

CHROMATIC SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS OF CONJOINED GRAPHS

ETHAN Y.J. QI, DAVION Q.B. TANG, AND DAVID G.L. WANG*

ABSTRACT. We introduce path-conjoined graphs defined for two rooted graphs by joining their roots with a path, and investigate the chromatic symmetric functions of its two generalizations: spider-conjoined graphs and chain-conjoined graphs. By using the composition method developed by Zhou and the third author, we obtain neat positive e_I -expansions for the chromatic symmetric functions of clique-path-cycle graphs, path-clique-path graphs, and path-clique-clique graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

The chromatic symmetric function of a graph was introduced by Stanley [19] in 1995 as a generalization of Birkhoff's chromatic polynomial. This concept attracted a considerable number of studies from algebraic combinatorialists and graph theorists. One of the most popular topics in this field is Stanley and Stembridge's $(3 + 1)$ -free conjecture [21]. In virtue of Guay-Paquet's reduction [10], the conjecture can now be stated equivalently as every unit interval graph is e -positive. More precisely, the chromatic symmetric function of any unit interval graph has only nonnegative e -coefficients. The conjecture also charmed representation experts because of a close relation between chromatic quasisymmetric functions that were introduced by Shareshian and Wachs [18] and representations of symmetric groups on cohomology of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties, see Brosnan and Chow [3] and Guay-Paquet [11].

A natural generalization of Stanley–Stembridge's conjecture is to characterize all e -positive graphs. Though seemingly unachievable is it, more and more graph families have been confirmed to be e -positive. The most noted e -positive graphs are complete graphs, paths, cycles, and graphs with independent number 2, known as the birth of the notion of chromatic symmetric functions by Stanley [19]. A bit less well-known e -positive graphs are lollipops, tadpoles and generalized bulls, which can be seen from a direct application of Gebhard and Sagan [9, Theorem 7.6]'s (e)-positivity strengthening. More e -positive graphs can be found from Aliniaefard, Wang, and van Willigenburg [1], Banaian, Celano, Chang-Lee, Colmenarejo, Goff, Kimble, Kimpel, Lentfer, Liang, and Sundaram [2], Cho and Huh [4], Dahlberg [5], Dahlberg and van Willigenburg [6], Dahlberg, Foley, and van Willigenburg [7], Foley, Hoàng, and Merkel [8], Hamel, Hoàng, and Tuero [12], Li, Li, Wang, and Yang [13], Li and Yang [14], Tsujie [25], Wang [26], Wang and Wang [27] and Wang and Zhou [28].

Along the way of confirming the e -positivity of graphs arose various approaches. Notable routes include (i) Stanley's generating function and/or recurrence relation method, (ii) the strategy of expressing a chromatic symmetric function as the sum of e -positive symmetric functions, often as a sum of known e -positive chromatic symmetric functions; a typical example is the proof for the 3-spiders $S(n + 1, n, 1)$, see Dahlberg et al. [7, Page 2681], (iii) Gebhard and Sagan's (e)-positivity strengthening that takes advantage of the algebra NCSym of symmetric functions in noncommutative variables, which was extended by Aliniaefard et al. [1], (iv) understanding the e -coefficients of a chromatic

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05, 05A15.

Key words and phrases. chromatic symmetric function, conjoined graph, e -positivity, Stanley–Stembridge conjecture.

*Wang is the corresponding author, and is supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 12171034).

symmetric function combinatorially and constructing sign-reversing involutions, see Tom [23] for instance, and (v) the composition method that is inspired from Shareshian and Wachs [18]'s formula for the chromatic symmetric function of paths, initiated implicitly by Thibon and Wang [22] in proving the Schur positivity of the 3-spiders $S(n, 2, 1)$ using the algebra NSym of noncommutative symmetric functions, and developed by Wang and Zhou [28].

A key idea of the composition method is to extend the defining domain of elementary symmetric functions e_λ from partitions λ to compositions. An e_I -expansion of a symmetric function $f \in \text{Sym}^n$ is an expression

$$(1.1) \quad f = \sum_{I \models n} c_I e_I$$

such that

$$(1.2) \quad f = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \left(\sum_{\rho(I)=\lambda} c_I \right) e_\lambda,$$

where $\rho(I)$ is the partition obtained from the composition I by rearranging its parts. We call Eq. (1.1) a *positive e_I -expansion* if $c_I \geq 0$ for any composition I of n . If one would like to show that f is e -positive, it suffices to find a positive e_I -expansion of f . Every e -positive symmetric function has definitely a positive e_I -expansion, while the non-uniqueness of e_I -expansions suggests a great flexibility in invoking combinatorial ideas to expose or construct one positive e_I -expansion.

One of the foregoing e -positive graphs that are unit interval graphs is the K -chains, in which the symbol K indicates complete graphs. Its e -positivity was firstly confirmed by Gebhard and Sagan [9], then rediscovered by Tom [23] via unveiling an elegant positive e_I -expansion, and rerecognized by McDonough, Pylyavskyy, and Wang [15] by means of certain diagrammatic calculus.

We wish to explore the power of the composition method by building a neat positive e_I -expansion for K -chains. Here the phrase neat means aesthetically that less technical conditions are required for compositions that appear in the expansion. This has been partially achieved for two particular K -chains [28]: barbells (KPKs) and generalized bulls. In this paper, we continue this course and light on another two K -chains: clique-clique-paths (KKPs) and path-clique-paths (PKPs), see Theorems 3.3 and 5.3 respectively. Precisely speaking, all generalized bulls are PKPs. Along the same way, we also resolve clique-path-cycles (KPCs). The composition method is transparently different from the involution one, and the positive e_I -expansions for KPKs, KKPs and PKPs are different from Tom's Theorem 2.7. The expansion for KPCs presented in this paper is the first positive e_I -expansion to the best of our knowledge, see Theorem 3.3. We should mention that the e -positivity of all these graphs are derivable by using Gebhard and Sagan's (e)-positivity routine.

Ad hoc algebraic combinatorial techniques are called for in coping with KPKs, KKPs, PKPs and KPCs, nevertheless, we notice a common structure of these graphs: they all have a path part as a bridge that links components, for which we call node graphs. We are therefore led to the notion of path-conjoining operation and an investigation of the effect of this graph amalgamation on chromatic symmetric functions, see Section 3. Here the node graphs that to be conjoined together are not limited to be complete graphs as in the notion of K -chains. We then generalize this path-conjoining idea to spider- and chain-conjoining operations, and express the chromatic symmetric function of these kinds of amalgamated graphs in terms of those of the node graphs, or of the node graphs with path tails. Our main results for the latter two kinds of conjoined graphs are Theorems 4.5, 5.1 and 5.5.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains necessary notion and notation, and preliminary results that we will need in the sequel. In Section 3, we consider the chromatic symmetric function of path-conjoined graphs, and apply our results for those with a clique node and those with a cycle node. Section 4 is devoted to the concept of spider-conjoined graphs. We obtain a formula for the chromatic symmetric function of a particular kind of pineapple graphs, see Corollary 4.8. In

Section 5 we consider 3-chain-conjoined graphs with the middle node being a clique or a cycle. We end this paper with a conjecture for chain-conjoined graphs.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains basic knowledge on chromatic symmetric functions that will be of use. We use terminology from Stanley [20]. Let n be a positive integer. A *composition* of n is a sequence of positive integers with sum n , commonly denoted $I = i_1 \cdots i_l \vDash n$, with *size* $|I| = n$, *length* $\ell(I) = l$, and *parts* i_1, \dots, i_l . A *prefix* of I is a composition of the form $i_1 \cdots i_k$, where $0 \leq k \leq \ell(I)$. We write $I = v^s$ if all parts have the same value v . For notational convenience, we denote the k th last part i_{l+1-k} by i_{-k} , and denote the composition obtained by removing the k th part by $I \setminus i_k$, i.e.,

$$I \setminus i_k = i_1 \cdots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \cdots i_{-1}.$$

When a capital letter like I and J stands for a composition, we use its small letter counterpart with integer subscripts to denote the parts. A *partition* of n is a multiset of positive integers λ_i with sum n , denoted $\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \vdash n$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq 1$.

