

The Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena theory on a circle

Yi-Xiao Tao*

Yau Mathematical Sciences Center (YMSC), Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

In this letter, we bootstrapped the 4-point correlators on the 1D celestial circle using 3D symmetries in the Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena theory as constraints. We find that the dual inversion property is strong enough to replace the crossing symmetry condition (or cyclic invariant condition) when bootstrapping. We also give some results about the conformal block expansion coefficients which contain the spectrum and the leading multi-OPE of this celestial CFT. Finally, we find a non-local 2-particle operator from the multi-OPE at the leading OPE. Although we studied a specific theory, the methods used are valid for general cases.

INTRODUCTION

The Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena theory (ABJM) is a 3D Chern-Simons matter theory with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal symmetries. Since the similarity to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and the applications in AdS/CFT [1], people have studied ABJM for a long time and have obtained many remarkable results, both from the CFT aspect and the amplitude aspect [2–10]. The matter fields in ABJM can be figured out from the R-symmetry $SO(6) = SU(4)$, including 4 complex scalars X_A and four complex fermions Ψ^{Aa} as well as their conjugate [11]. They transform in the fundamental (or anti-fundamental) representation of $SU(4)$ and $A = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We can introduce 3 anti-commuting variables η^A to arrange these states in on-shell superspace, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= X^4 + \eta^A \psi_A - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ABC} \eta^A \eta^B X^C - \eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^3 \psi_4 \\ \bar{\Psi} &= \bar{\psi}^4 + \eta^A \bar{X}_A - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ABC} \eta^A \eta^B \bar{\psi}^C - \eta^1 \eta^2 \eta^3 \bar{X}_4,\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where we have split A to $A, 4$. The superamplitudes involving these two superfields are constrained by superconformal symmetries. The amplitudes of the matter fields can be obtained by selecting the components of the anti-commuting variables in the superamplitudes and are hence called “partial amplitudes”. ABJM has many good properties and we will see them later.

Recently, celestial holography, which translates the 4D amplitudes in the bulk to CFT correlators on the celestial sphere that is equivalent to the lightlike infinity of the origin [12, 13], has given us many illustrating results [14–18]. Inspired by AdS/CFT, celestial holography aims to help us understand quantum gravity. In addition, it also helps us understand other theories from a new aspect. For massless amplitudes, this corresponding can be realized by the Mellin transformation on the magnitude of the external momenta, namely

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \int_0^\infty \prod (d\omega_i \omega_i^{\Delta_i - 1}) \mathcal{A}_n(p_i = \epsilon_i \omega_i \hat{p}_i) \delta^4(\sum_i p_i) \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{A}_n and A_n are celestial amplitudes and amplitudes respectively. Note that \hat{p}_i is parameterized by the

coordinates of the celestial sphere and $\epsilon = \pm 1$ for outgoing and incoming. The celestial holography can be easily generalized to 3D by using the following parameterization [19]:

$$p_i = \epsilon_i \omega_i (1 + x_i^2, 2x_i, 1 - x_i^2). \quad (3)$$

Then after the Mellin transformation, we will obtain the CFT correlators on the celestial circle from the 3D amplitudes. To study the spectrum of such celestial CFT (CCFT) is still a very important problem.

One may ask if we can obtain more information on the ABJM from the celestial aspect. Furthermore, can we bootstrap the celestial CFT (CCFT) corresponding to ABJM? In this letter, we will answer these two questions. We will first show how to bootstrap the 4-point correlators of the CCFT using the 3D symmetry as constraints and then show the conformal block expansion of the 4-point correlator and the loop correction. Finally, we will show the multi-collinear behavior [20, 21] and the OPE of this CCFT.

