

Boundedness of variation, oscillation and maximal differential transform on BMO space

Wenting Hu, Kai Wu, Dongyong Yang and Chao Zhang*

Abstract: In this paper, we prove that the oscillation operator, variation operator and maximal differential transform associated with the approximate identities are bounded from $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to its subspace $BLO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Keywords: BLO spaces; BMO spaces; oscillation operator; variation operator; differential transform.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25.

1 Introduction and main results

Variational inequalities originated from the aim of improving the well-known Doob maximal inequality. Relied on the work of Lépingle [23] for martingales, Bourgain [5] obtained the variational estimates of Birkhoff ergodic averages and pointwise convergence results. These results induce a new research subject in harmonic analysis and ergodic theory, and since then, the study of boundedness of oscillation and variation operators has been paid more and more attentions. Especially, the classical work of ρ -variation operators for singular integrals was given in [6], in which the authors obtained the L^p boundedness and weak type (1,1) boundedness for ρ -variation operators of truncated Hilbert transform for $\rho > 2$, and then extended to higher dimensional cases in [7]. For further studies, we refer readers to [1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 27] and the references therein. Especially, for the variation operators associated with heat and Poisson semigroups, Jones et al. [19] and Crescimbeni et al. [10] independently established the L^p -bounds and weak type (1,1) bounds by different approaches. Betancor et al. [4] obtained the boundedness of ρ -variation operators for classical Riesz transform on $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recently, Liu [25] generalized the results in [10, 19] to the variation operators associated with approximate identities and obtained a variational characterization of Hardy spaces. Based on these results, one of our main purposes is to get the boundedness of variation operators associated with approximate identities on $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which extends the results in [4] for variation operators associated with the heat semigroup. In this paper, we will focus on the oscillation operators associated with approximate identities at first. Due to the close connection between variation operators and oscillation operators, relevant results related to variation operators will also be established. We believe that these results in the classical setting are of independent interest. It should be noted that, although variation operators and oscillation operators are both L^p -bounded, they act differently on BMO functions. Before stating our main results, we

*Corresponding author

first recall some relevant definitions and notations. Let $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ be a family of operators. For $\rho > 2$, we define the ρ -variation for $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ by

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{T_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) := \sup_{\varepsilon_i \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |T_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - T_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the sequences of positive number $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ decreasing to zero. It is worth noting that, in order to obtain the boundedness of variations, the assumption $\rho > 2$ is necessary; see, for example, [6, Remark 1.7] or [1, 27]. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$ be a fixed sequence decreasing to zero. Then the oscillation operators of the family $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{T_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |T_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - T_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also recall the definitions of the BMO and BLO function spaces in the following.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n , $|B|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of B and

$$f_B := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx.$$

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the space $\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[f(x) - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} f(y) \right] dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of Schwartz functions (see (2.1) below). We consider the approximate identities $\{\varphi_t\}_{t>0}$, where $\varphi_t(x) := t^{-n} \varphi(x/t)$. Let $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ be the family of convolution operators generated by $\{\varphi_t\}_{t>0}$, that is,

$$\Phi_t f(x) := \varphi_t * f(x). \tag{1.1}$$

Now we formulate our first main result of this paper as follows:

Theorem 1.3. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$, $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$ be a fixed sequence decreasing to zero and $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ be as in (1.1). Then for any $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that

$$\|\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since $\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we can conclude the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ on $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\varphi, \{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Then the oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ is bounded on $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Moreover, we obtain similar but not identical results with regard to variation operators as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$, $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ be as in (1.1). Then for $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$ is either infinite everywhere or finite almost everywhere. And in the latter case, there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Similar to Corollary 1.4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6. *Let φ, ρ and $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ be as in Theorem 1.5. Then there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for any $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{<}^2 := \{(N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : N_1 < N_2\}$. We now consider the maximal differential transform associated with the family $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; * }(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) := \sup_{(N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<}^2} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right|,$$

where $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers, that is, there exists a constant $\delta > 1$ such that $a_{i+1}/a_i \geq \delta$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbf{v} := \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a bounded sequence of complex numbers. We remark that, by this way to analyze convergence of sequence was considered by Jones and Rosenblatt [21] for ergodic average, and latter by Bernardis et al. [3] and Zhang et al. [8, 9] for differential transform. Next, we will consider the boundedness of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; * }(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space with $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let \mathbf{a} be a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers, \mathbf{v} is a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. Then for any $1 < p < \infty$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on $n, p, \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$, δ and φ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; * }(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for all functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 1.8. (i) When $\varphi(x) := \frac{c_n}{(|x|^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$ with $c_n := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$, then $\varphi_t(x) = c_n \frac{t}{(t^2+|x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$

is just the Poisson kernel, and $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ is the classical Poisson semigroup $\{e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}\}_{t>0}$ generated by $-\Delta$. In this case, Theorem 1.7 can be gotten by using the Bochner subordination formula with the results which were proved in [9].

