The quasilocal energy and thermodynamic first law in accelerating AdS black holes

Wontae Kim,^{1,*} Mungon Nam,^{1,†} and Sang-Heon Yi^{1,‡}

¹Department of Physics, Sogang University, Seoul, 04107, Republic of Korea (Dated: June 19, 2024)

Abstract

We scrutinize the conserved energy of an accelerating AdS black hole by employing the off-shell quasilocal formalism, which amalgamates the ADT formalism with the covariant phase space approach. In the presence of conical singularities in the accelerating black hole, the energy expression is articulated through the surface term derived from our formalism. The essence of our analysis of the quasilocal energy resides in the surface contributions coming from the conical singularities as well as the conventional radial boundary. Consequently, the resultant conserved quasilocal energy naturally conforms the thermodynamic first law for the black hole without necessitating any augmentation of thermodynamic variables. Additionally, we obtain the Smarr relation for the black hole using the differential operator method and the scaling argument of the relevant thermodynamic quantities.

Keywords: Black Holes

^{*} wtkim@sogang.ac.kr

[†] clrchr0909@sogang.ac.kr

[‡] shyi@sogang.ac.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

The thermodynamics of black holes provides deep insights into the quantum theory of gravity. In the seminal works of Bekenstein [1, 2] and Hawking [3], it was revealed that the area of a black hole and its surface gravity are correlated with the black hole's entropy and temperature. Given that thermal properties of a physical system are closely intertwined with the statistical description of its microstates, black hole thermodynamics would elucidate the underlying microscopic degrees of freedom, potentially illuminating certain quantum aspects of gravity [4]. Consequently, black hole thermodynamics has been investigated across numerous gravity models over the past decades. In particular, recent studies have explored the thermodynamics of accelerating black holes [5–23], which are described by the C-metric as an exact solution to the Einstein field equations [24–28]. A notable feature of these black holes is the presence of at least one irremovable conical deficit angle along the azimuthal axis. This conical singularity is responsible for the acceleration of the black hole, which may be understood by replacing it with an energy-momentum tensor corresponding to finite-width topological defects [29] or magnetic flux tubes [30].

Exploring the thermodynamics of accelerating black holes in the presence of conical singularities remains challenging. One main difficulty arises in defining the conserved energy of these black holes, which is complicated by their nontrivial asymptotic structure. In particular, the conical singularities reach the conformal infinity of the black hole [31, 32], rendering the asymptotic structure topologically different from $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ due to the deficit angle. Thus, this topological disparity disturbs the use of conformal regularization methods, developed initially by Ashtekar, Magnon, and Das (AMD) [33–36], for determining the conserved energy of accelerating black holes. Regarding the conserved energy of the black holes, recent studies [7, 10–12] also suggest that string tensions associated with the conical singularities are required to be constant in order to satisfy the conventional form of the thermodynamic first law.

To effectively evade the complexities associated with the exotic asymptotic structure, one can adopt a quasilocal formalism for the conserved energy. The quasilocal approach, unlike global asymptotic methods, provides a robust framework for defining a conserved charge within a finite region of spacetime, thereby avoiding the difficulties associated with the asymptotic region. For a comprehensive review of this approach, see Ref. [37] and references therein. In particular, the quasilocal method developed in Ref. [38] derives the conserved charges in a covariant manner by correlating the Abbott-Deser-Tekin (ADT) current [39–43] with the linearized Noether current. Remarkably, the correspondence between these formalisms is established at the off-shell level, rendering it independent of the asymptotic behavior, in contrast to the original ADT method, which relies on asymptotic conditions.

In this paper, we aim to determine the conserved energy for the accelerating AdS black hole using the quasilocal formalism [38]. Our study focuses on a slowly-accelerating black hole within anti-de Sitter (AdS) spacetime in order to admit only a single horizon [31]. This consideration ensures that a timelike Killing vector is uniquely determined, allowing the quasilocal energy to be well-defined. Consequently, the energy expression, which turns out to be invariant along the radial direction, satisfies the thermodynamic first law of the black hole without introducing any additional thermodynamic variables. Finally, we also study the Smarr relation [44] for the accelerating black hole by extending the thermodynamic first law.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we encapsulate the quasilocal formalism for conserved charges discussed in Ref. [38]. In Sec. III, we compute the quasilocal energy of the accelerating AdS black hole and obtain a new expression of the conserved energy. In Sec. IV, we obtain the standard form of the thermodynamic first law. We also construct the extended thermodynamic first law and provide the Smarr relation for the accelerating black hole. The conclusion and discussion of our results are presented in Sec. V.

