

Large Time Behavior and Sharp Interface Limit of Compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn System for Interacting Shock Waves

Yazhou CHEN¹, Qiaolin HE², Xiaoding SHI^{1*}, Xiaoping WANG³

¹ College of Mathematics and Physics, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China

² School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610065, China

³ The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518055, China

Abstract

In this paper, we study the large time behavior and sharp interface limit of the Cauchy problem for compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with interaction shock waves in the same family. This system is an important mathematical model for describing the motion of immiscible two-phase flow. The results show that, if the initial density and velocity are near the superposition of two shock waves in the same family, then there exists a unique global solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system, and this solution asymptotically converges to the superposition of the viscous shock wave and rarefaction wave which moving in opposite directions. Moreover, this global-in-time solution converges to the entropy solution of p -system in L^∞ -norm as the thickness of the diffusion interface tends to zero.

Keywords: Large time behavior, Sharp interface limit, Compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Allen-Cahn equation, Shock waves, Rarefaction waves

AMS subject classifications: 35Q35; 35B65; 76N10; 35M10; 35B40; 35C20; 76T30

1 Introduction and Main Results

It is well known that the immiscible two-phase flow with diffusion interface is usually described by the Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system(called as NSAC system) as following

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ (\rho \mathbf{u})_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \nu(\epsilon) \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\nu(\epsilon) + \lambda(\epsilon)) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla p(\rho) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\eta(\epsilon)}{2} \nabla \chi - \epsilon^2 \nabla \chi \otimes \nabla \chi \right), \\ (\rho \chi)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \chi \mathbf{u}) = -L_d(\epsilon) \mu, \\ \rho \mu = \rho(\chi^3 - \chi) - \eta(\epsilon) \Delta \chi, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the fluid velocity, ρ the density and χ the concentration difference of the immiscible two-phase flow. ∇ , div , and Δ represent the gradient operator, the divergence operator and the Laplace operator respectively, t the time and $\cdot_t = \frac{d}{dt}$. The pressure p is assumed to be a function of ρ given by

$$p = \rho^\gamma, \quad \gamma > 1 \text{ the adiabatic exponent.} \quad (1.2)$$

* Corresponding author.

Email: chenyz@mail.buct.edu.cn (Y.Chen), qlhejenny@scu.edu.cn (Q.He), shixd@mail.buct.edu.cn (X.Shi), wangxiaoping@cuhk.edu.cn, (X.Wang)

μ is the chemical potential. $\nu(\epsilon)$ and $\lambda(\epsilon)$ represents the viscosity coefficient for the immiscible two-phase flow, $\eta(\epsilon)$ represents the gradient energy coefficient related to the interfacial width, and $L_d(\epsilon)$ represents the phenomenological mobility coefficient related to the speed at which the system approaches an equilibrium configuration, $\epsilon > 0$ is the parameter. Throughout this paper, without loss of generality, we assume that these coefficients satisfy the following relation:

$$\nu(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon, \quad \lambda(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon, \quad L_d(\epsilon) \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad \eta(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^2. \quad (1.3)$$

The physical derivation of the NSAC system (1.1) can be referred to Blesgen [1], Heida-Malek-Rajagopal [16] and the references therein. Intuitively, the function χ can be roughly thought as an indicator function to distinguish between two fluids. The region with $\chi = 1$ refers to the region where the first fluid is located, the region with $\chi = -1$ refers to the region where the second fluid is located, and the region of $-1 < \chi < 1$ refers to the region where diffusion interface of immiscible two-phase flow is located. On the other hand, from a purely mathematical point of view, this model can be regarded as an approximation of the two-phase flow problem with sharp interface. In this paper, we are concerned with the singular limit problem for (1.1) where the thickness of the diffusion interface tends to zero. Considering the complexity and challenge of this singular limit problem, this paper starts from the one-dimensional Cauchy problem of NSAC system (1.1). Taking into account the hypothesis (1.3), without loss of generality, we assume the following

$$2\nu(\epsilon) + \lambda(\epsilon) = \epsilon, \quad L_d(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \quad \eta(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2. \quad (1.4)$$

Therefore, in the one-dimensional Lagrange coordinate system (see Smoller [29] and the references therein), the problem (1.1) is simplified to the following mathematical model

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v)_x = \epsilon \left(\frac{u_x}{v} \right)_x - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \left(\frac{\chi_x^2}{v^2} \right)_x, \\ \chi_t = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} v \mu, \\ \mu = \chi^3 - \chi - \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{\chi_x}{v} \right)_x, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

with the initial condition

$$(v, u, \chi)(x, 0) = (v_0, u_0, \chi_0)(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}, \pm 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.6)$$

where v is the specific volume defined by

$$v = \frac{1}{\rho}, \quad p(v) = v^{-\gamma}, \quad (1.7)$$

and $v_{\pm} > 0, u_{\pm}$ in (1.6) are the given constants, satisfy the following conditions

$$u_- > u_+, \quad 0 < v_- < v_+. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, we assume that initial phase field χ_0 satisfies the following physical assumption

$$-1 \leq \chi_0 \leq 1. \quad (1.9)$$

As the parameter $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, that is, the diffuse interface thickness tends to zero, the system (1.5) is formally transformed into the following free boundary problem of hyperbolic conservation law systems

$$\begin{cases} v_t^{\pm} - u_x^{\pm} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega^{\pm}(t), \\ u_t^{\pm} + p_x(v^{\pm}) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega^{\pm}(t), \\ \chi^{\pm} = \pm 1, & \text{in } \Omega^{\pm}(t), \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where for fixed $t \geq 0$, $\Omega^\mp(t)$ are defined as

$$\Omega^-(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \chi(x, t) = -1\}, \quad \Omega^+(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \chi(x, t) = 1\},$$

and

$$\Gamma(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\Omega^-(t) \cup \Omega^+(t)\}, \quad \text{meas}\Gamma(t) = 0.$$

The sharp interface limit of the immiscible two-phase flow has been an important and challenging problem in recent years, and it is becoming increasingly visible, see Wang-Wang [32], Xu-Di-Yu [33], Witterstein [31] and the references therein. It is well known that, when the interface thickness tends to zero, in addition to the discontinuity of the phase field on both sides of the two-phase flow interface, the continuity of density and velocity of the flow may also be disrupted, which prevent us to solve the problem of singular limit by using the traditional analytical tools, and new methods are needed to deal with this open problem.

Before analyzing this singular limit problem, let us give a briefly review of the relevant works on the well-posedness and asymptotic stability for the system (1.1). The global existence of renormalized finite energy weak solutions of compressible NSAC system (1.1) in 3-D with no-slip boundary condition is established by Feireisl-Petzeltová-Rocca-Schimperna [14] for the adiabatic exponent of pressure $\gamma > 6$, and this existence result was then generalized to $\gamma > 2$ by Chen-Wen-Zhu [4]. The existence of the local strong solutions in high dimensional for NSAC system (1.1) is established by Kotschote [23], Chen-He-Huang-Shi [8], etc. For the global existence of the strong solutions in 1-dimensional, refer to Ding-Li-Luo [12] for the Cauchy problem, Ding-Li-Tang [13] for the free boundary problem, Chen-Guo [3] and Li-Yan-Ding-Chen [24] for the problem of the initial density containing vacuum, Chen-He-Huang-Shi [6, 7] for the problem that the coefficient of heat conduction depends on temperature, and Yan-Ding-Li [34] for the problem that the viscosity depends on phase field. Moreover, Chen-Hong-Shi [9, 10], Chen-Li-Tang [11] and Zhao [36] presented the global existence and uniqueness of strong solution for the Cauchy problem with small initial perturbations in 3-dimensional, and also established the asymptotic stability and decay estimates of the solutions. When the initial conditions are near the rarefaction wave, contact wave or stationary solution, the stabilities of the solutions for the Cauchy problem of compressible NSAC system with some certain restrictions on initial phase field are investigated by Yin-Zhu [35], Luo-Yin-Zhu [25], Luo-Yin-Zhu [26], etc.

However, very little work has been done on the sharp interface limit for compressible NSAC system (1.1). The results that have been obtained so far are, specifically, for incompressible immiscible two-phase flow, the sharp interface limit is formally presented in Wang-Wang [32], Xu-Di-Yu [33] by means of the method of matched asymptotic expansion, they showed that the leading order problem satisfies the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the interface being a free boundary. Abels-Fei [2] and Jiang-Su-Xie [19] proved convergence of the solutions of the incompressible NSAC system in a two-dimensional bounded domain to solutions of a sharp interface model respectively. Hensel-Liu [17] showed the sharp interface limit of the incompressible NSAC system in a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 . Recently, for the nonhomogeneous incompressible NSAC system, Jiang-Su-Xie [20] investigated the sharp interface limit for inhomogeneous incompressible NSAC system in a bounded domain via a relative energy method. As for compressible immiscible two-phase flow, the density, velocity and phase field are coupled together nonlinearly, this makes the asymptotic expansion matching method, which is effective for the incompressible fluids, difficult to apply to the rigorous analysis of the compressible fluids. Furthermore, unlike the incompressible fluids, considering that various basic waves, such as shock waves, rarefaction waves and contact discontinuity, will appear in the compressible immiscible two-phase flow, the interactions between these waves and the interface, and the interactions between the interface can be seen everywhere and are complex and important and extremely difficult to analyze. Witterstein [31] formally generalized the results of Wang-Wang [32] to compressible NSAC system (1.1), but the arguments are only formal and lack rigorous analysis.

From a mathematical point of view, the diffused interface model is equivalent to an approximation of the ideal free boundary model, which avoids the jump of the phase field near the interface, especially makes it easy to construct a suitable numerical computation format for numerical simulation. Nevertheless, in terms of physical observations, the corresponding physical scale of the diffusion interface is actually so small that even for the numerical computation format, it exceeds the computing capacity of the current computers, so that the scale has to be artificially enlarged to obtain the approximate numerical simulation results, and whether it is really approximate, it needs to be strictly explained on the analysis. Therefore, the in-depth analysis of sharp interface limit is extremely important both from the point of view of numerical simulation and mathematical physics.

In view of the above analysis, this paper starts with the analysis of interface interaction. As for the interaction of the interface, our first concern at present is the interaction of two codirectional interfaces chasing each other at the velocity of the shock wave, that is, when the front and back interfaces moving in the same direction with different shock velocity interact with each other, there's reason to guess, a forward shock wave and a backward rarefaction wave will be generated, and the newly generated rarefaction wave tail will produce a complicated wave-shift effect on the forward shock wave. Further, when the interface thickness approaches zero, these so-called viscous shock waves, smooth approximate rarefaction waves, and smooth phase fields caused by diffusion interfaces $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -norm converge to corresponding entropy solutions and jump discontinuous phase fields caused by phase separation interfaces, respectively.

From the physical intuition, we believe that the physical state of the sharp interface limit for immiscible two-phase flow, should be the same as the physical state after a long enough time, this is the fundamental reason for our above speculation, so we think that when the thickness of the two-phase flow interface approaches zero, the velocity field and density should converge to the corresponding entropy solution, and the phase field converges to ± 1 . Therefore, the following corresponding isentropic Navier-Stokes system in the Lagrangian coordinates is considered:

$$\begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ u_t + p(v)_x = \epsilon \left(\frac{u_x}{v} \right)_x, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

and the inviscid Navier-Stokes equations associated with the system (1.11) is as follows

$$\begin{cases} V_t - U_x = 0, \\ U_t + p(V)_x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

the eigenvalues of system (1.12) are

$$\lambda_1(V) = -\sqrt{-p'(V)} = -\sqrt{\gamma \frac{p(V)}{V}} < 0, \quad \lambda_2(V) = \sqrt{-p'(V)} = \sqrt{\gamma \frac{p(V)}{V}} > 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Given two incoming shocks denoted by S_{2_1}, S_{2_2} which all belongs to the second family shock waves. S_{2_2} is the 2-shock wave which connects (v^*, u^*) as the left state and (v_+, u_+) as the right state with the speed $s_{2_2} > 0$, while S_{2_1} is the another 2-shock which connects (v_-, u_-) as the left state and (v^*, u^*) as the right state with the speed $s_{2_1} > 0$, and $s_{2_1} > s_{2_2}$. Because the shock wave S_{2_1} propagates faster than shock wave S_{2_2} , the two shocks must interact at some point $Q(x_0, t_0)$ with $t_0 > 0$. After the interaction, two nonlinear waves will be generated in opposite directions, that is, the outgoing rarefaction wave \tilde{R}_1 and the outgoing shock wave \tilde{S}_2 are generated. In this case, the intermediate state between \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{S}_2 is (v_m, u_m) . It is important to note that the intermediate states (v^*, u^*) and (v_m, u_m) mentioned here are determined by (1.16)-(1.17) and (1.24), (1.31). Without loss of generality, we assume the initial data of the




Figure 1: Figure of the interaction of shock waves in the same group

Euler system (1.12) is

$$(V, U)(x, 0) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & x < 0, \\ (v^*, u^*), & 0 < x < 1, \\ (v_+, u_+), & x > 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

where (v^*, u^*) satisfies

$$u_- > u^* > u_+, \quad v_- < v^* < v_+, \quad (1.15)$$

and is determined by the following R-H conditions (1.16)-(1.17)

$$\begin{cases} -s_{2_1}(v^* - v_-) - (u^* - u_-) = 0, \\ -s_{2_1}(u^* - u_-) + (p(v^*) - p(v_-)) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

$$\begin{cases} -s_{2_2}(v_+ - v^*) - (u_+ - u^*) = 0, \\ -s_{2_2}(u_+ - u^*) + (p(v_+) - p(v^*)) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.17)$$

where s_{2_1} and s_{2_2} represent the corresponding shock velocity, and satisfy the entropy condition

$$0 < \lambda_2(v_+, u_+) < s_{2_2} < \lambda_2(v^*, u^*) < s_{2_1} < \lambda_2(v_-, u_-). \quad (1.18)$$

So far, the 2-shock wave curves S_{2_1} in a suitable neighbourhood $O_{(v_-, u_-)}$ of (v_-, u_-) and S_{2_2} in a suitable neighbourhood $O_{(v^*, u^*)}$ of (v^*, u^*) are defined as

$$S_{2_1}(v_-, u_-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (v, u) \in O_{(v_-, u_-)} \mid u = u_- - s_{2_1}(v - v_-), v > v_- \right\}, \quad (1.19)$$

$$S_{2_2}(v^*, u^*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (v, u) \in O_{(v^*, u^*)} \mid u = u^* - s_{2_2}(v - v^*), v > v^* \right\}. \quad (1.20)$$

By a simple calculation, the two incoming shocks hit at the point

$$(x_0, t_0) = \left(\frac{s_{2_1}}{s_{2_1} - s_{2_2}}, \frac{1}{s_{2_1} - s_{2_2}} \right), \quad (1.21)$$

and thus, the unique entropy solution $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ of (1.12), (1.14) before the interaction time t_0 can be given as follows

$$(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})(x, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & x < s_{2_1}t, \quad t \leq t_0, \\ (v^*, u^*), & s_{2_1}t < x < s_{2_2}t + 1, \quad t \leq t_0, \\ (v_+, u_+), & x > s_{2_2}t + 1, \quad t \leq t_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

Further, after the interacting time t_0 , it is equivalent to resolve the Riemann problem of system (1.12) again with the initial data at $t = t_0$ below

$$(V, U)(x, t_0) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & x < x_0, \\ (v_+, u_+), & x > x_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

The outgoing 1-rarefaction wave curve $\tilde{R}_1(v_-, u_-)$ in a suitable neighbourhood $O_{(v_-, u_-)}$ of (v_-, u_-) is defined as

$$\tilde{R}_1(v_-, u_-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (v, u) \in O_{(v_-, u_-)} \mid u = u_- - \int_{v_-}^v \lambda_1(s) ds, v > v_- \right\},$$

and the corresponding Lipschitz continuous 1-rarefaction wave $(v^r, u^r)(x, t) = (v^r, u^r)\left(\frac{x-x_0}{t-t_0}\right)$ of the Riemann problem (1.12) is given explicitly by

$$\lambda_1(v^r) = w^r, \quad u^r = u_- - \int_{v_-}^{v^r} \lambda_1(s) ds, \quad (1.24)$$

where $w^r(x, t)$ has the following expression

$$w^r(x, t) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1(v_-), & x - x_0 < w_-(t - t_0), \\ \frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0}, & w_-(t - t_0) \leq x - x_0 \leq w_m(t - t_0), \\ \lambda_1(v_m), & x - x_0 > w_m(t - t_0). \end{cases} \quad (1.25)$$

