

Bosonization of 2+1 dimensional fermions on the surface of topological insulators

Andrea Cappelli,^{a,1} Lorenzo Maffi,^{b,c} Riccardo Villa^d

^a*INFN, Sezione di Firenze, Via G. Sansone, 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy*

^b*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia G. Galilei, Università di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*

^c*INFN, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*

^d*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Firenze, and INFN, Sezione di Firenze, Via G. Sansone, 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy*

E-mail: andrea.cappelli@fi.infn.it, lorenzo.maffi@unipd.it,
riccardo.villa@unifi.it

ABSTRACT: Three-dimensional topological insulators can be described by an effective field theory involving two ‘hydrodynamic’ Abelian gauge fields. The action contains a bulk topological BF term and a surface term, called loop model. This describes the massless 2+1 dimensional excitations and provides them with a semiclassical, yet non-trivial conformal invariant dynamics. Given that topological insulators are originally fermionic, this physical setting is ideal for realizing the bosonization of massless fermions in terms of gauge fields. Building on earlier analyses of the loop model, we find that fermions belong to the solitonic spectrum and can be described by Wilson lines, through the generalization of 1+1 dimensional vertex operators. Their correlation functions agree with conformal invariance. The bosonic loop model is then mapped into a fermionic theory by using the general construction of fermionic topological phases described in the literature. It requires the identification of the characteristic one-form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry of the bosonic theory and its gauging, which originates the fermion number $(-1)^F$, the spin sectors and the time reversal symmetry obeying $\mathcal{T}^2 = (-1)^F$. These results are detailed for the effective action and the partition function on the geometry $S^2 \times S^1$.

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Bosonic effective field theory of topological insulators	3
2.1	Bulk topological theory	3
2.2	Boundary theory: the loop model	5
2.3	Properties of the loop model	6
2.3.1	Soliton charges	7
2.3.2	Self-duality	8
2.3.3	Phase diagram	8
2.3.4	Solitonic spectrum and conformal invariance	9
2.3.5	Topological order	10
3	Soliton fields: topology and dynamics	10
3.1	Wilson lines and vertex operators in the QHE	11
3.1.1	Bulk-boundary correspondence for vertex operators	13
3.2	Soliton fields on the boundary of 3+1d topological insulators	14
3.2.1	3+1d Bulk fields and bulk-boundary correspondence	14
3.3	Soliton correlation functions and duality	16
4	Fermionic theory on the topological insulator boundary	20
4.1	Fermion field	20
4.2	Review of modern bosonization	21
4.2.1	The toric code and its anomaly	25
4.3	Fermionic theory from the loop model	28
4.3.1	$\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry and its anomaly	28
4.3.2	Gauging the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry	31
4.3.3	Gauge invariance of fermionic excitations	33
4.4	Bulk theory in presence of the B field	35
4.5	Time reversal symmetry and the B field	36
4.6	Fermionic theory from the $k = 2$ loop model and the toric code	38
5	Conclusions	42
A	Computation of soliton correlation function	43

1 Introduction

In this work we analyze the effective field theory of 3+1 dimensional topological insulators [1, 2], which is based on the BF topological theory, with bulk and surface terms [3]. In addition, the boundary dynamics is given by the non-local Abelian gauge theory called loop model, which involves two gauge fields, respectively ‘electric’ and ‘magnetic’ [4]. The loop model possesses several interesting features: it is conformal invariant with a critical line, analogous to the compact boson in 1+1 dimensions; it is invariant under 2+1 dimensional particle-vortex duality; and it matches both the selfdual mixed-dimension $QED_{4,3}$ for large number of flavors $N_f \rightarrow \infty$ and the three-dimensional QED in the semiclassical limit.

In an earlier work by some of the authors, the loop model was quantized and the partition function was obtained on the generalized cylinder $S^2 \times S^1$, giving access to the spectrum of conformal dimensions of solitonic states [4]. In the present study, the corresponding field operators are described in terms of open Wilson loops of the two Abelian fields, which are analogous to order and disorder fields [5, 6]. Their two-point functions are found to be conformal invariant with scaling dimensions matching the solitonic spectrum in the partition function.

The effective field theory description of topological phases is a natural playground for understanding bosonization in higher dimensions, mirroring what happens in the quantum Hall effect, where the 2+1d Chern–Simons theory in the bulk matches the 1+1d boundary conformal theory bosonizing Weyl fermions [7]. In one dimension higher, the bulk-boundary correspondence again provides a guide for defining the field operators, their quantum numbers and statistics, starting from the bulk topological theory and then extending them to the boundary, where they acquire the semiclassical solvable dynamics given by the loop model. Research on bosonization in higher dimensions has a long history [5, 6, 8] and recently received a lot of attention [9–12].

The fermion excitation is described in the loop model by a pair of order and disorder fields, whose charges requires a modification of the soliton spectrum, allowing half-integer flux quantization of gauge fields.

It turns out that the modified quantization is one element of the general construction relating bosonic topological theories to fermionic ‘spin topological theories’ [13, 14]. The first step is the identification of the one-form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry in the 2+1d bosonic theory generated by fermionic loops, with characteristic universal anomaly. After its compensation by a bulk term, as usual in topological phases, this symmetry is gauged by introducing a dynamical \mathbb{Z}_2 -valued two-form flat field B [11, 15, 16]. This new field allows for half-integer flux quantization and, furthermore, generates the ‘dual’ \mathbb{Z}_2 fermionic parity symmetry. The spin sectors and the time-reversal symmetry of the theory also follow.

In the second part of this work, we work out the detailed map between bosonic to fermionic theories, using the partition function and the effective action, both

including the loop model dynamics. This is simple enough to allow several results at the topological level to extend to the full theory in very explicit terms.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the topological BF description of the topological insulator and the properties of the loop model [4]. In particular, the soliton charges and statistics, the selfduality and the expression of the partition function giving the scaling dimensions of soliton fields.

In section 3, we write the operators that create soliton excitations, both in the bulk and in the boundary. The corresponding open Wilson lines and surfaces possess charges and statistics determined by the topological theory. Next, we compute the correlation functions of soliton operators. Even if the loop model is a quadratic theory, the computation is not trivial: we rely on two methods, the direct perturbative calculation and the nonperturbative prescription for disorder fields of Refs. [5, 17] (details of the calculation are reported in appendix A).

In section 4, we focus on the construction of the fermionic theory. It requires a modification of the loop model by the introduction of the dynamical field B . This couples to the current of the one-form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry necessary for bosonization [11, 15, 16]. Several aspects of the fermionic partition function are discussed, its spectrum, spin sectors, and particle-vortex duality. The additional, one-form gauge invariance imposes constraints on the physical states. The realization of fermion number and time reversal symmetries is also described.

Finally, we show that two bosonic theories, with BF coupling $k = 1$ and also $k = 2$, can be equivalently mapped into the same fermionic theory. In the conclusion, we further comment on the difference between bosonic and fermionic topological phases of matter and discuss developments of our approach to bosonization in higher dimensions.

2 Bosonic effective field theory of topological insulators

2.1 Bulk topological theory

In this section we review the description of (fractional) topological insulators developed in previous works [4, 18]. It is based on the bulk topological BF action [3] together with the conformal invariant boundary dynamics given by the loop model [12, 19].

The degrees of freedom in the bulk are supposed to be point particles and vortex lines, associated to currents J^μ and $J^{\mu\nu}$, respectively. Being conserved, they can be parameterized as

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu b_{\rho\sigma}, \quad J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho a_\sigma, \quad (2.1)$$

in terms of two Abelian $U(1)$ 'hydrodynamic' gauge fields, the one-form $a = a_\mu dx^\mu$ and the two-form $b = \frac{1}{2} b_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$.

The bulk action is

$$S_{bulk}[b, a, A] = i \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \frac{k}{2\pi} b da + \frac{1}{2\pi} b dA - \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2} da dA, \quad (2.2)$$

where A is the background electromagnetic field.

The action (2.2) correctly reproduces the basic features of topological insulators. Indeed, it is time-reversal (TR) invariant by requiring the parameter of the third term to be $\theta = \pi \sim -\pi$, being $\theta = 0$ for trivial insulators. Upon integration of the hydrodynamic matter fields a, b , one obtains the induced action

$$S_{ind}[A] = i \frac{\theta}{8\pi^2 k} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} dA dA, \quad (2.3)$$

which expresses the response of the system to background changes. For $k = 1$ this is the standard electromagnetic response of topological insulators which can also be derived from a bulk Dirac fermion in the limit of large mass [7]. For integer $k \neq 1$, the theory (2.2) describes interacting electrons forming the so-called fractional topological insulators, in analogy with the physics of the quantum Hall effect¹ [7]. The basic excitations are point-like and loop-like, with fractional charge and fractional monodromy phases.

In order to see these features, we couple the a, b fields to point particle moving along $\xi(t)$ and vortex line sources in $\xi(t, \sigma)$, by adding the following terms to (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_{bulk} &= -i \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} a_\mu \mathcal{J}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} b_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}, \\ \mathcal{J}^\mu &= N_0 \dot{\xi}^\mu \delta^{(3)}(x - \xi(t)), \quad \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu} = M_0 \dot{\xi}^\mu \times \xi'^\nu \delta^{(2)}(x - \xi(t, \sigma)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with respective charges $N_0, M_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The equations of motion for the a, b fields obtained from the action (2.2), (2.4) and the definitions (2.1) imply

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\nu b_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{J}^\mu, \\ J^{\mu\nu} &\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha a_\beta = \frac{1}{k} \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha A_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The currents can be integrated across surfaces Σ and volumes \mathcal{V} encircling the lines and points, respectively, leading to the following bulk charges

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} &= \int_{\mathcal{V}} J^0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{V}} db = \frac{N_0}{k}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_T &= \int_{\Sigma} J^{0i} n^i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} da = \left(\frac{M_0}{k} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} dA \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

¹As in that case, the response action (2.3) involves the fractional coupling constant $1/k$ and thus is not well-defined globally [20].

where n^i is the unit vector orthogonal to the surface Σ . These equations show that both excitations have charges in units of $1/k$, as expected. The second equation expresses the charge density along the vortex line, whose aspects will be better described later.

The main feature of the BF action is to attach fractional fluxes to charges, leading to Aharonov-Bohm phases for point particles encircling vortex lines in three-dimensional space [4],

$$\frac{\Theta}{2\pi} = \frac{N_0 M_0}{k}. \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 Boundary theory: the loop model

Topological insulators possess (interacting) massless excitations at their surface. The bulk theory (2.2) determines the type of boundary fields and the topological part of the boundary action (no Hamiltonian), as follows

$$S_{surf}[\zeta, a, A] = i \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \frac{k}{2\pi} \zeta da + \frac{1}{2\pi} \zeta dA - \frac{1}{8\pi} adA, \quad (2.8)$$

where a is the bulk field brought to the boundary $\mathcal{M}_3 = \partial\mathcal{M}_4$ and ζ is the reduction to the boundary of the two-form, $b|_{\mathcal{M}_3} = d\zeta$. The whole action involving bulk and boundary terms (2.2), (2.8), is invariant under local gauge transformations, $b \rightarrow b + d\beta$, $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \beta$ and $a \rightarrow a + d\varphi$.

The last term in the action, once the a, ζ fields are integrated out, determines the boundary response, given by the Chern-Simons action $\int AdA$ with coupling constant $1/2k$. For $k = 1$, this is the well-known parity (and time-reversal) global anomaly of 2+1 dimensional fermions, which is canceled by the theta term in the bulk action (2.2), according to the bulk-boundary correspondence.² In effective field theory approaches, the anomaly is described at classical level: in the present case, it amounts to a term in the action added by hand, with natural generalization to $k > 1$ [7]. As a consequence of anomaly cancellation, the boundary anomaly in (2.8) and the bulk theta term in (2.2) are sometimes omitted in the following discussion.

The boundary action (2.8) should be completed by adding a dynamical term for massless excitations. The proposal by Ref. [4, 21] is to introduce the following non-local quadratic dynamics for a_μ

$$S_{dyn}[a] = \frac{g_0}{4\pi} \int d^3x d^3y a_\mu(x) \frac{-\delta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu}{\partial} a_\nu(y), \quad (2.9)$$

where g_0 is a coupling constant, $\partial^2 = \partial_\mu \partial_\mu$ and ∂^{-1} is the Green function of $\partial \equiv \sqrt{-\partial^2}$. Explicitly in three Euclidean dimensions:

$$\frac{1}{\partial(x, y)} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(x - y)^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

²A deeper description of global anomaly cancellation in three-dimensional topological insulators can be found in [14] and is reviewed in section 10 of [7].

All in all, the whole action for the boundary degrees of freedom is³

$$\begin{aligned} S[\zeta, a, A] &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} k\zeta da + \zeta dA + \frac{g_0}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} a_\mu \frac{-\delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial} a_\nu = \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} k\zeta da + \zeta dA + \frac{g_0}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} f_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\partial} f_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $f_{\mu\nu}$ the field strength of the a_μ field. This theory is called the ‘loop model’, because it can also be written in terms of closed loops, *i.e.* currents $j = *da$, interacting with $1/(x - y)^2$ potential [19]. This kind of dynamics was also considered in [12, 22]. The loop model (2.11) is the main focus of the present work.

The integration of the field ζ implies $a = -A/k$ and leads to the induced action

$$S_{ind}[A] = \frac{g_0}{4\pi k^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} A_\mu \frac{-\delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial} A_\nu. \quad (2.12)$$

This is the same response of 2+1d fermions to quadratic order $O(A^2)$, for $k = 1$ and $g_0 = \pi/8$, having canceled the parity anomaly as said. Thus, the loop model reproduces a feature of the underlying fermionic theory at the microscopic level. The approximation holds for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ or weak fields A_μ : equivalently, Eq.(2.12) is the leading term for large number of flavors $N_f \rightarrow \infty$. Such scale-invariant response provides a good reason for considering this nonlocal dynamics at the boundary of topological insulators [4].

The kernel of the loop model satisfies the following nice inversion property

$$\begin{aligned} \int j_\mu D_{\mu\nu}^{-1} j_\nu &= \int \zeta_\mu D_{\mu\nu} \zeta_\nu, \\ D_{\mu\nu}(x, y) &= \frac{-\delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial}(x, y), \quad j_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu \zeta_\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Using this identity we can integrate out the field a in the action (2.11) and obtain the following expression involving the ζ field only:

$$S[\zeta, A] = \frac{k^2}{4\pi g_0} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta_\mu \frac{-\delta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + \partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial} \zeta_\nu + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta dA. \quad (2.14)$$

2.3 Properties of the loop model

In the following, we list some properties of this 2+1 dimensional theory discussed in [4], focalizing on the geometry of the generalized cylinder $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, with compact space and linear time, where the topological insulator bulk is the interior of the ball D^3 of radius R , $D^3 = S^2 \times I$, $I \in [0, R]$.

³Hereafter omitting the subscript to S_{surf} .




Figure 1. The spatial geometry with bulk $D^3 = S^2 \times I$ and boundary S^2 . The vortex lines are drawn in red, they can finish on the boundary at points x, x' , where they become point sources, or at the singular center x_0 . A closed vortex line is also shown. Point sources at y, y' of the other field are in blue, in the bulk and at the boundary.

