Piecewise linear potentials for false vacuum decay and negative modes

Wen-Yuan Ai,* Jean Alexandre[†] and Sarben Sarkar[‡]

Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract

We study bounce solutions and associated negative modes in the class of piecewise linear triangular-shaped potentials that may be viewed as approximations of smooth potentials. In these simple potentials, the bounce solution and action can be obtained analytically for a general spacetime dimension D. The eigenequations for the fluctuations around the bounce are universal and have the form of a Schrödinger-like equation with delta-function potentials. This Schrödinger equation is solved exactly for the negative modes whose number is confirmed to be one. The latter result may justify the usefulness of such piecewise linear potentials in the study of false vacuum decay.

^{*} wenyuan.ai@kcl.ac.uk

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ jean.alexandre@kcl.ac.uk

[‡] sarben.sarkar@kcl.ac.uk

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Polygonal-potential models for false vacuum decay and classical bounces2.1Lee-Weinberg potential2.2Triangular potential2.2.1 $D = 1$ 2.2.2 $D \ge 3$	4 4 6 7 8
3	Eigenequations and negative modes 3.1Lee-Weinberg potential with $D \ge 3$ 3.2Triangular potential with $D \ge 3$ 3.3Triangular potential with $D = 1$	10 10 12 13
4	Conclusion and discussion	14
\mathbf{A}	D = 2 for the triangular potential	16

1 Introduction

In the semiclassical analysis of false vacuum decay [1-5] based on Euclidean path integrals, a special classical solution to the Euclidean equation of motion, called the bounce, plays a key role. The decay rate Γ of the false vacuum generally takes the form of

$$\Gamma = A \exp\left(-B/\hbar\right) \left(1 + O\left(\hbar\right)\right) \tag{1}$$

where A and B are potential-dependent constants. At the Gaussian level (taking $\hbar = 1$), Callan and Coleman derived the decay formula per unit volume as [2]

$$\frac{\Gamma}{V} = \left(\frac{B}{2\pi}\right)^{D/2} \left| \frac{\det'[-\partial^2 + U''(\phi_b)]}{\det[-\partial^2 + U''(\phi_+)]} \right|^{-1/2} e^{-B}, \qquad (2)$$

where ϕ_+ and ϕ_b are the false vacuum and the classical bounce, respectively, $B \equiv S[\phi_b] - S[\phi_+]$ is the normalized bounce action (given in terms of the classical action S), and D is the spacetime dimension. In Eq. (2) det' means that the zero eigenvalues are omitted from the determinant and a prefactor $\sqrt{B/2\pi}$ is included for each of the D collective coordinates that are related to the zero modes corresponding to spacetime translations [6].

The Callan-Coleman formula for the decay rate is derived from the imaginary part of the false-vacuum energy through $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im} E_0$. For the imaginary part of the ground-state energy

to be nonvanishing, $\det'[-\partial^2 + U''(\phi_b)]$ needs to be negative. The imaginary part $\operatorname{Im} E_0$ is then obtained through the so-called "potential deformation" method [2].¹ For this derivation to be valid, the number of the negative eigenfunctions in the spectrum of the fluctuation operator $-\partial^2 + U''(\phi_b)$ must be an odd number, and typically it is believed to be just one, although there is no proof of this in field theory.

In the case of a finite set of coupled oscillators, Coleman gave an intuitive argument that there is only one negative eigenvalue [13] in the absence of gravitational effects.² Although at a formal level a scalar field theory may be represented as the limiting behaviour of an infinite number of coupled oscillators, it is not a controlled limit. Hence the arguments of Coleman are not immediately applicable in a field theoretic context and it is a part of our purpose to investigate the validity of Coleman's conjecture in field theory within the class of piecewise linear potentials.

In field theory, the determination of the number of negative eigenvalues cannot be performed rigorously for general potentials since the bounce solutions are not known analytically, except in very restricted cases [3, 5, 16-21] and for some of these the prefactor A may be analytically computed at the Gaussian level [22, 23]. In general, only numerical bounce solutions can be obtained. This makes analysing the eigenvalue equations for the fluctuations around the bounce difficult. In many cases, the number of the negative modes can be numerically confirmed to be odd by using the Gel'fand-Yaglom method [24] (see e.g. Refs. [25, 26]). Even with only a numerical bounce solution, the eigenvalue problem (including the computation of negative eigenvalues) can, in principle, be handled numerically upon a discretization of the fluctuation operator [27].

For scalar field theories, one way of generating potentials, which have explicit closed-form bounce-like solutions, is to consider potentials from piecewise linear functions (also referred to as polygonal potentials in general) [28, 29]. Clearly, any smooth potential graph can be suitably sampled at a discrete set of points, which can be sequentially connected by straight lines to give a polygonal graph. Some simple polygonal potentials were proposed in Refs. [28– 31]. In this work, we focus on the triangular potential [28] and the Lee-Weinberg potential [19]. The latter is unbounded from below and could be used as a model for non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} symmetric theory [32, 33]. Smooth, exact analytic bounce solutions can be found for these potentials. In addition, there is a crucial and simple feature of such polygonal potentials; $U''(\phi)$ is nonvanishing only at some finite points in the field space, making the eigenequation extremely simple and universal. Hence such piecewise linear potentials allow an analytic study of the negative eigenmodes associated with the bounce for arbitrary D.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we introduce the two types of potentials mentioned above and derive the analytic bounce solutions and actions for general spacetime dimensions. In Sec. 3 we study the eigenequations for the fluctuation operator evaluated at the bounce, focusing on the number of negative modes. We find that for all the cases that we study, there is one and only one negative mode in the spectrum. This may justify Coleman's conjecture [13] in a field theory setup for any spacetime dimensions. We conclude in Sec. 4.