(v_m, u_m) is the intermediate state between \tilde{R}_1 and \tilde{S}_2 can be determined by (1.24), (1.28). To be clear here, $w^r(x, t)$ is actually satisfies the following Riemann problem of inviscid Burgers equation

$$\begin{cases} w_t + ww_x = 0, \\ w(x, t_0) = w_0^r(x) = \begin{cases} w_- = \lambda_1(v_-), & x < x_0, \\ w_m = \lambda_1(v_m), & x > x_0. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (1.26)$$

On the other hand, the outgoing 2-shock wave curve \tilde{S}_2 in a suitable neighbourhood $O_{(v_m, u_m)}$ of (v_m, u_m) is defined as

$$\tilde{S}_2(v_m, u_m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (v, u) \in O_{(v_m, u_m)} \mid u = u_m - \tilde{s}_2(v - v_m), \lambda_2(v, u) < \lambda_2(v_m, u_m) \right\}, \quad (1.27)$$

where the shock speed \tilde{s}_2 satisfies the following R-H condition

$$\begin{cases} -\tilde{s}_2(v_+ - v_m) - (u_+ - u_m) = 0, \\ -\tilde{s}_2(u_+ - u_m) + (p(v_+) - p(v_m)) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.28)$$

and the entropy condition

$$0 < \lambda_2(v_+, u_+) < \tilde{s}_2 < \lambda_2(v_m, u_m), \quad (1.29)$$

\tilde{s}_2 is uniquely determined by (1.28) and satisfies

$$\tilde{s}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{p(v_m) - p(v_+)}{v_+ - v_m}}, \quad b_1 = p(v_+) + \tilde{s}_2^2 v_+ = p(v_m) + \tilde{s}_2^2 v_m, \quad b_2 = -(\tilde{s}_2 v_+ + u_+). \quad (1.30)$$

Therefore, the entropy solution $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ after the interaction time $t = t_0$ can be given as follows:

$$(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})(x, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & x - x_0 \leq \lambda_1(v_-)(t - t_0), \\ \left(\lambda_1^{-1}(w^r), u_- - \int_{v_-}^{\lambda_1^{-1}(w^r)} \lambda_1(s) ds \right), & \lambda_1(v_-) < \frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0} \leq \lambda_1(v_m), \\ (v_m, u_m), & \lambda_1(v_m) < \frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0} \leq \tilde{s}_2, \\ (v_+, u_+), & x - x_0 > \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0), \end{cases} \quad (1.31)$$

Setting

$$\delta_1 = \max \{|v^* - v_-|, |u^* - u_-|\}, \quad \delta_2 = \max \{|v_+ - v^*|, |u_+ - u^*|\}, \quad (1.32)$$

be the strengths for the two incoming shocks of the same family, and letting

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \max \{|v_m - v_-|, |u_m - u_-|\}, \quad \tilde{\delta}_2 = \max \{|v^+ - v_m|, |u^+ - u_m|\}, \quad (1.33)$$

be the wave strengths of the outgoing waves, and the relations of the wave strengths between the incoming and the outgoing waves can be provided by the following formula (see Smoller [29] Proposition 19.1)

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 = \delta_1 + O(1)\delta_1\delta_2, \quad \tilde{\delta}_2 = \delta_2 + O(1)\delta_1\delta_2. \quad (1.34)$$

Notations. We denote by C and c the positive generic constants without confusion throughout this paper, $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{R} which are square integrable, with the norm $\|f\| = (\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. $H^l(\mathbb{R}) (l \geq 0)$ denotes the Sobolev space of L^2 -functions f on \mathbb{R} whose derivatives $\partial_x^j f, j = 1, \dots$ are L^2 functions too, with the norm $\|f\|_{H^l(\mathbb{R})} = (\sum_{j=0}^l \|\partial_x^j f\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

So far, we will give the main results about the large time behavior of the solution and the singular limit of diffusion interface thickness respectively in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. (*Asymptotic stability*) Suppose that $(v^*, u^*) \in S_{2_1}(v_-, u_-)$, $(v_+, u_+) \in S_{2_2}(v^*, u^*)$, $(v_m, u_m) \in \tilde{R}_1(v_-, u_-)$ and $(v_+, u_+) \in \tilde{S}_2(v_m, u_m)$. Let v_0, u_0, χ_0 be the initial data of the compressible NSAC system (1.5) satisfies the well-prepared initial data (2.15) in Section 2, $\chi_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$, (\bar{V}, \bar{U}) is the superposition of two incoming viscous shock waves given by (3.1), (v^r, u^r) and $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2})$ are the outgoing rarefaction wave and viscous shock wave given by (1.24) and (2.13) respectively, for fixed ϵ , there exist positive constants δ_0 and M_0 such that

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq \delta_0, \quad \|\chi_{0x}\|_2 + \|\chi_0^2 - 1\| \leq M_0, \quad (1.35)$$

then the Cauchy problem of NSAC system (1.5)-(1.6) admits a unique global-in-time solution (v, u, χ) satisfying

$$\chi^2 - 1 \in C([0, +\infty); H^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2([0, +\infty); H^3(\mathbb{R})), \quad -1 \leq \chi \leq 1,$$

and before the interaction time t_0 ,

$$(v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}) \in C([0, t_0]; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \\ (v - \bar{V}) \in L^2([0, t_0]; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad (u - \bar{U}) \in L^2([0, t_0]; H^3(\mathbb{R})),$$

after the interaction time t_0 ,

$$v(x, t) - \left(v^{r_1} \left(\frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0} \right) + \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} (x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0) - \mathbf{X}(t - t_0)) - v_m \right) \in C([t_0, +\infty); H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ u(x, t) - \left(u^{r_1} \left(\frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0} \right) + \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2} (x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0) - \mathbf{X}(t - t_0)) - u_m \right) \in C([t_0, +\infty); H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ u_{xx} - \tilde{U}_{xx}^{\tilde{S}_2} (x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0) - \mathbf{X}(t - t_0)) \in L^2([t_0, +\infty); L^2(\mathbb{R})),$$

where $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is determined by (4.7), In addition, it holds that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| v(x, t) - \left(v^{r_1} \left(\frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0} \right) + \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} (x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0) - \mathbf{X}(t - t_0)) - v_m \right) \right| = 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| u(x, t) - \left(u^{r_1} \left(\frac{x - x_0}{t - t_0} \right) + \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2} (x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0) - \mathbf{X}(t - t_0)) - u_m \right) \right| = 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\chi^2 - 1| = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{X}(t) \right| = 0.$$

Remark 1.1. *Theorem 1.1 implies that, when two interfaces move in the same direction with different shock wave velocities, if the speed of the rear interface is faster than the speed of the front interface, the rear interface will catch up with the front interface and interact with each other in a finite time, so that it will evolve into a forward interface moving at the shock wave velocity and a backward interface moving at the rarefaction wave velocity.*

Remark 1.2. *It is not necessary to take the initial conditions directly as the composite viscous waves (2.14) and the smoothed approximate rarefaction wave constructed in Section 2. In fact, Theorem 1.1 also holds for small perturbations of the initial condition.*

Theorem 1.2. *(Singular limit of diffusion interface thickness) Suppose that (v_{\pm}, u_{\pm}) , (v^*, u^*) and (v_m, u_m) satisfy $(v^*, u^*) \in S_{2_1}(v_-, u_-)$, $(v_+, u_+) \in S_{2_2}(v^*, u^*)$, $(v_m, u_m) \in \tilde{R}_1(v_-, u_-)$ and $(v_+, u_+) \in \tilde{S}_2(v_m, u_m)$. Let v_0, u_0, χ_0 be the initial data of the compressible NSAC system (1.5) satisfies the well-prepared initial data (2.15) in Section 2, $\chi_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$, $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U})$ be the entropy solution of system (1.12) given by (1.22) and (1.31), there exist positive constants δ_0 and M_0 such that*

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq \delta_0, \quad \|\chi_0^2 - 1\| \leq M_0, \quad (1.36)$$

then the system (1.5)-(1.6) admits a family smooth solution $(v^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, \chi^\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Moreover, before the interaction time $t = t_0$, it holds that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|v^\epsilon - \mathcal{V}, u^\epsilon - \mathcal{U}, (\chi^\epsilon)^2 - 1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_\varsigma)} = 0, \quad (1.37)$$

where $\Sigma_\varsigma = \{(x, t) \mid |x - s_{2_1}t| \geq \varsigma, |x - s_{2_2}t - 1| \geq \varsigma, 0 \leq t \leq t_0 - \varsigma\}$ for any positive constant $\varsigma > 0$. After the interaction time t_0 , it holds that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|v^\epsilon - \mathcal{V}, u^\epsilon - \mathcal{U}, (\chi^\epsilon)^2 - 1\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Sigma}_\tilde{\varsigma})} = 0, \quad (1.38)$$

where $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tilde{\varsigma} = \{(x, t) \mid |(x - x_0) - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0)| \geq \tilde{\varsigma}, \tilde{\varsigma} \leq t - t_0\}$ for any positive constant $\tilde{\varsigma} > 0$.

Remark 1.3. *The Navier-Stokes equations can be regarded as a special case of the NSAC system, and the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 is also applicable for the problem of vanishing viscosity for isentropic Navier-Stokes equations, and in this sense, Theorem 1.2 answers and generalizes the open problem raised in Huang-Wang-Wang-Yang [18] about the single-phase flow.*

Remark 1.4. *The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 can be seen as a special case of sharp interface limit for Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system. Under the condition of Theorem 1.2, when the thickness of the diffusion interface tends to zero, the NSAC system (1.5) tends to the p -system (1.10), and the diffusion interface evolves into a free boundary.*

Remark 1.5. *Theorem 1.2 is concerned with the L^∞ -norm which gives a detailed description on the sharp interface limit, the range of validity of this L^∞ -norm sharp interface limit requires the removal of the neighborhood near the interface and the interaction, and this constraint is necessary, although the scale $\varsigma, \tilde{\varsigma}$ can be small enough.*

Remark 1.6. *The assumption $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \chi_0 = \pm 1$ in (1.6) means that the initial phase field of the immiscible two-phase flow is in a different phase state at a distance, this assumption can also be set more generally as $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \chi_0^2 = 1$.*

Here we make some comments on the key steps in the proof. First, scaling transformation is introduced to transformed the sharp interface limit into the problem of large time behavior for the solution of NSAC system. Second, before the interacting shock waves of the same family, the anti-derivative technique is used to study the stability of viscous shocks. However, due to the effect of phase field in compressible immiscible two-phase flow, it is not possible to use anti-derivative technique directly on NSAC system. Therefore, the anti-derivative method is modified

here, that is, the antiderivative only be used for the mass equation and momentum equation, while keeping the phase field equation unchanged, see (3.6) and (3.7). In addition, in order to get more information about the density before interaction, the weighted energy estimation method is used (see (3.20) and (3.22)). Finally, after interaction, as the shock waves of same family catch up with each other and interacting, a viscous shock wave in the same direction and an inverted rarefaction wave are generated. In order to continue to be able to use the energy estimation method, we need to derive the estimates separately before and after the interaction time and connect the incoming and outgoing on these nonlinear waves, see the energy inequality at $t = t_0$ (4.20). Moreover, since the traditional energy method for dealing with the stability of rarefaction waves does not match the anti-derivative method, to overcome this difficulty, the time-dependent shift $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is introduced to the viscous shock wave (see (4.7)), associated to the BD entropy (see (4.26)), and combined with the weight function technique (see (4.8)). This new method is used by Kang-Vasseur-Wang [22] to discuss the asymptotic stability of composite waves, and is called as a -contraction method.

The outline of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, The scaling transformation is applied to the NSAC system (1.5), the approximate solutions (such as viscous shock waves, smooth approximation of rarefaction waves) of entropy solution are constructed, some basic properties (such as decay estimates etc.) of these approximate nonlinear waves are given, and the definition of relative quantities and the related properties are also given here. In Section 3, the properties for the solutions of NSAC system (1.5) is established before the interaction of the shocks. In Section 4, the desired energy estimates for the solutions of NSAC system (1.5) are provided after the interaction time.

2 Preliminaries

This section is concerned with the estimates for the viscous shock waves and rarefaction waves. Without loss of generality, we rewrite the system (1.5) by scaling method, letting

$$y = \frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}, \quad \tau = \frac{t - t_0}{\epsilon}, \quad (2.1)$$

and introducing the following new variable

$$\omega = \chi^2, \quad (2.2)$$

then the original system (1.5) is reformulated into the form

$$\begin{cases} v_\tau - u_y = 0, \\ u_\tau + p(v)_y = \left(\frac{u_y}{v}\right)_y - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\omega_y^2}{\omega v^2}\right)_y, \\ \omega_\tau = -2v(\omega - 1)\omega + v \left(\frac{\omega_y}{v}\right)_y - \frac{\omega_y^2}{2\omega}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Now we begin to construct the viscous shock waves before $\tau = 0$, that is, considering the Navier-Stokes equations (1.11). The left 2-viscous incoming shock wave $(V^{S_{21}}, U^{S_{21}})(y - s_{21}\tau)$ of the system (1.11) which connects (v_-, u_-) on the left and (v^*, u^*) on the right exists uniquely up to a shift and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -s_{21}V_\xi^{S_{21}} - U_\xi^{S_{21}} = 0, \\ -s_{21}U_\xi^{S_{21}} + p_\xi(V^{S_{21}}) = \left(\frac{U_\xi^{S_{21}}}{V^{S_{21}}}\right)_\xi, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} (V^{S_{21}}, U^{S_{21}}) = (v_-, u_-), \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} (V^{S_{21}}, U^{S_{21}}) = (v^*, u^*), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\cdot_\xi = \frac{d}{d\xi}$, and $\xi = y - s_{2_1}\tau$. To fix the viscous shock wave, setting

$$V^{S_{2_1}}(0) = \frac{v_- + v^*}{2}, \quad (2.5)$$

then by using (2.4)₁ and (1.16), one has

$$U^{S_{2_1}}(0) = u_- - s_{2_1}(V^{S_{2_1}}(0) - v_-) = u_- - \frac{1}{2}s_{2_1}(v^* - v_-) = \frac{u_- + u^*}{2}. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, the right 2-viscous incoming shock wave which connects (v^*, u^*) on the left and (v_+, u_+) on the right satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -s_{2_2}V_\xi^{S_{2_2}} - U_\xi^{S_{2_2}} = 0, \\ -s_{2_2}U_\xi^{S_{2_2}} + p(V^{S_{2_2}})_\xi = \left(\frac{U_\xi^{S_{2_2}}}{V^{S_{2_2}}}\right)_\xi, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} (V^{S_{2_2}}, U^{S_{2_2}}) = (v^*, u^*), \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} (V^{S_{2_2}}, U^{S_{2_2}}) = (v_+, u_+). \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

In order to determine the above viscous shock wave, the following constraint need to be given

$$(V^{S_{2_2}}, U^{S_{2_2}})(0) = \left(\frac{v_+ + v^*}{2}, \frac{u_+ + u^*}{2}\right), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\cdot_\xi = \frac{d}{d\xi}$, and $\xi = y - s_{2_2}\tau$.

