

A UNIFORM TRIGONOMETRIC R -MATRIX FOR THE EXCEPTIONAL SERIES

BRUCE W. WESTBURY AND PAUL ZINN-JUSTIN

ABSTRACT. We give a uniform trigonometric R -matrix for the adjoint representations on the exceptional series. The exceptional series is a finite list of points on a projective line with a simple Lie algebra attached to each point. This list of Lie algebras includes the five exceptional Lie algebras. For L a simple Lie algebra there is a rational R -matrix in $\text{End}_L(\otimes^2(L \oplus I))$ which has a quantum deformation to a trigonometric R -matrix. We construct a sixteen dimensional algebra, $A^\square(\mathcal{2})$, which interpolates the quantum deformations of the algebras $\text{End}_L(\otimes^2(L \oplus I))$ and a 287 dimensional algebra, $A^\square(\mathcal{3})$, which interpolates the quantum deformations of the algebras $\text{End}_L(\otimes^3(L \oplus I))$. Then we construct an R -matrix in $A^\square(\mathcal{2})$ which satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation in $A^\square(\mathcal{3})$ and which interpolates the trigonometric R -matrices for the points in the exceptional series.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Background	5
2.1. Numerology	6
2.2. Quantum dimensions	7
3. Ribbon category	8
3.1. Pivotal category	8
3.2. Rotation, trace, inner product	11
4. Coefficients	12
4.1. Quantised enveloping algebras	12
4.2. Lie superalgebra	15
4.3. Blow-up	16
4.4. Specialisations	17
4.5. Multi-variable	18
4.6. Functors	18
5. Two string relations	19
5.1. Representation	22
5.2. Diagrams	25
5.3. Ideals	28
5.4. Trivalent diagrams	29
5.5. Gram determinant	31
5.6. Interpolation	31
6. Three string relations	32

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18M15, 18M30, 17B37.

Key words and phrases. monoidal category, quantized enveloping algebra.

6.1.	The bimodules $B(i, \mathcal{B})$ and $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$	32
6.2.	Construction of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$	35
6.3.	The braiding of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$	41
6.4.	Construction of $A(\mathcal{B})$	42
6.5.	The braiding of $A(\mathcal{B})$	50
6.6.	Gram determinant	51
7.	The truncated category and the dilute category	51
7.1.	The truncated category and its functors	51
7.2.	The dilute category	53
7.3.	The dilute truncated category	55
8.	The Yang–Baxter equation	56
8.1.	The change of normalisation	57
8.2.	The R -matrix	58
8.3.	Main theorem	59
8.4.	Proof of the Yang–Baxter equation for the top part	60
8.5.	Proof of unitarity	61
8.6.	Proof of Yang–Baxter equation	63
8.7.	Proof of crossing symmetry	68
8.8.	Specialisations	70
	Appendix A. The diagrammatic relations	72
A.1.	The basic relations	72
A.2.	The square-square relation	74
A.3.	The square-pentagon relation	74
	References	76

1. INTRODUCTION

The proposal that the exceptional simple Lie algebras should form a series originated in a 1995 preprint by Pierre Vogel which was eventually published in [Vog11]. Heuristically, this paper constructs a family of rigid symmetric monoidal categories parametrised by the projective plane. This paper associates a point in the projective plane to each simple Lie algebra and relates the category at that point to the full monoidal subcategory of the category of representations generated by the adjoint representation. The five exceptional simple Lie algebras lie on a line in this plane and the exceptional series consists of the points on this line which can be interpreted as a Lie algebra.

The exceptional series was then investigated in [Del96], [DdM96] which presented uniform data for the Lie algebras in the exceptional series. This data includes uniform decompositions of the tensor powers of the adjoint representation up to the fourth tensor power (and more generally, uniform decompositions of Schur functors applied to the adjoint representation). This data also includes linear functions giving the values of the quadratic Casimir on these composition factors and rational functions giving the dimensions of the composition factors. We present this data in §2 but only up to the third tensor power as this is all we will need. The points on the exceptional line are given in Table 1; throughout the paper we say that C is an exceptional Cartan type if it appears in the first row of Table 1. The abstract

decomposition of the second tensor power is (2.1) and the associated highest weights are given in (2.2). The abstract decompositions of the tensor product of the adjoint representation with a composition factor in the second tensor power are given in (2.3). The decompositions in (2.1) and (2.3) should be thought of as giving the Bratteli diagram for the centraliser algebras up to level three. The values of the quadratic Casimir are given in (2.6) and (2.7). We will work with the quantised enveloping algebras and we give the background on these in §4.1. The quantum dimensions given in §2.2, using notation introduced in §4.3, are quantum analogues of the classical dimension formulae in [DdM96]. The classical dimension formulae are given by reading the quantum dimensions as rational functions. For C an exceptional Cartan type let L_C be the Lie algebra. The uniform behaviour is for L_C as a representation of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(L_C)$. The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(L_C)$ is not connected, in general, as the group of components is the finite group of diagram automorphisms. In §4.1 we define a Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)$ such that the category of type I $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)$ -modules is a quantum deformation of the category of $\text{Aut}(L_C)$ -modules.

It is natural to interpret this data as arising from an interpolating category. The seminal interpolation category is the Brauer category and a recent survey is [SS15]. The proposal that there is an interpolating category for the exceptional series appears implicitly in [Wes03a], [Cvi08, Chapter 17] and explicitly in [Del96], [CdM99], [MST24]. Throughout this paper we work with finite dimensional type I representations of quantised enveloping algebras. For C an exceptional Cartan type, the quantum analogue of the adjoint representation is denoted L_C . The category of *invariant tensors*, $\text{Inv}_{\mathfrak{U}_q(C)}(L_C)$, is the full subcategory of the representation category whose objects are the tensor powers, $\otimes^k L_C$, for $k \geq 0$. One of the main problems of quantum invariant theory is to describe, $\text{Inv}(V)$, the category of invariant tensors of V for a representation V using a graphical calculus. The seminal example is the Temperley–Lieb category and further examples are [Kup96], [Wes08], [CKM14], [SW24]. Our approach to the interpolating category is that we define a ring \mathbb{k} , a graphical calculus over \mathbb{k} and a ring homomorphism $\theta_C: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ for each exceptional Cartan type such that the base change for θ_C gives a graphical calculus for $\text{Inv}_{\mathfrak{U}_q(C)}(L_C)$.

Although the idea of an interpolating category informs this paper it is unknown if an interpolating category exists. Here we make precise our version which is in the spirit of versions in [Del96] and in [MST24] but differs in the details. An *interpolating category*, \mathcal{L} , is a linear ribbon category whose monoid of objects is \mathbb{N} . Our choice of the coefficient ring is $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$, defined in §4.3. The ring $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ is an integral domain and for each exceptional Cartan type, C , we give $s_C \in \mathbb{N}$ and a ring homomorphism $\theta_C: \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/s_C})$. For each exceptional Cartan type, C , we also require a full ribbon functor $\Psi_C: \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/s_C}) \rightarrow \text{Inv}_{\mathfrak{U}_q(C)}(L_C) \subset \mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$. The function field of $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that, taking the base change, $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, gives a category with zero negligible ideal. We also require that:

- the endomorphism algebras in $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ are multi-matrix algebras,
- the initial layers of the Bratteli diagram are given by (2.1) and (2.3)
- the initial terms of the sequence given by taking the dimensions of the spaces of invariant tensors is given by (2.4)
- the quantum dimensions in (2.8) and (2.13) are the categorical dimensions

For each exceptional Cartan type, C , the functor $\Psi_C: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{Invol}_{\mathbb{A}_q(C)}(L_C)$ factors through $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/s_C})/\mathcal{N}_C$ where \mathcal{N}_C is the negligible ideal of $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/s_C})$. The functor $\Psi_C: \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(q^{1/s_C})/\mathcal{N}_C \rightarrow \text{Invol}_{\mathbb{A}_q(C)}(L_C)$ is a full ribbon functor, bijective on objects, between categories with zero negligible ideals and so is an isomorphism.

In this paper, we proceed as if we were constructing an interpolating category. In §3 we construct, \mathcal{R} , the free ribbon category on a trivalent vertex invariant under rotation. In §4.3 we construct the coefficient ring, $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ and in §4.6 we give the full functor, Ψ_C , for each exceptional Cartan type, C . Then in §5 and §6 we find relations, see Appendix A. Denote the monoidal ideal generated by these relations by \mathcal{I} . For each exceptional Cartan type, C , the functor Ψ_C factors through $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$. However the category $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ is not an interpolating category as we have not shown that any closed diagram can be evaluated using these relations and so we do not have the key property that $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}}(\theta) \cong \mathbb{k}_{p,q}$. However we are able to construct, in §7.1, a truncated category, $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$, with the properties of the full subcategory of the hypothetical interpolating category, \mathcal{L} , on the objects $\theta, 1, 2, 3$. This is sufficient for our purposes.

The Yang–Baxter equation, 8.12, originated in the study of integrable models in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory; an expository article is [Jim89]. We will refer to a solution of the Yang–Baxter equation as an R -matrix. Our ultimate goal is to construct an R -matrix which interpolates an R -matrix, \check{R}_C , for each exceptional Cartan type. Solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation are constructed using the representation theory of the affine quantum enveloping algebras. Let C be a finite type Cartan matrix and let $C^{(1)}$ be the corresponding affine Cartan matrix. The affine algebra is the Hopf algebra $U_q(C^{(1)})$ discussed in Section 4.1. Each representation, V , of $U_q(C)$ gives an element of $\text{End}_{U_q(C)}(\otimes^2 V)$ which satisfies the braid relation. Essentially the same construction gives an element $\check{R}_V(\mathbf{u}) \in \text{End}_{U_q(C^{(1)})}(\otimes^2 V)$, depending on the spectral parameter \mathbf{u} , which satisfies the Yang–Baxter relation in $\text{End}_{U_q(C^{(1)})}(\otimes^3 V)$, see [CP91, Chapter 12]. The vector space $\text{End}_{U_q(C^{(1)})}(\otimes^2 V)$ has dimension $\dim(V)^4$ and so, with very few exceptions, it is not practical to present this explicitly as a matrix. A more practical approach is to consider the R -matrix as an element of the centraliser algebra $\text{End}_{U_q(C)}(\otimes^2 V)$. The action of $U_q(C)$ on L_C does not extend to an action of $U_q(C^{(1)})$ (except for $C = \emptyset, A_1, A_2$); however there is an action of $U_q(C^{(1)})$ on $L_C \oplus I_C$ (where I_C is the trivial representation) for C an exceptional Cartan type. Hence there is an R -matrix, $\check{R}_C \in \text{End}_{U_q(C)}(\otimes^2(L_C \oplus I_C))$ (depending on a spectral parameter, \mathbf{u}), for each exceptional Cartan type. Our aim is to interpolate these R -matrices.

The organisation of the paper is as follows:

In §2 we summarise the background on the exceptional series, we give the uniform representations for each point in the exceptional series and the uniform Bratteli diagram. We then give the linear functions which interpolate the values of the Casimir and the rational functions which interpolate the dimensions.

In §3 we construct the free ribbon category on a trivalent vertex invariant under rotation. The morphisms in this category are trivalent graphs drawn in a rectangle. We also allow crossings and each crossing is either an over or under crossing: so, the category of unoriented framed tangles is the ribbon subcategory whose morphisms do not have a trivalent vertex.

In §4 we first introduce the quantum groups and module categories associated to an exceptional Cartan type. Then we construct the quantum analogue of the adjoint representation as

C	\emptyset	$OSp_{1 2}$	A_1	A_2	G_2	D_4	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
n	$1/5$	$1/4$	$1/3$	$1/2$	$2/3$	1	$3/2$	2	3	5

TABLE 1. The exceptional Cartan types

an object of the module category. Then we introduce the ring of scalars with the properties needed for interpolation categories.

In §5 we find relations in the rank 5 module of diagrams with four boundary points by two different approaches. In particular, this constructs a rank 5 commutative algebra which interpolates the algebras $\text{End}(\otimes^2 L_C)$ for C in the exceptional series. These relations are also given in [MST24, §1.3, §3].

In §6 we construct the category with objects $\otimes^i(L)$, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, which interpolates the categories with objects $\otimes^i(L_C)$, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, and C an exceptional Cartan type. The algebra $\text{End}(\otimes^3 L)$ is a multi-matrix algebra of rank 80 and we give the irreducible representations explicitly. Our results for five boundary points are also given in [MST24, §5].

In §7 we construct the category with objects $\otimes^i(L \oplus I)$, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, which interpolates the categories with objects $\otimes^i(L_C \oplus I_C)$, for $0 \leq i \leq 3$, and C an exceptional Cartan type. We refer to this as the dilute category of the category constructed in the previous section. The algebra $\text{End}(\otimes^3(L \oplus I))$ is a multi-matrix algebra of rank 287 and we give the irreducible representations explicitly.

In §8 we construct the R -matrix. First, this has the properties of an R -matrix, namely it satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation, crossing symmetry and unitarity. Second, it interpolates the R -matrix for the points in the exceptional series. Thirdly, in the classical limit, it gives the rational R -matrix proposed in [Wes03b, §2.3].

In Appendix A we give details of the relations.

Our convention is to write maps on the right. Diagrams are read from left to right.

SageMath and Macaulay2 computations. In this paper, we have tried to make the logic of all our proofs understandable to a human reader; however, some of the computations may require the use of a computer. We have performed these computations using the open-source mathematics software systems SageMath [Dev22] and Macaulay2 [GS], see in particular the Macaulay2 tutorial.

2. BACKGROUND

The exceptional series is a projective line over \mathbb{Q} with 10 distinguished points, which are shown in Table 1. Eight of these points are labelled by a simple Lie algebra of the given Cartan type, and this list of simple Lie algebras includes all five exceptional simple Lie algebras. There are numerous choices of parameterisations on the line. Our parameter is n and the label of a point is n .

The parameter n is related to other parameters by

$$\begin{aligned} n &= m/2 + 1 & n &= -1/\lambda & n &= \check{h}/6 \\ m &= 2n - 2 & \lambda &= -1/n & \check{h} &= 6n \end{aligned}$$

The parameter m is the dimension of the composition algebra in the Freudenthal–Tits construction of the exceptional simple Lie algebras (except G_2). The parameter \check{h} is the dual Coxeter number and λ is the parameter in [CdM96] and [MST24]. The parameter a in [CdM96] is $a = 1/(6n)$.

There is a \mathbb{C} -linear category associated to each term in the exceptional series. Each category is semisimple abelian and also rigid symmetric monoidal. For each Cartan type, C , in the exceptional series, let \mathfrak{g}_C be the associated simple Lie algebra and denote the automorphism group by $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_C)$. The category associated to C is the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{O}(G_C)$ -comodules where $\mathcal{O}(G_C)$ is the coordinate ring of the algebraic group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_C)$. The connected component of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_C)$, $\text{Aut}_0(\mathfrak{g}_C)$, has Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_C and trivial centre.

The two points which are not labelled by a simple Lie algebra are $n = 1/5$ which is the zero Lie algebra, $n = 1/4$ which is labelled by the simple super Lie algebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. The category associated to $n = 1/5$ is the category of finite dimensional complex vector spaces. The category associated to $n = 1/4$ is the category of finite dimensional graded representations of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$.

Remark 2.1. *The exceptional series has an unexpected symmetry. This symmetry is the involution $n \mapsto 1/n$. It was observed in [DG02] that the exceptional series (excluding $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$) is an increasing sequence of Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{e}_8 . Moreover, each pair $(1/n, n)$ gives a dual reductive pair of Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{e}_8 . This suggests that there should be an additional point on the exceptional series corresponding to $n = 4$. This point also has the property that the evaluations of the dimension formulae are positive integers. This point is discussed in [LM06] and [Wes06] but since it is not understood we do not include it in this paper.*

Let \mathcal{C} be the list of Cartan types in the first row.

2.1. Numerology. In this section we review the numerology of the exceptional series presented in [CdM96]. We only present the results up to the third tensor power as this is all we need. We write L for the adjoint representation, I for the trivial representation, but otherwise we adhere to the notation in [CdM96].

The decomposition of $\otimes^2 L$ is

$$(2.1) \quad \otimes^2 L \cong I \oplus L \oplus Y_2 \oplus Y_2^* \oplus X_2$$

For the Cartan types in Table 1 the highest weights of these representations are

C	\emptyset	$OSp_{1 2}$	A_1	A_2	G_2	D_4	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
L			$2\omega_1$	$\omega_1 + \omega_2$	ω_2	ω_2	ω_1	ω_2	ω_1	ω_8
Y_2			$4\omega_1$	$2\omega_1 + 2\omega_2$	$2\omega_2$	$2\omega_2$	$2\omega_1$	$2\omega_2$	$2\omega_1$	$2\omega_8$
Y_2^*			–	$\omega_1 + \omega_2$	$2\omega_1$	$2\omega_1^*$	$2\omega_4$	$\omega_1 + \omega_6$	ω_6	ω_1
X_2			–	$3\omega_1^*$	$3\omega_1$	$\omega_1 + \omega_3 + \omega_4$	ω_2	ω_4	ω_3	ω_7

The following table gives the decompositions of $V \otimes L$ for V a composition factor of $\otimes^3 L$ which is not a composition factor of $\otimes^2 L$. These can also be displayed as edges in the Bratteli

diagram.

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} & I & L & X_2 & Y_2 & Y_2^* & A & Y_3 & Y_3^* & C & C^* & X_3 \\ \hline I & & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ L & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & \\ Y_2 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & \\ X_2 & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ Y_2^* & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & \end{array}$$

The rank of the free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}}(r, s)$ only depends on $r + s$ since we have raising and lowering operators. Based on the Bratteli diagram in [CdM96], we know that the ranks of these $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -modules for $0 \leq r + s \leq 9$ should be

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{cccccccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 & 436 & 2891 & 22248 \end{array}$$

In particular, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(r, s)$ should reproduce these numbers up to $r + s = 6$. The values of the Casimir are computed using [Car05, Proposition 11.36].

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{c}(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle$$

where the Killing form is normalised so that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$, where θ is the highest root.

