

CONES OF MONOTONE FUNCTIONS GENERATED BY A GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION

N.A. BOKAYEV¹, A.GOGATISHVILI², A.N. ABEK^{1,*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the generalized fractional maximal function and use it to introduce the space of generalized fractional maximal functions and the various cones of monotone functions generated by generalized fractional maximal functions $M_\Phi f$. We introduced three function classes. We give equivalent descriptions of such cones when the function Φ belongs to some function classes. The conditions for their mutual covering are given. Then, these cones are used to construct a criterion for embedding the space of generalized fractional maximal functions into the rearrangement invariant spaces (RIS). The optimal RIS for such embedding is also described.

Keywords: : rearrangement function, invariant spaces, maximal function, function spaces, cones, mutual covering, embedding.

AMS Subject Classification: 42B25, 46E30, 47L07, 47B38

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the characteristics of function cones exhibiting monotonicity, which are specifically designed for the generalized fractional maximal functions $M_\Phi f$. These functions are defined for $f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$(M_\Phi f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \Phi(r) \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

under certain assumptions on the function $\Phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, where $B(x, r)$ is a ball with the center at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and radius r , $E = E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the rearrangement-invariant space.

In the case $\Phi(r) = r^{\alpha-n}$, $\alpha \in (0; n)$ we obtain the classical fractional maximal function $M_\alpha f$:

$$(M_\alpha f)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^{n-\alpha}} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

In this paper, we develop some of the results of [4, 5]. We introduce the space of generalized fractional maximal functions constructed by a generalized fractional maximal operator. The base space is a rearrangement-invariant space more general than the Lebesgue space L_p .

We introduce four types of cones consisting of non-increasing rearrangements of a generalized fractional-maximal function. The conditions for their mutual covering are determined.

We employ the axioms of Banach function spaces and rearrangement-invariant spaces as outlined in reference [3], and leverage findings from papers [15-16], which introduce the notions of cone embedding and coverings.

¹L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, K.Munaitpasov str., 23, 010008 Astana, Kazakhstan
e-mail: bokayev2011@yandex.ru, azhar.abekova@gmail.com*

²Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Zitna str., 25, CZ-11567, Prague, Czech Republic,
e-mail: gogatish@math.cas.cz

Manuscript received May 2023.

Classical fractional maximal functions and the Riesz potential plays an important role in the theory of functions and in the theory of operators in function spaces, in harmonic analysis, potential theory, and PDE [20, 27, 30] and have important applications to the Navier-Stokes equations (see [31]) and to the Schrödinger equations (see [19]).

These operators can be used in the theory of mathematical modeling and in various issues of optimization problems [1-2, 10-11, 24-25, 28-29, 34].

It's important to recognize that understanding the characterization of decreasing rearrangements of potentials and generalized fractional-maximal functions is fundamental in obtaining additional insights into their integral properties. The theory of the maximal functions and the theory of Riesz potentials in classical Lebesgue spaces are described in detail in the books [3], [18], [31-32], [35]. Note that the questions of the boundedness of the classical fractional-maximal operator on general Morrey-type spaces were considered in [8], [9].

The different versions of the generalized fractional-maximal function were previously explored in [21], [23], [26-27]. In those studies, the focus was on investigating the boundedness of the generalized fractional-maximal operators across different function spaces.

The cones generated by non-increasing rearrangements of the generalized Riesz and Bessel potential were considered by M.L. Goldman [14-16] and by others [6], [7], [17]. In these papers the questions of their mutual covering and the questions of embedding the space of the generalized Riesz and Bessel potentials in rearrangement-invariant spaces were considered. Here we prove that the cones generated by the generalized fractional-maximal function are estimated by the corresponding cones from the generalized Riesz potentials, i.e. the cone of monotone functions generated by generalized fractional functions is covered by the corresponding cone of monotone functions generated by generalized Riesz potentials. The estimates for non-increasing rearrangements of a generalized fractional-maximal function are given.

During this estimate, we are obtaining nonlinear so-called suprema operators. We can't use dual operators and we need to develop a new approach for investigating such situations. We are using new results developed for suprema operators to obtain a description of the optimal rearrangements-invariant space for the embedding of the cone of monotone functions generated by generalized fractional functions into rearrangements-invariant spaces.

The article is structured as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary definitions. In Section 3 we introduce four types of cones consisting of non-increasing rearrangements of a generalized fractional-maximal function. The conditions for their mutual covering are determined. Section 4 explores the embedding of the generalized fractional-maximal function space into rearrangement-invariant spaces. This involves embedding a set of decreasing rearrangements into the corresponding rearrangement-invariant space. We provide a detailed account of the most suitable rearrangement-invariant space for such embedding. Additionally, we establish some notation conventions. Throughout this work, we use the letters C , C_1 , C_2 for a positive constant, independent of appropriate parameters and not necessarily the same at each occurrence. The notation $f(x) \cong g(x)$ means that there are constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 f(t) \leq g(t) \leq C_2 f(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

2. PRELIMINARY INFORMATION

Consider a space (S, Σ, μ) equipped with a measure. Here, Σ represents a σ -algebra of subsets of the set S , over which a non-negative, σ -finite and σ -additive measure μ . Let $L_0 = L_0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ denote the collection of μ -measurable real-valued functions $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Additionally, let L_0^+ be

a subset of L_0 comprising non-negative functions:

$$L_0^+ = \{f \in L_0 : f \geq 0\}.$$

By $L_0^+(0, \infty; \downarrow)$ we denote the set of all non-increasing functions from $L_0^+(0, \infty)$.