A *symmetric function* of homogeneous degree n over the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers is a formal power series

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \vdash n} c_\lambda \cdot x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots,$$

where $c_\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, such that $f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = f(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots)$ for any permutation π . Let $\text{Sym}^0 = \mathbb{Q}$, and let Sym^n be the vector space of homogeneous symmetric functions of degree n over \mathbb{Q} . One basis of Sym^n is the set of elementary symmetric functions $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots$, where

$$e_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}.$$

A symmetric function $f \in \text{Sym}$ is said to be *e-positive* if every e_λ -coefficient is nonnegative.

For any composition I , there is a unique partition $\rho(I)$ that consists of the parts of I . As a consequence, one may extend the defining domain of elementary symmetric functions from partitions to compositions as $e_I = e_{\rho(I)}$. An *e_I -expansion* of a symmetric function $f \in \text{Sym}^n$ is an expression Eq. (1.1) satisfying Eq. (1.2). It is *positive* if $c_I \geq 0$ for all compositions $I \vDash n$. In order to show that f is *e-positive*, it suffices to find a positive e_I -expansion of f .

Stanley [19] introduced the chromatic symmetric function for a simple graph G as

$$X_G = \sum_{\kappa} \prod_{v \in V(G)} x_{\kappa(v)},$$

where κ runs over proper colorings of G . It is a homogeneous symmetric function of degree $|G|$, the order of G , and is a generalization of Birkhoff's chromatic polynomials χ_G . For instance, the chromatic symmetric function of the complete graph K_n is $X_{K_n} = n! e_n$.

Orellana and Scott [16, Theorem 3.1, Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3] established the *triple-deletion* properties for chromatic symmetric functions as follows.

Proposition 2.1 (Orellana and Scott, the triple-deletion properties). *Let G be a graph with a stable set T of order 3. Denote the edges linking the vertices in T by e_1, e_2 and e_3 . For any set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$, denote by G_S the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup \{e_j : j \in S\}$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad X_{G_{12}} = X_{G_1} + X_{G_{23}} - X_{G_3}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad X_{G_{123}} = X_{G_{13}} + X_{G_{23}} - X_{G_3}.$$

A *tribe-vertex pair* of a graph G is a pair (K, x) in which

- (1) K is a clique of G ,
- (2) x is a vertex that may or may not be in G , and
- (3) $N_{G-x}(u) = N_{G-x}(v)$ for any $u, v \in V(K)$.

Proposition 2.2 (The tribe-vertex identity). *Let (K, x) be a tribe-vertex pair of G . Let G_i be the graph obtained from G by linking exactly i vertices from K to x . Then*

$$(i-j)X_{G_k} + (j-k)X_{G_i} + (k-i)X_{G_j} = 0, \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq |K|.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.1, Aliniaefard et al. [1, Proposition 3.1] exhibited that the sequence X_{G_i} for $0 \leq i \leq |K|$ forms an arithmetic progression, from which one may derive Proposition 2.2 directly. \square

We remark that Proposition 2.2 remains true if x links to all vertices of K and if G_i is the graph obtained by removing i vertices from K to x . On the other hand, when $|K| = 2$, the identity reduces to $2X_{G_1} = X_{G_0} + X_{G_2}$, which can be derived by Eq. (2.1) alternatively.

Shareshian and Wachs [18, Table 1] discovered a captivating formula using Stanley's generating function for Smirnov words, see also Shareshian and Wachs [17, Theorem 7.2].

Proposition 2.3 (Shareshian and Wachs). $X_{P_n} = \sum_{I \models n} w_I e_I$ for any $n \geq 1$, where

$$(2.3) \quad w_I = i_1(i_2 - 1)(i_3 - 1) \cdots (i_{l-1} - 1).$$

The lollipop K_m^l (resp., tadpole C_m^l) is the graph obtained by identifying a vertex of the complete graph K_m (resp., the cycle C_m) and an end of the path P_{l+1} , see the leftmost (resp., middle) illustration in Fig. 1. Tom [23, Theorem 5.2] computed the chromatic symmetric function of melting lollipops.




FIGURE 1. The lollipop K_m^l , the tadpole C_m^l , and the 3-spider $S(abc)$.

We restate his result for lollipops as a specialization.

Proposition 2.4 (Tom). $X_{K_a^{n-a}} = (a-1)! \sum_{I \models n, i_{-1} \geq a} w_I e_I$ for any $n \geq a \geq 1$.

Wang and Zhou [28] provided a formula for tadpoles. For any number $a \leq n$, define

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_I(a) = \min\{i_1 + \cdots + i_k : 0 \leq k \leq \ell(I), i_1 + \cdots + i_k \geq a\}.$$

The a -surplus of I is the number

$$(2.5) \quad \Theta_I(a) = \sigma_I(a) - a.$$

Proposition 2.5 (Wang and Zhou). $X_{C_{n-l}^l} = \sum_{I \models n} \Theta_I(l+1) w_I e_I$ for any $0 \leq l \leq n-2$.

For any composition $I \models n-1$, the spider $S(I)$ is the tree of order n obtained by identifying an end of the paths $P_{i_1+1}, P_{i_2+1}, \dots$. We also call it an $\ell(I)$ -spider, see the rightmost illustration in Fig. 1 for a 3-spider $S(abc)$. Zheng [29, Lemma 4.4] expresses the chromatic symmetric function of 3-spiders in terms of that of paths.

Proposition 2.6 (Zheng). $X_{S(abc)} = \sum_{i=0}^c X_{P_i} X_{P_{n-i}} - \sum_{i=b+1}^{b+c} X_{P_i} X_{P_{n-i}}$ for any $abc \models n-1$.

Let K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_l} be completed graphs, where $i_k \geq 2$ for all k . Suppose that u_k and v_k be distinct vertices of K_{i_k} . The K -chain $K_{i_1} + \dots + K_{i_l}$ is the graph obtained by identifying v_k and u_{k+1} for all $1 \leq l - 1$. Tom [23] discovered an elegant positive e_I -expansion for K -chains.

Theorem 2.7 (Tom). *Let $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_l$ be a composition with each part at least 2. If K_γ is the K -chain with clique sizes γ_i , then*

$$X_{K_\gamma} = (\gamma_1 - 2)! \cdots (\gamma_{l-1} - 2)! (\gamma_l - 1)! \sum_{\alpha \in A_\gamma} \left(\alpha_1 \prod_{i=2}^l |\alpha_i - \gamma_{i-1} + 1| \right) e_\alpha,$$

where $l = \ell(\gamma)$ and A_γ is the set of weak compositions $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_l \models |\gamma| - l + 1$ of the same length l such that for each $2 \leq i \leq l$, either

- $\alpha_i < \gamma_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_l < \gamma_i + \cdots + \gamma_l - (l - i)$, or
- $\alpha_i \geq \gamma_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_i + \cdots + \alpha_l \geq \gamma_i + \cdots + \gamma_l - (l - i)$.

3. PATH-CONJOINED GRAPHS

A *rooted graph* (G, u) is a pair consisting of a graph G and a vertex u of G . We call u its *root*. For any rooted graphs (G, u) and (H, v) , we define the *path-conjoined graph* $P^k(G, H)$ to be the graph obtained by adding a path of length k that links u and v , see Fig. 2. We call G and H its *node graphs*.




FIGURE 2. The k -conjoined graph $P^k(G, H)$.

We often assert a rooted graph as G without mentioning its root, in the case that the root is clear from context, or that any given vertex may play the role of root throughout. In particular, when a node graph is a clique or a cycle, the choice of its root is insignificant. For short, we write

$$(3.1) \quad G^k = P^k(G, K_1),$$

and call it a *tailed graph* with node G . As a result, tailed cliques are lollipops, and tailed cycles are tadpoles.

Technically speaking, the path-conjoining amalgamation by a path of length k is equivalent to joining a graph with a new edge P_2 for k times. However, we are benefit from the viewpoint of recognizing a path as a whole since many more compositions from the latter point of view survive from the technical restrictions in Tom's Theorem 2.7.

