

SLICE DIAMETER TWO PROPERTY IN ULTRAPOWERS

ABRAHAM RUEDA ZOCA

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the inheritance of the slice diameter two property by ultrapowers. Given a Banach space X , we give a characterisation of when $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice diameter two property obtaining that this is the case for many Banach spaces which are known to enjoy the slice diameter two property. We also provide an example of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property so that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ fails the slice diameter two property for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} which proves that, in general, this property is not inherited by taking ultrapower spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ultrapowers of Banach spaces have been intensively studied in the literature as they showed to be a useful tool in order to study local theory of Banach spaces. As a matter of fact, see [3, Chapter 11] where ultrapowers are used in order to study the connection between the property of failing to have non-trivial type and the finite representability of ℓ_1 .

In addition to this, different topological and geometrical properties of Banach spaces have been studied in ultrapowers of Banach spaces. Concerning the topological ones, we have for instance the study of reflexivity in ultrapowers, which raise the well known notion of *superreflexive Banach spaces* (see e.g. [15]) or the weak compactness in ultrapowers, which is intimately related to the study of *super weakly compact sets* (see [13, 30] and references therein). From the geometric point of view, the expression of the norm in a ultrapower space has also motivated the study of properties of Banach spaces of isometric nature: the property of being a Lindenstrauss space [17], the study of extreme points [10, 29], the study of strongly exposed points [10] or ASQ Banach spaces [16].

In spite of the above amount of results of geometric nature, life may be difficult when dealing with geometric properties of Banach spaces which require a good description of the topological dual because the unique case when the dual of a ultrapower space is perfectly described is for Banach spaces whose ultrapowers are reflexive (i.e. in the case that we work with superreflexive Banach spaces), and this is precisely the case of the *slice diameter two property*, which is the property to which this note is devoted.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B04, 46B20, 46M07.

Key words and phrases. slice-diameter two property; ultrapowers; Daugavet property.

A Banach space X is said to have the *slice diameter two property* (*slice-D2P*) if every slice of B_X has diameter exactly two. We refer the interested reader to [2, 5, 26] and references therein for background on the topic.

This property has been widely studied during the last 25 years but, as far as the author knows, few is known about when a Banach space X satisfies that its ultrapowers $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice diameter two property. Let us point out that, from the study of stronger properties of Banach spaces, some ultrapowers spaces are known to enjoy the slice-D2P. For instance, in [16] it is shown that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice-D2P whenever the space X is *locally almost square*, a property which is strictly stronger than the slice-D2P (see Example 3.8 for details). Moreover, examples of ultrapowers with the slice-D2P come from ultrapowers actually satisfying the *Daugavet property*, a phenomenon we are having a closer look: we say that a Banach space X has the *Daugavet property* if, for every slice S of B_X , every $x \in S_X$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in S$ satisfying

$$\|x - y\| > 2 - \varepsilon.$$

We refer the reader to [18, 19, 28, 31] and references therein for background. It is clear from the definition that Banach spaces with the Daugavet property enjoy the slice-D2P.

We are paying attention to the Daugavet property as a paradigm of the difficulties we find in the slice-D2P: the way in which we defined the Daugavet property is via slices (which implies the necessity of having a good access to the dual) and, however, a complete characterisation of when a ultrapower space $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the Daugavet property is given in [7]. The key idea was to involve a characterisation of the Daugavet property making no calling to the topological dual: a Hahn-Banach separation argument implies that X has the Daugavet property if, and only if, $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}\{y \in B_X : \|x - y\| > 2 - \varepsilon\}$ holds for every $x \in S_X$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ (c.f. e.g. [31, Lemma 2.3]).

With this idea, the authors of [7] considered a uniform notion of the Daugavet property, the so called *uniform Daugavet property* (see [7, p. 59]), and they characterised those Banach spaces X for which $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the Daugavet property. They also showed that all the classical examples of Banach spaces with the Daugavet property actually satisfy its uniform version. In [20], however, the authors constructed a Banach space X with the Daugavet (and the Schur!) property such that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ fails the Daugavet property for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} .

In this note our starting point will be a characterisation of the slice-D2P in the spirit of the above mentioned [31, Lemma 2.3] coming from [14]: a Banach space X has the slice-D2P if, and only if, $B_X := \overline{\text{conv}}\{\frac{x+y}{2} : x, y \in B_X, \|x - y\| > 2 - \varepsilon\}$ holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Using the above, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we completely characterise when, given a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Banach spaces and a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} , the ultrapower $(X_n)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice-D2P in terms of requiring that all

X_n have the slice-D2P “in a uniform way”. This motivates us to introduce the *uniform slice diameter two property* in Definition 3.4, showing that this property is enjoyed by most of the classical spaces which are known to have the slice-D2P. All this is performed in Section 3.

In Section 4 we will have a look to the involved example from [20] of a Daugavet space whose ultrapowers fail the Daugavet property. We will prove that all the ultrapowers of this space indeed contain slices of diameter smaller than or equal to $\frac{15}{8}$, in particular, it is an example of a Daugavet space X such that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ fails the slice-D2P for any free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} .

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Unless we state the contrary, we will consider Banach spaces over the scalar field \mathbb{R} .

Given a Banach space X then B_X (respectively S_X) stands for the closed unit ball (respectively the unit sphere) of X . We will denote by X^* the topological dual of X . Given a subset C of X , we will denote by $\text{conv}(C)$ the convex hull of C and by $\text{span}(C)$ the linear hull of C . We also denote, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$\text{conv}_n(C) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i : \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in [0, 1], \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1, x_1, \dots, x_n \in C \right\},$$

in other words, $\text{conv}_n(C)$ stands for the set of all convex combinations of at most n elements of C .

