

# $\mu$ TRISTAN and LHC/Tevatron/FCC/SppC Based Antimuon-Hadron Colliders

Dilara Akturk<sup>1,\*</sup>, Burak Dagli<sup>1</sup>, Bora Ketenoglu<sup>2</sup>, Arif Ozturk<sup>1,3</sup>, Saleh Sultansoy<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Department of Engineering Physics, Ankara University, Ankara, Türkiye

<sup>3</sup>Turkish Accelerator Radiation Laboratory (TARLA), Ankara, Türkiye

<sup>4</sup>ANAS Institute of Physics, Baku, Azerbaijan

\*email: d.akturk@etu.edu.tr

## Abstract

Recently, the construction of  $\mu^+e^-$  and  $\mu^+\mu^+$  colliders,  $\mu$ TRISTAN, at KEK has been proposed. We argue that the construction of a similar  $\mu^+$  ring tangential to LHC/Tevatron/FCC/SppC will give an opportunity to realize  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+A$  collisions at multi-TeV scale center-of-mass energies. In this paper the main parameters of proposed colliders have been studied. It is shown that sufficiently high luminosities can be achieved for all proposals under consideration:  $L$  exceeds  $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  for  $\mu^+p$  colliders and  $10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  for  $\mu^+A$  colliders. Certainly, proposed colliders will provide huge potential for both SM (especially QCD basics) and BSM physics searches.

## 1. Introduction

The electro-weak part of the Standard Model (SM) was completed with the discovery of Higgs boson [1, 2]. Mass and mixing patterns of the SM fermions is another story to be solved by Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) physics. Considering QCD part of the SM, there are still big gaps: confinement hypothesis has still not been proved at QCD basics, hadronization and nuclearization phenomena are not clearly understood. For this reason, energy-frontier lepton-hadron colliders are required. Lepton colliders can not clarify this phenomena, while hadron colliders have huge background.

Lepton-hadron collisions play a crucial role in our understanding of matter's structure:

- proton form-factors were first observed in electron scattering experiments [3, 4]
- quarks were first observed at SLAC deep inelastic electron scattering experiments [5, 6]
- EMC effect was observed at CERN in deep inelastic muon scattering experiments [7] and so on.

HERA [8], the first and still unique electron-proton collider, further explored structure of protons and provided parton distribution functions (PDFs) for Tevatron and LHC. TeV (or multi-TeV) scale lepton-hadron colliders are crucial for clarifying basics of QCD, which is responsible for 98% of mass of the visible part of our Universe. Unfortunately, HERA could not cover the region of sufficiently small  $x_B$  ( $< 10^{-4}$ ) and high  $Q^2$  ( $> 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ ), which is very important for understanding QCD basics. In order to investigate this region, lepton-hadron colliders with higher center-of-mass energies are required. Today, linac-ring type eh colliders seem to be the most realistic way to TeV scale in lh collisions (see review [9]) and LHeC [10]

is the most advanced proposal. Concerning  $e$ RHIC [11], its  $ep$  center-of-mass energy is three times lower than HERA (main advantages of the  $e$ RHIC are eA collisions and polarized beams).

Besides, construction of TeV energy lepton-hadron colliders is mandatory to provide PDFs for adequate interpretation of forthcoming data from HL/HE-LHC [12, 13] and FCC/SppC [14, 15] as HERA provided that for Tevatron and LHC in the last two decades. Finally, energy-frontier lepton-hadron colliders are advantageous for investigation of a number of BSM phenomena [16].

Today, there are five known ways to reach multi-TeV scale: proton colliders (LHC, FCC, SppC), linear electron-positron colliders (CLIC, PWEA-LC), muon colliders, linac-ring type electron-proton colliders (see review [9] and references therein) and ring type muon-proton colliders (see review [17] and references therein). Below, we present a correlation between colliding beams and colliding schemes in energy-frontier aspect.

Energy frontier colliders: colliding beams vs. collider types.

