

# LORENTZ HERZ-TYPE BESOV-TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES

DOUADI DRIHEM

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce a family of function spaces of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin type. We present the  $\varphi$ -transform characterization of these spaces in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth and we prove their Sobolev and Franke-Jewarth embeddings. Also, we establish the smooth atomic, molecular and wavelet decomposition of these function spaces. Characterizations by ball means of differences are given. Finally, we investigate a series of examples which play an important role in the study of function spaces of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Function spaces have been a central topic in modern analysis, and are now of increasing applications in many fields of mathematics especially harmonic analysis and partial differential equations. The most known general scales of function spaces are the scales of Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and it is known that they cover many well-known classical function spaces such as Hölder-Zygmund spaces, Hardy spaces and Sobolev spaces. For more details one can refer to Triebel's books [47] and [48].

It is well known that Herz spaces play an important role in Harmonic Analysis. After they have been introduced in [25], the theory of these spaces had a remarkable development in part due to its usefulness in applications. For instance, they appear in the characterization of multipliers on Hardy spaces [2], in the summability of Fourier transforms [19] and in regularity theory for elliptic equations in divergence form [37]. Y. Tsutsui [51] has considered the Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes equations on Herz spaces and weak Herz spaces. They unify and generalize the classical Lebesgue spaces of power weights. More precisely, if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = q$ , then  $\dot{K}_{p,p}^0$  coincides with the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$  and

$$\dot{K}_{p,p}^\alpha = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^{-\alpha p}), \quad (\text{Lebesgue space equipped with power weight}).$$

Based on Herz spaces, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, the authors in [53] and [54] introduced new family of function spaces called Herz-type Besov spaces  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . These spaces unify and generalize the classical inhomogeneous Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Several basic properties were established, such as the Fourier analytical characterisation, lifting properties and embeddings properties. When  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = q$  the spaces  $\dot{K}_p^{0,p} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_p^{0,p} F_\beta^s$  coincide with the usual function spaces  $B_{p,\beta}^s$  and  $F_{p,\beta}^s$ , respectively. The embeddings in  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  such as Sobolev, Franke and Jewarth, extend and improve Sobolev, Franke and Jewarth embeddings of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, see [8], [9] and [10].

---

*Date:* July 23, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B25, 42B35; secondary: 46E35.

*Key words and phrases.* Atom, Molecule, Wavelet, Difference, Herz space, Lorentz space, Besov space, Triebel-Lizorkin space, Embedding.

The interest in Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces comes not only from theoretical reasons but also from their applications to several classical problems in analysis. In [31], Lu and Yang introduced the Herz-type Sobolev and Bessel potential spaces. They gave some applications to partial differential equations. In [12] the author studied the Cauchy problem for the semilinear parabolic equations

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = G(u)$$

with initial data in Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and under some suitable conditions on  $G$ .

Based on Lorentz-Herz spaces, see below, and Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, this paper consists in giving a unified treatment of function spaces of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type spaces. We will define and investigate the scales

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s.$$

Let us present briefly the idea to introduce this function spaces. In [17] the author studied the interpolation properties of Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. He proved the following result. Let  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$  denote the homogeneous Herz-type Lorentz space, see below. Let  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,  $1 \leq p_0 \neq p_1 \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q_0, q_1 < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with

$$-\frac{n}{p_0} < \alpha_0 < n - \frac{n}{p_0} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{n}{p_1} < \alpha_1 < n - \frac{n}{p_1}.$$

Assume that

$$\alpha = (1 - \theta)\alpha_0 + \theta\alpha_1, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

(i) We have

$$(\dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} F_\beta^s, \dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1} F_\beta^s)_{\theta,q} = \dot{K}_{p,q}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$$

hold in the sense of equivalent norms.

(ii) Let  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{\beta_0} + \frac{\theta}{\beta_1}$  and  $s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1$ . Then

$$(\dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} B_{\beta_0}^{s_0}, \dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1} B_{\beta_1}^{s_1})_{\theta,q} = \dot{K}_{p,q}^{\alpha,q} B_q^s$$

hold in the sense of equivalent norms.

Therefore, it will be interesting to study such function spaces.

The paper contains eight sections. Every chapter has an introduction which explains what one will find there.

Section 2. We present some known results concerning Herz spaces which are needed in the following parts of the paper.

Section 3. Using the Calderón reproducing formulae we establish the  $\varphi$ -transform characterization of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  spaces in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth. We continue by proving Sobolev and Franke-Jawerth embeddings. Lifting property and the Fatou property of such spaces are given. Finally, maximal function characterization and continuous characterization of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  are established. Also, we present new embeddings between Besov and Herz spaces. All these results generalize the existing classical results on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Section 4. First, we prove the boundedness of almost diagonal operator in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth on sequence spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s$ . As an application, we

establish characterizations by atomic and molecular decompositions. Using the characterizations of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  spaces by atom, we establish characterizations of such spaces by wavelets. Characterizations by ball mean of differences are given.

**1.1. Basic spaces.** Throughout this paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the  $n$ -dimensional real Euclidean space,  $\mathbb{N}$  the collection of all natural numbers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The letter  $\mathbb{Z}$  stands for the set of all integer numbers. For a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ , we write  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ . The Euclidean scalar product of  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is given by  $x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ .

The expression  $f \lesssim g$  means that  $f \leq c g$  for some independent constant  $c$  (and non-negative functions  $f$  and  $g$ ), and  $f \approx g$  means  $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$ . As usual for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $[x]$  stands for the largest integer smaller than or equal to  $x$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$  we denote by  $B(x, r)$  the open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . By  $\text{supp } f$  we denote the support of the function  $f$ , i.e., the closure of its non-zero set. If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a measurable set, then  $|E|$  stands for the (Lebesgue) measure of  $E$  and  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function. By  $c$  we denote generic positive constants, which may have different values at different occurrences.

For  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , denote by  $Q_{v,m}$  the dyadic cube,

$$Q_{v,m} = 2^{-v}([0, 1]^n + m).$$

For each cube  $Q$ , we denote by  $x_{v,m}$  the lower left-corner  $2^{-v}m$  of  $Q = Q_{v,m}$ . Also, we set  $\chi_{v,m} = \chi_{Q_{v,m}}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

The symbol  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is used in place of the set of all Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is equipped with the family of seminorms,

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\gamma| \leq M} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| (1 + |x|)^{n+M+|\gamma|} < \infty$$

for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the dual space of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the Fourier transform of a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Its inverse is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ . Both  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  are extended to the dual Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the usual way.

(i) Let  $0 < p \leq \infty$ . By  $L^p$  we denote the space of all measurable functions  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

with  $0 < p < \infty$  and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess-sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

(ii) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ . The weighted Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)$  contains all measurable functions  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^\alpha)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p |x|^\alpha dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then we put  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|^0) = L^p$ .

(iii) The space  $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of all uniformly continuous functions  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

(iv) Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The space  $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as the set of all functions  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , having all classical derivatives  $\partial^\alpha f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  up to order  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and such that

$$\|f\|_{C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{C(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

**Definition 1.1.** (Hölder spaces) Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m < s < m + 1$ . The space  $C^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{C^s} = \|f\|_{C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x) - \partial^\alpha f(y)|}{|x - y|^{s-m}} < \infty.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the Sobolev space  $W_p^m$  as the set of functions  $f \in L^p$  with weak derivatives  $\partial^\beta f \in L^p$  for  $|\beta| \leq m$ . We define the norm of  $W_p^m$  by

$$\|f\|_{W_p^m} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|\partial^\beta f\|_p < \infty.$$

As usual, we define  $W_p^0 = L^p$ .

**1.2. Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.** We present the Fourier analytical definition of Besov space and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and recall their basic properties. We first need the concept of a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Let  $\vartheta$  be a function in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying

$$\vartheta(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \frac{3}{2}. \quad (1.3)$$

We put  $\varphi_0(x) = \vartheta(x)$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) = \vartheta(\frac{x}{2}) - \vartheta(x)$  and  $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_1(2^{-k+1}x)$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then we have  $\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |x| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}\}$  and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.4)$$

The system of functions  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is called a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Thus we obtain the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (convergence in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ).

We are now in a position to state the definition of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ .

(i) The Besov space  $B_{p,q}^s$  is the collection of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksq} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

with the obvious modification if  $q = \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . The Triebel-Lizorkin space  $F_{p,q}^s$  is the collection of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksq} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p < \infty,$$

with the obvious modification if  $q = \infty$ .

*Remark 1.6.* Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . The spaces  $B_{p,q}^s$  and  $F_{p,q}^s$  are independent of the particular choice of the smooth dyadic resolution of unity  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  (in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms). In particular  $B_{p,q}^s$  and  $F_{p,q}^s$  are quasi-Banach spaces and if  $p, q \geq 1$ , then  $B_{p,q}^s$  and  $F_{p,q}^s$  are Banach spaces. In addition

$$F_{p,2}^m = W_p^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 1 < p < \infty,$$

and

$$B_{\infty,\infty}^s = C^s, \quad s > 0, s \notin \mathbb{N},$$

see [41], [47] and [48] for more details about these function spaces.

Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1.$$

The local Hardy space  $h^p$  consist of all distributions  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which

$$\|f\|_{h^p} = \left\| \sup_{0 < t < 1} |t^{-n} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi(t^{-1} \cdot) * f| \right\|_p < \infty.$$

We have

$$F_{p,2}^0 = h^p, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

see [47, Sect. 2.2.2].

We would mention that if  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < p, q < \infty$  then  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $A_{p,q}^s$  spaces, see [47]. Further characterizations of such spaces can be found in [41], [47] and [48].

## 2. LORENTZ-HERZ SPACES

The aim of this section is twofold. First, we provide the necessary background information about Lorentz-Herz spaces. The second aim is to present some technical results which are needed in the following parts of the paper, such as the boundedness of class of sublinear operators and Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality on such spaces. The results of this section will play a crucial role in several other sections of this paper.

**2.1. Definition and some basic properties.** The main purpose of this subsection is to present some fundamental properties of Lorentz-Herz spaces. Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For convenience, we set

$$B_k = B(0, 2^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{B}_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 2^k\}.$$

In addition, we put

$$R_k = B_k \setminus B_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_k = \chi_{R_k}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The homogeneous Herz space  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$  is defined as the set of all  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}} = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|f \chi_k\|_p^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ , i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,\infty}} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k\alpha} \|f \chi_k\|_p).$$

*Remark 2.3.* Herz spaces play an important role in Harmonic Analysis. After they have been introduced in [25], the theory of these spaces had a remarkable development in part due to its usefulness in applications. For instance, they appear in the characterization of multipliers on Hardy spaces [2], in the semilinear parabolic equations; see [14], in the summability of Fourier transforms [19], in regularity theory for elliptic equations in divergence form [37]-[38], and in the Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes equations [51]. But, the study of the Herz spaces can be dated back to the work of Beurling [3]. Feichtinger in [18] introduced another norm which is equivalent to the norm defined by Beurling.

*Remark 2.4.* A detailed discussion of the properties of Herz spaces may be found in [26], [27], [32] and [36], and references therein.

Let  $f$  be a measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . We define the distribution function of  $f$  by

$$m_f(\lambda) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|.$$

The non-increasing rearrangement of  $f$  is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : m_f(\lambda) \leq t\}.$$

Next, we recall the Lorentz spaces.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < r \leq \infty$ . Then the Lorentz space  $L^{p,r}$  is the set of all measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^{p,r}} < \infty$ , where

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,r}} = \left( \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{r}{p}} (f^*(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if } 0 < r < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) \quad \text{if } r = \infty.$$

*Remark 2.6.* We know that the Lorentz space is very important in harmonic analysis. A much more detailed about such spaces can be found in [24, Chapter 1]. We put  $L^{\infty,\infty} = L^\infty$ .

We recall some basic properties of Lorentz space.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $0 < p, p_0, p_1 < \infty$  and  $0 < r, r_0, r_1 \leq \infty$ .

- (i) The Lorentz space  $L^{p,r}$  with the quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,r}}$  is complete, quasi-Banach, for all  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < r \leq \infty$ .
- (ii) Let  $0 < s < \infty$  and  $f \in L^{p,r}$ . Then we have

$$\||f|^s\|_{L^{p,r}} = \|f\|_{L^{ps,rs}}^s. \tag{2.8}$$

- (iii) We have  $L^{p,p} = L^p$  in the sense of equivalent norms.
- (iv) Suppose  $0 < q < r \leq \infty$ . Then  $L^{p,q} \hookrightarrow L^{p,r}$ .
- (v) Let  $f \in L^{p_0,r_0}$  and  $g \in L^{p_1,r_1}$ . Suppose

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r_1}.$$

Then  $fg \in L^{p,r}$  and

$$\|fg\|_{L^{p,r}} \leq c \|f\|_{L^{p_0,r_0}} \|g\|_{L^{p_1,r_1}}.$$

(vi) Let  $f \in L^{p_0, r_0}$  and  $g \in L^{p_1, r_1}$ . Suppose  $1 < p, p_0, p_1 < \infty$  and  $0 < r_0, r_1 \leq \infty$  with

$$\frac{1}{p} + 1 = \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r_1}.$$

Then  $f * g \in L^{p, r}$  and

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{p, r}} \leq c \|f\|_{L^{p_0, r_0}} \|g\|_{L^{p_1, r_1}}.$$

(vii) Suppose  $f \in L^{p, r}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  or  $p = r = \infty$ . We put

$$\|f\|_{L^{p, r}}^* = \left( \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{r}{p}} (f^{**}(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/r} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq r < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}}^* = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^{**}(t) \quad \text{if } r = \infty,$$

where  $f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$ ,  $s > 0$ . Then

$$\|f\|_{L^{p, r}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p, r}}^* \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^{p, r}}.$$

*Proof.* For the proof, see [24] and [35]. □

We recall the following Hardy-Littlewood inequality; see [24].

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-negative functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx \leq \int_0^\infty f^*(t)g^*(t)dt$$

holds.

Recall that

$$\|\chi_A\|_{L^{p, r}} = \left(\frac{p}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} |A|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|\chi_A\|_{L^{p, \infty}} = |A|^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 0 < p, r < \infty \quad (2.10)$$

for any measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of finite measure and

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{L^{p, r}} = \lambda^{-n/p} \|f\|_{L^{p, r}}, \quad f \in L^{p, r}, 0 < \lambda < \infty, \quad (2.11)$$

Now, we define the Lorentz-Herz spaces  $\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}$ .

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q, r \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The homogeneous Lorentz-Herz space  $\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}$  is defined as the set of all functions  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}} = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^{p, r}}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ , i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, \infty}} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k\alpha} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^{p, r}}).$$

*Remark 2.13.* Suppose  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If either  $0 < p, r < \infty$  or  $r = \infty$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , then  $\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}$  is a quasi-Banach ideal space with the Fatou property. More detailed about Lorentz-Herz spaces is given [1], [23] and [51]. There is another definition of Lorentz-Herz spaces; see [32].

We now collect some inequalities in the theory of Lorentz-Herz spaces which we will use throughout the paper. We begin with Hölder's inequality.

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $0 < p_i < \infty, 0 < q_i, r_i \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose*

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_0} + \frac{1}{r_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_0} + \frac{1}{q_1}.$$

Then

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0}} \|g\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1}} \quad (2.15)$$

holds for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0}$  and all  $g \in \dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1}$ .

*Proof.* The estimate (2.15) follows from Proposition 2.7/(v) and Hölder's inequality in sequence spaces  $\ell^q$ .  $\square$

We present an interpolation inequality, namely if a function  $f$  is in  $\dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} \cap \dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1}$ , then it also lies in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ , with some suitable assumptions on the parameters of such spaces.

**Lemma 2.16.** *Let  $0 < \theta < 1, 0 < p_i < \infty, 0 < q_i, r_i \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Suppose*

$$\alpha = (1 - \theta)\alpha_0 + \theta\alpha_1, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1 - \theta}{r_0} + \frac{\theta}{r_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}.$$

We have the so-called interpolation inequalities:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0}}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1}}^{\theta} \quad (2.17)$$

holds for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} \cap \dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1}$ .

*Proof.* From Hölder's inequality and (2.8)

$$\|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}} = \| |f|^{1-\theta} |f|^\theta \chi_k \|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim \| |f|^{1-\theta} \chi_k \|_{L^{p_0,r_0}} \| |f|^\theta \chi_k \|_{L^{p_1,r_1}}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . Using the Hölder inequality in sequence spaces  $\ell^q$  and the fact that  $\alpha = (1 - \theta)\alpha_0 + \theta\alpha_1$ , we obtain the desired estimate (2.17).  $\square$

The following lemma and proposition give some preliminary results for Lorentz-Herz spaces.

**Lemma 2.18.** (i) *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q_1, q_2, r \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q_2}, \quad (2.19)$$

if and only if  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ .

(ii) *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The space  $\dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha,q}$  coincides with the Herz space  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$ .*

(iii) *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, 0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,q} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha,q}.$$

(iv) *Let  $0 < p, s < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\| |f|^s \|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} = \| f \|_{\dot{K}_{ps,rs}^{\alpha/s,qs}}^s.$$

*Proof.* We will do the proof in two steps.

*Step 1.* We will prove (i). Assume that  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 < \infty$ . Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q_1}$  and

$$I = \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q_1} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^{q_1} \right)^{1/q_1}.$$



If  $I = 0$ , then nothing to prove. We have

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q_2} \left\| \frac{f}{I} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r}}^{q_2} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( 2^{k\alpha} \left\| \frac{f}{I} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \right)^{q_2 - q_1 + q_1}.$$

Observe that

$$\left( 2^{k\alpha} \left\| \frac{f}{I} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \right)^{q_2 - q_1} \leq 1$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q_2} \left\| \frac{f}{I} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r}}^{q_2} \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( 2^{k\alpha} \left\| \frac{f}{I} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \right)^{q_1} = 1,$$

which gives the desired estimate. Now, let

$$f_N = \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{-(\alpha + \frac{n}{p})j} \chi_j, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (2.10), we have

$$\|f_N \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \notin \{1, \dots, N\}, \\ c 2^{-\alpha k}, & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \end{cases}$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $k$  and  $N$ . Hence

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q_i}} = c N^{\frac{1}{q_i}}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

If (2.19) holds, then  $N^{\frac{1}{q_2} - \frac{1}{q_1}} \lesssim 1$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $N$ . Observe for  $N$ , tends to infinity, then  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 < \infty$  becomes necessary. Obviously that  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, \infty}$  if and only if  $0 < q_1 \leq \infty$ .

*Step 2.* We prove (ii), (iii) and (iv). The desired result follows by the fact that  $L^{p,p} = L^p$ ,  $L^{p,r_1} \hookrightarrow L^{p,r_2}$ ,  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \infty$  and (2.8). The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $K_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}$  be the inhomogeneous Lorentz-Herz spaces. More precisely, the set of all functions  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{K_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}} = \|f \chi_{B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

**Proposition 2.20.** (i) *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} \cap L^{p,r} = K_{p,r}^{\alpha, q},$$

*in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.*

(ii) *Let  $0 < q \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < r_2, r_1 \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and suppose  $0 < p_2 < p_1 < \infty$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p_1, r_1}^{\alpha, q} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_2, r_2}^{\alpha - \frac{n}{p_2} + \frac{n}{p_1}, q}$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* We proceed in two steps.

*Step 1. Proof of (i).* Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} \cap L^{p,r}$ . Obviously

$$\|f \chi_{B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p,r}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}}^q.$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \cap L^{p,r}}.$$

Now, let  $f \in K_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ . Since  $R_k \subset B_0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha q} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha q} \|f\chi_{B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \lesssim \|f\chi_{B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^q.$$

Therefore  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$  and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{K_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

We will prove that  $f \in L^{p,r}$ . Observe that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim \|f\chi_{B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}} + \|f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}}.$$

Let  $0 < \tau < \min(1, p, r)$ . By [42, (19)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0}\|_{L^{p,r}} &\lesssim \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2^{k\alpha} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha > 0$ . Consequently

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \cap L^{p,r}} \lesssim \|f\|_{K_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

This estimate gives the desired result.

*Step 2. Proof of (ii).* Let  $\frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{v}$ ,  $0 < v < \infty$ . By Hölder's inequality and (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p_2, r_2}} &\lesssim \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p_1, \infty}} \|\chi_k\|_{L^{v, r_1}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(\frac{n}{p_2} - \frac{n}{p_1})k} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p_1, r_1}} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . This estimate yields the desired embeddings. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $V_{\alpha, p, r, q}$  be the set of  $(\alpha, p, r, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times (1, \infty)^2 \times [1, \infty]$  such that:

- $\alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ,
- $\alpha = n - \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and  $q = 1$ ,

The next lemma gives a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $p$ ,  $r$  and  $q$ , in order to make sure that

$$\langle T_f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\varphi(x)dx, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$$

generates a regular distribution  $T_f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Lemma 2.21.** *Let  $1 < r, p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

*holds if and only if  $(\alpha, p, r, q) \in V_{\alpha, p, r, q}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a slight variant of [11]. For the convenience of the reader, we give some details. We divide the proof into two steps.

*Step 1.* Assume that  $(\alpha, p, r, q) \in V_{\alpha, p, r, q}$ ,  $f \in \dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}$  and  $B(0, 2^N) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By similarity we only consider the case  $\alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Hölder's inequality and (2.10) give

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^1(B(0, 2^N))} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^N \|f\chi_i\|_1 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=-\infty}^N \|f\chi_i\|_{L^{p, r}} \|\chi_i\|_{L^{p', r'}} \\ &= c 2^{N(n - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha)} \sum_{i=-\infty}^N 2^{(i-N)(n - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha)} 2^{i\alpha} \|f\chi_i\|_{L^{p, r}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{N(n - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha)} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Step 2.* Assume that  $(\alpha, p, r, q) \notin V_{\alpha, p, r, q}$ . We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\alpha > n - \frac{n}{p}$ . We set  $f(x) = |x|^{-n} \chi_{0 < |\cdot| < 1}(x)$ . We obtain  $f \in \dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}$  for any  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  whereas  $f \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Indeed, by (2.10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q}}^q &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k < 2} 2^{k\alpha q} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p, r}}^q \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k < 2} 2^{k(\alpha - n)q} \|\chi_k \chi_{0 < |\cdot| < 1}\|_{L^{p, r}}^q \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k < 2} 2^{k(\alpha - n + \frac{n}{p})q} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ . Obviously,  $f \notin L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Case 2.*  $\alpha = n - \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and  $1 < q \leq \infty$ . We consider the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(x) = |x|^{-n} (|\log |x||)^{-1} \chi_{0 < |\cdot| < \frac{1}{2}}(x).$$

An easy computation yields that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p, r}^{n - \frac{n}{p}, q}}^q \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-q} < \infty,$$

which gives that  $f \in \dot{K}_{p, r}^{n - \frac{n}{p}, q}$ , with the usual modifications when  $q = \infty$ . It is easily seen that  $f$  does not belong to  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The lemma is now proved.  $\square$

We collect some assertions which will be of some use for us. If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $R, N > 0$ , then we put  $\eta_{R, N}(x) = R^n (1 + R|x|)^{-N}$ .

**Lemma 2.22.** *Let  $R > 0$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < r \leq \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent  $R$  such that for any  $N > \frac{n}{p}$  we have*

$$\|\eta_{R, N}\|_{L^{p, r}} \leq c R^{n - \frac{n}{p}}. \quad (2.23)$$

*Proof.* Simple calculation yields that

$$(\eta_{R,N})^*(t) = R^n(1 + Rt^{1/n})^{-N}, \quad t > 0.$$

Since  $N > \frac{n}{p}$ , we obtain the desired conclusion (2.23).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.24.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q, \beta \leq \infty, 0 < r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $p \neq \beta$  or  $p = \beta \geq r_0$ . Then*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_0}^{\alpha,q}}^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} \quad (2.25)$$

for any  $0 < \tau \leq \min(p, r_1, q, \beta)$ , whenever the right-hand side of (2.25) is finite.

*Proof.* By the embedding  $L^{p,r_0} \hookrightarrow L^{p,r_1}$ , we only consider the case  $r_0 = r_1$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_1}} \lesssim \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|f_j \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}^\tau \right)^{1/\tau}, \quad (2.26)$$

see [42, Proposition 4.1]. The proof of (2.25) follows from the monotonicity in  $q$  of the  $\ell^q$ -norm. More precisely, by the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j \right\|_{\ell^\delta} \leq \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|g_j\|_{\ell^\delta}^v \right)^{1/v}, \quad \{g_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \ell^\delta$$

for any  $0 < v \leq \min(1, \delta)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.27.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q, \beta \leq \infty, 0 < r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $p \neq \beta$  or  $p = \beta \leq r_1$ . Then*

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,q}}^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_0}^{\alpha,q}} \quad (2.28)$$

for any  $\tau \geq \max(p, r_0, q, \beta)$ , whenever the right-hand side of (2.28) is finite.

*Proof.* Again, by the embedding  $L^{p,r_0} \hookrightarrow L^{p,r_1}$ , we only consider the case  $r_0 = r_1$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\tau \geq q$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|f_j \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}^q \right)^{\tau/q} \right)^{1/\tau} \\ & \lesssim \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|f_j \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}^\tau \right)^{q/\tau} \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (2.28) we use the inequality

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|f_j \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_j|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_0}},$$

see [42, Proposition 4.2].  $\square$

We shall also need the following elementary fact.

**Lemma 2.29.** *Let  $0 < p \leq \infty$  and  $f_k \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n), k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then, for any  $0 < \tau \leq \min(1, p)$ ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \right\|_p \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_p^\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}.$$

We finish this section with the following Hardy-type inequality.

**Lemma 2.30.** *Let  $0 < a < 1$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Let  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers, such that*

$$\|\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q} = I < \infty.$$

Then the sequences

$$\{\delta_k : \delta_k = \sum_{j=-\infty}^k a^{k-j} \varepsilon_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\eta_k : \eta_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a^{j-k} \varepsilon_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

belong to  $\ell^q$ , and

$$\|\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q} + \|\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q} \leq cI,$$

with  $c > 0$  only depending on  $a$  and  $q$ .

**2.2. Maximal inequalities.** Various important results have been proved in Herz space  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$  under some assumptions on  $\alpha, p$  and  $q$ . The conditions  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$  is crucial in the study of the boundedness of classical operators in  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$  spaces. This fact was first realized by Li and Yang [29] with the proof of the boundedness of the maximal function were the vector valued extension is given in [46]. The aim is to extend some maximal inequalities to Lorentz-Herz spaces. Let us recall the vector-valued maximal inequality in Lorentz spaces, [42, Lemma 5.1]. As usual, we put

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy, \quad f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in B$ . Also we set

$$\mathcal{M}_\sigma(f) = (\mathcal{M}(|f|^\sigma))^\frac{1}{\sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.31.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty, 0 < r \leq \infty$  and  $1 < \beta \leq \infty$ . If  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a sequence of locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}(f_k))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{L^{p,r}},$$

The extension of Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality to Lorentz-Herz spaces relies on Theorem 2.31.

**Lemma 2.32.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty, 1 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ . If  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a sequence of locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ , then*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}(f_k))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}},$$

with the usual modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows easily by the same way as that the proof of vector-valued maximal inequality in Herz spaces; see [46], but now one has to use the Hölder's inequality for Lorentz spaces, and Theorem 2.31. The proof is complete.  $\square$

From Lemma 2.32 we immediately obtain the following statement.

**Lemma 2.33.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ . . Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ . Then*

$$\|\mathcal{M}(f)\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}$$

holds.

*Remark 2.34.* We consider sublinear operators satisfying the size condition

$$|Tf(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n} dy, \quad x \notin \text{supp } f, \quad (2.35)$$

for integrable and compactly supported functions  $f$ . Condition (2.35) was first considered in [44] and it is satisfied by several classical operators in Harmonic Analysis, such as Calderón-Zygmund operators, the Carleson maximal operator and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (see [30], [44]). The results of this part can be extended to sublinear operators satisfying the size condition (2.35); see [30]. More precisely, we have the following statement.

**Theorem 2.36.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty, 1 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ . Let  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence of integrable and compactly supported functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ . Suppose a sublinear operator  $T$  satisfies the size condition (2.35). Then, if  $T$  is bounded on  $L^{p,r}(\ell^\beta)$ , that means*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |Tf_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{L^{p,r}},$$

then we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |Tf_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}. \quad (2.37)$$

In particular, if  $f$  is integrable and compactly supported function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $T$  satisfies the size condition (2.35) which bounded on  $L^{p,r}$ , then we have

$$\|Tf\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}. \quad (2.38)$$

*Remark 2.39.* Let  $1 < p < \infty, 1 < \beta \leq \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ . Theorem 2.36 can be extended to the following way. Suppose a sublinear operator  $T$  satisfies the size conditions

$$|Tf(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^n} \|f\|_1, \quad \text{supp } f \subset R_k, \quad |x| \geq 2^{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$|Tf(x)| \leq C2^{-kn} \|f\|_1, \quad \text{supp } f \subset R_k, \quad |x| \leq 2^{k-2}, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, if  $T$  is bounded on  $L^{p,r}(\ell^\beta)$ , then we have (2.37). In particular, if  $T$  is bounded on  $L^{p,r}$ , then we have (2.38).

*Remark 2.40.* Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < r, \beta \leq \infty$ . We recall that the space  $L^{p,r}(\ell^\beta)$  is defined to be the set of all sequences  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of functions such that

$$\|\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}\|_{L^{p,r}(\ell^\beta)} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{L^{p,r}} < \infty$$

with the usual modifications if  $q = \infty$

In what follows we use the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.41.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, N > 0, m > n$  and  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $N$  and  $x$  such that for all  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$|\omega_N * f(x)| \leq c \mathcal{M}(f)(x),$$

where  $\omega_N = N^n \omega(N \cdot)$ .

**2.3. Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality.** The classical Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality (cf. [47, 1.3.2/5, Rem. 1.4.1/4]), says that  $\|f\|_q$  can be estimated by

$$c R^{n(1/p-1/q)} \|f\|_p$$

for any  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty, R > 0$  and any  $f \in L^p \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ . The constant  $c > 0$  is independent of  $R$ . This inequality plays an important role in theory of function spaces and PDE's. Our aim is to extend this result to Lorentz-Herz spaces. Let us start with the following lemma.

The following lemma is the  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$ -version of the Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality. For the proof; see [8].

**Lemma 2.42.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < s, p, q, r \leq \infty$ . We suppose that  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0, 0 < p \leq s \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_1$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $R$  such that for all  $f \in \dot{K}_p^{\alpha_2, \theta} \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ , we have*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_s^{\alpha_1, r}} \leq c R^{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha_2, \theta}},$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } \alpha_2 = \alpha_1, \\ q, & \text{if } \alpha_2 > \alpha_1. \end{cases}$$

*Remark 2.43.* Lemma 2.42 extends and improves classical Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality by taking  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0, r = s$  and by using the embedding  $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^s$ .

