ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF CHORDAL GRAPHS USING HORN HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

DIPNIT BISWAS, IRFAN HABIB, AND R. VENKATESH

ABSTRACT. Radchenko and Villegas characterized the chordal graphs by the inverse of their independence polynomials being Horn hypergeometric series in Radchenko et al. in 2021. In this paper, we reprove their result using some elementary combinatorial methods. Our proof is different from their proof, and it is based on the connection between the inverse of the multi-variate independence polynomials and the multi-colored chromatic polynomials of graphs, established by Arunkumar et al. in 2018.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main motivation of this paper is to reprove a result of Radchenko and Villegas, which characterizes the chordal graphs by the inverse of their independence polynomials being Horn hypergeometric series, see [9, Theorem 2.2], using some elementary ideas coming from graph colorings. First, we state their result and then explain our strategy to approach their result. We consider only *finite simple graphs* in this paper, which means our graphs have only finitely many vertices and edges and no multiple edges and loops. Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with a vertex set $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. A subset of I is said to be stable or independent if the subgraph spanned by that subset has no edges. We work over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} throughout this article and let $R = \mathbb{Q}[[x_i : i \in I]]$ be the ring of formal power series generated by the commuting variables $(x_i : i \in I)$ over \mathbb{Q} . The *multi-variate independence polynomial* of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Q} is defined to be the polynomial

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})} \left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \in R,$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ is the set of all *independent subsets* of \mathcal{G} . Note that \emptyset and $\{i\} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $i \in I$. Since the power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})$ has constant term 1, we have $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q} \in R$ for any positive integer $q \geq 1$. For a given I-tuple of integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I)$, the support of \mathbf{m} is defined by $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{m}) = \{i \in I : m_i \neq 0\}$, and we say $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ if $m_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in I$. A power series $F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \geq 0} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} \in R$ is called *Horn hypergeometric* if

- (1) $c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{m} \ge 0$ and
- (2) $\frac{c_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_i}}{c_{\mathbf{m}}}$ is a rational function of $\{m_j : j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})\}$ for each $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$, where $\mathbf{e}_i := (\delta_{ji} : j \in I)$ for $i \in I$,

We urge interested readers to refer to [9] for more details and motivation to study the Horn hypergeometric series; we will not discuss it in this paper. A finite simple graph \mathcal{G} is said to be *chordal* if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the cycle graph C_m with $m \geq 4$. By an *induced subgraph* defined by a subset S of vertices of \mathcal{G} , we mean the subgraph $\mathcal{G}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ obtained by deleting from \mathcal{G} the vertices not in S and all the edges incident to at least one vertex not in S. For $n \geq 3$, the cycle graph C_n consists of vertices $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with an edge joining i with i+1, where the indices are read modulo n.



FIGURE 1

For example, the line graphs $L_n, n \ge 1$ are chordal, but the cycle graphs $C_n, n \ge 4$ are not chordal. Let us keep the line graph L_4 and the cycle graph C_4 as our running examples to explain all our results. One can easily compute the multi-variate independence polynomials of these graphs.

$$I(L_4, \mathbf{x}) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_1 x_4,$$

$$I(C_4, \mathbf{x}) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_4.$$

The following characterization of chordal graphs is proved in [9, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 1. ([9, Theorem 2.2]) Let \mathcal{G} be a finite simple graph. Then, the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) The graph \mathcal{G} is a chordal graph.
- (2) The power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ is Horn hypergeometric for all $q \geq 1$.
- (3) The power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric.

This paper reproves Radchenko and Villegas's result using more elementary combinatorial methods. We now explain our strategy. Our proof is motivated by the connection between the generalized chromatic polynomials of \mathcal{G} and the *q*-th power of independence polynomial of \mathcal{G} which was established in [1]. Fix $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I)$ a tuple of non-negative integers, set $|\mathbf{m}| = \sum_{i \in I} m_i$. Given *q* colors, a proper vertex multi coloring of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} , using given at most *q*-colors, is an assignment of colors from these given q-colors to the vertices of \mathcal{G} in which each vertex $i \in I$ receives exactly m_i colors such that two adjacent vertices receive disjoint colors. For a given $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the function that counts the number of distinct proper vertex multicolorings of \mathcal{G} that can be made using given q-colors is a polynomial in q, called the generalized chromatic polynomial of \mathcal{G} associated to **m**, it is denoted as $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$ (see Section 2.3 for precise definition). Then we have (see [1, Proposition 3.7], also [2, Remark 3.4])