¹See Refs. [7, 8] for a more rigorous explanation using the Picard-Lefschetz theory [9–12].

 $^{^{2}}$ In the presence of gravity there have been cases where the spectrum for bounces has more than one negative eigenvalues [14, 15].

2 Polygonal-potential models for false vacuum decay and classical bounces

2.1 Lee-Weinberg potential

We first consider a potential introduced in Ref. [19], which we refer to as the Lee-Weinberg potential below, reading

$$U(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } |\phi| < v, \\ -K(|\phi| - v), & \text{for } |\phi| > v. \end{cases}$$
(3)

This gives, for $\phi \ge 0$,

$$U'(\phi) = -K\Theta(\phi - v), \quad \text{and} \quad U''(\phi) = -K\delta(\phi - v), \quad (4)$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. The potential is shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: The Lee-Weinberg potential studied in Ref. [19].

Even though there is no potential barrier in the Lee-Weinberg potential, there are still bounce solutions as long as $D \ge 3$. These bounce solutions describe "tunnelling without barrier". Mathematically, a bounce solution is possible because of the "friction term" in the equation of motion (see below). This is reminiscent of the famous Fubini-Lipatov instanton [16, 17] for the $-\lambda\phi^4$ (with $\lambda > 0$) in four-dimensional spacetime, which is also used as a non-Hermitian \mathcal{PT} -symmetric model [33, 34]. Below, we consider the tunnelling from $\phi = 0$ to a point $\phi_* > v$ where the exit point ϕ_* is determined by the solution. The bounce solution has O(D) symmetry and satisfy

$$-\frac{d^{2}\phi(r)}{dr^{2}} - \frac{D-1}{r}\frac{d\phi(r)}{dr} + U'(\phi(r)) = 0, \quad \frac{d\phi}{dr}\Big|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \phi(r \to \infty) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_D^2}$. The second term is the "friction term" we referred to above. For D = 2, the above boundary conditions cannot be satisfied for the Lee-Weinberg potential



Figure 2: The bounce solution given in Eq. (6) for D = 4.

and thus one obtains a bounce solution only for $D \ge 3$. We will return to the case of D = 2 when we discuss the triangular potential.

For $D \geq 3$ we find the solution as

$$\phi_b(r) = \Theta(r_1 - r)v \left[\frac{D}{2} - \frac{(D-2)}{2} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2} \right] + \Theta(r - r_1)v \left(\frac{r_1}{r} \right)^{D-2}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$r_1 = \left(\frac{D(D-2)v}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(7)

One can read the exit point $\phi_* = \phi_b(0) = vD/2$. The classical bounce action³ is obtained as

$$B = \frac{4\pi^2 (D-2)v^2}{D+2} \left(\frac{D(D-2)v}{K}\right)^{\frac{D-2}{2}}.$$
(8)

For D = 4, we recover the results given in Ref. [19]. The functional determinant is

$$A = \frac{\det'\left[-\partial^2 + U''(\phi_b(r))\right]}{\det[-\partial^2]} = \frac{\det'\left[-\partial^2 - \frac{D}{r_1}\delta(r - r_1)\right]}{\det[-\partial^2]} = r_1^{2D} \frac{\det'\left[-\partial^2 - D\delta(r - 1)\right]}{\det[-\partial^2]}, \quad (9)$$

where in the second step we have done the rescaling: $x \to r_1 x$, $\partial_x \to \partial_x/r_1$. The factor of r_1^{2D} is a consequence of subtracting D zero modes in the functional determinant in the numerator, which causes a mismatch in the total number of eigenmodes from the numerator and denominator. Taking D = 4 as an example, we plot the bounce, which is smooth, in Fig. 2.

$$S[\phi] = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}r \, r^{D-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)^2 + U\left(\phi\right)\right)$$

with $\Gamma[x]$ being the gamma function.

³The action $S[\phi]$ is given by

2.2 Triangular potential



Figure 3: Triangular potential studied in Ref. [28]. We have taken $\phi_+ = 0, V_+ = 0$.

