

Stability for a family of planar systems with nilpotent critical points

Ziwei Zhuang^{a,*}, Changjian Liu^a

^a*School of Mathematics (Zhuhai), Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, 519086, P. R. China*

Abstract

Consider a family of planar polynomial systems $\dot{x} = y^{2l-1} - x^{2k+1}$, $\dot{y} = -x + my^{2s+1}$, where $l, k, s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $2 \leq l \leq 2s$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. We study the center-focus problem on its origin which is a monodromic nilpotent critical point. By directly calculating the generalized Lyapunov constants, we find that the origin is always a focus and we complete the classification of its stability. This includes the most difficult case: $s = kl$ and $m = (2k + 1)!! / (2kl + 1)!(_{(2l)})$. In this case, we prove that the origin is always unstable. Our result extends and completes a previous one.

Keywords: Stability, nilpotent critical point, generalized Lyapunov constant

1. Introduction

One of the classic and important researches on qualitative properties of planar analytic systems is the center-focus problem on a monodromic critical point (the origin in general). It is known that if the origin is monodromic and has a non-zero Jacobian matrix, the eigenvalues of the matrix are either a pair of pure imaginary numbers, i.e., a linear center or focus, or two zeros, i.e., a nilpotent critical point. For the linear case, the center-focus problem can be solve by algorithms that calculate the Lyapunov constants in complex or polar coordinates, see for instance [1, Chapter 4]. For the nilpotent case, the monodromy further requires that some parameters of the system lie in a suitable region. Details can be referred to [2] or [1, Chapter 3]. The center

*Corresponding author

Email address: zhuangzw@mail2.sysu.edu.cn (Ziwei Zhuang)

condition for a nilpotent origin is more complicated. Motivated by the linear case, there is also a procedure to verify the nilpotent center by calculating the generalized Lyapunov constants defined by the generalized trigonometric functions, see [3, 4, 5]. Another popular approach is to change the original system to the normal form (the form of the Liénard system) and to check whether some function is odd. Details can be referred to [6], and also [7] for some extensions. It is worth mentioning that once the monodromic origin is verified not to be a center, the following is to consider its stability. However, in some cases these two problems can be solved simultaneously. For example, the monodromic origin is a focus if and only if there is a non-vanishing Lyapunov constant, and the sign of the first non-vanishing Lyapunov constant determines the stability of the focus.

This paper deals with a certain family of nilpotent systems which is motivated from the following ones

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y^3 - x^{2k+1}, \\ \dot{y} = -x + my^{2s+1}, \end{cases} \quad m \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s, k \in \mathbb{N}^*. \quad (1.1)$$

It is known that the origin is always a monodromic nilpotent critical point. When $s \neq 2k$, Galeotti and Gori [8] showed that the origin is a focus and they clarified its stability. For the rest case, García-Saldaña et al. [9] went further and showed that the stability changes at

$$m = \frac{(2k+1)!!}{(4k+1)!_{(4)}}.$$

Hereby the notation $a!_{(n)}$ with non-negative real number a and positive integer n are recursively defined by

$$a!_{(n)} = \begin{cases} a \cdot (a-n)!_{(n)}, & a > 0, \\ 1, & a \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and the cases $n = 1$ and 2 are abbreviated as $a!$ and $a!!$, respectively. Precisely, the authors in [9] used the method that calculates the generalized Lyapunov constants and they gave the following result.

Theorem 1.1. [9, Theorem 1.3] Consider system (1.1).

- (i) When $s < 2k$, the origin is an attractor for $m \leq 0$ and a repeller for $m > 0$.

- (ii) When $s > 2k$, the origin is always an attractor.
- (iii) When $s = 2k$, the origin is an attractor for $m < (2k + 1)!!/(4k + 1)!_{(4)}$ and a repeller for $m > (2k + 1)!!/(4k + 1)!_{(4)}$. Moreover, when $k = 1$ and $m = 3/5$ the origin is a repeller.

Recently Chen et al. [7] introduced a new sufficient condition to determine the stability of the nilpotent focus based on the intermediate system which is transformed between the Jordan normal form and the Liénard normal form. As an application on system (1.1), they also provided a result similar to Theorem 1.1, with less calculations.

Apparently, Theorem 1.1 does not cover all the cases. When $s = 2k > 2$ and $m = m^*$, the behavior of the origin is still indeterminate. For this case, the center-focus problem was solved by Caubergh [10, Theorem 25] in 2015. The author found that the assumption of a period annulus of the origin contradicts to its outer bound being a hyperbolic 2-saddle cycle. Thus, the origin must be a focus.

In this paper, we consider a more general family of systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y^{2l-1} - x^{2k+1}, \\ \dot{y} = -x + my^{2s+1}, \end{cases} \quad l, k, s \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad 2 \leq l \leq 2s. \quad (1.2)$$

The restricted range of l ensures that the origin is always a monodromic nilpotent critical point. We only concentrate on the center-focus problem on the origin and determine the stability if it is a focus. We follow the classic method presented in [9], that is to calculate the first non-vanishing generalized Lyapunov constant. Details will be introduced in the next section. The first result is a simple extension of Theorem 1.1. Define

$$m^* \triangleq \frac{(2k + 1)!!}{(2kl + 1)!_{(2l)}}.$$

Theorem 1.2. Consider system (1.2).

- (i) When $s < kl$, the origin is an attractor for $m \leq 0$ and a repeller for $m > 0$.
- (ii) When $s > kl$, the origin is always an attractor.
- (iii) When $s = kl$, the origin is an attractor for $m < m^*$ and a repeller for $m > m^*$.