(ii) From Theorem 1.7 and a standard argument, we can also obtain the weak-type (1,1) boundedness of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; * }$.

Based on the result in Theorem 1.7, we can prove the boundedness of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ from $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Following some idea in [2], we need assume that the δ -lacunary sequence $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$1 < \delta \leq \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_i} \leq \delta^2, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.2)$$

Theorem 1.9. *Let \mathbf{a} be a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers satisfying (1.2), \mathbf{v} be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. Then for $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$ is either infinite everywhere or finite almost everywhere. And in the latter case, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on $n, \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}, \delta$ and φ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

From the results in Theorems 1.3, 1.5 and 1.9, we should note that, when $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, but for the variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$ and maximal differential transform $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$, they are either infinite everywhere or finite almost everywhere. This fact is the difference among these three operators when they act on the endpoint spaces.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to give some known estimates on $\{\varphi_t\}_{t>0}$, as well as some useful properties of the functions in $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To better study the behavior of the maximal differential transform, we also analyze its ‘‘partial sums’’ in this section. The proof of Theorems 1.3, 1.5, 1.7 and 1.9 are presented in Sections 3-4, respectively. We obtain these results by following some idea from [16], see also [24, 26, 28, 29, 30]. We also remark that, to our best knowledge, the boundedness of variation, oscillation operators and maximal differential transforms from $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are first given in this paper.

Throughout the paper, C and c are positive constants, which may vary from line to line. For any $k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and ball $B := B(x_0, r)$, $kB := B(x_0, kr)$.

2 Preliminaries

At first, we present some preliminary results on $\{\varphi_t\}_{t>0}$, which are widely used throughout our paper. For convenience, we recall the definition of the Schwartz functions. A smooth real-valued function φ on \mathbb{R}^n is called a Schwartz function, if for every pair of multi-indices α and β ,

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| x^\alpha \partial^\beta \varphi(x) \right| < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.1. *For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\varphi_t(x) := t^{-n} \varphi(x/t)$, $t > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that*

(i) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x)) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(t + |x|)^{n+1}}; \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) for any $x \in B(x_0, r)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $y \in (B(x_0, 2r))^c$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x - y)) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|y - x_0|^{n+1}}; \quad (2.3)$$

(iii) for any $x, y \in B(x_0, r)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $z \in B(x_0, 2r)$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-z) - \varphi_t(y-z)) \right| \leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{n+2}}, \quad (2.4)$$

(iv) for any $x, y \in B(x_0, r)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $z \in (B(x_0, 2r))^c$,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-z) - \varphi_t(y-z)) \right| \leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}} |z-x_0|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. For simplicity, we only give the proof of (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). By a direct calculation, we show (2.3) as follows.

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-y)) \right| = \left| \frac{n\varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right)}{t^{n+1}} + \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right)}{t^{n+2}} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|y-x_0|^{n+1}}.$$

For (2.4), applying the mean value theorem, there exist ξ and η on the segment $\overline{(x-z)(y-z)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-z) - \varphi_t(y-z)) \right| \\ &= \left| \left[\frac{n\varphi\left(\frac{x-z}{t}\right)}{t^{n+1}} + \frac{(x-z) \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{x-z}{t}\right)}{t^{n+2}} \right] - \left[\frac{n\varphi\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right)}{t^{n+1}} + \frac{(y-z) \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{y-z}{t}\right)}{t^{n+2}} \right] \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{n+2}} \left[\left| \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \right| + \left| \nabla\left(\frac{\eta}{t}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{\eta}{t}\right) \right| \right] \leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For (2.5), as previous proof, there exist ξ and η on the segment $\overline{(x-z)(y-z)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-z) - \varphi_t(y-z)) \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{n+2}} \left[\left| \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \right| + C \left| \nabla\left(\frac{\eta}{t}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{\eta}{t}\right) \right| \right] \\ &\leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}} |\xi|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left| \frac{\xi}{t} \right|^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left| \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{t}\right) \right| + C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}} |\eta|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \left| \frac{\eta}{t} \right|^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left| \nabla\left(\frac{\eta}{t}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{\eta}{t}\right) \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{|x-y|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}} |z-x_0|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $|\xi|, |\eta| \sim |z-x_0|$. \square

Now we recall some well known properties for the functions in $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whose proofs can be found for example in [15].