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{G} be a finite simple graph. For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity holds in R

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^q = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \ge 0} \pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Theorem 2 gives a very explicit way of calculating the q-th power of $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})$ in terms of generalized chromatic polynomials of \mathcal{G} . On the other hand, one can efficiently compute these generalized chromatic polynomials for graphs with the perfect elimination ordering on their vertices. An ordering \leq on the vertices I of \mathcal{G} is called a *perfect elimination* ordering if it is a total-ordering and for each $k \in I$ the subgraph \mathcal{G}_k of \mathcal{G} induced by the set of vertices from $\{i \in I : i \leq k\}$ that are adjacent with k is a clique, i.e., complete subgraph (here, by convention, we assume that k is adjacent to k). We call \mathcal{G} a PEO graph if its vertices have a perfect elimination ordering. For example, the usual ordering on the vertices of the Line graph L_n is a perfect elimination ordering. Not every graph has a perfect elimination ordering, for example the cycle graph C_n is a PEO graph if and only if n = 3. It is a remarkable fact that a finite simple graph \mathcal{G} is chordal if and only if it is a PEO graph [8, Chapter 4, Section 1]. It is easy to compute the chromatic polynomials of chordal graphs if we fix a perfect elimination ordering on their vertices. More precisely, we have

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{G} be a chordal graph with the vertex set I and let $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a perfect elimination ordering. Then, for any $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m}) \\ m_r \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_r(\mathbf{m}) = m_r + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq r \\ a \neq s \leq r}} m_s$ for $1 \leq r \leq n$ (here $a \sim b$ means the vertices a and b are connected by an edge in \mathcal{G}).

For example, we have

$$\pi_{L_4}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \binom{q+m_1-1}{m_1} \binom{q+m_1+m_2-1}{m_2} \binom{q+m_2+m_3-1}{m_3} \binom{q+m_3+m_4-1}{m_4}.$$

Note that we recover one of the main results of [9, Corollary 3.2] from Theorem 2 and 3, which was proved in [9] by entirely different techniques.

Corollary 1. Let \mathcal{G} be a chordal graph with the vertex set I and let $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a perfect elimination ordering. Then, for any $q \ge 1$, we have

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \ge 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \binom{q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_r} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

where $a_r(\mathbf{m})$ defined as above. In particular $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ is a Horn hypergeometric series for all $q \geq 1$.

Radchenko and Villegas used ideas coming from the representation theory of quantum affine algebras; they inverted the Nahm system of equations arising from the adjacency matrix of the given graph and, using that, wrote down the formula for the coefficients of the inverse of the multi-variate independence polynomial of chordal graphs and cycle graphs. Our method has some advantages as we have that Theorem 2 holds for any finite simple graph \mathcal{G} . So, we can compute the generalized chromatic polynomials for even some families of non-chordal graphs; in particular, we could compute this for cycle graphs, which was already done in Read's paper [10]. It is important to note that the authors computed only $I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}, n \geq 4$ in [9] using their techniques, but the general formula for $I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ for $n \geq 4$ can be easily written down using our method and Read's result.

Indeed, Read calculated the chromatic polynomials of the clan circuit graphs using mathematical induction and the fundamental recurrence relation of chromatic polynomials called the deletion-contraction recurrence relation. Here the *clan circuit of graph* length $n \ge 1$ associated with an n-tuple of non-negative integers (m_1, \ldots, m_n) is defined as follows: for each vertex $1 \le i \le n$ of C_n , we take a complete graph of size m_i and join all the vertices of *i*th complete graph of size m_i and *j*th complete graph of size m_j if there is an edge between the vertices *i* and *j* in C_n . Here the complete graph of size *r* is the graph that has $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ as vertices and any two distinct vertices $1 \le i \ne j \le r$ have an edge between them. For example n = 3 and $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 3$ and $m_3 = 1$, we have $C_3(2, 3, 1)$ as shown in Figure 2a.

However, we have a close relationship between the chromatic polynomials of the clan circuit graphs and generalized chromatic polynomials of cycle graphs; see the section 2.4 and Proposition 2 for more details. Using Proposition 2 and [10, Theorem 3], we could write down the formula for the generalized chromatic polynomials of cycle graphs.