We can express the chromatic symmetric function of path-conjoined graphs $P^k(G, H)$ with one of the node graphs G being a clique or a cycle in terms of the chromatic symmetric functions of tailed graphs with node H , see Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Proposition 3.1 (A KPG formula). *Let H be a rooted graph. For any $k \geq 0$ and $g \geq 1$,*

$$(3.2) \quad X_{P^k(K_g, H)} = (g - 1)! \sum_{l=0}^{g-1} (1 - l) e_l X_{H^{k+g-1-l}}.$$

Proof. When $g = 1$, Eq. (3.2) reduces to Eq. (3.1). Let $g \geq 2$. By Proposition 2.2,

$$X_{P^k(K_g, H)} = (g - 1) X_{P^{k+1}(K_{g-1}, H)} - (g - 2) X_{K_{g-1}} X_{H^k} \quad \text{for } g \geq 2,$$

in which $X_{K_{g-1}} = (g - 1)! e_{g-1}$. We can then infer Eq. (3.2) by using this relation iteratedly. \square

We remark that Eq. (3.2) for when H is a clique is known to Wang and Zhou [28, Page 19], by which they derived a neat positive e_I -expansion of the chromatic symmetric function of *barbells* $P^k(K_a, K_b)$, see [28, Theorem 3.6]. When $H = K_1$ is a *singleton* node, the graph $P^k(K_g, K_1)$ is a lollipop, and Eq. (3.2) coincides with Dahlberg and van Willigenburg [6, Proposition 9].

Proposition 3.2 (A CPG formula). *Let H be a rooted graph. For any $k \geq 0$ and $g \geq 2$,*

$$(3.3) \quad X_{P^k(C_g, H)} = (g-1)X_{H^{k+g-1}} - \sum_{l=1}^{g-2} X_{C_{g-l}} X_{H^{k+l-1}}.$$

Proof. When $g = 2$, Eq. (3.3) reduces to $X_{P^k(C_2, H)} = X_{H^{k+1}}$, which is trivial. For $g \geq 3$, let u be the root of C_g , with neighbors x and y on C_g . Applying Eq. (2.1) to the triangle uxy , we obtain

$$X_{P^k(C_g, H)} = X_{P^{k+1}(C_{g-1}, H)} + X_{H^{k+g-1}} - X_{C_{g-1}} X_{H^k} \quad \text{for } g \geq 3.$$

We can then infer Eq. (3.3) by using this relation iteratedly for g down to 3. \square

We remark that Eq. (3.3) for $H = K_1$ coincides with Li et al. [13, Formula (3.11)], for which the graph $P^k(C_g, K_1)$ is a tadpole.

By Proposition 3.1 and using the composition method, we discover a positive e_I -expansion for the chromatic symmetric function of *clique-path-cycle* graphs $P^b(K_a, C_c)$.

Theorem 3.3 (A KPC formula). *Let $n = a + b + c - 1$, where $a \geq 1$, $b \geq 0$ and $c \geq 2$. Then*

$$\frac{X_{P^b(K_a, C_c)}}{(a-1)!} = \sum_{K \models n} c_K w_K e_K,$$

where w_K is defined by Eq. (2.3), and

$$c_K = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ell(K) \geq 2 \text{ and } k_2 < a, \\ k_2 - a - b + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_2 - 1}, & \text{if } k_1 \leq a - 1 \text{ and } k_2 \geq a + b, \\ \Theta_K(a + b), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here the function $\Theta_K(\cdot)$ is defined by Eq. (2.5).

Proof. Let $G = P^b(K_a, C_c)$. By Propositions 2.5 and 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X_G}{(a-1)!} &= \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} (1-l)e_l X_{C_c^{a+b-1-l}} = - \sum_{l=0}^{a-1} \sum_{J \models n-l} \Theta_J(a+b-l) w_J e_J \\ &= \sum_{K \models n} \Theta_K(a+b) w_K e_K - \sum_{\substack{K \models n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_2 \leq a-1}} \Theta_{K \setminus k_2}(a+b-k_2) w_K e_K. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with the coefficient in the last sum.

- (1) If $k_1 < a + b - k_2$, then $\Theta_{K \setminus k_2}(a + b - k_2) = \Theta_K(a + b)$.
- (2) If $a + b - k_2 \leq k_1 < a + b$, then $\Theta_{K \setminus k_2}(a + b - k_2) = k_1 + k_2 - (a + b) = \Theta_K(a + b)$.
- (3) If $k_1 \geq a + b$, then $\Theta_{K \setminus k_2}(a + b - k_2) = k_1 + k_2 - (a + b) = \Theta_K(a + b) + k_2$.

Therefore, the last sum can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{K \models n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_2 \leq a-1}} \Theta_{K \setminus k_2}(a + b - k_2) w_K e_K = \sum_{\substack{K \models n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_2 \leq a-1}} \Theta_K(a + b) w_K e_K + \sum_{\substack{K \models n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_1 \geq a+b, k_2 \leq a-1}} k_2 w_K e_K.$$

It follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{X_G}{(a-1)!} = (c-1)ne_n + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}_n} \Theta_K(a+b)w_K e_K - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{B}_n} k_2 w_K e_K,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n &= \{K \vDash n : \ell(K) \geq 2, k_2 \geq a\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{B}_n &= \{K \vDash n : \ell(K) \geq 2, k_1 \geq a+b, 2 \leq k_2 \leq a-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $K \in \mathcal{B}_n$, let $f(K)$ be the composition obtained by exchanging the first two parts of K . Then f is a bijection between \mathcal{B}_n and the set

$$f(\mathcal{B}_n) = \{K \vDash n : \ell(K) \geq 2, 2 \leq k_1 \leq a-1, k_2 \geq a+b\}.$$

Then we can rewrite the negative sum in Eq. (3.4) as

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{B}_n} k_2 w_K e_K = \sum_{K \in f(\mathcal{B}_n)} \frac{k_1-1}{k_2-1} \cdot k_2 w_K e_K.$$

It is clear that $f(\mathcal{B}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$. For $K \in f(\mathcal{B}_n)$, we have

$$\Theta_K(a+b) - \frac{k_2(k_1-1)}{k_2-1} = (k_2 - a - b) + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_2 - 1} > 0.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{X_G}{(a-1)!} = (c-1)ne_n + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}_n \setminus f(\mathcal{B}_n)} \Theta_K(a+b)w_K e_K + \sum_{K \in f(\mathcal{B}_n)} \left(k_2 - a - b + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_2 - 1} \right) w_K e_K,$$

which can be recast as the desired formula. \square

Taking $(a, k, b) = (4, 2, 4)$ as an example, by Theorem 3.3, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} X_{P^2(K_4, C_4)} &= 18e_{1422} + 162e_{144} + 30e_{162} + 126e_{18} + 48e_{252} + 132e_{27} + 54e_{342} + 54e_{36} \\ &\quad + 288e_{45} + 270e_{54} + 162e_9. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that Theorem 3.3 reduces to Proposition 2.4 for $c = 1$, and to Proposition 2.5 for both $a = 1$ and $a = 2$.

A *Kayak paddle* graph is a path-conjoined graph $P^k(C_a, C_b)$ with cycle nodes. Aliniaiefard et al. [1, Corollary 6.6] provided an (e)-appendable positivity technique to show the e -positivity of a chain-like graph. In particular, they obtain the e -positivity of Kayak paddles, see [1, Proposition 6.7].

Proposition 3.4 (Aliniaiefard et al.). *Any Kayak paddle is e -positive.*

It is natural to ask for a positive e_I -expansion of Kayak paddles, see also Conjecture 5.6.

4. SPIDER-CONJOINED GRAPHS

Let $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_l$ be a composition with nonnegative parts. Let $\{s_1, \dots, s_l\}$ be the set of leaves of the spider $S(\tau)$, in which s_i lies on a leg of length τ_i . For any rooted graphs $(G_1, u_1), \dots, (G_l, u_l)$, we define the *spider-conjoined graph* $S^\tau(G_1, \dots, G_l)$ to be the graph obtained by identifying u_i with s_i for all i . We also call it an l -*spider-conjoined graph*. Then 1-spider-conjoined graphs are tailed graphs, and 2-spider-conjoined graphs are path-conjoined graphs. We call the graphs G_i *nodes*, and omit the roots whenever they are insignificant.