What really interests us is the rest case: $s = kl$ and $m = m^*$. By the same method with a mass of tedious calculations, we obtain our main result.

Theorem 1.3. *When $s = kl$ and $m = m^*$, the origin of system (1.2) is always a repeller.*

Obviously, when $l = 2$, Theorem 1.3 completes Theorem 1.1.

2. The first non-vanishing generalized Lyapunov constant and the proof of Theorem 1.2

We first introduce the generalized polar coordinates from the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{d\theta} &= -y^{2p-1}, & \frac{dy}{d\theta} &= x^{2q-1}, \\ x(0) &= \sqrt[2q]{1/p}, & y(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Its solution, denoted by

$$x(\theta) = \text{Cs}(\theta), \quad y(\theta) = \text{Sn}(\theta),$$

are called the generalized trigonometric functions which are introduced by Lyapunov in [4]. They are period functions with period

$$\Omega = 2p^{-\frac{1}{2q}} q^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2p} + \frac{1}{2q}\right)},$$

and they satisfy $p\text{Cs}^{2q}(\theta) + q\text{Sn}^{2p}(\theta) = 1$. **In this paper, we always take $p = 1$ and $q = l$.** The next result is from [5, Lemma 5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let i and j be fixed natural numbers. The following items hold:*

- (i) $\int \text{Sn}\theta \text{Cs}^j \theta d\theta = -\frac{\text{Cs}^{j+1}}{j+1} + \text{Const.};$
- (ii) $\int \text{Sn}^i \theta \text{Cs}^{2l-1} \theta d\theta = \frac{\text{Sn}^{i+1}}{i+1} + \text{Const.};$
- (iii) $\int \text{Sn}^i \theta \text{Cs}^j \theta d\theta = -\frac{\text{Sn}^{i-1} \theta \text{Cs}^{j+1} \theta}{(i-1)l+j+1} + \frac{i-1}{(i-1)l+j+1} \int \text{Sn}^{i-2} \theta \text{Cs}^j \theta d\theta;$
- (iv) $\int \text{Sn}^i \theta \text{Cs}^j \theta d\theta = \frac{l \text{Sn}^{i+1} \theta \text{Cs}^{j-2l+1} \theta}{(i-1)l+j+1} + \frac{j-2l+1}{(i-1)l+j+1} \int \text{Sn}^i \theta \text{Cs}^{j-2l} \theta d\theta;$
- (v) $\int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^i \theta \text{Cs}^j \theta d\theta = 0$, when either i or j is odd;

$$(vi) \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^i \theta \text{Cs}^j \theta d\theta = \frac{2}{l^{\frac{i+1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{i+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{j+1}{2l})}{\Gamma(\frac{i+1}{2} + \frac{j+1}{2l})}, \text{ when both } i \text{ and } j \text{ are even.}$$

By applying the transformation $(x, y) \rightarrow (r^l \text{Sn}(\theta), r \text{Cs}(\theta))$ to system (1.2), it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{r} = mr^{2s+1} \text{Cs}^{2s+2l}(\theta) - r^{2kl+1} \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\theta), \\ \dot{\theta} = r^{l-1} - lmr^{2s} \text{Sn}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2s+1}(\theta) - r^{2kl} \text{Sn}^{2k+1}(\theta) \text{Cs}(\theta). \end{cases}$$

In a small neighbourhood of the origin, the orbits are characterized by the equation

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \frac{mr^{2s-l+2} \text{Cs}^{2s+2l}(\theta) - r^{(2k-1)l+2} \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\theta)}{1 - lmr^{2s-l+1} \text{Sn}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2s+1}(\theta) - r^{(2k-1)l+1} \text{Sn}^{2k+1}(\theta) \text{Cs}(\theta)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Denote its solution by $r = r(\theta, \rho)$ with $r(\theta, 0) \equiv 0$ and $r(0, \rho) = \rho$ for sufficiently small $\rho \geq 0$. Assuming $r(\theta, \rho)$ in the following form

$$r(\theta, \rho) = \rho + \sum_{i=2}^{+\infty} u_i(\theta) \rho^i \quad \text{with} \quad u_i(0) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

the generalized Lyapunov constant is given by $u_i(\Omega)$. Apparently, the sign of the first non-vanishing $u_i(\Omega)$ decides the stability of the origin of system (1.2). To calculate $u_i(\theta)$, we expand the right-hand side of (2.1) in the power series at $r = 0$. When $s \neq kl$, we can see that

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \begin{cases} -r^{(2k-1)l+2} \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\theta) + O(r^{(2k-1)l+3}), & s > kl \text{ or } m = 0, \\ mr^{2s-l+2} \text{Cs}^{2s+2l}(\theta) + O(r^{2s-l+3}), & s < kl \text{ and } m \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Substituting (2.2) into (2.3) and equating the coefficient of each ρ^i at both sides, the first non-vanishing $u_i(\theta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(2k-1)l+2}(\theta) &= - \int_0^\theta \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{if } s > kl \text{ or } m = 0, \\ \text{or } u_{2s-l+2}(\theta) &= m \int_0^\theta \text{Cs}^{2s+2l}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{if } s < kl \text{ and } m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, it follows that $u_{(2k-1)l+2}(\Omega) < 0$ if $s > kl$ or $m = 0$, $u_{2s-l+2}(\Omega) < 0$ if $s < kl$ and $m < 0$, and $u_{2s-l+2}(\Omega) > 0$ if $s < kl$ and $m > 0$. These imply Theorem 1.2 (i) and (ii).

