

# THE BRIANÇON-SKODA THEOREM VIA WEAK FUNCTORIALITY OF BIG COHEN-MACAULAY ALGEBRAS

SANDRA RODRÍGUEZ-VILLALOBOS AND KARL SCHWEDE

ABSTRACT. We prove that, given a sufficiently functorial assignment from rings to big Cohen-Macaulay algebras  $R \mapsto B$ , that the associated big Cohen-Macaulay closure operation on ideals  $I \mapsto IB \cap R$  necessarily satisfies the Briançon-Skoda type property. The proof combines arguments of Lipman-Teissier, Hochster, Ma, and Hochster-Huneke. Specializing to mixed characteristic, and utilizing a result of Bhatt on absolute integral closures, this recovers a slight strengthening of a result of Heitmann.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Briançon-Skoda theorem relates integral closures of powers of ideals with ordinary powers of ideals

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda$$

for integers  $\lambda \geq 1$  where  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is generated by  $n$  elements. This was originally shown when the ambient ring is  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  in [SB74]. There have since been many generalizations to larger classes of Noetherian rings. Indeed, Lipman and Teissier showed that for a  $d$ -dimensional pseudo-rational Noetherian local ring, we have that

$$\overline{J^{d+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda,$$

[LT81]. Under moderate hypotheses<sup>1</sup>, every ideal can be generated by at most  $d = \dim R$  elements up to integral closure [HS06, Proposition 8.3.7] (by taking a minimal reduction) so that the Lipman-Teissier bound is not so dissimilar to the one from [SB74], also see [LT81, Corollary 2.2].

While one does not expect that such results hold in arbitrarily singular rings, there have been numerous generalizations up to a closure operation on the term  $J^\lambda$  (as well as other sorts of generalizations, see for instance [LS81, Hun92, Lip93, Laz04]). The most notable example of the use of closure operations in this way is [HH90], where Hochster and Huneke showed that

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq (J^\lambda)^*$$

for any Noetherian domain of characteristic  $p > 0$ , here  $(-)^*$  denotes tight closure. Later, they deduced the result for  $+$ -closure (still in characteristic  $p > 0$ ):

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq (J^\lambda)R^+ \cap R =: (J^\lambda)^+$$

where  $R^+$  is the absolute integral closure of  $R$ , see [HH95, Theorem 7.1]. These results have been generalized to characteristic zero for many closure operations via comparison with

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13B22, 13A30, 13D22, 14B05, 13A35.

<sup>1</sup>infinite residue field

(methods related to) tight closure or plus closure in characteristic  $p > 0$ , see for example [HH06, Theorem 1.3.7], [Bre03, Proposition 8.6], [AS07, Theorem 6.13].

In mixed characteristic, we have such results for certain extensions of plus closure (extended plus and rank 1 closures) and even have variants of it for  $+$ -closure itself [Hei97, Hei01], also see [HV04, Theorem 3.4]. Indeed, the centrality of the Briançon-Skoda theorem for closure operations has lead to Murayama coining as an axiom of potential closure operations [Mur21, Axiom 3.7].

Big Cohen-Macaulay algebras themselves yield well behaved closure operations on ideals. Indeed, if  $B$  is a big Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -algebra, then we can define the  $B$ -closure of any ideal  $I \subseteq R$  to simply be  $IB \cap R$  (such a closure defined by such an extension-contraction is called an *algebra closure*). For instance, under moderate hypotheses in characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $B$ -closure for sufficiently large  $B$  agrees with tight closure [Hoc94, Theorem 11.1]. For a comparison of closure operations inducing and coming from big Cohen-Macaulay algebras see [Die10, RG18].

The purpose of this article is to show that any closure operation defined by sufficiently functorial choices of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras automatically satisfies the Briançon-Skoda theorem.

**Main Theorem** (Theorem 4.1). *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local excellent Noetherian domain and we are given a weakly functorial<sup>2</sup> assignment from essentially of finite type local integral domain  $R$ -algebras  $(S, \mathfrak{n}) \supseteq (R, \mathfrak{m})$  to balanced big Cohen-Macaulay algebras,  $S \mapsto B_S$ . Suppose  $J \subseteq R$  is an ideal that can be generated by  $n$  elements.*

*Then for any integer  $\lambda \geq 1$ :*

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda B_R \cap R.$$

For parameter ideals, we can easily explain exactly what functoriality we need.

**Theorem A** (Theorem 3.6). *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a normal local excellent domain and  $J \subseteq R$  is an ideal generated by a partial system of parameters  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Let  $S = R[Jt]^N$  denote the normalized Rees algebra with homogeneous maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}S + S_{>0}$ . Suppose we have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\mathfrak{n}} & \twoheadrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & C. \end{array}$$

*where the top horizontal map is projection onto the degree 0 part and where  $B$  and  $C$  are balanced big Cohen-Macaulay  $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$  and  $R$ -algebras respectively. Then for any integer  $\lambda \geq 1$ :*

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda C \cap R.$$

The normal hypothesis on  $R$  can be weakened, see the referenced theorem. Note, if for instance  $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then we take  $C$  to be *any* balanced big Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -algebra.

