

Breaking Walls: Pioneering Automatic Speech Recognition for Central Kurdish: End-to-End Transformer Paradigm

Abdulhady Abas Abdullah1*, Hadi Veisi2, Tarik Rashid3

1,3 Computer Science and Engineering Department, University of Kurdistan Hewler, Hewler, Kurdistan, Iraq.

2 University of Tehran, Faculty of New Sciences and Technologies - h.veisi@ut.ac.ir

Abstract

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR), as an interesting field of speech processing, is utilized in real applications and is implemented using various techniques amongst which the artificial neural network is the most popular. Increasing the performance, making these systems robust to noise and developing this technology for low-resource languages is among the current challenges. This paper addresses the development of an ASR system for the Central Kurdish language (CKB), as a low-resource language, using end to end transformers. Kurdish, as an Indo-European language, is categorized into three main dialects, i.e., Central Kurdish (i.e., Sorani), North Kurdish (Kirmanji), and South Kurdish which is spoken by more than 30 million people. In this research, a speech corpus of size 224 hours is collected using various sources. Then, this corpus is used to train the transformer-based acoustic model. A transfer learning technique is also utilized in training acoustic models. As a result of these efforts, our optimal model attains state-of-the-art results on the Asosoft test set, achieving a Word Error Rate (WER) of 13%. This accomplishment signifies a notable advancement in ASR technology for the Central Kurdish language, particularly in the context of low-resource languages.

Index Terms— Kurdish Language, Automatic Speech Recognition, Deep Learning, Transformers

1. Introduction

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) is a popular and interesting field that translates a row of speech data into a series of words using a computer algorithm. The ASR field aims to build processes and systems for using speech to communicate with machines (Gaikwad et al., 2010). The ASR challenge requires three various resource amounts of large data parts by traditional speech techniques for train acoustic, lexicon and language models (Hagen and Morris., 2005).

End-to-end deep neural networks (DNNs) have indeed gained significant attention in the field of speech recognition in recent years. Traditionally, speech recognition systems were built using a pipeline of multiple components, such as feature extraction, acoustic modeling, and language modeling. However, end-to-end approaches aim to directly map input speech signals to transcriptions without the need for intermediate stages. Despite its efficiency, this approach relies heavily on extensive labeled data. The integration of acoustic and linguistic models within a unified architecture, optimized cohesively, characterizes this methodology. Notably, recent advancements in end-to-end models have demonstrated superior performance compared to

traditional models in ASR tasks across diverse languages and datasets, marking a significant leap forward in speech recognition technology.

Large collections of labeled training data are essential for DNN. Indeed, there is not enough data in the low resource languages to train the DNN. However, in many situations labeled data is significantly more difficult to obtain than unlabeled data: for example, the vast majority of the approximately 7,000 languages spoken worldwide (Lewis et al., 2016) do not have access to the hundreds of hours of transcribed speech that are needed for speech recognition systems to perform satisfactorily. Nevertheless, ASR models need significantly more data in spoken or noisy contexts in order to learn the variability of speech content.

A common research approach for end-to-end modeling involves partially supervising or self-supervising the model's pre-training. Pretraining allows models to reduce the parameter searching space and alleviate over-fitting by learning general representation and fitting downstream tasks from an initial state of suitability (Baevski et al., 2020).

This work focuses on applying Self-supervised learning for ASR pre-trained using English speech to solve low-resource ASR tasks in Kurdish language. The following sums up the main contributions of our work:

- 1) In this work, we have collected and created a large speech corpus for CKB that recorded 224 hours of voices by 7,968 Kurdish speakers, in different parts of Kurdistan.
- 2) We show that self-supervised learning can work well on the real resource-scarce ASR task, more specifically for the CKB language.
- 3) We conduct a substantial number of experiments and thorough analyses, leading us to uncover numerous intriguing and significant phenomena.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, the related works with speech recognition technology are described. Section 3 delves into the creation and design of the speech corpus specifically tailored for the CKB language. The proposed method and the architecture of the applied model for the CKB language ASR system are explained in Section 4. In Section 5, the results and discussions are presented. This paper is then concluded in Section 6.