This gives the following values on the composition factors of $\otimes^2 L$:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccccc} V & I & L & Y_2 & Y_2^* & X_2 \\ \hline \mathbf{c}(V) & 0 & 12\mathbf{n} & 24\mathbf{n} + 4 & 20\mathbf{n} - 4 & 24\mathbf{n} \end{array}$$

Although we will not make use of these, we record the values on the remaining composition factors of $\otimes^3 L$. The values of the quadratic Casimir, \mathbf{c} are:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccccc} V & A & Y_3 & Y_3^* & C & C^* & X_3 \\ \hline \mathbf{c}(V) & 32\mathbf{n} & 36\mathbf{n} + 12 & 24\mathbf{n} - 12 & 36\mathbf{n} + 6 & 30\mathbf{n} - 6 & 36\mathbf{n} \end{array}$$

For the Cartan types $OSp_{1|2}$, A_1 , A_2 , G_2 , D_4 , F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , these values interpolate the values of the quadratic Casimir in the sense that: for each such C and each V in (2.6) and (2.7), the quadratic Casimir acts on $V(C)$ by the scalar given by substituting $\mathbf{n} = n_C$ in $\mathbf{c}(V)$. This is proved by case by case calculations.

2.2. Quantum dimensions. The following are the quantum dimension formulae. There are (at least) three methods for computing these. The first method, used in [CdM96], is to use the branching rules and the rules (1) and (2) in [Del96] to obtain linear relations for the quantum dimensions. The second method is to use the results of [LM02, §3] which are obtained from the quantum Weyl dimension formula. The approach in §5.1.2 is to compute traces of idempotents. It is remarkable that all three methods give the same results.

The quantum dimensions of the composition factors of $L \otimes L$ are:

$$(2.8) \quad \dim_q(I) = 1$$

$$(2.9) \quad \dim_q(L) = \frac{[6n+1][5n-1][4n]}{[n+1][2n]}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \dim_q(X_2) = \frac{[6n+1][6n+2][5n][4n-2][4n+1][5n-1][3n-1]}{[n][2][2n+2][3n+1][2n-1][n+1]}$$

$$(2.11) \quad \dim_q(Y_2) = \frac{[6n][6n+3][5n][4n][4n+1][5n-1]}{[2][n+1][2n+1][2n][n+2]}$$

$$(2.12) \quad \dim_q(Y_2^*) = \frac{[6n][6n+1][5n][4n][3n-1][3n-3]}{[2n+2][2n][n-1][n+2][n+1]}$$

The quantum dimensions of the composition factors of $\otimes^3 L$ were computed by taking the trace of an idempotent in $A(\mathcal{B})$, see §6. These quantum dimensions have also been obtained in [MST24, Table 4] and most of these are given in [LM02, §3]. The quantum dimensions of composition factors which don't appear in $L \otimes L$ are:

$$(2.13) \quad \dim_q(X_3) = \frac{[6n+1][6n+2][6n+3][5n+1][4n-1][4n][4n-2][5n-1][5n][3n-3]}{[3][2][2n+1][2n+2][2n][n-1][3n+3][n+1][n]}$$

$$(2.14) \quad \dim_q(Y_3) = \frac{[6n][6n+1][6n+5][5n+1][4n+1][4n][4n+2][5n-1][5n]}{[2][n+1][3][2n+1][2n+2][2n][2n][3n]}$$

$$(2.15) \quad \dim_q(Y_3^*) = -\frac{[6n][6n+1][5n][5n-1][4n-1][4n][3n-1][2n-2][n-5]}{[2][n-1][n+1][n+2][2n+3][2n+2][2n][3n+3]}$$

$$(2.16) \quad \dim_q(A) = \frac{[6n][6n+1][6n+3][5n+1][4n-1][4n+1][5n-1][3n-1][3n-3]}{[n+1]^2[2n+3][2n+1][2n][n-1][n+3]}$$

$$(2.17) \quad \dim_q(C) = \frac{[6n][6n+2][6n+4][5n+1][4n][4n-2][4n+2][5n-1][5n][3n-1]}{[3][n][2n+4][2n+1][3n+2][n+2][2n-1][n+1]}$$

$$(2.18) \quad \dim_q(C^*) = \frac{[6n][6n+1][6n+2][5n][4n][4n-2][4n-1][4n+1][2n-2][2n-4]}{[3][n][2n+4][2n+1][3n+2][n+2][2n-1][n+1]}$$

3. RIBBON CATEGORY

Tortile categories were introduced in [JS91b] and the definition is algebraic. Ribbon categories were introduced in [RT90] and the definition is topological (or diagrammatic). It is folklore that these two definitions are equivalent. It is also shown in [RT90] that the category of finite dimensional type I representations of a quantised enveloping algebra is a linear ribbon category.


In this section we construct a free ribbon category. The result that our construction is a free ribbon category is also folklore but related results are Theorem 2.3, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.5 in [JS91a] which give diagrammatic constructions of the free monoidal category, the free braided category and the free balanced category and [Shu94] which shows that the category of framed tangles is the free ribbon category on one object.

3.1. Pivotal category. A *rectangle* will mean a rectangle embedded in the Euclidean plane with horizontal and vertical edges.

Definition 3.1. A **planar diagram** is a closed subset D of a rectangle such that every point in D which is in the boundary of the rectangle has an open neighbourhood equivalent to one of the open neighbourhoods in (3.1)



and every point of D in the interior of the rectangle has an open neighbourhood equivalent to one of the open neighbourhoods in (3.2).



The equivalence relation is graph isomorphism which preserves


- the cyclic ordering of the edges incident to each vertex
- the over/under marking at each crossing
- the ordered set of input vertices and the ordered set of output vertices

Planar diagrams are the morphisms of a category, \mathcal{P} .

Definition 3.2. The set of objects of \mathcal{P} is \mathbb{N} . A morphism $r \rightarrow s$ of the category \mathcal{P} is an equivalence class of planar diagrams with r boundary points on the left hand edge and s boundary points on the right hand edge. Composition of morphisms is given by juxtaposing rectangles. The identity morphisms are rectangles with horizontal lines.

The category \mathcal{P} is strict monoidal with tensor product given by stacking of rectangles. The category \mathcal{P} is strict pivotal since every object is self-dual and the functor $*$: $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}$ rotates a diagram through a half revolution. The category \mathcal{P} is also strict spherical and spacial and is conjectured to be a free spacial spherical category on a rotationally invariant trivalent vertex in [Sel10, Conjecture 4.16].

The **generators** of \mathcal{P} are the diagrams in the first row (3.3) and their names are given in the second row.



Let σ be the braid generator in (3.3). The braid generator, σ , is invertible and the inverse, σ^{-1} , is given by rotating σ through a quarter turn (in either direction).

Recall that a braided monoidal category is a monoidal category with an isomorphism $\sigma_{UV}: U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ for all objects U, V . These morphisms are required to make the following diagram commute

(3.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U \otimes V & \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_V} & W \otimes V \\
 \sigma_{WV} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{UV} \\
 V \otimes U & \xrightarrow{1_V \otimes f} & V \otimes W
 \end{array}$$

for all objects U, V, W and all morphisms $f: U \rightarrow V$. It is sufficient to require that this holds for each of the generating morphisms in (3.3) and for V the generating object.

The conditions that the relations (3.4) hold for the generators, (3.3) are shown in (3.5):

(3.5)

The quotient of \mathcal{P} by the monoidal ideal generated by the relations (3.5) is a braided category.

The ribbon relation is shown in (3.6).

(3.6)

Definition 3.3. *The category \mathcal{R} is the quotient of \mathcal{P} by the monoidal ideal generated by the relations (3.5) and (3.6).*

The *bar involution* on \mathcal{R} is the involution on morphisms which switches over and under crossings.

The category \mathcal{R} is a ribbon category but is not the free ribbon category on self-dual object with a trivalent vertex as the relation (3.7) holds in \mathcal{P} , and this condition is not required for a ribbon category.

(3.7)

However, the category \mathcal{R} is the free ribbon category on a self-dual object with a trivalent vertex which is invariant under rotation.

Remark 3.4. *The existence of co and ev allows to move boundary points from one side to the other. It is therefore sometimes convenient to draw diagrams in a disk rather than a rectangle. The interpretation is that the diagram can be deformed into a rectangle, but one can freely choose any sequence of adjacent boundary points and declare them to be left endpoints, the complement being the right endpoints. Of course such a choice should be made consistently when writing an equality between diagrams; for example, the relations (3.5) can*

be rewritten

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diagram 1} = \text{Diagram 2}, & \text{Diagram 3} = \text{Diagram 4}, & \text{Diagram 5} = \text{Diagram 6} \end{array}$$

Recall that a self-dual object in a monoidal category is a triple $(V, \text{ev}_V, \text{co}_V)$ where V is an object of \mathcal{V} and $\text{ev}_V: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, $\text{co}_V: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V$ satisfy the zig-zag relations.

Definition 3.5. Let \mathcal{V} be a ribbon category. The category $T(\mathcal{V})$ has objects tuples $(V, \text{ev}_V, \text{co}_V, \mu_V)$ where $(V, \text{ev}_V, \text{co}_V)$ is a self-dual object and $\mu_V: V \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is a morphism such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes V \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\mu_V \otimes 1_V} & V \otimes V \\ 1_V \otimes \mu_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_V \\ V \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_V} & V \end{array}$$

A morphism $(V, \text{ev}_V, \text{co}_V, \mu_V) \rightarrow (W, \text{ev}_W, \text{co}_W, \mu_W)$ is a morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$(\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \text{ev}_W = \text{ev}_V, \quad \text{co}_V \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) = \text{co}_W, \quad (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \mu_W = \mu_V \circ \phi$$

The tuple $(1, \text{ev}, \text{co}, \mu)$ is an object of $A(\mathcal{R})$ where $\text{ev}, \text{co}, \mu$ are the generators in (3.3).

Let \mathcal{V} be a ribbon category. Define $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{V})$ to be the category whose objects are ribbon functors $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and whose morphisms are natural transformations of ribbon functors.

The universal property of \mathcal{R} is:

Proposition 3.6. For any ribbon category \mathcal{V} , the functor $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{V})$ which sends $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ to the tuple $(\Phi(1), \Phi(\text{ev}), \Phi(\text{co}), \Phi(\mu))$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. It is folklore that this can be proved using the method of proof of Reidemeister's theorem. There are similar results in [FY89] and [Yet89]. \square

3.2. Rotation, trace, inner product. Various basic operations can be performed on diagram categories.

Definition 3.7. The rotation map is the linear map, $\text{Rot}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(r, s) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(r, s)$, given by

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Rot}: r \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} s \mapsto r \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} s$$

Note that if we think of the diagram as drawn on a disk, then this operation is simply a rotation by $2\pi/k$, where $k = r + s$ is the number of external endpoints (spaced regularly).

In what follows, we will write (i, j) for (α_i, α_j) , $i, j \in I$. For each $i \in I$, define

$$d_i = \frac{(i, i)}{2}$$

For $i \in I$, $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $n > 0$, we define

$$q_i := q^{d_i}, \quad [a]_i := \frac{q_i^a - q_i^{-a}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} = \frac{q^{ad_i} - q^{-ad_i}}{q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}},$$

$$[n]_i! := [n]_i [n-1]_i \cdots [1]_i, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} := \frac{[a]_i [a-1]_i \cdots [a-n+1]_i}{[n]_i!}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} := 1.$$

The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(C)$ is the \mathbb{k}_q -algebra with generators $E_i, F_i, [H_i], K_i, K_i^{-1}$, $i \in I$, and relations (for $i, j \in I$)

$$\begin{aligned} K_i K_i^{-1} &= 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i, & K_i K_j &= K_j K_i, \\ K_i E_j K_i^{-1} &= q^{(i,j)} E_j, & K_i F_j K_i^{-1} &= q^{-(i,j)} F_j, \\ E_i F_j - F_j E_i &= \delta_{ij} [H_i], & (q_i - q_i^{-1}) [H_i] &= K_i - K_i^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, and (for $i \neq j$),

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} E_j E_i^r = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-r} F_j F_i^r = 0.$$

For $\nu = \sum_{i \in I} n_i \alpha_i$, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define $K_\nu = \prod_{i \in I} K_i^{n_i}$. There is a unique Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(C)$ such that, for all $i \in I$,

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \varepsilon(E_i) = 0, \quad S(E_i) = -K_i^{-1} E_i,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i, \quad \varepsilon(F_i) = 0, \quad S(F_i) = -F_i K_i,$$

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, \quad \varepsilon(K_i) = 1, \quad S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}.$$

The *bar involution* is the involution of $U_q(C)$ as a ring given by

$$(4.8) \quad q \mapsto q^{-1}, \quad E_i \mapsto E_i, \quad F_i \mapsto F_i, \quad K_i \mapsto K_i^{-1}, \quad [H_i] \mapsto [H_i]$$

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow I$ be a diagram automorphism. This defines an automorphism of $U_q(C)$, $\gamma: a \mapsto a \triangleleft \gamma$ for $a \in U_q(C)$, by

$$(4.9) \quad E_i \triangleleft \gamma = E_{\gamma(i)}, F_i \triangleleft \gamma = F_{\gamma(i)}, [H_i] \triangleleft \gamma = [H_{\gamma(i)}], K_i^{\pm 1} \triangleleft \gamma = K_{\gamma(i)}^{\pm 1},$$

The algebra $\Gamma \sharp U_q(C)$ is the vector space $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} U_q(C)$ with multiplication

$$(4.10) \quad (\gamma \otimes a)(\gamma' \otimes a') = \gamma\gamma' \otimes (a \triangleleft \gamma')a'$$

Denote the group of diagram automorphisms by Γ . for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ and $a, a' \in U_q(C)$. The coproduct is $\Delta(\gamma \otimes a) = (\gamma \otimes \gamma)\Delta(a)$. The unit is $1 \otimes 1$. The counit is $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$.

Define $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)$ to be the smash product $\Gamma \sharp U_q(C)$. The category $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$ is the full subcategory of the category of right $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)$ -modules whose objects are type I $U_q(C)$ -modules and finitely generated free \mathbb{k}_q -modules. The *bar involution* extends to an involution on $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)$ by $\gamma \mapsto \gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 4.4. *The category $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$ is a ribbon category.*

Proof. The category $U_q(C)\text{-mod}$ is the category of right type I $U_q(C)$ -modules. This is a ribbon category, [RT90], following [Dri89].

The braiding on $U_q(C)\text{-mod}$ is given by the universal R -matrix. This is an element of a completed tensor product $U_q(C)\widehat{\otimes}U_q(C)$. The construction is given in [Jan96, Chapter 7]. An inspection of this construction reveals that it is invariant under the action of Γ and hence gives a braiding on $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$.

The standard ribbon element lies in a completion of $U_q(C)$. By [LR97, (2.15) Proposition], this ribbon element acts on the irreducible module with highest weight Λ by the constant

$$q^{-\langle \lambda, \lambda + \rho \rangle}$$

where ρ is half the sum of the positive roots. It is clear that this is invariant under Γ . \square

Every Hom space in this category is a finitely generated free \mathbb{k}_q -module. Taking the specialisation $\mathbb{k}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, $q \mapsto 1$, gives the category of finite dimensional representations of the semidirect product algebra $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma \rtimes U(C)$ extended by central elements K_i .

The quantum analogue of the adjoint representation is given in [Jan96, §5A.5] (also [Lus16]). Let Φ be the set of roots and let $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ be the simple roots. A basis is the set

$$(4.11) \quad \{v_\alpha : \alpha \in \Phi\} \amalg \{h_i : i \in I\}$$

For $i \in I$ and $\beta \in \Phi$ with $\beta + \alpha_i \notin \Phi$ and $\beta \neq \alpha_i$; the i -string of roots through β is the set

$$(4.12) \quad \{\beta - k\alpha_i : 0 \leq k \leq \langle \beta, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle\}$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi$, v_α has weight α ; and for $i \in I$, h_i has weight 0.

For $\beta - k\alpha_i$ in the i -string through β , put

$$(4.13) \quad F_i v_{\beta - k\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} [k+1]_i v_{\beta - (k+1)\alpha_i} & \text{if } k \neq \langle \beta, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle \\ 0 & \text{if } k = \langle \beta, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle \end{cases}$$

$$(4.14) \quad E_i v_{\beta - k\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} [\langle \beta, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle + 1 - k]_i v_{\beta - (k-1)\alpha_i} & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$

For $i \in I$, put

$$(4.15) \quad F_i v_{\alpha_i} = h_i \quad F_i h_i = [2]_i v_{-\alpha_i} \quad F_i v_{\alpha_i} = 0$$

$$(4.16) \quad E_i v_{\alpha_i} = 0 \quad E_i h_i = [2]_i v_{\alpha_i} \quad E_i v_{\alpha_i} = h_i$$

and finally, put

$$(4.17) \quad E_i h_j = [-\langle \alpha_i, \check{\alpha}_j \rangle]_j v_{\alpha_i} \quad , \quad F_i h_j = [\langle \alpha_i, \check{\alpha}_j \rangle]_j v_{-\alpha_i}$$

The action of Γ on the q -deformed adjoint representation goes as follows: if θ is the highest root, then $\gamma v_\theta = \epsilon(\gamma)v_\theta$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where ϵ is a character of Γ which is the sign representation for $C = A_2, D_4, E_6$ (these are the only nontrivial cases of Γ that we consider below). v_θ is a cyclic vector for the action of $U_q(C)$, so the action of Γ is extended to the whole representation by use of (4.9).

4.2. **Lie superalgebra.** Our approach to the Lie superalgebra follows [XZ18] but this simplifies in the case $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. The details in this case are known to experts but since we did not find a reference we include a summary here for the convenience of the reader.

