

Spinor - helicity calculation of the $g^*g^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}V^*$ amplitude at the tree level

Jan Ferdyan¹ and Błażej Ruba²

¹Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University,
prof. Lojasiewicza 11, 30-348 Kraków, Poland,
email: jan.ferdyan@student.uj.edu.pl

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen,
Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark,
email: btr@math.ku.dk

June 5, 2024

Abstract

We compute amplitudes for the process $g^*g^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}V^*$ (two virtual gluons into a quark, antiquark and a boson) at the tree level using the spinor - helicity formalism. The resulting analytic expressions are much shorter than squared amplitudes obtained using trace methods. Our results can be used to expedite numerical calculations in phenomenological studies of the Drell – Yan process in high energy factorization framework.

1 Introduction

The Drell – Yan process [1] is a good probe for an internal structure of hadrons in proton - proton or proton - antiproton collisions. In this process a pair of lepton and anti-lepton is produced by an electroweak boson – a virtual photon γ^* or Z^0 . The measured dilepton distributions can be used to determine the Drell – Yan structure functions, see e.g. [1]. On the other hand, the structure functions can be predicted within QCD description based on factorization schemes: collinear or high energy factorization (also referred to as k_T factorization) [2, 3, 4, 5]. The necessary input to these descriptions are parton distribution functions (PDFs), which parameterize the details of the proton structure. For the collinear factorization one applies collinear parton distribution functions, which are functions of the parton energy and of the factorization scale. In the case of high energy factorization one uses transverse momentum distributions (TMDs) [6], which depend also on the parton transverse momentum k_T .

Recent measurements of the Drell – Yan process in the Z^0 mass peak region at the LHC [7] exhibit a deviation from theoretical predictions of perturbative QCD at next-to-next-to leading order (NNLO) in the Lam – Tung combination [8] of the structure functions. One of the proposed explanations is that the Lam – Tung relation breaking may occur as a result of the parton transverse momenta [9, 10].

In the high energy factorization, the TMDs are probed for $x \ll 1$, where x is the fraction of the hadron longitudinal momentum carried by the parton. In this kinematical regime the proton structure is strongly dominated by the gluons. Following [10, 11] we

adopt the approximation in which the quark and antiquark components of the proton structure are neglected. Then the Drell – Yan process occurs by scattering of two virtual gluons g^*g^* into quark, antiquark and an electroweak boson V^* that decays into a dilepton l^+l^- . The Drell – Yan structure functions depend on the gluon TMDs, so we can use measured data to constrain them. This requires however an efficient evaluation of the matrix elements of the process $g^*g^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}V^*$ that speeds up the fitting procedure.

Direct evaluation of the relevant Feynman diagrams used in [10] led to very long and numerically costly expressions. In order to improve the efficiency we apply spinor - helicity formalism. In result we obtain compact analytical expressions for the amplitudes. The obtained expressions are shorter by a factor more than 10^4 in terms of the ASCII code.

The use of spinors in the study of scattering amplitudes is by now standard, see e.g. [12]. We use notation for spinors which is a small adaptation of the one commonly used in general relativity [13].

1.1 Kinematics




Figure 1: General form of the diagrams. Big circle represents all possible QCD LO subdiagrams. P_1, P_2 are the momenta of the incoming protons, k_1, k_2 are momenta of virtual gluons emitted by the corresponding protons, p_3, p_4 are the momenta of the quark and antiquark, and q is the momentum of the emitted electroweak boson.

We consider production of a virtual electroweak boson in a high energy proton-proton collision. We are interested in the process illustrated on Figure 1. The protons momenta P_1 and P_2 are near light-like, and in the center of mass system can be expressed in Minkowskian coordinates as

$$P_1 \approx \left(\sqrt{S}/2, 0, 0, \sqrt{S}/2 \right), \quad P_2 \approx \left(\sqrt{S}/2, 0, 0, -\sqrt{S}/2 \right). \quad (1.1)$$

The collision invariant energy squared is $S = (P_1 + P_2)^2$. We work in the k_T factorization framework, so partons carry nonzero transverse momenta. The full momentum k_i of the gluon originating from the i th proton can be decomposed as

$$k_i = x_i P_i + k_{iT}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (1.2)$$

Here x_i is the fraction of P_i carried by the gluon and k_{iT} is the momentum in the plane perpendicular to the scattering plane ($k_{iT} \cdot P_1 = k_{iT} \cdot P_2 = 0$). The gluon polarizations are approximated as ¹ $\epsilon^\mu(k_i) = x_i P_i^\mu / \sqrt{-k_{iT}^2}$ [2, 3, 4, 5].

We denote the momentum of the boson V^* as q and the momenta of outgoing quark and antiquark as p_3 and p_4 , respectively.

1.2 The method and results

We use the spinor - helicity formalism to obtain simple analytic formulas for the Feynman diagrams describing the process $g^* g^* \rightarrow q \bar{q} V^*$ at the tree level.

The correctness of our calculations has been checked by comparing numerically with amplitudes squared, summed over polarizations of q and \bar{q} , obtained using standard trace methods. The numerical treatment was necessary because analytic formulas for traces obtained using the algebra of γ matrices are so lengthy that it does not seem feasible to analyze them using symbolic algebra software (let alone pen and paper).

The manuscript is organized as follows. Section 2 explains our conventions for two component spinors and bispinors. In particular in Subsections 2.3 and 2.4 we construct polarization spinors satisfying massless or massive Dirac equation. These choices of spinor bases do not affect physical observables, such as cross sections, but they do affect the amplitudes². In Subsection 2.5 we provide explicit expressions in terms of components for various spinorial objects, e.g. the dictionary between spinor components and space-time components of a vector. The main results are in Section 3. We present the Feynman diagrams and the corresponding algebraic expressions, and then decompose the amplitudes according to colors and chiralities. In Subsection 3.2 we evaluate the resulting expressions using spinors in the massless case. These amplitudes are less general than our final result, but due to the significant simplifications we deemed them worthy of displaying separately. In Subsection 3.3 we give formulas for amplitudes allowing for nonzero masses of the quark and the antiquark. Even though the amplitudes are significantly more complicated than in the massless case, they are still multiple orders of magnitude shorter than outputs of calculations using trace methods. In Subsection 3.4 we present example calculations of amplitudes for some specific diagrams.