Further, after the interaction of these two shock waves of the same family, we will construct the corresponding smooth rarefaction wave and viscous shock wave, that is, for the 1-approximate outgoing rarefaction wave $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(y, \tau)$ to the problem (1.5) which connecting (v_-, u_-) on the left and (v_m, u_m) on the right, it can be expressed by the following formula

$$(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(y, \tau) = \begin{cases} (v_-, u_-), & y \leq \lambda_1(v_-)\tau, \\ \left(\lambda_1^{-1}(w_\ell^r), u_- - \int_{v_-}^{\lambda_1^{-1}(w_\ell^r)} \lambda_1(s)ds\right), & \lambda_1(v_-)\tau < y \leq \lambda_1(v_m)\tau, \\ (v_m, u_m), & \lambda_1(v_m)\tau < y \leq \tilde{s}_2\tau, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where $w_\ell^r(y, \tau)$ is the smooth solution of the following Burgers equation

$$\begin{cases} w_t + ww_y = 0, \\ w(y, 0) = w_\ell(y) = w\left(\frac{y}{\ell}\right) = \frac{w_m + w_-}{2} + \frac{w_m - w_-}{2} \tanh \frac{y}{\ell}, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

with $w_- = \lambda_1(v_-) < w_m = \lambda_1(v_m) < 0$, $\ell > 0$ is a small parameter to be determined. As is well-known, the approximate outgoing rarefaction wave $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})$ has the following properties (see Matsumura-Nishihara [28]):

Lemma 2.1. *The approximate rarefaction waves $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(y, \tau)$ which be constructed in (2.9) have the following properties:*

- i) $\tilde{U}_y^{\tilde{R}_1} > 0$, for $y \in \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0$.
- ii) For any $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$, the following estimates holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})_y\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C \min \left\{ \tilde{\delta}_1 \ell^{-1+\frac{1}{q}}, \tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \tau^{-1+\frac{1}{q}} \right\}, \\ \|(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})_{yy}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C \min \left\{ \tilde{\delta}_1 \ell^{-2+\frac{1}{q}}, \ell^{-1+\frac{1}{q}} \tau^{-1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_1 = |v_m - v_-|$ is the rarefaction wave strength and the positive constant C depending only on q .

iii) If $y \geq \lambda_1(v_m)\tau$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1}) - (v_m, u_m)| &\leq C\delta^{\tilde{R}_1} e^{-\frac{2|y-\lambda_1(v_m)\tau|}{\ell}}, \\ |\partial_y^k(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1}) - (v_m, u_m)| &\leq \frac{C}{\ell^k} \delta^{\tilde{R}_1} e^{-\frac{2|y-\lambda_1(v_m)\tau|}{\ell}}, k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

iv) There exist positive constants C and ℓ_0 such that for $\ell \in (0, \ell_0)$ and $\tau > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} |(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(y, \tau) - (v^{r_1}, u^{r_1})(\frac{y}{\tau})| &\leq \frac{C}{\tau} [\ell \ln(1 + \tau) + \sigma |\ln \ell|], \\ \|(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(y, \tau) - (v^{r_1}, u^{r_1})(\frac{y}{\tau})\| &\leq C\tilde{\delta}_1 \ell. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the 2-viscous outgoing shock wave which connecting (v_m, u_m) on the left and (v^+, u^+) on the right satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\tilde{s}_2 \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} - \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} = 0, \\ -\tilde{s}_2 \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} + p_\xi(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}) = \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}}\right)_\xi, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2}) = (v_m, u_m), \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2}) = (v_+, u_+), \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

with the following constraint:

$$(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2})(0) = \left(\frac{v_+ + v_m}{2}, \frac{u_+ + u_m}{2}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\cdot_\xi = \frac{d}{d\xi}$, and $\xi = y - \tilde{s}_2\tau$. And now, the properties of the viscous shock waves which were constructed in the system (2.4), (2.7) and (2.11) are presented as following (see Matsumura-Nishihara [27]):

Lemma 2.2. *There are positive constant C_0 , C and c_0 such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |(V^{S_{21}} - v^*, U^{S_{21}} - u^*)| &< C_0\delta_1 e^{-c_0\delta_1|y-s_{21}\tau|}, \quad y > s_{21}\tau, \quad 0 > \tau \geq -t_0/\epsilon, \\ |(V^{S_{21}} - v_-, U^{S_{21}} - u_-)| &< C_0\delta_1 e^{-c_0\delta_1|y-s_{21}\tau|}, \quad y < s_{21}\tau, \quad 0 > \tau \geq -t_0/\epsilon, \\ |(V^{S_{22}} - v^*, U^{S_{22}} - u^*)| &< C_0\delta_2 e^{-c_0\delta_2|y-s_{22}\tau|}, \quad y < s_{22}\tau, \quad 0 > \tau \geq -t_0/\epsilon, \\ |(V^{S_{22}} - v_+, U^{S_{22}} - u_+)| &< C_0\delta_2 e^{-c_0\delta_2|y-s_{22}\tau|}, \quad y > s_{22}\tau, \quad 0 > \tau \geq -t_0/\epsilon, \\ |(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - v_m, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2} - u_m)| &< C_0\tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-c_0\tilde{\delta}_2|y-\tilde{s}_2\tau|}, \quad y < \tilde{s}_2\tau, \quad \tau \geq 0, \\ |(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - v_+, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2} - u_+)| &< C_0\tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-c_0\tilde{\delta}_2|y-\tilde{s}_2\tau|}, \quad y > \tilde{s}_2\tau, \quad \tau \geq 0, \\ |(V_y^{S_{2i}}, U_y^{S_{2i}})| &\leq C\delta_i^2 e^{-c_0\delta_i|y-s_{2i}\tau|}, i = 1, 2, \quad |(\tilde{V}_y^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}_y^{\tilde{S}_2})| \leq C\tilde{\delta}_2^2 e^{-c_0\tilde{\delta}_2|y-\tilde{s}_2\tau|}, \\ |(V_{yy}^{S_{2i}}, U_{yy}^{S_{2i}})| &\leq C\delta_i^3 e^{-c_0\delta_i|y-s_{2i}\tau|}, i = 1, 2, \quad |(\tilde{V}_{yy}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}_{yy}^{\tilde{S}_2})| \leq C\tilde{\delta}_2^3 e^{-c_0\tilde{\delta}_2|y-\tilde{s}_2\tau|}, \\ v_- \leq V^{S_{21}} \leq v^*, v^* \leq V^{S_{22}} \leq v_+, v_m \leq \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} \leq v_+, \tilde{V}_y^{\tilde{S}_2} > 0, V_y^{S_{2i}} > 0, i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if we pull the viscous shock waves and the smooth rarefaction wave back to the coordinate system of (x, t) before the scaling transformation, for any $\epsilon > 0$, these corresponding nonlinear smooth waves can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (V_\epsilon^{S_{21}}, U_\epsilon^{S_{21}})(x, t) &= (V^{S_{21}}, U^{S_{21}})\left(\frac{x - x_0 - s_{21}(t - t_0)}{\epsilon}\right), \\ (V_\epsilon^{S_{22}}, U_\epsilon^{S_{22}})(x, t) &= (V^{S_{22}}, U^{S_{22}})\left(\frac{x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_{22}(t - t_0)}{\epsilon}\right), \\ (\tilde{V}_\epsilon^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}_\epsilon^{\tilde{R}_1})(x, t) &= (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})\left(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}, \frac{t - t_0}{\epsilon}\right), \\ (\tilde{V}_\epsilon^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}_\epsilon^{\tilde{S}_2})(x, t) &= (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2})\left(\frac{x - x_0 - \tilde{s}_2(t - t_0)}{\epsilon}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

In order to approximate the superposition of two incoming shock waves in the same family, setting

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{V}^\epsilon, \bar{U}^\epsilon)(x, t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (V_\epsilon^{S_{21}}, U_\epsilon^{S_{21}})(x - x_0 - s_{21}(t - t_0)) \\ &\quad + (V_\epsilon^{S_{22}}, U_\epsilon^{S_{22}})(x - x_0 - s_{22}(t - t_0)) - (v^*, u^*). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Without loss of generality, let the above composite viscous shock waves (2.14) at $t = 0$ to be the initial data of v, u for the system of (1.5), that is

$$(v, u, \chi)\Big|_{t=0} = (\bar{V}^\epsilon, \bar{U}^\epsilon, \chi_0)(x, 0) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} (v_\pm, u_\pm, \pm 1). \quad (2.15)$$

Finally, we define relative entropy as follows:

$$F(v | V) = F(v) - F(V) - F'(V)(v - V). \quad (2.16)$$

where F is a differentiable functional defined for $v, V > 0$. Letting

$$Q(v) = \frac{v^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}, \quad (2.17)$$

Q is called as the internal energy. The relative quantity inequalities commonly used in this paper are given as following (see Kang-Vasseur [21] and the references therein):

Lemma 2.3. *For given constants $\gamma > 1$, and $M > 0$, there exist constants $C, \delta_* > 0$, such that the relative quantities associated to p and Q satisfy*

1) *For any v, V , if $0 < v < 3M, 0 < V \leq 2M$, it holds that*

$$|v - V|^2 \leq CQ(v | V), \quad |v - V|^2 \leq Cp(v | V).$$

2) *For any $v, V > \frac{M}{2}$, it holds that*

$$|p(v) - p(V)| \leq C|v - V|.$$

3) $\forall 0 < \delta < \delta_*, \forall v, V > 0$, *if $|p(v) - p(V)| < \delta, |p(V) - p(M)| < \delta$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} p(v | V) &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma} \frac{1}{p(V)} + C\delta\right) |p(v) - p(V)|^2, \\ Q(v | V) &\leq \left(\frac{p(V)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{2\gamma} + C\delta\right) |p(v) - p(V)|^2, \\ Q(v | V) &\geq \frac{p(V)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}}{2\gamma} |p(v) - p(V)|^2 - \frac{1+\gamma}{3\gamma^2} p(V)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}-2} (p(v) - p(V))^3. \end{aligned}$$

3 Estimates Before the Interacting Time

In this section, the case before the interaction of two homologous shock waves will be considered. For $-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon} \leq \tau \leq 0$, the composite wave of two approximate forward shock waves pursuing in the same direction is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{V}, \bar{U})(y, \tau) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\bar{V}^\epsilon, \bar{U}^\epsilon)(x, t) \\ &= (V^{S_{21}}, U^{S_{21}})(y - s_{21}\tau) + (V^{S_{22}}, U^{S_{22}})(y - s_{22}\tau) - (v^*, u^*), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and it is easy to check that the profile (\bar{V}, \bar{U}) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \bar{V}_\tau - \bar{U}_y = 0, \\ \bar{U}_\tau + p(\bar{V})_y = \left(\frac{\bar{U}_y}{\bar{V}}\right)_y + G_y, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$G = \left(p(\bar{V}) - p(V^{S_{21}}) - p(V^{S_{22}}) + p(v^*) \right) - \left(\frac{\bar{U}_y}{\bar{V}} - \frac{U_y^{S_{21}}}{V^{S_{21}}} - \frac{U_y^{S_{22}}}{V^{S_{22}}} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

Putting the perturbation around the superposition wave profile $(\bar{V}, \bar{U}, 1)(y, \tau)$ by

$$(\phi, \psi, \sigma)(y, \tau) = (v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}, \omega - 1)(y, \tau), \quad -\frac{t_0}{\epsilon} \leq \tau \leq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

and by using the system (2.3), (3.2), one has

$$\begin{cases} \phi_\tau - \psi_y = 0, \\ \psi_\tau + (p(v) - p(\bar{V}))_y = \left(\frac{u_y}{v} \right)_y - \left(\frac{\bar{U}_y}{\bar{V}} \right)_y - G_y - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\sigma_y^2}{(\sigma + 1)v^2} \right)_y, \\ \sigma_\tau = -2(\phi + \bar{V})(\sigma + 1)\sigma + (\phi + \bar{V}) \left(\frac{\sigma_\xi}{(\phi + \bar{V})} \right)_y - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2(\sigma + 1)}, \\ (\phi, \psi, \sigma) \Big|_{\tau = -\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}} = (0, 0, \chi_0^2 - 1) \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} (0, 0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Using the antiderivative technique for the above (3.5)_{1,2}, that is, letting

$$\Phi(y, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^y \phi(z, \tau) dz, \quad \Psi(y, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^y \psi(z, \tau) dz, \quad (3.6)$$

one gets

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_\tau - \Psi_y = 0, \\ \Psi_\tau + (p(v) - p(\bar{V})) = \frac{u_y}{v} - \frac{\bar{U}_y}{\bar{V}} - G - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{8(\sigma + 1)(\Phi_y + \bar{V})^2}, \\ \sigma_\tau = -2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})(\sigma + 1)\sigma + (\Phi_y + \bar{V}) \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{\Phi_y + \bar{V}} \right)_y - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2(\sigma + 1)}, \\ (\Phi, \Psi, \sigma) \Big|_{\tau = -\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}} = (0, 0, \chi_0^2 - 1) \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} (0, 0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Linearizing (3.7) around $(\bar{V}, \bar{U}, 1)$, one has

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_\tau - \Psi_y = 0, \\ \Psi_\tau + p'(\bar{V})\Phi_y - \frac{\Psi_{yy}}{\bar{V}} = -Q - G + H_1, \\ \sigma_\tau - \sigma_{yy} = -2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})(\sigma + 1)\sigma + H_2, \\ (\Phi, \Psi, \sigma) \Big|_{\tau = -\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}} = (0, 0, \chi_0^2 - 1) \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} (0, 0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= p(\bar{V} + \Phi_y) - p(\bar{V}) - p'(\bar{V})\Phi_y - \left(\frac{1}{\bar{V} + \Phi_y} - \frac{1}{\bar{V}} \right) (\Psi_{yy} + \bar{U}_y), \\ H_1 &= -\frac{\sigma_y^2}{8(\sigma + 1)(\Phi_y + \bar{V})^2}, \quad H_2 = -\frac{\sigma_y(\Phi_{yy} + \bar{V}_y)}{\Phi_y + \bar{V}} - \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2(\sigma + 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We look for the solution of the initial value problem (3.8) in the following space:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{m,M}(I) &= \left\{ (\Phi, \Psi, \sigma) \Big| (\Phi, \Psi) \in C(I; H^3(\mathbb{R})), \sigma \in C(I; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \Phi_y \in L^2(I; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Psi_y, \sigma_y \in L^2(I; H^3(\mathbb{R})), \sup_{t \in I} \|(\Phi, \Psi)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} + \|\sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq M \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where $I \subseteq [-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, 0]$ is an interval. Now we give the existence results of the system (3.8) before the interaction time, that is

Theorem 3.1. (*Existence Before the Interaction Time*) There are constants δ_0 and C such that if

$$\|\chi_{0y}\|_2 + \|\chi_0^2 - 1\| + |v_+ - v_-| \leq \delta_0, \quad (3.11)$$

there exists a unique solution $(\Phi, \Psi, \sigma) \in X_\delta(-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, 0)$ to (3.8). Furthermore, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Phi, \Psi)(\tau)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma(\tau)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^\tau (\|\bar{V}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Phi, \Psi)(s)\|^2 + \|\sigma(s)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \\ & + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^\tau (\|\Phi_y(s)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\Psi_y(s)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|t-t_0|}{2\epsilon}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$-1 \leq \chi \leq 1. \quad (3.13)$$

For the proof of the Theorem 3.1, the standard continuation argument is adopted. The basic steps of this argument is to obtain the existence and uniqueness for the local solution of the system (3.8) through the fixed point theorem, and then find a way to obtain the consistent energy estimation of the local solution with respect to time, and then get the global solution. Because the proof for local solutions is fundamental and conventional, it will not be given in detail for the sake of brevity. Here we only devote ourselves to obtaining the a priori estimates. Setting

$$N(T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\tau \in [-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, T]} (\|(\Phi, \Psi)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} + \|\sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}) \leq M_0, \quad \text{for } T \leq 0, \quad (3.14)$$

where $[-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, T]$ is the time interval on which the local solution is assumed to exist, and M_0 is a positive constant which will be determined later. Obviously, by using the embedding theorem, the assumption (3.14) with M_0 small enough holds that

$$\frac{v_-}{2} \leq \bar{V} + \Phi_y \leq 2v_+, \quad -M_0 \leq \sigma \leq M_0, \quad (3.15)$$

moreover, noticing that the following equation which be derived from (3.7)₃, using the (3.14) again, for M_0 small enough, one has

$$\sigma_\tau - \sigma_{yy} + 2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})\sigma + \frac{\sigma_y(\Phi_{yy} + \bar{V}_y)}{\Phi_y + \bar{V}} = -\frac{\sigma_y^2}{2(\sigma + 1)} - 2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})\sigma^2 \leq 0, \quad (3.16)$$

and so, using the parabolic equation maximum principle, one obtains $\sigma \leq 0$ and (3.13) is established. Further, the nonlinear term Q, H in the system (3.8) satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= O(1)(\Phi_y^2 + |\Phi_y \Psi_{yy}| + |\bar{U}_y \Phi_y|), \quad H_1 = \frac{\sigma_y^2}{8(\sigma + 1)(\Phi_y + \bar{V})^2} = O(1)|\sigma_y^2|, \\ H_2 &= O(1)(|\sigma_y \Phi_{yy}| + |\sigma_y \bar{V}_y| + |\sigma_y^2|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, it is straightforward to obtain

$$|G| \leq C\delta^2 e^{-c\delta_0|y| - c\delta_0|\tau|}. \quad (3.18)$$

The following is the a priori estimate of the local solution for (3.8).

