal cut-off lemmas (see [KS90, Lemma 6.1.4, Proposition 6.1.6], [D'A96], and [Gui23, Section III.2, III.3]), which concern more on the local behavior of cut-off functors.

We also prove two Künneth formulas in Section 7 (with more precise statements), which remove the isotropic condition of [KL24, Theorem 1.1, 1.2] via a different approach.

**Theorem B.** *For two manifolds  $M, N$ , conic closed sets  $Z \subset T^*M$  and  $X \subset T^*N$ , and we set  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$  and  $V = T^*N \setminus X$ . We have*

$$\text{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M) \simeq \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes \text{Sh}_Z(M), \quad \text{Sh}(N; V) \otimes \text{Sh}(M; U) \simeq \text{Sh}(N \times M; V \times U).$$

Combine the dualizability of  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$  and  $\text{Sh}(M; U)$  via [KSZ23, Remark 3.7], we have the following functor classification result:

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Sh}_{-X \times Z}(N \times M) &\simeq \text{Fun}^L(\text{Sh}_X(N), \text{Sh}_Z(M)) \simeq \text{Fun}^R(\text{Sh}_Z(M), \text{Sh}_X(N))^{op}, \\ \text{Sh}(N \times M; -V \times U) &\simeq \text{Fun}^L(\text{Sh}(N; V), \text{Sh}(M; U)) \simeq \text{Fun}^R(\text{Sh}(M; U), \text{Sh}(N; V))^{op}. \end{aligned}$$

*Category convention.* In this article, a category means an  $\infty$ -category. We refer to [GR19, Chapter 1] for basics about higher algebra, and more details could be found in [Lur09, Lur17, Lur18].

In this article, we will fix a compactly generated rigid symmetric monoidal stable category  $(\mathbf{k}, \otimes, 1)$ . Here, rigidity means that the monoidal structure of  $\mathbf{k}$  restricts to a symmetric monoidal structure on the category of compact objects  $\mathbf{k}^c$  (in particular, the tensor unit 1 is compact) and all compact objects are dualizable. We denote  $\text{Pr}_{\text{st}}^L$  the category of  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear presentable stable categories and  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear continuous functors. There exists a  $\mathbf{k}$ -relative Lurie tensor product that form a closed symmetric monoidal structure on  $\text{Pr}_{\text{st}}^L$  such that the category of left adjoint functors  $\text{Fun}^L$  serves as the internal hom, where we write  $\otimes/\text{Fun}^L$  directly instead of  $\otimes_{\mathbf{k}}/\text{Fun}_{\mathbf{k}}^L$ . Rigidity ensures that plain adjoints of  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear functors are also  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear.

**Acknowledgements.** This work is inspired by a discussion with Stéphane Guillermou and Vivek Shende's interests on microlocal cut-off functors. The author thanks them for their helpful discussion and encouragement. We also thank for Xin Jin, Christopher Kuo, Wenyuan Li, Pierre Schapira and Matthias Scharitzer for helpful discussion. This work was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation grant NNF20OC0066298.

## 1. SHEAVES AND INTEGRAL KERNEL

For a topological space  $X$ , we set  $\text{Sh}(X; \mathbf{k}) = \text{Sh}(X)$  the category of  $\mathbf{k}$ -valued sheaves [Lur09, 7.3.3.1], which is also  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear. It is explained in [Vol21] that since  $\mathbf{k}$  is a symmetric monoidal category, we have the  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear 6-functor formalism. We also refer to [Sch22] for the 6-functors formalism.

For a locally closed inclusion  $i : Z \subset X$  and  $F \in \text{Sh}(X)$ , we set  $F_Z = i_! i^{-1} F$  and  $\Gamma_Z F = i_* i^! F$ . We denote the constant sheaf  $1_X = a^* 1$ , where  $a$  is the constant map  $X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ , and denote  $1_Z = (1_X)_Z \in \text{Sh}(X)$  if there is no confusion.

The main reason for using  $\infty$ -category is the following classification of left adjoint functors, which is a combination of a sequence of Lurie's results. We refer to [KSZ23, Proposition 3.1] for a proof.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $H_1$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then for all topological spaces  $H_2$ , we have the equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Sh}(H_1 \times H_2) \simeq \text{Fun}^L(\text{Sh}(H_1), \text{Sh}(H_2))$$

It is known that taking the right adjoint induces an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}^L(\mathrm{Sh}(H_1), \mathrm{Sh}(H_2)) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}^R(\mathrm{Sh}(H_2), \mathrm{Sh}(H_1))^{op},$$

where  $\mathrm{Fun}^R$  stands for right adjoint functors.

However, for any convolution functor  $\Phi_K : \mathrm{Sh}(H_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(H_2)$  with  $K \in \mathrm{Sh}(H_1 \times H_2)$ , there exists an obvious right adjoint functor<sup>1</sup>

$$\Psi_K : \mathrm{Sh}(H_2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(H_1), F \mapsto p_{1*} \mathcal{H}om(K, p_2^! F).$$

Then we have the following equivalence of categories

**Corollary 1.2.** *Under the same condition of Proposition 1.1, we have*

$$\mathrm{Sh}(H_1 \times H_2) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}^R(\mathrm{Sh}(H_2), \mathrm{Sh}(H_1))^{op}, K \mapsto \Psi_K.$$

*Remark 1.3.* Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are only true on the  $\infty$ -category level. In the classical theory of microlocal sheaves, many functors are built as triangulated convolution (nvolution) functors, which do not admit similar results. Especially, we do not know if the kernel of triangulated convolution (nvolution) functors is unique in general.

## 2. MICROSUPPORT OF SHEAVES

From now on, we assume  $M$  is a smooth manifold. Regarding the microlocal theory of sheaves in the  $\infty$ -categorical setup, we remark that all arguments of [KS90] work well provided we have the non-characteristic deformation lemma [KS90, Proposition 2.7.2] for all sheaves. When  $\mathbf{k}$  is compactly generated, the non-characteristic deformation lemma is proven for all hypersheaves ([RS18]), and then for all sheaves because of hypercompleteness for manifolds ([Lur09, 7.2.3.6, 7.2.1.12]).

To any object  $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(M)$ , one can associate a conic closed set  $SS(F) \subset T^*M$  ([KS90, Definition 5.1.2]), which satisfies the following triangulated inequality: for a fiber sequence  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ , we have  $SS(A) \subset SS(B) \cup SS(C)$ .

We remark a further closure property of microsupport

**Proposition 2.1** ([KS90, Exercise V.7]). *For a set of sheaves  $F_\alpha \in \mathrm{Sh}(M)$  indexed by  $\alpha \in A$ , we have*

$$SS\left(\prod_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right) \cup SS\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}\right) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha} SS(F_{\alpha})}.$$

A proof can be find in [GV22b, Proposition 3.4.]. Importantly, the proof therein only use the non-characteristic deformation lemma and a geometric argument. In particular, the proof does not involve the microlocal cut-off lemma in [KS90, Section 5.2].