2 Spinor - helicity formalism

2.1 Spinor indices and bases

We denote (two component) spinor indices with uppercase latin indices, with an overdot used for indices in the complex conjugate representation. Invariant tensors $\varepsilon_{AB}, \varepsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$ (related to each other by complex conjugation) are used for raising and lowering of indices:

$$\varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon^{CB} = \delta_A^C, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\xi_B = \xi^A \varepsilon_{AB}, \quad (2.1b)$$

$$\xi^A = \varepsilon^{AB} \xi_B, \quad (2.1c)$$

and analogously for conjugate spinor indices. The order of indices in the above formulas matters because $\varepsilon_{AB}, \varepsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}}$ are skew-symmetric. Thus for example

$$\xi^A \eta_A = -\xi_A \eta^A. \quad (2.2)$$

¹More precisely, one uses also a Ward identity: the amplitude is orthogonal to k_i^μ , so contraction with k_{iT} can be replaced by contraction with $-x_i P_i$.

²Simply put, amplitudes are tensors in the space of polarizations and one has to specify in which basis are theirs components given.

A pair $A\dot{A}$ of spinor indices may be traded for one Lorentz index. This is achieved by contracting with $(\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}}, (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{A}A}$, which are vectors of spinorial matrices:

$$v_{A\dot{A}} = v_\mu (\sigma^\mu)_{A\dot{A}}, \quad v^{\dot{A}A} = v_\mu (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\dot{A}A}. \quad (2.3)$$

$\bar{\sigma}$ is related to σ by raising of spinor indices combined with matrix transposition. Given two Lorentz vectors, we can contract them directly or contract their spinor indices. The results differ by a factor of 2:

$$u_{A\dot{A}} v^{\dot{A}A} = 2u_\mu v^\mu. \quad (2.4)$$

Another important property of σ and $\bar{\sigma}$ is that their product, symmetrized in Lorentz indices gives Minkowski metric

$$\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1} \quad \implies \quad v_{A\dot{A}} v^{\dot{A}B} = v_\mu v^\mu \delta_A^B. \quad (2.5)$$

With the aid of the inner product, we can readily obtain the general representation of a spinor in terms of components. We choose any pair of spinors o and ι normalized as

$$o_A \iota^A = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Then $\{o, \iota\}$ form a basis of the spinor space. The decomposition of a general spinor ξ in this basis reads

$$\xi = \xi^0 o + \xi^1 \iota, \quad \text{where} \quad \xi^0 = \xi_A \iota^A, \quad \xi^1 = -\xi_A o^A, \quad (2.7)$$

and the invariant tensors decompose as

$$\varepsilon_{AB} = o_A \iota_B - \iota_A o_B, \quad \varepsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} = \bar{o}_{\dot{A}} \bar{\iota}_{\dot{B}} - \bar{\iota}_{\dot{A}} \bar{o}_{\dot{B}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Now we define a null tetrad of world-vectors as

$$\begin{aligned} l_+^{\dot{A}A} = o^A \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} &\iff l_+^\mu = \frac{1}{2} o^A \sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu \bar{o}^{\dot{A}}, \\ l_-^{\dot{A}A} = \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}} &\iff l_-^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \iota^A \sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}}, \\ m^{\dot{A}A} = o^A \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}} &\iff m^\mu = \frac{1}{2} o^A \sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}}, \\ \bar{m}^{\dot{A}A} = \iota^A \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} &\iff \bar{m}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \iota^A \sigma_{A\dot{A}}^\mu \bar{o}^{\dot{A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Using the above null basis we can construct a standard orthonormal basis for Minkowski space - a Minkowski tetrad $\{t^\mu, x^\mu, y^\mu, z^\mu\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} l_\pm = t \pm z &\iff t = \frac{1}{2} (l_+ + l_-), \quad z = \frac{1}{2} (l_+ - l_-), \\ m = x + iy, \quad \bar{m} = x - iy &\iff x = \frac{1}{2} (m + \bar{m}), \quad y = \frac{1}{2i} (m - \bar{m}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In principle we could start with the null tetrad or Minkowski tetrad and construct from them a spinor basis $\{o, \iota\}$, unique up to an overall sign (the same for o and ι).

2.2 Dirac bispinors

A Dirac bispinor is a pair of two spinors:

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_A \\ \bar{\eta}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi} = [\eta^A \quad \bar{\xi}_{\dot{A}}], \quad (2.11)$$

where $\bar{\psi}$ is the Dirac conjugate of ψ . It is clear from the above definition that the identity $\overline{\psi_1\psi_2} = \overline{\psi_2}\psi_1$ holds. We work with a chiral representation of gamma matrices

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

We will also use the eigenprojections of γ_5 :

$$P_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2}. \quad (2.13)$$

For any Lorentz vector n we define the matrix

$$\hat{n} = n_\mu \gamma^\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & n_\mu \sigma^\mu \\ n_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & n_{A\dot{A}} \\ n^{\dot{A}A} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

2.3 Spinor bracket notation

We will now construct a convenient basis of bispinors satisfying the massless Dirac equation.

We choose a reference spinor $\rho^A \neq 0$ and define a future-directed null vector l by $l^{A\dot{A}} = \rho^A \bar{\rho}^{\dot{A}}$. For every null, future directed, real Lorentz vector n with $n \cdot l \neq 0$ we introduce the angle and square bra and ket spinors:

$$|n] = \begin{bmatrix} \theta(n)_A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |n\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \overline{\theta(n)}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad [n| = [\theta(n)^A \quad 0], \quad \langle n| = [0 \quad \overline{\theta(n)}_{\dot{A}}], \quad (2.15)$$

where $\theta(n)_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2|n \cdot l|}} n_{A\dot{A}} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{A}}$. Then $|n], |n\rangle$, resp. $[n|, \langle n|$ form bases of solutions of

$$\hat{n}\psi = 0, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \bar{\psi}\hat{n} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The normalization factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|n \cdot l|}}$ is needed for the normalization of the current:

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = 2n^\mu. \quad (2.17)$$

We remark that the factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2|n \cdot l|}}$ makes our solutions singular when n is colinear with l . This is just a singularity of the chosen basis and depends on the choice of the reference spinor.

The spinors defined in (2.15) are eigenvectors of γ_5 :

$$\gamma_5 |n] = -|n], \quad \gamma_5 |n\rangle = |n\rangle, \quad [n| \gamma_5 = -[n|, \quad \langle n| \gamma_5 = \langle n|, \quad (2.18)$$

so the basis vectors defined in (2.15) correspond to particles of fixed chirality (and hence also helicity). Spinors of different helicity are orthogonal, e.g. we have $\langle n_1 | n_2] = 0$ and (since γ^μ flips chirality) $[n_1 | \gamma^\mu | n_2] = 0$.