2.3.1 Soliton charges

A standard fact of topological matter is that bulk excitations correspond to solitonic sectors of the boundary theory, with associated conserved charges. Let us see how the flat bulk connections a, b with characteristic quantized fluxes (2.6) extend to the boundary, where $b = d\zeta$. A bulk point source generates the flux $\int d\zeta$ across the boundary, which remains invariant when the source is brought to the boundary. The bulk vortex line can be open or closed; in the open case, it hits the boundary once or twice, with endpoints becoming another kind of point-like excitation with flux $\pm \int da$. The line can end inside the ball at the singular center, which can be thought of as the zero-radius limit of an inner boundary sphere, not considered here for simplicity (see Fig.1). A closed vortex line can be fully inside the bulk or lay on the boundary.

The fluxes associated to point-like excitations in the boundary are therefore

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d\zeta = \frac{N_0}{k}, \quad Q_T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} da = \frac{M_0}{k}. \quad (2.15)$$

The corresponding solitons of the theory (2.11) are solutions of the equations of motion with boundary conditions suitable for these charges.

Note that the ζ flux characterizes a soliton with electric charge Q , owing to the original coupling to the electromagnetic background in (2.11), thus the field ζ is ‘magnetic’. The field a is an ordinary ‘electric’ gauge field like A , such that the corresponding solitons have magnetic charge Q_T (historically called ‘topological’ charge [6]).

2.3.2 Self-duality

Particle-vortex duality in 2+1 dimensions is, roughly speaking, equivalent to switching the coupling to the A background from electric to magnetic [9, 10]. This is achieved by replacing A_μ with the auxiliary dynamical field kc_μ , which itself couples to A by a mixed Chern-Simons term. The original and dual theories, respectively $Z[A]$ and $\tilde{Z}[A]$, are thus related by

$$\tilde{Z}[A] = \int \mathcal{D}c_\mu Z[kc_\mu] \exp\left(i\frac{1}{2\pi} \int c \, dA\right), \quad (2.16)$$

which is a kind of quantum analog of the Legendre transform [4]. Upon insertion of the induced action (2.12) for $Z[kc_\mu]$ and integration, one finds that the loop model is selfdual, with the following map between couplings, fields and charges

$$g_0 \leftrightarrow \tilde{g}_0 = \frac{k^2}{g_0}, \quad \zeta_\mu \leftrightarrow \tilde{\zeta}_\mu = a_\mu, \quad N_0 \leftrightarrow \tilde{N}_0 = M_0. \quad (2.17)$$

These relations can be proven by introducing the coupling to the kc_μ field in the action (2.11): integrating over ζ_μ and solving for c_μ leads to the expression

$$\tilde{S}[a, A] = \frac{g_0}{4\pi} \int a_\mu \frac{-\delta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu}{\partial} a_\nu + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int a \, dA, \quad (2.18)$$

which should be compared to (2.14). The correspondence between the charges (N_0, M_0) confirms that the monodromy phase (2.7) is selfdual, as expected.

Note that the factor of k in the duality transformation (2.17), extending the map considered in the literature [9], is rather natural for charges and monodromies, which should be independent of the field-theory description.

2.3.3 Phase diagram

The loop model possesses a critical line parameterized by the coupling g_0 , where $g_0 \geq k$ can be chosen owing to its self-duality. This result was obtained by numerical simulations [23] and is confirmed by Peierls arguments [4]. It is found that the monopole condensation of QED_3 [24] is absent in the loop model, due to the non-local interaction: one is left with a Coulomb-like phase, analogous to that occurring in 1+1d XY model (the $c = 1$ compact boson conformal field theory).

Another interesting fact is that the loop model can be shown to correspond to the large N_f limit of mixed-dimension $QED_{4,3}$, involving 2+1d fermions and 3+1d

photons [4]. This theory is exactly particle-vortex self-dual and possesses a critical line [25–27]. The direct relation with this fermionic theory strongly suggests that 2+1 dimensional bosonization can be understood in the present framework.

2.3.4 Solitonic spectrum and conformal invariance

The partition function of the loop model has been obtained on the the generalized cylinder $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, with radius R and time u [4]. The conformal map $r = R \exp(u/R)$ with flat Euclidean space, where $r^2 = (x_\mu)^2$, implies that time translations on the cylinder correspond to dilatations in \mathbb{R}^3 . Thus, canonical quantization on the cylinder determines energy levels which corresponds to scaling dimensions Δ of fields in the conformal theory, schematically,

$$Z = \sum_{\Delta} e^{-\beta \Delta / R}. \quad (2.19)$$

The quantization requires a Hamiltonian formulation which is actually nontrivial due to the non-local nature of the action (2.11). It was obtained by rewriting the loop model as a local theory in four dimensions (with the extra dimension just a fictitious one), leading to the result⁴

$$Z[S^2 \times S^1] = Z_{osc} Z_{sol} = Z_{osc} \sum_{N_0, M_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{R} \Delta_{N_0, M_0}\right), \quad (2.20)$$

$$\Delta_{N_0, M_0} = \lambda \left(\frac{N_0^2}{g_0} + g_0 \frac{M_0^2}{k^2} \right).$$

In this equation, Z_{sol} is obtained by evaluating the classical action on soliton configurations, leading to the spectrum of scaling dimensions Δ_{N_0, M_0} , and Z_{osc} describes fluctuations around classical solutions. The soliton dimensions confirm the selfduality of the theory (2.17). The dimensionless parameter $\lambda = 1/(MR)$ is left undetermined, being related to the value of the infrared cutoff M needed in the calculation. It will be fixed later by computing correlators of soliton fields (see section 3.3). The term Z_{osc} has the form⁵ [4]

$$Z_{osc} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^k)^{2k}}, \quad q = e^{-\beta/R}. \quad (2.21)$$

This expression is instructive because it checks conformal invariance of the theory at the quantum level: the Casimir energy vanishes, corresponding to no conformal anomaly in 2+1d, and the dimensions Δ of descendant fields are integer spaced. These results confirm that the non-local nature of the loop model causes no problem for conformal invariance.

⁴Clearly, the partition function is computed on the periodic time interval $L = \beta = 1/k_B T$, thus the geometry is actually $S^2 \times S^1$.

⁵This is actually the square of the generating function of plane partitions or MacMahon function.

2.3.5 Topological order

The 3+1d BF theory (2.2) on the geometry $D^3 \times S^1$ has k degenerate ground states (topological order), as easily obtained by standard methods (see *e.g.* [18]). Therefore, the partition function of boundary excitations (2.20) should involve k corresponding topological sectors. They can be obtained by writing $M_0 = \ell + km_0$, with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ and $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, as follows

$$Z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Z_\ell, \quad Z_\ell = Z_{osc} \sum_{N_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left[-\frac{\beta\lambda}{R} \left(\frac{N_0^2}{g_0} + g_0 \left(\frac{\ell}{k} + m_0 \right)^2 \right) \right]. \quad (2.22)$$

A single anyonic sector Z_ℓ , characterized by fractional charge $M_0/k = \ell/k + m_0$, can be selected by placing an opposite fractional charge in the interior of the sphere, and requiring integer total charge in the system. This is the standard choice for the quantum Hall effect in the geometry of the spatial disk D^2 with a single boundary circle S^1 [28].

We remark that the loop model action could be modified by adding further interactions consistent with conformal invariance; in these cases, the coupling constant g_0 and dimensions Δ are renormalized. For example, one could introduce three-body interactions suggested by the $QED_{4,3}$ theory at order $O(1/N_f)$. Nonetheless, the decomposition in k sectors should stay, being a topological property. Many results on bosonization found later only depend on the topological part of the bulk and boundary actions, and thus are valid for more general dynamics. In this sense, the simple quadratic interaction of the loop model can be considered as a reference case.

3 Soliton fields: topology and dynamics

In this section we construct the soliton fields, *i.e.* the operators charged under (2.6). These are expressed by Wilson lines (open loops) of the connections a, ζ occurring in the boundary theory (2.11). We first write their expressions and determine their charges and monodromy phases by using the topological part of the action (*i.e.* setting $g_0 = 0$); we shall also see that these operators have counterparts in the bulk, and discuss the bulk-boundary correspondence for them. In the last subsection, we shall add the dynamical term in the action ($g_0 \neq 0$) and determine the correlation functions finding agreement with conformal invariance.

The solitonic fields are first obtained in the case of the 2+1 dimensional quantum Hall effect, thus ‘re-discovering’ the well-known bosonization of 1+1d relativistic fermion fields by vertex operators. This sets the stage for the discussion of higher dimensions. We shall employ earlier approaches on solitons and order-disorder fields [6, 17].

3.1 Wilson lines and vertex operators in the QHE

The effective theory of the quantum Hall effect at filling fractions $\nu = 1/k$ is expressed in terms of the Chern-Simons action, as follows [7]

$$S_{CS}[a, A] = i \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \frac{k}{4\pi} a da + \frac{1}{2\pi} a dA - a_\mu \mathcal{J}^\mu. \quad (3.1)$$

This is analogous to the BF theory (see (2.2)), but differ for the presence of a single hydrodynamic field a_μ . As a consequence, time-reversal invariance is absent in (3.1) and can only be achieved by considering two fields.

The action (3.1) describes a massive topological phase in the 2+1d bulk: upon integrating over the a field, one obtains (locally) the response of the Hall current $J^i = 1/(2\pi k) \varepsilon^{ij} \mathcal{E}_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$, where \mathcal{E}_i is the in-plane electric field.

The Hamiltonian quantization of the theory is now considered in the $a_0 = 0$ gauge and for vanishing background, $A = 0$. The action just involves the symplectic structure⁶ ($H = 0$) leading to the canonical commutators

$$[a_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi_j(t, \mathbf{y})] = i \delta_{ij} \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad \pi_i = \frac{k}{2\pi} \varepsilon_{ij} a_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \quad (3.2)$$

Given any canonical structure, one can define ‘electric’ and ‘magnetic’ charges and write the field operators which create them, as discussed in Ref.[6]. The charges are written, with convenient normalizations,

$$\mathcal{Q}[\pi] = \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Sigma} \partial_i \pi_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_i a_j, \quad \mathcal{Q}_T[a] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_i a_j. \quad (3.3)$$

In this and following equations we first give the general expressions in terms of (a_i, π_j) and then their implementation in the Chern-Simons theory (3.1), which realizes the simpler case $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_T$.

The open Wilson lines are defined by

$$\sigma(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \exp \left(-i \int_{\mathbf{x}_0, \gamma}^{\mathbf{x}} d\xi^i a_i(t, \xi) \right) = e^{-i \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} a}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mu(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \exp \left(i \frac{2\pi}{k} \int_{\mathbf{x}_0, \gamma'}^{\mathbf{x}} d\xi^i \varepsilon_{ij} \pi_j(t, \xi) \right) = \sigma(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0). \quad (3.5)$$

These expressions involve spatial contours γ, γ' but are actually independent of their shape, because a is flat: thus, they are functions of the end-points only. They are defined at equal time in the Hamiltonian formalism, but can be easily covariantized. We remark that the names σ, μ are reminiscent of order-disorder fields in the Ising model, but actually have no direct relation with them. Note that the simpler realization $\sigma = \mu$ is obtained for Chern-Simons.

⁶Being first-order in time derivatives, it is already written in Hamiltonian form [29, 30].




Figure 2. Quantum Hall effect on the disk D^2 . In blue, the Wilson line which ends on the boundary circle at x , where it becomes a pointlike field, the vertex operator.

The commutator of charges and Wilson lines is found by using a Schrödinger representation of commutation relations (3.2), with the result

$$[\mathcal{Q}, \sigma(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)] = \sigma(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Sigma} d^2y [\delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) - \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0)]. \quad (3.6)$$

Clearly, the Wilson lines create opposite fractional charges at their endpoints, which can be selected by restricting the area of integration Σ in the definition of \mathcal{Q} in (3.3). Analogous results are found for $[\mathcal{Q}_T, \mu]$.

We recall that quantum Hall states possess massless excitations at the edge of the system. Let us now show how the bulk line operators (3.4) can be related to the fields living at the boundary. We consider the geometry of the disk D_2 with boundary circle S_1 of radius R , for example (see Fig.2). The boundary theory is obtained from bulk Chern-Simons [7] by considering the gauge $a_0 = 0$ and reducing the flat gauge field to a scalar function on the circle, $a_i|_{S^1} = \partial_i\varphi$, where $i = 0, 1$, the circle coordinates being $(x^0, x^1) = (t, x = R\theta)$. The result is, for $A = 0$,

$$S[\varphi] = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \partial_x\varphi\dot{\varphi} + (\partial_x\varphi)^2. \quad (3.7)$$

The first term in the action, the symplectic form, is obtained from the edge reduction of Chern-Simons just discussed; the Hamiltonian part $H \sim (\partial_x\varphi)^2$ is a further addition which gives dynamics to the edge excitations. These are chiral waves, $\varphi = \varphi(t - x)$, and soliton excitations due to the compactness of the field φ [7]. The action (3.7) defines the so-called chiral boson theory [30], a conformal theory with central charges $(c, \bar{c}) = (1, 0)$ and a critical line parameterized by k [31]. In particular, the Weyl fermion is found at $k = 1$, thus establishing the bosonization map.

The canonical structure is⁷

$$[\varphi(t, x), \pi(t, y)] = i\delta(x - y), \quad \pi = -\frac{k}{2\pi}\partial_x\varphi, \quad (3.8)$$

⁷The factor of two in the definition of the momentum is explained in [30].

and allows to define charges and operators proper of the boundary theory

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Q}[\pi] &= -\frac{1}{k} \int_{S^1} dx \pi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} dx \partial_x \varphi, & \widehat{Q}_T[\varphi] &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} dx \partial_x \varphi, \\ \widehat{\sigma}(t, x, x_0) &= \exp(-i\varphi(t, x) + i\varphi(t, x_0)), \\ \widehat{\mu}(t, x, x_0) &= \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi}{k} \int_{x_0}^x d\xi \pi(t, \xi)\right) = \widehat{\sigma}(t, x, x_0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

These quantities are hatted not to confuse them with the bulk expressions (3.3), (3.4). Note again that charges and fields are equal in pairs, $\widehat{Q} = \widehat{Q}_T$, $\widehat{\sigma} = \widehat{\mu}$ and obey commutation relations analogous to (3.6) for points on S^1 .

The following argument allows to neglect the dependence on the reference point x_0 in $\widehat{\sigma}(t, x, x_0)$. We suppose that all operators have common point x_0 : if the total charge vanishes, then the dependence on x_0 cancels out. If it does not, it leaves a factor $\exp(iQ_\infty\varphi(t, x_0))$: by conformal invariance of the theory, the reference point can be sent to infinity in the future direction, along the cylinder $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ axis, where it modifies the left ground state, leading to the so-called ‘charge at infinity’ of conformal field theory [32]. For example, we can rewrite the two-point correlator in standard CFT notation as follows

$$\lim_{|x_0^t| \rightarrow \infty} |x_0|^{2\Delta_{\widehat{\sigma}}} \langle \Omega | \widehat{\sigma}(x, x_0) \widehat{\sigma}(y, x_0) | \Omega \rangle = \langle -2/k | e^{-i\varphi(x)} e^{-i\varphi(y)} | \Omega \rangle,\tag{3.10}$$

where $\widehat{Q}_\infty = -2/k$ in this case.

Keeping in mind global charge conservation, we can omit the x_0 dependence and identify the chiral vertex operator of the conformal theory as $\sigma(x) = \exp(-i\varphi(x))$. The operator exchange on the line

$$\sigma(t, x)\sigma(t, y) = e^{i\pi/k} \sigma(t, y)\sigma(t, x), \quad x > y,\tag{3.11}$$

can also be obtained from the commutation relations (3.8), integrated as

$$[\varphi(t, x), \varphi(t, y)] = i(\pi/k)\varepsilon(x - y),\tag{3.12}$$

where $\varepsilon(x) = \pm 1$ is the step function.