For  $X_n \subset X$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$\limsup_n X_n = \bigcap_{N \geq 1} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq N} X_n} = \{x : \exists (x_n) \text{ such that } x_n \in X_n \text{ for infinitely many } n, x_n \rightarrow x\},$$

$$\liminf_n X_n = \{x : \exists (x_n) \text{ such that } x_n \in X_n \text{ for all } n, x_n \rightarrow x\}.$$

By definition, we have  $\liminf_n X_n \subset \limsup_n X_n$ . As a corollary of Proposition 2.1, we have

**Corollary 2.2** ([GV22b, Proposition 6.26]). *For a functor  $N(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(X)$ , we have*

$$SS(\varinjlim_n F_n) \subset \liminf_n SS(F_n).$$

*Remark 2.3.* We can also denote  $\mathbb{N}$  as a simplicial set which consists of all vertices together with the edges that join consecutive integers. Then the natural inclusion of simplicial sets  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow N(\mathbb{N})$  is cofinal. Therefore, we can compute the colimit using a mapping telescope construction as in triangulated categories, and the proof of Corollary 2.2 is the same as in the references.

For our later application, we present its proof here.

<sup>1</sup>We call it a nvolution functor since it is a dual of a convolution functor, while coconvolution should be the same with nvolution.

*Proof.* By Remark 2.3, and the fact that all strictly increasing sequences are cofinal in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we have cofiber sequences for all strictly increasing sequences  $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\bigoplus_i F_{n_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i F_{n_i} \rightarrow \varinjlim_n F_n.$$

We first take  $n_i = i + N$  for all  $N \geq 1$ , and then we have  $SS(\varinjlim_n F_n) \subset \limsup_n SS(F_n)$  by Proposition 2.1 and the triangulated inequality.

Now, take  $x \notin \liminf_n SS(F_n)$ . By the definition of  $\liminf_n$ , we can find a strictly increasing sequence  $n_i$  such that  $x \notin \limsup_i SS(F_{n_i})$ , then we have  $x \notin SS(\varinjlim_i F_{n_i}) = SS(\varinjlim_n F_n)$ . That is,  $SS(\varinjlim_n F_n) \subset \liminf_n SS(F_n)$ .  $\square$

We need the following microsupport estimation of (co)nvolution functors:

**Proposition 2.4** ([KL24, Lemma 4.4, Proposition 4.5]). *Let  $K \in \text{Sh}(N \times M)$  with  $SS(K) \subset (-X) \times Z$  for conic closed sets  $X \subset T^*N$ ,  $Z \subset T^*M$ , then we have, for  $F \in \text{Sh}(N)$ ,*

$$SS(\Phi_K(F)) \subset Z, \quad SS(\Psi_K(F)) \subset X.$$

### 3. SPLIT VERDIER SEQUENCE FROM MICROLOCALIZATION

Throughout this article, we set  $Z \subset T^*M$  to be a conic closed set and  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$  (which is a conic open set). We set  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$  as the full subcategory of  $\text{Sh}(M)$  spanned by sheaves  $F$  with  $SS(F) \subset Z$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The category  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$  is a stable subcategory of  $\text{Sh}(M)$  closed under small limits and colimits.*

*In particular: 1) The inclusion  $\iota : \text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M)$  admits both left and right adjoints. 2)  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$  is  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear.*

*Proof.* By the triangulated inequality of microsupport, we only need to show that  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$  is closed under small products and coproducts, which follows from Proposition 2.1.

The inclusion  $\iota$  admits both adjoints by the adjoint functor theorem [Lur09, 5.5.2.9];  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$  is presentable and  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear by [RS22] since  $\iota$  is reflective and  $\mathbf{k}$  is rigid.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2** ([KS90, Definition 6.1.1]). For the conic open set  $U \subset T^*M$ , we set

$$\text{Sh}(M; U) := \text{Sh}(M)/\text{Sh}_Z(M).$$

We refer to [BGT13, Section 5], [NS18, Section 1.3] and [CDH<sup>+</sup>, Appendix A] for basic results of Verdier quotient of stable categories. Here, we say that  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}$  is a *split Verdier sequence* if  $\iota$  admits both left and right adjoints, see [CDH<sup>+</sup>, A.2.4, A.2.6]. We denote  ${}^\perp\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ ) as the left (resp. right) semi-orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and we can identify  ${}^\perp\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ ) with  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{C}$  via a (resp. co)continuous functor in the split case.

By Proposition 3.1, the inclusion  $\iota : \text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M)$  defines a split Verdier sequence. Precisely, we have the diagram of functors:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{\iota^*}{\curvearrowright} & \\ \text{Sh}_Z(M) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Sh}(M) & \xleftarrow{j!} & \text{Sh}(M; U) \\ & \underset{\iota!}{\curvearrowright} & & \underset{j_*}{\curvearrowright} & \end{array}$$

Then we have adjunction pairs

$$L_U := j!j \dashv j_*j =: R_U, \quad L_Z := \iota^* \dashv \iota! =: R_Z,$$

and the units/counits give us the following fiber sequences of functors on  $\text{Sh}(M)$

$$(3.2) \quad L_U \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow L_Z, \quad R_Z \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow R_U.$$

By Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.2, there exists a fiber sequence in  $\text{Sh}(M \times M)$

$$(3.3) \quad K_U \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K_Z$$

that gives corresponding convolution/involution functors

$$(3.4) \quad L_U = \Phi_{K_U}, L_Z = \Phi_{K_Z}, R_U = \Psi_{K_U}, R_Z = \Psi_{K_Z}$$

and corresponding natural transformations. Therefore, in practice, to construct those functors and fiber sequences between them, we only need to write down the fiber sequence (3.3).

**Definition 3.3.** We call the functors  $L_U, L_Z, R_U, R_Z$  *non-linear microlocal cut-off functors*. Corresponding kernels  $K_U, K_Z$  are called microlocal cut-off kernels (or microlocal kernels for short).

For any object in  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$ , the triangulated inequality implies that  $SS_U([F]) := SS(F) \cap U$  for any representative  $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(M)$  is well-defined. In particular, we have  $SS_U([F]) = SS(R_U(F)) \cap U = SS(L_U(F)) \cap U$  for all  $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(M)$ . For conic closed sets  $X \supset Z$ , we consider the category  $\frac{\mathrm{Sh}_X(M)}{\mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)}$  as a full subcategory of  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$ . Then the essential image of  $\frac{\mathrm{Sh}_X(M)}{\mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)}$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$  is  $\mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U)$ , the full subcategory spanned by objects with  $SS_U([F]) \subset X \cap U$ . We will recall this construction in Section 6.

**Example 3.4.** Take an open set  $W \subset M$ , and set  $U = T^*W \subset T^*M$  with  $Z = T^*M \setminus T^*W$ . We naturally identify  $\mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)$  with sheaves supported in  $M \setminus W$  since  $\pi_{T^*M}(SS(F)) = \mathrm{supp} F$ , and we can verify that the restriction functor  $(\bullet)|_W : \mathrm{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(W)$  exhibits  $\mathrm{Sh}(W)$  as the Verdier quotient  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; T^*W)$ . Then we have

$$L_U(F) = F_W, L_Z(F) = F_{M \setminus W}, R_U(F) = \Gamma_W(F), R_Z(F) = \Gamma_{M \setminus W}(F),$$

and can take microlocal kernels as following:

$$K_U = 1_{\Delta_W} \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K_Z = 1_{\Delta_{M \setminus W}}.$$

Therefore, the fiber sequences (3.2) are exactly the excision sequence for sheaves. This example also motivates the notation of functors in Equation (3.1).