When $s = kl$, Eq. (2.1) becomes

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \frac{v(\theta)r^{(2k-1)l+2}}{1 - w(\theta)r^{(2k-1)l+1}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v(\theta) &= m\text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l}(\theta) - \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\theta), \\ w(\theta) &= lm\text{Sn}(\theta)\text{Cs}^{2kl+1}(\theta) + \text{Sn}^{2k+1}(\theta)\text{Cs}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Its expansion at $r = 0$ is

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = v(\theta)r^{K+1} + v(\theta)w(\theta)r^{2K+1} + v(\theta)w^2(\theta)r^{3K+1} + O(r^{4K+1}), \quad (2.4)$$

where $K = (2k - 1)l + 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let n be any natural number. If $j \neq nK + 1$, then $u_j(\theta) \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Substituting (2.2) into (2.4), it is easy to see that the least order of ρ in the right-hand side of (2.4) is $K + 1$, which implies that $u_j(\theta) \equiv 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, K$.

Inductively, we assume that $u_j(\theta) \equiv 0$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, nK + 1\} / \{1, K + 1, \dots, iK + 1, \dots, nK + 1\}$ with some natural number n . It is to say that (2.2) becomes

$$r(\theta, \rho) = \rho + u_{K+1}(\theta)\rho^{K+1} + u_{2K+1}(\theta)\rho^{2K+1} + \dots + u_{nK+1}(\theta)\rho^{nK+1} + O(\rho^{nK+2}).$$

Substituting it into the right-hand side of (2.4), we should focus on whether the monomial ρ^{nK+h+1} vanishes for $h = 1, \dots, K - 1$. If any ρ^{nK+h+1} is contributed by r^{jK+1} for some integer $j \geq 1$, then there must be $n + h + 1$ nonnegative integers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_h &= jK + 1, \\ \alpha_0 + (K + 1)\alpha_1 + \dots + (nK + 1)\alpha_n + (nK + 2)\beta_1 + \dots + (nK + h + 1)\beta_h \\ &= nK + h + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The second equation minus the first one becomes

$$K \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\alpha_i + n \sum_{i=1}^h \beta_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^h i\beta_i = (n - j)K + h,$$

which implies that $K \mid h - \sum_{i=1}^h i\beta_i$. Since $0 < h < K$, it follows that not all β_i 's vanish and $h - \sum_{i=1}^h i\beta_i \leq 0$. Then, we have

$$nK > (n-j)K = K \left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\alpha_i + n \sum_{i=1}^h \beta_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^h i\beta_i - h \geq nK,$$

which is a contradiction.

Consequently, none of r^{jK+1} can contribute a monomial ρ^{nK+h+1} . That is to say $u_{nK+h+1}(\theta) \equiv 0$ for $h = 1, \dots, K-1$, which completes the proof by induction. \square

By Lemma 2.2, we then calculate $u_{nK+1}(\theta)$ to obtain the first non-vanishing Lyapunov constant. It is to see that

$$u_{K+1}(\theta) = \int_0^\theta v(\xi) d\xi = m \int_0^\theta \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l}(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^\theta \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\xi) d\xi.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (vi), it follows that the sign of $u_{K+1}(\Omega)$ changes at

$$m = \frac{\int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\theta) d\theta}{\int_0^\Omega \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l}(\theta) d\theta} = \frac{\frac{2}{l^{k+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2l})}}{\frac{2}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2l})}} = \frac{1}{l^{k+1}} \frac{(k+\frac{1}{2})!}{(k+\frac{1}{2l})!} = m^*.$$

Precisely, $u_{K+1}(\Omega) < 0$ when $m < m^*$, and $u_{K+1}(\Omega) > 0$ when $m > m^*$. These imply Theorem 1.2 (iii) and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

Finally, when $s = kl$ and $m = m^*$, we have to calculate more $u_{nK+1}(\theta)$ to obtain the first non-vanishing generalized Lyapunov constant. Fortunately the calculation stops at $n = 3$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2K+1}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2}(K+1) \left(\int_0^\theta v(\xi) d\xi \right)^2 + \int_0^\theta v(\xi) w(\xi) d\xi, \\ u_{3K+1}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{6}(K+1)(2K+1) \left(\int_0^\theta v(\xi) d\xi \right)^3 \\ &\quad - K \int_0^\theta v(\xi) \left(\int_0^\xi v(\eta) w(\eta) d\eta \right) d\xi + \int_0^\theta v(\xi) w^2(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\int_0^\Omega v(\theta) d\theta = 0$ when $m = m^*$, and by Lemma 2.1 (v), $\int_0^\Omega v(\theta) w(\theta) d\theta = 0$. It follows that the first possibly non-vanishing generalized Lyapunov constant is

$$u_{3K+1}(\Omega) = -K \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) \left(\int_0^\theta v(\xi) w(\xi) d\xi \right) d\theta + \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) w^2(\theta) d\theta.$$

Recall that $K = (2k - 1)l + 1$. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is to verify $u_{3K+1}(\Omega) > 0$ for all l and k .

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let

$$V = - \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) \left(\int_0^\theta v(\xi)w(\xi)d\xi \right) d\theta \quad \text{and} \quad W = \int_0^\Omega v(\theta)w^2(\theta)d\theta. \quad (3.1)$$

We are going to show $V > 0$ and $W > 0$, respectively. Before this, we give a simple result about a kind of infinite products that will be frequently used in this section.

