

ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF CHORDAL GRAPHS USING HORN HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

DIPNIT BISWAS, IRFAN HABIB, AND R. VENKATESH

ABSTRACT. In [6], Radchenko and Villegas characterized the chordal graphs by their inverse of the independence polynomials being Horn hypergeometric series. In this paper, we reprove their result using some elementary combinatorial methods and also generalize it to *PEO*-graphs that could have a countable number of vertices. Our proof is different from the proof of [6], and it is based on the connection between the inverse of the multi-variate independence polynomials and the multi-colored chromatic polynomials of graphs, established in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

We only consider simple graphs in this paper; that means our graphs have no multiple edges and loops. Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with a countable number of vertices I , i.e., we allow \mathcal{G} to have a possibly countably infinite number of vertices. A subset of I is said to be stable or independent if the subgraph spanned by that subset has no edges. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic 0 and let $R = \mathbb{F}[[x_i : i \in I]]$ be the ring of formal power series generated by the commuting variables $x_i, i \in I$ over \mathbb{F} . The *multi-variate independence polynomial* of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{F} is defined to be the formal power series

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})} \left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \in R,$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ is the set of all *finite independent subsets* of \mathcal{G} . Note that \emptyset and $\{i\} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $i \in I$. Since the power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})$ has constant term 1, we have $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q} \in R$. Recall that a power series $F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \geq 0} c_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} \in R$ is called *Horn hypergeometric* if

- (1) $c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ with $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$ and
- (2) $\frac{c_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_i}}{c_{\mathbf{m}}}$ is a rational function of $\{m_j : j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})\}$ for each $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$, where $\mathbf{e}_i := (\delta_{ji} : j \in I)$ for $i \in I$.

We urge interesting readers to refer to [6] for more details and motivation to study the Horn hypergeometric series, this will not be discussed in this paper.

An ordering on the vertices of \mathcal{G} is called a *perfect elimination ordering* if it is a well-ordering and for each $k \in I$ the subgraph \mathcal{G}_k of \mathcal{G} induced by the set of vertices from $\{i \in I : i < k\}$ that are adjacent with k is a (finite) complete subgraph. We call a

I.H. was partially supported by DST/INSPIRE/03/2019/000172.

graph \mathcal{G} a *PEO*-graph if it has an ordering of its vertices that is a perfect elimination ordering. Now, we state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with a countable vertex set I . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The graph \mathcal{G} is a *PEO*-graph.*
- (2) *The power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ is Horn hypergeometric for all $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$.*
- (3) *The power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric.*

Our main motivation for this work comes from a result of Radchenko and Villegas [6], in which they characterize the finite *PEO*-graphs by $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ (for $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$) being Horn hypergeometric. Note that a finite simple graph is *PEO*-graph if and only if it is chordal, i.e., it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the cycle graph C_n with $n \geq 4$. We reprove their result using elementary combinatorial methods and generalize it to *PEO*-graphs that could have a countably infinite number of vertices. Our proof is motivated by the connection between the multi-colored chromatic polynomials of \mathcal{G} and the q -th power of independence polynomial of \mathcal{G} . This result was established in [1, Propostion 3.7], see also [2, Remark 3.4].

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with the vertex set I . For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^q = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

where the sum runs over all $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ such that $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$.

On the other hand, we have the multi-colored chromatic polynomials of \mathcal{G} , which is easy to compute for *PEO*-graphs. For *PEO*-graphs, we have

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{G} be a *PEO*-graph with the vertex set I . For $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ with $\text{supp}(\mathbf{m}) = \{i_1 < \dots < i_N\}$ (induced from the *PEO*- ordering of I) and for $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = \prod_{r=1}^N \binom{q-1+a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_{i_r}}$$

where $a_r(\mathbf{m}) = m_{i_r} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s < r \\ s \sim i_r}} m_{i_s}$ for $1 \leq r \leq N$ (here $a \sim b$ means the vertices a and b are connected by an edge in \mathcal{G}).

Note that we recover one of the main results of [6] (namely Corollary 3.2) from Theorem 2 and 3, which was proved in [6] by entirely different techniques. Since the Theorem 2 holds for any simple graph \mathcal{G} , we can compute the multi-colored chromatic polynomials for any cycle graphs C_n , and this is done in Read's paper [7]. It is important to note that the authors computed only for $I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$, $n \geq 4$ in [6] using their techniques, but the general formula for $I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ for $n \geq 4$ can be written down easily using our

method and a result of Read. We can use this information to prove our main theorem without any difficulties, as in [6].

