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#### Abstract

A classical result of Cheng states that the bottom spectrum of complete manifolds of fixed dimension and Ricci curvature lower bound achieves its maximal value on the corresponding hyperbolic space. The paper establishes an analogous result for three-dimensional complete manifolds with scalar curvature lower bound subject to some necessary topological assumptions. The rigidity issue is also addressed and a splitting theorem is obtained for such manifolds with the maximal bottom spectrum.


## 1. Introduction

The spectrum of the Laplacian $\Delta$ of a complete manifold $M$ is a closed subset of the half line $[0, \infty)$. Its smallest value is called the bottom spectrum of $M$ and denoted by $\lambda_{1}(M)$. Alternatively, it is characterized as the optimal constant of the Poincaré inequality

$$
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M} \varphi^{2} \leq \int_{M}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}
$$

for all smooth functions $\varphi$ with compact support.
A classical comparison result due to Cheng 6 provides a sharp upper bound for the bottom spectrum $\lambda_{1}(M)$ of an $n$-dimensional complete manifold $M$ with its Ricci curvature Ric $\geq-(n-1) K$ for some nonnegative constant $K$. Namely,

$$
\lambda_{1}(M) \leq \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} K
$$

Naturally, one may wonder if the result remains true under a scalar curvature lower bound. The answer is obviously negative in the case $n \geq 4$. Indeed, the product manifold $\mathbb{H}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}(r)$ of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ with the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}(r)$ of radius $r$ has positive bottom spectrum, yet its scalar curvature can be made into an arbitrary positive constant by choosing $r$ small. We are thus led to consider the case of dimension three.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a three-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature $S \geq-6 K$ on $M$ for some constant $K \geq 0$. Assume that the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded from below by a constant. Then the bottom spectrum of $M$ satisfies $\lambda_{1}(M) \leq K$ provided that either of the topological assumptions $(A)$ or $(B)$ is satisfied.
(A) The second homology group $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ of $M$ contains no spherical classes;
(B) $M$ has finitely many ends and its first Betti number $b_{1}(M)<\infty$.

Recall that an end of $M$ with respect to a bounded (smooth) domain $\Omega$ is simply an unbounded component of $M \backslash \Omega$, and $M$ is said to have finitely many ends if
the number of ends is always bounded by a fixed constant regardless of $\Omega$. We point out that the theorem has been proved by the authors in 17 for the case (B) under an additional assumption that the volume of unit balls does not decay too fast at infinity. The following example indicates the necessity of the topological assumptions.

Example 1.2. Consider the connected sum $N=\left(\mathbb{S}^{2} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \#\left(\mathbb{S}^{2} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$. According to [8, 21], $N$ carries a metric with positive scalar curvature. So the scalar curvature of the pull-back metric on its universal cover $M$ is positive as well. Note that the first fundamental group of $N$ is a free group on two generators. In particular, it is non-amenable. By a result of Brooks [4], the bottom spectrum of $M$ equipped with the pull-back metric satisfies $\lambda_{1}(M)>0$.

Clearly, the estimate of Theorem 1.1 fails for $M$. This failure is due to the fact that both topological conditions (A) and (B) are violated. Indeed, for condition (A), while the first Betti number of $M$ is 0 , the number of ends of $M$ must be infinite as this is the case for the free group on two generators. For condition (B), obviously, $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains spherical classes.

Note that the estimate in Theorem 1.1 is sharp for the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. As such, we consider the corresponding rigidity issue and prove the following result.
Theorem 1.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete three-dimensional manifold with scalar curvature bounded by $S \geq-6 K$ and bottom of spectrum $\lambda_{1}(M)=K$ for some constant $K>0$. Then either $M$ has no finite volume end or $M=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$, the warped product of a line and the flat torus with

$$
d s_{M}^{2}=d t^{2}+e^{2 \sqrt{K} t} d s_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}^{2}
$$

provided that $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes and that the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded from below by a constant.

Theorem 1.3 is very much motivated by the work of Li and the second author [13, 14, where they have addressed the similar rigidity question for the aforementioned result of Cheng.
Theorem 1.4 (Li-Wang). Suppose $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ is complete, $n \geq 3$, with $\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{4} K$ and Ric $\geq-(n-1) K$. Then either $M$ is connected at infinity or $M^{n}=\mathbb{R} \times N^{n-1}$, for some compact $N$ with $d s_{M}^{2}=d t^{2}+e^{2 \sqrt{K}} t d s_{N}^{2}$ for $n \geq 3$ or $d s_{M}^{2}=d t^{2}+$ $\cosh ^{2}(\sqrt{K} t) d s_{N}^{2}$ when $n=3$.

The result says that $M$ must split off a line in an explicit manner if it is not connected at infinity. It may also be viewed as a suitable generalization of the famous Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem [5] for manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature. In comparison, Theorem 1.3 is considerably weaker as it fails to say anything when all ends are of infinite volume. Surprisingly, this happens for good reasons. In fact, the following example shows that even within the class of warped product manifolds the metrics with $S \geq-6$ and $\lambda_{1}(M)=1$ are not unique. Consequently, a strict analogue of Theorem 1.4 is not to be expected.
Example 1.5. Let $M=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$ with $d s_{M}^{2}=d t^{2}+\cosh ^{\frac{2}{a}}(a t) d s_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}^{2}$, where $1 \leq a \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Then the scalar curvature of $M$ satisfies

$$
S=-6+(6-4 a) \cosh ^{-2}(a t) \geq-6
$$

and $\lambda_{1}(M)=1$ as the positive function $u(t)=\cosh ^{-\frac{1}{a}}($ at $)$ satisfies

$$
\Delta u=(a-1) \sinh ^{2}(a t) \cosh ^{-\left(2+\frac{1}{a}\right)}(a t)-a \cosh ^{-\frac{1}{a}}(a t) \leq-u
$$

Among this family of manifolds, only the one corresponding to $a=1$ appears in rigidity result Theorem 1.4 as all others violate the Ricci lower bound. Note also that $M$ has constant scalar curvature $S=-6$ for $a=\frac{3}{2}$.

We now indicate some of the ideas involved in the proof of Theorem 1.1. To do so, let us first quickly recall the argument in [17. Assume by contradiction that $\lambda_{1}(M)>K$. Then $M$ is necessarily nonparabolic and admits positive Green's functions. The assumption on the volume of unit balls is used to show that the minimal positive Green's function $G(p, x)$ of $M$ with fixed $p$ goes to zero at infinity. Moreover, the topological assumption (B) ensures the regular level sets $l(t)$ of $G$ are necessarily connected compact surfaces on each end. The proof proceeds as in [16] by taking $\varphi=\psi|\nabla G|^{1 / 2}$ as a test function in the Poincaré inequality with the cut-off function $\psi$ chosen to depend on the function $G$ as well. One is then led to integrate the following Bochner formula over the level sets $l(t)$.

$$
\Delta|\nabla G|=\left(\left|G_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla G| |^{2}\right)|\nabla G|^{-1}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla G, \nabla G)|\nabla G|^{-1}
$$

The Ricci curvature term is handled by first rewriting it into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla G, \nabla G)|\nabla G|^{-2}=\frac{1}{2} S-\frac{1}{2} S_{l(t)}+\frac{1}{|\nabla G|^{2}}\left(|\nabla| \nabla G| |^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla^{2} G\right|^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then applying the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, where $S_{l(t)}$ is the scalar curvature of the level set $l(t)$.