Colliders	Ring-Ring	Linac-Linac	Linac-Ring
Hadron	+		
Lepton ( $e^-e^+$ )		+	
Lepton ( $\mu^-\mu^+$ )	+		
Lepton-hadron ( $eh$ )			+
Lepton-hadron ( $\mu h$ )	+		
Photon-hadron			+

Even though muon-proton colliders appear to be in the fifth place at first glance, they may attain the second place after proton colliders. The reason for this is that the cooling problem of the  $\mu^+$  beam has been solved at JPARC [18]. When it comes to the realization of muon colliders, the muons also need to be cooled, however even the proof of principle for the ionisation cooling of  $\mu^-$  beam is planned for the 2030s [19].

Recently, the  $\mu$ TRISTAN [20] project that includes  $\mu^+e^-$  ( $\sqrt{s} = 346$  GeV,  $L = 4.6 \times 10^{33}$  cm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\mu^+\mu^+$  ( $\sqrt{s} = 2$  TeV,  $L = 5.7 \times 10^{32}$  cm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) collider options have been put forward based on  $\mu^+$  cooling. Subsequently, we proposed the construction of FCC and SppC-based  $\mu^+e^-$  and  $\mu^+p$  colliders [21] using the  $\mu^+$  beam parameters of the  $\mu$ TRISTAN project.

In this paper, we consider the main parameters of  $\mu$ TRISTAN and LHC/Tevatron/FCC/SppC based  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+A$  colliders. These parameters are evaluated in Sections 2-6 through the software AloHEP [22-24]. Finally, in Section 7 we present our conclusion and recommendations.

## 2. $\mu$ TRISTAN based $\mu^+p$ and $\mu^+A$ Colliders

Antimuon beam parameters of the  $\mu$ TRISTAN  $\mu^+e^-$  proposal [20] are presented in Table 1. These parameters are used for all collider options, which are considered in this paper. As mentioned in introduction  $\mu$ TRISTAN proposal also includes  $\mu^+\mu^+$  collider option. For the  $\mu^+\mu^+$  collider, the  $\mu^+$  beam is split into two so that each beam has 20 bunches, while the  $\mu^+e^-$  collider can use all 40 bunches.

**Table 1.** Main parameters of  $\mu$ TRISTAN's  $\mu^+$  beam

Parameter	Antimuon
Number of Particle per Bunch [ $10^{10}$ ]	1.4
Beam Energy [GeV]	1000
Horizontal $\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	3
Vertical $\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	0.7
Bunch Length [mm]	2
Norm. Horizontal Emittance [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	4
Norm. Vertical Emittance [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	4
Number of Bunches per Ring	40
Collision Frequency [MHz]	4
Circumference [km]	3

$\mu$ TRISTAN based  $\mu^+p$  collider was proposed in our previous paper [21], where the parameters of ERL60 upgraded FCC proton beam [14] modified for 3 km tunnel have been used. Here, we used the modified parameters of proton and lead beam from HL-LHC ERL60 upgraded version (see Tables 2.11 and 2.13 in Ref. [14]). These modified parameters are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** ERL60 upgraded HL-LHC proton and lead parameters for 3 km tunnel

Parameter	Proton		Lead (Pb)	
	8 Tesla	16 Tesla	8 Tesla	16 Tesla
Number of Particle per Bunch [ $10^{10}$ ]	22		0.018	
Beam Energy [TeV]	0.85	1.7	177	354
$\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	7		7	
Norm. Emittance [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	2		1.5	
Number of Bunches per Ring			40	
Revolution Frequency [kHz]			100	
Circumference [km]			3	

By entering the values from Table 1 and Table 2 into AloHEP, the parameters of the  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders shown in Tables 3 and 4 are obtained.

**Table 3.** Main parameters of the  $\mu$ TRISTAN based  $\mu^+p$  colliders

Parameter	8 Tesla		16 Tesla	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	1.84		2.61	
L [ $10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	6.3		13	
Parameter	Proton	Muon	Proton	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	12		8.8	
Tuneshift ( $\xi_x$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	8.6	600	8.6	600

It is seen that  $\mu$ TRISTAN based  $\mu^+p$  colliders have higher center-of-mass energies than LHeC [10], which is important for clarification of QCD basics.