The result (3.11) identifies the Weyl fermion field $\psi = \sigma$ for $k = 1$. One can show that the dynamics of the chiral boson also implies the correct power-law correlator for $\langle \psi\psi^\dagger \rangle$ [31].

3.1.1 Bulk-boundary correspondence for vertex operators

Having discussed the definition of charged operators both in the bulk and boundary of the QHE system, we can compare their expressions. We clearly see that 1+1d vertex operators (3.9) are basically the 2+1d Wilson lines (3.4) moved to points of the boundary circle, where $a_i \rightarrow \partial_i \varphi$.

The fact that fermion fields can be written in terms of Wilson lines of effective gauge fields a, π is a key feature. It shows that bosonization can be understood in the physical setting of topological phases of matter, involving hydrodynamic topological actions and the bulk-boundary correspondence.

3.2 Soliton fields on the boundary of 3+1d topological insulators

The 2+1 dimensional fields creating point-like excitations with charges Q, Q_T in (2.15) can be defined on the boundary of a topological insulator by extending the previous Hamiltonian approach to the BF action (2.11). In the gauge $a_0 = \zeta_0 = 0$, one finds the following commutation relations involving the (a, ζ) fields

$$[a_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \zeta_j(t, \mathbf{y})] = i \frac{2\pi}{k} \varepsilon_{ij} \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (3.13)$$

We now have two independent fields

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{N_0}(\mathbf{x}) &= \exp \left(-i N_0 \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} d\xi^i a_i(t, \xi) \right), \\ \mu_{M_0}(\mathbf{x}) &= \exp \left(-i M_0 \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} d\xi^i \zeta_i(t, \xi) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

which obey the following relations with the charges (2.15),

$$[Q, \sigma_{N_0}(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{N_0}{k} \sigma_{N_0}(\mathbf{x}), \quad [Q_T, \mu_{M_0}(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{M_0}{k} \mu_{M_0}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.15)$$

thus extending earlier results for the Chern-Simons action. As discussed after (3.6), these charges are relative to the area surrounding the point \mathbf{x} . The reference point x_0 in the definition of the fields has also been omitted according to the previous remarks on the total charge.

3.2.1 3+1d Bulk fields and bulk-boundary correspondence

The 3+1 dimensional bulk excitations also have associated charged fields whose form can be derived by extending earlier methods.

We consider the Hamiltonian quantization of the bulk BF action (2.2) for $A = 0$. Its symplectic structure yields the canonical commutators

$$\left[a_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \frac{k}{4\pi} \varepsilon^{ijkl} b_{kl}(t, \mathbf{y}) \right] = i \delta_i^j \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad \Pi^j = \frac{k}{4\pi} \varepsilon^{ijkl} b_{kl}, \quad (3.16)$$

where now \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are three-component spatial vectors. We introduce open Wilson lines and surfaces operators, as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{N_0}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) &= \exp \left(-i N_0 \int_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} d\xi^i a_i(t, \xi) \right), \\ \tau_{M_0}(C, C_0) &= \exp \left(-i \frac{M_0}{2} \int_{S, C_0}^C d\xi^j \wedge d\xi^\ell b_{j\ell}(t, \xi) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$




Figure 3. Topological insulator on the spatial full cylinder. On the left: the worldsheet S of the operator τ (3.17), representing the time evolution of a vortex line from C_0 to C . On the right: the operator τ is brought to the boundary, where it gives rise to a Wilson line $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ with a bulk tail $\tilde{\mu}(C)$ (3.19).

The field σ has been already encountered in the boundary theory (3.14), and its dependence on the reference point \mathbf{x}_0 explained. The operator τ involves the open surface S , typically square-like, representing the ‘worldsheet’ of the vortex line evolving between boundary lines C, C_0 , bounded by two further curves connecting their extrema (see Fig.3). Given that b is flat, the shape of S is deformable. The curve C_0 serves as reference for all τ operators, similarly to \mathbf{x}_0 for σ , and can be omitted.

The operators (3.17) obey the following relations with the bulk charges \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}_T (2.6)

$$[\mathcal{Q}, \sigma_{N_0}] = \frac{N_0}{k} \sigma_{N_0}, \quad [\mathcal{Q}_T, \tau_{M_0}] = \frac{M_0}{k} \tau_{M_0}, \quad (3.18)$$

which are proved using the commutators (3.16). While $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ creates the charge N_0/k at point \mathbf{x} , the non-local field τ introduces the vortex line C characterized by the charge density M_0/k measured by the flux across the surface Σ intersecting C (cf. (2.6)).

The bulk-boundary relation between the fields τ and μ in (3.14) is now analyzed (see Fig.3). The vortex worldsheet is assumed to have one side on the boundary surface, spanning between points x, x_0 , which are endpoints for C, C_0 on the surface, respectively. Upon using the bulk equations of motion, $b = d\tilde{\zeta}$, the surface integral in τ reduces to the line integral on its four boundary lines, two of which are relevant, *i.e.* not involving reference configurations. These are the bulk curve C and the surface

curve γ , spanned by the vortex endpoint. We thus have the relation (taking $M_0 = 1$ for simplicity)

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(C) &\sim \exp\left(-i \int_{\gamma, \mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} d\xi^i \zeta_i(t, \xi)\right) \exp\left(i \int_{C, \mathbf{z}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} d\eta^i \tilde{\zeta}_i(t, \eta)\right) = \mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) \tilde{\mu}(C), \\ \tilde{\mu}(C) &= \exp\left(i \int_{C, \mathbf{z}_0}^{\mathbf{x}} d\eta^i \tilde{\zeta}_i(t, \eta)\right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.19)$$

Namely, the boundary μ field (3.14) is obtained, together with another loop operator extending from \mathbf{x} into the bulk, corresponding to the vortex line, expressed in terms of bulk field values $\tilde{\zeta}$ (which becomes ζ on the boundary).

This bulk ‘tail’ $\tilde{\mu}(C)$ preserves the monodromy phase between μ, σ when σ is moved slightly in the bulk, and is important for consistency. Nevertheless, it is a topological term that does not affect the boundary dynamics of μ, σ to be discussed in the next section. The relation (3.19) between bulk and boundary fields has shown a difference between the two boundary fields μ and σ .

3.3 Soliton correlation functions and duality

In the previous analysis we found that the σ, μ fields (3.14) have the correct charges for soliton configurations. We now compute their correlators, $\langle \sigma(x) \sigma^\dagger(0) \rangle$, $\langle \mu(x) \mu^\dagger(0) \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma(x) \mu(0) \rangle$, verify their monodromies, the power-law behavior of conformal invariance and check the scaling dimensions with the spectrum of the partition function (2.20). This requires adding the dynamical term (2.9) to the BF action. Notice that this addition does not spoil the symplectic structure (3.13), because the canonical momentum of the ζ field does not change.

The first, direct derivation of the correlators is by inserting the a, ζ Wilson lines in the path-integral with action (2.11) (for $A = 0$). As a preliminary step, we compute the a, ζ propagators, by coupling them to conserved external currents in the action. Upon evaluating the quadratic path integral, we find

$$\text{I} : \langle a_\mu(x) \zeta_\nu(y) \rangle = i \frac{2\pi}{k} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\rho \left(\frac{1}{-\partial^2} \right)_{x,y}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\text{II} : \langle a_\mu(x) a_\nu(y) \rangle = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\text{III} : \langle \zeta_\mu(x) \zeta_\nu(0) \rangle = \frac{g_0}{\pi k^2} \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{(x-y)^2}. \quad (3.22)$$

I. In the $\langle a_\mu \zeta_\nu \rangle$ expression, one recognizes the kernel which expresses the linking number of loops $LN(\gamma, \gamma')$

$$\oint_\gamma dx^\mu \oint_{\gamma'} dy^\nu \langle a_\mu(x) \zeta_\nu(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{2k} \oint_\gamma dx^\mu \oint_{\gamma'} dy^\nu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \frac{(x-y)^\rho}{|x-y|^3} = i \frac{2\pi}{k} LN(\gamma, \gamma'). \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore, the correlator of σ, μ fields (3.14), evaluated for closed Wilson loops, is

$$\langle \sigma_{N_0}(\gamma) \mu_{M_0}(\gamma') \rangle = e^{2\pi i \frac{N_0 M_0}{k} LN(\gamma, \gamma')}, \quad \partial\gamma = \partial\gamma' = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

which is the same expression as in the topological theory ($g_0 = 0$),

II. The vanishing result for the correlator $\langle a_\mu a_\nu \rangle$ is rather puzzling at first sight, because it would imply $\langle \sigma \sigma^\dagger \rangle_{conn} = 0$. Actually, this correlator cannot be computed directly from the action (2.11), because it is nonperturbative. If we consider the equivalent action $S[\zeta]$ (2.14) in which ζ is the only dynamical field, a is just an auxiliary variable for writing the solitonic excitation $\sigma = e^{i \int a}$ of ζ . Namely, σ is a ‘disorder field’ of the theory.

This picture is confirmed by the self-duality of the loop model discussed in section 2.3.2, exchanging the fields $a \leftrightarrow \zeta$ as in (2.17). In a given theory, say $S[\zeta]$ (2.14), one field is perturbative (ζ) and the other (a) is non-perturbative. Therefore, we shall describe the σ correlator by the effects caused on the configurations of the ζ field: it introduces non-trivial boundary conditions in the ζ path-integral. Here, we rely on the analysis of disorder fields in Refs. [5, 17].

The field $\sigma(x_1)$ creates a magnetic flux for the ζ field at point $x = x_1$ with local charge $Q = (1/2\pi) \int_\Sigma d\zeta = 1/k$, as shown by its commutation relation (3.15). This flux, in Euclidean covariant form, is a 2+1d ‘monopole’ with expression

$$f_{\mu\nu}(x_1) = \frac{1}{2k} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \frac{(x - x_1)^\rho}{|x - x_1|^3}, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2(x_1)} f(x_1) = \frac{1}{k}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $S^{(2)}(x_1)$ is a closed surface surrounding x_1 in \mathbb{R}^3 , obtained by closing the surface $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the definition of Q . The action $S[\zeta]$ (2.14) shows the interaction between such monopoles, which implies that between σ fields. Therefore, we express the $\langle \sigma \sigma^\dagger \rangle$ correlator as the value of the Euclidean action in presence of two ζ monopoles, as follows⁸

$$\langle \sigma_{N_0}(x_1) \sigma_{N_0}^\dagger(x_2) \rangle = \exp \{ -S[N_0(f(x_1) - f(x_2))] \}. \quad (3.26)$$

The evaluation of this action is a rather long computation, with result (see Appendix A)

$$\langle \sigma_{N_0}(x_1) \sigma_{N_0}^\dagger(x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^{2\Delta_\sigma}}, \quad \Delta_\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{N_0^2}{g_0}. \quad (3.27)$$

It has the expected form of conformal field theory, and the value of the scaling dimension Δ_σ matches the spectrum in the partition function (2.20). It actually fixes the parameter λ left free in that calculation,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi}. \quad (3.28)$$

III. The correlator $\langle \mu \mu^\dagger \rangle$ can be calculated perturbatively from the action $S[\zeta]$, but this needs further discussion. A quick result is found by using self-duality: in analogous way to (3.26), the μ field can be viewed as generating a monopole of the a

⁸Quantum fluctuations around the classical action cancel out in the normalization by $1/Z$.

field in the dual theory, with charge $Q_T = (1/2\pi) \int_{\Sigma} da = 1/k$ owing to (3.15). This monopole configuration is evaluated with the dual action $\tilde{S}[a]$ (2.18), then $\langle \mu \mu^\dagger \rangle$ is simply found from (3.26) by using the duality of couplings (2.17),

$$\langle \mu_{M_0}(x_1) \mu_{M_0}^\dagger(x_2) \rangle = e^{-\tilde{S}[M_0(f(x_1)-f(x_2))]} = \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)^{2\Delta_\mu}}, \quad \Delta_\mu = \frac{g_0 M_0^2}{2\pi k^2}. \quad (3.29)$$

We remark that this result can also be understood from the equations of motion of the action $S[\zeta]$ (2.11):

$$da = 0, \quad i \frac{k}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu \zeta_\rho(y) + \frac{g_0}{2\pi} \int d^3x \frac{1}{\partial(y, x)} \partial_\rho f_{\rho\mu}^{(a)}(x) = 0, \quad (3.30)$$

where $f_{\rho\mu}^{(a)} = \partial_\rho a_\mu - \partial_\mu a_\rho$. As seen above, the insertion of $\mu(x_1)$ creates a monopole for the a field at $x = x_1$, which remains flat outside this point, $da(x) = 0, x \neq x_1$. The second equation of motion shows that the field $\zeta_\mu(y)$ is never flat for all y values, due to the nonlocality of the kernel ∂^{-1} . It follows that the correlator of two μ fields is not topological but depends on the relative distance, leading to the result (3.29).

The direct, perturbative derivation of $\langle \mu \mu^\dagger \rangle$ is as follows. The path-integral expression with two independent Wilson lines (3.14) can be written, using the $\langle \zeta_\mu \zeta_\nu \rangle$ kernel (3.20), as

$$\langle \mu_{M_0}(x) \mu_{M_0}^\dagger(y) \rangle = \left\langle \exp \left(-iM_0 \int_{x_0, \gamma}^x \zeta \right) \exp \left(iM_0 \int_{y_0, \gamma'}^y \zeta \right) \right\rangle = e^\Lambda, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{g_0 M_0^2}{\pi k^2} \int_{x_0, \gamma}^x d\xi^\mu \int_{y_0, \gamma'}^y d\eta^\mu \frac{1}{(\xi - \eta)^2}. \quad (3.32)$$

The quantity Λ strongly depends on the shape of the two lines γ, γ' : on dimensional grounds, it can be estimated

$$\Lambda \underset{R \gg a}{\sim} -\lambda \frac{R}{a} + c \log \left(\frac{R}{a} \right) + \dots, \quad (\lambda, c > 0), \quad (3.33)$$

where R, a are, respectively, the larger and smaller lengths over which the integrations in (3.32) extend, which depend on the shape of γ, γ' lines. Actually, once the loop-model interaction is present ($g_0 \neq 0$), these contours can fluctuate. Thus, the correlator (3.31) should involve a summation over all possible shapes, while keeping the end-points fixed. This is to be contrasted with the topological limit ($g_0 = 0$), where (3.31) is shape independent and the summation can be neglected.

We recall that the phase diagram of the loop model is actually two-dimensional owing to the additional Maxwell term $t/M \int (\partial_\mu \zeta_\nu - \partial_\nu \zeta_\mu)^2$ [4, 23]: the critical line parameterized by g_0 divides two phases $t \lesseqgtr 0$ where large loops are suppressed or proliferate. Therefore, at criticality the linear term in (3.33) (perimeter law) should vanish by a compensation between energy and entropy, the latter originating from

the sum over fluctuating loops included in (3.31). Indeed, the perimeter law is incompatible with conformal invariance.