---

<sup>2</sup>In fact, we only need weak functoriality for  $R$ -algebra surjections, see Definition 2.4.

Regardless, in mixed characteristic, by utilizing [Bha20] to create our weakly functorial big Cohen-Macaulay algebras, our main result specializes to a partial strengthening of some of the main results of [Hei97, Hei01].

**Main Corollary** (Corollary 5.1). *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an excellent Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic  $(0, p > 0)$ . Let  $\widehat{R}^+$  denote the  $p$ -adic completion of the absolute integral closure of  $R$ . Then for any ideal  $J \subseteq R$  generated by  $n$  elements, and any integer  $\lambda \geq 1$*

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda \widehat{R}^+ \cap R.$$

**1.1. An outline of the proof.** We first prove our main result in the case that  $\lambda = 1$  and  $J$  is a parameter ideal. For this we begin similarly to the method of Lipman-Teissier (Lemma 3.1) which we then combine with an argument due to Ma in (Theorem 3.2) using a Sancho de Salas sequence. To handle the case when  $\lambda \geq 1$  we use an argument due to Hochster as presented in Lipman-Teissier, see Theorem 3.6. To generalize to the case of non-parameter ideals, we mimic an argument of Hochster-Huneke which does a generic computation, see Theorem 4.1.

**Acknowledgements.** Sandra Rodríguez-Villalobos was supported by NSF Grant DMS-2101800. Karl Schwede was supported by NSF Grant #2101800 and NSF FRG Grant DMS-1952522. This material based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930, while the authors were in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI) in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2024 semester. The authors thank Linqun Ma, Ilya Smirnov, Shunsuke Takagi, and Kevin Tucker for valuable conversations. The authors also thank Irena Swanson for valuable comments on a previous draft.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARIES

We begin by recalling Hochster’s notion of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras. See [Hoc75, Sha81], also compare with [Bha20, Section 2.1] for an essentially equivalent notion defined by local cohomology.

**Definition 2.1** (BCM algebras). Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a Noetherian local ring. A *balanced big Cohen-Macaulay  $R$ -algebra* (or  $R$ -module) is an  $R$ -algebra  $B$  (respectively an  $R$ -module) such that every system of parameters on  $R$  is a regular sequence on  $B$ .<sup>3</sup> Such  $B$  we call *BCM* (note the balanced is implicit but suppressed).

It is not obvious that any local ring has a balanced BCM module or algebra, however BCM modules and algebras exist quite generally. In equal characteristic  $p > 0$  or  $0$ , see for example [Hoc75, HH92, HH95, Sch04, HL07, Mur21]. In mixed characteristic see for instance [And20, Gab18, HM18, Bha20].

We will need the following well known result whose proof we include because we do not know of a suitable reference. A different argument which can also be used to obtain the same result can be found in [Kov17].

---

<sup>3</sup>If at least one system of parameters becomes a regular sequence, the *balanced* modifier is removed, but if one is willing to  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adically complete such a  $B$ , it becomes balanced [BH93, Exercise 8.1.7 & Theorem 8.5.1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring. Suppose  $B$  is a BCM  $R$ -module. If  $I$  is an ideal of height<sup>4</sup>  $r$ , then  $I$  contains a partial system of parameters of length  $r$  and so*

$$H_I^i(B) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, r-1.$$

*Proof.* First we produce our sequence. Let  $s$  be the maximal length of a partial system of parameters,  $x_1, \dots, x_s$ , contained inside  $I$ . We claim that  $s = r$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $s < r$ . As every minimal prime  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t$  of  $(x_1, \dots, x_s)$  has height  $\leq s$  (by Krull's height theorem), none of them can contain  $I$ . Thus by prime avoidance there exists  $x_{s+1} \in I$  not contained in any  $Q_i$ . As  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  is part of a system of parameters,  $\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \dim R - s$ . Thus, since  $\overline{x_{s+1}}$  is not in any minimal prime of  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_s)$ ,  $\dim R/(x_1, \dots, x_{s+1}) = \dim R - s - 1$  and so  $x_1, \dots, x_{s+1}$  is part of a system of parameters, a contradiction.