In the previous lemma we have not treated the case  $s \leq p$ . The next lemma gives a positive answer; see also [8].

**Lemma 2.44.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < s, p, q, r \leq \infty$ . We suppose that  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0, 0 < s \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  independent of  $R$  such that for all  $f \in \dot{K}_p^{\alpha_2, q} \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ , we have*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_s^{\alpha_1, r}} \leq c R^{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha_2, q}}.$$

The following lemma plays a crucial role in our proofs.

**Lemma 2.45.** *Let  $r, R, N > 0, m > n$  and  $\theta, \omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\omega \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2\}$ . Then there exists  $c = c(r, m, n) > 0$  such that for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have*

$$|\theta_R * \omega_N * g(x)| \leq c \max\left(1, \left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^m\right) (\eta_{N,m} * |\omega_N * g|^r(x))^{1/r}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.46)$$

where  $\theta_R = R^n \theta(R \cdot)$ ,  $\omega_N = N^n \omega(N \cdot)$  and  $\eta_{N,m} = N^n (1 + N|\cdot|)^{-m}$ .

**Lemma 2.47.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $R \geq H > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $R$  and  $H$  such that for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ , we have*

$$\sup_{x \in B(0, \frac{1}{H})} |f(x)| \leq c \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^{\frac{n}{d}} H^{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}}$$

for any  $0 < d < \min(p, r, \frac{n}{p+\alpha})$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by the same arguments as in [8].  $\square$

The following lemma is the  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ -version of Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality.

**Lemma 2.48.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p \leq s < \infty$  and  $0 < q, r, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$ . We suppose that  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0$  and  $\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_1$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $R$  such that for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ , we have*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}} \leq c R^{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta}},$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } \alpha_2 = \alpha_1, \\ q, & \text{if } \alpha_2 > \alpha_1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on ideas of [8]. By the embedding  $L^{s,r_2} \hookrightarrow L^{s,r_1}$ , when  $0 < r_2 < r_1 < \infty$ , we can assume only that  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < \infty$ . We choose  $N$  such that

$$N > \max\left(\frac{n}{s}, \frac{n}{d}, \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{d}, \frac{n}{d} - \alpha_2\right). \quad (2.49)$$

Write

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r = I_R + II_R, \quad (2.50)$$

with

$$I_R = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \leq \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r, \quad II_R = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r.$$

We will estimate each term separately.

*Step 1. Estimate of  $I_R$ .* Lemma 2.47 and (2.10) give for any  $R > 0$

$$I_R \leq \sup_{x \in B(0, 2/R)} |f(x)|^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \leq \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k(\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s})r} \leq c R^{(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r,$$

because of  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0$  and  $2^{k-1}R < 1$ .

*Step 2. Estimate of  $II_R$ .* We set

$$\tilde{C}_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{k+2}\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $0 < d < \min(p, r_2, \frac{n}{p+\alpha_2})$ . By Lemma 2.45 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq c \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R,dN}(x-y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \\ &\lesssim V_{R,k}^1(x) + V_{R,k}^2(x) + V_{R,k}^3(x) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $R > 0, N > \frac{n}{d}$  and any  $x \in \tilde{C}_k$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $x, k$  and  $R$ , and

$$V_{R,k}^1(x) = \left( \int_{B(0, 2^{k-2})} |f(y)|^p \eta_{R,pN}(x-y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$V_{R,k}^2(x) = \left( \int_{\tilde{C}_k} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R,dN}(x-y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$



and

$$V_{R,k}^3(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,2^{k+2})} |f(y)|^p \eta_{R,pN}(x-y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

*Substep 2.1. Estimate of  $V_{R,k}^1$ .* It is easy to verify that if  $x \in R_k$  and  $y \in B(0,2^{k-2})$ , then  $|x-y| > 2^{k-2}$ . This estimate and Lemma 2.47, yield for any  $x \in R_k$  and any  $2^k R > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{R,k}^1(x) &\leq c \sup_{y \in B(0,2^{k-2})} |f(y)| \left( \int_{2^{k-2} < |z| < 2^{k+1}} \eta_{R,pN}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c R^{\left(\frac{n}{p}-N\right)} (2^k R)^{\frac{n}{d}} 2^{-(\alpha_2+N)k} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $x, R, k$  and  $f$ . From (2.51), (2.49) and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{2}{R}} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|V_{R,k}^1 \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \\ &\leq c R^{\left(\frac{n}{p}-N+\frac{n}{d}\right)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{2}{R}} 2^{k\left(\frac{n}{s}+\frac{n}{d}+\alpha_1-\alpha_2-N\right)r} \\ &\leq c R^{\left(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}+\alpha_2-\alpha_1\right)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r. \end{aligned}$$

*Substep 2.2. Estimate of  $V_{R,k}^2$ .* Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two positive real numbers such that  $\frac{d}{s} + 1 = \frac{d}{p} + \frac{1}{v_1}$  and  $\frac{d}{r_1} = \frac{d}{r_2} + \frac{1}{v_2}$ . Since  $N > \frac{n}{v_1}$ , applying Proposition 2.7/(ii),(vi) and Lemma 2.22, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{R,k}^2 \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}} &\lesssim \|\eta_{R,dN} * (|f|^d \chi_{\tilde{C}_k})\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d}, \frac{r_1}{d}}}^{\frac{1}{d}} \\ &\lesssim \|\eta_{R,dN}\|_{L^{v_1, v_2}}^{\frac{1}{d}} \| |f|^d \chi_{\tilde{C}_k} \|_{L^{\frac{p}{d}, \frac{r_2}{d}}}^{\frac{1}{d}} \\ &\lesssim R^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}} \|f \chi_{\tilde{C}_k}\|_{L^{p,r_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|V_{R,k}^2 \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\lesssim R^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)r} 2^{k\alpha_2 r} \|f \chi_{\tilde{C}_k}\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\lesssim R^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}+\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( 2^{k\alpha_2} \|f \chi_{\tilde{C}_k}\|_{L^{p,r_2}} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} (2^k R)^{(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)r} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\lesssim R^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}+\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $R$ . The case  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$  can be easily solved.

*Substep 2.3. Estimate of  $V_{R,k}^3$ .* Let  $x \in C_k$  and  $\varrho = \min(1, p)$ . We see that  $(V_{R,k}^3(x))^{\varrho}$  can be estimated from above by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{C_{k+i+3}} |f(y)|^p \eta_{R,pN}(x-y) dy \right)^{\frac{\varrho}{p}}.$$

Since  $|x - y| > 3 \cdot 2^{k+i}$  for any  $x \in C_k$  and any  $y \in C_{k+i+3}$ , the right-hand side of the last term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& c R^{\varrho(\frac{n}{p}-N)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(k+i)\varrho N} \|f \chi_{C_{k+i+3}}\|_p^{\varrho} \\
& = c R^{\varrho(\frac{n}{p}-N)} \sum_{j=k+3}^{\infty} 2^{-j\varrho N} \|f \chi_{C_j}\|_p^{\varrho} \\
& \lesssim R^{\varrho(\frac{n}{p}-N)} \sum_{j=k+3}^{\infty} 2^{j\varrho(\frac{n}{p}-N)} \sup_{x \in B(0, 2^j)} |f(x)|^{\varrho} \\
& \lesssim R^{\varrho(\frac{n}{p}-N+\frac{n}{d})} \sum_{j=k+3}^{\infty} 2^{j\varrho(\frac{n}{d}-N-\alpha_2)} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^{\varrho} \\
& \lesssim R^{\varrho(\frac{n}{p}-N+\frac{n}{d})} 2^{k\varrho(\frac{n}{d}-N-\alpha_2)} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^{\varrho},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.47, since  $2^j > 2^k > \frac{1}{R}$ , and (2.49). Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|V_{R,k}^3 \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \\
& \lesssim R^{(\frac{n}{p}-N+\frac{n}{d})r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k(\frac{n}{s}-\alpha_2+\alpha_1-N+\frac{n}{d})r} \\
& \lesssim R^{(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}+\alpha_2-\alpha_1)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} (2^k R)^{(\frac{n}{s}-\alpha_2+\alpha_1-N+\frac{n}{d})r} \\
& \lesssim R^{(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}+\alpha_2-\alpha_1)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used again (2.10) and (2.49). The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 2.52.* Lemma 2.48 improves Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality in Herz spaces; see Lemma 2.42, where we choose  $r_1 = s, p \leq r_2$  and we use the embedding  $L^p \hookrightarrow L^{p,r_2}$

In the previous lemma we have not treated the case  $s \leq p$ . The next lemma gives a positive answer.

**Lemma 2.53.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < q, r, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$ . We suppose that  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0, 0 < s < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  independent of  $R$  such that for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q} \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ , we have*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}} \leq c R^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}+\alpha_2-\alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}.$$

*Proof.* We employ the notations  $II_R$  and  $I_R$  from (2.50). The estimate of  $I_R$  follows easily from the previous lemma. We only need to estimate the part  $II_R$ . By the embedding  $L^{s,r_2} \hookrightarrow L^{s,r_1}$ , when  $0 < r_2 < r_1 < \infty$ , we can assume only that  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < \infty$ . Let

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{v_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{v_2}.$$

Hölder's inequality and (2.10) give

$$\|f \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \lesssim \|\chi_k\|_{L^{v_1,v_2}} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_2}} \lesssim 2^{kn(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p})} \|f \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_2}}, \quad (2.54)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 II_R &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1)r} 2^{k\alpha_2 r} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \\
 &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{k\alpha_2} \|f\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r_2}})^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} 2^{k(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1)r} \\
 &\lesssim R^{(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k > \frac{1}{R}} (2^k R)^{(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 + \alpha_1)r} \\
 &\lesssim R^{(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1)r} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}}^r,
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $2^k R > 1$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 2.55.* Using the estimate (2.54), we easily obtain that Lemma 2.53 is true for  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ ,  $r = q$  and any  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,q}$ . Also, Lemma 2.53 extends and improves Lemma 2.44.

### 3. LORENTZ HERZ-TYPE BESOV AND TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES

In this section, we present the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  on which we work, establish their  $\varphi$ -transform characterizations and interpolation inequalities, lifting property and Fatou property.

**3.1. The  $\varphi$ -transform of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .** Select a pair of Schwartz functions  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  such that

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\Phi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{F}\Phi(\xi)| \geq c > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

if  $|\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3}$  and

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varphi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi)| \geq c > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

if  $\frac{3}{5} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3}$ , where  $c > 0$ . Throughout the section we put  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi(-x)}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now, we define the spaces under consideration.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q, \beta \leq \infty$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), respectively and we put  $\varphi_k = 2^{kn} \varphi(2^k \cdot)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i) The Lorentz Herz-type Besov space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\varphi_k * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < q < \infty$ . The Lorentz Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

*Remark 3.4.* One recognizes immediately that if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = q$ , then

$$\dot{K}_{p,p}^{0,p} B_\beta^s = B_{p,\beta}^s \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{K}_{p,p}^{0,p} F_\beta^s = F_{p,\beta}^s.$$

Next, we present the definition of Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q, \beta \leq \infty$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), respectively and we put  $\varphi_k = 2^{kn}\varphi(2^k \cdot), k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i) The Herz-type Besov space  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\varphi_k * f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < p, q < \infty$ . The Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin space  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}} < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

*Remark 3.6.* (i) We have

$$\dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s = \dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha,p} F_\beta^s = \dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s,$$

We refer, in particular, to the papers [8], [53] and [54] for a comprehensive treatment of  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .

(ii) Notice that the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  are also considered in [23] to study the bilinear estimates and uniqueness of mild solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations.

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy, respectively, (3.1) and (3.2). From, [22, Section 12], there exist functions  $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.1) and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.2) such that

$$\mathcal{F}\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)\mathcal{F}\Psi(\xi) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}\tilde{\varphi}(2^{-k}\xi)\mathcal{F}\psi(2^{-k}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.7)$$

A basic tool to study the above function spaces is the following Calderón reproducing formula, see [22, (12.4)] and [56, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.1) and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.2) such that (3.7) holds. Then for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \tilde{\Phi} * \Psi * f + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_k * \psi_k * f \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\Phi} * f(m) \Psi_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\varphi}_k * f(2^{-k}m) \psi_{k,m}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$\Psi_m = \Psi(\cdot - m) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{k,m} = 2^{k\frac{n}{2}} \psi(2^k \cdot - m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $\Phi, \Psi, \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and (3.7). The  $\varphi$ -transform  $S_\varphi$  is defined by setting

$$(S_\varphi f)_{0,m} = \langle f, \Phi_m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (S_\varphi f)_{k,m} = \langle f, \varphi_{k,m} \rangle,$$

where

$$\Phi_m = \Phi(\cdot - m) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{k,m} = 2^{k\frac{n}{2}} \varphi(2^k \cdot - m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The inverse  $\varphi$ -transform  $T_\psi$  is defined by

$$T_\psi \lambda = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{0,m} \Psi_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m},$$

where  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , see [22, p. 131].

Now, we introduce the corresponding sequence spaces of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ .

(i) The space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all complex valued sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s+\frac{n}{2})\beta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \chi_{k,m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} < \infty.$$

(ii) Let  $0 < q < \infty$ . The space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all complex valued sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{k(s+\frac{n}{2})\beta} |\lambda_{k,m}|^\beta \chi_{k,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} < \infty.$$

For simplicity, in what follows, we use  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  to denote either  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  or  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . The case  $q = \infty$  is excluded when  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  means  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . In the same way we shall use the abbreviation  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$  in place of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s$ .

Notice that, the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  are quasi-normed spaces, it holds

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} + \|g\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}$$

for all  $f, g \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $f$  and  $g$ .

The following lemma ensure that  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$  is well defined.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q, \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $\Psi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy, respectively, (3.1) and (3.2). Then for all  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$

$$T_\psi \lambda = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{0,m} \Psi_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m},$$

converges in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; moreover,  $T_\psi : \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Since the proof for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s$  is similar, we only consider  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s$ . Let  $0 < h < \min(p, r, q, \frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}})$ , with

$$\frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{v}, \quad t, d, v > 0.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set

$$I_1 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{0,m}| |\langle \Psi_m, \varphi \rangle| \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{k,m}| |\langle \psi_{k,m}, \varphi \rangle|.$$

It suffices to show that both  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are dominated by  $c \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s}$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Estimate of  $I_1$ .* Let  $M, L \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $M > L + n$ . Since  $\varphi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi_m, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Psi(x-m)| |\varphi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_L} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x-m|)^{-L-n} (1+|x|)^{-M-n} dx \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_L} (1+|m|)^{-L-n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last estimate follow by the inequality

$$(1+|x-m|)^{-L-n} \leq (1+|m|)^{-L-n} (1+|x|)^{L+n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{0,m}|^h &= \frac{1}{|Q_{0,m}|} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m} \chi_j\|_h^h \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m} \chi_j\|_{L^{p,r}}^h \|\chi_{0,m} \chi_j\|_{L^{t,d}}^h \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m} \chi_j\|_{L^{p,r}}^h \|\chi_j\|_{L^{t,d}}^h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Observe that the sum in (3.12) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{n}(1+|m|)} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m} \chi_j\|_{L^{p,r}}^h \|\chi_j\|_{L^{t,d}}^h. \tag{3.13}$$

Hölder's inequality in the Lebesgue sequence spaces gives that (3.13) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{n}(1+|m|)} 2^{j\alpha q} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m} \chi_j\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \right)^{h/q} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{n}(1+|m|)} 2^{-j\alpha v} \|\chi_j\|_{L^{t,d}}^v \right)^{h/v} \\ &\leq c \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s}^h \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{n}(1+|m|)} 2^{j(\frac{n}{t}-\alpha)v} \right)^{h/v} \\ &\leq c (1+|m|)^{(\frac{n}{t}-\alpha)h} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s}^h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

since  $\frac{n}{t} - \alpha > 0$ . Inserting (3.14) in (3.13), we obtain

$$|\lambda_{0,m}| \lesssim (1+|m|)^{\frac{n}{t}-\alpha} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . If we choose  $L$  large enough, then

$$I_1 \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s}.$$

*Estimate of  $I_2$ .* Let us recall the following estimate; see Lemma 2.4 in [56]. Since  $\psi$  has vanishing moments of any order, we see that for any  $L, M > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C = C(M, n)$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$|\psi_k * \varphi(x)| \leq C 2^{-kL} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} (1 + |x|)^{-n-L}, \quad (3.15)$$

where the positive constant  $C$  is independent of  $k$  and  $x$ . We set  $\check{\varphi} = \varphi(-\cdot)$ . From (3.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi_{k,m}, \varphi \rangle| &= 2^{-k\frac{n}{2}} |\psi_k * \check{\varphi}(-2^{-k}m)| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k(\frac{n}{2}+L)} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} (1 + |2^{-k}m|)^{-n-L}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the same schema as in the estimate of  $I_1$  we arrive at the inequality

$$|\lambda_{k,m}|^h \leq \frac{c}{|Q_{k,m}|} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j-1} \leq 2^{-k} \sqrt{n+2^{-k}|m|}} \|\lambda_{k,m} \chi_{k,m} \chi_j\|_{L^{p,r}}^h \|\chi_j\|_{L^{t,d}}^h,$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Again, by Hölder's inequality in the Lebesgue sequence spaces we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{k,m}|^h &\leq c 2^{k(n-sh-\frac{n}{2}h)} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s}^h \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j-1} \leq 2^{-k} \sqrt{n+2^{-k}|m|}} 2^{j(\frac{n}{t}-\alpha)v} \right)^{h/v} \\ &\leq c 2^{k(n-sh-\frac{n}{2}h)} (1 + |2^{-k}m|)^{(\frac{n}{t}-\alpha)h} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s}^h, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{n}{t} - \alpha > 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{k(\frac{n}{h}-s-n-L)} (1 + |2^{-k}m|)^{\frac{n}{t}-\alpha-n-L} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $L$  is sufficiently large. The proof is complete.  $\square$

For a sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \infty$  and a fixed  $d > 0$ , set

$$\lambda_{k,m,\gamma,d}^* = \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|\lambda_{k,h}|^\gamma}{(1 + 2^k |2^{-k}h - 2^{-k}m|)^d} \right)^{1/\gamma}$$

and  $\lambda_{\gamma,d}^* := \{\lambda_{k,m,\gamma,d}^*\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$  with the usual modification if  $\gamma = \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let*

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \min(p, \beta), & \text{if } \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s = \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s \\ p, & \text{if } \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s = \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s \end{cases}$$

and

$$d > \frac{n\gamma}{\min\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}}, \gamma\right)}.$$

Then

$$\|\lambda_{\gamma,d}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s} \approx \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}. \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* By similarity, we only consider  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s$ . Obviously,

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s} \leq \|\lambda_{\min(p,\beta),d}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s}.$$

Let  $\frac{n \min(p,\beta)}{d} < a < \min(\frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}}, \min(p,\beta))$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Define

$$\Omega_{j,m} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^{j-1} < |h - m| \leq 2^j\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{0,m} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |h - m| \leq 1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|\lambda_{k,h}|^{\min(p,\beta)}}{(1 + |h - m|)^d} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} \frac{|\lambda_{k,h}|^{\min(p,\beta)}}{(1 + |h - m|)^d} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-dj} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} |\lambda_{k,h}|^{\min(p,\beta)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-dj} \left( \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} |\lambda_{k,h}|^a \right)^{\min(p,\beta)/a}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows by the embedding  $\ell^a \hookrightarrow \ell^{\min(p,\beta)}$ . The last expression can be rewritten as

$$c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n \min(p,\beta)}{a} - d)j} \left( 2^{(k-j)n} \int_{\cup_{z \in \Omega_{j,m}} Q_{k,z}} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} |\lambda_{k,h}|^a \chi_{k,h}(y) dy \right)^{\min(p,\beta)/a}. \quad (3.18)$$

Let  $y \in \cup_{z \in \Omega_{j,m}} Q_{k,z}$  and  $x \in Q_{k,m}$ . Then  $y \in Q_{k,z}$  for some  $z \in \Omega_{j,m}$  which implies that  $2^{j-1} < |z - m| \leq 2^j$ . From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |y - x| &\leq |y - 2^{-k}z| + |x - 2^{-k}z| \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} 2^{-k} + |x - 2^{-k}m| + 2^{-k}|z - m| \\ &\leq 2^{j-k+\delta_n}, \quad \delta_n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $y$  is located in the ball  $B(x, 2^{j-k+\delta_n})$ . Therefore, (3.18) can be estimated from above by

$$c \left( \mathcal{M}_a \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,h} \chi_{k,h} \right) (x) \right)^{\min(p,\beta)},$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $k$  and  $x$ . Consequently

$$\|\lambda_{\min(p,\beta),d}^*\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s} \quad (3.19)$$

does not exceed

$$c \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s+\frac{n}{2})\beta} \left( \mathcal{M}_a \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,h} \chi_{k,h} \right) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.32 we obtain that (3.19) is dominated by

$$c \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s+\frac{n}{2})\beta} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{k,h}|^\beta \chi_{k,h} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} = c \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s},$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.16.  $\square$

Now, we have the following result which is called the  $\varphi$ -transform characterization in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth. It will play an important role in the rest of this section.



**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.1) and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.2) such that (3.7) holds. The operators*

$$S_\varphi : \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \rightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$$

and

$$T_\psi : \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s \rightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$$

are bounded. Furthermore,  $T_\psi \circ S_\varphi$  is the identity on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

*Proof.* The proof is a straightforward adaptation of [22, Theorem 2.2] with the help of Lemma 3.16. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 3.21.* This theorem can then be exploited to obtain a variety of results for the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , where arguments can be equivalently transferred to the sequence space, which is often more convenient to handle. More precisely, under the same hypothesis of Theorem 3.20, we obtain

$$\|\{\langle f, \varphi_{k,m} \rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s} \approx \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

From Theorem 3.20, we obtain the next important property of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Corollary 3.22.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . The definition of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  is independent of the choices of  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$ .*

Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a resolution of unity; see (1.4). We set

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^{\varphi_0, \varphi_1} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{\varphi_0, \varphi_1} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

**Theorem 3.23.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . A tempered distribution  $f$  belongs to  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  if and only if*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^{\varphi_0, \varphi_1} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, the quasi-norms  $\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}$  and  $\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^{\varphi_0, \varphi_1}$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.1) and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.2) such that (3.7) holds. From Lemma 3.8 and by inspecting the support conditions we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f = \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * \tilde{\varphi}_j * \psi_j * f + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \geq 3 \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * \tilde{\Phi} * \Psi * f, & \text{if } k \in \{1, 2\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_0 * f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_0 * \tilde{\varphi}_1 * \psi_1 * f + \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_0 * \tilde{\Phi} * \Psi * f.$$

Let  $j \in \{k-1, k, k+1\}, k \geq 3$ . Applying Lemmas 2.45 and 2.41, we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * \tilde{\varphi}_j * \psi_j * f| \lesssim \mathcal{M}_\tau(\tilde{\varphi}_j * f), \quad 0 < \tau < \infty,$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $j$  and  $k$ . Similarly, when  $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , we see that

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * \tilde{\Phi} * \Psi * f| + |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_0 * \tilde{\varphi}_1 * \psi_1 * f| \lesssim \mathcal{M}_\tau(\tilde{\Phi} * f) + \mathcal{M}_\tau(\tilde{\varphi}_1 * f), \quad 0 < \tau < \infty.$$

If we choose  $0 < \tau < \min(\frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}}, p, \beta)$ , then by Lemma 2.32, we get

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^{\varphi_0, \varphi_1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

The opposite inequality follows by the same argument, with the help of the smooth resolution of unity (1.4). The proof is complete.  $\square$

As an immediate conclusion of Theorem 3.23 we obtain the next important property of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Corollary 3.24.** *Let  $\{\varpi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be two resolutions of unity. Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q, r, \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^{\{\varpi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}} \approx \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^{\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}} \approx \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

*Remark 3.25.* The function  $\vartheta$  defined in (1.3) can be replaced by

$$\mu(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2.$$

We put  $\varphi_0(x) = \mu(x)$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) = \mu(x) - \mu(2x)$  and  $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_1(2^{-k}x)$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then we have  $\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^k\}$  and (1.4) is true.

**Lemma 3.26.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . The spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  are quasi-Banach spaces.*

*Proof.* The proof is very similar as in [15].  $\square$

Applying this lemma and Theorem 3.20 we obtain the following useful properties of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Theorem 3.27.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . The spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  are quasi-Banach spaces.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . From Theorem 3.20,  $\{S_\varphi U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , this has a limit  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{j,m}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  by the completeness of the sequence space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . Using again Theorem 3.20, we easily obtain

$$T_\psi \lambda = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_\psi S_\varphi U_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} U_i,$$

where the limit is in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.28.* Let  $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p_i < \infty, 0 < q_i, \beta_i, r_i \leq \infty, \alpha_i > -\frac{n}{p_i}, i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Put

$$\alpha = (1 - \theta)\alpha_0 + \theta\alpha_1, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1 - \theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1 - \theta}{r_0} + \frac{\theta}{r_1}, \quad s = (1 - \theta)s_0 + \theta s_1 \quad (3.30)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1 - \theta}{\beta_0} + \frac{\theta}{\beta_1}.$$

As an immediate consequence of Hölder's inequality we have the so-called interpolation inequalities:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} A_{\beta_0}^{s_0}}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1} A_{\beta_1}^{s_1}}^\theta \quad (3.31)$$

holds for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} A_{\beta_0}^{s_0} \cap \dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1} A_{\beta_1}^{s_1}$ .

For Lorentz Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces inequality (3.31) can be improved by using the following statement which can be found in [4].

**Lemma 3.32.** *Let real numbers  $s_1 < s_0$  be given, and  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . For  $0 < q \leq \infty$  there is  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\sigma s_0 + (1-\sigma)s_1)qj} |a_j|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq c \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{s_0 j} |a_j|)^\sigma \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{s_1 j} |a_j|)^{1-\sigma}$$

holds for all complex sequences  $\{2^{s_0 j} a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  in  $\ell^\infty$  with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.33.** *Let  $s_0, s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $s_0 < s_1$ . Let  $0 < p_i < \infty, 0 < q_i, \beta, r_i \leq \infty, \alpha_i > -\frac{n}{p_i}, i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Under the same additional restrictions (3.29) and (3.30) we have*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} F_\infty^{s_0}}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1} F_\infty^{s_1}}^\theta$$

holds for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p_0,r_0}^{\alpha_0,q_0} F_\infty^{s_0} \cap \dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q_1} F_\infty^{s_1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. By Lemma 3.32, we obtain

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{ks_0} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f|)^{1-\theta} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{ks_1} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f|)^\theta.$$

The rest is an immediate consequence of Hölder's inequality.  $\square$

**3.2. Lifting property and Fatou property.** Let  $\sigma$  be a real number. Recall that the lifting operator  $I_\sigma$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(I_\sigma f) \equiv (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\sigma/2} \mathcal{F}(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

see, for example, [47, p. 58]. It is well known that  $I_\sigma$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto itself. We have the following result, where the proof can be obtained as in [53, Theorem 4.5].

**Theorem 3.34.** *Let  $s, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < q, r, \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Then the operator  $I_\sigma$  maps  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  isomorphically onto  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^{s-\sigma}$  and  $\|I_\sigma\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^{s-\sigma}}$  is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^{s-\sigma}$ . Furthermore*

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} \|D^\gamma f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^{s-m}}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^{s-m}} + \sum_{j=0}^n \left\| \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial x_j^m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^{s-m}},$$

are an equivalent quasi-norm in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

Next, we prove that the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  satisfy the Fatou property. First we recall the definition of the Fatou property; see, e.g., [20] and [56, p. 48].

**Definition 3.35.** Let  $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$  be a Banach space with  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We say  $A$  has the Fatou property if there exists a constant  $c$  such that from

$$g_m \rightharpoonup g \quad \text{if } m \longrightarrow \infty \quad (\text{weak convergence in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

and

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|g_m\|_A \leq M$$

it follows  $g \in A$  and  $\|g\|_A \leq cM$  with  $c$  independent of  $g$  and  $\{g_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset A$ .

**Proposition 3.36.** Let  $0 < p, q, r < \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . The spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  have the Fatou property.

*Proof.* By similarity, we only consider the space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. By the assumption it follows that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\varphi_k * f_m \rightarrow \varphi_k * f$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , where when  $k = 0$ ,  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ . Fatou's lemma yields

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^N 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^N 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k * f_m|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

This combined with Beppo Levi's lemma yields the desired conclusion. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 3.37.* (i) The Fatou property of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces has been proved by Franke [20]; see also Franke and Runst [21].

(ii) Bourdaud and Meyer [5] gave an independent proof restricted to Besov spaces.

(iii) There are spaces which do not have the Fatou property. For example,  $L^1$  and  $C$ ; see [20].

(iv) Fatou property plays an essential role in mathematical analysis such as nonlinear problems; see [5] and [39].

#### 4. EMBEDDINGS

In this section, we establish basic embeddings, Sobolev, Jawerth and Franke embeddings for the spaces under consideration. The following theorem gives basic embeddings of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ .

(i) If  $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \infty$ , then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta_1}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta_2}^s. \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) If  $0 < \beta_1, \beta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta_1}^{s+\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta_2}^s. \quad (4.3)$$

(iii) If  $0 < q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty$ , then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q_1} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q_2} A_\beta^s. \quad (4.4)$$

(iv) Let  $0 < r_2, r_1 \leq \infty, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and suppose  $0 < p_1 < p_2 < \infty$ , then

$$\dot{K}_{p_2,r_2}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_1,r_1}^{m,q} A_\beta^s, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $m = \alpha - n\left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)$ .

(v) If  $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \infty$ , then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s. \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.* The emdeddings (4.2), (4.4) and (4.6) are ready consequence of the embeddings between Lebesgue sequence spaces and Lemma 2.18. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), respectively and  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta_1}^{s+\varepsilon}$ . To prove (4.3), since  $\varepsilon > 0$  we see that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta_2} |\varphi_k * f|^{\beta_2} \right)^{1/\beta_2} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \leq c \left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{k(s+\varepsilon)} |\varphi_k * f|) \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

The desired estimate follows by the embeddings  $\ell^{\beta_1} \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ . The  $B$ -case follows from a similar argument. The emdeddings (4.5), follows immediately from Proposition 2.20.  $\square$

Similarly as in [8] and [47, Proposition. 2.3.2/2], we obtain the following basic embeddings between the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  and  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q, \beta \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < r_0 \leq r_1 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *Assume that  $p \neq \beta$  or  $p = \beta \geq r_0$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_0}^{\alpha,q} B_{\min(p,\beta,r_1,q)}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $p \neq \beta$  or  $p = \beta \leq r_1$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_0}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,q} B_{\max(p,\beta,r_0,q)}^s.$$

*Proof.* The proof of (i) is a consequence of Lemma 2.24. To prove (ii), we use Lemma 2.27.  $\square$

*Remark 4.8.* Theorem 4.7 when  $\alpha = 0, p = q = r$  generalizes the corresponding results on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces established in [47, Section 2.3].

