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#### Abstract

As a result of impressive research [GLMdR22], D. Garcia-Lucas, A. del Rio and L. Margolis defined an infinite series of non-isomorphic 2-groups $G$ and $H$, whose group algebras $\mathbb{F} G$ and $\mathbb{F} H$ over the field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are isomorphic, solving negatively the long-standing Modular Isomorphism Problem (MIP). In this note we give a different perspective on their examples and show that they are special cases of a more general construction. We also show that this type of construction for $p>2$ does not provide a similar counterexample to the MIP.


Let $p$ be a prime number, $G$ and $H$ finite $p$-groups and $\mathbb{F}$ a field of characteristic $p$. The long-standing conjecture, due to R. Brauer called the Modular Isomorphism Problem (MIP), states that if $\mathbb{F} G$ and $\mathbb{F} H$ are isomorphic algebras then $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic groups. The problem is settled in the positive in many cases but recently for $p=2$ there was given an infinite series of counterexamples ([GLMdR22]). In this paper we show that these counterexamples are special cases of a more general construction for $p=2$. We show also that for $p>2$ the analogous construction does not provide a similar counterexample to the MIP. Therefore the case $p>2$ remains open.

For a survey of known results concerning the MIP see an excellent paper by L. Margolis [Mar22]. The terminology used in the paper is standard. Our research was supported by extensive use of GAP software ([GAP]).

We begin with easy folklore observations. Let $K$ be a dihedral group of order $2^{k+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\langle t, s \mid t^{2}=s^{2}=(t s)^{2^{k}}=1\right\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]If we put $r=t s$, then this presentation can be replaced by the following one

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\langle t, r \mid t^{2}=r^{2^{k}}=1, r^{t}=r^{-1}\right\rangle . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C=\left\langle c \mid c^{2^{n}}=1\right\rangle, D=\left\langle d \mid d^{2^{m}}=1\right\rangle$, where $n>m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$. In the group

$$
P=K \times C \times D,
$$

we define three elements $x=t c, y=s d, z=t s d=r d$ and two subgroups

$$
G=\langle x, y\rangle, \quad H=\langle x, z\rangle .
$$

Lemma 1. The following properties are satisfied:
(i) $|G|=|H|=2^{n+m+k-1}$;
(ii) $G^{\prime}=H^{\prime}=P^{\prime}=K^{\prime}=\left\langle(t s)^{2}\right\rangle$;
(iii) $\Phi(G)=\Phi(H)=\Phi(P)=\left\langle(t s)^{2}, c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle$;
(iv) The subgroup $M=<t s, c, d>$ is abelian and maximal in $P$;
(v) The subgroup $G \cap M=\left\langle x y, c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle$ is maximal in $G$;
(vi) The subgroup $H \cap M=\left\langle z, c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle$ is maximal in $H$;
(vii) The groups $G$ and $H$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. (i) The projection of $P$ onto $K$ restricted to $G$ maps $G$ onto $K$. The kernel of this restricted projection is equal to $G \cap(C \times D)=\left\langle c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{2}, y^{2}\right\rangle$. Therefore $|G|=$ $|K| \cdot\left|\left\langle c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle\right|=2^{n+m+k-1}$. The same projection restricted to $H$ is also a map of $H$ onto $K$ with the kernel $H \cap(C \times D)=\left\langle c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{2},\left(x^{-1} z\right)^{2}\right\rangle$, because $\left(x^{-1} z\right)^{2}=\left(c^{-1} t^{-1} t s d\right)=c^{-2} d^{2}$. Thus also $|H|=2^{n+m+k-1}$.
(ii) The commutator subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ is cyclic and generated by