3D SYMMETRIES \longleftrightarrow 1D CONSTRAINTS

To translate the 3D symmetries to 1D constraints, it is useful to consider the 3D spin-helicity formalism, which comes from the dimensional reduction of the 4D case [11, 22]:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_a &= \sqrt{-2\epsilon\omega} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda^a &= \epsilon^{ab} \lambda_b = -\sqrt{-2\epsilon\omega} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

with $p_{ab}^\mu = \lambda_a \lambda_b$ and the parameterization 3. From the chain rules, the derivatives of these variables are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\epsilon\omega}} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \right) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\epsilon\omega}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

Since 1D global conformal symmetry is the same as the 3D Lorentz symmetry, the 1D constraints will only come

from the translation operators P^{ab} , the dilatation operator D , the $U(1)$ R-symmetry operator R , and the supercharge Q^{aA} :

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{ab} \mathcal{A}_n &= \sum_i \epsilon_i x_i^j \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{A}_n = 0, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2. \\
D \mathcal{A}_n &= \left(\frac{n}{2} - \sum_i \Delta_i \right) \mathcal{A}_n = 0 \\
R \mathcal{A}_n &= \sum_i (\eta_i^C \partial_{\eta_i^C} - 3/2) \mathcal{A}_n = 0 \\
Q^{aA} \mathcal{A}_n &= - \sum_i \sqrt{-2\epsilon_i} \mathcal{T}_i^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{x_i} \right) \partial_{\eta_i^A} \mathcal{A}_n = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the operator \mathcal{T}_i can add 1 to the Δ_i in the 1D correlator \mathcal{A}_n . In ABJM, besides the usual superconformal symmetry, one can also find the dual superconformal symmetry [10] which will be manifest after using the dual coordinates. Such dual superconformal symmetry can generate a larger algebra together with the original superconformal symmetry, which is a Yangian [23]. This fact is similar to the case in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, and we only need to consider two level-1 operators in the Yangian. When acting on the 1D correlators, they are equivalent to the dual conformal boost operator and its superpartner. The dual conformal boost operator for ABJM amplitudes reads [10]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}^{ab} \mathcal{A}_n &= - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k < i} \left[\lambda_k^{(a} \lambda_i^{b)} \left(\lambda_k^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i^\gamma} - \lambda_i^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k^\gamma} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \eta_k^B \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i^B} - \eta_i^B \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k^B} \right) + 2\lambda_k^a \lambda_i^b \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Such Yangian symmetry has some new symmetries compared with the original one and we will later see that it can replace the crossing symmetry in the following ansatz. However, let us now ignore the dual conformal symmetry first. We conclude our ansatz for 4-point correlators here:

1. It has the form

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = g(\epsilon_i, \Delta_i) f(z, \eta_i^A) \prod_{i < j} x_{ij}^{\Delta/3 - \Delta_i - \Delta_j}$$

with $z = \frac{x_{12}x_{34}}{x_{13}x_{24}}$ the cross-ratio and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i$.

2. The operator P^{ab}, D, R, Q^{aA} will annihilate the correlator.
3. The function $f(z)$ should be a polynomial of z .
4. Crossing symmetry under $1 \leftrightarrow 3$.
5. Modified crossing symmetry under $2 \leftrightarrow 3$

The first ansatz comes from the 1D conformal symmetry, and the third one will forbid factors involving logarithm

terms. The last two ansatz come from the usual crossing symmetry for 4-point correlators. The crossing symmetry part can be understood as the cyclic invariant condition. There will be an extra factor when we exchange the labels 2 and 3 compared with the usual crossing symmetry since this case will correspond to the channel $s \leftrightarrow u$. We will see this point later.

FOUR-POINT CORRELATORS FROM BOOTSTRAP

Although it is well-known how to obtain the 4-point ABJM amplitudes at the tree level directly from the symmetry, we still need to figure out how these constraints help us to construct the 4-point 1D correlators. The translation operators will force

$$g(\epsilon_i, \Delta_i) \propto \prod_{i=1}^4 ((-1)^{i+1} \epsilon_i)^{\Delta_i}, \tag{8}$$

while the $U(1)$ R-symmetry operator will force the degree of freedom of anti-commuting variables to be 6. This constraint also forbids the appearance of odd-point correlators. The supersymmetry constraints can be solved using the momentum conservation:

$$f(z, \eta_i^A) = h(z) \prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i < j} \sqrt{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_j x_{ij} \eta_i^A \eta_j^A \right) \tag{9}$$

with $h(z)$ a function of z , which should have the form $h(z) = z^a (1-z)^b$ and can be figured out by crossing symmetry. Note that in our case the crossing symmetry is only valid for the total correlator rather than the partial correlators since the anticommuting variables also need to transform when we consider the crossing symmetry. After writing the correlator in the following form assuming that all Δ_i are the same:

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \frac{c(z, \eta_i)}{x_{12}^{\Delta/2} x_{34}^{\Delta/2}}, \tag{10}$$

the crossing symmetry reads [19]:

$$\begin{aligned}
c(1-z, \eta_3, \eta_2, \eta_1, \eta_4) &\sim \left(\frac{1-z}{z} \right)^{\Delta/2} c(1-z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4) \\
c(z, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4) &\sim z^{\Delta/2 + (2a+b)} c(1/z, \eta_1, \eta_3, \eta_2, \eta_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here \sim means ‘‘up to some possible minus signs’’. The first equation is for $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ and the second one is for $2 \leftrightarrow 3$. The factor z^{2a+b} comes from $h(1/z) \sim z^{-2a-b} h(z)$. The solution is

$$h(z) = z^{-1/6} (1-z)^{-1/6}, \tag{12}$$

Collecting all the elements, we will obtain the 4-point correlator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_4 = & \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i - 2\right) z^{-1/6} (1-z)^{-1/6} \\ & \prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i<j} \sqrt{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_j} x_{ij} \eta_i^A \eta_j^A \right) \circ \\ & \left[\prod_{i=1}^4 ((-1)^{i+1} \epsilon_i \epsilon_i)^{\Delta_i} \prod_{i<j} x_{ij}^{\Delta/3 - \Delta_i - \Delta_j} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the delta function comes from the constraint from the dilatation operator. The result coincides with doing the Mellin transformation on the 4-pt color-ordered amplitude

$$A_4(\bar{\Psi}\Phi\bar{\Psi}\Phi) = \frac{\delta^3(P)}{\langle 14 \rangle \langle 43 \rangle} \prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i<j} \langle ij \rangle \eta_{iA} \eta_{jA} \right) \quad (14)$$

up to an overall coefficient independent of Δ_i [24] In the above 4-point amplitude, it is clear that the cyclic invariant will cause two crossing symmetry conditions because of the relations $\langle 12 \rangle \sim \langle 34 \rangle$ and so on.

One can use the celestial BCFW or other celestial recursion methods to obtain higher-point results, see [22, 25–27]. In principle, we can obtain higher-point correlators using these methods.

DUAL INVERSION

The crossing symmetry conditions for the 4-point correlators are not elegant enough, although they come from the cyclic invariant. In this section, we will use the property of dual inversion to replace them. We will also find out $h(z)$ only from the behavior under the dual inversion. If we write the momenta p_i using the region momenta y_i as $y_i - y_{i+1} = p_i$, the dual inversion will be defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(y_i^\mu) = \frac{y_i^\mu}{y_i^2}. \quad (15)$$

Also for the anti-commuting variables:

$$|\theta_{i,A}\rangle - |\theta_{i+1,A}\rangle = |i\rangle \eta_{iA}, \quad \mathcal{I}(|\theta_{i,A}\rangle^a) = |\theta_{i,A}\rangle_b \frac{y_i^{ba}}{y_i^2}. \quad (16)$$