***Acknowledgment.** We thank Bernd Sing from the Department of Mathematics, University of the West Indies, Cave Hill, Barbados for providing a copy of Ronald C. Read's article.*

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF PEO–GRAPHS USING HORN HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES

2.1. Preliminaries. We denote by \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , and \mathbb{Z} the set of positive integers, non-negative integers, and integers, respectively. The ring of formal series in one variable t over a field \mathbb{F} is denoted as $\mathbb{F}[[t]]$. For a set S , denote by $|S|$ the cardinality of S and by $P(S)$ the power set of S . Denote by \mathbb{Z}_+^I the set of I -tuple of non-negative integers. For a given $\mathbf{m} := (m_i : i \in I) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$, the support of \mathbf{m} is denoted by $\text{supp}(\mathbf{m}) = \{i \in I : m_i \neq 0\}$. Given $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ with finite support, i.e., $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$, we define $|\mathbf{m}| = \sum_{i \in I} m_i$.

2.2. Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with a countable vertex set I and an edge set E .

Definition 1. The *induced subgraph* defined by a subset S of vertices of \mathcal{G} is denoted as $\mathcal{G}(S)$ and is obtained by deleting from \mathcal{G} the vertices not in S and all their attached edges.

We have that the induced subgraph $\mathcal{G}(S)$ spanned by a finite subset S of I is finite, i.e., it has only finitely many edges and vertices. For $i, j \in I$, the edge between i and j is denoted as $e(i, j)$. Note that $e(i, j) = e(j, i)$ for all $i, j \in I$.

Definition 2. A subset $S \subseteq I$ is said to be *independent or stable* if $e(i, j) \notin E$ for all $i, j \in S$. Denote $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$ by the set of all *finite independent subsets* of \mathcal{G} .

Definition 3. (1) The *multi-variate independence polynomial* of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{F} is defined to be the formal power series

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})} \left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \in R.$$

(2) Let a_k denote the number of independent subsets of \mathcal{G} of cardinality k . Note that a_k need not be generally finite for a given $k \geq 0$. Suppose a_k 's are finite for all $k \geq 0$, then we define the *one-variable independence polynomial* of \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{F} to be the formal power series

$$I(\mathcal{G}, t) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})} t^{|S|} = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k t^k \in \mathbb{F}[[t]].$$

Note that the one-variable independence polynomial $I(\mathcal{G}, t)$ is obtained from $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})$ by setting the variables $x_i = t$ for all $i \in I$ (whenever it makes sense and in particular $I(\mathcal{G}, t)$ is well-defined for a finite graph \mathcal{G}).

Example 1. Let us consider the path graph P_n for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. The first few multi-variate independence polynomials of these graphs are $I(P_1, x_1) = 1 + x_1$, $I(P_2, x_1, x_2) = 1 + x_1 + x_2$, $I(P_3, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_1x_3$. It is easy to see that $I(P_n, t)$ satisfies the following recursion

$$I(P_n, t) = I(P_{n-1}, t) + tI(P_{n-2}, t), \quad n \geq 2$$

with $I(P_2, t) = 1 + 2t$ and $I(P_1, t) = 1 + t$.

Example 2. Let n be a positive number such that $n \geq 3$. The *cycle graph* C_n consists of vertices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with edges joining i with $i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i < n$ and n with 1. Then, the first few multi-variate independence polynomials of these graphs are: $I(C_3, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3$, $I(C_4, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_4$. Again in this case, we have

$$I(C_n, t) = I(C_{n-1}, t) + tI(C_{n-2}, t), \quad n \geq 5$$

with $I(C_3, t) = 1 + 3t$ and $I(C_4, t) = 1 + 4t + 2t^2$.

2.3. Generalized Chromatic Polynomials. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I)$ be a tuple of non-negative integers with finite support, i.e., $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$.

Definition 4. We need the following definitions.

- (1) We call a map $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}} : I \rightarrow P(\{1, \dots, q\})$ a *proper vertex multi-coloring* of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} using at most q -colors if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (a) for all $i \in I$, we have $|\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i)| = m_i$;
 - (b) for all $i, j \in I$ such that $e(i, j) \in E$, we have $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i) \cap \tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(j) = \emptyset$.
- (2) We say that two given ${}_1\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and ${}_2\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}$ proper vertex multi-coloring of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} using at most q -colors *distinct* if ${}_1\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i) \neq {}_2\tau_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(i)$ for some $i \in I$.
- (3) For a given $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of proper vertex multi-coloring of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} using at most q colors is a polynomial in q , called the *generalized chromatic polynomial* of \mathcal{G} associated to \mathbf{m} , and it is denoted as $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$.

