# TRAVELLING WAVES FOR MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS IN NONLINEAR AND SYMMETRIC MEDIA

#### JAROSŁAW MEDERSKI AND JACOPO SCHINO

ABSTRACT. We look for travelling wave fields

 $E(x, y, z, t) = U(x, y)\cos(kz + \omega t) + \widetilde{U}(x, y)\sin(kz + \omega t), \quad (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in \mathbb{R},$ 

satisfying Maxwell's equations in a nonlinear and cylindrically symmetric medium. We obtain a sequence of solutions with diverging energy that is different from that obtained by McLeod, Stuart, and Troy in [10]. In addition, we consider a more general nonlinearity, controlled by an N-function.

## INTRODUCTION

We are interested in self-trapped beams of light propagating in a nonlinear dielectric and cylindrically symmetric medium by means of solutions to the system of Maxwell's equations coupled with a nonlinear constitutive relation between the electric field and the electric displacement field. More precisely, we are looking for travelling electric fields of the form

(1.1) 
$$E(x, y, z, t) = U(x, y)\cos(kz + \omega t) + U(x, y)\sin(kz + \omega t),$$

where  $U, \widetilde{U} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  are the profiles of the travelling waves,  $\omega > 0$  is the temporal frequency and  $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  the spatial wave number in the direction of propagation.

In modelling optical nonlinear materials [17], it is natural to seek solutions having cylindrical symmetry, and in physics literature one considers usually transverse electric field modes ( $TE \ modes$ ), or transverse magnetic field modes ( $TM \ modes$ ) [8]. If the electric field is perpendicular to the direction of propagation, i.e.

(1.2) 
$$U(x,y) = \frac{\beta(r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ r = |(x,y)|,$$

then  $E = U(x, y) \cos(kz + \omega t)$  is the cylindrically symmetric TE mode ( $\tilde{U} = 0$ ), and the system of Maxwell's equations can be reduced to the study of a nonlinear second order differential equation with boundary conditions at infinity, see Stuart [18, 19] and the references therein. If the magnetic field *B* is transverse to the direction of propagation, and takes the form

$$B = \frac{\beta(r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos(kz + \omega t),$$

Date: June 4, 2024.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35J20, 58E15; Secondary: 47J30, 35Q60.

Key words and phrases. Maxwell equations, Kerr nonlinearity, curl-curl problem, travelling waves, N-functions, variational methods.

then the equations governing these transverse magnetic field modes are more complicated than those for TE modes because of the form of the constitutive relation in a nonlinear optical medium. However, as observed in [8, 22], when studying TM-modes, the electric field takes the form (1.1) with two profiles U and  $\tilde{U}$ , with possibly  $U \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{U} \neq 0$ .

In order to find the exact propagation of the electromagnetic field in a nonlinear medium according to Maxwell's equations, one has to solve the *nonlinear electromagnetic wave equation* 

(1.3) 
$$\nabla \times \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times E\right) + \partial_{tt} \left(\epsilon E + \chi(\langle |E|^2 \rangle) E\right) = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the permittivity of the medium,  $\mu$  is the magnetic permeability, and  $\chi$  is the scalar nonlinear susceptibility, which depends on the time averaged intensity of E only. For simplicity, we assume that  $\mu = 1$ ; then, in absence of charges and currents, Faraday's law  $\nabla \times E + \partial_t B = 0$  determines the magnetic induction B, and Ampére's law  $\nabla \times B = \partial_t D$  together with div D = 0 and div B = 0 shows that Maxwell's equations are satisfied, where we consider the linear magnetic material law  $B = \mu H = H$  and the nonlinear electric material law  $D = \varepsilon(x, y)E + \chi(\langle |E|^2 \rangle)E$ , and  $\langle |E(x, y, z)|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |E(x, y, z, t)|^2 dt$  is the average intensity of a time-harmonic electric field over one period  $T = 2\pi/\omega$ , see [1, 12, 18, 19]. Altogether, we find the *exact propagation* of the electromagnetic field in a nonlinear medium according to Maxwell's equations. Recall that in *cylindrically symmetric* media,  $\varepsilon$ , U,  $\tilde{U}$  depend only on r = |(x, y)|.

Problem (1.3) has been studied in cylindrically symmetric media in a series of previous works [18–24], where TE and TM modes have been obtained for asymptotically constant susceptibility  $\chi$ , i.e. in the so-called *saturation effect*. In this work, however, we want to treat the probably most common type of nonlinearity in the physics and engineering literature, i.e. the *Kerr nonlinearity* 

$$\chi(\langle |E^2|\rangle)E = \chi^{(3)}\langle |E|^2\rangle E, \quad \chi^{(3)} > 0.$$

Up to our knowledge, the only analytical work in this context is due to McLeod, Stuart and Troy [10], where TE modes were obtained. Namely, they showed that  $\beta(r)$  must satisfy

(1.4) 
$$\beta'' + \frac{1}{r}\beta - \frac{1}{r^2}\beta + \beta^3 - \beta = 0, \quad \beta(0) = \beta(+\infty) = 0$$

(see also Pohl [14]), and for a given integer  $n \ge 1$ , (1.4) has at least one solutions with precisely n zeros in  $(0, +\infty)$  whose derivative has precisely n + 1 zeros. Then, up to some constant  $\varepsilon$  and  $\chi^{(3)}$ , this leads to infinitely many different TE modes  $E = U(r) \cos(kz + \omega t)$  with (1.2). Observe that a TE mode of this form is divergence free and  $\widetilde{U} = 0$ .

The aim of this work is to find infinitely many fields E of the form (1.1) which need not be divergence free, hence different from TE modes. Moreover, we admit a permittivity depending on r, U need not to be of the form (1.2), and the ODE methods do not seem to be applicable. Our approach is variational, and recall that the first author and Reichel in [12] have recently observed that the search for travelling waves of the form (1.1) satisfying (1.3) leads to the following nonlinear elliptic problem

(1.5) 
$$L\begin{pmatrix}U\\\widetilde{U}\end{pmatrix} - \omega^2 \epsilon(x,y)\begin{pmatrix}U\\\widetilde{U}\end{pmatrix} = \omega^2 \chi \left(\frac{1}{2}(|U|^2 + |\widetilde{U}|^2)\right)\begin{pmatrix}U\\\widetilde{U}\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{yy} + k^2 & \partial_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k\partial_x \\ \partial_{xy} & -\partial_{xx} + k^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k\partial_y \\ 0 & 0 & -\partial_{xx} - \partial_{yy} & k\partial_x & k\partial_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -k\partial_x & -\partial_{yy} + k^2 & \partial_{xy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -k\partial_y & \partial_{xy} & -\partial_{xx} + k^2 & 0 \\ -k\partial_x & -k\partial_y & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_{xx} - \partial_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the second-order differential operator  $L: \operatorname{dom}(L) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^6$ , which is elliptic and self-adjoint, and

dom(L) := 
$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 : L \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \right\},$$

where  $L((U, \tilde{U})^T)$  is defined in the sense of distributions. In view of [12, Theorem 2.3], the spectrum  $\sigma(L)$  equals  $\{0\} \cup [k^2, \infty)$ , where 0 is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity and  $[k^2, \infty)$  consists of absolutely continuous spectrum. In [12], periodic media have been considered, but we borrow some ideas of the functional setting from this work to investigate the cylindrically symmetric situation.