Proposition 3.1. (*A Priori Estimate Before the Interaction Time*) Suppose that there exists a solution $(\Phi, \Psi, \sigma) \in X[-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, T]$ with $T \leq 0$, then there exist positive constants δ_0, M_0 and C such that, if $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and $N(T) \leq M_0$, then (Φ, Ψ) holds that for $\tau \in [-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Phi, \Psi)(\tau)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma(\tau)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^\tau (\|\bar{V}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Phi, \Psi)(s)\|^2 + \|\sigma(s)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \\ & + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^\tau (\|\Phi_y(s)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\Psi_y(s)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|t-t_0|}{2\epsilon}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The a priori estimate (3.19) in proposition 3.1 are obtained step by step from the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.1, if δ_0 and M_0 are suitably small, then for $-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon} \leq \tau \leq T \leq 0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Phi, \Psi, \sigma)(\tau)\|^2 + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} (\|\bar{V}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Phi, \Psi)(s)\|^2 + \|\sigma(s)\|^2) ds + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|(\Psi_y, \sigma_y)(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|\tau|}{2}} + C(\delta_0 + M_0) \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|(\Phi_y, \Phi_{yy}, \Psi_{yy})(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. In order to obtain the low order energy estimate of Φ, Ψ, σ , the weighted integral method is used as following. For $1 \geq \alpha > 0$, multiplying (3.8)₁ by $(1 + \alpha\bar{V})\Phi$, (3.8)₂ by $-\frac{(1+\alpha\bar{V})}{p'(\bar{V})}\Psi$, (3.8)₃ by $\beta\sigma$ respectively, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are undetermined constants, adding the three resulting equations together, and integrate the result with respect to y over \mathbb{R} , note that $-\bar{V}_\tau = s_{21}V_y^{S_{21}} + s_{22}V_y^{S_{22}} > 0$, $p''(\bar{V}) > 0 > p'(\bar{V})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((1 + \alpha\bar{V})\Phi^2 + \frac{(1 + \alpha\bar{V})}{|p'(\bar{V})|}\Psi^2 + \beta\sigma^2) dy \right)_\tau \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{s_{21}V_y^{S_{21}} + s_{22}V_y^{S_{22}}}{2} \left(\alpha\Phi^2 + \frac{2\alpha(V_y^{S_{21}} + V_y^{S_{22}})}{s_{21}V_y^{S_{21}} + s_{22}V_y^{S_{22}}} \Phi\Psi + \left(\frac{p''(\bar{V})}{p'^2(\bar{V})} + \frac{\alpha}{|p'(\bar{V})|} \right) \Psi^2 \right) dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1 + \alpha(V_y^{S_{21}} + V_y^{S_{22}})}{\bar{V}|p'(\bar{V})|} \Psi_y^2 dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(\sigma_y^2 + 2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})(\sigma + 1)\sigma^2) dy \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1 + \alpha\bar{V}}{p'(\bar{V})} \Psi(G - Q) + \left(\frac{1 + \alpha\bar{V}}{\bar{V}p'(\bar{V})} \right)'_{\bar{V}} \bar{V}_y \Psi \Psi_y - \frac{(1 + \alpha\bar{V})\Psi H_1}{p'(\bar{V})} + \beta H_2 \sigma \right) dy \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\Psi||G| + |\Psi||Q| + \bar{V}_y |\Psi| |\Psi_y| + |\Psi||H_1| + \beta |H_2| |\sigma|) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

For the part contained in parentheses in the second term of (3.21), choosing α small enough, by direct calculation, one obtains

$$\alpha\Phi^2 + \frac{2\alpha(V_y^{S_{21}} + V_y^{S_{22}})}{s_{21}V_y^{S_{21}} + s_{22}V_y^{S_{22}}} \Phi\Psi + \left(\frac{p''(\bar{V})}{p'^2(\bar{V})} + \frac{\alpha}{|p'(\bar{V})|} \right) \Psi^2 \geq c(\Phi^2 + \Psi^2), \quad (3.22)$$

Moreover, using the Sobolev embedding theorem, combining the Hölder inequality and Young inequality, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |G||\Psi| dy ds & \leq \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta^2 |\Psi| e^{-c\delta|y| - c\delta|s|} dy ds \\ & \leq C\delta \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\Psi(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Psi_y(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-c\delta|s|} ds \\ & \leq N(T) \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\Psi_y(s)\|^2 ds + C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|\tau|}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Q||\Psi| dy ds & \leq C(\delta + M_0) \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|(\Phi_y, \Psi_{yy})(s)\|^2 ds \\ & + \nu \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\Phi_y\|^2 ds + \frac{C\delta_0}{\nu} \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}_y \Psi^2 dy ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}_y |\Psi| |\Psi_y| dy ds \leq \nu \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\Psi_y(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{C\delta}{\nu} \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}_y |\Psi|^2 dy ds, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Psi| |H_1| dy ds &\leq C \|\Psi(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Psi_y(s)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_y|^2 dy ds \\
&\leq CM_0 \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\sigma_y(s)\|^2 ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma| |H_2| dy ds &\leq \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\sigma| |\sigma_y|^2 + |\sigma| |\sigma_y| |\Phi_{yy}| + |\sigma| |\sigma_y| |\bar{V}_y|) dy ds \\
&\leq C \|\sigma\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma_y\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} (\|\sigma_y(s)\|^2 + \|\Phi_{yy}(s)\|^2) ds + \delta^2 \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\sigma_y(s)\| \|\sigma(s)\| ds \\
&\leq C(\delta_0 + M_0) \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} (\|\sigma\|^2 + \|\sigma_y(s)\|^2 + \|\Phi_{yy}(s)\|^2) ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

integrating (3.21) over $[-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, \tau]$, for sufficiently large weighted parameter β and sufficiently small weighted parameter α , combining with the Lemma 2.2, (3.20) is deduced immediately by selecting the initial disturbance M_0 and the shock wave intensity δ_0 are suitable small, and the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.1, if δ_0 and M_0 are suitably small, then for $-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon} \leq \tau \leq T \leq 0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Phi, \Psi, \sigma)(\tau)\|_1^2 + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \left(\|\bar{V}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Phi, \Psi)(s)\|^2 + \|\Phi_y\|^2 + \|\Psi_y(s)\|_1^2 + \|\sigma(s)\|_2^2 \right) ds \\
\leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|t-t_0|}{2\epsilon}} + C(\delta_0 + M_0) \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|\Phi_{yy}(s)\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. Applying ∂_y to (3.8)₁, one gets

$$\Phi_{y\tau} - \Psi_{yy} = 0, \tag{3.29}$$

multiplying the above equality (3.29) by Φ_y , (3.8)₂ by $-\bar{V}\Phi_y$ respectively, adding the two resulting equations together, and integrate the result with respect to y over \mathbb{R} , one has

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \Phi_y^2 dy \right)_{\tau} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\bar{V}|p'(\bar{V})|\Phi_y^2 - \bar{V}\Psi_{\tau}\Phi_y) dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}\Phi_y(Q - G + H_1) dy, \tag{3.30}$$

observing that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}\Psi_{\tau}\Phi_y dy = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}\Psi\Phi_y dy \right)_{\tau} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((s_{21}V_y^{S_{21}} + s_{22}V_y^{S_{22}})\Psi\Phi_y + \bar{V}_y\Psi\Psi_y + \bar{V}\Psi_y^2) dy, \tag{3.31}$$

plugging (3.31) into (3.30), integrating the resulting equation over $[-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, \tau]$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi_y^2 - \bar{V}\Psi\Phi_y \right) dy + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}|p'(\bar{V})|\Phi_y^2 dy ds \\
&\leq \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((s_{21}V_y^{S_{21}} + s_{22}V_y^{S_{22}})\Psi\Phi_y + \bar{V}_y\Psi\Psi_y + \bar{V}\Psi_y^2) dy ds \\
&\quad - \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{V}\Phi_y(Q - G + H_1) dy ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

multiplying both sides of (3.20) by a constant large enough, adding it to (3.32), by using the Sobolev embedding theorem, for smallness of δ and M_0 , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Psi, \sigma)(\tau)\|^2 + \|\Phi(\tau)\|_1^2 + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|(\Phi_y, \Psi_y, \sigma_y)(s)\|^2 ds \\
+ \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} (\|\bar{V}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Phi, \Psi)(s)\|^2 + \|\sigma(s)\|^2) ds \\
\leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|t-t_0|}{2\epsilon}} + C(\delta + M_0) \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^{\tau} \|(\Phi_{yy}, \sigma_{yy}, \Psi_{yy})(s)\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Multiplying (3.8)₂ by $-\Psi_{yy}$, (3.8)₃ by $-\sigma_{yy}$, and integrate it over \mathbb{R} with respect to y , one has

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Psi_y^2 dy\right)_\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{\bar{V}}\Psi_{yy}^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}}(p'(\bar{V})\Psi_{yy}\Phi_y - \Psi_{yy}(Q - G + H_1))dy, \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma_y^2 dy\right)_\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma_{yy}^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\sigma_{yy}(2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})(\sigma + 1)\sigma - H_2)dy, \quad (3.35)$$

integrating the above equality over $[\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, \tau]$, by using Sobolev embedding theorem, repeating the previous proof of (3.33) steps, the inequality (3.28) can be derived, and the proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. In the proof of the Lemma 3.1, we need to use the assumptions (3.14) for (Φ, Ψ, σ) , in fact, $\sup_{\tau \in [-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}, T]} \{\|(\Phi, \sigma)\|_2, \|\Psi\|_1\}$ is required to be small enough. In order to keep the proof of the energy estimate closed, we need to give the following higher derivative estimate of (Φ, Ψ, σ) for the system (3.8), for which, applying ∂_{yy} to (3.8)₁ and ∂_y to (3.8)₂ one has

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{yy\tau} - \Psi_{yyy} = 0, \\ \Psi_{y\tau} + p'(\bar{V})\Phi_{yy} - \frac{\Psi_{yyy}}{\bar{V}} = -p''(\bar{V})\bar{V}_y\Phi_y - \frac{\Psi_{yy}\bar{V}_y}{\bar{V}^2} + Q_y - G_y + H_{1y}, \end{cases} \quad (3.36)$$

and further, Applying ∂_y to (3.36)₁, ∂_y to (3.36)₂ and ∂_y to (3.8)₃, one gets

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{yyy\tau} - \Psi_{yyyy} = 0, \\ \Psi_{yy\tau} + p'(\bar{V})\Phi_{yyy} - \frac{\Psi_{yyyy}}{\bar{V}} = -p''(\bar{V})\bar{V}_y\Phi_{yyy} - \frac{\Psi_{yyy}\bar{V}_y}{\bar{V}^2} - (p''(\bar{V})\bar{V}_y\Phi_y) \\ \quad - \left(\frac{\Psi_{yy}\bar{V}_y}{\bar{V}^2}\right)_y + Q_{yy} - G_{yy} + H_{1yy}, \\ \sigma_{\tau y} - \sigma_{yyy} = -2(\Phi_{yy} + \bar{V}_y)(\sigma + 1)\sigma - 2(\Phi_y + \bar{V})(2\sigma + 1)\sigma_y + H_{2y}, \end{cases} \quad (3.37)$$

Multiplying (3.36)₁ by Φ_{yy} , (3.36)₂ by $-\bar{V}\Phi_{yy}$ and (3.36)₂ by $-\Psi_{yyy}$, repeating the analytic steps in Lemma 3.2 step by step, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Phi, \Psi)(\tau)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma(\tau)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^\tau \left(\|\bar{V}_y^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Phi, \Psi)(s)\|^2 + \|\sigma(s)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \\ & + \int_{-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}}^\tau \left(\|\Phi_y(s)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\Psi_y(s)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|t-t_0|}{2\epsilon}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Multiplying (3.37)₁ by Φ_{yyy} , (3.37)₂ by $-\bar{V}\Phi_{yyy}$, (3.37)₂ by $-\Psi_{yyyy}$ and (3.37)₃ by $-\sigma_{yyy}$, repeating the proof steps in Lemma 3.2 again, combining with the energy inequality (3.38), the a priori estimate (3.28) can be derived, and thus the proof of Proposition 3.1 is completed.

4 Estimates After the Interacting Time

4.1 Time-dependent shift

In this section, we consider the case after the interaction of two homologous shock waves, for which, as shown in Figure 1, after the interaction, a forward shock wave and a backward rarefaction wave are generated. Unlike the system (2.3) where the initial data at $\tau = -\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}$ is well-chosen such that the perturbation $(v, u)|_{\tau=-\frac{t_0}{\epsilon}} = (\bar{V}, \bar{U})$, here the initial data is determined by the solution obtained after the interaction time, thanks for Proposition 3.1 and (1.34), one has

$$\|(v - \bar{V}, u - \bar{U}, \omega - 1)(0)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C\delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{c\delta_0|t_0|}{2\epsilon}}. \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\max\{|v_m - v_-|, |u_m - u_-|\} + \max\{|(v^+ - v_m)|, |u^+ - u_m|\} = \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \leq \delta_0, \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, due to the difference in the analysis techniques of rarefaction wave and shock wave, the anti-derivative method used in Section 3 is no longer applicable to the analysis of the superposition of rarefaction wave and shock wave. Thanks to the inspiration of Kang-Vasseur-Wang [22], we introduce time-dependent shift $\mathbf{X}(\tau)$ for these composite wave, and combine the effective velocity, relative quantities and the weighted estimation method to solve this difficulty. Letting

$$\xi = y - \tilde{s}_2\tau, \quad (4.3)$$

under the moving coordinate system (ξ, τ) , the system (2.3) is transformed into the following form

$$\begin{cases} v_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 v_\xi - u_\xi = 0, \\ u_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 u_\xi + p(v)_\xi = \left(\frac{u_\xi}{v}\right)_\xi - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\omega_\xi^2}{\omega v^2}\right)_\xi, \\ \omega_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \omega_\xi = -2v\omega(\omega - 1) + v \left(\frac{\omega_\xi}{v}\right)_\xi - \frac{\omega_\xi^2}{2\omega}. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

The following notations are used throughout in this section, i.e.

$$f^{\pm\mathbf{X}}(\xi, \tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(\xi \pm \mathbf{X}(\tau), \tau), \quad (4.5)$$

with any function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, moreover, the corresponding smooth approximate superposition outgoing waves is constructed as follows

$$(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U})(\xi, \tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(\xi + \tilde{s}_2\tau, \tau) + (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})(\xi) - (v_m, u_m), \quad (4.6)$$

where $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1})(\xi, \tau)$ is the approximate 1-rarefaction wave defined in (2.9), $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2})(\xi)$ is the 2-viscous shock wave defined in (2.11), and the shift $\mathbf{X}(\tau)$ of the forward viscous shock wave is determined by the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau} = -\frac{m_0}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a(\xi - \mathbf{X})}{\tilde{s}_2} \partial_\xi \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V})) d\xi \right. \\ \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi - \mathbf{X}) \partial_\xi p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}) (v - \tilde{V}) d\xi \right], \\ \mathbf{X}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where $m_0 = \frac{5(\gamma+1)(-p'(v_m))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8\gamma p(v_m)}$, $\tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2} = \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2} - (\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})_\xi$ and the weight function $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$a(\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \left(p(v_m) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}(\xi)) \right), \quad (4.8)$$

λ is a constant which be chosen so small but far bigger than $\tilde{\delta}_2$ such that

$$\tilde{\delta}_2 \ll \lambda \leq C\sqrt{\tilde{\delta}_2}, \quad (4.9)$$

and the following properties hold for the weight function $a(\xi)$

$$1 < a(\xi) < 1 + \lambda, \quad a'_\xi(\xi) = -\frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} p'(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} > 0, \quad |a'_\xi| \sim \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}|. \quad (4.10)$$