The behavior of ABJM amplitudes under the dual inversion is

$$\mathcal{I}(A_4(\bar{\Psi}\Phi\bar{\Psi}\Phi)) = \sqrt{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2} A_4(\bar{\Psi}\Phi\bar{\Psi}\Phi), \quad (17)$$

which will lead to the following equation for the celestial ABJM amplitudes:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}_4) = \sqrt{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2} \prod_{i=1}^4 f_i^{\Delta_i} \mathcal{A}_4 \quad (18)$$

with $\mathcal{I}(\omega_i) = f_i \omega_i$. We will show how (18) leads to the correct answer. Let us start with finding out the behavior of x_{ij} under the dual inversion:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(x_{12}) &= \frac{x_{12}}{\sqrt{y_1^2 y_3^2 f_1 f_2}}, \quad \mathcal{I}(x_{23}) = \frac{x_{23}}{\sqrt{y_2^2 y_4^2 f_2 f_3}} \\ \mathcal{I}(x_{34}) &= \frac{x_{34}}{\sqrt{y_3^2 y_1^2 f_3 f_4}}, \quad \mathcal{I}(x_{14}) = \frac{x_{14}}{\sqrt{y_2^2 y_4^2 f_1 f_4}} \\ \mathcal{I}(x_{24}) &= \frac{x_{24}}{\sqrt{f_2 f_4}} \sqrt{\frac{y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2}{y_1^{10}}}, \quad \mathcal{I}(x_{13}) = \frac{x_{13}}{\sqrt{f_1 f_3}} \sqrt{\frac{y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2}{y_1^{10}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

These equations can be obtained from the consistency of the dual inversion and the momentum conservation, and also from $\mathcal{I}(\delta^3(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i)) = y_1^6 \delta^3(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i)$. Assuming that the operator

$$\prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i<j} \sqrt{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j \mathcal{T}_i \mathcal{T}_j} x_{ij} \eta_i^A \eta_j^A \right) \quad (20)$$

will give an overall factor

$$\prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i<j} \sqrt{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \Omega_{ij} x_{ij} \eta_i^A \eta_j^A \right), \quad (21)$$

then such a factor must be invariant under the dual inversion from

$$\mathcal{I}\left[\prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i<j} \langle ij \rangle \eta_{iA} \eta_{jA} \right)\right] = y_1^{-6} \prod_{A=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i<j} \langle ij \rangle \eta_{iA} \eta_{jA} \right) \quad (22)$$

While the factor

$$\prod_{i<j} x_{ij}^{\Delta/3 - \Delta_i - \Delta_j} \quad (23)$$

will give a $y_1^{2\Delta} \prod_{i=1}^4 f_i^{\Delta_i}$. Substituting the delta function $\delta(\sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i - 2)$, We find that the undetermined $h(z)$ must be

$$z^{-1/6} (1-z)^{-1/6} \quad (24)$$

up to a constant. Hence for a 1D CFT, we can impose the constraints (18) and also define the dual inversion (19) and (22) for x_{ij} and η_i^A respectively. This constraint, together with the first 3 ansatz in section , will determine the 4-point correlator of the 1D CCFT dual to the 3D ABJM theory. Note that the properties (19) and (22) are valid for 4-point correlators in any 1D CFT.

CONFORMAL BLOCK EXPANSION AND LOOP CORRECTIONS

Using the inversion formula [28, 29], we can obtain the coefficients of the t -channel conformal block expansion with $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_3 = -\epsilon_4 = -1$, which corresponds to

the OPE between an incoming particle and an outgoing particle. First, we rewrite the 4-point correlator in the following form:

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \delta \left(\sum_i \Delta_i - 2 \right) \frac{\left(\frac{x_{34}}{x_{14}} \right)^{\Delta_1 - \Delta_3} \left(\frac{x_{14}}{x_{12}} \right)^{\Delta_2 - \Delta_4}}{x_{13}^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3} x_{24}^{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4}} \mathcal{G}(\chi) \quad (25)$$

where $\chi = 1/z \in (0, 1)$. We have omitted the overall factor $\prod_{i=1}^4 ((-1)^{i+1} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_i)^{\Delta_i}$ since we only focus on the spectrum. The function $\mathcal{G}(\chi)$ has a general form $\chi^a (1 - \chi)^b$, and the corresponding coefficient of the conformal block expansion is [30]