If C is bounded then by a *slice* of C we will mean a set of the following form

$$S(C, f, \alpha) := \{x \in C : f(x) > \sup f(C) - \alpha\}$$

where $f \in X^*$ and $\alpha > 0$. Notice that a slice is nothing but the intersection of a half-space with the bounded (and not necessarily convex) set C .

In [14, Lemma 1] it is proved that a Banach space X has the slice-D2P if, and only if, $B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}\left\{\frac{x+y}{2} : \|x - y\| > 2 - \varepsilon\right\}$ holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Indeed, we state here the following more general version for future reference, which was already observed in [23, Section 5].

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every slice of B_X has diameter, at least, δ .*
- (2) *$B_X = \overline{\text{conv}}\left\{\frac{x+y}{2} : x, y \in B_X, \|x - y\| \geq \delta - \varepsilon\right\}$ holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

The above result motivates us to introduce the following notation, which will be useful throughout the text. Given a Banach space X and $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$S^\varepsilon(X) := \left\{ \frac{x+y}{2} : x, y \in B_X, \|x - y\| > \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote

$$S_n^\varepsilon(X) := \text{conv}_n(S^\varepsilon(X)).$$

Finally, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define

$$C_n^\varepsilon(X) := \sup_{x \in S_X} d(x, S_n^\varepsilon(x)) = \sup_{x \in S_X} \inf_{y \in S_n^\varepsilon(X)} \|x - y\|.$$

Given a sequence of Banach spaces $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we denote

$$\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}, X_n) := \left\{ f: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n : f(n) \in X_n \ \forall n \text{ and } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(n)\| < \infty \right\}.$$

Given a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} , consider $c_{0,\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{N}, X_n) := \{f \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}, X_n) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|f(n)\| = 0\}$. The *ultrapower* of $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with respect to \mathcal{U} is the Banach space

$$(X_n)_\mathcal{U} := \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N}, X_n) / c_{0,\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{N}, X_n).$$

We will naturally identify a bounded function $f: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ with the element $(f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. In this way, we denote by $(x_n)_\mathcal{U}$ or simply by (x_n) , if no confusion is possible, the coset in $(X_n)_\mathcal{U}$ given by $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} + c_{0,\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{N}, (X_n))$.

From the definition of the quotient norm, it is not difficult to prove that $\|(x_n)_\mathcal{U}\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_n\|$ holds for every $(x_n) \in (X_n)_\mathcal{U}$.

3. UNIFORM SLICE-D2P

Let us start by looking for necessary conditions for a ultrapower space to enjoy the slice-D2P. In order to do so, as announced before, we will make use of Proposition 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X_n be a sequence of Banach spaces, \mathcal{U} be a free ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} and $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $X := (X_n)_\mathcal{U}$ and assume that every slice of B_X has diameter at least ε . Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\delta > 0$ the set*

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : C_n^\varepsilon(X_k) < \delta\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

In other words, $\lim_{k, \mathcal{U}} C_n^\varepsilon(X_k) = 0$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Assume that there exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : C_n^\varepsilon(X_k) \geq \delta_0\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

We can select, for every $n \geq 2$, a set $A_n \subseteq \{k \in \mathbb{N} : C_n^\varepsilon(X_k) \geq \delta_0\}$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \emptyset$ and $A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ holds for $n \geq 2$. Take $A_1 = \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\{A_n \setminus A_{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{N} . Moreover, for every $n \geq 2$, for every $p \in A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$ we can find $x_p \in S_{X_p}$ satisfying that $d(x_p, C_n^\varepsilon(X_p)) \geq \delta_0$. For $p \in A_1 \setminus A_2$ select any $x_p \in S_{X_p}$.

Now $(x_p) \in S_X$. We claim that $d((x_p), \text{conv}(S^\varepsilon(X))) \geq \delta_0$. Once this is proved, Proposition 2.1 implies that there exists a slice in $(X_n)_\mathcal{U}$ of diameter smaller than ε , which will finish the proof of the theorem. In order to do so, take $z \in \text{conv}(S^\varepsilon(X))$, so there is $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z \in \text{conv}_q(S^\varepsilon(X))$.

By definition we can find $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i = 1$ and $(u_n^i), (v_n^i) \in S_X$ with $\|(u_n^i) - (v_n^i)\| > \varepsilon$ and $z = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i \frac{(u_n^i) + (v_n^i)}{2}$. Let $\eta > 0$. Since $\|(x_n) - (z_n)\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_n - z_n\|$, the set

$$B := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|\|x_n - z_n\| - \|(x_n) - (z_n)\|\| < \eta\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

On the other hand, given $1 \leq i \leq q$ it follows that $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|u_n^i - v_n^i\| > \varepsilon$. This implies that the set

$$C := \bigcap_{i=1}^q \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|u_n^i - v_n^i\| > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Select any $k \in A_q \cap B \cap C$. Then, since $n \in B$, we have

$$\|(x_n) - (z_n)\| \geq \|x_k - z_k\| - \eta.$$

On the other hand, $z_k = \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i \frac{u_k^i + v_k^i}{2}$ with $\|u_k^i - v_k^i\| > \varepsilon$ since $k \in C$. Hence, $z_k \in \text{conv}_q(S^\varepsilon(X_k))$. Finally, since $k \in A_q$ we conclude that $\|x_k - z_k\| \geq \delta_0$, so

$$\|(x_n) - (z_n)\| \geq \delta_0 - \eta.$$

The arbitrariness of $\eta > 0$ and $(z_k) \in \text{conv}(S^\varepsilon(X))$ concludes that $d((x_n), \text{conv}(S^\varepsilon(X))) \geq \delta_0$. ■

In the following result we establish the converse.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X_n) be a sequence of Banach spaces, $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ and a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} . Assume that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : C_n^\varepsilon(X_k) < \delta\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Then, every slice of $(X_n)_\mathcal{U}$ contains two points at distance at least ε .