II. QUASILOCAL FORMALISM FOR CONSERVED CHARGES

In this section, we recapitulate the quasilocal formalism for conserved charges [38]. Let us consider the D-dimensional covariant theory of gravity with an action

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{-g} L[g, R, R^2, (\nabla R)^2, \cdots] \,. \tag{1}$$

The variation of (1) with respect to the metric gives

$$\delta I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^D x \left[-\sqrt{-g} G^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \Theta^\mu(g; \delta g) \right],\tag{2}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the equation of motion and Θ^{μ} is a surface term. Under the diffeomorphism ζ , the metric transforms as $\delta_{\zeta}g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}\zeta_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}\zeta_{\mu}$, and the corresponding change of the Lagrangian density is given by $\delta_{\zeta}(\sqrt{-g}L) = \partial_{\mu}(\sqrt{-g}\zeta^{\mu}L)$. The diffeomorphism transformation

in Eq. (2) gives

$$0 = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^D x \partial_\mu \left(2\sqrt{-g} G^{\mu\nu} \zeta_\nu + \sqrt{-g} \zeta^\mu L - \Theta^\mu(g\,;\,\zeta) \right) \tag{3}$$

with the help of the Bianchi identity $\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu\nu} = 0$. Hence, the identically conserved off-shell current for a generic background metric is obtained as [45, 46]

$$J^{\mu}(g\,;\,\zeta) = 2\sqrt{-g}\zeta_{\nu}G^{\mu\nu}(g) + \sqrt{-g}\zeta^{\mu}L(g) - \Theta^{\mu}(g\,;\,\zeta).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Since J^{μ} identically satisfies $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0$, one can introduce the antisymmetric tensor $K^{\mu\nu}$ such that $J^{\mu}(g; \zeta) = \partial_{\nu}K^{\mu\nu}(g; \zeta)$, which is called the off-shell Noether potential. To explore the relationship between the off-shell current for an arbitrary diffeomorphism parameter ζ and the linearized conserved off-shell current associated with a Killing vector ξ , we consider the variation of the metric that preserves the Killing vector, denoted by $\delta\xi^{\mu} = 0.^{1}$ If ζ is chosen as a Killing vector ξ , the linearized conserved off-shell current can be written as [38]

$$\delta J^{\mu}(g\,;\,\xi) = 2\delta(\sqrt{-g}G^{\mu\nu}\xi_{\nu}) - \sqrt{-g}\xi^{\mu}G^{\alpha\beta}\delta g_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi}\Theta^{\mu}(g;\delta g) - \delta\Theta^{\mu}(g;\xi) + 2\partial_{\nu}\left(\xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}(g;\delta g)\right),\tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\Theta^{\mu}$ is the Lie derivative of Θ^{μ} with respect to ξ , given by $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\Theta^{\mu} = \xi^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}\Theta^{\mu} - \Theta^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}\xi^{\mu} + \Theta^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\xi^{\nu}$. According to Ref. [48], $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\Theta^{\mu}(g;\delta g) - \delta\Theta^{\mu}(g;\xi) = 0$ since $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Let us now define the off-shell ADT current for the Killing vector ξ as [38]

$$J^{\mu}_{\rm ADT}(g\,;\,\xi,\,\delta g) = \delta(\sqrt{-g}G^{\mu\nu}\xi_{\nu}) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\xi^{\mu}G^{\alpha\beta}\delta g_{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (6)

Of course, imposing the on-shell condition of $G_{\mu\nu} = 0$, the expression (6) reduces to $J^{\mu}_{ADT} = \sqrt{-g}\xi_{\nu}\delta G^{\mu\nu}$ which is the on-shell ADT current in Refs. [39–43]. The off-shell ADT current (6) also identically satisfies $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{ADT} = 0$ for the Killing vector ξ since

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{\text{ADT}} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}(\delta J^{\mu}) - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\left(\xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}(g;\delta g)\right) = 0.$$
⁽⁷⁾

Here, we used the fact that $\partial_{\mu}(\delta J^{\mu}) = \delta (\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu})$ along with $\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu} = 0$. Thus, the corresponding off-shell ADT potential $K^{\mu\nu}_{ADT}$ can be defined by $J^{\mu}_{ADT} = \partial_{\nu} K^{\mu\nu}_{ADT}$. The relation between the off-shell ADT potential $K^{\mu\nu}_{ADT}(g; \xi, \delta g)$ and the off-shell Noether potential $K^{\mu\nu}(g; \xi)$ can be read off from Eq. (5) as

$$K_{\rm ADT}^{\mu\nu}(g\,;\,\xi,\,\delta g) = \frac{1}{2} \delta K^{\mu\nu}(g\,;\,\xi) - \xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}(g;\delta g)\,.$$
(8)

¹ Extending to cases with arbitrary matter fields and $\delta \xi \neq 0$ is relatively straightforward (see, for instance, Sec.2 in [47]).

