

# The neutrino mass: A triangular formulation

Ivan Arraut\*

*University of Saint Joseph,  
Estrada Marginal da Ilha Verde, 14-17, Macao, China*

(Dated: June 6, 2024)

We demonstrate that the flavor oscillation when a neutrino travels through spacetime, is equivalent to permanent changes on the vacuum state condition perceived by the same particle. This can be visualized via the Quantum Yang Baxter equations (QYBE). From this perspective, the neutrino never breaks the symmetry of the ground state because it never selects an specific vacuum condition. Then naturally the Higgs mechanism cannot be the generator of the neutrino masses. The constraints emerging from this model predict a normal mass hierarchy and some specific values for the mass eigenvalues once we fix the mixing angles. Interestingly, the model suggests that the sum of the mix angles is equal to  $\pi/2$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

Neutrinos were initially proposed as a necessity of maintaining the energy-momentum conservation in some processes involving the weak-interaction [1]. Subsequently, it was understood that they are leptons coming in three different flavors in agreement with their lepton partners [2]. For a long time the neutrinos were considered to be massless particles [3]. The reason for this unfortunate statement was the fact that the masses of the neutrinos are the smallest ones among the fundamental particles in the standard model of particle physics. The absence of right-handed neutrinos does not allow a description in terms of the two Weyl components of fermions in quantum field theory. Hence, a traditional mass term in the Lagrangian cannot be built, and it is set to zero manually in the Standard Model [4]. Additionally, their mass is so small that it is almost impossible to perceive any gravitational effect coming from them individually, taking into account that gravity is the weakest interaction. The absence of right-handed neutrinos is precisely the reason why the electroweak theory does not work for giving masses to the neutrinos via Higgs Mechanism [5–7]. By the date there is no accepted mechanism able to give mass to the neutrinos. The discovery of the massive character of the neutrinos came after it was understood that they oscillate between different flavors after being generated from a weak-process and coming initially in a single flavor [8, 9]. The neutrinos can oscillate from one flavor to another only if they have a mass associated to their existence [10, 11]. There are three known flavors for the neutrinos and three different eigenvalues for the masses. The eigenvalues of masses do not correspond to the eigenvalues of flavors. Then one neutrino with some specific flavor has an effective mass which is a linear combination of three different masses and vice versa, one neutrino with some specific mass can be seen as a mix of three different flavors. In fact, the measurement of the mixing angles tells us how the flavors are distributed for

each eigenstate of mass. With different degrees of precision, the difference of the square of the masses between pairs of mass eigenvalues have been measured by different experiments [12]. However, these measurements still provide some freedom on the possible specific values that the mass eigenvalues can take. With the current observations we can only know that two of the mass eigenvalues are of the same order of magnitude. Additionally, the third mass eigenvalue is orders of magnitude away from the other two mass eigenvalues. In this paper we explain how the flavor oscillation of a single neutrino, is equivalent to a periodic change of the vacuum state perceived by the same particle. This oscillation is consistent with the triangular formulation of the Quantum Yang Baxter Equations (QYBE) if we take each side of the triangle as related to the mass eigenvalues  $m_i$ . Interestingly, from this perspective, the sum of the mix angles should be equal to  $\pi/2$  (90 degrees). The model is consistent with the current observations, suggesting a normal hierarchy mass  $m_3 \gg m_2 > m_1$ , with  $m_1 \approx m_2$ .

## II. THE NEUTRINO MIXING MATRIX

The neutrino mixing matrix represents the mass eigenvalues  $m_i$  as a linear combination of the flavor eigenvalues  $m_\alpha$  [10, 11]. The state of a neutrino can, in general, be expressed as

$$\nu_\alpha = \sum_i U_{\alpha i} \nu_i. \quad (1)$$

Here the subindex  $\alpha$  corresponds to some specific flavor and the subindex  $i$  corresponds to the mass eigenvalues. In the same way as we can express the mass eigenvalues as a superposition of flavor eigenvalues, the opposite is also true, namely, the flavor eigenvalues can be expressed as the superposition of mass eigenvalues. The matrix  $U_{i\alpha}$  for the case where the experiments just look for two flavors, is defined as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

---

\* ivan.arraut@usj.edu.mo

This matrix is just a unitary rotation in two dimensions. The matrix is in a basis which allows us to express each neutrino flavor as a linear combination of the mass eigenvalues. In the most general situation, we have three different types of neutrinos. In such a case, the flavors and the mass eigenvalues are related through a three-dimensional matrix, which represents a rotation in a three dimensional space. The matrix, in the flavor basis is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here  $c_{ij} = \cos(\theta_{ij})$  and  $s_{ij} = \sin(\theta_{ij})$ . This matrix is evidently a unitary three-dimensional rotation matrix but instead of being represented through the Euler angles, it is represented as a function of the Tait-Bryan angles [13]. The matrix is an operator related to a simultaneous rotation through the axis representing the electronic, the muonic and the taonic flavors. We must remark that the matrix (3) represents the situation where the CP symmetry is not violated. If the CP symmetry is violated, an additional parameter emerges as it can be seen in [10, 11]. In this letter however, we will focus on the case where CP violations are ignored and then we do not include the related parameter inside the matrix (3).