We look for solutions  $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^6$  to the following slight generalization of (1.5) given by

(1.6) 
$$Lu - V(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

involving the operator L, where we assume f(u) = F'(u). From now on, the spacial variable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  will be denoted by x instead of (x, y). Observe that, if

(1.7) 
$$V(x) = \omega^2 \varepsilon(x), \quad F(u) = \omega^2 \chi\left(\frac{1}{2}|u|^2\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^6,$$

then (1.6) leads to (1.5) and we obtain the *exact* propagation of the travelling electromagnetic waves (E, B), where E is given by (1.1) and B is provided by Faraday's law and a subsequent time integration.

By a (weak) solution to (1.6) we mean a critical point of the functional

(1.8) 
$$J(u) := \frac{1}{2}b_L(u, u) - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x)|u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u) \, dx$$

defined on a Banach space  $X \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  given later, where p > 2. Here,  $b_L(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the bilinear form associated with L, i.e.  $b_L(u, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle Lu, \varphi \rangle dx$  for all  $u \in \text{dom}(L)$  and all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ .

Now, for  $\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and for  $\beta, \widetilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^3$  let us denote

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \widetilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \alpha \\ \partial_y \alpha \\ k\widetilde{\alpha} \\ \partial_x \widetilde{\alpha} \\ \partial_y \widetilde{\alpha} \\ -k\alpha \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^6, \qquad \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \widetilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \beta_3 - k \beta_2 \\ k\widetilde{\beta}_1 - \partial_x \beta_3 \\ \partial_x \beta_2 - \partial_y \beta_1 \\ \partial_y \widetilde{\beta}_3 + k \beta_2 \\ -k \beta_1 - \partial_x \widetilde{\beta}_3 \\ \partial_x \widetilde{\beta}_2 - \partial_y \widetilde{\beta}_1 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^6,$$

and observe that  $L = \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times, \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times \overset{\circ}{\nabla} = 0$ , and thus  $w := \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \widetilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in \ker(L)$ . Moreover, J may be unbounded above and below and its critical points may have infinite Morse index due to the infinite-dimensional kernel of L.

Due to the strongly indefinite nature of the problem and in order to control a large class of nonlinear phenomena, we need to introduce the notion of N-functions. We assume that there exists an N-function  $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  with the following properties.

(N1)  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  and  $\nabla_2$  conditions globally.

(N2) 
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} = 0.$$
  
(N3) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} = \infty$$

Let us collect assumptions about V and F:

- (V)  $V \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is radially symmetric, positive, bounded away from 0, and ess sup  $V < k^2$ .
- (F0)  $F \colon \mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, nonnegative, and of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ .
- (F1) f(u) = o(|u|) as  $u \to 0$ .
- (F2) There exist  $c_1 > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^6$

$$|f(u)| \le c_1 (1 + \Phi'(|u|))$$
 for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^6$ .

(F3) There exists  $\gamma > 2$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^6$ 

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\langle f(u), u \rangle \ge F(u) \ge c_2 \Phi(|u|).$$

Our model example is  $F(u) = \frac{1}{p}|u|^p$  with p > 2, where p = 4 is responsible for the Kerr effect, and then the N-function is of power type  $|u|^p$ . In general, we can admit more example, e.g.

(1.9) 
$$f(u) = \begin{cases} |u|^{q-2}u\ln(1+|u|), & \text{for } |u| > 1, \\ |u|^{p-2}u\ln(2), & \text{for } |u| \le 1, \end{cases}$$

where p, q > 2. Then,  $\Phi(t) = F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds$ , (F1)–(F3) are satisfied, but F cannot be controlled both above and below by any power-type N-function.

Let  $g \in \mathcal{SO}(2)$  and let  $u \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ . We define

$$\widetilde{g} := \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6}$$

and

$$(g \star u)(x) := \widetilde{g}u(g^{-1}x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that (V), (F0)-(F3) are satisfied and F is radial. Then there exist infinitely many solutions to (1.6) of the form  $u_n = v_n + w_n$  such that  $J(u_n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $v_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ ,  $v_n \neq 0$ ,  $w_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ ,  $Lw_n = 0$ , and  $g \star u_n = u_n$ . Moreover, each

 $u_n = \begin{pmatrix} U_n \\ \widetilde{U}_n \end{pmatrix}$  has the profiles of the form

(1.10) 
$$U_n = \frac{\alpha_n(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma_n(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{U}_n = \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}_n(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \widetilde{\gamma}_n(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some radial functions  $\alpha_n, \widetilde{\alpha}_n, \gamma_n, \widetilde{\gamma}_n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ .

Observe that the solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1, with the first profiles of the form (1.10), cannot be TE-modes, i.e. U of the form (1.2) and  $\tilde{U} = 0$ . Moreover, if the electric field E is of the form (1.1) with profiles (1.10), then the magnetic induction

$$B = \nabla \times E = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_2} \gamma_n - \widetilde{\alpha}_n x_2 k \\ -\partial_{x_1} \gamma_n + \widetilde{\alpha}_n x_1 k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos(kx_3 + \omega t) + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n x_2 k + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{\gamma}_n \\ -\alpha_n x_1 k - \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{\gamma}_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin(kx_3 + \omega t)$$

is transverse to the direction of propagation, hence each  $u_n$  is a TM-mode. Moreover the *total* electromagnetic energy per unit interval on the  $x_3$ -axis is finite, i.e

(1.11) 
$$\mathcal{L}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_a^{a+1} \langle E, D \rangle + \langle B, H \rangle \, dx_3 \, d(x_1, x_2) < +\infty,$$

which is important in the study of self-guided beams of light in nonlinear media; see e.g. [18,19].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we build the functional setting for the problem and recall some properties of the operator L. Our variational approach based on Cerami sequences is demonstrated in Section 3. In the last Section 4 we introduce symmetric group actions which guarantee the profiles of the form (1.10) and exclude TE-modes. We also prove that  $\mathcal{L}(t)$  is finite.

### 2. VARIATIONAL SETTING

We introduce the following notation. If  $u = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \tilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^6$ , then  $|u| := \left(|U|^2 + |\tilde{U}|^2\right)^{1/2}$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the Euclidean inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 1$ . In the sequel,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$  denotes the inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  and  $|\cdot|_q$  denotes the usual  $L^q$ -norm for  $q \in [1, +\infty]$ . Furthermore, we denote by C a generic positive constant which may vary from one inequality to the next. We always assume that  $k \neq 0$ . By  $\lesssim$  we denote the inequality  $\leq$  up to a positive multiplicative constant.