Setting  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  to be the guaranteed partial system of parameters, the vanishing follows by induction on  $r$  (since  $\overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_r}$  is a system of parameters of  $R/(x_1)$  and  $B/x_1B$  is BCM over  $R/(x_1)$ ) and the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_I^{i-1}(B/x_1B) \rightarrow H_I^i(B) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_1} H_I^i(B) \rightarrow H_I^i(B/x_1B) \rightarrow \dots$$

since the map labeled  $\cdot x_1$  cannot be injective unless  $H_I^i(B) = 0$ . □

**Definition 2.3** (Special functoriality of Big Cohen-Macaulay algebras). Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local Noetherian domain and  $J \subseteq R$  is an ideal. We say that a BCM  $R$ -algebra  $C$  is *Rees- $J$  functorial* if the following holds. For an ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , let  $\tilde{I}$  denote the integral closure of  $IR^N$  where  $R^N$  is the normalization of  $R$ . Set  $S = R \oplus \tilde{J}t \oplus \tilde{J}^2t^2 \oplus \dots$ , assume it is Noetherian, and note we have a surjection  $\pi : S \rightarrow R$  by projection onto degree zero. Set  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}S + S_{>0}$  (a maximal ideal of  $S$  mapping to  $\mathfrak{m}$ ). We then require that there exists a BCM  $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$ -algebra  $B$  so that the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & C. \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.4** (Weakly functorial Big Cohen-Macaulay assignments). Suppose we have a subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of Noetherian rings (not necessarily full). We say an assignment for each ring  $R \in \mathcal{C}$  to a BCM  $R$ -algebra  $B_R$  is *weakly functorial* if for each map  $f : S \rightarrow R$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists some ring map  $g : B_S \rightarrow B_R$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{f} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_S & \xrightarrow{g} & B_R \end{array}$$

The usual category we will need to work on is as follows. Fix  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  to be an excellent Noetherian local domain. Consider the category of local  $R$ -algebras  $(S, \mathfrak{n})$  where  $S$  is a local domain essentially of finite type over  $R$ , and the structural map  $R \rightarrow S$  is local and

---

<sup>4</sup>the minimum height of an associated prime of  $I$

injective. The maps in this category are surjective  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms. We denote this category:

$$(2.4.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_R.$$

In the end, we can even restrict our category to a certain tree of finitely many surjective maps, see Remark 4.2.

In particular, the assignment of a Noetherian domain  $R$  to  $R^+$  in positive characteristic is weakly functorial [HH92], and the assignment to the  $p$ -adic completion  $\widehat{R}^+$  (which is BCM by [Bha20]) in mixed characteristic is weakly functorial (also see [And20]). In characteristic zero, there are different approaches for certain maps of (certain) local rings and various sorts of weak functoriality, see for instance [HH92], [HH95, Theorem 2.3], [Sch04], [DRG19], or [Mur21, Theorem 2.8]. Some weak functoriality in characteristic zero can also be obtained by reducing to mixed characteristic and then inverting  $p > 0$ .

We will need the following slight modification of the Sancho de Salas sequence is well known to experts but we are not aware of a published reference.

**Proposition 2.5** ([SdS87, Lip94, HM18]). *Let  $R$  be an excellent Noetherian domain. Fix  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  to be an ideal. Set  $\widetilde{J}^m = \overline{J^m R^N}$  where  $R^N$  is the normalization of  $R$ . Let  $T = R \oplus \widetilde{J}t \oplus \widetilde{J}^2 t^2 \oplus \dots$  denote the partially normalized Rees algebra. Let  $\pi : X = \text{Proj } T \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ . Let  $E \subseteq X$  denote the inverse image of  $V(J)$ .*

Then

$$[H_{JT+(Jt)T}^n(T)]_0 \rightarrow H_J^n(R) \rightarrow H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is exact.

*Proof.* Notice that  $T$  is the normalized Rees algebra if  $R$  is normal. Furthermore, because  $T$  and the normalized Rees algebra agree in positive degree, we see that  $X = \text{Proj } T$  is the normalized blowup of  $J$ .

We closely follow the argument in [HM18, Pages 12-13].

**Claim 2.6.**  $\sqrt{(Jt)T} = T_{>0}$  and so the  $D_X(f_i t)$  give an open cover of  $X$ .

*Proof of claim.* Let  $X_0 = \text{Proj } R[Jt]$ . As the  $D_{X_0}(f_i t) = X_0 \setminus V_{X_0}(f_i t)$  form an open affine cover of  $X_0$ , we see that  $D_X(f_i t)$  also form an open affine cover of  $X$  (since  $X \rightarrow X_0$  is finite). It follows that  $V_X((Jt)S) = \emptyset$  and so  $\sqrt{(Jt)T} \supseteq T_{>0}$  by [Sta, Tag 00JP]. This proves our claim as the other containment is clear.  $\square$

Consider the Čech complex  $\check{C}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  computing  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and compare it to the Čech complex  $\check{C}^\bullet(f_1 t, \dots, f_n t, T)$  computing  $H_{(Jt)T}^i(T) = H_{T_{>0}}^i(T)$ . After taking the degree-0 part of the second, these two complexes are nearly the same up to a shift. Indeed, we have a short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow \check{C}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{O}_X)[-1] \rightarrow [\check{C}^\bullet(f_1 t, \dots, f_n t, T)]_0 \rightarrow R[0] \rightarrow 0$$

which yields a triangle

$$[\check{C}^\bullet(f_1 t, \dots, f_n t, T)]_0 \rightarrow R[0] \rightarrow \check{C}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Now apply  $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_J$  and take cohomology, we obtain:

$$[H_{J_T+(Jt)_T}^n(T)]_0 \rightarrow H_J^n(R) \rightarrow H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

as desired.  $\square$

### 3. THE MAIN RESULT FOR PARAMETER IDEALS

We begin by recalling the following result of Lipman-Teissier, found within the proof of [LT81, Theorem 2.1].