In this work, we will use the concepts of a Banach-functional space (briefly: BFS), introduced by C.Bennett, R.Sharpley [3], as well as the concepts of an ideal space (briefly: IS) considered in the book by S.G.Krein, Yu.I.Petunin and E.M.Semenov [22].

Definition 2.1. [3] A functional norm, denoted as $\rho : L_0^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, satisfies the following conditions for all $f, g, f_n \in L_0^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

(P1) $\rho(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0$, μ -almost everywhere (briefly: μ -a.e.);

$\rho(\alpha f) = \alpha \rho(f)$, $\alpha \geq 0$; $\rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ (properties of the norm);

(P2) $f \leq g$, (μ - a.e.) $\Rightarrow \rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$ (monotony of the norm);

(P3) $f_n \uparrow f \Rightarrow \rho(f_n) \rightarrow \rho(f)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) (the Fatou property);

(P4) $0 < \mu(\sigma) < \infty \Rightarrow \int_{\sigma} f d\mu \leq c_{\sigma} \rho(f)$, $f \in L_0^+$. (Local integrability);

(P5) $0 < \mu(\sigma) < \infty \Rightarrow \rho(\chi_{\sigma}) < \infty$ (finiteness of the FN for characteristic functions (χ_{σ}) of sets of finite measure).

Here $f_n \uparrow f$ means that $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ (μ -a.e.).

Definition 2.2. Let ρ represent a functional norm. A Banach function space (abbreviated as BFS), denoted as $X = X(\rho)$, consists of functions from L_0 such that $\rho(|f|) < \infty$. For any $f \in X$, we define the norm

$$\|f\|_X = \rho(|f|).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$ and $L_0 = L_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The non-increasing rearrangement f^* of a measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^n is defined as

$$f^*(t) = \inf \{y > 0 : \lambda_f(y) \leq t\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$\lambda_f(y) = \mu_n \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

is the Lebesgue distribution function, μ_n is Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n [3]. It is known that $0 \leq f^* \downarrow$; $f^*(t+0) = f^*(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$; f^* is equimeasurable with f , i.e.

$$\mu_1 \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : f^*(t) > y\} = \mu_n \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y\},$$

here μ is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{R}_+ , respectively.

The function f^{**} is defined as

$$f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(\tau) d\tau; \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let $f^{\#} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ represent a symmetrical rearrangement of f , which means it is a radially symmetric, non-negative, decreasing, right-continuous function (with respect to $r = |x|$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) that has the same measure as f . That is

$$f^{\#}(r) = f^*(v_n r^n); \quad f^*(t) = f^{\#} \left(\left(\frac{t}{v_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right), \quad r, t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Here v_n is the volume a unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.3. A functional norm ρ is considered rearrangement-invariant if the inequality $f^* \leq g^*$ implies $\rho(f) \leq \rho(g)$. A Banach function space $X = X(\rho)$, formed by a rearrangement-invariant functional norm ρ , is termed a rearrangement-invariant space, abbreviated as RIS.

The Lebesgue spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as well as the Lorentz and Orlich spaces are examples of rearrangement-invariant spaces.

Everywhere in this work, we denote by $E = E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the rearrangement-invariant space and by $E' = E'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the associated rearrangement invariant space. That is

$$\|f\|_{E'(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g d\mu \right| : f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n), \|f\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

By $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}(R_+)$ we denote the Luxemburg representation of $E(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. rearrangement-invariant space such that

$$\|f\|_E = \|f^*\|_{\tilde{E}}. \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.4. A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called quasi-decreasing (quasi-increasing) if there exists a positive constant number $C > 1$, such that

$$f(t_2) < Cf(t_1) \text{ if } t_1 < t_2 \quad (f(t_1) < Cf(t_2) \text{ if } t_1 < t_2).$$

3. MAIN RESULTS. EQUIVALENT DESCRIPTIONS FOR THE CONES OF REARRANGEMENTS OF GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION

We define the following three function classes.

Definition 3.1. [5] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \in (0; \infty]$. We say that a function $\Phi : (0; R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ belongs to the class $A_n(R)$ if:

- (1) Φ is decreases and continuous on $(0; R)$;
- (2) the function $\Phi(r)r^n$ is quasi-increasing on $(0, R)$.

For example, $\Phi(t) = t^{-\alpha} \in A_n(\infty)$, $0 < \alpha < n$.