Another simple model that can give exact bounce solutions is the triangular potential [28], which (when the sharp bends in the potential are smoothed out) serves as a common paradigm for false vacuum decay. We illustrate this potential in Fig. 3. The transition from the false vacuum ϕ_+ to the true vacuum ϕ_- is sensitive only to the barrier part (thick lines in Fig. 3) and therefore this model is characterized by the three pair of parameters $\{(\phi_+, V_+), (\phi_T, V_T), (\phi_-, V_-)\}$. Without loss of generality, we take $\phi_+ = 0, V_+ = 0$. The potential thus reads

$$U(\phi) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{+}\phi, & \text{for } \phi_{+} < \phi < \phi_{T}, \\ V_{T} - \lambda_{-}(\phi - \phi_{T}), & \text{for } \phi_{T} < \phi < \phi_{-}, \end{cases}$$
(10)

where

$$\lambda_{+} = \frac{V_{T}}{\phi_{T}} > 0, \qquad \lambda_{-} = \frac{V_{T} - V_{-}}{\phi_{-} - \phi_{T}} > 0.$$
(11)

From the potential, one has (for $0 \le \phi \le \phi_{-}$)

$$U'(\phi) = \lambda_{+}\Theta(\phi_{T} - \phi) - \lambda_{-}\Theta(\phi - \phi_{T}), \quad \text{and} \quad U''(\phi) = -(\lambda_{+} + \lambda_{-})\delta(\phi - \phi_{T}).$$
(12)

For future use, we define the parameter

$$c \equiv \frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}} \,. \tag{13}$$

Note that the Lee-Weinberg potential discussed in the last subsection can be viewed as a special case of this triangular potential, $\lambda_{+} = 0$, $\lambda_{-} = K$, which gives $c \to \infty$. Although $c \to \infty$ is a

physical limit, c = 0 is a singular limit and is not physical because in that case, ϕ_+ cannot be a false vacuum as we have assumed.

For D = 1, the bounce solution is a function of the imaginary time $\tau \equiv x_1 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ while for D > 1, it is a function of the *D*-dimensional Euclidean radius $r \in [0, \infty)$. Below, we discuss D = 1 and $D \geq 3$ cases separately, while leaving the case D = 2 for the appendix.

2.2.1 D = 1

For D = 1, the bounce satisfies (taking the "center" of the bounce to be $\tau = 0$)

$$-\frac{d^{2}\phi(\tau)}{d\tau^{2}} + U'(\phi(\tau)) = 0, \quad \frac{d\phi(r)}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} = 0, \quad \phi(\tau \to \pm \infty) = 0.$$
(14)

First, it is easy to check, by energy conservation in the Euclidean space, that the turning point ϕ_* is given by

$$\phi_* = \phi_T + \frac{V_T}{\lambda_-} \,. \tag{15}$$

The bounce solution is found to be

$$\phi_b(\tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_-\tau^2 + \phi_* , & \text{for } |\tau| < \tau_1 , \\ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_+(|\tau| - \tau_1)^2 - \sqrt{2V_T}|\tau| + \phi_T + \frac{2V_T}{\lambda_-} , & \text{for } \tau_1 \le |\tau| < T , \\ 0, & \text{for } |\tau| \ge T , \end{cases}$$
(16)

where

$$\tau_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2V_T}}{\lambda_-}, \qquad T = \tau_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2V_T}}{\lambda_+} = \frac{\sqrt{2V_T}}{\lambda_-} + \frac{\sqrt{2V_T}}{\lambda_+}.$$
(17)

We show the bounce solution in Fig. 4. Note that in the bounce solution, the field reaches the false vacuum $\phi_+ = 0$ at finite times $\pm T$. The bounce action is found to be

$$B = \frac{4V_T \sqrt{2V_T}}{3} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_+} + \frac{1}{\lambda_-}\right) \,. \tag{18}$$

To analyse the eigenequations, it is sufficient for us to know the derivative of $\phi_b(\tau)$ at $\pm \tau_1$, $\phi_b(\tau)'|_{\tau=\pm\tau_1} = \pm \sqrt{2V_T}$. Therefore, the fluctuation operator at the bounce reads

$$-\partial_{\tau}^{2} + U''(\phi_{b}(\tau)) = -\partial_{\tau}^{2} - \frac{(\lambda_{+} + \lambda_{-})}{\sqrt{2V_{T}}} \left[\delta(\tau + \tau_{1}) + \delta(\tau - \tau_{1})\right] + C \left[\delta(\tau + T) + \delta(\tau - T)\right], \quad (19)$$

where C is a positive parameter. The last two Dirac delta functions are due to the discontinuity of the potential at the false vacuum ($\phi_+ = 0$). These delta functions effectively restrict the



Figure 4: The bounce solution, Eq. (16), for the triangular potential (10). The bounce solution reaches the false vacuum at finite time $\pm T$.

range of τ to a finite region [-T, T] and the specific form of C is not needed for analysing the eigenequations. Thus the relevant fluctuation operator becomes

$$-\partial_{\tau}^{2} - \frac{(\lambda_{+} + \lambda_{-})}{\sqrt{2V_{T}}} \left[\delta(\tau + \tau_{1}) + \delta(\tau - \tau_{1})\right] \quad \text{with} \quad \tau \in \left[-T, T\right].$$

$$(20)$$

To simplify further, we can rescale $\tau \to \tau_1 \tau$. Then the relevant fluctuation operator becomes

$$\frac{1}{\tau_1^2} \left(-\partial_\tau^2 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \left[\delta(\tau + 1) + \delta(\tau - 1) \right] \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \tau \in \left[-T/\tau_1, T/\tau_1 \right], \tag{21}$$

where we recall $c = \lambda_{-}/\lambda_{+}$. The total factor $1/\tau_{1}^{2}$ does not affect the analysis of the number of negative modes.