In the end of this section, we state the main result of this article. Recall that we say a morphism  $f : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(M)$  is an isomorphism on an open set  $U$  if  $SS(\mathrm{cofib}(f)) \cap U = \emptyset$ , equivalently,  $f$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$  (cf. [KS90, Definition 6.1.1]).

**Theorem 3.5.** *For a conic closed set  $Z \subset T^*M$ , and  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$ , we have*

- (1) (a) *The morphisms  $F \rightarrow L_Z(F)$  and  $R_Z(F) \rightarrow F$  are isomorphisms if and only if  $SS(F) \subset Z$ .*
- (b) *The morphism  $L_U(F) \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $F \in {}^\perp\mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)$ , and the morphism  $F \rightarrow R_U(F)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $F \in \mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)^\perp$ .*
- (2) (a) *The morphisms  $L_U(F) \rightarrow F$  and  $F \rightarrow R_U(F)$  are isomorphisms on  $U$ .*
- (b) *If  $0_M \subset Z$ , the morphism  $F \rightarrow L_Z(F)$  and  $R_Z(F) \rightarrow F$  are isomorphisms on  $\mathrm{Int}(Z) \setminus 0_M$ . Equivalently, we have  $SS(L_U(F)) \cup SS(R_U(F)) \subset \overline{U} \cup 0_M$ .*

*Proof.* (1)-(a) and (2)-(a) follows from definition of  $L_Z$  and  $L_U$  and fiber sequences (3.2). (1)-(b) follows from [CDH<sup>+</sup>, A.2.8]. For (2)-(b), we will prove in Proposition 4.4 that  $SS(K_U) \subset (-\overline{U}) \times \overline{U} \cup 0_{M \times M}$  under the condition  $0_M \subset Z$ . Therefore,  $SS(L_U(F)) \cup SS(R_U(F)) \subset \overline{U} \cup 0_M$  follows from Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

#### 4. WRAPPING FORMULA OF NON-LINEAR MICROLOCAL CUT-OFF FUNCTORS

In this section, we present an explicit formula of microlocal kernels using Guillermou-Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf quantization [GKS12]. We recall the results of *loc.cit.* here.

Let  $\dot{T}^*M$  be the complement of the zero section in  $T^*M$ , and, for subset  $A \subset T^*M$ , we set  $\dot{A} = A \cap \dot{T}^*M$ . In particular, we have the notion of  $\dot{S}S(F)$  for  $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(M)$ .

Let  $(I, 0)$  be a pointed interval. Consider a  $C^\infty$  conic symplectic isotopy

$$\phi : I \times \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow \dot{T}^*M$$

which is the identity at  $0 \in I$ . Such an isotopy is always the Hamiltonian flow for a unique conic function  $H : I \times \dot{T}^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and we set  $\phi = \phi_H$  when emphasize the Hamiltonian functions. At fixed  $z \in I$ , we have the graph of  $\phi_z$ :

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda_{\phi_z} := \left\{ ((q, -p), \phi_z(q, p)) : (q, p) \in \dot{T}^*M \right\} \subset \dot{T}^*M \times \dot{T}^*M \subset \dot{T}^*(M \times M).$$

As for any of Hamiltonian isotopy, we may consider the Lagrangian graph, which by definition is a Lagrangian subset  $\Lambda_\phi \subset T^*I \times \dot{T}^*(M \times M)$  with the property that  $\Lambda_{\phi_{z_0}}$  is the symplectic reduction of  $\Lambda_\phi$  along  $\{z = z_0\}$ . It is given by the formula:

$$(4.2) \quad \Lambda_\phi := \left\{ (z, -H(z, \phi_z(q, p)), (q, -p), \phi_z(q, p)) : z \in I, (q, p) \in \dot{T}^*M \right\}$$

**Theorem 4.1** ([GKS12, Theorem 3.7, Prop. 4.8]). *For  $\phi$  as above, there is a sheaf  $K = K(\phi) \in \text{Sh}(I \times Y^2)$  such that  $\dot{S}S(K) \subset \Lambda_\phi$  and  $K|_{\{0\} \times Y^2} \cong 1_{\Delta_Y}$ . The pair  $(K, K|_{\{0\} \times Y^2} \cong 1_{\Delta_Y})$  is unique up to unique isomorphism.*

*Moreover, for isotopies  $\phi_H, \phi_{H'}$  with  $H' \leq H$ , there's a map  $K(\phi_{H'}) \rightarrow K(\phi_H)$ . In particular, when  $H \geq 0$ , then there is a map  $1_{I \times \Delta_Y} \rightarrow K(\phi_H)$ .*

Motivated by ideas of [Nad16, GPS18], it was shown in [Kuo23] that for any closed set  $Z^\infty \subset S^*M$  and the conic closed set  $Z = \mathbb{R}_{>0}Z^\infty \cup 0_M \subset T^*M$ , the left and right adjoint inclusion  $\iota : \text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M)$  can be computed 'by wrapping'. More precisely,

**Theorem 4.2** ([Kuo23, Thm. 1.2]). *If  $H_n^2$  is any increasing sequence of positive compactly supported Hamiltonians supported on  $S^*M \setminus Z^\infty$  such that  $H_n \uparrow \infty$  pointwise in  $S^*M \setminus Z^\infty$ , then the adjoints of  $\iota$  could be computed by*

$$(4.3) \quad \iota^*F = \varinjlim \Phi_{K(\phi_{H_n})|_1}(F), \quad \iota^!F = \varprojlim \Phi_{K(\phi_{-H_n})|_1}(F).$$

*Moreover, the unit (resp. counit) of the adjoint is given by the map  $1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow \varinjlim K(\phi_{H_n})|_1$  (resp.  $\varprojlim K(\phi_{-H_n})|_1 \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M}$ ) which is induced by the continuation map  $1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K(\phi_{H_n})|_1$  (resp.  $K(\phi_{-H_n})|_1 \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M}$ ) defined by positivity of  $H_n$ .*

*Remark 4.3.* In fact, as explained in [KSZ23, Remark 6.5], the colimit in [Kuo23] is taken over an  $\infty$ -categorical 'wrapping category', but one can compute the colimit by a cofinal sequence as explained in [Kuo23, Lemma 3.31].

For  $H \geq 0$ , We set  $K^\circ(\phi_{H_n}) = \text{cofib}(1_{I \times \Delta_M} \rightarrow K(\phi_{H_n}))$ .

Compose with the natural inclusion  $\iota$  and combine with [Kuo23, Proposition 3.5], one can see that the fiber sequence (3.3) can be taken as

$$(4.4) \quad K_U = \varinjlim (K^\circ(\phi_{H_n})|_1) \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K_Z = \varinjlim (K(\phi_{H_n})|_1).$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.5 (2)-(b), we prove the following microsupport estimation of  $K^\circ(\phi_H)|_1$ . We also notice that the requirement of  $0_M \subset Z$  comes from the wrapping formula.