Definition 4.5. *The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra with generators*

$$(4.18) \quad E', F', [H'], K', K'^{-1}$$

and defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} K' K'^{-1} &= 1 = K'^{-1} K' \\ K' E' &= q^2 E' K' \quad , \quad K' F' = q^{-2} F' K' \\ E' F' - F' E' &= [H'] \\ (q - q^{-1})[H'] &= K' - K'^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The coproduct, Δ , antipode, S , and counit, ε are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E') &= E' \otimes 1 + K' \otimes E', \quad \Delta(F') = F' \otimes K'^{-1} + 1 \otimes F', \quad \Delta(K') = K' \otimes K' \\ S(E') &= -K'^{-1} E', \quad S(F') = -F' K', \quad S(K') = K'^{-1} \\ \varepsilon(E') &= 0, \quad \varepsilon(F') = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K') = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.6. *The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2))$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra with even generators $[H], K, K^{-1}, \sigma$, odd generators E, F and defining relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= 1 \\ \sigma E \sigma &= -E \quad , \quad \sigma F \sigma = -F \\ \sigma K^{\pm 1} \sigma &= K^{\pm 1} \quad , \quad \sigma [H] \sigma = [H] \\ K K^{-1} &= 1 = K^{-1} K \\ K E &= q E K \quad , \quad K F = q^{-1} F K \\ E F + F E &= [H] \\ (q - q^{-1})[H] &= K - K^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The coproduct, Δ , antipode, S , and counit, ε , are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\sigma) &= \sigma \otimes \sigma, \quad \Delta(K) = K \otimes K \\ \Delta(E) &= E \otimes \sigma + K \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} \sigma + 1 \otimes F \\ S(E) &= -K^{-1} E, \quad S(F) = -F K, \quad S(K) = K^{-1}, \quad S(\sigma) = \sigma \\ \varepsilon(E) &= 0, \quad \varepsilon(F) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.7. *There is a Hopf algebra homomorphism $\theta: U_{q'}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2))$, $q = -q'^2$, given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: E' &\mapsto -(q' + q'^{-1})\sigma E, \quad \theta: F' \mapsto F, \\ \theta: [H'] &\mapsto -(q' + q'^{-1})\sigma[H], \quad \theta: K'^{\pm 1} \mapsto \sigma K^{\pm 1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(K'E') &= -(q' + q'^{-1})\sigma K\sigma E = -(q' + q'^{-1})KE \\ &= -q(q' + q'^{-1})EK = q(q' + q'^{-1})\sigma E\sigma K = \theta(-qE'K')\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(E'F' - F'E') &= -(q' + q'^{-1})(\sigma EF - F\sigma E) \\ &= -(q' + q'^{-1})\sigma(EF + FE) = -(q' + q'^{-1})\sigma[H] = \theta([H'])\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(F'K') &= F\sigma K = -\sigma FK = -q\sigma KF = \theta(-qK'F') \\ \theta((q' - q'^{-1})[H']) &= -(q'^2 - q'^{-2})\sigma[H] = \sigma(K - K^{-1}) = \theta(K' - K'^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. Blow-up. The exceptional series is a set of points on the projective line with coordinate n . The quantum parameter in §4.1 is q . For the quantum analogue of the exceptional series we need two parameters which, heuristically, are q and q^n . In this section we define a ring $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ which will be our ring of coefficients.

The ring $A_{p,q}$ is a blow-up of the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[p^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]$:

Definition 4.8. *The ring $A_{p,q}$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[p^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}, [n]]$ by the relation*

$$(q - q^{-1})[n] = (p - p^{-1})$$

For $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the quantum integer $[s]$ is the Laurent polynomial

$$[s] = \frac{q^s - q^{-s}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $[r n] \in A_{p,q}$ by

$$[r n] = [n] \left(\frac{p^r - p^{-r}}{p - p^{-1}} \right)$$

Lemma 4.9.

$$(q^s - q^{-s})[r n] = [s](p^r - p^{-r})$$

Proof.

$$(q - q^{-1})[r n] = (q - q^{-1})[n] \left(\frac{p^r - p^{-r}}{p - p^{-1}} \right) = (p^r - p^{-r})$$

□

For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $[r n + s] \in A_{p,q}$ by

$$[r n + s] = q^s[r n] + p^{-r}[s] = q^{-s}[r n] + p^r[s]$$

Note that

$$q^s[r n] + p^{-r}[s] - q^{-s}[r n] - p^r[s] = (q^s - q^{-s})[r n] - [s](p^r - p^{-r}) = 0$$

4.4. **Specialisations.** The ring $A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ is an integral domain and the field of fractions is the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$. The homomorphism $A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{p} \mapsto \mathbf{p} \quad , \quad \mathbf{q} \mapsto \mathbf{q} \quad , \quad [r \mathbf{n} + s] \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{p}^r \mathbf{q}^s - \mathbf{p}^{-r} \mathbf{q}^{-s}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}}$$

We also have the following homomorphisms:

- For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is a homomorphism $\theta_{r,s}: A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_q$ given by

$$\mathbf{p} \mapsto q^r, \mathbf{q} \mapsto q^s, [u \mathbf{n} + v] \mapsto \frac{[ur + vs]_q}{[s]_q}$$

- There is a homomorphism $\psi: A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{n}]$ given by

$$\mathbf{p} \mapsto 1, \mathbf{q} \mapsto 1, [u \mathbf{n} + v] \mapsto (un + v)$$

For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{r,s}} & \mathbb{k}_q \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow q^{\rightarrow 1} \\ \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{n}] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{n} \mapsto r/s} & \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

since both composites are the homomorphism $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \mapsto 1$ and $[u \mathbf{n} + v] \mapsto (ur + vs)/s$.

For $n > 0$, the cyclotomic polynomial, $\Phi_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, is an irreducible polynomial of degree $\varphi(n)$ where φ is Euler's totient function. For $n > 0$, $q^{-\varphi(n)}\Phi_n(q^2) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ is invariant under the bar involution, (4.19). An explicit formula for $q^{-\varphi(n)}\Phi_n(q^2)$ is

$$q^{-\varphi(n)}\Phi_n(q^2) = \prod_{d|n} (q^d - q^{-d})^{\mu(\frac{n}{d})}$$

where μ is the Möbius function. We will use this explicit expression even it is, a priori, a rational function. For example, for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{[2r \mathbf{n} + 2s]}{[r \mathbf{n} + s]} = \mathbf{p}^r \mathbf{q}^s + \mathbf{p}^{-r} \mathbf{q}^{-s}, \quad \frac{[6 \mathbf{n}][\mathbf{n}]}{[3 \mathbf{n}][2 \mathbf{n}]} = \mathbf{p}^2 - 1 + \mathbf{p}^{-2}$$

The *bar involution* is the involution of $A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ given by

$$(4.19) \quad \mathbf{p} \mapsto \mathbf{p}^{-1}, \mathbf{q} \mapsto \mathbf{q}^{-1}, [r \mathbf{n} + s] \mapsto [r \mathbf{n} + s]$$

For $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the homomorphism $\theta_{r,s}$ intertwines the bar involutions.

It is straightforward to check that applying the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{n})$ to the quantum dimensions in (2.8) and (2.13) give the dimension formulae in [CdM96] and [Cvi08, Chapter 17].

For a Cartan type C we have normalised so all short roots have squared length 2. Let d_C be half of the squared length of all long roots. For C an exceptional Cartan type we have $d_C = 3$ for $C = G_2$, $d_C = 2$ for $C = OSp_{1|2}$, F_4 and $d_C = 1$ otherwise. Let s_C be the denominator of $d_C n_C$. Then we define $\theta_C: A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ by $\mathbf{p} \mapsto q^{d_C n_C}$ and $\mathbf{q} \mapsto q^{d_C}$.

Example 4.10. *The key property of $\dim_q(L)$ in 2.8 is that it interpolates the quantum dimensions in the following sense. For each exceptional Cartan type, C , substituting $\mathbf{n} = n_C$ (from Table 1) in $\dim_q(L)$ gives*

\emptyset	$OSp_{1 2}$	A_1	A_2	G_2	D_4	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8
0	[3]-[2]	[3]	[2][4]	$\frac{[7][8][15]}{[3][4][5]}$	$\frac{[4][4][7]}{[2][2]}$	$\frac{[12][13][20]}{[2][5][6]}$	$\frac{[8][9][13]}{[3][4]}$	$\frac{[12][14][19]}{[4][6]}$	$\frac{[20][24][31]}{[6][10]}$

These agree with the quantum dimensions calculated from the Weyl dimension formula.

Define $\Sigma \subset A_{p,q}$ to be

$$\Sigma = \{[u \mathbf{n} + v] : \theta_C([u \mathbf{n} + v]) \neq 0 \text{ for } C \text{ an exceptional Cartan type}\}$$

Define $\mathbb{k}_{p,q} := \Sigma^{-1}A_{p,q}$ to be the ring obtained by adjoining a multiplicative inverse for each element of Σ . Each element of Σ is fixed by the bar involution, (4.19), so the bar involution is defined on $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$.

For each exceptional Cartan type, C , the homomorphism $\theta_C: A_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ extends to a homomorphism $\theta_C: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ and the homomorphism $\psi: A_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{n}]$ extends to a homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{n})$.

For each exceptional Cartan type C , the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{k}_{p,q} & \xrightarrow{\theta_C} & \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}} \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow q \mapsto 1 \\ \text{Im}(\psi) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{n} \mapsto n_C} & \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

4.5. Multi-variable. In §8 we will need additional parameters.

Given variables $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k$ and \mathbf{q} , we write $A_{\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k, \mathbf{q}}$ for the subring of $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k, \mathbf{q})$ generated by $\mathbf{t}_1^\pm, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k^\pm, \mathbf{q}^\pm$ and

$$\frac{\mathbf{t}_1^{a_1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_k^{a_k} \mathbf{q}^a - \mathbf{t}_1^{-a_1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_k^{-a_k} \mathbf{q}^{-a}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}}$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

There is a homomorphism $A_{\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k, \mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ given by $\mathbf{t}_i \mapsto 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and

$$\frac{\mathbf{t}_1^{a_1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_k^{a_k} \mathbf{q}^a - \mathbf{t}_1^{-a_1} \cdots \mathbf{t}_k^{-a_k} \mathbf{q}^{-a}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} \mapsto a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_k x_k + a$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The bar involution inverts *all* variables of $A_{\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k, \mathbf{q}}$.

4.6. Functors. For C a Cartan type, the quantum analogue of the adjoint representation is an object $L_C \in \mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$. Let $\text{Inv}(L_C) \subset \mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$ be the full subcategory on the objects $\otimes^k L_C$ for $K \geq 0$. In this section we construct, for each exceptional Cartan type, C , an \mathbb{k}_q -linear ribbon functor $\Psi_C: \mathbb{k}_q \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{Inv}(L_C)$.

The category $\text{Inv}(L_C)$ inherits the structure of a ribbon category from $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$. It follows from Proposition 3.6 that, to construct the functor θ_C it is sufficient to construct an element of the category $T(\text{Inv}(L_C))$, defined in Definition 3.5. Over \mathbb{C} , the tuple is $(L_C, \text{ev}_C, \text{co}_C, \mu_C)$ where ev_C is the Killing form, co_C is determined by ev_C since the Killing form is nondegenerate and μ_C is the Lie bracket. Taking the split real form of L_C gives a tuple defined over \mathbb{R} and the real form has a rational form (which can be defined by the Serre presentation) to give a tuple defined over \mathbb{Q} . By averaging over Γ , if necessary, we

can take this tuple to be invariant under Γ . Specialising using the homomorphism ψ defined in (4.1) is a functor $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(C)\text{-mod}$. The tuple defined over \mathbb{Q} can be lifted to a tuple defined over \mathbb{k}_q . This can be seen by an explicit construction, or alternatively, it can be deduced from the result that Hom-spaces in $\mathfrak{U}_q(C)\text{-mod}$ are finitely generated free \mathbb{k}_q -modules.


For any exceptional Cartan type, C , the functor Ψ_C is full. This is proved in [ER06, Proposition 3.4]. This is a version of the first fundamental theorem of tensor invariant theory originally stated (without proof) in [Lit44]. The idea that informs this paper is that the interpolating category, if it exists, can be defined as a quotient of $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}$ using the homomorphisms $\theta_C: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$. In §5 and §6 we find relations on $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}$ such that the quotient of $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}$ by the monoidal ideal generated by these relations approximates an interpolation category. It is an open problem to determine if there is a finite set of relations such that any closed diagram can be evaluated.

5. TWO STRING RELATIONS


In this section we construct a commutative $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -algebra, $A(\mathcal{L})$, which is a free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module of rank five. This algebra is constructed to interpolate the algebras $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{U}_q(C)}(L_C \otimes L_C)$ for C an exceptional Cartan type. One can think of $A(\mathcal{L})$ as a subquotient of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L})$, and so we use diagrams to describe its elements.

These relations are a specialisation of relations given in [Wes15],[Wes22, §5.4] and are also given in [MST24].


The following relation follows from $\text{Hom}(0, 1) = 0$ which is a consequence of the assumption that 1 is irreducible.

(5.1) 

Definition 5.1. *The scalars p , δ , ϕ and τ are defined by the relations:*

(5.2) 

The motivation for these relations comes the observation that, for an exceptional Cartan type, C , the spaces $\text{Hom}(L_I, \otimes^i L_C) = 0$, for $i = 0, 2, 3$, are free \mathbb{k}_q -modules of rank one with bases



Hence there are relations of the form Definition 5.1 for each exceptional Cartan type and we are introducing interpolating relations.

These take the values

$$(5.3) \quad \delta := \frac{[6n+1][5n-1][4n]}{[n+1][2n]}$$

$$(5.4) \quad \phi := \frac{[3n][6n+2][4n-2]}{[n][3n+1][2n-1]}$$

$$(5.5) \quad \tau := \frac{[4n]}{[2n]} \left(\frac{[6n+2][4n-2][3n]}{[3n+1][2n-1][n]} + (q - q^{-1})^2 [n+1] \frac{[5n]}{[n]} \right)$$

$$(5.6) \quad = \frac{[2n]}{[n]} (p^4 + p^2 q^2 - p^2 + q^2 - 1 + q^{-2} - p^{-2} + p^{-2} q^{-2} + p^{-4})$$

The scalar δ is the quantum dimension $\dim_q(L)$ in (2.8).

We can also apply the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(n)$. This gives

$$(5.7) \quad \delta \mapsto 2 \frac{(6n+1)(5n-1)}{(n+1)}, \quad \phi \mapsto 12, \quad \tau \mapsto 6$$

This dimension formula is given in [CdM96] and [Cvi08, Chapter 17].

The constants ϕ and τ depend on a choice of normalisation. However they both scale by the same factor so the ratio τ/ϕ is independent of the choice of normalisation, see (5.29). Our choice of normalisation is dictated (up to sign) by the requirements that $\phi, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[p^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]$ and ϕ, τ are coprime and invariant under the bar involution, (4.19). We shall return to this issue in §8.1, where we shall discuss what happens when we do not impose such requirements on τ, ϕ , leading to a free normalisation parameter in their definition.

Proposition 5.2. *The skein relation is*

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{[6n]}{[3n][2n]} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Circle with two red lines crossing} \\ \text{Circle with two red lines crossing} \end{array} \right) = \\ - (q - q^{-1})^3 [n+1] \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Circle with two red arcs} \\ \text{Circle with two red arcs} \end{array} \right)$$

$$-(q - q^{-1}) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ - \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \right)$$

Remark 5.3. All the coefficients are in $A_{p,q}$. Applying the homomorphism $\psi: A_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(n)$ gives the relation

$$(5.9) \quad \text{Diagram 3} - \text{Diagram 4} = 0$$

Proposition 5.4. The deformed Jacobi relation is

$$p^{-3} \text{Diagram 5} = (q - q^{-1}) \frac{[n+1]}{[n]} \left(p^{-4} \text{Diagram 6} + p^4 \text{Diagram 7} + \text{Diagram 8} \right) - p \text{Diagram 9} + p^{-1} \text{Diagram 10}$$

Remark 5.5. Applying the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(n)$ gives the Jacobi relation.

Corollary 5.6.

$$(5.10) \quad p^3 \text{Diagram 11} = -(q - q^{-1}) \frac{[n+1]}{[n]} \left(p^4 \text{Diagram 12} + p^{-4} \text{Diagram 13} + \text{Diagram 14} \right) - p^{-1} \text{Diagram 15} + p \text{Diagram 16}$$

Proof. Apply the bar involution, (4.19). □

Proposition 5.7. *The relation that expresses the braid element in terms of a basis of planar diagrams is*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{[6n]}{[3n]}\right)^2 \frac{[n+1]}{[2n]} \text{Diagram 1} &= S_I \text{Diagram 2} + S_U \text{Diagram 3} \\ &+ S_K \text{Diagram 4} + S_H \text{Diagram 5} + S_{H^2} \text{Diagram 6} \end{aligned}$$

Recall from §3.1 that the bar involution on \mathcal{R} is the involution on morphisms which switches over and under crossings and the bar involution on $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ is defined in (4.19). Since S^{-1} is given by rotating S and $S^{-1} = \overline{S}$ we have

$$\overline{S_I} = S_U \quad \overline{S_K} = S_H \quad \overline{S_{H^2}} = S_{H^2}$$

where the bar involution is defined in (4.19).

The coefficients S_I, S_K, S_{H^2} are:

$$(5.11) \quad S_I = \frac{[n+1]}{[n]} (-p^5 - p^{-1}q^2 + p^{-1} + p^{-3}q^2 - p^{-3} - p^{-3}q^{-2} - p^{-5} + p^{-5}q^{-2})$$

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} S_K &= \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} (-p^4q^2 - p^2q^2 + 3p^2 + q^2 - p^2q^{-2} - q^{-2} - 2p^{-2} + p^{-2}q^{-2} + p^{-4}) \\ &= q + q^{-1} - qp^2 + (2 - q^{-2})[2n] - q[4n + 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.13) \quad S_{H^2} = [n]$$

We give two proofs of Proposition 5.2, Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 5.7. The two proofs differ in their initial assumptions. The first proof is in §5.2 and uses the values of the coefficients δ, ϕ, τ and is based on ribbon relations. The second proof is in §5.1 and uses the values of the quadratic Casimir in (2.6) to determine a five dimensional representation of the three string braid group. The second proof is conceptually simpler as it is based on linear algebra but requires a computer algebra system for the calculations.