The idea of rewriting the Ricci curvature term as (1.1) has origin in Schoen and Yau [20], where it was realized that on a minimal surface $N$ in a three-dimensional manifold $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(\nu, \nu)=\frac{1}{2} S-\frac{1}{2} S_{N}-\frac{1}{2}|A|^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nu, S_{N}$ and $A$ being the unit normal vector, the scalar curvature and the second fundamental form of $N$, respectively. An identity of the nature (1.2) was derived subsequently for any surface $N$, not necessarily minimal, by Jezierski and Kijowski in 11]. Recently, this kind of identity was discovered by Stern [23] as well for the level sets of harmonic functions. Level set methods have been recently applied in various settings in 1, 2, 3, 9,

Now for the proof of Theorem 1.1 without the volume lower bound assumption on the unit balls, the properness of the minimal positive Green's function is no longer guaranteed. As a result, one runs into the difficulty that the Gauss-Bonnet formula can not be directly applied for the level sets $l(t)$ as they may be noncompact. To overcome this difficulty, we modify the function $G$ by considering $u=G \psi$, where $\psi$ is a smooth cut-off function. While this guarantees that the positive level sets of $u$ are compact, the price we pay is that the function $u$ is no longer harmonic. This creates many new technical issues. For one, it becomes unclear whether the intersection of level sets of $u$ with each end is connected. To get around the issue, we consider only the component $L(t, \infty)$ of the super level set $\{u>t\}$ with the fixed pole $p \in L(t, \infty)$. The Gauss-Bonnet formula is then applied
to the compact connected surfaces obtained from the intersection of the boundary of $L(t, \infty)$ with each unbounded component of $M \backslash L(t, \infty)$. Another issue is that the Bochner formula for $u$ introduces many extra terms. Fortunately, those terms can be controlled with the help of the exponential decay estimate for the Green's function from [13] together with a judicious choice of the cut-off function $\psi$ based on a result from Schoen and Yau [22]. Actually, instead of $G$, we work with the so-called barrier function $f$, namely, a harmonic function defined on $M \backslash D$ for an arbitrarily large compact smooth domain $D$ such that $f=1$ on the boundary $\partial D$ of $D$ and $0<f<1$. The advantage is that it leads to a slightly stronger conclusion, that is, the smallest essential spectrum of $M$ is bounded from above by $K$ as well.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows more or less from that of Theorem 1.1 with a careful tracking of the constants. The advantage here is that we work with a globally defined positive harmonic function. So no interior boundary terms arise from the estimates. However, at one point, we do need to derive precise estimates to balance the boundary terms at the infinity of two ends.

Finally, we mention a splitting result for three dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature. It may be viewed as the limiting case of Theorem 1.3 by letting $K$ go to zero.
Theorem 1.6. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete three-dimensional parabolic manifold with its scalar curvature $S \geq 0$ and Ricci curvature bounded below by a constant. Assume that $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes. Then either $M$ is connected at infinity or it splits isometrically as a direct product $M=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$.

The proof relies on a monotonicity formula for harmonic functions originated in 17 and refined in 18 . In fact, a similar argument works for the case $M$ is nonparabolic as well provided that each nonparabolic end carries a proper barrier function.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove the bottom spectrum estimate Theorem 1.1) In Section 3 we present the proof of Theorem 1.3. Section 4 concerns splitting results for three-manifolds with non-negative scalar curvature.

Acknowledgment: We wish to thank Otis Chodosh for his interest in our work and for sharing Example 1.2 with us. We would also like to thank Florian Johne for his careful reading of the previous version and for his helpful comments. The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1811845 and by a Simons Foundation grant.

## 2. Spectral estimate

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first make some preparations without making any dimension restriction on manifold $M$. Denote by $r(x)$ the distance to a fixed point $p \in M$. From Theorem 4.2 in [22], we have a smooth distance-like function on an arbitrary dimensional manifold $M$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below by a constant. Then there exists a proper function $\rho \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{C} r \leq \rho \leq C r  \tag{2.1}\\
|\nabla \rho|+|\Delta \rho| \leq C
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla(\Delta \rho)| \leq C\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$.
Although (2.2) is not explicitly stated in [22], it follows immediately from the construction of $\rho$. For given $R>0$, let $\psi:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\psi=1$ on $(0, R)$ and $\psi=0$ on $(2 R, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R}, \quad\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R^{2}} \text { and }\left|\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R^{3}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing it with $\rho$, we obtain a cut-off function $\psi(x)=\psi(\rho(x))$. According to Lemma 2.1 it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla \psi|+|\Delta \psi| \leq \frac{C}{R} \text { and }|\nabla(\Delta \psi)| \leq \frac{C}{R}\left(\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|+1\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this section,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(t)=\{x \in M: \rho(x)<t\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\psi=1$ on $D(R)$ and $\psi=0$ on $M \backslash D(2 R)$.
We now assume that $(M, g)$ has positive spectrum, i.e.,

$$
\lambda_{1}(M)>0
$$

In particular, $(M, g)$ is nonparabolic, that is, it admits a positive symmetric Green's function. Then, for a given smooth connected bounded domain $D \subset M$, by Li-Tam [12], there a exists a barrier function $f>0$ on $M \backslash D$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta f=0 \text { on } M \backslash D  \tag{2.6}\\
& f=1 \text { on } \partial D \\
& \liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, such $f$ can be obtained as a limit $f=\lim _{R_{i} \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}$, where each $f_{i}$ is a harmonic function on $D\left(R_{i}\right) \backslash D$ with $f_{i}=1$ on $\partial D$ and $f_{i}=0$ on $\partial D\left(R_{i}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla f|^{2}<\infty$. In particular, by [15], for all $0<t \leq 1$ and $r \geq R_{0}$ with $D \subset D\left(R_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\partial D(r)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}=\int_{\{f=t\}}|\nabla f|=C_{0}>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the outward unit normal vector of $\partial D(r)$.
According to Cheng-Yau gradient estimate [7, when the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded below by a constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla \ln f| \leq C \quad \text { on } M \backslash D \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider the function $u$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=f \psi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to check the following lemma by (2.8) and (2.4).

Lemma 2.2. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded below by a constant. Then $u$ is harmonic on $D(R) \backslash D$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nabla u| & \leq C\left(u+\frac{1}{R}\right) \\
|\Delta u| & \leq \frac{C}{R} \\
|\nabla(\Delta u)| & \leq \frac{C}{R}\left(\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

on $M \backslash D$.
We extend both $f$ and $u$ to $M$ by setting $f=1$ and $u=1$ in $D$. For $0<t<1$, denote by $L(t, \infty)$ the connected component of the super level set $\{u>t\}$ that contains $D$. We furthermore denote with

$$
L(a, b)=L(a, \infty) \cap\{a<u<b\} .
$$

Note that all bounded components of $M \backslash D$ are contained in $L(t, \infty)$ as well. This is because each of them shares the boundary with $D$ and $f=1$ there. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(t)=\partial L(t, \infty) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u$ has compact support in $M$, the closure $\overline{L(t, \infty)}$ of $L(t, \infty)$ and its boundary $l(t)$ are compact in $M$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, and let $M_{t}$ be the union of all unbounded connected components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$. Then

$$
l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right) \subset M \backslash D(R)
$$

Proof. Write

$$
M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}=M_{t} \cup \Omega_{t}
$$

where $\Omega_{t}$ is the union of all bounded components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$. Clearly,

$$
l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right) \subset \partial \Omega_{t} \subset l(t)
$$

Note that $\partial M_{t} \subset l(t)$ and $u \leq f$. It follows that $f \geq t$ on $\partial M_{t}=\partial\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right)$. Since the function $f$ is superharmonic on the bounded set $M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}$, the strong maximum principle shows that $f>t$ in $M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}$. In particular, we have $f>t$ on $\bar{\Omega}_{t} \backslash \overline{M_{t}}$.

Now for $x_{1} \in\left(\partial \Omega_{t} \cap D(R)\right) \backslash \overline{M_{t}}$, we would have $u\left(x_{1}\right)>t$, as $f>t$ on $\bar{\Omega}_{t} \backslash \overline{M_{t}}$ and $u=f$ on $D(R)$. This contradicts with $\partial \Omega_{t} \subset l(t)$.