**Proposition 4.4.** *For a Hamiltonian function  $H$  supported in  $U^\infty = U/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , we have*

$$\dot{S}S(K^\circ(\phi_H)|_1) \subset (-U) \times U.$$

*In particular, we have*

$$\dot{S}S(K_U) \subset (-\bar{U}) \times \bar{U}.$$

*Proof.* By the triangulated inequality, we have that

$$\dot{S}S(K^\circ(\phi_H)|_1) \subset \Lambda_{\phi_{H,1}} \cup \Lambda_{\text{id}}.$$

Since  $\phi_{H,1}$  is compactly supported, there exists a maximal conic open set  $W \subset T^*M$  at infinity such that  $T^*M \setminus U \subset W$  such that  $H|_W = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\dot{S}S(K^\circ(\phi_H)|_1) \setminus (-W^c) \times W^c \subset \{(q, -p, q, p) : (q, p) \in W\}.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Here, we do not distinguish a function on  $S^*M$  and its conic lifting on  $\dot{T}^*M$ .

Since  $H|_W = 0$ , the monotonicity morphism  $1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K(\phi_H)|_1$  induces the identity map on microstalks at  $(q, -p, q, p)$  for  $(q, p) \in W$ . Then we have that the microstalk of  $K^\circ(\phi_H)|_1$  at the  $(q, -p, q, p)$  is zero for  $(q, p) \in W$ . This implies that

$$\dot{S}S(K^\circ(\phi_H)|_1) \subset (-W^c) \times W^c \subset (-U) \times U.$$

The second statement follows from Corollary 2.2 and the wrapping formula (4.4).  $\square$

Using the wrapping formula, we can also prove the following microsupport estimation

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $Z$  contains the zero section, then for any increasing sequence of positive compactly supported Hamiltonians  $H_n$  supported on  $S^*M \setminus Z^\infty$  such that  $H_n \uparrow \infty$  pointwise in  $S^*M \setminus Z^\infty$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} SS(L_Z(F)) &\subset \{x : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_n \in \dot{S}S(F), \text{ such that } \phi_{H_n,1}(x_n) \rightarrow x\} \cup 0_M, \\ SS(R_Z(F)) &\subset \{x : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_n \in \dot{S}S(F), \text{ such that } \phi_{-H_n,1}(x_n) \rightarrow x\} \cup 0_M. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the standard estimation of microsupport, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \dot{S}S(K(\phi)|_z \circ F) = \phi_z(\dot{S}S(F)).$$

For  $L_Z$ , the estimation follows immediately from Corollary 2.2 and Equation (4.5) using the wrapping formula Theorem 4.2.

For the proof of  $R_Z$ , we can not use Corollary 2.2 directly. However, we noticed that the proof of Corollary 2.2 only need the fact: for all strictly increasing sequences  $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have  $\varinjlim_i F_{n_i} \simeq \varinjlim_n F_n$ . It is not true for  $\varprojlim$ . Nevertheless, for  $H_n$  given, functions  $\{H_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  still go to infinity on  $S^*M \setminus Z^\infty$  for any divergent subsequence  $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore, Theorem 4.2 implies, for all strictly increasing sequences  $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , that

$$R_Z(F) \simeq \varprojlim_i \Phi_{K(\phi_{-H_{n_i}})|_1}(F).$$

Then we can adapt the argument of Corollary 2.2 for the specific limit to show that

$$SS(R_Z(F)) \subset \liminf_n SS(\Phi_{K(\phi_{-H_n})|_1}(F)).$$

The required microsupport estimation follows from Equation (4.5).  $\square$

*Remark 4.6.* In this proposition, the only place we use the wrapping formula is in identifying  $L_Z(F)$  with  $\varinjlim \Phi_{K(\phi_{H_n})|_1}(F)$ . On the other hand, it is possible to prove directly that  $SS(\varinjlim \Phi_{K(\phi_{H_n})|_1}(F)) \subset Z$  using the estimation in the proposition, and then identify  $L_Z$  with  $\varinjlim \Phi_{K(\phi_{H_n})|_1}$ . Subsequently, it offers us a new proof of the wrapping formula. Passing to adjoint, we get the wrapping formula of  $R_Z$ . We left the detail to interested readers.

Last, we write down the following limit formula.

**Proposition 4.7.** *For an increasing sequence of conic open sets  $U_n$  with  $U = \cup_n U_n$ . We set  $Z_n = T^*M \setminus U_n$ . Then there exists a colimit of fiber sequences in  $\text{Sh}(M \times M)$ :*

$$K_U \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K_Z \simeq \varinjlim [K_{U_n} \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K_{Z_n}].$$

*Proof.* We refer to [Zha23, Appendix], where we prove a version of the proposition for triangulated Tamarkin categories. The construction therein only involves [Zha23, Proposition 1.12], which can be proven in the  $\infty$ -categorical setting directly. Moreover, we do not need the triangulated derivator argument here since the natural inclusions  $\text{Sh}_{Z_{n+1}}(M) \subset \text{Sh}_{Z_n}(M)$  naturally induce a homotopy coherent diagram of kernels  $\{K_{Z_n}\}_n$ . Then we can run the proof therein since we only need Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

## 5. KASHIWARA-SCHAPIRA MICROLOCAL CUT-OFF FUNCTORS

In this section, we study the microlocal cut-off functors defined by Kashiwara and Schapira. We will follow notation and formulation of [Gui23, Chapter III].

Let  $M = V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $n$ , and we naturally identify  $T^*V = V \times V^*$ . A subset  $\gamma \subset V$  is a cone if  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\gamma \subset \gamma$ , we say  $\gamma$  is pointed if  $0 \in \gamma$ . We set  $\gamma^a = -\gamma$ . We say a cone  $\gamma$  is convex/closed if it is a convex/closed set, and is proper if  $\gamma \cap \gamma^a = \{0\}$  (equivalently,  $\gamma$  contains no line). We define the dual cone  $\gamma^\circ \subset V^*$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \subset V \times V$  as

$$\gamma^\circ = \{l \in V^* : l(v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \gamma\}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \{(x, y) \in V \times V : x - y \in \gamma\}.$$