2. Related Works

This section provides an overview of recent research that employs Transformers, Convolutional Neural Network (CNN/ConvNet), and Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) techniques in the field of ASR across different languages.

In a study conducted by Wang et al. (2020), they explored two methods, namely Transformers and CTC, to develop an advanced ASR system for English. They trained their model on the Librispeech dataset, achieving a 19% Word Error Rate (WER).

Kim and Kang (2021) introduced K-Wav2Vec 2.0, an adapted version of Wav2vec 2.0 (Baevski et al., 2020), designed for Korean ASR. They enhanced several aspects of the original Wav2vec 2.0 using common techniques like Transformers, CNNs, and CTC. Their model was trained on the Ksponspeech and Clovacall databases, resulting in a WER of 13.27%.

In the work by Zouhair (2021), the primary objective was to create an end-to-end ASR model for the Arabic language to improve its performance. They applied Transformer, CNN, and CTC techniques and utilized the Mozilla speech corpus for training, achieving a frame error rate of 24.4%.

Gupta et al. (2022) presented an end-to-end ASR model designed for low-resource languages, utilizing Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC), Transformer, CNN, and CTC techniques. They employed the Mozilla dataset to build their models, achieving WERs of 16.3% for Kurmanji, 48% for Cree, and 60.3% for Inuksuit.

Imaizumi et al. (2020) focused on developing an end-to-end ASR system tailored for Japanese individuals with athetoid cerebral palsy and articulation difficulties. They applied conventional techniques like Transformer, CNN, and CTC, training their model on data from the Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (CSJ). Their Japanese ASR model achieved an overall WER of 18.6%.

A summary of the relevant literature can be found in Table 1. In conclusion, the Transformer technique has proven to be highly effective in improving speech recognition performance across various languages, particularly in low-resource language scenarios, as outlined in this table.

Table 1 Summary of review on Transformer technique for speech recognition.

No.	Language	Dataset	Technique(s)	Performance	Reference
1.	China	HKUST	Transformer end-to-end, Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), and CTC	CER= 28.0%	(Zhou et al., 2018)
2.	English	Librispeech	Transformer end-to-end, CNN, and CTC	WER = 19%	(Wang et al., 2020)
3.	Japanese	Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (CSJ)	Transformer end-to-end, CNN, and CTC	WER= 19%	(Imaizumi et al., 2020)
4.	Russian	OpenSTT	Transformer end-to-end, RNN-Transducer, and CTC	WER = 18.6%	(Andrusenko et al., 2020)
5.	Korean	Ksponspeech, Clovacall	Transformer end-to-end, CNN, and CTC	WER= 13.27	(Kim and Kang., 2021)
6.	Arabic	Mozilla	Transformer end-to-end, CNN, and CTC	WER= 24.40%	(Zouhair., 2021)
7.	Turkish	IARPA	Transformer end-to-end, CNN, and CTC	WER= 25%	(Laptev et al., 2021)

8.	Japan	APS and SPS	Transformer end-to-end, RNN, and CTC	CER = 0.7%	(Mori et al., 2021)
9.	Kurmanji Kurdish, Cree, and Inuksuit	Mozilla	bidirectional LSTM, MFCC, Transformer, CNN, and CTC	Kurmanji WER= 16.3%, Cree WER= 48% and Inuktut WER= 60.3%	(Gupta et al., 2022)
10.	Persian	Mozilla	Transformer end-to-end, CNN, and CTC	WER= 6.45%	(Abaskohi et al., 2022)

3. Kurdish Speech Corpus

This section presents details of creation and collection of speech corpus for CKB language in this study.