Now, let us recall from [16] some basic facts about N-functions and Orlicz spaces. A function  $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  is called an N-function if and only if it is even, convex, and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

We can associate with it a second function  $\Psi \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$  defined as

$$\Psi(t) := \sup \{ s | t | - \Phi(s) : s \ge 0 \},\$$

which is again an N-function and is called the *complementary function* of  $\Phi$ . It is easy to check that  $\Phi$  is the complementary function of  $\Psi$ .

We say that  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition globally if and only if there exists K > 0 such that

$$\Phi(2t) \le K\Phi(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We say it satisfies the  $\nabla_2$  condition globally if and only if there exists K' > 1 such that

 $\Phi(K't) \ge 2K'\Phi(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

Recalling that convex functions are differentiable almost everywhere, we give the following characterization for the  $\Delta_2$  and  $\nabla_2$  conditions (see [16, Theorem II.III.3]).

Lemma 2.1. The following properties are equivalent.

- $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition globally.
- There exists  $\kappa > 1$  such that  $t\Phi'(t) \leq \kappa\Phi(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- There exists  $\kappa' > 1$  such that  $t\Psi'(t) \ge \kappa \Psi(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\Psi$  satisfies the  $\nabla_2$  condition globally.

The set

$$L^{\Phi} := \left\{ u \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^6 : \Phi \circ |u| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}$$

is a vector space if  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition globally. In this case, it is called an Orlicz space. It becomes a Banach space if endowed with the norm

$$|u|_{\Phi} := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi\left(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\right) \, dx \le 1 \right\},$$

and it is reflexive if  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  and  $\nabla_2$  conditions globally, with  $L^{\Psi}$  begin its dual space (see [16, Theorem IV.I.10 and Corollary IV.II.9]). We can define likewise the space of scalar functions

$$L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{ u \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} : \Phi \circ u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}$$

and the corresponding norm, still denoted  $|\cdot|_{\Phi}$ . Then,  $L^{\Phi} = L^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  and their norms are equivalent (cf. e.g. [13, Lemma 2.1]).

An important property is the following one.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition globally, then there exists C > 0 such that

 $\Psi\big(\Phi'(t)\big) \le C\Phi(t)$ 

for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, if  $u \in L^{\Phi}$ , then  $\Phi' \circ |u| \in L^{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.1 and [16, Theorem I.III.3], there holds

$$\Psi(\Phi'(t)) = t\Phi'(t) - \Phi(t) \le (\kappa - 1)\Phi(t).$$

We conclude with the following properties about convergence and boundedness.

Lemma 2.3. Consider  $(u_n) \subset L^{\Phi}$ .

- Let  $u \in L^{\Phi}$ . If  $\lim_{n} |u_n u|_{\Phi} = 0$ , then  $\lim_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(u_n u) dx = 0$ . The opposite implication holds if  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition globally.
- Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition globally. Then,  $(u_n)$  is bounded if and only if  $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(|u_n|) dx\right)$  is bounded.

Let us introduce the space

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 : \left\langle u, \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \widetilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_2 = 0 \text{ for any } \alpha, \widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 : \partial_{x_1} u_1 + \partial_{x_2} u_2 + k \widetilde{u}_3 = 0, \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{u}_1 + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{u}_2 - k u_3 = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2 \right\},$$

and note that it is a closed subspace of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ . Let us consider the norm in  $\mathcal{V}$ 

$$||u|| := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} |\nabla u_i|_2^2 + k^2 |u_i|_2^2 + |\nabla \widetilde{u}_i|_2^2 + k^2 |\widetilde{u}_i|_2^2\right)^{1/2},$$

which is equivalent to the standard  $H^1$ -norm. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the completion of vector fields  $w = \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \widetilde{\phi} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi, \widetilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^3$ , with respect to the norm

$$||w|| := \left(|w|_2^2 + |w|_{\Phi}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

so that  $\mathcal{W} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{\Phi}$ . We will see that  $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W} = \{0\}$  (cf. Theorem 2.4 below), and we define a norm on the space

$$X := \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$$

as

$$||v + w||^2 = ||v||^2 + ||w||^2, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}, w \in \mathcal{W}$$

If  $\Phi$  is the *N*-function in (F2)–(F3), then, from the  $\Delta_2$  condition – cf. (N1) – and Lemma 2.1, it has at most a power-like growth at infinity. Taking (N3) into account, there exists p > 2 such that

$$\lim_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{|\Phi'(t)|}{|t|^{p-1}} = 0$$

Then, using also (N2), for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\Phi(t) \leq |t|^2 + |t|^p$ , thus

$$\mathcal{V} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \subset L^{\Phi}.$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.2 and arguing as in [7, Lemma 2.1], we can prove that  $J \in \mathcal{C}^1(X)$ .

Next, again from the  $\Delta_2$  condition and Lemma 2.1,  $\Phi$  has at most a power-type behaviour at the origin. Taking (N2) into account, there exists q > 2 such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|\Phi'(t)|}{|t|^{q-1}} = \infty.$$

Then, using also (N3) and since we can assume q = p, we have  $|t|^p \leq \Phi(t)$  for every  $t \in [-1, 1]$ and  $|t|^2 \leq \Phi(t)$  for every  $t \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$ , thus

$$L^{\Phi} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 + L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)^6.$$

Exploiting Lemma 2.1 once again, we infer

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \subset L^{\Psi},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . This will be used in the proof of Lemma 2.4 below.

Recall that the second-order differential operator  $L: \operatorname{dom}(L) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^6$  is elliptic and self-adjoint on the domain  $\operatorname{dom}(L) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 : Lu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6\}$ . Its associated bilinear form  $b_L: \operatorname{dom}(b_L) \times \operatorname{dom}(b_L) \to \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$b_L(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u \cdot \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times v \, dx$$

with dom $(b_L) = \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 : \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \}$ . Recall also that the operator L: dom $(L) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^6$  has spectrum  $\sigma(L) = \{0\} \cup [k^2, \infty)$ , where 0 is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity and  $[k^2, \infty)$  consists of absolutely continuous spectrum. Finally,

$$b_L(v,v) = ||v||^2$$
, for  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** The spaces  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are closed subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  and orthogonal with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ , and  $X = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Moreover, cl  $_{L^\Phi}\mathcal{V} \cap cl_{L^\Phi}\mathcal{W} = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* In order to get the Helmholtz decomposition we argue in a similar way as in [12, Theorem 2.1], and for the reader's convenience we sketch this part, which will be useful to prove also the latter statement that  $\operatorname{cl}_{L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{V} \cap \operatorname{cl}_{L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{W} = \{0\}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are closed subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  and orthogonal with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ . Let  $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \widetilde{\phi} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  and let  $\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be the unique solutions to

$$-\Delta \alpha + k^2 \alpha = -\left(\partial_{x_1}\phi_1 + \partial_{x_2}\phi_2 + k\widetilde{\phi}_3\right)$$

and

$$-\Delta \widetilde{\alpha} + k^2 \widetilde{\alpha} = -\left(\partial_{x_1} \widetilde{\phi}_1 + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{\phi}_2 - k\phi_3\right)$$

respectively. Since  $\alpha$  is the Bessel potential of the  $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}$ -function  $-(\partial_{x_1}\phi_1 + \partial_{x_2}\phi_2 + k\widetilde{\phi}_3)$  we find that  $\alpha \in W^{l,s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for every  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 < s < \infty$ , cf. [25], and similarly for  $\widetilde{\alpha}$ . Moreover, we find  $\alpha_n, \widetilde{\alpha}_n \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\alpha_n \to \alpha$  and  $\widetilde{\alpha}_n \to \widetilde{\alpha}$  in  $W^{l,s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 < s < \infty$ . In particular, this implies

