

# On $S$ -injective modules

Driss Bennis and Ayoub Bouziri

## Abstract

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with an identity, and  $S$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$ . In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $S$ -injective modules as a weak version of injective modules. Among other results, we provide an  $S$ -version of the Baer's characterisation of injective modules. We also give an  $S$ -version of the Lambek's characterization of flat modules: an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $S$ -flat if and only if its character,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , is an  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module. As applications, we establish, under certain conditions, counterparts of Cheatham and Stone's characterizations for  $S$ -Noetherian rings using the notion of character modules.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 13C11, 13C13, 13E99.

**Key Words:**  $S$ -Noetherian rings,  $S$ -flat modules,  $S$ -injective modules.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity, all modules are unitary and  $S$  is a multiplicative subset of  $R$ ; that is,  $1 \in S$  and  $s_1 s_2 \in S$  for any  $s_1 \in S, s_2 \in S$ . Unless explicitly stated otherwise, when we refer to a multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $R$ , we implicitly assume that  $0 \notin S$ . This assumption will be used in the sequel without explicit mention. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. As usual, we use  $M^+$  and  $M_S$  to denote, respectively, the character module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  and the localization of  $M$  at  $S$ . Recall that  $M_S \cong M \otimes_R R_S$ .

In 1981, Cheatham and Stone, in their work [8, Theorem 1], established characterizations for Noetherian rings using the notion of character modules, as bellow.

**Theorem 1.1 ([8], Theorem 2)** *Let  $R$  be any ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $R$  is left Noetherian.
2.  ${}_R M$  is injective if and only if  ${}_R M^{++}$  is injective.
3.  ${}_R M$  is injective if and only if  ${}_R M^+$  is flat.

Here,  ${}_R M$  denotes that  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module.

In the last years, the notion of  $S$ -property draw attention of several authors. This notion was introduced in 2002 by D. D. Anderson and Dumitrescu where they defined the notions of  $S$ -finite modules and  $S$ -Noetherian rings. Namely, an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $S$ -finite module if there exist a finitely generated submodule  $N$  of  $M$  and  $s \in S$  such that  $sM \subseteq N$ . A commutative ring  $R$  is said to be  $S$ -Noetherian if every ideal of  $R$  is  $S$ -finite.

In [6], Bennis and El Hajoui investigated an  $S$ -version of finitely presented modules and coherent rings which are called, respectively,  $S$ -finitely presented modules and  $S$ -coherent rings. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $S$ -finitely presented if there exists an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $L$  is a finitely generated free  $R$ -module and  $K$  is an  $S$ -finite  $R$ -module. Moreover, a commutative ring  $R$  is called  $S$ -coherent, if every finitely generated ideal of  $R$  is  $S$ -finitely presented. They showed that the  $S$ -coherent rings have a similar characterization to the classical one given by Chase for coherent rings [7, Theorem 3.8]. Subsequently, they asked whether there exists an  $S$ -version of Chase's theorem [7, Theorem 2.1]. In other words, how to define an  $S$ -version of flatness that characterizes  $S$ -coherent rings similarly to the classical case? This problem was solved by the notion of  $S$ -flat module in [12]. Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $S$ -flat if for any finitely generated ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , the natural homomorphism  $(I \otimes_R M)_S \rightarrow (R \otimes_R M)_S$  is a monomorphism [12, Definition 2.5.]; equivalently,  $M_S$  is a flat  $R_S$ -module [12, Proposition 2.6]. Notice that any flat  $R$ -module is  $S$ -flat. A general framework for  $S$ -flat modules was developed in the paper [3].