Definition 3.2. [5] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $R \in (0; \infty]$. A function $\Phi : (0; R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ belongs to the class $B_n(R)$ if the following conditions hold:

- (1) Φ is decreases and continuous on $(0; R)$;
- (2) There exists a constant $C = C(\Phi) > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^r \Phi(\rho)\rho^{n-1}d\rho \leq C\Phi(r)r^n, \quad r \in (0, R). \tag{2}$$

For example,

$$\Phi(\rho) = \rho^{\alpha-n} \in B_n(\infty) \quad (0 < \alpha < n); \quad \Phi(\rho) = \ln \frac{eR}{\rho} \in B_n(R), \quad R \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

For $\Phi \in B_n(R)$ the following estimate also holds:

$$\int_0^r \Phi(\rho)\rho^{n-1}d\rho \geq n^{-1}\Phi(r)r^n, \quad r \in (0, R). \tag{3}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^r \Phi(\rho)\rho^{n-1}d\rho \cong \Phi(r)r^n, \quad r \in (0, R), \quad (4)$$

$$\Phi \in B_n(R) \Rightarrow \{0 \leq \Phi \downarrow; \Phi(r)r^n \uparrow, r \in (0, R)\}.$$

For each $\alpha \in [1; \infty)$ there exists $\beta = \beta(\alpha, c, n) \in [1; \infty)$ (c is a constant from (2)) such that

$$\left\{ \rho, r \in (0; R); \alpha^{-1} \leq \frac{\rho}{r} \leq \alpha \right\} \Rightarrow \beta^{-1} \leq \frac{\Phi(\rho)}{\Phi(r)} \leq \beta,$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in (0; n) \text{ such that } \Phi(r)r^\gamma \uparrow \text{ on } (0; R).$$

Definition 3.3. [4] Let $R \in (0; \infty]$. We say that a function $\Phi : (0; R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ belongs to the class $D(R)$ if for some $C = C(\Phi) > 0$

$$\int_0^{r^n} \frac{ds}{\Phi(s^{1/n})s} \leq \frac{C}{\Phi(r)}, \quad r \in (0; R). \quad (5)$$

For example,

$$\Phi(t) = t^{-n} \ln(1+t)^\alpha \in D(\infty) \quad (\alpha > 0),$$

$$\Phi(t) = t^{\alpha-n} \in D(\infty) \quad (0 < \alpha < n).$$

Note that relation (5) is equivalent to the inequality (which can be obtained via a variable transformation):

$$\int_0^r \frac{dt}{\Phi(t)t} \leq \frac{C_n}{\Phi(r)}. \quad (6)$$

where $C_n = \frac{C}{n}$.

Lemma 3.1. [4]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R \in (0, \infty]$. Then $B_n(R) \subsetneq A_n(R)$.

The function $\Phi(t) = t^{-n} \ln(1+t)^\alpha$, with $\alpha > 0$, belongs to $A_n(R)$ and $\Phi \notin B_n(R)$ (see [4]).

We define the space of generalized fractional maximal functions $M_E^\Phi \equiv M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the collection of functions u such that there exists a function $f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$u(x) = (M_\Phi f)(x),$$

$$\|u\|_{M_E^\Phi} = \inf \{ \|f\|_E : f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n), M_\Phi f = u \}.$$

Note that the generalized Riesz potential was considered in [14-16], [6], [7], [17] using the convolution operator:

$$(I_G)f(x) = (G * f)(x) = 2\pi^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x-y)f(y)dy,$$

where the kernel $G(x)$ satisfies the conditions:

$$G(x) \cong \Phi(|\rho|), \quad \rho = |x| \in \mathbb{R}_+, \Phi \in B_n(\infty). \quad (7)$$

The classical Riesz potential's kernel takes the shape of

$$G(x) = |x|^{\alpha-n}, \quad \alpha \in (0, n).$$

The following lemma shows that the generalized fractional-maximal function $M_\Phi f(x)$ is estimated by the generalized Riesz potential $I_\Phi f(x)$. This result is somehow in the proof of Theorem

2 in the paper [4]. As it is not formulated in such a way and it will be used many times, we present it as a separate Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. [4]. *Let $\Phi \in B_n(0, \infty)$ and $G(x) = \Phi(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$(M_\Phi f)(x) \leq (I_G |f|)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for all $f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The following three theorems were proved in [4]. We will need them to prove Theorems 3.5; 3.6; 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 3.1. [4] *Let $\Phi \in A_n(\infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C , depending only on Φ and n , such that*

$$(M_\Phi f)^*(t) \leq C \sup_{t < s < \infty} s \Phi(s^{1/n}) f^{**}(s), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

for every $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This inequality is sharp in the sense that for every $\varphi \in L_0^+(0, \infty; \downarrow)$ there exists a function $f \in L^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f^* = \varphi$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$(M_\Phi f)^*(t) \geq C_1 \sup_{t < s < \infty} s \Phi(s^{1/n}) f^{**}(s), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

where C_1 is a positive constant which depends only on Φ and n .

For the case of classical fractional maximal function, such theorem was proved in [12].

