The Modular Isomorphism Problem – the alternative perspective on counterexamples *

Czesław Bagiński Kamil Zabielski

Faculty of Computer Science Bialystok University of Technology Wiejska 45A Bialystok, 15-351 Poland

Abstract

As a result of impressive research [GLMdR22], D. Garcia-Lucas, A. del Rio and L. Margolis defined an infinite series of non-isomorphic 2-groups G and H, whose group algebras $\mathbb{F}G$ and $\mathbb{F}H$ over the field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{F}_2$ are isomorphic, solving negatively the long-standing Modular Isomorphism Problem (MIP). In this note we give a different perspective on their examples and show that they are special cases of a more general construction. We also show that this type of construction for p>2 does not provide a similar counterexample to the MIP.

Let p be a prime number, G and H finite p-groups and \mathbb{F} a field of characteristic p. The long-standing conjecture, due to R. Brauer called the Modular Isomorphism Problem (MIP), states that if $\mathbb{F}G$ and $\mathbb{F}H$ are isomorphic algebras then G and H are isomorphic groups. The problem is settled in the positive in many cases but recently for p=2 there was given an infinite series of counterexamples ([GLMdR22]). In this paper we show that these counterexamples are special cases of a more general construction for p=2. We show also that for p>2 the analogous construction does not provide a similar counterexample to the MIP. Therefore the case p>2 remains open.

For a survey of known results concerning the MIP see an excellent paper by L. Margolis [Mar22]. The terminology used in the paper is standard. Our research was supported by extensive use of GAP software ([GAP]).

We begin with easy folklore observations. Let K be a dihedral group of order 2^{k+1} ,

$$K = \langle t, \ s \mid t^2 = s^2 = (ts)^{2^k} = 1 \rangle.$$
 (1)

Keywords: group rings, modular isomorphism problem, modular group algebra.

This work was supported by the Grant WZ/WI-IIT/2/2022 from the Bialystok University of Technology and funded from the resources for research by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland.

^{*}Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S34, 16U60, 20C05, 20D15.

If we put r = ts, then this presentation can be replaced by the following one

$$K = \langle t, \ r \mid t^2 = r^{2^k} = 1, r^t = r^{-1} \rangle.$$
 (2)

Let $C = \langle c \mid c^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$, $D = \langle d \mid d^{2^m} = 1 \rangle$, where $n > m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$. In the group

$$P = K \times C \times D,$$

we define three elements x = tc, y = sd, z = tsd = rd and two subgroups

$$G = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad H = \langle x, z \rangle.$$

Lemma 1. The following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $|G| = |H| = 2^{n+m+k-1}$;
- (ii) $G' = H' = P' = K' = \langle (ts)^2 \rangle$;
- (iii) $\Phi(G) = \Phi(H) = \Phi(P) = \langle (ts)^2, c^2, d^2 \rangle$;
- (iv) The subgroup $M = \langle ts, c, d \rangle$ is abelian and maximal in P;
- (v) The subgroup $G \cap M = \langle xy, c^2, d^2 \rangle$ is maximal in G;
- (vi) The subgroup $H \cap M = \langle z, c^2, d^2 \rangle$ is maximal in H;
- (vii) The groups G and H are not isomorphic.
- Proof. (i) The projection of P onto K restricted to G maps G onto K. The kernel of this restricted projection is equal to $G \cap (C \times D) = \langle c^2, d^2 \rangle = \langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$. Therefore $|G| = |K| \cdot |\langle c^2, d^2 \rangle| = 2^{n+m+k-1}$. The same projection restricted to H is also a map of H onto K with the kernel $H \cap (C \times D) = \langle c^2, d^2 \rangle = \langle x^2, (x^{-1}z)^2 \rangle$, because $(x^{-1}z)^2 = (c^{-1}t^{-1}tsd) = c^{-2}d^2$. Thus also $|H| = 2^{n+m+k-1}$.
 - (ii) The commutator subgroup K' of K is cyclic and generated by

$$[t, s] = t^{-1}s^{-1}ts = (ts)^2 = r^2 = [t, r].$$

But [t, s] = [x, y] and [t, r] = [x, z], so K' = G' = H'. It is also obvious by construction of P, that K' = P'.