2.4 Massive Dirac bispinors

For a Lorentz vector p with $p^2 = m^2 > 0$ we define the brackets as

$$|p] = \begin{bmatrix} \theta(p)_A \\ \overline{\phi(p)}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad |p\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} -\phi(p)_A \\ \overline{\theta(p)}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad [p| = [\theta(p)^A \quad -\overline{\phi(p)}_{\dot{A}}], \quad \langle p| = [\phi(p)^A \quad \overline{\theta(p)}_{\dot{A}}], \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\theta(p)_A := \frac{p_{A\dot{A}} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{A}}}{\sqrt{2|p \cdot l|}}, \quad \phi(p)_A := \frac{m}{\sqrt{2|p \cdot l|}} \rho_A. \quad (2.20)$$

This reduces to the definition in (2.15) for $m \rightarrow 0$, so the notation is consistent.

The constructed spinors satisfy the Dirac equation

$$(\hat{p} - m)|p\rangle = (\hat{p} - m)|p\rangle = 0 = [p](\hat{p} - m) = \langle p|(\hat{p} - m). \quad (2.21)$$

Unlike in the massless case, spinor brackets have no singularities because $p \cdot l \neq 0$ for all vectors p with $p^2 > 0$. Another difference is that the direction of their spin depends on the reference spinor. More precisely, we have eigenequations for the spinor operator $S_p = \frac{1}{2p \cdot l} \gamma_5 [\hat{p}, \hat{l}]$:

$$S_p|p\rangle = -|p\rangle, \quad S_p|p\rangle = |p\rangle, \quad [p]S_p = -[p], \quad \langle p|S_p = \langle p|. \quad (2.22)$$

We describe a particle with momentum p (a future-directed vector) with kets $|p\rangle$ or $[p]$, depending on the value of the spin. For an anti-particle we use instead the spinors $|-p\rangle, |-p]$ (note that definitions in (2.19) and (2.20) make sense both for future and past-directed vectors).

We will now prove useful symmetries of spinor brackets, which allow to reduce the number of amplitudes that we will need to compute by a factor 2. Let us consider the charge conjugation \mathcal{C} which acts on bispinors in the following way

$$\mathcal{C}\psi = \mathcal{C} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_A \\ \bar{\eta}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_A \\ \bar{\xi}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.23)$$

The operator \mathcal{C} is anti-linear, $\mathcal{C}^2 = \mathbb{1}$ and it anti-commutes with gamma matrices γ^μ and γ_5 . Now we can define another operator

$$\mathcal{Q} := \gamma_5 \mathcal{C}, \quad (2.24)$$

which satisfies

$$\mathcal{Q}^2 = -\mathbb{1}, \quad \mathcal{Q}\gamma_5\mathcal{Q}^{-1} = -\gamma_5, \quad \mathcal{Q}\gamma^\mu\mathcal{Q}^{-1} = \gamma^\mu. \quad (2.25)$$

We also have, for any bispinors ψ_1, ψ_2 :

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}\psi_1}\mathcal{Q}\psi_2 = \overline{\psi_1}\psi_2. \quad (2.26)$$

It can be also directly shown that for bispinor brackets we have the following identities

$$\mathcal{Q}|p\rangle = |p\rangle, \quad \mathcal{Q}|p\rangle = -|p]. \quad (2.27)$$

With the help of the above identities we will derive useful symmetries. Let us begin with the contraction with Γ being any composition of the gamma matrices

$$[p_3 | \Gamma | p_4\rangle = \overline{[p_3]} \Gamma |p_4\rangle = \overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \Gamma \mathcal{Q}|p_4\rangle = \overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \mathcal{Q} \Gamma |p_4\rangle = \overline{[p_3]} \Gamma |p_4\rangle = \overline{\langle p_3 | \Gamma | p_4]}, \quad (2.28)$$

and similarly

$$[p_3 | \Gamma | p_4] = -\overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \Gamma \mathcal{Q}|p_4\rangle = -\overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \mathcal{Q} \Gamma |p_4\rangle = -\overline{[p_3]} \Gamma |p_4\rangle = -\overline{\langle p_3 | \Gamma | p_4]}. \quad (2.29)$$

These symmetries hold for both the massless and massive case.

Because \mathcal{Q} anticommutes with γ_5 , it exchanges the projections $\mathcal{Q}P_\pm\mathcal{Q}^{-1} = P_\mp$. Therefore if we now define Γ_\pm to be a composition of the gamma matrices and one of the projections P_\pm , the symmetries (2.28), (2.29) translates to

$$[p_3 | \Gamma_\pm | p_4\rangle = \overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \Gamma_\pm \mathcal{Q}|p_4\rangle = \overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \mathcal{Q} \Gamma_\mp |p_4\rangle = \overline{\langle p_3 | \Gamma_\mp | p_4]}, \quad (2.30)$$

and

$$[p_3 | \Gamma_\pm | p_4] = -\overline{\mathcal{Q}[p_3]} \mathcal{Q} \Gamma_\mp |p_4\rangle = -\overline{\langle p_3 | \Gamma_\mp | p_4]}. \quad (2.31)$$

2.5 Component expressions

We will work with null tetrad defined as in Subsection 2.1. Vectors can be written with components with respect to chosen basis as

$$n^\mu = n^+ l_+^\mu + n^- l_-^\mu + n^\perp m^\mu + n^\perp \bar{m}^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} n^+ & n^- & n^\perp & n^\perp \end{pmatrix}^\mu, \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$n^\pm = n^0 \pm n^3, \quad n^\perp = n^1 + in^2, \quad n^\perp = n^1 - in^2. \quad (2.33)$$

The correspondence with spinor components is:

$$n^{AA} = \begin{pmatrix} n^{\dot{0}0} & n^{\dot{0}1} \\ n^{\dot{1}0} & n^{\dot{1}1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n^+ & n^\perp \\ n^\perp & n^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.34)$$

The Minkowski metric in the null basis has the form

$$(g_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (g^{\mu\nu}) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.35)$$

We note that for real vectors $n^\perp = \overline{n^\perp}$, but for complex vectors we have $n^\perp = \overline{n^\perp}$.

$$\bar{n}^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^+ & \bar{n}^- & \bar{n}^\perp & \bar{n}^\perp \end{pmatrix}^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}^+ & \bar{n}^- & \bar{n}^\perp & \bar{n}^\perp \end{pmatrix}^\mu \quad (2.36)$$

We choose the basis spinors to be

$$o_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \iota_A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad o^A = \varepsilon^{AB} o_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \iota^A = \varepsilon^{AB} \iota_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.37)$$

thus the symplectic form in the matrix form is

$$\varepsilon_{AB} = o_A \iota_B - \iota_A o_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon^{AB} = o^A \iota^B - \iota^A o^B. \quad (2.38)$$

With this choice of spinor basis we define the bispinor basis

$$\begin{aligned} |\uparrow\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} o_A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\uparrow\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\downarrow\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \iota_A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\downarrow\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ |\uparrow\rangle &= [o^A \quad 0] = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0], \quad \langle\uparrow| = [0 \quad \bar{o}_{\dot{A}}] = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1], \\ |\downarrow\rangle &= [\iota^A \quad 0] = [0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0], \quad \langle\downarrow| = [0 \quad \bar{\iota}_{\dot{A}}] = [0 \quad 0 \quad -1 \quad 0]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