2.2.2 $D \ge 3$

Now we consider $D \geq 3$. The general bounce solution that we find reads

$$\phi_b(\tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_-}{2D}r^2 + \phi_* \,, & \text{for } 0 \le r < r_1 \,, \\ \frac{\lambda_+}{2D}r^2 + \frac{\lambda_+ R^D}{D(D-2)}r^{2-D} - \frac{\lambda_+ R^2}{2(D-2)} \,, & \text{for } r_1 \le r < R \,, \\ 0 \,, & \text{for } r \ge R \,, \end{cases}$$
(22)

where

$$R = \left(\frac{2D(D-2)\phi_T(1+c)^{\frac{2}{D}}}{\lambda_+ \left[D+2c-D(1+c)^{\frac{2}{D}}\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(23a)

$$\phi_* = \phi_T + \frac{c(D-2)\phi_T}{D+2c - D(1+c)^{\frac{2}{D}}},$$
(23b)

$$r_{1} = \left(\frac{2D(D-2)\phi_{T}}{\lambda_{+}\left[D+2c-D(1+c)^{\frac{2}{D}}\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(23c)

The bounce action is

$$B = \frac{4\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)} \frac{(1+c)\lambda_+\phi_T}{D(D+2)} r_1^D.$$
(24)

For D = 4, we recover the results given in Ref. [28]. Taking D = 4 as an example, we show the bounce solution in Fig. 5. Similar to the D = 1 case, the bounce solution $\phi_b(r)$ reaches the false vacuum at finite R. And the delta-potentials at $r = \pm R$ in the fluctuation operator effectively restrict r to [0, R]. The fluctuation operator at the bounce, after rescaling $r \to r_1 r$, reads

$$\frac{1}{r_1^2} \left[-\partial^2 - D\left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) \delta(r-1) \right] \quad \text{with} \quad r \in [0, R/r_1], \quad D \ge 3.$$

$$\phi_b(r)$$



Figure 5: The bounce solution (22) for the triangular potential (10). Independently of D, the bounce reaches the false vacuum at a finite radius R because we have a nonvanishing slope at (or more precisely, infinitely close to) the false vacuum.

3 Eigenequations and negative modes

In this section, we study the eigenequation for the fluctuations about the bounce. We do not aim to solve the full eigenspectrum but focus on the negative eigenmodes. For the analytic bounce solutions to faithfully describe false vacuum decay, we expect that there should be one and only one negative mode.

3.1 Lee-Weinberg potential with $D \ge 3$

The eigenequation reads (after rescaling)

$$\left[-\partial^2 - D\delta\left(r-1\right)\right]\Psi\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = \lambda\Psi\left(\mathbf{x}\right) \tag{26}$$

We have suppressed the label for the eigenfunctions since we are only interested in the eigenvalues. To be specific, we are interested in negative modes and we shall take $\lambda = -\kappa^2$ with $\kappa > 0$ below.

We write $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l} \psi_{l}(r) Y_{l}(\theta)$ where $\Delta_{S^{D-1}} Y_{l}(\theta) = l(2 - D - l) Y_{l}(\theta)$ with $\Delta_{S^{D-1}}$ being the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The eigenspectrum for fixed l is degenerate with the degree of degeneracy for D > 2 given by⁴

$$\deg(l;D) = \frac{(D+l-3)!(D+2l-2)}{l!(D-2)!}.$$
(27)

For D = 2, deg $(l; 2) = 2 - \delta_{l0}$. Note also that a degeneracy of one only occurs for l = 0 when $D \ge 2$. Consequently, the number of negative eigenvalues can be one, if and only if the negative eigenvalue occurs only for l = 0.

Using the decomposition we arrive at

$$\left[-\frac{1}{r^{D-1}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(r^{D-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\psi_l\right) + \frac{l\left(l+D-2\right)}{r^2}\psi_l - D\delta\left(r-1\right)\psi_l\right] = -\kappa_l^2\psi_l.$$
 (28)

The solution of this equation is more evident in terms of

$$\Phi_l(r) = r^{(D-2)/2} \psi_l(r)$$
(29)

together with a change of variable $\rho = \kappa_l r$. Then we get

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\left(\rho\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_l(\rho)}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\right) - \frac{1}{\rho^2}\left[\rho^2 + \nu_{l,D}^2\right]\Phi_l(\rho) = -\frac{D}{\kappa_l}\delta(\rho - \kappa_l)\Phi_l(\rho)\,. \tag{30}$$

where

$$\nu_{l,D}^2 = \left(l + \frac{D-2}{2}\right)^2.$$
 (31)

⁴When analytically continuing this formula to noninteger D, deg(l; D) is noninteger except for l = 0 when deg(0; D) = 1.