We notice that the chromatic symmetric function of l -spider-conjoined graphs for $l \geq 4$ can be expressed in terms of the chromatic symmetric functions of 3-, 2-, and 1-spider-conjoined graphs, when τ has at least two positive parts.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $G = S^\tau(G_1, \dots, G_l)$ be a spider-conjoined graph with $l \geq 3$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \geq 1$. Then*

$$X_G = X_{S^{(\tau_1-1)\tau_2}(G_1, G_2, H)} + X_{G_1^{\tau_1-1} X_{S^{\tau_2 \dots \tau_l}(G_2, \dots, G_l)} - X_{P^{\tau_1+\tau_2-1}(G_1, G_2)} X_H,$$

where $H = S^{\tau_3 \dots \tau_l}(G_3, \dots, G_l)$ whose root is the center of G .

Proof. Applying Eq. (2.1) to the triangle formed by the center of G and its neighbors on the G_1 - and G_2 -legs, we obtain the desired formula. \square

This leads us to concentrate on 3-spider-conjoined graphs.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G, H and J be rooted graphs. For any $g, j \geq 0$ and $h \geq 1$,*

$$X_{S^{ghj}(G, H, J)} = X_{S^{(g+j)h}(G, H, J)} + \sum_{i=1}^j (X_{P^{g+h+i-1}(G, H)} X_{J^{j-i}} - X_{G^{g+i-1}} X_{P^{h+j-i}(H, J)}).$$

Proof. It is trivial for $j = 0$. Suppose that $j \geq 1$. Taking $(G_1, G_2, G_3) = (J, H, G)$ in Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$X_{S^{ghj}(G, H, J)} = X_{S^{(g+1)h(j-1)}(G, H, J)} + X_{P^{g+h}(G, H)} X_{J^{j-1}} - X_{G^g} X_{P^{h+j-1}(H, J)}.$$

Using it iteratedly for j down to 1, we can derive the desired formula. \square

We remark that for $G = H = J = K_1$, Proposition 4.2 reduces to Proposition 2.6.

For any $1 \leq k \leq l$, we denote

$$S^\tau(G_1, \dots, G_k, K_1, K_1, \dots, K_1) = S_{\tau_{k+1} \dots \tau_l}^{\tau_1 \dots \tau_k}(G_1, \dots, G_k)$$

for compactness. See Fig. 3 for the graph $S_k^{ij}(G, H)$.




FIGURE 3. The spider-conjoined graph $S_k^{ij}(G, H)$.

Here is an immediate corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let G and H be rooted graphs. For any $g, j \geq 0$ and $h \geq 1$,*

$$X_{S_j^{gh}(G, H)} = \sum_{i=0}^j X_{P_i} X_{P^{g+h+j-i}(G, H)} - \sum_{i=1}^j X_{G^{g+i-1}} X_{H^{h+j-i}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$X_{S_{h_j}^g(G)} = \sum_{i=0}^j X_{P_i} X_{G^{g+j-i+h}} - \sum_{i=1}^j X_{P_{i+h}} X_{G^{g+j-i}}.$$

Proof. They follow by taking $J = K_1$ and $J = H = K_1$ in Proposition 4.2, respectively. \square

In the remaining of Section 4, we consider 3-spider-conjoined graphs with a clique node or a cycle node; see Theorems 4.5 and 4.9, respectively. Lemma 4.4 will be of use when a node graph is a clique.

Lemma 4.4. For $a \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{l=0}^a (1-l)e_l X_{P_{n-l}} = \begin{cases} X_{K_{a+1}^{n-1-a}}/a!, & \text{if } a \leq n-1; \\ e_n, & \text{if } a = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq a \leq n-1$. By Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, we can deduce that

$$\sum_{l=2}^a (l-1)e_l X_{P_{n-l}} = \sum_{K \neq n, k_{-1} \leq a} w_K e_K = X_{P_n} - \sum_{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq a+1} w_K e_K = X_{P_n} - X_{K_{a+1}^{n-a-1}}/a!.$$

Rearranging the terms yields the desired formula for $a < n$. Now, taking $a = n-1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (1-l)e_l X_{P_{n-l}} = \frac{X_{K_n}}{(n-1)!} = n e_n.$$

Adding both sides by $(1-n)e_n$ yields the desired formula for $a = n$. \square

Here is the reduction for spider-conjoined graphs with a clique node.

Theorem 4.5. Let G and H be rooted graphs. For any $m \geq 1$ and $g, k \geq 0$ and $h \geq 1$,

$$\frac{X_{S^{ghk}(G, H, K_m)}}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{z=0}^{k+m-1} e_z X_{P_{g+h+k+m-1-z}(G, H)} - \sum_{z=1}^{k+m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} (1-l)e_l X_{G^{g+z-l-1}} X_{H^{h+k+m-1-z}}.$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.1 to the spider $S_0^{gh}(G, H)$, with the root being its center, we obtain

$$X_{S^{ghk}(G, H, K_m)} = (m-1)! \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (1-l)e_l X_{S_{k+m-1-l}^{gh}(G, H)}.$$

We write $q = k+m-1$ and $P^l(G, H) = P^l$ for short. Using Corollary 4.3, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X_{S^{ghk}(G, H, K_m)}}{(m-1)!} &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} (1-l)e_l \left(\sum_{z=0}^{q-l} X_{P_{q-l-z}} X_{P_{g+h+z}} - \sum_{z=0}^{q-l-1} X_{G^{g+q-l-z-1}} X_{H^{h+z}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{z=0}^q X_{P_{g+h+z}} \sum_{l=0}^{q-z} (1-l)e_l X_{P_{q-l-z}} - \sum_{z=0}^{q-1} X_{H^{h+z}} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1-z} (1-l)e_l X_{G^{g+q-l-z-1}} \\ &= \sum_{z=0}^q X_{P_{g+h+q-z}} \sum_{l=0}^z (1-l)e_l X_{P_{z-l}} - \sum_{z=0}^{q-1} X_{H^{h+q-1-z}} \sum_{l=0}^z (1-l)e_l X_{G^{g+z-l}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.4, the first inner sum equals to e_z . This proves the desired formula. \square

We remark that the double sum in Theorem 4.5 can be recast as

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{z=1}^{k+m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} (1-l)e_l X_{G^{g+z-l-1}} X_{H^{h+k+m-1-z}} = \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+k+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g, c \geq h}} (1-a)e_a X_{G^b} X_{H^c}.$$

Next is a technical lemma, which serves for the proof of Corollary 4.7.

Lemma 4.6. For any functions f, g and h defined on integers,

$$\sum_{z=1}^{a-1} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} f(l)g(z-l)h(a-z) = \sum_{z=1}^{a-1} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} f(l)g(a-z)h(z-l).$$

Proof. Commuting the sums, we see from the inner sum that one may replace z with $a + l - z$, as

$$\sum_{z=1}^{a-1} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} f(l)g(z-l)h(a-z) = \sum_{l=0}^{a-2} \sum_{z=l+1}^{a-1} f(l)g(z-l)h(a-z) = \sum_{l=0}^{a-2} \sum_{z=l+1}^{a-1} f(l)g(a-z)h(z-l).$$

The desired formula then follows by commuting the sums again. \square

Now we are in a position to give a reduction for the chromatic symmetric function of 3-spider-conjoined graphs with a clique node and a singleton node.

Corollary 4.7. *Let G be a rooted graph. For any $k, g \geq 0$ and $m, u \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{X_{S_u^{gk}(G, K_m)}}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{z=0}^{k+m-1} e_z X_{G^{k+g+m-1-z+u}} - \sum_{z=1}^{k+m-1} \frac{X_{G^{k+g+m-1-z}} X_{K_z^u}}{(z-1)!}.$$

Proof. In Theorem 4.5, taking $H = K_1$ and $h = u$, we obtain

$$\frac{X_{S_u^{gk}(G, K_m)}}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{z=0}^{k+m-1} e_z X_{G^{g+u+k+m-1-z}} - \sum_{z=1}^{k+m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} (1-l) e_l X_{G^{g+z-l-1}} X_{P_{u+k+m-z}}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.6, we can transform the double sum to

$$\sum_{z=1}^{k+m-1} X_{G^{g+k+m-z-1}} \sum_{l=0}^{z-1} (1-l) e_l X_{P_{u+z-l}}.$$

Then we can infer the desired formula by using Lemma 4.4. \square

The pineapple graph Pi_m^λ is the graph $S_\lambda^0(K_m)$, see Topcu, Sorgun, and Haemers [24]. Corollary 4.7 implies a formula for pineapples with $\ell(\lambda) = 2$.