Computing the ADT potential at the off-shell level through the relation (8), we can obtain the linearized ADT charge as

$$Q_{\rm ADT}(g\,;\,\xi,\,\delta g) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} x_{\mu\nu} \, K^{\mu\nu}_{\rm ADT} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} x_{\mu\nu} \left(\delta K^{\mu\nu} - 2\xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}\right), \quad (9)$$

where g and δg should be taken as on-shell values at the final stage. Here, $d^2 x_{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetrized integration measure defined by $d^{D-2}x_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2!(D-2)!}\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\nu_1\cdots\nu_{(D-2)}}dx^{\nu_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge$ $dx^{\nu_{(D-2)}}$ with $\partial\Sigma$ representing the boundary of a Cauchy surface Σ .

In the off-shell ADT approach, the background metric is not required to satisfy equations of motion. This differs from the covariant phase space approach [48–50] and the original ADT approach [39–43], both of which require that the background metric satisfies the field equations. The metric variation $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ is identified with the variation of a solution parameter and it is taken as the on-shell value only at the final stage.

To obtain a finite conserved charge, one can take a one-parameter path in the solution space [48, 51–54]. Specifically, a metric solution \mathcal{Q} with a parameter λ can be understood as a solution subject to a one-parameter path $\mathcal{Q}(\lambda) = \lambda \mathcal{Q}$ where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and so $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ can be identified with the parameter variation as $\delta_{\lambda}g_{\mu\nu}$. Integrating Eq. (9) over the one-parameter λ yields the following quasilocal conserved charge:

$$Q(\xi) = \int_0^1 d\lambda Q_{\text{ADT}}(g; \xi, \delta_\lambda g)$$

= $\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\partial \Sigma_\lambda} d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \left(\delta_\lambda K^{\mu\nu}(g; \xi) - 2\xi^{[\mu} \Theta^{\nu]}(g; \xi, \delta_\lambda g) \right).$ (10)

Note that the boundary of the Cauchy surface depends on the parameter λ . It means that the integration with respect to λ must be taken outside the surface integral, in contrast to the form of the conserved charge in Ref. [38]. Consequently, the given symmetry expressed by the Killing vector ξ provides the corresponding conserved charge (10) in arbitrary covariant theories.

III. THE CONSERVED QUASILOCAL ENERGY

To obtain the quasilocal conserved energy of the accelerating AdS black hole by calculating the energy expression (10), we start with the Einstein-Hilbert action defined by

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{6}{\ell^2} \right),\tag{11}$$

where ℓ denotes the AdS radius. The solution for the accelerating AdS black hole can be expressed as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - Ar\cos\theta\right)^{2}} \left[-f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{g(\theta)}d\theta^{2} + \frac{g(\theta)r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}{K^{2}}d\phi^{2} \right], \quad (12)$$

where the metric functions f(r) and $g(\theta)$ are given as

$$f(r) = (1 - A^2 r^2) \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}, \quad g(r) = 1 - 2AGM \cos\theta$$
(13)

and the mass parameter M and the acceleration parameter A are positive. The deficit angles associated with the parameter K are calculated at the spacetime poles $\theta = 0, \pi$ as

$$\Delta \phi \big|_{\theta=0} = 2\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{K} (1 - 2AGM) \right), \quad \Delta \phi \big|_{\theta=\pi} = 2\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{K} (1 + 2AGM) \right). \tag{14}$$

The particular choice such as $K = 1 \pm 2AGM$ eliminates one of the deficit angles; however, we assume K to be an arbitrary constant, independent of M and A. In addition, we restrict the parameter A as $A < \frac{1}{\ell}$, referred as the slowly-accelerating black hole [31]. This restriction makes the black hole to admit a single horizon r_h , defined by $f(r_h) = 0$, and then thereby a thermal temperature can be uniquely defined. For $0 < r_h < \frac{1}{A}$, the parameter M is written as

$$M = \frac{1}{2G} \left(r_h + \frac{r_h^3}{\ell^2 (1 - A^2 r_h^2)} \right),$$
(15)

where $f(r_h) = 0$. In the limit $\ell \to \infty$, the horizon r_h reduces to the Schwarzschild radius.