The objective of this paper is to present a counterpart of Cheatham and Stone's characterizations of  $S$ -Noetherian rings using the notion of character modules. Specifically, we aim to provide an  $S$ -version of Theorem 1.1.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Firstly, we introduce the notion of  $S$ -injective modules, which is different from the notion of  $S$ -injective modules in the sense of [2]. In Proposition 2.3, we prove an  $S$ -version of Baer's Criterion. We then proceed to offer characterizations of  $S$ -injective modules and explore some of their properties. For example, we demonstrate that the class of  $S$ -injective modules is closed under direct summands, direct products, and finite direct sums. Furthermore, we establish that, under some conditions, the class of all  $S$ -injective modules is closed under direct sums if and only if  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian (see Corollary 2.16). This extends the well-known result that states a ring is Noetherian if and only if the class of all injective modules is closed under direct sum [15, Theorem 4.3.4].

Additionally, we provide an  $S$ -version of Lambek's characterization of flat modules: an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $S$ -flat if and only if its character,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ , is an  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module (see Proposition 2.19). Finally, we establish, under specific conditions, a counterpart of Cheatham and Stone's characterization for  $S$ -Noetherian rings, utilizing the concept of character modules (see Theorem 2.22).

## 2 $S$ -injective modules

Let us begin with:

**Definition 2.1** An  $R$ -module  $E$  is said to be  $S$ -injective if, whenever  $i : A \rightarrow B$  is a monomorphism and  $h : A_S \rightarrow E$  is any morphism of  $R$ -modules, there exists a morphism of  $R$ -modules  $g$  making the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E & \\ & \uparrow h & \swarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow A_S & \xrightarrow{i_S} B_S \end{array}$$

Obviously, every injective  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $S$ -injective. Next, in Example 2.20, we provide an example of an  $S$ -injective module that is not injective. However, these two concepts coincide for  $R_S$ -modules, as we will show in Proposition 2.2. The canonical ring homomorphism  $\theta : R \rightarrow R_S$  makes every  $R_S$ -module an  $R$ -module via the module action  $r.m = \frac{r}{1}.m$ , where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Recall from [9, page 417 (2)] that an  $R_S$ -module is injective as  $R_S$ -module if and only if it is injective as  $R$ -module.

**Proposition 2.2** An  $R_S$ -module  $E$  is injective as  $R$ -module if and only if it is  $S$ -injective.

**Proof.** The "only if" part always holds.

Regarding the "if" part, as discussed above, it suffices to show that  $E$  is an injective  $R_S$ -module. But, this is an immediate consequence of [13, Corollary 4.79], which states that every  $R_S$ -module is naturally isomorphic to its localization  $M_S$  as  $R_S$ -modules. Additionally, we have the fact that:

$$\text{Hom}_{R_S}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

for all  $R_S$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . ■

It is worth noting that an  $R$ -module  $E$  is injective if and only if every  $R$ -morphism  $f : I \rightarrow E$ , where  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , can be extended to  $R$  (Baer's Criterion), [10, Theorem 1.1.6]. Replacing "injective" with " $S$ -injective", we have

**Proposition 2.3** An  $R$ -module  $E$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if every  $R$ -morphism  $f : I_S \rightarrow E$ , where  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , can be extended to  $R_S$ .

**Proof.** We imitate the proof given by R. Baer with some changes.

The "only if" part, is clear.

For the "if" part, suppose we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E & \\ & \uparrow f & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow A_S & \xrightarrow{i_S} B_S \end{array}$$

where  $A$  is a submodule of an  $R$ -module  $B$ . For notational convenience, let us assume that  $i$  is the inclusion (this assumption amounts to permitting us to write  $\frac{a}{s}$  instead of  $i_S(\frac{a}{s}) = \frac{i(a)}{s}$  whenever  $a \in A$  and  $s \in S$ ). Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all ordered pairs  $(A', g')$ , where  $A \subseteq A' \subseteq B$  and  $g' : A'_S \rightarrow E$  extends  $f$ ; that is,  $g'|_{A_S} = f$ . Note that  $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$  because  $(A, f) \in \mathcal{X}$ . Partially order  $\mathcal{X}$  by defining

$$(A', g') \leq (A'', g'')$$

to mean  $A' \subseteq A''$  and  $g''$  extends  $g'$ . We may prove easily that chains in  $\mathcal{X}$  have upper bounds in  $\mathcal{X}$ ; hence, Zorn's lemma applies, and there exists a maximal element  $(M, m)$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $M_S = B_S$ , we are done, and so we may assume that there is  $b \in B$  with  $\frac{b}{1} \notin M_S$ .