One knows that for a real series $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ with $a_n \geq 0$, the infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 + a_n)$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n$ converges. Similar statement holds for $-1 < a_n \leq 0$. In particular, when $a_n = O(1/n^2)$, we have the following estimates.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a real series such that $0 \leq a_n < 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n^2 a_n = \bar{a} < +\infty$. Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer N_ε such that*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 + a_n) < \exp \left(\frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon} (1 + a_n), \quad (3.2)$$

or

$$\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - a_n) > \exp \left(-\frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon} (1 - a_n). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, take N_ε to satisfy

$$a_n < \frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{n^2}, \quad \forall n > N_\varepsilon.$$

Then, we have for any $n > N_\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=N_\varepsilon+1}^n (1 + a_i) &< \exp \left(\sum_{i=N_\varepsilon+1}^n a_i \right) < \exp \left(\sum_{i=N_\varepsilon+1}^n \frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{i^2} \right) \\ &< \exp \left(\sum_{i=N_\varepsilon+1}^n \frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{(i-1)i} \right) < \exp \left(\frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives (3.2), which holds for $a_n \geq 0$. On the other hand, since a_n is restricted on $[0, 1)$, notice that

$$\frac{1}{1-a_n} = 1 + \frac{a_n}{1-a_n}, \quad \frac{a_n}{1-a_n} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n^2 a_n}{1-a_n} \rightarrow \bar{a}.$$

Then (3.3) is obtained by applying (3.2) on $1/\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty}(1-a_n)$. In this case, N_ε is taken to satisfy

$$\frac{a_n}{1-a_n} < \frac{\bar{a} + \varepsilon}{n^2}, \quad \forall n > N_\varepsilon.$$

□

3.1. Proof of $V > 0$

By direct calculation, we divide V into four parts:

$$V = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= -l(m^*)^2 \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) \left(\int_0^\theta \text{Sn}(\xi) \text{Cs}^{2(2k+1)l+1}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\theta, \\ V_2 &= \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) \left(\int_0^\theta \text{Sn}^{4k+3}(\xi) \text{Cs}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\theta, \\ V_3 &= -m^* \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) \left(\int_0^\theta \text{Sn}^{2k+1}(\xi) \text{Cs}^{2l(k+1)+1}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\theta, \\ V_4 &= lm^* \int_0^\Omega v(\theta) \left(\int_0^\theta \text{Sn}^{2k+3}(\xi) \text{Cs}^{2kl+1}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By recursively using Lemma 2.1 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \text{Sn}^{4k+3}(\theta) \text{Cs}(\theta) d\theta &= -\frac{\text{Cs}^2(\theta)}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \text{Sn}^{2i}(\theta) + \text{Const.}, \\ \int \text{Sn}^{2k+1}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l+1}(\theta) d\theta &= -\frac{\text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l+2}(\theta)}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{k!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{((k+i)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \text{Sn}^{2i}(\theta) + \text{Const.}, \\
\int \text{Sn}^{2k+1}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l+1}(\theta) d\theta &= -\frac{\text{Cs}^{2kl+2}(\theta)}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{(k+1)!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \\
& \times \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{((k+i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \text{Sn}^{2i}(\theta) + \text{Const.}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\int_0^\Omega v(\theta) d\theta = 0$. Then, V_j can be denoted by

$$V_j = (-1)^{j+1} \sum_i (b_j^i - c_j^i),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1^0 &= \frac{l(m^*)^3}{2(2k+1)l+2} \int_0^\Omega \text{Cs}^{2(3k+2)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\
c_1^0 &= \frac{l(m^*)^2}{2(2k+1)l+2} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2(k+1)} \text{Cs}^{2(2k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\
b_2^i &= \frac{m^*}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2i}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\
c_2^i &= \frac{1}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2(k+i+1)}(\theta) \text{Cs}^2(\theta) d\theta, \\
b_3^i &= \frac{(m^*)^2}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{k!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \frac{((k+i)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2i}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{4(k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\
c_3^i &= \frac{m^*}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{k!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \frac{((k+i)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2(k+i+1)}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\
b_4^i &= \frac{l(m^*)^2}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{(k+1)!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \frac{((k+i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2i}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(2k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\
c_4^i &= \frac{lm^*}{2(2k+1)l+2} \frac{(k+1)!}{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}} \frac{((k+i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2(k+i+1)}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2kl+2}(\theta) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, V becomes

$$V = b_1^0 - c_1^0 - \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} (b_2^i - c_2^i) + \sum_{i=0}^k (b_3^i - c_3^i) - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (b_4^i - c_4^i).$$

Each of its terms can be solved by Lemma 2.1 (vi).

Proposition 3.1. (i) $b_3^i - b_4^{i+1} > 0$;

(ii) $-c_3^i + c_4^{i+1} > 0$;

(iii) $-c_1^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} b_2^i + c_2^0 > 0$.

Proof. (i) For $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{b_4^{i+1}}{b_3^i} = l \frac{k+1}{i+1} \frac{\frac{2}{l^{i+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(i+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(2k+i+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{\frac{2}{l^{i+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(i+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2k+2+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(2k+i+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} = \frac{(i+\frac{1}{2})(k+1)}{(i+1)(2k+1+\frac{3}{2l})} < 1.$$

(ii) Recall that $l \geq 2$. For $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{c_4^{i+1}}{c_3^i} = l \frac{k+1}{i+1} \frac{\frac{2}{l^{k+i+2+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+i+2+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(2k+i+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{\frac{2}{l^{k+i+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+i+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(2k+i+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} = \frac{(k+i+\frac{3}{2})(k+1)}{(i+1)(k+\frac{3}{2l})} > 1.$$