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{W}} := \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \widetilde{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n \\ \widetilde{\alpha}_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{W},$$

and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{W}} \in W^{l,s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 < s < \infty$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{W}$  is clearly contained in the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Since, in particular,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{W}} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ , we obtain by integration by parts

$$\left\langle \varphi, \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \beta\\ \widetilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\partial_{x_{1}}\phi_{1} + \partial_{x_{2}}\phi_{2} + k\widetilde{\phi}_{3})\beta + (\partial_{x_{1}}\widetilde{\phi}_{1} + \partial_{x_{2}}\widetilde{\phi}_{2} - k\phi_{3})\widetilde{\beta} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (-\Delta\alpha + k^{2}\alpha)\beta + (-\Delta\widetilde{\alpha} + k^{2}\widetilde{\alpha})\widetilde{\beta} \, dx = \left\langle \varphi_{\mathcal{W}}, \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \begin{pmatrix} \beta\\ \widetilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{2}$$

for every  $\beta, \tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}} := \varphi - \varphi_{\mathcal{W}} \in \mathcal{V}$ , and we obtain the following Helmholtz-type decomposition

 $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}} + \varphi_{\mathcal{W}} \quad \text{with } \varphi_{\mathcal{V}} \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \varphi_{\mathcal{W}} \in \mathcal{W}.$ 

Moreover, we have shown that  $\varphi \in X$ . It remains to show that also  $\mathcal{V}$  is contained in the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ . To see this, let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and take  $(\varphi_n) \subset \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  such

that  $\varphi_n \to v$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ . Let us decompose  $\varphi_n = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^n + \varphi_{\mathcal{W}}^n \in \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$  and let  $\operatorname{cl}_{L^2 \cap L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{V}$  denote the closure of  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{\Phi}$ . Observe that  $(\operatorname{cl}_{L^2 \cap L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{V}) \cap \mathcal{W} = \{0\}$ , so there is a continuous  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{\Phi}$ -projection of  $(\operatorname{cl}_{L^2 \cap L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{V}) \oplus \mathcal{W}$  onto  $\mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\varphi_n \to v$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{\Phi}$ , we infer that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{W}}^n \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Similarly, arguing with the closure of  $\mathcal{W}$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  we get  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^n \to v$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Therefore

$$||v - \varphi_n||^2 = ||v - \varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^n||^2 + ||\varphi_{\mathcal{W}}^n||^2 \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , and we conclude that  $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

Now, let  $u \in \operatorname{cl}_{L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{V} \cap \operatorname{cl}_{L^{\Phi}} \mathcal{W}$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}} + \varphi_{\mathcal{W}}$  as above. Consider  $(\varphi_n) \subset \mathcal{V}$  and  $(\psi_n) \subset \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\lim_n |u - \varphi_n|_{\Phi} = \lim_n |u - \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \psi_n|_{\Phi} = 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Observe that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}, \varphi_{\mathcal{W}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \subset L^{\Psi}$ , whence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\varphi_{\mathcal{W}} dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi_n \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \varphi dx = 0,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\varphi_{\mathcal{V}} dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \psi_n \varphi_{\mathcal{V}} dx = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\varphi \, dx = 0$  for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$ , and u = 0.

## 3. Analysis of Cerami sequences

Note that (F1) and (F2) imply that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

(3.1) 
$$F(u) \le \varepsilon |u|^2 + C_{\varepsilon} \Phi(u) \text{ for every } u \in \mathbb{R}^6.$$

For  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , let w(v) denote the unique minimizer of

$$w \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x) |v+w|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(v+w) \, dx$$

in  $\mathcal{W} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^6 \cap L^{\Phi}$ . Let  $\widetilde{J} \colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$\widetilde{J}(v) := J(v + w(v)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |\nabla v|_2^2 + k^2 |v|_2^2 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x) |v + w(v)|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(v + w(v)) \, dx \right).$$

In a way similar to [5, Proof of Theorem 4.4] we show that  $J \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{V})$  and

$$\widetilde{J}'(v)(\varphi) = J'(v+w(v))(\varphi)$$

for every  $v, \varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ . In view of (V) and (3.1), we find r > 0 such that

(3.2) 
$$\inf_{\|v\|=r, v \in \mathcal{V}} \widetilde{J}(v) \ge \inf_{\|v\|=r, v \in \mathcal{V}} J(v) > 0.$$

Arguing as in the proof of [12, Lemma 6.3] and using Lemma 2.2 we obtain the following property.

**Lemma 3.1.** If (F3) is satisfied, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that for every  $u = v + w \in \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}$  and every  $t \in [0, \sqrt{1 - 2/\gamma}]$ 

$$J(u) \ge J(tv) + J'(u) \left(\frac{1-t^2}{2}u + t^2w\right) - \varepsilon t^2 |u|_2 |w|_2 - t^2 c_{\varepsilon} |\Phi'(|u|)|_{\Psi} |w|_{\Phi}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  is such that  $\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times v = 0$  in the sense of distributions, then v = 0.

*Proof.* In this proof, we shall denote points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by (x, y, z). Let  $v = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix}$  and define E via

(1.1) with t = 0. Observe that  $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times v = 0$  implies  $\nabla \times E = 0$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  implies div E = 0, both in the sense of distributions. From  $\nabla \times E = 0$ , [9, Lemma 1.1 (i)], and the fact that  $E \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , there exists  $\xi \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $E = \nabla \xi$ . Then,  $0 = \text{div } E = \Delta \xi$ , so E is harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as well. Writing  $\Delta E(x, y, z) = 0$  explicitly, we obtain

$$\partial_x^2 v(x,y) \cos(kz) + \partial_x^2 \widetilde{v}(x,y) \sin(kz) + \partial_y^2 v(x,y) \cos(kz) + \partial_y^2 \widetilde{v}(x,y) \sin(kz) - k^2 v(x,y) \cos(kz) - k^2 \widetilde{v}(x,y) \sin(kz) = 0,$$

whence, taking z = 0 and  $z = \pi/(2k)$ ,

$$-\Delta v + k^2 v = -\Delta \widetilde{v} + k^2 \widetilde{v} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Since  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we conclude.