Define

$$I = \{r \in R / rb \in M\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ . Define  $h : I_S \rightarrow E$  by

$$h\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = m\left(\frac{ab}{s}\right).$$

By hypothesis, there is a map  $h^* : R_S \rightarrow E$  extending  $h$ . Finally, define  $M' = M + \langle b \rangle$  and  $m' : M'_S \rightarrow E$  by

$$m'\left(\frac{a+\alpha b}{s}\right) = m\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) + h^*\left(\frac{\alpha}{s}\right),$$

where  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $a \in M$ . Let us show that  $m'$  is well-defined. If  $\frac{a+\alpha b}{s} = \frac{a'+\alpha' b}{s'}$ , then

$$\frac{a}{s} - \frac{a'}{s'} = \frac{\alpha' b}{s'} - \frac{\alpha b}{s} = \frac{(\alpha' s - \alpha s')b}{ss'} \in M_S,$$

so there exist  $n \in M$  and  $r \in S$  such that  $\frac{n}{r} = \frac{(\alpha' s - \alpha s')b}{ss'}$  and then  $lss'n = lr(\alpha' s - \alpha s')b$  for some  $l \in S$ ; it follows that  $lr(\alpha' s - \alpha s') \in I$ . Therefore,  $h\left(\frac{lr(\alpha' s - \alpha s')}{lrss'}\right)$  is defined, and we have

$m\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) - m\left(\frac{a'}{s'}\right) = m\left(\frac{lr(\alpha' s - \alpha s')b}{lrss'}\right) = h\left(\frac{lr(\alpha' s - \alpha s')}{lrss'}\right) = h^*\left(\left(\frac{lr(\alpha' s - \alpha s')}{lrss'}\right)\right) = h^*\left(\frac{\alpha'}{s'}\right) - h^*\left(\frac{\alpha}{s}\right)$ . Thus,  $m\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) + h^*\left(\frac{\alpha}{s}\right) = m\left(\frac{a'}{s'}\right) + h^*\left(\frac{\alpha'}{s'}\right)$  as desired. Clearly,  $(M', m') \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $m'\left(\frac{a}{s}\right) = m\left(\frac{a}{s}\right)$  for all  $a \in M$  and  $s \in S$ , so that the map  $m'$  extends  $m$ .

We conclude that  $(M, m) < (M', m')$ , contradicting the maximality of  $(M, m)$ . Therefore,  $M_S = B_S$ , the map  $m$  is a lifting of  $f$ , and  $E$  is  $S$ -injective.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Consider the following assertions:*

1.  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N_S, M) = 0$  for any  $R$ -module  $N$ .
2.  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R_S/I_S, M) = 0$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .
3.  $M$  is  $S$ -injective.

*The implications 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2.  $\Rightarrow$  3. hold true. Assuming that  $R_S$  is projective as an  $R$ -module, then all the three assertions are equivalent.*

**Proof.** 1  $\Rightarrow$  2. is trivial. 2  $\Rightarrow$  3. Follows by Proposition 2.3.

3  $\Rightarrow$  1. Assume that  $R_S$  is projective. Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module. There exists an exact sequence of  $R_S$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow N_S \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $P$  is a projective  $R_S$ -module. This gives rise to the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(K, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(N_S, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(P, M).$$

Since  $R_S$  is projective  $R$ -module, so is  $P$ . Therefore,  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = 0$ . Hence,  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(N_S, M) = 0$ , because the homomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(K, M)$  is surjective.  $\blacksquare$

Recall from [15, Theorem 3.10.22] that a commutative ring  $R$  is perfect if and only if every flat  $R$ -module is projective. Since  $R_S$  is a flat  $R$ -module [13, Theorem 4.80.], the following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4.

