The Modular Isomorphism Problem – the alternative perspective on counterexamples [∗]

Czesław Bagiński Kamil Zabielski

Faculty of Computer Science Bialystok University of Technology Wiejska 45A Bialystok, 15-351 Poland

Abstract

As a result of impressive research [\[GLMdR22\]](#page-4-0), D. Garcia-Lucas, A. del Rio and L. Margolis defined an infinite series of non-isomorphic 2-groups *G* and *H*, whose group algebras $\mathbb{F}G$ and $\mathbb{F}H$ over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ are isomorphic, solving negatively the long-standing Modular Isomorphism Problem (MIP). In this note we give a different perspective on their examples and show that they are special cases of a more general construction. We also show that this type of construction for *p >* 2 does not provide a similar counterexample to the MIP.

Let p be a prime number, G and H finite p-groups and \mathbb{F} a field of characteristic p. The long-standing conjecture, due to R. Brauer called the Modular Isomorphism Problem (MIP), states that if F*G* and F*H* are isomorphic algebras then *G* and *H* are isomorphic groups. The problem is settled in the positive in many cases but recently for $p = 2$ there was given an infinite series of counterexamples ([\[GLMdR22\]](#page-4-0)). In this paper we show that these counterexamples are special cases of a more general construction for $p = 2$. We show also that for $p > 2$ the analogous construction does not provide a similar counterexample to the MIP. Therefore the case $p > 2$ remains open.

For a survey of known results concerning the MIP see an excellent paper by L. Margolis [\[Mar22\]](#page-4-1). The terminology used in the paper is standard. Our research was supported by extensive use of GAP software ([\[GAP\]](#page-4-2)).

We begin with easy folklore observations. Let K be a dihedral group of order 2^{k+1} ,

$$
K = \langle t, s \mid t^2 = s^2 = (ts)^{2^k} = 1 \rangle. \tag{1}
$$

[∗]Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S34, 16U60, 20C05, 20D15.

Keywords: group rings, modular isomorphism problem, modular group algebra.

This work was supported by the Grant WZ/WI-IIT/2/2022 from the Bialystok University of Technology and funded from the resources for research by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland.

If we put $r = ts$, then this presentation can be replaced by the following one

$$
K = \langle t, r \mid t^2 = r^{2^k} = 1, r^t = r^{-1} \rangle.
$$
 (2)

Let $C = \langle c \mid c^{2^n} = 1 \rangle$, $D = \langle d \mid d^{2^m} = 1 \rangle$, where $n > m \ge k \ge 3$. In the group

$$
P = K \times C \times D,
$$

we define three elements $x = tc$, $y = sd$, $z = tsd = rd$ and two subgroups

$$
G = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad H = \langle x, z \rangle.
$$

Lemma 1. *The following properties are satisfied:*

- (i) $|G| = |H| = 2^{n+m+k-1}$;
- (ii) $G' = H' = P' = K' = \langle (ts)^2 \rangle;$
- (iii) $\Phi(G) = \Phi(H) = \Phi(P) = \langle (ts)^2, c^2, d^2 \rangle;$
- (iv) *The subgroup* $M = \langle ts, c, d \rangle$ *is abelian and maximal in P;*
- (v) The subgroup $G \cap M = \langle xy, c^2, d^2 \rangle$ is maximal in G ;
- (vi) *The subgroup* $H \cap M = \langle z, c^2, d^2 \rangle$ *is maximal in* H ;
- (vii) *The groups G and H are not isomorphic.*

Proof. (i) The projection of *P* onto *K* restricted to *G* maps *G* onto *K*. The kernel of this restricted projection is equal to $G \cap (C \times D) = \langle c^2, d^2 \rangle = \langle x^2, y^2 \rangle$. Therefore $|G|=$ $|K|\cdot|\langle c^2, d^2\rangle|=2^{n+m+k-1}$. The same projection restricted to *H* is also a map of *H* onto *K* with the kernel $H \cap (C \times D) = \langle c^2, d^2 \rangle = \langle x^2, (x^{-1}z)^2 \rangle$, because $(x^{-1}z)^2 = (c^{-1}t^{-1}tsd) = c^{-2}d^2$. Thus also $|H| = 2^{n+m+k-1}$.

(ii) The commutator subgroup *K*′ of *K* is cyclic and generated by

$$
[t, s] = t^{-1} s^{-1} t s = (ts)^2 = r^2 = [t, r].
$$

But $[t, s] = [x, y]$ and $[t, r] = [x, z]$, so $K' = G' = H'$. It is also obvious by construction of *P*, that $K' = P'$.