We evaluate the correlator (3.31) by joining the contours ($x_0 = y_0$) and considering the shortest straight line going between x, y . This configuration is dominating in the phase where large loops are suppressed, slightly off the critical line. We then compute (3.32) for the gauge-invariant square of the correlator (3.31) and obtain,

$$\langle : \mu_{M_0}(x) \mu_{M_0}^\dagger(x + \varepsilon) :: \mu_{M_0}(y + \varepsilon) \mu_{M_0}^\dagger(y) : \rangle = \left\langle e^{-iM_0 \int_x^y \zeta} e^{iM_0 \int_{x+\varepsilon}^{y+\varepsilon} \zeta} \right\rangle = e^\Sigma, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\Sigma = \lim_{|x-y| \gg \varepsilon} \frac{g_0 M_0^2}{\pi k^2} \left(\frac{\pi |x-y|}{\varepsilon} - \log \frac{(x-y)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right). \quad (3.35)$$

In this calculation, ε is the distance separating the two parallel (x, y) lines, corresponding to the point-splitting of operators. Assuming that the perimeter term is canceled by entropy at criticality, we remarkably obtain the expected conformal correlator (3.29) found earlier from monopole configurations. That derivation, involving point-like configurations, luckily did not involve entropy arguments.

In conclusion, we have shown that the loop model dynamics implies power-law correlators for the soliton fields,

$$\langle \sigma(x) \sigma^\dagger(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2\Delta_\sigma}}, \quad \langle \mu(x) \mu^\dagger(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2\Delta_\mu}}, \quad (3.36)$$

with scaling dimensions $\Delta_\sigma, \Delta_\mu$ in agreement with the expression of the partition function (2.20).

Let us add some remarks.

- *Wilson lines as proxies.* The simpler derivation of μ, σ correlators involves the monopole configurations (3.29), (3.26), in which the Wilson line is only used to create localized charges. This is certainly correct in the topological limit of the theory ($g_0 = 0$): for non-vanishing g_0 , additional, chargeless terms could enter in the definition of the μ, σ fields to comply with conformal invariance. In such a case, Wilson line expressions should be simply considered as proxies for the actual conformal primary fields.
- *Unconstrained Wilson loops as topological defects.* We also saw that μ, σ correlators correspond to classes of fluctuating loops having two points x, y fixed, which depend logarithmically on $|x-y|$. They should be contrasted with closed loops without fixed points, which should describe topological defects of the conformal theory. As such, they should not depend on the interaction in the loop model action (2.11), and remain the same as in the topological limit ($g_0 = 0$). A hint of this fact is that monodromy phases are unaffected (see (3.20)). Furthermore, ‘hard’ defects would break conformal invariance. In reality, defects may be topological for $g_0 \neq 0$ by balancing energy and entropy

at criticality. We do not have a proof of this fact, but it is natural to assume that the conformal theory possesses topological defects.

- Altogether these results let us conclude that the loop model is a non-trivial 2+1 dimensional conformal field theory. It originates in semiclassical limits of electrodynamics, and it displays several interesting nonperturbative phenomena in 2+1 dimensions, which can be explicitly analyzed.

4 Fermionic theory on the topological insulator boundary

4.1 Fermion field

In this section we introduce the field which represents the fermion in the loop model. In the following, we shall provide evidences for this proposal and describe the modifications of the theory which are needed for incorporating fermionic features. These results will achieve a bosonization of 2+1 dimensional fermions, which holds in the semiclassical approximations mentioned earlier. This bosonization presents several aspects in common with the vertex operators construction in 1+1 dimensions.

The fermionic field $\psi(x)$ is made of the solitonic fields σ_{N_0} and μ_{M_0} (3.14), which obey relative statistics with monodromy phase $\Theta/2\pi = N_0 M_0/k$ (2.7). Hereafter, we assume $k = 1$ which identifies the bulk fermionic response (2.3).

The field $\psi(x)$ should be characterized by the following properties.

- Be a product of solitonic fields [5, 6], so as to have non-trivial statistics, corresponding to half of the monodromy with itself $\Theta/2\pi = 1$.
- Carry unit charge with respect to the A background.

We are led to propose the following form

$$\psi(x) =: \sigma_1(x)\mu_{1/2}(x) :=: \exp\left(-i \int_{x_0, \gamma}^x \left(a + \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\right) : . \quad (4.1)$$

Let us explain this expression. The $\sigma(x)$ field adds the charge $N_0 = 1$ at x , which corresponds to the electromagnetic charge $Q = 1$ for $k = 1$ in (2.15). The $\mu_{1/2}(x)$ field has magnetic charge $M_0 = 1/2$: it is a kind of ‘dressing’ of σ needed for realizing fermionic statistics. We now compute the monodromy of the ψ with itself. From the definition (4.1), we close the open path γ either by forming a loop or by taking $x \rightarrow \infty, x_0 \rightarrow -\infty$, thus defining the field $\psi(\gamma)$. For two fermions with paths γ, γ' we find, using (3.24) with $N_0 = 1, M_0 = 1/2$,

$$\langle \psi(\gamma)\psi(\gamma') \rangle = e^{2\pi i \text{LN}(\gamma, \gamma')} = e^{i2\pi}, \quad (4.2)$$

whose half value is the fermionic statistics. Note that the two solitons in the definition of a single fermion $\psi(\gamma)$ do not braid among themselves due to the normal-ordering

in (4.1). Apart from braiding, we assume that $\psi(x)$ does not depend on the detailed shape of γ , according to the discussion of the previous section. We shall return to this issue in section 4.3.3.

The ψ field (4.1) has scaling dimension $\Delta_\sigma = ((1/g_0 + g_0/4)/2\pi)$, using (3.27) and (3.29): the free-fermion value $\Delta = 1$ is found on the critical line parameterized by g_0 . Other values describe interacting (semiclassical) fermions: indeed, the loop-model conformal theory involves infinite towers of solitonic states. The field can also be put in a doublet with its time-reversal partner $\psi'(x) = \mathcal{T}\psi(x)\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \sigma(x)\mu_{-1/2}(x)$, since ζ is odd. The action of the time reversal operator \mathcal{T} on the doublet (ψ, ψ') and its relation with standard Dirac spinors will be discussed in the following sections.

We remark that vertex operators of the 1+1d conformal theory have been similarly derived from 2+1d Wilson lines in the previous section. The Weyl fermion was found for $\sigma = \mu$, while the Dirac fermion is obtained by a product of $\sigma(x)$ and $\mu(x)$: a close inspection shows that the ‘magnetic’ charge should take half-integer value in this case too.

The definition (4.1) of the fermion operator has one aspect yet to be understood: the value $M_0 = \pm 1/2$ is not present in the spectrum of the loop model (2.20) which only includes integer values. On physical grounds, single electrons should be observable on the boundary of topological insulators. We conclude that the bosonic theory studied earlier is actually missing the states with odd number of fermions, and thus the quantization condition for the a field should be modified in a sensible way. Furthermore, a fermionic theory on the geometry $S^2 \times S^1$ should possess two spin sectors which must be identified.

That the BF action (2.2) is actually not enough to completely describe a fermionic topological insulator was already pointed out in [33] (see the introduction and appendix A). Indeed, the problem is that the boundary theory is actually bosonic and does not (yet) contain fermion excitations. The purpose of the rest of this section is to resolve these issues by applying the recent theory of bosonization, linked to the concept of higher-form symmetry [15, 16, 34].

4.2 Review of modern bosonization

In this subsection we review the results of Refs. [15, 16]. These studies explained the conditions for the fermionization of a bosonic theory (and, *viceversa*, for the bosonization of a fermionic theory).⁹ They were meant for topological field theories, but we shall see that they also apply in presence of loop model dynamics, with some simplifications which are sufficient for our analysis.

⁹This bosonization approach has been developed further in [35], from a more mathematical point of view.

We first recall some basic facts regarding higher-form symmetries [34],¹⁰ which will be used in the following. We work in a continuum d -dimensional spacetime \mathcal{M}_d . A p -form global symmetry with group G is denoted by $G^{(p)}$. It acts on extended objects/fields which are p -dimensional, like loop ($p = 1$) and surface ($p = 2$) operators, by multiplying them by global phases. Indeed, higher-form symmetries are Abelian [34]. The conserved current for a p -form symmetry is a $(p + 1)$ -form, J_{p+1} , obeying $d * J_{p+1} = 0$. The corresponding charge $Q(\mathcal{M}_{d-p-1})$ is obtained by integrating the current on closed sub-manifolds of dimension $d - p - 1$, leading to the following form of the generators of the p -form symmetry

$$U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}_{d-p-1}) = \exp(i\alpha Q(\mathcal{M}_{d-p-1})), \quad Q(\mathcal{M}_{d-p-1}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{d-p-1}} *J_{p+1}, \quad (4.3)$$

where α is the group parameter. The currents J_{p+1} can be coupled to $(p + 1)$ -form gauge fields A_{p+1} which are elements of the cohomology group $H^{p+1}(\mathcal{M}; G)$, rigorously defined on a triangulation of \mathcal{M}_d . In the continuum limit, we can equivalently use flat $U(1)$ $(p + 1)$ -form fields with holonomies on $(p + 1)$ -dimensional closed sub-manifolds of \mathcal{M} having values in G [40]:

$$dA_{p+1} = 0, \quad \exp\left(i \oint A_{p+1}\right) \in G. \quad (4.4)$$

If $G = \mathbb{Z}_N$, the map between continuous and discrete gauge fields is $A_{cont} = (2\pi/N)A_{discr}$, where $A_{discr} \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ takes integer values.

We need the following fact: in d dimensions, gauging a discrete p -form symmetry $G^{(p)}$ leads to the emergence of a dual $(d - p - 2)$ -form symmetry $G^{(d-p-2)}$, called dual symmetry or quantum symmetry.¹¹ Consider a one-form symmetry $G^{(1)}$, for example, in a theory with partition function Z_1 . This can be coupled to a closed two-form gauge field $B \in H^2(\mathcal{M}; G)$, leading to $Z_1[B]$. Gauging this symmetry means taking B dynamical and integrating over it (actually, summing over it, because $H^2(\mathcal{M}; G)$ is a finite set). So the gauged theory partition function Z_2 is

$$Z_2 \equiv Z_1^{gauged} = \sum_{B \in H^2(\mathcal{M}; G)} Z_1[B]. \quad (4.5)$$

But then Z_2 has a naturally conserved current, $J_{d-2} = *B$, which is divergentless, since $d(*B) = dB = 0$. This is a $(d - 2)$ -form current with conserved charge defined on codimension $(d - 2)$ closed submanifolds

$$Q(\mathcal{M}_2) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_2} B \quad \rightarrow \quad U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}_2) = e^{i\alpha Q(\mathcal{M}_2)} \in G^{(d-3)}. \quad (4.6)$$

¹⁰A review with applications to condensed matter physics is [36]. For lectures, see *e.g.* [37] (pedagogical) and [38, 39] (advanced). Topological theories of discrete gauge groups are introduced in [13].

¹¹Actually, the dual symmetry is $\widehat{G}^{(d-p-2)}$, with $\widehat{G} = Hom(G, U(1))$, the Pontryagin dual group. However, for Abelian discrete groups, $\widehat{G} \cong G$ [34, 38].

Therefore, $Q(\mathcal{M}_2)$ generates a $(d-3)$ -form symmetry $G^{(d-3)}$, with $U_\alpha \in G^{(d-3)}$ being the so-called 'holonomy' of $B \in H^2(\mathcal{M}; G)$. Thus the claim: Z_2 has a global discrete $(d-p-2)$ -form symmetry $G^{(d-p-2)}$, here $p=1$. To conclude this point, we notice that the dual of the dual symmetry is the original one: upon gauging $G^{(d-p-2)}$ we come back to the original theory with global $G^{(p)}$ symmetry. This is basically due to the fact that gauging a discrete symmetry is similar to Fourier transforming the partition function.

We can check this fact in our one-form example: Z_2 in (4.5) is coupled to the background gauge field $C \in H^{d-2}(\mathcal{M}; G)$, via the conserved current $*B$,

$$e^{i \int_{\mathcal{M}} *(B) \wedge C} = e^{i \int_{\mathcal{M}} B \wedge C}. \quad (4.7)$$

The gauged Z_2 theory is then

$$Z_2^{\text{gauged}} = \sum_{C \in H^{d-2}(\mathcal{M}; G)} \sum_{B \in H^2(\mathcal{M}; G)} Z_1[B] e^{i \int_{\mathcal{M}} B \wedge C} = Z_1. \quad (4.8)$$

We used $\sum_C e^{i \int_{\mathcal{M}} B \wedge C} \sim \delta(B)$, ignoring normalization factors. This shows that gauging the dual symmetry leads back to the original theory. Here the global $G^{(1)}$ symmetry is generated by

$$Q(\mathcal{M}_{d-2}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{d-2}} C \quad \rightarrow \quad U_\alpha(\mathcal{M}_{d-2}) = e^{i\alpha Q(\mathcal{M}_{d-2})} \in G^{(1)}. \quad (4.9)$$

The fields C and B exchange their roles under this duality. The argument can be clearly made in reverse.

The second needed fact is a general property of $(d-2)$ -form symmetries in d dimensions. These are generated by operators U_α which are integrated on closed $(d - (d-2) - 1)$ loci, *i.e.* one-dimensional loops W (see (4.3)). These can be interpreted as worldlines of quasiparticles. The loops W should fuse according to the group law of $G^{(d-2)}$. So, if a theory possesses quasiparticles whose line operators are topological and fuse according to G , we can say that the theory has a $G^{(d-2)}$ symmetry. To gauge this symmetry one should sum over all possible insertions of W operators in the correlation functions, which means a proliferation of these quasiparticles in the theory. In physical terms, this is called 'anyon condensation'.

This picture helps to build an intuitive understanding of what is going on: gauging is equivalent to condensation of the quasiparticles, but the wavefunction of a condensate is a constant, which has bosonic statistics. So we can freely gauge a $(d-2)$ -form symmetry if the quasiparticle generators are bosons. If they are fermionic, the $(d-2)$ -form symmetry can be anomalous and the gauging procedure ill-defined. This argument is useful for understanding the presence of 't Hooft anomalies linked to projective representations of the $(d-2)$ -form symmetry G [36].

After these remarks, we can now discuss the bosonization in d spacetime dimensions. We start from a bosonic field theory with partition function Z_b on the

manifold \mathcal{M}_d . The fermionic theory partition function $Z_f[\eta]$ also depends on the choice of spin structure η of \mathcal{M}_d (spectrum and weights are specific for it), while Z_b does not. Bosonization (fermionization) means writing some kind of equality $Z_b \sim Z_f[\eta]$.

There are three requirements for this relation to hold:

- in the spectrum of $Z_f[\eta]$ there is the fermion, thus in Z_b there should be a quasiparticle which plays this role, with fermionic self-statistics;
- a fermionic theory has always an irreducible \mathbb{Z}_2 zero-form symmetry, which is the fermion parity $(-1)^F$. This should emerge in the bosonic theory too;
- $Z_f[\eta]$ depends on a spin structure, thus the bosonic theory should also implement it.

We first focus on the first two. To satisfy the second requirement we can use the observation made above: if the bosonic theory has a $(d-2)$ -form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, by gauging it one gets a global \mathbb{Z}_2 zero-form symmetry. But a $(d-2)$ -form symmetry is generated by a quasiparticle, so by parsimony we can just assume that precisely the fermionic quasiparticle generates this $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(d-2)}$ symmetry. We conclude that in the bosonic theory there should be a quasiparticle with fermionic self-statistic which fuses with itself into the identity (\mathbb{Z}_2). As a consequence though, this $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(d-2)}$ symmetry is actually anomalous and cannot be gauged.¹² We remark that this anomaly is independent from the specific theory considered¹³ and it has a precise form, given by the Steenrod square $Sq^2(B)$ of the background $(d-1)$ -gauge field B [15]. This fact implies that the anomaly occurs for $d > 2$, while in $d = 2$ the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(0)}$ symmetry is not anomalous: we can argue that, in the condensation picture, there is no real difference between fermions and bosons. Finally, the would-be anomaly is trivialized by the introduction of a spin structure (the last of the three points above).