Lemma 2.2. *For $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and positive integer m , there exists $C > 0$ depending only on n such that the following statements hold:*

(i)

$$|f_B - f_{2^m B}| \leq Cm \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)};$$

(ii)

$$\sup_B \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x) - f_B|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n ;

(iii)

$$\frac{1}{|2^m B|} \int_{2^m B} |f(x) - f_B| dx \leq C m \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The L^p boundedness properties for the variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ and the oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$, were studied in [25]. We provide here the precise statement as follows.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varphi, \rho, \{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ be as in Theorems 1.3 and 1.5. Then the variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ and the oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ are both bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for every $1 < p < \infty$.*

In order to better understand the behavior of the maximal differential transform, we shall analyze its ‘‘partial sums’’ defined as follows. For each $N := (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<}^2$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define the partial sum operators

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) := \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the maximal differential transform can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; * }(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) = \sup_{N=(N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<}^2} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x)|.$$

For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(y) f(x-y) dy$$

with the kernel

$$K_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(y) := \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(y) - \varphi_{a_i}(y)). \quad (2.7)$$

The following lemma contains the size description of the kernel and the smoothness estimates that are required in the Calderón-Zygmund theory.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists constant $C > 0$ depending on $n, \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$ and φ (not on N) such that, for any $y \neq 0$,*

$$(i) \quad |K_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|y|^n},$$

$$(ii) \quad |\nabla K_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|y|^{n+1}}.$$

Proof. For (i), by the fact that \mathbf{v} is bounded, as well as Newton-Leibniz formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};N}(y)| &\leq \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} |v_i| |\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(y) - \varphi_{a_i}(y)| \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(y)) dt \right| \\
&\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{n\varphi(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n+1}} + \frac{y \cdot \nabla \varphi(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n+2}} \right| dt \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^{|y|} \left| \frac{n\varphi(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n+\frac{1}{2}t^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{y \cdot \nabla \varphi(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n+\frac{3}{2}t^{\frac{1}{2}}}} \right| dt + \int_{|y|}^{\infty} \left| \frac{n\varphi(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n-\frac{1}{2}t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{y \cdot \nabla \varphi(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n+\frac{1}{2}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}} \right| dt \right) \\
&\leq \int_0^{|y|} \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} |y|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} dt + \int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{C}{t^{\frac{3}{2}} |y|^{n-\frac{1}{2}}} dt \leq \frac{C}{|y|^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (ii), according to (2.1), we have $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_i} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then by a similar discussion to (i), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};N}(y)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};N}(y) \right| \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \varphi_t(y) \right| dt \\
&= C \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_i}(\frac{y}{t})}{t^{n+1}} \right) \right| dt \leq \frac{C}{|y|^{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 Boundedness of the oscillation operators and variation operators

In this section, we will establish the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ from $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we first prove that, $\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty$, *a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$ is a fixed sequence decreasing to zero, there exists $r > 0$ such that $t_1 < r$. Now we take a ball $B := B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We claim that, there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that

$$G := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) dx \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.1)$$

By writing

$$f = (f - f_B)\chi_{2B} + (f - f_B)\chi_{(2B)^c} + f_B =: f_1 + f_2 + f_3,$$

then we get

$$G \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_j)(x) dx =: G_1 + G_2 + G_3.$$

Noting that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_t(x) dx = 1$, it leads to that for any $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Phi_t(f_3)(x) = f_B$. Furthermore, we get $G_3 = 0$.

For G_1 , by Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.2 (i), (ii) and L^2 boundedness of the oscillation operators, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B [\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_1)(x)]^2 dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_B|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{1}{|2B|} \int_{2B} |f(x) - f_{2B}|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + C |f_B - f_{2B}| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

For G_2 , by the definition of oscillation, Newton-Leibniz formula, Fubini's theorem and (2.3) for any $x \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(x) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} \left| \int_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}^{\varepsilon_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_t f_2(x) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_2(y)| \int_0^r \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_t(x-y) \right| dt dy \\ &\leq C \int_{(2B)^c} \frac{|f(y) - f_B| r}{|y - x_0|^{n+1}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.2 (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &\leq C \int_{(2B)^c} \frac{|f(y) - f_B| r}{|y - x_0|^{n+1}} dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} |f(y) - f_B| \frac{r}{(2^k r)^{n+1}} dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{1}{|2^{k+1}B|} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(y) - f_B| dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+1}{2^k} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Summing the estimates of $G_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, (3.1) holds true. Then we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty, a.e. x \in B(x_0, r).$$

Let r tend to infinity, we get

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty, a.e. x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.4)$$

Based on the discussion above, in order to complete the proof, we only need to show that there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that for any ball $B := B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$ is a fixed sequence decreasing to zero, we prove (3.5) by discussing the following two cases.

Case 1: any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_i < r$. In this case, from (3.2) and (3.3), it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B [\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x)] dx \leq C \|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: there exists an integer i_0 such that $t_{i_0} < r \leq t_{i_0-1}$. Without loss of generality, we may further assume $i_0 > 3$. In order to estimate

$$F := \int_B \left[\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx,$$

we consider the following three subsets of B .