**Corollary 2.5** *Assume that  $R$  is perfect. Then, an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R_S/I_S, M) = 0$  for any ideals  $I$  of  $R$ .*

Recall from [12, Definition 2.1] that a sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules is said to be  $S$ -exact if the induced sequence  $0 \rightarrow A_S \rightarrow B_S \rightarrow C_S \rightarrow 0$  is exact.  $S$ -injective modules have the following characterizations:

**Proposition 2.6** *The following statements are equivalent for  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

1.  $M$  is  $S$ -injective.
2. For every exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(C_S, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(B_S, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(A_S, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

3. For every  $S$ -exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(C_S, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(B_S, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(A_S, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Proof.** 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2. Assume that  $M$  is  $S$ -injective. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{f} C \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence. We must prove the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_S, M) \xrightarrow{f_S^*} \text{Hom}_R(B_S, M) \xrightarrow{i_S^*} \text{Hom}_R(A_S, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$  is a left exact contravariant functor, it suffices to show that  $i_S^*$  is surjective. Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A_S, M)$ . Since  $M$  is  $S$ -injective, there exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(B_S, M)$  with  $f = gi_S = i_S^*(g)$ . Hence,  $i_S^*$  is surjective.

2.  $\Rightarrow$  1. Let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  be a monomorphism, and let  $f : A_S \rightarrow M$ . By hypothesis, the induced homomorphism  $i^* : \text{Hom}_R(B_S, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A_S, M)$  is surjective. Then there exists  $g : B_S \rightarrow M$  such that  $gi_S = f$ , and as a result, the appropriate diagram commutes. Therefore, we conclude that  $M$  is  $S$ -injective."

2.  $\Rightarrow$  3. Let  $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{f} C \longrightarrow 0$  be an  $S$ -exact sequence. We need to show that

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_S, M) \xrightarrow{f_S^*} \text{Hom}_R(B_S, M) \xrightarrow{i_S^*} \text{Hom}_R(A_S, M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since  $0 \longrightarrow A_S \xrightarrow{i_S} B_S \xrightarrow{f_S} C_S \longrightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence and  $\text{Hom}(-, M)$  is a left exact contravariant functor, it suffices to show that  $i_S^*$  is surjective. Let  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(A_S, M)$ . Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(i) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Im}(i) \rightarrow 0.$$

By (2), the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Im}(i)_S, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A_S, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\ker(i)_S, M) = 0$$

is exact. Then, there is  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(\text{Im}(i)_S, M)$  such that  $h = gi_S$

Now, the inclusion map  $k : \text{Im}(i) \rightarrow B$  induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(i) \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/\text{Im}(i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Again, by (2), the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R((B/\text{Im}(i))_S, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(B_S, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Im}(i)_S, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. So there exists  $g' \in \text{Hom}_R(B_S, M)$  such that  $g = g'k_S$ . Finally,  $h = (g'k_S)i_S = g'(k_Si_S) = g'i_S = i_S^*(g')$ , which means that  $i_S^*$  is surjective.

3.  $\Rightarrow$  2. Since  $R_S$  is a flat  $R$ -module, every exact sequence is  $S$ -exact.

■

We have the following interesting consequence.

**Proposition 2.7**  *$M$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(R_S, M)$  is injective.*

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2.6 and the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_R(B, C)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(A \otimes_R B, C),$$

for any  $R$ -modules  $A, B$ , and  $C$  [13, Theorem 2.75]. ■

**Remark 2.8** Using the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, C)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(A \otimes_R B, C),$$

where  $A, B, C$  are arbitrary  $R$ -modules (see [13, Theorem 2.75]), the Proposition 2.7, and the Baer's criterion, we obtain a quick proof for the proposition 2.3.