3.1 Corpus Design

The primary objective behind the creation and compilation of the CKB Speech Corpus is to facilitate its utilization for developing ASR systems. In the process of designing this corpus, the initial step involves selecting a set of sentences. It is imperative that the chosen corpus sentences adequately capture the acoustic variations inherent in Central Kurdish. Drawing inspiration from prior works, specifically those in English for TIMIT (Garofolo, 1993), in Persian for FarsDat (Bijankhan et al., 1994), and in Kurdish for Asosoft (Veisi et al., 2021), we have formulated the concept of developing a dedicated speech corpus for CKB.

In alignment with this objective, we meticulously crafted and generated approximately 1,000 sentences to encompass the diverse acoustic nuances present in the CKB language. These sentences encompass a range of linguistic variations and are representative of different genders within the language. The detailed statistics of these sentences are provided in Table 2.

Table 2 Sentences that are used in the CKB corpus.

Topics	Number of sentences
History	50
Geography	50
Sports	100
Religious	50
General	50
News	50

Health	50
Weather	50
Arts	50
Science and Technology	50
Poetry	100
Economy	50
Very common	50
Facebook comment	50
Government	50
Normal	100
Total	1000

Some samples of the sentences designed to create a speech corpus for CKB are listed in Table 3.

Table 3 Some samples of the designed sentences in the CKB speech corpus.

<i>Title of sentences</i>	Kurdish	English
<i>Sport</i>	بۆ ئامادەبوون لە یاریگەدا و بینینی یاریی سعودیە و ژاپۆن.	To attend the stadium and see Saudi and Japanese games.
<i>Health</i>	دکتۆرەکان داوای ئۆکسجینی زیاتر ئەکەن بۆ نەخۆشیەکانی کۆرۆنا	Doctors request more oxygen for corona diseases
<i>News</i>	تەنیا کارە پرۆفیشنەلەکان لێرە نمایش دەکرین	Only professional works are shown here.
<i>History</i>	کوردەکان نەتەوایەتی نیشتمانیی رۆژھەلاتی ناوینن	The Kurds are a nation residing in the Middle East.

Also, a subset of the above sentences can be used as the test set in a speech recognition system; a competitive speech recognition system must perform well in multiple domains, particularly for sentences that are not part of the training set. To evaluate ASR systems, we used the AsoSoft test set¹ (Veisi et al.,2022).

¹ <https://github.com/AsoSoft/AsoSoft-Speech-Testset>

3.2 Data Speech Collection

The speech corpus stands as a fundamental data source crucial for the development of speech recognition systems. This section is a speech corpus designed specifically for the target language. delineates the procedure employed for collecting and constructing a speech corpus tailored to the target language. Initiating the corpus creation process, our primary steps involve gathering over 1,000 distinct sentences across all domains of the target language, as detailed in the preceding section. Subsequently, a Telegram bot is utilized for data recording, wherein sentences are randomly dispatched to users who read and record them.

This methodology resulted in the accumulation of 58 hours of recordings encompassing contributions from 1,405 speakers representing diverse genders, ages, and regions. Following the 58-hour collection phase, a meticulous manual inspection was conducted to ensure data cleanliness and normalization.

Typically, the audio files are recorded in the wav format, featuring specifications of 16,000 Hz, 16 bits, and mono. Each wav file is accompanied by a .txt file sharing the same name, containing the transcription and pronunciation of the recorded sentences, respectively. Table 4 provides an overview of how the data is organized for training, with each row documenting the audio file name, audio file size, and corresponding text files.

Table 4 Samples of the train set in the collected CKB ASR corpus

<i>mp3_filename</i>	<i>mp3_filesize</i> (Bytes)	<i>transcript</i>
<i>001MLU606.mp3</i>	152962	ههست به کیشه و نازار مکانی خه لک نه کم
<i>001MLU609.mp3</i>	170882	خه نه مکان پیش نه وه بینه دی له سیداره دران
<i>001MLU608.mp3</i>	148802	له کوریکدا تیرم باسی بیرورای خوم کرد

3.3 Central Kurdish Speech Corpus

One of the low resource languages is CKB. In recent years, several speech corpuses have been collected for CKB that can be used in speech processing tasks. This attracted the attention of researchers to the Kurdish language in the field of speech processing.