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 & := \left\{ y \in B : \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. = \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq r} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 & := \left\{ y \in B : \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. = \sup_{r < \varepsilon_{i_0} < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 & := \left\{ y \in B : \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. = \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} \leq r < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F & = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{B_i} \left[\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \\ & =: F_1 + F_2 + F_3. \end{aligned}$$

For F_1 , we have, for any $y \in B$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and for any $x \in B_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=i_0-1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_i \leq r}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\leq \int_{B_1} \left(\sum_{i=i_0-1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_i \leq r}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + |B_1| \left\{ \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} \left| [\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - [\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y)] \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for F_2 , we have, for any $y \in B$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

And for any $x \in B_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} > r}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &\leq \int_{B_2} \left(\sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + |B_2| \left\{ \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} \geq r}} \left| [\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - [\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y)] \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For F_3 , we have, for any $y \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} \leq r < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{r < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_r f(y)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

And for any $x \in B_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) &\leq \left(\sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{t_{i_0} \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} \leq r} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(x) - \Phi_r f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{r < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(x) - \Phi_r f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=i_0-1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_i \leq r}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sup_{r < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(x) - \Phi_r f(x)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &\leq \int_{B_3} \left(\sum_{i=i_0-1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_i \leq r}} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\quad + |B_3| \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-2} \sup_{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i} \left| [\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - [\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y)] \right|^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{r \leq \varepsilon_{i_0} < \varepsilon_{i_0-1} \leq t_{i_0-1}} \left\{ \left| \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(x) \right] \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0-1}} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i_0}} f(y) \right] \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \int_{B_3} \left(\sum_{i=i_0-1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_i \leq r}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
& \quad + |B_3| \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} \geq r}} \left| \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x) \right] \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y) \right] \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Based on the above arguments, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \mathcal{O}_{\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f) \right\|_{\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{F_1 + F_2 + F_3}{|B|} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left(\sum_{i=i_0-1}^{\infty} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_i \leq r}} \left| \Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\
& \quad + \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} \geq r}} \left| \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x) \right] \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y) \right] \right|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =: E_1 + E_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimates of G_1 and G_2 in Case 1, it follows that

$$E_1 \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then we only need to estimate E_2 . Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_t(x) dx = 1$, then by Newton-Leibniz formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x) \right] - \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y) \right] \right| \\
& = \left| \int_{\varepsilon_{i+1}}^{\varepsilon_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Phi_t(f - f_B)(x) - \Phi_t(f - f_B)(y) \right] dt \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Futhermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 & \leq \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sup_{\substack{t_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_{i+1} < \varepsilon_i \leq t_i \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} \geq r}} \left| \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x) \right] - \left[\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(y) \right] \right| \right\} \\
& \leq \operatorname{esssup}_{x, y \in B} \int_r^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\Phi_t(f - f_B)(x) - \Phi_t(f - f_B)(y) \right] \right| dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \operatorname{esssup}_{x,y \in B} \int_r^\infty \left[\int_{2B} + \int_{(2B)^c} \right] |f(z) - f_B| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-z) - \varphi_t(y-z)) \right| dz dt \\ &=: E_{21} + E_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2 (i) and (2.4), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} E_{21} &\leq C \operatorname{esssup}_{x,y \in B} \int_r^\infty \int_{2B} |f(z) - f_B| \frac{|x-y|}{t^{n+2}} dz dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^n} \int_{2B} |f(z) - f_B| dz \leq C \|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.2 (iii) and (2.5), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{22} &\leq C \operatorname{esssup}_{x,y \in B} \int_r^\infty \int_{(2B)^c} |f(z) - f_B| \frac{|x-y|}{t^{\frac{3}{2}} |z-x_0|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} dz dt \\ &\leq C \int_r^\infty \frac{r}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} dt \int_{(2B)^c} |f(z) - f_B| \frac{1}{|z-x_0|^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} dz \\ &\leq C \sqrt{r} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}B \setminus 2^k B} |f(z) - f_B| \frac{1}{(2^k r)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(z) - f_B| \frac{1}{(2^{k+1}r)^n (\sqrt{2})^k} dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2})^k} \frac{1}{|2^{k+1}B|} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(z) - f_B| dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k+1}{(\sqrt{2})^k} \|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $E_2 \leq C \|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This along with the estimate of E_1 yields (3.5). Accordingly, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first claim that if there exists a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) < \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

then $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$ is finite almost everywhere. As a matter of fact, in this case, we will show there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that for any ball $B := B(x_0, r)$ with $r > 0$,

$$E := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \inf_{y \in B} \mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \leq C \|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.7)$$

For $r > 0$, we set

$$M_r := \{ \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty : \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \text{ is a sequence of positive number decreasing to zero with } \varepsilon_1 \leq r \},$$

$$N_r := \{ \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^k : \{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^k \text{ is a finite decreasing sequence of with the last item } \eta_k \geq r \}.$$

And let

$$B_1 := \left\{ x \in B : \mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) = \sup_{\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in M_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right\},$$

$$B_2 := B \setminus B_1.$$

Then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} E &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_1} \sup_{\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in M_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_2} \left[\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \inf_{y \in B} \mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \\ &=: E_1 + E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimation of G in Theorem 1.3, we obtain

$$E_1 \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For E_2 , notice that for any $x \in B_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) &\leq \sup_{\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in M_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^k \in N_r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\Phi_{\eta_j} f(x) - \Phi_{\eta_{j+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