$$\begin{aligned} c_{a+n}^{\Delta_i} &= \frac{(a + \Delta_{13})_n (a + \Delta_{24})_n}{n! (2a + n - 1)_n} \\ &\times {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, 2a + n - 1, a + b + \Delta_{24} \\ \Delta_{13} + a, \Delta_{24} + a \end{matrix}; 1 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $n \geq 0$ and the exchanging operators have weights $a + n$. We list all the pairs (a, b) appearing in different partial correlators here:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1/2, 1), (2, -1/2), (1/2, -1/2), (1, 1/2), (1/2, 1/2), \\ &(3/2, 0), (1/2, 0), (3/2, -1/2), (1, -1/2), (1, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The corresponding coefficients contain some extra minus sign or i due to the sign of ϵ_i . These coefficients also receive the loop correction. In ABJM, the 4-point planar loop amplitudes start with the 2-loop level because of the unitarity and the vanishing of 3-point tree amplitudes and it is proportional to the tree amplitude [3]. The loop correction operator is [31]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{2\text{-loop}} &= \frac{-(-4 \frac{x_{13}x_{14}}{x_{23}x_{24}} x_{12}^2)^{-\epsilon} - (-4 \frac{x_{13}x_{14}}{x_{24}} \frac{x_{12}x_{14}}{x_{34}})^{-\epsilon}}{(2\epsilon)^2} \mu^{2\epsilon} \mathcal{T}_1^{-2\epsilon} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left(\frac{x_{12}x_{34}}{x_{14}x_{23}} \right) + 4\zeta(2) - 3\ln^2 2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Here μ is the regularization scale and $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$ is the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(z)$. After acting this operator on the 4-point correlator \mathcal{A}_4 we will obtain the loop correction. Such a logarithm term, which is the finite part of the loop correction, will not change the poles of the coefficients of the conformal partial wave expansion but will only change the value of the residue at these poles. Therefore, the spectrum keeps invariant under the loop correction as expected. The corrected coefficients can only be solved numerically and we will not show it here.

MULTI-COLLINEAR LIMIT AND THE OPE

To investigate the CCFT, we need to figure out the OPE information. However, since odd-point correlators vanish, the collinear limit fails to find the OPE information. However, it is possible to consider the multi-collinear limit. We will focus on this case and find the information about the OPE of two outgoing particles.

Following [20], We can generalize the consecutive OPE in 2D CCFT to the 1D case. Considering a n -pt correlator in our case and assuming $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = 1$, we set

$$x_1 = x_3 + \epsilon, x_2 = x_3 + \delta\epsilon. \quad (29)$$

Consequently this will cause

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 13 \rangle &= -2\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_3}\epsilon \\ \langle 23 \rangle &= -2\sqrt{\omega_2\omega_3}\delta\epsilon \\ \langle 12 \rangle &= -2\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}\epsilon(1 - \delta) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From now on we will consider the partial correlator $\mathcal{A}_n(\bar{X}X\bar{X}X\cdots)$ without loss of generality [32], where $X = X^4$ and $\bar{X} = \bar{X}_4$. After changing the variables $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ to

$$\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3, s = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3}, t = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3} \quad (31)$$

We will obtain the following result regardless of the higher ϵ order terms by cutting a leg and dividing the amplitude into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n &= - \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^1 ds \int_0^{1-s} dt \omega^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - 2} s^{\Delta_1} t^{\Delta_2 - 2} \\ &\frac{(1-s-t)^{\Delta_3}}{2(1-\delta)\delta\epsilon} \frac{A_{n-3}\delta^3(P)}{st(1-\delta)^2 + s(1-s-t) + t(1-s-t)\delta^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