Theorem 4. ([10, Theorem 3]) For the cycle graph C_n , $n \ge 3$, we have the following formula

$$\pi_{C_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (q)_{(m_i+m_{i+1})} \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left((-1)^{kn} v_k(q) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(m_i)_{(k)}}{(q)_{(m_i+k)}} \right) \right)$$

where $m_{n+1} = m_1$ and $v_k(q) = \binom{q}{k} - \binom{q}{k-1}$ and $(q)_k = q(q-1)(q-2)\cdots(q-k+1)$.



(A) The clan circuit graph $C_3(2,3,1)$

FIGURE 2

For example, we have

$$\pi_{C_4}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^4 m_i!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^4 (q)_{(m_i+m_{i+1})} \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^4 v_k(q) \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{(m_i)_{(k)}}{(q)_{(m_i+k)}} \right)$$

We can give our proof of Theorem 1 using the stated results. A brief outline of our proof is given below.

1.1. Outline of the proof. The implication (1) implies (2) follows from the Corollary 1 and the detailed proof is given in corollary 2 (Section 2.8). The implication (2) implies (3) is obvious, and to prove (3) implies (1), we first observe that it is enough to prove $I(C_m, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric if and only if $m \leq 3$. The series $I(C_m, \mathbf{x})^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \geq 0} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is Horn hypergeometric immediately implies that the sum of (signed) diagonal terms

$$H_m(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a,\dots,a)} (-1)^{ma} c_{\mathbf{a}} t^a \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

is also a hypergeometric series. Set $S(m, a) := (-1)^{ma}c_{\mathbf{a}}$, and we have a closed formula for S(m, a) deduced from Theorem 4; see corollary 3. The numbers S(m, a) are known to be the de Bruijn numbers in the literature; see [4, Page 72, Section 4.7]. The asymptotic behavior of S(m, a) for fixed m and large a has been computed in [4]:

$$\frac{S(m, a+1)}{S(m, a)} \to (2\cos(\pi/2m))^{2m}, \qquad a \to \infty$$

Since $H_m(t)$ is Horn hypergeometric, we must have $(2\cos(\pi/2m))^{2m} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and this implies immediately that $m \leq 3$ as in [9, Proposition 6.3]. More details of the proof can be found in the section 2.10.

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF CHORDAL GRAPHS USING HYPERGEOMETIC SERIES

2.1. Preliminaries. We denote by \mathbb{N} , $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, and \mathbb{Z} the set of positive integers, non-negative integers, non-positive integers, and integers, respectively. For a set S, denote by |S| the cardinality of S and by P(S) the power set of S. For a variable q, we define $\binom{q}{k} = \frac{q(q-1)\cdots(q-(k-1))}{k!}$. Note that we have $\binom{-q}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{q+k-1}{k}$.

2.2. Let \mathcal{G} be a finite simple graph with a vertex set $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and an edge set E. For $i, j \in I$, the edge between i and j is denoted as e(i, j). Note that e(i, j) = e(j, i) for all $i, j \in I$. We now collect the basic definitions.

- **Definition 1.** (1) The *induced subgraph* defined by a subset S of vertices of \mathcal{G} is denoted as $\mathcal{G}(S)$ and is obtained by deleting from \mathcal{G} the vertices not in S and all the edges incident to at least one vertex not in S.
 - (2) A subset $S \subseteq I$ is said to be *independent or stable* if $e(i, j) \notin E$ for all $i, j \in S$. Denote by $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ the set of all *independent subsets* of \mathcal{G} . Note that we have the empty set \emptyset and $\{i\}$ are elements of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $i \in I$.
 - (3) The multi-variate independence polynomial of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Q} is defined to be the polynomial

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})} \left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i\right).$$

2.3. Generalized Chromatic Polynomials. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I)$ be a tuple of non-negative integers.

- (1) We call a map $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}: I \to P(\{1, \ldots, q\})$ a proper vertex multi-coloring of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} using at most q-colors if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (a) for all $i \in I$, we have $|\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i)| = m_i$;
 - (b) for all $i, j \in I$ such that $e(i, j) \in E$, we have $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i) \cap \tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(j) = \emptyset$.
- (2) We say that two given $_{1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and $_{2}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ proper vertex multi-coloring of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} using at most q-colors are distinct if $_{1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i) \neq _{2}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i)$ for some $i \in I$.
- (3) For a given $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of proper vertex multi-coloring of \mathcal{G} associated to **m** using at most q colors is well-known to be a polynomial in q, called the *generalized chromatic polynomial* of \mathcal{G} associated to **m**, and it is denoted as $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$.