All in all, we are led to the following conclusion. A bosonic theory can be fermionized if it possesses a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(d-2)}$ anomalous global symmetry with a specific form for the anomaly. Then, gauging this symmetry yields the fermionic theory, by writing the following relation between the corresponding partition functions

$$Z_f[\eta] = \sum_{B \in H^{d-1}(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z}_2)} Z_b[B] z[B, \eta]. \quad (4.10)$$

¹²In [15], the argument is actually made in reverse: by explicit construction of a large class of spin-TQFTs, the Authors show how the bosonic TQFT should have this specific anomaly. Then, they notice that this pertains to the symmetry of a fermionic quasiparticle which self-fuses into the identity.

¹³Let us consider two different theories QFT1 and QFT2 with fermionic quasiparticles ψ_1, ψ_2 . If they are decoupled, the product $\psi_1\psi_2$ is a bosonic particle which generates a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(d-2)}$ symmetry, non anomalous. Thus the two anomalies are the same: they are two equal signs that square to one.

The extra factor $z[B, \eta]$ has two features: it has the same anomaly as Z_b , in order to cancel it and allow for the gauging of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(d-2)}$, and it introduces a spin structure η . In [15], the general form for $z[B, \zeta]$ is given. This is the general procedure used in [16] to construct a spin–TQFT out of the bosonic TQFT, called ‘shadow theory’.

The relation (4.10) can be inverted by gauging the fermion parity $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(0)}$ in Z_f , as in (4.8). This means coupling Z_f to a dynamical gauge field $s \in H^1(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, which is an assignment of holonomies on non–trivial loops in \mathcal{M} : $e^{i \oint s} \in \{1, -1\}$. It amounts to a choice of periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions for fermions on non–trivial loops, *i.e.* a choice of a spin structure η on \mathcal{M} . Thus gauging fermion parity is equivalent to sum over all spin structures (indeed, the number of inequivalent spin structures on a spin manifold \mathcal{M} is $|H^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}_2)|$). Therefore, starting from a fermionic theory, one obtains a bosonic one by summing over all spin structures, a well–known result of bosonization in 1+1d conformal theory [32].

We can relate what has been said in this subsection with the duality maps between fermionic and bosonic theories in 2+1 and 1+1 dimensions [9, 10, 41]. In 2+1d, the standard way to obtain fermions in a bosonic theory is by flux attachment, *i.e.* coupling the theory to a Chern–Simons term. Indeed, the Chern–Simons action introduces a spin structure dependence in the bosonic theory and it is a common tool to generate anomalies in odd dimensions [9–11]. In 1+1d, the term $z[B, \eta]$ is the Arf invariant [15], which is the basic quantity used to realize 1+1d dualities in [41]. The Arf invariant is also linked to the flux attachment in one dimension higher, being related to the Chern–Simons action by dimensional reduction (see Appendix C of [41]).

4.2.1 The toric code and its anomaly

The toric code provides an interesting example of bosonization/fermionization in 2+1 dimensions which has been considered in the literature [15, 16]. We are interested in determining the form of the anomaly for the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry discussed before. As said, the bosonic theory should possess a fermionic quasiparticle which generates this symmetry. The toric code is a lattice \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory, whose low–energy behavior is described by a $k = 2$ BF topological theory, neglecting all dynamical aspects of the theory. There are two quasiparticles excitations e, m , whose composition gives the fermion we are looking for.

The BF theory is,

$$S = i \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta da, \quad (4.11)$$

allowing temporarily general k values and choosing $\mathcal{M}_3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, for example. The electric and magnetic quasiparticles, respectively e, m , are associated to the Wilson loops,

$$W[a]_i = \exp \left(i \int_{\gamma_i} a \right), \quad W[\zeta]_i = \exp \left(i \int_{\gamma_i} \zeta \right), \quad (4.12)$$

where the γ_i , $i = 1, 2$, are non-trivial cycles of \mathcal{M}_3 .

We recall that the Hamiltonian quantization of the BF theory on the spatial torus determines the following algebra

$$W[a]_i W[\zeta]_j W[a]_i^{-1} = \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) W[\zeta]_j, \quad i \neq j = 1, 2, \quad (4.13)$$

whose representation has minimal dimension k^2 on this geometry.

The BF theory possesses two global one-form symmetries defined by shifting the fields $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \lambda$ and $a \rightarrow a + \alpha$ by closed forms λ, α . The action (4.11) is indeed invariant (the fields a, ζ themselves being closed due to the equations of motion). The loops $W[a], W[\zeta]$ are multiplied by global phases under these symmetries.

The algebra (4.13) shows that the symmetry group is $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)} \times \mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)}$. One kind of loops, say $W[a]_i$, are generators of the $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)}$ acting on the other ones, $W[\zeta]_j$, which are charged with respect to this symmetry, thus realizing the pattern of higher-form symmetries discussed in the previous section. The two types of loops exchange their role for the other $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)}$ symmetry.

We now remark that each $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)}$ symmetry is non-anomalous and can be independently gauged. This is obtained by coupling one current, say $J = *a$, to a background two-form gauge field B , which is a flat and obeys $e^{i\oint B} \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, as it should. The gauged action is

$$S = i\frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta da + aB, \quad (4.14)$$

and is left invariant by the transformation $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta - \beta$ and $B \rightarrow B + d\beta$, where β now is a generic one-form.

Next we try to gauge the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)}$ symmetry which is generated by the product of the two kinds of loops. The action is now

$$S = i\frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta da + (\zeta + a)B, \quad (4.15)$$

and the gauge transformations are

$$\zeta \rightarrow \zeta - \beta, \quad a \rightarrow a - \beta, \quad B \rightarrow B + d\beta. \quad (4.16)$$

These do not leave the action invariant,

$$S \rightarrow S + \Delta S, \quad \Delta S = -i\frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} 2\beta B + \beta d\beta. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore, we have found the mixed ('t Hooft) anomaly which prevents gauging, in agreement with the general discussion of the previous section.

We now specialize to the $k = 2$ case of the toric code. The fermion is identified with the product of the two quasiparticles, $\psi = em = \exp(i\oint a + \zeta)$, and the anomaly (4.17) should be canceled in the gauged partition function (4.10).

Actually, this is possible by adding a term to the bulk topological action, a rather standard fact in our setting of topological insulators. We assume that the flat B field extends in 3+1 dimensions and write the action

$$S_{bulk} = i \frac{2}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B \wedge B, \quad B \in Z^2(\mathcal{M}_4; \mathbb{Z}_2). \quad (4.18)$$

This expression, with $\mathcal{M}_3 = \partial\mathcal{M}_4$, reproduces the anomaly (4.17) under $B \rightarrow B + d\beta$. It is instead gauge invariant if $\partial\mathcal{M}_4 = \emptyset$.

The quantity (4.18) is the Steenrod square $Sq^2(B)$ we were alluding to before (in a special case). It only takes values $0, \pm i\pi$, thus signs are not important in its expressions. It depends on the spin structure of \mathcal{M}_d (here $d = 2 + 1$), thus we should require that the extension to \mathcal{M}_{d+1} is consistent, namely that this is an orientable manifold to which the spin structure of \mathcal{M}_d extends [15, 42]. Under these conditions, the expression (4.18) is well defined. Actually, it is a three-dimensional quantity, although written in four dimensions.

On continuum and discrete formulations. The $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ anomalies (4.17) and (4.18) have been found by using differential calculus in the continuum, assuming that holonomies of flat forms take values in discrete groups. This formulation is useful for the later discussion of the loop model and its dynamical aspects. A more rigorous descriptions of the anomalies is discrete: a triangulated topological space \mathcal{M} is considered, on which a discrete G background field is an element $[B]$ of the cohomology group $H^{p+1}(\mathcal{M}; G)$ [15]. In actual computations, one chooses a representative B of the cohomology class $[B]$, which is a cocycle $\delta B = 0$, $B \in Z^2(\mathcal{M}; G)$. In this setting, one defines the coboundary operator δ ($\delta^2 = 0$) and the cup product \cup , which respectively replace the differential d and the wedge product \wedge of differential calculus in the continuum.

Our correspondence between discrete and continuum formulations is *i.e.*, for \mathbb{Z}_2 ,

$$\delta \rightarrow d, \quad \cup \rightarrow \wedge, \quad B_{\text{continuum}} = \frac{2\pi}{2} B_{\text{discrete}} = 0, \pi, \quad \text{mod } 2\pi. \quad (4.19)$$

However, the map is nontrivial: for example, the cup product is not graded commutative at the cochain level (contrary to the wedge product), and there are higher cup products which do not have clear counterparts in differential calculus. In the following, we rederive the anomalies in discrete form for showing the differences, and eventually argue that both formulations are equivalent for the sake of our analysis.

The discrete formulation of the BF theory (4.15) is ($k = 2$)

$$S = i\pi \int \zeta \cup \delta a + B \cup a + \zeta \cup B. \quad (4.20)$$

The terms are written paying attention to the non-commutativity of \cup , in order to ensure gauge invariance for each $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$, as in (4.14). It follows that the anomaly for

the diagonal subgroup is [15],

$$\Delta S = i\pi \int B \cup \beta + \beta \cup B + \beta \cup \delta\beta. \quad (4.21)$$

The compensating bulk action is, under gauge transformations $B \rightarrow B - d\beta$.

$$S_{bulk} = i\pi \int B \cup B. \quad (4.22)$$

We remark that the first two terms in the anomaly (4.21) do not sum up as in (4.17): reversing the ordering would require the following identity, valid for A, B general cochains,¹⁴

$$B \cup A - A \cup B = \delta(A \cup_1 B) + \delta A \cup_1 B + A \cup_1 \delta B, \quad (4.23)$$

which introduces the higher cup product \cup_1 [15]. Nonetheless, the continuum and discrete descriptions work in parallel to show the cancellation of the anomaly by the bulk action, which takes the equivalent forms (4.18) and (4.22). In conclusion, we find that the continuum formulation of discrete symmetries is consistent for our purposes.

4.3 Fermionic theory from the loop model

In this section we apply the bosonization procedure presented in section 4.2 to the loop-model theory on the boundary of topological insulators. We remind the main steps involved:

- To identify the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry generated by fermionic excitations and its anomaly.
- To gauge this symmetry and obtain the fermionic partition function with $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(0)}$ fermion-number symmetry and spin sectors.

4.3.1 $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry and its anomaly

The first step will take advantage of previous results for the toric code. The loop model presents two new features with respect to this theory: in the action (2.11), the BF term is accompanied with a dynamical term not present before; furthermore, the fermionic theory should correspond to $k = 1$ (we shall return to the $k = 2$ case of the toric code in section 4.6).

We remark that the dynamical term in the loop model action (2.11) does not modify the global symmetries of the BF part. Indeed, the equations of motion (3.30) still imply $da = d\zeta = 0$ in the vacuum and the monodromy between closed Wilson loops (3.24) is given by the BF part of the action. Furthermore, the action is invariant

¹⁴Up to irrelevant signs for \mathbb{Z}_2 valued objects.

under $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \lambda_1$ and $a \rightarrow a + \lambda_2$, with $\lambda_{1,2}$ closed one-forms. Thus, the loop model for coupling k also possesses the $\mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)} \times \mathbb{Z}_k^{(1)}$ symmetries.

The fact that we consider the $k = 1$ case may seem puzzling, because there are apparently no one-form symmetries. However, we should find the symmetry generated by the fermion, which is associated to a half-integer charge, $(N_0, M_0) = (1, 1/2)$. The fermion closed loop $\psi(\gamma) = \exp(-i \oint_\gamma a + \zeta/2)$ possesses fermionic self-statistics and actually generates a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry. The associated current for this global symmetry is

$$J = * \left(a + \frac{1}{2} \zeta \right), \quad (4.24)$$

and the $k = 1$ loop-model action (2.11) is invariant under

$$a + \frac{1}{2} \zeta \rightarrow a + \frac{1}{2} \zeta - 2\lambda, \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \rightarrow a - \lambda, \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta - 2\lambda, \quad (4.25)$$

with λ a closed one-form.

We now proceed to gauge this symmetry by coupling the theory to the \mathbb{Z}_2 flat two-form field B , as in the case of the toric code. The loop model action (2.11) (taking $A = 0$ momentarily) is modified into

$$S = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta da + 2 \left(a + \frac{1}{2} \zeta \right) B + \frac{g_0}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} (f_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}) \frac{1}{\partial} (f_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu}), \quad (4.26)$$

where $f = da$. Under the local version of the transformation (4.25),

$$a \rightarrow a - \beta, \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta - 2\beta, \quad B \rightarrow B + d\beta, \quad (4.27)$$

the dynamical term of the action (4.26) is gauge invariant, while the topological part changes as follows

$$S \rightarrow S + \Delta S, \quad \Delta S = -\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} 2\beta B + \beta d\beta. \quad (4.28)$$

This term is precisely the mixed anomaly (4.17) found in the toric code, which can be canceled by the B^2 bulk term (4.18). The first step of the bosonization program is thus achieved.

We recall that the field B realizes \mathbb{Z}_2 holonomies, implying the quantization

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{mod } 1, \quad \Rightarrow \quad e^{i \int_{S^2} B} \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad (4.29)$$

in the spatial S^2 geometry we have been considering. Let us see how this modifies the original solitonic charge assignments of the loop model (2.15). The equations of motion are

$$B = -da, \quad B = -\frac{1}{2} d\zeta. \quad (4.30)$$

These equation should not be considered as local conditions, because B is not an Abelian field, but a continuous representative of a \mathbb{Z}_2 sign. Therefore, they only impose a parity constraint on the a, ζ fluxes as follows

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d\zeta = \frac{N_0}{2} = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{mod } 1, \quad (4.31)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} da = M_0 = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{mod } 1. \quad (4.32)$$

More precisely, the theory (4.26) is characterized by two sectors in the geometry $S^2 \times S^1$, which have the following N_0, M_0 quantizations, according to the periods of B in (4.31), (4.32):

- the ‘even’ sector

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B = 0, \quad \rightarrow \quad N_0 = 2n, \quad M_0 = m, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (4.33)$$

- the ‘odd’ sector

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \rightarrow \quad N_0 = 2n + 1, \quad M_0 = m + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.34)$$

We have found that the presence of the B field allows for the half-integer quantization of M_0 , which was not possible in the original theory (2.11), but is indeed necessary for defining the fermion field (4.1). Actually, the even and odd sectors contain corresponding numbers of fermions.

The B field does not lead to other effects in the loop model, as shown by the following argument. The electromagnetic field A can be introduced in the modified action (4.26) by respecting the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ gauge invariance (4.27), namely by coupling it to the gauge invariant combinations

$$da + B = d\tilde{a}, \quad d\zeta + 2B = d\tilde{\zeta}, \quad (4.35)$$

which have been rewritten in terms of tilded variables owing to the flatness of B . The full expression for the loop model action is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \zeta da + 2 \left(a + \frac{1}{2} \zeta \right) B + (d\zeta + 2B) A - \frac{1}{4} (da + B) A \\ & + \frac{g_0}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} (f + B)_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\partial} (f + B)^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

where $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu$. It also includes the parity anomaly term, and should be compared with (2.8), (2.11) for $k = 1$. Using the tilded variables (4.35), we can rewrite this action as

$$S = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \tilde{\zeta} d\tilde{a} + \tilde{\zeta} dA - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{a} dA + \frac{g_0}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \tilde{f}_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\partial} \tilde{f}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B^2. \quad (4.37)$$

This expression is rather interesting: it has the same form as the original one (2.11) in absence of the B field, plus the extra bulk term B^2 which originates from the BF action rewritten as a total derivative in one extra dimension, actually the bulk, $\partial\mathcal{M}_4 = \mathcal{M}_3$.