Corollary 4.8. *For any $a \geq 0$ and $b, m \geq 1$,*

$$X_{X_{S_{ab}^0(K_m)}} = (m-1)! \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e_k X_{P_{a+b+m-k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{X_{P_{a+m-k}} X_{K_k^b}}{(k-1)!} \right).$$

Proof. Immediate by taking $(G, g, k, u) = (K_1, a, 0, b)$ in Corollary 4.7. \square

Now we come to the aforementioned reduction for spider-conjoined graphs with a cycle node.

Theorem 4.9. *Let G and H be rooted graphs. For any $i, j \geq 0$ and $m \geq 2$,*

$$X_{S^{ijk}(G, H, C_m)} = (m-1) X_{S_{k+m-1}^{ij}(G, H)} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} X_{S_{k+l-1}^{ij}(G, H)} X_{C_{m-l}}.$$

Proof. In the graph $W = S_0^{ij}(G, H)$, we set its root to be the spider center. Then

$$W^k = S_k^{ij}(G, H) \quad \text{and} \quad P^k(W, C_m) = S^{ijk}(G, H, C_m).$$

Taking $G = W$ in Proposition 3.2 yields the desired formula. \square

5. CHAIN-CONJOINED GRAPHS

In this section, we investigate another way of generalizing path-conjoined graphs. A *double rooted graph* (G, u, v) is a triple consisting of a graph G and two distinct vertices u and v of G . We call u and v its *roots*. For any double rooted graphs $(G_1, u_1, v_1), \dots, (G_l, u_l, v_l)$, we define the *chain-conjoined graph* $C(G_1, k_1, G_2, k_2, \dots, G_{l-1}, k_{l-1}, G_l)$ to be the graph obtained by adding a path of length k_i linking v_i and u_{i+1} for all $i = 1, \dots, l-1$, see Fig. 4. We also call it an *l-chain-conjoined graph*. We call the graphs G_i *nodes*, and omit the roots whenever they are insignificant or clear from context.




FIGURE 4. The chain-conjoined graph $C(G_1, k_1, G_2, k_2, \dots, G_{l-1}, k_{l-1}, G_l)$.

We consider 3-chain-conjoined graphs with the middle node $G_2 = K_m$ for $m \geq 2$, denoted

$$K_m^{gh}(G, H) = C(G, g, K_m, h, H)$$

for compactness. In particular,

$$(5.1) \quad K_2^{gh}(G, H) = P^{g+h+1}(G, H).$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let G and H be rooted graphs. For any $g, h \geq 0$ and $m \geq 2$,*

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{X_{K_m^{gh}(G, H)}}{(m-2)!} = \sum_{z=1}^{m-1} z e_{m-1-z} X_{P^{g+h+z}(G, H)} + (m-2) \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g, c \geq h}} (a-1) e_a X_{G^b} X_{H^c}.$$

Proof. When $m = 2$, Eq. (5.2) holds trivially by Eq. (5.1). Suppose that $m \geq 3$. We label the vertices of the clique K_m in G by $uv_1v_2 \cdots v_{m-2}v$, where u (resp., v) is the root for conjoining K_m with G (resp., with H). Let $K_{m,k}^{gh}$ be the graph obtained from $K_m^{gh} = K_m^{gh}(G, H)$ by removing the edges uv_1, \dots, uv_k . By Proposition 2.2,

$$X_{K_m^{gh}} = (m-2)X_{K_{m,m-3}^{gh}} - (m-3)X_{K_{m,m-2}^{gh}}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 3.$$

Write $\mathfrak{R}_m^{gh} = S^{gh0}(G, H, K_m)$. Then

$$K_{m,m-2}^{gh} = \mathfrak{R}_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}.$$

Applying Eq. (2.2) to the graph $K_{m,m-3}^{gh}$ with respect to the triangle uvv_{m-2} , we obtain

$$X_{K_{m,m-3}^{gh}} + X_{K_{m,m-1}^{gh}} = X_{K_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}} + X_{\mathfrak{R}_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 3.$$

Since $K_{m,m-1}^{gh}$ is the disjoint union of the graphs G^g and $P^h(H, K_{m-1})$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{K_m^{gh}} &= (m-2)X_{K_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}} - (m-2)X_{G^g} X_{P^h(H, K_{m-1})} + X_{\mathfrak{R}_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}} \\ &= (m-2) \left((m-3)X_{K_{m-2}^{(g+2)h}} - (m-3)X_{G^{g+1}} X_{P^h(H, K_{m-2})} + X_{\mathfrak{R}_{m-2}^{(g+2)h}} \right) \\ &\quad - (m-2)X_{G^g} X_{P^h(H, K_{m-1})} + X_{\mathfrak{R}_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}} = \cdots \\ &= (m-2)! X_{K_2^{(g+m-2)h}} - \sum_{k \geq 1} (m-2)_k X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{P^h(H, K_{m-k})} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (m-2)_{k-1} X_{\mathfrak{R}_{m-k}^{(g+k)h}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (m-2)_{k-1} X_{\mathfrak{R}_{m-k}^{(g+k)h}} - \sum_{k \geq 1} (m-2)_k X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{P^h(H, K_{m-k})} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} (m-2)_k X_{S^{(g+k+1)h^0}(G, H, K_{m-k-1})} - \sum_{k \geq 1} (m-2)_k X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{P^h(H, K_{m-k})},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(m-2)_k = (m-2)(m-3) \cdots (m-k-1)$ stands for the falling factorial. By Theorem 4.5, Eq. (4.1), and Proposition 3.1, we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad X_{K_m^{gh}} &= (m-2)! \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \sum_{z=0}^{m-k-2} e_z X_{P^{g+h+m-1-z}(G, H)} \\
&\quad - (m-2)! \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+k+1, c \geq h}} (1-a) e_a X_{G^b} X_{H^c} \\
&\quad - (m-2)! \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} X_{G^{g+k-1}} (m-k-1) \sum_{l=0}^{m-k-1} (1-l) e_l X_{H^{h+m-k-1-l}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the first double sum has no parameter k appearing in its summand, it can be simplified as

$$\sum_{z=0}^{m-2} (m-1-z) e_z X_{P^{g+h+m-1-z}(G, H)} = \sum_{z=1}^{m-1} z e_{m-1-z} X_{P^{g+h+z}(G, H)}.$$

We write $Q = (1-a) e_a X_{G^b} X_{H^c}$ for short. Since the upper bound of k for the second double sum is essentially $m-3$, we can process the last two double sums by bootstrapping as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{m-3} \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+k+1, c \geq h}} Q + \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g, c \geq h}} (g+m-b-2)Q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m-3} \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+k+1, c \geq h}} Q + \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+1, c \geq h}} (g+m-b-1)Q + (m-2) \sum_{\substack{a+c=h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, c \geq h}} Q|_{b=g} \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{m-3} \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+k+1, c \geq h}} Q + \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+2, c \geq h}} (g+m-b)Q + (m-2) \left(\sum_{\substack{a+c=h+m-3 \\ a \geq 0, c \geq h}} Q|_{b=g+1} + \sum_{\substack{a+c=h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, c \geq h}} Q|_{b=g} \right) \\
&= \dots \\
&= \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+m-2, c \geq h}} (g+m-b+m-4)Q + (m-2) \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} \sum_{\substack{a+c=h+m-2-i \\ a \geq 0, c \geq h}} Q|_{b=g+i} \\
&= (m-2) \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sum_{\substack{a+c=h+m-2-i \\ a \geq 0, c \geq h}} Q|_{b=g+i} \\
&= (m-2) \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \sum_{a=0}^{m-2-k} (1-a) e_a X_{G^{g+k}} X_{H^{h+m-2-k-a}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the previous two formulas into Eq. (5.3), we obtain the desired formula. \square

Theorem 5.1 reduces to a useful GKP formula by taking $H = K_1$.