The same arguments as in [8] yield the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *We have the embedding*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s. \quad (4.10)$$

*In addition if  $0 < q, r < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .*

(ii) *We have the embedding*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.11)$$

**4.1. Sobolev embeddings for the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ .** We next consider embeddings of Sobolev-type in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ . It is well-known that

$$B_{q,\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{s,\beta}^{s_1}, \quad (4.12)$$

if  $s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{q}$ , where  $0 < q \leq s \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ ; see, e.g., [47, Theorem 2.7.1]). In the following theorem we generalize these embeddings to Lorentz Herz-type Besov spaces.

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < s, p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q, r, r_1, r_2, \beta \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1 \leq s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2. \quad (4.14)$$

Let  $0 < p \leq s < \infty$  and  $\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_1$  or  $0 < s < p < \infty$  and

$$\alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} \geq \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}. \quad (4.15)$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r} B_\beta^{s_1}, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} = \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}, \quad s < p \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_2 = \alpha_1, \quad p \leq s \\ q, & \text{if } \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} > \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}, \quad s < p \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_2 > \alpha_1, \quad p \leq s. \end{cases}$$

The conditions (4.14) and (4.15) become necessary.

*Proof. Step 1. Sufficiency.* Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity and  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2}$ . By Lemmas 2.48 and 2.53, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}} \leq c 2^{j(\alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1)} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta}}, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . However the desired embedding is an immediate consequence of (4.17).

*Step 2.* We prove the necessity of (4.14). Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a function such that  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\omega \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{3}{4} < |\xi| < 1\}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we put  $f_N(x) = \omega(2^N x)$ . First we have  $\omega \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} \cap \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}$ . Due to the support properties of the function  $\omega$  we have for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_N = \begin{cases} f_N, & j = N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r} B_\beta^{s_1}} &= 2^{s_1 N} \|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}} \\ &= 2^{s_1 N} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|f_N \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &= 2^{(s_1 - \frac{n}{s})N} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \|\omega \chi_{k+N}\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &= 2^{(s_1 - \alpha_1 - \frac{n}{s})N} \|\omega\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}}, \end{aligned}$$

with the help of (2.11), since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_N \chi_k\|_{L^{s,r_1}} &= \|\omega(2^N \cdot) \chi_{R_k}\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\ &= 2^{-\frac{n}{s}N} \|\omega \chi_{R_k}(2^{-N} \cdot)\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\ &= 2^{-\frac{n}{s}N} \|\omega \chi_{k+N}\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The same arguments give

$$\|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2}} = 2^{(s_2 - \alpha_2 - \frac{n}{p})N} \|\omega\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta}}.$$

If the embeddings (4.16) holds then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$2^{(s_1 - s_2 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \frac{n}{s} + \frac{n}{p})N} \leq c.$$

Thus, we conclude that (4.14) must necessarily hold by letting  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Step 3.* We prove the necessity of (4.15). Let  $\varpi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a function such that  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varpi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| < 1\}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$  we put  $f_N(x) = \varpi(2^N x)$ . We have  $\varpi \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} \cap \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}$ . It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_N = \begin{cases} f_N, & j = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This yields

$$\|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r} B_\beta^{s_1}} = \|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}} = 2^{-(\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s})N} \|\varpi\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2}} = 2^{-(\alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p})N} \|\varpi\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta}}.$$

If the embedding (4.16) holds, then for any  $N \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$

$$2^{-(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})N} \leq c.$$

Thus, we conclude that (4.15) must necessarily hold by letting  $N \rightarrow -\infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 4.18.* If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ ,  $p = q = r_2$  and  $r = s = r_1$ , then Theorem 4.13 reduces to the known results on  $B_{p,\beta}^s$ ; see (4.12), by using the embedding  $\ell^q \hookrightarrow \ell^s$ . Also under the hypothesis of such theorem, we have  $s_1 \leq s_2$  becomes necessary.

**Corollary 4.19.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.13, with  $0 < p \leq r_2 \leq \infty$ , we have*

$$\dot{K}_p^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{\alpha_1,r} B_\beta^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.13, we obtain

$$\dot{K}_p^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} = \dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{\alpha_1,r} B_\beta^{s_1} = \dot{K}_s^{\alpha_1,r} B_\beta^{s_1}.$$

$\square$

*Remark 4.20.* Corollary 4.19 extends and improves Sobolev embeddings of Herz-type Besov spaces given in [8]. In particular Sobolev embeddings for Besov spaces of power weight obtained in [33].

In the following theorems, we compare our spaces above with classical Besov spaces. From Theorem 4.13 and the fact that  $\dot{K}_s^{0,s} B_\beta^{s_1} = B_{s,\beta}^{s_1}$  we immediately arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 4.21.** *Let  $\alpha, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < q, r_2 \leq \infty, s_1 - \frac{n}{s} \leq s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . If*

$$\alpha \geq 0, \quad 0 < p \leq s < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha + \frac{n}{p} \geq \frac{n}{s} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < s < p < \infty,$$

then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha,\theta} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{s,\beta}^{s_1},$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } \alpha + \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n}{s}, \quad s < p \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = 0, \quad p \leq s, \\ q, & \text{if } \alpha + \frac{n}{p} > \frac{n}{s}, \quad s < p \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha > 0, \quad p \leq s. \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

Using Corollary 4.19, we have the following useful consequence.

**Corollary 4.23.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p \leq s < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, s_1 - \frac{n}{s} \leq s_2 - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$B_{p,\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,s} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.19, the desired embeddings are an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$B_{p,\beta}^{s_2} = \dot{K}_p^{0,p} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,s} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{0,s} B_\beta^{s_1} = B_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let us define

$$\sigma_p = \frac{n}{\min(1,p)} - n \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p} = \max(1,p).$$

By Theorem 4.21 and the Sobolev-type embeddings (4.12), we get

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{p,\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow B_{\bar{p},1}^0$$

for any  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < q, \beta, r \leq \infty, \alpha > 0, \sigma_p < s_1 \leq s_2 - \alpha$ . Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the smooth dyadic resolution of unity. We further conclude that

$$\|f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\bar{p}} = \|f\|_{B_{\bar{p},1}^0} \leq c \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{s_2}}$$

This shows that under the above assumptions the elements from  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{s_2}$  are regular distributions.

**Proposition 4.24.** *Let  $\alpha > 0, 0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < q, r \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . If  $s > \sigma_p + \alpha$ , then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow L^{\bar{p}}.$$

Concerning embeddings into  $L^\infty$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.25.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 0, 0 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q, r \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow L^\infty,$$

*if and only*

$$s > \alpha + \frac{n}{p} \quad \text{or} \quad s = \alpha + \frac{n}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < p < v < \infty$ . It follows from Theorem 4.21 that

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_v^{\alpha,q} B_1^{\alpha + \frac{n}{v}} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,1}^0,$$

where the second embeddings follows by Lemma 2.42. Hence the result follows by the embedding  $B_{\infty,1}^0 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ ; see [47, Proposition 2.5.7]. Let  $\varrho$  be a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varrho(x) = 1$  for  $x \leq e^{-3}$  and  $\varrho(x) = 0$  for  $x \geq e^{-2}$ . Let  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and

$$f_{\lambda,\sigma}(x) = |\log|x||^\lambda |\log|\log|x||^{-\sigma} \varrho(|x|).$$

Let  $U_\beta$  be the set of  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that:

- $\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$  and  $\sigma > \frac{1}{\beta}$ , or  $\lambda < 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$ , in case  $1 < \beta < \infty$ ,
- $\lambda = 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , or  $\lambda < 0$ , in case  $\beta = 1$ ,
- $\lambda = 1$  and  $\sigma > 1$ , or  $\lambda < 1$ , in case  $\beta = \infty$ .

Let  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 1 \leq \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$  and

$$(\lambda, \sigma) \in U_\beta.$$

Let  $f_{\lambda,\sigma}$  be the function defined by (6.41); see below. In Subsection 6.3, we will prove that  $f_{\lambda,\sigma} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}}$  if and only if  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in U_\beta$ . We choose  $\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$  and  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta}$ . Then

$$f_{1-\frac{1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\beta}} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}},$$



but  $f \notin L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

The following statement holds by Theorem 4.13 and the fact that  $\dot{K}_{p,p}^{0,p} B_\beta^{s_2} = B_{p,\beta}^{s_2}$ .

**Theorem 4.26.** *Let  $\alpha, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < r_1 \leq \infty, s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha \leq s_2 - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < \beta, r \leq \infty$ . If*

$$-\frac{n}{s} < \alpha \leq 0, \quad 0 < p \leq s < \infty$$

or

$$-\frac{n}{s} < \alpha \leq \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}, \quad 0 < s < p < \infty,$$

then

$$B_{p,\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,\theta} B_\beta^{s_1},$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}, \quad s < p \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = 0, \quad p \leq s, \\ r, & \text{if } -\frac{n}{s} < \alpha < \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}, \quad s < p \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{n}{s} < \alpha < 0, \quad p \leq s. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence, one obtains the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.27.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \max(p, r_1) \leq s < \infty, s_1 - \frac{n}{s} \leq s_2 - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$B_{p,\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,p} B_\beta^{s_1} \hookrightarrow B_{s,\beta}^{s_1}. \quad (4.28)$$

*Proof.* To prove (4.28) it is sufficient to choose in Theorem 4.26,  $\theta = p$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . Then the desired embedding is an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,p} B_\beta^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{0,s} B_\beta^{s_1} = B_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

$\square$

*Remark 4.29.* Corollaries 4.23 and 4.27 extend and improve Sobolev embeddings of Besov spaces.

**4.2. Sobolev embeddings for the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .** It is well-known that

$$F_{q,\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1} \quad (4.30)$$

if  $s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{q}$ , where  $0 < q < s < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ ; see, e.g., [47, Theorem 2.7.1]. In this subsection, we generalize these embeddings to Lorentz-Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. We need the Sobolev embeddings properties of the sequence spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,r} f_\infty^s$ . Put  $c_n = 1 + \lfloor \log_2(2\sqrt{n} + 1) \rfloor$ , which will be fixed throughout this section.

**Theorem 4.31.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, r, p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1 \leq \infty, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1 = s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2. \quad (4.32)$$

Let  $0 < p < s < \infty$  and  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ . Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\infty^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} f_\theta^{s_1}, \quad (4.33)$$

if and only if  $0 < r \leq q < \infty$ .

*Proof.* First the necessity of (4.32) follows by using the same type of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.13. The rest of the proof is in two steps

*Step 1.* Let us prove that  $0 < r \leq q < \infty$  is necessary. In the calculations below we consider the 1-dimensional case for simplicity. For any  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $N \geq 1$ , we put

$$\lambda_{v,m}^N = \begin{cases} 2^{-(s_1 - \frac{1}{s} - \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{2})v} \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i(2^{v-1}), & \text{if } m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$\lambda^N = \{\lambda_{v,m}^N : v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Let  $0 < \beta < \infty$ . We have

$$\|\lambda^N\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} f_\beta^{s_1}}^q = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k q} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\beta} |\lambda_{v,m}^N|^\beta \chi_{v,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q.$$

We can rewrite the last statement as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1-N}^0 2^{\alpha_1 k q} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=1}^N 2^{(\frac{1}{s} + \alpha_1)v\beta} \chi_{v,1} \right)^{1/\beta} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \\ &= \sum_{k=1-N}^0 2^{\alpha_1 k q} \left\| 2^{(\frac{1}{s} + \alpha_1)(1-k)} \chi_{1-k,1} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \\ &= c N, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  does not depend on  $N$ . Now

$$\|\lambda^N\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\theta^{s_2}}^r = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 k r} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} |\lambda_{v,1}^N|^\theta \chi_{v,1} \right)^{1/\theta} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r.$$

Again we can rewrite the last statement as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1-N}^0 2^{\alpha_2 k r} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=1}^N 2^{(s_2 - s_1 + \frac{1}{s} + \alpha_1)v\theta} \chi_{v,1} \right)^{1/\theta} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \\ &= \sum_{k=1-N}^0 2^{\alpha_2 k r} \left\| 2^{(s_2 - s_1 + \frac{1}{s} + \alpha_1)(1-k)} \chi_{1-k,1} \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \\ &= c N, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  does not depend on  $N$  and we have used (2.10). If the embeddings (4.33) holds then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}} \leq C$ . Thus, we conclude that  $0 < r \leq q < \infty$  must necessarily hold by letting  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Step 2.* We consider the sufficiency of the conditions. In view of the embedding  $\ell^r \hookrightarrow \ell^q$ , it is sufficient to prove that

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\infty^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r} f_\theta^{s_1}.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\theta^{s_2}$ . We have

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,r} f_\theta^{s_1}} \lesssim \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{1/r} \quad (4.34)$$

$$+ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{1/r}. \quad (4.35)$$

The right-hand side of (4.34) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{1+c_n-k} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{1/r} \\ & + c \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_1 r} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=2+c_n-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{1/r} \\ & = I + II. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimation of I.* Let  $x \in R_k \cap Q_{v,m}$  and  $y \in Q_{v,m}$ . We have  $|x - y| \leq 2\sqrt{n}2^{-v} < 2^{c_n-v}$  and from this it follows that  $|y| < 2^{c_n-v} + 2^k \leq 2^{c_n-v+2}$ , which implies that  $y$  is located in the ball  $B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})$ . This leads to

$$|\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{R_k \cap Q_{v,m}}(x) \leq 2^{nv} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(y) dy \leq 2^{nv} \int_{B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(y) dy,$$

where  $t > 0$ . Therefore for any  $x \in R_k$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(x) & \leq 2^{nv} \int_{B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(y) dy \\ & = 2^{nv} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^t. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\alpha_1 k} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{1+c_n-k} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{(\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s})k} \left( \sum_{v=0}^{1+c_n-k} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2}+\frac{n}{t})\theta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^\theta \right)^{1/\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

with the help of (2.10), where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . We may choose  $t > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{t} > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{r_2}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n})$ . Put  $\varkappa = \min(1, t)$  and

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{\infty} + \frac{1}{t}, \quad \frac{n}{h} = \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{d}, \quad 0 < d < \infty.$$

Using (4.32) and Lemmas 2.29 and 2.30 we estimate  $I^r$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{t} + \frac{n}{2})r} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^r \\ & \leq c \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{t} + \frac{n}{2})r} \left( \sum_{i \leq -v} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^\varkappa \right)^{r/\varkappa}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

By Hölder's inequality and (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{t,t}} \\
& \lesssim \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \left\| \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{h,t}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha_2)} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha_2) - (s_2 + \frac{n}{2})v} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $i$  and  $v$ . Inserting this estimate in (4.36) and applying Lemma 2.30, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I^r & \lesssim \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v \frac{nr}{d}} \left( \sum_{i \leq -v} 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha_2)z} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^z \right)^{r/z} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_2 ir} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 2^{(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{-i+c_n+2} \right) \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^r \\
& \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\infty^{s_2}}^r.
\end{aligned}$$

*Estimation of II.* Since  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ , by (2.26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{k\alpha_1} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=2+c_n-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\
& \lesssim \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| 2^{v(s_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}, \tag{4.37}
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . We see that it suffices to show that (4.37) can be estimated from above by

$$c \left\| \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right) \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}$$

for any  $k \leq 0$ , where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $k$ . Observe that

$$|2^{-v}m| \leq |x - 2^{-v}m| + |x| \leq \sqrt{n}2^{-v} + 2^k \leq 2^{k+1}$$

and

$$|2^{-v}m| \geq ||x - 2^{-v}m| - |x|| \geq 2^{k-1} - \sqrt{n}2^{-v} \geq 2^{k-2}$$

if  $x \in R_k \cap Q_{v,m}$  and  $v \geq c_n + 2 - k$ . Hence  $m$  is located in

$$A_{k+v} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^{k+v-2} \leq |m| \leq 2^{k+v+1}\}.$$

Observe that  $\text{card} A_{k+v} \leq 2^{2n(k+v+1)}$ . Let

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{v,m_1}^{1,k} = \max_{m \in A_{k+v}} |\lambda_{v,m}|, \quad m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

and (decreasing rearrangement of  $\{\lambda_{v,m}\}_{m \in A_{k+v}}$ )

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{v,m_j}^{j,k} = \max_{m^i \in A_{k+v}, i=1, \dots, j} \sum_{i=1}^j |\lambda_{v,m^i}| - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{v,m_i}^{i,k}, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \geq 2.$$

Then

$$2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{m \in A_{k+v}} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} = 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{card}A_{k+v}} \tilde{\lambda}_{v,m_i}^{i,k} \chi_{v,m_i} = \varpi_{v,k}.$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\varpi_{v,k}^*(t) = 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^{\text{card}A_{k+v}} \tilde{\lambda}_{v,m_i}^{i,k} \tilde{\chi}_{[B_{i-1,v}, B_{i,v})}(t),$$

with

$$B_{0,v} = 0, \quad B_{i,v} = \sum_{j=1}^i |Q_{v,m_j}| = 2^{-vn}i, \quad i = 1, \dots, \text{card}A_{k+v},$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}_{[B_{i-1,v}, B_{i,v})}$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $[B_{i-1,v}, B_{i,v})$ . In addition, we have

$$Q_{k,m} \subset \check{R}_k \quad \text{if } v \geq c_n + 2 - k \quad \text{and } m \in A_{k+v},$$

where  $\check{R}_k = \cup_{i=-2}^3 R_{k+i}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{v,k} &\leq 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{\check{R}_k} \\ &\leq \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2}) + k\alpha_2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{\check{R}_k} \right) \\ &= F_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.32), we get

$$\left\| 2^{v(s_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - s_2)} \varpi_{v,k} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{\theta_1} = \left\| 2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \varpi_{v,k}^{\theta_1} \right\|_{L^{s/\theta_1, r_1/\theta_1}} \quad (4.38)$$

for any  $0 < \theta_1 < \infty$  and any  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We choose  $\theta_1 < \min(s, r_1)$ . Using duality, the right-hand side of (4.38) is dominated by

$$c \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} (\varpi_{v,k}(x))^{\theta_1} g(x) dx,$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $g \in L^{(s/\theta_1)', (r_1/\theta_1)'}$  such that  $\|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta_1)', (r_1/\theta_1)'}} \leq 1$ . It follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varpi_{v,k}(x))^{\theta_1} g(x) dx \leq 2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_0^\infty (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt.$$

We have

$$\int_0^\infty (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt = \int_0^{2^{-vn}} (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt + \sum_{l=0}^\infty \int_{2^{(l-v)n}}^{2^{(l-v)n+n}} (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt. \quad (4.39)$$

We see that  $\varpi_{v,k}^*$  is constant in  $[0, 2^{-vn})$  and  $\varpi_{v,k}^* \leq F_k^*$ . Then first term on the right-hand side of (4.39) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varpi_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-1}))^{\theta_1} \int_0^{2^{-vn}} g^*(t) dt &\leq 2^{-vn} (\varpi_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-1}))^{\theta_1} g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\
&\leq 2^{-vn} (F_k^*(2^{-vn-1}))^{\theta_1} g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\
&\leq 2^{-vn(1-\frac{1}{p})\theta_1} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{-\frac{vn}{p}} F_k^*(2^{-vn-1}))^{\theta_1} g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\
&\leq 2^{v(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s})\theta_1} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-\frac{vn}{p}} F_k^*(2^{-vn-1}))^{\theta_1} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-vn(1-\frac{\theta_1}{s})} g^{**}(2^{-vn})) \\
&\leq 2^{v(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s})\theta_1} \|F_k\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1} \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta_1)',\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the second term on the right-hand side of (4.39) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\
&= c 2^{v(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s})\theta_1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\
&= c 2^{v(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s})\theta_1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{(l-v)n\frac{\theta_1}{p}} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}). \tag{4.40}
\end{aligned}$$

The term inside the sum in (4.40) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{(l-v)\frac{n}{p}} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1}) \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n})) \\
&\leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \|F_k\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{s})} g^*(2^{(l-v)n})) \\
&\leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \|F_k\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1} \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta_1)',\infty}}. \tag{4.41}
\end{aligned}$$

We insert (4.41) in (4.40) we get (4.38) is bounded by  $\|F_k\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1}$ . This leads to

$$II \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,r} f_{\infty}^{s_2}}.$$

*Estimate of (4.35).* The arguments here are quite similar to those used in the estimation of *II*. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now, we deal with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  in Theorem 4.31.

**Theorem 4.42.** *Let  $\alpha, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, r, p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{s}$ . We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}. \tag{4.43}$$

Let  $0 < p < s < \infty$ . Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,r} f_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} f_{\theta}^{s_1},$$

if and only if  $0 < r \leq q < \infty$ .

*Proof.* In view the proof of Theorem 4.31, we consider only the sufficiency of the conditions and we employ the same notations as in such theorem, but with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ . We only need

to estimate  $II$  of Theorem 4.31. In view of the embedding  $\ell^r \hookrightarrow \ell^q$ , it is sufficient to prove that

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,r} f_\infty^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,r} f_\theta^{s_1}.$$

We can suppose that  $\theta \leq p$ , since the opposite case can be obtained by the fact that  $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^\theta$ , if  $p \leq \theta$ . Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,r} f_\infty^{s_2}$ . Here the estimates are inspired by [42] and [45]. We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\theta < r_1$ . We need to prove that

$$2^{k\alpha} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\theta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \lesssim \|F_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}, \quad (4.44)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . The left-hand side of (4.44) with power  $\theta$  can be estimated from above by

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} \varpi_{v,k}^\theta \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^\theta = \left\| \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} \varpi_{v,k}^\theta \right\|_{L^{s/\theta,r_1/\theta}}. \quad (4.45)$$

Using duality the right-hand side of (4.45) is comparable to

$$\sup_{g \in L^{(s/\theta)',(r_1/\theta)'}, \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta)',(r_1/\theta)'}} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} (\varpi_{v,k}(x))^\theta g(x) dx. \quad (4.46)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varpi_{v,k}(x))^\theta g(x) dx \leq \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} \int_0^\infty (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^\theta g^*(t) dt. \quad (4.47)$$

Since,  $\varpi_{v,k}^*$  is constant in  $[0, 2^{-vn})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^\theta g^*(t) dt &\leq \varpi_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-1}) \int_0^{2^{-vn}} g^*(t) dt + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{(l-v)n}}^{2^{(l-v)n+n}} (\varpi_{v,k}^*(t))^\theta g^*(t) dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\varpi_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this estimate in (4.47), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\varpi_{v,k}(x))^\theta g(x) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)\theta} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . Since  $s_1 - s_2 = \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ , we obtain that (4.48) is just

$$\begin{aligned} &c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} (F_k^*((2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n})) \\ &= c \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{(l-v)n\frac{\theta}{p}} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

Hölder's inequality implies that the second sum in (4.49) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{(l-v)n\frac{r_1}{p}} (F_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^{r_1} \right)^{\theta/r_1} \\
& \times \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \right)^{1/(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq \|F_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}^{\theta} \\
& \times \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \right)^{1/(r_1/\theta)'}. \tag{4.50}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})(\frac{r_1}{\theta})'\theta} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{(v-l)(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})(\frac{r_1}{\theta})'\theta} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{s})} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})(\frac{r_1}{\theta})'\theta} \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta)',(r_1/\theta)'}}^{(r_1/\theta)'}. \tag{4.51}
\end{aligned}$$

We insert (4.51) in (4.50), we get (4.46) is bounded by  $c\|F_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}^{\theta}$ . This prove (4.44).

*Case 2.*  $\theta \geq r_1$ . Let  $r_2 > 0$  be such that  $r_2 < r_1$ . The left-hand side of (4.44) is bounded by

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1-s_2)r_2} \varpi_{v,k}^{r_2} \right)^{1/r_2} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{r_2}. \tag{4.52}$$

Now, repeating the arguments of Case 1, we obtain that (4.52) is bounded by  $c\|F_k\|_{L^{p,r_1}}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Finally, we deal with  $0 < s \leq p < \infty$  in Theorem 4.31.

**Theorem 4.53.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p, q, r < \infty, 0 < \beta, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Assume (4.32) and*

$$\alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} \geq \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}. \tag{4.54}$$

Let  $0 < s \leq p < \infty$ . Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} f_{\beta}^{s_1},$$

if and only if  $0 < r \leq q < \infty$ , where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} = \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $r_1 = r_2$  if  $s = p$ .



*Proof.* First the necessity of (4.32) and (4.54) follow by using the same type of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.13. We need only estimate only  $II$  of Theorem 4.31. For simplicity, we put  $\beta = 1$ . Hölder's inequality and (2.10) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+\alpha_1-\alpha_2)(v+k)} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{2})+k\alpha_2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^s \\ &\leq \left\| \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{2})+k\alpha_2} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^s, \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $\alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} > \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}$ . The remaining case can be easily solved. The proof is complete.  $\square$

From Theorems 3.20, 4.31, 4.42 and 4.53, we have the following Sobolev embedding for spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^s$ .

**Theorem 4.55.** *Let  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, r, p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1, r_2, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.31 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,r} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} F_{\theta}^{s_1}.$$

*The condition (4.32) becomes necessary.*

(ii) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.42 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{\alpha,r} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} F_{\theta}^{s_1}.$$

*The condition (4.43) becomes necessary.*

(iii) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.53 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} F_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} F_{\beta}^{s_1}.$$

*The conditions (4.32) and (4.54) become necessary.*

From Theorem 4.55 and the fact that  $\dot{K}_s^{0,s} F_{\beta}^{s_1} = F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}$  we immediately arrive at the following results.

**Theorem 4.56.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1, r_2, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha.$$

(i) *Assume that  $0 < p < s < \infty, 0 < r \leq s < \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,r} F_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $0 < p < s < \infty$  and  $0 < \max(r, r_1) \leq s < \infty$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_1}^{0,r} F_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

(iii) *Assume that  $0 < s \leq p < \infty, 0 < r \leq s < \infty$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha,r} F_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1},$$

*where  $r_1 = r_2$  if  $s = p$  and*

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } 0 < s \leq p < \infty \text{ and } \alpha = \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that  $F_{p,\theta}^s = \dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha,p} F_{\theta}^s$ , we obtain from Theorem 4.55 the following results.

**Theorem 4.57.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{s}$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

(i) *Assume that  $0 < p < s < \infty, 0 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $\alpha < 0$ . Then*

$$F_{p,\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^{s_1}.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $0 < p < s < \infty$  and  $0 < p \leq \min(r_1, q) < \infty$ . Then*

$$F_{p,\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} F_{\beta}^{s_1}.$$

(iii) *Assume that  $0 < s < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $\alpha \leq \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}$ . Then*

$$F_{p,\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^{s_1},$$

where

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if } 0 < s < p < \infty \text{ and } \alpha = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Remark 4.58.* Theorem 4.57/(ii) extends and improves Sobolev embeddings of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Indeed, we choose  $0 < r_1, q < \infty$  such that

$$0 < p \leq \min(r_1, q) \leq \max(r_1, q) < s < \infty.$$

Then, we have

$$F_{p,\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} F_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{0,s} F_{\beta}^{s_1} = F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

In particular

$$W_p^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} F_2^{s_1} \hookrightarrow W_s^{s_1},$$

whenever  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

From Theorem 4.55 and the fact that

$$\dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha,r} F_2^0 = \dot{K}_p^{\alpha,r}$$

for  $1 < r, p, r_1 < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$ ; see [52], we obtain the following embeddings between Herz and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Corollary 4.59.** *Let  $0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < \theta, \beta \leq \infty, 1 < r < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *Assume that  $1 < p < s < \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,r} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,p}^{0,r} F_{\beta}^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha}.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $1 < p < s < \infty$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_p^{0,r} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,p}^{0,r} F_{\beta}^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}}.$$

(iii) *Assume that  $\max(1, s) < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha \geq \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,r} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,p}^{0,r} F_{\beta}^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha},$$

where  $\beta = 2$  if  $\max(1, s) < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha = \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ .

**Corollary 4.60.** *Let  $0 < s, p < \infty, 0 < \theta \leq \infty, 1 < r < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{s} < \alpha < n - \frac{n}{s}$ .*

(i) *Assume that  $\max(1, p) < s < \infty$  and  $\alpha < 0$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,r} F_{\theta}^{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{\alpha,r}.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $\max(1, p) < s < \infty$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,r} F_{\theta}^{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{0,r}.$$

(iii) *Assume that  $1 < s < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha \leq \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,r} F_{\theta}^{\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{\alpha,r},$$

where  $\theta = 2$  if  $1 < s < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha = \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ .

*Remark 4.61.* Corollaries 4.59 and 4.60 extend and improve the corresponding results of [9]. In particular Sobolev embeddings for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of power weight obtained in [33].

**Corollary 4.62.** *Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < t \leq p < s < \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  are real numbers such that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p} = s_3 - \frac{n}{t}.$$

Then

$$F_{t,\infty}^{s_3} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,s} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* To prove this result, it is sufficient to choose in Theorem 4.56/(ii)  $r = s = r_1$ . However, the desired embeddings are an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$F_{t,\infty}^{s_3} \hookrightarrow F_{p,\infty}^{s_2} = \dot{K}_{p,p}^{0,p} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,s}^{0,s} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

□

**Corollary 4.63.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p \leq r_1 < s < \infty, s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$F_{p,\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,p} F_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* In Theorem 4.57/(ii) we choose  $p = q, r_1 = s$ . Then the desired embeddings are an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$F_{p,\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{0,p} F_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{0,s} F_{\beta}^{s_1} = F_{s,\beta}^{s_1}.$$

□

By Theorem 4.56/(ii), we get

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,r} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{p,\beta}^{s_1}$$

for any  $\alpha > 0, s_1 \leq s_2 - \alpha, 0 < r \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Recall that

$$\|f\|_{\overline{p}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\overline{p}} = \|f\|_{B_{\overline{p},1}^0}$$

for any  $f \in B_{\overline{p},1}^0$ . In addition from the fact that

$$F_{p,\beta}^s \hookrightarrow B_{\overline{p},1}^0$$

for any  $s > \max(0, \frac{n}{p} - n)$ , where  $\bar{p} = \max(1, p)$ , we get

$$\|f\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,\beta}^s}$$

for any  $f \in F_{p,\beta}^s$ . This shows that under some suitable assumptions the elements of  $\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,r} F_{\beta}^s$  are regular distributions.