From this basis one can check by explicit calculation that for the basis vectors (2.9) we have the completeness relation

$$\widehat{l}_+ = |\uparrow\rangle \langle\uparrow| + |\uparrow\rangle \langle\downarrow|, \quad [\uparrow | \gamma^\mu | \uparrow] = 2l_+^\mu, \quad (2.40a)$$

$$\widehat{l}_- = |\downarrow\rangle \langle\downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle\uparrow|, \quad [\downarrow | \gamma^\mu | \downarrow] = 2l_-^\mu, \quad (2.40b)$$

$$\widehat{m} = |\downarrow\rangle \langle\uparrow| + |\uparrow\rangle \langle\downarrow|, \quad [\uparrow | \gamma^\mu | \downarrow] = 2m^\mu, \quad (2.40c)$$

$$\widehat{\bar{m}} = |\uparrow\rangle \langle\downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle \langle\uparrow|, \quad [\downarrow | \gamma^\mu | \uparrow] = 2\bar{m}^\mu. \quad (2.40d)$$

Using the bispinor basis we can write also the completeness relations in eigenspaces of chirality:

$$P_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2}, \quad P_+ = |\downarrow\rangle \langle\uparrow| - |\uparrow\rangle \langle\downarrow|, \quad P_- = |\uparrow\rangle \langle\downarrow| - |\downarrow\rangle \langle\uparrow|. \quad (2.41)$$

Let us choose the reference spinor ρ^A to be ι^A . Then we have $l = l_-$ and $2(p \cdot l) = p^+$. The Weyl spinors $\theta(p)_A$ used to construct solutions of the Dirac equation take the form:

$$\theta(p)_A = \frac{p_{Ai}}{\sqrt{|p^+|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p^+|}} \left(p^+ o_A + p^\perp \iota_A \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p^+|}} \begin{pmatrix} -p^\perp \\ p^+ \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.42)$$

Therefore the corresponding bispinor brackets are

$$|p] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p^+|}} \left(p^+ |\uparrow] + p^\perp |\downarrow] + m |\downarrow] \right), \quad |p\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p^+|}} \left(p^+ |\uparrow\rangle + p^\perp |\downarrow\rangle - m |\downarrow\rangle \right). \quad (2.43)$$

3 Amplitudes

3.1 Derivation of the amplitudes

The amplitude for the $g^* g^* \rightarrow \bar{q} q V^*$ scattering at the tree level is given by diagrams presented on Figure 2. We take the vertex of interaction with the boson V^* to be




Figure 2: Eight Feynman diagrams describing the $g^* g^* \rightarrow \bar{q} q V^*$ scattering at the tree level.

$$\Gamma^\mu = v\gamma^\mu + a\gamma_5\gamma^\mu. \quad (3.1)$$

For example, if $V^* = \gamma^*$ (excited photon), then $v = e_f$ and $a = 0$. We need the more general vertex to treat the W and Z bosons. We denote colors of the ingoing gluons by a, b . The $q\bar{q}$ pair is taken to have colors i, j , and spins σ_3, σ_4 . The contributions to the amplitude from the eight diagrams take the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1^\mu &= \frac{-ig^2}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \left(T^a T^b \right)_{ij} \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \Gamma^\mu (\hat{v}_1 + m_4) \hat{P}_1 (\hat{v}_2 + m_4) \hat{P}_2 v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) = \\ &=: -ig^2 (T^a T^b)_{ij} A_1^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2^\mu &= \frac{-ig^2}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \left(T^a T^b\right)_{ij} \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \hat{P}_1 (\hat{v}_3 + m_3) \Gamma^\mu (\hat{v}_2 + m_4) \hat{P}_2 v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) = \\ &=: -ig^2 \left(T^a T^b\right)_{ij} A_2^\mu,\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_3^\mu &= \frac{-ig^2}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \left(T^a T^b\right)_{ij} \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \hat{P}_1 (\hat{v}_3 + m_3) \hat{P}_2 (\hat{v}_4 + m_3) \Gamma^\mu v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) = \\ &=: -ig^2 \left(T^a T^b\right)_{ij} A_3^\mu,\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_4^\mu &= \frac{-ig^2}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \left(T^b T^a\right)_{ij} \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \Gamma^\mu (\hat{v}_1 + m_4) \hat{P}_2 (\hat{v}_5 + m_4) \hat{P}_1 v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) = \\ &=: -ig^2 \left(T^b T^a\right)_{ij} A_4^\mu,\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_5^\mu &= \frac{-ig^2}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \left(T^b T^a\right)_{ij} \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \hat{P}_2 (\hat{v}_6 + m_3) \Gamma^\mu (\hat{v}_5 + m_4) \hat{P}_1 v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) = \\ &=: -ig^2 \left(T^b T^a\right)_{ij} A_5^\mu,\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_6^\mu &= \frac{-ig^2}{(v_4^2 - m_3^2)(v_6^2 - m_3^2)} \left(T^b T^a\right)_{ij} \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \hat{P}_2 (\hat{v}_6 + m_3) \hat{P}_1 (\hat{v}_4 + m_3) \Gamma^\mu v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) = \\ &=: -ig^2 \left(T^b T^a\right)_{ij} A_6^\mu,\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_7^\mu = \frac{g^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 (v_1^2 - m_4^2)} f^{abc} T_{ij}^c \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \Gamma^\mu (\hat{v}_1 + m_4) \hat{V}_{\text{eff}} v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) =: g^2 f^{abc} T_{ij}^c A_7^\mu, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_8^\mu = \frac{g^2}{(k_1 + k_2)^2 (v_4^2 - m_3^2)} f^{abc} T_{ij}^c \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \hat{V}_{\text{eff}} (\hat{v}_4 + m_3) \Gamma^\mu v_{\sigma_4}(p_4) =: g^2 f^{abc} T_{ij}^c A_8^\mu, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}v_1 &= p_3 + q, & v_2 &= k_2 - p_4, & v_3 &= p_3 - k_1, \\ v_4 &= -p_4 - q, & v_5 &= k_1 - p_4, & v_6 &= p_3 - k_2, \\ V_{\text{eff}}^\mu &= \frac{S}{2} (k_2 - k_1)^\mu + \left(2P_2 \cdot k_1 + \frac{P_1 \cdot P_2}{P_1 \cdot k_2} k_1^2\right) P_1^\mu - \left(2P_1 \cdot k_2 + \frac{P_1 \cdot P_2}{P_2 \cdot k_1} k_2^2\right) P_2^\mu = \\ &= \frac{S}{2} (k_2 - k_1)^\mu + \left(x_1 S + \frac{k_1^2}{x_2}\right) P_1^\mu - \left(x_2 S + \frac{k_2^2}{x_1}\right) P_2^\mu.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