The next Lemma refines the abstract condition [13, (I7)].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{V}, v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}, w_n \subset \mathcal{W}, and <math>(t_n) \subset (0, \infty)$  such that  $t_n \to \infty$  and  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{t_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(t_n(v_n + w_n)) \, dx = \infty.$$

*Proof.* Observe that (F3) implies

$$\frac{1}{t_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(t_n(v_n + w_n)) \, dx \ge \frac{c_2}{t_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(t_n | v_n + w_n |) \, dx = c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\Phi(t_n | v_n + w_n |)}{t_n^2 | v_n + w_n |} |v_n + w_n| \, dx$$

From (N3), there exists C > 0 such that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $C\Phi(t) \ge t^2$ . For all R > 0,

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |v_n + w_n|^2 \, dx \le \frac{C}{t_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi\big(t_n |v_n + w_n|\big) \, dx + \pi R^2,$$

thus the statement is true if  $|v_n + w_n|$  is unbounded in  $L^2(B(0, R))$  for some R > 0. Now, assume that  $|v_n + w_n|$  is bounded in  $L^2(B(0, R))$  for all R > 0. Up to a subsequence,  $v_n(x) \to v(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $w_n \to w$  in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some w as  $n \to \infty$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every n, we define

$$\Omega_n^{\varepsilon} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |v_n(x) + w_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Let us assume by contradiction that  $\lim_{n} |\Omega_{n}^{\varepsilon}| = 0$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{n} |v_{n} + w_{n}| = 0$ in measure. Then, up to a subsequence,  $v_{n}(x) + w_{n}(x) \to 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Consequently,  $w_{n} \to -v$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^{2}$  and, therefore,  $w_{n} \rightharpoonup -v$  in  $L^{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times w_{n} = 0$  for every n in the sense of distributions, this implies that the same holds for v. From Lemma 3.2, v = 0, a contradiction. Finally, taking  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lim_{n} |\Omega_{n}^{\varepsilon}| > 0$  along a subsequence, we get

$$\lim_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{\Phi(t_{n}|v_{n}+w_{n}|)}{t_{n}^{2}|v_{n}+w_{n}|} |v_{n}+w_{n}| \, dx \ge \lim_{n} \int_{\Omega_{n}^{\varepsilon}} \frac{\Phi(t_{n}|v_{n}+w_{n}|)}{t_{n}^{2}|v_{n}+w_{n}|} |v_{n}+w_{n}| \, dx = \infty.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $X \subset \mathcal{V}$  has a finite dimension and  $(v_n) \subset X$  is such that  $\lim_n ||v_n|| = \infty$ , then  $\lim_n \widetilde{J}(v_n) = -\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\tilde{v}_n := v_n/||v_n||$ . Up to a subsequence, there exists  $\tilde{v} \in X$  such that  $\lim_n \tilde{v} = v$ . In particular,  $\tilde{v} \neq 0$ . Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\lim_{n} \frac{\widetilde{J}(v_{n})}{\|v_{n}\|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\|v_{n}\|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} F(\|v_{n}\|\widetilde{v}_{n} + w(v_{n})) \, dx = -\infty.$$

Normally, the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz-type condition (F3) (cf. [2]) provides the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences by simply estimating  $\widetilde{J}(v_n) - \frac{1}{\gamma} \widetilde{J}'(v_n)(v_n)$ . As we shall see below, in our problem obtaining the boundedness is much more complicated even if  $F(u) = \frac{1}{p} |u|^p$ , and achieved only for Cerami sequences (cf. [6]).

**Lemma 3.5.** If  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{V}$  is a Cerami sequence for  $\widetilde{J}$  such that  $\liminf_n \widetilde{J}(v_n) \geq 0$ , then it is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $\beta \geq \limsup_n \widetilde{J}(v_n)$ . For every *n*, let us denote  $w_n := w(v_n)$  and  $u_n := v_n + w_n$ . From the second inequality in (F3),

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ess\,inf} V|v_n|_2^2 \ge J(u_n) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ess\,inf} V|w_n|_2^2 + c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(|u_n|) \, dx.$$

Adding  $||v_n||^2/2 - \text{ess inf } V|v_n|_2^2/2$  on both sides, we obtain

(3.3) 
$$||v_n||^2 - \operatorname{ess\,inf} V|v_n|_2^2$$
  

$$\geq J(u_n) + \frac{1}{2}||v_n||^2 - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ess\,inf} V|v_n|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ess\,inf} V|w_n|_2^2 + c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(|u_n|) \, dx.$$

Set

$$s_n := \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf} V |v_n|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf} V |w_n|_2^2 + c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(|u_n|) \, dx$$

and  $\tilde{v}_n := v_n/s_n$ . Up to a subsequence, there exists  $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\tilde{v}_n \to \tilde{v}$  in X and  $\tilde{v}_n(x) \to \tilde{v}(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Assume by contradiction that  $(u_n)$  is unbounded. From Theorem 2.4, this implies that  $\lim_n s_n = \infty$  along a subsequence. We want to prove that

(3.4) 
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} |\widetilde{v}_n|_{\Phi} > 0,$$

thus let us assume by contradiction that  $|\tilde{v}_n|_{\Phi} \to 0$  along a subsequence as  $n \to \infty$ . This, together with (F1) and (F2), implies that for every s > 0

(3.5) 
$$\lim_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(s\widetilde{v}_n) \, dx = 0.$$

From (F3), there holds

$$J(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}J'(u_n)(u_n) \ge \frac{\gamma - 2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(u_n) \, dx,$$

thus  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{\Phi}$ . From Theorem 2.4,  $(w_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{\Phi}$ . Moreover, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $s_n \ge \delta |u_n|_2^2 \ge \delta |w_n|_2^2$ . From Lemma 3.1 with  $u = u_n$  and  $t = s/s_n$  (observe

that  $t \leq \sqrt{1-2/\gamma}$  for  $n \gg 1$ ),  $\lim_n J'(u_n)(u_n) = 0$ , the minimality of  $w_n$ , and (3.5), for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$\beta \ge \liminf_{n} J(u_{n})$$

$$\ge \liminf_{n} J(s\widetilde{v}_{n}) + \liminf_{n} J'(u_{n}) \left( \frac{1 - (s/s_{n})^{2}}{2} u_{n} + (s/s_{n})^{2} w_{n} \right)$$

$$-\varepsilon \limsup_{n} (s/s_{n})^{2} |u_{n}|_{2} |w_{n}|^{2} - c_{\varepsilon} \limsup_{n} (s/s_{n})^{2} |\Phi'(|u_{n}|)|_{\Psi} |w_{n}|_{\Phi}$$

$$\ge \liminf_{n} J(s\widetilde{v}_{n}) - s^{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{2}} = s^{2} \left( \liminf_{n} \left( \|\widetilde{v}_{n}\|^{2} - \operatorname{ess\,sup\,} V|\widetilde{v}_{n}|_{2}^{2} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{2}} \right).$$

Taking  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup} V \le \frac{\sigma}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf} V + (1 - \sigma)k^2,$$

we obtain, for every n,

$$\|\widetilde{v}_n\|^2 - \operatorname{ess\,sup} V |\widetilde{v}_n|_2^2 \ge \|\widetilde{v}_n\|^2 - (1-\sigma)k^2 |\widetilde{v}_n|_2^2 - \frac{\sigma}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf} V |\widetilde{v}_n|_2^2$$
$$\ge \sigma \left( \|\widetilde{v}_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf} V |\widetilde{v}_n|_2^2 \right) \ge \sigma,$$

where the last inequality comes from (3.3) and  $J(u_n) \ge 0$ . In conclusion, taking  $s = 2\sqrt{\beta/\sigma}$ and  $\varepsilon = \gamma \delta^2/8$ , we get the contradiction

$$\beta \ge s^2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2}\right) = \frac{3}{2}\beta.$$

Using (3.4) and the fact that  $(\tilde{v}_n)$  is bounded, we find  $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\tilde{v}_n \to \tilde{v}$  as  $n \to \infty$  along a subsequence. Then, from Lemma 3.3,

$$0 = \lim_{n} \frac{J(u_n)}{s_n^2} \le \lim_{n} \frac{1}{2} \|\widetilde{v}_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{s_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(s_n \widetilde{v}_n + w_n) \, dx = -\infty,$$

a contradiction.