**Lemma 3.1** (Lipman-Teissier). *Suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian domain,  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and  $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is the blowup of  $J$  and set  $E = \pi^{-1}(V(J))$ . Fix  $h \in \Gamma(X, J^n \mathcal{O}_X) \cap R$ , then the Čech class  $[\frac{h}{f_1 \dots f_n}]$  is in the kernel of the map*

$$H_J^n(R) \rightarrow H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^n \mathbf{R}\Gamma_J(\mathbf{R}\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

*As a consequence, if  $Y$  is (or factors through) the normalized blowup of  $J$ , then for any  $h \in \overline{J^n}$ , we have that  $[\frac{h}{f_1 \dots f_n}]$  is in the kernel of*

$$H_J^n(R) \rightarrow H^n \mathbf{R}\Gamma_J(\mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)).$$

*Proof.* We recall the argument of Lipman-Teissier for the convenience of the reader. We may assume  $J \neq 0$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $X = \text{Spec } R$ , the map  $H_J^1(R) \rightarrow H_E^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the identity, and  $H_J^1(R) = R[1/f_1]/R$ . If  $h = xf_1 \in (f_1)$ , then  $[h/f_1] = [x/1]$  is already zero and we are done, so we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Consider the standard blowup charts  $X_i \subseteq X$  defined by the property that  $f_i \mathcal{O}_{X_i} = J \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ . Set  $U = X \setminus E$  and notice that the  $U_i := X_i \cap (X \setminus E)$  are identified with  $\text{Spec } R[1/f_i]$ . It suffices to show that  $[\frac{h}{f_1 \dots f_n}] \in H^{n-1}(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  maps to zero in  $H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

Due to the exact sequence  $H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(U, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  we must show that  $[\frac{h}{f_1 \dots f_n}]$  is the image of a class in  $H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . We do this via Čech cohomology aligning the cover  $X_i$  of  $X$  with the cover  $U_i$  of  $U$ . Set  $V = \bigcap_i X_i$  and notice that since  $f_i \mathcal{O}_{X_i} = J \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ , we have that  $J^n \cdot \mathcal{O}_V = (f_1 \dots f_n) \mathcal{O}_V$ . Thus considering  $h \in \Gamma(V, J^n \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(V, (f_1 \dots f_n) \mathcal{O}_X)$ , we see that  $[\frac{h}{f_1 \dots f_n}]$  exists as a Čech class in

$$H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{coker} \left( \prod_j \Gamma(\hat{X}_j, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_X) \right)$$

where  $\hat{X}_j = \bigcap_{i \neq j} X_i$ . The first statement follows.

For the second statement, we recall that  $\Gamma(Y, J^n \mathcal{O}_Y) \cap R = \overline{J^n}$ . The result follows.  $\square$

We continue to follow the argument of Lipman-Teissier [LT81], now combining with an argument of Ma's taken from [Ma18, Section 3], [HM18, Corollary 4.3] or [MS21, Proposition 5.11].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an excellent local domain with normalization  $R^N$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is part of a system of parameters which generates an ideal  $J$ . Then*

$$\overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^n} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)C$$

*where  $C$  is a Rees- $J$  BCM  $R$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* Fix  $h \in \overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^n}$ . Again we write  $\widetilde{J}^m = \overline{J^m R^N}$ . Let  $S = R \oplus \widetilde{J}t \oplus \widetilde{J}^2 t^2 \oplus \dots$  denote the partially normalized Rees algebra (fully normalized if  $R$  is normal) so that  $\pi : X = \text{Proj } S \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is the normalized blowup with  $E = \pi^{-1}(V(J))$ .

Set  $J' = JS + S_{>0} \subset S$ . Since  $\sqrt{(Jt)S} = S_{>0}$  as noted in Claim 2.6, we see that  $JS + S_{>0}$  has the same radical as  $JS + (Jt)S$  and so we can use them interchangeably when computing their local cohomology.

By our variant of the Sancho de Salas sequence Proposition 2.5:

$$[H_{J'}^n(S)]_0 \rightarrow H_J^n(R) \rightarrow H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is exact and hence

$$\ker(H_J^n(R) \rightarrow H_E^n(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \subseteq \text{Image}(H_{J'}^n(S_{\mathfrak{n}}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_J^n(R)).$$

where  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}S + S_{>0}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, since  $h \in \overline{J^n}$  we see that  $[h/(f_1 \cdots f_n)] \in \text{Image } \psi$ .