**Proposition 4.64.** *Let  $\alpha > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < r \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . If  $s > \frac{n}{p} - n + \alpha$  and  $0 < p \leq 1$  or  $s > \alpha$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,r} F_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow L^{\bar{p}}.$$

**4.3. Jawerth embedding.** The classical Jawerth embedding says that:

$$F_{q,\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{s,q}^{s_1}$$

if  $s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{q}$  and  $0 < q < s < \infty$ ; see e.g., [28]. We will extend this embeddings to Lorentz Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. We follow some ideas of Vybíral, [45, p. 76], where it is used the technique of non-increasing rearrangement. First, we will prove the discrete version of Jawerth embedding.

**Theorem 4.65.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < r_1, r_2 \leq \infty, 0 < s, p, q, r < \infty, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1 = s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2. \quad (4.66)$$

*Under the following assumptions*

$$0 < p < s \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 > \alpha_1$$

*we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} b_r^{s_1}. \quad (4.67)$$

*Proof.* Put  $c_n = 1 + \lfloor \log_2(2\sqrt{n} + 1) \rfloor$ . Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_{\infty}^{s_2}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} b_r^{s_1}}^r &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{(k\alpha_1 + v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2}))q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \right)^{r/q} \\ &\lesssim I + II, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{c_n+1-v} 2^{(k\alpha_1 + v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2}))q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \right)^{r/q}$$

and

$$II = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=c_n+2-v}^{\infty} 2^{(k\alpha_1 + v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2}))q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \right)^{r/q}.$$

*Step 1.* We will estimate  $I$  and  $II$ , respectively.

*Estimation of  $I$ .* Let  $x \in R_k \cap Q_{v,m}$  and  $y \in Q_{v,m}$ . We have  $|x - y| \leq 2\sqrt{n}2^{-v} < 2^{c_n-v}$  and from this it follows that  $|y| < 2^{c_n-v} + 2^k \leq 2^{c_n-v+2}$ , which implies that  $y$  is located in the ball  $B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})$  and

$$|\lambda_{v,m}|^t \lesssim 2^{nv} \int_{B(0, 2^{c_n-v+2})} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(y) dy,$$

where  $t > 0$ . Then for any  $x \in R_k$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(x) &\lesssim 2^{nv} \int_{B(0,2^{cn-v+2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(y) dy \\ &= c 2^{nv} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{cn-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^t, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $v$  and  $x$ . Consequently, with the help of (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{k\alpha_1+v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{t}+\frac{n}{2})+k(\alpha_1+\frac{n}{s})} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{cn-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}. \end{aligned}$$

We may choose  $t > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{t} > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{r_2}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n})$ . Therefore, since  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0$ ,

$$I \lesssim \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{t}-\alpha_1-\frac{n}{s}-s_2)r} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{(s_2+\frac{n}{2})jr} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{cn-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^r,$$

which can be estimated from above by

$$c \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v\frac{nr}{d}} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-v} 2^{(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha_2)\delta i} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{j(s_2+\frac{n}{2})\delta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{q,r_2}}^{\delta} \right)^{r/\delta},$$

by Lemma 2.29, (4.66) and Hölder's inequality, with  $\delta = \min(1, t)$  and  $\frac{n}{d} = \frac{n}{t} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2$ . Hence Lemma 2.30 implies that

$$I \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_2 ir} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{j(s_2+\frac{n}{2})r} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m} \chi_{2^{-i+c_n}} \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_{\infty}^{s_2}}^r.$$

*Estimation of II.* Let  $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &II \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=c_n+2-v}^{\infty} 2^{(k+v)\alpha_3 q + v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+s_2+\frac{n}{2})q + k\alpha_2 q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \right)^{r/q}, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by

$$\sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=c_n+2-v}^{\infty} 2^{(k+v)\alpha_3 + k\alpha_2} \sup_{j \geq c_n+2-k} \left( 2^{j(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+s_2+\frac{n}{2})} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \right)^q \right)^{r/q}.$$

Since  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ , by Lemma 2.30, we estimate *II* by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2 r} \sup_{j \geq c_n+2-k} \left( 2^{j(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+s_2+\frac{n}{2})} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \right)^r \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2 r} \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+s_2+\frac{n}{2})r_2} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{r_2} \right)^{r/r_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

We use some decomposition techniques already used in [45]. Let  $\check{R}_k$  and  $A_{k+v}$  be as in the proof of Theorem 4.31. Put

$$h_k(x) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \chi_{\check{R}_k}(x).$$

Then

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\infty^{s_2}} \approx \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2 r} \|h_k\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

Let  $x \in Q_{v,m} \cap R_k$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $v \geq c_n + 2 - k$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \chi_k(x) &\leq 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in A_{k+v}} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \\ &= g_{v,k}(x) \\ &\leq h_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})r_1} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{r_1} \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty (y^{\frac{1}{s}} g_{v,k}^*(y))^{r_1} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \int_0^{2^{-vn}} (y^{\frac{1}{s}} g_{v,k}^*(y))^{r_1} \frac{dy}{y} + \int_{2^{-vn}}^\infty (y^{\frac{1}{s}} g_{v,k}^*(y))^{r_1} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{vnr_1}{s}} (g_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-n}))^{r_1} + \int_{2^{-vn}}^\infty (y^{\frac{1}{s}} h_k^*(y))^{r_1} \frac{dy}{y}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $v$  and  $k$ . By the monotonicity of  $h^*$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{-vn}}^\infty (y^{\frac{1}{s}} (h_k)^*(y))^{r_1} \frac{dy}{y} &= \sum_{l=0}^\infty \int_{2^{(l-v)n}}^{2^{(l-v)n+n}} (y^{\frac{1}{s}} h_k^*(y))^{r_1} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{vnr_1}{s}} \sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{\frac{nr_1}{s}l} (h_k^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{r_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

Inserting (4.70) in (4.69) and using  $g_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-n}) \leq h_k^*(2^{-vn-n})$ , we obtain that the sum  $\sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^\infty \dots$  in (4.68) can be estimated from above by

$$c \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^\infty 2^{-\frac{vnr_2}{p}} \left( \sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{\frac{nr_1}{s}l} (h_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-n}))^{r_1} \right)^{r_2/r_1}. \quad (4.71)$$

We have (4.71) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &c \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^\infty \left( \sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{l(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p})r_1 n} 2^{(l-v)\frac{nr_1}{p}} (h_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-n}))^{r_1} \right)^{r_2/r_1} \\ &= c \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^\infty \left( \sum_{j=-v}^\infty 2^{(j+v)(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p})r_1 n} 2^{j\frac{nr_1}{p}} (h_k^*(2^{jn-n}))^{r_1} \right)^{r_2/r_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.72)$$

Applying Lemma 2.30, we find that (4.72) is bounded by

$$c \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{njr_2}{p}} (h_k^*(2^{nj}))^{r_2} \approx \|h_k\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^{r_2}.$$

Consequently, we obtain  $II \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\infty^{s_2}}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now, we deal with the case  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ .

**Theorem 4.73.** *Let  $\alpha, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < r_1 \leq \infty, 0 < s, p, q, r < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{s}$ . We suppose that  $0 < p < s \leq \infty, 0 < q \leq r < \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}. \quad (4.74)$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\infty^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} b_r^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* Put  $c_n = 1 + \lfloor \log_2(2\sqrt{n} + 1) \rfloor$ . In view the proof of Theorem 4.65, we estimate only  $II$ . By the assumption (4.74) we estimate  $II_r^q$  by

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+s_2+\frac{n}{2})r} \left\| \sum_{m \in A_{k+v}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r \right)^{q/r}. \quad (4.75)$$

Let  $h_k$  be as in the proof of Theorem 4.65. The sum  $\sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} \dots$  in (4.75) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{vnr}{p}} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l\frac{nr_1}{s}} (h_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-n}))^{r_1} \right)^{r/r_1} \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{l(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p})nr_1} 2^{(l-v)\frac{nr_1}{q}} (h_k^*(2^{(l-v)n-n}))^{r_1} \right)^{r/r_1} \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=-v}^{\infty} 2^{(j+v)(\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{p})nr_1} 2^{j\frac{nr_1}{q}} (h_k^*(2^{jn-n}))^{r_1} \right)^{r/r_1} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{j\frac{nr}{p}} (h_k^*(2^{jn}))^r, \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 2.30. Hence, we obtain  $II \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha_2,q} f_\infty^{s_2}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.76.** *Let  $\alpha, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < r_1 \leq \infty, 0 < s, p, q, r < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{s}$ . We suppose that  $0 < p < s \leq \infty, 0 < r < q \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,r} f_\theta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} b_r^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* In this case, we estimate  $II$  by

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha r} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+s_2+\frac{n}{2})r} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^r.$$

As in Theorem 4.73 we arrive at the desired estimate. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.77.** Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < r, r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < s, p, q < \infty$ ,  $\alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose (4.66) and  $0 < s \leq p < \infty$ . Assume that,

$$\alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} > \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}.$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\theta^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} b_r^{s_1},$$

where  $r_1 = r_2$  if  $s = p$ .

*Proof.* Again, we need only to estimate II. By Hölder's inequality we get

$$2^{vs_1} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \lesssim 2^{(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})k + vs_1} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $v$  and  $k$ . Hence II can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})r} \left( \sum_{k=-v}^{\infty} 2^{k(\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^q \right)^{r/q} \\ & \leq \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})r} \left( \sum_{k=-v}^{\infty} 2^{(k+v)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})q} 2^{k\alpha_2 q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^q \right)^{r/q} \\ & \leq \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=-v}^{\infty} 2^{(k+v)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})q} 2^{k\alpha_2 q} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{j(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_k) \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^q \right)^{r/q} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_2 r} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{j(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_k) \right\|_{L^{p,r_2}}^r \\ & \lesssim \left\| \lambda \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} f_\infty^{s_2}}^r, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.30. □

*Remark 4.78.* We have  $r$  on the right-hand side of (4.67) is optimal. Indeed, for  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $N \geq 1$ , we put

$$\lambda_{v,m}^N = \begin{cases} 2^{-(s_1 - \frac{1}{s} - \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{2})v} \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i(2^{v-1}) & \text{if } m = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\lambda^N = \{\lambda_{v,m}^N\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . As in Theorem 4.31, we obtain

$$\left\| \lambda^N \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,\nu} f_\theta^{s_2}}^\nu = c N,$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  does not depend on  $N$ . Now

$$\left\| \lambda^N \right\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} b_r^{s_1}}^r = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})r} \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{v,m}^N| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \right)^{r/q}.$$

We rewrite the last statement as follows:

$$\left\| \lambda^N \right\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} b_r^{s_1}}^r = \sum_{v=1}^N 2^{(\frac{1}{s} + \alpha_1)vr} \left( \sum_{k=1-N}^0 2^{\alpha_1 k q} \left\| \chi_{v,1} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \right)^{r/q} = cN,$$

where the constant  $c > 0$  does not depend on  $N$ . If the embeddings (4.67) holds then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{\nu}} \leq C$ . Thus, we conclude that  $0 < \nu \leq r < \infty$  must necessarily hold by letting  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .



Using Theorems 3.20, 4.65, 4.73, 4.76 and 4.77, we have the following Jawerth embedding.

**Theorem 4.79.** *Let  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < s, p, q, r < \infty$ ,  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{s}$ ,  $\alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.65 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} B_r^{s_1}. \quad (4.80)$$

(ii) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.73 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} B_r^{s_1}. \quad (4.81)$$

(iii) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.76 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,r} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} B_r^{s_1}. \quad (4.82)$$

(iv) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.77 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r_2}^{\alpha_2,r} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} B_r^{s_1}. \quad (4.83)$$

By Theorem 4.79/(ii) and the fact that

$$F_{p,\infty}^{s_2} = \dot{K}_{p,p}^{0,p} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,q}^{0,q} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{K}_{s,p}^{0,q} B_p^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,s}^{0,s} B_p^{s_1} = B_{s,p}^{s_2},$$

with  $0 < p < q < s < \infty$ , we obtain the following embeddings.

**Corollary 4.84.** *Let  $0 < p < q < s < \infty$  and  $s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}$ . Then*

$$F_{p,\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,p}^{0,q} B_p^{s_1} \hookrightarrow B_{s,p}^{s_2}.$$

From Theorem 4.79 and the fact that  $\dot{K}_{p,p}^{\alpha,q} F_2^0 = \dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$  for  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$  we immediately arrive at the following embedding between Herz and Besov spaces.

**Theorem 4.85.** *Let  $0 < s < \infty$ ,  $0 < q, r_1 \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < r, p < \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose that*

$$1 < p < s < \infty$$

or

$$0 < \max(1, s) < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha > \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}.$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,r} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} B_r^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\dot{K}_p^{0,q} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} B_p^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}}, \quad 1 < q \leq p < s < \infty$$

and

$$L^p \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} B_p^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}}, \quad 1 < p < \min(s, q) < \infty.$$

From Theorem 4.85 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.86.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.85, we have*

$$\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,r} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} B_r^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha} \hookrightarrow B_{s,r}^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\dot{K}_p^{0,q} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} B_p^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{s,p}^{\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}},$$

whenever  $1 < q \leq p < s < \infty$  and

$$L^p \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{0,q} B_p^{\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{s,p}^{\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}},$$

whenever  $1 < p < q < s < \infty$ .

**4.4. Franke embedding.** The classical Franke embedding may be rewritten as follows:

$$B_{p,s}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\infty}^{s_1},$$

if  $s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < p < s < \infty$ , see e.g. [20]. As in Section 3 we will extend this embeddings to Lorentz-Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Again, we follow some ideas of [42] and [45, p. 76]. We will prove the discrete version of Franke embedding.

**Theorem 4.87.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1 \leq \infty, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1 = s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2.$$

Let

$$0 < p < s < \infty, \quad \alpha_2 > \alpha_1. \quad (4.88)$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_q^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} f_\theta^{s_1}. \quad (4.89)$$

*Proof.* Put  $c_n = 1 + \lfloor \log_2(2\sqrt{n} + 1) \rfloor$ . Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_q^{s_2}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} f_\theta^{s_1}}^q &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 q} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \\ &= J_{1,\alpha_1} + J_{2,\alpha_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_{1,\alpha_1} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_1 q} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q \quad (4.90)$$

and

$$J_{2,\alpha_1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 q} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})\theta} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q. \quad (4.91)$$

*Step 1. Estimation of  $J_{1,\alpha_1}$ .* We split the sum  $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty}$  in (4.90) into two sums one over  $0 \leq v \leq 1 + c_n - k$  and one over  $v \geq 2 + c_n - k$ . The first term is denoted by  $T_{1,\alpha_1}$  and the second term by  $T_{2,\alpha_1}$ . Obviously

$$J_{1,\alpha_1} \lesssim T_{1,\alpha_1} + T_{2,\alpha_1}.$$

The same analysis as in the proof of Theorem 4.65 shows that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}|^t \chi_{v,m}(x) \lesssim 2^{nv} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^t$$

for any  $x \in R_k$ . From Lemma 2.30, since  $\alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} > 0$ , we have

$$T_{1,\alpha_1} \leq c \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 - \alpha_1 - \frac{n}{s} + \frac{n}{t} + \frac{n}{2})q} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{t,t}}^q.$$

We may choose  $t > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{t} > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{r_2}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha_2}{n})$ ,  $\varkappa = \min(1, t)$  and  $\frac{n}{d} = \frac{n}{t} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2$ . By Lemma 2.29 and Hölder's inequality,  $T_{1,\alpha_1}$  is bounded by

$$c \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v\frac{n}{d}q} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-v} 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha_2)\varkappa i} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{(s_2+\frac{n}{2})j} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\varkappa} \right)^{q/\varkappa}.$$

Using Lemma 2.30, the last term is bounded by

$$c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_2 i q} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{(s_2+\frac{n}{2})j} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{2-i+c_n} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^q \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2, q_1, s_2, b_{\infty}^{\infty}}}^q.$$

*Estimate of  $T_{2,\alpha_1}$ .* We can suppose that  $\theta \leq p$ , since the opposite cases can be obtained by the fact that  $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^\theta$ . We set

$$f_{v,k} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k.$$

Let  $0 < u < \min(\frac{s}{\theta}, \frac{r_1}{\theta}, 1)$ . Since  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$  and  $s_1 = s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=2+c_n-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} f_{v,k}^\theta \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{u\theta} &= \left\| \sum_{v=2+c_n-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} f_{v,k}^\theta \right\|_{L^{s/\theta, r_1/\theta}}^u \\ &\leq \sum_{v=2+c_n-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}+\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\theta u} \|f_{v,k}\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{u\theta} \\ &\leq 2^{k(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)\theta u} \sup_{v \geq 2+c_n-k} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta u} \|f_{v,k}\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{u\theta} \\ &= 2^{k(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)\theta u} \sup_{v \geq 2+c_n-k} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta u} \|f_{v,k}^{\theta_1}\|_{L^{s/\theta_1, r_1/\theta_1}}^{u\theta/\theta_1} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 < \theta_1 < \infty$ . Here the estimates are inspired by [42] and [45]. We choose  $\theta_1 < \min(s, r_1)$ . Using duality,

$$2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \|f_{v,k}^{\theta_1}\|_{L^{s/\theta_1, r_1/\theta_1}}$$

is comparable to

$$\sup_{g \in L^{(s/\theta_1)', (r_1/\theta_1)'}, \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta_1)', (r_1/\theta_1)'}} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta_1} (f_{v,k}(x))^{\theta_1} g(x) dx. \quad (4.92)$$

Put

$$w_{v,k}(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \chi_{\tilde{R}_k}(x).$$

Let  $A_{k+v}$  be as in the proof of Theorem 4.31,  $v \geq c_n + 2 - k$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Recall that

$$f_{v,k} \leq \sum_{m \in A_{k+v}} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} = \Omega_{v,k} \leq w_{v,k},$$

It follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f_{v,k}(x))^{\theta_1} g(x) dx \\
& \leq 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_0^\infty (f_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt \\
& = 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_0^{2^{-vn}} (f_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt + 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_{2^{-vn}}^\infty (f_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt. \quad (4.93)
\end{aligned}$$

We see that  $\Omega_{v,k}^*$  is constant in  $[0, 2^{-vn})$ . Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_0^{2^{-vn}} (f_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt & \leq 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \int_0^{2^{-vn}} (\Omega_{v,k}^*(t))^{\theta_1} g^*(t) dt \\
& \leq 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{\theta_1})\theta_1} (\Omega_{v,k}^*(2^{vn-1}))^{\theta_1} g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\
& = 2^{vs_2\theta_1} 2^{-v\frac{n}{p}\theta_1} (\Omega_{v,k}^*(2^{vn-1}))^{\theta_1} 2^{-vn(\frac{s}{\theta_1})'} g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\
& \leq 2^{vs_2\theta_1} \|\Omega_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1} \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta_1)', (r_1/\theta_1)'}} \\
& \leq 2^{vs_2\theta_1} \|w_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second term of (4.93) is comparable to

$$\begin{aligned}
& c 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \sum_{l=0}^\infty (f_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\
& = c \sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{vs_2\theta_1} (f_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\
& = c \sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{vs_2\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n\frac{\theta_1}{p}} (f_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}), \quad (4.94)
\end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant is independent of  $v$  and  $k$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{(l-v)n\frac{\theta_1}{p}} (f_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n}))^{\theta_1} & \leq \sup_{z \geq 0} (2^{(z-v)\frac{n}{p}} (f_{v,k}^*(2^{(z-v)n-2})))^{\theta_1} \\
& \leq \|f_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) & = 2^{l(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{p})} 2^{(v-l)(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\
& = 2^{l(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta_1}{p})} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta_1)', \infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.94) is bounded by

$$2^{vs_2\theta_1} \|f_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\theta_1}.$$

Inserting this estimate in (4.92) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} f_{v,k}^\theta \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} &\leq 2^{k(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)} \sup_{v \geq c_n+2-k} 2^{vs_2} \|w_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \\ &\leq 2^{k(\alpha_2-\alpha_1)} \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2q} \|w_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^q \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain that  $T_{2,\alpha_1}$  can be estimated from above by  $c \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q_1,s_2}}^q$ .

*Step 2. Estimation of  $J_{2,\alpha_1}$ .* The arguments here are quite similar to those used in the estimation of  $T_{2,\alpha_1}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 4.95.* As in Remark 4.78,  $q$  on the right-hand side of (4.89) is optimal.

**Theorem 4.96.** *Let  $\alpha, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1 \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{s}$ . We suppose that  $0 < p < s < \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,q} b_{p_1}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} f_\theta^{s_1},$$

where

$$p_1 = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \leq r_1, \\ r_1, & \text{if } q > r_1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Put  $c_n = 1 + \lfloor \log_2(2\sqrt{n} + 1) \rfloor$ . Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,q} b_{p_1}^{s_2}$ . We write as in Theorem 4.87,

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} f_\theta^{s_1}}^q = J_{1,\alpha} + J_{2,\alpha}.$$

We split the sum  $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty}$  in (4.90) with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$  into two sums one over  $0 \leq v \leq c_n + 1 - k$  and one over  $v \geq c_n + 2 - k$ . The first term is denoted by  $T_{1,\alpha}$  and the second term by  $T_{2,\alpha}$ . Obviously

$$J_{1,\alpha} \lesssim T_{1,\alpha} + T_{2,\alpha}.$$

The same analysis as in the proof of Theorem 4.87 shows that

$$T_{1,\alpha} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,q} b_{p_1}^{s_2}}^q.$$

*Estimate of  $T_{2,\alpha}$ .* We can suppose that  $\theta \leq p$ , since the opposite cases can be obtained by the fact that  $\ell^p \hookrightarrow \ell^\theta$ , if  $p \leq \theta$ . We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.  $\theta < r_1$ .* We have  $T_{2,\alpha}$  can be estimated from above by

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_1 q} \left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{vs_1\theta} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^q.$$

We have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} f_{v,k}^\theta \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^\theta = \left\| \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} f_{v,k}^\theta \right\|_{L^{s/\theta, r_1/\theta}} \quad (4.97)$$

Using duality, the right-hand side of (4.97) is comparable to

$$\sup_{g \in L^{(s/\theta)', (r_1/\theta)'}, \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta)', (r_1/\theta)'}} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} (f_{v,k}(x))^\theta g(x) dx.$$

Put

$$w_{v,k}(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \chi_{\tilde{R}_k}(x).$$

Let  $A_{k+v}$  be as in the proof of Theorem 4.42,  $v \geq c_n + 2 - k$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$f_{v,k} \leq \sum_{m \in A_{k+v}} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} = \Omega_{v,k} \leq w_{v,k}$$

and  $\Omega_{v,k}^*$  is constant in  $[0, 2^{-vn})$ . It follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f_{v,k}(x))^\theta g(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} \int_0^\infty (\Omega_{v,k}^*(t))^\theta g^*(t) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1\theta} (\Omega_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n+n}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.98)$$

Since  $s_1 - s_2 = \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p}$ , we obtain that (4.98) is just

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2\theta} (\Omega_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2\theta} 2^{(l-v)n\frac{\theta}{p}} (\Omega_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^\theta 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.99)$$

Hölder's inequality implies that the second sum in (4.99) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2r_1} 2^{(l-v)n\frac{r_1}{p}} (w_{v,k}^*(2^{(l-v)n-1}))^{r_1} \right)^{\theta/r_1} \\ & \times \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \right)^{1/(r_1/\theta)'} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2r_1} \sup_{h \geq 0} (2^{(h-v)n\frac{r_1}{p}} (w_{v,k}^*(2^{(h-v)n-1}))^{r_1}) \right)^{\theta/r_1} \\ & \times \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \right)^{1/(r_1/\theta)'} \\ & \leq \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2r_1} \|w_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{r_1} \right)^{\theta/r_1} \\ & \times \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}))^{(r_1/\theta)'} \right)^{1/(r_1/\theta)'} . \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}) \right)^{(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})(r_1/\theta)'\theta} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{p})} 2^{(v-l)(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})\theta} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}) \right)^{(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})(r_1/\theta)'\theta} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left( 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{\theta}{s})} g^{**}(2^{(l-v)n}) \right)^{(r_1/\theta)'} \\
& \leq 2^{l(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})(r_1/\theta)'\theta} \|g\|_{L^{(s/\theta)',(r_1/\theta)'}}^{(r_1/\theta)'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.99) is bounded by

$$c \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{vs_2 r_1} \|w_{v,k}\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{r_1} \right)^{\theta/r_1}.$$

Using the well-known inequality

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j| \right)^{\varrho} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j|^{\varrho}, \quad \{a_j\}_j \subset \mathbb{C}, \quad \varrho \in (0, 1]$$

if  $q \leq r_1$  and Minkowski inequality if  $q > r_1$  we obtain that  $T_{2,\alpha}$  can be estimated from above by  $c \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_{p_1}^{s_2}}^q$ .

*Case 2.*  $\theta \geq r_1$ . Let  $r_2 > 0$  be such that  $r_2 < r_1$ . The left-hand side of (4.97) is bounded by

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{vs_1 r_2} f_{v,k}^{r_2} \right)^{1/r_2} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{r_2}.$$

Now, repeating the arguments of Case 1, we deduce that  $T_{2,\alpha} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_{p_1}^{s_2}}^q$ .

*Estimation of  $J_{2,\alpha}$ .* We use the same arguments as in the estimation of  $J_{2,\alpha_1}$  of Theorem 4.87 to obtain  $J_{2,\alpha} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q_1} b_{p_1}^{s_2}}^q$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.100.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < s, p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta, r_1 \leq \infty, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ . We suppose that*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1 = s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2.$$

Let

$$0 < s < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} > \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}. \quad (4.101)$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_q^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} f_{\theta}^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* We prove our embedding under the conditions (4.101). Obviously, we have  $T_{1,\alpha_1} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q_1} b_q^{s_2}}$ , so we need only to estimate  $T_{2,\alpha_1}$ . Let  $0 < \tau < \min(1, \frac{s}{\theta}, \frac{r_1}{\theta})$ . Minkowski's

inequality; see (2.26), yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\theta} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\ & \lesssim \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\tau\theta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}}^{\tau\theta} \right)^{1/\theta\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$2^{vs_1} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \lesssim 2^{(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})k+vs_1} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $v$  and  $k$ . Put

$$\mu = \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 + s_2 + \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s} - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2.$$

Hence  $T_{2,\alpha_1}$  can be estimated from above by

$$c \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k(\alpha_1+\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})q} \left( \sum_{v=1-k}^{\infty} 2^{v\mu\tau\theta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\tau\theta} \right)^{q/\theta\tau},$$

which is just

$$\begin{aligned} & c \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_2q} \left( \sum_{v=c_n+2-k}^{\infty} 2^{(v+k)\eta\tau\theta} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{2})\tau\theta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^{\tau\theta} \right)^{q/\theta\tau} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^0 2^{k\alpha_2q} \left( \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{2})} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \right)^q \\ & \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_q^{s_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality and the fact that  $\eta < 0$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_1+\frac{n}{2})\theta} |\lambda_{v,m}|^\theta \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right)^{1/\theta} \right\|_{L^{s,r_1}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p})} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{2})} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{p}-\alpha_2)} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_q^{s_2}} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . Thus,  $J_{2,\alpha_1} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} b_q^{s_2}}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Using Theorems 3.20 and 4.87, we have the following Franke embedding.

**Theorem 4.102.** *Let  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < r_1 \leq \infty, 0 < s, p, q, r < \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{s}, \alpha_1 > -\frac{n}{s}$  and  $\alpha_2 > -\frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.87 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} B_q^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} F_\theta^{s_1}.$$

(ii) *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.96 we have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,q} B_{p_1}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha,q} F_\theta^{s_1},$$



where

$$p_1 = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \leq r_1, \\ r_1, & \text{if } q > r_1. \end{cases}$$

(iii) Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.76 we have

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha_2,q} B_p^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{s,r_1}^{\alpha_1,q} F_\theta^{s_1}.$$

We observe that from Theorem 4.102/(ii) we obtain the following statement.

**Corollary 4.103.** *Let  $0 < p < s < \infty, 0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} = s_2 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

Then

$$B_{p,s}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{0,s} B_s^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{s,\theta}^{s_1}.$$

Again by Theorem 4.102, we immediately arrive at the following embedding between Herz and Besov spaces.

**Theorem 4.104.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 1 < s, q, p < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{s} < \alpha \leq 0$ .*

(i) *We suppose that  $\max(1, p) < s < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{s} < \alpha < 0$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{0,q} B_q^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}-\alpha} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{\alpha,q}. \quad (4.105)$$

In addition, if  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , then we have

$$B_{p,q}^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}-\alpha} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{0,q} B_q^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}-\alpha} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{\alpha,q}.$$

(ii) *We suppose that  $1 < p < s < \infty$ . Then*

$$B_{p,p_1}^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{0,q} B_{p_1}^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{0,q}. \quad (4.106)$$

where

$$p_1 = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \leq s, \\ s, & \text{if } q > s. \end{cases}$$

In addition if  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , then we have

$$B_{p,p_1}^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{0,q} B_{p_1}^{\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{s}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_s^{0,q}.$$

(iii) *We suppose that  $1 < s < p < \infty$  and  $-\frac{n}{s} < \alpha < \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s}$ . Then the embeddings (4.105) holds. In addition if  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , then we have the embeddings (4.106).*

*Remark 4.107.* Theorem 4.104 extends and improves the corresponding results obtained in [10].

*Remark 4.108.* The same analysis as in Theorem 4.13 can be used to prove that in Theorem 4.102 the assumptions

$$s_1 - \frac{n}{s} - \alpha_1 \leq s_2 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 + \frac{n}{p} \geq \alpha_1 + \frac{n}{s}$$

become necessary.

We now present an immediate consequence of the Franke embeddings.

**Corollary 4.109.** *Let  $1 < p < s < \infty$  with  $1 < p < n$ . Let  $\alpha = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{s} - 1 < 0$ . There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $f \in B_{p,s}^1$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|^{-\alpha}} \right)^s dx \leq c \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{0,s} B_s^1}^s \leq c \|f\|_{B_{p,s}^1}^s.$$

Concerning embeddings  $\dot{K}_{q,r}^{\alpha,\theta} F_\beta^s$  into  $L^\infty$ , we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.110.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $0 < q, p < \infty$  and  $0 < \theta \leq \infty$ .*

(i) *Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Assume that  $s > \alpha + \frac{n}{p}$  or  $s = \alpha + \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < q \leq 1$ . We have*

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,q} F_\theta^s \hookrightarrow L^\infty.$$

(ii) *Assume that  $s > \frac{n}{p}$  or  $s = \frac{n}{p}$  and  $0 < r, q \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{0,q} F_\theta^s \hookrightarrow L^\infty,$$

holds.