When we set $\delta \rightarrow 0, 1, \infty$, we will obtain the result for the consecutive OPE. However, as mentioned before, the collinear limit between 2 momenta is not well-defined, which means that we should keep this δ to be arbitrary except $0, 1, \infty$. Note that this multi-collinear limit cannot be obtained from the 6-point amplitudes since there are other channels besides the channel we considered in the derivation of the multi-collinear limit. Actually, one can show that the multi-collinear limit will lead to an extremely complicated coefficient. Consider the case $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Delta_1 > 0} &= \frac{-1}{2x_{23}} B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 + 1) B(\Delta_1, \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - 1) \times \\ &{}_2F_1(1, \Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_2 + \Delta_3, \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

when $\Delta_1 > 0$. When $-1 < \Delta_1 < 0$, the coefficient becomes a branch cut term:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{-1 < \Delta_1 < 0} &= \frac{\pi x_{23}^{2\Delta_1 - 1}}{2x_{13}^{2\Delta_1} \sin(\Delta_1 \pi)} B(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_1 + \Delta_3 + 1) \times \\ &{}_2F_1(\Delta_1 + 1, \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - 1, 2\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3, \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Hence the total leading multi-OPE (w.r.t δ) is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{\Delta_1}(x_1)X_{\Delta_2}(x_2)\bar{X}_{\Delta_3}(x_3) \sim & \left[\frac{-1}{2x_{23}}B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 + 1)B(\Delta_1, \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - 1) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\pi x_{23}^{2\Delta_1 - 1}}{2x_{13}^{2\Delta_1} \sin(\Delta_1 \pi)}B(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_1 + \Delta_3 + 1) \right] X_{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - 1}(x_3) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The dominant term of these two terms depends on the value of Δ_1 , more precisely, the real part of Δ_1 . The multi-OPE at the higher order can be obtained by expanding some hypergeometric functions and also by considering the descendants of X . In multi-OPE, we can find out some information about the OPE. Assuming that Δ_1 is in the principal series [33], we only need to consider $I_{\Delta_1 > 0}$. Since $\delta \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to doing the 23-OPE first, we find that the first term of (35) corresponds to the following operator in $X_{\Delta_2}(x_2)\bar{X}_{\Delta_3}(x_3)$ OPE:

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \int dx(x - x_3)^{-2\Delta} : X \bar{X} :_{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3}(x), \quad (36)$$

which is a non-local 2-particle operator [21]. And the $1/x_{13}$ pole, which comes from the higher order of the first term, implies the OPE involving a two-particle operator $X \bar{X} \sim: X \bar{X} :$. The corresponding coefficient is

$$\frac{-B(\Delta_2 - 1, \Delta_3 + 1)B(\Delta_1, \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - 1)}{2(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)} \quad (37)$$

For higher-order of δ , this term will correspond to a certain descendent of $: X \bar{X} :$. Note that from this multi-OPE we find that there will not be an OPE like $X \bar{X} \sim X$ as expected since there will not appear a $1/(x_{13}x_{23})$ pole [34].

DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated how to bootstrap the 4-point correlator in the 1D CCFT dual to the ABJM using 3D symmetries as constraints in 1D CFT. We find that the dual inversion property for 4-point correlators, which is also valid for other 1D CFTs, gives a stronger than the crossing symmetry (or cyclic invariant). Meanwhile, from the construction of the dual inversion, we can define some building blocks with given behaviors under the dual inversion and then construct different 4-point correlators with wanting properties under the dual inversion. Furthermore, we calculated the conformal block expansion coefficients to learn more about the spectrum of this CCFT. We then studied the multi-collinear limit of this CCFT from the amplitude to the celestial version and obtained the multi-OPE. Finally, we find a non-local 2-particle operator in the OPE spectrum. This operator, which looks like a certain transformation on the local operator with a kernel, still needs some explanations. The analog in 2D CFT may be helpful [35].

A natural question is how to bootstrap higher-point correlators. We can use some recursion methods, but can we obtain the 6-point correlators directly using some basic properties in this 1D CCFT? To realize this, the understanding of unitarity is significant [18, 36].