By the hypothesis about  $C$ , there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{\mathfrak{n}} & \twoheadrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

where  $B$  and  $C$  are BCM  $S_{\mathfrak{n}}$  and  $R$ -algebras, respectively. Notice the image of  $J'$  in  $R$  is  $JR$ . We also notice that the height of  $J'$  is  $n + 1$  (as the height of the parameter ideal  $J$  was  $n$ ). We take local cohomology and obtain the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{J'}^n(S_{\mathfrak{n}}) & \longrightarrow & H_J^n(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{J'}^n(B) & \longrightarrow & H_J^n(C) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and our observation on the height of  $J'$ , we see that  $H_{J'}^n(B) = 0$ . Thus, since  $[h/(f_1 \cdots f_n)] \in \text{Image } \psi$ , we see that

$$[h/(f_1 \cdots f_n)] \mapsto 0 \in H_J^n(C).$$

Since  $C$  is BCM, and  $J$  is a parameter ideal, we have that  $C/JC \rightarrow H_J^n(C)$  injects (this follows as  $H_J^n(C) = \varinjlim_i C/(x_1^i, \dots, x_n^i)C$  and the maps in the system,  $\times(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ , inject due to Lemma 3.4 immediately below), and hence  $h \in JC$ , as desired.  $\square$

**3.1. Generalizing beyond  $\lambda = 1$ .** To generalize Theorem 3.2 beyond the case  $\lambda = 1$ , we follow an argument due to Hochster, found in [LT81, Section 3], for which we need our regular sequences on our big Cohen-Macaulay algebra to be permutable (that is, we explicitly use that it is balanced).

**Definition 3.3.** We say that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is a permutable regular sequence if  $f_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(n)}$  is a regular sequence for every  $\sigma \in S_n$ .

The following well-known result will play a central role in reducing to the case  $\lambda = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is a permutable regular sequence on a possibly non-Noetherian ring  $R$ , then*

$$((f_1^{\lambda_1}, \dots, f_n^{\lambda_n})R : f_1^{\mu_1} \cdots f_n^{\mu_n}) = (f_1^{\lambda_1 - \mu_1}, \dots, f_n^{\lambda_n - \mu_n})R.$$

Using the previous lemma, we can describe the powers of  $I$  as intersections of ideals generated by powers of the elements of the generating regular sequence.

**Lemma 3.5** (Hochster, cf. [LT81, Section 3]). *If  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)R$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  a permutable regular sequence on a possibly non-Noetherian ring  $R$ , then*

$$I^\lambda = \bigcap_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} (f_1^{\lambda_1}, \dots, f_n^{\lambda_n})R$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  runs through all  $n$ -tuples of strictly positive integers with  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \lambda + n - 1$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\lambda$ . When  $\lambda = 1$  and  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of strictly positive integers with  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \lambda + n - 1 = n$ , it follows that  $\lambda_i = 1$  for all  $i$  so the statement reduces to

$$I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)R.$$

Suppose

$$I^{\lambda-1} = \bigcap_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} (f_1^{\lambda_1}, \dots, f_n^{\lambda_n})R$$

where  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  runs through all  $n$ -tuples of strictly positive integers with  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \lambda - 1 + n - 1$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n} (f_1^{\gamma_1}, \dots, f_n^{\gamma_n})R$  where  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  runs through all  $n$ -tuples of strictly positive integers with  $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n = \lambda + n - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $x \in I^{\lambda-1}$ , so

$$x = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ = \lambda - 1}} a_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} f_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots f_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

Note that if  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_n = \lambda - 1$ , for  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \neq (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$ , we have that  $a_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} f_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots f_n^{\alpha_n} \in (f_1^{\beta_1+1}, \dots, f_n^{\beta_n+1})$  since  $\alpha_i > \beta_i$  for some  $i$ . Thus,

$$a_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n} f_1^{\beta_1} \cdots f_n^{\beta_n} \in (f_1^{\beta_1+1}, \dots, f_n^{\beta_n+1}).$$

By Lemma 3.4, it follows that  $a_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n} \in I$  for all  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$  with  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_n = \lambda - 1$ . As a consequence, we have that  $x \in I^\lambda$ .  $\square$

We can now extend our result beyond  $\lambda = 1$  just as in [LT81].

**Theorem 3.6.** *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an excellent local domain and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is a partial system of parameters generating an ideal  $J$ . Then*

$$\overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{\lambda+n-1}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^\lambda C$$

where  $C$  is a Rees- $J$  BCM  $R$ -algebra.