*Proof.* First assume that  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $0 < p < v < \infty$ . It follows from Theorem 4.79/(i) that

$$\dot{K}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha,q} F_\infty^{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow B_{v,q}^{\frac{n}{v}}.$$

Hence the result follows by the embedding  $B_{v,q}^{\frac{n}{v}} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ ; see [43]. Now we study the case  $\alpha = 0$ . If  $q \leq r$ , then by Theorems 4.79/(ii) and 4.25 we have

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{0,q} F_\theta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{v,r_1}^{0,q} B_r^{s+\frac{n}{v}-\frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow L^\infty.$$

If  $q > r$ , again, by Theorem 4.79/(ii) we obtain

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{0,q} F_\theta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,q}^{0,q} F_\theta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{v,r_1}^{0,q} B_q^{s+\frac{n}{v}-\frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow L^\infty.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 4.111.* The results obtained in Subsections 4.3 and 4.4 extend and improve the corresponding results of [10]. In particular Franke-Jawerth embeddings for Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces of power weight obtained in [33].

## 5. ATOMIC, MOLECULAR AND WAVELET CHARACTERIZATIONS

In the first part of this section we will prove that under certain restrictions on the parameters the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  can be characterized by smooth molecules and smooth atoms. The second part is devoted to the characterization of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  by wavelet. The contents of this section are based on [22], [50].

**5.1. Atomic and molecular characterizations.** We will use the notation of [22]. We shall say that an operator  $A$  is associated with the matrix  $\{a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}}\}_{k,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ , if for all sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$A\lambda = \{(A\lambda)_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} = \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} \lambda_{v,h} \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}.$$

We will use the notation

$$J = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\min(1,p,\frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}})}, & \text{if } \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s = \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s, \\ \frac{n}{\min(1,p,\beta,\frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}})}, & \text{if } \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s = \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s. \end{cases}$$

We say that  $A$ , with associated matrix  $\{a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}}\}_{k,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ , is almost diagonal on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha_2,q} a_\beta^s$  if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{k,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}}|}{\omega_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}}(\varepsilon)} < \infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}}(\varepsilon) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{|x_{Q_{k,m}} - x_{P_{v,h}}|}{\max(2^{-k}, 2^{-v})}\right)^{-J-\varepsilon} \begin{cases} 2^{(v-k)(s+\frac{n+\varepsilon}{2})}, & \text{if } v \leq k, \\ 2^{(v-k)(s-\frac{n+\varepsilon}{2}-J+n)}, & \text{if } v > k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

The following theorem is a generalization of [22, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Any almost diagonal operator  $A$  on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_{\beta}^s$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* By similarity, we consider only the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s$ . We write  $A \equiv A_0 + A_1$  with

$$(A_0\lambda)_{k,m} = \sum_{v=0}^k \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} \lambda_{v,h}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

and

$$(A_1\lambda)_{k,m} = \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} \lambda_{v,h}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

*Estimate of  $A_0$ .* From (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_0\lambda)_{k,m}| &\leq \sum_{v=0}^k \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{(v-k)(\alpha_2 + \frac{n+\varepsilon}{2})} \frac{|\lambda_{v,h}|}{(1 + 2^v|x_{k,m} - x_{v,h}|)^{J+\varepsilon}} \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^k 2^{(v-k)(\alpha_2 + \frac{n+\varepsilon}{2})} S_{k,v,m}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  we define

$$\Omega_{j,k,m} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^{j-1} < 2^v|x_{k,m} - x_{v,h}| \leq 2^j\}$$

and

$$\Omega_{0,k,m} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^v|x_{k,m} - x_{v,h}| \leq 1\}.$$

Let  $\frac{n}{J+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < \tau < \min(1, p, \beta, \frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}})$ . We rewrite  $S_{k,v,m}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k,v,m} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,k,m}} \frac{|\lambda_{v,h}|}{(1 + 2^v|x_{k,m} - x_{v,h}|)^{J+\varepsilon}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(J+\varepsilon)j} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,k,m}} |\lambda_{v,h}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the embedding  $\ell_{\tau} \hookrightarrow \ell_1$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k,v,m} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(J+\varepsilon)j} \left( \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,k,m}} |\lambda_{v,h}|^{\tau} \right)^{1/\tau} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n}{\tau}-J-\varepsilon)j} \left( 2^{(v-j)n} \int_{\cup_{z \in \Omega_{j,k,m}} Q_{v,z}} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,k,m}} |\lambda_{v,h}|^{\tau} \chi_{v,h}(y) dy \right)^{1/\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $y \in \cup_{z \in \Omega_{j,k,m}} Q_{v,z}$  and  $x \in Q_{k,m}$ . It follows that  $y \in Q_{v,z}$  for some  $z \in \Omega_{j,k,m}$  and  $2^{j-1} < 2^v |2^{-k}m - 2^{-v}z| \leq 2^j$ . From this we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |y - x| &\leq |y - 2^{-k}m| + |x - 2^{-k}m| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-v} + 2^{j-v} + 2^{-k} \\ &\leq 2^{j-v+\delta_n}, \quad \delta_n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $y$  is located in the ball  $B(x, 2^{j-v+\delta_n})$ . Consequently

$$S_{k,v,m} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,h} \chi_{v,h} \right) (x)$$

for any  $x \in Q_{k,m}$  and any  $k \leq v$ . Applying Lemmas 2.32 and 2.33, we obtain that

$$\|A_0 \lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}.$$

*Estimate of  $A_1$ .* Again from (5.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_1 \lambda)_{v,h}| &\leq \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{(v-k)(\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - J + \frac{n}{2})} \frac{|\lambda_{v,h}|}{(1 + 2^k |x_{k,m} - x_{v,h}|)^{J+\varepsilon}} \\ &= \sum_{v=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{(v-k)(\alpha_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - J + \frac{n}{2})} T_{k,v,m}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed as in the estimate of  $A_0$  we can prove that

$$T_{k,v,m} \leq c 2^{(v-k)n/\tau} \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,h} \chi_{v,h} \right) (x), \quad v > k, x \in Q_{k,m},$$

where  $\frac{n}{J+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < \tau < \min(1, p, \beta, \frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}})$  and the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $v, k$  and  $m$ . Again applying Lemmas 2.32 and 2.33 we obtain

$$\|A_1 \lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s}.$$

Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

The following two lemmas are from [22, Lemmas B.1-B.2].

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $R > n, 0 < \theta \leq 1, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \leq k, L \in \mathbb{Z}, L \geq 0,$*

$$S > L + n + \theta \quad \text{and} \quad x_1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Suppose that  $g, h \in L^1$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^\gamma g(x)| &\leq 2^{j(\frac{n}{2} + |\gamma|)} (1 + 2^j |x|)^{-R}, \quad |\gamma| \leq L, \\ |\partial^\gamma g(x) - \partial^\gamma g(y)| &\leq 2^{j(\frac{n}{2} + L + \theta)} |x - y|^\theta \sup_{|z| \leq |x-y|} (1 + 2^j |z - x|)^{-R}, \quad |\gamma| = L, \\ |h(x)| &\leq 2^{k\frac{n}{2}} (1 + 2^k |x - x_1|)^{-\max(R,S)}, \quad |\gamma| \leq L, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx = 0, \quad |\gamma| \leq L.$$

Then

$$|h * g(x)| \lesssim 2^{-(k-j)(\frac{n}{2} + L + \theta)} (1 + 2^j |x - x_1|)^{-R}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k, j, x_1, x$  and  $y$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $R > n, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \leq k$  and  $x_1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $g, h \in L^1$  satisfy

$$|g(x)| \leq 2^{j\frac{n}{2}}(1 + 2^j|x|)^{-R},$$

$$|h(x)| \leq 2^{k\frac{n}{2}}(1 + 2^k|x - x_1|)^{-R}.$$

Then

$$|h * g(x)| \lesssim 2^{-(k-j)\frac{n}{2}}(1 + 2^j|x - x_1|)^{-R},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k, j, x_1$  and  $x$ .

Next we present the definition of inhomogeneous smooth synthesis and analysis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}A_\beta^s$ , see [22] and [56] for Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type spaces.

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $N = \max\{\lfloor J - n - s \rfloor, -1\}$  and  $s^* = s - \lfloor s \rfloor$ .

(i) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . A function  $\varrho_{Q_{k,m}}$  is called an inhomogeneous smooth synthesis molecule for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}A_\beta^s$  supported near  $Q_{k,m}$  if there exist a real number  $\delta \in (s^*, 1]$  and a real number  $M \in (J, \infty)$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq N, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$|\varrho_{Q_{0,m}}(x)| \leq (1 + |x - x_{Q_{0,m}}|)^{-M}, \quad (5.7)$$

$$|\varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x)| \leq 2^{\frac{kn}{2}}(1 + 2^k|x - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-\max(M, M-s)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$|\partial^\gamma \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x)| \leq 2^{k(|\gamma| + \frac{1}{2})}(1 + 2^k|x - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \quad \text{if } |\gamma| \leq \lfloor s \rfloor \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial^\gamma \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x) - \partial^\gamma \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(y)| \quad (5.10) \\ & \leq 2^{k(|\gamma| + \frac{1}{2} + \delta)} |x - y|^\delta \sup_{|z| \leq |x-y|} (1 + 2^k|x - z - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \quad \text{if } |\gamma| = \lfloor s \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

A collection  $\{\varrho_{Q_{k,m}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is called a family of inhomogeneous smooth synthesis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}A_\beta^s$ , if each  $\varrho_{Q_{k,m}}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , is an homogeneous smooth synthesis molecule for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}A_\beta^s$  supported near  $Q_{k,m}$ .

(ii) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . A function  $b_{Q_{k,m}}$  is called an inhomogeneous smooth analysis molecule for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}A_\beta^s$  supported near  $Q_{k,m}$  if there exist a  $\kappa \in ((J - s)^*, 1]$  and an  $M \in (J, \infty)$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma b_{Q_{k,m}}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq \lfloor s \rfloor, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (5.11)$$

$$|\varrho_{Q_{0,m}}(x)| \leq (1 + |x - x_{Q_{0,m}}|)^{-M}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$|b_{Q_{k,m}}(x)| \leq 2^{\frac{kn}{2}}(1 + 2^k|x - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-\max(M, M+n+s-J)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (5.13)$$

$$|\partial^\gamma b_{Q_{k,m}}(x)| \leq 2^{k(|\gamma| + \frac{n}{2})}(1 + 2^k|x - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \quad \text{if } |\gamma| \leq N \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial^\gamma b_{Q_{k,m}}(x) - \partial^\gamma b_{Q_{k,m}}(y)| \quad (5.15) \\ & \leq 2^{k(|\gamma| + \frac{n}{2} + \kappa)} |x - y|^\kappa \sup_{|z| \leq |x-y|} (1 + 2^k|x - z - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \quad \text{if } |\gamma| = N. \end{aligned}$$

A collection  $\{b_{Q_{k,m}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is called a family of inhomogeneous smooth analysis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , if each  $b_{Q_{k,m}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , is an homogeneous smooth synthesis molecule for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  supported near  $Q_{k,m}$ .

We will use the notation  $\{b_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  instead of  $\{b_{Q_{k,m}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ . To establish the homogeneous smooth atomic and molecular decomposition characterizations of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  spaces, we need the following key lemma.

**Lemma 5.16.** *Let  $s, \alpha, J, M, N, \delta, \kappa, p, q$  and  $\beta$  be as in Definition 5.5. Suppose that  $\{\varrho_{v,h}\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is a family of smooth synthesis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  and  $\{b_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is a family of homogeneous smooth analysis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . Then there exist a positive real number  $\varepsilon_1$  and a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$|\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle| \leq c \omega_{Q_{k,m} P_{v,h}}(\varepsilon), \quad k, v \in \mathbb{N}_0, h, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

if  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ .

*Proof.* The proof is a slight modification of [22, Corollary B.3]. Possibly reducing  $\delta, \varrho$ , or  $M$ , we may assume that  $\delta - s^* = \frac{M-J}{2} = \kappa - (J - s)^* > 0$ . First we suppose that  $k \geq v$  and  $s \geq 0$ . We have

$$\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle = g_{v,h} * b_{k,m}(x_{P_{v,h}})$$

with  $g_{v,h}(x) = \overline{\varrho_{v,h}(x_{P_{v,h}} - x)}$ . Applying Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle| &\leq c 2^{-(k-v)([s] + \frac{n}{2} + \delta)} (1 + 2^v |x_{P_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \\ &\leq c 2^{-(k-v)(s + \frac{n+\varepsilon}{2})} (1 + 2^v |x_{P_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \end{aligned}$$

if  $[s] + \delta \geq s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, but this is possible since  $\delta > s^*$ . In view of the fact that  $\delta \leq 1$ , we will take  $\varepsilon < 2(\delta - s^*)$ .

Now if  $k \geq v$  and  $s < 0$ , then by Lemma 5.4, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle| &\leq c 2^{-(k-v)\frac{n}{2}} (1 + 2^v |x_{P_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \\ &\leq c 2^{-(k-v)(s + \frac{n+\varepsilon}{2})} (1 + 2^v |x_{P_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \end{aligned}$$

if  $0 < \varepsilon < -2s$ .

We suppose that  $k < v$  and  $N \geq 0$ . We have  $\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle = g_{k,m} * \varrho_{v,h}(x_{Q_{k,m}})$ , with  $g_{k,m}(x) = \overline{b_{k,m}(x_{Q_{k,m}} - x)}$ . Again, using Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle| &\leq c 2^{-(v-k)(N + \frac{n}{2} + \kappa)} (1 + 2^k |x_{Q_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \\ &\leq c 2^{(v-k)(s - J - \frac{\varepsilon - n}{2})} (1 + 2^k |x_{Q_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$N + \frac{n}{2} + \kappa > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + J - \frac{n}{2} - s$$

for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 2\kappa$ .

Now if that  $k < v$  and  $N = -1$ , then we apply Lemma 5.4, since  $N = -1$  implies  $n + s > J$  so that  $n > -s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + J$ , and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \varrho_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle| &\leq c 2^{-(v-k)\frac{n}{2}} (1 + 2^k |x_{Q_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \\ &\leq c 2^{(v-k)(s - J - \frac{\varepsilon - n}{2})} (1 + 2^k |x_{Q_{v,h}} - x_{Q_{k,m}}|)^{-M} \end{aligned}$$

if  $0 < \varepsilon < 2(s - J + n)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we have the following analogues of the corresponding results on [22, Corollary B.3].

**Corollary 5.17.** *Let  $s, \alpha, J, M, N, \delta, \kappa, p, q$  and  $\beta$  be as in Definition 5.5. Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy, respectively (3.1) and (3.2).*

(i) *If  $\{\varrho_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is a family of homogeneous synthesis molecules for the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , then the operator  $A$  with matrix  $a_{Q_{k,m} P_{v,h}} = \langle \varrho_{v,h}, \varphi_{k,m} \rangle$ ,  $k, v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , is almost diagonal.*

(ii) *If  $\{b_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is a family of homogeneous smooth analysis molecules for the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , then the operator  $A$ , with matrix  $a_{Q_{k,m} P_{v,h}} = \langle \varphi_{v,h}, b_{Q_{k,m}} \rangle$ ,  $k, v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , is almost diagonal.*

Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  and  $\{b_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  be a family of homogeneous smooth analysis molecules. To prove that  $\langle f, b_{Q_{k,m}} \rangle$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , is well defined for all homogeneous smooth analysis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , we need the following result, which proved in [7, Lemma 5.4]. Suppose that  $\Phi$  is a smooth analysis (or synthesis) molecule supported near  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $c > 0$  such that  $c\varphi_k$  is a smooth analysis (or synthesis) molecule supported near  $Q$  for every  $k$ , and  $\varphi_k(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we have the following smooth molecular characterization of the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Theorem 5.18.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $J, M, N, \delta$  and  $\kappa$  be as in Definition 5.5.*

(i) *If  $f = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varrho_{v,h} \lambda_{v,h}$ , where  $\{\varrho_{v,h}\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is a family of homogeneous smooth synthesis molecules for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , then for all  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}.$$

(ii) *Let  $\{b_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  be a family of homogeneous smooth analysis molecules. Then for all  $f \in \dot{K}_q^{\alpha,2,p} A_\beta^s$*

$$\|\{\langle f, b_{k,m} \rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is a slight variant of [22]. We split the proof in two steps.

*Step 1. Proof of (i).* By (3.9) we can write

$$\varrho_{v,h} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\varphi}_k * \varrho_{v,h}(2^{-k}m) \psi_k(\cdot - 2^{-k}m)$$

for any  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Therefore,

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} S_{k,m} \psi_{k,m} = T_\psi S,$$

where  $S = \{S_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ , with

$$S_{k,m} = 2^{-k\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\varphi}_k * \varrho_{v,h}(2^{-k}m) \lambda_{v,h}.$$

From Theorem 3.20, we have

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} = \|T_\psi S\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \lesssim \|S\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}.$$

But

$$S_{k,m} = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} \lambda_{v,h},$$

with

$$a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} = \langle \varrho_{v,h}, \tilde{\varphi}_{k,m} \rangle, \quad k, v \in \mathbb{N}_0, m, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Applying Lemma 5.16 and Theorem 5.2 we find that

$$\|S\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s}.$$

*Step 2. Proof of (ii).* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, b_{k,m} \rangle &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{-vn} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle \psi_v(\cdot - 2^{-v}h), b_{k,m} \rangle \tilde{\varphi}_v * f(2^{-v}h) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle \psi_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle \lambda_{v,h} \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} \lambda_{v,h}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{Q_{k,m}P_{v,h}} = \langle \psi_{v,h}, b_{k,m} \rangle, \quad \lambda_{v,h} = 2^{-v\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_v * f(2^{-v}h).$$

Again by Lemma 5.16 and Theorem 5.2 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{\langle f, b_{k,m} \rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s} &\lesssim \|\{\lambda_{v,h}\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s} \\ &= c \|\{(S_{\varphi})_{v,h}\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0, h \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.20 we find that

$$\|\{\langle f, b_{k,m} \rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we turn to the notion of a smooth atom for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$ .

**Definition 5.19.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$  and  $N = \max\{[J - n - s], -1\}$ . A function  $\varrho_{Q_{k,m}}$  is called an homogeneous smooth atom for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$  supported near  $Q_{k,m}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , if

$$\text{supp } \varrho_{Q_{k,m}} \subseteq 3Q_{k,m} \tag{5.20}$$

$$|\partial^{\gamma} \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x)| \leq 2^{k(|\gamma| + \frac{n}{2})} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq \max(0, 1 + [s]), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{5.21}$$

and if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^{\gamma} \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq N \quad \text{and } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.22}$$

A collection  $\{\varrho_{Q_{k,m}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  is called a family of homogeneous smooth atoms for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$ , if each  $a_{Q_{k,m}}$  is an homogeneous smooth atom for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$  supported near  $Q_{v,m}$ .



The moment condition (5.22) can be strengthened into that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq \tilde{N} \quad \text{and } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the regularity condition (5.21) can be strengthened into that

$$|\partial^\gamma \varrho_{Q_{k,m}}(x)| \leq 2^{k(|\gamma| + \frac{n}{2})} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq \tilde{K}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{N}$  are arbitrary fixed integer satisfying  $\tilde{K} \geq \max(0, 1 + \lfloor s \rfloor)$  and  $\tilde{N} \geq \max\{\lfloor J - n - s \rfloor, -1\}$ . If an atom  $\varrho$  is supported near  $Q_{k,m}$ , then we denote it by  $\varrho_{k,m}$ . If  $N = -1$ , then (5.22) means that no moment conditions are required. We see that every inhomogeneous smooth atom for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  is a multiple of an inhomogeneous smooth synthesis molecule for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

Now we come to the atomic decomposition theorem.

**Theorem 5.23.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Then for each  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ , there exist a family  $\{\varrho_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  of homogeneous smooth atoms for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  and  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$  such that*

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \varrho_{k,m}, \quad \text{converging in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.24)$$

and

$$\|\{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

Conversely, for any family of homogeneous smooth atoms for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  and

$$\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s,$$

we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \varrho_{k,m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \lesssim \|\{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}.$$

*Remark 5.25.* Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$  and  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . Let  $\{\varrho_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  be a family of homogeneous smooth atoms for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . From Theorem 5.24 there exist  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$  such that

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \varrho_{k,m}, \quad \text{converging in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{(s - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{2})k} \lambda_{k,m} 2^{-(s - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{2})k} \varrho_{k,m} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\lambda}_{k,m} \tilde{\varrho}_{k,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma \tilde{\varrho}_{k,m}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq \tilde{N} \quad \text{and } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the regularity condition (5.21) can be strengthened into that

$$|\partial^\gamma \tilde{\varrho}_{k,m}(x)| \leq 2^{-(s-\frac{n}{p})k+|\beta|k} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq \tilde{K}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{N}$  are arbitrary fixed integer satisfying  $\tilde{K} \geq \max(0, 1 + \lfloor s \rfloor)$  and  $\tilde{N} \geq \max\{\lfloor J - n - s \rfloor, -1\}$ .

**Definition 5.26.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$  and  $K, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . A function  $\varrho_{k,m}$   $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  are called  $(s, p)$ -atoms if

$$\text{supp } \varrho_{k,m} \subseteq 3Q_{k,m}$$

there exist all (classical) derivatives  $\partial^\gamma \varrho_{k,m}$  with  $|\gamma| \leq K$  such that

$$|\partial^\gamma \varrho_{k,m}(x)| \leq 2^{-(s-\frac{n}{p})k+|\gamma|k} \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq K, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\gamma \varrho_{k,m}(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq N \quad \text{and } k \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a complex valued sequence. We set

$$\|\lambda\|_{\widetilde{\dot{K}}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\beta^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\frac{n\beta}{p}} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \chi_{k,m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|\lambda\|_{\widetilde{\dot{K}}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_\beta^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{k\frac{n\beta}{p}} |\lambda_{k,m}|^\beta \chi_{k,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}, \quad 0 < p, q < \infty.$$

From Theorem 5.23 we get the following result:

**Theorem 5.27.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta < \infty, \alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $K, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with

$$K > s \quad \text{and} \quad N \geq \max\{\lfloor J - n - s \rfloor, -1\}.$$

Then  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  if, and only if, it can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \varrho_{k,m}, \quad \text{converging in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (5.28)$$

where  $\varrho_{k,m}$   $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  are  $(s, p)$ -atoms. Furthermore,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \approx \inf \left\| \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \right\|_{\widetilde{\dot{K}}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s},$$

are equivalent quasi-norms where the infimum is taken over all admissible representations (5.28).

**5.2. Wavelet characterization.** Using the characterizations of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  spaces by atom obtained in Section 5.1, we establish characterizations of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  by wavelets. We begin with recalling the notion of kernels; see [50, Definition 9].

**Definition 5.29.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $C > 0$ . Then  $L_\infty$ -functions  $k_{j,m} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , are called kernels if

$$\text{supp } k_{j,m} \subset CQ_{j,m}, \quad \text{if } j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n;$$

there exist all (classical) derivatives  $\partial^\beta k_{j,m}$  with  $|\beta| \leq A$  such that

$$|\partial^\beta k_{j,m}(x)| \leq 2^{j(n+|\beta|)}, \quad \text{if } |\beta| \leq A, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \quad (5.30)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\beta k_{j,m}(x) dx = 0, \quad \text{if } |\beta| < B, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (5.31)$$

*Remark 5.32.* When  $B = 0$  or  $j = 0$ , there are no moment conditions (5.31) on the kernels. In view the Definition 5.19 for atoms we have different normalisations in (5.21) and in (5.30).

**Definition 5.33.** Let  $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ .

(i) The space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \bar{b}_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all complex valued sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \bar{b}_\beta^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \chi_{k,m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} < \infty.$$

(ii) Let  $0 < p, q < \infty$ . The space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \bar{f}_\beta^s$  is defined to be the set of all complex valued sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \bar{f}_\beta^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{ks\beta} |\lambda_{k,m}|^\beta \chi_{k,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} < \infty.$$

**Definition 5.34.** Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s, \alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Let  $k_{j,m}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be kernels according to Definition 5.29 with  $A > \max(J - n, 0) - s$  and  $B \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We set

$$k_{j,m}(f) = \langle f, k_{j,m} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_{j,m}(y) f(y) dy, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (5.35)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality bracket between  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Furthermore,

$$k(f) = \{k_{j,m}(f) : j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

*Remark 5.36.* First, assume that the expression (5.29) makes sense, at least formally. Later on we will justify the dual pairing.

**Theorem 5.37.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $k_{j,m}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be kernels according to Definition 5.29 where  $C > 0$  is fixed,  $A > \max(J - n, 0) - s$  and  $B > s$ .

(i) It holds

$$\|k(f)\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \bar{b}_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}$$

for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < p, q < \infty$ . It holds

$$\|k(f)\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} \bar{f}_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} \quad (5.38)$$

for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .

*Proof.* We will proceed in two steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $\varphi$  be a continuous function with a compact support in the unit ball having all classical continuous derivatives of order

$$\partial^\beta \varphi, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \partial^\beta \varphi, \quad |\beta| \leq N, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{\beta}^s$  be expanded according to (5.24). We get from the moment conditions (5.22) for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \varrho_{j,m}(y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \varrho_{j,m}(y) \left( \varphi(y) - \sum_{|\beta| < N} (y - 2^{-j}m)^{\beta} \frac{\partial^{\beta} \varphi(2^{-j}m)}{\beta!} \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{R_v} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \varrho_{j,m}(y) \Omega_{j,m}(y) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

Let us estimate the sum

$$\sum_{v=-\infty}^0 \int_{R_v} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \varrho_{j,m}(y) \Omega_{j,m}(y) dy. \quad (5.40)$$

We use the Taylor expansion of  $\varphi$  up to order  $N - 1$  with respect to the off-points  $2^{-j}m$ , we obtain

$$\Omega_{j,m}(y) = \sum_{|\beta|=N} (y - 2^{-j}m)^{\beta} \frac{\partial^{\beta} \varphi(\xi)}{\beta!},$$

with  $\xi$  on the line segment joining  $y$  and  $2^{-j}m$ . Since

$$1 + |y| \leq (1 + |\xi|) (1 + |y - 2^{-j}m|),$$

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_{j,m}(y)| &\leq (1 + |y - 2^{-j}m|)^N \sum_{|\beta|=N} \frac{|\partial^{\beta} \varphi(\xi)|}{\beta!} \\ &\leq (1 + |y - 2^{-j}m|)^N (1 + |\xi|)^{-S} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq c (1 + |y|)^{-S} (1 + |y - 2^{-j}m|)^{N+S}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S > 0$  is at our disposal. Let  $-\frac{\alpha p}{n} < t < \min(1, p) = 1 + p - \frac{p}{\min(1, p)}$  and  $h = s + \frac{n}{p}(t - 1)$  be such that

$$n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\min(1, p)}\right) + s > h > -1 - N.$$

Since  $\varrho_{j,m}$  are atoms, then

$$2^{-j(N+1)} |\varrho_{j,m}(y)| \lesssim 2^{jh} 2^{-j(N+1+h)} (1 + 2^j |y - 2^{-j}m|)^{-M},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $j$  and  $m$ . Therefore, the sum (5.40) can be estimated by

$$c 2^{-j(N+1+h)} \sum_{v=-\infty}^0 \int_{R_v} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{hj} |\lambda_{j,m}| (1 + 2^j |y - 2^{-j}m|)^{N+S-M} (1 + |y|)^{-S} dy. \quad (5.41)$$

Since  $M$  can be taken large enough, by the same arguments as in Lemma 5.2 we obtain

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| (1 + 2^j |y - 2^{-j}m|)^{N+S-M} \leq c \mathcal{M}_{\tau} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \right) (y)$$

for any  $y \in R_v \cap Q_{j,l}$  with  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  where  $0 < \tau < \min(1, \frac{p}{t}, \frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}})$ . We split  $S$  into  $R + T$  with  $R + \alpha < 0$  and  $T$  large enough such that  $T > \max(-R, \frac{n(p-t)}{p})$ . Then (5.41) is bounded by

$$c 2^{-j(N+1+h)} \sum_{v=-\infty}^0 2^{-vR} \int_{R_v} \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{jh} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \right) (y) (1 + |y|)^{-T} dy.$$

Since we have in addition the factor  $(1 + |y|)^{-T}$ , it follows by Hölder's inequality that this expression is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & c 2^{-j(N+1+h)} \sum_{v=-\infty}^0 2^{-vR} \left\| \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{hj} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \right) \chi_v \right\|_{L^{p/t, \infty}} \\ & \leq c 2^{-j(N+1+h)} \sum_{v=-\infty}^0 2^{-v(\alpha+R)} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{hj} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p/t, \infty}^{\alpha, \infty}} \\ & \leq c 2^{-j(N+1+h)} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p/t, \infty}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^h}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows by the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_\tau$  on  $\dot{K}_{p/t, \infty}^{\alpha, \infty}$ . Using a combination of the arguments used above, the sum

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_v} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m} \varrho_{j,m}(y) \Omega_{j,m}(y) dy$$

can be estimated from above by

$$c 2^{-j(N+1+h)} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p/t, \infty}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^h},$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $j$  and  $\lambda$ . We claim that

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p/t, \infty}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^h. \quad (5.42)$$

Since  $N + 1 + h > 0$ , by the embeddings (5.42) we obtain that (5.39) converges absolutely in  $\mathbb{C}$ ; see Theorem 4.13, and  $\langle f, \Psi \rangle$  makes sense as a dual pairing. The  $F$ -counterpart follows by the embeddings

$$\dot{K}_{p, \infty}^{\alpha, q} f_\infty^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p, \infty}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p/t, \infty}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^h.$$

*Step 2.* By Step 1, the local means  $k_{j,m}(f)$  make sense. By similarity, we consider only the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p, \infty}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s$ . Let

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,z} \varrho_{k,z}, \quad (5.43)$$

be an atomic decomposition of  $f \in \dot{K}_{p, \infty}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s$ , where  $\tilde{K} = B > s$  and  $\tilde{N} = A > \max(J - n, 0) - s$  and  $\varrho_{k,m}$   $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  are  $(s, p)$ -atoms. Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We split (5.43) into

$$f = \sum_{v=0}^j \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,z} \varrho_{v,z} + \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,z} \varrho_{v,z}.$$

We set

$$V_{1,j,m} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_{j,m}(y) \sum_{v=0}^j \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,z} \varrho_{v,z}(y) dy$$

and

$$V_{2,j,m} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_{j,m}(y) \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,z} \varrho_{v,z}(y) dy.$$