And for any $y \in B$,

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \geq \sup_{\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^k \in N_r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\Phi_{\eta_j} f(y) - \Phi_{\eta_{j+1}} f(y)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_2} \sup_{\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in M_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{x, y \in B} \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^k} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_j} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(y)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \sup_{\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \in M_r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\Phi_{\varepsilon_i} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{i+1}} f(x)|^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{x, y \in B} \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left| [\Phi_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x)] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. \left. - [\Phi_{\varepsilon_j} f(y) - \Phi_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(y)] \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$=: E_{21} + E_{22}.$$

Similar to the estimation of E_1 above, we obtain

$$E_{21} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By proceeding as in the estimations of E_2 in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can see that

$$E_{22} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, we have $E_2 \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This along with the estimate of E_1 yields (3.7). Furthermore, by (3.6), we can see that $\inf_{y \in B} \mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) < \infty$. Then (3.7) implies

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty, \text{ a.e. } x \in B(x_0, r).$$

By the arbitrariness of r , we get

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty, \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Now we only need to show that, in the case that $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$ is finite almost everywhere, there exists $C > 0$ depending on n and φ such that for any ball $B := B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[\mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \mathcal{V}_\rho(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.8)$$

The proof of (3.8) is similar to (3.7), hence we omit the details, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

4 Boundedness of the maximal differential transform

In this section, we first prove the L^p boundedness of the maximal differential transform $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$. We obtain this result by following some idea in [9]. Based on this result, we further establish the boundedness from $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\text{BLO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$.

4.1 L^p boundedness of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x)$ be as in (2.6). We first establish the L^2 boundedness of it.

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathbf{a} be a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers, \mathbf{v} be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ depending on n , φ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$ (not on N) such that*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we let $\mathcal{F}(f)$ denote the Fourier transform of f . By the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i [\mathcal{F}(\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f)(\xi) - \mathcal{F}(\Phi_{a_i} f)(\xi)] \right|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} \left| \int_{a_i}^{a_{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathcal{F}(\Phi_t f)(\xi)] dt \right| \right\}^2 d\xi \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathcal{F}(\varphi_t)(\xi) \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)] \right| dt \right\}^2 d\xi \\
&= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(t\xi)] \right| dt \right\}^2 [\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)]^2 d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $g := \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(t\xi)] \right| dt \right\}^2 &= \left[\int_0^\infty |\nabla g(t\xi) \cdot \xi| dt \right]^2 \\
&\leq C |\xi|^2 \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t|\xi|)^2} dt \right]^2 \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining with previous estimates, we can see

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)]^2 d\xi = C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

□

Next, we will prove the uniform boundedness of the operators $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$. The standard Calderón-Zygmund theory will be a fundamental tool, for which the reader can see [14, Theorem 5.10]. By this theory, Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain the uniform boundedness of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$ as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathbf{a} be a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers, \mathbf{v} be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. For any $1 < p < \infty$, there exists a constant C depending on n, p, φ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$ (not on N) such that*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The following lemma, parallel to [8, Proposition 3.1], shows that, without loss of generality, we may assume the δ -lacunary sequence $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies (1.2).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. Given a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and a bounded sequence of complex numbers $\mathbf{v} := \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we can find a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers $\mathbf{b} := \{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and a bounded sequence of complex numbers $\mathbf{w} := \{w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ verifying the following properties:*

- (i) $1 < \delta \leq \frac{b_{i+1}}{b_i} \leq \delta^2, i \in \mathbb{Z}, \|\mathbf{w}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})} = \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})},$
- (ii) *For any $N = (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2$, there exists $N' = (N'_1, N'_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2$ such that*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot) = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{w}; N'}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot).$$

Next, we will establish the following Cotlar's type inequality to control $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; M}^* (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$, where $M > 2$ is a positive integer and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; M}^* (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) := \sup_{-M+1 < N_1 < N_2 < M-1} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (N_1, N_2)} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x)|.$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathbf{a} be a δ -lacunary sequence of positive numbers satisfying (1.2), \mathbf{v} be a bounded sequence of complex numbers and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$. For each $q \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a constant C depending on n, φ, δ, q and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$ such that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every positive integer $M > 2$,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; M}^* (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) \leq C \{ \mathcal{M} (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))(x) + \mathcal{M}_q f(x) \},$$

where \mathcal{M} is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and

$$\mathcal{M}_q f(x) := \sup_{r>0} \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad 1 < q < \infty.$$

For the proof of this theorem we shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$, $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a δ -lacunary sequence satisfying (1.2) and $\mathbf{v} := \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a bounded sequence of complex numbers. For every positive integer $M > 2$, and integer m satisfying $|m| < M$, there exists a positive C depending on n, φ, δ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$ such that*

(i) for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left| \sum_{i=m}^M v_i [\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(x-y) - \varphi_{a_i}(x-y)] \right| \leq \frac{C}{a_m^n};$$