To compute the quasilocal conserved energy, we first choose a Cauchy surface Σ defined by

$$\Sigma = \{ (r, \theta, \phi) \mid r_h < r < \rho, \ 0 < \theta < \pi, \ 0 < \phi < 2\pi \},$$
(16)

where ρ is a fixed radius satisfying $\rho < \frac{1}{A}$ so that the Cauchy surface Σ does not extend to the conformal infinity at $\theta = 0$. Next, for the normalization of the timelike Killing vector, we need to consider a "weak field" limit of the metric, tantamount to $M \to 0$. In this limit, the event horizon r_h vanishes, rendering the spacetime to be pure AdS [10, 11, 31]. Through the delineated coordinate transformation in Ref. [28, 31],

$$\hat{t} = t\sqrt{1 - A^2\ell^2},$$
(17)

$$\hat{r} = \frac{\sqrt{\ell^2 + (1 - A^2\ell^2)r^2 - \ell^2(1 - A^2\ell^2)(1 - Ar\cos\theta)^2}}{(1 - Ar\cos\theta)\sqrt{1 - A^2\ell^2}},$$
(18)

$$\hat{\theta} = \arctan\left(\frac{A\ell^2 + (1 - A^2\ell^2)r\cos\theta}{r\sin\theta\sqrt{1 - A^2\ell^2}}\right),\tag{19}$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{\phi}{K},\tag{20}$$

the metric (12) can be written as

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 + \frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{\ell^{2}}\right)d\hat{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\hat{r}^{2}}{\ell^{2}}}d\hat{r}^{2} + \hat{r}^{2}d\hat{\theta}^{2} + \hat{r}^{2}\sin^{2}\hat{\theta}d\hat{\phi}^{2},$$
(21)

which corresponds to the global coordinates for the AdS spacetime. Thus, the reasonable choice of the timelike Killing vector for the asymptotic observer is $\xi = \partial_{\hat{t}} = (1 - A^2 \ell^2)^{-1/2} \partial_t$. Indeed, the vector ξ satisfies the Killing equation $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for arbitrary values of M.

Now, the ADT charge (9) corresponding to the Killing vector ξ , linearized with respect to an arbitrary background, is given by [38]

$$Q_{\rm ADT}(g;\xi,\delta g) = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\partial\Sigma} d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \left(\delta K^{\mu\nu}(g;\xi) - 2\xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}(g;\delta g) \right), \tag{22}$$

where $d^2 x_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} \wedge dx^{\beta}$ with $\epsilon_{tr\theta\phi} = -1$. In Eq. (22), we have chosen the boundary of Cauchy surface $\partial \Sigma$ explicitly as

$$\partial \Sigma = \partial \Sigma_0 \cup \partial \Sigma_\rho \cup \partial \Sigma_\pi,\tag{23}$$

$$\partial \Sigma_{\rho} = \{ (\rho, \theta, \phi) \mid 0 < \theta < \pi, \ 0 < \phi < 2\pi \},$$

$$(24)$$

$$\partial \Sigma_0 = \{ (r, 0, \phi) \mid r_h < r < \rho, \ 0 < \phi < 2\pi \},$$
(25)

$$\partial \Sigma_{\pi} = \{ (r, \pi, \phi) \mid r_h < r < \rho, \ 0 < \phi < 2\pi \}.$$
(26)

Here, $K^{\mu\nu}$ is the off-shell Noether potential and Θ^{μ} is the surface term generated from the metric variation of the action (11):

$$K^{\mu\nu}(g\,;\,\xi) = 2\sqrt{-g}\nabla^{[\mu}\xi^{\nu]},\tag{27}$$

$$\Theta^{\mu}(g\,;\,\delta g) = 2\sqrt{-g} \left(g^{\mu[\lambda} g^{\kappa]\nu} \nabla_{\kappa} \delta g_{\nu\lambda} \right), \qquad (28)$$

where the metric variation of the metric is arbitrary at the off-shell level. After the variation of metric, it is taken along the one-parameter path in the solution space in terms of λ , as $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, by replacing r_h with λr_h in the solution. Then, the Noether potential $K^{\mu\nu}$ is calculated as

$$K^{tr} = \frac{Ar[(3 - A^{2}r^{2})(\ell^{2}\lambda r_{h} + (1 - A^{2}\ell^{2})\lambda^{3}r_{h}^{3}) - 2r\ell^{2}(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})]\sin\theta\cos\theta}{\ell^{2}(1 - Ar\cos\theta)^{3}\sqrt{(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})(1 - A^{2}\ell^{2})K}} - \frac{[(1 - A^{2}\ell^{2})(2r^{3}(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2}) + (1 + A^{2}r^{2})\lambda^{3}r_{h}^{3}) + (1 + A^{2}r^{2})\ell^{2}\lambda r_{h}]\sin\theta}{\ell^{2}(1 - Ar\cos\theta)^{3}\sqrt{(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})(1 - A^{2}\ell^{2})K}}, \quad (29)$$
$$K^{t\theta} = \frac{2Ar\left(\ell^{2}(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2}) - A\lambda r_{h}(\ell^{2} + (1 - A^{2}\ell^{2})\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})\cos\theta\right)\sin^{2}\theta}{\ell^{2}(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})(1 - Ar\cos\theta)^{3}\sqrt{1 - A^{2}\ell^{2}}K}, \quad (30)$$