Now we introduce the cylindrical symmetry of the problem. Let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{SO}(2)$$

with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $U \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ . We define

$$(g \star U)(x) := \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U(g^{-1}x) = \begin{pmatrix} U_1(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha - U_2(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha \\ U_1(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + U_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ U_3(g^{-1}x) \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , where  $g^{-1}x = (x_1 \cos \alpha + x_2 \sin \alpha, -x_1 \sin \alpha + x_2 \cos \alpha)$ .

Lemma 3.6. There holds

$$\left| \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \begin{pmatrix} (g \star U)(x) \\ (g \star \widetilde{U})(x) \end{pmatrix} \right| = \left| \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \begin{pmatrix} U(g^{-1}x) \\ \widetilde{U}(g^{-1}x) \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

for  $U, \widetilde{U} \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $g \in \mathcal{SO}(2)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times \begin{pmatrix} g \star U \\ g \star \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} U_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + \partial_{x_2} U_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha - kU_1(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha - kU_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ k\widetilde{U}_1(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha - k\widetilde{U}_2(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha - \partial_{x_1} U_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha + \partial_{x_2} U_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha \\ \partial_{x_1} U_2(g^{-1}x) - \partial_{x_2} U_1(g^{-1}x) \\ \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha + kU_1(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + kU_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ -kU_1(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha + kU_2(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha - \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha \\ \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{U}_2(g^{-1}x) - \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{U}_1(g^{-1}x) \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} U_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + \partial_{x_2} U_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha - k\widetilde{U}_1(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha - k\widetilde{U}_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ -\partial_{x_1} U_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha + \partial_{x_2} U_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + k\widetilde{U}_1(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha - k\widetilde{U}_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ \partial_{x_1} U_2(g^{-1}x) - \partial_{x_2} U_1(g^{-1}x) \\ \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha + kU_1(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + kU_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ -\partial_{x_1} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{U}_3(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha - kU_1(g^{-1}x) \sin \alpha + kU_2(g^{-1}x) \cos \alpha \\ \partial_{x_1} \widetilde{U}_2(g^{-1}x) - \partial_{x_2} \widetilde{U}_1(g^{-1}x) \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

and we easily conclude that

$$\left| \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \begin{pmatrix} (g \star U)(x) \\ (g \star \widetilde{U})(x) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \left| \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \begin{pmatrix} U(g^{-1}x) \\ \widetilde{U}(g^{-1}x) \end{pmatrix} \right|^2.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} := \{ v \in \mathcal{V} : g \star v = v \text{ for any } g \in \mathcal{SO}(2) \}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} := \{ w \in \mathcal{W} : g \star w = w \text{ for any } g \in \mathcal{SO}(2) \}.$$

From now on, for  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ , w(v) is the unique minimizer of

$$w \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x) |v+w|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(v+w) \, dx$$

in  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** The functional  $\widetilde{J}|_{\mathcal{V}_{SO(2)} \oplus \mathcal{W}_{SO(2)}}$  satisfies the Cerami condition at every nonngative level.

*Proof.* First, we claim that  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  is compactly embedded into  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)^6$  for any p > 2. Indeed, let  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  be such that  $v_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Passing to a subsequence  $v_n \to 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then,  $(|v_n|)$  is bounded in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(2)$ -invariant. Hence,  $|v_n| \to v_0$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  along a subsequence as  $n \to \infty$ , which yields  $v_0 = 0$ .

Next, let  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  be a Cerami sequence for  $\widetilde{J}|_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  as in the statement. From Lemma 3.5, there exists  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  such that  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  along a subsequence as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, up to taking other subsequences,  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^{\Phi}$  and a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From the continuity of  $w: L^{\Phi}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ , which is proved as in [13, Lemma 4.6],  $(w_n) \subset \mathcal{W}$  is bounded, where  $w_n := w(v_n)$ . There holds

$$o_n(1) = J'(v_n)(v_n) - J'(v_n)(v)$$
  
=  $b_L(v_n, v_n - v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x) \langle v_n + w_n, v_n - v \rangle + \langle f(v_n + w_n), v_n - v \rangle dx$   
=  $b_L(v_n, v_n - v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V(x) \langle v_n, v_n - v \rangle dx + o_n(1),$ 

which implies that  $v_n \to v$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Remark 3.8.** Our proof can be also adopted in the situation where the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitztype condition (F3) is not satisfied, e.g. (1.9) with p > q = 2. Then one needs to consider a monotonicity-type condition as in [4, 11, 12] given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (F4) \ If \ \langle f(u), v \rangle = \langle f(v), u \rangle > 0, \ then \ \ F(u) - F(v) \leq \frac{\langle f(u), u \rangle^2 - \langle f(x, u), v \rangle^2}{2 \langle f(u), u \rangle} \\ Moreover, \ \langle f(u), u \rangle \geq 2F(u) \ for \ every \ u \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{array}$$

If F satisfies (F1), (F2), (F4) and  $F(u) \gtrsim \Phi(|u|)$  for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then one can work with the Nehari–Pankov manifold for J, or Nehari manifold for  $\widetilde{J}$ :

$$\mathcal{N} := \{ u \in (\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W}) \setminus \mathcal{W} : J'(u)|_{\mathbb{R}u \oplus \mathcal{W}} = 0 \} = \{ u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\} : \widetilde{J}'(u)(u) = 0 \}.$$

and build a critical point theory on this constraint as in [4, 5]. We leave the details to the reader.