(ii) if $k \geq m$ and $z, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|z-y| \geq a_k$, then

$$\left| \sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} v_i [\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(z-y) - \varphi_{a_i}(z-y)] \right| \leq C \frac{\delta^{m-k+1}}{a_k^n}.$$

Proof. For (i), by the mean value theorem, (1.2) and (2.2), there exists $\xi_i \in (a_i, a_{i+1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=m}^M v_i [\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(x-y) - \varphi_{a_i}(x-y)] \right| \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{i=m}^M (a_{i+1} - a_i) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(x-y)) \Big|_{t=\xi_i} \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=m}^M (a_{i+1} - a_i) \left| \frac{1}{(\xi_i + |x-y|)^{n+1}} \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=m}^M (\delta^2 - 1) \frac{a_i}{a_i^{n+1}} \leq C \sum_{i=m}^M \frac{\delta^2 - 1}{a_m^n \delta^{(i-m)n}} \leq \frac{C}{a_m^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove (ii). By the mean value theorem and (1.2), there exists $\xi_i \in (a_i, a_{i+1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} v_i [\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(z-y) - \varphi_{a_i}(z-y)] \right| \\ & \leq \|v\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} (a_{i+1} - a_i) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_t(z-y)) \Big|_{t=\xi_i} \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} (\delta^2 - 1) \left| \frac{a_i}{(\xi_i + |z-y|)^{n+1}} \right| \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} \frac{a_i}{a_k} \right) \frac{1}{a_k^n} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} \frac{1}{\delta^{k-i}} \right) \frac{1}{a_k^n} \leq C \frac{\delta^{m-k+1}}{a_k^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $k \geq m$ in the last inequality. \square

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Notice that, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $N := (N_1, N_2)$ with $-M + 1 < N_1 < N_2 < M - 1$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; N}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (N_1, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (N_2+1, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0).$$

Then it suffices to estimate $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0)|$ for $|m| < M$ with constants independent of m and M . Denote $B_k := B(x_0, a_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We split f as

$$f = f\chi_{B_m} + f\chi_{(B_m)^c} =: f_1 + f_2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0)| & \leq |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_1)(x_0)| + |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(x_0)| \\ & =: A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

For A_1 , by Lemma 4.5 (i) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 & = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=m}^M v_i [\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(x-y) - \varphi_{a_i}(x-y)] f_1(y) dy \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C}{a_m^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(y)| dy \leq C\mathcal{M}(f)(x_0) \leq C\mathcal{M}_q f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

For A_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 & = \frac{C}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(x_0)| dz \\ & \leq \frac{C}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z) - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(x_0)| dz \\ & \quad + \frac{C}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, v; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z)| dz \end{aligned}$$

$$=: CA_{21} + CA_{22}.$$

For A_{21} , notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z) - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(x_0)| \\ & \leq \int_{(B_m)^c} |K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(z-y) - K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(x_0-y)| |f(y)| dy \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^i B_m \setminus 2^{i-1} B_m} |K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(z-y) - K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(x_0-y)| |f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

For $z \in B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)$, $y \in 2^i B_m \setminus 2^{i-1} B_m$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 2.4 (ii) and the mean value theorem, we know that there exists ξ_i on the segment $\overline{x_0 z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(z-y) - K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(x_0-y)| \\ & = |\nabla K_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(\xi_i - y)| |x_0 - z| \leq C \frac{|x_0 - z|}{|\xi_i - y|^{n+1}} \leq C \frac{a_{m-1}}{(2^i a_m)^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $z \in B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z) - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(m,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(x_0)| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^i B_m \setminus 2^{i-1} B_m} \frac{a_{m-1}}{(2^i a_m)^{n+1}} |f(y)| dy \\ & \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \right) \mathcal{M}f(x_0) \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

It yields

$$A_{21} \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0).$$

For A_{22} ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{22} & = \frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,m-1)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z)| dz \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(z)| dz \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_1)(z)| dz \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,m-1)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_2)(z)| dz \\ & =: A_{221} + A_{222} + A_{223}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$A_{221} \leq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))(x_0).$$

For A_{222} , by the Hölder inequality and the boundedness of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{v};(-M,M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, \cdot)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{222} &\leq C \left[\frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} |\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f_1)(z)|^q dz \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq C \left(\frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(z)|^q dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq C \left(\frac{1}{(a_m/2)^n} \int_{B_m} |f(z)|^q dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

For A_{223} , notice that

$$A_{223} \leq C \frac{1}{(a_{m-1}/2)^n} \int_{B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)} \int_{(B_m)^c} |K_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, m-1)}(z-y)| |f(y)| dy dz.$$