2.4. The generalized chromatic polynomial has the following well-known description. As before, we take $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I)$ as a tuple of non-negative integers with finite support. We denote by $P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})$ the set of all ordered k -tuples (P_1, \dots, P_k) such that:

- (1) each P_i is a non-empty independent subset of I , i.e., no two vertices have an edge between them; and
- (2) the disjoint union of P_1, \dots, P_k is equal to the multiset $\{i, \dots, i : i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})\}$, where i appears exactly m_i number of times for each $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$.

The following is well-known; see [1].

Proposition 1. For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} |P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})| \binom{q}{k}.$$

In particular $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q)$ is a polynomial in q . \square

2.5. We have a close relationship between ordinary chromatic polynomials and multi-colored chromatic polynomials. To see this connection, we need the following definition of join of \mathcal{G} . Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ with $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$. The graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$ —the join of \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathbf{m} is defined as follows: the vertices of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$ are the disjoint union of $\{i^1, \dots, i^{m_i}\}$, $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$ and the edges of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$ are $e(i^r, i^s)$ for all $1 \leq r \neq s \leq m_i$ and $e(i^r, j^s)$ for $e(i, j) \in E(\mathcal{G})$ and $1 \leq r \leq m_i$, $1 \leq s \leq m_j$. That is, for each vertex $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$, we take a clique of size m_i and join the all the vertices of i th clique and j th clique if there is an edge between the vertices i and j in the original graph \mathcal{G} . The following is well-known (see for example [1, Remark 3.3])

Proposition 2. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_i : i \in I) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ with $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$. We have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \frac{\pi_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})}(q)}{\prod_i m_i!},$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})}(q)$ is the ordinary chromatic polynomial of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{m})$. \square

2.6. Using the first counting principles, we can efficiently compute the generalized chromatic polynomials for many exciting families of graphs. We have listed some examples below.




FIGURE 1. Path graphs





FIGURE 2. Star graphs

FIGURE 3. Cycle C_n

Example 3. (1) For the path P_n , we have

$$\pi_{P_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_{n-1}}{m_n}$$

(2) For the infinite path graph P_∞ , we have

$$\pi_{P_\infty}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_{N-1}}{m_N}$$

where $N = |\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})|$.

(3) For the star graph S_n , we have

$$\pi_{S_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_1}{m_n}$$

(4) For the infinite star graph S_∞ , we have

$$\pi_{S_\infty}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q-m_1}{m_2} \cdots \binom{q-m_1}{m_N}$$

where $N = |\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})|$.

(5) For the complete graph K_n , we have

$$\pi_{K_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \binom{q}{m_1} \binom{q - m_1}{m_2} \binom{q - m_1 - m_2}{m_3} \cdots \binom{q - m_1 - \cdots - m_{n-1}}{m_n}$$

(6) For the cycle graph C_n , we have the following formula by Read (see [7])

$$\pi_{C_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^n (q)_{(m_r + m_{r+1})} \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left((-1)^{kn} v_k(q) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(m_i)^k}{(q)^{m_i + k}} \right) \right)$$

where $m_{n+1} = m_1$ and $v_k(q) = \binom{q}{k} - \binom{q}{k-1}$ and $(q)_k = q(q-1)(q-2) \cdots (q-k+1)$.

2.7. Perfect Elimination Ordering and Chordal graphs. A graph \mathcal{G} is called *chordal* if it has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the cycle graph C_n with $n \geq 4$. For example, the cycle graph C_n for $n \geq 4$ is not a chordal graph, and all other families listed earlier $P_n, P_\infty, S_n, S_\infty$ are chordal graphs. It is easy to compute the chromatic polynomials for finite chordal graphs (see, for example, [4]) as they have perfect elimination ordering. We now recall the definition of perfect elimination ordering of vertices. First, denote $N_{\mathcal{G}}(k)$ by the set of all neighbors of k in \mathcal{G} excluding k , i.e.,

$$N_{\mathcal{G}}(k) = \{r \in I \setminus \{k\} : e(r, k) \in E\}.$$

Definition 5 (PEO–Graphs). (1) The ordering of the vertices of \mathcal{G} is called a *perfect elimination ordering* of I if it is a well-ordering of I and for each $k \in I$ the subgraph \mathcal{G}_k of \mathcal{G} induced by the set of vertices $N_{\mathcal{G}}(k) \cap \{r \in I : r < k\}$ is a finite clique (i.e., a finite complete subgraph).

(2) A graph \mathcal{G} is said to be *PEO–graph* if it has an ordering of its vertices that is a perfect elimination ordering.