We have factorized the amplitudes \mathcal{A}_i^μ into color factors and color-independent amplitudes A_i^μ . The full amplitude can be decomposed into parts symmetric and antisymmetric in the color indices a, b :

$$\mathcal{A}^\mu := \sum_{n=1}^8 \mathcal{A}_n^\mu = \mathcal{A}_S^\mu + \mathcal{A}_A^\mu, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_S^\mu &:= -ig^2 \left(\frac{1}{N} \delta^{ab} \delta_{ij} + d^{abc} T_{ij}^c\right) A_S^\mu, \\ \mathcal{A}_A^\mu &:= g^2 f^{abc} T_{ij}^c A_A^\mu.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

and

$$A_S^\mu := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^6 A_n^\mu, \quad A_A^\mu := \frac{1}{2} (A_1^\mu + A_2^\mu + A_3^\mu - A_4^\mu - A_5^\mu - A_6^\mu) + A_7^\mu + A_8^\mu. \quad (3.13)$$

In the amplitude squared, averaged over colors of the ingoing gluons and summed over colors of the outgoing $q\bar{q}$, the symmetric and antisymmetric parts do not interfere:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{(N^2 - 1)^2} \sum_{i,j,a,b} \mathcal{A}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{A}}^\nu = \\ &= \frac{1}{(N^2 - 1)^2} \sum_{i,j,a,b} \mathcal{A}_S^\mu \bar{\mathcal{A}}_S^\nu + \frac{1}{(N^2 - 1)^2} \sum_{i,j,a,b} \mathcal{A}_A^\mu \bar{\mathcal{A}}_A^\nu = \\ &= \frac{g^4 (N^2 - 2)}{2N (N^2 - 1)} A_S^\mu \bar{A}_S^\nu + \frac{g^4 N}{2(N^2 - 1)} A_A^\mu \bar{A}_A^\nu = \mathcal{M}_S^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{M}_A^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We can further decompose each amplitude into right R^μ and left L^μ part

$$A_n^\mu = (v + a)R_n^\mu + (v - a)L_n^\mu, \quad (3.15)$$

where in R_i^μ we replace Γ^μ by $P_+ \gamma^\mu$ and in L_i^μ we replace Γ^μ by $P_- \gamma^\mu$.

In the brackets notation we put for fermions

$$u_+(p) = |p\rangle, \quad u_-(p) = |p], \quad \bar{u}_+(p) = [p|, \quad \bar{u}_-(p) = \langle p|, \quad (3.16)$$

and for anti-fermions

$$v_-(p) = |-p\rangle, \quad v_+(p) = |-p], \quad \bar{v}_-(p) = [-p|, \quad \bar{v}_+(p) = \langle -p|, \quad (3.17)$$

with brackets defined as in Section 2. In the massless case, the indices \pm refer to helicity (so they agree with chirality for the quark and are opposite to chirality for the antiquark). For massive particles, $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ is minus the spin in rest frame of p projected onto the spatial direction of l_- . Equivalently, they are eigenvectors of the spin operator S_p as described in 2.22 (where we have to keep in mind that for antiparticles the physical spin is opposite to the spin operator from Dirac's theory).

3.2 Results in the massless case

In the massless case we encounter a huge simplification, so there is a good reason to consider it separately. Firstly, amplitudes with fermions of the same chirality vanish:

$$A_{n,+ -}^\mu = 0 = A_{n,- +}^\mu. \quad (3.18)$$

The nonzero amplitudes are given as:

$$A_{n,++}^\mu = (v + a)R_{n,++}^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad A_{n,--}^\mu = (v - a)L_{n,--}^\mu. \quad (3.19)$$

Below we give formulas only for $R_{n,+ -}$. Other amplitudes may be reconstructed from the following symmetry:

$$L_{n,--}^\mu = \bar{R}_{n,++}^\mu, \quad (3.20)$$

which is the consequence of the symmetries (2.30) and (2.31)

We obtained the following results for $R_{n,+-}^\mu$:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \langle p_3 | \gamma^{\dot{A}A} \frac{\widehat{v}_1}{v_1^2} \widehat{P}_1 \frac{\widehat{v}_2}{v_2^2} \widehat{P}_2 | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2S}{v_1^2 v_2^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_4^{\dot{0}0} v_2^{\dot{0}1} p_3^{\dot{A}0} v_1^{\dot{1}A}, \\
R_{2,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \langle p_3 | \widehat{P}_1 \frac{\widehat{v}_3}{v_3^2} \gamma^{\dot{A}A} \frac{\widehat{v}_2}{v_2^2} \widehat{P}_2 | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2S}{v_3^2 v_2^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_4^{\dot{0}0} p_3^{\dot{1}0} v_3^{\dot{A}1} v_2^{\dot{0}A}, \\
R_{3,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \langle p_3 | \widehat{P}_1 \frac{\widehat{v}_3}{v_3^2} \widehat{P}_2 \frac{\widehat{v}_4}{v_4^2} \gamma^{\dot{A}A} | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2S}{v_3^2 v_4^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_3^{\dot{1}0} v_3^{\dot{0}1} v_4^{\dot{A}0} p_4^{\dot{0}A}, \\
R_{4,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \langle p_3 | \gamma^{\dot{A}A} \frac{\widehat{v}_1}{v_1^2} \widehat{P}_2 \frac{\widehat{v}_5}{v_5^2} \widehat{P}_1 | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2S}{v_1^2 v_5^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_4^{\dot{0}1} v_5^{\dot{1}0} p_3^{\dot{A}0} v_1^{\dot{0}A}, \\
R_{5,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \langle p_3 | \widehat{P}_2 \frac{\widehat{v}_6}{v_6^2} \gamma^{\dot{A}A} \frac{\widehat{v}_5}{v_5^2} \widehat{P}_1 | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2S}{v_6^2 v_5^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_3^{\dot{0}0} p_4^{\dot{0}1} v_6^{\dot{A}0} v_5^{\dot{1}A}, \\
R_{6,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \langle p_3 | \widehat{P}_2 \frac{\widehat{v}_6}{v_6^2} \widehat{P}_1 \frac{\widehat{v}_4}{v_4^2} \gamma^{\dot{A}A} | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2S}{v_6^2 v_4^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_3^{\dot{0}0} v_6^{\dot{1}0} v_4^{\dot{A}1} p_4^{\dot{0}A}, \\
R_{7,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \langle p_3 | \gamma^{\dot{A}A} \frac{\widehat{v}_1}{v_1^2} \widehat{V}_{\text{eff}} | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2}{v_1^2 (k_1 + k_2)^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} p_3^{\dot{A}0} p_4^{\dot{0}B} (V_{\text{eff}})_{B\dot{B}} v_1^{\dot{B}A}, \\
R_{8,++}^{\dot{A}A} &= \frac{1}{(k_1 + k_2)^2} \langle p_3 | \widehat{V}_{\text{eff}} \frac{\widehat{v}_4}{v_4^2} \gamma^{\dot{A}A} | -p_4 \rangle = -\frac{2}{v_4^2 (k_1 + k_2)^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} v_4^{\dot{A}B} (V_{\text{eff}})_{B\dot{B}} p_3^{\dot{B}0} p_4^{\dot{0}A}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