The generalized chromatic polynomial has the following well-known description. As before, we take $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I)$ as a tuple of non-negative integers with finite support. We denote by $P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})$ the set of all ordered k-tuples (P_1, \ldots, P_k) such that:

- (1) each P_i is a non-empty independent subset of I, i.e., no two vertices have an edge between them; and
- (2) the disjoint union of P_1, \ldots, P_k is equal to the multiset $\{i, \ldots, i : i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})\}$, where *i* appears exactly m_i number of times for each $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$.

The following is well-known; see [1, Section 3.3].

Proposition 1. For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \sum_{k \ge 0} |P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})| \binom{q}{k}.$$

In particular, $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$ depends only on \mathbf{m} and \mathcal{G} and does not depend on q and it is a polynomial in q.

Remark 1. If $m_i = 1$ for all $i \in I$, then the polynomial $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$ is the ordinary chromatic polynomial of \mathcal{G} which is well-studied in the literature (see [3, 5]). The definition of the generalized chromatic polynomial depends on the positive integer q; however, it makes sense to consider the evaluation at any integer as it is a polynomial in q. A famous result of Stanley says that the evaluation at q = -1 of the chromatic polynomial counts the number of acyclic orientations of the graph \mathcal{G} (see [11] for more details).

2.4. We have a close relationship between ordinary chromatic polynomials and multi-colored chromatic polynomials. To see this connection, we need the following definition of join of \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I$. The graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$ -the join of \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathbf{m} is defined as follows: the vertices of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$ are the disjoint union of $\{i^1, \ldots, i^{m_i}\}, i \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{m})$ and the edges of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$ are $e(i^r, i^s)$ for all $1 \leq r \neq s \leq m_i$ and $e(i^r, j^s)$ for $e(i, j) \in E(\mathcal{G})$ and $1 \leq r \leq m_i, 1 \leq s \leq m_j$. That is, for each vertex $i \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{m})$, we take a clique (or complete subgraph) of size m_i and join the all the vertices of *i*th clique and *j*th clique if there is an edge between the vertices *i* and *j* in the original graph \mathcal{G} . The join graph has many different names in the literature, for example Read used clan graph in his paper. The following is well-known (see for example [1, Remark 3.3])

Proposition 2. For $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^I$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})}(q)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_i!}$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})}(q)$ is the ordinary chromatic polynomial of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$.

2.5. Using the first counting principles, we can efficiently compute the generalized chromatic polynomials for many interesting families of graphs. For example, for the star graph S_n , we have

$$\pi_{S_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_1}{m_n}.$$

This can be computed as follows: label the vertices of the star graph as in the figure 3a. Let us say q colors are given to color the vertices of S_n . As we do not have any restrictions in coloring the first vertex 1, we can choose any m_1 colors from the given q colors and color the vertex 1. To color the vertex 2, we can not use any colors that are already used to color the vertex 1, and this is the only restriction, so we can choose any m_2 colors from the remaining $q - m_1$ colors and color the vertex 2. Similarly, we color all the remaining vertices as they are adjacent to only one vertex, namely vertex 1. Now, since both $\pi_{S_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$ and $\binom{q}{m_1}\binom{q-m_1}{m_2}\cdots\binom{q-m_1}{m_n}$ are polynomials in q and they coincide for all natural numbers q, we must have the equality as polynomials, in other words,

$$\pi_{S_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_1}{m_n}.$$

holds for $q \in \mathbb{C}$.



FIGURE 3

We have the following result for cycle graphs due to Ronald C. Read. Set $m_{n+1} = m_1$ and $v_k(q) = \binom{q}{k} - \binom{q}{k-1}$ and $(q)_k = q(q-1)(q-2)\cdots(q-k+1)$, then we have

Theorem 5. ([10, Theorem 3]) For the cycle graph C_n , $n \ge 3$, we have the following formula

$$\pi_{C_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (q)_{(m_i+m_{i+1})} \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left((-1)^{kn} v_k(q) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(m_i)_{(k)}}{(q)_{(m_i+k)}} \right) \right)$$

2.6. Perfect Elimination Ordering and Chordal graphs. A graph \mathcal{G} is called *chordal* if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the cycle graph C_m with $m \geq 4$. Computing the chromatic polynomials for finite chordal graphs is easy as they have perfect elimination ordering; see [7]. We now recall the definition of perfect elimination ordering of vertices. First, denote by $N_{\mathcal{G}}[k]$ the set of all neighbors of k in \mathcal{G} including k, i.e.,

$$N_{\mathcal{G}}[k] = \{ r \in I \setminus \{k\} : e(r,k) \in E \} \cup \{k\}.$$

Definition 2. Now we define the perfect elimination ordering and PEO graphs.