And for any $z \in B(x_0, a_{m-1}/2)$, when $y \in B_{k+1} \setminus B_k$ with $k \geq m$, $|z-y| \sim |y-x_0| \geq a_k$. Then by Lemma 4.5 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(B_m)^c} |K_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, m-1)}(z-y)| |f(y)| dy \\
&= \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \int_{B_{k+1} \setminus B_k} \left| \sum_{i=-M}^{m-1} v_i [\varphi_{a_{i+1}}(z-y) - \varphi_{a_i}(z-y)] f(y) \right| dy \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \int_{B_{k+1} \setminus B_k} \frac{\delta^{m-k-1}}{a_k^n} |f(y)| dy \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \int_{B_{k+1}} \frac{\delta^{m-k-1}}{a_{k+1}^n} |f(y)| dy \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \delta^{m-k-1} \mathcal{M} f(x_0) \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$A_{223} \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0).$$

Combining the estimates above for A_{221} , A_{222} and A_{223} , we get

$$A_{22} \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0) + C \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))(x_0).$$

And the estimates for A_{21} and A_{22} imply

$$A_2 \leq C \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0) + C \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))(x_0).$$

Summing the estimates of A_1 and A_2 , we conclude that

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (m, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) \leq C \{ \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))(x_0) + \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0) \},$$

where the constant C appearing above depends on n, p, φ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}$ (not on M). Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; M}^*(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) \leq C \{ \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))(x_0) + \mathcal{M}_q f(x_0) \}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4. \square

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, we can choose $1 < q < p$. Then it is well known that the maximal operators \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}_q are both bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Furthermore, by Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; M}^* (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C (\|\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\mathcal{M}_q f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\ &\leq C (\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; (-M, M)} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the constant C appearing above does not depend on M , letting M increase to infinity, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7. \square

4.2 BMO-BLO boundedness of the maximal differential transform

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We first assume $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x)$ is finite almost everywhere. And in this case, we shall show that there exists a constant C depending on $n, \|\mathbf{v}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z})}, \delta$ and φ such that for any ball $B := B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\begin{aligned} F := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B [\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) \\ - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y)] dx \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Since $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a δ -lacunary sequence of positive number, there exists an integer i_0 such that $a_{i_0} < r \leq a_{i_0+1}$. We decompose B into the following three subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \left\{ x \in B : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{N_2 \leq i_0 - 1 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \right\}, \\ B_2 &:= \left\{ x \in B : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{N_1 \geq i_0 + 1 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_3 := \left\{ x \in B : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}; *} (\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{N_1 \leq i_0 \leq N_2 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \right\}.$$

For each $j = 1, 2, 3$, we define F_j as F with B replaced by B_j respectively. Then for F_1 , we have

$$F_1 \leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_1} \sup_{\substack{N_2 \leq i_0 - 1 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| dx.$$

Since $a_{i_0-1} < a_{i_0} < r$, by proceeding as in the estimations of G_1, G_2, G_3 in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can see

$$F_1 \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B_2} \left\{ \sup_{\substack{N_1 \geq i_0+1 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{y \in B} \sup_{\substack{N_1 \geq i_0+1 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(y) - \Phi_{a_i} f(y)) \right| \right\} dx \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x, y \in B} \sup_{\substack{N_1 \geq i_0+1 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i [(\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) - (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(y) - \Phi_{a_i} f(y))] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{i_0+1} \geq r$, by proceeding as in the estimations of E_2 in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can see

$$F_2 \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For F_3 , we can see, for any $x \in B_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\substack{N_1 \leq i_0 \leq N_2 \\ (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_<^2}} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{N_1 < i_0} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{i_0} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| + \sup_{N_1 \leq i_0 < i_0+1 \leq N_2} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{N_1 < i_0} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{i_0+1} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| + |v_{i_0+1} (\Phi_{a_{i_0+2}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_{i_0+1}} f(x))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{N_1 \leq i_0} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{i_0+1} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| + \sup_{N_2 \geq i_0+1} \left| \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| \\ &\leq 3 \sup_{N_1 \leq i_0+1} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{i_0+1} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| + \sup_{N_2 \geq i_0+1} \left| \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{N_2} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &\leq \frac{3}{|B|} \int_B \sup_{N_1 \leq i_0+1} \left| \sum_{i=N_1}^{i_0+1} v_i (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) \right| dx \\ &\quad + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x, y \in B} \sup_{N_2 \geq i_0+1} \left| \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{N_2} v_i [(\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(x) - \Phi_{a_i} f(x)) - (\Phi_{a_{i+1}} f(y) - \Phi_{a_i} f(y))] \right| \\ &=: 3F_{31} + F_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.2) and $a_{i_0} < r$, we deduce $a_{i_0+2} \leq \delta^4 a_{i_0} \leq Cr$. Then the arguments of F_{31} and F_{32} are similar to F_1 and F_2 respectively. And then we get

$$F_3 \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining the estimates above for F_1, F_2 and F_3 , we prove (4.1).

Now we only need to show that, if there exists a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x_0) < \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)$ is finite almost everywhere. Similar to the proof of (4.1), we can prove that

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \left[\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) - \inf_{y \in B} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) \right] dx \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (4.3)$$

By the assumption (4.2), we can see that $\inf_{y \in B} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(y) < \infty$. Then (4.3) implies

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty, a.e. x \in B(x_0, r).$$

By the arbitrariness of r , we get

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v};*}(\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}, f)(x) < \infty, a.e. x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.9. \square

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the referees for careful reading and helpful suggestions, which help to make this paper more readable. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971402, 11971431, 12171399) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. LY22A010011).