We can also integrate the dynamical fields ζ and a (but not B), to obtain the boundary response action

$$S_{ind}[A, B] = \frac{g_0}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} F_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\partial} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} \text{Ad}A + \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B^2. \quad (4.38)$$

This is again the one-loop fermionic response (2.12), plus the parity-anomaly term and the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ anomaly. Both are canceled by the topological bulk theory, as better discussed later.

To conclude, it is apparent that the B field has been hidden in the new connections (4.35), and its only effect is to modify the Dirac quantization conditions (2.15) into (4.31), (4.32). We remark that the map to tilded variables $(a, \zeta) \rightarrow (\tilde{a}, \tilde{\zeta})$ introduced by (4.35) is well defined because B is a flat connection. Global issues of B will be analyzed in the following.

4.3.2 Gauging the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry

The second step of bosonization is to transform the B field from a background to a dynamical degree of freedom, *i.e.* to gauge the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry. This is achieved by summing the bosonic partition function over the two B sectors,¹⁵ as outlined in (4.10),

$$Z_f[\eta] = \sum_{B \in H^2(S^2 \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)} Z[B] \exp\left(\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B^2\right). \quad (4.39)$$

The phase factor cancel the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ anomaly of the theory (4.38), thus allowing the correct definition of $Z_f[\eta]$. The two spin structures on $S^2 \times S^1$ are given by the choice of periodic or anti-periodic boundary conditions for fermions around the time S^1 : we can call η_+ the natural anti-periodic conditions, *i.e.* $Z_f[\eta_+] = Z_f^{NS}$, and η_- the periodic conditions, $Z_f[\eta_-] = Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}}$.

We recall from Section 4.2 that gauging a discrete symmetry is an invertible process. The $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(0)}$ fermion-number symmetry can be gauged back by introducing a one-form \mathbb{Z}_2 field $s \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_3; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, having holonomies around the time circle $\int_{S^1} s = \{0, \pi\}$. It follows that introducing s as a background, and turning it one can pass from one spin structure to the other: it effectively changes the boundary conditions, from η_+ to η_- and *viceversa*. So the two possible fermionic partition functions are

$$Z_f[\eta_+] = Z[\eta; \int_{S^1} s = 0] = Z_f^{NS}, \quad Z_f[\eta_-] = Z[\eta; \int_{S^1} s = \pi] = Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}}. \quad (4.40)$$

¹⁵As said before, we can regard (4.39) as a three-dimensional theory [15, 42].

The background field s can be added by coupling it to the conserved current for the fermionic parity $J = *B$. In conclusion, a more explicit form of the fermionic partition function is given by

$$Z_f[\eta, s] = \sum_{B \in H^2(S^2 \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)} Z[B] e^{\frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} B^2 + \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} B s}. \quad (4.41)$$

In this expression, considering the two possible values of s leads to the partition functions (4.40). Let us show their explicit expressions.

The bosonic partitions $Z[B]$ have the form (2.20), where B only affects the quantization conditions (4.33), (4.34), as discussed before. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z[\int B = 0] &= Z_{osc} \sum_{(N_0, M_0) = (2n, m)} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\pi R} \left(\frac{N_0^2}{g_0} + g_0 M_0^2 \right)}, \\ Z[\int B = \pi] &= Z_{osc} \sum_{(N_0, M_0) = (2n+1, m+1/2)} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2\pi R} \left(\frac{N_0^2}{g_0} + g_0 M_0^2 \right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The evaluation of the phase factor in (4.41) is as follows. The B^2 term cancels with the anomaly. The coupling $i/\pi \int B s$ is non zero when both fields are non-trivial:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^2 \times S^1} B s = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^2} B \int_{S^1} s = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{if } \int_{S^2} B = \int_{S^1} s = \pi; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.43)$$

This means that when $\int s = \pi$, the odd sector in (4.42) gets a minus sign in the sum for the partition function.

We thus find

$$Z_f^{NS} = Z[0] + Z[\pi], \quad (4.44)$$

$$Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}} = Z[0] - Z[\pi]. \quad (4.45)$$

In explicit form, we have

$$Z_f[\eta_{\pm}] = Z_{osc} \left(\sum_{(n_0, m_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \pm \sum_{(n_0, m_0) \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{2\pi R} \left(\frac{n_0^2}{g_0} + g_0 \frac{m_0^2}{4} \right) \right), \quad (4.46)$$

where we introduced the integer labeling, $N_0 = n_0$, $M_0 = m_0/2$, $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and η_{\pm} denote the spin structures of NS and \widetilde{NS} sectors.

This expression $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ is an important result of this paper, because it clearly identifies the 2+1d fermionic conformal theory on the boundary of topological insulators. The partition function and the effective action (4.36) correctly account for the topological and geometrical aspects of fermions. For what concern the dynamics, $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ is limited by the semiclassical nature of the loop model: for example, there are

infinite towers of stable solitons, and fluctuations in Z_{osc} (2.21) have multiplicities of Bose statistics.

The expressions (4.44), (4.45) can be inverted to obtain

$$Z[0] = \frac{1}{2}(Z_f^{NS} + Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}}), \quad Z[\pi] = \frac{1}{2}(Z_f^{NS} - Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}}). \quad (4.47)$$

The quantity $Z[0]$ realizes the sum over spin structures, corresponding to integrating (4.41) over s (*i.e.* gauging the fermionic parity by making s a dynamical gauge field). This gives back the original ungauged theory with $B = 0$, see (4.8),

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\eta} Z_f[\eta] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in H^1(S^2 \times S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2)} Z_f[\eta, s] = Z[0], \quad (4.48)$$

which is the first expression in (4.47). In a Hamiltonian formulation, it can be written as

$$Z[0] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [(1 + (-1)^F) e^{-\beta H}], \quad (4.49)$$

where the trace is taken over the fermionic Hilbert space on the spatial S^2 . The factor $(-1)^F$ occurs for periodic boundary conditions in the time direction S^1 . The partition function (4.48) only involves states with an even number of fermions, which are then bosonic.

The sum over spin structures also occurs in two-dimensional bosonization, and is required by modular invariance of the partition function on the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ [32]. This is the standard setting for applications to statistical mechanics, unless special boundary conditions are considered. In the quantum Hall effect and other topological phases of matter, single fermion excitations should be present: in these cases, the requirement of modular invariance should be relaxed [28]. Note also that the bosonic description of 1+1d Dirac fermions for each independent spin sector has been obtained only recently within the general approach described here [41].

We remark that the original bosonic spectrum (2.20) also shows integer-valued excitations, but is different from that of $Z[0]$ (4.49), which is constrained by the condition on σ, μ forming fermion composites. In the odd sector $Z[\pi]$ in (4.42), the charge M_0 takes half-integer values. This possibility was not considered in the earlier analysis [4], neither in other works on fermionic topological insulators [22, 43]. In [44], the authors consider standard integer quantization in the description of bosonic topological insulators.

4.3.3 Gauge invariance of fermionic excitations

The definition of the fermion operator (4.1) should be reconsidered after the introduction of the B field and the gauging of the $Z_2^{(1)}$ symmetry discussed in the previous sections. The gauge invariant fields are now $\tilde{a}, \tilde{\zeta}$, with $d\tilde{\zeta} = d\zeta + 2B$ and $d\tilde{a} = da + B$,

and Wilson loop operators should be written in terms of them. The fermion now reads, for closed loops,

$$\psi[\gamma] = e^{-i \oint_{\gamma} \tilde{a} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\zeta}} = e^{-i \int_{\Sigma} da + \frac{1}{2} d\zeta - i \int_{\Sigma} 2B}, \quad \partial\Sigma = \gamma, \quad (4.50)$$

where Σ is a surface bounded by the loop γ over which the flat B field is integrated. The extension from the loop to the surface is unique, because the difference between two such surfaces, Σ and Σ' , is closed and the integral amounts to a trivial phase,

$$2 \int_{\Sigma - \Sigma'} B = 2\pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.51)$$

The new fermion field (4.50) is a functional of all dynamical fields in the theory, a, ζ, B , with the B dependence leading to additional phases $\int_{\Sigma, \partial\Sigma=\gamma} B$. However, these disappear from physical quantities, such as fermion bilinears $\psi[\gamma]\psi^\dagger[\gamma']$: letting the two loops be equal $\gamma = \gamma'$, the equation (4.51) again shows cancellation. The same results apply to solitonic states σ_{N_0}, μ_{M_0} , written in terms of $\tilde{a}, \tilde{\zeta}$, provided that (N_0, M_0) take the values listed in the partition functions (4.42). Indeed, these are the gauge invariant excitations of the theory.

Note that in the odd sector (4.34) the smallest values are $(N_0, M_0) = (\pm 1, \pm 1/2)$, and therefore there are no physical states without σ 's. Namely, $\mu_{1/2}$ solitons with half-integer value, $M_0 = 1/2$, always appear in combination with σ , such that half-integer Aharonov-Bohm (semion) phases $\theta/2\pi = M_0 = 1/2$ are not observed.¹⁶

As usual, fractional monodromies are associated to fractional charges, whose states are not globally defined. Let us argue that the fermion field (4.50) is globally defined in spite of being composed of a fractional charge. In general, a Wilson loop for a charge q state, $W[\gamma] = \exp(iq \int_{\gamma} C)$, is globally defined if it is invariant under large gauge transformations of C : $C \rightarrow C + \lambda$, with $d\lambda = 0$ and $\oint \lambda \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. We consider two open surfaces Σ, Σ' bounded by γ , $\partial\Sigma = \partial\Sigma' = \gamma$, and evaluate the difference between $W[\Sigma]$ and $W[\Sigma']$

$$W[\Sigma]W^\dagger[\Sigma'] = e^{iq \int_{\Sigma - \Sigma'} dC} = e^{2\pi i N q}, \quad \int_{\Sigma - \Sigma'} dC = 2\pi N, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.52)$$

In the last step we used the Dirac quantization condition for C on the closed surface $\Sigma - \Sigma'$. Thus, Wilson loops are invariant under large gauge transformations if $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is how the Dirac condition manifests itself on these operators.

We now check the condition (4.52) for the fermion field (4.50) and find

$$\psi[\Sigma]\psi^\dagger[\Sigma'] = e^{-i \int_{\Sigma - \Sigma'} da + 1/2 d\zeta + 2B} = e^{-2\pi i (1/2 + 1/2 + 1)} = 1, \quad (4.53)$$

using $\int B = \pi$ in the odd sector, $\int da = 2\pi M_0 = \pi$ and $\int d\zeta = 2\pi N_0 = 2\pi$. This shows that the fermion excitation (4.50) is globally defined, as advertised.

¹⁶Note also that monodromies are not affected by the presence of B .

In presence of the B field, the charge operators (2.15) should also be rewritten in terms of the gauge invariant fields $\tilde{a}, \tilde{\zeta}$, but their commutation relations with the charged fields (2.15) are unchanged

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d\tilde{\zeta}, \quad Q_T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d\tilde{a}, \quad (4.54)$$

$$[Q, \sigma_{N_0}] = \frac{N_0}{k} \sigma_{N_0}, \quad [Q_T, \mu_{M_0}] = \frac{M_0}{k} \mu_{M_0}. \quad (4.55)$$

Let us finally remark that, when considering the whole system with bulk and boundary, the fermion (4.50) is not gauge invariant because of the gauge transformation $b \rightarrow b + d\lambda$, $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \lambda$. The extended bulk-boundary gauge invariant fermion is therefore

$$\psi[\gamma, \Sigma] = \psi[\gamma] e^{\frac{i}{2} \int_{\Sigma} b}, \quad \partial\Sigma = \gamma, \quad (4.56)$$

where Σ is a surface in the bulk attached to the boundary loop γ . This generalization can be interpreted with the fact that the boundary theory requires the bulk contribution to be well-defined (gauge invariant and anomaly free). This theme has also been discussed in section 3.2.1.

4.4 Bulk theory in presence of the B field

In previous analyses we remarked that the introduction of the B field leads to the extra term (4.18) in the bulk response action, with respect to the original expression (2.3),

$$S_{ind}[A, B] = i \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \frac{1}{8\pi} dA dA - \frac{1}{\pi} B^2. \quad (4.57)$$

The two terms have the similar role of canceling the two boundary anomalies, namely the $U(1)$ parity anomaly and the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ anomaly. The difference is that A is a background, while the flat B field is dynamical, because the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry is gauged.

We can nevertheless write a hydrodynamic bulk action in presence of both A, B fields whose integration reproduces the response action (4.57), in the same way as integrating (2.2) leads to (2.3). The derivation uses the gauge invariance under $U(1)_{\zeta} \times U(1)_a$ ($U(1)_{\zeta}$ is the same as $U(1)_b$ in the bulk). By applying the same reasoning used to derive (2.8) in reverse, starting from the boundary action with the electromagnetic coupling (4.36), we are led to the following expression:

$$S_{bulk} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} b da + 2B da + bB + (b + 2B) dA - \frac{1}{4} (da + B) dA. \quad (4.58)$$

The coupling with A is given in terms of the quantities $b + 2B$ and $da + B$, gauge invariant under the bulk extension of the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry (4.27)

$$a \rightarrow a - \beta, \quad b \rightarrow b - 2d\beta, \quad B \rightarrow B + d\beta. \quad (4.59)$$

One can check that by integrating out the dynamical fields b and a in (4.58) one indeed recovers the response action (4.57).

Notice that we can change to tilded variables as we did on the boundary (cf. (4.37)), with $\tilde{b} = b + 2B$, to rewrite the bulk action (4.58) into the form

$$S_{bulk} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \tilde{b} d\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} dA - \frac{1}{4} d\tilde{a} dA - 2B^2. \quad (4.60)$$

Again, this is the original action (2.2) written in terms of the new variables, up to the anomaly term B^2 .

The action for the complete bulk and boundary system, (4.58) and (4.36), is gauge invariant and anomaly free: indeed, it is gauge invariant (using $dB = 0$) under:

- The $U(1)$ gauge symmetry of b and ζ , given by $b \rightarrow b + d\lambda$ and the analogous $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta + \lambda$.
- The $U(1)$ gauge symmetry of a , which is $a \rightarrow a + d\alpha$.
- The $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ gauge symmetry given by (4.59) in the bulk and (4.27) on the boundary.

In conclusion, the expression (4.58) include the (minimal) modifications of the original ‘bosonic’ bulk action (2.2) which are required to comply with fermionic boundary excitations. We remark that more general 3+1 dimensional topological actions have been discussed in the literature which can also describe bulk fermionic degrees of freedom [42].