**Corollary 2.9** Let  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $R$ -modules. Then  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if each  $M_i$  is  $S$ -injective. Therefore, every direct summand of an  $S$ -injective module is  $S$ -injective.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.7,  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, \prod_{i \in I} M_i)$  is injective. But, since  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, \prod_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$  by [13, Theorem 2.30], it follows from [13, Proposition 3.28] that  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, \prod_{i \in I} M_i)$  is injective if and only if  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$  is injective for each  $i \in I$ . Again by Proposition 2.7, this hold if and only if  $M_i$  is  $S$ -injective for any  $i \in I$ . ■

Recall from [15, Theorem 4.3.4] that a ring is Noetherian if and only if any direct sum of injective modules is itself injective. Next, we focus our attention on the similar question when the class of  $S$ -injective modules is closed under direct sum. Moreover, in Corollary 2.16, under some conditions, we show that a ring  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian if and only if any direct sum of  $S$ -injective modules is  $S$ -injective. As a consequence of Corollary 2.9, we have:

**Corollary 2.10** A finite direct sum of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules is  $S$ -injective.

**Proof.** The direct sum of finitely many modules coincides with their direct product. ■

**Proposition 2.11** Assume that  $R$  is an  $S$ -Noetherian ring such that  $R_S$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module. If  $(M_i)_{i \in J}$  is a family of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules, then  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j$  is an  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module.

**Proof.** We imitate the proof given by [13, Proposition 3.31]. By Proposition 2.3, it suffices to complete the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j & \\ & \uparrow f & \nearrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow I_S & \xrightarrow{i_S} R_S \end{array}$$

where  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . If  $x \in \bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j$ , then  $x = (e_j)_{j \in J}$ , where, for each  $j \in j$ ,  $e_j \in M_j$ . Let  $\mathrm{Supp}(x) = \{j \in J : e_j \neq 0\}$ . Since  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian,  $I$  is  $S$ -finite; so there exists a finitely generated subideal  $I'$  of  $I$  such that  $sI \subseteq I'$  for some

$s \in S$ . Then,  $I_S = I'_S$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R_S$ . Since  $R_S$  is finitely generated,  $I_S$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module, say,  $I_S = Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_n$ . Since, for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $f(x_k)$  has finite support  $Supp(f(x_k)) \subset J$ , the set  $J' = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k=n} Supp(f(x_k))$  is a finite set, and  $Im(f) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j \in J'} M_j$ . By Corollary 2.10, this finite direct sum is  $S$ -injective. Hence, there is an  $R$ -morphism  $g' : R_S \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J'} M_j$  extending  $f$ . Composing  $g'$  with the inclusion of  $\bigoplus_{j' \in J'} M'_j$  into  $\bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j$  completes the given diagram. ■

**Corollary 2.12** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq R$  a finite multiplicative set. If  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian then every direct sum of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules is  $S$ -injective.*

Recall that an injective  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $\Sigma$ -injective if every direct sum of copies of  $M$  is injective [15, Definition 4.3.1]. Let us say that an  $S$ -injective modules  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ - $S$ -injective if every direct sum of copies of  $M$  is  $S$ -injective.

**Proposition 2.13** *Let  $S$  be a multiplicative subset of  $R$  such that  $R_S$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module. Consider the following assertions:*

1.  $R_S$  is Noetherian.
2. Every direct sum of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules is  $S$ -injective.
3. Every direct sum of countably infinite  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules is  $S$ -injective.
4. Every  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module is  $\Sigma$ - $S$ -injective.
5. Every injective  $R$ -module is  $\Sigma$ - $S$ -injective.
6. Every injective  $R_S$ -modules is  $\Sigma$ - $S$ -injective.

*The implications 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2.  $\Rightarrow$  3.  $\Rightarrow$  4.  $\Rightarrow$  5.  $\Rightarrow$  6. hold true. Assuming that  $R_S$  is finitely presented as  $R$ -module, all the assertions are equivalent.*

**Proof.** 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2. This is Proposition 2.11.

2.  $\Rightarrow$  3.  $\Rightarrow$  4.  $\Rightarrow$  5. are trivial.