For a pointed closed cone  $\gamma \subset V$ , we define 4 functors

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P_\gamma &: \text{Sh}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V), & F &\mapsto q_{2*}(1_{\tilde{\gamma}} \otimes q_1^* F), \\ Q_\gamma &: \text{Sh}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V), & F &\mapsto q_{2!}(\mathcal{H}om(1_{\tilde{\gamma}^a}, q_1^! F)), \\ P'_\gamma &: \text{Sh}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V), & F &\mapsto q_{2!}(\mathcal{H}om(1_{\tilde{\gamma}^a \setminus \Delta_V}[1], q_1^! F)), \\ Q'_\gamma &: \text{Sh}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V), & F &\mapsto q_{2*}(1_{\tilde{\gamma} \setminus \Delta_V}[1] \otimes q_1^* F). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\gamma = \{0\}$ , we have  $\widetilde{\{0\}} = \Delta_V$  and  $P_{\{0\}}(F) \simeq Q_{\{0\}}(F) \simeq F$ . Using the fiber sequence  $1_{\tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_V} \rightarrow 1_{\tilde{\gamma} \setminus \Delta_V}[1]$  (and the same with  $\gamma^a$ ), we obtain the fiber sequences of functors

$$(5.2) \quad P'_\gamma \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow Q_\gamma, \quad P_\gamma \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow Q'_\gamma.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a pointed closed convex cone. For  $Z = V \times \gamma^{\circ a}$  and  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$ , we have the isomorphisms of fiber sequences*

$$[P'_\gamma \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow Q_\gamma] \simeq [L_U \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow L_Z], \quad [P_\gamma \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow Q'_\gamma] \simeq [R_Z \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow R_U].$$

*Remark 5.2.* Originally, [KS90, Gui23] define triangulated functors  $P_\gamma, Q_\gamma, P'_\gamma, Q'_\gamma$ . Here, we use the same formula to define those functors on  $\infty$ -level, so they automatically descent to corresponding triangulated functors.

*Proof.* It is explained in [Gui23, Remark III.1.9] that, for the inclusion  $\iota : \text{Sh}_{V \times \gamma^{\circ a}}(V) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V)$ , we have that  $Q_\gamma = \iota^*$  and  $P_\gamma = \iota^!$ ; and the natural transformations induced by  $1_{\Delta_V} \rightarrow 1_{\tilde{\gamma} \setminus \Delta_V}[1]$  and  $1_{\tilde{\gamma}^a} \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_V}$  are unit/counit of the corresponding adjunctions.  $\square$

By the virtue of Section 3, the existence of the cut-off functor appears as a purely categorical result. However, the advantage of Equation (5.1) is that the kernel is explicitly written (also no limit!). It is explained in [Gui23, III.1.5] one can write down kernels of  $P'_\gamma$  and  $Q_\gamma$  as Proposition 1.1 predicted, such that the fiber sequence  $K_U \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_V} \rightarrow K_Z$  is given by the fiber sequence

$$K_U = D'(1_{\tilde{\gamma}^a \setminus \Delta_V})[n-1] \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_V} \rightarrow K_Z = D'(1_{\tilde{\gamma}^a})[n],$$

where  $D'(F) = \mathcal{H}om(F, 1_{V^2})$ .

**Example 5.3.** We consider a variant. Let  $M = V$  be a real vector spaces. Take a pointed closed convex proper cone  $\gamma \subset V^*$ , set  $U = V \times \text{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$  and  $Z = V \times (V^* \setminus \text{Int}(\gamma^\circ))$ . The microlocal cut-off functors for  $U$  are studied by Tamarkin [Tam18] and Guillermou-Schapira [GS14] (where  $L_U, R_U$  are called  $L_\gamma, R_\gamma$  respectively.)

The fiber sequence of kernels is given by

$$K_U = 1_{\tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_V} \rightarrow K_V = 1_{\tilde{\gamma} \setminus \Delta_V}[1].$$

By Proposition 5.1, if we assume there exists a pointed closed convex cone  $\lambda$  such that  $V^* \setminus \text{Int}(\gamma^\circ) = \lambda^{\circ a}$ , we have  $L_U = P'_\lambda = L_\gamma, R_U = Q'_\lambda = R_\gamma$ . Noticed that we can show by either computation of dual functor  $D'$  or abstract uniqueness of kernel that  $K_U = 1_{\tilde{\gamma}} \simeq D'(1_{\tilde{\lambda}^a \setminus \Delta_V})[n-1]$ .



## 6. RESULTS FOR PAIRS

In this section, we consider the following construction: Take two conic closed set  $Z \subset X \subset T^*M$ . Let  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$  and  $V = T^*M \setminus X$ , then  $V \subset U$ . Recall that  $\mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U) = \frac{\mathrm{Sh}_X(M)}{\mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)}$ .

We consider the following 9-diagram of  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear categories:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Sh}_Z(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Sh}_X(M) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Sh}_Z(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Sh}(M) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{Sh}(M; U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{Sh}(M; V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{Sh}(M; U) / \mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U) \end{array}$$

In this diagram, by the same argument as in Proposition 3.1, we have that all vertical and horizontal sequences are split Verdier sequences in  $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbf{k})$ . We say a morphism  $f : F \rightarrow G$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$  is an isomorphism on an open set  $V \subset U$  if  $f$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; V)$ . Because  $\mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U)$  is a thick subcategory of  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$ , we know that  $f$  is an isomorphism on  $V$  if and only if  $SS_U(\mathrm{cofib}(f)) \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Here, we write down adjoint functors in the last column precisely

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\iota^*} \\ \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \xleftarrow{\iota^!} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j^!} \\ \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xleftarrow{j^*} \end{array} \\ \mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; V) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Sh}(M; U) & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathrm{Sh}(M; V). \end{array}$$

Then we have adjunction pairs

$$L_{(U,V)} := j_! j^! j_* j^* := R_{(U,V)}, \quad L_{(Z,X)} := \iota^* \iota^! \iota_* \iota^! := R_{(Z,X)},$$

and the units/countits give us following fiber sequences of functors on  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$

$$(6.3) \quad L_{(U,V)} \rightarrow \mathrm{id} \rightarrow L_{(Z,X)}, \quad R_{(Z,X)} \rightarrow \mathrm{id} \rightarrow R_{(U,V)}.$$

*Remark 6.1.* By Equation (0.1), we can also represent functors in Equation (6.3) by integral kernels  $K_{(Z,X)}, K_{(U,V)} \in \mathrm{Sh}(M \times M; (-U) \times U)$  and they are image of  $K_X, K_V$  under the quotient functor  $\mathrm{Sh}(M \times M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(M \times M; (-U) \times U)$ . The relative version of microsupport estimation Proposition 4.4 is also true.

Therefore, we can state the following version of cut-off lemma

**Theorem 6.2.** *For conic closed sets  $Z \subset X \subset T^*M$ , and  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$  and  $V = T^*M \setminus X$ , we have*

- (1) (a) *The morphisms  $F \rightarrow L_{(Z,X)}(F)$  and  $R_{(Z,X)}(F) \rightarrow F$  are isomorphisms if and only if  $SS_U(F) \subset X \cap U$ .*
- (b) *The morphism  $L_{(U,V)}(F) \rightarrow F$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $F \in {}^\perp \mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U)$ , and the morphism  $F \rightarrow R_{(U,V)}(F)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $F \in \mathrm{Sh}_{X \cap U}(M; U)^\perp$ .*
- (a) *The morphisms  $L_{(U,V)}(F) \rightarrow F$  and  $F \rightarrow R_{(U,V)}(F)$  are isomorphisms on  $U \cap V$ .*
- (b) *If  $0_M \subset Z$ , the morphism  $F \rightarrow L_{(Z,X)}(F)$  and  $R_{(Z,X)}(F) \rightarrow F$  are isomorphisms on  $\mathrm{Int}(X) \cap U$ . Equivalently, we have  $SS_U(L_{(U,V)}(F)) \cup SS_U(R_{(U,V)}(F)) \subset \overline{V} \cap U$ . Here  $\overline{V}$  denotes the closure of  $V$  in  $T^*M$ .*