In this study, speech corpus consists into two sources: first, from different open sources dataset such as Mozilla (Ardila et al., 2019),

Asosoft (Veisi et al., 2022), and Sabat TTS dataset (Muhamad and Veisi., 2022); second, collected and recorded in this work, the total size of our speech corpus 58 hours.

As a result, our speech corpus used in this work summarized detail in Table 5.

Table 5 CKB speech corpus.

<i>Name dataset</i>	Total time	Number of speakers	Genders
<i>Our speech corpus</i>	58 H	5035	69% male, 31% female
<i>Mozilla ASR</i>	112 H	2356	51% male, 49% female
<i>Asosoft ASR</i>	43 H	576	56.7% male, 43.3% female
<i>Sabat TTS</i>	21 H	1	%100 female
Total	224 H	7968	59% male, 41%female

4. PROPOSED METHOD

As shown in Figure 1, a typical ASR system has three models, i.e., feature extraction, acoustic model (AM) and language model (LM), which are prepared during the training/development phase. In addition to the model estimation methods, these systems use two premier components, i.e., signal processing (feature-extraction), and hypothesis search (decoder). The audio signal is sent into the signal processing and feature extraction component, which improves speech by eliminating noises and channel distortions, translates the signal from time to frequency domain, and generates prominent feature vectors appropriate for the following acoustic models. The acoustic model combines acoustics and phonetics information, uses the features extracted by the feature extraction component as input, and provides an AM score for the variable-length feature sequence. In the final step, the output obtained from the AM model is quality enhanced by LM in the decode section of the network.




Figure 1 The ASR Structure of this paper.

4.1 ASR Architecture

In our proposed method, we have used Wav2Vec 2.0 (Baevski et al., 2020) in which three major components make up the main architecture of the transformer model that are presented in Figure 4.2.

- 1D CNN is used as feature extraction that processes the raw waveform in speech signal input to get latent representation (i.e., Z in Figure 2),
- Transformer layers is used to create acoustic model (i.e., C in Figure 2)
- Linear projection is used to generate the output with CTC and a n-gram language model (i.e., L in Figure 4.2).




Figure 2 Illustration of wav2vec 2.0 system, which simultaneously learns a database of discretized speech units and contextualized speech representations (Baevski et al., 2020).

In the Wav2Vec 2.0 framework (Baevski et al., 2020), the training of an ASR model consists of the following steps: Data Preparation, Tokenizer, Feature Extractor, Preprocess Data, and Training. The steps are explained in a series of Figure 3.




Figure 3 General steps to train a Transformer model

4.1.1 Data Preparation and Tokenizer

The Data Preparation is the first step to train an ASR system as is displayed in Figure 4. This part consists of the following steps:

- Loading the database consists of the train set (%90 of the train corpus), test set (the Asosoft test set), and the validation set (10%).
- Remove irrelevant columns in the dataset like (age speaker, name speaker, and gender).
- Normalization of sentences and standardization of Kurdish characters.




Figure 4 Load dataset and prepare data.

The second phase involves developing and utilizing a tokenizer for a sentence text corpus, specifically employing a BERT tokenizer with a token size of 500,000. Drawing inspiration from the Asosoft text corpus, a noteworthy linguistic dataset, we can adapt and implement the BERT tokenizer for effective natural language processing. This tokenizer will break down the text into smaller units, or tokens, enhancing the model's understanding of intricate linguistic nuances within the dataset. By referencing the Asosoft text corpus ²(veisi et al., 2020), we leverage a diverse and rich source of language data to fine-tune the tokenizer for optimal performance in subsequent stages of the workload.

4.1.2 Preprocess Data

The third step is Preprocess Data which is applied to the speech corpus, as shown in Figure 5. This section consists of the following steps:

- Convert speech signal to NumPy array extract sampling rate for each speech signal.