**Table 4.** Main parameters of the  $\mu$ TRISTAN based  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders

Parameter	8 Tesla		16 Tesla	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	26.6		37.6	
$L$ [ $10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	0.70		1.4	
Parameter	Pb	Muon	Pb	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	11		7.6	
Tuneshift ( $\xi_{x,y}$ ) [ $10^{-2}$ ]	3.7	0.40	3.7	0.40

### 3. HL-LHC based $\mu^+p$ and $\mu^+A$ Colliders

ERL60 upgraded HL-LHC  $p/Pb$  beam parameters (Tables 2.11 and 2.13 in Ref. [14]) are presented in Table 5.

**Table 5.** ERL60 upgraded proton and lead parameters

Parameter	Proton	Pb
Number of Particle per Bunch [ $10^{10}$ ]	22	0.018
Beam Energy [TeV]	7	574
$\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	7	7
Norm. Emittance [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	2	1.5
Number of Bunches per Ring	2760	1200
Revolution Frequency [Hz]	11245	
Circumference [km]	26.7	

By implementing the parameters of antimuon beam from Table 1 and  $p/Pb$  beams from Table 5 into AloHEP, we obtained the results for main parameters of HL-LHC  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders which are given in Tables 6 and 7, respectively.

**Table 6.** Main parameters of the HL-LHC based  $\mu^+p$  collider

Parameter	HL-LHC	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	5.29	
$L$ [ $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	5.2	
Parameter	Proton	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	4.3	4.3
Tuneshift ( $\xi_{x,y}$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	8.6	600

Center-of-mass energy is 4 times higher than LHeC, while luminosities are comparable. Therefore, HL-LHC based  $\mu^+p$  collider will give opportunity to investigate an order smaller values of  $x$  Björken.

**Table 7.** Main parameters of the HL-LHC based  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders

Parameter	HL-LHC	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	47.9	
L [ $10^{30}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ ]	2.3	
Parameter	Pb	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu$ m]	6.0	6.0
Tuneshift ( $\xi_{x,y}$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	370	40

#### 4. Tevatron based $\mu^+p$ and $\mu^+A$ Colliders

ERL60 upgraded HL-LHC  $p/Pb$  beam parameters (Tables 2.11 and 2.13 in Ref. [14]) modified for Tevatron ring are presented in Table 8.

**Table 8.** Tevatron proton and lead parameters

Parameter	Proton		Lead (Pb)	
	8 Tesla	16 Tesla	8 Tesla	16 Tesla
Number of Particle per Bunch [ $10^{10}$ ]	22		0.018	
Beam Energy [TeV]	1.78	3.56	370	740
$\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	7		7	
Norm. Emittance [ $\mu$ m]	2		1.5	
Number of Bunches per Ring	76			
Revolution Frequency [Hz]	47770			
Circumference [km]	6.28			

By entering the parameters of antimuon beam from Table 1 and  $p/Pb$  beams from Table 8 into AloHEP, we obtained the results for main parameters of HL-LHC  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders which are given in Tables 9 and 10, respectively.

**Table 9.** Main parameters of the Tevatron based  $\mu^+p$  colliders

Parameter	8 Tesla		16 Tesla	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	2.66		3.77	
L [ $10^{33}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ ]	1.2		2.4	
Parameter	Proton	Muon	Proton	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu$ m]	8.6	8.6	6.1	6.1
Tuneshift ( $\xi_x$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	8.6	600	8.6	600

**Table 10.** Main parameters of the Tevatron based  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders

Parameter	8 Tesla		16 Tesla	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	38.5		54.4	
L [ $10^{30}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ ]	1.3		2.6	
Parameter	Pb	Muon	Pb	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu$ m]	7.4	7.4	5.3	5.3
Tuneshift ( $\xi_{x,y}$ ) [ $10^{-2}$ ]	3.7	0.40	3.7	0.40

## 5. FCC based $\mu^+p$ and $\mu^+A$ Colliders

FCC based  $\mu^+p$  collider has been considered in [21]. Main parameters of this collider are presented in Table 11.

**Table 11.** Main parameters of the FCC based  $\mu^+p$  collider

Parameter	FCC	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	14.1	
$L$ [ $10^{32}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ ]	50	
Parameter	Proton	Muon
$\sigma_x$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	3.6	
$\sigma_y$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	2.5	
Tuneshift ( $\xi_x$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	6.4	220
Tuneshift ( $\xi_y$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	9.2	320

In Table 12, we present parameters of ERL60 upgraded FCC lead beam.