*Estimate of  $V_{1,j,m}$ .* Let  $y \in CQ_{j,m} \cap 3Q_{v,z}$ . Then

$$|z - 2^v y| \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |2^{v-j} m - 2^v y| \lesssim 1, \quad j \geq v,$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $j, v, y$  and  $m$ . Thus

$$V_{1,j,m} = \sum_{v=0}^j \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z-2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1} \lambda_{v,z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_{j,m}(y) \varrho_{v,z}(y) dy.$$

We use the Taylor expansion of  $\varrho_{v,z}$  up to order  $B-1$  with respect to the off-points  $2^{-j}m$ , we obtain

$$V_{1,j,m} = \sum_{v=0}^j \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z-2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1} \lambda_{v,z} \sum_{|\beta|=B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k_{j,m}(y) (y - 2^{-j}m)^\beta \frac{\partial^\beta \varrho_{v,z}(\xi)}{\beta!} dy,$$

with  $\xi$  on the line segment joining  $y$  and  $2^{-j}m$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |V_{1,j,m}| \\ & \leq \sum_{v=0}^j \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z-2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1} |\lambda_{v,z}| \sum_{|\beta|=B} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\beta \varrho_{v,z}(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |k_{j,m}(y)| |y - 2^{-j}m|^B dy \\ & \lesssim \sum_{v=0}^j 2^{(v-j)B - (s - \frac{n}{p})v} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z-2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1} |\lambda_{v,z}| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-js} \sum_{v=0}^j 2^{(v-j)(B-s)} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z-2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1} |\lambda_{v,z}|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

Let  $x \in Q_{j,m}$  and  $y \in Q_{v,z}$  with  $|z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1$ . We have

$$|x - y| \leq |x - 2^{-j}m| + |2^{-j}m - 2^{-v}z| + |y - 2^{-v}z| \lesssim 2^{-v},$$

which implies that  $y$  is located in the ball  $B(x, 2^{-v})$ . Let  $0 < \tau < \min(p, \beta, \frac{n}{n+\frac{n}{p}})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{v,z}| &= \left( \frac{1}{|Q_{v,z}|} \int_{Q_{v,z}} |\lambda_{v,z}|^\tau \chi_{v,z}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{|Q_{v,z}|} \int_{Q_{v,z}} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,h}|^\tau \chi_{v,h}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,h}| \chi_{v,h} \right)(x), \quad x \in Q_{j,m}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

Plug (5.45) in (5.44), and since the sum with respect to  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $|z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 1$  in (5.44) has always less than  $C_2$  independent of  $m$ , we obtain

$$|V_{1,j,m}| \lesssim 2^{-js} \sum_{v=0}^j 2^{(v-j)(B-s)} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}} \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,h}| \chi_{v,h} \right)(x)$$

for any  $x \in Q_{j,m}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . By Lemmas 2.30 and 2.32, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |V_{1,j,m}|^\beta \chi_{j,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}\beta} (\mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,h}| \chi_{v,h} \right))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}\beta} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,h}|^\beta \chi_{v,h} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimate of  $V_{2,j,m}$ .* Let  $y \in CQ_{j,m} \cap 3Q_{v,z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |2^{-v}z - 2^{-j}m| & \leq |y - 2^{-v}z| + |y - 2^{-j}m| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-v} + 2^{-j}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that  $|z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}$ . Again, by the Taylor expansion of  $k_{j,m}$  up to order  $A - 1$  with respect to the off-points  $2^{-v}z$ , we obtain

$$V_{2,j,m} = \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}} \lambda_{v,z} \sum_{|\beta|=B} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho_{v,z}(y) (y - 2^{-v}z)^\beta \frac{\partial^\beta k_{j,m}(\xi)}{\beta!} dy,$$

with  $\xi$  on the line segment joining  $y$  and  $2^{-v}z$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |V_{2,j,m}| \\ & \leq \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}} |\lambda_{v,z}| \sum_{|\beta|=A} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\beta k_{j,m}(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\varrho_{v,z}(y)|}{|y - 2^{-v}z|^{-A}} dy \\ & \lesssim \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)(A+n)} 2^{-v(s-\frac{n}{p})} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}} |\lambda_{v,z}| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-js} \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)(A+s+n)} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}} |\lambda_{v,z}|. \end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

Let  $x \in Q_{j,m}$  and  $y \in Q_{v,z}$  with  $|z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}$ . Let  $M > 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}} |\lambda_{v,z}| & \lesssim \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n: |z - 2^{v-j}m| \lesssim 2^{v-j}} \frac{|\lambda_{v,z}|}{(1 + 2^j |2^{-v}z - 2^{-j}m|)^M} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|\lambda_{v,z}|}{(1 + 2^j |2^{-v}z - 2^{-j}m|)^M} \\ & = c\mathcal{V}_{j,v,m}, \end{aligned}$$

For each  $i, j, v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  we define

$$\Omega_{i,j,v,m} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^{i-1} < 2^j |2^{-v}z - 2^{-j}m| \leq 2^i\}$$

and

$$\Omega_{0,j,v,m} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^j |2^{-v}z - 2^{-j}m| \leq 1\}.$$

Let  $0 < \tau < \min(1, p, \beta, \frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}})$ . Rewrite  $\mathcal{V}_{j,v,m}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{j,v,m} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \Omega_{i,j,v,m}} \frac{|\lambda_{v,z}|}{(1 + 2^j |2^{-v}z - 2^{-j}m|)^M} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-Mi} \sum_{z \in \Omega_{i,j,v,m}} |\lambda_{v,z}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the embedding  $\ell_\tau \hookrightarrow \ell_1$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{j,v,m} &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-Mi} \left( \sum_{z \in \Omega_{i,j,k,m}} |\lambda_{v,z}|^\tau \right)^{1/\tau} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n}{\tau} - M)i} \left( 2^{(v-i)n} \int_{\cup_{h \in \Omega_{i,j,v,m}} Q_{v,h}} \sum_{z \in \Omega_{i,j,v,m}} |\lambda_{v,z}|^\tau \chi_{v,z}(y) dy \right)^{1/\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $y \in \cup_{h \in \Omega_{i,j,v,m}} Q_{v,h}$  and  $x \in Q_{j,m}$  with  $v \geq j$ . It follows that  $y \in Q_{v,h}$  for some  $h \in \Omega_{i,j,v,m}$  and  $2^{i-1} < 2^j |2^{-v}h - 2^{-j}m| \leq 2^i$ . From this we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |y - x| &\leq |y - 2^{-v}h| + |x - 2^{-j}m| + |2^{-v}h - 2^{-j}m| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-v} + 2^{-j} + 2^{i-j} + \\ &\leq 2^{i-j+\delta_n}, \quad \delta_n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $y$  is located in the ball  $B(x, 2^{i-j+\delta_n})$ . We choose  $M > 0$ . Then, we obtain

$$\mathcal{V}_{j,v,m} \lesssim 2^{(v-j)\frac{n}{\tau}} \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,z}| \chi_{v,z} \right)(x), \quad x \in Q_{j,m}. \quad (5.47)$$

Inserting (5.47) in (5.46), we obtain

$$|V_{2,j,m}| \lesssim 2^{-js} \sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)(A+s-\frac{n}{\tau}+n)} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}} \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,z}| \chi_{v,z} \right)(x)$$

We choose  $\tau$  be such that

$$A + s - \frac{n}{\tau} + n > 0, \quad A > \max(J - n, 0) - s.$$

By Lemmas 2.30 and 2.32, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |V_{2,j,m}|^\beta \chi_{j,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}\beta} \left( \mathcal{M}_\tau \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,z}| \chi_{v,z} \right) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v\frac{n}{p}\beta} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,z}|^\beta \chi_{v,z} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates obtained for  $V_{1,j,m}$  and  $V_{2,j,m}$ , we obtain (5.38).

*Step 3.* We prove our claim (5.42). Let  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\infty^s$  and  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will estimate

$$\mathcal{H}_{v,1} = 2^{(h+\frac{n}{2})v} \sup_{k \leq 1+c_n-v} \left( 2^{k\alpha} \left\| \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right) \right\|_{L^{p/t,\infty}} \right).$$



and

$$\mathcal{H}_{v,2} = 2^{(h+\frac{n}{2})v} \sup_{k \geq 2+c_n-v} \left( 2^{k\alpha} \left\| \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_k \right) \right\|_{L^{p/t,\infty}} \right).$$

*Estimation of  $\mathcal{H}_{v,1}$ .* Let  $u > 0$ ,  $x \in R_k \cap Q_{v,m}$  and  $y \in Q_{v,m}$  with  $k \leq 1 + c_n - v$ . As in Theorem, we obtain that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \leq 2^{n\frac{v}{u}} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{u,u}}.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{v,1} &\lesssim 2^{v(h+\frac{n}{u}+\frac{n}{2}-n\frac{t}{p}-\alpha)} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{B(0,2^{c_n-v+2})} \right\|_{L^{u,u}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{v(s+\frac{n}{u}+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}-\alpha)} \left( \sum_{i \leq -v} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{u,u}}^\varkappa \right)^{1/\varkappa}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

where  $\varkappa = \min(1, u)$  and we have used Lemma 2.29, and the implicit constant is independent of  $v$ . We may choose  $u > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{u} > \max(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{n})$  and

$$\frac{n}{u} = \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{l} = \frac{n}{\infty} + \frac{n}{u}, \quad \frac{n}{l} = \alpha + \frac{n}{d}, \quad 0 < d < \infty.$$

By Hölder's inequality and (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{u,u}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \left\| \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{l,u}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha)} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha)-(s+\frac{n}{2})v} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| 2^{(s+\frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $i$  and  $v$ . Inserting this estimate in (5.48), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{v,1} &\lesssim 2^{v\frac{n}{d}} \left( \sum_{i \leq -v} 2^{i(\frac{n}{d}+\alpha)\varkappa} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\| 2^{(s+\frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{i+c_n+2} \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}}^\varkappa \right)^{1/\varkappa} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\alpha i} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 2^{(s+\frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \chi_{-i+c_n+2} \right) \right\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \\ &\lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_\infty^s}. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimation of  $\mathcal{H}_{v,2}$ .* As in the proof of Theorem 4.31, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{v,k} &= 2^{v(s+\frac{n}{2})+k\alpha} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \\ &\leq 2^{v(s_2+\frac{n}{2})+k\alpha} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \chi_{\check{R}_k} \\ &= \check{h}_{k,v}.. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{R}_k = \cup_{i=-2}^3 R_{k+i}$ . Since  $h - s = \frac{nt}{p} - \frac{n}{p}$ , we get

$$2^{v(h-s)} \|\vartheta_{v,k}\|_{L^{p/t}, \infty} = 2^{v(\frac{nt}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} \|\vartheta_{v,k}\|_{L^{p/t}, \infty} \quad (5.49)$$

for any  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using duality, the right-hand side of (5.49) is dominated by

$$c \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{v(\frac{nt}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} \vartheta_{v,k}(x) g(x) dx,$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $g \in L^{(p/t)', 1}$  such that  $\|g\|_{L^{(p/t)', 1}} \leq 1$ . It follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$2^{v(\frac{nt}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \vartheta_{v,k}(x) g(x) dx \leq 2^{v(\frac{nt}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} \int_0^\infty \vartheta_{v,k}^*(t) g^*(t) dt.$$

We have

$$\int_0^\infty \vartheta_{v,k}^*(t) g^*(t) dt = \int_0^{2^{-vn}} \vartheta_{v,k}^*(t) g^*(t) dt + \sum_{l=0}^\infty \int_{2^{(l-v)n}}^{2^{(l-v)n+n}} \vartheta_{v,k}^*(t) g^*(t) dt. \quad (5.50)$$

Since  $\vartheta_{v,k}^*$  is constant in  $[0, 2^{-vn})$  and  $\vartheta_{v,k}^* \leq \check{h}_{k,v}^*$ , the first term on the right-hand side of (5.50) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-1}) \int_0^{2^{-vn}} g^*(t) dt &\leq 2^{-vn} \vartheta_{v,k}^*(2^{-vn-1}) g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\ &\leq 2^{-vn} \check{h}_{k,v}^*(2^{-vn-1}) g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\ &\leq 2^{-vn(1-\frac{1}{p})} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{-\frac{jn}{p}} \check{h}_{k,v}^*(2^{-jn-1})) g^{**}(2^{-vn}) \\ &\leq 2^{v(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{tn}{p})} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-\frac{jn}{p}} \check{h}_{k,v}^*(2^{-jn-1})) \sup_{v \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{-vn(1-\frac{t}{p})} g^{**}(2^{-vn})) \\ &\leq 2^{v(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{tn}{p})} \|\check{h}_{k,v}\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{(p/t)', 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (5.50) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} c \sum_{l=0}^\infty \check{h}_{k,v}^*(2^{(l-v)n}) 2^{(l-v)n} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}) \\ = c 2^{v(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{tn}{p})} \sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{(l-v)\frac{n}{p}} \check{h}_{k,v}^*(2^{(l-v)n}) 2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{1}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{tn}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} g^*(2^{(l-v)n}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

The term inside the sum in (5.52) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{(l-j)n\frac{1}{p}} \check{h}_{k,v}^*(2^{(l-j)n})) \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{1}{p})} 2^{v(\frac{tn}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} g^*(2^{(l-v)n})) \\ \leq 2^{l(\frac{tn}{p} - \frac{n}{p})\theta_1} \|\check{h}_{k,v}\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{(l-v)n(1-\frac{t}{p})} g^*(2^{(l-v)n})) \\ \leq 2^{l(\frac{tn}{p} - \frac{n}{p})} \|\check{h}_{k,v}\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \|g\|_{L^{(p/t)', 1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

Collecting the estimates (5.53) and (5.51) we get

$$\mathcal{H}_{v,2} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} b_\infty^s}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi_F, \psi_M \in C^u(\mathbb{R})$  be real-valued compactly supported Daubechies wavelets with

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_F(0) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^l \psi_M(x) dx = 0, \quad l \in \{0, \dots, u-1\}$$

and

$$\|\psi_F\|_2 = \|\psi_M\|_2 = 1.$$

We have that

$$\{\psi_F(x-m), 2^{\frac{j}{2}}\psi_M(2^j x - m)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$$

is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . This orthonormal basis can be generalized to the  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the usual multiresolution procedure. Let

$$G = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\} \in G^0 = \{F, M\}^n$$

which means that  $G_r$  is either  $F$  or  $M$ . Let

$$G = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\} \in G^j = \{F, M\}^{n*}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $*$  indicates that at least one of the components of  $G$  must be an  $M$ . Hence  $G^0$  has  $2^n$  elements, whereas  $G^j$  with  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  has  $2^n - 1$  elements. Let

$$\Psi_{G,m}^j(x) = 2^{j\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^n \psi_{G_r}(2^j x_r - m_r), \quad G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We always assume that  $\psi_F$  and  $\psi_M$  have  $L^2$ -norm 1. Then

$$\Psi = \{\Psi_{G,m}^j : j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \quad (5.54)$$

is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (for any  $u \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and.

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m}^G 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}} \Psi_{G,m}^j,$$

with

$$\lambda_{j,m}^G = \lambda_{j,m}^G(f) = 2^{j\frac{n}{2}} \langle f, \Psi_{G,m}^j \rangle,$$

is the corresponding expansion.

Let  $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . We set

$$\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_{\beta}^s} = \{\lambda = \{\lambda_{j,m}^G\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C} : \|\lambda\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_{\beta}^s}} < \infty\},$$

and

$$\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s} = \{\lambda = \{\lambda_{j,m}^G\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C} : \|\lambda\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s}} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|\lambda\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} b_{\beta}^s}} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} \left\| \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}^G| \chi_{j,m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

and

$$\|\lambda\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} f_{\beta}^s}} = \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{js\beta} |\lambda_{j,m}^G|^{\beta} \chi_{j,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

**Theorem 5.55.** *Let  $\alpha, s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $\{\Psi_{G,m}^j\}$  be the wavelet system with*

$$u > \max(J - s, s). \quad (5.56)$$

*Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  if and only if*

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m}^G 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}} \Psi_{G,m}^j, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s} \quad (5.57)$$

*with unconditional convergence in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in any space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^\sigma$  with  $\sigma < s$ . The representation (5.57) is unique,*

$$\lambda_{j,m}^G = \lambda_{j,m}^G(f) = 2^{j\frac{n}{2}} \langle f, \Psi_{G,m}^j \rangle$$

and

$$I : f \longmapsto \{\lambda_{j,m}^G(f)\}$$

*is an isomorphic map from  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  onto  $\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}$ . In particular, it holds*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} \approx \|\lambda\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}}.$$

*If, in addition,  $q < \infty$ , then  $\{\Psi_{G,m}^j\}$  is an unconditional basis in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .*

*Proof.* We will do the proof in four steps.

*Step 1.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be given by (5.57). Then

$$\varrho_{j,m}^G = 2^{-(s-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{2})j} \Psi_{G,m}^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

are  $(s, p)$ -atoms according to Definition 5.26 with  $K = L = u$  (up to unimportant constants). We set

$$\lambda = \{\lambda_{j,m}^G : j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

From Theorem 5.27 and (5.56) we obtain  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \lesssim \|\lambda\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}}. \quad (5.58)$$

*Step 2.* Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . Then

$$k_{j,m}^G = 2^{j\frac{n}{2}} \Psi_{G,m}^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

are kernels according to Definition 5.29 with  $A = B = u$ . We set

$$k(f) = \{k_{j,m}^G(f) = \langle f, k_{j,m}^G \rangle : j \in \mathbb{N}_0, G \in G^j, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

All conditions on  $k_{j,m}^G$  are fulfilled by (5.56) and the compact support of the wavelets we get by Theorem 5.37

$$\|k(f)\|_{\overline{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

*Step 3.* We prove the unconditional convergence of (5.57) in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in any space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^\sigma$  with  $\sigma < s$ . First assume that  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta < \infty$ . By (5.58) and the properties of the sequence spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$ , we get the unconditional convergence of (5.57) in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  and hence in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in any space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^\sigma$  with  $\sigma < s$ . The structure of the sequence spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} a_\beta^s$  and  $\sigma < s$ , yields the unconditional convergence of  $f$  given by (5.57) in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^\sigma$  with  $\sigma < s$  and hence in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Step 4.* We will prove the uniqueness of the coefficients. It follows by Step 1 that

$$g = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m}^G 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}} \Psi_{G,m}^j \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s.$$

From (5.56) the dual pairing of  $g$  and any wavelet  $\Psi_{G',m'}^{j'}$  makes sense. Since (5.54) is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  one gets

$$\langle g, \Psi_{G',m'}^{j'} \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{j,m}^G 2^{-j\frac{n}{2}} \langle \Psi_{G,m}^j, \Psi_{G',m'}^{j'} \rangle = \langle f, \Psi_{G',m'}^{j'} \rangle. \quad (5.59)$$

This holds also for finite linear combinations of  $\Psi_{G',m'}^{j'}$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then one has the unique  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -representation

$$\varphi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle \varphi, \Psi_{G,m}^j \rangle \Psi_{G,m}^j.$$

By Step 1 of Theorem 5.37 this representation converges also in the dual space of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$ . We get by (5.59) that  $\langle g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and hence  $g = f$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.60.* We refer the reader to [55] for an atomic, molecular and wavelet characterizations of the spaces  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$ .

## 6. SEVERAL EQUIVALENT CHARACTERIZATIONS

In this part, we establish characterizations of  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s$  by Peetre maximal function, by ball mean of differences and we will present some useful examples.

**6.1. Maximal function characterization.** Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Let  $a > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then we define the Peetre maximal function as follows:

$$\varphi_k^{*,a} f(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f(y)|}{(1 + 2^k |x - y|)^a}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We now present a fundamental characterization of the spaces under consideration.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ .*

(i) *Let  $a > \frac{n}{\min(p, \frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}})}$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{\beta}^s}^* = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\varphi_k^{*,a} f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta},$$

*is an equivalent quasi-norm in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{\beta}^s$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .*

(ii) *Let  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $a > \frac{n}{\min(p, \beta, \frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}})}$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^s}^* = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k^{*,a} f|^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}},$$

*is an equivalent quasi-norm in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^s$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* By similarity, we only consider the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s$ . It is easy to see that for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s}^* < \infty$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f(x)| \leq \varphi_k^{*,a} f(x).$$

This shows that  $\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s}^*$ . We will prove that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s}^* \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s}.$$

Let  $0 < \tau < \infty$  be such that  $a > \frac{n}{\tau} > \frac{n}{\min(\min(p,\beta), \frac{n}{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}})}$ . By Lemmas 2.45 and 2.41, the estimate

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f(y)| \leq C_1 (\eta_{k,\delta\tau} * |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f|^\tau(y))^{1/\tau} \quad (6.2)$$

is true for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \delta > \frac{n}{\tau}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Now dividing both sides of (6.2) by  $(1 + 2^k |x - y|)^a$ , in the right-hand side we use the inequality

$$(1 + 2^k |x - y|)^{-a} \leq (1 + 2^k |x - z|)^{-a} (1 + 2^k |y - z|)^a, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

while in the left-hand side we take the supremum over  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k^{*,a} f(x) &\lesssim (\eta_{k,a\tau} * |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f|^\tau(x))^{1/\tau} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_\tau(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $x, k$  and  $f$ . Applying Lemma 2.32, we deduce that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s}^* \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}F_\beta^s}.$$

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is complete.  $\square$

Let us consider  $k_0, k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S \geq -1$  an integer such that for an  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|\mathcal{F}k_0(\xi)| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\xi| < 2\varepsilon \quad (6.3)$$

$$|\mathcal{F}k(\xi)| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |\xi| < 2\varepsilon \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha k(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad |\alpha| \leq S. \quad (6.5)$$

Here (6.3) and (6.4) are Tauberian conditions, while (6.5) are moment conditions on  $k$ . We recall the notation

$$k_t(x) = t^{-n} k(t^{-1}x), \quad k_j(x) = k_{2^{-j}}(x), \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any  $a > 0, f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we denote

$$k_j^{*,a} f(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|k_j * f(y)|}{(1 + 2^j |x - y|)^a}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Usually  $k_j * f$  is called local mean.

We are able now to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q \leq \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Let  $s < S + 1$*

(i) *Let  $a > \frac{n}{\min(p, \frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}})}$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{\beta}^s}^{\bullet} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} \|k_j^{*,a} f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{\beta}^s}^{\star} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} \|k_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta}$$

are an equivalent quasi-norm in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{\beta}^s$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

(ii) *Let  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $a > \frac{n}{\min(p, \beta, \frac{n}{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}})}$ . Then*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^s}^{\bullet} = \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} |k_j^{*,a} f|^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}},$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^s}^{\star} = \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} |k_j * f|^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}},$$

are an equivalent quasi-norm in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_{\beta}^s$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

*Proof.* The proof is very similar as in Rychkov [40].  $\square$

**6.2. Characterizations by ball mean of differences.** Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, \beta \leq \infty$ . For later use we introduce the following abbreviations:

$$\sigma_p = n \max\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{p,\beta} = n \max\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1, \frac{1}{\beta} - 1, 0\right).$$

In the next we shall interpret  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as the set of regular distributions.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{p}), \alpha_0 = n - \frac{n}{p}$  and*

$$s > \max(\sigma_p, \alpha - \alpha_0).$$

Then

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $0 < q < \infty$  in the case of Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. We set

$$\varrho_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For technical reasons, we split the proof into two steps.

*Step 1.* We consider the case  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In order to prove we additionally do it into the four Substeps 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

*Substep 1.1.*  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < \alpha_0$ . First assume that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $1 < p_0 < \infty$  be such that

$$p < p_0 < \frac{n}{\max(0, \frac{n}{p} - s)},$$

which is possible because of  $s > 0$ . From Theorem 4.13 we obtain

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{s+\frac{n}{p_0}-\frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,\max(1,q)} B_\beta^{s+\frac{n}{p_0}-\frac{n}{p}}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,\max(1,q)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

Then, the sequence  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges to  $g \in \dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,\max(1,q)}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Write

$$\langle f - g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle + \langle g - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality bracket between  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Clearly, the first term tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , while by Hölder's inequality there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $N$  such that

$$|\langle g - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|g - \varrho_N\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,\max(1,q)}},$$

which tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . From this and  $\dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,\max(1,q)} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , because of  $\alpha < n - \frac{n}{p_0}$ , see Lemma 2.21, we deduce the desired result. In addition, we obtain

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha,\max(1,q)}.$$

The case of the  $F$ -spaces follows simply from the embedding

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\infty^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^s,$$

Now, we study the case  $p = 1$ . Let  $d > 1$  be such that

$$1 < d < \min\left(\frac{n}{\max(0, n-s)}, \frac{n}{-\alpha}\right).$$

From Theorems 4.7 and 4.13, we obtain

$$\dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_d^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^{s+\frac{n}{d}-n} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the last embedding follows since  $s + \frac{n}{d} - n > 0$  and  $-\frac{n}{d} < \alpha < 0$ .

*Substep 1.2.*  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $1 < p_1 < \infty$  be such that

$$s > \alpha + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{p_1}.$$

We distinguish two cases:

- $p_1 = p$ . By Theorem 4.13, we obtain

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,p}^{0,p} B_\beta^{s-\alpha} = B_{p,\beta}^{s-\alpha} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

where the last embedding follows by the fact that

$$B_{p,\beta}^{s-\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^p, \quad (6.8)$$

because of  $s - \alpha > 0$ . The Lorentz Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin case follows by Theorem 4.7.

- $1 < p_1 < p < \infty$  or  $1 < p < p_1 < \infty$ . If we assume the first possibility then Theorem 4.13 and Substep 1.1 yield

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_1}^{0,q} B_\beta^{s-\alpha-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{p_1}} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

since  $\alpha + \frac{n}{p} > \frac{n}{p_1}$ . The latter possibility follows again by Theorem 4.13. Indeed, we have

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha_0,q} B_\beta^{s+\alpha_0-\alpha} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_1}^{0,p_1} B_\beta^{s-\alpha-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{p_1}} = B_{p_1,\beta}^{s-\alpha-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{p_1}} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n),$$



where the last embedding follows by the fact that

$$B_{p_1, \beta}^{s-\alpha-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{p_1}} \hookrightarrow L^{p_1}. \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore from Theorem 4.7 we obtain the desired embeddings.

*Substep 1.3.*  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . We have

$$\dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{0,1} B_\beta^{s-\alpha} = B_{1,\beta}^{s-\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^1,$$

since  $s > \alpha$ .

*Substep 1.4.*  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . Let  $\alpha_3$  be a real number such that

$$\max(-n, -s) < \alpha_3 < 0.$$

From Theorems 4.13 and 4.55, we get

$$\dot{K}_{1,r}^{0,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha_3,q} B_\infty^{s+\alpha_3} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

by Substep 1.1.

*Step 2.* We consider the case  $0 < p < 1$ .

*Substep 2.1.*  $-n < \alpha < 0$ . By Lemma 2.48, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_1^{\alpha, \max(1,q)}} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(\frac{n}{p}-n)} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s},$$

since  $s > \frac{n}{p} - n$ . The desired embedding follows by the fact that

$$\dot{K}_1^{\alpha, \max(1,q)} \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the arguments in Substep 1.1. In addition, we obtain

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{\alpha, \max(1,q)}. \quad (6.10)$$

*Substep 2.2.*  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha_4$  be a real number such that

$$\max\left(-n, -s + \frac{n}{p} - n + \alpha\right) < \alpha_4 < 0.$$

From Theorem 4.13, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s &\hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{0,q} A_\beta^{s-\frac{n}{p}+n-\alpha} \\ &\hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{\alpha_4,q} A_\beta^{s-\frac{n}{p}+n-\alpha+\alpha_4} \\ &\hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{\alpha_4, \max(1,q)} A_\beta^{s-\frac{n}{p}+n-\alpha+\alpha_4}. \end{aligned}$$

As in Substep 1.4, we easily obtain that

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore, under the hypothesis of this theorem, every  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  is a regular distribution. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.11.* In [16, Theorem 2.4], we have used the assumption  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{q}$  but the correct is  $\alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{q})$ .

Using the same schema as in [13] with the help of Theorem 6.7 and the dilation identity (2.11), we obtain the following statement.

**Theorem 6.12.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, \beta, q \leq \infty, \alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{p})$  and  $s > \max(\sigma_p, \alpha - n + \frac{n}{p})$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that*

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \leq c \lambda^{s - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}$$

holds for all  $\lambda$  with  $1 \leq \lambda < \infty$  and all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

Let  $f$  be an arbitrary function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x), \quad \Delta_h^{M+1} f(x) = \Delta_h(\Delta_h^M f)(x), \quad M \in \mathbb{N}.$$

These are the well-known differences of functions which play an important role in the theory of function spaces. Using mathematical induction one can show the explicit formula

$$\Delta_h^M f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^M (-1)^j C_j^M f(x + (M-j)h), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $C_j^M$  are the binomial coefficients. By ball means of differences we mean the quantity

$$d_t^M f(x) = t^{-n} \int_{|h| \leq t} |\Delta_h^M f(x)| dh = \int_B |\Delta_{th}^M f(x)| dh, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here  $B = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |h| \leq 1\}$  is the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t > 0$  is a real number. We set

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^* = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left( \int_0^\infty t^{-s\beta} \|d_t^M f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^* = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty t^{-s\beta} (d_t^M f)^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

**Theorem 6.13.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{p}), \alpha_0 = n - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .*

(i) *Assume that*

$$\max(\sigma_p, \alpha - \alpha_0) < s < M.$$

*Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^*$  is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ .*

(ii) *Let  $0 < q < \infty$ . Assume that*

$$\max(\sigma_{p,\beta}, \alpha - \alpha_0) < s < M.$$

*Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^*$  is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. For ease of presentation, we split the proof into three steps.

*Step 1.* We will prove that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}$$

for all  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . We employ the same notations as in Theorem 6.7. Recall that

$$\varrho_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Obviously,  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges to  $f$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$  for any  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and any  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$ . Furthermore,  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$  and hence it converges to a function  $g \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ , and

$$\|g\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

Let us prove that  $g = f$  a.e. We will do this into four cases.

*Case 1.*  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < \alpha_0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . First assume that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $1 < p_0 < \infty$  be as in Theorem 6.7. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We write

$$\langle f - g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle + \langle g - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality bracket between  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By Hölder's inequality there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $N$  such that

$$|\langle g - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|g - \varrho_N\|_{\dot{K}_{p_0}^{\alpha, \max(1,q)}},$$

which tends to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $1 < d < \infty$  be as in Theorem 6.7. We obtain

$$|\langle g - \varrho_N, \varphi \rangle| \leq C \|g - \varrho_N\|_{\dot{K}_d^{\alpha, \max(1,q)}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that

$$\dot{K}_d^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^{s+\frac{n}{d}-n} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_d^{\alpha, \max(1,q)}.$$

Then, with the help of Substep 1.1 of the proof of Theorem 6.7, we have  $g = f$  almost everywhere.