Proof. Let $(x_n) \in S_{(X_n)_\mathcal{U}}$ and let us prove that

$$(x_n) \in \overline{\text{conv}} \left(\left\{ \frac{(u_n) + (v_n)}{2} : (u_n), (v_n) \in B_{(X_n)_\mathcal{U}}, \|(u_n) - (v_n)\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right).$$

In order to do so take $\delta > 0$. By the assumption there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$A := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : C_n^\varepsilon(X_k) < \delta\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Consequently, for every $k \in A$ there exists $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k \frac{u_k^i + v_k^i}{2}$ such that

$$\left\| x_k - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k \frac{u_k^i + v_k^i}{2} \right\| < \delta$$

and

$$\|u_k^i - v_k^i\| > \varepsilon$$

holds for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Since $\lambda_i^k \in [0, 1]$ then consider $\lambda_i := \lim_{k, \mathcal{U}} \lambda_i^k \in [0, 1]$. It is not difficult to prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$. Now, given $1 \leq i \leq k$ define

$$\tilde{u}_k^i = u_k^i \quad k \in A, \tilde{u}_k^i = 0 \quad k \notin A.$$

Similarly we define

$$\tilde{v}_k^i = v_k^i \quad k \in A, \tilde{v}_k^i = 0 \quad k \notin A.$$

It is immediate that $(\tilde{u}_k^i), (\tilde{v}_k^i) \in B_{(X_n)_\mathcal{U}}$. Let us start by proving that $\|(\tilde{u}_k^i) - (\tilde{v}_k^i)\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|\tilde{u}_k^i - \tilde{v}_k^i\| \geq \varepsilon$ holds for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In order to do so, fix $\eta > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. By definition of limit through \mathcal{U} and the definition of the norm of ultrapowers the set

$$B_\eta := \{p \in \mathbb{N} : \|(\tilde{u}_k^i) - (\tilde{v}_k^i)\| - \|\tilde{u}_p^i - \tilde{v}_p^i\| < \eta\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Consequently, given $p \in B_\eta \cap A$ we obtain

$$\|(\tilde{u}_k^i) - (\tilde{v}_k^i)\| \stackrel{p \in B_\eta}{\geq} \|\tilde{u}_p^i - \tilde{v}_p^i\| - \eta = \|u_p^i - v_p^i\| - \eta \stackrel{p \in A}{>} \varepsilon - \eta.$$

The arbitrariness of $\eta > 0$ concludes $\|(\tilde{u}_k^i) - (\tilde{v}_k^i)\| \geq \varepsilon$.

Now it is time to prove that

$$\left\| (x_k) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{(\tilde{u}_k^i) - (\tilde{v}_k^i)}{2} \right\| \leq \delta.$$

In order to do so, take $\nu > 0$. Set

$$C_\nu := \left\{ p \in \mathbb{N} : \left\| (x_k) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{(\tilde{u}_k^i) + (\tilde{v}_k^i)}{2} \right\| - \left\| x_p - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{\tilde{u}_p^i + \tilde{v}_p^i}{2} \right\| < \nu \right\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

On the other hand set

$$D := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ p \in \mathbb{N} : |\lambda_i^p - \lambda_i| < \frac{\nu}{2n} \right\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Now given $p \in C_\nu \cap D \cap A$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (x_k) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{(\tilde{u}_k^i) + (\tilde{v}_k^i)}{2} \right\| &\stackrel{p \in C_\nu}{\leq} \nu + \left\| x_p - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{u_p^i + v_p^i}{2} \right\| \\ &\leq \nu + \left\| x_p - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^p \frac{u_p^i + v_p^i}{2} \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \lambda_i^p| \\ &\stackrel{p \in A}{\leq} \nu + \delta + \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^p - \lambda_i| \\ &\stackrel{p \in D}{\leq} 2\nu + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of $\nu > 0$ proves $\left\| (x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{(\tilde{u}_k^i) - (\tilde{v}_k^i)}{2} \right\| \leq \delta$, which finishes the proof. ■

Going back to the slice diameter two property, a combination of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a Banach space. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice-D2P for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} .
- (2) For every $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^\varepsilon(X) = 0$.

Corollary 3.3 motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.4. Let X be a Banach space. We say that X has the uniform slice diameter two property (uniform slice-D2P) if, for every $0 < \varepsilon < 2$,

$$\lim_n C_n^\varepsilon(X) = 0.$$

The rest of this section will be devoted to providing examples of Banach spaces with the uniform slice-D2P.

Example 3.5. Let $X = L_1(\mu)$. It follows that X has the slice-D2P if, and only if, μ contains no atom (c.f. e.g. [4, Theorem 2.13 (ii)]). But if μ is an atomless measure it follows that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the Daugavet property for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} [7, Lemma 6.6 and Theorem 6.2]. In particular, $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice-D2P for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} .

Consequently, a L_1 space has the slice-D2P if, and only if, it satisfies the uniform slice-D2P.

More examples where the uniform slice-D2P come from ultrapowers of uniform slice-D2P spaces.