Therefore, $\left(\partial \Omega_{t} \cap D(R)\right) \backslash \overline{M_{t}}=\varnothing$. In conclusion, we have

$$
l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right) \subset M \backslash D(R)
$$

We need the following results concerning the connected components of $l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}$ under the topological assumption (A) or (B) in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.4. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional manifold such that its homology $H_{n-1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes. Let $M_{t}$ be the union of all unbounded connected components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$. Then either no component of $l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, or

$$
l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}=\partial M_{t} \quad \text { is connected. }
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
M \backslash l(t)=L(t, \infty) \cup M_{t} \cup \Omega_{t},
$$

where $\Omega_{t}$ is the union of all bounded connected components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$. Assume that $l_{1}(t) \subset l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}$ is spherical. As $H_{n-1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes, it follows that $M \backslash l_{1}(t)$ has a bounded connected component. However, since $M_{t}$ is unbounded, and as $l_{1}(t) \subset \overline{M_{t}}$, we conclude that this bounded component must be $L(t, \infty) \cup \overline{\Omega_{t}}$. In particular,

$$
l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}=l_{1}(t)
$$

is connected.
In the case that $M$ has finite first Betti number and finitely many, say $m$, ends, the smooth connected bounded domain $D$ may be chosen large enough to contain all representatives of the first homology group $H_{1}(M)$. Moreover, $M \backslash D$ has exactly $m$ unbounded connected components. Then the same holds true for $M \backslash \tilde{D}$ for any bounded domain $\tilde{D}$ with $D \subset \tilde{D}$. We have the following estimate on the number of components of $l(t)$, cf. 17.

Lemma 2.5. Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $m$ ends and finite first Betti number $b_{1}(M)$. Then for any $0<t<1$,

$$
l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}=\partial M_{t} \text { has } m \text { connected components, }
$$

where $M_{t}$ is the union of all unbounded connected components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$.
Proof. Recall that all representatives of the first homology $H_{1}(M)$ lie in $D$ and $M \backslash D$ has exactly $m$ unbounded components. Therefore, as $D \subset L(t, \infty)$, then $L(t, \infty)$ contains all representatives of $H_{1}(M)$ and $M_{t}$ has $m$ connected components for any $0<t<1$. Note also $\partial M_{t} \subset l(t)$.

For fixed $0<\delta<1$ such that $t+\delta<1$, define $U$ to be the union of $L(t, \infty)$ with all bounded components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t+\delta, \infty)}$ and $V$ the union of all unbounded components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t+\delta, \infty)}$. Since $M=U \cup V$, we have the following MayerVietoris sequence

$$
H_{1}(U) \oplus H_{1}(V) \xrightarrow{j_{x}} H_{1}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{0}(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{0}(U) \oplus H_{0}(V) \xrightarrow{j_{*}^{\prime}} H_{0}(M) .
$$

The map $j_{*}$ is onto because all representatives of $H_{1}(M)$ lie inside $U$. The map $j_{*}^{\prime}$ is also onto. Note also that $V$ has $m$ components and $U$ is connected. The latter is true because each component of $M \backslash \overline{L(t+\delta, \infty)}$ intersects with $L(t, \infty)$ as $\overline{L(t+\delta, \infty)} \subset L(t, \infty)$. We therefore obtain the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{0}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus . . \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $m+1$ summands. In conclusion,

$$
H_{0}(U \cap V)=\mathbb{Z} \oplus . . \oplus \mathbb{Z}
$$

with $m$ summands. Since $\delta>0$ can be arbitrarily small, this proves that

$$
l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}} \text { has } m \text { components }
$$

for $0<t<1$.
Recall that from [13],

$$
\int_{M \backslash B_{p}(r)} f^{2}(x) d x \leq C e^{-2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1}(M)} r}
$$

for all $r$ with $D \subset B_{p}(r)$. Therefore, by (2.1) and (2.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M \backslash D(r)} f^{2}(x) d x \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{C} r} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>R_{0}$. In particular, since $f \geq u$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}(L(\varepsilon, 1)) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{L(\varepsilon, 1)} u^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Vol}((M \backslash D(R)) \cap L(\varepsilon, 1)) & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{(M \backslash D(R)) \cap L(\varepsilon, 1)} u^{2}(x) d x  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{C} R}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us point out that $\{u>t\}$ may have other connected components in addition to $L(t, \infty)$. Denote with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{L}(t, \infty)=(\{u>t\} \backslash L(t, \infty)) \cap L(\varepsilon, 1) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the union of all such connected components contained in $L(\varepsilon, 1)$, and its boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{l}(t)=\partial \widetilde{L}(t, \infty) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result provides an area estimate for $\widetilde{l}(t)$.
Lemma 2.6. Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below by a constant. Then for any $0<\varepsilon<1$,

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \operatorname{Area}(\widetilde{l}(t)) d t \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}}}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $R$ and $\varepsilon$.
$\underset{\sim}{P r o o f . ~ A p p l y i n g ~ t h e ~ d i v e r g e n c e ~ t h e o r e m, ~ a n d ~ u s i n g ~ L e m m a ~} 2.2$, for any regular set $\widetilde{l}(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\widetilde{l}(t)}|\nabla u|=-\int_{\widetilde{L}(t, \infty)} \Delta u \leq \frac{C}{R} \operatorname{Vol}(L(\varepsilon, \infty)) \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{2}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}}} \frac{u^{2}}{|\nabla u|}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area }(\widetilde{l}(t)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}} \int_{\widetilde{l}(t)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}}} \int_{\widetilde{\imath}(t)} \frac{u^{2}}{|\nabla u|} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.16) from $t=\varepsilon$ to $t=1$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \int_{\tilde{l}(t)} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u^{2}} d t \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{3}}
$$

Using the co-area formula we estimate

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \int_{\widetilde{l}(t)} \frac{u^{2}}{|\nabla u|} d t \leq \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \int_{\{u=t\}} \frac{u^{2}}{|\nabla u|} d t \leq \int_{M} u^{2} \leq C
$$

Now integrating (2.17) in $t$ we arrive at

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \operatorname{Area}(\widetilde{l}(t)) d t \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}}}
$$

which proves the claim.
We also need the following result.
Lemma 2.7. Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with positive spectrum $\lambda_{1}(M)>0$, and with Ricci curvature bounded from below. For any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $a, b>\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\left.\left.\left|\int_{L(a, b)}\right| \nabla u\right|^{2} \varphi(u)-C_{0} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d t\left|\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R} \varepsilon^{2}} \sup _{(a, b)}\right| \varphi \right\rvert\,
$$

for any integrable function $\varphi$ on $(a, b)$ with the constant $C$ independent of $R$ and $\varepsilon$, where $C_{0}$ is the constant in (2.7.

Proof. For $t<1$ we have by (2.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{L(t, 1)} \Delta u & =-\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}-\int_{l(t)}|\nabla u| \\
& =C_{0}-\int_{l(t)}|\nabla u|
\end{aligned}
$$

However, by (2.12)

$$
\left|\int_{L(t, 1)} \Delta u\right| \leq \frac{C}{R} \operatorname{Vol}(L(\varepsilon, 1)) \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{2}}
$$

So we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{l(t)}\right| \nabla u\left|-C_{0}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{2}} \quad \text { for all } t>\varepsilon \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the co-area formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{L(a, b)}|\nabla u|^{2} \varphi(u)= & \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)\left(\int_{l(t)}|\nabla u|\right) d t \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)\left(\int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap L(a, b)}|\nabla u|\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6 imply that

$$
\int_{a}^{b}|\varphi(t)|\left(\int_{\widetilde{\imath}(t) \cap L(a, b)}|\nabla u|\right) d t \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}}} \sup _{(a, b)}|\varphi|
$$

The desired result then follows immediately.
From now on, we restrict to the case that $M$ is of dimension 3. First, we have the following corollary of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5

Corollary 2.8. Let $(M, g)$ be a three-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Then on any regular level $l(t)$ as defined in (2.10),

$$
\int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}} S_{t} \leq C
$$

for a constant $C$ independent of $t \in(0,1)$, where $S_{t}$ denotes the scalar curvature of $l(t)$, provided that either ( $A$ ) or (B) holds.
(A) $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes.
(B) $M$ has finitely many ends and finite first Betti number $b_{1}(M)$.