*Proof.* Let  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of strictly positive integers with  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \lambda + n - 1$ ,  $\mu = \max\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  and  $h \in \overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{\lambda+n-1}}$ . Then, we have that

$$f_1^{\mu-\lambda_1} \dots f_n^{\mu-\lambda_n} h \in J^{n\mu-\lambda-n+1} \overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{\lambda+n-1}} \subseteq \overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{n\mu}}.$$

Since  $\overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{n\mu}} = \overline{(f_1^\mu, \dots, f_n^\mu)^n}$  and since, by Theorem 3.6,  $\overline{(f_1^\mu, \dots, f_n^\mu)^n} \subseteq (f_1^\mu, \dots, f_n^\mu)C$ , we obtain that

$$h \in (f_1^\mu, \dots, f_n^\mu)C : f_1^{\mu-\lambda_1} \dots f_n^{\mu-\lambda_n}.$$

Using Lemma 3.4, it follows that  $h \in (f_1^{\lambda_1}, \dots, f_n^{\lambda_n})C$ . Since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are arbitrary, by Lemma 3.5,  $h \in (f_1, \dots, f_n)^\lambda C$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{\lambda+n-1}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^\lambda C.$$

□

#### 4. THE GENERAL CASE, NON-PARAMETER IDEALS

We will now follow an argument of Hochster-Huneke [HH95, Theorem 7.1] to extend the main result to ideals that are not necessarily generated by partial systems of parameters.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an excellent local domain and  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$ . Suppose we have a weakly functorial BCM assignment on the category  $\mathcal{D}_R$  from (2.4.1),  $S \mapsto B_S$ . Then*

$$\overline{(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{\lambda+n-1}} \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_n)^\lambda B_R.$$

*Proof.* Let  $c = \lambda + n - 1$ ,  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and suppose  $h \in \overline{I^c}$ . Then,  $h^n + a_1 h^{n-1} + a_2 h^{n-2} + \dots + a_n = 0$  for some  $a_i \in (I^c)^i$ ; that is,

$$h^n - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ = ci}} a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} f_1^{\alpha_1} \dots f_n^{\alpha_n} \right) h^{n-i} = 0$$

for some  $a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \in R$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and for each  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = ci$ , let  $x_i$  and  $y_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$  be indeterminates. Let  $T = R[\underline{x}, \underline{y}, z]$  and consider the  $R$ -algebra map  $\varphi : T \rightarrow R$  determined by  $\varphi(y_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}) = a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ ,  $\varphi(x_i) = f_i$  and  $\varphi(z) = h$ . Then, if

$$g = z^n - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ = ci}} y_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right) z^{n-i},$$

$g \in \ker \varphi$  and so we have an induced map  $\psi : T/(g) \rightarrow R$ . Note that  $g$  is linear as a polynomial on  $y_{cn, 0, \dots, 0}$  (with monomial coefficients). Additionally, the coefficient of  $y_{cn, 0, \dots, 0}$  in  $g$ , which is  $x_1^{cn}$ , and the constant term of  $g$  as a polynomial in  $y_{cn, 0, \dots, 0}$  are relatively prime. It follows that  $g$  is irreducible and  $T/(g)$  is a domain.

Let  $P = \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $S = (T/(g))_P$ . We have a ring homomorphism  $S \rightarrow R$  and  $S$  is an excellent local domain. Then there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_S & \longrightarrow & B_R. \end{array}$$

Since  $z \in \overline{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^c}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a partial system of parameters, it follows from Theorem 3.6 that  $z \in (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\lambda B_S$  and, taking images under the map  $B_S \rightarrow B_R$ , we obtain that  $z \in (f_1, \dots, f_n)^\lambda B_R$ .  $\square$

Note Hochster-Huneke carried out essentially the same argument as part of proving Briançon-Skoda for  $+$ -closure in characteristic  $p > 0$ . In their argument they set  $T = \mathbb{F}_p[\underline{x}, \underline{y}, z]$ , and while we could replace  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$ , our choice keeps us in a similar class of rings (ie, equal characteristic 0 or  $p > 0$  stays equal characteristic) where numerous weak functoriality results have previously been shown.

*Remark 4.2.* We can now explain somewhat more precisely what functoriality we need. Indeed, fixing a  $\lambda$ , for each generator  $h_i$  of  $\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}}$  we can associate  $R_i = (T_i/(g_i))_{P_i}$  as in the proof above with a corresponding  $z_i \in S_i$  mapping to  $h_i$ . That  $z_i$  is in the integral closure of an  $n$ -generated parameter ideal  $J_i \subseteq R_i$  (mapping to  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ ). Hence using a Rees- $J_i$  BCM algebra for  $R_i$  is sufficient as long as it maps weakly functorially to BCM  $R$ -algebra. In particular, for a fixed  $\lambda$ , we need only a BCM algebra assignment for a certain finite tree of maps rooted at  $R$  (the final object of the associated finite category).