Theorem 3.2. [4] *Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C , depending only on Φ and n , such that*

$$(M_\Phi f)^{**}(t) \leq C \sup_{t < s < \infty} s \Phi(s^{1/n}) f^{**}(s), \quad t \in (0, \infty),$$

for every $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 3.3. [4] *Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty) \cap D(\infty)$, then for every $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a positive constant C , depending only on Φ and n , such that*

$$(M_\Phi f)^*(t) \leq C \left(t \Phi(t^{1/n}) f^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) f^*(\tau) \right), \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

Definition 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{S}_T = K(T)$ for $T \in (0, \infty]$ be defined as the collection of cones containing measurable non-negative functions defined on $(0, T)$, together with positive homogeneous functionals $\rho_{K(T)} : K(T) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $h \in K(T)$, $\alpha \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha h \in K(T)$, $\rho_{K(T)}(\alpha h) = \alpha \rho_{K(T)}(h)$;
- (2) $\rho_{K(T)}(h) = 0 \Rightarrow h = 0$ almost everywhere on $(0, T)$.

Definition 3.5. [15] *Let $K(T), M(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_T$. The cone $K(T)$ covers the cone $M(T)$ (notation: $M(T) \prec K(T)$) if there exist $C_0 = C_0(T) \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $C_1 = C_1(T) \in [0, \infty)$ with $C_1(\infty) = 0$ such that for each $h_1 \in M(T)$ there is $h_2 \in K(T)$ satisfying*

$$\rho_{K(T)}(h_2) \leq C_0 \rho_{M(T)}(h_1), \quad h_1(t) \leq h_2(t) + C_1 \rho_{M(T)}(h_1), \quad t \in (0, T).$$

The equivalence of the cones means mutual covering:

$$M(T) \approx K(T) \Leftrightarrow M(T) \prec K(T) \prec M(T).$$

Let E be a rearrangement-invariant space. We examine the following four cones generated by the non-increasing rearrangements of the generalized fractional maximal function, each equipped with homogeneous functionals:

$$K_1 \equiv K_E^\Phi := \{h \in L^+(\mathbb{R}_+) : h(t) = u^*(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in M_E^\Phi\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\rho_{K_1}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{M_E^\Phi} : u \in M_E^\Phi; u^*(t) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\};$$

$$K_2 \equiv \widehat{K}_E^\Phi := \{h : h(t) = u^{**}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in M_E^\Phi\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\rho_{K_2}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{M_E^\Phi} : u \in M_E^\Phi; u^{**}(t) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\};$$

$$K_3 \equiv \widetilde{K}_E^\Phi := \{h \in L^+(\mathbb{R}_+) : h(t) = \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^{**}(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_{K_3}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)}, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^{**}(\tau) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}; \quad (11)$$

$$K_4 \equiv \widetilde{\widetilde{K}}_E^\Phi := \{h \in L^+(\mathbb{R}_+) : h(t) = t \Phi(t^{1/n}) u^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^*(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad (12)$$

$$\rho_{K_4}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)}, u \in E(\mathbb{R}^n) : t \Phi(t^{1/n}) u^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^*(\tau) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}. \quad (13)$$

Aware that Goldman M.L. [14-16] (as well as references [6]-[7], [17]) delve into the examination of cones generated by generalized potentials. They investigate the realm of generalized Riesz potentials denoted as $H_E^G(\mathbb{R}^n)$ within n -dimensional Euclidean space:

$$H_E^G(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u = G * f : f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

$$\|u\|_{H_E^G} = \inf\{\|f\|_E : f \in E(\mathbb{R}^n); G * f = u\},$$

where $E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an rearrangement invariant space. In that work, the following cones of rearrangements for generalized Riesz potential equipped with a positive homogeneous functional were considered:

$$M \equiv M_{E,G}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{h(t) = u^*(t), t \in (0; \infty), u \in H_E^G\},$$

$$\rho_M(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{H_E^G} : u \in H_E^G; u^*(t) = h(t), t \in (0; \infty)\};$$

$$\widetilde{M} \equiv \widetilde{M}_{E,G}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{h(t) = u^{**}(t), t \in (0; \infty) : u \in H_E^G\},$$

$$\rho_{\widetilde{M}}(h) = \inf\{\|u\|_{H_E^G} : u \in H_E^G; u^{**}(t) = h(t), t \in (0; \infty)\}.$$

For $\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ denote

$$\varphi(\tau) = \Phi\left(\left(\frac{\tau}{v_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \in B_1(\infty), f_\Phi(t; \tau) = \min\{\varphi(t), \varphi(\tau)\}.$$

In [15] was also considered the cone

$$K = K_{E,\Phi}(\infty) = \left\{ h(g; t) = h(t) = \int_0^\infty f_\Phi(t; \tau) g(\tau) d\tau, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : g \in \widetilde{E}_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \right\}$$

equipped with a functional

$$\rho_K(h) = \inf\{\|g\|_{\widetilde{E}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)} : h(g; t) = h(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\},$$

where

$$\tilde{E}_0(0, \infty) = \{g \in \tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+) : 0 \leq g \downarrow; g(t+0) = g(t), t \in (\mathbb{R}_+)\}. \quad (14)$$

In [15] was given the equivalent characterization for these cones of decreasing rearrangements of potentials.