These results take the simplest forms in terms of the spinor notation, but as an example we give two formulas written in Lorentz vector notation:

$$R_{1,++}^\mu = -\frac{2S}{v_1^2 v_2^2} \sqrt{\frac{p_4^+}{p_3^+}} v_2^\perp (p_3^+ v_1^\perp \quad p_3^\perp v_1^- \quad p_3^\perp v_1^\perp \quad p_3^+ v_1^-)^\mu \tag{3.22}$$

$$R_{7,++}^\mu = -\frac{4}{v_1^2 (k_1 + k_2)^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} M_7^{\mu\nu} (V_{\text{eff}})_\nu, \tag{3.23}$$

where M_7 is the following matrix:

$$M_7^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} p_3^+ p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^+ p_4^\perp v_1^\perp & p_3^+ p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^+ p_4^\perp v_1^\perp \\ p_3^\perp p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^\perp p_4^\perp v_1^\perp & p_3^\perp p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^\perp p_4^\perp v_1^\perp \\ p_3^\perp p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^\perp p_4^\perp v_1^\perp & p_3^\perp p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^\perp p_4^\perp v_1^\perp \\ p_3^+ p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^+ p_4^\perp v_1^\perp & p_3^+ p_4^+ v_1^\perp & p_3^+ p_4^\perp v_1^\perp \end{pmatrix}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.24}$$

We remark that the simple structure of amplitudes written in terms of spinors is reflected in the fact that M_7 is a matrix of rank at most 2.

3.3 General results

In the massive case we have 8 expressions to compute for each diagram: left and right amplitudes with two possible values of spin for the quark and for the antiquark. In contrast to the massless case none of these expressions vanish identically, but we can still reduce the number of independent amplitudes by a factor of two using the symmetries

$$R_{n,-+}^\mu = \bar{L}_{n,+-}^\mu, \quad L_{n,-+}^\mu = \bar{R}_{n,+-}^\mu, \quad R_{n,--}^\mu = -\bar{L}_{n,++}^\mu, \quad L_{n,--}^\mu = -\bar{R}_{n,++}^\mu, \tag{3.25}$$

which, similarly like in massless case, are reflection of the symmetries (2.30) and (2.31). Therefore for each diagram we write only $R_{i,++}^\mu, L_{i,++}^\mu, R_{i,+-}^\mu$ and $L_{i,+-}^\mu$.

The first diagram:

$$R_{1,++}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{p_4^{\dot{0}0} p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_2^{\dot{0}1} v_1^{\dot{A}1} + m_4^2 o^A \right], \quad (3.26)$$

$$L_{1,++}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 m_4 p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_1^{\dot{A}1} + v_2^{\dot{0}1} \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A,$$

$$R_{1,+-}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_4 p_4^{\dot{0}0} p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_2^{\dot{0}1} o^A - v_1^{\dot{A}1} \right], \quad (3.27)$$

$$L_{1,+-}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_2^{\dot{0}1} v_1^{\dot{A}1} + m_4^2 \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A,$$

The second diagram:

$$R_{2,++}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[p_3^{\dot{0}1} v_3^{\dot{A}1} + m_3^2 \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] v_2^{\dot{0}A}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$L_{2,++}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 m_4 p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_3^{\dot{A}1} + v_2^{\dot{0}1} \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}},$$

$$R_{2,+-}^{\dot{A}A} = \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_4 p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[p_3^{\dot{0}1} v_3^{\dot{A}1} + m_3^2 \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A, \quad (3.29)$$

$$L_{2,+-}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_2^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_3^{\dot{A}1} - p_3^{\dot{0}1} o^A \right] v_2^{\dot{A}0},$$

The third diagram:

$$R_{3,++}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{p_4^{\dot{0}A}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[p_3^{\dot{0}1} v_3^{\dot{0}1} v_4^{\dot{A}0} + m_3^2 \left((p_3 - v_3)^{\dot{0}1} \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}} + v_4^{\dot{A}0} \right) \right] v_2^{\dot{0}A},$$

$$L_{3,++}^{\dot{A}A} = \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{m_3 m_4}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[\left(m_3^2 + p_3^{\dot{0}1} v_3^{\dot{0}1} \right) \iota^A - (p_3 - v_3)^{\dot{0}1} v_4^{\dot{0}A} \right] \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$R_{3,+-}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{m_4}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[\left(m_3^2 + p_3^{\dot{0}1} v_3^{\dot{0}1} \right) v_4^{\dot{A}1} + m_3^2 (p_3 - v_3)^{\dot{0}1} \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A,$$

$$L_{3,+-}^{\dot{A}A} = - \frac{2S}{(v_3^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{m_3 p_4^{\dot{0}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[\left(m_3^2 + p_3^{\dot{0}1} v_3^{\dot{0}1} \right) \iota^A - (p_3 - v_3)^{\dot{0}1} v_4^{\dot{0}A} \right], \quad (3.31)$$

The fourth diagram:

$$R_{4,++}^{\dot{A}A} = \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[\left(m_4^2 - p_4^{\dot{0}1} v_5^{\dot{0}1} \right) v_1^{\dot{A}0} - m_4^2 (p_4 + v_5)^{\dot{0}1} \iota^A \right], \quad (3.32)$$

$$L_{4,++}^{\dot{A}A} = \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 m_4}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[\left(m_4^2 - p_4^{\dot{0}1} v_5^{\dot{0}1} \right) \bar{\iota}^{\dot{A}} + (p_4 + v_5)^{\dot{0}1} v_1^{\dot{A}0} \right] \iota^A,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{4,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_4 p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[(m_4^2 - p_4^{\dot{A}0} v_5^{\dot{A}1}) \iota^A + m_3^2 (p_4 + v_5)^{\dot{A}0} v_1^{\dot{A}} \right], \\
L_{4,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2S}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[(m_4^2 - p_4^{\dot{A}0} v_5^{\dot{A}1}) v_1^{\dot{A}0} - (p_4 + v_5)^{\dot{A}0} \iota^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