Example 3.6. Let X be a Banach space with the uniform slice-D2P and let \mathcal{U} be a free ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} . We claim that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the uniform slice-D2P. In order to prove this it is enough to prove that, given any ultrafilter \mathcal{V} over \mathbb{N} then $(X_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{V}}$ has the slice-D2P. However, this result follows since X has the uniform slice-D2P and $(X_{\mathcal{U}})_{\mathcal{V}}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(X)_{\mathcal{W}}$ where \mathcal{W} is a free ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} . Indeed, $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ (see [12, Proposition 1.2.7] for details).

Another class where the slice-D2P and its uniform version are equivalent comes from L_1 -preduals.

Example 3.7. Let X be an L_1 predual. Observe that X has the slice-D2P if, and only if, X is infinite-dimensional (c.f. e.g. [4, Corollary 2.9]). Since the ultrapower of any L_1 predual is again an L_1 predual by [17, Proposition 2.1], it follows that $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the slice-D2P for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} as soon as X has the slice-D2P, from where the uniform slice-D2P follows.

The following examples will come from [16], for which we need to introduce a bit of notation. According to [1], a Banach space X is

- (1) *locally almost square* (LASQ) if for every $x \in S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B_X such that $\|x \pm y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$.

- (2) *weakly almost square* (WASQ) if for every $x \in S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B_X such that $\|x \pm y_n\| \rightarrow 1$, $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $y_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly.
- (3) *almost square* (ASQ) if for every $x_1, \dots, x_k \in S_X$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in B_X such that $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|x_i \pm y_n\| \rightarrow 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

We refer the reader to [1, 11, 25] and references therein for examples of LASQ, WASQ and ASQ Banach spaces.

Example 3.8. If X is LASQ then X has the uniform slice-D2P. Indeed, if X is LASQ then $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is LASQ for every free ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} by [16, Proposition 4.2]. The result follows since LASQ space have the slice-D2P (c.f. e.g. [21, Proposition 2.5]).

Next we analyse the inheritance results of uniform slice-D2P by ℓ_p -sums. We will start with the ∞ case.

Proposition 3.9. *Let X be a Banach space with the uniform slice-D2P. Then, for any non-zero Banach space Y , the space $X \oplus_{\infty} Y$ has the uniform slice-D2P.*

Proof. It is known that $(X \oplus_{\infty} Y)_{\mathcal{U}} = X_{\mathcal{U}} \oplus_{\infty} Y_{\mathcal{U}}$. The result follows from the fact that slice-D2P is inherited by the ℓ_{∞} -sum if one of the factors has the slice-D2P (c.f. e.g. [22, Lemma 2.1]). ■

For the ℓ_p -sum we have the following result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let X and Y be two Banach spaces and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$C_{n^2}^{\varepsilon}(X \oplus_p Y) \leq (C_n^{\varepsilon}(X))^p + C_n^{\varepsilon}(X)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In particular, if X and Y have the uniform slice-D2P, then so does $X \oplus_p Y$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in S_{X \oplus_p Y}$ and let $r > 0$. We can assume up to a density argument that both $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Since $x \in B_X$, by definition of $C_n^{\varepsilon}(X)$, we can find $u := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{u_i + v_i}{2}$ with $\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - u \right\| < C_n^{\varepsilon}(X) + r$, where $u_i, v_i \in B_X$ satisfy $\|u_i - v_i\| > \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in [0, 1]$ are such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$.

Similarly, since $y \in B_Y$ we can find $v := \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \frac{a_i + b_i}{2}$ with $\left\| \frac{y}{\|y\|} - v \right\| < C_n^{\varepsilon}(Y) + r$, where $a_i, b_i \in B_X$ satisfy $\|a_i - b_i\| > \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in [0, 1]$ are such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 1$.

Now $(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_i \mu_j \frac{(\|x\|u_i, \|y\|a_j) + (\|x\|v_i, \|y\|b_j)}{2} \in C_{n^2}^{\varepsilon}$. Indeed, given $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\|(\|x\|u_i, \|y\|a_j)\|^p = \|x\|^p \|u_i\|^p + \|y\|^p \|a_j\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p = \|(x, y)\|^p = 1.$$

In a similar way we obtain that $(\|x\|v_i, \|y\|b_j) \in B_{X \oplus_p Y}$. On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\|x\|u_i, \|y\|a_j) - (\|x\|v_i, \|y\|b_j)\|^p &= \|x\|^p \|u_i - v_i\|^p + \|y\|^p \|a_j - b_j\|^p \geq \varepsilon^p \\ &\geq \varepsilon^p (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) = \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $(u, v) \in C_{n^2}^\varepsilon$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right) - \left(\frac{u}{\|x\|}, \frac{v}{\|y\|} \right) &= \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_i \mu_j \frac{u_i + v_i}{2}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_j \lambda_i \frac{a_j + b_j}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{u_i + v_i}{2}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \frac{a_j + b_j}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} (C_n^\varepsilon(X) + r)^p + (C_n^\varepsilon(Y) + r)^p &\geq \left\| \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right) - \left(\frac{u}{\|x\|}, \frac{v}{\|y\|} \right) \right\|^p \\ &= \frac{\|x - u\|^p}{\|x\|^p} + \frac{\|y - v\|^p}{\|y\|^p} \\ &\geq \|x - u\|^p + \|y - v\|^p \end{aligned}$$

since $0 < \|x\|^p < 1$ and $0 < \|y\|^p < 1$. The arbitrariness of $r > 0$ and $(x, y) \in B_{X \oplus_p Y}$ proves the result. ■

We end the section by exhibiting another example with the uniform slice-D2P. Throughout the rest of the section we will consider uniform algebras over the scalar field \mathbb{K} , either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Let us introduce some notation used in [24]. Recall that a *uniform algebra over a compact Hausdorff topological space* K is a closed subalgebra $X \subseteq C(K)$, the space of all the continuous functions $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, which separates the points of K and contains the constant functions.