and the surface term Θ^{μ} is also calculated as

$$\Theta^{r} = \frac{r_{h}(3\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2} - A^{2}\lambda^{4}r_{h}^{4} + \ell^{2}(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})^{2})(1 - 3A^{2}r^{2} + Ar(3 - A^{2}r^{2})\cos\theta)\sin\theta}{\ell^{2}(1 - A^{2}\lambda^{2}r_{h}^{2})^{2}(1 - Ar\cos\theta)^{3}K},$$
 (31)

$$\Theta^{\theta} = \frac{Ar_h (3\lambda^2 r_h^2 - A^2\lambda^4 r_h^4 + \ell^2 (1 - A^2\lambda^2 r_h^2)^2)(2 + 6\cos 2\theta - Ar(9\cos\theta - \cos 3\theta))}{4\ell^2 (1 - A^2\lambda^2 r_h^2)^2 (1 - Ar\cos\theta)^3 K}.$$
 (32)

Inserting Eqs. (29) - (32) into Eq. (22), one can obtain

$$Q_{\text{ADT}}(g;\lambda) = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \left(\int_{\partial \Sigma_{0,\lambda}} + \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\rho}} + \int_{\partial \Sigma_{\pi,\lambda}} \right) d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \left(\delta K^{\mu\nu}(g;\xi) - 2\xi^{[\mu}\Theta^{\nu]}(g;\delta g) \right) = \frac{(3 - A^2\lambda^2 r_h^2)\lambda^2 r_h^3 + \ell^2 r_h (1 - A^2\lambda^2 r_h^2)^2}{2G\ell^2 (1 - A^2\lambda^2 r_h^2)^3 \sqrt{1 - A^2\ell^2} K}.$$
(33)

Here, we treat the conical singularity $\partial \Sigma_{0,\lambda}$ and $\partial \Sigma_{\pi,\lambda}$ as boundaries:

$$\partial \Sigma_{0,\lambda} = \{ (r, 0, \phi) \mid \lambda r_h < r < \rho, \ 0 < \phi < 2\pi \},$$
(34)

$$\partial \Sigma_{\pi,\lambda} = \{ (r, \pi, \phi) \mid \lambda r_h < r < \rho, \ 0 < \phi < 2\pi \}.$$
(35)

For the first and third integrations in Eq. (33), the angular component of the surface term Θ^{θ} in Eq. (28) does not vanish along the azimuthal axis at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ so that the integration along these axes should be taken into account.

In the end, the quasilocal energy (10) for the accelerating AdS black hole can be obtained as

$$E = Q(\xi) = \int_0^1 Q_{ADT}(g; \lambda) d\lambda$$

= $\frac{(3 - A^2 r_h^2) r_h}{8\ell^2 A^2 G K (1 - A^2 r_h^2)^2 \sqrt{1 - A^2 \ell^2}} - \frac{3 - 4A^2 \ell^2}{8\ell^2 A^3 G K \sqrt{1 - A^2 \ell^2}} \operatorname{arctanh}(Ar_h), \quad (36)$

which is independent of the radial direction. In the limit of $A \to 0$, the energy reduces to $\frac{M}{K}$ while it diverges positively in the limit $r_h \to \frac{1}{A}$, *i.e.*, $M \to \infty$. The behavior of the energy is different from the previous results in Refs. [7, 10–12]. In particular, the energy expressions in Refs. [7, 10, 11] are given by $\frac{M}{K}$ which is independent of the acceleration parameter A. The energy expression in Ref. [12] is given by $\frac{M\sqrt{1-A^2\ell^2}}{K}$ which decreases as the acceleration parameter increases.

IV. THE THERMODYNAMIC FIRST LAW AND SMARR RELATION

In this section, we investigate the compatibility of the expression of the quasilocal energy (36) with the thermodynamic first law. For this purpose, let us first calculate the Hawking

temperature from the surface gravity as

$$T = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{1 - A^2\ell^2}} \left(\frac{1 - A^2r_h^2}{r_h} + \frac{r_h(3 - A^2r_h^2)}{\ell^2(1 - A^2r_h^2)} \right).$$
(37)

From the Wald's entropy formula [48, 49], one can immediately find that the black hole entropy as

$$S = -2\pi \int_{\mathcal{H}} \mathrm{d}^2 x \sqrt{|h|} \frac{\partial L}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{\pi r_h^2}{KG(1 - A^2 r_h^2)},\tag{38}$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the induced metric at the horizon. Using Eqs. (36), (37), and (38), one can easily confirm that the energy expression (36) is completely consistent with the simplest thermodynamic first law:

$$\mathrm{d}E = T\mathrm{d}S,\tag{39}$$

where the differential operator acts only on the variable r_h . In Eq. (39), the string tensions defined as $\mu_+ = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \Delta \phi \Big|_{\theta=0}$ and $\mu_- = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \Delta \phi \Big|_{\theta=\pi}$ proportional to the deficit angles need not be constant. This observation differs from the results discussed in Refs. [7, 10–12], where both μ_+ and μ_- are set to be constant to satisfy the above form of the thermodynamic first law.