- (1) The ordering on I of the vertices of \mathcal{G} is called a *perfect elimination ordering* on I if it is a well-ordering of I and for each $k \in I$ the subgraph \mathcal{G}_k of \mathcal{G} induced by the set of vertices $N_{\mathcal{G}}[k] \cap \{r \in I : r \leq k\}$ is a clique, i.e., a complete subgraph of \mathcal{G} .
- (2) A graph \mathcal{G} is said to be *PEO-graph* if it has an ordering of its vertices that is a perfect elimination ordering.

We identify I with $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ if its cardinality is n. We assume that this ordering of the vertices is a perfect elimination ordering if \mathcal{G} is a PEO–graph. The following result is well-known for finite simple graphs [8, Theorem 4.1], see also [6].

Proposition 3. A finite simple graph \mathcal{G} is chordal if and only if it has a perfect elimination ordering.

2.7. We have explicit formulas for the generalized chromatic polynomials of graphs that have perfect elimination ordering.

Theorem 6. Let \mathcal{G} be a PEO-graph with a vertex set I. Let $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a perfect elimination ordering on I. For $\mathbf{m} \ge 0$ and $q \ge 1$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \binom{q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_r}$$

where $a_r(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le s \le r \\ s \in N_{\mathcal{G}}[r]}} m_s \text{ for } 1 \le r \le n.$

Proof. Since $m_i = 0$ if $i \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{m})$, we can restrict our attention to the induced subgraph $\mathcal{G}(I_N)$, where $I_N = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{m})$. Write $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{m}) = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_N\}$, note that this induced ordering is also a perfect elimination ordering for $\mathcal{G}(I_N)$. If we start coloring the vertices of $\mathcal{G}(I_N)$, the first vertex i_1 has no restrictions, so we can choose m_{i_1} colors from the given q colors and color it. By induction, let us say we have colored the first r-1 vertices, $r \geq 2$. For $2 \leq r < N$, the subgraph spanned by $N_{\mathcal{G}(I_N)}[i_r] \cap \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ is a clique. So, the only restriction that we have to color the vertex i_r is that the colors that are used to color the vertices $N_{\mathcal{G}(I_N)}[i_r] \cap \{i_1, \ldots, i_{r-1}\}$ should not be used to color the vertex i_r . With this restriction, we can choose any m_{i_r} colors from the remaining $q - a_r(\mathbf{m}) + m_{i_r}$ colors and color the vertex i_r . Thus, for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \prod_{r=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} q - a_r(\mathbf{m}) + m_{i_r} \\ m_{i_r} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.1)

Since both sides of the equation 2.1 are polynomials in q, and they agree for all positive integers q, both polynomials must coincide for all $q \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = \prod_{r=1}^{N} \begin{pmatrix} -q - a_r(\mathbf{m}) + m_{i_r} \\ m_{i_r} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{r=1}^{N} (-1)^{m_{i_r}} \begin{pmatrix} q + a_r(\mathbf{m}) - 1 \\ m_{i_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

for any $q \ge 1$. This implies that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \prod_{r=1}^{N} \binom{q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_{i_r}}$$

for all $q \ge 1$. This completes the proof as $m_i = 0$ if $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$. We see some examples now.

(1) The ordering of the vertices of the line graph L_n given in Figure 1b is a perfect elimination ordering. Thus we have

$$\pi_{L_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_{n-1}}{m_n}, \text{ and}$$
$$\pi_{L_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \binom{q+m_1-1}{m_1} \binom{q+m_1+m_2-1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q+m_{n-1}+m_n-1}{m_n}.$$

(2) Recall that the complete graph K_n is a graph on vertices $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that any two distinct vertices i, j has an edge between them. For example, the complete graph on 4 vertices is given below:



Figure 4

The ordering of the vertices $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of K_n is a perfect elimination ordering. So, we get

$$\pi_{K_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \binom{q+m_1-1}{m_1} \binom{q+m_1+m_2-1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q+m_1+\cdots+m_{n-1}+m_n-1}{m_n}.$$

2.8. Now we record the following significant result from [1, Propostion 3.7] that connects the q-th power of the multi-variate independence polynomial of \mathcal{G} and the generalized chromatic polynomials of \mathcal{G} . We give proof of this fact here for the reader's convenience. We set $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{m_i}$ for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ and $\mathbf{x}^S = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ for $S \subseteq I$. For $f \in R$, we denote by $f[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}]$ the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$ in f.