Given a uniform algebra on a compact space K , a point $x \in K$ is said to be a *strong boundary point* if, for every neighbourhood V of x and every $\delta > 0$, there exists $f \in S_X$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and $|f| < \delta$ on $K \setminus V$. The *Silov boundary* of X , denoted by ∂_X following the notation of [9], is the closure of the set of all strong boundary points. A fundamental result of the theory of uniform algebras is that X can be identified with a uniform algebra on its Silov boundary (see [24]). This fact allows us to assume, with no loss of generality, that the Silov boundary of X is dense in K .

Now we get the following result.

Proposition 3.11. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional uniform algebra. Then X has the uniform slice-D2P.*

Proof. Let $f \in S_X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $X \subseteq C(K)$ is infinite-dimensional then K is infinite, so we can take a sequence of pairwise disjoint open sets $\{V_n\}$ in K . By the density of the Silov boundary we can take a strong boundary

point $t_n \in V_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ find, since t_k is a strong boundary point, a function $\varphi_k \in S_X$ with $\varphi_k(t_k) = 1$ and $|\varphi_k(t)| < \frac{1}{2n}$ for every $t \in K \setminus V_k$. Since φ_k is a continuous function we can find, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, an open neighbourhood W_k of t_k with $W_k \subseteq V_k$ and

$$|1 - \varphi_k(t)| < \frac{1}{2n} \quad \forall t \in W_k.$$

Using once again that t_k is a strong boundary point let $\psi_k \in S_X$ with $\psi_k(t_k) = 1$ and $|\psi_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}$ on $K \setminus W_k$.

Now, given $1 \leq k \leq n$ and given $t \in K$, we have

$$|(1 - \varphi_k(t))f(t) \pm \psi_k(t)| \leq |1 - \varphi_k(t)||f(t)| + |\psi_k(t)|.$$

Now we have two possibilities:

- If $t \notin W_k$ then $|\psi_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}$, so the above is $\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2n}$.
- If $t \in W_k$, this time we have $|1 - \varphi_k(t)||f(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}\|f\| = \frac{1}{2n}$.

Summarising we get $\|(1 - \varphi_k)f \pm \psi_k\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2n}$ holds for every $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Given $1 \leq k \leq n$ set $u_k := \frac{(1 - \varphi_k)f + \psi_k}{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}$ and $v_k := \frac{(1 - \varphi_k)f - \psi_k}{1 + \frac{1}{2k}}$. We have

$$\|u_k - v_k\| \geq |u_k(t_k) - v_k(t_k)| = \frac{2\psi_k(t_k)}{1 + \frac{1}{2n}} = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}.$$

So if we write $z := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{u_k + v_k}{2}$, then $z \in C_n^{\frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}}(X)$. Moreover,

$$\left\| f - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right) z \right\| = \left\| f - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(1 - \varphi_k) \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k \right\|.$$

By the construction, given $t \in K$, then for all k but one at most, we get $|\varphi_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}$. Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k \right\| \leq \frac{(n-1)}{n} \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{3}{2n},$$

from where $\|f - z\| \leq \frac{2}{n}$. Consequently, we have proved that $C_n^{\frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{2n}}}(X) \leq \frac{2}{n}$, from where the result follows. ■

4. A DAUGAVET SPACE FAILING THE UNIFORM SLICE-D2P

The aim of this section is to construct a Banach space X with the Daugavet property satisfying that $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ fails the slice-D2P for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} . In order to do so, we will follow the construction of a Banach space X with the Daugavet property satisfying that $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ fails the Daugavet property from [20]. Our example will be a special case of this example by a suitable choice of scalars. The above mentioned construction of [20] is in turn based on a construction of [8] of a space failing the Radon-Nikodym property but where every uniformly bounded dyadic martingale converges.

In the sequel we will follow word-by-word the construction of [20, Section 2]. We denote by $L_1 := L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ over a separable non-atomic measure space, and we will denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the canonical norm on L_1 throughout the section. We also consider the topology of convergence in measure, which is the one generated by the metric

$$d(f, g) := \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \mu\{t : |f(t) - g(t)| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

Observe that, given $f, g \in L_1$ it is immediate that $d(f, g) = d(f - g, 0)$. Consequently,

$$d(f + g, 0) \leq d(f + g, g) + d(g, 0) = d(f + g - g, 0) + d(g, 0) = d(f, 0) + d(g, 0)$$

and, inductively, $d(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i, 0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n d(f_i, 0)$ holds for every $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L_1$. It is also easy to prove that given $f \in L_1$ and given $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ it follows that $d(\lambda f, 0) \leq d(f, 0)$.

The following result, based on an argument of disjointness of supports of functions in L_1 , will be used in the future. For a complete proof we refer to [20, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a uniformly integrable subset of L_1 and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if $g \in H$ and $f \in L_1$ satisfies $d(f, 0) < \delta$ then*

$$\|f + g\| = \|f\| + \|g\| - \varepsilon.$$

The following lemma, whose proof can be seen in [6, Lemma 5.26], is essential in the future construction.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then there exists a function $f \in L_1([0, 1])$ such that*

- (1) $f \geq 0$ and $\|f - \mathbf{1}\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon$.
- (2) Let $\{f_j\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with the same distribution as f . If $g \in \overline{\text{span}}\{f_j\}$ with $\|g\| \leq 1$ then there exists a constant function c with $d(g, c) \leq \varepsilon$.
- (3) $\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j - \mathbf{1} \right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the lemma and in the construction below we consider (Ω, Σ, μ) as the product of countably many copies of the measure space $[0, 1]$.