In order to derive the Smarr relation [44], we extend Eq. (39) to include additional terms related to dynamic parameter of A and ℓ . Admitting the variation of A and ℓ , one can introduce the extended differential operator $\tilde{d} = d + d_A + d_\ell$, where d_A and d_ℓ are defined as the differential operators acting on the parameters A and ℓ , respectively [55]. The thermodynamic first law (39) can be rewritten in terms of the extended differential operator \tilde{d} as

$$\mathbf{d}E = T\mathbf{d}S + (\mathbf{d}_A E - T\mathbf{d}_A S) + (\mathbf{d}_\ell E - T\mathbf{d}_\ell S), \tag{40}$$

and then the extended thermodynamic first law can be obtained as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{d}}E = T\widetilde{\mathbf{d}}S + \chi \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}L + V\widetilde{\mathbf{d}}P,\tag{41}$$

where the pressure is $P = \frac{3}{8\pi G\ell^2}$, the thermodynamic length is $L = \frac{1}{A}$, the thermodynamic force is

$$\chi = \frac{r_h [(3 - A^2 r_h^2)(3 - 4A^2 r_h^2) + (1 - A^2 r_h^2)^2 (4A^4 \ell^4 - 8A^2 \ell^2) - 8A^2 \ell^2 (1 - A^2 r_h^2)]}{8AG\ell^2 (1 - A^2 \ell^2)^{3/2} (1 - A^2 r_h^2)^2 K} - \frac{8A^4 \ell^4 - 16A^2 \ell^2 + 9}{8A^2 G\ell^2 (1 - A^2 \ell^2)^{3/2} K} \tanh(Ar_h),$$
(42)

and the thermodynamic volume is defined as

$$V = \frac{\pi A r_h (2 - 3A^2 \ell^2) (3 - A^2 r_h^2)}{6A^3 (1 - A^2 \ell^2)^{3/2} (1 - A^2 r_h^2)^2 K} - \frac{\pi (4A^4 \ell^4 - 9A^2 \ell^2 + 6)}{6A^3 (1 - A^2 \ell^2)^{3/2} K} \operatorname{arctanh}(Ar_h), \quad (43)$$

respectively. Immediately, one can show that the above thermal quantities satisfy the following Smarr relation [44]:

$$E = 2TS + \chi L - 2PV. \tag{44}$$

This relation can also be derived using the scaling method in Ref. [56]. From the energy expression (36), one can obtain the scaling relations: $r_h \to \alpha r_h$, $L \to \alpha L$, $P \to \alpha^{-2}P$, $E \to \alpha E$, $S \to \alpha^2 S$. The Euler's homogeneous function theorem along with the energy (36) tells us that

$$E = 2S \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial S}\right)_{L,P} + L \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial L}\right)_{S,P} - 2P \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial P}\right)_{S,L} = 2TS + \chi L - 2PV, \quad (45)$$

which is just the Smarr relation compatible with Eq. (44).

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have obtained the conserved quasilocal energy of the accelerating AdS black hole by using the quasilocal formalism and investigated the thermodynamic first law in connection with the new energy expression. The expression of the energy eventually satisfies the standard form of the thermodynamic first law without any modifications. Moreover, we derived the Smarr relation for the black hole from the differential operator method and the scaling argument of the thermodynamic quantities.

Presently, one might wonder why the energy expression (36) is different from that obtained in Ref. [10]. The origin of the discord seems to emanate from the difference of definitions between the quasilocal "Komar" formalism [57] and the quasilocal "ADT" formalism [38], and the normalization choice of the Killing vector. In Ref. [10], the quasilocal expression for the Komar energy of the accelerating black hole is obtained by $Q_{\text{Komar}} =$ $-\frac{1}{4\pi G} \int_{S^2} d^2 x_{\mu\nu} \nabla^{\mu} \xi^{\nu} = \frac{M}{K}$, where the timelike Killing vector is chosen as $\xi = \partial_t$, and S^2 is located in the finite region of the spacetime. On the other hand, the quasilocal formalism we have adopted is based on the amalgamation between the ADT method [39–43] and the covariant phase space method [48, 50], both of which represent covariant generalizations of the ADM formalism [58]. Since the conserved charge (36) is derived from the expressions of equations of motion, or the action (11), for the given single Killing vector ξ , there is no room for other thermodynamic variables in our approach. The discrepancy of our energy expression to other ones appears due to the additional contribution of the boundary term, related to the conical singularities. In summary, one of the key observations in our paper is that loci, $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ where the conical singularities are located, must be regarded as one of boundaries in the computation of quasilocal charges.