Theorem 7. ([1, Proposition 3.7]) Let \mathcal{G} be a finite simple graph. For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following identity holds in R

$$I(\mathcal{G},\mathbf{x})^q = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \geq 0} \pi^{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{G}}(q) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

Proof. We expand $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^q$ using the binomial expansion. For any $f \in R$ with constant term one, we have

$$f^q = \sum_{k \ge 0} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ k \end{pmatrix} (f-1)^k.$$

Take $f = I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})$ and note that

$$f-1 = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} \mathbf{x}^S.$$

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{I}$, the coefficient $(f-1)^{k}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}]$ is given by

$$\sum_{(S_1,\ldots,S_k)} 1$$

where the sum ranges over all k-tuples (S_1, \ldots, S_k) , with some possible repetitions, such that

- (1) $S_i \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and
- (2) the disjoint union $S_1 \dot{\cup} \cdots \dot{\cup} S_k = \dot{\bigcup}_{i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})} \{i, \ldots, i\}$, where *i* appears exactly m_i number of times for each $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$.

It follows that $(S_1, \ldots, S_k) \in P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})$ and each element is obtained in this way. So, the sum ranges over all elements in $P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})$. Hence we have

$$(f-1)^k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = |P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})|.$$

This implies that

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{q}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = \sum_{k \ge 0} {q \choose k} (f-1)^{k}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = \sum_{k \ge 0} {q \choose k} |P_{k}(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})| = \pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q).$$

We quickly recover the results [9, Corollary 3.2 & 6.2], and they proved the following result for chordal graphs by entirely different techniques.

Corollary 2. Let \mathcal{G} be a chordal graph with the vertex set I and let $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a perfect elimination ordering. Then, for any $q \ge 1$, we have

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \ge 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \binom{q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_r} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

where $a_r(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le s \le r \\ s \in N_{\mathcal{G}}[r]}} m_s$ for $1 \le r \le n$. In particular, the series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ is a Horn

hypergeometric series for $q \ge 1$.

Proof. Note that $a_r(\mathbf{m}) \ge m_r$ for all $r \in I$. Write $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \ge 0} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and $c_{\mathbf{m},r} = \binom{q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_r}$ We have

$$c_{\mathbf{m},r} = \frac{(q + a_r(\mathbf{m}) - 1) \cdots (q + a_r(\mathbf{m}) - m_r)}{m_r!}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $c_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_i} = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_i|} \prod_{r=1}^n c_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_i,r}$. Now note that we have

$$c_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_{i},r} = \begin{cases} \binom{q+a_{r}(\mathbf{m})}{m_{r}} & \text{if } i < r \text{ and } (i,r) \in E\\ \binom{q+a_{i}(\mathbf{m})}{m_{i}+1} & \text{if } i = r\\ c_{\mathbf{m},r} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{c_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_{i},r}}{c_{\mathbf{m},r}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q+a_{r}(\mathbf{m})}{q+a_{r}(\mathbf{m})-m_{r}} & \text{if } i < r \text{ and } (i,r) \in E\\ \frac{q+a_{i}(\mathbf{m})}{m_{i}+1} & \text{if } i = r \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{C_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}}}{C_{\mathbf{m}}} = (-1) \left(\frac{q+a_i(\mathbf{m})}{m_i+1}\right) \left(\prod_{\substack{r=i+1\\(i,r)\in E}}^n \frac{q+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{q+a_r(\mathbf{m})-m_r}\right),$$

which is clearly a rational function in the variables $(m_j : j \in I)$ and this completes the proof.