## 5. AN APPLICATION AND FURTHER THOUGHTS

Utilizing [Bha20] which proves that the  $p$ -adic completion of the absolute integral closure is balanced big Cohen-Macaulay (and certainly sufficiently weakly functorial for our purposes), we obtain the following.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Suppose  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is an excellent Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic  $(0, p > 0)$ . Let  $\widehat{R}^+$  denote the  $p$ -adic completion of the absolute integral closure of  $R$ . Then for any ideal  $J \subseteq R$  generated by  $n$  elements, and any integer  $\lambda \geq 1$*

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda \widehat{R}^+ \cap R.$$

*Remark 5.2.* This is very close to several results of Heitmann. In [Hei97, Theorem 2.13], he proved that if  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and  $p \in \sqrt{(x_1, x_2)}$ , then  $\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda R^+$  and so we recover that result in our setting of an *excellent* ring. The point being that since a power of  $p$  is in  $J$ , then  $JR^+ \cap R = J\widehat{R}^+ \cap R$ . In [Hei97, Theorem 2.13], Heitmann also proved that  $\overline{J^{n+\lambda}} \subseteq J^\lambda R^+ \cap R$  in general.

On the other hand, in [Hei01, Theorem 4.2], Heitmann proved that  $\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq (J^\lambda)^{\text{epf}}$ . Because of [BM10], we might expect that  $J^{\text{epf}} \supseteq J\widehat{R}^+ \cap R$  in general. It seems possible that  $J^{\text{epf}}$  and  $J\widehat{R}^+ \cap R$  agree for parameter ideals  $J$  based on the characteristic  $p > 0$  picture, see [Smi94].

*Remark 5.3* (Comparison with multiplier/test ideal Skoda theorems). Another way to obtain Briançon-Skoda-type theorems is to use the Skoda-type theorems for multiplier or test ideals.

For example, if  $R$  is essentially finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  and is normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein, one always has the following formula for multiplier ideals and any  $\lambda \geq 0$ :

$$\mathcal{J}(R, J^{n+\lambda}) = J \cdot \mathcal{J}(R, J^{n+\lambda-1}),$$

see for instance [Laz04, Section 9.6]. Indeed, we then have that

$$\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \cdot \mathcal{J}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(R, \overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}}) = \mathcal{J}(R, J^{n+\lambda-1}) = J^\lambda \mathcal{J}(R, J^{n-1}) \subseteq J^\lambda.$$

The same formula holds for test ideals in  $F$ -finite or excellent local Noetherian domains of characteristic  $p > 0$  [HT04, Theorem 4.1], *cf.* [HY03, Theorem 2.1]. A version was proved for the  $+$ -test ideal in [HLS22, Corollary 6.7] when  $R$  is complete, normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein.

However, these sorts of formulations, such as:

$$\tau(R) \cdot \overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda,$$

are straightforward corollaries of the closure variants. Indeed, if  $\overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq (J^\lambda)^*$ , then since  $\tau(R) \cdot I^* \subseteq I$  for any  $I$ , we obtain  $\tau(R) \cdot \overline{J^{n+\lambda-1}} \subseteq J^\lambda$ . For more discussion on variants of test ideals associated to BCM algebras and these sorts of closure properties as well as their relation to the associated test ideal theory, see [DT23, Corollary 3.3.3] and [PRG21].

## REFERENCES

- [And20] Y. ANDRÉ: *Weak functoriality of Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (2020), no. 2, 363–380. 4073864
- [AS07] M. ASCHENBRENNER AND H. SCHOUTENS: *Lefschetz extensions, tight closure and big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Israel J. Math. **161** (2007), 221–310. 2350164
- [Bha20] B. BHATT: *Cohen-Macaulayness of absolute integral closures*, arXiv:2008.08070.
- [Bre03] H. BRENNER: *How to rescue solid closure*, J. Algebra **265** (2003), no. 2, 579–605. 1987018
- [BM10] H. BRENNER AND P. MONSKY: *Tight closure does not commute with localization*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 571–588. 2630050 (2011d:13005)
- [BH93] W. BRUNS AND J. HERZOG: *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR1251956 (95h:13020)
- [DT23] R. DATTA AND K. TUCKER: *Openness of splinter loci in prime characteristic*, J. Algebra **629** (2023), 307–357. 4583731
- [Die10] G. D. DIETZ: *A characterization of closure operations that induce big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 11, 3849–3862. 2679608
- [DRG19] G. D. DIETZ AND R. R. G.: *Big Cohen-Macaulay and seed algebras in equal characteristic zero via ultraproducts*, J. Commut. Algebra **11** (2019), no. 4, 511–533. 4039980
- [Gab18] O. GABBER: *Observations made after the MSRI workshop “Hot Topics: The Homological Conjectures March 12, 2018 - March 16, 2018”*, <https://www.msri.org/workshops/842/schedules/23854/documents/3322/assets/31362>, 2018.
- [HLS22] C. HACON, A. LAMARCHE, AND K. SCHWEDE: *Global generation of test ideals in mixed characteristic and applications*, arXiv:2106.14329, to appear in Algebr. Geom.
- [HT04] N. HARA AND S. TAKAGI: *On a generalization of test ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. **175** (2004), 59–74. MR2085311 (2005g:13009)
- [HY03] N. HARA AND K.-I. YOSHIDA: *A generalization of tight closure and multiplier ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 8, 3143–3174 (electronic). MR1974679 (2004i:13003)
- [HM18] R. HEITMANN AND L. MA: *Big Cohen-Macaulay algebras and the vanishing conjecture for maps of Tor in mixed characteristic*, Algebra Number Theory **12** (2018), no. 7, 1659–1674. 3871506