Proof. According to the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, on each regular connected component $l_{k}(t)$ of $l(t)$,

$$
\int_{l_{k}(t)} S_{t}=4 \pi \chi\left(l_{k}(t)\right)
$$

If $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes, then Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$
\int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}} S_{t} \leq 8 \pi
$$

If $M$ has $m$ ends and finite first Betti number, then Lemma 2.5 says that

$$
\int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}} S_{t} \leq 8 \pi m \leq C
$$

In either case, the constant is independent of $t \in(0,1)$.
The following result relies on an idea from [20] and follows as in [10] or Lemma 4.1 in [2]. For the sake of completeness, we include details here.

Lemma 2.9. Let $(M, g)$ be a three-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature $S$ and $u$ a smooth function on $M$. Then on each regular level set $\{u=t\}$ of $u$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)|\nabla u|^{-2}= & \frac{1}{2} S-\frac{1}{2} S_{t}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left(\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}-\left|\nabla^{2} u\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left((\Delta u)^{2}-2 \frac{\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle}{|\nabla u|} \Delta u+\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{t}$ denotes the scalar curvature of the surface $\{u=t\}$.
Proof. On a regular level set $\{u=t\}$ of $u$, its unit normal vector is given by

$$
e_{1}=\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}
$$

Choose unit vectors $\left\{e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ tangent to $\{u=t\}$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ forms a local orthonormal frame on $M$. The second fundamental form and the mean curvature of $\{u=t\}$ are then given by

$$
h_{a b}=\frac{u_{a b}}{|\nabla u|} \text { and } H=\frac{\Delta u-u_{11}}{|\nabla u|}, \quad \text { respectively, }
$$

where indices $a$ and $b$ range from 2 to 3 . By the Gauss curvature equation, we have

$$
S_{t}=S-2 R_{11}+H^{2}-|h|^{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)|\nabla u|^{-2} \\
= & S-S_{t}+\frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left(\left(\Delta u-u_{11}\right)^{2}-\left|u_{a b}\right|^{2}\right) \\
= & S-S_{t}+\frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left((\Delta u)^{2}-2 u_{11}(\Delta u)+2|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}-\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the result.
Finally, we recall the following well known Kato inequality for harmonic functions (cf. [17]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2} \geq \frac{3}{2}|\nabla| \nabla f \|^{2} \quad \text { on } M \backslash D \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 which is restated below.
Theorem 2.10. Let $(M, g)$ be a three-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature $S \geq-6 K$ on $M$ for some nonnegative constant $K$. Assume that the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded from below by a constant. Then the bottom spectrum of $M$ satisfies

$$
\lambda_{1}(M) \leq K
$$

provided that either $(A)$ or (B) holds.
(A) $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes.
(B) $M$ has finitely many ends and finite first Betti number.

Proof. For given small $0<\varepsilon<1$, let $R>R_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R>\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{6}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{0}$ is chosen to be large enough so that $D \subset D\left(R_{0}\right)$. In the following, we use $C$ to denote a positive constant that is independent of $R$ and $\varepsilon$, while its value may change from line to line. To prove the theorem, we may assume that $\lambda_{1}(M)>0$. In particular, $(M, g)$ is nonparabolic.

Let $f$ be the Li-Tam barrier function defined by (2.6) and set $u=f \psi$ as in (2.9). Let

$$
\phi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\phi(u(x)) & \text { on } L(\varepsilon, \infty) \\
0 & \text { on } M \backslash L(\varepsilon, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here the function $\phi(t)$ is smooth, $\phi(t)=1$ for $2 \varepsilon \leq t \leq 1$ and $\phi(t)=0$ for $t<\varepsilon$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|(t) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \text { and }\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|(t) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}} \text { for } \varepsilon<t<2 \varepsilon \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, by definition, the function $\phi(x)$ satisfies $\phi=1$ on $L(2 \varepsilon, \infty)$ and $\phi=0$ on $M \backslash L(\varepsilon, \infty)$.

We first prove the following inequality.

$$
\text { Claim 1: } \quad \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2} \leq 8 K^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2}+C .
$$

Applying the Bochner formula and the inequality $\langle\nabla \Delta u, \nabla u\rangle \geq-|\nabla(\Delta u)||\nabla u|$, we have

$$
\Delta|\nabla u| \geq\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-1}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)|\nabla u|^{-1}-|\nabla(\Delta u)|
$$

on $M \backslash D$ and whenever $|\nabla u| \neq 0$. Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M \backslash D}(\Delta|\nabla u|) \phi^{2} \geq \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2} \\
& \quad+\int_{M \backslash D} \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}-\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla(\Delta u)| \phi^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla(\Delta u)| \phi^{2} \leq \frac{C}{R} \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2}+1\right) \phi^{2} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2} \phi^{2}= & -\int_{M \backslash D}\langle\nabla(\Delta \rho), \nabla \rho\rangle \phi^{2}-\int_{M \backslash D} \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla \rho, \nabla \rho) \phi^{2} \\
& -2 \int_{M \backslash D} \rho_{i j} \rho_{i} \phi_{j} \phi-\int_{\partial D} \rho_{i j} \rho_{i} \nu_{j} \phi^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.12) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{M \backslash D}\langle\nabla(\Delta \rho), \nabla \rho\rangle \phi^{2} & \leq C \int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right| \phi^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2} \phi^{2}+C \int_{M \backslash D} \phi^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2} \phi^{2}+\frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Ricci curvature is bounded from below by a constant, we similarly have

$$
-\int_{M \backslash D} \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla \rho, \nabla \rho) \phi^{2} \leq C \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla \rho|^{2} \phi^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \int_{M \backslash D} \rho_{i j} \rho_{i} \phi_{j} \phi & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2} \phi^{2}+4 \int_{L(\varepsilon, 1)}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2} \phi^{2}+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used (2.21) and Lemma 2.7 In conclusion, this proves that

$$
\int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2} \phi^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}
$$

Similarly, we see that

$$
\int_{M \backslash D}\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2} \phi^{2} \leq C .
$$

We conclude from above and (2.22) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla(\Delta u)| \phi^{2} \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{2}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash D}(\Delta|\nabla u|) \phi^{2}  \tag{2.24}\\
\geq & \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}-C \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the co-area formula and noting that $\phi=0$ outside $L(\varepsilon, \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}  \tag{2.25}\\
= & \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\{u=t\} \cap L(\varepsilon, \infty)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
= & \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t,
\end{align*}
$$

where $l(t)$ and $\widetilde{l}(t)$ are defined in (2.10) and (2.15), respectively. Since $\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-$ $|\nabla| \nabla u \|^{2} \geq 0$ on $\widetilde{l}(t) \backslash D(R)$ and $u$ is harmonic on $D(R)$, by Lemma 2.6 and (2.19) the last term is estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\geq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-C \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \operatorname{Area}(\widetilde{l}(t)) d t \\
\geq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-\frac{C}{\sqrt{R \varepsilon^{3}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewrite the second last term in (2.25) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
= & \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the inequality $\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2} \geq 0$, together with the fact that the Ricci curvature is bounded from below, we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\geq & -C \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap(M \backslash D(R))} d t \\
\geq & -C \int_{M \backslash D(R)}|\nabla u| \phi^{2} \\
\geq & -C \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the second line we have used Lemma 2.3 and in the last line (2.13). Hence, (2.25) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}  \tag{2.26}\\
\geq & \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-C \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