We say that a subspace X of L_1 depends on finitely many coordinates if all $f \in X$ are functions depending on a finite common set of coordinates.

Now we consider the following lemma from [20, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a finite dimensional subspace of L_1 that depends on finitely many coordinates. Let $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^m \subseteq S_G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a finite dimensional subspace F of L_1 containing G and depending on finitely many coordinates and there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and functions $\{v_{k,j}\}_{k \leq m, j \leq n}$ such that:*

- (1) $\|u + v_{k,j}\| \geq 2 - \varepsilon$ holds for every $u \in S_G$ and all $k \leq m$ and $j \leq n$,
- (2) $\left\| u_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n v_{k,j} \right\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every k ,

(3) For every $\varphi \in B_F$ there exists $\psi \in B_G$ with $d(\varphi, \psi) \leq \varepsilon$.

Now we will make the construction of the space. Fix a decreasing sequence (ε_N) of positive numbers with $\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j < \varepsilon_N$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and select inductively finite-dimensional subspaces of L_1 ,

$$\text{span } \mathbf{1} = E_1 \subset E_2 \subset E_3 \subset \dots,$$

each of them depending on finitely many coordinates, ε_N -nets $\{u_k^N\}_{k=1}^{m(N)}$ of S_{E_N} and collections of elements $\{v_{k,j}^N\}_{k \leq m(N), j \leq n(N)}$ in such a way that the conclusion of Lemma 4.3 holds with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_N$, $G = E_N$, $F = E_{N+1}$, $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^m = \{u_k^N\}_{k=1}^{m(N)}$, $\{v_{k,j}\}_{k \leq m, j \leq n} = \{v_{k,j}^N\}_{k \leq m(N), j \leq n(N)}$. Denote $E := \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_N$.

The above space E satisfies the following properties, obtained from [20, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 4.4. *The space E constructed as above satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) E has the Daugavet property,
- (2) For every $f \in B_E$ and every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $g \in B_{E_N}$ satisfying that $d(f, g) < \varepsilon_N$,
- (3) E has the Schur property.

In [20, Theorem 3.3] the authors make use of the above space in order to construct a Banach space X with the Daugavet property such that $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ fails the Daugavet property for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} . In the following, we will make use of some of their ideas in order to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a Banach space X with the Daugavet property such that*

$$C_n^{\frac{1}{4}}(X) \geq \frac{1}{10}.$$

Proof. Select $\delta > 0$ small enough so that

$$\frac{3}{16} - \frac{5\delta}{4} > \frac{1}{10}$$

(it is enough that $\delta < \frac{7}{100}$). Let X the space of Theorem 4.4 with $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough to satisfy that given any constant function $g \in [-2, 2]$ (i.e. $g \in E_1$) and $f \in L_1$ with $d(f, 0) < 2n\varepsilon_1$ implies

$$(4.1) \quad \|f + g\| \geq \|f\| + \|g\| - \delta.$$

Our aim is to prove that

$$(4.2) \quad d\left(\mathbf{1}, S_n^{\frac{1}{4}}(X)\right) \geq 1 - \frac{3 - \varepsilon - 5\delta}{4} \geq \frac{1}{10}.$$

In order to do so take $z \in S_n^{\varepsilon}(X)$. Then $z = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k z_k$ with $z_k \in S^{\frac{1}{4}}(X)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1$. Moreover, since $z_k \in S^{\frac{1}{4}}(X)$ it

follows that $z_k = \frac{u_k + v_k}{2}$ with $u_k, v_k \in B_X$ satisfying that $\|u_k - v_k\| \geq 2 - \frac{1}{4}$ holds for every $1 \leq k \leq n$. Now given k , the triangle inequality implies

$$2 - \frac{1}{4} < \|u_k - \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} - v_k\| \leq \|\mathbf{1} - u_k\| + \|\mathbf{1} - v_k\|.$$

The above inequality implies that either $\|\mathbf{1} - u_k\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4}$ or $\|\mathbf{1} - v_k\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4}$. Assume, up to a relabeling, that $\|\mathbf{1} - u_k\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4}$ holds for every $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Now, given $1 \leq k \leq n$ apply (b) of Theorem 4.4 (applied to $f = u_k$ and v_k respectively and $N = 1$) to find constant functions $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in [-1, 1]$ satisfying $d(u_k, \alpha_k) < \varepsilon_1$ and $d(v_k, \beta_k) < \varepsilon_1$.

Now, given $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have

$$1 \geq \|u_k\| = \|\alpha_k + (u_k - \alpha_k)\| > |\alpha_k| + \|u_k - \alpha_k\| - \delta$$

since α_k is a constant function and $d(u_k - \alpha_k, 0) = d(u_k, \alpha_k) < \varepsilon_1 < 2n\varepsilon_1$, so (4.1) applies here. Now

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geq |\alpha_k| + \|u_k - \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} - \alpha_k\| - \delta &\geq |\alpha_k| + \|\mathbf{1} - u_k\| - |\mathbf{1} - \alpha_k| - \delta \\ &= |\alpha_k| + \|\mathbf{1} - u_k\| - (1 - \alpha_k) - \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows since $\alpha_k \leq 1$. Taking into account that $\|\mathbf{1} - \alpha_k\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4}$ the above inequality implies

$$1 \geq |\alpha_k| + 1 - \frac{1}{4} - (1 - \alpha_k) - \delta = |\alpha_k| + \alpha_k - \frac{1}{4} - \delta \geq 2\alpha_k - \frac{1}{4} - \delta.$$