Another interesting question is how to define a dual conformal block expansion. We also have conformal symmetries for the dual coordinate, then can we construct the conformal block using these dual coordinates and then translate them back to the usual coordinates? What is the relation between the objects constructed in this way and the usual conformal blocks? Or should we consider a Yangian block expansion in this 1D CCFT?

A more basic question is what this 1D CCFT is. We have obtained much information about this 1D CCFT, can we find a 1D CCFT with the same feature? Moreover, the ABJM amplitudes can be obtained from the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM amplitudes by dimensional reduction [37], can we realize this procedure from the celestial sphere to the celestial circle? Are there some relations between these two CCFTs?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Wen-Jie Ma and Walker Melton for the valuable discussion. YT is partly supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (NO. 2020YFA0713000). YT also wants to thank ‘‘iTHEMS-YITP Workshop: Bootstrap, Localization and Holography’’ and ‘‘Strings 2024 Conference’’ for providing environments full of ideas.

* taoyx21@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

- [1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, and J. Maldacena, N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals, *JHEP* **10**, 091, arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th].
- [2] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose, and M. Smedback, Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and AdS(4)/CFT(3) Correspondence, *JHEP* **09**, 072, arXiv:0806.1519 [hep-th].
- [3] W.-M. Chen and Y.-t. Huang, Dualities for Loop Amplitudes of N=6 Chern-Simons Matter Theory, *JHEP* **11**, 057, arXiv:1107.2710 [hep-th].
- [4] N. Drukker, M. Marino, and P. Putrov, From weak to strong coupling in ABJM the-