The fifth diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{5,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[m_4^2 o^A - p_4^{\dot{A}1} v_5^{\dot{A}} \right] v_6^{\dot{A}0}, \\
L_{5,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 m_4 p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_5^{\dot{A}1} + p_4^{\dot{A}1} \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{5,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_4 p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_5^{\dot{A}1} + p_4^{\dot{A}1} o^A \right] v_6^{\dot{A}0}, \\
L_{5,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_5^2 - m_4^2)} \frac{m_3 p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[m_4^2 \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} - p_4^{\dot{A}1} v_5^{\dot{A}1} \right] \iota^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

The sixth diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{6,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{p_3^{\dot{A}0} p_4^{\dot{A}1}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_6^{\dot{A}0} v_4^{\dot{A}1} + m_3^2 \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right], \\
L_{6,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{m_3 m_4 p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_4^{\dot{A}1} - v_6^{\dot{A}0} o^A \right] \iota^{\dot{A}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{6,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{m_4 p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_4^{\dot{A}1} v_6^{\dot{A}0} + m_3^2 \bar{o}^{\dot{A}} \right] \iota^A, \\
L_{6,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2S}{(v_6^2 - m_3^2)(v_4^2 - m_3^2)} \frac{m_3 p_3^{\dot{A}0} p_4^{\dot{A}1}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_6^{\dot{A}0} o^A - v_4^{\dot{A}1} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

The seventh diagram

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{7,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{p_3^{\dot{A}0}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[-p_4^{\dot{A}B} (V_{\text{eff}})_{B\dot{B}} v_1^{\dot{B}A} + m_4^2 (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{A}0} \right], \\
L_{7,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{m_3 m_4 \varepsilon_{BC}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_1^{\dot{A}B} (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{A}0} - (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{A}B} p_4^{\dot{A}0} \right] \iota^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{7,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{m_4 p_3^{\dot{A}0} \varepsilon_{\dot{B}\dot{C}}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_1^{\dot{B}A} (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{C}0} - (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{B}A} p_4^{\dot{C}0} \right], \\
L_{7,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2}{(v_1^2 - m_4^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{m_3}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[-v_1^{\dot{A}B} (V_{\text{eff}})_{B\dot{B}} p_4^{\dot{B}0} + m_4^2 (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{A}0} \right] \iota^A,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

The eighth diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{8,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2}{(v_4^2 - m_3^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{p_4^{\dot{0}\dot{A}}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_4^{\dot{A}B} (V_{\text{eff}})_{B\dot{B}} p_3^{\dot{B}0} + m_3^2 (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{A}0} \right], \\
L_{8,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2}{(v_4^2 - m_3^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{m_3 m_4 \varepsilon_{\dot{B}\dot{C}}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_4^{\dot{B}A} (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{C}0} + (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{B}A} p_3^{\dot{C}0} \right] \bar{\tau}^{\dot{A}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{8,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= -\frac{2}{(v_4^2 - m_3^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{m_4}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_4^{\dot{A}B} (V_{\text{eff}})_{B\dot{B}} p_3^{\dot{B}0} + m_3^2 (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{A}0} \right] \iota^{\dot{A}}, \\
L_{8,+-}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{2}{(v_4^2 - m_3^2)(k_1 + k_2)^2} \frac{m_3 p_4^{\dot{A}0} \varepsilon_{\dot{B}\dot{C}}}{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}} \left[v_4^{\dot{B}A} (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{C}0} + (V_{\text{eff}})^{\dot{B}A} p_3^{\dot{C}0} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

3.4 Calculations

In order to illustrate our calculation methods, we will now compute the amplitude $R_{1,++}^\mu$ for both the massless and massive case.

In order to do that, we can use the fact that $P_1 = \sqrt{S}l_+$, $P_2 = \sqrt{S}l_-$, the eigenequations (2.18) and the completeness relation (2.40):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{v_1^2 v_2^2}{2S} R_{1,++}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \langle p_3 | P_+ \gamma^\mu \hat{v}_1 \hat{l}_+ \hat{v}_2 \hat{l}_- | -p_4 \rangle = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle p_3 | \gamma^\mu \hat{v}_1 (|\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle) \hat{v}_2 (|\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle) | -p_4 \rangle = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle p_3 | \gamma^\mu \hat{v}_1 |\uparrow\rangle [\uparrow | \hat{v}_2 | \downarrow] |\downarrow | -p_4 \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

With the help of (2.40) and (2.43) we evaluate:

$$[\uparrow | \hat{v}_2 | \downarrow] = -v_2^\perp, \quad [\downarrow | -p_4] = -\sqrt{p_4^+}. \tag{3.43}$$

Next we will insert the identity between γ^μ and \hat{v}_1 using the completeness relation (2.41) to obtain

$$(3.42) = \frac{v_2^\perp \sqrt{p_4^+}}{2} (\langle p_3 | \gamma^\mu |\uparrow\rangle [\downarrow | \hat{v}_1 |\uparrow] - \langle p_3 | \gamma^\mu |\downarrow\rangle [\uparrow | \hat{v}_1 |\uparrow]). \tag{3.44}$$

Then again from (2.40) we get $[\downarrow | \hat{v}_1 |\uparrow] = -v_1^\perp$, $[\uparrow | \hat{v}_1 |\uparrow] = v_1^-$, and also we decompose $\langle p_3 |$ with (2.43). These procedures leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.44) &= -\frac{v_2^\perp}{2} \sqrt{\frac{p_4^+}{p_3^+}} \left[v_1^\perp \left(p_3^+ \langle \uparrow | \gamma^\mu | \uparrow \rangle + p_3^\perp \langle \downarrow | \gamma^\mu | \uparrow \rangle \right) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + v_1^- \left(p_3^+ \langle \uparrow | \gamma^\mu | \downarrow \rangle + p_3^\perp \langle \downarrow | \gamma^\mu | \downarrow \rangle \right) \right] = \\
&= -v_2^\perp \sqrt{\frac{p_4^+}{p_3^+}} (v_1^\perp p_3^+ \quad v_1^- p_3^\perp \quad v_1^\perp p_3^\perp \quad v_1^- p_3^+)^{\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