² <https://github.com/AsoSoft/AsoSoft-Text-Corpus>

- Apply resample waveform row to 16000 sampling rates (if necessary).
- Extracting features that are discussed in the next section.




Figure 5 Apply preprocessing for speech corpus.

4.1.3 Extracting Features using CNN

The primary tasks of feature extraction and encoding in speech signal processing involve reducing and organizing the features of raw speech signals. This process transforms the speech signal into a sequence of feature vectors ($Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_T$), each computed every 20 to 25 milliseconds.

In our approach, we adopt a simple CNN architecture with seven layers, all one-dimensional, and each layer containing 512 channels. This architecture is illustrated in Figure 6. Our CNN model takes spectrogram inputs with a maximum image width of 256, representing the number of windows in the signal.

To handle audio files of varying lengths in our dataset, we standardize them to a fixed duration of 12 seconds. This duration corresponds to the 75th percentile of the dataset's audio samples. We made this choice based on the understanding that the frequency variations crucial for defining the acoustic properties of speech data persist throughout dialogues and are not significantly affected by the audio file length.

The final layer of our CNN, just before classification into different classes, receives the output of the last Fully Connected (FC) layer. The optimization method employed during training is Adadelta.




Figure 6 The features extraction architecture (Baevski et al., 2020).

Before sending the waveform to the network, it is normalized, and as we move up the network, the kernel width and strides of the convolutional layers increase smaller. The feature encoder may receive 400 samples, or 25 milliseconds, of audio in total (audio data is encoded at a sample rate of 16 kHz).

4.1.4 Acoustic Modelling

Figure 7 shows the architecture of Wav2vec version 2.0 acoustic model encoder with Transformer, which processes the latent feature vectors through the 12-layer BASE mesh transform of the model and the 24-layer for the LARGE mesh, which is its central component. The input sequence must first pass through the feature project layer to expand to match the internal dimension of the Transformer encoder, the dimension varies between 512 (CNN output) to 768 for BASE or 1,024 for LARGE. The way positional information is added to the input differs from the original Transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017). In the initial version, fixed pre-generated positional embeddings were added to the input vectors because the self-attention operation of the Transformer doesn't retain the order of the input sequence. Instead, the Wav2Vec model employs a fresh grouped convolution layer to discover relative positional embeddings on its own.




Figure 7 The Transformer encoder network architecture (Baevski et al., 2020).

I have conducted training on the Wav2Vec2 model specifically for the CKB language. In the decoder phase Wav2Vec2 incorporates the ctc algorithm during its fine-tuning process. This algorithm effectively addresses the issue of aligning audio inputs of varying lengths with their corresponding textual outputs, which differ in length. Due to its capacity for contextualized audio classification and the absence of alignment challenges, Wav2Vec2 does not necessitate an external language model or dictionary to produce satisfactory transcription results for languages with abundant resources. However, CKB is categorized as a low-resource language. It is evident from the appended data that the integration of Wav2Vec2 with a language model can significantly enhance performance. For this purpose, in this work we use language models trained by n-gram from a large text corpus of 300 million tokens for target language (Abdullah and Veisi, 2022). As a result, our model was enhanced in accuracy and performance through language models.

5. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we describe the parameters used for training, and the results obtained for the proposed ASR system and compare the results with the reference method.

5.1 ASR Evaluation Criteria

ASR systems' performance is usually measured in terms of accuracy and speed. Accuracy is generally measured in terms of performance accuracy, usually expressed as a word error rate (WER), whereas speed is described as a real-time factor. Single Word Error Rate (SWER) and Command Error Rate (CSR) are two other accuracy measures. Word Error Rate (WER) and Word Recognition Rate (WRR) are used to evaluate the speech recognizer's performance (Wigington et al., 2017). Several types of word errors include insertions, substitutions, and

deletions. Finally, the following equations are used to calculate the word error rate and word recognition rate.

$$\text{Word Error Rate}(\%) = \frac{\text{Insertion}(I) + \text{Substitution}(S) + \text{Deletion}(D)}{\text{No. of Reference Words}(N)} * 100 \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{Word Recognition Rate (WRR)} = 1 - \text{WER} = \frac{N - S - D - I}{N} \quad (4.2)$$

Character Error Rate (CER) is another popular measure of ASR system performance. CER is similar to WER but acts on the character instead of the word.