Requiring $\Phi_l(0) = \Phi_l(\infty) = 0$, we obtain the solution

$$\Phi_l(\rho) = \Theta(\kappa_l - \rho)c_1 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\rho) + \Theta(\rho - \kappa_l)c_2 K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\rho).$$
(32)

where I_{ν} and K_{ν} are modified Bessel functions of the first and third kind. Note that although Eq. (30) is the same for $\rho < \kappa_l$ and $\kappa_l < \rho$, the solutions are not the same because of the different boundary conditions. The matching conditions at $\rho = \kappa_l$ are

$$c_1 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) = c_2 K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) , \qquad (33a)$$

$$c_2 K'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) - c_1 I'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) = -\frac{D}{\kappa_l} c_1 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) \,. \tag{33b}$$

Substituting the first equation into the second gives the transcendental equation

$$g_{l,D}(\kappa_l) = 1, \qquad (34)$$

where

$$g_{l,D}(\kappa_l) \equiv \frac{\kappa_l}{D} \left(\frac{I'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}{I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)} - \frac{K'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}{K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)} \right).$$
(35)

The above equation can be solved numerically, showing a monotonically increasing behaviour of $g_{l,D}(x)$ with x. We plot $g_{l,D}(x)$ for l = 0, 1, 2 and D = 3, 4 in Fig. 6. It can be seen that there is a nonvanishing solution only for l = 0. Since $\deg(0; D) = 1$, this means that there is only one negative mode. From the curve for l = 1, one can also see that there are D zero modes in the sector l = 1 as $\deg(1; D) = D$. The numerical results may be understood analytically on noting the asymptotic relations of the modified Bessel functions [35] and one can analytically confirm that there is only one solution for l = 0 and D vanishing solutions for l = 1 and none for higher $l \ge 2$ for arbitrary D. Hence the bounce solution found here can be used to describe tunnelling in higher dimensional field theory as well.



Figure 6: The function $g_{l,D}(\kappa_l)$ for the Lee-Weinberg potential for D = 3 (left panel) and D = 4 (right panel). A solution is indicated when the coloured curves intersect with the dashed line.

3.2 Triangular potential with $D \ge 3$

For the triangular potential, let us first consider the case $D \ge 3$ as this case is similar to what has been discussed in the last subsection. The eigenequation reads

$$\left[-\partial^2 - D\left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)\delta(r-1)\right]\Psi(r) = -\kappa^2\Psi(r)$$
(36)

where $r \in [0, \mathcal{R}]$ with $\mathcal{R} = (1+c)^{\frac{1}{D}}$. Following the previous procedure, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\left(\rho\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_l(\rho)}{\mathrm{d}\rho}\right) - \frac{1}{\rho^2}\left[\rho^2 + \nu_{l,D}^2\right]\Phi_l(\rho) = -\frac{D(1+\frac{1}{c})}{\kappa_l}\delta(\rho-\kappa_l)\Phi_l(\rho)\,. \tag{37}$$

Requiring $\Phi_l(0) = 0$, the general solution is

$$\Phi(\rho) = \begin{cases} c_1 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\rho), & 0 < \rho < \kappa_l, \\ c_2 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\rho) + c_3 K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\rho), & \kappa_l < \rho < \kappa_l \mathcal{R}. \end{cases}$$
(38)

The matching conditions at $\rho = \kappa_l$ are

$$c_1 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) = c_2 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) + c_3 K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l), \qquad (39a)$$

$$c_2 I'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) + c_3 K'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) - c_1 I'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) = -\frac{D\left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)}{\kappa_l} c_1 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l) \,. \tag{39b}$$

The Dirichlet boundary condition at $\rho = \kappa_l \mathcal{R}$ gives

$$c_2 I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l \mathcal{R}) + c_3 K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l \mathcal{R}) = 0.$$
(40)

Combining all these equations, we find

$$h_{l,D}(\kappa_l) \equiv \left[1 - \epsilon_{\nu_{l,D}} \frac{I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}{K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}\right]^{-1} \frac{\kappa_l}{D(1 + \frac{1}{c})} \left(\frac{I'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}{I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)} - \frac{K'_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}{K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l)}\right) = 1,$$
(41)

where

$$\epsilon_{\nu_{l,D}} \equiv \frac{K_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l \mathcal{R})}{I_{\nu_{l,D}}(\kappa_l \mathcal{R})}.$$
(42)

Note that in the limit $c \to \infty$, one has $\mathcal{R} \to \infty$, $\epsilon_{\nu_{l,D}} \to 0$ and thus that Eq. (41) coincides with Eq. (34).

Again, Eq. (41) can be solved numerically. We find that there is one solution only for l = 0, which confirms that there is only one negative mode. In Fig. 7, taking c = 1, we plot $h_{l,D}(\kappa_l)$ for l = 0, 1, 2, D = 3 (left panel) and D = 4 (right panel). To see how the parameter c impacts the negative eigenvalue, we plot $h_{0,4}(\kappa_0)$ as a function of κ_0 and c in Fig. 8. It can be seen that the negative eigenvalue becomes smaller, i.e. κ_0 becomes larger (recall $\lambda = -\kappa_0^2$), for smaller c.



Figure 7: The function $h_{l,D}(\kappa_l)$ for the triangular potential for D = 3 (left panel) and D = 4 (right panel) and c = 1. A solution is indicated when the coloured curves intersect the dashed line.