*Proof.* Here, we notice that  $SS_U([F]) = SS(R_U(F)) \cap U = SS(L_U(F)) \cap U$  for  $[F] \in \mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$ . Then we apply the absolute version Theorem 3.5 to conclude.  $\square$

**Example 6.3.** We consider the manifold  $M \times \mathbb{R}_t$ . We take a closed set  $\mathcal{Z} \subset J^1M = T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = J^1M \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ . We consider their conification in  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R}_t) = T^*M \times \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_\tau$ . We set

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{>0} &= \{\tau > 0\}, & \Omega_- &= \{\tau \leq 0\}. \\ U &= \{(q, \tau p, t, \tau) : (q, p, t) \in \mathcal{U}, \tau > 0\}, \\ Z_{>0} &= \{(q, \tau p, t, \tau) : (q, p, t) \in \mathcal{Z}, \tau > 0\}, \\ Z &= Z_{>0} \cup \Omega_-. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Omega_- \subset Z$  are two closed conic sets. In this case, the category

$$\mathrm{Sh}(M \times \mathbb{R}_t; U) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}(M \times \mathbb{R}_t; \Omega_{>0}) / \mathrm{Sh}_{Z_{>0}}(M \times \mathbb{R}_t; \Omega_{>0})$$

is useful for contact topology of  $J^1M$ , since  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  acts on  $\Omega_{>0}$  freely, with the quotient  $J^1M = T^*M \times \mathbb{R}_t$ .

If we take  $\mathcal{U} = U_0 \times \mathbb{R}$  for an open set  $U_0 \subset T^*M$  and  $\mathcal{Z} = Z_0 \times \mathbb{R}$  for the closed set  $Z_0 = T^*M \setminus U_0$ , the category  $\mathrm{Sh}(M \times \mathbb{R}_t; U)$  is exactly the so-called Tamarkin categories  $\mathcal{T}(U_0)$  (cf. [KSZ23]). Tamarkin categories are first defined in [Tam18], and then studied in [Vic13, Chi17, Ike19, AI20a, Zha20, AI22, GV22a, AGH<sup>+</sup>23, AIL23]. In this case,  $U$  admits an  $\mathbb{R}$ -action by translation along  $\mathbb{R}_t$ . Therefore, there exists an  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{pt})$  (which is a symmetric monoidal category [GS14, KSZ23]) action on  $\mathcal{T}(U_0)$  that helps us understand the action filtration from symplectic geometry. The functors

$$L_{U_0}^{\mathcal{T}} := L_{(\Omega_{>0}, U)}, \quad L_{Z_0}^{\mathcal{T}} := L_{(Z, \Omega_-)}$$

are actually  $\mathcal{T}$ -linear. By the  $\mathcal{T}$ -linear left adjoint functors classification (see [KSZ23, Proposition 5.12], which is an enriched version of Remark 6.1), there exists a fiber sequences  $K_{U_0}^{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow 1_{\Delta_M}^{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow K_{Z_0}^{\mathcal{T}}$  in  $\mathcal{T}(T^*(M \times M)) = \mathrm{Sh}(M \times M; \mathcal{T})$  with

$$L_{U_0}^{\mathcal{T}} = \Phi_{K_{U_0}^{\mathcal{T}}}, \quad L_{Z_0}^{\mathcal{T}} = \Phi_{K_{Z_0}^{\mathcal{T}}},$$

where  $\Phi^{\mathcal{T}}$  means the convolution functor defined using  $\mathcal{T}$ -linear 6-operators. These functors are studied in [Tam15, Chi17, Zha21, Zha23, KSZ23], where corresponding kernels are denoted by  $K_{U_0}^{\mathcal{T}} = P_{U_0}, K_{Z_0}^{\mathcal{T}} = Q_{U_0}$ . In particular, we are free to use Theorem 6.2 for Tamarkin categories.

In general, if the open set  $\mathcal{U} \subset J^1M$  admits a  $\mathbb{G}$ -action for a subgroup  $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{R}$  via translation, Asano, Ike and Kuwagaki introduce an equivariant version of categories, see [AI20b, IK23]. If  $\mathbb{G}$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which means  $\mathbb{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , the equivariant version can be understood by the framework of this article by identifying  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{G} = S^1$  and considering the category  $\mathrm{Sh}(M \times S^1; \Omega_+)$  (which still makes sense.) However, if we treat  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{R}_a$  as a discrete group acts on the topological group  $\mathbb{R}_t$ , the situation becomes more delicate and we will not discuss related categories and cut-off lemma in this article (while we still believe it is true.)

## 7. KÜNNETH FORMULA

Here, we present some computations of Lurie tensor products, which generalize the result of [KL24, Theorem 1.2], where an isotropic condition is needed. We take manifolds  $N, M$ , conic closed sets  $Z \subset T^*M$  and  $X \subset T^*N$ , and we set  $U = T^*M \setminus Z$  and  $V = T^*N \setminus X$ .

To start with, we recall that  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{L}}$  is dualizable if it is a dualizable object with respect to the symmetric monoidal structure defined by the Lurie tensor product, and its dual is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^{\vee}$ . Efimov proves the following important fact we need to use: for dualizable category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the functor  $\mathcal{C} \otimes -$  is preserve fully-faithfulness [Efi24, Theorem 2.2]. Then we recall that:

**Lemma 7.1** ([KSZ23, Remark 3.7]). *The category  $\mathrm{Sh}_Z(M)$  is dualizable with dual  $\mathrm{Sh}_{-Z}(M)$ . The category  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; U)$  is dualizable with the dual  $\mathrm{Sh}(M; -U)$ .*

*Remark 7.2.* In the following propositions, the box tensor  $\boxtimes$  of kernels should be understood after identifying  $(N \times M)^2 \simeq N^2 \times M^2$  via  $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2)) \mapsto (n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2)$ .

**Theorem 7.3.** *We have that  $K_X \boxtimes K_Z \simeq K_{X \times Z}$ , and  $\mathrm{Sh}_X(N) \otimes \mathrm{Sh}_Z(M) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M)$ .*

*Proof.* We first assume that both  $X$  and  $Z$  containing the zero section.

For the first statement. We set  $K := K_X \boxtimes K_Z$ . It is clear that  $\Phi_K \circ \Phi_K \simeq \Phi_K$ , so we only need to prove that  $F \in \text{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M)$  if and only if  $\Phi_K(F) \simeq F$ .

For  $F \in \text{Sh}(N \times M)$  with  $\Phi_K(F) \simeq F$ , we can write  $F = \varinjlim A \boxtimes B$ , and then we have  $F \simeq \varinjlim \Phi_{K_X}(A) \boxtimes \Phi_{K_Z}(B)$ . So  $SS(F) = SS(\varinjlim \Phi_{K_X}(A) \boxtimes \Phi_{K_Z}(B)) \subset \overline{SS(\Phi_{K_X}(A)) \times SS(\Phi_{K_Z}(B))} \subset \overline{X} \times Z$ .