A final comment is in order. The conformal boundary of the spacetime (12) differs topologically from S^2 , thereby indicating counterterm regularization for both the radial and polar directions. In fact, in Eq. (28), one can observe that the divergence of the surface terms at conformal infinity appears not only in the radial component but also in the polar component. Thus, it would be interesting to develop the other methods, modified either from the AMD formalism or the Brown-York boundary stress-tensor formalism, that incorporates boundary terms pertinent to the polar direction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education through the Center for Quantum Spacetime (CQUeST) of Sogang University (NRF-2020R1A6A1A03047877). WTK was supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. NRF-2022R1A2C1002894) and SHY was supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. NRF-2021R1A2C1003644).

- [1] J.D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 2333.
- J.D. Bekenstein, Generalized second law of thermodynamics in black hole physics, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 3292.
- [3] S.W. Hawking, Particle Creation by Black Holes, Commun. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199.
- [4] V.P. Frolov and I. Novikov, Dynamical origin of the entropy of a black hole, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 4545 [gr-qc/9309001].

- [5] K. Dutta, S. Ray and J. Traschen, Boost mass and the mechanics of accelerated black holes, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 335 [hep-th/0508041].
- [6] M. Bilal and K. Saifullah, Thermodynamics of accelerating and rotating black holes, Astrophys. Space Sci. 343 (2013) 165 [1010.5575].
- M. Appels, R. Gregory and D. Kubiznak, *Thermodynamics of Accelerating Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 131303 [1604.08812].
- [8] M. Astorino, CFT Duals for Accelerating Black Holes, Phys. Lett. B 760 (2016) 393
 [1605.06131].
- M. Astorino, Thermodynamics of Regular Accelerating Black Holes, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 064007 [1612.04387].
- [10] M. Appels, R. Gregory and D. Kubiznak, Black Hole Thermodynamics with Conical Defects, JHEP 05 (2017) 116 [1702.00490].
- [11] R. Gregory, Accelerating Black Holes, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 942 (2017) 012002 [1712.04992].
- [12] A. Anabalón, M. Appels, R. Gregory, D. Kubizňák, R.B. Mann and A. Ovgün, *Holographic Thermodynamics of Accelerating Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* 98 (2018) 104038 [1805.02687].
- [13] A. Anabalón, F. Gray, R. Gregory, D. Kubizňák and R.B. Mann, Thermodynamics of Charged, Rotating, and Accelerating Black Holes, JHEP 04 (2019) 096 [1811.04936].
- [14] H. Golchin, Universality of the area product: Solutions with conical singularity, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 126016 [1910.11449].
- [15] H. Golchin, More on the entropy product and dual CFTs, JHEP 03 (2020) 127 [2002.03363].
- [16] P. Ferrero, J.P. Gauntlett, J.M.P. Ipiña, D. Martelli and J. Sparks, Accelerating black holes and spinning spindles, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 046007 [2012.08530].
- [17] A. Ball and N. Miller, Accelerating black hole thermodynamics with boost time, Class. Quant. Grav. 38 (2021) 145031 [2008.03682].
- [18] A. Ball, Global first laws of accelerating black holes, Class. Quant. Grav. 38 (2021) 195024
 [2103.07521].
- [19] D. Cassani, J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli and J. Sparks, Thermodynamics of accelerating and supersymmetric AdS4 black holes, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 086005 [2106.05571].
- [20] G. Arenas-Henriquez, R. Gregory and A. Scoins, On acceleration in three dimensions, JHEP 05 (2022) 063 [2202.08823].