**Table 12.** FCC lead beam parameters

Parameter	Pb
Number of Particle per Bunch [ $10^{10}$ ]	0.018
Beam Energy [TeV]	4100
$\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	15
Norm. Emittance [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	0.9
Number of Bunches per Ring	2072
Revolution Frequency [Hz]	2998
Circumference [km]	100

Implementing parameters from Tables 1 and 12 into AloHEP, we obtain main parameters of the FCC based  $\mu^+Pb$  collider which are presented in Table 13.

**Table 13.** Main parameters of the FCC based  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders

Parameter	FCC	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	128.1	
$L$ [ $10^{30}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ ]	8.9	
Parameter	Pb	Muon
$\sigma_{x,y}$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	6.0	6.0
Tuneshift ( $\xi_{x,y}$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	616	40

## 6. SppC based $\mu^+p$ and $\mu^+A$ Colliders

SppC based  $\mu^+p$  collider has been considered in [21] where we used proton beam parameters from PDG [11]. In this paper we use proton and lead beam parameters from recent CEPC TDR (see Table A7.2 in [25]).

**Table 14.** SppC proton and lead beam parameters

Parameter	proton	Pb
Number of Particle per Bunch [ $10^{10}$ ]	15	0.18
Beam Energy [TeV]	37.5	3075
$\beta$ Function @ IP [cm]	75	75
Norm. Emittance [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	2.35	0.22
Number of Bunches per Ring	10080	10080
Revolution Frequency [Hz]	3000	
Circumference [km]	100	

Implementing parameters from Tables 1 and 14 into AloHEP, we obtain the main parameters of the SppC based  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+Pb$  collider which are presented in Tables 15 and 16, respectively.

**Table 15.** Main parameters of the SppC based  $\mu^+p$  collider

Parameter	SppC	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	12.3	
$L$ [ $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	1.5	
Parameter	proton	muon
$\sigma_x$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	6.6	6.6
$\sigma_y$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	6.6	6.6
Tuneshift ( $\xi_x$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	7.3	409
Tuneshift ( $\xi_y$ ) [ $10^{-4}$ ]	7.3	409

**Table 16.** Main parameters of the SppC based  $\mu^+Pb$  collider

Parameter	SppC	
$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	111	
$L$ [ $10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	7.00	
Parameter	Pb	Muon
$\sigma_x$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	3.6	3.6
$\sigma_y$ [ $\mu\text{m}$ ]	3.2	3.2
Tuneshift ( $\xi_x$ ) [ $10^{-2}$ ]	24	3.8
Tuneshift ( $\xi_y$ ) [ $10^{-2}$ ]	26	4.2

## 7. Conclusion

Construction of  $\mu$ TRISTAN  $\mu^+$  ring tangential to existing and proposed hadron colliders will provide the opportunity to realize  $\mu^+p$  ( $\mu^+A$ ) colliders with multi-TeV center-of-mass energies at luminosities exceeding  $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  ( $10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ). Obviously, such colliders will essentially enlarge the physics search potential of corresponding hadron colliders for both the SM and BSM phenomena. Therefore, systematic studies of accelerator, detector and physics search aspects of  $\mu$ TRISTAN/LHC/Tevatron/FCC/SppC based  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+A$  colliders are necessary for long-term planning of High Energy Physics.

Main parameters of  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+A$  colliders considered in Sections 2-6 are summarized in Table 17.

**Table 17.** Main parameters of  $\mu^+p$  and  $\mu^+Pb$  colliders

Colliders		$\sqrt{S}$ [TeV]	L [ $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ]	
$\mu p$	HL-LHC	5.29	$5.2 \times 10^{33}$	
	FCC	14.1	$5.0 \times 10^{33}$	
	SppC	12.3	$1.5 \times 10^{33}$	
	Tevatron	8 T	2.66	$1.2 \times 10^{33}$
		16 T	3.77	$1.2 \times 10^{33}$
	$\mu$ TRISTAN	8 T	1.84	$6.3 \times 10^{32}$
16 T		2.61	$13 \times 10^{32}$	
$\mu A$	HL-LHC	47.9	$2.3 \times 10^{30}$	
	FCC	128.1	$8.9 \times 10^{30}$	
	SppC	111	$7.0 \times 10^{31}$	
	Tevatron	8 T	38.5	$1.3 \times 10^{30}$
		16 T	54.4	$2.6 \times 10^{30}$
	$\mu$ TRISTAN	8 T	26.6	$0.70 \times 10^{30}$
16 T		37.6	$1.4 \times 10^{30}$	