- (iii) It is clear that $\Phi(P) = \Phi(K) \Phi(C) \Phi(D) = \langle (ts)^2, c^2, d^2 \rangle$. Further $[x, y] = [tc, sd] = [t, s] = (ts)^2$, $x^2 = (tc)^2 = c^2$, $y^2 = (sd)^2 = d^2$, hence $\Phi(G) = \Phi(P)$. As $[x, z] = [tc, tsd] = [t, ts] = t(st) t(ts) = (ts)^2$, $x^2 = c^2$, $z^2 = (tsc)^2 = (ts)^2 d^2$, we have $\Phi(H) = \Phi(P)$.
- (iv-vi) M is abelian, because c and d are central in P. Moreover $|M| = o(ts) \cdot o(c) \cdot o(d) = 2^{k+m+n}$, which means that |P| : M| = 2. Maximality of $G \cap M$ in G and $H \cap M$ in H is obvious.
- (vii) By the assumption $n > m \ge k$, the order of xy is equal to 2^n , as $(xy)^2 = (tcsd)^2 = (ts)^2c^2d^2$. This is maximal order of generators of $G \cap M$, therefore $\exp(G \cap M) = 2^n$. Similarly, the element z has order 2^m , so c^2 has maximal order among generators of $H \cap M$ and then $\exp(H \cap M) = 2^{n-1}$. Since $G \cap M$ and $H \cap M$ have different exponents and are the unique abelian subgroups of index 2 in G and H respectively, the groups G and H are not isomorphic.

Lemma 2. The groups G and H can be described in terms of generators and relations in the following way:

$$G = \langle x, y, u \mid x^{2^{n}} = y^{2^{m}} = u^{2^{k-1}} = 1, \ y^{x} = yu, \ u^{x} = u^{-1}, \ u^{y} = u^{-1} \rangle;$$

$$H = \langle x, z, u \mid x^{2^{n}} = z^{2^{m}} = u^{2^{k-1}} = 1, \ z^{x} = zu, \ u^{x} = u^{-1}, \ u^{z} = u \rangle.$$

$$(3)$$

Proof. The correspondence $x \to tc$, $y \to sd$ can be extended to a homomorphism of G described by (3) into P because tc and sd satisfy the relations (3) for G. Since $\langle tc, sd \rangle$ generate a subgroup of order $2^{k+m+n-1}$ this homomorphism is an embedding. One can use a similar argument for the group H.

Lemma 3. Let A be a 2-group generated by elements a and b of order 2^n and 2^m respectively such that a^2 and b^2 are central in A, $|A'| = 2^{k-1}$ and $\langle a^2, b^2 \rangle \cap A' = 1$. Then A is isomorphic to G.

Lemma 4. In the group algebra $\mathbb{F}H$ let $\beta = 1 + x(1+z)$. Then

- (i) β has order 2^k in the group of units of $\mathbb{F}H$ and β^2 is a central element of $\mathbb{F}H$;
- (ii) the subgroup $\langle x, \beta \rangle$ is isomorphic to G and span $\mathbb{F}H$.

Proof. (i) Note first that

$$\beta^2 = (1 + x(1+z))^2 = 1 + x(1+z)x(1+z) = 1 + x^2(1+z^x)(1+z) = 1 + x^2(1+(z+z^x)+(z^xz)).$$

Further $z + z^x = tsd + tsd^{tc} = tsd + ts^td = td(s + s^t) = tsd(1 + (st)^2) = z(1 + [z, t])$ and $z^x z = (tsd)^t c(tsd) = (ts^td)(tsd) = d^2 = z^2$, so

$$\beta^{2^{m-1}} = 1 + x^{2^{m-1}} (1 + z(1 + (st)^{2^{m-1}}) + z^{2^{m-1}} = 1 + x^{2^{m-1}} (1 + z^{2^{m-1}}) \neq 1.$$

and $\beta^{2^m} = 1 + x^{2^m} (1 + z^{2^m}) = 1$. We have also $(\beta^2)^x = 1 + x^2 (1 + (z^x + z) + zz^x) = \beta^2$, because $z^x z = zz^x = d^2$.

(ii) Consider the group $\tilde{G} = \langle x, \beta \rangle$. Since $x^2, \beta^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{F}H)$ the factor group $\tilde{G}/\langle x^2, \beta^2 \rangle$ is a dihedral group. The commutator subgroup of \tilde{G} , generated by the element

$$[\beta, x] = 1 + \beta^{-1}x^{-1}(\beta x + x\beta) = 1 + \beta^{-1}(\beta^x + \beta)$$
$$= 1 + \beta^{-1}x(z^x + z) = 1 + \beta^{-1}xz([z, x] + 1) = 1 + \beta^{-1}xz((ts)^2 + 1),$$

has order 2^{k-1} and has trivial intersection with $\langle x^2, \beta^2 \rangle$.