*Case 2.*  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $1 < p_1 < \infty$  be as in Theorem 6.7. From (6.8) and (6.9), we derive in this case, that every  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$  is a regular distribution,  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^{p_1}$  and

$$\|f\|_{p_1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}.$$

Indeed, from the embeddings (6.9) and since  $f \in B_{p_1, \beta}^{s-\alpha\frac{n}{p_1}-\frac{n}{p}}$ , it follows that  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges to a function  $h \in L^{p_1}$ . Similarly as in Case 1, we conclude that  $f = h$  a.e. It remains to prove that  $g = f$  a.e. We have

$$\|f - g\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f - \varrho_k\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \|g - \varrho_k\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

and

$$\|f - \varrho_k\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\sigma \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\sigma \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^\sigma \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-js\sigma},$$

where  $\sigma < \min(1, p, q, r)$ . Letting  $k$  tends to infinity, we get  $g = f$  a.e.

*Case 3.*  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

*Subcase 3.1.*  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . We have

$$\dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s \hookrightarrow L^1,$$

since  $s > \alpha$ , see Theorem 6.7, Substep 1.3. Now one can continue as in Case 2.

*Subcase 3.2.*  $p = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$ . Let  $\alpha_3$  be a real number such that  $\max(-n, -s) < \alpha_3 < 0$ . From Theorems 4.13 and 4.55, we get

$$\dot{K}_{1,r}^{0,q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{\alpha_3,q} A_\beta^{s+\alpha_3}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k * f\|_{\dot{K}_1^{\alpha_3, \max(1,q)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha_3,q} A_\beta^{s+\alpha_3}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{1,r}^{0,q} A_\beta^s},$$

since  $\alpha_3 + s > 0$ . Hence the sequence  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges to  $f$  in  $\dot{K}_1^{\alpha_3, \max(1, q)}$ , see Case 1. As in Case 2, we obtain  $g = f$  a.e.

*Case 4.*  $0 < p < 1$ .

*Subcase 4.1.*  $-n < \alpha < 0$ . From the embedding (6.10) and the fact that  $s > \frac{n}{p} - n$ , the sequence  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converge to  $f$  in  $\dot{K}_1^{\alpha, \max(1, q)}$ . As above we prove that  $g = f$  a.e.

*Subcase 4.2.*  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Recall that

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} A_\beta^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{\alpha_4, \max(1, q)} A_\beta^{s - \frac{n}{p} + n - \alpha + \alpha_4},$$

see Substep 2.2 of the proof of Theorem 6.7. As in Subcase 3.2 the sequence  $\{\varrho_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  converges to  $f$  in  $\dot{K}_1^{\alpha_4, \max(1, q)}$ . The same arguments above one can conclude that:  $g = f$  a.e..

*Step 2.* In this step we prove that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s}^* = \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty t^{-s\beta} (d_t^M f)^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s}, \quad f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s.$$

Thus, we need to prove that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty 2^{sk\beta} |d_{2^{-k}}^M f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}}$$

does not exceed  $c \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s}$ . The proof is a slight variant of [16]. For the convenience of the reader, we give some details. In order to prove we additionally do it into the two Substeps 2.1 and 2.2. The estimate for the space  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} B_\beta^s$  is similar.

*Substep 2.1.* We will estimate

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{sk\beta} |d_{2^{-k}}^M f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}}.$$

Obviously, we need to estimate

$$\left\{ 2^{ks} \sum_{j=0}^k d_{2^{-k}}^M (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$\left\{ 2^{ks} \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty d_{2^{-k}}^M (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}. \quad (6.15)$$

As in [47], we arrive at the estimate

$$d_{2^{-k}}^M (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f) \lesssim 2^{(j-k)M} \varphi_j^{*, a} f(x) \quad (6.16)$$

if  $a > 0$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $j, k$  and  $x$ . We choose  $a > \frac{n}{\min(\min(p, \beta), \frac{n}{p} + \alpha)}$ . Since  $s < M$ , (6.14) in  $\ell^\beta$ -quasi-norm does not exceed

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{js\beta} (\varphi_j^{*, a} f)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}. \quad (6.17)$$

By Theorem 6.1, the  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q}$ -quasi-norm of (6.17) is bounded by  $c \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^s}$ . Now, we estimate (6.15). We can distinguish two cases as follows:

*Case 1.*  $\min(p, \beta) \leq 1$ . If  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ , then  $s > \frac{n}{\min(p, \beta)} - n$ . We choose

$$\max\left(0, 1 - \frac{s \min(p, \beta)}{n}\right) < \lambda < \min(p, \beta), \quad (6.18)$$

which is possible because of

$$s > \frac{n}{\min(p, \beta)} - n = \frac{n}{\min(p, \beta)} \left(1 - \min(p, \beta)\right).$$

Let  $\frac{n}{\min(p, \beta)} < a < \frac{s}{1-\lambda}$ . Then  $s > a(1 - \lambda)$ . Now, assume that  $\alpha \geq n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ . Therefore

$$s > \max\left(\frac{n}{\min(p, \beta)} - n, \frac{n}{p} + \alpha - n\right).$$

If  $\min(p, \beta) \leq \frac{n}{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha}$ , then we choose  $\lambda$  as in (6.18). If  $\min(p, \beta) > \frac{n}{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha}$ , then we choose

$$\max\left(0, 1 - \frac{s}{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha}\right) < \lambda < \frac{n}{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha} \quad (6.19)$$

be a strict positive real number, which is possible because of

$$s > \frac{n}{p} + \alpha - n = \left(\frac{n}{p} + \alpha\right) \left(1 - \frac{n}{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha}\right).$$

In that case, we choose  $\frac{n}{p} + \alpha < a < \frac{s}{1-\lambda}$ . We set

$$J_{2,k}(f) = 2^{ks} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} d_{2^{-k}}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Recalling the definition of  $d_{2^{-k}}^M(\varphi_j * f)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2^{-k}}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f) &= \int_B |\Delta_{2^{-k}h}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f)| dh \\ &\leq \int_B |\Delta_{2^{-k}h}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f)|^\lambda dh \sup_{h \in B} |\Delta_{2^{-k}h}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f)|^{1-\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

Observe that

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f(x + (M - i)2^{-k}h)| \leq c2^{(j-k)a} \varphi_j^{*,a} f(x), \quad |h| \leq 1 \quad (6.21)$$

and

$$\int_B |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f(x + (M - i)2^{-k}h)|^\lambda dh \leq c\mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda)(x). \quad (6.22)$$

if  $j > k, i \in \{0, \dots, M\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore

$$d_{2^{-k}}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f) \leq c2^{(j-k)a(1-\lambda)} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda)$$

for any  $j > k$ , where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $j$  and  $k$ . Hence

$$J_{2,k}(f) \leq c2^{ks} \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)a(1-\lambda)} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda).$$

Using Lemma 2.30, we obtain that (6.15) in  $\ell^\beta$ -quasi-norm can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{(1-\lambda)\beta} (\mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\ & \lesssim \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f)^\beta \right)^{(1-\lambda)/\beta} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} (\mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda))^{\beta/\lambda} \right)^{\lambda/\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ -quasi-norm and using Hölder's inequality we obtain that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (J_{2,k}(f))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}$$

is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f)^\beta \right)^{(1-\lambda)/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{p}{1-\lambda}, \frac{r}{1-\lambda}}^{\alpha(1-\lambda), \frac{q}{1-\lambda}}} \times \\ & \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} (\mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda))^{\beta/\lambda} \right)^{\lambda/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{p}{\lambda}, \frac{r}{\lambda}}^{\alpha\lambda, \frac{q}{\lambda}}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^{1-\lambda} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\lambda \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.32 and Theorem 6.1.

*Case 2.*  $\min(p, \beta) > 1$ . Assume that  $\alpha \geq n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ . Then we choose  $\lambda$  as in (6.19) and  $\frac{n}{p} + \alpha < a < \frac{s}{1-\lambda}$ . If  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ , then we choose  $\lambda = 1$ . The desired estimate can be done in the same manner as in Case 1.

*Substep 2.2.* We will estimate

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{sk\beta} |d_{2^{-k}}^M f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

We employ the same notations as in Substep 1.1. Define

$$H_{2,k}(f)(x) = \int_B \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{z2^{-k}}^M (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f)(x) \right| dz, \quad k \leq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As in the estimation of  $J_{2,k}$ , we obtain that

$$H_{2,k}(f) \lesssim 2^{k(s-a(1-\lambda))} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( (2^{js} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f))^{1-\lambda} \mathcal{M}(2^{js} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|)^\lambda \right)$$

and this yields that

$$\left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} 2^{sk\beta} |H_{2,k}|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( (2^{js} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f))^{1-\lambda} \mathcal{M}(2^{js} |\varphi_j * f|)^\lambda \right).$$

By the same arguments as used in Substep 2.1 we obtain the desired estimate.

*Step 3.* Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ . We will prove that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s}^*.$$

As the proof for  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  is similar, we only consider  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . The proof is very similar as in [16]. We present some details, because we need it in the next theorem. Let  $\Psi$  be a function in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $\Psi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\Psi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq \frac{3}{2}$ , and in addition radiallysymmetric. We make use of an observation made by Nikol'skij [34], see also [47, Section 3.3.2]. We put

$$\psi(x) = (-1)^{M+1} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} (-1)^i C_i^M \Psi(x(M-i)),$$

where  $C_i^M$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$  are the binomial coefficients. The function  $\psi$  satisfies  $\psi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{M}$  and  $\psi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq \frac{3}{2}$ . Then, taking  $\varphi_0(x) = \psi(x)$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{2}) - \psi(x)$  and  $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi_1(2^{-j+1}x)$  for  $j = 2, 3, \dots$ , we obtain that  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. This yields that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{js\beta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}$$

is a quasi-norm equivalent in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . Let us prove that the last expression is bounded by

$$C \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^*. \quad (6.23)$$

We observe that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_0 * f(x) = (-1)^{M+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Psi(z) \Delta_{-z}^M f(x) dz + f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Psi(z) dz$$

Moreover, it holds for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f(x) = (-1)^{M+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta_{2^{-j}y}^M f(x) \tilde{\Psi}(y) dy,$$

with  $\tilde{\Psi} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Psi - 2^{-n} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Psi(\cdot/2)$ . Now, for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta_{2^{-j}y}^M f(x)| |\tilde{\Psi}(y)| dy \\ &= \int_{|y| \leq 1} |\Delta_{2^{-j}y}^M f(x)| |\tilde{\Psi}(y)| dy + \int_{|y| > 1} |\Delta_{2^{-j}y}^M f(x)| |\tilde{\Psi}(y)| dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Thus, we need only to estimate the second term of (6.24). We write

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{sj} \int_{|y| > 1} |\Delta_{2^{-j}y}^M f(x)| |\tilde{\Psi}(y)| dy \\ &= 2^{sj} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k < |y| < 2^{k+1}} |\Delta_{2^{-j}y}^M f(x)| |\tilde{\Psi}(y)| dy \\ &\leq c 2^{sj} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{nj-Nk} \int_{2^{k-j} < |h| \leq 2^{k-j+1}} |\Delta_h^M f(x)| dh \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

where  $N > 0$  is at our disposal and we have used the properties of the function  $\tilde{\Psi}$ ,  $|\tilde{\Psi}(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|)^{-N}$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $N > 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $1 \leq \beta \leq \infty$ . Now, the right-hand side of (6.25) in  $\ell^\beta$ -norm is bounded by

$$c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-Nk} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n)j\beta} \left( \int_{|h| \leq 2^{k-j+1}} |\Delta_h^M f(x)| dh \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}. \quad (6.26)$$

After a change of variable  $j - k - 1 = v$ , we estimate (6.26) by

$$c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} \left( \sum_{v=-k-1}^{\infty} 2^{sv\beta} (d_{2^{-v}}^M f(x))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \lesssim \left( \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{sv\beta} (d_{2^{-v}}^M f(x))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta},$$

where we choose  $N > n + s$ . Taking the  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ -quasi-norm we obtain the desired estimate (6.23). The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 6.27.* In [16, Theorem 2.5], we have used the assumption  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{q}$  but the correct is  $\alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{q})$ .

We set

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^{**} = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left( \int_0^1 t^{-s\beta} \|d_t^M f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{**} = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left\| \left( \int_0^1 t^{-s\beta} (d_t^M f)^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

We have also another equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Theorem 6.28.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{p}), \alpha_0 = n - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .*

(i) *Assume that*

$$\max(\sigma_p, \alpha - \alpha_0) < s < M.$$

*Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^{**}$  is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ .*

(ii) *Let  $0 < q < \infty$ . Assume that*

$$\max(\sigma_{p,\beta}, \alpha - \alpha_0) < s < M.$$

*Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{**}$  is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .*

*Proof.* We employ the same notations as in Theorem 6.13. By similarity, we will consider only the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . Let  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ . Immediately it follows

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{**} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^* \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}.$$

We will prove that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{**}.$$

In view of Step 3 of the proof of Theorem 6.13, we need only to estimate

$$V = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} \left( \sum_{v=-k-1}^{\infty} 2^{sv\beta} (d_{2^{-v}}^M f)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$



in  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ -quasi-norm. We see that  $V$  can be estimated from above by  $V_1 + V_2$ , where

$$V_1 = c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} \left( \sum_{v=-k-1}^0 2^{sv\beta} (d_{2^{-v}}^M f)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$V_2 = c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} 2^{sv\beta} (d_{2^{-v}}^M f)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

We have

$$d_{2^{-v}}^M(f) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_{2^{-v}}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f), \quad v \geq -k-1.$$

We choose  $N > 0$  sufficiently large such that  $N > s + n$ .

*Estimate of  $V_1$ .* Using (6.20), (6.21), (6.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{sv} d_{2^{-v}}^M(f) &\leq c 2^{-v(a(1-\lambda)-s)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j(a(1-\lambda)-s)} (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \\ &\leq c 2^{-v(a(1-\lambda)-s)} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $v$  and  $k$ . Thus,  $V_1$  can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} &c \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \right) \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} \left( \sum_{v=-k-1}^0 2^{-\beta v(\frac{a}{\sigma}(1-\lambda)-s)} \right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \right) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} \right) \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left( 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

since  $N > s + n$ . Taking the  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ -quasi-norm in both sides of (6.29) and using Hölder's inequality, we obtain that  $\|V_1\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}$  is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &c \left\| \left( \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{js} (\varphi_j^{*,a} f) \right)^{1-\lambda} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{p}{1-\lambda}, \frac{r}{1-\lambda}}^{\alpha(1-\lambda), \frac{q}{1-\lambda}}} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{js\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f|^\lambda)) \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{p}{\lambda}, \frac{r}{\lambda}}^{\alpha\lambda, \frac{q}{\lambda}}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{js} \varphi_j^{*,a} f) \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^{1-\lambda} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{js} (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f)) \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\lambda \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.32 and Theorem 6.1.

*Estimate of  $V_2$ .* We set

$$V_{3,k} = \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} 2^{sv\beta} \left( \sum_{j=0}^v d_{2^{-v}}^M(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$V_{4,k} = \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} 2^{sv\beta} \left( \sum_{j=v+1}^{\infty} d_{2^{-v}}^M (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

By (6.16) and Lemma 2.30, we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_{3,k} &= \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^v 2^{(j-v)(M-s)} 2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f(x) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f(x))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . Theorem 6.1 yields that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} V_{3,k} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}.$$

Now, using (6.20), (6.21), (6.22) and Lemma 2.30, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{4,k} &\lesssim \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=v+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)(a(1-\lambda)-s)} (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( (2^{sj} \varphi_j^{*,a} f)^{1-\lambda} 2^{sj\lambda} \mathcal{M}(|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f|^\lambda) \right)^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k$ . The same schema as in the estimation of  $V_1$  applies

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(s+n-N)k} V_{3,k} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|V_2\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}.$$

Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

We define the discretized counterpart of  $\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}$  and  $\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^{s*}}$  by

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^{s*}} = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left\| \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{sk\beta} |d_{2^{-k}}^M f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s} = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{sk\beta} |d_{2^{-k}}^M f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}.$$

While for Lorentz-Herz-type Besov spaces, we put

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s} = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{sk\beta} \|d_{2^{-k}}^M f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{s*}} = \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{sk\beta} \|d_{2^{-k}}^M f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}.$$

The Theorems 6.13 and 6.28 give immediately the following equivalent quasi-norms for the spaces  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} A_\beta^s$ .

**Corollary 6.30.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty, 0 < r, q, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{p}), \alpha_0 = n - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $M \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .*

(i) *Assume that*

$$\max(\sigma_p, \alpha - \alpha_0) < s < M.$$

*Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^{*,1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s}^{**,1}$  are an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ .*

(ii) *Let  $0 < q < \infty$ . Assume that*

$$\max(\sigma_{p,\beta}, \alpha - \alpha_0) < s < M.$$

*Then  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{*,1}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s}^{**,1}$  are an equivalent quasi-norm on  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^s$ .*

**6.3. Examples.** We investigate a series of examples which play an important role in the study of function spaces and composition operators in Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces.

Let  $0 < p, q < \infty, 0 < r, \beta \leq \infty, \alpha > \max(-n, -\frac{n}{p}), \alpha_0 = n - \frac{n}{p}$  and  $s > \max(\sigma_p, \alpha - \alpha_0)$ . We put

$$f_{\mu,\delta}(x) = \theta(x)|x|^\mu(-\log|x|)^{-\delta}, \quad (6.31)$$

where  $\mu^2 + \delta^2 > 0, \delta \geq 0, \mu \neq 0$  and  $\theta$  is a smooth cut-off function with  $\text{supp } \theta \subset \{x : |x| \leq \vartheta\}, \vartheta > 0$  sufficiently small.

(i) Let  $\delta > 0$  and

$$s < \frac{n}{p} + \alpha + \mu \quad \text{or} \quad s = \frac{n}{p} + \alpha + \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \beta\delta > 1. \quad (6.32)$$

Then  $f_{\mu,\delta} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$ . If  $\mu < 1$ , then (6.32) become necessary.

(ii) We have  $f_{\mu,0} \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^s$  if

$$s < \frac{n}{p} + \alpha + \mu \quad \text{or} \quad s = \frac{n}{p} + \alpha + \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \infty. \quad (6.33)$$

If  $\mu < 1$ , then (6.33) become necessary.

*Proof.* We will present the proof in two steps.

*Step 1. Proof of sufficiency in (i) and (ii).* We have to divide this step into two substeps.

*Substep 1.1.*  $-\frac{n}{p} < \alpha \leq 0$ . First our assumptions guarantee that  $\mu > -n$ . Let  $0 < \tau < \min(p, q, \frac{n}{\max(-\mu, 0)})$ . From Theorem 4.26 we know

$$B_{\tau,\beta}^{\frac{n}{\tau}+\mu} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{\frac{n}{p}+\alpha+\mu}.$$

Notice that  $\frac{n}{\tau} + \mu > \sigma_\tau$  and  $f_{\mu,\delta} \in B_{\tau,\beta}^{\frac{n}{\tau}+\mu}$  if  $\beta\delta > 1$ , see [39, 2.3.1, p. 44]. This finishes the proof of this case.

*Substep 1.2.*  $\alpha > 0$ . Our estimate use partially some decomposition techniques already used in [39, 2.3.1, p. 44]. Let  $M$  be a natural number large enough. Let  $0 < t < \frac{\vartheta}{2M}$  and

$$B(t) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 2Mt).$$

We will estimate

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q. \quad (6.34)$$

We split the integral  $\|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  into two parts, one integral over the set  $B(0, 2Mt)$  and one over its complement. It holds

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{B(0,2Mt)\cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q$$

is just

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \leq 2Mt} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{B(0,2Mt)\cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q = I. \quad (6.35)$$

Observe that  $|x + jh| \leq 3Mt$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ ,  $x \in B(0, 2Mt) \cap R_k$  and  $|h| < t$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which yields

$$d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta}(x) \lesssim t^{-n} \int_{|v| \leq 3Mt} |f_{\mu,\delta}(v)| dv \lesssim t^\mu (-\log t)^{-\delta},$$

because of  $\mu > -n$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $x$  and  $t$ . Putting this into (6.35) and using

$$\|\chi_{B(0,2Mt)}\|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim t^{\frac{n}{p}},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $t$  and  $k$ , we arrive at

$$I \lesssim t^{q(\mu + \frac{n}{p})} (-\log t)^{-q\delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \leq 2Mt} 2^{k\alpha q} \lesssim t^{q(\mu + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha)} (-\log t)^{-q\delta}, \quad (6.36)$$

since  $\alpha > 0$ . We easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{B(t)\cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 2Mt} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{B(t)\cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \\ &= J. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$B_1(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2Mt \leq |x| < 2Mt + \vartheta\}.$$

Since  $d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta}(x) = 0$  if  $|x| \geq 2Mt + \vartheta$ , we obtain

$$J \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 2Mt} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{B_1(t)\cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q.$$

Using the fact that

$$|\Delta_h^M f_{\mu,\delta}(x)| \lesssim |h|^M \max_{|\gamma|=M} \sup_{|x-y| \leq M|h} |D^\gamma f_{\mu,\delta}(y)|$$

if  $0 \notin \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| \leq M|h\}$  and

$$|D^\gamma f_{\mu,\delta}(x)| \lesssim |x|^{\mu-M} (-\log |x|)^{-\delta}, \quad |\gamma| = M \geq 1,$$

we find

$$\|(d_t^M f_{\mu,\delta})\chi_{B_1(t)\cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim t^M \| |x|^{\mu-M} (-\log |x|)^{-\delta} \chi_{B_1(t)\cap R_k} \|_{L^{p,r}}.$$

Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}, i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $2^{i-1} \leq 2Mt < 2^i$  and  $2^{i_0-1} \leq \frac{\vartheta}{2tM} < 2^{i_0}$ . Then  $J$  can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 2Mt} 2^{k\alpha q} \left\| (d_t^M f_{\mu, \delta}) \chi_{B_{i_0} \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \\
& \leq \sum_{l=i}^{i+i_0+1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 2Mt} 2^{k\alpha q} \left\| (d_t^M f_{\mu, \delta}) \chi_{R_l \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \\
& \lesssim \sum_{l=i}^{i+i_0+1} 2^{l\alpha q} \left\| |x|^{\mu-M} (-\log|x|)^{-\delta} \chi_{R_l} \right\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \\
& \lesssim \sum_{l=i}^{i+i_0+1} 2^{l(\mu-M+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q} (-l)^{-\delta q}, \tag{6.37}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{i_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{i_0-1} \leq |x| < 2^{i_0+1}\}$ . One easily checks

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=i}^{i+i_0+1} 2^{l(\mu-M+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q} (-l)^{-\delta q} \\
& = (-i)^{-\delta q} 2^{i(\mu-M+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{i_0+1} 2^{\kappa(\mu-M+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{-i-\kappa}\right)^{\delta q} \\
& \lesssim (-i)^{-\delta q} 2^{i(\mu-M+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q}, \tag{6.38}
\end{aligned}$$

since  $-i-\kappa \geq -i_0-i \geq -1-\log_2 2\vartheta$  and  $M$  is sufficiently large. Inserting the estimation (6.38) into (6.37), we get

$$J \leq t^{Mq} (-i)^{-\delta q} 2^{i(\mu-M+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q} \lesssim t^{q(\mu+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)} (-\log t)^{-q\delta}. \tag{6.39}$$

Plugging (6.36) and (6.39) into (6.34), we obtain

$$\left\| d_t^M f_{\mu, \delta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^q \leq ct^{q(\mu+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)} (-\log t)^{-q\delta}$$

for some constant  $c$  independent of  $t$ . Consequently we obtain

$$\int_0^{\frac{\vartheta}{2M}} t^{-(\mu+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)\beta} \left\| d_t^M f_{\mu, \delta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{\vartheta}{2M}} (-\log t)^{-\delta\beta} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

if and only if  $\delta\beta > 1$ .

*Step 2. Necessity in part (i) and (ii).* Let  $p_1 > 0$  be such that

$$\max(1, p) < p_1 < \frac{n}{\max((- \alpha)_+, (-\mu - \alpha)_+)}.$$

Let  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$\max\left(-\mu - \frac{n}{p_1}, -\frac{n}{p_1}\right) < \alpha_1 < \min\left(\alpha, -\mu - \frac{n}{p_1} + 1\right).$$

We claim that  $f_{\mu, \delta} \notin \dot{K}_{p_1, r}^{\alpha_1, q} B_\beta^{\frac{n}{p_1} + \alpha_1 + \mu}$ , which implies that  $f$  does not belong to  $\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q} B_\beta^{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha + \mu}$ , since

$$\dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q} B_\beta^{\frac{n}{p} + \alpha + \mu} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_1, r}^{\alpha_1, q} B_\beta^{\frac{n}{p_1} + \alpha_1 + \mu},$$

see Theorem 4.13. Let us prove our claim. Let  $H > 0$  and  $0 < t < \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $2^{i-1} \leq \frac{t}{2H} < 2^i$ . It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_1 q} \left\| (d_t^1 f_{\mu, \delta}) \chi_{R_k} \right\|_{L^{p_1, r}}^q &\geq 2^{(i-1)\alpha_1 q} \left\| (d_t^1 f_{\mu, \delta}) \chi_{B(0, \frac{t}{2H}) \cap R_{i-1}} \right\|_{L^{p_1, r}}^q \\ &\geq ct^{\alpha_1 q} \left\| (d_t^1 f_{\mu, \delta}) \chi_{B(0, \frac{t}{2H}) \cap R_{i-1}} \right\|_{L^{p_1, r}}^q, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is independent of  $t$  and  $i$ . Let  $A = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $x \in B(0, \frac{t}{2H}) \cap R_{i-1} \cap A$ . By the inequality (27) in [39, 2.3.1, p. 45], we obtain

$$d_t^1 f_{\mu, \delta}(x) \geq t^{-n} \int_{\frac{t}{2} \leq |h| < t} |\Delta_h^1 f_{\mu, \delta}(x)| \chi_M(h) dh \geq ct^\mu \left(-\log \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-\delta}$$

for some positive constant  $c$  independent of  $h$  where  $M = \{h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) : h_i \geq 0\}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (d_t^1 f_{\mu, \delta}) \chi_{B(0, \frac{t}{2H}) \cap R_{i-1}} \right\|_{L^{p_1, r}}^q &\geq ct^{\mu q} \left(-\log \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-\delta q} \left( \int_{R_{i-1} \cap A} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p_1}} \\ &\geq ct^{(\mu + \frac{n}{p_1})q} \left(-\log \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-\delta q}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the last estimate, we get

$$\int_0^\varepsilon t^{(-\frac{n}{p_1} - \alpha_1 - \mu)\beta} \left\| d_t^1 f_{\mu, \delta} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1, r}^{\alpha_1, q}}^\beta \frac{dt}{t} \geq c \int_0^\varepsilon \left(-\log \frac{t}{2}\right)^{-1} \frac{dt}{t} = \infty.$$

This yields the desired result. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 6.40.* If  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = q$ , then Lemma 6.3 reduces to the result given in [39, Lemma 2.3.1/1].

Let  $\varrho$  be a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varrho(x) = 1$  for  $x \leq e^{-3}$  and  $\varrho(x) = 0$  for  $x \geq e^{-2}$ . Let  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and

$$f_{\lambda, \sigma}(x) = |\log |x||^\lambda |\log |\log |x|||^{-\sigma} \varrho(|x|). \quad (6.41)$$

As in [6] let  $U_\beta$  be the set of  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that:

- $\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$  and  $\sigma > \frac{1}{\beta}$ , or  $\lambda < 1 - \frac{1}{\beta}$ , in case  $1 < \beta < \infty$ ,
- $\lambda = 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , or  $\lambda < 0$ , in case  $\beta = 1$ ,
- $\lambda = 1$  and  $\sigma \geq 0$ , or  $\lambda < 1$ , in case  $\beta = \infty$ .

**Lemma 6.42.** Let  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r, q \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha > -\frac{n}{p}$  and

$$(\lambda, \sigma) \in U_\beta. \quad (6.43)$$

Let  $f_{\lambda, \sigma}$  be the function defined by (6.41).

- (i) We have  $f_{\lambda, \sigma} \in \dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q} B_\beta^{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}}$ . In the case  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the condition (6.43) becomes necessary.
- (ii) Let  $1 \leq r, q < \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Let  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in U_{p_2}$  where

$$p_2 = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \leq r, \\ r, & \text{if } q > r. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_{\lambda, \sigma} \in \dot{K}_{p, r}^{\alpha, q} F_\beta^{\alpha + \frac{n}{p}}$ .

*Proof.* For clarity, we split the proof into two steps.

*Step 1. Sufficiency in part in (i).* Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a partition of unity. Notice that

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} < \infty, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j(x-y)| \leq c\eta_m(x)\eta_{-m}(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, j = 0, 1, m > 0,$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $\eta_m(x) = (1+|x|)^{-m}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We choose  $m > \alpha + \frac{n}{p}$ . Since  $f_{\lambda,\sigma}$  is an integrable function, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \lesssim \|\eta_m\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} \int_{|y| \leq e^{-2}} |f_{\lambda,\sigma}(y)| \eta_{-m}(y) dy < \infty, \quad j \in \{0, 1\},$$

Therefore it suffices to prove the following:

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^{j(n+\frac{n}{p})\beta} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^\beta < \infty,$$

From [6, p. 272],

$$|x|^{2v} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma}(x)| \lesssim 2^{-2jv} \varepsilon_j, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, j \geq 2, v \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

with

$$\varepsilon_j = j^{\lambda-1} (\log j)^{-\sigma} \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \quad \varepsilon_j = j^{-1} (\log j)^{-\sigma-1} \quad \text{if } \lambda = 0,$$

which belongs to  $\ell^\beta$  if and only if  $(\lambda, \sigma) \in U_\beta$ . Then we split

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma})\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q = I_{1,j} + I_{2,j}, \quad j \geq 2,$$

where

$$I_{1,j} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-j} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma})\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q$$

and

$$I_{2,j} = \sum_{k=-j+1}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi_j * f_{\lambda,\sigma})\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q.$$

It is easily seen that  $I_{1,j} \lesssim \varepsilon_j^q \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-j} 2^{k(\alpha+\frac{n}{p})q}$ ,  $j \geq 2$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^{j(\alpha+\frac{n}{p})\beta} (I_{1,j})^{\beta/q} \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j^\beta \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-j} 2^{(k+j)(\alpha+\frac{n}{p})q} \right)^{\beta/q} \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j^\beta < \infty.$$

Now

$$I_{2,j} \lesssim \varepsilon_j^q \sum_{k=-j+1}^{\infty} 2^{(k\alpha-2jv)q} \|\cdot\|^{-2v} \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \lesssim \varepsilon_j^q \sum_{k=-j+1}^{\infty} 2^{k(\alpha-2v+\frac{n}{p})q-2jvq}$$

for any  $j \geq 2$ . Hence

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^{j(\alpha+\frac{n}{p})\beta} (I_{2,j})^\beta \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j^\beta \left( \sum_{k=-j+1}^{\infty} 2^{(k+j)(\alpha-2v+\frac{n}{p})q} \right)^{\beta/q} \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j^\beta < \infty,$$

by taking  $v > \frac{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}}{2}$ .