The following theorem proves that the cone M generated by the generalized Riesz potential covers the cone generated by the generalized maximal function.

Theorem 3.4. [5] *Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$ and kernel $G(x)$ satisfies the condition (7). Then the cone generated by the generalized potential covers the cone generated by the generalized maximal function, i.e. $K_1 \prec M$.*

The following theorem gives an equivalent description of the cones K_1, K_2, K_3 .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then cones (8), (9), (10) are equivalent:*

$$K_1 \approx K_2 \approx K_3.$$

Proof.

1) It is easy to check that $K_1 \prec K_2$ (see [5]).

2) Prove that $K_2 \prec K_3$. Let $h_3 \in K_2$, then there is $u_2 \in M_E^\Phi$ such that

$$u_2^{**} = h_3, \quad \|u_2\|_{M_E^\Phi} \leq 2\rho_{K_2}(h_3).$$

For $u_2 \in M_E^\Phi$ we find $f_2 \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the condition

$$u_2(x) = (M_\Phi f_2)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \Phi(r) \int_{B(x,r)} |f(\xi)| d\xi,$$

$$\|f_2\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\|u_2\|_{M_E^\Phi}.$$

Hence

$$h_3(t) = (M_\Phi f_2)^{**}(t), \quad \|f_2\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f_2^*\|_{\tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq 4\rho_{K_2}(h_3). \quad (15)$$

According to Theorem 3.2,

$$(M_\Phi f_2)^{**}(t) \leq C \sup_{t<\tau<\infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) f_2^{**}(\tau). \quad (16)$$

We denote

$$h_4 = C \sup_{t<\tau<\infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) f_2^{**}(\tau).$$

Hence, by (15), (16) we have $h_3(t) < h_4(t)$ and

$$\rho_{K_3}(h_4) = \inf \{ \|u\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} : \sup_{t<\tau<\infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u^{**}(\tau) = h_4(t) \} \leq \|f_2\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f_2^*\|_{\tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq 4\rho_{K_2}(h_3).$$

Covering $K_2 \prec K_3$ is proved.

3) Now we prove that the embedding $K_3 \prec K_1$ takes place when $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Let $h_5 \in K_3$. Then there is $u_3 \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$h_5(t) = \sup_{t<\tau<\infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u_3^{**}(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$\|u_3\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\rho_{K_3}(h_5).$$

According to Theorem 3.1 for $u_3 \in L_0^+(0, \infty; \downarrow)$ there exist the function f_3 on \mathbb{R}^n such that $f_3^* = u_3^*$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$(M_\Phi f_3)^*(t) \geq C \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) f_3^{**}(\tau), t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We denote

$$h_6(t) = (M_\Phi f_3)^*(t) \in K_1.$$

Therefore,

$$h_5(t) \leq \frac{1}{C} h_6(t)$$

$$\rho_{K_1}(h_6) \leq \|u_3\|_{M_E^\Phi} \leq \|f_3^*\|_{\tilde{E}(R^+)} = \|u_3\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\rho_{K_3}(h_5).$$

So we proved $K_3 \prec K_1$.

Theorem 3.5 is proved.

Theorem 3.6. 1) Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then for cones (10), (12)

$$K_4 \prec K_3$$

2) Let $\Phi \in D(\infty)$. Then for cones (10), (12)

$$K_3 \prec K_4.$$

3) Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty) \cap D(\infty)$. Then for cones (10), (12)

$$K_3 \approx K_4.$$

Proof. 1) Let $h_1 \in K_4$. Then there is a function $u_1 \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$h_1(t) = t\Phi(t^{1/n})u_1^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau\Phi(\tau^{1/n})u_1^*(\tau) \quad (17)$$

and (see (1), (13))

$$\|u_1\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u_1^*\|_{\tilde{E}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq 2\rho_{K_4}(h_1). \quad (18)$$

By inequality

$$u_1^*(\tau) \leq u_1^{**}(\tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

from (17) we have

$$h_1(t) \leq t\Phi(t^{1/n})u_1^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau\Phi(\tau^{1/n})u_1^{**}(\tau) = \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau\Phi(\tau^{1/n})u_1^{**}(\tau).$$

We denote

$$h_2(t) = \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau\Phi(\tau^{1/n})u_1^{**}(\tau) \in K_3.$$

Then

$$h_1(t) \leq h_2(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Moreover, (see (18), (11))

$$\rho_{K_3}(h_2) \leq \|u_1\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\rho_{K_4}(h_1).$$

Item 1 is proved.