Conversely, we need to show that  $K$  fixes  $F \in \text{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M)$ . We use the wrapping formula Theorem 4.2, and adapt the argument of [AGH<sup>+</sup>23, Lemma 4]. For cofinal sequences of conic non-negative Hamiltonian functions  $H_{\lambda_1(n_1)}$  supported in  $U$  and  $H_{\lambda_2(n_2)}$  supported in  $V$  with index sequences  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . We have that  $K \simeq \varinjlim_{(n_1, n_2)} K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_1(n_1)}})|_1 \boxtimes K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_2(n_2)}})|_1$ . Now, for the 1-parameter version of GKS quantization  $K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_1(n_1)}})$  and  $K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_2(n_2)}})$  as Theorem 4.1 given. By a parameter version of (4.5), it is directly to see that for  $W = \Phi_{K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_1(n_1)}}) \boxtimes K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_2(n_2)}})}(F) \in \text{Sh}(N \times \mathbb{R} \times M \times \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$SS(W) \subset \left\{ (q_1, z_1, p_1, \zeta_1, q_2, z_2, p_2, \zeta_2) : \begin{array}{l} \exists (q'_1, p'_1, q'_2, p'_2) \in SS(F), \\ (q_i, p_i) = \phi_{z_i}^{H_{\lambda_i(n_i)}}(q'_i, p'_i), \zeta_i = -H_{\lambda_i(n_i)}(q'_i, p'_i). \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now, since  $SS(F) \subset X \times Z$ , we have that  $SS(W) \subset T^*(N \times M) \times 0_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ . Then by [KS90, Proposition 5.4.5], we have  $F = W|_{z_1=z_2=0} \simeq W|_{z_1=z_2=1} = \Phi_{K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_1(n_1)}})|_1 \boxtimes K(\phi_{H_{\lambda_2(n_2)}})|_1}(F)$ . We can further verify that the isomorphism is commute with continuation map given by non-negativity of  $H_{\lambda_i(n_i)}$  (see Theorem 4.2). Then we taking limit with respect to  $(n_1, n_2)$  to see that  $\Phi_K(F) \simeq F$ .

Consequently, we have  $K_{X \times Z} \simeq K = K_X \boxtimes K_Z$  by uniqueness of kernel.

For the second statement, under the natural identification  $\text{Sh}(N) \otimes \text{Sh}(M) = \text{Sh}(N \times M)$  (see [Vol21, Proposition 2.30]), it remains to verify that the essential image of the functor

$$\text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes \text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(N) \otimes \text{Sh}(M) = \text{Sh}(N \times M), \quad F \otimes G \mapsto F \boxtimes G$$

is  $\text{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M)$ . In fact, any object  $F$  in  $\text{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M)$  can be written as a colimit of some  $F = \varinjlim F_\alpha \boxtimes G_\alpha \in \text{Sh}(N \times M)$ . We should show that the  $F_\alpha$  can be chosen in  $\text{Sh}_X(N)$  and  $G_\alpha$  can be chosen to be in  $\text{Sh}_Z(M)$ . By definition of  $K_{X \times Z}$ , we have  $F \simeq \Phi_{K_{X \times Z}}(F)$ . As we already prove that  $K_{X \times Z} \simeq K_X \boxtimes K_Z$ , we have

$$F \simeq \Phi_{K_{X \times Z}}(\varinjlim F_\alpha \boxtimes G_\alpha) \simeq \varinjlim \Phi_{K_X \boxtimes K_Z}(F_\alpha \boxtimes G_\alpha) = \varinjlim \Phi_{K_X}(F_\alpha) \boxtimes \Phi_{K_Z}(G_\alpha).$$

Now, we remove the assumption that  $X, Z$  contain the zero section. In this case, we set  $Z_0 = Z \cap 0_M$  and  $\tilde{Z} = Z \cup 0_M$  (similarly for  $X_0$  and  $\tilde{X}$ ). Then we use the result for  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Z}$ , which contain zero sections, from above; and conclude by noticing that  $SS(F) \subset Z$  if and only if  $SS(F) \subset \tilde{Z}$  and  $\text{supp} F \subset Z_0$ , and  $F_n \otimes G_m \simeq (F \boxtimes G)_{(n, m)}$  for  $(n, m) \in N \times M$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.4.** *We have an equivalence of fiber sequences of categories*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}_{X \times Z}(N \times M) &\rightarrow \text{Sh}_{X \times T^*M}(N \times M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{X \times U}(N \times M; T^*N \times U) \\ &\simeq \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes (\text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M; U)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The functor  $- \mapsto \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes -$  preserves colimits and fully-faithfulness, so we have the equivalence of Verdier sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes (\text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(M; U)) \\ \simeq \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes \text{Sh}_Z(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes \text{Sh}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_X(N) \otimes \text{Sh}(M; U). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 7.5.** *We have an equivalence*

$$\text{Sh}(N; V) \otimes \text{Sh}(M; U) \simeq \text{Sh}(N \times M; V \times U).$$

*In particular, we have that  $K_V \boxtimes K_U \simeq K_{V \times U}$ .*

*Proof.* We fulfill the 9-diagram in Equation (6.1) by setting the manifold by  $N \times M$ , two conic closed sets are  $T^*N \times Z \subset T^*N \times Z \cup X \times T^*M$ . Therefore, we have the following equivalence

$$\text{Sh}(N \times M; V \times U) \simeq \text{Sh}(N \times M; T^*M \times U) / \text{Sh}_{X \times U}(N \times M; T^*M \times U).$$