Corollary 3. ([10, Theorem 3]) For the cycle graph C_n , $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 1$ we have the following formula

$$I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-q}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = \pi_{C_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (-q)_{(m_i+m_{i+1})} \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left((-1)^{kn} v_k(-q) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(m_i)_{(k)}}{(-q)_{(m_i+k)}} \right) \right)$$

In particular, we have the following formula for q = 1 and $\mathbf{a} = (a, \ldots, a)$

$$I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}] = (-1)^{na} \left(\sum_{|k| \le a} (-1)^k {\binom{2a}{a+k}}^n \right).$$

Proof. The first formula follows from the Proposition 2 and Read's formula Theorem 5. It remains to consider the case q = -1 and $\mathbf{a} = (a, \ldots, a)$. Recall that $\binom{-1}{k} = (-1)^k$ and $v_k(-1) = \binom{-1}{k} - \binom{-1}{k-1} = 2(-1)^k$. We have

$$(a!)^{n}I(C_{n},\mathbf{x})^{-1}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}] = ((-1)_{(2a)})^{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{kn} v_{k}(-1) \left(\frac{(a)_{(k)}}{(-1)_{(a+k)}}\right)^{n}\right)$$
$$= ((-1)_{(2a)})^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2 \cdot (-1)^{k(n+1)} \left(\frac{(a)_{(k)}}{(-1)_{(a+k)}}\right)^{n}\right) + ((-1)_{(2a)})^{n} \left(\frac{(a)_{(0)}}{(-1)_{(a)}}\right)^{n}$$
$$= ((2a)!)^{n} \left(2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{na+k} \left(\frac{a!}{(a-k)!(a+k)!}\right)^{n}\right) + (-1)^{na} \left(\frac{1}{a!}\right)^{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{(a!)^{n}}{(-1)^{na}} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{a} (-1)^{k} \binom{2a}{a+k}^{n} + \binom{2a}{a}^{n}\right)$$

Here we use $(-1)_{(m)} = (-1)^m m!$. This implies that

$$I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}] = (-1)^{na} \left(2\sum_{k=1}^{a} (-1)^k \binom{2a}{a+k}^n + \binom{2a}{a}^n \right),$$

which is our required formula.

2.9. Let $S \subseteq I$ be a subset of *I*. Consider the following natural ring homomorphism

 $\pi_S : \mathbb{Q}[[x_i : i \in I]] \to \mathbb{Q}[[x_i : i \in S]]$

given by $\pi_S(F(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \ge 0 \\ \text{supp}(\mathbf{m}) \subseteq S}} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$, where $F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \ge 0} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathbb{Q}[[x_i : i \in I]]$. That

means π_S is defined by the specializing $x_i = 0$ for all $i \notin S$. The following local property of Horn hypergeometric series is easy to prove.

Proposition 4. Suppose $F(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x_i : i \in I]]$ is a Horn hypergeometric series then $\pi_S(F(\mathbf{x})) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x_i : i \in S]]$ is Horn hypergeometric for all $S \subseteq I$.

It is easy to see that the graph operation taking induced subgraphs correspond to these specializations for multi-variate independence polynomials. More precisely, we have

Proposition 5. Let \mathcal{G} be a finite simple graph with the vertex set I and let $S \subseteq I$. Then we have $\pi_S(I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})) = I(\mathcal{G}(S), \mathbf{x})$ and $\pi_S(I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}) = I(\mathcal{G}(S), \mathbf{x})^{-1}$.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}(S)) = \{J \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) : J \subseteq S\}$, now the result follows from the definition of multi-variate independence polynomials and π_S being ring homomorphism.

We get the following important result by combining the Propositions 4 and 5.

Corollary 4. Let \mathcal{G} be a finite simple graph with the vertex set I. Suppose $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric then $I(\mathcal{G}(S), \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric for all $S \subseteq I$. \Box

2.10. Proof of Theorem 1. Only the implication (3) \implies (1) remains. Assume that the power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric, but \mathcal{G} is not a chordal graph. Then it has an induced subgraph C_m for some $m \ge 4$. This would imply that $I(C_m, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric by corollary 4. Write $I(C_m, \mathbf{x})^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \ge 0} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$. Consider the

sum of (signed) diagonal terms

$$H_m(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a,\dots,a)} (-1)^{ma} c_{\mathbf{a}} t^a \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]].$$