(iii) It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_1^0}{c_2^0} &= l(m^*)^2 \frac{(2kl+1)!_{(l)} \frac{2}{l^{k+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(3k+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{(2k+1)! \frac{2}{l^{k+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} \\ &= l \left(\frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)}} \right)^2 \frac{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}}{(2k+1)!} \frac{(2k+\frac{3}{2l})!}{(3k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})(3k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(2j+1)^2(2jl+1)((2j-1)l+1)}{(2jl+1)^2(2j+1)(2j)} \right) \cdot \left(l \prod_{j=0}^{2k} \frac{j+\frac{3}{2l}}{j+k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{l-1}{2j(2jl+1)} \right) \right) \cdot \left(l \prod_{j=0}^{2k} \frac{j+\frac{3}{2l}}{j+k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \right) \\ &< l \prod_{j=0}^{2k} \frac{j+\frac{3}{2l}}{j+k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \prod_{j=1}^{2k} \frac{j+\frac{3}{2l}}{j+k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \prod_{j=1}^{2k} \left(\frac{j-2k+\frac{3}{2l}-3}{3(j+k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} + \frac{2}{3} \right) < \frac{3}{5} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

and when $1 \leq i \leq 2k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_2^i}{c_2^0} &= m_* \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)} \frac{2}{l^{i+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(i+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+i+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{i! \frac{2}{l^{k+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} \\
&= l^{k+1-i} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)}} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(k+\frac{3}{2l})!}{(k+\frac{1}{2}) \cdots (i+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{(k+i+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\
&= \frac{(2kl+3)!_{(2l)} ((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)} (il)!_{(l)}} \frac{(i-\frac{1}{2}) \cdots \frac{1}{2}}{(k+i+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{l} \frac{(2kl+3)!_{(2l)}}{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)}} \frac{(i-\frac{1}{2}) \cdots \frac{1}{2}}{(k+i+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\
&= \frac{1}{l} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2jl+3}{2jl+1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2k+3+\frac{3}{l}} \prod_{j=2}^i \frac{j-\frac{1}{2}}{k+j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{l} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2jl+3}{2jl+1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2k+3+\frac{3}{l}} \prod_{j=2}^i \left(\frac{j-2k-\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{l}}{3(k+j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} + \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{l} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2jl+3}{2jl+1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2k+3+\frac{3}{l}} \prod_{j=2}^i \left(\frac{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{l}}{3(k+j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} + \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(2k+3)l+3} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2jl+3}{2jl+1} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{i-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{l+1} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{((2j+1)l+3)(2jl+3)}{((2j+3)l+3)(2jl+1)} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{i-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{l+1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{4jl(l-1)-6}{(2jl+3l+3)(2jl+1)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{i-1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{l+1} \frac{(3l+3)(2l+3)}{(5l+3)(2l+1)} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{i-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{3}{5l+3} \left(1 + \frac{2}{2l+1}\right) \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i-1} \leq \frac{21}{65} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i-1}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} -c_1^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} b_2^i + c_2^0 &= c_2^0 \left(1 - \frac{c_1^0}{c_2^0} - \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{b_2^i}{c_2^0}\right) \\ &> c_2^0 \left(1 - \frac{3}{5} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2k} - \frac{21}{65} \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i-1}\right) \\ &= c_2^0 \left(\frac{2}{65} + \frac{9}{130} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2k+1}\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. $b_1^0 - b_2^0 - b_4^0 > 0$, when $l \geq 3$.