# 4. Non-TE modes

In this section, we will work with the space

$$X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} := \{ u \in X : u = g \star u \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{SO}(2) \}.$$

For any  $\mathcal{SO}(2)$ -equivariant profile  $U: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ , there is a unique decomposition

$$U = U_{\rho} + U_{\tau} + U_{\zeta},$$

such that  $U_{\rho}$ ,  $U_{\tau}$ ,  $U_{\zeta}$  are vector fields given by

$$U_{\rho}(x) = \frac{\alpha_{\rho}(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{\tau}(x) = \frac{\alpha_{\tau}(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{\zeta}(x) = \alpha_{\zeta}(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $\mathcal{SO}(2)$ -invariant functions  $\alpha_{\rho}, \alpha_{\tau}, \alpha_{\zeta} \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , cf. [3, Lemma 1]. In fact,  $\alpha_{\rho}(x) = (U_1(x)x_1 + U_2(x)x_2)|x|^{-1}, \alpha_{\tau}(x) = (-U_1(x)x_2 + U_2(x)x_1)|x|^{-1}, \alpha_{\zeta}(x) = U_3(x)$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Now for any  $u = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  we define

$$u_{\rho}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\rho} \\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\tau}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\tau} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\zeta}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\zeta} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** For every  $u \in X_{SO(2)}$  we have  $u_{\tau}, u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} \in X_{SO(2)}$  and  $u = u_{\rho} + u_{\tau} + u_{\zeta}$ , and, for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $u_{\rho}(x)$ ,  $u_{\tau}(x)$ , and  $u_{\zeta}(x)$  are mutually orthogonal in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ . Moreover, if  $u \in \mathcal{V}_{SO(2)}$ , then  $u_{\tau}, u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} \in \mathcal{V}_{SO(2)}$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{W}_{SO(2)}$ , then  $u_{\tau} = 0$  and  $u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} \in \mathcal{W}_{SO(2)}$ . Finally,

(4.1) 
$$\langle \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\rho}(x), \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\tau}(x) \rangle = \langle \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\tau}(x), \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\zeta}(x) \rangle = 0$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* Since U and  $\widetilde{U}$  are  $\mathcal{SO}$ -equivariant,  $\alpha_{\rho}$ ,  $\alpha_{\tau}$ , and  $\alpha_{\zeta}$  are  $\mathcal{SO}$ -invariant, hence radial. Since  $u_{\rho}(x)$ ,  $u_{\tau}(x)$ , and  $u_{\zeta}(x)$  are mutually orthogonal in  $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ , then  $u_{\rho}$ ,  $u_{\tau}$ ,  $u_{\zeta} \in L^{\Phi}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ . Observe that

$$u_{\rho}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x)x_1\\ \alpha(x)x_2\\ 0\\ \widetilde{\alpha}_1(x)\\ \widetilde{\alpha}_2(x)\\ \widetilde{\alpha}_3(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\tau}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta(x)x_2\\ \beta(x)x_1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{\zeta}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ \gamma(x)\\ \widetilde{\gamma}_1(x)\\ \widetilde{\gamma}_2(x)\\ \widetilde{\gamma}_3(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\widetilde{\alpha}_1, \widetilde{\alpha}_2, \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \widetilde{\gamma}_2$  and radial  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \widetilde{\alpha}_3, \widetilde{\gamma}_3$ . Note that

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\rho}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -k\widetilde{\alpha}_{2} \\ k\widetilde{\alpha}_{1} \\ \partial_{x_{1}}\alpha x_{2} - \partial_{x_{2}}\alpha x_{1} \\ \partial_{x_{2}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{3} + k\alpha x_{2} \\ -k\alpha x_{1} - \partial_{x_{1}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{3} \\ \partial_{x_{1}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{2} - \partial_{x_{2}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -k\widetilde{\alpha}_{2} \\ k\widetilde{\alpha}_{1} \\ 0 \\ \partial_{x_{2}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{3} + k\alpha x_{2} \\ -k\alpha x_{1} - \partial_{x_{1}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{3} \\ \partial_{x_{1}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{2} - \partial_{x_{2}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\tau}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \partial_{x_{1}}(\beta x_{1}) + \partial_{x_{2}}(\beta x_{2}) \\ k\beta x_{1} \\ k\beta x_{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and since

$$(\partial_{x_2}\widetilde{\alpha}_3 + k\alpha x_2)x_1 + (-k\alpha x_1 - \partial_{x_1}\widetilde{\alpha}_3)x_2 = \partial_{x_2}\widetilde{\alpha}_3 x_1 - \partial_{x_1}\widetilde{\alpha}_3 x_3 = 0$$

then

$$\langle \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\rho}(x), \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\tau}(x) \rangle = 0.$$

Moreover

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\zeta}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_2}\gamma - k\widetilde{\gamma}_2 \\ k\widetilde{\gamma}_1 - \partial_{x_1}\gamma \\ 0 \\ \partial_{x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}_3 \\ -\partial_{x_1}\widetilde{\gamma}_3 \\ \partial_{x_1}\widetilde{\gamma}_2 - \partial_{x_2}\widetilde{\gamma}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\langle \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\tau}(x), \overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\zeta}(x) \rangle = 0$$

since  $\tilde{\gamma}_3$  is radial. Therefore, (4.1) holds and

$$|\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u|^{2} = |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_{\tau}|^{2} + |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times (u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta})|^{2}$$

Hence, if  $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ , then  $u_{\tau}, u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ , then  $u_{\tau} = 0$  and  $u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ . In view of the Helmholtz decomposition Theorem 2.4, we see that  $u_{\tau} \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ , hence  $u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} = u - u_{\tau} \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$ .

We consider the action  $\mathcal{S}: X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} \to X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  given by

$$\mathcal{S}u := u_{\rho} - u_{\tau} + u_{\zeta}$$

and note that (4.1) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times (\mathcal{S}u)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u_\tau|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times (u_\rho + u_\zeta)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u|^2 \, dx.$$

Hence we obtain that  $\mathcal{S}$  in an isometry and  $J|_{X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}}$  is also invariant under  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let

$$\left(X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}\right)^{\mathcal{S}} := \left\{ u \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} : \mathcal{S}u = u \right\} = \left\{ u \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} : u = u_{\rho} + u_{\zeta} \right\}$$

and in view of the Palais principle of symmetric criticality, critical points of  $J|_{(X_{SO(2)})s}$  are critical points of the free functional J.

In a similar way we decompose the profile  $\widetilde{U}\colon \mathbb{R}^2\to \mathbb{R}^3$ 

$$\widetilde{U} = \widetilde{U}_{\rho} + \widetilde{U}_{\tau} + \widetilde{U}_{\zeta},$$

where  $\widetilde{U}_{\rho}, \widetilde{U}_{\tau}, \widetilde{U}_{\zeta}$  are vector fields given by

$$\widetilde{U}_{\rho}(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}_{\rho}(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{U}_{\tau}(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}_{\tau}(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{U}_{\zeta}(x) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{\zeta}(x) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for some  $\mathcal{SO}(2)$ -invariant functions  $\widetilde{\alpha}_{\rho}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{\tau}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{\zeta} \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . Arguing as in Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\langle \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \widetilde{u}_{\rho}(x), \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \widetilde{u}_{\tau}(x) \rangle = \langle \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \widetilde{u}_{\tau}(x), \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \widetilde{u}_{\zeta}(x) \rangle = 0$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , where

$$\widetilde{u}_{\rho}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ \widetilde{U}_{\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{u}_{\tau}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \widetilde{U}_{\tau} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{u}_{\zeta}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \widetilde{U}_{\zeta} \end{pmatrix},$$

Therefore we may define the action  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}: (X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)})^{\mathcal{S}} \to (X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)})^{\mathcal{S}}$  given by

$$\mathcal{S}u := \widetilde{u}_{\rho} - \widetilde{u}_{\tau} + \widetilde{u}_{\zeta}$$

and observe that

$$\left(\left(X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}\right)^{\mathcal{S}}\right)^{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} = \left\{u = \begin{pmatrix} U\\ \widetilde{U} \end{pmatrix} \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} : U = U_{\rho} + U_{\zeta}, \ \widetilde{U} = \widetilde{U}_{\rho} + \widetilde{U}_{\zeta}\right\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using (3.2) and since Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7 hold also in  $((X_{SO(2)})^S)^S$ , the proof follows from a standard argument (cf. [15, Theorem 9.12], [6]) and by the Palais principle of symmetric criticality.