5.1. Representation. We introduce six elements of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{2})$ together with their names in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Elements of two point algebra

Our assumption is that these six elements span $A(\mathcal{2})$. The justification is that, for each exceptional Cartan type, C , the image of this set under the functor Ψ_C , defined in §4.6, spans $\text{End}_{\mathcal{U}_q(C)}(L_C \otimes L_C)$.

For each exceptional Cartan type, C , we can identify the vector spaces $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C \otimes L_C)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$ since L_C is self-dual. The $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$ has a right action of the three string braid group, B_3 . In this section we construct a representation $B_3 \rightarrow M_5(\mathbb{k}_{p,q})$ where $M_5(\mathbb{k}_{p,q})$ is the 5×5 matrix algebra with entries in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ which interpolates the actions on $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$.

Lemma 5.8. *The table (5.14) interpolates the eigenvalues of the braid matrices acting on $\otimes^2 L_C$ for C an exceptional Cartan type.*

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{array}{c|ccccc} V & I & L & X_2 & Y_2 & Y_2^* \\ \hline \lambda_V & p^{12} & -p^6 & -1 & q^{-2} & p^2 q^2 \end{array}$$

Proof. For V_C a composition factor of $\otimes^2 L_C$, V_C is the eigenspace of the braid matrix with eigenvalue $\pm q^{c(L_C) - c(V_C)/2}$, see [Res87, Theorem (1.5)]. It follows from (2.6), (2.7) that $\theta_C(\lambda_V) = \pm q^{c(L_C) - c(V_C)/2}$. \square

The five dimensional representations of the three string braid group are classified in [MM10, §4] and [TW01]. There are five representations, up to isomorphism, with the eigenvalues given in (5.14). Only one is defined over the field of fractions $\mathbb{Q}(p, q)$; this is the one we present below. The other requires the field to be extended by adjoining a primitive fifth root of unity; we have rejected these representations because the category of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear, for any semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Let P be the antidiagonal matrix

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i + j = 6 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define S to be the matrix

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p^3 q^{-1} & -p^6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & p^3 q - p^3 q^{-1} & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ p^{-3} q & -p^4 - q^2 + 1 & p^5 q - p q + p^{-3} q & p^2 q^2 & 0 \\ q^2 & -p^7 q + p^7 q^{-1} - p^3 q^3 + p^3 q & p^{12} + p^8 q^2 - p^8 - p^4 q^2 + p^4 + q^2 & p^9 q - p^9 q^{-1} + p^5 q^3 - p^5 q & p^{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix S has the following properties:

- S has entries in $\mathbb{Z}[p^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]$.
- S is lower triangular with diagonal entries the eigenvalues in (5.14). (Here, a choice of ordering of the eigenvalues has to be made.)
- S satisfies $(SP)^3 = p^{12}$.
- $\overline{S} = S^{-1}$.

Here \overline{S} is the matrix given by applying the bar involution, (4.19), to each entry of S .

Proposition 5.9. *The matrix S is uniquely determined by these properties.*

Proof. This is a calculation. \square

It follows that $S_1 = S$ and $S_2 = PSP$ satisfy the braid relation $S_1 S_2 S_1 = S_2 S_1 S_2$.

5.1.1. *Algebra.* In what follows, we group the labels of composition factors as follows:

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} Irr^0 &= \{I\} \\ Irr^1 &= \{L\} \\ Irr^2 &= \{X_2, Y_2, Y_2^*\} \\ Irr^3 &= \{X_3, Y_3, Y_3^*, A, C, C^*\} \end{aligned}$$

and $Irr^{\leq i} = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^i Irr^j$.

Consider S as a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$. Then S has a spectral decomposition and this gives a basis of $A(2)$ of orthogonal idempotents π_R , $R \in Irr^{\leq 2}$, which we write as

$$(5.17) \quad S = \mathfrak{p}^{12}\pi_I - \mathfrak{p}^6\pi_L + \mathfrak{q}^{-2}\pi_{Y_2} - \pi_{X_2} + \mathfrak{p}^2\mathfrak{q}^2\pi_{Y_2^*}$$

Put $K = \phi\pi_L$ and $U = \delta\pi_I$ and note that these are defined over $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$.

Define $U_1 = \delta\pi_0$ and $K_1 = \phi\pi_L$. Put $U_2 = PU_1P$ and $K_2 = PK_1P$. The element H_1 is determined by the conditions $H_1U_2 = K_2U_1U_2$ and $U_2H_1 = U_2U_1K_2$. Put $H_2 = PH_1P$.

Consider the $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -algebra map f from $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}\langle 1, U, K, H, S, S^{-1} \rangle \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}\mathcal{R}}(2)$ to $M_5(\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}})$ that sends $1, U, K, H, S, S^{-1}$ to $1, U_1, K_1, H_1, S_1, S_1^{-1}$, respectively.

Definition 5.10. *The $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -algebra $A(2)$ is $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}\langle 1, U, K, H, S, S^{-1} \rangle / \text{Ker } f$.*

The algebra $A(2)$ is a free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -module of rank 5. Note that, after setting $q = 1$, H_1 is not an element of the subalgebra generated by S_1 . Therefore H_1 is not an element of the $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -subalgebra generated by S_1 .

5.1.2. *Quantum dimensions.* This representations also gives quantum dimensions. The element U_2 is a rank one quasi-idempotent so we have a linear map $\varepsilon: A(2) \rightarrow \text{End}(1) \cong \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ determined by $U_2a_1U_2 = \varepsilon(a_1)U_2$ for $a_1 \in A(2)$. In terms of diagrams, the conditional expectation is the linear map given by

$$(5.18) \quad \varepsilon: \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A blue rectangle with two horizontal red lines. An orange square is centered between the lines.} \end{array} \mapsto \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: A blue rectangle with two horizontal red lines. An orange square is centered between the lines. A red loop is drawn around the top of the orange square, connecting the two red lines.} \end{array}$$

The map ε on the elements in Figure 1 is given by

$$\frac{1 \quad U \quad K \quad H \quad S \quad S^{-1}}{\delta \quad 1 \quad \phi \quad 0 \quad \mathfrak{p}^{-12} \quad \mathfrak{p}^{12}}$$

The conditional expectation is closely related to the trace, see Definition (3.8); the two are proportional, and the quantum dimension is given by

$$(5.19) \quad \dim_q V = \text{Tr}(\pi_V) = \delta \varepsilon(\pi_V)$$

It is clear that these interpolate the quantum dimension for each exceptional Cartan type.

Remark 5.11. *The spectral decompositions of S_1 and S_2 give two bases of $A(2)$. The $6j$ symbols are the entries of the change of basis matrix. The tetrahedron symbols are a modification which gives a symmetric matrix. This calculation appears in [TW01] and in [Wes03a].*

5.2. **Diagrams.** In this section we deduce the relations diagrammatically, following similar calculations in [SW24]. We take indeterminates δ, \mathbf{p}, ϕ and use the function field $\mathbb{Q}(\delta, \mathbf{p}, \phi)$. We obtain relations over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ by substituting the values in (5.3) and deduce the relations from Proposition 5.2 to Proposition 5.7.

The multiplication table of $A(2)$ with respect to the basis in Figure 1 is shown in (5.20).

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} & U & K & H & S \\ \hline U & \delta U & 0 & \phi U & \mathbf{p}^{12} U \\ K & 0 & \phi K & \tau K & -\mathbf{p}^6 K \\ H & \phi U & \tau K & H^2 & \mathbf{p}^3 X_v \\ S & \mathbf{p}^{12} U & -\mathbf{p}^6 K & \mathbf{p}^3 X_v & S^2 \end{array}$$

The aim of this section is to write the structure constants of the algebra $A(2)$ with respect to the basis in Figure 1 as rational functions in δ, \mathbf{p}, ϕ .

Define a_I by (5.26),

$$a_I = \mathbf{p}^{-4} \phi \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}^5 - \mathbf{p}^{-5}}{\mathbf{p}^{-8} + \delta + \mathbf{p}^8} \right)$$

Define τ by (5.28)

$$\tau = \frac{\mathbf{p}^{12} a_I (\mathbf{p}^5 - \mathbf{p}^{-5}) + \phi}{\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{p}^{-2}}$$

The coefficients of the skein relation, (5.22), are given in Proposition 5.12,

$$z = \frac{(\mathbf{p}^{-6} - \mathbf{p}^6)\phi + (\mathbf{p}^{12} - \mathbf{p}^{-12})(\phi - \tau)}{(\tau - \phi)\delta + 2\phi - \tau}$$

$$w = \frac{(\mathbf{p}^{-6} - \mathbf{p}^6)(\delta - 1) + (\mathbf{p}^{12} - \mathbf{p}^{-12})}{(\tau - \phi)\delta + 2\phi - \tau}$$

The relation for X_v is given in Proposition 5.15.

5.2.1. *Eigenvalues.* The eigenvalue relations give

$$U S^{\pm 1} = \mathbf{p}^{\pm 12} U = S^{\pm 1} U$$

$$K S^{\pm 1} = -\mathbf{p}^{\pm 6} K = S^{\pm 1} K$$

The relations in §5.1 give

$$U H = \phi U = H U \quad K^2 = \phi K$$

5.2.2. *Skein relation.* The rotation map is defined in Definition 3.7. On $A(2)$ the linear map, $\text{Rot}: A(2) \rightarrow A(2)$, is given by

$$(5.21) \quad \text{Rot}: \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \end{array} \mapsto \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \end{array}$$

The map Rot on the elements in Figure 1 is given by

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & U & K & H & S & S^{-1} \\ \hline U & 1 & H & K & S^{-1} & S \end{array}$$

Hence Rot has order two.

On the subspace spanned by $1, U, K, H$, the eigenvalues ± 1 each have multiplicity 2. On $A(2)$, the eigenvalue 1 has multiplicity 3 and the eigenvalue -1 has multiplicity 2. The alternative is excluded using the bar involution, (4.19).

The three elements $(S - S^{-1})$, $(1 - U)$ and $(H - K)$ are all in the -1 eigenspace and so there is a linear relation

$$(5.22) \quad S - S^{-1} = z(1 - U) + w(H - K)$$

where the coefficients are to be determined.

Proposition 5.12. *The coefficients in the skein relation, (5.22), are given by*

$$z = \frac{(\mathfrak{p}^{-6} - \mathfrak{p}^6)\phi + (\mathfrak{p}^{12} - \mathfrak{p}^{-12})(\phi - \tau)}{(\tau - \phi)\delta + 2\phi - \tau}$$

$$w = \frac{(\mathfrak{p}^{-6} - \mathfrak{p}^6)(\delta - 1) + (\mathfrak{p}^{12} - \mathfrak{p}^{-12})}{(\tau - \phi)\delta + 2\phi - \tau}$$


Proof. Multiplying the skein relation by U and by K gives

$$\mathfrak{p}^{12} - \mathfrak{p}^{-12} = z(1 - \delta) + w\phi \quad -\mathfrak{p}^6 + \mathfrak{p}^{-6} = z + w(\tau - \phi)$$


Solving this pair of equations for z and w gives the result. □

5.2.3. Two string relations.


Lemma 5.13. *The following relations hold:*



Definition 5.14. *Define the diagram*



to be any of the following



Then we define the elements X_v and X_h by

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 1} \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 2} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ X_v & X_h \end{array}$$

Equivalently, $X_v = \mathfrak{p}^{-3}SH = \mathfrak{p}^{-3}HS$ and $X_h = \mathfrak{p}^3S^{-1}H = \mathfrak{p}^3HS^{-1}$. The rotation map extends by $\text{Rot}(X_v) = -X_h$ and $\text{Rot}(X_h) = -X_v$.

Proposition 5.15. *The following relation holds:*

$$X_v = a_I(1 + \mathfrak{p}^8U + \mathfrak{p}^4S) + \mathfrak{p}^{-1}H - \mathfrak{p}K$$

where the coefficient a_I is to be determined.

Proof. We have a relation

$$(5.24) \quad X_v = a_I + a_UU + a_KK + a_HH + a_SS$$

where the coefficients are to be determined.

Multiply (5.24) by S^{-1} to get

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-3}H = a_IS^{-1} + \mathfrak{p}^{-12}a_UU - \mathfrak{p}^{-6}a_KK + \mathfrak{p}^{-3}a_HX_h + a_S$$

Rotating gives

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-3}K = a_IS + \mathfrak{p}^{-12}a_U - \mathfrak{p}^{-6}a_KH - \mathfrak{p}^{-3}a_HX_v + a_SU$$

Solve for a_HX_v to get

$$(5.25) \quad a_HX_v = \mathfrak{p}^{-9}a_U + \mathfrak{p}^3a_SU - K - \mathfrak{p}^{-3}a_KH + \mathfrak{p}^3a_IS$$

Multiply (5.24) by a_H to get a second equation for a_HX_h . By the assumption that the set \mathcal{B} is a basis the individual coefficients can be compared.

Comparing coefficients of K gives $a_Ka_H = -1$. Comparing coefficients of H gives $a_H^2 = -\mathfrak{p}^{-3}a_K$.

Solving this pair of equations gives

$$a_K = -\mathfrak{p} \quad , \quad a_H = \mathfrak{p}^{-1}$$

The remaining three equations are

$$\mathfrak{p}^{-9}a_U = \mathfrak{p}^{-1}a_I \quad , \quad \mathfrak{p}^3a_S = \mathfrak{p}^{-1}a_U \quad , \quad \mathfrak{p}^{-1}a_S = \mathfrak{p}^3a_I$$

These give

$$a_U = \mathfrak{p}^8a_I \quad , \quad a_S = \mathfrak{p}^4a_I$$

□

Corollary 5.16.

$$X_h = -a_I(\mathfrak{p}^8 + U + \mathfrak{p}^4S^{-1}) - \mathfrak{p}^{-1}K + \mathfrak{p}H$$

Proof. This is given by rotating the expression for X_v in Proposition 5.15. □

Next we determine the coefficients a_I and τ .

Lemma 5.17. *If $1 + \mathfrak{p}^8\delta + \mathfrak{p}^{16} \neq 0$*

$$(5.26) \quad a_I = \mathfrak{p}^{-4}\phi \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}^5 - \mathfrak{p}^{-5}}{\mathfrak{p}^{-8} + \delta + \mathfrak{p}^8} \right)$$

Proof. Multiplying Proposition 5.15 by U gives

$$\mathfrak{p}^9\phi = a_I(1 + \mathfrak{p}^8\delta + \mathfrak{p}^{16}) + \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\phi$$

Now solve for a_I . □

Lemma 5.18. *If $(\mathfrak{p}^2 - \mathfrak{p}^{-2}) \neq 0$*

$$(5.27) \quad \tau = \frac{\mathfrak{p}^{12} a_I (\mathfrak{p}^5 - \mathfrak{p}^{-5}) + \phi}{\mathfrak{p}^2 - \mathfrak{p}^{-2}}$$

Proof. Multiplying Proposition 5.15 by K gives

$$(5.28) \quad -\mathfrak{p}^3 \tau = a_I (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{10}) - \mathfrak{p} \phi + \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \tau$$

Solve for τ to get

$$\tau = \frac{a_I (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{10}) - \mathfrak{p} \phi}{-\mathfrak{p}^3 - \mathfrak{p}^{-1}} = \frac{\mathfrak{p}^4 a_I (\mathfrak{p}^5 - \mathfrak{p}^{-5}) + \phi}{\mathfrak{p}^2 + \mathfrak{p}^{-2}}$$

□

The two coefficients ϕ and τ are defined up to a scalar factor. However the ratio τ/ϕ is independent of the scalar factor. Substituting (5.26) in (5.28) gives

$$(5.29) \quad \tau/\phi = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2 + \mathfrak{p}^{-2}} \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{p}^5 - \mathfrak{p}^{-5})^2}{\mathfrak{p}^{-8} + \delta + \mathfrak{p}^8} + 1 \right)$$

The two entries of the multiplication table, (5.20), which have not been determined are H^2 and S^2 . The entry for S^2 can be found by multiplying the skein relation, (5.22), by S and simplifying. The entry for H^2 can be found by multiplying the skein relation, (5.22), by H and simplifying.

5.3. Ideals. The functors Ψ_C preserve additional structure. The categories $\text{Inv}(L_C)$ for C an exceptional Cartan type and the category \mathcal{R} have an increasing sequence of ideals which are preserved by Ψ_C .

These ideals of diagram categories are defined using cut paths, [Wes95], [Kup96], [HR05], [RW14]. A *cut path* in a diagram is a path between the two sides of the rectangle which does not pass through any vertex of the diagram and each intersection with an edge of the diagram is transversal. The *cut length* (a.k.a. propagating number) of a diagram is the minimum number of intersections of a cut path with the diagram. Denote the cut length of a diagram D by $\ell(D)$.

Definition 5.19. *Let \mathcal{D} be a diagram category. For $r, s \geq 0$ and $k \geq -1$, the subspace $J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)}(r, s) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(r, s)$ is the linear span of the set of diagrams*

$$J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)}(r, s) := \langle D \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(r, s) \mid \ell(D) \leq k \rangle$$

If D and D' are composable diagrams then $\ell(D \circ D') \leq \min(\ell(D), \ell(D'))$. It follows that, for $k \geq 0$, $J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)}$ is an ideal. This defines an increasing sequence of ideals in \mathcal{D}

$$(5.30) \quad 0 = J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(-1)} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(1)} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(2)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{D}$$

which satisfies

Lemma 5.20. *For $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(5.31) \quad J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)} \circ J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(l)} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(\min(k, l))} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k)} \otimes J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(l)} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{D}}^{(k+l)}$$

For V any representation, the ideals of $\text{Inv}(V)$, are defined by:

Definition 5.21. For $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq k \leq \min(r, s)$, let $J_{Inv(V)}^{(k)}(r, s)$ be the subspace of $\text{Hom}_{Inv(V)}(r, s)$ spanned by the image of the compositions


$$\text{Hom}_{Inv(V)}(\otimes^r V, \otimes^t V) \otimes \text{Hom}_{Inv(V)}(\otimes^t V, \otimes^s V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{Inv(V)}(\otimes^r V, \otimes^s V)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq k$.