On any regular level set $l(t)$, from Lemma 2.9, Corollary 2.8, and the assumption $S \geq-6 K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left((\Delta u)^{2}-2 \frac{\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle}{|\nabla u|} \Delta u+\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} \\
& -3 K \operatorname{Krea}\left(l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}\right)-C .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \text { Area }\left(l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}\right) d t \leq \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \text { Area }(l(t)) d t \leq \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2} .
$$

Accordingly, (2.26) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { 27) } & \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}  \tag{2.27}\\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left((\Delta u)^{2}-2 \frac{\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle}{|\nabla u|} \Delta u+\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-3 K \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2}-C .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequality $\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2} \geq 0$ and Lemma 2.3, the first term on the right hand side can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\geq & \left.\frac{1}{4} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line is by (2.19) and the fact that $u=f$ on $D(R)$. Similarly, the second term on the right hand side of (2.27) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}}\left((\Delta u)^{2}-2 \frac{\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle}{|\nabla u|} \Delta u+\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}\right)|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\geq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, (2.27) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M \backslash D}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
\geq & \left.\frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-3 K \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2} \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-C .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the left side of (2.24) satisfies

$$
\int_{M \backslash D}(\Delta|\nabla u|) \phi^{2}=\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \Delta \phi^{2}-\int_{\partial D} \phi^{2}|\nabla u|_{\nu} .
$$

Since $u=f$ on $D(R) \backslash D$, we have

$$
\int_{\partial D} \phi^{2}|\nabla u|_{\nu}=\int_{\partial D} \phi^{2}|\nabla f|_{\nu}=C .
$$

Note furthermore that $\Delta \phi^{2}=0$ on $M \backslash L(\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon)$. On $L(\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon)$, we have by Lemma 2.2 and (2.21)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Delta \phi^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(|\Delta u|+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{2}}+\frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon^{2}+\frac{C}{\varepsilon}|\nabla u|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Lemma 2.7 and (2.12) imply that

$$
\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|\left|\Delta \phi^{2}\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(L(\varepsilon, 1))+\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{L(\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon)}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C .
$$

This proves that

$$
\int_{M \backslash D}(\Delta|\nabla u|) \phi^{2} \leq C .
$$

We therefore conclude from $(2.24)$ and (2.28) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t  \tag{2.29}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\leq & 3 K \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2}+C .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M \backslash D}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2}= & -\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| u^{-1}(\Delta u) \phi^{2}+\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2} \\
& -\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| u^{-1}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle-\int_{\partial D}|\nabla u| u^{-1} u_{\nu} \phi^{2} \\
\geq & \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2}-C .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last line we have used Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.7 to conclude that

$$
\left|\int_{M \backslash D}\right| \nabla u\left|u^{-1}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{L(\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon)}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-1} \leq C
$$

as well as

$$
\left|-\int_{M \backslash D}\right| \nabla u\left|u^{-1}(\Delta u) \phi^{2}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R} \operatorname{Vol}(L(\varepsilon, 1)) \leq C \varepsilon
$$

by Lemma 2.2 and (2.12). Moreover, in view of (2.13),

$$
\int_{M \backslash D(R)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2} \leq C \int_{M \backslash D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u \|^{2} \phi^{2}+C \varepsilon
$$

Let $\sigma$ be a cut-off function with support in $M \backslash D\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)$ so that $\sigma=1$ on $M \backslash D(R)$ and $|\nabla \sigma| \leq \frac{C}{R}$. According to the Bochner formula, and using the Ricci curvature lower bound, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\int_{M}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2} \phi^{2} \sigma^{2} \leq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \phi^{2} \sigma^{2} \Delta|\nabla u|^{2}+C \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2} \phi^{2} \sigma^{2} \\
= & -2 \int_{M}\langle\nabla(\phi \sigma), \nabla| \nabla u| \rangle \phi \sigma|\nabla u|+C \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2} \phi^{2} \sigma^{2} \\
\leq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2} \phi^{2} \sigma^{2}+C \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \sigma^{2} \\
& +C \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2}|\nabla \sigma|^{2} \phi^{2}+C \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2} \phi^{2} \sigma^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (2.13) implies that

$$
\int_{M \backslash D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2} \phi^{2} \leq C \varepsilon
$$

In conclusion, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2} \leq \int_{D(R) \backslash D}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2}+C . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the co-area formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D(R) \backslash D}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2}= & \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{-1} d t \\
& +\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{-1} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term on the right side is estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{-1} d t \\
\leq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{D(R) \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the second term by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{-1} d t \\
\leq & \left.\frac{1}{4} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-2} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6,

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-2} d t \leq C \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \operatorname{Area}(\widetilde{l}(t)) d t \leq C \varepsilon
$$

Hence, by (2.29),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D(R) \backslash D}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2} \leq & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{4} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap D(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{D(R) \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2}+C \\
\leq & 2 K \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D(R) \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2}+C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (2.30), we conclude that

$$
\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2} \leq 4 K \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2}+C .
$$

Now together with

$$
4 K \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u| \phi^{2} \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2}+\frac{16}{3} K^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2}
$$

which follows from the elementary inequality $a b \leq \frac{a^{3}}{3}+\frac{2 b^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3}$ with $a=|\nabla u| u^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and $b=4 K u^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2} \leq 8 K^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2}+C \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This verifies Claim 1.

We now turn to the following claim.

$$
\text { Claim 2: } \quad \lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-1} \phi^{2}+C \text {. }
$$

Let $\xi$ be a Lipschitz cut-off such that $\xi=0$ on $D\left(R_{0}\right)$ and $\xi=1$ on $M \backslash$ $D\left(R_{0}+1\right)$. Since the function $u \phi^{2} \xi^{2}$ has compact support in $M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M} u \phi^{2} \xi^{2} \leq & \int_{M}\left|\nabla\left(u^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi \xi\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-1} \phi^{2} \xi^{2}+\int_{M} u|\nabla(\phi \xi)|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla\left(\phi^{2} \xi^{2}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 2.7 we have $\int_{M \backslash D} u|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq C$. Furthermore, we perform integration by parts and get from Lemma 2.2 and (2.13) that

$$
\int_{M}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla\left(\phi^{2} \xi^{2}\right)\right\rangle=-\int_{M}(\Delta u) \phi^{2} \xi^{2} \leq C \varepsilon
$$

In conclusion, we have proved that

$$
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2} \xi^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-1} \phi^{2} \xi^{2}+C
$$

Claim 2 now follows.
We now show $\lambda_{1}(M) \leq K$. Assume by contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(M)>K \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Claim 1 and Claim 2 we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-1} \phi^{2}+C \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\int_{M \backslash D}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}+C \\
& \leq K \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2}+C\left(\int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}+C
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.32), it implies that $\int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2} \leq C$.
For arbitrary large $r$ such that $D \subset B_{p}(r)$, let

$$
t(r)=\min _{x \in \partial B_{p}(r)} f(x)
$$

The maximum principle implies that

$$
B_{p}(r) \subset\{f>t(r)\}
$$

So for $\varepsilon<\frac{1}{2} t(r)$ and all $R>\max \left\{R_{0}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{4}}\right\}$ large,

$$
B_{p}(r) \backslash D \subset D(R) \cap L(2 \varepsilon, 1)
$$

As $u=f$ on $D(R) \cap L(2 \varepsilon, 1)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{p}(r) \backslash D} f \leq \int_{M \backslash D} u \phi^{2} \leq C \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the constant $C$ independent of $r$. On the other hand, the co-area formula and (2.8), (2.7) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{p}(r) \backslash D} f & \geq C \int_{B_{p}(r) \backslash D}|\nabla f| \\
& \geq C \int_{R_{0}}^{r} d t \int_{\partial B_{p}(t)}|\nabla f| \\
& \geq C C_{0}\left(r-R_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $r>R_{0}$. This contradicts (2.33). Therefore, (2.32) does not hold. The theorem is proved.