Theorem 5.2 (A GKP formula). *For any $m \geq 2$ and $h \geq 0$,*

$$\frac{X_{K_m^{gh}(G, K_1)}}{(m-2)!} = \sum_{z=1}^{m-1} z e_{m-1-z} X_{G^{g+h+z}} - (m-2) \sum_{z=1}^{m-1} X_{G^{m-1-z+g}} \sum_{I \models h+z, i_{-1} \geq z} w_I e_I,$$

where w_I is defined by Eq. (2.3).

Proof. Taking $H = K_1$ in Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X_{K_m^{gh}(G, K_1)}}{(m-2)!} - \sum_{z=1}^{m-1} z e_{m-1-z} X_{G^{g+h+z}} &= (m-2) \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g, c \geq h}} (a-1) e_a X_{G^b} X_{P_{c+1}} \\ &= (2-m) \sum_{b=g}^{g+m-2} X_{G^b} \sum_{a=0}^{g+m-2-b} (1-a) e_a X_{P_{g+h+m-1-a-b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.4, we can simplify the rightmost side of the equation above as

$$(2-m) \sum_{b=g}^{g+m-2} X_{G^b} \frac{X_{K_{g+m-2-b+1}^h}}{(g+m-2-b)!} = (2-m) \sum_{b=0}^{m-2} \frac{X_{G^{m-2-b+g}} X_{K_{b+1}^h}}{b!}.$$

The remaining proof follows by Proposition 2.4. \square

By using the composition method with the aid of Theorem 5.2, we derive new positive e_I -expansions for the chromatic symmetric functions of two kinds of K -chains in Theorems 5.3 and 5.4: the *path-clique-path* graphs and *path-clique-clique* graphs.

Theorem 5.3 (A PKP formula). *Let $n = g + h + m + 1$, where $g, h \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\frac{X_{K_{m+1}^{gh}(K_1, K_1)}}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{\substack{I \models n, i_{-1} \leq m-1 \\ \Theta_I(h+1) \geq m}} (m-1) i_{-1} w_{I \setminus i_{-1}} e_I + \sum_{\substack{I \models n, i_{-1} \geq m \\ \Theta_I(h+1) \geq m}} m w_I e_I + \sum_{\substack{I \models n, i_{-1} \geq m \\ \Theta_I(h+1) \leq m-1}} (i_{-1} - m) w_{I \setminus i_{-1}} e_I,$$

where $I \setminus i_{-1}$ stands for the composition obtained from I by removing the last part, w_I and Θ_I are defined by Eqs. (2.3) and (2.5) respectively.

Proof. Let $G = K_{m+1}^{g(h-1)}(K_1, K_1)$. By Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 2.3,

$$\frac{X_G}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{z=0}^{m-1} \sum_{I \models n-z} (m-z) w_I e_{Iz} - (m-1) \sum_{z=1}^m \sum_{\substack{IJ \models n \\ I \models h-1+z, i_{-1} \geq z}} w_I w_J e_{IJ}.$$

For the first double sum, we separate its summand for $z = 0$ and rewrite it as

$$\sum_{z=0}^{m-1} \sum_{I \models n-z} (m-z) w_I e_{Iz} = \sum_{K \models n} m w_K e_K + \sum_{K \models n, k_{-1} \leq m-1} (m-k_{-1}) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K.$$

Here $w_{K \setminus k_{-1}}$ is well defined since $|K \setminus k_{-1}| \geq 1$. In the second double sum, the composition J can be equivalently replaced with its reversal \bar{J} ; thus

$$\sum_{z=1}^m \sum_{\substack{IJ \models n \\ I \models h-1+z, i_{-1} \geq z}} w_I w_J e_{IJ} = \sum_{z=1}^m \sum_{\substack{IJ \models n \\ I \models h-1+z, i_{-1} \geq |I|-h+1}} w_I w_{\bar{J}} e_{IJ}.$$

We regard IJ as a whole competition K . On the one hand, since

$$h \leq |I| \leq h-1+i_{-1},$$

the composition I must be the shortest prefix of K such that $|I| \geq h$. Conversely, this decomposition of K into the pair (I, J) guarantees $h \leq |I| \leq h - 1 + i_{-1}$. On the other hand,

$$w_I w_{\overline{J}} e_{IJ} = k_{-1} w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K.$$

As a result, we can rewrite the second double sum as

$$\sum_{K \vDash n, \Theta_K(h) \leq m-1} k_{-1} w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K.$$

Now we are in a position to collect the e_K -coefficient of

$$\frac{X_G}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{K \vDash n} m w_K e_K + \sum_{K \vDash n, k_{-1} < m} (m - k_{-1}) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K - \sum_{K \vDash n, \Theta_K(h) < m} (m-1) k_{-1} w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K.$$

We proceed in 4 cases. Let $K \vDash n$, $x = \Theta_K(h)$, $y = k_{-1}$, and $I = K \setminus k_{-1}$. Denote by c_K the coefficient of e_K in $X_G/(m-1)!$. Here we consider $e_K \neq e_H$ if K and H are distinct compositions. Then we have the following arguments.

- (1) If $x, y \geq m$, then $c_K = m w_K$.
- (2) If $x \geq m > y$, then $c_K = m w_K + (m - y) w_I = (m - 1) y w_I$.
- (3) If $x, y < m$, then $c_K = 0$ by the previous item.
- (4) If $x < m \leq y$, then $c_K = m w_K - (m - 1) y w_I = (y - m) w_I$.

Gathering them together, we obtain the desired formula. \square

For example, by Theorem 5.3 we can calculate

$$X_{K_4^{21}(K_1, K_1)} = 24e_{142} + 16e_{151} + 24e_{16} + 2e_{421} + 6e_{43} + 48e_{52} + 30e_{61} + 42e_7.$$

The other is a positive e_I -expansion of the chromatic symmetric function of *path-clique-clique* graphs $K_{b+1}^{0a}(K_c, K_1)$.

Theorem 5.4 (A PKK formula). *Let $n = a + b + c$, where $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 1$. Then*

$$\frac{X_{K_{b+1}^{0a}(K_c, K_1)}}{(b-1)!(c-1)!} = \sum_{\substack{K \vDash n \\ k_{-1} \geq b+c}} b w_K e_K + \sum_{\substack{K \vDash n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c \\ k_{-1} \leq \min(b-1, c-1)}} (b - k_{-1}) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K + \sum_{\substack{K \vDash n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c \\ \max(b+1, c) \leq k_{-1} \leq b+c-1}} (k_{-1} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K,$$

where $I \setminus i_{-1}$ stands for the composition obtained from I by removing the last part, and w_I is defined by Eq. (2.3).

Proof. Let $G = X_{K_{b+1}^{0a}(K_c, K_1)}$. By Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X_G}{(b-1)!} &= \sum_{z=1}^b z e_{b-z} X_{K_c^{a+z}} - (b-1) \sum_{z=1}^b X_{K_c^{b-z}} \sum_{J \vDash a+z, j_{-1} \geq z} w_J e_J \\ &= \sum_{z=1}^b z e_{b-z} \sum_{I \vDash a+c+z, i_{-1} \geq c} (c-1)! w_I e_I - (b-1)(c-1)! \sum_{z=1}^b \sum_{\substack{I \vDash b+c-z, i_{-1} \geq c \\ J \vDash a+z, j_{-1} \geq z}} w_I w_J e_{IJ}. \end{aligned}$$

Separating the term for $z = b$ from the first double sum, we can rewrite it as

$$\frac{X_G}{(b-1)!(c-1)!} = Y_1 + Y_2 - Y_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1 &= b \sum_{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c} w_K e_K, \\
Y_2 &= \sum_{z=1}^{b-1} \sum_{\substack{I \neq a+c+z \\ i_{-1} \geq c}} z w_I e_{i_1 \dots i_{-2} (b-z) i_{-1}} = \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-2} \leq b-1}} (b - k_{-2}) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K, \quad \text{and} \\
Y_3 &= (b-1) \sum_{z=1}^b \sum_{\substack{I \neq a+b+1-z, i_{-1} \geq b-z+1 \\ J \neq c+z-1, j_{-1} \geq c}} w_I w_J e_{IJ}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last expression, since $a+1 \leq |I| \leq a+i_{-1}$, the composition I must be the shortest prefix of the composition IJ such that $|I| \geq a+1$. It follows that

$$(5.4) \quad Y_3 = (b-1) \sum_{\substack{K=IJ \neq n, j_{-1} \geq c \\ |I| = \sigma_K(a+1)}} w_I w_J e_K,$$

where the function $\sigma_K(\cdot)$ is defined by Eq. (2.4). We claim that

$$(5.5) \quad Y_3 = \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, c \leq k_{-1} \leq b+c-1 \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (b-1) k_{-1} w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K + \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \leq b+c-1}} (b-1) k_{-2} w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K.$$

In fact, we separate the sum in Eq. (5.4) according to whether $\ell(J) = 1$.