*Proof of* (1.11). Let u be a critical point of J and E is of the form (1.1). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E, D \rangle &= -\varepsilon(x)\omega^2 |E|^2 + \chi(\langle |E|^2 \rangle) |E|^2 \\ &= \left( -V(x) + \chi(\frac{1}{2}|u|^2) \right) \left( |U|^2 \cos^2(kx_3 + \omega t) + |\widetilde{U}|^2 \sin^2(kx_3 + \omega t) \right), \\ &\leq \left( -V(x) + \chi(\frac{1}{2}|u|^2) \right) |u|^2, \\ \langle B, H \rangle &= |B|^2 = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \left| \nabla \times \left( U(x) \sin(kx_3 + \omega t) - \widetilde{U}(x) \cos(kx_3 + \omega t) \right) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2} |\mathring{\nabla} \times u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_a^{a+1} \langle E, D \rangle + \langle B, H \rangle \, dx_3 \, d(x_1, x_2) \le \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) |\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \times u|^2 < \infty,$$

since  $J(u) < \infty$  and J'(u)(u) = 0.

**Remark 4.2.** One may consider also the action  $-\mathcal{S}: X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} \to X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)}$  given by

$$\mathcal{S}u := -u_{\rho} + u_{\tau} - u_{\zeta}$$

and note that -S in an isometry and  $J|_{X_{SO(2)}}$  is also invariant under -S. Then

$$(X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)})^{(-\mathcal{S})} := \{ u \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} : -\mathcal{S}u = u \} = \{ u \in X_{\mathcal{SO}(2)} : u = u_{\tau} \}$$

and in view of the Palais principle of symmetric criticality, critical points of  $J|_{(X_{SO(2)})^{(-S)}}$  are critical points of the free functional J. Arguing as in Section 3 we can find infinitely many solutions of the form  $u_n = \begin{pmatrix} U_n \\ \widetilde{U}_n \end{pmatrix}$  with

(4.2) 
$$U_n = \frac{\beta_n(x)}{|x|} \begin{pmatrix} -x_2\\ x_1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{U}_n = 0$$

for some radial  $\beta_n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $E = U_n \cos(kx_3 + \omega t)$  is a TE-mode. It is not clear however, if for the Kerr nonlinearity  $\beta_n$  coincide with the solutions obtained in [10] by means of ODE methods.

Acknowledgements. The authors were partly supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (Grant No. 2020/37/B/ST1/02742). J.S. is a member of GNAMPA (INdAM) and is supported by the GNAMPA project *Metodi variazionali per alcune equazioni di tipo Choquard*.

**Data availability statement.** Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### References

- [1] G. Agrawal: Nonlinear Fiber Optics, Academic Press 2013, 5-th Edition.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), 349–381.
- [3] A. Azzollini, V. Benci, T. D'Aprile, D. Fortunato: Existence of static solutions of the semilinear Maxwell equations, Ric. Mat. 55 (2006), no. 2, 283–297.
- [4] T. Bartsch, J. Mederski: Ground and bound state solutions of semilinear time-harmonic Maxwell equations in a bounded domain, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 215 (2015), no. 1, 283–306.
- [5] T. Bartsch, J. Mederski: Nonlinear time-harmonic Maxwell equations in an anisotropic bounded medium, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), no. 10, 4304–4333.
- [6] G. Cerami: An existence criterion for the critical points on unbounded manifolds, Istituto Lombardo. Accademia di Scienze e Lettere. Rendiconti. Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche, Chimiche e Geologiche. A, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 332–336, (1978) (Italian).
- [7] Ph. Clément, M. Garcia-Huidobro, R. Manásevich, K. Schmitt: Mountain pass type solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 11 (2000), 33–62.
- [8] Y. Chen, TE and TM families of self-trapped beams, IEEE J. Quantum Electronics 27 (1991), 1236–1241.
- H. Leinfelder: Gauge invariance of Schrödinger operators and oelated spectral properties, J. Operator Theory 9 (1983), 163–179.
- [10] J.B. McLeod, C.A. Stuart, W.C. Troy: An exact reduction of Maxwell's equations, Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states, 3 (Gregynog, 1989), 391–405, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 7, Birkhäuser Boston, (1992).
- [11] J. Mederski: Ground states of time-harmonic semilinear Maxwell equations in ℝ<sup>3</sup> with vanishing permittivity, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 218 (2), (2015), 825–861.
- [12] J. Mederski, W. Reichel: Travelling waves for Maxwell's equations in nonlinear and nonsymmetric media, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 30 (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 22, 38 pp.

- [13] J. Mederski, J. Schino, A. Szulkin: Multiple solutions to a semilinear curl-curl problem in ℝ<sup>3</sup>, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 236 (2020), no. 1, 253–288.
- [14] D. Pohl, Vectorial theory of self-trapped light beams, Optics Comm., 2 (1970), 305–308.
- [15] P. Rabinowitz: Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1986.
- [16] M. M. Rao, Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathemathics, 146, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [17] B.E.A. Saleh, M.C. Teich: Fundamentals of Photonics, 2nd Edition, Wiley 2007.
- [18] C. A. Stuart: Self-trapping of an electromagnetic field and bifurcation from the essential spectrum, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 113 (1991), no. 1, 65–96.
- [19] C. A. Stuart: Guidance Properties of Nonlinear Planar Waveguides, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 125 (1993), no. 1, 145–200.
- [20] C. A. Stuart: Modelling axi-symmetric travelling waves in a dielectric with nonlinear refractive index, Milan J. Math. 72 (2004), 107–128.
- [21] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: A variational problem related to self-trapping of an electromagnetic field, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 19 (1996), no. 17, 1397–1407.
- [22] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: Existence of guided cylindrical TM-modes in a homogeneous self-focusing dielectric, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 18 (2001), no. 1, 69–96.
- [23] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: A constrained minimization problem and its application to guided cylindrical TM-modes in an anisotropic self-focusing dielectric, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 16 (2003), no. 4, 335–373.
- [24] C.A. Stuart, H.S. Zhou: Existence of guided cylindrical TM-modes in an inhomogeneous self-focusing dielectric, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 20 (2010), no. 9, 1681–1719.
- [25] E. Stein: Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1970).

(J. Mederski) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSAW, POLAND Email address: jmederski@impan.pl

(J. Schino)

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, INFORMATICS AND MECHANICS UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSAW, POLAND *Email address*: j.schino2@uw.edu.pl