- [21] H. Kim, N. Kim, Y. Lee and A. Poole, Thermodynamics of accelerating AdS₄ black holes from the covariant phase space, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 1095 [2306.16187].
- [22] G. Arenas-Henriquez, A. Cisterna, F. Diaz and R. Gregory, Accelerating Black Holes in 2+1 dimensions: Holography revisited, JHEP 09 (2023) 122 [2308.00613].
- [23] E. Colombo, S.M. Hosseini, D. Martelli, A. Pittelli and A. Zaffaroni, Microstates of accelerating and supersymmetric AdS₄ black holes from the spindle index, 2404.07173.
- [24] W. Kinnersley and M. Walker, Uniformly accelerating charged mass in general relativity, Phys. Rev. D 2 (1970) 1359.
- [25] J.F. Plebanski and M. Demianski, Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity, Annals Phys. 98 (1976) 98.
- [26] O.J.C. Dias and J.P.S. Lemos, Pair of accelerated black holes in anti-de Sitter background: AdS C metric, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 064001 [hep-th/0210065].
- [27] J.B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, A New look at the Plebanski-Demianski family of solutions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 15 (2006) 335 [gr-qc/0511091].
- [28] J.B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, Exact Space-Times in Einstein's General Relativity, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009), 10.1017/CBO9780511635397.
- [29] R. Gregory and M. Hindmarsh, Smooth metrics for snapping strings, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 5598 [gr-qc/9506054].
- [30] F. Dowker, J.P. Gauntlett, D.A. Kastor and J.H. Traschen, Pair creation of dilaton black holes, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 2909 [hep-th/9309075].
- [31] J. Podolsky, Accelerating black holes in anti-de Sitter universe, Czech. J. Phys. 52 (2002) 1
 [gr-qc/0202033].
- [32] J.B. Griffiths, P. Krtous and J. Podolsky, Interpreting the C-metric, Class. Quant. Grav. 23 (2006) 6745 [gr-qc/0609056].
- [33] A. Ashtekar and A. Magnon, Asymptotically anti-de Sitter space-times, Class. Quant. Grav. 1 (1984) L39.
- [34] A. Magnon, On Komar integrals in asymptotically anti-de Sitter space-times,
 J. Math. Phys. 26 (1985) 3112.
- [35] A. Ashtekar and S. Das, Asymptotically Anti-de Sitter space-times: Conserved quantities, Class. Quant. Grav. 17 (2000) L17 [hep-th/9911230].

- [36] S. Das and R.B. Mann, Conserved quantities in Kerr-anti-de Sitter space-times in various dimensions, JHEP 08 (2000) 033 [hep-th/0008028].
- [37] L.B. Szabados, Quasi-Local Energy-Momentum and Angular Momentum in General Relativity, Living Rev. Rel. 12 (2009) 4.
- [38] W. Kim, S. Kulkarni and S.-H. Yi, Quasilocal Conserved Charges in a Covariant Theory of Gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 081101 [1306.2138].
- [39] L.F. Abbott and S. Deser, Stability of Gravity with a Cosmological Constant, Nucl. Phys. B 195 (1982) 76.
- [40] L.F. Abbott and S. Deser, Charge Definition in Nonabelian Gauge Theories, Phys. Lett. B 116 (1982) 259.
- [41] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Gravitational energy in quadratic curvature gravities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 (2002) 101101 [hep-th/0205318].
- [42] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Energy in generic higher curvature gravity theories, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 084009 [hep-th/0212292].
- [43] C. Senturk, T.C. Sisman and B. Tekin, Energy and Angular Momentum in Generic F(Riemann) Theories, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 124030 [1209.2056].
- [44] L. Smarr, Mass formula for Kerr black holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 71.
- [45] N. Deruelle, J. Katz and S. Ogushi, Conserved charges in Einstein Gauss-Bonnet theory, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 (2004) 1971 [gr-qc/0310098].
- [46] T. Padmanabhan, Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity: New insights, Rept. Prog. Phys. 73 (2010) 046901 [0911.5004].
- [47] S. Hyun, S.-A. Park and S.-H. Yi, Canonical energy and hairy AdS black holes, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 044014 [1603.02530].
- [48] R.M. Wald and A. Zoupas, A General definition of 'conserved quantities' in general relativity and other theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 084027 [gr-qc/9911095].
- [49] R.M. Wald, Black hole entropy is the Noether charge, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) R3427
 [gr-qc/9307038].
- [50] V. Iyer and R.M. Wald, Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 846 [gr-qc/9403028].
- [51] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges, Nucl. Phys. B 633 (2002) 3 [hep-th/0111246].

- [52] G. Barnich, Boundary charges in gauge theories: Using Stokes theorem in the bulk, Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) 3685 [hep-th/0301039].
- [53] G. Barnich and G. Compere, Generalized Smarr relation for Kerr AdS black holes from improved surface integrals, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 044016 [gr-qc/0412029].
- [54] G. Barnich and G. Compere, Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 042901 [0708.2378].
- [55] S. Hyun, J. Jeong, S.-A. Park and S.-H. Yi, Thermodynamic Volume and the Extended Smarr Relation, JHEP 04 (2017) 048 [1702.06629].
- [56] D. Kubiznak, R.B. Mann and M. Teo, Black hole chemistry: thermodynamics with Lambda, Class. Quant. Grav. 34 (2017) 063001 [1608.06147].
- [57] A. Komar, Covariant conservation laws in general relativity, Phys. Rev. 113 (1959) 934.
- [58] R.L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, The Dynamics of general relativity, Gen. Rel. Grav. 40 (2008) 1997 [gr-qc/0405109].