We identify I with $\{1, \dots, n\}$ if its cardinality is n . We assume that this ordering of the vertices is a perfect elimination ordering if \mathcal{G} is a *PEO–graph*. The following result is well-known for finite simple graphs [5, Theorem 4.1], see also [3].

Proposition 3. *A finite simple graph \mathcal{G} is chordal if and only if it has a perfect elimination ordering.* \square

2.8. We have explicit formulas for the generalized chromatic polynomials of graphs that have perfect elimination ordering.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{G} be a PEO–graph with a countable vertex set I . For $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ with $\text{supp}(\mathbf{m}) = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_N\}$, induced from the PEO–ordering of I , and $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = \prod_{r=1}^N \binom{q - 1 + a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_{i_r}}$$

where $a_r(\mathbf{m}) = m_{i_r} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s < r \\ s \in N_{\mathcal{G}}(i_r)}} m_{i_s}$ for $1 \leq r \leq N$.

Proof. Since $N = |\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$, we can restrict our attention to the induced subgraph $\mathcal{G}(I_N)$, where $I_N = \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$ relabelled as $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Note that the induced ordering is also a perfect elimination ordering for $\mathcal{G}(I_N)$. If we start coloring the vertices of $\mathcal{G}(I_N)$, the first vertex 1 has no restrictions, so we can choose m_{i_1} colors from the given q colors and color it. By induction, we colored the first $k-1$ vertices, $k \geq 2$. For $2 \leq k < N$, the subgraph spanned by $N_{\mathcal{G}(I_N)}(k) \cap \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ is a clique. So, the only restriction that we have to color the vertex k is that the colors that are used to color the vertices $N_{\mathcal{G}(I_N)}(k) \cap \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ can not be used to color the vertex k . With this restriction, we can choose any m_{i_r} colors from the remaining $q - a_r(\mathbf{m}) + m_{i_r}$ colors and color the vertex r . Thus, for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) = \prod_{r=1}^N \binom{q - a_r(\mathbf{m}) + m_{i_r}}{m_{i_r}}$$

Now using $\binom{-q}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{q+k-1}{k}$, we get the desired result. \square

2.9. Now we record the following significant result from [1] that connects the q -th power of the multi-variate independence polynomial of \mathcal{G} and the generalized chromatic polynomials of \mathcal{G} . We give proof of this fact here for the reader's convenience. For an indeterminate q , we define

$$\binom{q}{k} = \frac{q(q-1) \cdots (q-(k-1))}{k!}.$$

Note that we have

$$\binom{-q}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{q+k-1}{k}.$$

We also set $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_i x_i^{m_i}$ for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ with $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$ and $\mathbf{x}^S = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ for $S \subseteq I$ with $|S| < \infty$. For $f \in R$, we denote $f[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}]$ by the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$ in f .

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with the vertex set I . For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^q = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q) \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

where the sum runs over all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ such that $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$.

Proof. We expand $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^q$ using the binomial expansion. For any $f \in R$ with constant term zero, we have

$$f^q = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{q}{k} (f-1)^k.$$

Take $f = I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})$ and note that

$$f - 1 = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|S|} \mathbf{x}^S.$$

Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^I$ such that $|\text{supp}(\mathbf{m})| < \infty$. Then the coefficient $(f - 1)^k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}]$ is given by

$$\sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_k)} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k |S_i|},$$

where the sum ranges over all k -tuples (S_1, \dots, S_k) , with some possible repetitions, such that

- (1) $S_i \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and
- (2) the disjoint union $S_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} S_k = \dot{\cup}_{i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})} \{i, \dots, i\}$, where i appears exactly m_i number of times for each $i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{m})$.

It follows that $(S_1, \dots, S_k) \in P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})$ and each element is obtained in this way. So, the sum ranges over all elements in $P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})$. Hence we have

$$(f - 1)^k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} |P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})|.$$

This implies that

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^q[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{q}{k} (f - 1)^k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{q}{k} |P_k(\mathbf{m}, \mathcal{G})| = (-1)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathbf{m}}(q).$$

□

We easily recover the results [6, Corollary 3.2 & 6.2], and they proved the following result for chordal graphs by completely different techniques.