Example 5.22. The algebra $A(2)$ has an increasing sequence of ideals

$$(5.32) \quad 0 = J^{(-1)}(2, 2) \subseteq J^{(0)}(2, 2) \subseteq J^{(1)}(2, 2) \subseteq J^{(2)}(2, 2) = A(2)$$

The ideal $J^{(k)}(2, 2)$ is defined as $\Phi^{-1}(J_{\mathbb{k}_{p,q}}^{(k)}(2, 2) \cap \Phi(A(2)))$ where Φ is the map making $A(2)$ a subquotient of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{k}_{p,q}}(2)$.



Define $\hat{A}(2)$ to be the quotient algebra $A(2)/J^{(1)}(2, 2)$. It has a basis



5.4. Trivalent diagrams. Instead of taking $A(2)$ to be the quotient of the free module with basis in Figure 1 by the skein relation, (5.8), we can equivalently view $A(2)$ as the free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module with basis $\{1, U, K, H, H^2\}$. (The expansion of S in the latter basis is the subject of Proposition 5.7, and one can see that the coefficients (5.11)–(5.13) are in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$.) The multiplication table with respect to this basis is given by the table (5.20) (with S omitted) and the relation which expresses H^3 in the new basis. The latter is found as follows.

The eigenvalues of H , K and U are:

$$(5.33) \quad \begin{array}{c|ccccc} \text{eigenvalues} \setminus V & I & L & X_2 & Y_2 & Y_2^* \\ \hline H & \phi & \tau & \xi = (q - q^{-1})^2 [n+1] & \zeta = -\frac{[4n-2]}{[2n-1][n]} & \zeta^* = \frac{[6n+2][n+1]}{[3n+1][n]} \\ K & 0 & \phi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ U & \delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

The relation for H is therefore

$$(5.34) \quad (H - \xi)(H - \zeta)(H - \zeta^*) = \frac{1}{\delta}(\phi - \xi)(\phi - \zeta)(\phi - \zeta^*)U + \frac{1}{\phi}(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta)(\tau - \zeta^*)K$$

Note that δ and ϕ are not invertible in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$, but the ratios above are well-defined; see §A.2 for explicit values of the coefficients in (5.34).

Proposition 5.23. $A(2)$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -algebra with generators H, K, U and relations given by (5.34) and

$$(5.35) \quad U^2 = \delta U \quad UK = KU = 0 \quad K^2 = \phi K \quad HU = UH = \phi U \quad HK = KH = \tau K$$

This can be considered as an alternative definition of $A(2)$.

5.4.1. *Top part of $A(2)$.* If we quotient $A(2)$ by $J^{(1)}(2, 2)$, we find that $\hat{A}(2)$ is generated as a $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -algebra by H , with sole relation

$$(5.36) \quad (H - \xi)(H - \zeta)(H - \zeta^*) = 0$$

so that another basis of it is $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 1]} \\ \text{[Diagram 2]} \\ \text{[Diagram 3]} \end{array} \right\}$. The skein relation (5.8)

becomes

$$(5.37) \quad (q - q^{-1})(H - \xi) = \frac{[6\mathfrak{n}]}{[2\mathfrak{n}][3\mathfrak{n}]}(S - S^{-1})$$

5.4.2. *Bimodules.* For future purposes, we define, for $i = 0, 1$, left $A(2)$ -modules, $B(2, i)$ and right $A(2)$ -modules, $B(i, 2)$. They are all free rank 1 modules over $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$.

- $B(0, 2)$, whose generator is $\text{co} = \text{[Diagram: square with red arc on right side]}$.

It is a right $A(2)$ -module with the obvious relations (cf Appendix A):

$$\text{co}H = \phi \text{co} \quad \text{co}K = 0 \quad \text{co}E = \delta \text{co}$$

- $B(2, 0)$, whose generator is $\text{ev} = \text{[Diagram: square with red arc on left side]}$ and similar relations as left $A(2)$ -module.

- $B(1, 2)$, whose generator is $\lambda = \text{[Diagram: square with red lines merging from left to right]}$.

It is a right $A(2)$ -module with the obvious relations (cf Appendix A):

$$\lambda H = \tau \lambda \quad \lambda K = \phi \lambda \quad \lambda E = 0$$

- $B(2, 1)$, whose generator is $\mu = \text{[Diagram: square with red lines merging from right to left]}$ and similar relations as left $A(2)$ -module.

5.5. Gram determinant. The representation (5.15), ρ of B_3 , has an invariant symmetric inner product. The inner product is defined in (3.11). The Gram matrix with respect to the basis above is

$$(5.38) \quad G(\varrho) = \delta \begin{bmatrix} \delta & 1 & \phi & 0 & \phi^2 \\ 1 & \delta & 0 & \phi & \phi^2 \\ \phi & 0 & \phi^2 & \tau\phi & \phi\tau^2 \\ 0 & \phi & \tau\phi & \phi^2 & \phi\tau^2 \\ \phi^2 & \phi^2 & \phi\tau^2 & \phi\tau^2 & \phi(b_1 + b_2\tau + b_3\phi + b_4\tau^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where the coefficients b_1, \dots, b_4 are given in Appendix A.2.

Proposition 5.24. *The determinant of the Gram matrix $G(\varrho)$ is*

$$(5.39) \quad \det G(\varrho) = \frac{[6n+2][4n+1]^2}{[n]^2[n+1][2n+1]} \left(\frac{[6n+1][4n]}{[n+1][2n]} \right)^5 [5n-1]^5 [3n-1]^2 \\ \times \left(\frac{[6n]}{[n]} \right)^4 \left(\frac{[5n]}{[n]} \right)^3 \left(\frac{[6n+2]}{[3n+1]} \right)^2 \left(\frac{[6n+3]}{[3n+1]} \right) \left(\frac{[6n][n]}{[3n][2n]} \right)^4 \left(\frac{[3n-3]}{[n-1]} \right) \left(\frac{[4n-2]}{[2n-1]} \right)^3$$

The factors in red will be discussed in §7.1.

Proof. This is a direct calculation, using computer algebra. \square

5.6. Interpolation. We introduce interpolation representations and show that (5.15) interpolates the representations $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$ of the three string braid group for C an exceptional Cartan type. Let B_3 be the three string braid group.

Definition 5.25. An **interpolating representation** is a $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -linear representation, ρ , of B_3 with a symmetric invariant inner product such that:

- The underlying $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module is finitely generated and free.
- After the base change $\mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(p, q)$, the representation, $\rho \otimes \mathbb{Q}(p, q)$, is irreducible and the inner product is non-degenerate.
- For C an exceptional Cartan type, let $\rho_C = \rho \otimes_{\theta_C} \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ be the representation given by the base change $\theta_C: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$. Then there is an isomorphism, preserving the inner product,

$$\rho(\mathfrak{g})/\mathcal{N}_C \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q(C)}(L, \otimes^3 L)$$

where \mathcal{N}_C is the null space of the inner product.

Proposition 5.26. *The representation of B_3 given in (5.15) is an interpolating representation.*

Proof. The representation is irreducible if the Gram matrix, $G(\varrho)$ defined in (5.38) is non-degenerate. We have $\det G(\varrho) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(p, q) \neq 0$ so $\rho \otimes \mathbb{Q}(p, q)$ is irreducible.

For the exceptional Cartan types, $C \in \{A_2, G_2, D_4, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8\}$, the representation of B_3 corresponding to the action on $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$ is equivalent to the representation given by applying the homomorphism $\theta_C: \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ to all entries of S .

There is a unique five dimensional representation of B_3 with these eigenvalues and entries in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. Since both representations satisfy these conditions, they are isomorphic.

For the remaining exceptional types, $C \in \{\emptyset, OSp_{1|2}, A_1\}$ we check that the rank of $\theta_C(G(\varrho))$ is the rank of the free $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$. \square

Definition 5.27. For $k \geq 0$, an **interpolating algebra** is a $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -linear algebra, $A(k)$, such that:

- The underlying $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -module is finitely generated and free.
- After the base change $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q})$, the algebra, $A(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q})$, is split semisimple (a.k.a. a multimatrix algebra) and the inner product is non-degenerate.
- For C an exceptional Cartan type, let $A_C(k) = A(k) \otimes_{\theta_C} \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{q}^{1/s_C}}$ be the $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{q}^{1/s_C}}$ -algebra given by the base change $\theta_C: \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{q}^{1/s_C}}$. Then there is an isomorphism, preserving the ideals and the trace map,

$$A_C(k)/\mathcal{N}_C \cong \text{End}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(\otimes^k L)$$

where \mathcal{N}_C is the null space of the inner product.

Proposition 5.28. The algebra $A(2)$ is an interpolating algebra.

Proof. The underlying $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -module and inner product are the same as for the representation in (5.15). After the base change $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q})$, the algebra has a basis of orthogonal idempotents. For each exceptional Cartan type, C , the functor θ_C gives a surjective algebra homomorphism $\theta_C(2): A_C(2) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(\otimes^2 L)$. For the exceptional Cartan types, $C \in \{A_2, G_2, D_4, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8\}$, the inner product is non-degenerate and the homomorphism $\theta_C(2)$ is an isomorphism.

For the remaining exceptional types, $C \in \{\emptyset, \text{O}Sp_{1|2}, A_1\}$ we check that the rank of $\theta_C(G(2))$ is the rank of the free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{q}^{1/s_C}}$ -module $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(\otimes^2 L_C)$. Since we can identify $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(\otimes^2 L_C)$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{U}_q}(C)(L_C, \otimes^2 L_C)$, this is the same as in the proof of Proposition 5.26. \square

6. THREE STRING RELATIONS

In this section we construct a $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -algebra, $A(3)$, which is a free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -module of rank 80. This algebra is constructed to interpolate the algebras $\text{End}_{\text{Inv}(L_C)}(\otimes^3 L_C)$ for C in the exceptional series. We also construct an $A(2)$ - $A(3)$ bimodule $B(2, 3)$ which is a free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -module of rank 16. This bimodule is constructed to interpolate the bimodules $\text{Hom}_{\text{Inv}(L_C)}(\otimes^2 L_C, \otimes^3 L_C)$. All algebras and bimodules can be viewed as subquotients of the corresponding Hom spaces of the category $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}\mathcal{R}$, and we define them using diagrams.

6.1. The bimodules $B(i, 3)$ and $B(3, i)$. Before working with the algebra $A(3)$, we need to consider the interpolating bimodules $B(i, 3)$ and $B(3, i)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

The bimodules $B(0, 3)$ and $B(3, 0)$ are rank 1 free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -modules whose generators are the following diagrams:

$$B(0, 3) = \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{E} \end{array}$$

$$B(3, 0) = \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}} \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{E} \end{array}$$

The bimodules $B(1, 3)$ and $B(3, 1)$ are rank 5 free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -modules whose generators are the following diagrams:

$$B(1, 3) = \mathbb{k}_{p,q}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 1]} \\ \text{[Diagram 2]} \\ \text{[Diagram 3]} \\ \text{[Diagram 4]} \\ \text{[Diagram 5]} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$B(3, 1) = \mathbb{k}_{p,q}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 6]} \\ \text{[Diagram 7]} \\ \text{[Diagram 8]} \\ \text{[Diagram 9]} \\ \text{[Diagram 10]} \end{array} \right\}$$

They have already appeared implicitly in §5.1; the connection will be made explicitly in §6.5.

We now discuss the more interesting case of $B(2, 3)$ ($B(3, 2)$ will be treated similarly). We learn from the Bratteli diagram that $B(2, 3)$ should contain an increasing sequence of bimodules

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \subset J^{(0)}(2, 3) \subset J^{(1)}(2, 3) \subset J^{(2)}(2, 3)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2$, such that the generic dimensions of the successive quotient bimodules $J^{(i)}(2, 3)/J^{(i-1)}(2, 3)$ are 1, 5, 10, and we expect that they are spanned by diagrams of cut length i .

We shall build $B(2, 3)$ diagrammatically. The main new result here is the “square-pentagon relation” which is also discussed in [MST24].

Consider the following 16 diagrams:

$$\mathcal{B}(5) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 11]} \\ \text{[Diagram 12]} \\ \text{[Diagram 13]} \\ \text{[Diagram 14]} \\ \text{[Diagram 15]} \\ \text{[Diagram 16]} \\ \text{[Diagram 17]} \\ \text{[Diagram 18]} \\ \text{[Diagram 19]} \\ \text{[Diagram 20]} \\ \text{[Diagram 21]} \\ \text{[Diagram 22]} \\ \text{[Diagram 23]} \\ \text{[Diagram 24]} \\ \text{[Diagram 25]} \\ \text{[Diagram 26]} \end{array} \right\}$$

A simple argument to convince ourselves that these diagrams should be considered linearly independent is to compute their Gram matrix; using the diagrammatic relations that we already have at our disposal (see Appendix A.1–A.2), this can be performed, and we only provide its determinant:

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{[6n]^{42} [5n]^{13} [4n]^{16} [6n+2]^{16} [6n+4] [4n+1]^{10} [6n+3]^6 [6n+1]^{16}}{[n]^{29} [3n]^{26} [2n]^{36} [n+1]^{16} [3n+1]^{16} [3n+2] [2n+1]^6} \left(\frac{[4n-2]}{[2n-1]} \right)^{16} \left(\frac{[3n-3]}{[n-1]} \right)^6 \frac{[2n-4]}{[n-2]} [5n-1]^{16} [3n-1]^{10}$$


The factors in red will be discussed in §7.1.

We therefore declare $B(2, 3)$ (resp. $B(3, 2)$) to be the free module over $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ with basis $\mathcal{B}(2, 3)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}(3, 2)$), which is the set of diagrams obtained from those of $\mathcal{B}(5)$ by interpreting the endpoints as being two (resp. three) left boundary points and three (resp. two)

right boundary points; e.g., for $B(2, 3)$ we obtain the following $1 + 5 + 10$ diagrams:

$$\mathcal{B}(2, 3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 1]} \end{array}, \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 2]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 3]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 4]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 5]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 6]} \text{ ,} \\ \text{[Diagram 7]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 8]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 9]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 10]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 11]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 12]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 13]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 14]} \text{ , } \text{[Diagram 15]} \end{array} \right\}$$

Of course these are not the only diagrams with 5 external legs one can think of. The simplest example that is not in this list is



and all its rotations. Again, one can compute the Gram matrix of the 16 basis diagrams plus the one above: we obtain a degenerate matrix. Since the Gram matrix is nondegenerate in every module category, its kernel is zero, which gives a linear relation among these 17 diagrams; we therefore impose the same relation in our interpolating category, namely

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 16]} \end{array} = \sum_{k=0}^{15} c_k \mathcal{B}(5)_k$$

where the coefficients $c_k \in \mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ are listed in Appendix A.3, and the elements of $\mathcal{B}(5)$ are numbered from 0 to 15 in the same order as listed above. Note that this relation can be freely rotated since our basis $\mathcal{B}(5)$ is invariant under rotation.


We expect $A(2)$ to act on $B(2, 3)$ by left concatenation of diagrams, and indeed

Proposition 6.1. *$B(2, 3)$ is a left $A(2)$ -module. $B(3, 2)$ is a right $A(2)$ -module.*

Proof. For the purposes of this Proposition, our bimodules must be viewed as subquotients of the corresponding $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}_{p,q}} \mathcal{R}$ spaces; in other words, the action is defined in the natural diagrammatic way: we concatenate the diagrams, and then simplify using the known rules including the new square-pentagon relation.

Let us choose $B(2, 3)$ ($B(3, 2)$ is treated similarly). We need to check that upon multiplication by any of the generators of $A(2)$, namely H , K and U , the result is a linear combination of the 16 basis diagrams.

This can be proved by direct inspection. In fact, in all but three cases, only the “old” rules of Appendix A.1–A.2 are needed; the three exceptions are



In each case, we obtain a particular rotation of the square pentagon, which can therefore be expanded as a linear combination of our 16 basis diagrams. \square

Once we have defined $A(\mathcal{J})$, we shall also be able to prove that $B(2, 3)$ and $B(3, 2)$ are right/left $A(\mathcal{J})$ -modules (Proposition 6.17); we postpone until then the description of the representation content of $B(2, 3)$ and $B(3, 2)$.

6.2. Construction of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{J})$. As a warm-up, we shall first define the top part $\hat{A}(\mathcal{J})$. For the purposes of this section, $\hat{A}(\mathcal{J})$ is a standalone algebra – we shall show afterwards that it is indeed the quotient of the larger algebra $A(\mathcal{J})$ by the ideal $J^{(2)}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})$ generated by diagrams of cut length ≤ 2 .

In the same way that $\hat{A}(2) = A(2)/J^{(1)}(2, 2)$ is generated by H (cf §5.4.1), we expect $\hat{A}(\mathcal{J})$ to be generated by


$$H_1 := H \otimes 1 = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of } H \text{ (square)} \\ \text{Diagram of } 1 \text{ (horizontal line)} \end{array}$$


$$H_2 := 1 \otimes H = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of } 1 \text{ (horizontal line)} \\ \text{Diagram of } H \text{ (square)} \end{array}$$

Each H_i , $i = 1, 2$, must satisfy the same cubic equation (5.36) as H . Furthermore, we must take into account the square-pentagon relation (6.3).

A typical example is the word $H_2^2 H_1 H_2 = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of } H_2^2 H_1 H_2 \end{array}$, which contains a square and a pentagon

next to each other, and is therefore amenable to the rule (6.3). However, substituting the r.h.s. of (6.3) into $H_2^2 H_1 H_2$ results in a linear combination of diagrams that includes the

diagram  ; the latter is a valid would-be element of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ but is *not* a word in H_1 ,

H_2 . Note that  is invariant under the symmetries of the rectangle, thus appears in

the expansion of $H_2^2 H_1 H_2$, $H_2^2 H_1 H_2$, $H_2^2 H_1 H_2$, $H_2^2 H_1 H_2$ with the *same* coefficient. We can therefore eliminate it by taking differences.

And so, applying (6.3) to $H_2^2 H_1 H_2 - H_2 H_1 H_2^2$ and keeping only diagrams of cut length 3 results in a simple combination of words in H_1 and H_2 , namely

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} = \xi \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \right) + \xi^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right)$$

where $\xi = (\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{q}^{-1})(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}^{-1})$.