(iii) It is clear that $\Phi(P) = \Phi(K) \Phi(C) \Phi(D) = \langle (ts)^2, c^2, d^2 \rangle$. Further $[x, y] = [tc, sd] =$ $[t, s] = (ts)^2, x^2 = (tc)^2 = c^2, y^2 = (sd)^2 = d^2$, hence $\Phi(G) = \Phi(P)$. As $[x, z] = [tc, tsd] =$ $[t, ts] = t (st) t (ts) = (ts)^2, x^2 = c^2, z^2 = (tsc)^2 = (ts)^2 d^2$, we have $\Phi(H) = \Phi(P)$.

(iv-vi) *M* is abelian, because *c* and *d* are central in *P*. Moreover $|M| = o(ts) \cdot o(c) \cdot o(d)$ 2^{k+m+n} , which means that $|P : M| = 2$. Maximality of *G*∩*M* in *G* and *H*∩*M* in *H* is obvious.

(vii) By the assumption $n > m \geq k$, the order of *xy* is equal to 2^n , as $(xy)^2 = (tcsd)^2$ $(ts)^2c^2d^2$. This is maximal order of generators of $G \cap M$, therefore $exp(G \cap M) = 2^n$. Similarly, the element *z* has order 2^m , so $c²$ has maximal order among generators of $H \cap M$ and then $\exp(H \cap M) = 2^{n-1}$. Since $G \cap M$ and $H \cap M$ have different exponents and are the unique abelian subgroups of index 2 in *G* and *H* respectively, the groups *G* and *H* are not isomorphic. \Box **Lemma 2.** *The groups G and H can be described in terms of generators and relations in the following way:*

$$
G = \langle x, y, u \mid x^{2^n} = y^{2^m} = u^{2^{k-1}} = 1, y^x = yu, u^x = u^{-1}, u^y = u^{-1};
$$

\n
$$
H = \langle x, z, u \mid x^{2^n} = z^{2^m} = u^{2^{k-1}} = 1, z^x = zu, u^x = u^{-1}, u^z = u\rangle.
$$
\n(3)

Proof. The correspondence $x \to tc$, $y \to sd$ can be extended to a homomorphism of G described by [\(3\)](#page-2-0) into *P* because *tc* and *sd* satisfy the relations [\(3\)](#page-2-0) for *G*. Since $\langle tc, sd \rangle$ generate a subgroup of order 2*^k*+*m*+*n*−¹ this homomorphism is an embedding. One can use a similar argument for the group *H*. \Box

Lemma 3. Let A be a 2-group generated by elements a and b of order 2^n and 2^m respectively *such that* a^2 *and* b^2 *are central in A*, $|A'| = 2^{k-1}$ *and* $\langle a^2, b^2 \rangle \cap A' = 1$ *. Then A is isomorphic to G.*

Lemma 4. In the group algebra $\mathbb{F}H$ let $\beta = 1 + x(1 + z)$. Then

- (i) *β has order* 2^k *in the group of units of* $\mathbb{F}H$ *and* β^2 *is a central element of* $\mathbb{F}H$ *;*
- (ii) *the subgroup* $\langle x, \beta \rangle$ *is isomorphic to G and span* $\mathbb{F}H$ *.*

Proof. (i) Note first that

$$
\beta^{2} = (1 + x (1 + z))^{2} = 1 + x (1 + z) x (1 + z) = 1 + x^{2} (1 + z^{x}) (1 + z) =
$$

= 1 + x² (1 + (z + z^x) + (z^xz)).

Further $z + z^x = tsd + tsd^{tc} = tsd + ts^td = td(s + s^t) = tsd(1 + (st)^2) = z(1 + [z, t])$ and $z^x z = (tsd)^t c(tsd) = (ts^t d)(tsd) = d^2 = z^2$, so

$$
\beta^{2^{m-1}} = 1 + x^{2^{m-1}}(1 + z(1 + (st)^{2^{m-1}}) + z^{2^{m-1}}) = 1 + x^{2^{m-1}}(1 + z^{2^{m-1}}) \neq 1.
$$

and $\beta^{2^m} = 1 + x^{2^m} (1 + z^{2^m}) = 1$. We have also $(\beta^2)^x = 1 + x^2 (1 + (z^x + z) + zz^x) = \beta^2$, because $z^x z = z z^x = d^2$.

(ii) Consider the group $\tilde{G} = \langle x, \beta \rangle$. Since $x^2, \beta^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{F}H)$ the factor group $\tilde{G}/\langle x^2, \beta^2 \rangle$ is a dihedral group. The commutator subgroup of \tilde{G} , generated by the element

$$
[\beta, x] = 1 + \beta^{-1} x^{-1} (\beta x + x \beta) = 1 + \beta^{-1} (\beta^x + \beta)
$$

= 1 + \beta^{-1} x (z^x + z) = 1 + \beta^{-1} x z ([z, x] + 1) = 1 + \beta^{-1} x z ((ts)^2 + 1),

has order 2^{k-1} and has trivial intersection with $\langle x^2, \beta^2 \rangle$. It follows from Lemma [3](#page-2-1) that \tilde{G} is isomorphic to G .