$$
[t, s]=t^{-1} s^{-1} t s=(t s)^{2}=r^{2}=[t, r] .
$$

But $[t, s]=[x, y]$ and $[t, r]=[x, z]$, so $K^{\prime}=G^{\prime}=H^{\prime}$. It is also obvious by construction of $P$, that $K^{\prime}=P^{\prime}$.
(iii) It is clear that $\Phi(P)=\Phi(K) \Phi(C) \Phi(D)=\left\langle(t s)^{2}, c^{2}, d^{2}\right\rangle$. Further $[x, y]=[t c, s d]=$ $[t, s]=(t s)^{2}, x^{2}=(t c)^{2}=c^{2}, y^{2}=(s d)^{2}=d^{2}$, hence $\Phi(G)=\Phi(P)$. As $[x, z]=[t c, t s d]=$ $[t, t s]=t(s t) t(t s)=(t s)^{2}, x^{2}=c^{2}, z^{2}=(t s c)^{2}=(t s)^{2} d^{2}$, we have $\Phi(H)=\Phi(P)$.
(iv-vi) $M$ is abelian, because $c$ and $d$ are central in $P$. Moreover $|M|=o(t s) \cdot o(c) \cdot o(d)=$ $2^{k+m+n}$, which means that $|P: M|=2$. Maximality of $G \cap M$ in $G$ and $H \cap M$ in $H$ is obvious.
(vii) By the assumption $n>m \geqslant k$, the order of $x y$ is equal to $2^{n}$, as $(x y)^{2}=(t c s d)^{2}=$ $(t s)^{2} c^{2} d^{2}$. This is maximal order of generators of $G \cap M$, therefore $\exp (G \cap M)=2^{n}$. Similarly, the element $z$ has order $2^{m}$, so $c^{2}$ has maximal order among generators of $H \cap M$ and then $\exp (H \cap M)=2^{n-1}$. Since $G \cap M$ and $H \cap M$ have different exponents and are the unique abelian subgroups of index 2 in $G$ and $H$ respectively, the groups $G$ and $H$ are not isomorphic.

Lemma 2. The groups $G$ and $H$ can be described in terms of generators and relations in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=\left\langle x, y, u \mid x^{2^{n}}=y^{2^{m}}=u^{2^{k-1}}=1, y^{x}=y u, u^{x}=u^{-1}, u^{y}=u^{-1}\right\rangle \\
& H=\left\langle x, z, u \mid x^{2^{n}}=z^{2^{m}}=u^{2^{k-1}}=1, z^{x}=z u, u^{x}=u^{-1}, u^{z}=u\right\rangle . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The correspondence $x \rightarrow t c, y \rightarrow s d$ can be extended to a homomorphism of $G$ described by (3) into $P$ because $t c$ and $s d$ satisfy the relations (3) for $G$. Since $\langle t c, s d\rangle$ generate a subgroup of order $2^{k+m+n-1}$ this homomorphism is an embedding. One can use a similar argument for the group $H$.

Lemma 3. Let $A$ be a 2-group generated by elements a and $b$ of order $2^{n}$ and $2^{m}$ respectively such that $a^{2}$ and $b^{2}$ are central in $A,\left|A^{\prime}\right|=2^{k-1}$ and $\left\langle a^{2}, b^{2}\right\rangle \cap A^{\prime}=1$. Then $A$ is isomorphic to $G$.

Lemma 4. In the group algebra $\mathbb{F} H$ let $\beta=1+x(1+z)$. Then
(i) $\beta$ has order $2^{k}$ in the group of units of $\mathbb{F H}$ and $\beta^{2}$ is a central element of $\mathbb{F} H$;
(ii) the subgroup $\langle x, \beta\rangle$ is isomorphic to $G$ and span $\mathbb{F} H$.

Proof. (i) Note first that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{2} & =(1+x(1+z))^{2}=1+x(1+z) x(1+z)=1+x^{2}\left(1+z^{x}\right)(1+z)= \\
& =1+x^{2}\left(1+\left(z+z^{x}\right)+\left(z^{x} z\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further $z+z^{x}=t s d+t s d^{t c}=t s d+t s^{t} d=t d\left(s+s^{t}\right)=t s d\left(1+(s t)^{2}\right)=z(1+[z, t])$ and $z^{x} z=(t s d)^{t} c(t s d)=\left(t s^{t} d\right)(t s d)=d^{2}=z^{2}$, so

$$
\beta^{2^{m-1}}=1+x^{2^{m-1}}\left(1+z\left(1+(s t)^{2^{m-1}}\right)+z^{2^{m-1}}=1+x^{2^{m-1}}\left(1+z^{2^{m-1}}\right) \neq 1\right.
$$

and $\beta^{2^{m}}=1+x^{2^{m}}\left(1+z^{2^{m}}\right)=1$. We have also $\left(\beta^{2}\right)^{x}=1+x^{2}\left(1+\left(z^{x}+z\right)+z z^{x}\right)=\beta^{2}$, because $z^{x} z=z z^{x}=d^{2}$.
(ii) Consider the group $\tilde{G}=\langle x, \beta\rangle$. Since $x^{2}, \beta^{2} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{F} H)$ the factor group $\tilde{G} /\left\langle x^{2}, \beta^{2}\right\rangle$ is a dihedral group. The commutator subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, generated by the element