For comparison, recent parameters of the LHeC  $ep$  collider are  $\sqrt{S} = 1.18$  TeV with  $L_{ep} = 1.4 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  [26], FCC- $ep$  are  $\sqrt{S} = 3.46$  TeV with  $L_{ep} = 1.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  [14] and SppC- $ep$  are  $\sqrt{S} = 4.2$  TeV with  $L_{ep} = 4.5 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  [15]. It is seen that center-of-mass energies of  $\mu^+p$  colliders are essentially higher than that of  $ep$  colliders, while the luminosities are of the same order.

Finally, let us emphasize that the antimuon-hadron colliders under consideration can be realized before the muon colliders. The reason is that while  $\mu^-$  beams with emittance, which is sufficiently small for the construction of muon colliders, have not yet been achieved, there is an established technology to create a low emittance  $\mu^+$  beam by using ultra-cold muons [18].

## References

1. G. Aad et al., Physics Letters B 716, 1 (2012)
2. S. Chatrchyan et al., Physics Letters B 716, 30 (2012)
3. R. Hofstadter and R. W. McAllister, Physical Review 98, 217 (1955)
4. R. W. McAllister and R. Hofstadter, Physical Review 102, 851 (1956)
5. M. Breidenbach et al., Physical Review Letters 23, 935 (1969)
6. E. D. Bloom et al., Physical Review Letters 23, 930 (1969)
7. J.-J. Aubert et al., Physics Letters B 123, 275 (1983)
8. M. Klein and R. Yoshida, Particle and Nuclear Physics, 61(2), 343-393 (2008)



9. A. N. Akay, H. Karadeniz and S. Sultansoy, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 25, 4589 (2010)
10. J. A. Fernandez et al., *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* 39, 075001 (2012)
11. P. D. Group, *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* 2022, 083C01 (2022)
12. G. Apollinari et al., *High-luminosity large hadron collider (HL-LHC). technical design report v. 0.1* (2017)
13. J. L. Abelleira et al., in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, (IOP Publishing, 2018). p. 022009. Issue: 2.
14. M. Benedikt et al., *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* 228, 755 (2018)
15. CEPC Study Group, *CEPC conceptual design report: Volume 1-accelerator* (2018), preprint arXiv:1809.00285.
16. S. Sultansoy, *A review of TeV scale lepton-hadron and photon-hadron colliders*, in *Proceedings of the 2005 Particle Accelerator Conference*, (IEEE, 2005). pp. 4329–4331.
17. B. Ketenoglu, B. Dagli, A. Ozturk and S. Sultansoy, *Modern Physics Letters A*, Vol. 37, No. 37n38, 2230013 (2022)
18. Y. Kondo et al., in *Proc. 9th Int. Particle Accelerator Conference*, p. 6 (2018)
19. J. P. Delahaye et al., arXiv:1901.06150v1 (2019)
19. D. Schulte, presentation at IMCC and MuCol Annual Meeting, 12-15 March 2024, CERN
20. Y. Hamada et al., *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 5, 053B02 (2022)
21. D. Akturk, B. Dagli and S. Sultansoy, arXiv:2403.17034 (2024)
22. B. Dagli, S. Sultansoy, B. Ketenoglu and B. B. Oner, *Beam-beam simulations for lepton-hadron colliders: Alohep software*, in *12th Int. Particle Acc. Conf.* (2021)
23. <https://github.com/yefetu/ALOHEP>
24. [http://yef.etu.edu.tr/ALOHEP\\_eng.html](http://yef.etu.edu.tr/ALOHEP_eng.html)
25. The CEPC Study Group, *IHEP-CEPC-DR-2023-01* (2023)
26. T.von Witzleben et al. *IPAC'23 – Proceedings Venice, Italy, 07-12 May 2023*, pp. 151-154