### III. NEUTRINO OSCILLATION: VACUUM PERSPECTIVE

If we analyze the neutrino oscillations from the perspective of the vacuum state, then we can suggest three different ground states corresponding to the electron neutrino, tau neutrino and muon neutrino. Then a single neutrino with a mass eigenvalue  $m_i$ , will perceive the oscillation of its ground state, moving from one state where the neutrino is a  $\tau$ -neutrino, towards another one where the neutrino is a  $\mu$ -neutrino and subsequent  $e^-$ -neutrino. Then the vacuum state perceived by the neutrino is in reality a linear superposition of the three ground states  $|0\rangle_{e^-}$ ,  $|0\rangle_{\mu}$  and  $|0\rangle_{\tau}$ . This is evident if we evaluate the inverse of the mix matrix (3). We can define some creation and annihilation operators for each one of the Hilbert spaces containing the corresponding flavors. Then when the vacuum state is such that the neutrino is  $e^-$ -neutrino, we can define the creation and annihilation operators as

$$\hat{a}_e^+|0_e\rangle = |\nu_e\rangle, \quad \hat{a}_e|0_e\rangle = 0. \quad (4)$$

However, the vacuum perceived by the neutrino with a mass  $m_i$  is an oscillatory vacuum, defined more properly as

$$\hat{a}_i|0\rangle_i = 0. \quad (5)$$

We can relate the vacuum  $|0\rangle_i$  with the flavor vacuum  $|0\rangle_\alpha$  through the relation

$$|0\rangle_i = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} |0\rangle_{\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

which is actually connected with the mixing matrix (3). In eq. (6), the coefficients  $a_{\alpha}$  represent the factors containing the mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$ . Neutrinos are in general fermions and they can still interact with each other. Although the matrix (3) gives us the relations between mass flavors  $m_{\sigma}$  and the mass eigenvalues  $m_i$ , until now nobody has proposed a serious relation between (only) the eigenvalues  $m_i$ . These relations will emerge via triangular relations as we will see in a moment.

### IV. TRIANGULAR RELATION BETWEEN MIXING ANGLES AND MASSES: THE QYBE

For understanding the necessity of triangular relations in order to explain the origin of the neutrino masses, we start from the standard definition of a vacuum state defined in eq. (5). In the same way as we can define vacuum states for each flavor, we can also define vacuum states with respect to the eigenvalue index  $i$  for the masses  $m_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The triangular relations proposed in this paper, are generated with respect to the basis of the mass eigenvalues  $m_i$ . Then instead of imagining a neutrino moving through spacetime and oscillating between different flavors, we will take the equivalent approach suggesting that the neutrinos with some specific flavor, have an oscillatory mass between three different values  $m_i$ . This point of view is allowed by the mixing matrix (3). In the figure (1), the QYBE show the equivalence among




FIG. 1. Triangular relation between the mass eigenvalues in agreement with the QYBE. For the case of normal hierarchy, each side of the triangles represent the inverse of the mass for each eigenvalue  $1/m_i$ . The mixing angles are illustrated, with  $\theta_{23} \approx \pi/2$

two triangular relations between the inverse of the mass eigenvalues. Each eigenvalue, and then each triangle line, corresponds to a possible ground state for a neutrino flavor. However, the neutrino never breaks the symmetry of

the vacuum spontaneously and then it is unable to select some specific mass (ground state). Instead, it keeps oscillating between the three vacuum states without selecting anyone in particular. This is the reason why we cannot attribute the origin of the neutrino mass to the Higgs mechanism. The consistency of the relations emerging from the triangles, suggest that the only possibility for the neutrino masses, is to obey the normal hierarchy. For this case, each side of the triangle is equal to the inverse of the mass value. Then for example, the side with label 1 in the figure (1) is equivalent to the value  $1/m_1$ . The same applies for the other sides. The triangle is taken approximately as a rectangle triangle in order to follow the observations suggesting that  $\theta_{23} \approx \pi/2$ . The Pythagoras theorem then suggests that

$$\frac{1}{m_1^2} = \frac{1}{m_2^2} + \frac{1}{m_3^2}. \quad (7)$$

Then if  $m_3 \gg m_2 > m_1$ , then the relation  $m_2 \approx m_1$  emerges naturally. This means that  $m_2$  and  $m_1$  have values of the same order of magnitude with a normal hierarchy. The experimental evidence suggests that [14]

$$m_2^2 - m_1^2 \approx 0.759 \times 10^{-4} eV^2. \quad (8)$$

$$m_3^2 - m_1^2 \approx m_3^2 - m_2^2 \approx 23.2 \times 10^{-4} eV^2. \quad (9)$$