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\beta, x] } & =1+\beta^{-1} x^{-1}(\beta x+x \beta)=1+\beta^{-1}\left(\beta^{x}+\beta\right) \\
& =1+\beta^{-1} x\left(z^{x}+z\right)=1+\beta^{-1} x z([z, x]+1)=1+\beta^{-1} x z\left((t s)^{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

has order $2^{k-1}$ and has trivial intersection with $\left\langle x^{2}, \beta^{2}\right\rangle$.
It follows from Lemma 3 that $\tilde{G}$ is isomorphic to $G$.
At the very end, we observe that $x$ and $\beta=1+x(1+z)=z+(x+1)(z+1)$ are linearly independent modulo $A^{2}(\mathbb{F} H)$, where $A(\mathbb{F} H)$ is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{F} H$. Therefore by the Jennings theory both generate the whole algebra $\mathbb{F} H$.

As an immediate consequence of the lemmas we obtain the following

Theorem 5. For every $m$, $n, k, n>m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$ there exist non-isomorphic 2-groups $G$ and $H$ of order $2^{m+n+k+1}$ and cyclic commutator subgroup of order $2^{k-1}$ whose group algebras over the 2 -element field are isomorphic.

Remark 6. (i) There are many elements in $\mathbb{F} H$ that, together with the element $x$, generate a group isomorphic to $G$ spanning $\mathbb{F} H$. For instance, if $\zeta$ is a central unit of $\mathbb{F} H$ of order $<2^{m}, \tilde{x}$ is an element of $H$ such that $z^{\tilde{x}} \neq z$, then $\tilde{\beta}=\zeta+\tilde{x}(1+z)$ is such an element.
(ii) If we put $k=3$, we get the examples from [GLMdR22] with $\beta=d^{2}+z x[z, x](1+z)$.
(iii) If, in the above construction, we replace the dihedral group $K$ with the semidihedral or the generalized quaternion group of order $2^{k+1}$, we get the same groups (up to isomorphism) as the groups $G$ and $H$.

It follows from Lemma 1, that in the counterexample the abelian subgroups of index 2 in $G$ and $H$ are not isomorphic. Let us consider analogous construction as in the counterexample taking $p>2$. As a basis of the construction take a $p$-group $K=\left\langle s, s_{1}\right\rangle$ of maximal class (similarly as in the case $p=2$ ) having an abelian subgroup of index $p$ (all they are classified in [Bla58]). Then in the group $K \times\left\langle c \mid c^{p^{m}}=1\right\rangle \times\left\langle d \mid d^{p^{n}}=1\right\rangle$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrarily fixed, take a subgroup $G=\left\langle s c, s_{1} d\right\rangle$. In this group, the subgroup $N=C_{G}\left(G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is abelian and has index $p$ in $G$.

The following lemma is a consequence of Proposition 1.4 [BC88] and Lemma 1 [CB88].
Lemma 7. If the subgroup $N$ of $G$ defined by equation $N=C_{G}\left(G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ has index $p$ in $G$, then the subgring $I(N)+I\left(G^{\prime}\right) \mathbb{F} G$ is determined by the structure of $\mathbb{F} G$. In particular the ideal $I(N) \mathbb{F} G$ and the dimensions of factors $\mathcal{M}_{i} / \mathcal{M}_{i+1}$ of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus series of $\mathbb{F} N$ are determined.

Proposition 8. Let $G$ be a finite 2-generated p-group in which the subgroup $N=C_{G}\left(G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ has index $p$ then $N$ is determined by $\mathbb{F} G$.

Proof. If $H$ is a $p$-group such that $\mathbb{F} G \cong \mathbb{F} H$, then $H$ is also 2-generated and contains a subgroup $M=C_{H}\left(H^{\prime} / \Phi\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right)$ of index $p$. Comparing the dimensions of centers of $\mathbb{F} G$ and $\mathbb{F} H$ with a slight modification the proof of Theorem 3.2 from [BC88] we have $M$ is abelian. Therefore the dimensions of factors $\mathcal{M}_{i} / \mathcal{M}_{i+1}$ of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus series of $\mathbb{F} N$ and $\mathbb{F} M$ are equal for all $i$, by Lemma 7 . Hence $N \cong M$, because the mentioned dimensions determine the isomorphism class of $N$.

In the counterexample the subgroup $C_{G}\left(G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)=G$ hence Proposition 8 must not be applied for $p=2$. Simultanously, Proposition 8 shows there are no similiar counterexamples for odd primes.
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