The exact same relation holds by applying a vertical mirror symmetry, which amounts to exchanging H_1 and H_2 . A similar but slightly different relation is

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ \text{Diagram 14} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 15} \\ \text{Diagram 16} \end{array} = c_{15} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 17} \\ \text{Diagram 18} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 19} \\ \text{Diagram 20} \end{array} \right) + c_{11} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 21} \\ \text{Diagram 22} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 23} \\ \text{Diagram 24} \end{array} \right) \\ + c_{12} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 25} \\ \text{Diagram 26} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 27} \\ \text{Diagram 28} \end{array} \right) + c_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 29} \\ \text{Diagram 30} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 31} \\ \text{Diagram 32} \end{array} \right)$$

where the coefficients are given in Appendix A.3. There are more relations of the same kind, but they are obviously linear combinations of the previous three.

These considerations justify the following definition:

Definition 6.2. $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ is the $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -algebra with generators H_1, H_2 and relations

$$(6.4) \quad (H_i - \xi)(H_i - \zeta)(H_i - \zeta^*) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$(6.5) \quad H_2^2 H_1 H_2 - H_2 H_1 H_2^2 = \xi(H_2^2 H_1 - H_1 H_2^2) + \xi^2(H_1 H_2 - H_2 H_1)$$

$$(6.6) \quad H_1 H_2 H_1^2 - H_1^2 H_2 H_1 = \xi(H_2 H_1^2 - H_1^2 H_2) + \xi^2(H_1 H_2 - H_2 H_1)$$

$$(6.7) \quad H_2 H_1 H_2^2 - H_1^2 H_2 H_1 = c_{15}(H_1 H_2 H_1 - H_2 H_1 H_2) + c_{11}(H_2^2 H_1 - H_2 H_1^2) \\ + c_{12}(H_1 H_2^2 - H_1^2 H_2) + c_1(H_1 - H_2)$$

where $\xi = (\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{q}^{-1})(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}^{-1})$, $\zeta = -\frac{[4n-2]}{[n][2n-1]}$, $\zeta^* = \frac{[n+1][6n+2]}{[n][3n+1]}$.

Lemma 6.3. $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ admits the following irreducible, pairwise distinct, representations:

$$(6.8) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, X_3}(H_1) = (\xi) \qquad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, X_3}(H_2) = (\xi)$$

$$(6.9) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3}(H_1) = (\zeta) \qquad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3}(H_2) = (\zeta)$$

$$(6.10) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3^*}(H_1) = (\zeta^*) \qquad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3^*}(H_2) = (\zeta^*)$$

$$(6.11) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, A}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} & \xi & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{[6n][n+1]}{[3n][n]} & \zeta^* \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, A}(H_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^* & -\frac{[6n][n+1]}{[3n][n]} & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & -\frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.12) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ -\frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} & \xi \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C}(H_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & -\frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.13) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C^*}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^* & 0 \\ \frac{[6n][n+1]}{[2n][3n]} & \xi \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C^*}(H_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \frac{[6n][n+1]}{[2n][3n]} \\ 0 & \zeta^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. One checks relations (6.4)–(6.7) in each representation by direct computation.

We prove irreducibility over the fraction field $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$. It follows from the following two facts, which both amount to solving a linear system.

Firstly, by direct calculation, one observes that given $R \in Irr^3 = \{X_3, Y_3, Y_3^*, A, C, C^*\}$, any matrix that commutes with both $\rho_R(H_1)$ and $\rho_R(H_2)$ is proportional to the identity. (Indeed, such a matrix must be upper triangular because $\rho_R(H_1)$ is upper triangular with distinct diagonal entries, and similarly must be lower triangular because of $\rho_R(H_2)$, so must be diagonal; and since the entries of $\rho_R(H_1)$ right below the diagonal are nonzero, commuting with it forces this diagonal matrix to be proportional to the identity.)

Secondly, note that $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ possesses an anti-involution that leaves H_1 and H_2 invariant. This means that given any module V , its dual V^* is also a module. In the case of each of the modules V_R given by the matrices above, one can show that the module V_R^* is isomorphic to V_R , and the corresponding nondegenerate bilinear form on V_R is symmetric; the associated quadratic form is “definite positive” in the sense that it is definite positive for an infinite number of values of \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} . In particular, it only vanishes on the zero vector. For exam-

ple, for V_A , the symmetric matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{[n][2n+2]}{[2n][n+1]} & -1 & \frac{[n]}{[n+2][2n]} \\ -1 & \frac{[2][n+2][n+1]}{[n+2]} & -1 \\ \frac{[n]}{[n+2][2n]} & -1 & \frac{[n][2n+2]}{[2n][n+1]} \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $M\rho_{\mathcal{B}, A}(H_i) = \rho_{\mathcal{B}, A}(H_i)^T M$, $i = 1, 2$, and $M = P^T D P$ with D diagonal $\left\{ \frac{[n][2n+2]}{[2n][n+1]}, \frac{[2][2n+3][n+1]}{[n+2][2n+2]}, \frac{[n+3][2n+3][n]}{[2][2n]} \right\}$.

At this stage the reasoning is standard: any submodule $W \subseteq V_R$ has a complementary submodule W^\perp w.r.t. the definite positive quadratic form, the projector on the first summand of $W \oplus W^\perp$ commutes with $\rho_R(H_1)$ and $\rho_R(H_2)$ and is therefore proportional to the identity, hence $W = 0$ or $W = V_R$, i.e., V_R is irreducible.

Finally, the V_R are clearly pairwise distinct since the eigenvalues of, say H_1 , differ. \square

Define $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}} := \hat{A}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}} \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$:

Corollary 6.4. $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ is an algebra of dimension at least 20.

Proof. By summing the squares of sizes of the matrices in the Lemma above, we obtain the statement. \square

One can now conclude

Proposition 6.5. $\hat{A}(\mathcal{P})$ is a free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module of rank 20, with basis


$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{orig}}^{(3)}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1^2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1 H_2 = \text{[diagram]}, \\ H_2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_2^2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1^2 H_2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1 H_2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1 H_2^2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_2 H_1^2 = \text{[diagram]}, \\ H_2 H_1 H_2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_2^2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1^2 H_2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1^2 H_2^2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1 H_2 H_1 H_2 = \text{[diagram]}, \\ H_1 H_2^2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_2 H_1 H_2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]}, H_2^2 H_1^2 = \text{[diagram]}, H_1 H_2 H_1 H_2 H_1 = \text{[diagram]} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof. Consider $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\langle H_1, H_2 \rangle$ with the graded lexicographic monomial order, with the convention $H_1 < H_2$. In all that follows we only write the leading term of each expression, for brevity. We start from the four relations (6.4)–(6.7)

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= H_1^3 + \cdots \\ g_2 &= H_2^3 + \cdots \\ g_3 &= H_2^2 H_1 H_2 + \cdots \\ g_4 &= H_1 H_2 H_1^2 + \cdots \\ g_5 &= H_2 H_1 H_2^2 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We can then create new ones by *overlap* (see [SW07]).

It may be instructive to do an example of such a procedure diagrammatically. Consider the following diagram:



One can apply the square-pentagon relation (6.3) to either left or right of the diagram. This leads to a nontrivial diagrammatic identity where no square-pentagons are involved, showing the subtlety in dealing with such diagrammatic rules. This “new” rule involves adjacent square-hexagons, though it does not allow to remove them entirely, since they always appear in differences; in particular, in $A(\mathcal{P})/J^{(2)}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P})$ (i.e., keeping only diagrams of cut length 3),

we expect an identity of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 1} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 2} \\ \hline \end{array} &= d_0 \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 3} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 4} \\ \hline \end{array} \right) + d_1 \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 5} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 6} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 7} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 8} \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \\
 &+ d_2 \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 9} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 10} \\ \hline \end{array} \right) + d_3 \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 11} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{Diagram 12} \\ \hline \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where


$$\begin{aligned}
 d_0 &= 2 \frac{[n+1]}{[n]} - \frac{[6n]}{[3n]} \\
 d_1 &= \frac{[n+1]}{[n]^2} \\
 d_2 &= -\frac{[6n][n+1]}{[n]^2[3n]} \\
 d_3 &= -\frac{[6n][n+1]^2}{[n]^4[3n]}
 \end{aligned}$$

One can search more systematically for overlaps in the relations of $\hat{A}(3)$ (this is best performed by computer), and the result is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{g_1, \dots, g_5}(H_2 H_1 g_2 - g_5 H_2) &= g_6 & g_6 &= H_1^2 H_2 H_1 H_2 + \dots \\
 R_{g_1, \dots, g_6}(H_1 g_6 - g_2 H_2 H_1 H_2) &= \left(\frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} \right)^2 g_7 & g_7 &= H_2 H_1^2 H_2 + \dots \\
 R_{g_1, \dots, g_7}(g_3 H_2 - H_2 g_5) &= g_8 & g_8 &= H_1 H_2^2 H_1^2 + \dots \\
 R_{g_1, \dots, g_8}(g_4 H_2 - H_1 g_6) &= g_9 & g_9 &= H_1^2 H_2^2 H_1 + \dots \\
 R_{g_1, \dots, g_9}(H_1 H_2 g_6 - g_8 H_2) &= \frac{[6n][2n][n+1]}{[3n][n]^3} g_{10} & g_{10} &= H_2 H_1 H_2 H_1 H_2 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

where R_{g_1, \dots, g_k} denotes remainder by the successive division by g_1, \dots, g_k . g_7 coincides with the diagrammatic identity above. Note that the prefactors of g_7 and g_{10} are invertible in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$, so all the coefficients of g_6, \dots, g_{10} live in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$. $\{g_1, \dots, g_{10}\}$ is in fact a minimal Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by g_1, \dots, g_4 .

Now consider the words as in Proposition 6.5: $1, H_1, H_2, H_1^2, H_1 H_2, H_2 H_1, H_2^2, H_1^2 H_2, H_1 H_2 H_1, H_1 H_2^2, H_2 H_1^2, H_2 H_1 H_2, H_2^2 H_1, H_1^2 H_2 H_1, H_1^2 H_2^2, H_1 H_2 H_1 H_2, H_1 H_2^2 H_1, H_2 H_1 H_2 H_1, H_2^2 H_1^2, H_1 H_2 H_1 H_2 H_1$. Multiplying by either H_1 or H_2 (say, on the right) any of the 20 words above results in either another word in the list, or a multiple of the leading term of one of the equations above:



One concludes by induction on the monomial order that the span of these words is stable by multiplication by H_1, H_2 on the right. Since it contains 1, it is equal to the whole of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$, so the latter is a quotient of a free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ -module of rank 20. We conclude using Corollary 6.4. \square

In particular, we can strengthen Corollary 6.4 to

Corollary 6.6. $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ is a direct sum of matrix algebras over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, where the sizes of the blocks are given by (6.8)–(6.13).

The map from $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ to the direct sum of matrix algebras over $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$ given by (6.8)–(6.13) is injective.

As observed at the start of §6.2, one could apply the square-pentagon relation (6.3) to



$H_1^2H_2H_1 =$ , resulting in a linear combination of other basis diagrams and of the

diagram . The coefficient of the latter, $c_{11} = -\frac{[6n][n+1]}{[n][2n][3n]}$, is an invertible element of

$\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$, and so one could use it as a substitute for $H_1^2 H_2 H_1$ in the basis $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$. For practical purposes, this substitution is convenient, and we denote

$$(6.14) \quad \mathcal{B}^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \left(\mathcal{B}_{\text{orig}}^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \setminus \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \right) \cup \left\{ \left[\text{Diagram} \right] \right\}$$

6.3. The braiding of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$. Define the elements

$$(6.15) \quad S_i = \frac{[2n][3n]^2}{[n+1][6n]^2} (S_{H^2} H_i^2 + S_H H_i + S_I) \quad i = 1, 2$$

where the coefficients are given in (5.11)–(5.13). This is nothing but the top part of the expression in Proposition 5.7, viewed as an element of $A(\mathcal{Q})$.

Diagrammatically, $S_1 = \left[\text{Diagram} \right]$, $S_2 = \left[\text{Diagram} \right]$.

We have the following

Proposition 6.7. *The S_i satisfy*

$$(6.16) \quad 0 = S_1 S_2 S_1 - S_2 S_1 S_2$$

$$(6.17) \quad 0 = (S_i + 1)(S_i - q^{-2})(S_i - q^2 p^2) \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$(6.18) \quad 0 = S_1 - S_2 + S_1^2 - S_2^2 + S_1^2 S_2 - S_2^2 S_1 \\ + S_2 S_1^2 - S_1 S_2^2 + S_2 S_1^2 S_2 - S_1 S_2^2 S_1 + S_2^2 S_1 S_2^2 - S_1^2 S_2 S_1^2$$

Proof. In principle, one can derive these equations directly from the relations (6.4)–(6.7); this can be done systematically by using a Gröbner basis, cf. the proof of Proposition 6.5. Here we provide an alternative proof. First, we find the image of S_1 and S_2 in the various representations (6.8)–(6.13):

$$(6.19) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, X_3}(S_1) = (-1) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, X_3}(S_2) = (-1)$$

$$(6.20) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3}(S_1) = (q^{-2}) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3}(S_2) = (q^{-2})$$

$$(6.21) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3^*}(S_1) = (q^2 p^2) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_3^*}(S_2) = (q^2 p^2)$$

$$(6.22) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, A}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ q^{-1} & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -q(1+p^2) & q^2 p^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, A}(S_2) = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 p^2 & -q(1+p^2) & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & q^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.23) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ q^{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C}(S_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & q^{-1} \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.24) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C^*}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 p^2 & 0 \\ qp & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, C^*}(S_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & qp \\ 0 & q^2 p^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

We then check the relations in these representations, and conclude using Corollary 6.6. \square

Remark 6.8. *In the generic case (i.e., tensoring with the fraction field), the relation (6.15) can be inverted, cf. (5.37)*

$$(6.25) \quad H_i = \xi + \frac{[6 \mathbf{n}]}{[2 \mathbf{n}][3 \mathbf{n}]} \frac{S_i - S_i^{-1}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} \quad i = 1, 2$$

so that $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ is also the algebra generated by S_1, S_2 .

The latter is, according to (6.16), a quotient of the three-string braid group algebra; and more precisely, of a cubic Hecke algebra, cf. (6.17). This algebra is generically a multimatrix algebra of dimension 24 with irreducible representations of dimensions 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1. They are given by (6.19)–(6.24), plus the additional


$$(6.26) \quad S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}^{-2} & 0 \\ \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 & -\mathbf{p} \\ 0 & \mathbf{q}^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The square of the r.h.s. of (6.18) is up to normalisation the central idempotent associated to the irreducible representation (6.26). Therefore, $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ is isomorphic to the algebra with generators S_1, S_2 and relations as in Proposition 6.7.


6.4. Construction of $A(\mathcal{B})$. We now define the whole of $A(\mathcal{B})$. The construction is considerably more complicated than that of $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$, but there is no significant conceptual difference, and we shall skip many of the technical details; in particular, the Gröbner basis calculations, which are best performed by computer, will be omitted.

In the same way that $A(\mathcal{A})$ can be considered as an algebra generated by H, K, U , we expect $A(\mathcal{B})$ to have generators $H_1, H_2, K_1, K_2, U_1, U_2$; this is correct over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$. The situation over $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ is more complicated, and will be discussed later. The relations are all the diagrammatic relations that were already encountered in §5 in the study of $A(\mathcal{A})$, including the skein relation (5.8), as well as the new square-pentagon relation (6.3). See Appendix A for a list of all relations written explicitly.

We encounter the same difficulty as for $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ when trying to implement (6.3) as a relation:





when we try to simplify say $H_2^2 H_1 H_2 =$  by replacing the square-pentagon with the

r.h.s. of (6.3), several diagrams that appear are not words in the generators. We first have



, which already appeared in $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$, and which can be cancelled by taking differences of

two such quartic words, for example $H_2^2 H_1 H_2 - H_1^2 H_2 H_1$ (this particular choice is convenient because over the fraction field, it allows to write a single quartic equation) Secondly, four

more diagrams appear, namely $Z_1 :=$ , $Z_2 :=$ , $Z_3 :=$ , $Z_4 :=$ .

Z_1 and Z_2 can be easily taken care of by noting that $K_1H_2K_1 = \text{[diagram]} = \tau Z_1$, and

similarly $K_2H_1K_2 = \text{[diagram]} = \tau Z_2$. However, τ is not invertible in $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}$. This is one of the

reasons that we work over the fraction field for now. We can then invert τ and express Z_1 and Z_2 in terms of words.

In order to deal with Z_3 and Z_4 , we consider $H_1^2H_2K_1 = \text{[diagram]}$ and note that after

applying the square-square relation (A.1), one has $H_1^2H_2K_1 = b_0Z_3 + \dots$ where the dots are diagrams that are expressible as words.

This allows to express Z_3 (and similarly Z_4) as a linear combination of words on condition that one invert b_0 .