2) Let $h_3 \in K_3$. Then there is a function $u_2 \in E(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$h_3(t) = \sup_{t \leq \tau < \infty} \tau\Phi(\tau^{1/n})u_2^{**}(\tau)$$

and (see (1), (13))

$$\|u_2\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\rho_{K_3}(h_3). \quad (19)$$

According to Theorem 3.3

$$h_3(t) = \sup_{t \leq \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u_2^{**}(\tau) \leq C_1 \left(t \Phi(t^{1/n}) u_2^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u_2^*(\tau) \right).$$

Let's put

$$h_4(t) = C_1 \left(t \Phi(t^{1/n}) u_2^{**}(t) + \sup_{t < \tau < \infty} \tau \Phi(\tau^{1/n}) u_2^*(\tau) \right) \in K_4.$$

So

$$h_3(t) \leq h_4(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Moreover (see (13), (19))

$$\rho_{K_4}(h_4) \leq \|u_2\|_{E(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2\rho_{K_3}(h_3).$$

Item 2 is proved.

3) Item 3 follows from items 1 and 2.

Theorem 3.6 is proved.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty) \cap D(\infty)$. Then*

$$K_1 \approx K_2 \approx K_3 \approx K_4.$$

The Corollary 3.1 follows from Lemma 3.1, Theorem 3.5, and Theorem 3.6.

4. ON EMBEDDING OF SPACES OF GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS IN REARRANGEMENT INVARIANT SPACES

Let $T \in (0, \infty]$, $\mathfrak{S}_T = \{M(T)\}$ the set of cones consisting measurable function on $(0, T)$ equipped with a positive homogeneous functionals $\rho_{M(T)}$ (see Definition 3.5). Let $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the rearrangement invariant space, $\tilde{X}(R^+)$ is their Luxemburg representation (1).

Definition 4.1. [14] *Let $M(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_T$. The embedding $M(T) \mapsto \tilde{X}(0, T)$ means that $M(T) \subset \tilde{X}(0, T)$ and there exists a constant $C_{M(T)}(T) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$\|h\|_{\tilde{X}(0, T)} \leq C_{M(T)} \rho_{M(T)}(h), \quad h \in M(T).$$

Allow $M(T)$ to belong to \mathfrak{S}_T . The mapping $M(T) \mapsto \tilde{X}(0, T)$ implies that $M(T)$ is contained within $\tilde{X}(0, T)$, and there exists a positive constant $C_{M(T)}(T) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for any $h \in M(T)$, we have

Lemma 4.1. (see [14]) *Let $K(T), M(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_T$. For every Banach function space $\tilde{X}(0, T)$ if $M(T) \prec K(T)$ then $K(T) \mapsto \tilde{X}(0, T) \Rightarrow M(T) \mapsto \tilde{X}(0, T)$.*

Corollary 4.1. *Let $K(T), M(T) \in \mathfrak{S}_T$. If $M(T) \approx K(T)$ then $K(T) \mapsto \tilde{X}(0, T) \Leftrightarrow M(T) \mapsto \tilde{X}(0, T)$.*

Let us formulate criteria for embedding of the space of generalized fractional maximal functions in rearrangement invariant spaces $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{20}$$

Definition 4.2. *Let E - RIS. By an optimal RIS for embedding (20) we mean an RIS $X_0 = X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and if (20) is valid for another RIS X , then $X_0 \subset X$. Such an optimal RIS is called a rearrangement-invariant envelope of the space of fractional-maximal functions.*

Let E be a rearrangement-invariant space (RIS). An optimal RIS for embedding (20) is defined as an RIS $X_0 = X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the embedding operator $M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and if (20) holds for another RIS X , then X_0 is a subset of X . This optimal RIS is referred to as a rearrangement-invariant envelope of the space of fractional-maximal functions.

Theorem 4.1. [5] Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then the embedding (20) is equivalence to the following embedding

$$K_1 \mapsto \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

The next corollary follows from Theorem 3.5, Theorem 4.1, and Corollary 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. 1) Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset X(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow K_i \mapsto \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad i = 1; 2; 3.$$

2) Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty) \cap D(\infty)$. Then

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset X(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow K_i \mapsto \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad i = 1; 2; 3; 4.$$

We denote

$$(T_\Phi f)(x) = \sup_{x < t < \infty} \Phi(t^{1/n}) t f^{**}(t),$$

operator $T_\Phi : \tilde{E}_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow X_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $\tilde{E}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ defined by (14).

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Then the embedding

$$K_3 \mapsto \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator T_Φ from $\tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to $\tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof. Indeed, this embedding means that

$$h(t) = T_\Phi f(t) = \sup_{t < s < \infty} \Phi(s^{1/n}) s f^{**}(t) \in \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad f^* \in \tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

$$\|h\|_{\tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_0 \rho_{K_3}(h) \leq C_0 \|f^*\|_{\tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+)},$$

$$\|T_\Phi f\|_{\tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_0 \|f^*\|_{\tilde{E}(\mathbb{R}_+)},$$

which is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator T_Φ .

By Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 we have

$$K_3(\mathbb{R}_+) \mapsto \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+) \Leftrightarrow K_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \mapsto \tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+) \Leftrightarrow M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Next, we need the following result.