## 3. Manifolds with maximal bottom spectrum

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3 We start by making some general discussions without restriction on the dimension $n$ of $M$. Note that for a manifold $M$ with a finite volume end and positive bottom spectrum $\lambda_{1}(M)>0$, since its volume must be infinite, it has an infinite volume end as well. By Nakai [19] and Li-Tam [12] there exists a positive harmonic function $f$ on $M$ such that $f$ is proper and goes to infinity on a given finite volume end $F$ and it is bounded away from $F$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $F=\left\{f \geq t_{0}\right\}$ for some large positive number $t_{0}$ and $F$ is connected. We view $E=M \backslash F$ as an infinite volume end and denote $E(R)=E \cap D(R)$, where $D(R)=\{\rho(x)<R\}$ as in Section 2 and $\rho$ be the function from Lemma 2.1. By Li-Wang [13], such $f$ may be chosen to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E \backslash E(R)} f^{2} \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{C} R} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ depending on $\lambda_{1}(M)$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{E} f=0 \text { and } \int_{E} f^{2}<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [15], for such $f, \int_{\{f=t\}}|\nabla f|$ is independent of $t$. So we normalize $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{f=t\}}|\nabla f|=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given $R>0$, define cut-off function $\psi$ on $M$ as in Section 2 by $\psi(x)=$ $\psi(\rho(x))$ with $\psi$ given in (2.3). Define the function

$$
u(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\psi f & \text { on } & E  \tag{3.4}\\
f & \text { on } & F
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $u$ is smooth on $M$ and satisfies

$$
u=f \text { on } F \cup E(R) \text { and } u=0 \text { on } E \backslash E(2 R) .
$$

Moreover, the level set $\{u=t\}$ of $u$ is compact for each $t>0$. Lemma 2.2 implies that on the end $E$

$$
\begin{align*}
|\nabla u| & \leq C\left(u+\frac{1}{R}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
|\Delta u| & \leq \frac{C}{R} \\
|\nabla(\Delta u)| & \leq \frac{C}{R}\left(\left|\nabla^{2} \rho\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2}+1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the end $F$, for $M$ with Ricci curvature lower bound, by (2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u| \leq C u \text { and } \Delta u=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, define $L(t, \infty)$ to be the connected component of the set of $\{u>t\}$ that contains $F$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(t)=\partial L(t, \infty) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Parallel to Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have the following.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M_{t}$ be the union of all unbounded connected components of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$, and $l_{0}(t)$ the union of all connected components of $l(t)$ that are homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. If the homology group $H_{n-1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes, then

$$
l_{0}(t) \subset l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right) \subset E \backslash D(R)
$$

Proof. For the first inclusion, note that as $H_{n-1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes, any component of $l_{0}(t)$, being spherical, must bound a compact component of $M \backslash \overline{L(t, \infty)}$. Obviously, such a component is disjoint from $M_{t}$.

For the second inclusion, the same argument as in Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$
l(t) \cap\left(M \backslash \overline{M_{t}}\right) \subset M \backslash D(R)
$$

Since $u$ is harmonic on $F$, the second inclusion of the lemma follows by the maximum principle.

As in (2.13) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}((E \backslash D(R)) \cap L(\delta, \infty)) \leq \frac{C}{\delta^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{C} R} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\delta>0$, where $C$ is independent of $R$ and $\delta$.
Denote

$$
\widetilde{L}(t, \infty)=(\{u>t\} \backslash L(t, \infty)) \cap(L(\delta, \infty))
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{l}(t)=\partial \widetilde{L}(t, \infty) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u=f$ on $F=L\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $u$ has compact support on $E$, it follows that $\widetilde{L}(t, \infty)$ is bounded. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t, \infty) \subset F \text { and } \widetilde{L}(t, \infty)=\varnothing \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Lemma 2.6 and (3.2) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta}^{\infty} \operatorname{Area}(\widetilde{l}(t)) d t \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R \delta^{3}}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $C$ independent of $R$ and $\delta$, and Lemma 2.7 also holds with $C_{0}=1$ in view of the normalization (3.3).

Lemma 3.2. For any $\delta>0$ and any $a, b>\delta$, we have

$$
\left.\left.\left|\int_{L(a, b)}\right| \nabla u\right|^{2} \varphi(u)-\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d t\left|\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{R} \delta^{2}} \sup _{\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}\right| \varphi \right\rvert\,
$$

for any integrable function $\varphi$ on $(a, b)$, where $a^{\prime}=\min \left\{t_{0}, a\right\}$ and $b^{\prime}=\min \left\{t_{0}, b\right\}$, and the constant $C$ is independent of $R$ and $\delta$.

We also note the following.
Lemma 3.3. For any $\delta>0$ and any Lipschitz function $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ with compact support in $(\delta, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{L(\delta, \infty)} u \chi^{2}(u) \leq & \frac{1}{4} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} t^{-1} \chi^{2}(t) d t+\left(1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{R} \delta^{2}}\right) \int_{\delta}^{\infty} t\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{2}(t) d t \\
& +\frac{C}{\sqrt{R} \delta^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $R$ and $\delta$.
Proof. Since $\chi(u)$ has compact support in $L(\delta, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}(M) \int_{L(\delta, \infty)}\left(u^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi\right)^{2} \leq & \int_{L(\delta, \infty)}\left|\nabla\left(u^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \int_{L(\delta, \infty)} u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{L(\delta, \infty)}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \chi^{2}\right\rangle \\
& +\int_{L(\delta, \infty)} u|\nabla \chi|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2

$$
\int_{L(\delta, \infty)} u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2} \leq \int_{\delta}^{\infty} t^{-1} \chi^{2}(t) d t+\frac{C}{\sqrt{R} \delta^{3}}
$$

and

$$
\int_{L(\delta, \infty)} u|\nabla \chi|^{2} \leq\left(1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{R} \delta^{2}}\right) \int_{\delta}^{\infty} t\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{2}(t) d t
$$

Also, by (3.5) and (3.8),

$$
\int_{L(\delta, \infty)}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \chi^{2}\right\rangle=-\int_{L(\delta, \infty)} \chi^{2} \Delta u \leq \frac{C}{R \delta^{2}}
$$

The desired result follows by combining these estimates.
We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3. By scaling, we may assume $K=1$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete three-dimensional manifold with scalar curvature bounded by $S \geq-6$ and bottom spectrum $\lambda_{1}(M)=1$. Assume that $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ has no spherical classes and that the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded from below by a constant. Then either all the ends of $M$ have infinite volume or $M=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$ equipped with the warped product metric

$$
d s_{M}^{2}=d t^{2}+e^{2 t} d s_{\mathbb{T}^{2}}^{2}
$$

Proof. We apply the previous discussions to $\delta=\varepsilon^{2}$ for any given $\varepsilon>0$. In the following, $R$ is arbitrarily large and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R>\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{20}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(R)=F \cup E(R) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi=\phi(t)$ be a Lipschitz function such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{supp}(\phi) & \subset\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
\left|\phi^{\prime}\right| & \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $\phi(x)=\phi(u(x))$ on $L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon^{-2}\right)$. From here on, we will denote by $C$ a constant independent of $\varepsilon$ and $R$, whose value may change from line to line.

Again, starting from the Bochner formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \int_{M}(\Delta|\nabla u|) \phi^{2} \geq & \int_{M}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2} \\
& -\int_{M}|\nabla(\Delta u)| \phi^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla(\Delta u)| \phi^{2} \leq \frac{C}{R \varepsilon^{4}} \leq C \varepsilon \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{l(t) \cap \overline{M_{t}}} S_{t} \leq 0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

on each regular level $l(t)$ by Lemma 3.1 and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, after manipulating as in the proof of Theorem 1.1) we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right)|\nabla u|^{-1} \phi^{2}  \tag{3.18}\\
\geq & \left.\frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-3 \int_{M}|\nabla u| \phi^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t-C \varepsilon+\Gamma
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega(R)}\left(\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.\frac{3}{2}|\nabla| \nabla f\right|^{2}\right) \phi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \Omega(R)}(S+6) d t \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

collects the relevant nonnegative terms discarded there.