5.  $\Rightarrow$  6. This follows from the fact that every injective  $R_S$ -module is injective as an  $R$ -module [9, page 417 (2)].

6.  $\Rightarrow$  1. Follows from Proposition 2.2, [15, Theorem 4.3.4], and the fact that an  $R_S$ -module is injective as  $R_S$ -module if and only if it is injective as an  $R$ -module [9, page 417 (2)]. ■

Given a commutative ring  $R$  and a multiplicative subset  $S \subseteq R$ , we will say that the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded if there exists an element  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $sr = 0$  for  $s \in S$  and  $r \in R$  implies  $s_0r = 0$ . This definition can be found in [11]. If  $S$  is finite, then the  $S$ -torsion is bounded by the product of all elements of  $S$ .



**Lemma 2.14** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $S \subseteq R$  be a multiplicative subset such that the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded by  $s_0$ . Assume that  $R_S$  is finitely generated as  $R$ -module. Then  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian if and only if  $R_S$  is Noetherian.*

**Proof.** The "only if" part always holds. To prove the "if" part, suppose that we are given an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Since  $R_S$  is Noetherian and finitely generated as an  $R$ -module,  $I_S = R \frac{a_1}{s_1} + \cdots + R \frac{a_m}{s_m}$ , for some  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in I$ . Let  $a \in I$  and  $t_0 = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m$ . There exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in R$  such that

$$\frac{a}{t_0} = \alpha_1 \frac{a_1}{s_1} + \cdots + \alpha_m \frac{a_m}{s_m} = \frac{\beta_1 a_1 + \cdots + \beta_m a_m}{t_0}$$

for some  $\beta_i \in R$ . Then, there exists  $t' \in S$  such that  $t' t_0 (a - (\beta_1 a_1 + \cdots + \beta_m a_m)) = 0$ . Hence,  $s_0 (a - (\beta_1 a_1 + \cdots + \beta_m a_m)) = 0$ . Then  $s_0 a \in I' = Ra_1 + \cdots + Ra_m \subseteq I$ . Hence,  $I$  is  $S$ -finite. Therefore,  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian. ■

**Corollary 2.15** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subseteq R$  a finite multiplicative set, then  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian if and only if  $R_S$  is Noetherian.*

**Proof.** The  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded by  $s_0 = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ . Moreover,  $R_S = R \frac{1}{s_1} + \cdots + R \frac{1}{s_n}$  as  $R$ -module. Thus, the result follow immediately from Lemma 2.14. ■

We deduce the following result, which may be viewed as an extension of [15, Theorem 4.3.4], when the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded and  $R_S$  is finitely presented.

**Corollary 2.16** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $S \subseteq R$  be a multiplicative subset such that the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded. Assume that  $R_S$  is finitely presented as  $R$ -module. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian.
2. Every direct sum of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules is  $S$ -injective.
3. Every direct sum of countably infinite  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules is  $S$ -injective.
4. Every  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module is  $\Sigma$ - $S$ -injective.

**Proof.** This follows by Proposition 2.13 and Lemma 2.14. ■

**Corollary 2.17** *Let  $R$  be a commutative coherent ring and  $S \subseteq R$  be a multiplicative subset such that the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded by  $s_0$ . Assume that  $R_S$  is finitely presented as  $R$ -module. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian.
2. Every direct limit of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules over a directed set is  $S$ -injective.

**Proof.** 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2. Let  $(M_i)_{i \in J}$  be a direct system of  $S$ -injective modules over a directed set  $J$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian,  $I$  is  $S$ -finite. Then,  $I_S$  is finitely generated as  $R_S$ -module. Since  $R_S$  is finitely generated,  $I_S$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module. By [10, Theorem 2.1.2]  $R_S/I_S$  is finitely presented  $R$ -modules. By [15, Theorem 3.9.4],

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R_S/I_S, \varinjlim M_i) \cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^1(R_S/I_S, M_i) = 0.$$

By [13, Theorem 3.56]  $R_S$  is a projective  $R$ -module. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that  $\varinjlim M_i$  is  $S$ -injective.