4.5 Time reversal symmetry and the B field

We now discuss how the introduction of the B field fits with the time-reversal symmetry \mathcal{T} of topological insulators.¹⁷ Since the bulk and boundary action take the original form without B once written in terms of tilded variables (cf. (4.37), (4.60)), these can be set to transform as the original fields too. Therefore, we assume

$$\mathcal{T} : (\tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{a}_0, -\tilde{a}_i), \quad (\tilde{\zeta}_0, \tilde{\zeta}_i) \rightarrow (-\tilde{\zeta}_0, \tilde{\zeta}_i), \quad (\tilde{b}_{0i}, \tilde{b}_{ij}) \rightarrow (-\tilde{b}_{0i}, \tilde{b}_{ij}). \quad (4.61)$$

The compatibility between transformations of original and tilded variables is as follows. The map $da + B = d\tilde{a}$ is consistent with time reversal provided that B transform as a ‘vector’ two-form,

$$\mathcal{T} : (B_{0i}, B_{ij}) \rightarrow (B_{0i}, -B_{ij}). \quad (4.62)$$

The map between b and \tilde{b} is instead consistent assuming that the pseudo-two-form b mixes with B under $\mathcal{T} : b \rightarrow b + 4B$.

¹⁷In this section we turn back to Minkowski space to treat time reversal.

As usual, the bulk plus boundary action is time reversal invariant, but the individual actions (4.37) and (4.60) are invariant up to the sign of the anomaly terms. The response actions, respectively (4.38) and (4.57), also change sign.

Now we turn to the action of time reversal on physical states. According to the earlier discussion on bosonization, the fermionic theory (4.41) has a zero-form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry generated by $\int B$, which is identified with the fermion number symmetry,

$$(-1)^F = e^{i \int_{S^2} B}. \quad (4.63)$$

As said, this is consistent with the two sectors in the theory having, respectively, bosonic states (4.33), with $\int B = 0$, and fermionic states (4.34), with $\int B = \pi$. For fermionic topological insulators, time reversal transformations obey $\mathcal{T}^2 = (-1)^F$; therefore, in our description (4.63), we should have

$$\mathcal{T}^2 = e^{i \int_{S^2} B}. \quad (4.64)$$

The action of time reversal on states consistent with (4.64) is achieved as follows. We consider products of σ and μ fields (3.14), in their closed loop version, with allowed values of (N_0, M_0) in the two sectors of the fermionic theory (4.42),

$$\Phi = e^{-iN_0 \int \tilde{a} - iM_0 \int \tilde{\zeta}}. \quad (4.65)$$

A naive action of time reversal is obtained by using the field transformations (4.61) in (4.65) and antiunitarity, leading to

$$\mathcal{T}\Phi\mathcal{T}^{-1} \sim e^{-iN_0 \int \tilde{a} + iM_0 \int \tilde{\zeta}}. \quad (4.66)$$

This cannot be correct, because it obeys $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$.

Therefore, we are led to add a non-trivial B -dependent phase factor to the transformation, as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}\Phi\mathcal{T}^{-1} \equiv e^{i \int_{S^2} \frac{B}{2}} e^{-iN_0 \int \tilde{a} + iM_0 \int \tilde{\zeta}}. \quad (4.67)$$

We remark that the phase is trivial, $\int B = 0$, for all bosonic states in the even sector of the theory, thus $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$. In the odd sector, $\int B = \pi$, the phase is not vanishing and furthermore it obeys: $\mathcal{T} \exp(i \int_{S^2} B/2) \mathcal{T}^{-1} = \exp(i \int_{S^2} B/2)$ (cf. (4.62)). We find,

$$\mathcal{T}^2 \Phi \mathcal{T}^{-2} = \mathcal{T} \left(e^{i \int_{S^2} \frac{B}{2}} e^{-iN_0 \int \tilde{a} + iM_0 \int \tilde{\zeta}} \right) \mathcal{T}^{-1} = e^{i \int_{S^2} B} \Phi = (-1)^F \Phi. \quad (4.68)$$

In conclusion, the definition (4.67) of time-reversal transformations correctly realizes $\mathcal{T}^2 = (-1)^F$.

Bosonized fermions and Dirac spinors. The standard presentation of 2+1d Dirac fields in terms of bi-spinors and Pauli matrices is a reducible representation of

the Poincaré group. Indeed, spin is a pseudoscalar and irreducible representations are one dimensional [45, 46]. In the massless case, the spinors decompose in two irreducible one-dimensional representations with quantum numbers of momentum and the 2+1d analog of helicity, which are solutions of the Dirac equation. Time reversal transformations are realized on spinors by $\mathcal{T} = \eta\sigma_2 K$, where η is a phase, σ_2 a Pauli matrix and K complex conjugation. Naturally, the two one-dimensional Poincaré representations map one into another under \mathcal{T} .

In the bosonization language of this paper, these two one-dimensional irreducible representations should be identified with the fermion (4.50) and its time-reversal partner given by (4.67).

4.6 Fermionic theory from the $k = 2$ loop model and the toric code

In this section we describe the alternative derivation of the fermionic theory from the bosonic loop model with $k = 2$. Its topological aspects, given by the $k = 2$ BF theory, are shared with the toric code, the bosonic topological phase of matter which was mentioned in section 4.2.1.

Our first observation is that the fermionic partition functions $Z_f^{NS}, Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}}$ (4.46) obtained in section 4.3.2 resemble the loop-model bosonic Z for $k = 2$ (2.20), hereafter denoted $Z_b[k = 2]$. Actually, the solitonic spectrum is the same, although a parity rule in the summations eliminates half of the states (and there is the $(-1)^F$ sign).

Let us compare the fermionic spectrum (4.46) with those occurring in the $k = 2$ and $k = 1$ bosonic theories.

- On one hand, $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ contains a subset of the $k = 2$ spectrum in $Z_b[k = 2]$, owing to the parity rule, $n_0 = m_0 \bmod 2$.
- On the other hand, $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ involves states that are not present in the $k = 1$ bosonic theory $Z_b[k = 1]$ (2.20), *i.e.* those with half-integer M_0 , and a parity rule is also present.

These facts suggest that the fermionic theory is related to both the $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ bosonic loop models by slightly different bosonic-fermionic maps. The $k = 2$ map is simpler for the fact that the needed fermionic states are already present in the bosonic theory, but other aspects are troublesome:

- Topological insulators within the classification of SPT phases are associated to systems with short-range entanglement and no topological order, as the integer Hall effect [1, 2]. These properties fit with the $k = 1$ bulk BF theory (2.2) and the corresponding response action (2.3), which can be easily derived from massive free fermions [7].

- On the contrary, the toric code is a \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory which possesses semion excitations e, m with fractional charge and fractional monodromies, and corresponding nontrivial topological order. Note that the $k = 2$ BF theory also describes the low-energy limit of the Abelian Higgs model of superconductivity [47].

Nonetheless, with hindsight gained from the previous analysis, we shall find that both unwanted features, that of fractional statistics, and topological order, disappear in going from the $k = 2$ bosonic model to the fermionic theory. This is the consequence of gauging the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry.

Let us now outline the fermionization of the $k = 2$ bosonic theory, paralleling the analysis in section 4.3. The full action in 2+1 dimensions is

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3} 2\zeta da + 2(a + \zeta) B + 2(d\zeta + B)A - \frac{1}{4}(da + B)A + \\
& + \frac{g_0}{8\pi} \int (f + B)_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\partial} (f + B)^{\mu\nu} \quad (f = da),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

including the A background and the flat \mathbb{Z}_2 two-form field B . Note that the factor of 2 in the electromagnetic coupling $d\zeta A$ is not standard: it redefines the measured charge of ‘electric’ semions. Note also that the $k = 2$ action (4.69) is formally equal to its $k = 1$ counterpart (4.36) by replacing $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta/2$.

As described in section 4.2.1, the theory (4.69) possesses a $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ global symmetry, with currents $*a$ and $*\zeta$. The diagonal subgroup is gauged by coupling its current $*(a + \zeta)$ to the dynamical B field, as in (4.15). The gauge invariance is (4.16), and the action develops the anomaly term (4.17). After removing this anomaly from the bulk, the fermionic partition function (4.46) is obtained by gauging the bosonic partition function according to (4.39).

Let us find the constraint on charge quantizations due to the summation over the B field, the analog of conditions (4.31) and (4.32) in the $k = 1$ case. The equations of motion for (4.69) (with $A = 0$), $B = -d\zeta$ and $B = -da$, can be integrated, leading to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d\zeta = Q = \frac{n_0}{2} = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{mod } 1, \\
-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} B &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} da = Q_T = \frac{m_0}{2} = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{mod } 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.70}$$

using the definitions (2.15). As in the $k = 1$ case, the solutions identify two sectors: in the even one, for $\int B = 0 \text{ mod } 2\pi$, n_0 and m_0 are even, while in the odd sector, with $\int B = \pi \text{ mod } 2\pi$, both n_0 and m_0 are odd. Thus we reobtain the parity rule present in the fermionic partition function (4.46). This completes the map between the bosonic $Z_b[k = 2]$ and fermionic $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ partition functions.

Now we turn to the representation of the fermion field. It is given by the product of electric and magnetic semions e, m with charges $n_0 = m_0 = 1$,

$$\psi[\gamma] =: em := e^{-i \int_\gamma \tilde{a} + \tilde{\zeta}} = e^{-i \int_\gamma a + \zeta - 2i \int_\Sigma B}, \quad (4.71)$$

where the gauge invariant tilded variables are now $d\tilde{a} = da + B$, $d\tilde{\zeta} = d\zeta + B$. Clearly, the odd and even sectors contain corresponding numbers of electrons. The odd sector acquires the sign $(-1)^F = -1$ in the partition function $Z_f^{\widetilde{NS}}$ in (4.46).

Let us see how the statistics of fermions is related to the monodromy of semions e, m and the effects of gauging the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry. The monodromy phase between excitations $(n_0, 0)$, $(0, m_0)$ is $\Theta/2\pi = n_0 m_0/2$: between fermions $\psi = :em:$, one correctly obtains $\Theta/\pi = 1/2 + 1/2 = 1$. Note that basic semion excitations, with $(n_0, m_0) = (1, 0), (0, 1)$, would lead to fractional values, but they are absent from the spectrum (4.46), being forbidden by $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ gauge invariance. Therefore, anyons of the original $k = 2$ bosonic theory disappear in the fermionic theory by gauging.

Note also that the monodromy of all (n_0, m_0) excitations in (4.46) with the fermion is trivial, because $\Theta/2\pi = (n_0 + m_0)/2 = 1$, owing to the parity rule $n_0 = m_0 \pmod{2}$. In particular, spatial fermion loops $\psi(\gamma)$ considered as topological defects are actually invisible to all excitations. The ‘fermion condensation’ picture associated to the gauging of the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry is indeed realized as advertised.

The physical electric charge of the electron is given by twice the charge of semions Q , owing to the mentioned normalization of the coupling $2Ad\zeta = A_\mu J_{EM}^\mu$ in (4.69), leading to

$$Q_{EM} = \int_{S^2} *J_{EM} = \frac{2}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d\tilde{\zeta} = 2Q = 2\frac{n_0}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (4.72)$$

in both sectors (recall also the field commutator $[Q, \psi(x)] = \psi(x)/2$ (3.15)). The magnetic charge is again $1/2$.

We remark that the normalization (4.72) of the physical charge also implies standard fermionic parity anomaly (2.3), with $k = 1$, upon integration of the action (4.69) over all dynamical fields. Actually, the same occurs in the bulk BF theory (2.2), by considering the more general electromagnetic coupling parameterized by n ,

$$S_{bulk} = i \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \frac{k}{2\pi} bda + \frac{n}{2\pi} bdA - \frac{1}{8\pi} dadA. \quad (4.73)$$

Here $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for gauge invariance, while we have already fixed $\theta = \pi$. When $k = n$, integrating out a and b yields the correct topological insulator electromagnetic response

$$S_{ind}[A] = \frac{i}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} dAdA. \quad (4.74)$$

From the point of view of getting this result, the BF coupling $k = 2$ also works provided that we take $n = k = 2$.

We now discuss the fate of the topological order of the bulk theory (4.73) for $k = 2$ (setting $A = 0$). Let us consider the manifold $\mathcal{M}_4 = S^2 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, for convenience. In Hamiltonian formulation, the topological order is equal to the dimension of the representation of the loop and surface operators algebra

$$W = e^{i \int_{S^1} a}, \quad U = e^{i \int_{S^2} b}, \quad WU = e^{i\pi} UW, \quad (4.75)$$

(given by 2). These express the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ global symmetry, in which U is the symmetry generator and W is the charged state for one subgroup and viceversa for the other one. The gauging by the B field leads to the action (cf. (4.69) as well as (4.58) with $\zeta \rightarrow 2\zeta$)

$$S_{bulk} = i \frac{2}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} b da + (da + b)B, \quad (4.76)$$

where actually the term daB vanishes (for vanishing a values at $t = \pm\infty$). We are therefore effectively gauging the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry generated by U .

In this equation, the integration over B is a discrete Gauss law: physical states should be annihilated by the symmetry generator U in (4.75). From the representation of the loop algebra on the two-dimensional ground state manifold $|\Omega_{\pm}\rangle$,

$$W|\Omega_{\pm}\rangle = \pm|\Omega_{\pm}\rangle, \quad U|\Omega_{\pm}\rangle = |\Omega_{\mp}\rangle, \quad (4.77)$$

we can easily conclude that there is a single gauge invariant state, $|phys\rangle = |\Omega_{+}\rangle + |\Omega_{-}\rangle$, which is left invariant by U . Therefore, the gauging by B leads to a single ground state, *i.e.* it eliminates the topological order. This is expected, since the topological order can be seen as the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry that we are gauging [34, 36].

This completes the map from the $k = 2$ bosonic loop model to the fermionic theory. We have found that there are two bosonic theories which lead to the same fermionic theory after gauging the characteristic one-form symmetry.

A final remark concerns the fate of the duality symmetry obeyed by the loop model. The fermionic partition function $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ (4.46) is not self-dual under the $k = 1$ transformation of the original theory (2.17), owing to the additional states with half-integer M_0 values. $Z_f[\eta_{\pm}]$ is instead invariant for the $k = 2$ transformation:

$$g_0 \leftrightarrow \frac{4}{g_0}, \quad n_0 \leftrightarrow m_0, \quad (4.78)$$

because bosonic (2.20) and fermionic (4.46) spectra only differ for the parity rule, which is invariant under $n_0 \leftrightarrow m_0$.

More importantly, the fermionic theory is self-dual under a variant of the particle–vortex map which holds between fermionic theories [9, 48]. It is defined on the action (4.69), conveniently rewritten in terms of tilded variables, by replacing the A background with the dynamical field c and adding a BF coupling $cdA/4\pi$, having $1/2$

normalization.¹⁸ Integration over c leads to an equivalent action with $\tilde{a} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\zeta}$ and $g_0 \leftrightarrow 4/g_0$. As a matter of fact, the bosonic $k = 2$ and fermionic dualities are effectively the same transformation. The self-dual value is $g_F \equiv g_0/2 = 1$: this mismatch by a factor of two is also found in the self-dualities of mixed-dimension $\text{QED}_{4,3}$ with fermionic and bosonic matter [25, 26], which correspond to the loop model for large number of flavors.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we developed the analysis of the effective field theory of 3+1 dimensional topological insulators, based on the bulk BF action [3] and the boundary loop model providing the dynamics for surface excitations [4]. Among the interesting features of this model motivating our study, we remark the presence of a critical line with conformal invariance, and of solitonic states with electric and magnetic charges N_0 and M_0 , whose spectrum of scaling dimensions is known exactly.

We showed that the solitonic local operators $\sigma(x), \mu(x)$ can be represented by open Wilson lines of the two gauge fields a, ζ , but also in terms of monopoles of the dual field, employing results of Refs. [5, 6, 17]. These identifications are exact in the topological limit of the loop model, where the interaction strength g_0 vanishes. For $g_0 \neq 0$, we computed the soliton correlation functions and verified their conformal invariance, with scaling dimensions in agreement with the results of the partition function. Actually, the derivation is simpler in terms of the dual monopoles, while that using Wilson lines requires some assumptions on the dynamics of fluctuating loops at criticality. We then defined the fermion field ψ as the product $\sigma\mu$ with charges $(N_0, M_0) = (1, 1/2)$.