Now, we apply Corollary 7.4 twice to see that

$$[\mathrm{Sh}_{X \times U}(N \times M; T^*N \times U) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(N \times M; T^*N \times U)] = [\mathrm{Sh}_X(N) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(N)] \otimes \mathrm{Sh}(M; U).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\mathrm{Sh}(N \times M; T^*N \times U) / \mathrm{Sh}_{X \times U}(N \times M; T^*N \times U) \simeq \mathrm{Sh}(N; V) \otimes \mathrm{Sh}(M; U). \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [AGH<sup>+</sup>23] Tomohiro Asano, Stéphane Guillermou, Vincent Humilière, Yuichi Ike, and Claude Viterbo. *The  $\gamma$ -support as a micro-support*. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, **volume 361**, 1333–1340 [2023]. doi: [10.5802/crmath.499](https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.499).
- [AI20a] Tomohiro Asano and Yuichi Ike. *Persistence-like distance on Tamarkin’s category and symplectic displacement energy*. *Journal of Symplectic Geometry*, **volume 18**, no. 3, 613–649 [2020]. doi: [10.4310/jsg.2020.v18.n3.a1](https://doi.org/10.4310/jsg.2020.v18.n3.a1).
- [AI20b] Tomohiro Asano and Yuichi Ike. *Sheaf quantization and intersection of rational Lagrangian immersions*. *Annales l’Institut Fourier* [2020]. doi: [10.5802/aif.3554](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.3554).
- [AI22] Tomohiro Asano and Yuichi Ike. *Completeness of derived interleaving distances and sheaf quantization of non-smooth objects* [2022]. arXiv:2201.02598.
- [AIL23] Tomohiro Asano, Yuichi Ike, and Wenyuan Li. *Lagrangian Cobordism and Shadow Distance in Tamarkin Category* [2023]. arXiv:2312.14429.
- [BGT13] Andrew J Blumberg, David Gepner, and Gonalo Tabuada. *A universal characterization of higher algebraic K-theory*. *Geometry & Topology*, **volume 17**, no. 2, 733–838 [2013]. doi: [10.2140/gt.2013.17.733](https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2013.17.733).
- [CDH<sup>+</sup>] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonatan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. *Hermitian K-theory for stable  $\infty$ -categories II: Cobordism categories and additivity*. arXiv:2009.07224.
- [Chi17] Sheng-Fu Chiu. *Non-squeezing property of contact balls*. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **volume 166**, no. 4, 605–655 [2017]. doi: [10.1215/00127094-3715517](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-3715517).
- [D’A96] Andrea D’Agnolo. *On the microlocal cut-off of sheaves*. *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, **volume 8**, no. 1, 161 [1996]. ISSN 1230-3429. doi: [10.12775/tmna.1996.025](https://doi.org/10.12775/tmna.1996.025).
- [Ef24] Alexander I. Efimov. *K-theory and localizing invariants of large categories* [2024]. arXiv:2405.12169.
- [GKS12] Stéphane Guillermou, Masaki Kashiwara, and Pierre Schapira. *Sheaf quantization of Hamiltonian isotopies and applications to nondisplaceability problems*. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **volume 161**, no. 2, 201–245 [2012]. doi: [10.1215/00127094-1507367](https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-1507367).
- [GPS18] Sheel Ganatra, John Pardon, and Vivek Shende. *Microlocal Morse theory of wrapped Fukaya categories* [2018]. arXiv: 1809.08807.
- [GR19] Dennis Gaietsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry: Volume I: correspondences and duality*, volume 221. American Mathematical Society [2019].
- [GS14] Stéphane Guillermou and Pierre Schapira. *Microlocal theory of sheaves and Tamarkin’s non Displaceability theorem*. In *Homological mirror symmetry and tropical geometry*, Springer, 43–85 [2014]. doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-06514-4\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06514-4_3).
- [Gui23] Stéphane Guillermou. *Sheaves and symplectic geometry of cotangent bundles*, *Astérisque*, volume 440. Société mathématique de France [2023]. doi: [10.24033/ast.1199](https://doi.org/10.24033/ast.1199).
- [GV22a] Stéphane Guillermou and Nicolas Vichery. *Viterbo’s spectral bound conjecture for homogeneous spaces* [2022]. arXiv:2203.13700.
- [GV22b] Stéphane Guillermou and Claude Viterbo. *The singular support of sheaves is  $\gamma$ -coisotropic* [2022]. arXiv:2203.12977.
- [IK23] Yuichi Ike and Tatsuki Kuwagaki. *Microlocal categories over the Novikov ring I: cotangent bundles* [2023]. arXiv:2307.01561.
- [Ike19] Yuichi Ike. *Compact Exact Lagrangian Intersections in Cotangent Bundles via Sheaf Quantization*. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **volume 55**, no. 4, 737–778 [2019]. doi: [10.4171/prims/55-4-3](https://doi.org/10.4171/prims/55-4-3).
- [KL24] Christopher Kuo and Wenyuan Li. *Duality, Künneth formulae, and integral transforms in microlocal geometry* [2024]. arXiv:2405.15211.
- [KS90] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Sheaves on manifolds: With a short gistory. Les débuts de la théorie des faisceaux*. By Christian Houzel, volume 292. Springer [1990]. doi: [10.1007/978-3-662-02661-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-02661-8).
- [KSZ23] Christopher Kuo, Vivek Shende, and Bingyu Zhang. *On the Hochschild cohomology of Tamarkin categories* [2023]. arXiv:2312.11447.
- [Kuo23] Christopher Kuo. *Wrapped sheaves*. *Advances in Mathematics*, **volume 415**, 108882 [2023]. doi: [10.1016/j.aim.2023.108882](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2023.108882).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory (AM-170)*. Princeton University Press [2009]. doi: [10.1515/9781400830558](https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558).
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra* [2017]. Preprint, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. *Spectral Algebraic Geometry* [2018]. Preprint, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>.
- [Nad16] David Nadler. *Wrapped microlocal sheaves on pairs of pants* [2016]. arXiv preprint: 1604.00114.
- [NS18] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. *On topological cyclic homology*. *Acta Mathematica*, **volume 221**, no. 2, 203–409 [2018]. doi: [10.4310/acta.2018.v221.n2.a1](https://doi.org/10.4310/acta.2018.v221.n2.a1).
- [NS22] David Nadler and Vivek Shende. *Sheaf quantization in Weinstein symplectic manifolds* [2022]. arXiv: 2007.10154v3.

- [RS18] Marco Robalo and Pierre Schapira. *A Lemma for microlocal sheaf theory in the  $\infty$ -Categorical Setting*. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, **volume 54**, no. 2, 379–391 [2018]. doi: [10.4171/prims/54-2-5](https://doi.org/10.4171/prims/54-2-5).
- [RS22] Shaul Ragimov and Tomer M. Schlank. *The  $\infty$ -categorical reflection theorem and applications* [2022]. [arXiv:2207.09244](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.09244).
- [Sch22] Peter Scholze. *Six-Functor Formalisms* [2022]. [Lecture Notes](#).
- [Tam15] Dmitry Tamarkin. *Microlocal Category* [2015]. [arXiv:1511.08961](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.08961).
- [Tam18] Dmitry Tamarkin. *Microlocal condition for non-displaceability*. In Michael Hitrik, Dmitry Tamarkin, Boris Tsygan, and Steve Zelditch, editors, *Algebraic and Analytic Microlocal Analysis*. Springer [2018], 99–223. doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-01588-6\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01588-6_3).
- [Vic13] Nicolas Vichery. *Homogénéisation symplectique et Applications de la théorie des faisceaux à la topologie symplectique*. Ph.D. thesis, Ecole polytechnique [2013]. URL <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/pastel-00780016/>.
- [Vol21] Marco Volpe. *The six operations in topology* [2021]. [arXiv:2110.10212](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.10212).
- [Zha20] Jun Zhang. *Quantitative Tamarkin theory*. Springer [2020]. doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-37888-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37888-2).
- [Zha21] Bingyu Zhang. *Capacities from the Chiu-Tamarkin complex*. Journal of Symplectic Geometry, to appear [2021]. doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2103.05143](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.05143).
- [Zha23] Bingyu Zhang. *Idempotence of microlocal kernels and the  $S^1$ -equivariant Chiu-Tamarkin invariant* [2023]. [arXiv:2306.12316](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.12316).

Bingyu Zhang  
Centre for Quantum Mathematics, University of Southern Denmark  
Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark  
Email: [bingyuzhang@imada.sdu.dk](mailto:bingyuzhang@imada.sdu.dk)