(1) For $\ell(J) = 1$, we have

$$w_I w_J = k_{-1} w_{K \setminus k_{-1}}, \quad k_{-1} \leq b+c-1, \quad \ell(K) \geq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c.$$

Conversely, if these three inequalities hold, then $|k_1 \cdots k_{-3}| \leq a$. Since $|I| = \sigma_K(a+1)$, we find $k_1 \cdots k_{-3}$ must be a proper prefix of I . Since J is not empty, we derive that $I = k_1 \cdots k_{-2}$ and $\ell(J) = 1$. Thus the terms in Eq. (5.4) with $\ell(J) = 1$ sum to the first sum in Eq. (5.5).

(2) For $\ell(J) \geq 2$, define J' to be the composition obtained by moving j_{-2} to the beginning of J , i.e., $J' = j_{-2} j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{-3} j_{-1}$. When J runs over the set $\{J \neq n - |I| : j_{-1} \geq c\}$, so does J' , and vice versa. If we write $K = IJ'$, then

$$w_I w_{J'} = k_{-2} w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{-1} + k_{-2} \leq b+c-1.$$

Conversely, if $k_{-1} + k_{-2} \leq b+c-1$, then $|k_1 \cdots k_{-3}| \geq a+1$, which implies that I is a prefix of $k_1 \cdots k_{-3}$, and $\ell(J) \geq 2$. Thus the terms in Eq. (5.4) with $\ell(J) \geq 2$ sum to the second sum in Eq. (5.5).

This proves Eq. (5.5). Now we compute $Y_1 - Y_3$. Since for $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$b w_K - (b-1) k_{-i} w_{K \setminus k_{-i}} = w_{K \setminus k_{-i}} (b(k_{-i} - 1) - (b-1)k_{-i}) = (k_{-i} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-i}},$$

we obtain $Y_1 - Y_3 = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \sum_{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq b+c} b w_K e_K, \\
Q_2 &= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, c \leq k_{-1} \leq b+c-1 \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (k_{-1} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K, \quad \text{and} \\
Q_3 &= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-1} + k_{-2} \leq b+c-1}} (k_{-2} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in Q_3 , we always have $k_{-2} \leq b-1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_2 + Q_3 &= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-2} \leq b-1}} (b - k_{-2}) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K + \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-1} + k_{-2} \leq b+c-1}} (k_{-2} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K \\
&= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, k_{-1} \geq c \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-2} \leq b-1 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (b - k_{-2}) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K = \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, \ell(K) \geq 2, \\ k_{-2} \leq b-1 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (b - k_{-2}) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K.
\end{aligned}$$

We then deal with the summands in Q_2 with $k_{-1} \leq b - 1$ by exchanging k_{-2} and k_{-1} . As a result, we can write $Q_2 = Q_{21} + Q_{22}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{21} &= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, \max(b,c) \leq k_{-1} \leq b+c-1 \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (k_{-1} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K, \quad \text{and} \\
Q_{22} &= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, c \leq k_{-2} \leq b-1 \\ \ell(K) \geq 2, k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (k_{-2} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y_2 + Q_3) + Q_{22} &= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_{-2} \leq b-1 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (b - k_{-2}) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K + \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ c \leq k_{-2} \leq b-1 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (k_{-2} - b) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K \\
&= \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_{-2} \leq \min(b,c)-1 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (b - k_{-2}) w_{K \setminus k_{-2}} e_K = \sum_{\substack{K \neq n, \ell(K) \geq 2 \\ k_{-1} \leq \min(b,c)-1 \\ k_{-1} + k_{-2} \geq b+c}} (b - k_{-1}) w_{K \setminus k_{-1}} e_K.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the parameters k_{-1} and k_{-2} are exchanged again in the last previous step. Collecting the results in

$$\frac{X_G}{(b-1)!(c-1)!} = Q_1 + Q_{21} + (Y_2 + Q_3 + Q_{22}),$$

we obtain the desired formula. \square

For example, by Theorem 5.4, we can calculate

$$X_{K_7^{g_1}(K_3, K_1)} = 48e_{126} + 720e_{162} + 1152e_{171} + 1680e_{18} + 192e_{27} + 144e_{36} + 1008e_{72} + 1536e_{81} + 2160e_9.$$

At the end of this paper, we consider 3-chain-conjoined graphs with the middle node $G_2 = C_m$ for $m \geq 3$, and with the two nodes of G_2 being adjacent, denoted

$$C_m^{gh}(G, H) = C(G, g, C_m, h, H)$$

for compactness. Wang and Zhou [28] showed the e -positivity of hat graphs $C_m^{ab}(K_1, K_1)$, which are denoted $H_{a,m,b}$ in their paper. The condition that g and h are adjacent is essential for the e -positivity. For instance, when $m = 4$ and when g and h are not adjacent, the 3-chain-conjoined graph with singleton nodes has negative e -terms $-2e_{41}$ and $-2e_{222}$.

Theorem 5.5 (A GCH formula). *Let G and H be rooted graphs. For any $m \geq 2$ and $g, h \geq 0$,*

$$X_{C_m^{gh}(G,H)} = \sum_{\substack{a+b=g+h+m-1 \\ a \geq 0, b \geq g+h+1}} (a+1) X_{P_a} X_{P^b(G,H)} + \sum_{\substack{a+b+c=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq g, b \geq h, c \geq 2}} X_{G^a} X_{H^b} X_{C_c} - (m-2) \sum_{\substack{a+b=g+h+m-2 \\ a \geq g, b \geq h}} X_{G^a} X_{H^b}.$$

Proof. We omit the variants G and H for short and write

$$P^k(G, H) = P^k, \quad S_m^{gh}(G, H) = S_m^{gh} \quad \text{and} \quad C_m^{gh}(G, H) = C_m^{gh}.$$

For convenience, we label the vertices of the clique C_m in G by v_0, \dots, v_{m-1} , where $u = v_0$ and $v = v_{m-1}$. Applying the triple-deletion property to the triangle uvv_1 , we obtain

$$X_{C_m^{gh}} = X_{C_{m-1}^{(g+1)h}} + X_{S_{m-2}^{(g+1)h}} - X_{G^g} X_{P^h(H, C_{m-1})}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 3.$$

Iteratedly using it, we can infer that

$$(5.6) \quad X_{C_m^{gh}} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} X_{S_{m-1-k}^{(g+k)h}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{P^h(H, C_{m-k})}.$$

This leads us to deal with the graphs $S_{m-1-k}^{(g+k)h}$ and $P^h(H, C_{m-k})$ respectively. On one hand, in Corollary 4.3, replacing k with $m-1-k$ and replacing g with $g+k$, we obtain

$$X_{S_{m-1-k}^{(g+k)h}} = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1-k} X_{P_{m-1-k-l}} X_{P^{g+h+k+l}} - \sum_{l=0}^{m-2-k} X_{G^{g+m-2-l}} X_{H^{h+l}}.$$

It follows that

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} X_{S_{m-1-k}^{(g+k)h}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=k}^{m-1} X_{P_{m-1-l}} X_{P^{g+h+l}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-2-k} X_{G^{g+m-2-l}} X_{H^{h+l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (m-l) X_{P_{m-1-l}} X_{P^{g+h+l}} - \sum_{l=0}^{m-3} (m-2-l) X_{G^{g+m-2-l}} X_{H^{h+l}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in Proposition 3.2, taking $k = h$, $G = H$, and setting m to be $m-k$, we obtain

$$X_{P^h(H, C_{m-k})} = (m-k-1) X_{H^{h+m-k-1}} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-k-2} X_{H^{h+l-1}} X_{C_{m-k-l}}.$$