Here again, we perceive that  $m_3 \gg m_2 \approx m_1$ , which is consistent with the triangle showed on the figure (1). From the triangles on the figure (1), we can obtain the mixing angles as a function of the mass ratio of the different neutrino masses. We then have the following relations

$$\sin 2\theta_{13} \approx \frac{m_1}{m_3}, \quad \sin 2\theta_{12} \approx \frac{m_1}{m_2}, \quad \sin 2\theta_{23} \approx 1. \quad (10)$$

This result, together with the condition

$$\theta_{12} + \theta_{13} + \theta_{23} \approx \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (11)$$

represent important constraints between the mixing angles and the values taken by the neutrino masses. If we combine the results obtained in eq. (8) and (9), with

the relations (10), we can obtain the explicit values for each mass  $m_i$ , as far as we are able to measure the mixing angles. The most recent experiments suggest that  $2\theta_{12max} \approx 69deg$ ,  $2\theta_{13max} \approx 19$  and then  $2\theta_{23min} \approx 92deg$  [14]. Here we are taking extreme values for these angles, but understanding that there are certain uncertainties on the measurements. Although the angles suggest that our triangle on the figure is not exactly a triangle rectangle, the approximation is good enough since the angle  $\theta_{23}$  is almost equal to  $\pi/4$ . Our triangular formulation is flexible in this aspect but it suggests ideally that  $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ . If we use the mentioned experimental (approximate) values, we can then get the values for  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  and  $m_3$  as

$$m_3 \approx 5.08 \times 10^{-2} eV, \quad m_2 \approx 2.37 \times 10^{-2} eV, \\ 1.614 \times 10^{-2} eV < m_1 < 2.205 \times 10^{-2} eV. \quad (12)$$

The uncertainty emerging for  $m_1$ , comes from the small deviation of the triangle with respect to the triangle rectangle condition. This uncertainty would disappear if  $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ . Yet still, the results are consistent with the fact that  $m_2 > m_1$ . The future experiments will determine what is the exact value for  $\theta_{23}$ .

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have formulated some constraints between the mixing angles and the mass values taken by the neutrinos. The constraints emerged after taking each value of mass as different possible ground states of the system. The neutrino however, does not select any ground state and it just keeps jumping from one state to the next. These aspects can be understood inside the scenario where the QYBE are used for analyzing the mechanism of spontaneous symmetry breaking as it was done in [15–17]. By using the mentioned constraints, we were able to find the exact values for the three neutrino masses  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ , and  $m_3$ . The same constraints suggest that the neutrinos must follow a normal hierarchy on their mass values. There is an uncertainty associated to the value of  $m_1$  because of the deviations of  $\theta_{23}$  with respect to the value  $\pi/4$ . From the perspective of this paper, the electroweak theory in its standard form, cannot give mass to the neutrinos because the neutrinos never break the symmetry of the ground state spontaneously. The proposed approach assumes no violation of the CP-symmetry.

- 
- [1] H. Bethe and R. Peierls, *The "Neutrino"*, Nature, **133**, 532 (1934).  
 [2] (Particle Data Group), P. A. Zyla, et. al, *2020 Review of Particle Physics*, Review of Particle Physics, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Volume 2020, Issue 8, August 2020, 083C01.

- [3] Peskin M. E and Schroeder D. V.; *An introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, CRC press, Taylor and Francis group, 6000 Broken Sound Parkway, NW Suite 300, Boca Raton Fl. 33487-2742, (2018).  
 [4] M. Drewes, *The Phenomenology of Right Handed Neutrinos*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E, **22**, 1330019 (2013).

- [5] S. L. Glashow, *The renormalizability of vector meson interactions*, Nucl. Phys. 10, 107, 1959.
- [6] A. Salam, *Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions*, Conf.Proc.C 680519 (1968) 367-377.
- [7] S. Weinberg, *A Model of Leptons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264.
- [8] Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), *Evidence for Oscillation of Atmospheric Neutrinos*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562, 1998.
- [9] Q. R. Ahmad, et al. (SNO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301, 2001.
- [10] B. Pontecorvo, *Neutrino Experiments and the Problem of Conservation of Leptonic Charge*, Sov.Phys.JETP 26 (1968) 984-988, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 53 (1967) 1717-1725.
- [11] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, *Remarks on the Unified Model of Elementary Particles*, Prog.Theor.Phys. 28 (1962) 870-880.
- [12] P. A. Zyla, et. al (Particle Data group), *Neutrino mixing*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020, 083C01, (2020).
- [13] H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics (2nd ed.)*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, ISBN 978-0-201-02918-5, (1980).
- [14] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014).
- [15] I. Arraut, *The origin of the mass of the Nambu-Goldstone bosons*, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 33 (2018) 07, 1850041.
- [16] I. Arraut, *The Quantum Yang Baxter conditions: The fundamental relations behind the Nambu-Goldstone theorem*, Symmetry 11 (2019) 803.
- [17] I. Arraut, *The Nambu-Goldstone theorem in non-relativistic systems*, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 32 (2017) 1750127.