3.3 Triangular potential with D = 1

In this case, we have eigenequation

$$\left(-\partial_{\tau}^{2}-\left(1+\frac{1}{c}\right)\left[\delta(\tau+1)+\delta(\tau-1)\right]\right)\Psi(\tau)=-\kappa^{2}\Psi(\tau)\,,\tag{43}$$

where $\tau \in (-\Upsilon, \Upsilon)$ with $\Upsilon = 1 + c$. The general solution is

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{cases} A_1 e^{\kappa\tau} + A_2 e^{-\kappa t}, & -\Upsilon < \tau < -1, \\ G_1 e^{\kappa\tau} + G_2 e^{-\kappa\tau}, & -1 < \tau < 1, \\ F_1 e^{\kappa t} + F_2 e^{-\kappa t}, & -1 < \tau < \Upsilon. \end{cases}$$
(44)

The boundary conditions at $\tau = \pm \Upsilon$ gives

$$A_1 e^{-\kappa\Upsilon} + A_2 e^{\kappa\Upsilon} = 0, \qquad (45a)$$

$$F_1 e^{\kappa \Upsilon} + F_2 e^{-\kappa \Upsilon} = 0.$$
(45b)

The matching conditions at $\tau = -1$ read

$$G_1 e^{-\kappa} + G_2 e^{\kappa} = A_1 e^{-\kappa} + A_2 e^{\kappa}, \qquad (46a)$$

$$G_1 \kappa e^{-\kappa} - G_2 \kappa e^{\kappa} = A_1 \left[\kappa - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \right] e^{-\kappa} - A_2 \left[\kappa + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \right] e^{\kappa}, \qquad (46b)$$

while at $\tau = 1$ are

$$F_1 e^{\kappa} + F_2 e^{-\kappa} = G_1 e^{\kappa} + G_2 e^{-\kappa} , \qquad (47a)$$

$$F_1 \kappa e^{\kappa} - F_2 \kappa e^{-\kappa} = G_1 \left[\kappa - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \right] e^{\kappa} - G_2 \left[\kappa + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} \right) \right] e^{-\kappa}.$$
(47b)



Figure 8: The function $h_{l,D}(\kappa_l)$ as a function of κ_l and c for l = 0 and D = 4. Eq. (41) is satisfied on the white thick line.

Combing all the above equations, we obtain

$$f(\kappa) \equiv f_0(\kappa) + f_1(\kappa)\epsilon(\kappa) + f_2(\kappa)\epsilon(\kappa)^2 = 0$$
(48)

where $\epsilon = \exp(-2\kappa\Upsilon)$ and

$$f_0 = -\alpha^2 + e^{4\kappa} \left(2\kappa - \alpha\right)^2 \,, \tag{49a}$$

$$f_1 = 2\alpha e^{2\kappa} \left[\alpha + 2\kappa + (2\kappa - \alpha) e^{4\kappa} \right] , \qquad (49b)$$

$$f_2 = e^{8\kappa} \alpha^2 - e^{4\kappa} \left(\alpha + 2\kappa\right)^2 \,, \tag{49c}$$

with $\alpha \equiv 1 + 1/c > 1$. Note that ϵ is a function of κ .

We solve Eq. (48) numerically and find that there is one and only one positive solution for $c \in (0, \infty)$. We plot $f(\kappa)$ in Fig. 9 for relatively smaller values of c (left panel), and bigger values of c (right panel), respectively. It can be seen that one has a larger positive root κ (which gives a smaller negative eigenvalue $-\kappa^2$) for a smaller value of c, in agreement with the higher-dimensional cases. The value of the eigenvalue approaches a limit quickly when c increases.

4 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, we have discussed the piecewise linear potentials, specifically the Lee-Weinberg potential introduced in Ref. [19] and the triangular-shaped potential introduced in Ref. [28], as simplified false vacuum decay models. We calculate the bounce solutions for general spacetime dimension D and for D = 4 results in the literature are recovered. A condition for such analytic bounce solutions to describe tunnelling is that there should be an odd number of negative modes.



Figure 9: $f(\kappa)$ defined in Eq. (48) for small values of c (left panel) and bigger values of c (right panel). The different curves intersect the axis $f(\kappa) = 0$ only once away from $\kappa = 0$.

We show that there is one and only one negative mode in the spectrum of the fluctuation operator at the bounce for arbitrary D. This is achieved by explicitly solving the eigenequation for the negative modes. An advantage of such piecewise linear potentials is that the eigenequations take a very simple Schrödinger-like equation with delta potentials in a universal form.

Piecewise linear potentials considered here could have several applications. For example, the triangular potential was used in studying metastable supersymmetric minima in Refs. [36, 37], dark energy [38] and gravitational wave production from cosmological first-order phase transitions [39]. The unbounded-from-below Lee-Weinberg potential is similar to those that appear in theories with \mathcal{PT} symmetry [40–43]. Potentials unbounded from below lead to instability of the vacuum in the conventional Hermitian framework. In the presence of \mathcal{PT} symmetry, there is a "phase" [44] without the instability, known as the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric phase [45]. For D = 1, using a WKB treatment in a quantum mechanical framework, it is known that the eigenvalues for the Hamiltonian are real [32, 34]. It is believed that higher dimensional generalisations of this result also hold. A way to investigate this for D > 1 is in terms of Euclidean path integrals and fluctuations around bounce-like solutions [33] through the approach here. When extending the present analysis to polygonal potentials with more segments, the analysis may also justify the validity of using the latter for efficient computation of bubble nucleation rates [29] (cf. other recent works [27, 46]).

Acknowledgments

The authors of this work are supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (grant No. EP/V002821/1). JA is also supported by the Leverhulme Trust (grant No. RPG-2021-299) and by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (grant No.STFC-ST/X000753/1).