We can also calculate the amplitude using the abstract index notation in spinor form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{v_1^2 v_2^2 \sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}}{2S} R_{1,++}^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} &= \frac{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}}{2S} \langle p_3 | P_+ \gamma^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} \hat{v}_1 \hat{P}_1 \hat{v}_2 \hat{P}_2 | -p_4 \rangle = \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (p_3)_{1\dot{B}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon^{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \varepsilon^{AB} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (v_1)_{B\dot{C}} \\ v_1^{\dot{B}C} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & o_C \bar{o}_{\dot{C}} \\ o^C \bar{o}^{\dot{C}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \\
&\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (v_2)_{C\dot{D}} \\ v_2^{\dot{C}D} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \iota_D \bar{\iota}_{\dot{D}} \\ \iota^{D\bar{D}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -(p_4)_{D\dot{I}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= - (p_3)_{1\dot{B}} \varepsilon^{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \varepsilon^{AB} (v_1)_{B\dot{C}} \bar{o}^{\dot{C}} o^C (v_2)_{C\dot{D}} \iota^{D\bar{D}} (p_4)_{D\dot{I}} = \\
&= - (p_3)_1^{\dot{A}} (v_1)^{\dot{A}}_{\dot{0}} (v_2)_{0\dot{I}} (p_4)_{1\dot{I}} = -p_4^{\dot{0}\dot{0}} v_2^{\dot{0}\dot{1}} p_3^{\dot{A}\dot{0}} v_1^{\dot{I}\dot{A}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

For the massive case it is a little bit more complicated. If we want to get the result in bracket notation in vector form, we should multiply all the terms and then use the completeness relation in each term.

In the spinor abstract index notation, the complication of the massive cases comes from the fact that now not all the matrices are antidiagonal and the bispinors contain more terms. Let us again consider the first amplitude as an example:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{p_3^+ p_4^+}}{2S} \langle p_3 | P_+ \gamma^{\dot{A}\dot{A}} (\hat{v}_1 + m_4) \hat{P}_1 (\hat{v}_2 + m_4) \hat{P}_2 | -p_4 \rangle &= \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} m_3 \iota^B & (p_3)_{1\dot{B}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon^{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \varepsilon^{AB} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_4 \delta_B^C & (v_1)_{B\dot{C}} \\ v_1^{\dot{B}C} & m_4 \delta_{\dot{C}}^B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & o_C \bar{o}_{\dot{C}} \\ o^C \bar{o}^{\dot{C}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \\
&\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} m_4 \delta_C^D & (v_2)_{C\dot{D}} \\ v_2^{\dot{C}D} & m_4 \delta_{\dot{D}}^C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \iota_D \bar{\iota}_{\dot{D}} \\ \iota^{D\bar{D}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -(p_4)_{D\dot{I}} \\ m_4 \bar{\iota}^{\dot{D}} \end{bmatrix} = \\
&= -p_4^{\dot{0}\dot{0}} p_3^{\dot{A}\dot{0}} \left[v_2^{\dot{0}\dot{1}} v_1^{\dot{I}\dot{A}} + m_4^2 o^{\dot{A}} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

To obtain the final result one has to perform the matrix multiplication and then contract and raise some spinor indices.

3.5 Comparison with the trace method

For the purpose of this subsection only, we denote the spinorial matrix appearing in the amplitude A_n^μ by \mathfrak{A}_n^μ :

$$A_n^\mu = \bar{u}_{\sigma_3}(p_3) \mathfrak{A}_n^\mu v_{\sigma_4}(p_4). \tag{3.48}$$

In an analogous way we define the matrices $\mathfrak{A}_S^\mu, \mathfrak{A}_A^\mu$.

The amplitudes squared (3.14) summed also over spins of $q\bar{q}$ can be computed as traces. For the symmetric part

$$\sum_{\sigma_3, \sigma_4} \mathcal{M}_S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^4 (N^2 - 2)}{2N(N^2 - 1)} \text{Tr} \left[(\hat{p}_3 + m_3) \mathfrak{A}_S^\mu (\hat{p}_4 - m_4) \mathfrak{A}_S^{\dagger\nu} \right], \tag{3.49}$$

and for the antisymmetric

$$\sum_{\sigma_3, \sigma_4} \mathcal{M}_A^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g^4 N}{2(N^2 - 1)} \text{Tr} \left[(\hat{p}_3 + m_3) \mathfrak{A}_A^\mu (\hat{p}_4 - m_4) \mathfrak{A}_A^{\dagger\nu} \right]. \tag{3.50}$$

Using the trace formulas (3.49) and (3.50) we checked numerically the helicity structure functions of the form

$$\epsilon_\mu^{(r)*} \mathcal{M}_S^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_\nu^{(r')}, \quad \epsilon_\mu^{(r)*} \mathcal{M}_A^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_\nu^{(r')}, \tag{3.51}$$

where $r, r' \in \{+, -, 0\}$ are basis polarizations of V^* defined in a chosen reference frame. Up to negligible numerical errors, they agree with results obtained by directly evaluating amplitudes using our analytic formulas. The numerical checks were performed using Wolfram Mathematica [14]. The used code is available upon request.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Leszek Motyka for introducing us to the topic of the Drell – Yan process, discussions, and reading of the manuscript. This research was supported by the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) grant no. 2017/27/B/ST2/02755.

References

- [1] S. D. Drell and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25** (1970), 316-320 [erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **25** (1970), 902] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.25.316
- [2] J. C. Collins and R. K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. B **360** (1991), 3-30 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(91)90288-9
- [3] S. Catani and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B **427** (1994), 475-524 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90636-X [arXiv:hep-ph/9405388 [hep-ph]].
- [4] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B **366** (1991), 135-188 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(91)90055-3
- [5] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Phys. Lett. B **242** (1990), 97-102 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(90)91601-7
- [6] R. Angeles-Martinez, A. Bacchetta, I. I. Balitsky, D. Boer, M. Boglione, R. Bousarie, F. A. Ceccopieri, I. O. Cherednikov, P. Connor and M. G. Echevarria, *et al.* Acta Phys. Polon. B **46** (2015) no.12, 2501-2534 doi:10.5506/APhysPolB.46.2501 [arXiv:1507.05267 [hep-ph]].
- [7] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], JHEP **08** (2016), 159 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2016)159 [arXiv:1606.00689 [hep-ex]].
- [8] C. S. Lam and W. K. Tung, Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980), 2712 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.21.2712
- [9] J. C. Peng, W. C. Chang, R. E. McClellan and O. Teryaev, Phys. Lett. B **758** (2016), 384-388 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.05.035 [arXiv:1511.08932 [hep-ph]].
- [10] L. Motyka, M. Sadzikowski and T. Stebel, Phys. Rev. D **95** (2017) no.11, 114025 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114025 [arXiv:1609.04300 [hep-ph]].
- [11] M. Deak and F. Schwennsen, JHEP **09** (2008), 035 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/035 [arXiv:0805.3763 [hep-ph]].
- [12] N. Arkani-Hamed, T. C. Huang and Y. t. Huang, JHEP **11** (2021), 070 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2021)070 [arXiv:1709.04891 [hep-th]].
- [13] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, Cambridge University Press, 1984, ISBN 9780511564048, doi:10.1017/CBO9780511564048
- [14] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 13.3, Champaign, IL (2024).