Proof. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} &= l(m^*)^2 \frac{(2kl+1)!_{(l)} \frac{2}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(3k+2+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(3k+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{(2k+1)! \frac{2}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} \\ &= l \left(\frac{(2k+1)!!}{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)}} \right)^2 \frac{(2kl+1)!_{(l)}}{(2k+1)!} \frac{(3k+1+\frac{3}{2l})(3k+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{(3k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})(3k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\ &= l \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{2j+1}{2jl+1} \right)^2 \frac{(2jl+1)((2j-1)l+1)}{(2j+1)(2j)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \frac{k+j+\frac{3}{2l}}{k+j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \\ &= l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{2j+1}{2j} \frac{2j-1+\frac{1}{l}}{2j+\frac{1}{l}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \frac{k+j+\frac{3}{2l}}{k+j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}} \tag{3.4} \\ &> l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{2j+1}{2j} \frac{2j-1}{2j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \frac{k+j}{k+j+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{4j^2-1}{4j^2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{j+\frac{1}{2}}{j} \frac{(3j+1)(3j)(3j-1)}{(3j+\frac{3}{2})(3j+\frac{1}{2})(3j-\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \frac{2l}{3} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{4j^2-1}{4j^2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{9j^2-1}{9j^2-\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.5} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when $l \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} &= lm^* \frac{(k+1)!((k-1)l+1)!_{(l)} \frac{2}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(2k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(2k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{(2k+1)! \frac{2}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} \\
&= lm^* \frac{(k+1)!((k-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{(2k+1)!} \frac{(2k+\frac{3}{2l})(2k-1+\frac{3}{2l})\cdots(k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{(2k+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})(2k-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})\cdots(k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\
&\leq lm^* \frac{(k+1)!((k-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{(2k+1)!} \frac{(2k+\frac{1}{2})(2k-\frac{1}{2})\cdots(k+\frac{3}{2})}{(2k+1)(2k)\cdots(k+2)} \\
&= l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(jl-l+1)}{(2jl+1)(2j)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(2j+\frac{1}{2})(2j-\frac{1}{2})}{(j+\frac{1}{2})(2j+1)(2j)} \\
&= l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(jl-l+1)}{(2jl+1)(2j)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{5j+1}{4j(2j+1)^2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{5l}{6} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(jl-l+1)}{(2jl+1)(2j)} \\
&= \frac{5}{3 \cdot 2^k} \frac{l}{2l+1} \prod_{j=2}^k \frac{j^{2l+j-l+1}}{2j^{2l+j}} \leq \frac{5}{3 \cdot 2^k} \frac{l}{2l+1} \leq \frac{5l}{21 \cdot 2^k}. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq lm^* \frac{(k+1)!((k-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{(2k+1)!} \frac{(2k+\frac{1}{2})(2k-\frac{1}{2})\cdots(k+\frac{3}{2})}{(2k+1)(2k)\cdots(k+2)} \\
&= l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(jl-l+1)}{(2jl+1)(2j)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(2j+\frac{1}{2})(2j-\frac{1}{2})}{(j+\frac{1}{2})(2j+1)(2j)} \\
&= l \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(jl-l+1)}{(2jl+1)(2j)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{5j+1}{4j(2j+1)^2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{5l}{6} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(jl-l+1)}{(2jl+1)(2j)} \\
&= \frac{5}{3 \cdot 2^k} \frac{l}{2l+1} \prod_{j=2}^k \frac{j^{2l+j-l+1}}{2j^{2l+j}} \leq \frac{5}{3 \cdot 2^k} \frac{l}{2l+1} \leq \frac{5l}{21 \cdot 2^k}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $k = 1, 2$, by (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0}\right) \Big|_{k=1} &\geq l \left(\frac{16}{35} - \frac{5}{42}\right) \geq 3 \cdot \frac{71}{210} > 1 \\
\left(\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0}\right) \Big|_{k=2} &\geq l \left(\frac{60}{143} - \frac{5}{84}\right) \geq 3 \cdot \frac{4325}{12012} > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, by Lemma 3.1 with some simple calculation, Eq. (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} &> \frac{2l}{3} \prod_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{3}{36j^2 - 1}\right) \\
&> \frac{2l}{3} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3N}\right) \prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{3}{32N}\right) \prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{3}{36j^2 - 1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $N \geq 1$. Taking $N = 10$, we find that for $l, k \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} &> l \left(\frac{2}{3} \exp\left(-\frac{41}{960}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{10} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{36j^2 - 1}\right) - \frac{5}{21 \cdot 2^k} \right) \\
&\geq 3 \left(\frac{2}{3} \exp\left(-\frac{41}{960}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{10} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4j^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{36j^2 - 1}\right) - \frac{5}{21 \cdot 2^3} \right) \\
&\approx 3 \cdot 0.3338 > 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. $b_1^0 - b_2^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} c_2^i - b_4^0 > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, only the case $l = 2$ is considered. When $l = 2$, by Lemma 3.1 with some simple calculation, Eq. (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} &= 2 \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{2j+1}{2j} \frac{2j-1+\frac{1}{2}}{2j+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{2k+1} \frac{k+j+\frac{3}{4}}{k+j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{4}} \\
&= \frac{14}{9} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2j(4j+1)}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{48(48j^2+36j+5)}{(4j+3)(12j+9)(12j+5)(12j+1)}\right) \\
&> \frac{14}{9} \prod_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2j(4j+1)}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{48(48j^2+36j+5)}{(4j+3)(12j+9)(12j+5)(12j+1)}\right) \\
&> \frac{14}{9} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8N}\right) \prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{2j(4j+1)}\right) \\
&\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3N}\right) \prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{48(48j^2+36j+5)}{(4j+3)(12j+9)(12j+5)(12j+1)}\right) \\
&\triangleq \frac{14}{9} \lambda_N
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for any $N \geq 1$, and Eq. (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} &= 2m^* \frac{(k+1)!(2k-1)!!}{(2k+1)!} \frac{(2k+\frac{3}{4})(2k-\frac{1}{4}) \cdots (k+\frac{7}{4})}{(2k+\frac{5}{4})(2k+\frac{1}{4}) \cdots (k+\frac{9}{4})} \\
&= 2 \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+1)(2j-1)}{(4j+1)(2j)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+\frac{5}{4})(2j+\frac{3}{4})(2j-\frac{1}{4})}{(j+\frac{3}{4})(2j+\frac{5}{4})(2j+\frac{1}{4})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{2j^2 + j - 1}{4j^2 + j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{6(16j + 5)}{(4j + 3)(8j + 5)(8j + 1)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{11}{13} = \frac{11}{65 \cdot 2^{k-2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} &= \frac{1}{m^*} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{i!} \frac{\frac{2}{l^{k+i+1+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(k+i+1+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+i+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{\frac{2}{l^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} \\
&= \frac{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)}}{(2kl+l)!_{(2l)}} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{(il)!_{(l)}} \frac{(k+i+\frac{1}{2})!}{(k+\frac{3}{2l})!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(k+i+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})(k+i-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})} \\
&= \frac{(2kl+1)!_{(2l)}}{(2kl+3)!_{(2l)}} \frac{((i-1)l+1)!_{(l)}}{(il)!_{(l)}} \prod_{j=k+1}^{k+i} \frac{j+\frac{1}{2}}{j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l}}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $l = 2$, it becomes

$$\frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} = \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{4j+1}{4j+3} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{2j-1}{2j} \cdot \prod_{j=k+1}^{k+i} \frac{4j+2}{4j+5}.$$