The following Lemma 4.1 shows the properties of the waves with shift $\mathbf{X}(\tau)$ (see Kang-Vasseur-Wang [22]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathbf{X} be the shift defined by (4.7). There exist constants $\epsilon_1, \delta_0 > 0$, such that $|\dot{\mathbf{X}}| \leq \epsilon_1$ and $\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2 \leq \delta_0$, then for all $t \leq T$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1} \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C \tilde{\delta}_2 \tau}, \\ & \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1} \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-C \tilde{\delta}_2 \tau}, \\ & \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} - v_m)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C \tilde{\delta}_2 \tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

It is easy to check that the approximate superposition wave (\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}) constructed in (4.6) satisfies the system

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{V}_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \tilde{U}_\xi - \tilde{U}_\xi = -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}, \\ \tilde{U}_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \tilde{U}_\xi + p_\xi(\tilde{V}) = \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_\xi}{\tilde{V}}\right)_\xi - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} + F_1 + F_2, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$F_1 = \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}\right)_\xi - \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_\xi}{\tilde{V}}\right)_\xi, \quad F_2 = (p(\tilde{V}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}))_\xi. \quad (4.13)$$

The following Theorem 4.1 is about the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of the solution for system (4.4) after the interaction time of the chasing shock waves.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (v^r, u^r) and $(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2})$ are the outgoing rarefaction wave and viscous shock wave given by (1.24) and (2.13) respectively, there exist a positive constant $\tilde{\delta}_0 (< \delta_0)$ such that, if the strength satisfies $\delta_1 + \delta_2 < \tilde{\delta}_0$, then there exists a unique global solution (v, u, ω) to (4.4) and (4.20) in $\tau \in [0, +\infty)$, moreover, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & v(y, \tau) - \left(v^{r1}\left(\frac{y}{\tau}\right) + \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y - \tilde{s}_2 \tau - X(\tau)) - v_m\right) \in C([0, +\infty); H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ & u(y, \tau) - \left(u^{r1}\left(\frac{y}{\tau}\right) + \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y - \tilde{s}_2 \tau - X(\tau)) - u_m\right) \in C([0, +\infty); H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ & u_{yy}(y, \tau) - \tilde{U}_{yy}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y - \tilde{s}_2 \tau - \mathbf{X}(\tau)) \in L^2([0, +\infty); L^2(\mathbb{R})), \\ & \omega - 1 \in C([0, +\infty); H^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2([0, +\infty); H^3(\mathbb{R})). \end{aligned}$$

furthermore,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |(v, u, \omega) - (\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}, 1)| = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)| = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Remark 4.1. *The implication of the theorem 4.1 is that, when two interfaces move in the same direction with different shock wave velocities, if the speed of the rear interface is faster than the speed of the front interface, the rear interface will catch up with the front interface and interact with each other in a finite time, so that it will evolve into a forward interface moving at the shock wave velocity and a backward interface moving at the rarefaction wave, and the tail of the newly generated interface moving backward at the rarefaction wave velocity will produce a shift effect to the interface moving forward at the shock velocity, the shift is time dependent.*

Remark 4.2. *From (4.15), one derives at once that*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}(\tau)}{\tau} = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

which means that the function $\mathbf{X}(\tau)$ grows at most sub-linearly with respect to time t .

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, the proof of the local solution is omitted, and the a priori estimate of the solution is given here in detail. Putting the perturbation around the superposition wave profile $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{U}, 1)$ by

$$(\phi, \psi, \sigma)(y, \tau) = (v - \tilde{V}, u - \tilde{U}, \omega - 1)(y, \tau), \quad \tau > 0, \quad (4.17)$$

subtracting (4.12) from (4.4), one has

$$\begin{cases} \phi_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \phi_\xi - \psi_\xi = \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}, \\ \psi_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \psi_\xi + (p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))_\xi = \left(\frac{\psi_\xi}{v}\right)_\xi - \left(\frac{\phi \tilde{U}_\xi}{v \tilde{V}}\right)_\xi - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{(\sigma+1)v^2}\right)_\xi \\ \quad + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} - F_1 - F_2, \\ \sigma_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \sigma_\xi = -2v(\sigma+1)\sigma + v \left(\frac{\sigma_\xi}{v}\right)_\xi - \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2(\sigma+1)}, \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

with the initial condition as following

$$(\phi, \psi, \sigma)(\xi, 0) = (v - \tilde{V}, u - \tilde{U}, \omega - 1)(\xi, 0) \xrightarrow{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} (0, 0, 0). \quad (4.19)$$

The a priori estimate of the moment of interaction of the chasing shock waves is given below, which means that when the strength of the outgoing waves is suitably small, the initial perturbation in the sense of (4.2) is also small after the interacting time.

Lemma 4.2. *It holds that*

$$\left\| (v - \tilde{V}, u - \tilde{U}, \omega - 1) \Big|_{\tau=0} \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} = O(1) \delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad (4.20)$$

for δ_0 small enough.

Proof. Since the difference between the viscous shock profiles before and the rarefaction wave and the viscous shock profiles after the interacting time are

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{V} - \bar{V})(y, 0) &= (\tilde{V} - \bar{V})(y, 0) = (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}(y, 0) + \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y) - v_m) - (V^{S_{21}}(y) + V^{S_{22}}(y) - v^*) \\ &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}(y, 0) - v_-) - (V^{S_{22}}(y) - v^*) \\ \quad + (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y) - v_m) - (V^{S_{21}} - v_-), y \leq 0, \\ (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}(y, 0) - v_m) - (V^{S_{22}}(y) - v_+) \\ \quad + (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y) - v_+) - (V^{S_{21}} - v^*), y \geq 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{U} - \bar{U})(y, 0) &= (\tilde{U} - \bar{U})(y, 0) = (\tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1}(y, 0) + \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y) - v_m) - (U^{S_{21}}(y) + V^{S_{22}}(y) - v^*) \\ &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1}(y, 0) - v_-) - (U^{S_{22}}(y) - v^*) \\ \quad + (\tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y) - v_m) - (U^{S_{21}} - v_-), y \leq 0, \\ (\tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1}(y, 0) - v_m) - (U^{S_{22}}(y) - v_+) \\ \quad + (\tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2}(y) - v_+) - (U^{S_{21}} - v^*), y \geq 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma (2.1) and Lemma (2.2), one has

$$|(\tilde{V} - \bar{V})(y, 0)| = O(1) \delta_0 e^{-c\delta_0|y|}, \quad |(\tilde{U} - \bar{U})(y, 0)| = O(1) \delta_0 e^{-c\delta_0|y|},$$

which gives

$$\left\| (\tilde{V} - \bar{V}, \tilde{U} - \bar{U}) \Big|_{\tau=0} \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} = O(1) \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.21)$$

combining with (4.1), then the a priori estimate (4.2) is obtained immediately, and the proof of Lemma 4.1 is completed. \square

Now, similar to the proof procedure in Section 3, setting the solution space:

$$X_M([0, T]) = \left\{ (\phi, \psi, \sigma) \left| \begin{aligned} &(\phi, \sigma) \in C([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R})), \psi \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \\ &\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(\phi, \psi, \sigma)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq M, \|(\phi_{yy}, \sigma_{yy})\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq M_1 \end{aligned} \right. \right\}. \quad (4.22)$$

From (4.22), by using the Sobolev embedding, one has

$$\|(\phi, \psi, \sigma)\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq CM, \quad \|(\phi_y, \sigma_y)\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C\sqrt{MM_1}. \quad (4.23)$$

This smallness together with (4.7) and Lemma 2.3 yields that

$$\|p(v) - p(\tilde{V})\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R})} \leq CM, \quad (4.24)$$

$$|\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)| \leq \frac{C}{\delta_2} \left(\|p(v) - p(\tilde{V})\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} d\xi \right) \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}. \quad (4.25)$$

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $(\phi, \psi, \sigma)(\xi, \tau) \in X_M([0, T])$ is the solution to the problem (4.18)-(4.19) on $[0, T]$ for some $T > 0$, then, for δ_0 and M small enough, $\forall \tau \leq T$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{[0, T]} \left(\|(\phi, \sigma)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^\tau |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}|) \phi^2 d\xi ds \right. \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))_\xi|^2 d\xi ds + \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi ds + \int_0^\tau (\|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \\ &\left. \leq C_0 \left(\|(\phi, \sigma)(0)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\psi(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \delta_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \right), \right. \end{aligned}$$

where C_0 is independent of T .

4.2 Energy estimates for weighted relative entropy

In this subsection, the energy estimates for weighted relative entropy will be established. In order to get more information about v , thanks to the entropy method provided by Vasseur-Yao [30], He-Huang [15] to overcome the difficulties caused by the initial perturbations with small energy but possibly large oscillations of shock waves, the following effective velocity is introduced:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\xi, \tau) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u - (\ln v)_\xi, & \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2}(\xi) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2} - (\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})_\xi, \\ \tilde{h}(\xi, \tau) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1} + \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} - u_m, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Further, the system (4.4), the system (2.11) and the system (4.12) are transformed into the following new forms respectively

$$\begin{cases} v_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 v_\xi - h_\xi = (\ln v)_{\xi\xi}, \\ h_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 h_\xi + p(v)_\xi = -\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\omega_\xi^2}{\omega v^2} \right)_\xi, \\ \omega_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \omega_\xi = -2v\omega(\omega - 1) + v \left(\frac{\omega_\xi}{v} \right)_\xi - \frac{\omega_\xi^2}{2\omega}. \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\tilde{s}_2 \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} - \tilde{h}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} = (\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})_{\xi\xi}, \\ -\tilde{s}_2 \tilde{h}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} + (p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}))_\xi = 0, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2}) = (v_m, u_m), \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2}) = (v_+, u_+). \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{V}_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \tilde{V}_\xi - \tilde{h}_\xi = (\ln \tilde{V})_{\xi\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} + F_3, \\ \tilde{h}_\tau - \tilde{s}_2 \tilde{h}_\xi + (p(\tilde{V}))_\xi = -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \tilde{h}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} + F_2, \end{cases} \quad (4.29)$$

where F_2 is defined in (4.13) and

$$F_3 = \left(\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} - \ln \tilde{V} \right)_{\xi\xi}. \quad (4.30)$$

To simplify the system (4.27) and the system (4.29), introducing the following vector

$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ h \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V} \\ \tilde{h} \\ \tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}(\xi, \tau) + \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\xi) - v_m \\ \tilde{U}^{\tilde{R}_1}(\xi, \tau) + \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\xi) - u_m \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.31)$$

and the following matrix operators

$$A(\mathbf{w}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{s}_2 v - h \\ -\tilde{s}_2 h + p(v) \\ -\tilde{s}_2 \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(\mathbf{w}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma p(v) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega_\xi}{8v^2\omega} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.32)$$

$$H(\mathbf{w}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2v\omega(\omega - 1) - \frac{\omega_\xi v_\xi}{v} - \frac{\omega_\xi^2}{2\omega} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.33)$$

further, we define the entropy function

$$\eta(\mathbf{w}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q(v) + \frac{h^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}, \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$Q(v) = \frac{v^{-\gamma+1}}{\gamma-1}. \quad (4.35)$$

Moreover, the relative entropy of η , the relative flux of A and the relative pressure of p are as follows

$$\eta(\mathbf{w}|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \eta(\mathbf{w}) - \eta(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) - \nabla\eta(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})(\mathbf{w} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = Q(v|\tilde{V}) + \frac{(h - \tilde{h})^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$A(\mathbf{w}|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = A(\mathbf{w}) - A(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) - \nabla A(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})(\mathbf{w} - \tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p(v|\tilde{V}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.37)$$

$$p(v|\tilde{V}) = p(v) - p(\tilde{V}) - p'(\tilde{V})(v - \tilde{V}). \quad (4.38)$$

Thus, the system (4.27) and (4.29) are transformed into the following vector forms respectively

$$\partial_\tau \mathbf{w} + \partial_\xi A(\mathbf{w}) = \partial_\xi \left(M(\mathbf{w}) \partial_\xi \nabla \eta(\mathbf{w}) \right) + H(\mathbf{w}). \quad (4.39)$$

$$\partial_\tau \tilde{\mathbf{w}} + \partial_\xi A(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \partial_\xi \left(M(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) \partial_\xi \nabla \eta(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) \right) - \dot{\mathbf{X}} \partial_\xi \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} + \begin{pmatrix} F_3 \\ F_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.40)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \\ \tilde{h}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{s}_2 \tilde{V} - \tilde{h} \\ -\tilde{s}_2 \tilde{h} + p(\tilde{V}) \\ -\tilde{s}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma p(\tilde{V}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and F_2, F_3 are defined in (4.13), (4.30) respectively. By using (4.7), (4.8), (4.39)-(4.40), the expression of the rate of change of the weighted relative entropy integral with respect to time can be obtained by direct calculation

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \eta(\mathbf{w} | \tilde{\mathbf{w}}) d\xi = \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{w}) + \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{w}), \quad (4.41)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{w}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \tilde{h}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \tilde{h} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} p'(\tilde{V}) \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \phi d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left(\frac{|h - \tilde{h}|^2}{2} + Q(v | \tilde{V}) \right) d\xi, \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2\tilde{s}_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} |p(v) - p(\tilde{V})|^2 d\xi + \tilde{s}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2, -\mathbf{X}} p(v | \tilde{V}) d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{V})}{\gamma p(v)} \partial_{\xi} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V})) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))^2 \frac{\partial_{\xi} p(\tilde{V})}{\gamma p(v) p(\tilde{V})} d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \partial_{\xi} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V})) \frac{p(\tilde{V}) - p(v)}{\gamma p(v) p(\tilde{V})} \partial_{\xi} p(\tilde{V}) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V})) F_3 d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (h - \tilde{h}) F_2 d\xi - \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} (h - \tilde{h}) \left(\frac{\sigma_{\xi}^2}{(\sigma + 1)v^2} \right)_{\xi} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \sigma \sigma_{\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \left(2v\sigma^3 + \frac{(\phi_{\xi} + \tilde{V}_{\xi}) \sigma_{\xi} \sigma}{v} + \frac{\omega_{\xi}^2 \sigma}{\sigma + 1} \right) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{\tilde{s}_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} \left| (h - \tilde{h}) - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{V})}{\tilde{s}_2} \right|^2 d\xi + \tilde{s}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} Q(v | \tilde{V}) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} \tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1} p(v | \tilde{V}) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{(\tilde{s}_2 a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + 4va^{-\mathbf{X}}) \sigma^2}{2} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a^{-\mathbf{X}} |\sigma_{\xi}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a^{-\mathbf{X}} |\partial_{\xi} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))|^2}{\gamma p(v)} d\xi \right). \end{aligned}$$

At this point of preparation, the relative entropy energy inequality is given as follows:

Lemma 4.3. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of δ_0, M, T) such that for all $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(v | \tilde{V}) d\xi + \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^T |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T (G_1 + G^{\tilde{s}_2} + D_1 + \|\sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q(v | \tilde{V})(\xi, 0) d\xi + \|(h - \tilde{h})(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\omega(0) - 1\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

where $h(\xi, 0) = u(\xi, 0) - (\ln v(\xi, 0))_{\xi}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \cdot \left| h - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{V})}{\tilde{s}_2} \right|^2 d\xi, \\ G^{\tilde{s}_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| |p(v) - p(\tilde{V})|^2 d\xi, \quad D_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))_{\xi}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Proof. By using the translation coordinate $\xi \mapsto \xi + \mathbf{X}(\tau)$ for (4.41), one has

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi) \eta(\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{x}} | \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{x}}) d\xi = \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{x}}) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (4.44)$$

Without causing ambiguity, for the sake of brevity, we omit the dependence of the solution on the shift, that is, $(v, h, \omega) = (v^{\mathbf{X}}, h^{\mathbf{X}}, \omega^{\mathbf{X}})$, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{X}}$. Moreover, the following substitute symbols are introduced