Then the series $H_m(t)$ is Horn hypergeometric since

$$\frac{c_{\mathbf{a}+e_1+\cdots+e_m}}{c_{\mathbf{a}}} = \frac{c_{\mathbf{a}+e_1}}{c_{\mathbf{a}}} \frac{c_{\mathbf{a}+e_1+e_2}}{c_{\mathbf{a}+e_1}} \cdots \frac{c_{\mathbf{a}+e_1+\cdots+e_m}}{c_{\mathbf{a}+e_1+\cdots+e_{m-1}}}$$

is a rational function in the variable a as the terms on the right side of the product are rational functions in the variable a. But we know from Corollary 3 that,

$$(-1)^{ma}c_{\mathbf{a}} = \left(\sum_{|k| \le a} (-1)^k \binom{2a}{a+k}^m\right) =: S(m,a).$$

Now the proof follows from the argument given in [9, Proposition 6.3]. We repeat it here for the reader's convenience. The numbers S(m, a) are known as de Bruijn numbers in the literature; see [4, Page 72, Section 4.7]. The asymptotic behavior of S(m, a) for fixed m and large a has been computed in [4]:

$$\frac{S(m, a+1)}{S(m, a)} \to (2\cos(\pi/2m))^{2m}, \qquad a \to \infty.$$

Since $H_m(t)$ is Horn hypergeometric, for a fixed m, we can write the ratio $\frac{S(m,a+1)}{S(m,a)} = \frac{p(a)}{q(a)}$ where $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ are polynomials over \mathbb{Q} . Write $p(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_r x^r$ and $q(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \cdots + c_s x^s$ with $b_r \neq 0$ and $c_s \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\frac{S(m, a+1)}{S(m, a)} = a^{r-s} \left(\frac{b_0/a^r + \dots + b_{r-1}/a + b_r}{c_0/a^s + \dots + c_{s-1}/a + c_s} \right) \to (2\cos(\pi/2m))^{2m}, \qquad a \to \infty.$$

Hence we must have r = s and $(2\cos(\pi/2m))^{2m} = \frac{b_r}{c_r} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and hence $m \leq 3$. This leads to a contradiction. Thus, our assumption that \mathcal{G} is not a chordal graph is wrong, and this completes the proof.

Acknowledgment. We thank Bernd Sing from the Department of Mathematics, University of the West Indies, Cave Hill, Barbados, for providing a copy of Ronald C. Read's article. I.H. was partially supported by DST/INSPIRE/03/2019/000172.

Data availability. No data is associated.

Declarations.

Conflict of Interest. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states there is no conflict of interest.

References

- G. Arunkumar, Deniz Kus, and R. Venkatesh. Root multiplicities for Borcherds algebras and graph coloring. J. Algebra, 499:538–569, 2018.
- [2] Olivier Bernardi and Philippe Nadeau. Combinatorial reciprocity for the chromatic polynomial and the chromatic symmetric function. *Discrete Math.*, 343(10):111989, 13, 2020.
- [3] George D. Birkhoff. A determinant formula for the number of ways of coloring a map. Ann. of Math. (2), 14(1-4):42-46, 1912/13.
- [4] N. G. de Bruijn. Asymptotic methods in analysis. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, third edition, 1981.
- [5] F. M. Dong, K. M. Koh, and K. L. Teo. Chromatic polynomials and chromaticity of graphs. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
- [6] D. R. Fulkerson and O. A. Gross. Incidence matrices and interval graphs. Pacific J. Math., 15:835– 855, 1965.
- [7] Fănică Gavril. Algorithms for minimum coloring, maximum clique, minimum covering by cliques, and maximum independent set of a chordal graph. SIAM J. Comput., 1(2):180–187, 1972.
- [8] Martin Charles Golumbic. Algorithmic graph theory and perfect graphs, volume 57 of Annals of Discrete Mathematics. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, second edition, 2004. With a foreword by Claude Berge.
- [9] Danylo Radchenko and Fernando Rodriguez Villegas. Independence polynomials and hypergeometric series. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 53(6):1834–1848, 2021.
- [10] Ronald C. Read. A large family of chromatic polynomials. In Proceedings of the Third Caribbean Conference on Combinatorics and Computing (Bridgetown, 1981), pages 23–41. Univ. West Indies, Cave Hill, 1981.
- [11] Richard P. Stanley. Acyclic orientations of graphs. Discrete Math., 5:171–178, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA *Email address*: dipnitbiswas@iisc.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA *Email address*: irfanhabib@iisc.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA

VISITING FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI 600036, INDIA

Email address: rvenkat@iisc.ac.in