It follows that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{P^h(H, C_{m-k})} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (m-k-1) X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{H^{h+m-k-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \sum_{l=1}^{m-k-2} X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{H^{h+l-1}} X_{C_{m-k-l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} l \cdot X_{G^{g+m-l-2}} X_{H^{h+l}} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-3} \sum_{k=1}^{m-2-l} X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{H^{h+l-1}} X_{C_{m-k-l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting Eqs. (5.7) and (5.8) into Eq. (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_{C_m^{gh}} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (m-l) X_{P_{m-1-l}} X_{P^{g+h+l}} - \sum_{l=0}^{m-3} (m-2-l) X_{G^{g+m-2-l}} X_{H^{h+l}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} l X_{G^{g+m-l-2}} X_{H^{h+l}} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-3} \sum_{k=1}^{m-2-l} X_{G^{g+k-1}} X_{H^{h+l-1}} X_{C_{m-k-l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (m-l) X_{P_{m-1-l}} X_{P^{g+h+l}} + \sum_{l=0}^{m-4} \sum_{k=0}^{m-4-l} X_{G^{g+k}} X_{H^{h+l}} X_{C_{m-k-l-2}} - (m-2) \sum_{l=0}^{m-2} X_{G^{g+m-2-l}} X_{H^{h+l}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k \cdot X_{P_{k-1}} X_{P^{g+h+m-k}} + \sum_{k+l \leq m-4, k, l \geq 0} X_{G^{g+k}} X_{H^{h+l}} X_{C_{m-k-l-2}} - (m-2) \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} X_{G^{g+m-1-l}} X_{H^{h+l-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to the desired formula. \square

We end this paper with a conjecture.

Conjecture 5.6. Let C_{m_1}, \dots, C_{m_l} be double-rooted cycles such that the two roots in each cycle are adjacent. Then for any integers $k_1, \dots, k_{l-1} \geq 0$, the chain-conjoined graph

$$C(C_{m_1}, k_1, C_{m_2}, k_2, \dots, C_{m_{l-1}}, k_{l-1}, C_{m_l})$$

is e -positive.




FIGURE 5. The chain-conjoined graph $C(C_{m_1}, k_1, C_{m_2}, k_2, \dots, C_{m_{l-1}}, k_{l-1}, C_{m_l})$.

The chain-conjoined graph under consideration is illustrated in Fig. 5. When $l = 2$, Conjecture 5.6 reduces to Proposition 3.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Aliniaefard, V. Wang, and S. van Willigenburg. The chromatic symmetric function of a graph centred at a vertex. *arXiv: 2108.04850*, 2021. [1](#), [4](#), [7](#)
- [2] E. Banaian, K. Celano, M. Chang-Lee, L. Colmenarejo, O. Goff, J. Kimble, L. Kimpel, J. Lentfer, J. Liang, and S. Sundaram. The e -positivity of the chromatic symmetric function for twinned paths and cycles. *arXiv: 2405.17649*, 2024. [1](#)
- [3] P. Brosnan and T. Y. Chow. Unit interval orders and the dot action on the cohomology of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties. *Adv. Math.*, 329:955–1001, 2018. ISSN 0001-8708. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2018.02.020. URL <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3783432>. [1](#)
- [4] S. Cho and J. Huh. On e -positivity and e -unimodality of chromatic quasi-symmetric functions. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 33(4):2286–2315, 2019. [1](#)
- [5] S. Dahlberg. A new formula for Stanley’s chromatic symmetric function for unit interval graphs and e -positivity for triangular ladder graphs. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 82B:Art. 59, 12pp, 2019. [1](#)
- [6] S. Dahlberg and S. van Willigenburg. Lollipop and lariat symmetric functions. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 32(2):1029–1039, 2018. [1](#), [6](#)
- [7] S. Dahlberg, A. Foley, and S. van Willigenburg. Resolving Stanley’s e -positivity of claw-contractible-free graphs. *J. European Math. Soc.*, 22(8):2673–2696, 2020. [1](#)
- [8] A. M. Foley, C. T. Hoàng, and O. D. Merkel. Classes of graphs with e -positive chromatic symmetric function. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 26(3):Paper 3.51, 19pp, 2019. [1](#)
- [9] D. D. Gebhard and B. E. Sagan. A chromatic symmetric function in noncommuting variables. *J. Alg. Combin.*, 13(3):227–255, 2001. [1](#), [2](#)
- [10] M. Guay-Paquet. A modular relation for the chromatic symmetric functions of $(3+1)$ -free posets. *arXiv: 1306.2400*, 2013. [1](#)
- [11] M. Guay-Paquet. A second proof of the sharesian–wachs conjecture, by way of a new hopf algebra. *arXiv: 1601.05498*, 2016. URL <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.05498.pdf>. [1](#)
- [12] A. M. Hamel, C. T. Hoàng, and J. E. Tuero. Chromatic symmetric functions and H -free graphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 35(4):815–825, 2019. [1](#)
- [13] E. Y. H. Li, G. M. X. Li, D. G. L. Wang, and A. L. B. Yang. The twinning operation on graphs does not always preserve e -positivity. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 25(6):1089–1111, 2021. [1](#), [6](#)
- [14] G. M. X. Li and A. L. B. Yang. On the e -positivity of $(\text{claw}, 2K_2)$ -free graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(2), 2021. [1](#)
- [15] J. McDonough, P. Pylyavskyy, and S. Wang. The stanley–stembridge conjecture for $\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}$ -avoiding unit interval orders: a diagrammatic proof. *arXiv: 2404.07280*, 2024. [2](#)
- [16] R. Orellana and G. Scott. Graphs with equal chromatic symmetric functions. *Discrete Math.*, 320:1–14, 2014. [3](#)
- [17] J. Sharesian and M. L. Wachs. Eulerian quasisymmetric functions. *Adv. Math.*, 225(6):2921–2966, 2010. [4](#)

- [18] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. Chromatic quasisymmetric functions. *Adv. Math.*, 295:497–551, 2016. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#)
- [19] R. P. Stanley. A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph. *Adv. Math.*, 111(1):166–194, 1995. [1](#), [3](#)
- [20] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. This is the thoroughly revised 2nd ed. of the 1st ed. published in 1986. [3](#)
- [21] R. P. Stanley and J. R. Stembridge. On immanants of Jacobi-Trudi matrices and permutations with restricted position. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 62(2):261–279, 1993. [1](#)
- [22] J.-Y. Thibon and D. G. L. Wang. A noncommutative approach to the schur positivity of chromatic symmetric functions. *arXiv:2305.07858*, 2023. [2](#)
- [23] F. Tom. A signed e -expansion of the chromatic symmetric function and some new e -positive graphs. *arXiv:2311.08020*, 2023. [2](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [24] H. Topcu, S. Sorgun, and W. H. Haemers. On the spectral characterization of pineapple graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 507:267–273, 2016. [10](#)
- [25] S. Tsujie. The chromatic symmetric functions of trivially perfect graphs and cographs. *Graphs Combin.*, 34(5):1037–1048, 2018. [1](#)
- [26] D. G. L. Wang. All cycle-chords are e -positive. *arXiv: 2405.01166*, 2024. [1](#)
- [27] D. G. L. Wang and M. M. Y. Wang. The e -positivity of two classes of cycle-chord graphs. *J. Alg. Combin.*, 57(2):495–514, 2023. [1](#)
- [28] D. G. L. Wang and J. Z. F. Zhou. Composition method for chromatic symmetric functions: Neat noncommutative analogs. *arXiv: 2401.01027*, 2024. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [6](#), [16](#)
- [29] K. Zheng. On the e -positivity of trees and spiders. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 189:105608, 2022. [4](#)

(Ethan Y.J. Qi) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 102400, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: 3120221449@bit.edu.cn

(Davion Q.B. Tang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 102400, P. R. CHINA

Email address: davion@bit.edu.cn

(David G.L. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS & MIIT KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL THEORY AND COMPUTATION IN INFORMATION SECURITY, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 102400, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: glw@bit.edu.cn