The bosonization of 2+1 dimensional fermions not only requires the identification of the fermion field, but also of other properties, such as the fermion number \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry $(-1)^F$, the spin sectors and the time reversal symmetry obeying $\mathcal{T}^2 = (-1)^F$. These features have been implemented in the bosonic loop model by using the construction of Refs. [15, 16]. This was originally formulated for bulk topological theories on triangulated manifolds, but can be extended to the continuum and for boundary theories, which include a topological part and an interaction term (for $g_0 \neq 0$).

The map leading from the bosonic to the fermion theory starts from the identification of the $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ one-form symmetry generated by fermion loops, and its universal anomaly. Once this anomaly is canceled by the bulk, the symmetry is gauged by a coupling to a dynamical \mathbb{Z}_2 flat two-form field B . The following results follows:

¹⁸Note that this transformation is not entirely correct, because the $1/2$ coupling is not actually gauge invariant. A more refined definition is found in section 4 of [10]. Much like as in the FQHE case (3.1), one should add a new dynamical gauge field such that everything is gauge invariant. This extra field cannot be integrated out because of inconsistent flux quantization. However, integrating it out naively yields the duality stated in [9, 48], which is sufficient for our purposes.

- The B field implements the half-integer magnetic charge of the fermion, and divides the bosonic spectrum into sectors. The B field also generates the fermion number symmetry and enters in the definition of time reversal transformations.
- The gauging of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ symmetry determines the spin sectors of the fermionic theory. Furthermore, physical states are selected by the requirement of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ gauge invariance.

The new ingredients of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{(1)}$ gauge symmetry and B field are very important for the correct definition of a fermionic theory and thus fermionic topological phases. The standard effective theory based on the BF theory is not sufficient and only applies to bosonic topological phases. Actually, we showed that two BF theories, with $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ couplings, are mapped into the same fermionic theory.

The fact that the BF theory by itself is not sufficient for describing a fermionic topological phase is actually expected on general grounds: it is believed that while bosonic phases have a TQFT effective description, the low energy description of a fermionic phase is a spin TQFT [1, 16, 42]. In this work, we made explicit this difference by using bosonization methods to show how the theory partition function (and thus the physical states) changes under the fermionization map.

A related aspect is the interplay between fermionization of bulk and boundary theories, needed for accommodating respective fermionic excitations, higher-form \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries and anomalies. In this work, we studied the map for the boundary theory and did not delve into the proper definition of bulk fermions.

The 2+1 bosonization discussed in this work can be further investigated by analyzing other aspects of the fermionic theory and other geometries. The bosonization of 3+1 dimensional relativistic fermions is also very interesting for its potential application to nonperturbative physics of fundamental interactions, and can be similarly formulated by considering 4+1d topological theories and topological insulators [49].

Acknowledgements We would like to thank A. G. Abanov, P. B. Wiegmann and G. R. Zemba for interesting exchanges on the topics of this work. The work of A.C. has been partially supported by the grants PRIN 2017 and PRIN 2022 provided by the Italian Ministry of University and Research.

A Computation of soliton correlation function

In this appendix we explicitly compute the correlator (3.26). This amounts to the evaluation of the action (2.14) on the monopole solution $f(x_1) - f(x_2)$, with f given in (3.25). We thus have:

$$\langle \sigma_{N_0}(0) \sigma_{N_0}^\dagger(R) \rangle = e^{-S(0,R)}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with

$$S(0, R) \equiv S[N_0(f(0) - f(R))] = \frac{k^2}{16\pi^3 g_0} \int dx^3 dy^3 F_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} F_{\mu\nu}(y), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_0}{2k} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \left(\frac{x_\rho}{|x|^3} - \frac{(x-R)_\rho}{|x-R|^3} \right).$$

We neglect the ‘self-interaction terms’ ($f(0)f(0)$ and $f(R)f(R)$) that arise in evaluating $S(0, R)$: they are not relevant for determining how the correlator depends on R and can be reabsorbed by a normalization of $\sigma(x)$. The object to evaluate is then

$$S(0, R) = -\frac{N_0^2}{16\pi^3 g_0} \int dx^3 dy^3 \frac{x^\mu (y-R)_\mu}{|x|^3 |x-y|^2 |y-R|^3}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

This dimensionless integral is both infrared and ultraviolet divergent: the infrared cutoff is the system size L and the ultraviolet length is roughly the monopole distance R . Thus we expect the result $S = -c \log(L/R)$ and need to determine the coefficient. Using

$$\partial_\mu \frac{1}{|x|} = -\frac{x_\mu}{|x|^3},$$

and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$S(0, R) = \frac{N_0^2}{8\pi^3 g_0} \int dx^3 dy^3 \frac{1}{|x||x-y|^4|y-R|}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Denominators can be exponentiated by using the Gamma function identity

$$\frac{1}{x^z} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty dt t^{z-1} e^{-xt}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

leading to

$$S(0, R) = \frac{N_0^2}{8\pi^4 g_0} \int d^3x d^3y \int_0^\infty dt du ds t^{-1/2} u^{-1/2} s e^{-x^2 t - (y-R)^2 u - (x-y)^2 s}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The integrals over x and y are now Gaussian and yields:

$$S(0, R) = \frac{N_0^2}{8\pi g_0} \int_0^\infty dt du ds \frac{t^{-1/2} u^{-1/2} s}{(ut + ts + su)^{3/2}} \exp\left\{-R^2 \frac{uts}{ut + ts + su}\right\} =$$

$$= \frac{N_0^2}{8\pi g_0} \int_0^\infty dt du \frac{t^{-1/2} u^{-1/2}}{(ut + t + u)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \exp\left\{-sR^2 \frac{ut}{ut + t + u}\right\}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where in the second expression we changed variables $u = su'$, $t = st'$ and renamed again u', t' as u, t . The integral over s is divergent for $s \rightarrow 0$: this is the infrared divergence which we regularize by requiring $s > 1/L^2$, given that s has mass dimension 2. We find

$$\int_{1/L^2}^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-sR^2 A} = E_1(R^2 A/L^2) = -\gamma - \log(R^2 A/L^2) + O(R^2/L^2), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$A \equiv \frac{ut}{ut + t + u},$$

where $E_1(z)$ is the exponential integral function with the following expression off the negative real axis:

$$E_1(z) = -\gamma - \log(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^k}{k(k!)}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Inserting (A.8) in the full expression of $S(0, R)$ yields

$$S(0, R) = -\frac{N_0^2}{8\pi g_0} \int_0^\infty dt du \frac{t^{-1/2} u^{-1/2}}{(ut + t + u)^{3/2}} \{ \gamma + \log(R^2/L^2) + \log\left(\frac{ut}{ut + t + u}\right) + O(R^2/L^2) \}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Only the term proportional to $\log(R^2)$ is actually meaningful: higher order contributions $O(R^2/L^2)$ can be neglected in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, while the other two terms are independent from R and can be reabsorbed in field redefinitions. We are thus left to compute

$$S(0, R) = -\frac{N_0^2}{8\pi g_0} \log(R^2/L^2) \int_0^\infty dt du \frac{t^{-1/2} u^{-1/2}}{(ut + t + u)^{3/2}}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The remaining integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty dt \frac{1}{(t(ut + t + u)^3)^{1/2}} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u^{3/2}(1+u)^{1/2}} = -4, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

is divergent for $u \rightarrow 0$ as $\int du/u^{3/2}$ (UV divergence) and can be computed by analytic continuation (or by inserting a cut-off and keeping the finite part).

The final result is

$$S(0, R) = \frac{N_0^2}{2\pi g_0} \log(R^2/L^2), \quad (\text{A.13})$$

and therefore

$$\langle \sigma_{N_0}(0) \sigma_{N_0}^\dagger(R) \rangle = e^{-S(0,R)} = \left(\frac{L}{R}\right)^{2\Delta_\sigma}, \quad \Delta_\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{N_0^2}{g_0}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

This completes the derivation of the σ correlation function.

References

- [1] X.-G. Wen, *Colloquium: Zoo of quantum-topological phases of matter*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **89** (2017) 041004.
- [2] C.-K. Chiu, J.C. Teo, A.P. Schnyder and S. Ryu, *Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **88** (2016) 035005.
- [3] G.Y. Cho and J.E. Moore, *Topological field theory description of topological insulators*, *Annals of Physics* **326** (2011) 1515.

- [4] F. Andreucci, A. Cappelli and L. Maffi, *Quantization of a self-dual conformal theory in $(2+1)$ dimensions*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** (2020) 1.
- [5] J. Frohlich, R. Gotschmann and P. Marchetti, *Bosonization of fermi systems in arbitrary dimension in terms of gauge forms*, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **28** (1995) 1169.
- [6] E.C. Marino, *Quantum field theory approach to condensed matter physics*, Cambridge University Press (2017).
- [7] R. Arouca, A. Cappelli and H. Hansson, *Quantum field theory anomalies in condensed matter physics*, *SciPost Physics Lecture Notes* (2022) 062.
- [8] E. Fradkin, *Disorder operators and their descendants*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **167** (2017) 427.
- [9] A. Karch and D. Tong, *Particle-vortex duality from 3d bosonization*, *Physical Review X* **6** (2016) 031043.
- [10] N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang and E. Witten, *A duality web in $2+1$ dimensions and condensed matter physics*, *Annals of Physics* **374** (2016) 395.
- [11] Y.-A. Chen, A. Kapustin and Đ. Radičević, *Exact bosonization in two spatial dimensions and a new class of lattice gauge theories*, *Annals of Physics* **393** (2018) 234.
- [12] H. Goldman and E. Fradkin, *Loop models, modular invariance, and three-dimensional bosonization*, *Physical Review B* **97** (2018) 195112.
- [13] R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, *Topological gauge theories and group cohomology*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **129** (1990) 393.
- [14] E. Witten, *Fermion path integrals and topological phases*, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **88** (2016) 035001.
- [15] D. Gaiotto and A. Kapustin, *Spin tqfts and fermionic phases of matter*, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **31** (2016) 1645044.
- [16] L. Bhardwaj, D. Gaiotto and A. Kapustin, *State sum constructions of spin-tfts and string net constructions of fermionic phases of matter*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (2017) 1.
- [17] J. Fröhlich and P. Marchetti, *Soliton quantization in lattice field theories*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **112** (1987) 343.
- [18] A. Cappelli, E. Randellini and J. Sisti, *Three-dimensional topological insulators and bosonization*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (2017) 1.
- [19] E. Fradkin and S. Kivelson, *Modular invariance, self-duality and the phase transition between quantum hall plateaus*, *Nuclear Physics B* **474** (1996) 543.
- [20] E. Witten, *Three lectures on topological phases of matter*, *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento* **39** (2016) 313.

- [21] A.P.O. Chan, T. Kvorning, S. Ryu and E. Fradkin, *Effective hydrodynamic field theory and condensation picture of topological insulators*, *Phys. Rev. B* **93** (2016) 155122.
- [22] H.-G. Zirnstein and B. Rosenow, *Cancellation of quantum anomalies and bosonization of three-dimensional time-reversal symmetric topological insulators*, *Physical Review B* **88** (2013) 085105.
- [23] S.D. Geraedts and O.I. Motrunich, *Line of continuous phase transitions in a three-dimensional $u(1)$ loop model with $1/r$ 2 current-current interactions*, *Physical Review B* **85** (2012) 144303.
- [24] A. Polyakov, *Quark confinement and topology of gauge theories*, *Nuclear Physics B* **120** (1977) 429.
- [25] W.-H. Hsiao and D.T. Son, *Duality and universal transport in mixed-dimension electrodynamics*, *Physical Review B* **96** (2017) 075127.
- [26] W.-H. Hsiao and D.T. Son, *Self-dual $\nu = 1$ bosonic quantum hall state in mixed-dimensional qed*, *Physical Review B* **100** (2019) 235150.
- [27] D. Dudal, A.J. Mizher and P. Pais, *Exact quantum scale invariance of three-dimensional reduced qed theories*, *Physical Review D* **99** (2019) 045017.
- [28] A. Cappelli and G.R. Zemba, *Modular invariant partition functions in the quantum hall effect*, *Nuclear Physics B* **490** (1997) 595.
- [29] E. Witten, *Non-abelian bosonization in two dimensions*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **92** (1984) 455.
- [30] R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, *Self-dual fields as charge-density solitons*, *Physical Review Letters* **59** (1987) 1873.
- [31] A. Cappelli, G.V. Dunne, C.A. Trugenberger and G.R. Zemba, *Conformal symmetry and universal properties of quantum Hall states*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **398** (1993) 531 [[hep-th/9211071](#)].
- [32] P. Ginsparg, *Applied conformal field theory*, *arXiv preprint hep-th/9108028* (1988) .
- [33] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, *Physics of three-dimensional bosonic topological insulators: Surface-deconfined criticality and quantized magnetoelectric effect*, *Physical Review X* **3** (2013) 011016.
- [34] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg and B. Willett, *Generalized global symmetries*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2015** (2015) 1.
- [35] R. Thorngren, *Anomalies and bosonization*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **378** (2020) 1775.
- [36] J. McGreevy, *Generalized symmetries in condensed matter*, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **14** (2023) 57.
- [37] P.R. Gomes, *An introduction to higher-form symmetries*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01817* (2023) .

- [38] L. Bhardwaj, L.E. Bottini, L. Fraser-Taliente, L. Gladden, D.S. Gould, A. Platschorre et al., *Lectures on generalized symmetries*, *Physics Reports* **1051** (2024) 1.
- [39] R. Luo, Q.-R. Wang and Y.-N. Wang, *Lecture notes on generalized symmetries and applications*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09215* (2023) .
- [40] A. Kapustin and N. Seiberg, *Coupling a qft to a tqft and duality*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2014** (2014) 1.
- [41] A. Karch, D. Tong and C. Turner, *A web of 2d dualities: \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge fields and arf invariants*, *SciPost Physics* **7** (2019) 007.
- [42] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, *Fermionic spt phases in higher dimensions and bosonization*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (2017) 1.
- [43] X. Chen, A. Tiwari and S. Ryu, *Bulk-boundary correspondence in $(3+1)$ -dimensional topological phases*, *Physical Review B* **94** (2016) 045113.
- [44] P. Ye and Z.-C. Gu, *Vortex-line condensation in three dimensions: a physical mechanism for bosonic topological insulators*, *Physical Review X* **5** (2015) 021029.
- [45] B. Binegar, *Relativistic field theories in three dimensions*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **23** (1982) 1511.
- [46] R. Jackiw and V.P. Nair, *Relativistic wave equation for anyons*, *Physical Review D* **43** (1991) 1933.
- [47] T. Hansson, V. Oganesyan and S.L. Sondhi, *Superconductors are topologically ordered*, *Annals of Physics* **313** (2004) 497.
- [48] M. Metlitski and A. Vishwanath, *Particle-vortex duality of 2d dirac fermion from electric-magnetic duality of 3d topological insulators*, in *APS March Meeting Abstracts*, vol. 2016, pp. K51–006, 2016.
- [49] A.G. Abanov and A. Cappelli, *Hydrodynamics, anomaly inflow and bosonic effective field theory*, *arXiv e-prints* (2024) [arXiv:2403.12360](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12360) [[2403.12360](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12360)].