- ory, Commun. Math. Phys. **306**, 511 (2011), arXiv:1007.3837 [hep-th].
- [5] N. Drukker, M. Marino, and P. Putrov, Nonperturbative aspects of ABJM theory, JHEP **11**, 141, arXiv:1103.4844 [hep-th].
- [6] D. Gang, Y.-t. Huang, E. Koh, S. Lee, and A. E. Lipstein, Tree-level Recursion Relation and Dual Superconformal Symmetry of the ABJM Theory, JHEP **03**, 116, arXiv:1012.5032 [hep-th].
- [7] S. He, Y.-t. Huang, C.-K. Kuo, and Z. Li, The two-loop eight-point amplitude in ABJM theory, JHEP **02**, 065, arXiv:2211.01792 [hep-th].
- [8] S. He, Y.-t. Huang, and C.-K. Kuo, The ABJM Amplituhedron, JHEP **09**, 165, [Erratum: JHEP 04, 064 (2024)], arXiv:2306.00951 [hep-th].
- [9] K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee, and J. Park, N=5,6 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and M2-branes on Orbifolds, JHEP **09**, 002, arXiv:0806.4977 [hep-th].
- [10] Y.-t. Huang and A. E. Lipstein, Dual Superconformal Symmetry of N=6 Chern-Simons Theory, JHEP **11**, 076, arXiv:1008.0041 [hep-th].
- [11] H. Elvang and Y.-t. Huang, Scattering Amplitudes (2013), arXiv:1308.1697 [hep-th].
- [12] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, Flat Space Amplitudes and Conformal Symmetry of the Celestial Sphere, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 065026 (2017), arXiv:1701.00049 [hep-th].
- [13] S. Pasterski and S.-H. Shao, Conformal basis for flat space amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 065022 (2017), arXiv:1705.01027 [hep-th].
- [14] S. Pasterski, S.-H. Shao, and A. Strominger, Gluon Amplitudes as 2d Conformal Correlators, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 085006 (2017), arXiv:1706.03917 [hep-th].
- [15] L. Donnay, A. Puhm, and A. Strominger, Conformally Soft Photons and Gravitons, JHEP **01**, 184, arXiv:1810.05219 [hep-th].
- [16] M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu, A. Strominger, and E. Y. Yuan, Celestial operator products of gluons and gravitons, Rev. Math. Phys. **33**, 2140003 (2021), arXiv:1910.07424 [hep-th].
- [17] M. Pate, A.-M. Raclariu, and A. Strominger, Conformally Soft Theorem in Gauge Theory, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 085017 (2019), arXiv:1904.10831 [hep-th].
- [18] C.-M. Chang, Y.-t. Huang, Z.-X. Huang, and W. Li, Bulk locality from the celestial amplitude, SciPost Phys. **12**, 176 (2022), arXiv:2106.11948 [hep-th].
- [19] H. T. Lam and S.-H. Shao, Conformal Basis, Optical Theorem, and the Bulk Point Singularity, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 025020 (2018), arXiv:1711.06138 [hep-th].
- [20] A. Ball, Y. Hu, and S. Pasterski, Multicollinear singularities in celestial CFT, JHEP **02**, 219, arXiv:2309.16602 [hep-th].
- [21] A. Guevara, Y. Hu, and S. Pasterski, Multiparticle Contributions to the Celestial OPE (2024), arXiv:2402.18798 [hep-th].
- [22] Y.-X. Tao, Celestial Berends-Giele current, JHEP **09**, 193, arXiv:2307.14772 [hep-th].
- [23] J. M. Drummond, J. M. Henn, and J. Plefka, Yangian symmetry of scattering amplitudes in N=4 super Yang-Mills theory, JHEP **05**, 046, arXiv:0902.2987 [hep-th].
- [24] Since we only consider the 4-point amplitudes of ABJM, we will not consider the 4-index structure constant $f^{a_2 a_4 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_3}$.
- [25] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, and B. Feng, New recursion relations for tree amplitudes of gluons, Nucl. Phys. B **715**, 499 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0412308.
- [26] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng, and E. Witten, Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 181602 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0501052.
- [27] Y. Hu and S. Pasterski, Celestial recursion, JHEP **01**, 151, arXiv:2208.11635 [hep-th].
- [28] A. Atanasov, W. Melton, A.-M. Raclariu, and A. Strominger, Conformal block expansion in celestial CFT, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 126033 (2021), arXiv:2104.13432 [hep-th].
- [29] D. Rutter and B. C. Van Rees, Applications of Alpha Space, JHEP **12**, 048, arXiv:2003.07964 [hep-th].
- [30] C.-M. Chang, R. Liu, and W.-J. Ma, Split representation in celestial holography (2023), arXiv:2311.08736 [hep-th].
- [31] The loop correction is no longer dual conformal covariant. However, it can be fixed as in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM case [38].
- [32] If one wants to consider the total superamplitudes, just consider to add the integral $\int d^3\eta$ with η the anticommuting variable of the cutting leg. The partial fields in \dots are arbitrary.
- [33] When considering the case $-1 < \Delta_1 < 0$, one only needs to consider the following operator, whose descendants also appear in the $X_{\Delta_2}(x_2)\bar{X}_{\Delta_3}(x_3)$ OPE:
- $$\int dx(x-x_3)^{-2\Delta_1} : X\bar{X} :_{\Delta_2+\Delta_3}(x).$$
- This OPE is ill-defined since it involves the conformal weight of another operator Δ_1 . Hence we will not consider this case.
- [34] It seems that the OPE between 2 outgoing particles and the OPE between 1 incoming particle and 1 outgoing particle are extremely different. This puzzle still needs more clarification in the future.
- [35] P. Kravchuk and D. Simmons-Duffin, Light-ray operators in conformal field theory, JHEP **11**, 102, arXiv:1805.00098 [hep-th].
- [36] R. Liu and W.-J. Ma, Celestial Optical Theorem (2024), arXiv:2404.18898 [hep-th].
- [37] S. He, C.-K. Kuo, Z. Li, and Y.-Q. Zhang, All-Loop Four-Point Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena Amplitudes from Dimensional Reduction of the Amplituhedron, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 221604 (2022), arXiv:2204.08297 [hep-th].
- [38] J. M. Drummond, J. Henn, G. P. Korchemsky, and E. Sokatchev, Dual superconformal symmetry of scattering amplitudes in N=4 super-Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B **828**, 317 (2010), arXiv:0807.1095 [hep-th].