It follows from Lemma 3 that \tilde{G} is isomorphic to G.

At the very end, we observe that x and $\beta = 1 + x (1 + z) = z + (x + 1) (z + 1)$ are linearly independent modulo $A^2(\mathbb{F}H)$, where $A(\mathbb{F}H)$ is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{F}H$. Therefore by the Jennings theory both generate the whole algebra $\mathbb{F}H$.

As an immediate consequence of the lemmas we obtain the following

Theorem 5. For every m, n, k, $n > m \ge k \ge 3$ there exist non-isomorphic 2-groups G and H of order $2^{m+n+k+1}$ and cyclic commutator subgroup of order 2^{k-1} whose group algebras over the 2-element field are isomorphic.

- **Remark 6.** (i) There are many elements in $\mathbb{F}H$ that, together with the element x, generate a group isomorphic to G spanning $\mathbb{F}H$. For instance, if ζ is a central unit of $\mathbb{F}H$ of order $<2^m$, \tilde{x} is an element of H such that $z^{\tilde{x}}\neq z$, then $\tilde{\beta}=\zeta+\tilde{x}(1+z)$ is such an element.
 - (ii) If we put k=3, we get the examples from [GLMdR22] with $\beta=d^2+zx[z,x](1+z)$.
- (iii) If, in the above construction, we replace the dihedral group K with the semidihedral or the generalized quaternion group of order 2^{k+1} , we get the same groups (up to isomorphism) as the groups G and H.

It follows from Lemma 1, that in the counterexample the abelian subgroups of index 2 in G and H are not isomorphic. Let us consider analogous construction as in the counterexample taking p > 2. As a basis of the construction take a p-group $K = \langle s, s_1 \rangle$ of maximal class (similarly as in the case p = 2) having an abelian subgroup of index p (all they are classified in [Bla58]). Then in the group $K \times \langle c \mid c^{p^m} = 1 \rangle \times \langle d \mid d^{p^n} = 1 \rangle$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrarily fixed, take a subgroup $G = \langle sc, s_1d \rangle$. In this group, the subgroup $N = C_G(G'/\Phi(G'))$ is abelian and has index p in G.

The following lemma is a consequence of Proposition 1.4 [BC88] and Lemma 1 [CB88].

Lemma 7. If the subgroup N of G defined by equation $N = C_G(G'/\Phi(G'))$ has index p in G, then the subgring $I(N) + I(G') \mathbb{F}G$ is determined by the structure of $\mathbb{F}G$. In particular the ideal $I(N) \mathbb{F}G$ and the dimensions of factors $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i+1}$ of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus series of $\mathbb{F}N$ are determined.

Proposition 8. Let G be a finite 2-generated p-group in which the subgroup $N = C_G(G'/\Phi(G'))$ has index p then N is determined by $\mathbb{F}G$.

Proof. If H is a p-group such that $\mathbb{F}G \cong \mathbb{F}H$, then H is also 2-generated and contains a subgroup $M = C_H(H'/\Phi(H'))$ of index p. Comparing the dimensions of centers of $\mathbb{F}G$ and $\mathbb{F}H$ with a slight modification the proof of Theorem 3.2 from [BC88] we have M is abelian. Therefore the dimensions of factors $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i+1}$ of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus series of $\mathbb{F}N$ and $\mathbb{F}M$ are equal for all i, by Lemma 7. Hence $N \cong M$, because the mentioned dimensions determine the isomorphism class of N.

In the counterexample the subgroup $C_G(G'/\Phi(G')) = G$ hence Proposition 8 must not be applied for p = 2. Simultanously, Proposition 8 shows there are no similar counterexamples for odd primes.

References

- [BC88] C. Bagiński and A. Caranti, The Modular Group Algebras of p-Groups of Maximal Class, Can. J. Math. 40 (1988), no. 6, 1422–1435.
- [CB88] C. Bagiński, The isomorphism question for modular group algebras of metacyclic p-groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 1, 39-42.
- [Bla58] N. Blackburn, On a special class of p-groups, Acta Mathematica 100 (1958), no. 1–2, 45–92.
- [GLMdR22] D. García-Lucas, L. Margolis, and A. del Río, Non-isomorphic 2-groups with isomorphic modular group algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. **2022** (2022), no. 783, 269–274.
 - [Mar22] L. Margolis, *The Modular Isomorphism Problem: A Survey*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **124** (2022), no. 3, 157–196.
 - [GAP] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.2; 2022, https://www.gap-system.org.