We then estimate the three rational product terms above as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(k+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{4j+1}{4j+3} &= \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{j+1}{j} \left(\frac{4j+1}{4j+3} \right)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{1}{j(4j+3)^2} \right)} \geq \frac{5}{21} \sqrt{2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$(i+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{2j-1}{2j} = \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^i \left(1 - \frac{3j-1}{4j^3} \right)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{3j-1}{4j^3}\right)} \\
&> \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2N}\right) \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{3j-1}{4j^3}\right)} \triangleq \mu_N
\end{aligned}$$

for any $N \geq 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{k+i+1}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \prod_{j=k+1}^{k+i} \frac{4j+2}{4j+5} &= \sqrt[4]{\prod_{j=k+1}^{k+i} \left(1 + \frac{288j^5+1072j^4+1439j^3+816j^2+176j+16}{j^3(4j+5)^4}\right)} \\
&> 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} &> \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \left(\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21}(k+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mu_N(i+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{k+i+1}{k+1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right) \\
&> \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21} \mu_N (k+1)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} (i+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (k+i+2)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \\
&= \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21} \mu_N \left(\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \left(\frac{i+1}{k+1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{i+1}{k+1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\right) \\
&> \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21} \mu_N \int_{\frac{2}{k+1}}^{2+\frac{1}{k+1}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx \\
&> \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21} \mu_N \int_{\frac{2}{k+1}}^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} > \frac{14}{9} \lambda_N + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21} \mu_N \int_{\frac{2}{k+1}}^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx - \frac{11}{65 \cdot 2^{k-2}} - 1,$$

the right-hand side of which is increasing with respect to k for any fixed N .

If take $N = 3$, we find that for $k \geq 3$

$$\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} > \frac{14}{9} \lambda_3 + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{21} \mu_3 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx - \frac{11}{130} - 1$$

$$\approx 0.0147 > 0. \quad (3.12)$$

When $k = 1, 2$, by direct calculation, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} \right) \Big|_{l=2, k=1} &= \frac{1531}{23205}, \\ \left(\frac{b_1^0}{b_2^0} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{c_2^i}{b_2^0} - \frac{b_4^0}{b_2^0} \right) \Big|_{l=2, k=2} &= \frac{203341}{759220}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is indeed positive for all k , which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. In the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.2, precisely (3.8) and (3.12), we use numerical approximations of exponent functions and an integral, i.e., $\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx$, though, they can be estimated to formulas which are the sum of n th-roots of rational numbers. For example, for $x > 0$ and sufficiently large M

$$\exp(-x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \frac{x^i}{i!} > \sum_{i=0}^M \left(\frac{x^{2i}}{(2i)!} - \frac{x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!} \right),$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+x)^{-\frac{3}{4}} dx > \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left(\frac{3i}{2M} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{3i}{2M} + \frac{3}{2} \right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Therefore, taking M large enough, the numerical approximations in (3.8) and (3.12) can be replaced by a rational number and the sum of n th-roots of rational numbers, respectively, which makes our proofs more theoretical.

Now, by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we conclude that for any $l(\geq 2), k \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} V &= b_1^0 - c_1^0 - \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} (b_2^i - c_2^i) + \sum_{i=0}^k (b_3^i - c_3^i) - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (b_4^i - c_4^i) \\ &= \left(b_1^0 - b_2^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} c_2^i - b_4^0 \right) + \left(-c_1^0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} b_2^i + c_2^0 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^k (b_3^i - b_4^{i+1}) + \sum_{i=0}^k (-c_3^i + c_4^{i+1}) + c_4^0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Proof of $W > 0$

Similarly, W is divided into six parts: $W = W_1 - W_2 + W_3 - W_4 + W_5 - W_6$, where

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= l^2 (m^*)^3 \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^2(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(3k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\ W_2 &= \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{6k+4}(\theta) \text{Cs}^2(\theta) d\theta, \\ W_3 &= 2l(m^*)^2 \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2k+2}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(2k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\ W_4 &= (lm^*)^2 \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{2k+4}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{4kl+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\ W_5 &= m^* \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{4k+2}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2(k+1)l+2}(\theta) d\theta, \\ W_6 &= 2lm^* \int_0^\Omega \text{Sn}^{4k+4}(\theta) \text{Cs}^{2kl+2}(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to consider $W_1 - W_2$ and $W_3 - W_4 + W_5 - W_6$, respectively.