2.  $\Rightarrow$  1. By [15, Example 2.5.30], every direct sum of injective modules is a direct system of injective modules over a directed set. Hence  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian by Corollary 2.16.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 2.18** *Let  $R$  be an  $S$ -perfect ring; that is, every  $S$ -flat  $R$ -module is projective [3, Definition 4.1]. Then,  $R_S$  is a finitely presented  $R$ -module. Indeed,  $R_S$  is projective and cyclic as an  $R$ -module [3, Theorem 4.9]. Therefore, by [13, Proposition 3.11],  $R_S$  is finitely presented. Thus, Corollaries 2.16 and 2.17 characterize, in particular, when an  $S$ -perfect ring  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian.*

Recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $S$ -flat if for any finitely generated ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , the natural homomorphism  $I \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$  is an  $S$ -monomorphism; equivalently,  $M_S$  is flat  $R_S$ -module [12, Proposition 2.6].

Recall the Lambek's characterization of flat modules: Let  $R$  be (any) ring and  $M$  a (right)  $R$ -module.  $M$  is flat if and only if its character  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is an injective (left)  $R$ -module [10, Theorem 1.2.1]. Here we have the corresponding result for  $S$ -flat and  $S$ -injective modules.

**Proposition 2.19** *The following assertions are equivalent for an  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

1.  $M$  is  $S$ -flat.
2.  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is  $S$ -injective.

**Proof.** Follow from the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}_S, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{E}_S \otimes_R M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}_R((\mathcal{E} \otimes_R M)_S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, and, the fact that  $\mathcal{E}$  is exact if and only if  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is exact [13, Lemma 3.53].  $\blacksquare$

We use the Proposition 2.19 to give an example of an  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module which is not injective:

**Example 2.20** *Let  $M$  be an  $S$ -flat module which is not flat [12]. Then, by Proposition 2.19,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is an  $S$ -injective  $R$ -module, but it is not injective by [10, Theorem 1.2.1].*

Now, we present our main result. It is the  $S$ -counterpart of the classical Cheatham and Stone's result [8, Theorem 1]. For that, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.21** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $S$  a multiplicative subset of  $R$  such that  $R_S$  is a finitely presented  $R$ -module. Assume that  $R_S$  is a coherent ring. Then, for any  $R$ -module  $M$ , any  $S$ -finitely presented  $R$ -module  $N$ , and any  $n \geq 0$ :*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_R^n(M^+, N_S) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(N_S, M)^+.$$

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be an  $S$ -finitely presented  $R$ -module. Then,  $N_S$  is a finitely presented  $R_S$ -module by [6, Remark 3.4]. Since  $R_S$  is coherent,  $N_S$  has a projective resolution composed of finitely generated  $R_S$ -modules [10, Corollary 2.5.2]. On the other hand, as  $R_S$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module [13, Theorem 3.56], every finitely generated projective  $R_S$ -module is also a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. Therefore,  $N_S$  has a projective resolution composed of finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Consequently, the result follows from [10, Theorem 1.1.8]. ■

**Theorem 2.22** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $S \subseteq R$  be a multiplicative subset such that the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded. Assume that  $R_S$  is finitely presented as  $R$ -module. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian.
2.  $M$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if  $M^{++}$  is  $S$ -injective.
3.  $M$  is  $S$ -injective if and only if  $M^+$  is  $S$ -flat.

**Proof.** 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2. For any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , there exists a finitely generated subideal  $I'$  of  $I$  such that  $sI \subseteq I'$  for some  $s \in S$ . Then,  $(R/I)_S \cong (R/I')_S$ . Consequently, according to Lemma 2.21,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_R^1(M^+, (R/I)_S) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1((R/I)_S, M)^+.$$

This holds true for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Therefore, (2) follows from Proposition 2.4, Proposition 2.19 and [4, Proposition 2.5].

2.  $\Leftrightarrow$  3. Follows from Proposition 2.19.