A D = 2 for the triangular potential

Unlike the case of the Lee-Weinberg potential, a bounce solution for the triangular potential for D = 2 exists. The bounce solution is found to be⁵

$$\phi_b(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_- r^2}{4} + \phi_* \,, & \text{for } 0 \le r < r_1 \,, \\ \frac{\lambda_+ r^2}{4} - 2\left[\left(\phi_* - \phi_T\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) \right] \log\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) - \left[\left(\phi_* - \phi_T\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) \right] \,, & \text{for } r_1 \le r < R \\ 0 \,, & \text{for } r \ge R \,, \end{cases}$$
(50)

where

$$r_1 = 2\sqrt{\frac{\phi_* - \phi_T}{\lambda_-}}, \quad R = 2\sqrt{\frac{(\phi_* - \phi_T)\left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)}{\lambda_+}}, \quad (51)$$

and ϕ_* is determined implicitly by the matching conditions at $r = r_1$, leading to

$$\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{+}}{\lambda_{-}}\right)\log\left(\frac{4(\phi_{*}-\phi_{T})}{\lambda_{-}}\right)+\frac{\phi_{*}}{\phi_{*}-\phi_{T}}=4\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{+}}{\lambda_{-}}\right)\sqrt{(\phi_{*}-\phi_{T})\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{+}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{-}}\right)}.$$
(52)

References

- S. R. Coleman, "The Fate of the False Vacuum. 1. Semiclassical Theory," *Phys. Rev. D* 15 (1977) 2929–2936. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 16, 1248 (1977)].
- [2] C. G. Callan, Jr. and S. R. Coleman, "The Fate of the False Vacuum. 2. First Quantum Corrections," *Phys. Rev. D* 16 (1977) 1762–1768.
- [3] S. Coleman, Aspects of Symmetry: Selected Erice Lectures. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1985.
- [4] J. S. Langer, "Statistical theory of the decay of metastable states," Annals Phys. 54 (1969) 258-275.
- [5] C. M. Bender and S. Sarkar, "New Classes of Solutions for Euclidean Scalar Field Theories," Universe 10 no. 2, (2024) 72, arXiv:2304.11629 [hep-th].
- [6] J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, "Extended Particles in Quantum Field Theories," *Phys. Rev.* D 11 (1975) 2943.
- [7] A. Andreassen, D. Farhi, W. Frost, and M. D. Schwartz, "Precision decay rate calculations in quantum field theory," *Phys. Rev. D* 95 no. 8, (2017) 085011, arXiv:1604.06090 [hep-th].
- [8] W.-Y. Ai, B. Garbrecht, and C. Tamarit, "Functional methods for false vacuum decay in real time," JHEP 12 (2019) 095, arXiv:1905.04236 [hep-th].

⁵We have assumed that $\phi_* \leq \phi_-$, which should impose a condition for the parameters via Eq. (52).

- [9] F. Pham, "Vanishing homologies and the n variables saddlepoint method," in Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 40, pp. 310–333. 1983.
- [10] M. V. Berry and C. J. Howls, "Hyperasymptotics for integrals with saddles," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences 434 no. 1892, (1991) 657–675.
- [11] E. Witten, "Analytic Continuation Of Chern-Simons Theory," AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 50 (2011) 347-446, arXiv:1001.2933 [hep-th].
- [12] E. Witten, "A New Look At The Path Integral Of Quantum Mechanics," arXiv:1009.6032 [hep-th].
- [13] S. R. Coleman, "Quantum Tunneling and Negative Eigenvalues," Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988) 178–186.
- [14] L. Battarra, G. Lavrelashvili, and J.-L. Lehners, "Negative Modes of Oscillating Instantons," *Phys. Rev. D* 86 (2012) 124001, arXiv:1208.2182 [hep-th].
- [15] R. Gregory, K. M. Marshall, F. Michel, and I. G. Moss, "Negative modes of Coleman-De Luccia and black hole bubbles," *Phys. Rev. D* 98 no. 8, (2018) 085017, arXiv:1808.02305 [hep-th].
- [16] S. Fubini, "A New Approach to Conformal Invariant Field Theories," Nuovo Cim. A 34 (1976) 521.
- [17] L. N. Lipatov, "Divergence of the Perturbation Theory Series and the Quasiclassical Theory," Sov. Phys. JETP 45 (1977) 216–223.
- [18] A. Ferraz de Camargo, R. C. Shellard, and G. C. Marques, "Vacuum Decay in a Soluble Model," *Phys. Rev. D* 29 (1984) 1147.
- [19] K.-M. Lee and E. J. Weinberg, "TUNNELING WITHOUT BARRIERS," Nucl. Phys. B 267 (1986) 181–202.
- [20] A. A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, and A. Y. Kamenshchik, "General solution of scalar field cosmology with a (piecewise) exponential potential," *JCAP* 10 (2011) 004, arXiv:1105.4515 [gr-qc].
- [21] A. Aravind, B. S. DiNunno, D. Lorshbough, and S. Paban, "Analyzing multifield tunneling with exact bounce solutions," *Phys. Rev. D* 91 no. 2, (2015) 025026, arXiv:1412.3160 [hep-th].
- [22] B. Garbrecht and P. Millington, "Fluctuations about the Fubini-Lipatov instanton for false vacuum decay in classically scale invariant models," *Phys. Rev. D* 98 no. 1, (2018) 016001, arXiv:1804.04944 [hep-th].
- [23] V. Guada and M. Nemevšek, "Exact one-loop false vacuum decay rate," *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (2020) 125017, arXiv:2009.01535 [hep-th].
- [24] I. M. Gelfand and A. M. Yaglom, "Integration in functional spaces and it applications in quantum physics," J. Math. Phys. 1 (1960) 48.