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a PEO-graph with the countable vertex set I . For $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ with $\text{supp}(\mathbf{m}) = \{i_1 < \dots < i_N\}$, induced from the PEO ordering of I , and $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = \prod_{r=1}^N \binom{q - 1 + a_r(\mathbf{m})}{m_{i_r}}$$

□

Corollary 2. *For $n \geq 4$, $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$, and $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-q}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}] = \pi_{C_n}^{\mathbf{m}}(-q) = \frac{1}{\prod_i m_i!} \prod_{r=1}^n (-q)_{(m_r + m_{r+1})} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \left((-1)^{kn} v_k(-q) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(m_i)^k}{(-q)^{m_i + k}} \right) \right),$$

where $m_{n+1} = m_1$ and $v_k(q) = \binom{q}{k} - \binom{q}{k-1}$ and $(q)_k = q(q-1)\cdots(q-k+1)$. In particular, we have the following formula for $q = 1$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a, \dots, a)$

$$I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}] = (-1)^{na} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq a} (-1)^k \binom{2a}{a+k}^n \right).$$

□

Proof. The first formula follows from the Proposition 2 and Read's formula (see the Example 3 (6)). It remains to consider the case $q = -1$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a, \dots, a)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (a!)^n I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}] &= ((-1)_{2a})^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n v_k(-1) \left(\frac{(a)_k}{(-1)_{a+k}} \right)^n \right) \\ &= ((-1)_{2a})^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n 2 \cdot (-1)^k \left(\frac{(a)_k}{(-1)_{a+k}} \right)^n \right) + ((-1)_{2a})^n \left(\frac{(a)_0}{(-1)_a} \right)^n \\ &= ((2a)!)^n \left(2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{na+k} \left(\frac{a!}{(a-k)!(a+k)!} \right)^n \right) + (-1)^{na} \left(\frac{1}{a!} \right)^n \right) \\ &= \frac{(a!)^n}{(-1)^{na}} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^a (-1)^k \binom{2a}{a+k}^n + \binom{2a}{a}^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

This is our required formula. □

2.10. Main Theorem. We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let \mathcal{G} be a simple graph with a countable vertex set I . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The graph \mathcal{G} is a PEO-graph.*
- (2) *The power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-q}$ is Horn hypergeometric for all $q \notin -\mathbb{Z}_+$.*
- (3) *The power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric.*

Proof. We prove (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (1). Note that (2) \implies (3) is obvious. The implication (1) \implies (2) follows from Corollary 1 since $a_i(\mathbf{m}) \geq m_i$ for $i \in I$. We will now prove (3) \implies (1). Suppose assume that the power series $I(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric, but \mathcal{G} is not a PEO-graph. Then it has an induced subgraph C_n for some $n \geq 4$. This would imply that $I(C_n, \mathbf{x})^{-1}$ is Horn hypergeometric. Hence we get the sum of diagonal terms

$$H_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a,\dots,a)} c_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$$

is Horn hypergeometric. But we know from Corollary 2 that,

$$c_{\mathbf{a}} = (-1)^{na} \left(\sum_{|k| \leq a} (-1)^k \binom{2a}{a+k}^n \right),$$

now the proof follows the argument as it is in [6, Proposition 6.3]. \square

Remark 1. Radchenko and Villegas first gave the above characterization in [6] for (finite) chordal graphs. Their proof uses a method motivated by the representation theory of quantum affine algebras; they invert the Nahm system of equations arising from the adjacency matrix of the given graph and, using that, write down the formula for the coefficients of the inverse of the multi-variate independence polynomial of the graph. However, they could achieve this only for finite chordal and cycle graphs. Our method suggests that explicit formulas can be obtained for other families and will be studied elsewhere.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Arunkumar, Deniz Kus, and R. Venkatesh. Root multiplicities for Borchers algebras and graph coloring. *J. Algebra*, 499:538–569, 2018.
- [2] Olivier Bernardi and Philippe Nadeau. Combinatorial reciprocity for the chromatic polynomial and the chromatic symmetric function. *Discrete Math.*, 343(10):111989, 13, 2020.
- [3] D. R. Fulkerson and O. A. Gross. Incidence matrices and interval graphs. *Pacific J. Math.*, 15:835–855, 1965.
- [4] Fănică Gavril. Algorithms for minimum coloring, maximum clique, minimum covering by cliques, and maximum independent set of a chordal graph. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 1(2):180–187, 1972.
- [5] Martin Charles Golumbic. *Algorithmic graph theory and perfect graphs*, volume 57 of *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, second edition, 2004. With a foreword by Claude Berge.
- [6] Danylo Radchenko and Fernando Rodriguez Villegas. Independence polynomials and hypergeometric series. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 53(6):1834–1848, 2021.
- [7] Ronald C. Read. A large family of chromatic polynomials. In *Proceedings of the Third Caribbean Conference on Combinatorics and Computing (Bridgetown, 1981)*, pages 23–41. Univ. West Indies, Cave Hill, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA
Email address: dipnitbiswas@iisc.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA
Email address: irfanhabib@iisc.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA

VISITING FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI 600036, INDIA

Email address: rvenkat@iisc.ac.in