5.2 Implementation Environment and Hyper-Parameters

In this section, we provide a detailed discussion on the dataset and hyperparameters utilized for the training of our ASR model for CKB. The training exercises were conducted on a Linux machine equipped with 16 GB RAM, 500 GB SSD storage, and a K80 GPU boasting 80 GB of memory. Furthermore, the training of the encoder part of that acoustic model was undertaken using the Large CKB speech corpus, which comprises 224 hours of data.

To optimize the training process, we partitioned the corpus into training and validation segments, allocating 90% for training and 10% for validation. This division resulted in the training set comprising 7171.2 sentences, equivalent to 201.6 hours of data, while the validation set contained 796.8 sentences, amounting to 22.4 hours of data.

Additionally, to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of the model, we employed the Asosoft speech test set (Veisi et al., 2022) as an external test set. This test set is uniquely designed for assessing Central Kurdish ASR systems. It includes a diverse array of 100 sentences from 11 different fields such as religion, sports, and science. These sentences were meticulously selected and refined from various online sources. Recorded by eight speakers in an office environment, the test set accumulates to 1.25 hours of speech. This strategic arrangement is instrumental in providing a robust and exhaustive testing framework, which is essential for evaluating the performance of Kurdish ASR systems in real-world scenarios.

In addition, the acoustic model for Kurdish was trained with specific parameter values indicated in Table 6. Notably, `attention_dropout`, `hidden_dropout`, and `feat_proj_dropout` were set at 0.1, 0.1, and 0, respectively, introducing regularization at different layers. The `layerdrop` parameter was set to 1, enabling the possibility of dropping entire layers during training for enhanced robustness. `Gradient_checkpointing` was employed to optimize memory usage during backpropagation. The `per_device_train_batch_size` was 8, determining the number of training samples processed in each iteration. The training spanned 100 epochs, indicating the number of times the entire dataset was processed.

Furthermore, the model operates in UTF-8 mode tailored for the CKB language, wherein the network directly generates UTF-8 sequence alphabets as output, circumventing the need for an intermediary alphabet-to-phoneme mapping.

Table 6 Transformer parameters

Parameters	Values
attention_dropout	0.1
hidden_dropout	0.1
feat_proj_dropout	.0
layerdrop	1
gradient_checkpointing	True
per_device_train_batch_size	8
num_train_epochs	100

5.2 Experimental and Evaluating Results

In this section, WER is reported for three pre-train models: xls-r-300m, xls-r-1b, xls-r-2b. All models are trained, validated, and tested on the same speech corpus. The results of Table 7 WER are reported for ASR trained models on the same parameters. As well as, the parameters are listed in Table 6 .

Table 7 Evaluating WER on the Validation Set of the CKB Speech Corpus and the Asosoft Test Set

Pre-Train	LM	Validation (WER)	Asosoft Testset
			(WER)
xls-r-300m	Without-LM	24.2	36.6
	4-gram	14.8	18.6
	3-gram	13.2	17.0
xls-r-1b	Without-LM	22.1	27.9
	4-gram	13.3	16.2

	3-gram	12.4	15.2
xls-r-2b	Without-LM	20.1	26.5
	4-gram	12.9	15.7
	3-gram	11.7	13.6

The CKB-XLS-R-2B model, which boasts the lowest WER for CKB at 13.6%. This model's development involved an extensive strategy, utilizing a Kurdish-specific speech corpus of 224 hours. Its architecture relies on a transformer acoustic model, renowned for its proficiency in identifying complex sequential data patterns. Additionally, the adaptation of the decoder stage in the 3-gram language model employs the CTC technique, significantly boosting its overall performance and accuracy. The model was fine-tuned from the XLS-R-2B, a large-scale model supportive of various languages, to finely align with Kurdish language characteristics. This careful amalgamation of a substantial, language-specific speech database, a robust transformer-based acoustic model, and a finely optimized language model was instrumental in attaining the notable WER of 13.6. As a result, this model is a benchmark in ASR for the CKB language. The CKB-XLS-R-2B model's success not only furthers technology use for CKB speakers but also imparts crucial advancements in the field of ASR for a range of Kurdish delicate and applications.