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p(v) - p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}), \mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{P}_i + \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{S}_i, \\ \mathcal{G} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{G}_i + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1} + \mathbf{D}_1 + \mathbf{A}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_1 &= \frac{1}{2\tilde{s}_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}(\xi) (\Delta P)^2 d\xi + \tilde{s}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi) \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} p(v | \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{B}_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a(\xi) (\Delta P)_{\xi}^2 p_{\xi}(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})}{2\gamma p(v) p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a_{\xi} (\Delta P)_{\xi}^2}{2\gamma p(v)} d\xi, \\ \mathbf{B}_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a_{\xi} (\Delta P)_{\xi}^2 p_{\xi}(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})}{\gamma p(v) p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})} d\xi, \quad \mathbf{P}_1 = -\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}}) \left(\frac{2\sigma_{\xi} \sigma_{\xi\xi}}{(\sigma+1)v^2} - \frac{\sigma_{\xi}^3}{(\sigma+1)^2 v^2} - \frac{2\sigma_{\xi}^2 \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}}}{(\sigma+1)v^3} \right) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{P}_2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi} \sigma \sigma_{\xi} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \left(2v\sigma^3 - \frac{\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}} \sigma_{\xi} \sigma}{v} - \frac{\sigma_{\xi}^2 \omega}{\sigma+1} \right) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{P}_3 &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}}) \frac{\sigma_{\xi}^2 \phi_{\xi}}{(\sigma+1)v^3} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \frac{\phi_{\xi} \sigma_{\xi} \sigma}{v} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\Delta P) (\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{s}_2} - \ln \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})_{\xi\xi} d\xi, \quad \mathbf{S}_2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}}) (p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{s}_2}))_{\xi} d\xi, \\ \mathbf{G}_1 &= \frac{\tilde{s}_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi} |h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}} - \frac{\Delta P}{\tilde{s}_2}|^2 d\xi, \quad \mathbf{G}_2 = \tilde{s}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi} Q(v | \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi, \quad \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a \tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} p(v | \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{D}_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{\gamma p(v)} |(\Delta P)_{\xi}|^2 d\xi, \quad \mathbf{A}_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tilde{s}_2 a_{\xi}^{-\mathbf{X}} + 4va^{-\mathbf{X}}}{2} \sigma^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a |\sigma_{\xi}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Further, from (4.7) and (4.41), the functional \mathbf{Y} can be decomposed into the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}(\xi) \left(\frac{|(h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}})|^2}{2} + Q(v | \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) \right) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi) \tilde{h}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} (h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi) p'(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} (v - \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi = \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathbf{Y}_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_i, (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_1 &= \int a(\xi) \left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} \Delta P}{\tilde{s}_2} - p'(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{s}_2}) \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} (v - \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) \right) d\xi, \quad \mathbf{Y}_2 = \int a(\xi) \tilde{h}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} \left((h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}}) - \frac{\Delta P}{\tilde{s}_2} \right) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_3 &= -\int a(\xi) (p'(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) - p'(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{s}_2})) \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{s}_2} \phi d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a_{\xi}(\xi) \left(h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}} - \frac{\Delta P}{\tilde{s}_2} \right) \left(h - \tilde{h}^{\mathbf{X}} + \frac{\Delta P}{\tilde{s}_2} \right) d\xi, \\ \mathbf{Y}_5 &= -\int a_{\xi}(\xi) \left(Q(v | \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) + \frac{(\Delta P)^2}{2\tilde{s}_2^2} \right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Notice from (4.7) that $\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) = -\frac{m_0}{\delta_2} \mathbf{Y}_1$ which together with (4.46) yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \mathbf{Y} = -\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{m_0} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)|^2 + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathbf{Y}_i, \quad (4.47)$$

and then, by using the Poincaré inequality below (Kang-Vasseur-Wang [22])

$$\int_0^1 \left| g - \int_0^1 g dz \right|^2 dz \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 z(1-z) |g'| dz, \quad (4.48)$$

where $\forall g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and satisfying $\int_0^1 z(1-z) |g'| dz < \infty$, through the tedious but not difficult calculations, one immediately knows, there exist $C, C_1 > 0$ such that:

$$-\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{B}_1 - \mathbf{G}_2 - \frac{3}{4} \mathbf{D}_1 \leq -C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + C(K_1 + K_2), \quad (4.49)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} &= \int |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| (\Delta P)^2 d\xi, \\ K_1 &= \int |a_\xi(\xi)| (\Delta P)^3 d\xi, \quad K_2 = \int |a_\xi(\xi)| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| (\Delta P)^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

Next, by using (4.44), (4.47) and (4.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi) \eta(\mathbf{w} | \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi &\leq -\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{i=2}^5 \mathbf{Y}_i + \sum_{i=2}^3 \mathbf{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{P}_i + \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{S}_i \\ &\quad - \mathbf{G}_1 - \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1} - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}_1 - \mathbf{A}_1 - C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + C(K_1 + K_2). \end{aligned}$$

By using the Young's inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(\xi) \eta(\mathbf{w} | \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi &+ \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{4M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}_1 + \mathbf{A}_1 + C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} \\ &\leq C(K_1 + K_2) + \frac{C}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \sum_{i=2}^5 |\mathbf{Y}_i|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^3 \mathbf{B}_i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{P}_i + \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathbf{S}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Note that from (4.24) and (4.10), it holds

$$G_1 \sim \mathbf{G}_1, \quad \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} = \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \quad D_1 \sim \mathbf{D}_1, \quad \|\sigma\|_{H^1}^2 \sim \mathbf{A}_1. \quad (4.52)$$

we will use the above good terms $\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1}, \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \mathbf{D}_1$ and \mathbf{A}_1 in (4.51) to control the above bad terms on the right-hand side of (4.51), and the following are appropriate estimates for the these bad terms in turn. The first step is to consider the estimation of K_1 and K_2 . By using interpolation inequality, (4.8), (4.24) and (4.10) with Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &\leq C \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \|\Delta P\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| \cdot |\Delta P| d\xi \\ &\leq C \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \|(\Delta P)_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\Delta P\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left(\int |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| (\Delta P)^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq CM \|(\Delta P)_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + CM \int |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| (\Delta P)^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{40} (\mathbf{D}_1 + C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

Similarly, from Lemma 4.1, combining with (4.22), (4.9), (4.10), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &\leq C \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \|\Delta P\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C \frac{\lambda}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \|(\Delta P)_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta P\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{3}{2}} \tilde{\delta}_2^{\frac{2}{3}} \tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{2}{3}} e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} \\ &\leq CM \|(\Delta P)_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + CM \tilde{\delta}_2^{-\frac{4}{3}} \tilde{\delta}_1^{-\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} \leq \frac{1}{40} \mathbf{D}_1 + CM \tilde{\delta}_2^{-\frac{4}{3}} \tilde{\delta}_1^{-\frac{4}{3}} e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

The second step is to give the estimates of the terms \mathbf{Y}_i ($i = 2, \dots, 5$). Since $\tilde{h}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} = \frac{\partial_\xi p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})}{\tilde{s}_2} = -\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\lambda \tilde{s}_2} a_\xi$, one derives

$$|\mathbf{Y}_2| \leq C \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\lambda} \int |a_\xi| \cdot |h - \tilde{h} - \frac{\Delta P}{\tilde{s}_2}| d\xi \leq C \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} |\mathbf{G}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From Lemma 2.2, one gets

$$|\mathbf{Y}_3| \leq C \int |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\phi| d\xi \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1 \int |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\Delta P| d\xi \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1 \sqrt{\tilde{\delta}_2} |\mathbf{G}^S|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} |h - \tilde{h}| &\leq |u - \tilde{U}| + |(\ln v)_\xi - (\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})_\xi| \\ &\leq |\psi| + C \left(|\phi_\xi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}| |v - \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| \right) \\ &\leq |\psi| + C \left(|\phi_\xi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}| |\phi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

together with Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and (4.22), one gets

$$\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} + \tilde{\delta}_1) \leq C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1), \quad (4.56)$$

combining with (4.56), (4.22) and $\|a_\xi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\lambda\tilde{\delta}_2$, one obtains

$$|\mathbf{Y}_4| \leq C \|a_\xi(\xi)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{G}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}) \leq C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\lambda\tilde{\delta}_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbf{G}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with (4.24), one derives

$$|\mathbf{Y}_5| \leq C \int |a_\xi(\xi)| (\Delta P)^2 d\xi \leq C \|\Delta P\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} |\mathbf{G}_S|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq CM |\mathbf{G}_S|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, combining the estimates for \mathbf{Y}_i ($i = 2, \dots, 5$), the following estimates is obtained

$$\frac{C}{\tilde{\delta}_2} \sum_{i=2}^5 |\mathbf{Y}_i|^2 \leq C \tilde{\delta}_2 \mathbf{G}_1 + C \tilde{\delta}_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) \mathbf{G}_1 + CM^2 \mathbf{G}_S \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 + \frac{C_1}{20} \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2}. \quad (4.57)$$

Next, the terms \mathbf{B}_i ($i = 2, 3$) are considered as following. By using Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{B}_2| &\leq C \mathbf{D}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |a_\xi|^2 (\Delta P)^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \mathbf{D}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}|^2 (\Delta P)^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \mathbf{D}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\delta}_2 |\mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2}|^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \mathbf{D}_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_0 (\mathbf{G}^S + \mathbf{G}^R)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{40} \mathbf{D}_1 + \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1} + C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

It should be noted that $|\partial_\xi p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})| \leq C(|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}|)$ and Lemma 2.3 are used in the derivation of the inequality (4.58). Similarly, it holds

$$|\mathbf{B}_3| \leq C \lambda \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}|) (\Delta P)^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{8} (C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1}). \quad (4.59)$$

The next step is to give the estimates for the terms \mathbf{P}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) as following. For \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 , using (4.56) and Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}_1| &\leq C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2} (\|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^{3/2} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^{1/2} + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2} + (\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^{3/2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^{1/2}) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{A}_1 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}_2| &\leq C \|a_\xi\|_{L^\infty} (\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} + C \left\| \tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2) + C\epsilon_1 \|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{A}_1. \end{aligned}$$

To give the estimate of \mathbf{P}_3 , we start with the following inequality of ϕ_ξ in terms of \mathbf{D}_1 , \mathbf{G}_R and \mathbf{G}_S . Observing that there exists a constant \bar{v} between v and $\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}$ such that

$$(p(v) - p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}))_\xi = p'(v)\phi_\xi - (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}))\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}} = p'(v)\phi_\xi - p''(\bar{v})\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}\phi,$$

which together with the algebraic inequality $\frac{p^2}{2} - q^2 \leq (p - q)^2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a}{\gamma p(v)} \left(p'(v)\phi_\xi - (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}))\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}} \right)^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a(p'(v))^2}{\gamma p(v)} |\phi_\xi|^2 d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{a(p''(\bar{v}))^2}{\gamma p(v)} (\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}})^2 \phi^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\xi^2 d\xi - C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2} + \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} \right) \phi^2 d\xi \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_\xi^2 d\xi - C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \left(\mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the facts that $\phi^2 \leq Cp(v)|\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}| \leq C(p(v) - p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}))$ are used, and it implies

$$\|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2 \mathbf{D}_1 + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1}), \quad (4.61)$$

which together with (4.60), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}_3| &\leq C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2} \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2) + C\epsilon_1 (\|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} (\mathbf{A}_1 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{1}{40} (\mathbf{D}_1 + C_1 \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.62)$$

And next, \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 are estimated as follows. Since $\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}, \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} \in (\frac{v_+}{2}, 2v_+)$, one has

$$\left| (\ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - \ln \tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})_{\xi\xi} \right| \quad (4.63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| \tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}} \right) + \frac{1}{\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}} (\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2} - \tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\mathbf{X}}) - \frac{1}{(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})^2} ((\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2})^2 - (\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}})^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}})^2 \left(\frac{1}{(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2})^2} - \frac{1}{(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}})^2} \right) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (4.64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left(|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}|^2 + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}|^2 |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| \right) \\ &\leq C \left(|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{S}_1| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Delta P)| \left(|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}|^2 \right) d\xi \\ &\leq C \|(\Delta P)\|_{L^2} \left(\|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}\| \cdot \|\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m\| + \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\| \cdot \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}\| \right)_{L^2} + C \|\Delta P\|_{L^\infty} \|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1} \\ &\quad + C \|\Delta P\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} + CM^{1/2} |\mathbf{D}_1|^{1/4} \|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1} + CM \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{40} \mathbf{D}_1 + C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} + CM^{2/3} \|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1}^{4/3} + CM \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^4}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

Similarly, observing that

$$\left| (p(\tilde{V}^{\mathbf{X}}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2}))_\xi \right| \leq C \left(|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| \right), \quad (4.66)$$

then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{S}_2| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h - \tilde{h}| (|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m|) d\xi \\
&\leq C \|h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2} \left\| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}} - v_m| \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

and so far, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\eta(\mathbf{w}|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{4M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \frac{\mathbf{G}_1}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{G}^{\tilde{R}_1}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{8} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_1}{2} + \frac{C_1}{2} \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} + CM^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1}^{\frac{4}{3}} + CM \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^4}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

Finally, multiplying (4.18)₃ by $-\sigma_{\xi\xi}$, and integrating over \mathbb{R} , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v(\sigma + \sigma^2) \sigma_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_\xi v_\xi}{v} \sigma_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_\xi^2 \sigma_{\xi\xi}}{\sigma + 1} d\xi \\
&\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(\phi_\xi + \tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}) \sigma \sigma_\xi d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v \sigma^2 \sigma_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_\xi (\phi_\xi + \tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}})}{v} \sigma_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_\xi^2 \sigma_{\xi\xi}}{\sigma + 1} d\xi \\
&\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi + C \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} (\|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2} + \|\sigma\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}) + C \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\sigma\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^\infty} (\|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2} \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2} + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}) + C \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi + CM (\|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathbf{A}_1) + C\sqrt{M} (\|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2) + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\mathbf{A}_1 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.61) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{G}^R + C_1 \mathbf{G}^S) + \frac{1}{16} \mathbf{D}_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

combining with (4.68) (4.69), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} a\eta(\mathbf{w}|\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{X}}) d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{4M} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\mathbf{G}_1}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_1}{16} + \frac{\mathbf{A}_1}{4} + \frac{C_1}{4} \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(M + \tilde{\delta}_1) \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} + CM^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1}^{\frac{4}{3}} + CM \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^4}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.70}$$

Noticing that by Lemma 2.1,

$$\left\| \tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1} \right\|_{L^1} \leq \begin{cases} \tilde{\delta}_1 & \text{if } 1 + \tau \leq \tilde{\delta}_1^{-1}, \\ \frac{1}{1+\tau} & \text{if } 1 + \tau \geq \tilde{\delta}_1^{-1}, \end{cases} \quad \left\| \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1} \right\|_{L^4} \leq \begin{cases} \tilde{\delta}_1 & \text{if } 1 + \tau \leq \tilde{\delta}_1^{-1}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_1^{1/4} \frac{1}{(1+\tau)^{3/4}} & \text{if } 1 + \tau \geq \tilde{\delta}_1^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$\int_0^\infty \|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^1}^{4/3} ds \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \int_0^\infty \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1, \mathbf{X}}\|_{L^4}^2 ds \leq C \tilde{\delta}_1. \tag{4.71}$$

Integrating (4.70) over $[0, \tau]$ for any $\tau \leq T$ and using (4.71), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\eta(\mathbf{w} | \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{X}}) + |\sigma_{\xi}|^2) d\xi + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left(\mathbf{G}_1 + \mathbf{G}^{\tilde{S}_2} + \mathbf{D}_1 + \mathbf{A}_1 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\eta(\mathbf{w}_0 | \tilde{\mathbf{w}}(\xi, 0)) + |\sigma_{0\xi}|^2) d\xi + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.52), the desired estimate (4.42) is obtained, and the proof of Lemma 4.3 is completed. \square

4.3 Lower order energy estimates

The purpose of this section is to derive the low order energy estimation of the solution for the system (4.18)-(4.19) after the shock waves interaction in the same group. Since the main difficulty is to give the lower derivative estimate of the velocity for the flow, we will not use the effective velocity technique considered in the subsection 4.2.