- [Hei97] R. C. HEITMANN: *The plus closure in mixed characteristic*, J. Algebra **193** (1997), no. 2, 688–708. 1458810
- [Hei01] R. C. HEITMANN: *Extensions of plus closure*, J. Algebra **238** (2001), no. 2, 801–826. 1823785
- [Hoc75] M. HOCHSTER: *Topics in the homological theory of modules over commutative rings*, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975, Expository lectures from the CBMS Regional Conference held at the University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Neb., June 24–28, 1974, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 24. 0371879 (51 #8096)
- [Hoc94] M. HOCHSTER: *Solid closure*, Commutative algebra: syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra (South Hadley, MA, 1992), Contemp. Math., vol. 159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 103–172. 1266182
- [HH90] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 1, 31–116. MR1017784 (91g:13010)
- [HH92] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Infinite integral extensions and big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), no. 1, 53–89. 1147957 (92m:13023)
- [HH95] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Applications of the existence of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Adv. Math. **113** (1995), no. 1, 45–117. 1332808
- [HH06] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *Tight closure in equal characteristic zero*, A preprint of a manuscript, 2006.
- [HV04] M. HOCHSTER AND J. D. VÉLEZ: *Diamond closure*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry with applications (West Lafayette, IN, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 511–523. 2037107
- [Hun92] C. HUNEKE: *Uniform bounds in Noetherian rings*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 1, 203–223. 1135470
- [HL07] C. HUNEKE AND G. LYUBEZNIK: *Absolute integral closure in positive characteristic*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 2, 498–504. 2303230 (2008d:13005)
- [HS06] C. HUNEKE AND I. SWANSON: *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 336, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR2266432 (2008m:13013)
- [Kov17] S. KOVÁCS: *Why does the  $(S_2)$  property of a ring correspond to the Hartogs phenomenon?*, URL:https://mathoverflow.net/q/45616 (version: 2017-04-13), 2017.
- [Laz04] R. LAZARSFELD: *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals. MR2095472 (2005k:14001b)
- [Lip93] J. LIPMAN: *Adjoints and polars of simple complete ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A **45** (1993), no. 1-2, 223–244, Third Week on Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (SAGA III) (Puerto de la Cruz, 1992). MR1316244 (97a:13030)
- [Lip94] J. LIPMAN: *Cohen-Macaulayness in graded algebras*, Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), no. 2, 149–157. 1266753
- [LS81] J. LIPMAN AND A. SATHAYE: *Jacobian ideals and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), no. 2, 199–222. 616270
- [LT81] J. LIPMAN AND B. TEISSIER: *Pseudorational local rings and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda about integral closures of ideals*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), no. 1, 97–116. MR600418 (82f:14004)
- [Ma18] L. MA: *The vanishing conjecture for maps of Tor and derived splinters*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), no. 2, 315–338. 3760297
- [MS21] L. MA AND K. SCHWEDE: *Singularities in mixed characteristic via perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 13, 2815–2890.
- [Mur21] T. MURAYAMA: *Uniform bounds on symbolic powers in regular rings*, arXiv:2111.06049.
- [PRG21] F. PÉREZ AND R. R. G.: *Characteristic-free test ideals*, 2021. 4312323
- [RG18] R. R. G.: *Closure operations that induce big Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 7, 1878–1897. 3763288

- [SdS87] J. B. SANCHO DE SALAS: *Blowing-up morphisms with Cohen-Macaulay associated graded rings*, Géométrie algébrique et applications, I (La Rábida, 1984), Travaux en Cours, vol. 22, Hermann, Paris, 1987, pp. 201–209.
- [Sch04] H. SCHOUTENS: *Canonical big Cohen-Macaulay algebras and rational singularities*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 1, 131–150. 2048219
- [Sha81] R. Y. SHARP: *Cohen-Macaulay properties for balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **90** (1981), no. 2, 229–238. 620732
- [SB74] H. SKODA AND J. BRIANÇON: *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 949–951. 340642
- [Smi94] K. E. SMITH: *Tight closure of parameter ideals*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), no. 1, 41–60. MR1248078 (94k:13006)
- [Sta] T. STACKS PROJECT AUTHORS: *Stacks Project*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA

*Email address:* rodriguez@math.utah.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA

*Email address:* schwede@math.utah.edu