Theorem 4.3. [30] Suppose $f, w \in L_0^+$. Then

$$\sup_{g: \int_0^x g \leq \int_0^x f} \int_0^\infty g(x) w(x) dx = \int_0^\infty f(x) \left(\sup_{t \in [x, \infty)} w(t) \right) dx.$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. The optimal RIS $X_0 = X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for embedding

$$M_E^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

possesses an equivalent norm, as expressed in the Luxemburg representation (1):

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{X}_0(0, \infty)} = \sup_{g^*} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^*(\tau) g^*(\tau) d\tau : g \in L_0(0, \infty), \sup_{\int_0^t h(s) ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s) ds} \left\| \int_t^\infty \Phi(s^{1/n}) s h(s) ds \right\|_{E'} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3, the embedding (20) is equivalent to the boundedness of the operator T_Φ from $\tilde{E}_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to $\tilde{X}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, i.e. let us prove the inequality:

$$\|T_\Phi f\|_{X_0} \leq C \|f\|_E.$$

Using the associated space X'_0 for the space X_0 and using the Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_f \frac{\|T_\Phi f\|_{X_0}}{\|f\|_E} &= \sup_f \sup_g \frac{\int_0^\infty (T_\Phi f)(\tau) g^*(\tau) d\tau}{\|f\|_E \|g\|_{X'_0}} \\ &= \sup_f \sup_g \frac{\int_0^\infty g^*(\tau) \sup_{\tau < t < \infty} \Phi(t^{1/n}) t f^{**}(t) d\tau}{\|f\|_E \|g\|_{X'_0}} \\ &= \sup_g \frac{1}{\|g\|_{X'_0}} \sup_f \frac{\int_0^\infty g^*(\tau) \sup_{\tau < t < \infty} \Phi(t^{1/n}) t f^{**}(t) d\tau}{\|f\|_E} \\ &= \sup_g \frac{1}{\|g\|_{X'_0}} \sup_f \sup_{\int_0^t h(s) ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s) ds} \frac{\int_0^\infty f^*(t) \int_t^\infty \Phi(s^{1/n}) s h}{\|f\|_E} \\ &= \sup_g \frac{1}{\|g\|_{X'_0}} \sup_{\int_0^t h(s) ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s) ds} \left\| \int_t^\infty \Phi(s^{1/n}) s h(s) ds \right\|_{E'}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the associate norm we obtain the proof of the Theorem 4.4.

Note that the proof of Theorem 4.4 contains the formula for associate norms of optimal embedding (20). We have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.1. Let $\Phi \in B_n(\infty)$. Let $X_0 = X_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an optimal RIS space for the embedding (20). Then we have the following formula for the associate space X'_0 :

$$\|g\|_{X'_0} = \sup_f \frac{\int_0^\infty g^*(\tau) \sup_{\tau < t < \infty} \Phi(t^{1/n}) t f^{**}(t) d\tau}{\|f\|_E}.$$

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we considered the space of generalized fractional maximal functions and investigated the various cones generated by the nonincreasing rearrangement of generalized fractional maximal functions. The equivalent descriptions of such cones and the conditions for their mutual covering are given. Then these cones are used to construct a criterion for embedding the space of generalized fractional maximal functions into the rearrangement invariant spaces (RIS). The optimal RIS for such embedding is also described.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research of A.N. Abek, N.A. Bokayev, A. Gogatishvili was supported by the grant Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (project no: AP14869887).