*Step 2. Necessity part in (i).* Let us assume  $(\lambda, \sigma) \notin U_\beta$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . We are going to prove that  $f_{\lambda, \sigma} \notin \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}}$ , but this follows by the embeddings

$$\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\beta^{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p_0}^{0,q} B_\beta^{\frac{n}{p_0}} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\beta}^0, \quad 0 < p < p_0 < \infty$$

and  $f_{\lambda, \sigma} \notin B_{\infty,\beta}^0$  for any  $(\lambda, \sigma) \notin U_\beta$ , see [6, Proposition 2].

*Step 3. Proof of (ii).* Let  $0 < p_1 < p < \infty$ . According to Theorem 4.79 the following embedding holds:

$$\dot{K}_{p_1,r}^{\alpha,q} B_{p_2}^{\alpha+\frac{n}{p_1}} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} F_\beta^{\alpha+\frac{n}{p}},$$

where

$$p_2 = \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } q \leq r, \\ r, & \text{if } q > r. \end{cases}$$

This proves (ii). □

*Remark 6.44.* If  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = q$ , then Lemma 6.42 reduces to the result given in [6, Proposition 2] and [49].

Now, we present the last example.

**Proposition 6.45.** *Let  $\beta > 0, 1 \leq p, q, r < \infty, -\frac{n}{p} < \alpha < n - \frac{n}{p}$ ,*

$$0 < \max\left(\delta + \frac{n}{p}, \delta + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha\right) < 2(\beta + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \frac{\delta + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha}{\beta + 1}.$$

*Let  $g \in B_{\infty,\infty}^\gamma(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $\sigma < \gamma$ . The function*

$$f(x) = |x|^\delta g(|x|^{-\beta}) \varrho(|x|)$$

*belongs to  $\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}$ . From Theorem 6.13, we need to prove that

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-2}} t^{-\sigma} \|d_t^m f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}} < \infty, \quad 0 < \sigma < m \leq 2.$$

We will divide the proof into three steps.

*Step 1.* We will prove that  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$  with  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $\delta \neq 1 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha$ . We can only assume that  $\gamma < 1$ . Let us estimate  $\|d_t^1 f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}$  for any  $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-2}$ . Obviously,  $d_t^1 f(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|x| \geq 2e^{-2}$  and  $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-2}$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(d_t^1 f)\chi_{B(0,2e^{-2})}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^q &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^1 f)\chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \\ &= H_1(t) + H_2(t), \end{aligned} \tag{6.46}$$

where

$$H_1(t) = c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k < 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^1 f)\chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q$$

and

$$H_2(t) = c \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^1 f)\chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q.$$



In what follows, we estimate each term on the right hand side of (6.46). To do this, note first

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (d_t^1 f) \chi_{B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p, r}} \\ & \leq \left\| (d_t^1 f) \chi_{B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p, r}} + \left\| (d_t^1 f) \chi_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p, r}} \\ & = T_{1, k}(t) + T_{2, k}(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

For clarity, we split this step into two substeps and conclusion.

*Substep 1.1. Estimation of  $H_1$ .* Since  $T_{2, k}(t) = 0$  if  $2^k < 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}$ ,  $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-2}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we need only to estimate  $T_{1, k}(t)$ . Let  $x \in B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap R_k$  and

$$\max\left(0, -\delta \frac{p}{n}\right) < \frac{1}{\tau} < \min\left(1, 1 + \frac{\alpha p}{n}\right).$$

By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1, k}(t) & \leq \left\| \chi_{R_k} \right\|_{L^{p\tau', \infty}} \left\| (d_t^1 f) \chi_{B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p\tau, r}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k \frac{n}{p\tau}} \left\| (d_t^1 f) \chi_{B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p\tau, r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

To estimate the right-hand side of (6.47) we distinguish between the following two cases:  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $-\frac{n}{p} < \delta < 0$ .

*Case 1.  $\delta \geq 0$ .* We have

$$d_t^1 f(x) \lesssim t^{-n} \int_{|h| < t} |f(x+h)| dh + |f(x)|.$$

Using the fact that  $g, \varrho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$|x+h| \leq |x| + |h| < 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}},$$

whenever  $x \in B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap R_k$  and  $|h| < t$ , we obtain

$$d_t^1 f(x) \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{\beta+1}}, \quad (6.48)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $x$  and  $t$ . By (6.48), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (d_t^1 f) \chi_{B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p\tau, r}} & \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta}{\beta+1}} \left\| \chi_{B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})} \right\|_{L^{p\tau, r}} \\ & \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta + \frac{n}{p\tau}}{\beta+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.  $-\frac{n}{p} < \delta < 0$ .*

*Subcase 2.1.  $-\frac{n}{p} < \delta < 0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ .* We see that

$$\left\| t^{-n} \int_{|h| < t} |f(\cdot + h)| \chi_{B(-h, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})} dh \right\|_{L^{p\tau, r}} \quad (6.49)$$

is compared to

$$c \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-n} \int_{|h| < t} |f(x+h)| \chi_{B(-h, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})}(x) dh w(x) dx,$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $w \in L^{(p\tau)', r'}$  such that  $\|w\|_{L^{(p\tau)', r'}} \leq 1$ . By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x+h)| \chi_{B(-h, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})}(x) w(x) dx \lesssim \|f \chi_{B(0, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})}\|_{L^{p\tau, r}}.$$

Hence, the right-hand side of (6.47) can be estimated from above by

$$c \left\| f \chi_{B(0,5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})} \right\|_{L^{p\tau,r}}. \quad (6.50)$$

Put  $\omega(x) = |x|^\delta \chi_{B(0,5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})}(x)$ . A simple calculation yields

$$\omega^*(z) = \begin{cases} z^{\frac{\delta}{n}}, & \text{if } 0 < z < 5^n t^{\frac{n}{\beta+1}}, \\ 0, & \text{if } z \geq 5^n t^{\frac{n}{\beta+1}}. \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega\|_{L^{p\tau,r}} &= \left( \int_0^{5^n t^{\frac{n}{\beta+1}}} z^{\frac{r}{p\tau}} (\omega^*(z))^r \frac{dz}{z} \right)^{1/r} \\ &\lesssim \left( \int_0^{5^n t^{\frac{n}{\beta+1}}} z^{(\frac{1}{p\tau} + \frac{\delta}{n})r} \frac{dz}{z} \right)^{1/r} \\ &\lesssim t^{\frac{\delta + \frac{n}{p\tau}}{\beta+1}} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{n}{p\tau} + \delta > 0$ . Consequently, (6.50) does not exceed  $ct^{\frac{\delta + \frac{n}{p\tau}}{\beta+1}}$ , where the positive constant is independent of  $t$ .

*Subcase 2.2.*  $-\frac{n}{p} < \delta < 0$  and  $p = 1$ . Using the embeddings  $L^1 \hookrightarrow L^{1,r}$ , it is easy to see that  $T_{1,k}(t)$  can be estimated from above

$$c t^{-n} \int_{|h| < t} \int_{B(-h, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap R_k} |f(x+h)| dx dh + \int_{B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap R_k} |f(x)| dx, \quad (6.51)$$

where the positive constant is independent of  $t, h$  and  $k$ . In this case we choose

$$\max\left(0, -\delta \frac{1}{n}\right) < \frac{1}{\tau} < \min\left(1, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right).$$

By Hölder's inequality and since  $g, \varrho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(-u, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap R_k} |f(x+u)| dx &\lesssim 2^{k\frac{n}{\tau}} \left( \int_{B(-u, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})} |f(x+u)|^\tau dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k\frac{n}{\tau}} \left( \int_{|z| < 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} |f(z)|^\tau dz \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k\frac{n}{\tau}} \left( \int_0^{5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} r^{\tau\delta+n-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ &\lesssim t^{\frac{\delta + \frac{n}{\tau}}{\beta+1}} 2^{k\frac{n}{\tau}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.52)$$

since  $\delta + \frac{n}{\tau} > 0$ , where  $u \in \{0, h\}$  and the implicit constant is independent of  $k$  and  $t$ . Plugging (6.52) into (6.51), we obtain

$$T_{1,k}(t) \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta + \frac{n}{\tau}}{\beta+1}} 2^{k\frac{n}{\tau}}.$$

In any case, we end up with

$$H_1(t) \leq ct^{\sigma q} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k < 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} \left( \frac{2^k}{t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} \right)^{(\alpha + \frac{n}{p\tau})q} \leq ct^{\sigma q}, \quad (6.53)$$

since  $\frac{n}{\tau} + \alpha p > 0$ , where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $t$ .

*Substep 1.2. Estimation of  $H_2$ .* The situation is quite different and more complicated. As in Substep 1.1, more precisely with  $\tau = 1$ , one finds that

$$T_{1,k}(t) \lesssim t^{\frac{\delta + \frac{n}{p}}{\beta+1}}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} (T_{1,k}(t))^q \leq ct^{(\delta + \frac{n}{p})\frac{q}{\beta+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \leq 2^k \leq 8t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \leq ct^{\sigma q},$$

where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $t$ .

*Estimation of  $T_{2,k}(t)$ .* We decompose  $\Delta_h^1 f$  into three parts

$$\Delta_h^1 f(x) = \omega_1(x, h) + \omega_2(x, h) + \omega_3(x, h),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(x, h) &= |x|^\delta (g(|x+h|^{-\beta}) - g(|x|^{-\beta})) \varrho(|x+h|), \\ \omega_2(x, h) &= (|x+h|^\delta - |x|^\delta) g(|x+h|^{-\beta}) \varrho(|x+h|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\omega_3(x, h) = |x|^\delta g(|x|^{-\beta}) (\varrho(|x+h|) - \varrho(|x|)).$$

Define

$$\tilde{\omega}_i(x, t) = t^{-n} \int_{|h| < t} |\omega_i(x, h)| dh, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be such that  $|x| \geq 2|h|^{1/\beta+1}$ . By the mean value theorem we have

$$||x+h|^{-\beta} - |x|^{-\beta}| \leq c|h||x|^{-\beta-1},$$

which together with the fact that  $g \in B_{\infty, \infty}^\gamma$  we obtain that

$$|g(|x+h|^{-\beta}) - g(|x|^{-\beta})| \leq c|h|^\gamma \|g\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^\gamma} |x|^{-\gamma(\beta+1)},$$

where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $h$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{\omega}_1(x, t) \lesssim t^\gamma \|g\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^\gamma} |x|^{\delta - \gamma(\beta+1)},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\omega}_1(\cdot, t) \chi_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}} &\lesssim t^\gamma \||x|^{\delta - \gamma(\beta+1)} \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}} \\ &\lesssim t^\gamma 2^{k(\delta - \gamma(\beta+1))} \|\chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}} \\ &\lesssim t^\gamma 2^{k(\delta - \gamma(\beta+1) + \frac{n}{p})} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|\tilde{\omega}_1(\cdot, t) \chi_k\|_{L^{p,r}}^q &\lesssim t^{\gamma q} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k(\delta - \gamma(\beta+1) + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha)q} \\ &\lesssim t^{\sigma q} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} \left(\frac{2^k}{t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}}\right)^{(\sigma - \gamma)(\beta+1)q} \\ &\lesssim t^{\sigma q}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.54}$$

since  $\sigma < \gamma$ . We have

$$||x+h|^\delta - |x|^\delta| \leq c|h||x + \theta h|^{\delta-1}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \tag{6.55}$$

because of  $|x| \geq 2t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} > 2|h|^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}$ , where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $x, h$  and  $t$ . From

$$\frac{1}{2}|x| \leq |x + \theta h| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x|, \quad g, \varrho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \quad (6.56)$$

and (6.55) we immediately deduce that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|\tilde{\omega}_2(\cdot, t) \chi_{\{|x| \leq \frac{2}{e^2}\} \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \lesssim t^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \leq 2^k \leq 4e^{-2}} 2^{k(\delta-1+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q}$$

which is bounded by

$$S(t) = c \begin{cases} t^{(1-\frac{1}{\beta+1}+\sigma)q} & \text{if } \delta - 1 + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha < 0, \\ t^q & \text{if } \delta - 1 + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha > 0, \\ t^q \log \frac{1}{t} & \text{if } \delta - 1 + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha = 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.57)$$

for sufficiently small  $t > 0$ . Obviously,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 2t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|\tilde{\omega}_3(\cdot, t) \chi_{\{|x| \leq 2e^{-2}\} \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q \lesssim t^q \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \leq 4e^{-2}} 2^{k(\delta+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha)q} \lesssim t^q. \quad (6.58)$$

Collecting the estimations (6.54), (6.57) and (6.58), we derive

$$H_2(t) \lesssim t^{\sigma q} + S(t). \quad (6.59)$$

*Conclusion.* Combining the two estimates (6.53) and (6.59) we obtain  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$  but with

$$0 < \sigma < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta - 1 + \frac{n}{p} + \alpha \neq 0.$$

*Step 2.* In this step we prove that  $f$  belongs to  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$  with  $1 \leq \sigma < 2$ . We can only assume that  $\sigma < \gamma < 2$ . Then we split

$$\|(d_t^2 f) \chi_{B(0,2e^{-2})}\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q}}^q = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k < 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^2 f) \chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q$$

and

$$I_2(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} \|(d_t^2 f) \chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}}^q.$$

We use the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(d_t^2 f) \chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}} \\ & \lesssim \|(d_t^2 f) \chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap B(0,4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1})} \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}} + \|(d_t^2 f) \chi_{B(0,2e^{-2}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1})}) \cap R_k}\|_{L^{p,r}} \\ & = V_{1,k}(t) + V_{2,k}(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

We will divide the proof into two Substeps 2.1 and 2.2.

*Substep 2.1. Estimation of  $I_1$ .* Obviously,  $V_{2,k}(t) = 0$  if  $2^k < 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) = f(x+2h) + f(x) - 2f(x+h)$$

and

$$|x+2h| \leq |x| + 2|h| < 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}},$$

if  $x \in B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})$  and  $|h| < t$ . In this case, we use an argument similar to that used in Step 1 we find  $I_1(t) \lesssim t^{\sigma q}$ .

*Substep 2.2. Estimation of  $I_2$ .* Using the same type of arguments as in Step 1 it is easy to see that  $V_{1,k}(t) \leq ct^{\frac{\delta+\frac{n}{p}}{\beta+1}}$ , where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $k$  and  $t$  and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} (V_{1,k}(t))^q \leq ct^{\sigma q}.$$

We decompose  $\Delta_h^2 f(x)$  into  $\sum_{i=1}^5 \varpi_i(x, h)$ , where

$$\varpi_1(x, h) = |x + h|^\delta (g(|x + 2h|^{-\beta}) + g(|x|^{-\beta}) - 2g(|x + h|^{-\beta})) \varrho(|x + 2h|),$$

$$\varpi_2(x, h) = (|x + 2h|^\delta - |x + h|^\delta) g(|x + 2h|^{-\beta}) \varrho(|x + 2h|),$$

$$\varpi_3(x, h) = (|x|^\delta - |x + h|^\delta) g(|x|^{-\beta}) \varrho(|x|),$$

$$\varpi_4(x, h) = 2|x + h|^\delta g(|x + h|^{-\beta}) (\varrho(|x + 2h|) - \varrho(|x + h|))$$

and

$$\varpi_5(x, h) = |x + h|^\delta g(|x|^{-\beta}) (\varrho(|x|) - \varrho(|x + 2h|)).$$

Obviously we need only to estimate  $\varpi_1$ . From (6.55) and (6.56), we obtain

$$|x + h|^\delta \lesssim |x|^\delta, \quad |x + 2h|^\delta - |x + h|^\delta \lesssim |x|^\delta$$

if  $|x| \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} > 4|h|^{1/\beta+1}$ . We split

$$2g(|x + 2h|^{-\beta}) + 2g(|x|^{-\beta}) - 4g(|x + h|^{-\beta})$$

into three terms i.e.,  $\vartheta_1(x, h) + \vartheta_2(x, h) + \vartheta_3(x, h)$ , where

$$\vartheta_1(x, h)$$

$$= g(|x + 2h|^{-\beta}) - g(2|x + h|^{-\beta} - |x|^{-\beta}) + g(|x|^{-\beta})$$

$$- g(2|x + h|^{-\beta} - |x + 2h|^{-\beta}),$$

$$\vartheta_2(x, h) = g(|x + 2h|^{-\beta}) + g(2|x + h|^{-\beta} - |x + 2h|^{-\beta}) - 2g(|x + h|^{-\beta})$$

and

$$\vartheta_3(x, h) = g(|x|^{-\beta}) + g(2|x + h|^{-\beta} - |x|^{-\beta}) - 2g(|x + h|^{-\beta}).$$

Define

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_i(x, t) = t^{-n} \int_{|h| < t} |\vartheta_i(x, h)| dh, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Let

$$J_{i,k}(t) = \left\| |x|^\delta |\tilde{\vartheta}_i(x, t)| \chi_{B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})) \cap R_k} \right\|_{L^{p,r}}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Observe that  $g^{(1)} \in B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma-1}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Again by the mean value theorem;

$$\left| |x + 2h|^{-\beta} + |x|^{-\beta} - 2|x + h|^{-\beta} \right| \leq c|h|^2|x|^{-\beta-2}, \quad |x| \geq 2|h|^{1/\beta+1},$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1,k}(t) &\lesssim t^2 \left\| |x|^{\delta-(\beta+2)} \chi_{R_k} \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \\ &\lesssim t^2 \left\| |x|^{\delta-(\beta+2)} \chi_{R_k} \right\|_{L^{p,r}} \\ &\lesssim t^2 2^{k(\delta-(\beta+2)+\frac{n}{p})}. \end{aligned}$$

We also obtain

$$J_{i,k}(t) \lesssim t^\gamma \| |x|^{\delta-\gamma(\beta+1)} \chi_{R_k} \|_{L^{p,r}} \lesssim t^\gamma 2^{k(\delta-\gamma(\beta+1)+\frac{n}{p})},$$

where  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \leq 2^k \leq 4e^{-2}} 2^{k\alpha q} (J_{1,k}(t))^q &\lesssim t^{2q} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \leq 2^k \leq 4e^{-2}} 2^{k(\delta+\frac{n}{p}+\alpha-(\beta+2))q} \\ &\lesssim \max(t^{(2+\sigma-\frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1})q}, t^{2q}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k\alpha q} (J_{i,k}(h))^q &\lesssim t^{\gamma q} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^k \geq 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}} 2^{k(\delta+\frac{n+\alpha}{p}-\gamma(\beta+1))q} \\ &\lesssim t^{\sigma q}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sigma < \gamma$ , where  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Hence

$$I_2(t) \lesssim t^{\sigma q} + \max(t^{(2+\sigma-\frac{\beta+2}{\beta+1})q}, t^{2q}).$$

Collecting the estimates of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  we have proved  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$  with  $1 \leq \sigma < 2$ .

*Step 3.* We will prove that  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$  and  $\delta = 1 - \frac{n}{p} - \alpha$ . Let  $1 \leq p_1, p, p_2 < \infty$  be such that

$$\max\left(\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, \frac{n}{2(\beta+1)-\alpha_+-\delta}\right) < p_1 < p < p_2 < \frac{n}{(-\alpha)_+},$$

where  $\alpha_+ = \max(0, \alpha)$  and  $(-\alpha)_+ = \max(0, -\alpha)$ . We set

$$\sigma_i = \frac{\delta + \frac{n}{p_i} + \alpha}{\beta + 1}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Observe that  $\delta - 1 + \frac{n}{p_1} + \alpha > 0$  and  $\delta - 1 + \frac{n}{p_2} + \alpha < 0$ , which yield that  $f \in \dot{K}_{p_i}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^{\sigma_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^{\sigma_1}}^\theta \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^{\sigma_2}}^{1-\theta}. \quad (6.60)$$

This ensures that  $f \in \dot{K}_{p,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$  but for  $p > 1$ . Now assume that  $p = 1$ . Let  $-n < \alpha_1 < \alpha < \alpha_2 < 0$ . We put

$$\sigma_i = \frac{\delta + n + \alpha_i}{\beta + 1}, \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

which yield that  $f \in \dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha_i,q} B_\infty^{\sigma_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . An interpolation inequality as in (6.60) gives that  $f \in \dot{K}_{1,r}^{\alpha,q} B_\infty^\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 6.61.* (i) If  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = q$ , then Lemma 6.45 reduces to the result given in [6, Proposition 3].

(ii) We can use Theorem 2.31 to estimate (6.49). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-n} \int_{|h|<t} |f(x+h)| \chi_{B(-h, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})}(x) dh &\leq t^{-n} \int_{|z-x|<t} |f(z)| \chi_{B(0, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})}(z) dz \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}(f \chi_{B(0, 5t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}})})(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in B(0, 4t^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}) \cap B(0, 2e^{-2}) \cap R_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark 6.62.* (i) It is well-known that Herz spaces have been widely applied in harmonic analysis; see, for instance, [14], [19], [37]-[38] and [51]. It is a natural question to find more applications of Lorentz-Herz spaces in harmonic analysis.

(ii) We think that it is interesting to develop a real-variable theory of mixed-norm Lorentz-Herz spaces; see [57]. More precisely. For  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  let

$$R_{k_i} = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{k_i-1} \leq |x_i| < 2^{k_i}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{k_i} = \chi_{R_{k_i}}.$$

Vectors  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_i \in (0, \infty], i = 1, \dots, n$  are written  $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$ . Let  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{r} \leq \infty$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The mixed-norm Lorentz Herz space  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all measurable functions  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}, \vec{r}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\cdots \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1, r_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}} \cdots \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_n, r_n}^{\alpha_n, q_n}} < \infty,$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_i, r_i}^{\alpha_i, q_i}} = \left( \sum_{k_i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k_i \alpha_i q_i} \|f \chi_{k_i}\|_{L^{p_i, r_i}^{q_i}}^{q_i} \right)^{1/q_i}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

(iii) It is also interesting to develop a real-variable theory of weighted Lorentz Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

### Acknowledgements

This work is found by the General Direction of Higher Education and Training under Grant No. C00L03UN280120220004 and by The General Directorate of Scientific Research and Technological Development, Algeria.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ashraf Bhat, P. Kolwicz and G. Sankara Raju Kosuru, Köthe-Herz spaces: The Amalgam-type spaces of infinite direct sums. arXiv:2209.05897v4.
- [2] A. Baernstein II and E. T. Sawyer, Embedding and multiplier theorems for  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 53, no. 318, 1985.
- [3] A. Beurling, Construction and analysis of some convolution algebras, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble. 14 (1964), 1–32.
- [4] H. Brezis and P. Mironescu, Gagliardo-Nirenberg, composition and products in fractional Sobolev spaces, J. Evol. Equ. 1(4) (2001), 387–404.
- [5] G. Bourdaud and Y. Meyer, Fonctions qui opèrent sur les espaces de Sobolev, J. Funct. Anal. 97 (1991), 351–360.
- [6] G. Bourdaud, A sharpness result for powers of Besov functions, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2(3) (2004), 267–277.
- [7] M. Bownik and K.-P. Ho, Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 1469–1510.
- [8] D. Drihem, Embeddings properties on Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Math. Ineq. and Appl. 16(2) (2013), 439–460.
- [9] D. Drihem, Sobolev embeddings for Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Function Spaces and Inequalities. P. Jain, H.-J. Schmeisser (ed.). Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics. Springer, 2017.
- [10] D. Drihem, Jawerth-Franke embeddings of Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 61(2) (2019), 207–226.
- [11] D. Drihem, Herz-Sobolev spaces on domains, Le Matematiche. 77(2) (2022). 229–263.
- [12] D. Drihem, Composition operators on Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with application to semi-linear parabolic equations, Banach J. Math. Anal. 16, 29 (2022).
- [13] D. Drihem, On the composition operators on Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with power weights, Annales Polonici Mathematici. 129 (2022), 117–137.

- [14] D. Drihem, Semilinear parabolic equations in Herz spaces, *Applicable Analysis*. **102**(11) (2023), 3043–3063.
- [15] D. Drihem, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with general weights, *Adv. Oper. Theory*. **8**(5) (2023), 69 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43036-022-00230-0>
- [16] D. Drihem, Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities for Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin-type spaces, *Eurasian Mathematical Journal*. **14** (2)(2023), 24–57.
- [17] D. Drihem, Real and complex interpolation of Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. Submitted.
- [18] H. G. Feichtinger, An elementary approach to Wiener’s third Tauberian Theorem for the Euclidean  $n$ -spaces, *Proceedings of Conference at Cortona 1984, Symposia Mathematica*, vol. 29, Academic Press, New York, 1987, pp. 267–301.
- [19] H. G. Feichtinger and F. Weisz, Herz spaces and summability of Fourier transforms, *Math. Nachr.* **281**(3) (2008), 309–324.
- [20] J. Franke, On the spaces  $F_{p,q}^s$  of Triebel-Lizorkin type: pointwise multipliers and spaces on domains, *Math Nachr.* **125** (1986), 29–68.
- [21] J. Franke and T. Runst, Regular elliptic boundary value problems in Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **174** (1995), 113–149.
- [22] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, A discrete transform and decomposition of distribution spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **93** (1990), 34–170.
- [23] L.C.F. Ferreira, J. E. Pérez-López and J. C. Valencia-Guevara, On bilinear estimates and critical uniqueness classes for Navier-Stokes equations, arXiv:2211.11122v1
- [24] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis*. 2nd Edition, Springer, 2008
- [25] C. Herz, Lipschitz spaces and Bernstein’s theorem on absolutely convergent Fourier transforms, *J. Math. Mech.* **18** (1968), 283–324.
- [26] E. Hernandez and D. Yang, Interpolation of Herz spaces and applications, *Math. Nachr.* **205** (1999), 69–87.
- [27] K.-P. Ho, Young’s inequalities and Hausdorff-Young inequalities on Herz spaces. *Boll Unione Mat Ital.* **11** (2018), 469–481.
- [28] B. Jawerth, Some observations on Besov and Lizorkin-Triebel spaces, *Math. Scand.* **40** (1977), 94–104.
- [29] X. Li and D. Yang, Boundedness of some sublinear operators on Herz spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **40** (1996), 484–501.
- [30] S. Lu and D. Yang, The decomposition of weighted Herz space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and its applications, *Sci. China (Ser. A)*. **38** (1995), 147–158.
- [31] S. Lu, D. Yang, Herz-type Sobolev and Bessel potential spaces and their applications, *Sci. in China (Ser. A)*. **40** (1997), 113–129.
- [32] S. Lu, D. Yang and G. Hu, *Herz type spaces and their applications*, Beijing: Science Press, 2008
- [33] M. Meyries and M.C. Veraar, *Sharp embedding results for spaces of smooth functions with power weights*. *Studia. Math.* **208** (3) (2012), 257–293
- [34] S. M. Nikol’skij, *Approximation of function of several variables and imbedding Theorem*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1975.
- [35] R. O’Neil, Convolution operators and  $L^{p,q}$  spaces. *Duke Math J.* **30** (1963), 129–142.
- [36] H. Rafeiro, S. Samko, Herz spaces meet Morrey type spaces and complementary Morrey type spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **26** (2020), Paper No. 74, 14 pp.
- [37] M. A. Ragusa, Homogeneous Herz spaces and regularity results, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), e1909–e1914
- [38] M. A. Ragusa, Parabolic Herz spaces and their applications, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25** (10) (2012), 1270–127.
- [39] T. Runst and W. Sickel, Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and Nonlinear Partial Differential equations. *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 3*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 1996.
- [40] V.S. Rychkov, On a theorem of Bui, Paluszynski and Taibleson, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **227** (1999), 280–292.
- [41] Y. Sawano, *Theory of Besov spaces*, *Developments in Math.* 56, Springer, Singapore, 2018.
- [42] A. Seeger and W. Treibels, Embeddings for spaces of Lorentz–Sobolev type. *Math. Ann.* **373**, 1017–1056 (2019)



- [43] W. Sickel and H. Triebel, Hölder inequalities and sharp embeddings in function spaces of  $B_{p,q}^s$  and  $F_{p,q}^s$  type. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen.* **14** (1995), 105–140.
- [44] F. Soria and G. Weiss, A remark on singular integrals and power weights, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **43** (1994), 187–204.
- [45] J. Vybírál, A new proof of the Jawerth-Franke embedding, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **21**(1) (2008), 75–82.
- [46] L. Tang and D. Yang, Boundedness of vector-valued operators on weighted Herz spaces, *Approx. Th. & its Appl.* **16** (2000), 58–70.
- [47] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1983.
- [48] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces, II*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1992.
- [49] H. Triebel, Approximation numbers and entropy numbers of embeddings of fractional Besov-Sobolev spaces in Orlicz spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **66** (1993), 589–618.
- [50] H. Triebel, Local means and wavelets in function spaces, *Banach Center Publications.* **79**(1) (2008), 215–234.
- [51] Y. Tsutsui, The Navier-Stokes equations and weak Herz spaces, *Adv. Differential Equations.* **16** (2011), 1049–1085.
- [52] J. Xu and D. Yang, Applications of Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, *Acta. Math. Sci (Ser. B).* **23** (2003), 328–338.
- [53] J. Xu, D. Yang, Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. I, *Acta. Math. Sci (English Ed.).* **21**(3) (2005), 643–654.
- [54] J. Xu, Equivalent norms of Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces. Appl.* **3** (2005), 17–31.
- [55] J. Xu, Decompositions of non-homogeneous Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, *Sci. China. Math.* **57**(2) (2014), 315–331.
- [56] W. Yuan, W. Sickel and D. Yang, Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2005*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2010).
- [57] Y. Zhao, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, Mixed-norm Herz spaces and their applications in related Hardy spaces, *Anal. Appl.* **21** (2022), 1131–1222.

DOUADI DRIHEM, LABORATORY OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND GEOMETRY OF SPACES, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M'SILA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 166 ICHEBELIA, M'SILA 28000, ALGERIA

*Email address:* douadidr@yahoo.fr, douadi.drihem@univ-msila.dz