In the end, we are led to the following:

Definition 6.9. $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ -algebra with generators $H_1, H_2, K_1, K_2, U_1, U_2$ and relations

$$(6.27) \quad H_iK_i = K_iH_i = \tau K_i$$

$$(6.28) \quad H_iU_i = U_iH_i = \phi U_i$$

$$(6.29) \quad K_i^2 = \phi K_i$$

$$(6.30) \quad K_iU_i = U_iK_i = 0$$

$$(6.31) \quad U_i^2 = \delta U_i$$

$$(6.32) \quad H_1K_2H_1 = H_2K_1H_2$$

$$(6.33) \quad K_iU_jK_i = H_jU_iH_j$$

$$(6.34) \quad K_iU_jH_i = H_jU_iK_j$$

$$(6.35) \quad K_iU_jU_i = H_jU_i$$

$$(6.36) \quad U_iU_jK_i = U_iH_j$$

$$(6.37) \quad U_iU_jU_i = U_i$$

$$(6.38) \quad (H_i - \xi)(H_i - \zeta)(H_i - \zeta^*) = b_2K_i + b_1U_i$$

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & H_2^2H_1H_2 - H_1^2H_2H_1 = (c_2 - c_1)b_0^{-1}(H_1^2H_2K_1 - H_2^2H_1K_2) \\ & + c_{15}(H_2H_1H_2 - H_1H_2H_1) + c_{11}(H_1H_2^2 - H_2H_1^2) + c_{12}(H_2^2H_1 - H_1^2H_2) + c_{10}(K_2H_1H_2 - K_1H_2H_1) \\ & + (c_{12} + (c_2 - c_1)b_0^{-1}b_4)(H_2H_1K_2 - H_1H_2K_1) + (c_6 + (c_2 - c_1)b_0^{-1}b_2)\tau^{-1}(K_2H_1K_2 - K_1H_2K_1) \\ & + c_6(K_2H_1 - K_1H_2) + (c_7 - (c_2 - c_1)b_0^{-1}b_3)(H_1K_2 - H_2K_1) + (c_0 + (c_2 - c_1)b_0^{-1}b_1)(U_2H_1 - U_1H_2) \\ & + (c_5 - c_7)(H_1H_2 - H_2H_1) + c_2(H_1U_2 - H_2U_1) + c_1(H_1 - H_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 1, 2, j = 3 - i$, and the coefficients b_k and c_k are given in Appendix A.3.

Remark 6.10. Using the relations above, it is possible to express U_i and K_i in terms of H_i : indeed one easily shows that H_i satisfies the quintic equation

$$(H_i - \xi)(H_i - \zeta)(H_i - \zeta^*)(H_i - \phi)(H_i - \tau) = 0$$

and then U_i and K_i can be obtained by Lagrange interpolation:

$$U_i = \delta \frac{(H - \xi)(H - \zeta)(H - \zeta^*)(H - \tau)}{(\phi - \xi)(\phi - \zeta)(\phi - \zeta^*)(\phi - \tau)}$$

$$K_i = \phi \frac{(H - \xi)(H - \zeta)(H - \zeta^*)(H - \phi)}{(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta)(\tau - \zeta^*)(\tau - \phi)}$$


However, it is convenient to include these generators in order to define a diagrammatic basis of $A(\mathcal{B})$.

Proposition 6.11. $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ possesses the following set of generators as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$: the same words of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{orig}}^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ in Proposition 6.5 (which we now view as elements of $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$), as well as

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{orig}}^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_2^2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, H_2 H_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, H_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, H_2 K_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, H_1 H_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, \\ H_1^2 K_2 = \text{diagram}, H_1^2 K_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, H_1^2 K_2 H_1^2 = \text{diagram}, H_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, H_1 K_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, H_1 K_2 H_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, \\ H_1 K_2 H_1^2 = \text{diagram}, H_1 K_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, H_1 K_2 K_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, K_2 = \text{diagram}, K_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, K_2 H_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, \\ K_2 H_1^2 = \text{diagram}, K_2 H_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, K_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, K_2 K_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, K_2 K_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, K_2 K_1 K_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, \\ K_2 K_1 K_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, K_1 = \text{diagram}, K_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, K_1 H_2^2 = \text{diagram}, K_1 H_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, K_1 H_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, \\ K_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, K_1 K_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, K_1 K_2 H_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, K_1 K_2 K_1 = \text{diagram}, K_1 K_2 K_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, \\ K_1 K_2 K_1 K_2 = \text{diagram} \end{array} \right\}$$


and

$$\mathcal{B}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \left\{ U_1 = \text{diagram}, U_1 H_2 = \text{diagram}, U_1 U_2 = \text{diagram}, U_1 K_2 = \text{diagram}, U_1 K_2 H_1 = \text{diagram}, \right.$$




Proof. Consider $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \langle H_1, H_2, K_1, K_2, U_1, U_2 \rangle$ with the graded lexicographic monomial order, with $U_1 < U_2 < K_1 < K_2 < H_1 < H_2$. A computer calculation produces a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by the relations of $A(\beta)_{\text{loc}}$, with 111 elements; the basis elements above are exactly all the words that do not divide the leading monomial of any element of that Gröbner basis. \square

Among the diagrams listed above, we note that 8 of them can be simplified using our diagrammatic relations:



Conversely, here are 8 diagrams that are missing in the list:



The first bottom four have already been discussed at the start of this section. In particular it is obvious that the first top two can be substituted with the bottom top two, and that this will not change their $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ -span; however their $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -span will be different. More generally, one can compute explicitly the 8×8 matrix of change of basis (expansion of the top diagrams into the bottom ones); it is $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -valued and is invertible in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ but not invertible in $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$.

We therefore obtain the following improved generating sets: $\mathcal{B}^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is given by (6.14), $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is unchanged, and

(6.40)

$$\mathcal{B}^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \left(\mathcal{B}_{\text{orig}}^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 1]} \\ \text{[Diagram 2]} \\ \text{[Diagram 3]} \\ \text{[Diagram 4]} \\ \text{[Diagram 5]} \\ \text{[Diagram 6]} \\ \text{[Diagram 7]} \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 8]} \\ \text{[Diagram 9]} \\ \text{[Diagram 10]} \\ \text{[Diagram 11]} \\ \text{[Diagram 12]} \\ \text{[Diagram 13]} \end{array} \right\} \right)$$

(6.41)

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 14]} \end{array} \right\}$$

Their $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ -span is the same as the original generating sets, but their $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ -span is larger.

At this stage, we can finally introduce $A(\mathcal{B})$:

Definition 6.12. Define $J^{(i)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ to be the $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ -linear span of $\bigsqcup_{j=0}^i \mathcal{B}^{(j)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ inside $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$; and $A(\mathcal{B}) = J^{(3)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$. (Conventionally, $J^{(-1)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$.)

Note that by definition $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}} = A(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}} \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$.

Lemma 6.13. $A(\mathcal{B})$ is a subring of $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$, and $J^{(i)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ are ideals of it, $i = 0, \dots, 3$.

Proof. Consider two elements x and y in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) := \bigsqcup_{j=0}^3 \mathcal{B}^{(j)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$; because $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is a generating set over $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$, one can express the product xy as a linear combination of elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$. (In fact, we shall soon prove that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is a basis, so that linear combination is unique.) The first part of the Lemma says that the coefficients of the expansion of xy belong to $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$. This check is best performed by computer; for practical purposes, note that one only needs to check it for x in a set of generators as $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ -algebra; that means, for x equal to either $H_1, H_2, K_1, K_2, U_1, U_2$, or the first four additions in (6.40), previously denoted $Z_1,$

\dots, Z_4 , or the addition in (6.41), which we denote $Z_0 = \begin{array}{c} \text{[Diagram 14]} \end{array}$ (the other substitutions did

not change the $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ -span).

The fact that the $J^{(i)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ are ideals of $A(\mathcal{B})$ follows immediately from the fact that $\mathcal{B}^{(j)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is the subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ of diagrams of cut length j , and the discussion of §5.3 applies, cf the first part of Lemma 5.20. \square

Lemma 6.14. $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ admits the following irreducible, pairwise distinct, representations, where either H_1, H_2 are mapped to (6.8)–(6.13), or H_1 is mapped to the following matrices

$$(6.42) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, X_2}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} & \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{[6n]}{[2n][3n]} & \frac{[6n]}{[3n]} & \zeta^* & 0 \\ \xi & \frac{[6n]([2n][2]+1)}{[2n][3n]} - \frac{[6n]([6n+2][n]-[3n+1])}{[2n][3n][3n+1]} & \tau & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.43) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{[6n]}{[3n][2n]} & \xi & 0 \\ \zeta & \frac{[3n-1](q^2p+p^3-p-p^{-1}+p^{-3}+q^{-2}p^{-1})}{[n]} & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.44) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2^*}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^* & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{[6n][n+1]}{[3n][2n]} & \xi & 0 \\ \zeta^* & \frac{[4n+1](q^2p+p^3-p-p^{-1}+p^{-3}+q^{-2}p^{-1})}{[n]} & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.45) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, L}(H_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{[2n-2][2n]-[n-1]^2}{[n-1][n]} & \tau & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{[4n-2]}{[n][2n-1]} & -\frac{q^2p+p^3-p-p^{-1}+p^{-3}+q^{-2}p^{-1}}{[n]} & \xi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{[3n][4n-2]}{[n][2n-1]} & q^2p^3+q^2p+q^2p^{-1}-2p-2p^{-1}+q^{-2}p+q^{-2}p^{-1}+q^{-2}p^{-3} & \frac{[n+1][12n]}{[3n][4n]} & \zeta^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{[4n-2][6n+1]}{[n][2n-1]} & \frac{[4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][2n-1][3n+1]} & \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.46) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, I}(H_1) = (\tau)$$

and H_2 is mapped to the same matrices rotated 180 degrees.

These restrict to representations of $A(\mathcal{B})$ (over $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$).

Proof. As mentioned in Remark 6.10, the H_1, H_2 generate $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$, so fixing H_1 and H_2 as above suffices to define representations. Checking relations (6.27)–(6.39) is a tedious but elementary exercise.

Irreducibility and pairwise distinctness follow from the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 6.3, and we shall not repeat them here. For example, for the most complicated case of L , we find that there exists M such that $M\rho_{\mathcal{B}, L}(H_i) = \rho_{\mathcal{B}, L}(H_i)^T M$, and $M = P^T D P$ with D diagonal with eigenvalues

$$1, \frac{[2n-1][2][4n][6n][2n][6n+2][3n-3]}{[n+2][4n-2][4n+1][5n-1][n][3n+1][n-1]}, \frac{[6n+3][3n-1][6n+1][3n-3]}{[2n+1][2n+2][4n+1][5n-1][2][n-1]},$$

$$\frac{[5n][3n-1][6n]^3[3n-3]}{[3n]^4[2n+2][n+2][5n-1][n-1]}, \frac{[5n][3n-1][n+1][6n][2n][3n-3]}{[6n+1][2n+2][n+2][4n][n-1]}$$

which has the right positivity requirement.

The matrices above have coefficients in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$. One checks that the same is true of the matrices of all the generators of $A(\mathcal{B})$ as a $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -algebra, namely, K_1, K_2, U_1, U_2 , and Z_0, \dots, Z_4 ; e.g., for Z_1 , one checks that $K_1 H_2 K_1$ is sent to a matrix with entries in $\tau \mathbb{k}_{p,q}$. This shows the restriction property to $A(\mathcal{B})$. \square

Putting together Proposition 6.11 and Lemma 6.14 allows to conclude:

Corollary 6.15. $A(\mathcal{B})_{\text{loc}}$ is of dimension 80, and a direct sum of matrix algebras over $\mathbb{Q}(p, q)$, where the sizes of the blocks are given by the representations of Lemma 6.14.

$A(\mathcal{B})$ is a rank 80 free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module, and the map from $A(\mathcal{B})$ to the direct sum of matrix algebras over $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ given by the same representations is injective.

More generally, the $J^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ are free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -modules; the quotient $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -modules $J^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})/J^{(i-1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ have basis $\mathcal{B}^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$.

Recall the notation (5.16) for labels of irreps.

Proposition 6.16. *For each $j = 0, \dots, 3$, the natural $A(\mathfrak{A})$ - $A(\mathfrak{A})$ bimodule map from $J^{(j)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ to the matrix algebra over $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ given by the irreps Irr^j , defined in (5.16), has kernel $J^{(j-1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$, and after quotienting by the kernel and tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, becomes an isomorphism of $A(\mathfrak{A})_{\text{loc}}$ - $A(\mathfrak{A})_{\text{loc}}$ bimodules.*

In particular, $\hat{A}(\mathfrak{A})$ is isomorphic to the quotient $A(\mathfrak{A})/J^{(2)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ under the natural map $H_k \mapsto H_k$, $k = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let us first show that every element of $\mathcal{B}^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ is in the kernel of the map to the matrix algebra of irreps Irr^j for $i < j$, defined in (5.16). One can use Remark 6.10, which gives the correspondence between the eigenvalues of H_k and those of K_k and U_k , $k = 1, 2$. We immediately find that $\rho_R(U_1) = \rho_R(U_2) = 0$ for all $R \in \text{Irr}^j$, $j = 2, 3$, defined in (5.16), because $\rho_R(H_k)$ doesn't have the eigenvalue ϕ . Similarly, $\rho_R(K_1) = \rho_R(K_2) = 0$ for all $R \in \text{Irr}^3$ because $\rho_R(H_k)$ doesn't have the eigenvalue τ . This implies the statement at $i = 1, 2$. Remains $i = 0$, that is, one must show that $\rho_R(Z_0) = 0$ for all $R \in \text{Irr}^j$, $j \geq 1$. Now Z_0 is obviously central and the module that it generates is of rank 1; this implies that it is proportional (in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$) to the primitive central idempotent of an irrep of dimension 1. We compute $H_1 Z_0 = \tau Z_0$ and recognize the eigenvalue of $\rho_1(H_1)$. So the kernel contains $J^{(j-1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$.

One can then check that $J^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})/J^{(i-1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ has the same rank as the matrix algebra it is sent to:

$$1 = 1^2, \quad 25 = 5^2, \quad 34 = 4^2 + 3^2 + 3^2, \quad 20 = 3^2 + 2^2 + 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$$


so that after tensoring with the fraction field, the map is an isomorphism. Furthermore, because $J^{(i-1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ is generated by a subset of the basis of $J^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ as a free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -module, this also implies that the kernel of the map is $J^{(i-1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$.

We now have two injective maps into the matrix algebra of Irr^3 , defined in (5.16): one, from $\hat{A}(\mathfrak{A})$, was defined in Lemma 6.3; the second map from $A(\mathfrak{A})/J^{(2)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ was just obtained now. They manifestly have the same image because both $\hat{A}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $A(\mathfrak{A})/J^{(2)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ are generated as $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$ -algebras by the two generators H_1, H_2 and these are sent to the same matrices by their respective maps. Composing one map with the inverse of the other leads to an isomorphism where $H_k \mapsto H_k$. □

Proposition 6.17. *For each $i = 0, \dots, 3$, $B(\mathfrak{A}, i)$ is a left $A(\mathfrak{A})$ -module, $B(i, \mathfrak{A})$ is a right $A(\mathfrak{A})$ -module, and $J^{(i)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}) \cong B(\mathfrak{A}, i) \otimes_{A(i)} B(i, \mathfrak{A})$.*

Proof. We assume in the proof $i \leq 2$, otherwise the statement is trivial.

The proof of the first part of the Proposition is an explicit computation, similar to that of Proposition 6.1; e.g., for $B(\mathcal{B}, \theta)$, one computes, using the rules of Appendix A,




Since the r.h.s. of every rule of Appendix A involves expressions with coefficients in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$, all one needs to check is that for each diagram obtained by concatenation of one of the generators of $A(\mathcal{B})$ with a basis diagram, one can apply repeatedly substitutions of the form “l.h.s. \mapsto r.h.s.” to reduce it to a linear combination of basis diagrams. This is not significantly harder for $i = 1, 2$, though best performed by computer.¹

Now consider the natural composition map from $B(\mathcal{B}, i) \otimes_{A(i)} B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ to $A(\mathcal{B})$. It is clear that its image sits inside $J^{(i)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ (by the cut length property, cf first part of Lemma 5.20). It is then a simple exercise, which is left to the reader, to show that any element of $\mathcal{B}^{(i)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ can be written as a product of a basis element of $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ and a basis element of $B(i, \mathcal{B})$. For $i = 1$, note that the way $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ was presented in Proposition 6.11 forms a square array such that the diagram at location (i, j) is the product of the i^{th} basis element of $B(\mathcal{B}, 1)$ times the j^{th} basis element of $B(1, \mathcal{B})$ as provided in §6.1.

Finally, we analyse the representation content of $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ as a bimodule (and similarly for $B(i, \mathcal{B})$). We work over the fraction field once more, though for simplicity of notation we omit the subscript “loc” used elsewhere, which is implicit in the rest of this proof.

¹This is in contradistinction with the analysis that was performed for $A(\mathcal{B})$ itself (and more precisely, $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$, cf the proof of Proposition 6.5), which involved some nontrivial overlap between various equations derived from those rules.

The actions of $A(\mathcal{B})$ and $A(i)$ are commutants of each other, because $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ possesses a cyclic element for both actions (e.g., for $i = 2$, pick ); $A(i)$ being commutative

for $i \leq 2$, this implies that $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ contains each irreducible representation of $A(\mathcal{B})$ at most once. Conversely, because of the surjectivity of the composition map above to $J^{(i)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$, it must contain at least once each irreducible representation contained in the latter. These two constraints combined with the known dimension of $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ determine uniquely its content, namely $B(\mathcal{B}, i)$ contains exactly the irreducible representation of Irr^i , defined in (5.16), once for $i = 0, 1$, and $B(\mathcal{B}, 2)$ contains exactly each irreducible representation of $Irr^{\leq 2} = \bigcup_{j=0}^2 Irr^j$ once; more precisely, one can write for the latter

$$B(2, \mathcal{B}) \cong \bigoplus_{R \in Irr^{\leq 2}} V_{2,R} \otimes V_{\mathcal{B},R}$$

as a $A(2)$ - $A(\mathcal{B})$ bimodule, where $V_{2,R}$ is the $A(2)$ -module given by the eigenvalues in (5.33), and $V_{\mathcal{B},R}$ is the $A(\mathcal{B})$ -module defined in Lemma 6.14; and similarly for $B(\mathcal{B}, 2)$.

This is easily seen to imply injectivity of the composition map. \square

6.5. The braiding of $A(\mathcal{B})$. Define

$$(6.47) \quad S_i = \frac{[2n][3n]^2}{[n+1][6n]^2} (S_{H^2} H_i^2 + S_H H_i + S_I + S_K K_i + S_U U_i) \quad i = 1, 2$$

where the coefficients are given in (5.11)–(5.13). Note that the image of S_i in $\hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$ reproduces (6.15).