Lemma 4.4. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of δ_0, M, T) such that for all $\tau \in (0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi, \sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left(G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + D_1 + \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C \left(\|\phi_0, \sigma_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.72)$$

where $G^{\tilde{S}_2}, D_1$ are as in (4.43), and

$$G^{\tilde{R}_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1} p(v | \tilde{V}) d\xi. \quad (4.73)$$

Proof. Here we turn to the system (4.18)-(4.19). As in the proof step of Lemma 4.2, the following vectors and matrix operators are introduced

$$\mathbf{z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ u \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{z}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{V} \\ \tilde{U} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1(\mathbf{z}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{v} & -\frac{\omega_{\xi}}{8v^2\omega} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.74)$$

moreover, similarly, the following entropy function is introduced

$$\eta(\mathbf{z}) = Q(v) + \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}, \quad (4.75)$$

where Q satisfies (4.35), and the relative entropy of η is as follows

$$\eta(\mathbf{z} | \tilde{\mathbf{z}}) = Q(v | \tilde{V}) + \frac{\psi^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}. \quad (4.76)$$

From the definition (4.74) and (4.75), the system (4.4) and the system (4.12) are transformed into the following vector form equations respectively

$$\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{z} + \partial_{\xi} A(\mathbf{z}) = \partial_{\xi} (M_1(\mathbf{z}) \partial_{\xi} \nabla \eta(\mathbf{z})) + H(\mathbf{z}). \quad (4.77)$$

and

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{z}} + \partial_{\xi} A(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) = \partial_{\xi} (M_1(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \partial_{\xi} \nabla \eta(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})) - \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_1 + F_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.78)$$

where F_1, F_2 are as in (4.13). Adopting the same method for the estimates of the weighted relative entropy in (4.41) with $a \equiv 1$, one has

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(\mathbf{z}|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) d\xi = \dot{\mathbf{X}}\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{z}) + \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{z}) - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{z}), \quad (4.79)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{z}) &= - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p'(\tilde{V}) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \phi d\xi}_{\mathcal{Y}_1} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \psi d\xi}_{\mathcal{Y}_2}, \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{z}) &= - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} p(v|\tilde{V}) d\xi}_{\mathbb{B}_1} - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{\tilde{V}}\right) \tilde{U}_\xi \psi_\xi d\xi}_{\mathbb{B}_2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma_\xi^2 \psi_\xi}{(\sigma+1)v^2} d\xi}_{\mathbb{B}_3} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(F_1 + F_2) d\xi}_{\mathbb{B}_4} - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(2v\sigma^3 + \frac{(\phi_\xi + \tilde{V}_\xi)\sigma_\xi\sigma}{v} + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2\sigma}{\sigma+1}\right) d\xi}_{\mathbb{B}_5}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{z}) = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_\xi|^2 d\xi}_{\mathbb{E}_1} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1} p(v|\tilde{V}) d\xi}_{G^{\tilde{R}_1}} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (2v\sigma^2 + |\sigma_\xi|^2) d\xi}_{\mathbb{A}_1}.$$

Noticing that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{z})$ consists of good terms, while $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{z})$ consists bad terms related to the perturbation ϕ, ψ and σ . The good terms $G^{\tilde{R}_1}, \mathbb{A}_1$ and \mathbb{E}_1 will be used to control the bad terms. The proof start with the energy estimation of \mathcal{Y}_1 and \mathcal{Y}_2 . By using Lemma 4.3, one deduces from (4.61) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Y}_1| &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \phi^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq C \sqrt{\tilde{\delta}_2} \sqrt{G^{\tilde{S}_2}}, \\ |\mathcal{Y}_2| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \left(\left| h - \tilde{h} - \frac{p(v) - p(\tilde{V})}{\tilde{s}_2} \right| + |p(v) - p(\tilde{V})| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\phi_\xi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi| |\phi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}| \right) d\xi \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sqrt{G_1} + \sqrt{\tilde{\delta}_2} \sqrt{G^{\tilde{S}_2}} + \tilde{\delta}_2 \sqrt{D_1} + \tilde{\delta}_2 \sqrt{G^{\tilde{R}_1}} + \tilde{\delta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the estimates of \mathcal{Y}_2 , we have use the fact (as done in (4.55)):

$$|\psi| \leq |h - \tilde{h}| + C \left(|\phi_\xi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi| \cdot |\phi| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}| \right).$$

Thus,

$$|\dot{\mathbf{X}}\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{z})| \leq \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\lambda} G_1 + CG^{\tilde{S}_2} + C\tilde{\delta}_2 D_1 + C\tilde{\delta}_2 G^{\tilde{R}_1} + C\tilde{\delta}_2 \tilde{\delta}_1^2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}. \quad (4.80)$$

Next, we will give the energy estimates of bad terms $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{z})$. For $\mathbb{B}_1, \mathbb{B}_2$ and \mathbb{B}_3 , using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{B}_1| &\leq CG^{\tilde{S}_2}, \\ |\mathbb{B}_2| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_\xi| |\phi| (|\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|) d\xi \leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E}_1 + C\tilde{\delta}_1 G^{\tilde{R}_1} + C\tilde{\delta}_2 G^{\tilde{S}_2}, \\ |\mathbb{B}_3| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_\xi| |\sigma_\xi|^2 d\xi \leq C \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_\xi\|_{L^2} \mathbb{E}_1^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}_1 + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{A}_1. \end{aligned}$$

For \mathbb{B}_4 , using Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 again, as done in (4.64), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_1| &\leq C(|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}||\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + (|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}||\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|)|\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| \\
&\quad + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}||\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}||\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|) \\
&\leq C(|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2 + (|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|^2)|\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}||\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.81}$$

which together with (4.66), using the same estimates as in (4.65) with (4.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{B}_4| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi| (|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2) d\xi \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi| (|\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}||\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}||\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}||\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|) d\xi \\
&\leq C \|\psi\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^1} + C \|\psi\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4}^2 + CM \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E}_1 + M^{2/3} \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^1}^{4/3} + CM \|\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4}^2 + CM \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

For \mathbb{B}_5 , by using Young's inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{B}_5| &\leq C \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} (\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2) + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_{\xi}\|_{L^2} \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2} + C \|\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma\|_{L^2} \|\sigma_{\xi}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M + \tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sigma_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2) + CM \|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{A}_1 + CM(D_1 + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the above estimates, we find that for some constant $c_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(\mathbf{z}|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) d\xi + \frac{1}{2} G^R + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A}_1 \\
&\leq \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\lambda} G_1 + c_1 G^S + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + M) D_1 \\
&\quad + M^{2/3} \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^1}^{4/3} + CM \|\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4}^2 + CM \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2 e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.82}$$

Integrating the above inequality over $[0, \tau]$ for any $\tau \leq T$, and using (4.71), one derives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\psi^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} + Q(v|\tilde{V}) \right) d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (G^R + \mathbb{E}_1 + \mathbb{A}_1) ds \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\psi_0^2}{2} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} + Q(v_0|\tilde{V}(\xi, 0)) \right) d\xi + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{1/3} \\
&\quad + \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{\lambda} G_1 + c_1 G^S + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + M) D_1 \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

Therefore, multiplying (4.83) by the constant $\frac{1}{2 \max\{1, c_1\}}$, and then adding the result to (4.42), together with the smallness of $\tilde{\delta}_2/\lambda, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_1, M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi, \psi, h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^\tau |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^\tau \left(G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1 + \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \\
&\leq C(\|\phi_0, \psi_0, (h - \tilde{h})_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2) + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{1/3},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.84}$$

where we have used the fact that $Q(v|\tilde{V}) \sim \phi^2$ and $\|\psi_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \sim \mathbb{E}_1$. At the end of the proof, the energy estimate of $\|\phi_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ is established. By using the definition of h and \tilde{h} in (4.26), one has

$$\psi - (h - \tilde{h}) = (u - \tilde{U}) - (h - \tilde{h}) = \left(\ln v - \ln \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \right)_{\xi}$$

$$= \frac{(v - \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})_\xi}{v} + \frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} - v)}{v\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\xi &= (v - \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})_\xi - (\tilde{V} - \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})_\xi \\ &= v\psi - v(h - \tilde{h}) + \frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\phi + (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m))}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}} - \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

together with Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 4.1 implies

$$\|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C \left(\|\phi, \psi, h - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_1^2 \right). \quad (4.85)$$

As in (4.55), one deduces from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(h - \tilde{h})(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq C \left(\|\phi_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_1^2 \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\phi_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_1^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.86)$$

Hence, the combination of (4.84), (4.85) and (4.86) implies the desired estimate (4.72) and the proof of Lemma 4.4 is completed. \square

4.4 Higher order energy estimates

The purpose of this section is to derive the higher order energy estimation of the solution for the system (4.18)-(4.19) after the shock waves interaction in the same group.

Lemma 4.5. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1, there exist $C_1, C > 0$ (independent of δ_0, M, T) such that for all $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi, \psi, \sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^\tau |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds + \int_0^\tau \left(G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + D_1 + \|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^2}^2 \right) ds \\ \leq C \|\phi_0, \psi_0, \sigma_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.87)$$

where $G^{\tilde{S}_2}, D_1$ are as in (4.43), and $G^{\tilde{R}_1}$ are as in (4.73).

Proof. Multiplying the system (4.18)₂ by $-\psi_{\xi\xi}$ and integrating the result with respect to ξ over \mathbb{R} , one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\psi_\xi|^2}{2} d\xi \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \\ &= -\dot{\mathbf{X}}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))_\xi \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_\xi(\phi_\xi + \tilde{V}_\xi)}{v^2} \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_\xi \phi}{v\tilde{V}} \right)_\xi \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_1 + F_2) \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi - \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{(\sigma + 1)v} \right)_\xi \psi_{\xi\xi} d\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^6 J_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.88)$$

First, by using Young's inequality, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and (4.20), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1| &\leq |\dot{\mathbf{X}}| \tilde{\delta}_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2|\xi-\mathbf{X}|} |\psi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi, \\
&\leq \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C\tilde{\delta}_2^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi, \\
|J_2| &\leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + CD_1, \\
|J_3| &\leq \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tilde{V}_\xi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq CM \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + C(M + \tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \\
|J_4| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}|) (|\phi| + |\phi_\xi|) |\psi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) (G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + D_1).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (4.66), (4.81) and Lemma 4.3, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_5| &\leq C \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \left\| |\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2 + (|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|^2) |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + C \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \|\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^4 + C\tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-c\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

For J_6 , by using Young's inequality, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_6| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\sigma_\xi \sigma_{\xi\xi}| + |\sigma_\xi|^3 + |\sigma_\xi|^2 |\phi_\xi| + |\sigma_\xi|^2 |\tilde{V}_\xi|) |\psi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + CM \left(\|\sigma_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + D_1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for some $c_2 > 0$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_\xi^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + c_2 D_1 + C(M + \tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \left(G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + C \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \|\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^4 + C\tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-c\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above estimate over $[0, \tau]$ for any $\tau \leq T$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_\xi^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{v} |\psi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{0\xi}^2 d\xi + C\tilde{\delta}_1 + \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + c_2 D \right) ds \\
&\quad + C(M + \tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1) \int_0^\tau (G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + \|\psi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.89}$$

where the Lemma 3.2 is used to get

$$\int_0^\infty \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds \leq C\tilde{\delta}_1, \quad \int_0^\infty \|\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^4 ds \leq C\tilde{\delta}_1^3. \tag{4.90}$$

Multiplying (4.89) by the constant $\frac{1}{2\max(1, c_2)}$, and then adding the result to (4.72), together with the smallness of $M, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi, \psi, \sigma\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^\tau |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds + \int_0^\tau \left(G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1 + \|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C\|\phi_0, \psi_0, \sigma_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus the proof of Lemma 4.5 is finished. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1, there exists $C > 0$ (independent of δ_0, M, T) such that for all $\tau \in (0, T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\phi, \sigma)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^\tau |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left(G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1 + \|\phi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C(\|\phi_0, \sigma_0\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_{H^1}^2) + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.91)$$

Proof. Differentiating the system (4.18)₂ with respect to ξ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\psi_\xi}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} &= \psi_{\tau\xi} - \tilde{s}_2\psi_{\xi\xi} + \left(p(v) - p(\tilde{V})\right)_{\xi\xi} + \left(\frac{\phi\tilde{U}_\xi}{v\tilde{V}}\right)_{\xi\xi} \\ & + \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{(\sigma+1)v^2}\right)_{\xi\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} + F_{1\xi} + F_{2\xi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.92)$$

By using (4.18)₁, one has the following fact

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\psi_\xi}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} &= \left(\frac{\phi_\tau}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} - \tilde{s}_2\left(\frac{\phi_\xi}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} \\ &= \left(\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v}\right)_\tau + \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}v_\tau - 2\phi_{\xi\tau}v_\xi - \phi_\tau v_{\xi\xi}}{v^2} + \frac{2\phi_\tau v_\xi^2}{v^3} - \tilde{s}_2\left(\frac{\phi_\xi}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} - \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.92) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v}\right)_\tau &= -\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}\tilde{V}_\tau - 2\phi_{\xi\tau}v_\xi - \phi_\tau\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}}{v^2} - \frac{2\phi_\tau v_\xi^2}{v^3} + \tilde{s}_2\left(\frac{\phi_\xi}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v} - \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}\right)_{\xi\xi} \\ & + \psi_{\tau\xi} - \tilde{s}_2\psi_{\xi\xi} + \left(p(v) - p(\tilde{V})\right)_{\xi\xi} + \left(\frac{\phi\tilde{U}_\xi}{v\tilde{V}}\right)_{\xi\xi} + \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{(\sigma+1)v^2}\right)_{\xi\xi} + F_{1\xi} + F_{2\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above equation by $\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v}$, integrating the resulting equality by parts, one obtains

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v}\right|^2 d\xi = \dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)I_0 + \sum_{i=1}^6 I_i, \quad (4.93)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v} - \tilde{U}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}\right)_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \quad I_1 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}\tilde{V}_\tau - 2\phi_{\xi\tau}v_\xi - \phi_\tau\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}}{v^2} + \frac{2\phi_\tau v_\xi^2}{v^3}\right) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \\ I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \left(\frac{\phi_\xi}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \quad I_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\psi_{\tau\xi} - \sigma\psi_{\xi\xi}) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \quad I_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(p(v) - p(\tilde{V})\right)_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \\ I_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\phi\tilde{U}_\xi}{v\tilde{V}}\right)_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \quad I_6 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{8(\sigma+1)v^2}\right)_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \quad I_7 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_{1\xi} + F_{2\xi}) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$(p(v) - p(\tilde{V}))_{\xi\xi} = p'(v)\phi_{\xi\xi} + (p'(v) - p'(\tilde{V}))\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi} + p''(v)v_\xi^2 - p''(\tilde{V})\tilde{V}_\xi^2,$$

one gets

$$I_4 = - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-p'(v)}{v} |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi}_{\mathbb{D}_2} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((p'(v) - p'(\tilde{V}))\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi} + p''(v)v_\xi^2 - p''(\tilde{V})\tilde{V}_\xi^2) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi}_{\mathbb{I}_4},$$

where $p'(v) < 0$ and \mathbb{D}_2 is the good term which can be used to control the other terms. Now we start with the estimate for I_0 . Noting that

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v}\right)_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} = \left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v} - \frac{2\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}v_\xi}{v^2} - \frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}(\phi_{\xi\xi} + \tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})}{v^2} + \frac{2\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}v_\xi^2}{v^3}\right) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v},$$

by using (4.23), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v^2} |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v} - \frac{2\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}v_\xi}{v^2} - \frac{\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{v^2} + \frac{2\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}v_\xi^2}{v^3} - \tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}\right) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi \\ &\leq C\tilde{\delta}_2^2\mathbb{D}_2 + C\tilde{\delta}_2(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathbb{D}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.25) yields

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)I_0 \leq C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}})\mathbb{D}_2 + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{16}\mathbb{D}_2 + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{6}|\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2. \quad (4.94)$$

From (4.12)₁, (4.18)₁, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and (4.23), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left((|\tilde{V}_t| + |v_\xi|) |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 + |v_\xi| |\psi_{\xi\xi}| |\phi_{\xi\xi}| + (|\tilde{V}_\xi| + |v_\xi|^2) (|\phi_\xi| + |\psi_\xi|) |\phi_{\xi\xi}| \right) d\xi \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}| \left(|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| |\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| |v_\xi|^2 \right) |\phi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi \\ &\leq C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbb{D}_2 + \|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2) + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{4} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16}\mathbb{D}_2 + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{6} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\|\psi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.95)$$

where the following facts are used here

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{V}_\tau| &\leq C(|\tilde{V}_\xi| + |\tilde{U}_\xi| + |\dot{\mathbf{X}}\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}|) \leq C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1), \\ \psi_{\tau\xi} &= \tilde{s}_2\phi_{\xi\xi} + \psi_{\xi\xi} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.96)$$