Table 8 A Comparative Study of Earlier CKB Models and Our Current Model on the Asosoft Testset

Method	Pre-train size model	Train Corpus	WER
Jira (Veisi et al., 2022)	No used	43 hours	18.4
LSTM + 3-gram (Abdullah and Veisi, 2022)	960 hours	43 hours	22.0
Transformer + 3-gram	xls-r-2b	224 hours	13.6

In the rapidly evolving field of ASR for CKB language, our model, the CKB-XLS-R-2B, stands out as a cutting-edge solution designed to enhance the accuracy and efficiency of speech recognition for the Kurdish language. This model, developed utilizing a transformer-based deep learning approach and a 3-gram language model, has achieved an impressive WER of 13.6. When compared to other Kurdish ASR models as noted in Table 8, its superiority is evident. For instance, the LSTM + 3-gram model, as explored in research (Abdullah and Veisi, 2022), recorded a WER of 22.0, while the Jira model, detailed in another study (Veisi et al., 2022), reached a WER of 18.4. These significant differences in WER highlight the advanced performance of the CKB-XLS-R-2B model, marking it as a frontrunner in the development of Kurdish ASR technologies.

6. Summary and Conclusions

Building systems and processes for using speech to communicate with machines is the goal of the ASR field. In this study, we described our efforts to build and implement the ASR for CKB using Transformer end to end and the constraints that go along with it, such as a speech corpus and a language model without a static pronunciation lexicon. Transformer end to end has become a very important topic for researchers over the past few years. In addition, without using a phonetic lexicon, we apply a combination of transfer learning and language model adaptation to customize generic models to the unique properties of our data. Furthermore, research examining the efficacy of integrating transfer learning with language model adaptation for the low resource (Kurdish Language) ASR is limited. For low-resource data, the outcomes of transfer learning and language model adaptation are presented. As a result, the proposed Transformer algorithm reported excellent results for Kurdish.

The best result for CKB was the Transformer model with the lowest WER of 13%. As a result, the Transformer model is now the best one for CKB. Because the pre-train model is taught with a lot of data, it performs well in a variety of noisy scenarios and needs less training data than prior models. Finally, we concluded that in order to create a high-quality ASR system for Kurdish language that performs very well in the face of noise and complex environments, it requires about 1000 hours of labeled speech data for the target language.

Reference

- Abaskohi, A., Mortazavi, F. And Moradi, H., 2022. Automatic Speech Recognition For Speech Assessment Of Preschool Children. Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:2203.12886.
- Abdullah, A.A. and Veisi, H., 2022. Central Kurdish Automatic Speech Recognition using Deep Learning. *Journal of university of Anbar for Pure science*, 16(2).
- Andrusenko, A., Laptev, A. and Medennikov, I., 2020. Exploration of end-to-end asr for openstt–russian open speech-to-text dataset. In *Speech and Computer: 22nd International Conference, SPECOM 2020, St. Petersburg, Russia, October 7–9, 2020, Proceedings 22* (pp. 35-44). Springer International Publishing.
- Andrusenko, A., Laptev, A. and Medennikov, I., 2020. Towards a competitive end-to-end speech recognition for CHiME-6 dinner party transcription. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.10799*.
- Ardila, R., Branson, M., Davis, K., Henretty, M., Kohler, M., Meyer, J., Morais, R., Saunders, L., Tyers, F.M. and Weber, G., 2019. Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.06670.
- Baevski, A., Zhou, Y., Mohamed, A. And Auli, M., 2020. Wav2vec 2.0: A Framework For Self-Supervised Learning Of Speech Representations. *Advances In Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, Pp.12449-12460.
- Bijankhan M, Sheykhzadegan J, Bahrani M, Ghayoomi M. Lessons From Building A Persian Written Corpus: Peykare. *Language Resources And Evaluation*. 2011 May;45(2):143-64.
- Garofolo, J. S., 1993. Timit Acoustic Phonetic Continuous Speech Corpus. Linguistic Data Consortium.
- Gupta, V. And Boulianne, G., Progress ,2022, In *Multilingual Speech Recognition For Low Resource Languages Kurmanji Kurdish, Cree And Inuktut*.