Consequently

$$2\alpha_k \leq \frac{5}{4} + \delta \Rightarrow \alpha_k \leq \frac{5}{8} + \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Since $\beta_k \in [-1, 1]$ holds for every k we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \leq \frac{\frac{5}{8} + \frac{\delta}{2} + 1}{2} \leq \frac{13}{16} + \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(z - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2}, 0\right) &= d\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\lambda_k}{2} (u_k - \alpha_k + v_k - \beta_k)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n d(u_k - \alpha_k, 0) + d(v_k - \beta_k, 0) < 2n\varepsilon_1 \end{aligned}$$

If we apply (4.1) to the constant function $\mathbf{1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2}$ and the function $z - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2}$, which is $2n\varepsilon_1$ close to 0 with respect to the distance d , we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{1} - z\| &= \left\| \left(\mathbf{1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \right) - \left(z - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \right) \right\| \\
&\geq \left\| \mathbf{1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \right\| + \left\| z - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \right\| - \delta \\
&\geq \left\| \mathbf{1} - \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} \right\| - \delta \\
&\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k + \beta_k}{2} - \delta \geq 1 - \frac{13}{16} - \frac{\delta}{4} - \delta = \frac{3}{16} - \frac{5\delta}{4} > \frac{1}{10}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows by the arbitrariness of $z \in S_n^\varepsilon(X)$. ■

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let X_n the Banach space claimed in Theorem 4.5, and consider $X = (\oplus_{n=1}^\infty X_n)_1$. X has the Daugavet property as it is an ℓ_1 -sum of Banach spaces with the Daugavet property [32, Theorem 1]. However, given $0 < r < \frac{1}{100}$ we claim that, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that

$$d\left((0, 0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{\mathbf{1}}_n, 0, 0, \dots), C_n^{\frac{1}{8}}(X)\right) \geq \frac{r^2}{4}.$$

In order to prove it write $x := (0, 0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{\mathbf{1}}_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ and assume by contradiction that there is $z \in C_n^{\frac{1}{8}}(X)$ such that $\|x - z\| < (\frac{r}{2})^2$. Consequently

$$\|\mathbf{1} - z(n)\| = \|x(n) - z(n)\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|x(k) - z(k)\| = \|x - z\| \leq \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2.$$

If we write $z = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i$ for $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and $z_i \in S^{\frac{1}{8}}(X)$, we obtain from the above inequality that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i(n)\| > 1 - (\frac{r}{2})^2$. Set

$$G := \left\{ i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \|z_i(n)\| > 1 - \frac{r}{2} \right\}$$

We claim that $\sum_{i \notin G} \lambda_i < \frac{r}{2}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^2 &< \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \|z_i(n)\| = \sum_{i \in G} \lambda_i \|z_i(n)\| + \sum_{i \notin G} \lambda_i \|z_i(n)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{i \in G} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \notin G} \lambda_i \left(1 - \frac{r}{2}\right) \\
&= 1 - \frac{r}{2} \sum_{i \notin G} \lambda_i,
\end{aligned}$$

from where $\sum_{i \notin G} \lambda_i < \frac{r}{2}$ follows.

On the other hand, since $z_i \in S^{\frac{1}{8}}(X)$ then for $1 \leq i \leq n$ there are $u_i, v_i \in B_X$ with $z_i = \frac{u_i + v_i}{2}$ and $\|u_i - v_i\| > 2 - \frac{1}{8}$. Given $i \in G$ we have $\|z_i(n)\| > 1 - \frac{r}{2}$, from where

$$1 - \frac{r}{2} < \frac{\|u_i(n) + v_i(n)\|}{2} \leq \frac{\|u_i(n)\| + \|v_i(n)\|}{2},$$

and an easy convexity argument implies $\|u_i(n)\| > 1 - r$ and $\|v_i(n)\| > 1 - r$. Consequently, given $i \in G$ we have

$$1 - r < \|u_i(n)\| \leq \|u_i(n)\| + \sum_{k \neq n} \|u_i(k)\| \leq \|u_i\| \leq 1,$$

from where $\sum_{k \neq n} \|u_i(k)\| < r$. Similarly $\sum_{k \neq n} \|v_i(k)\| < r$. Since $\|u_i - v_i\| > 2 - \frac{1}{8}$ we obtain

$$2 - \frac{1}{8} < \|u_i(n) - v_i(n)\| + \sum_{k \neq n} \|u_i(k)\| + \|v_i(k)\| \leq \|u_i(n) - v_i(n)\| + 2r,$$

so $\|u_i(n) - v_i(n)\| > 2 - \frac{1}{8} - 2r > 2 - \frac{1}{4}$ holds for every $i \in G$. Set $\lambda := 1 - \sum_{i \in G} \lambda_i$ and set $z' := \sum_{i \in G} \lambda_i z_i + \lambda z$ where $z = z_{i_0}$ for any $i_0 \in G$. We clearly get that $z'(n) = \sum_{i \in G} \lambda_i \frac{u_i(n) + v_i(n)}{2} + \lambda \frac{u_{i_0}(n) + v_{i_0}(n)}{2}$ where $\|u_i(n) - v_i(n)\| > 2 - \frac{1}{4}$ and $\|u_{i_0}(n) - v_{i_0}(n)\| > 2 - \frac{1}{4}$. This means $z'(n) \in S^{\frac{1}{4}}(X_n)$. By (4.2) we obtain

$$\|1 - z'(n)\| \geq \frac{1}{10}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{10} &\leq \|x(n) - z'(n)\| \leq \|x - z'\| \leq \|x - z\| + \|z' - z\| \leq \frac{r^2}{4} + \sum_{i \notin G} \lambda_i \|z_i - z\| \\ &\leq \frac{r^2}{4} + r < \frac{1}{50}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