3.  $\Rightarrow$  1. Using Proposition 2.19 and [5, Theorem 3.6(4)], one can easily see that if (3) holds, then  $R$  is  $S$ -coherent. Let  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $S$ -injective  $R$ -modules. By (3),  $M_i^+$  is  $S$ -flat for any  $i \in I$ . Since  $R$  is  $S$ -coherent,  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i^+$  is  $S$ -flat by [4, Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.4]. By Proposition 2.19,  $(\prod_{i \in I} M_i^+)^+$  is  $S$ -injective. Since,

$$\left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i^+\right)^+ \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i\right)^{++}$$

$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $S$ -injective by (2). Therefore,  $R$  is  $S$ -Noetherian by Corollary 2.16. ■

We conclude this paper with the following example:

**Example 2.23** Let  $R_1$  be an  $S_1$ -perfect Noetherian ring (semisimple ring as an example),  $R_2$  be a commutative ring which is not Noetherian. Consider the ring  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  with the multiplicative subset  $S = S_1 \times 0$ . Then

1.  $R_S \cong (R_1)_{S_1} \times 0$  is a finitely presented projective  $R$ -module.
2. The  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded.
3.  $R$  is an  $S$ -Noetherian ring, but it is not Noetherian.

**Proof.** 1. Since  $R_1$  is  $S_1$ -perfect,  $(R_1)_{S_1}$  is finitely generated projective  $R_1$ -module by [3, Theorem 4.9]. Then  $R_S \cong (R_1)_{S_1} \times 0$  is finitely generated projective  $R$ -module, so, it is finitely presented..

2. In a commutative Noetherian ring  $R$ , for any multiplicative subset  $S$  of  $R$ , the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is necessarily bounded (see [11, Page 38]). Thus, the  $S_1$ -torsion in  $R_1$  is bounded by some  $s_1 \in S_1$ . It follows that the  $S$ -torsion in  $R$  is bounded by  $(s_1, 0)$ .

3. Obvious. ■

## References

- [1] D. D. Anderson and T. Dumitrescu, *S-Noetherian rings*, Commun. Algebra, **30** (2002) 4407-4416.
- [2] J. Baeck, *S-injective modules*. Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat. **118**(20)(2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-023-01514-7>
- [3] D. Bennis, A. Bouziri, *When every S-flat module is (flat) projective*, Commun. in Algebra, (2024) 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2024.2348126>.
- [4] D. Bennis, A. Bouziri, *S-flat cotorsion pair*, Available from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.09242>.
- [5] D. Bennis, A. Bouziri, *S-FP-injective modules*,
- [6] D. Bennis, M. El Hajoui, *On S-coherence*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (2018), no.6, 1499-1512.
- [7] S. U. Chase, *Direct products of modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97**(1960) 457-473.
- [8] T. J. Cheatham and D. R. Stone, *Flat and projective character modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81**(1981) 175-177
- [9] E. C. Dade, *Localization of injective modules*, J. Algebra, **69**(1981) 416-425.

- [10] S. Glaz, *Commutative Coherent Rings*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **1371**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1989).
- [11] L. Positselski and A. Slávik, *On strongly flat and weakly cotorsion modules*, Math. Z. **291**(3-4)(2019) 831-875.
- [12] W. Qi, X. Zhang and W. Zhao, *New Characterizations of S-coherent rings*, J. Algebra Appl, (2021).
- [13] J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 2009.
- [14] B. Stenström, *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*. J. London Math. Soc., **2**(2)(1970) 323-329.
- [15] F. G. Wang and H. Kim, *Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules*, Singapore, Springer, (2016).
- [16] J. Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture notes in mathematics, vol **1634** (1996).

Driss Bennis: Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Rabat, Morocco.

e-mail address: driss.bennis@um5.ac.ma; driss\_bennis@hotmail.com

Ayoub Bouziri: Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Rabat, Morocco.

e-mail address: ayoub\_bouziri@um5.ac.ma