Again, with the help of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W_2}{W_1} &= \frac{1}{l^2(m^*)^3} \frac{\frac{2}{l^{3k+2+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(3k+2+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(3k+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}}{\frac{2}{l^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(3k+1+\frac{3}{2l})}{\Gamma(3k+2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2l})}} \\ &= \frac{1}{l^{3k+3}} \left(\frac{(2kl+1)!(2l)}{(2k+1)!!} \right)^3 \frac{(3k+\frac{3}{2})(3k-1+\frac{3}{2}) \cdots \frac{3}{2}}{(3k+\frac{3}{2l})(3k-1+\frac{3}{2l}) \cdots \frac{3}{2l}} \\ &= \frac{1}{l^2} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{(j+\frac{1}{2l})^3 (3j+\frac{3}{2})(3j+\frac{1}{2})(3j-\frac{1}{2})}{(j+\frac{1}{2})^3 (3j+\frac{3}{2l})(3j-1+\frac{3}{2l})(3j-2+\frac{3}{2l})} \\ &= \frac{1}{l^2} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{j+\frac{1}{2l}}{3(j-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2l})} \cdot \frac{j+\frac{1}{2l}}{3(j-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{2l})} \cdot \frac{(3j+\frac{1}{2})(3j-\frac{1}{2})}{(j+\frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{4} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{j}{3(j-\frac{1}{3})} \cdot \frac{j}{3(j-\frac{2}{3})} \cdot \frac{(3j+\frac{1}{2})(3j-\frac{1}{2})}{(j+\frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \tag{3.13} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{18j^2+j-2}{(2j+1)^2(3j-1)(3j-2)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{1}{4} \prod_{j=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 + \frac{18j^2 + j - 2}{(2j+1)^2(3j-1)(3j-2)} \right) \\
&< \frac{1}{4} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \prod_{j=1}^2 \left(1 + \frac{18j^2 + j - 2}{(2j+1)^2(3j-1)(3j-2)} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{35}{18} \cdot \frac{143}{125} \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \approx 0.9169 < 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, for the rest terms we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{W_4}{W_3} + \frac{W_5}{W_3} - \frac{W_6}{W_3} \\
&= -\frac{k + \frac{3}{2}}{4k + \frac{3}{l}} + \frac{1}{l^{k+1}} \left(\frac{k + \frac{3}{2l}}{4k + 3} - 1 \right) \frac{(2kl+1)!(2l)}{(2k+1)!!} \frac{(2k + \frac{3}{2})(2k + \frac{1}{2}) \cdots (k + \frac{3}{2})}{(2k + \frac{3}{2l})(2k - 1 + \frac{3}{2l}) \cdots (k + \frac{3}{2l})} \\
&= -\frac{k + \frac{3}{2}}{4k + \frac{3}{l}} - \frac{3k + 3 - \frac{3}{2l}}{4k + 3} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2j + \frac{1}{l} k + j + \frac{3}{2}}{2j + 1 k + j + \frac{3}{2l}} \\
&> -\frac{2k + 3}{8k} - \frac{3k + 3}{4k + 3} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2j + \frac{1}{l} k + j + \frac{3}{2}}{2j + 1 k + j + \frac{3}{2l}} \\
&\geq -\frac{2k + 3}{8k} - \frac{3k + 3}{4k + 3} \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{2j + \frac{1}{2} k + j + \frac{3}{2}}{2j + 1 k + j + \frac{3}{4}} \\
&= -\frac{2k + 3}{8k} - \frac{3k + 3}{4k + 3} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{64j^3 + 84j^2 + 20j}{(2j+1)^2(8j+3)(8j-1)} \right) \triangleq \nu_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where the second inequality is deduced from the monotonicity of $\prod_{j=0}^k (j+u)/(k+j+3u)$ with respect to $u = 1/(2l)$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=0}^k \frac{j+u}{k+j+3u} &= \sqrt{\prod_{j=0}^k \frac{j+u}{k+j+3u} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^k \frac{k-j+u}{2k-j+3u}} \\
&= \sqrt{\prod_{j=0}^k \frac{j(k-j) + ku + u^2}{(k+j)(2k-j) + 9ku + 9u^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{3^{k+1}} \sqrt{\prod_{j=0}^k \left(1 - \frac{2(k-2j)^2}{(k+j)(2k-j) + 9ku + 9u^2} \right)},
\end{aligned}$$

which is increasing with respect to u .

One can see that ν_k is increasing. Hence, by (3.14) and (3.15) we have for $l, k \geq 2$

$$W > W_1 \left(1 - \frac{W_2}{W_1} \right) + W_3 (1 + \nu_k) > W_3 (1 + \nu_2) = W_3 \cdot \frac{333}{16720} > 0.$$

Additionally, when $k = 1$, by using (3.13) instead of (3.14) we also have for $l \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W}{W_3} \Big|_{k=1} &> \frac{W_1}{W_3} \Big|_{k=1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{W_2}{W_1} \Big|_{k=1} \right) + 1 + \nu_1 \\ &= \frac{3l}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{W_2}{W_1} \Big|_{k=1} \right) - \frac{169}{616} \\ &> 3 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{35}{72} \right) - \frac{169}{616} = \frac{37}{24} - \frac{169}{616} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that W is always positive.

Consequently, we have verified $u_{3K+1}(\Omega) > 0$ for all $l(\geq 2), k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.

Acknowledgement

This paper is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171491).

References

- [1] F. Dumortier, J. Llibre, J. C. Artés, Qualitative theory of planar differential systems, Vol. 2, Springer, 2006.
- [2] A. F. Andreev, Investigation of the behaviour of the integral curves of a system of two differential equations in the neighbourhood of a singular point, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 8 (1958) 187–207.
- [3] M. Álvarez, A. Gasull, Monodromy and stability for nilpotent critical points, Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos 15 (4) (2005) 1253–1265.
- [4] A. M. Lyapunov, Stability of Motion, Vol. 30 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York-London, 1966.

- [5] A. Gasull, J. Torregrosa, A new algorithm for the computation of the lyapunov constants for some degenerated critical points, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory* 47 (2001) 4479–4490.
- [6] R. Moussu, Symétrie et forme normale des centres et foyers dégénérés, *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 2 (2) (1982) 241–251.
- [7] H. Chen, R. Zhang, X. Zhang, New criteria on stability and order of analytic nilpotent foci, *J. Differ. Equ.* 338 (2022) 352–371.
- [8] M. Galeotti, F. Gori, Bifurcations and limit cycles in a family of planar polynomial dynamical systems, *Rend. Semin. Mat. (Torino)* 46 (1989) 31–58.
- [9] J. D. García-Saldaña, A. Gasull, H. Giacomini, Bifurcation diagram and stability for a one-parameter family of planar vector fields, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 413 (1) (2014) 321–342.
- [10] M. Caubergh, Bifurcation of the separatrix skeleton in some 1-parameter families of planar vector fields, *J. Differ. Equ.* 259 (3) (2015) 989–1013.