References

- [1] M. Akcoglu, R. L. Jones and P. Schwartz, Variation in probability, ergodic theory and analysis, *Illinois J. Math.*, 42:154-177, 1998.
- [2] V. Almeida and J. J. Betancor, Variation and oscillation for harmonic operators in the inverse Gaussian setting, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 21(2): 419-470, 2022.
- [3] A. L. Bernardis, M. Lorente, F. J. Martínez, A. de la Torre and J.L. Torrea, Differential transforms in weighted spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 12(1): 83-103, 2006.
- [4] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña, E. Harboure and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, Variation operators for semigroups and Riesz transforms on BMO in the Schrödinger setting, *Potential Anal.*, 38(3): 711-739, 2013.
- [5] J. Bourgain, Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 69(1): 5-45, 1989.
- [6] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and variation for the Hilbert transform, *Duke Math. J.*, 105(1): 59-83, 2000.
- [7] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and variation for singular integrals in higher dimensions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 355(5): 2115-2137, 2003.
- [8] Z. Chao, T. Ma and J. L. Torrea, Boundedness of differential transforms for one-sided fractional Poisson-type operator sequence, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31(1): 67-99, 2021.

- [9] Z. Chao and J. L. Torrea, Boundedness of differential transforms for heat semigroups generated by Schrödinger operators, *Canad. J. Math.*, 73(3): 622-655, 2021.
- [10] R. Crescimbeni, R. A. Macías, T. Menárguez, J. L. Torrea and B. Viviani, The ρ -variation as an operator between maximal operators and singular integrals, *J. Evol. Equ.*, 9(1): 81-102, 2009.
- [11] R. Crescimbeni, F. Martín-Reyes, A. de la Torre and J. L. Torrea, The ρ -variation of the Hermitian Riesz transform, *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, 26(10): 1827-1838, 2010.
- [12] S. Demir, Variational inequalities for the differences of averages over lacunary sequences, *New York J. Math.*, 28: 1099-1111, 2022.
- [13] S. Demir, Inequalities for the variation operator. *Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc.*, 64: 92-97, 2020.
- [14] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier analysis*, Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by David Cruz-Uribe. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [15] L. Grafakos, *Modern Fourier Analysis*, 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [16] W. Hu, J. J. Betancor, S. Liu, H. Wu and D. Yang, Boundedness of operators on weighted Morrey-Campanato spaces in the Bessel setting, *J. Geom. Anal.*, accepted.
- [17] R. L. Jones, R. Kaufman, J. M. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl, Oscillation in ergodic theory, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.*, 18(4): 889-935, 1998.
- [18] R. L. Jones, I. V. Ostrovskii and J. M. Rosenblatt, Square functions in ergodic theory, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems.*, 16(2): 267-305, 1996.
- [19] R. L. Jones and K. Reinhold, Oscillation and variation inequalities for convolution powers, *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 21(6): 1809-1829, 2001.
- [20] R. L. Jones and K. Reinhold, Variation inequalities for the Fejer and Poisson kernel, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 356(11): 4493-4518, 2004.
- [21] R. L. Jones and J. Rosenblatt, Differential and ergodic transforms, *Math. Ann.*, 323(3): 525-546, 2002.
- [22] R. L. Jones, A. Seeger and J. Wright, Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 360(12): 6711-6742, 2008.
- [23] D. Lépingle, La variation d'ordre p des semi-martingales, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 36(4): 295-316, 1976.
- [24] H. Lin and D. Yang, Spaces of type BLO on non-homogeneous metric measure. *Front. Math. China*, 6(2): 271-292, 2011.
- [25] H. Liu, Variational characterization of H^p , *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.*, 149: 1123-1134, 2019.
- [26] L. Liu and D. Yang, BLO spaces associated with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 132: 633-649, 2008.
- [27] J. Qian, The p -variation of partial sum processes and the empirical process, *Ann. Probab.* 26: 1370-1383, 1998.
- [28] D. Yang and D. Yang, BMO-estimates for maximal operators via approximations of the identity with non-doubling measures, *Canad. J. Math.*, 62(6): 1419-1434, 2010.
- [29] D. Yang, D. Yang and Y. Zhou, Localized Morrey-Campanato spaces on metric measure spaces and applications to Schrödinger operators, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 198: 77-119, 2010.
- [30] Y. Zhou, Some endpoint estimates of local Littlewood-Paley operators, *Journal of Beijing Normal University (Natural Science)* 44(6): 577-580, 2008.

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007,
P.R. China

E-mail: huwenting@htu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P.R. China

E-mail: 19020211153414@stu.xmu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P.R. China

E-mail: dyyang@xmu.edu.cn

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310018,
P.R. China

E-mail: zaoyangzhangchao@163.com