The research of A. Gogatishvili was supported by the Czech Academy of Sciences RVO: 67985840, by Czech Science Foundation (GAČR), grant no: 23-04720S, and by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (SRNSF), grant no: FR21-12353.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdeljawad, T., Shah, K., Abdo, M.S., Jarad, F., (2023), An analytical study of fractional delay impulsive implicit systems with Mittag-Leffler law, *Appl.Comput. Math.*, 22(1), pp. 31-44.
- [2] Aliiev, F.A., Aliiev, N., Hajiyeva, N., Safarova, N., Aliyeva R., (2022), Asymptotic method for solution of oscillatory fractional derivative, *Comput. Methods Differ. Equ.*, 10(4), pp. 1123-1130.
- [3] Bennett, C., Sharpley, R., (1988), *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 129, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 469 p.
- [4] Bokayev, N.A., Gogatishvili, A., Abek, A.N., (2023), On estimates of non-increasing rearrangement of generalized fractional maximal function, *Eurasian Math. J.*, 14(2), pp.13-26.
- [5] Bokayev, N.A., Gogatishvili, A., Abek, A.N., (2023), Cones generated by a generalized fractional maximal function, *Bulletin of the Karaganda University Mathematics series*, 110(2), pp. 53-62.
- [6] Bokayev, N.A., Goldman, M.L., Karshygina, G.Zh., (2018), Cones of functions with monotonicity conditions for generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials, *Mathem. Notes*, 104(3), pp.356-373.
- [7] Bokayev, N.A., Goldman, M.L., Karshygina, G.Zh., (2019), Criteria for embeddings of generalized Bessel and Riesz potential spaces in rearrangement invariant spaces, *Eurasian Math. J.*, 10(2), pp.8-29.
- [8] Burenkov, V.I., (2013), Recent progress in studying the boundedness of classical operators of real analysis in general Morrey-type spaces II, *Eurasian Math. J.*, 4(1), pp.21-45.
- [9] Burenkov, V.I., Gogatishvili, A., Guliyev, V.S., Mustafaev, R., (2010) Boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces, *Complex Analysis and Elliptic Equations*, 55(8-10), pp.739-758.
- [10] Butt, S.I., Nasir, J., Dokuyucu, M.A., Akdemir, A.O., Set, E., (2022), Some Ostrowski-Mercer type inequalities for differentiable convex functions via fractional integral operators with strong kernels, *Appl. Comput. Math.*, 21(3), pp. 329-348.
- [11] Nelik, B., Set, E., Akdemir, A.O., Ozdemir, M.E., (2023), Novel generalizations for grous type inequalities pertaining to the constant proportional fractional integrals, *Appl.Comput. Math.*, 22(2), pp. 275-291.
- [12] Cianchi, A., Kerman, R., Opic, B., Pick, L., (2000), A sharp rearrangement inequality for the fractional maximal operator, *Studia Mathematica*, 138(3), pp.277-284.
- [13] Gogatishvili, A., Pick, L., Opic, B., (2006), Weighted inequalities for Hardy-type operators involving suprema, *Collect. Math.*, 57(3), pp.227-255.
- [14] Goldman, M.L.,(2010), On optimal embeddings of Bessel and Riesz potentials, *Proc. of the Steklov Inst. Math.*, 269, pp.91-111.
- [15] Goldman, M.L.,(2010), On the cones of rearrangements for generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 55(8-10), pp.817-832.
- [16] Goldman, M.L.,(2008), Rearrangement invariant envelopes of generalized Bessel and Riesz potentials, *Reports of RAS*, 423(1), pp.151-155.
- [17] Goldman, M.L., Bakhtigareeva, E.G., (2020), Some classes of operators in general Morrey-type spaces, *Eurasian Math. J.*, 11(4),pp.35-44.
- [18] Grafakos, L., (2008), *Classical Fourier Analysis* (Second edition), Springer, 493p.
- [19] Guliyev, V.S., Guliyev, R.V., Omarova, M.N., Ragusa, M.A., (2020), Schrodinger type operators on local generalized Morrey spaces related to certain nonnegative potentials, *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser.*, 5, pp.671-690.
- [20] Guliyev, V.S., (1994), *Integral Operators on Function Spaces on the Homogeneous Groups and on Domains in R_n* , Doctor's degree Dissertation, Moscow Mathematical Institute Steklov, Russia, Moscow, 329p. (In Russian)
- [21] Hakim, D.I., Nakai, E., Sawano, Y., (2016), Generalized fractional maximal operators and vector-valued inequalities on generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces, *Revista Matematica Complutense*, 29, pp.59-90.
- [22] Krein S., G., Petunin, Yu.I., Semenov, E.M., (1978), *Interpolation of Linear Operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 400p.
- [23] Kucukaslan, A., (2020), Equivalence of norms of the generalized fractional integral operator and the generalized fractional maximal operator on the generalized weighted Morrey spaces, *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 11, pp.1007-1026.

- [24] Masic, D., (2023), GOMP inverse of Hilbert spaces operators, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math., 14(2), pp. 195-213.
- [25] Musayev, M.A., Hashimov, S.A., (2023), Identification problem for nonlinear Schrodinger type equation, TWMS J.Pure Appl. Math.,14(2), pp 162-177.
- [26] Mustafayev, R.Ch., Bilgicli, N., (2018), Generalized fractional maximal functions in Lorentz spaces, J. Math. Inequal., 12(3), pp.827-851.
- [27] Mustafayev, R.Ch., Bilgicli, N., Yilmaz, M., (2021), Norms of maximal functions between generalized, classical Lorentz spaces, arXiv:2110.13698v1.
- [28] Nasibov, S.M., (2022), Steklov inequality and its application, TWMS J.Pure Appl. Math.,13(1), pp 10-15.
- [29] Shokri, A., Neta, B., Khalsaraei, M.M., (2022), A new eight-step singularly p-stable method for the numerical solution of the radial Schrodinger equation , TWMS J.Pure Appl. Math., 13(2), pp.133-143.
- [30] Sinnamon, G., (2003), Transferring monotonicity in weighted norm inequalities, Collect. Math, 54(2), pp.181-216
- [31] Stein, E.M., (1973), Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, M.:MIR, 344p.
- [32] Stein, E.M., Weiss, G., (1971), Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton University Press (New Jersey), 320 pp.
- [33] Taylor, M., (1992), Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes and other evolution equations, Commun. Part. Differ. Equ., 17, pp.1407-1456.
- [34] Temirbekov, A.N., Temirbekova, L.N., Zhumagulov, B.T., (2023), Fictitious domain method with the idea of conjugate optimization for non-linear Navier-Stokes equations, Appl. Comput. Math., 22(2), pp. 172-188.
- [35] Triebel, H., (1978), Interpolation Theory Function Spaces Differential Operators, North-Holland Math. Library, 18, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 528p.