Hagen, A. and Morris, A., 2005. Recent advances in the multi-stream HMM/ANN hybrid approach to noise robust ASR. *Computer Speech & Language*, 19(1), pp.3-30.

Imaizumi, R., Masumura, R., Shiota, S. and Kiya, H., 2020, December. Dialect-aware modeling for end-to-end Japanese dialect speech recognition. In *2020 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC)* (pp. 297-301). IEEE.

Kim, J. And Kang, P., 2021. K-Wav2vec 2.0: Automatic Speech Recognition Based On Joint Decoding Of Graphemes And Syllables. *Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:2110.05172*.

Lapteva, A., Andrusenko, A., Podluzhny, I., Mitrofanov, A., Medennikov, I. And Matveev, Y., 2021. Dynamic Acoustic Unit Augmentation With Bpe-Dropout For Low-Resource End-To-End Speech Recognition. *Sensors*, 21(9), P.3063.

Lewis, M. P., Simon, G. F., & Fennig, C. D. (2016). *Ethnologue: Languages of the world* (nineteenth edition). Retrieved from <http://www.ethnologue.com>

Mori, D., Ohta, K., Nishimura, R., Ogawa, A. And Kitaoka, N., 2021, December. Advanced Language Model Fusion Method For Encoder-Decoder Model In Japanese Speech Recognition. In *2021 Asia-Pacific Signal And Information Processing Association Annual Summit And Conference (Apsipa Asc)* (Pp. 503-510). Ieee.

Muhamad, S. and Veisi, H., 2022. End-to-End Kurdish Speech Synthesis Based on Transfer Learning. *Passer Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 4(2), pp.150-160.

Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, Ł. And Polosukhin, I., 2017. Attention Is All You Need. *Advances In Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30.

Veisi, H., Hosseini, H., Mohammadamini, M., Fathy, W. And Mahmudi, A., 2022. Jira: A Central Kurdish Speech Recognition System, Designing And Building Speech Corpus And Pronunciation Lexicon. *Language Resources And Evaluation*, Pp.1-25.

Veisi, H., MohammadAmini, M. and Hosseini, H., 2020. Toward Kurdish language processing: Experiments in collecting and processing the AsoSoft text corpus. *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities*, 35(1), pp.176-193.

Wang, C., Tang, Y., Ma, X., Wu, A., Okhonko, D. and Pino, J., 2020. fairseq s2t: Fast speech-to-text modeling with fairseq. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.05171*.

Wigington, C., Stewart, S., Davis, B., Barrett, B., Price, B. and Cohen, S., 2017, November. Data augmentation for recognition of handwritten words and lines using a CNN-LSTM network. In *2017 14th IAPR international conference on document analysis and recognition (ICDAR)* (Vol. 1, pp. 639-645). IEEE.

Zhou, S., Dong, L., Xu, S. And Xu, B., 2018. Syllable-Based Sequence-To-Sequence Speech Recognition With The Transformer In Mandarin Chinese. *Arxiv Preprint Arxiv:1804.10752*.

Zouhair, T., 2021. Automatic Speech Recognition For Low-Resource Languages Using Wav2vec2: Modern Standard Arabic (Msa) As An Example Of A Low-Resource Language.