Plugging (3.18) into (3.15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{M}\langle\nabla| \nabla u\left|, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle  \tag{3.20}\\
\geq & \frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& -3 \int_{M}|\nabla u| \phi^{2}-C \varepsilon+\Gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

We will make use of this inequality with different choices of $\phi$ below. First, let $\phi=\chi(u)$ be a cut-off supported in $E$, where

$$
\chi(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\ln t-2 \ln \varepsilon}{\ln (\varepsilon-1)} & \text { for } \quad \varepsilon^{2} \leq t \leq \varepsilon \\ \frac{\ln (2 \varepsilon)-\ln t}{\ln 2} & \text { for } \quad \varepsilon \leq t \leq 2 \varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{2 \varepsilon} \chi^{2}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap E(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t  \tag{3.21}\\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{2 \varepsilon} \chi^{2}(t) \int_{\tilde{l}(t) \cap E(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\leq & 3 \int_{M}|\nabla u| \chi^{2}-\int_{M}\langle\nabla| \nabla u\left|, \nabla \chi^{2}\right\rangle+C \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Following (2.29) to (2.31) we obtain

$$
\int_{M}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \chi^{2} \leq 8 \int_{M} u \chi^{2}+C .
$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M} u \chi^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{2 \varepsilon} t^{-1} \chi^{2}(t) d t+C \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{2 \varepsilon} t\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)^{2}(t) d t+C  \tag{3.22}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{12} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)+C
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, this proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \chi^{2} \leq \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)+C \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, applying $a b \leq \frac{a^{3}}{3}+\frac{2 b^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3}$ for $a=2 u^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $b=u^{-\frac{4}{3}}|\nabla u|^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{M} u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2} \leq \frac{8}{3} \int_{M} u \chi^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \int_{M} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3} \chi^{2} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$
2 \int_{M} u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \chi^{2} \geq \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)-C
$$

Plugging this and (3.22) into (3.24) implies

$$
\int_{M} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3} \chi^{2} \geq \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)-C .
$$

Since by Lemma 3.2 and (3.5)

$$
\int_{L(\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon)} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3} \chi^{2} \leq C \int_{L(\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon)} u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C
$$

we conclude

$$
\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3} \chi^{2} \geq \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)-C
$$

Together with (3.23), this proves

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)} u^{-2}\right| \nabla u\right|^{3} \chi^{2}-\frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \right\rvert\, \leq C \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar argument on the end $F$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{-1}, \varepsilon^{-2}\right)} u^{-2}\right| \nabla u\right|^{3} \eta^{2}-\frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \right\rvert\, \leq C \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\ln t-\ln (2 \varepsilon)^{-1}}{\ln 2} & \text { for } \quad \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-1} \leq t \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \frac{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-2}\right)-\ln t}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} & \text { for } \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \leq t \leq \varepsilon^{-2}\end{cases}
$$

We now return to (3.20) and replace $\phi$ by $\phi^{\frac{3}{2}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{M}\langle\nabla| \nabla u\left|, \nabla \phi^{3}\right\rangle  \tag{3.27}\\
\geq & \frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{3}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{3}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
& -3 \int_{M}|\nabla u| \phi^{3}-C \varepsilon+\Gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now set

$$
\phi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\ln t-2 \ln \varepsilon}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} & \text { for } & \varepsilon^{2} \leq t \leq \varepsilon \\
1 & \text { for } & \varepsilon \leq t \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \\
\frac{2 \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)-\ln t}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} & \text { for } & \varepsilon^{-1} \leq t \leq \varepsilon^{-2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{M}\langle\nabla| \nabla u\left|, \nabla \phi^{3}\right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.29)-\int_{M}\langle\nabla| \nabla u\left|, \nabla \phi^{3}\right\rangle= & -\frac{3}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} \int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2} \\
& +\frac{3}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} \int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{-1}, \varepsilon^{-2}\right)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the one hand, integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2}= & \int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)} u^{-1}|\nabla u|(\Delta u) \phi^{2}-\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3} \phi^{2} \\
& +\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{2}, \varepsilon\right)}|\nabla u|\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{2}\right\rangle u^{-1}-\int_{l(\varepsilon)}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-1} \\
\leq & -\frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)+C
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last line we have used (3.25) and Lemma 3.2. On the other hand, integration by parts as above together with (3.26) implies

$$
\int_{L\left(\varepsilon^{-1}, \varepsilon^{-2}\right)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{2} \leq \frac{2}{3} \ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)+C
$$

Plugging these estimates into (3.29) we obtain (3.28). Hence, by (3.27), we have established that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma+\frac{3}{4} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{3}(t) \int_{l(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t  \tag{3.30}\\
& +\left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{\varepsilon^{2}}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \phi^{3}(t) \int_{\widetilde{l}(t) \cap \Omega(R)}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{-2} d t \\
\leq & 3 \int_{M}|\nabla u| \phi^{3}+\frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proceeding as in the proof of (2.30) we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{3}= & -\int_{M}|\nabla u| u^{-1}(\Delta u) \phi^{3}+\int_{M}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{3} \\
& -\int_{M}|\nabla u| u^{-1}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

However, (3.25) and (3.26) imply that

$$
\left|\int_{M}\right| \nabla u\left|u^{-1}\left\langle\nabla u, \nabla \phi^{3}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} .
$$

Also,

$$
\left|-\int_{M}\right| \nabla u\left|u^{-1}(\Delta u) \phi^{3}\right| \leq C \varepsilon
$$

by (3.5) and (3.8). Therefore,

$$
\int_{M}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{3} \leq \int_{\Omega(R)}\langle\nabla| \nabla u|, \nabla u\rangle u^{-1} \phi^{3}+\frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} .
$$

Now arguing as from (2.30) to (2.31), we conclude from (3.30) and the above inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma+\int_{M}|\nabla u|^{3} u^{-2} \phi^{3} \leq 8 \int_{M} u \phi^{3}+\frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, as $\lambda_{1}(M)=1$, Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} u \phi^{3} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{M}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{-1} \phi^{3}+\frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, as in (3.24),

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{3} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3}+\frac{8}{3} u \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.31) and (3.32) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \int_{M}\left(\frac{2}{3} u^{-2}|\nabla u|^{3}+\frac{8}{3} u-2 u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \phi^{3} \\
& \leq 8 \int_{M} u \phi^{3}-2 \int_{M} u^{-1}|\nabla u|^{2} \phi^{3}-\frac{2}{3} \Gamma+\frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} \\
& \leq-\frac{2}{3} \Gamma+\frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, this proves that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{L\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}\right) \cap \Omega(R)}\left(\frac{2}{3} f^{-2}|\nabla f|^{3}+\frac{8}{3} f-2 f^{-1}|\nabla f|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.34}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{3} \int_{L\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}\right) \cap \Omega(R)}\left(\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.\frac{3}{2}|\nabla| \nabla f\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{3} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \int_{l(t) \cap \Omega(R)}(S+6) d t \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\ln \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $R$ and $\varepsilon$ are arbitrary, we conclude from here that $\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2}=\frac{3}{2}|\nabla| \nabla f \|^{2}$ and $|\nabla f|=2 f$. As in [15], this proves that $(M, g)$ splits as a warped product $M=\mathbb{R} \times N$ with $d s_{M}^{2}=d t^{2}+e^{2 h(t)} d s_{N}^{2}$, and $f(t)=C e^{-2 t}$ if we choose $F=(-\infty, 0) \times N$ to be the parabolic end. Since $f$ is harmonic, it follows $h(t)=t$. Moroever, as $S=-6$ by (3.34), it follows that $N$ is flat. The theorem is proved.