This proves that, for $0 < r < \frac{1}{100}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the inequality

$$C_n^{\frac{1}{8}}(X) \geq \frac{r^2}{4}.$$

According to Theorem 3.1 we have proved the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *There exists a Banach space X with the Daugavet property such that, for every free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{N} , the space $(X)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has a slice of diameter smaller than or equal to $2 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{15}{8}$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033: grant PID2021-122126NB-C31, Junta de Andalucía: grant FQM-0185, by Fundación Séneca: ACyT Región de Murcia: grant 21955/PI/22 and by Generalitat Valenciana: grant CIGE/2022/97.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Abrahamsen, J. Langemets, and V. Lima, *Almost square Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **434** (2016), 1549–1565.
- [2] T. A. Abrahamsen, P. Hájek, O. Nygaard, J. Talponen and S. Troyanski, *Diameter 2 properties and convexity*, Stud. Math. **232**, 3 (2016), 227–242.
- [3] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 233, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [4] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López Pérez, *Relatively weakly open subsets of the unit ball of functions spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 315 (2006) 544–554.
- [5] J. Becerra Guerrero, G. López-Pérez and A. Rueda Zoca, *Extreme differences between weakly open subsets and convex combination of slices in Banach spaces*, Adv. Math. **269** (2015), 56–70.
- [6] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, American Mathematical Society (2000).
- [7] D. Bilik, V. M. Kadets, R. Shvidkoy and D. Werner, *Narrow operators and the Daugavet property for ultraproducts*, Positivity **9** (2005), 45–62.
- [8] J. Bourgain and H. P. Rosenthal, *Martingales valued in certain subspaces of L_1* , Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 54–75.
- [9] T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood N.J. (1969).
- [10] L. C. García-Lirola, G. Grelier and A. Rueda Zoca, *Extremal structure in ultrapowers of Banach spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM **116**, 4 (2022), article 161.
- [11] L. García-Lirola and A. Rueda Zoca, *Unconditional almost squareness and applications to spaces of Lipschitz functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 451, 1 (2017), 117–131.
- [12] G. Grelier, *Super weak compactness and its applications to Banach space theory*, PhD thesis, Universidad de Murcia, 2022. Available at *DigitUM* with reference <https://digitum.um.es/digitum/handle/10201/126403>.
- [13] G. Grelier and M. Raja, *Subspaces of Hilbert-generated Banach spaces and the quantification of super weak compactness*, J. Funct. Anal. **284**, 10 (2023), article 109889.
- [14] Y. Ivakhno. *Big slice property in the spaces of Lipschitz functions*. Visn. Khark. Univ., Ser. Mat. Prykl. Mat. Mekh, 2006, vol. 749, p. 109–118.
- [15] R. C. James, *Super-reflexive Banach spaces*, Canadian J. Math. **24** (1972), 896–904.
- [16] J. D. Hardtke, *Summands in locally almost square and locally octahedral spaces*. Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. **22**, No. 1 (2018), 149–162.
- [17] S. Heinrich, *Ultraproducts of L_1 -predual spaces*, Fund. Math. **113** (1981), 221–234.
- [18] V. Kadets, N. J. Kalton, D. Werner, *Remarks on rich subspaces of Banach spaces*, Stud. Math. **159**, 2 (2003), 195–206.
- [19] V. Kadets, R. V. Shvidkoy, G. G. Sirotkin, and D. Werner. *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **352**, 2 (2000), 855–873.
- [20] V. Kadets and D. Werner, *A Banach space with the Schur and the Daugavet property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132**, 6 (2004), 1765–1773.

- [21] D. Kubiak, *Some geometric properties of Cesàro function space*, J. Convex Anal. **21**, 1 (2014), 189–200.
- [22] G. López-Pérez, *The big slice phenomena in M -embedded and L -embedded spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134**, 1 (2005), 273–282.
- [23] G. López-Pérez, E. Martínez Vañó and A. Rueda Zoca, *Computing Borel complexity of some geometrical properties in Banach spaces*, preprint. Available at ArXiv.org with reference arXiv:2404.19457.
- [24] O. Nygaard, D. Werner, *Slices in the unit ball of a uniform algebra*, Archiv Math. **76** (2001), 441–444.
- [25] J. Rodríguez and A. Rueda Zoca, *On weakly almost square Banach spaces*, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. **66**, 4 (2023), 979–997.
- [26] A. Rueda Zoca, *Diameter, radius and Daugavet index thickness of slices in Banach spaces*, accepted in Israel J. Math. Preprint version available at ArXiv.org with reference arXiv:2306.01467.
- [27] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, London, 2002.
- [28] R. V. Shvidkoy, *Geometric aspects of the Daugavet property*, J. Funct. Anal. **176**, 2 (2000), 198–212.
- [29] J. Talponen, *Uniform-to-proper duality of geometric properties of Banach spaces and their ultrapowers*, Math. Scand. **121**, 1 (2017), 111–120.
- [30] K. Tu, *Convexification of super weakly compact sets and measure of super weak noncompactness*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **149** (6) (2021), 2531–2538.
- [31] D. Werner, *Recent progress on the Daugavet property*, Ir. Math. Soc. Bull. **46** (2001), 77–79.
- [32] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Some remarks on the Daugavet equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 1047–1052.

UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS
MATEMÁTICO, 18071-GRANADA (SPAIN)

Email address: abrahamrueda@ugr.es

URL: <https://arzenGLISH.wordpress.com>