Similarly, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{s}_2 \left(\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v}\right)_\xi \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi}_{=0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma \left(\frac{\phi_\xi v_\xi}{v^2}\right)_\xi \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_\xi| |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}| + |v_\xi|^2) |\phi_\xi| |\phi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi \\ &\leq C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbb{D}_2 + \|\phi_\xi\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16}\mathbb{D}_2 + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) (G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.97)$$

For I_3 , by using (4.96) (as done in (4.95)), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\psi_{\tau\xi} - \tilde{s}_2 \psi_{\xi\xi}) \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \\
&= \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_{\xi} \phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{\xi} \left(\frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} \right)_{\tau} d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{s}_2 \psi_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \\
&= \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_{\xi} \phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\psi_{\xi}}{v} \right)_{\xi} \phi_{\tau\xi} d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_{\xi} \phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} (\phi_{\tau} + \tilde{V}_{\tau}) d\xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{s}_2 \psi_{\xi\xi} \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi, \\
&\leq \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_{\xi} \phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi + \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{D}_2 + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{6} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \|\psi_{\xi}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.98}$$

and for \mathbb{I}_4 , observing that

$$(p'(v) - p'(\tilde{V})) \tilde{V}_{\xi\xi} + p''(v) v_{\xi}^2 - p''(\tilde{V}) \tilde{V}_{\xi}^2 = p''(v_1) \phi \tilde{V}_{\xi\xi} + p''(v) \phi_{\xi}^2 + p'''(v_2) \phi \tilde{V}_{\xi}^2,$$

where v_1, v_2 are constants between v and \tilde{V} . This together with Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{I}_4 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\xi}|^2 |\phi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi| (|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}|^2) |\phi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{D}_2 + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}})(G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.99}$$

Similarly, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\tilde{U}_{\xi}| |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 + |\phi_{\xi}| (|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}| |\tilde{U}_{\xi}|) |\phi_{\xi\xi}| + |\phi| |\tilde{U}_{\xi}| |\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}| |\phi_{\xi\xi}| \right) d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{D}_2 + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}})(G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.100}$$

For I_6 , by (4.23), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi}|^2 |\phi_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi}| (|\sigma_{\xi}| + |\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}|) |\phi_{\xi\xi}| d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}|^2 |\phi_{\xi}|^2 d\xi \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}| |\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}| |\phi_{\xi}| d\xi + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}|^2 |\sigma_{\xi}| |\phi_{\xi}| d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{D}_2 + CM^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.101}$$

Finally, as the definition of (4.13) and straight calculation, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{1\xi} &= \left(\left(\frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}} \right)_{\xi} - \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi}}{\tilde{V}} \right)_{\xi} \right)_{\xi} \\
&= \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} - \tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi}}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}} + \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{V}} \right) \tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \tilde{U}_{\xi} \tilde{V}_{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{V}^2} - \frac{1}{(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})^2} \right) + \frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi} \tilde{V}_{\xi} - \tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})^2} \right)_{\xi} \\
&= \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}}{\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}} + \frac{(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m) \tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi}}{\tilde{V} \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi} \tilde{V}_{\xi} (\tilde{V} + \tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}) (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m)}{\tilde{V}^2 (\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})^2} + \frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi} \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1} + \tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1} \tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}}{(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})^2} \right)_{\xi} \\
&\leq C \left(|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2 + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{2\xi} &= \left(p(\tilde{V}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1}) - p(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}) \right)_{\xi\xi} \\
&= \left((p'(\tilde{V}) - p'(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1})) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1} + (p'(\tilde{V}) - p'(\tilde{V}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}})) \tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}} \right)_\xi \\
&\leq C \left(|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2 + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}|^2 + |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| |\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \right) \phi_{\xi\xi} d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \mathbb{D}_2 + C \left(\|\tilde{V}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\tilde{V}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^4 \right) + C \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-C\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.102}$$

Combining (4.94)-(4.102) with (4.93), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} \right|^2 d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}_2 &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi_\xi \phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} d\xi + \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + C \|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\quad + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) (G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + D_1 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{H^1}^2) \\
&\quad + C \|\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \|\tilde{U}_\xi^{\tilde{R}_1}\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^4 + C \tilde{\delta}_1 \tilde{\delta}_2^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-c\tilde{\delta}_2\tau}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.103}$$

Differentiating (4.18)₃ with respect to ξ twice, multiplying the resulting by $\sigma_{\xi\xi}$, and integrating that inequality by part, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v |\sigma_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(2v\sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma_\xi v_\xi}{v} + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2(\sigma+1)} \right)_\xi \sigma_{\xi\xi\xi} d\xi - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (v_{\xi\xi} \sigma \sigma_{\xi\xi} + 2v_\xi \sigma_\xi \sigma_{\xi\xi}) d\xi \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}\|^2 + C\epsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbb{D}_2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sigma_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \right) + \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma_{\xi\xi\xi}\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2v |\sigma_{\xi\xi}|^2 d\xi \leq C\epsilon_1^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbb{D}_2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2). \tag{4.104}$$

Adding (4.103) to (4.104) and integrating the resulting over $[0, \tau]$ for any $\tau \leq T$, using (4.90), we have that for some $c_3 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \frac{\phi_{\xi\xi}}{v} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\sigma_{\xi\xi}|^2 \right) d\xi + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (\mathbb{D}_2 + \|\sigma_{\xi\xi}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2) ds \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left| \frac{\phi_{0\xi\xi}}{v_0} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\sigma_{0\xi\xi}|^2 + |\psi_{0\xi}|^2 \right) d\xi + \int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}_2}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 + c_3 \|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C(\tilde{\delta}_2 + \tilde{\delta}_1 + M^{\frac{1}{2}}) (G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1} + D_1 + \mathbb{D}_2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2) \right) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.105}$$

Multiplying the above estimate by the constant $\frac{1}{2\max(1, c_3)}$, and then adding the result to (4.87), together with the smallness of $M, \tilde{\delta}_2, \tilde{\delta}_1$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\phi, \sigma)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \int_0^\tau |\dot{\mathbf{X}}|^2 ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^\tau \left(G^{\tilde{R}_1} + G^{\tilde{S}_2} + D_1 + \|\phi_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_\xi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) ds \\
&\leq C(\|\phi_0, \sigma_0\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2) + C\tilde{\delta}_1^{\frac{1}{3}},
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of Lemma 4.6 is completed. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Combining with Lemmas 4.4-4.6, Lemma 4.1 and the following estimates

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| + |\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}|) \phi^2 d\xi \leq C(G^{\tilde{S}_2} + G^{\tilde{R}_1}),$$

the desired estimate (4.26) is obtained. Thus the proof of Proposition 4.1 is completed. \square

4.5 The proof of Theorem 4.1

With all the a priori estimates in Subsection 2-4 at hand, we are going to prove the main theorems in this subsection. By (4.17), Theorem 4.1 can be deduced immediately from Lemma 2.1. Moreover, using a natural scaling argument (2.1), we reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 from the nonlinear asymptotic stability analysis of composite wave in Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. In order to prove the global existence of the solutions to the problem (4.18)-(4.19), we employ the standard continuation argument based on a local existence theorem and the a priori estimates. Once Proposition 4.1 is obtained, similar to [22], we employ the standard continuation argument to extend the local solution to a global one. Therefore, to complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, we need only to investigate the large-time behavior for the solution (ϕ, ψ, σ) of system (4.18). First, we define a function g on $(0, +\infty)$ by

$$g(\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|(\phi, \psi, \sigma)_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

The main aim is to show the following estimate

$$\int_0^{\infty} (|g(\tau)| + |g'(\tau)|) d\tau < \infty. \quad (4.106)$$

Using (4.91), one can easily get

$$\int_0^{\infty} |g(\tau)| d\tau < \infty. \quad (4.107)$$

Using (4.87), (4.91) and (4.18), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} |g'(\tau)| dt &= \int_0^{\infty} 2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\phi_{\xi} \phi_{\tau\xi} + \psi_{\xi} \psi_{\tau\xi} + \sigma_{\xi} \sigma_{\tau\xi}) d\xi \right| d\tau \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi_{\xi\xi} \phi_{\tau} + \psi_{\xi\xi} \psi_{\tau} + \sigma_{\xi\xi} \sigma_{\tau}| d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \left(\|\phi, \psi, \sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \dot{\mathbf{X}}^2 + |D_1| \right) d\tau + C \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(F_1^2 + F_2^2 + \left(\frac{u_{\xi}}{v} - \frac{\tilde{U}_{\xi}}{\tilde{V}} \right)_{\xi}^2 \right) d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\infty} \left(\|\phi, \psi, \sigma\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \tilde{\delta}_2 \dot{\mathbf{X}}^2 + |D_1| + |G^{\tilde{S}_2}| + |G^{\tilde{R}_1}| \right) d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^{\infty} \left\| (\tilde{U}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1})^2 + |\tilde{U}_{\xi\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \cdot |\tilde{V}^{\tilde{R}_1} - v_m| + |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{R}_1}| |\tilde{V}_{\xi}^{\tilde{S}_2, -\mathbf{X}}| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the above estimates with (4.107), we get (4.106). Therefore, one obtains

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \|(\phi, \psi, \sigma)_{\xi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \quad (4.108)$$

this along with interpolation inequality implies

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \|(\phi, \psi, \sigma)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \quad (4.109)$$

which together with (4.25) yields

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |(\phi, \psi, \sigma)(\xi, \tau)| = 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} |\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau)| = 0. \quad (4.110)$$

and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed. \square

Finally, Theorems 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are directly derived from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.2, and the proof of the main conclusions in this paper is completed.

Acknowledgments:

Qiaolin He and Yazhou Chen acknowledge support from National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 12371434). Qiaolin He acknowledges support from the National key R & D Program of China (No.2022YFE03040002). Xiaoping Wang acknowledges support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 12271461), the key project of NSFC (No. 12131010), the University Development Fund from The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen (UDF01002028), and Hetao Shenzhen-Hong Kong Science and Technology Innovation Cooperation Zone Project (No.HZQSW-S-KCCYB-2024016). Xiaoding Shi acknowledges support from National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 12171024).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] T. Blesgen, *A generalization of the Navier-Stokes equations to two-phase flows*, J. Physics D, 32:1119-1123, 1999
- [2] H. Abels and M. Fei. *Sharp interface limit for a Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with different viscosities*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 55(4):4039-4088, 2023
- [3] M. Chen, X. Guo, *Global large solutions for a coupled compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with initial vacuum*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 37:350-373, 2017
- [4] S. Chen, H. Wen, and C. Zhu. *Global existence of weak solution to compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system in three dimensions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 477(2):1265–1295, 2019
- [5] S. Chen and C. Zhu. *Blow-up criterion and the global existence of strong/classical solutions to Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 72(1), 14-24, 2021
- [6] Y. Chen, Q. He, B. Huang, X. Shi, *Global strong solution to a thermodynamic compressible diffuse interface model with temperature-dependent heat conductivity in 1D*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 44:12945-12962, 2021
- [7] Y. Chen, Q. He, B. Huang, X. Shi, *The Cauchy problem for non-Isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with degenerate heat-conductivity*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., 36(1):1-18, 2022
- [8] Y. Chen, Q. He, B. Huang, X. Shi, *Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with generalized Navier boundary condition*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., 38(1):98-115, 2022

- [9] Y. Chen, H. Hong, X. Shi, *Stability of the Phase Separation State for Compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn System*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., 40(1), 45-74, 2024
- [10] Y. Chen, H. Hong, X. Shi, *The asymptotic stability of phase separation states for compressible immiscible two-phase flow in 3D*. Acta Mathematica Scientia, 43B(5): 1-27, 2023
- [11] Y. Chen, H. Li, and H. Tang. *Global existence and optimal decay rate of the solution to 3D compressible non-isentropic Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn system*. J. Differential Equations, 334:157-193, 2022
- [12] S. Ding, Y. Li, and W. Luo. *Global solutions for a coupled compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system in 1D*. J. Math. Fluid Mech., 15(2):335–360, 2013.
- [13] S. Ding, Y. Li, and Y. Tang. *Strong solutions to 1D compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with free boundary*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 42(14):4780–4794, 2019.
- [14] E. Feireisl, H. Petzeltová, E. Rocca, and G. Schimperna. *Analysis of a phase-field model for two-phase compressible fluids*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 20(7):1129–1160, 2010.
- [15] L. He, F. Huang, *Nonlinear stability of large amplitude viscous shock wave for general viscous gas*, J. Differential Equations, 269:1226-1242, 2020
- [16] M. Heida, J. Malek, K. R. Rajagopal, *On the development and generalizations of Allen-Cahn and Stefan equations within a thermodynamic framework*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 63:759-776, 2012
- [17] S. Hensel and Y. Liu. *The sharp interface limit of a Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with constant mobility: Convergence rates by a relative energy approach*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 55(5), 4751-4787, 2023
- [18] F. Huang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, T. Yang, *Vanishing viscosity of isentropic Navier-Stokes equations for interacting shocks*, Sci. China Math. 58 (4), 653-672, 2015
- [19] S. Jiang, X. Su, and F. Xie. *Remarks on sharp interface limit for an incompressible Navier-Stokes and Allen-Cahn coupled system*. Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, 44(5), 663-686, 2023
- [20] S. Jiang, X. Su, and F. Xie. *Sharp interface limit for inhomogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system in a bounded domain via a relative energy method*. arXiv:2305.09989, 2023
- [21] M.-J. Kang and A. F. Vasseur. *Contraction property for large perturbations of shocks of the barotropic Navier-Stokes system*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 23(2):585–638, 2021
- [22] M.-J. Kang, A. F. Vasseur, and Y. Wang. *Time-asymptotic stability of composite waves of viscous shock and rarefaction for barotropic Navier-Stokes equations*. Advances in Math. 419, 2023
- [23] M. Kotschote. *Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for a compressible fluid of Allen-Cahn type*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 206(2):489–514, 2012
- [24] Y. Li, Y. Yan, S. Ding, and G. Chen. *Global weak solutions for 1d compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with vacuum*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 74(1):19, 2023 Id/No 2.
- [25] T. Luo, H. Yin, and C. Zhu. *Stability of the rarefaction wave for a coupled compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 41(12):4724–4736, 2018.

- [26] T. Luo, H. Yin, and C. Zhu. *Stability of the composite wave for compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 30(2):343–385, 2020.
- [27] A. Matsumura, K. Nishihara, *On the stability of travelling wave solutions of a one-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas*, Japan. J. Appl. Math. 2, 17-25, 1985
- [28] A. Matsumura, K. Nishihara, *Asmptotics toward the rerefaction waves of the solutions of a none-dimensional model system for compressible viscous gas*, Japan. J. Appl. Math., 3,1-13, 1986
- [29] J. Smoller, *Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations*. Springer, New York, 1994
- [30] A. Vasseur, L. Yao, *Nonlinear stability of viscous shock wave to one-dimensional compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations with density dependent viscous coefficient*, Commun. Math. Sci., 14(8):2215-2228, 2016
- [31] G. Witterstein. *Sharp interface limit of phase change flows*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 20(2):585-629, 2010
- [32] X. Wang, Y. Wang, *the sharp interface limit of a phase field model for moving contact line problem*, Methods Appl. ANAL., 14(3): 285-292, 2007
- [33] X. Xu, Y. Di, H. Yu. *Sharp-interface limits of a phase-field model with a generalized Navier slip boundary condition for moving contact lines*. J. Fluid Mech., 849:805-833, 2018
- [34] Y. Yan, S. Ding, Y. Li. *Strong solutions for 1D compressible Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system with phase variable dependent viscosity*. J. Differential Equations, 326:1–48, 2022
- [35] H. Yin and C. Zhu. *Asymptotic stability of superposition of stationary solutions and rarefaction waves for 1D Navier-Stokes/Allen-Cahn system*. J. Differential Equations, 266(11):7291–7326, 2019
- [36] X. Zhao. *Global well-posedness and decay estimates for three-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes-Allen-Cahn system*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, 1-32, 2021