- [25] G. V. Dunne and H. Min, "Beyond the thin-wall approximation: Precise numerical computation of prefactors in false vacuum decay," *Phys. Rev. D* 72 (2005) 125004, arXiv:hep-th/0511156.
- [26] W.-Y. Ai, J. Alexandre, and S. Sarkar, "False vacuum decay rates, more precisely," *Phys. Rev. D* 109 no. 4, (2024) 045010, arXiv:2312.04482 [hep-ph].
- [27] A. Ekstedt, O. Gould, and J. Hirvonen, "BubbleDet: a Python package to compute functional determinants for bubble nucleation," *JHEP* 12 (2023) 056, arXiv:2308.15652 [hep-ph].
- [28] M. J. Duncan and L. G. Jensen, "Exact tunneling solutions in scalar field theory," *Phys. Lett. B* 291 (1992) 109–114.
- [29] V. Guada, A. Maiezza, and M. Nemevšek, "Multifield Polygonal Bounces," *Phys. Rev. D* 99 no. 5, (2019) 056020, arXiv:1803.02227 [hep-th].
- [30] K. Dutta, C. Hector, P. M. Vaudrevange, and A. Westphal, "More Exact Tunneling Solutions in Scalar Field Theory," *Phys. Lett. B* 708 (2012) 309-313, arXiv:1110.2380 [hep-th].
- [31] K. Dutta, C. Hector, T. Konstandin, P. M. Vaudrevange, and A. Westphal, "Validity of the kink approximation to the tunneling action," *Phys. Rev. D* 86 (2012) 123517, arXiv:1202.2721 [hep-th].
- [32] C. Bender, *PT Symmetry*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC (EUROPE), 2019. https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/q0178.
- [33] W.-Y. Ai, C. M. Bender, and S. Sarkar, "PT-symmetric -gφ⁴ theory," Phys. Rev. D 106 no. 12, (2022) 125016, arXiv:2209.07897 [hep-th].
- [34] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, "Real spectra in nonHermitian Hamiltonians having PT symmetry," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 5243-5246, arXiv:physics/9712001.
- [35] A. Jeffrey and H. H. Dai, Handbook of mathematical formulas and integrals. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 4 ed., Jan., 2008.
- [36] K. A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg, and D. Shih, "Dynamical SUSY breaking in meta-stable vacua," JHEP 04 (2006) 021, arXiv:hep-th/0602239.
- [37] M. Carena, S. Gori, I. Low, N. R. Shah, and C. E. M. Wagner, "Vacuum Stability and Higgs Diphoton Decays in the MSSM," JHEP 02 (2013) 114, arXiv:1211.6136 [hep-ph].
- [38] G. Pastras, "Exact Tunneling Solutions in Minkowski Spacetime and a Candidate for Dark Energy," JHEP 08 (2013) 075, arXiv:1102.4567 [hep-th].
- [39] J. Jaeckel, V. V. Khoze, and M. Spannowsky, "Hearing the signal of dark sectors with gravitational wave detectors," *Phys. Rev. D* 94 no. 10, (2016) 103519, arXiv:1602.03901 [hep-ph].
- [40] C. M. Bender, D. W. Hook, N. E. Mavromatos, and S. Sarkar, "PT-symmetric interpretation of unstable effective potentials," J. Phys. A 49 no. 45, (2016) 45LT01,

arXiv:1506.01970 [hep-th].

- [41] C. M. Bender, N. Hassanpour, S. P. Klevansky, and S. Sarkar, "PT-symmetric quantum field theory in D dimensions," Phys. Rev. D 98 no. 12, (2018) 125003, arXiv:1810.12479 [hep-th].
- [42] C. M. Bender, A. Felski, S. P. Klevansky, and S. Sarkar, "PT Symmetry and Renormalisation in Quantum Field Theory," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2038 (2021) 012004, arXiv:2103.14864 [hep-th].
- [43] A. Felski, C. M. Bender, S. P. Klevansky, and S. Sarkar, "Towards perturbative renormalization of φ2(iφ)ε quantum field theory," *Phys. Rev. D* 104 no. 8, (2021) 085011, arXiv:2103.07577 [hep-th].
- [44] N. E. Mavromatos and S. Sarkar, "Chern-Simons gravity and PT Symmetry," arXiv:2402.14513 [hep-th].
- [45] C. M. Bender, "Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians," *Rept. Prog. Phys.* 70 (2007) 947, arXiv:hep-th/0703096.
- [46] Y. Bai and T.-K. Chen, "Flow-based Nonperturbative Simulation of First-order Phase Transitions," arXiv:2404.18323 [hep-lat].