## 4. Splitting for nonnegative scalar curvature

In this section we study complete three-dimensional manifolds of non-negative scalar curvature with more than one end. We first prove Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 4.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete three-dimensional parabolic manifold with its scalar curvature $S \geq 0$ and Ricci curvature bounded below by a constant. Assume that $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes. Then either $M$ is connected at infinity or it splits isometrically as a direct product $M=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{2}$.

Proof. Let us assume that $M$ has more than one end. As $M$ is parabolic, so is each end. Then by [19] and [12] there exists a harmonic function $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in E} u(x)=\infty \quad \text { and } \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in F} u(x)=-\infty
$$

where $E$ is an end of $M$ and $F=M \backslash E$. Denote with

$$
w(t)=\int_{l(t)}|\nabla u|^{2}
$$

where $l(t)=\{u=t\}$. Denote also with $L(s, t)=\{s<u<t\}$.

Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\phi=\phi(t)$ be a $C^{2}$ function on $(s, \infty)$. Following [17], we note that for $t>s$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\phi(t) w^{\prime}(t)-\phi^{\prime}(t) w(t)\right)-\left(\phi(s) w^{\prime}(s)-\phi^{\prime}(s) w(s)\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
= & \int_{L(s, t)}(\phi(u) \Delta|\nabla u|-|\nabla u| \Delta \phi(u)) \\
= & \int_{L(s, t)} \frac{\phi(u)}{|\nabla u|}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right) \\
& -\int_{s}^{t} \phi^{\prime \prime}(r) w(r) d r .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes, the Gauss-Bonnet formula implies

$$
\int_{l(r)} S_{r} \leq 0
$$

By Lemma 2.9 and the fact that $S \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{l(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left(\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-|\nabla| \nabla u| |^{2}+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{l(r)}\left(S-S_{r}+\frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2} \int_{l(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2} \\
\geq & \left.\frac{3}{4} \int_{l(r)} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|^{2}}|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(w^{\prime}(r)\right)^{2}}{w(r)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line follows from

$$
\left|w^{\prime}\right|(r) \leq \int_{l(r)}|\nabla| \nabla u| | \leq\left(\int_{l(r)} \frac{\left.|\nabla| \nabla u\right|^{2}}{|\nabla u|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(r)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Therefore, by (4.1) we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\phi(t) w^{\prime}(t)-\phi^{\prime}(t) w(t)\right)-\left(\phi(s) w^{\prime}(s)-\phi^{\prime}(s) w(s)\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
\geq & -\int_{s}^{t} \phi^{\prime \prime}(r) w(r) d r+\frac{3}{4} \int_{s}^{t} \phi(r) \frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{w}(r) d r
\end{align*}
$$

for any $C^{2}$ function $\phi \geq 0$ on $(s, \infty)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t) \geq w^{\prime}(s) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s<t$ by taking $\phi(r)=1$. Letting $\phi(r)=r^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha>0$ and $r \geq 1$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t^{-\alpha} w^{\prime}(t)+\alpha t^{-\alpha-1} w(t)\right)-\left(s^{-\alpha} w^{\prime}(s)+\alpha s^{-\alpha-1} w(s)\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
\geq \quad & -\alpha(\alpha+1) \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha-2} w(r) d r+\frac{3}{4} \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha} \frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{w}(r) d r
\end{align*}
$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq t$.

We rewrite the elementary inequality

$$
\frac{2 \varepsilon}{r} w^{\prime}(r) \leq \frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{w}(r)+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{r^{2}} w(r)
$$

into

$$
\frac{3}{4} \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha} \frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{w}(r) d r \geq \frac{3 \varepsilon}{2} \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha-1} w^{\prime}(r) d r-\frac{3 \varepsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha-2} w(r) d r
$$

After integrating by parts the first term on the right side we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{4} \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha} \frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{w}(r) d r & \geq \frac{3 \varepsilon}{2}\left(t^{-\alpha-1} w(t)-s^{-\alpha-1} w(s)\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon}{2}(\alpha+1)-\frac{3 \varepsilon^{2}}{4}\right) \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha-2} w(r) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this inequality into (4.4) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t^{-\alpha} w^{\prime}(t)-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon}{2}-\alpha\right) t^{-\alpha-1} w(t)\right)-\left(s^{-\alpha} w^{\prime}(s)-\left(\frac{3 \varepsilon}{2}-\alpha\right) s^{-\alpha-1} w(s)\right) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{3 \varepsilon}{2}(\alpha+1)-\frac{3 \varepsilon^{2}}{4}-\alpha(\alpha+1)\right) \int_{s}^{t} r^{-\alpha-2} w(r) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $1 \leq s \leq t$. For $\alpha=\varepsilon=\frac{1}{4}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-\frac{1}{4}} w^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{8} t^{-\frac{5}{4}} w(t) \geq s^{-\frac{1}{4}} w^{\prime}(s)-\frac{1}{8} s^{-\frac{5}{4}} w(s) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded from below by a constant, the gradient estimate (2.8) implies

$$
w(t)=\int_{l(t)}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C t \int_{l(t)}|\nabla u|=C t
$$

for all $t \geq 1$. In particular, there exists $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $w^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right) \leq C$. Letting $t=t_{n}$ in (4.5) and making $n \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that

$$
s^{-\frac{1}{4}} w^{\prime}(s) \leq \frac{1}{8} s^{-\frac{5}{4}} w(s)
$$

for all $s \geq 1$. Integrating this differential inequality from $s=1$ to $s=r \geq 1$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r) \leq C r^{\frac{1}{8}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \geq 1$. A similar argument implies that

$$
w(r) \leq C|r|^{\frac{1}{8}}
$$

for all $r \leq-1$ as well. Since $w$ is convex by (4.3), this immediately implies that $w$ is a constant function. Therefore, (4.3) becomes an equality. Now tracing through the derivation of (4.3), one sees that $u_{i j}=0$ and $S=0$. This proves the splitting.

Recall that a nonparabolic manifold is called regular if the Green's function $G(p, x)$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G(p, x)=0
$$

Similarly, a nonparabolic end $E$ of $M$ is called regular if $G$ is regular on $E$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $(M, g)$ be a nonparabolic complete three-dimensional manifold with $S \geq 0$ and Ricci curvature bounded below. Assume that $H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no spherical classes. If all nonparabolic ends of $M$ are regular, then $M$ has one end.

Proof. Suppose first that $M$ has at least one parabolic end. We let $F$ be the union of all parabolic ends and $E=M \backslash F$. Then $E$ is nonparabolic. Again, by [19, 12], there exists a harmonic function $u: M \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in E} u(x) & =0 \\
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in F} u(x) & =\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (4.3) we have $w^{\prime}(r) \geq w^{\prime}(s)$ for all $r>s>0$, whereas (4.6) implies $w^{\prime}\left(r_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for some sequence $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $w^{\prime}(s) \leq 0$ for all $s>0$. Therefore, $w(r) \leq w(\varepsilon)$ for $r>\varepsilon$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies $w=0$. This contradiction shows that all ends of $M$ must be nonparabolic.

Assume now that $M$ has a nonparabolic end $E$ and let $F=M \backslash E$. Since both $E$ and $F$ are regular, there exists a harmonic function $u: M \rightarrow(0,1)$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in E} u(x)=0 \text { and } \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty, x \in F} u(x)=1
$$

For $\phi(r)=r$ in (4.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
t w^{\prime}(t)-w(t) \geq s w^{\prime}(s)-w(s) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the gradient estimate implies that $0<w(s) \leq C s$. In particular, there exists a sequence $s_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} w^{\prime}\left(s_{n}\right) \geq 0
$$

Hence, (4.7) implies that $t w^{\prime}(t)-w(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in(0,1)$. Integrating in $t$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w(t)}{t} \geq \frac{w(s)}{s} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<s<t<1$. However, the gradient estimate implies that $w(t) \leq C(1-t)$ for $t<1$. After letting $t \rightarrow 1$ in (4.8) we conclude that $w=0$. This contradiction shows that $M$ has only one end.
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