At the very end, we observe that *x* and $\beta = 1 + x(1 + z) = z + (x + 1)(z + 1)$ are linearly independent modulo $A^2(\mathbb{F}H)$, where $A(\mathbb{F}H)$ is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{F}H$. Therefore by \Box the Jennings theory both generate the whole algebra F*H*.

As an immediate consequence of the lemmas we obtain the following

Theorem 5. For every m, n, k, $n > m \ge k \ge 3$ there exist non-isomorphic 2-groups *G and H of order* 2 *^m*+*n*+*k*+1 *and cyclic commutator subgroup of order* 2 *^k*−¹ *whose group algebras over the* 2*-element field are isomorphic.*

- **Remark 6.** (i) There are many elements in $\mathbb{F}H$ that, together with the element *x*, generate a group isomorphic to *G* spanning $\mathbb{F}H$. For instance, if ζ is a central unit of $\mathbb{F}H$ of order $\langle 2^m, \tilde{x} \rangle$ is an element of *H* such that $z^{\tilde{x}} \neq z$, then $\tilde{\beta} = \zeta + \tilde{x}(1+z)$ is such an element.
	- (ii) If we put $k = 3$, we get the examples from [\[GLMdR22\]](#page-4-0) with $\beta = d^2 + zx[z, x](1 + z)$.
- (iii) If, in the above construction, we replace the dihedral group *K* with the semidihedral or the generalized quaternion group of order 2^{k+1} , we get the same groups (up to isomorphism) as the groups *G* and *H*.

It follows from Lemma 1, that in the counterexample the abelian subgroups of index 2 in *G* and *H* are not isomorphic. Let us consider analogous construction as in the counterexample taking $p > 2$. As a basis of the construction take a *p*-group $K = \langle s, s_1 \rangle$ of maximal class (similarly as in the case $p = 2$) having an abelian subgroup of index p (all they are classified in [\[Bla58\]](#page-4-3)). Then in the group $K \times \langle c \mid c^{p^m} = 1 \rangle \times \langle d \mid d^{p^n} = 1 \rangle$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrarily fixed, take a subgroup $G = \langle sc, s_1 d \rangle$. In this group, the subgroup $N = C_G(G'/\Phi(G'))$ is abelian and has index *p* in *G*.

The following lemma is a consequence of Proposition 1.4 [\[BC88\]](#page-4-4) and Lemma 1 [\[CB88\]](#page-4-5).

Lemma 7. If the subgroup N of G defined by equation $N = C_G(G'/\Phi(G'))$ has index p in G, *then the subgring* $I(N) + I(G')$ FG *is determined by the structure of* FG. In particular the *ideal* $I(N)$ F*G* and the dimensions of factors $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i+1}$ of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus *series of* F*N are determined.*

Proposition 8. Let *G* be a finite 2-generated *p*-group in which the subgroup $N = C_G(G'/\Phi(G'))$ *has index* p *then* N *is determined by* $\mathbb{F}G$ *.*

Proof. If *H* is a *p*-group such that $\mathbb{F}G \cong \mathbb{F}H$, then *H* is also 2-generated and contains a subgroup $M = C_H (H'/\Phi(H'))$ of index *p*. Comparing the dimensions of centers of FG and F*H* with a slight modification the proof of Theorem 3.2 from [\[BC88\]](#page-4-4) we have *M* is abelian. Therefore the dimensions of factors $\mathcal{M}_i/\mathcal{M}_{i+1}$ of the Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus series of F*N* and F*M* are equal for all *i*, by Lemma [7.](#page-3-0) Hence $N \cong M$, because the mentioned \Box dimensions determine the isomorphism class of *N*.

In the counterexample the subgroup $C_G(G'/\Phi(G')) = G$ hence Proposition [8](#page-3-1) must not be applied for $p = 2$. Simultanously, Proposition [8](#page-3-1) shows there are no similiar counterexamples for odd primes.

References

- [BC88] C. Bagiński and A. Caranti, *The Modular Group Algebras of p-Groups of Maximal Class*, Can. J. Math. **40** (1988), no. 6, 1422–1435.
- [CB88] C. Bagiński, *The isomorphism question for modular group algebras of metacyclic p-groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 1, 39-42.
- [Bla58] N. Blackburn, *On a special class of p-groups*, Acta Mathematica **100** (1958), no. 1–2, 45–92.
- [GLMdR22] D. García-Lucas, L. Margolis, and A. del Río, *Non-isomorphic 2-groups with isomorphic modular group algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **2022** (2022), no. 783, 269–274.
	- [Mar22] L. Margolis, *The Modular Isomorphism Problem: A Survey*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **124** (2022), no. 3, 157–196.
	- [GAP] The GAP Group, *GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.12.2*; 2022, <https://www.gap-system.org>.