Proposition 6.18. *The S_i satisfy*

$$(6.48) \quad 0 = S_1 S_2 S_1 - S_2 S_1 S_2$$

$$(6.49) \quad 0 = (S_i + 1)(S_i - q^{-2})(S_i - q^2 p^2)(S_i + p^6)(S_i - p^{12}) \quad i = 1, 2$$

Proof. We check the relations explicitly in the various representations of Lemma 6.14. The expressions of S_1 and S_2 in the first six is given in (6.19)–(6.24); the remaining ones are:

$$(6.50) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, X_2}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -q^{-1} & q^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ q & (p-1)(p+1) & q^2 p^2 & 0 \\ 1 & -q^{-1} p^2 (p^2 - 1 + p^{-2}) & q p^4 (p^2 - 1 + p^{-2}) & -p^6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.51) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ q^{-1} & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & q^{-1} p^2 (p^2 - q p^{-1}) (p^2 + q p^{-1}) & -p^6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.52) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2^*}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q^2 p^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -q p & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -q^{-1} p^3 (q p^2 - p^{-2}) (q p^2 + p^{-2}) & -p^6 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.53) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, L}(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q^{-1} p^3 & -p^6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & p^3 (q - q^{-1}) & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ q p^{-3} & -p^4 - q^2 + 1 & q p (p^4 - 1 + p^{-4}) & q^2 p^2 & 0 \\ q^2 & -p^5 q (q - q^{-1}) (q^{-1} p^2 + q p^{-2}) & q p^6 (q^{-1} p^2 + q p^{-2}) (p^4 - 1 + p^{-4}) & p^7 q (q - q^{-1}) (q^{-1} p^2 + q p^{-2}) & p^{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6.54) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{B}, I}(S_1) = (-p^6)$$

and S_2 is mapped to the same matrices rotated 180 degrees. $\rho_{\beta,L}(S_1)$ was already given in (5.15); furthermore, all the matrices above satisfy the same properties given after (5.15), namely they have entries in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{p}^{\pm 1}, \mathfrak{q}^{\pm 1}]$, they are lower triangular, their inverses are their conjugate under (4.19), and $\rho_{\beta,R}(S_1 S_2 S_1)$ is antidiagonal with constant entries on the antidiagonal. \square

Remark 6.19. *Let us go back to the generic case, i.e., work in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$. Note that $A(\beta)_{\text{loc}}$ is generated by S_1, S_2 ; it is therefore according to (6.48) a quotient of the three-string braid group algebra by the quintic relation (6.49). The latter is an algebra of dimension 600; this means that the relations of Proposition 6.18 are not all the defining relations of $A(\beta)_{\text{loc}}$. (Compare with Remark 6.8.) To generate the whole ideal of relations of $A(\beta)_{\text{loc}}$, one needs one more relation of degree 7, which is too complicated to write down explicitly.*

6.6. Gram determinant. We conclude this section by giving without proof the determinant of the Gram matrix of the basis $\mathcal{B}(\beta, \beta)$, obtained by computer:


$$(6.55) \quad \frac{[4n]^{80} [6n]^{361} [4n+2]^5 [6n+2]^{75} [6n+4]^{10} [4n+1]^{65} [5n]^{75} [6n+3]^{45} [5n+1]^{15} [6n+1]^{80} [6n+5]}{[n]^{175} [n+1]^{60} [2n]^{267} [3n]^{286} [3n+1]^{70} [3n+2]^{10} [2n+1]^{50}} \frac{[2n-2]^5 [3n-3]^{45} [2n-4]^{10}}{[n-1]^{50}} [5n-1]^{80} [4n-1]^{15} [3n-1]^{65} \frac{[4n-2]^{75}}{[2n-1]^{70}} [n-5]$$

The factors in red will be discussed below.

Remark 6.20. *One could prove an analogue of Proposition 5.28, namely, that $A(\beta)$ is an interpolating algebra. However, we shall not need this result in what follows, and so we shall skip it. See however the closely related Theorem 7.1 in the next section.*

7. THE TRUNCATED CATEGORY AND THE DILUTE CATEGORY

7.1. The truncated category and its functors. We are now in a position to define $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$, as advertised in the introduction. Its objects are $0, 1, 2, \beta$. With the usual identification of morphisms with diagrams: firstly, the endomorphism algebras $\text{End}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(k)$ are the algebras $A(k)$, which are:

- $A(0) \cong \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$, where we identify the unit with the empty diagram.
- $A(1) \cong \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}}$, where we identify the unit with the trivial diagram  .
- $A(2)$ was defined in §5, cf Definition 5.10 and Proposition 5.23.
- $A(\beta)$ was defined in §6, cf Definitions 6.9 and 6.12.

The $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(r, s)$, $r \neq s$, are the bimodules $B(r, s)$, which are:

- $B(0, 1) = B(1, 0) = 0$.
- $B(i, 2)$ and $B(2, i)$, $i = 0, 1$ were defined in §5.4.2.
- Finally, $B(i, \beta)$ and $B(\beta, i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, were defined in §6.1, see Proposition 6.1 and 6.17 for their bimodule structure.

Let \mathcal{I} be the tensor ideal generated by the various relations that we have imposed on our diagrammatic algebra $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}} \mathcal{R}$, and which are summarised for the reader's convenience in Appendix A. The construction of $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$ was based on imposing these relations; this means that we have a functor Φ from $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$ to $\mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}} \mathcal{R} / \mathcal{I}$. As discussed in §4.6, we also have a full functor Ψ_C from $\mathbb{k}_q \mathcal{R}$ to $\text{Inv}(L_C)$ for each C in our list \mathcal{C} of 10 points of the exceptional series, which factors through $\mathbb{k}_q \mathcal{R} / \mathcal{I}$ because the relations of \mathcal{I} interpolate those of the exceptional series; we still call the resulting full functor Ψ_C .

It is natural to conjecture that Φ is also a full functor, and that it makes $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$ a full subcategory of $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$. Such conjectures are typically very difficult to prove. More generally, one may hope that the full interpolating category \mathcal{L} is nothing but $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$; if this were true, one could drastically simplify the constructions of the present paper, but such a statement is beyond its scope, as discussed in the Introduction.

We prove here a more modest result. Let us denote Ξ_C the composition of Φ , of the base change $\theta_C : \mathbb{k}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$, and of Ψ_C .

Theorem 7.1. *For each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, Ξ_C is a full functor from $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$ to $\text{Inv}(L_C)$.*

Proof. Under the functors Ψ_C , θ_C and Φ , the trace operations defined in Definition 3.8 on the various diagram categories are mapped to each other. In turn, this means that the inner product of the images of morphisms under Ξ_C can be computed inside $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$; the determinants of the Gram matrices of the bases of $A(2)$, $B(2, 3)$, $A(3)$ have been computed in (5.39), (6.2), (6.55), respectively. Because the $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -modules $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(i, j)$ are isomorphic to each other as long as $i + j$ is kept constant, this covers all the cases $i + j = 4, 5, 6$; the cases $i + j \leq 3$ are trivial and left as an exercise.

The rank of the Gram matrix is the rank of the image of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(i, j)$ inside $\text{Hom}_{\text{Inv}(L_C)}(i, j)$ as a free $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ -module. A simple linear algebra lemma states that the order of the zero of the determinant of the Gram matrix is an upper bound for the corank of the Gram matrix. Here, we need a slight refinement (because $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ is not a field), so we go through the proof. Write G for the Gram matrix, G_0 for its specialisation at $n = a/b$ corresponding to $C \in \mathcal{C}$, and $G = G_0 + xG_1$ where $x = [bn - a]$. One has:

$$(7.1) \quad \det G = \det(G_0 + xG_1) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\} \\ J \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\} \\ |I| = |J|}} (-1)^{\sum_{i \in I} i + \sum_{j \in J} j} x^{|I|} \det(G_0)_{\bar{I}, \bar{J}} \det(G_1)_{I, J}$$

where \bar{I} , \bar{J} denote the complements of I , J , and d is the size of G .

If the rank of G is r , then all minors of size $> r$ vanish, which means $\det G$ has a zero of order at least $d - r$.

For reference, we show now the ranks of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Inv}(L_C)}(i, j)$ for $k = i + j \leq 6$; marked in red are the places where the rank differs from the generic rank d , i.e., that of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(i, j)$:

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{array}{c|c|cccccc} \text{C} & n \backslash k & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline \text{generic} & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 \\ \hline \emptyset & 1/5 & 1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ OSp_{1|2} & 1/4 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & \mathbf{65} \\ A_1 & 1/3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{6} & \mathbf{15} \\ A_2 & 1/2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & \mathbf{75} \\ G_2 & 2/3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 \\ D_4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 \\ F_4 & 3/2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 \\ E_6 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 \\ E_7 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & 80 \\ E_8 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 16 & \mathbf{79} \end{array}$$

The content of this table can for example be extracted from the data of [CdM96], except for the $OSp_{1|2}$ row; for the latter we refer to Proposition 4.7 whose isomorphism to $U_{q'}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ implies that the $OSp_{1|2}$ row is nothing but the series of dimensions of invariant subspaces of tensor powers of the five-dimensional irreducible representation of $U_{q'}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, which can be easily computed.

For $i + j = 4$, according to (5.39), the Gram matrix has full rank 5 for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$ except for the two cases $C = \emptyset$, $C = A_1$, corresponding to the factors marked in red, where it is bounded from below by 0 and 3 respectively. Comparing with the table above, we find that these bounds must be saturated, so the rank of the image is the rank of the target space. In particular, this implies that over the fraction field $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/s_C})$, the map of morphisms is surjective. The exact same reasoning works for $i + j = 5$ (6.2) and $i + j = 6$ (6.55): in each case, the factors in red provide us with the order of the zero of the determinant of the Gram matrix, thus providing a lower bound for the rank of the Gram matrix, which turns out to be saturated.

In order to conclude over $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$, pick an $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ -basis of the target space as a free $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ -module. Then the image of the d basis diagrams can be expressed as a linear combination of them; encode this into an $d \times r$ matrix P . $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$ is a PID, so without loss of generality, we may assume that P takes the Smith normal form

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \alpha_r \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now rewrite the Gram matrix as $G_0 = P^t G'_0 P$ where G'_0 is the Gram matrix of the chosen basis. By construction G_0 is zero outside the top left $r \times r$ square, so all its $r \times r$ minors are zero except (possibly) the one involving the first r rows and columns. Referring to (7.1), this means that the coefficient of x^{n-r} is up to a sign that minor times $\det(G_1)_{I,J}$, where $I = J = \{r + 1, \dots, d\}$. More explicitly that coefficient is

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \alpha_i^2 \det G'_0 \det(G_1)_{I,J}$$

Now by inspection of the explicit expression of the determinant of the Gram matrix, it is an invertible element of $\mathbb{k}_{q^{1/s_C}}$. Therefore all its divisors are, which means the α_i are invertible. This proves surjectivity.

We conclude that Ξ_C is a full functor. □

7.2. The dilute category. We introduce a notion of “dilute” category attached to each of our diagrammatic categories.

Given a linear category \mathcal{D} whose objects are elements of \mathbb{N} , we define a new linear category \mathcal{D}^\square whose objects are the same as those of \mathcal{D} ; the morphisms are

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^\square}(k, l) = \bigoplus_{\substack{a \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \\ b \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^\square'}(a, b)$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^\square'}(a, b)$ is simply a copy of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(|a|, |b|)$; we denote $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a, b}$ the isomorphism from the latter to the former.

The composition rule is

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a_1, b_1}(u) \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a_2, b_2}(v) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a_1, b_2}(u \circ v) & b_1 = a_2 \\ 0 & b_1 \neq a_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} a_1 \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \\ a_2, b_1 \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\} \\ b_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \end{array}$$

The identity morphisms are $\mathrm{id}_k^\square := \sum_{a \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a, a}(\mathrm{id}_{|a|})$.

If \mathcal{D} is monoidal, so is \mathcal{D}^\square , where

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a_1, b_1}(u) \otimes \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a_2, b_2}(v) = \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, a_1 \cup (a_2 + k_1), b_1 \cup (b_2 + l_1)}(u \otimes v) \quad \begin{array}{l} a_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, k_i\} \\ b_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, l_i\} \end{array}$$

If \mathcal{D} is braided (resp. ribbon), so is \mathcal{D}^\square , where

$$(7.3) \quad S^\square = S + \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, \{1\}, \{2\}}(\mathrm{id}_1) + \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, \{2\}, \{1\}}(\mathrm{id}_1) + \varphi_{\mathcal{D}, \emptyset, \emptyset}(\mathrm{id}_0)$$

There is a faithful functor from \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{D}^\square which acts as the identity on objects and $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}, \{1, \dots, k\}, \{1, \dots, l\}}$ on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(k, l)$.


Whenever we have a functor \mathcal{F} between two such categories \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 , we have a corresponding functor

$$\mathcal{F}^\square(\varphi_{\mathcal{D}_1, a, b}(u)) = \varphi_{\mathcal{D}_2, a, b}(\mathcal{F}(u))$$

between \mathcal{D}_1^\square and \mathcal{D}_2^\square such that $(\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})^\square = \mathcal{F}^\square \circ \mathcal{G}^\square$.


Remark 7.2. *We could have defined the category $\mathcal{D}^{\square'}$, whose objects are subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ for any k , and whose morphisms are the $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{\square'}}(a, b)$ introduced above; however, this definition is neither necessary nor convenient for our purposes.*

The category \mathcal{P}^\square has a natural interpretation in terms of diagrams which are identical to the ones defined in §3.1, with the added possibility of marks along either boundary:



The points of contact of the (red) lines with the boundary correspond to the elements of the subset $a \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, whereas the new marks correspond to its complement, reading the

boundary top to bottom; for instance,



belongs to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}^\square}(4, 3)$, and more precisely to the summand indexed by subsets $a = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $b = \{1, 3\}$.

The composition rule simply means that locations of marks should agree on the common boundary when concatenating, in which case these marks are erased; otherwise the result is zero.

Also, the dilute braiding (7.3) is nothing but

$$S^\square = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Crossing]} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Diagonal]} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Anti-diagonal]} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Empty]} \\ \hline \end{array}$$


The same interpretation applies to our other categories, namely \mathcal{R}^\square and $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}^\square$; the latter will be discussed in detail in the next section.

The set of objects of the category $\text{Inv}(L_C)$, namely modules $L_C^{\otimes k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is in natural bijection with \mathbb{N} , and therefore $\text{Inv}(L_C)$ has a dilute counterpart $\text{Inv}(L_C)^\square$ as well, which is the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathbb{k}_q^{1/s_C}}(C)\text{-mod}$ whose objects are the modules $(L_C \oplus I_C)^{\otimes k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. An immediate corollary of Theorem 7.1 is

Corollary 7.3. *For each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, Ξ_C^\square is a full functor from $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}^\square$ to $\text{Inv}(L_C)^\square$.*

7.3. The dilute truncated category. We provide for illustration a few bases of $B^\square(r, s) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}^\square}(r, s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} B^\square(0, 1) &= \mathbb{k}_{p,q}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Empty]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \\ B^\square(1, 1) &= \mathbb{k}_{p,q}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Horizontal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Empty]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \\ B^\square(1, 2) &= \mathbb{k}_{p,q}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Y-junction]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Anti-Y-junction]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Diagonal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Anti-diagonal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Empty]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \\ B^\square(2, 2) &= \mathbb{k}_{p,q}\text{-span of } \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Two Horizontal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Two Arcs]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Y-junction]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Anti-Y-junction]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Empty]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \\ &\quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Diagonal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Anti-diagonal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Horizontal]} \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{[Y-junction]} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$



The representation content of these algebras and bimodules is easy to obtain from that of the nondilute one: they are related by the binomial transform

$$V_{k,R}^\square = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} V_{j,R}$$

where $V_{k,R}$ (resp. $V_{k,R}^\square$) is the space of the irreducible representation of $A(k)$ (resp. $A^\square(k)$) indexed by R .

In terms of dimensions, we have

	$k \backslash R$	I	L	X_2	Y_2	Y_2^*	X_3	Y_3	Y_3^*	A	C	C^*
$\dim V_{n,R}$	0	1										
	1	0	1									
	2	1	1	1	1	1						
	3	1	5	4	3	3		1	1	13	2	2
$\dim V_{n,R}^\square$	0	1										
	1	1	1									
	2	2	3	1	1	1						
	3	5	11	7	6	6	1	1	1	3	2	2

The rank of $B^\square(r, s)$ as a free $\mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ -module is $\sum_R \dim V_{r,R}^\square \dim V_{s,R}^\square$. As expected, it only depends on $r + s$ and forms the sequence $(1, 1, 2, 5, 16, 62, 287)$ for $r + s = 0, \dots, 6$.

The category $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}^\square$ possesses an increasing sequence of ideals $J^{\square(i)}$ given by cut length, cf §5.3. The rank of $J^{\square(i)}(r, s) / J^{\square(i-1)}(r, s)$ is $\sum_{R \in Irr^i} \dim V_{r,R}^\square \dim V_{s,R}^\square$. In particular, for the algebras $A^\square(k) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}^\square}(k)$, we find:

$k \backslash i$	0	1	2	3
0	1			
1	1	1		
2	4	9	3	
3	25	121	121	20

As is obvious from the diagrams, the top part of $A^\square(k)$ is the same algebra $\hat{A}(k)$ as in $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$.

8. THE YANG–BAXTER EQUATION

The Yang–Baxter equation originated in the study of integrable models in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory; an expository article is [Jim89]. Solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation are constructed using the representation theory of the affine quantum enveloping algebras. Let C be a finite type Cartan matrix and let $C^{(1)}$ be the corresponding affine Cartan matrix. The affine algebra is the Hopf algebra $U_q(C^{(1)})$ discussed in Section 4.1. The algebra $U_q(C^{(1)})$ has a universal R -matrix which gives rise to solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation just as the universal R -matrix for $U_q(C)$ gives solutions to the braid relation, for an exposition, see [CP91, Chapter 12]. However, there are differences: the category of finite dimensional representations of $U_q(C^{(1)})$ is not semisimple. Also, a finite dimensional

representation has both a left and right dual since $U_q(C^{(1)})$ is a Hopf algebra but these need not be isomorphic. This implies that the representation category is not braided. A further difference is that $U_q(C^{(1)})$ has a one-parameter group of automorphisms and this is the origin of the spectral parameter. For V a finite dimensional representation of $U_q(C^{(1)})$ there is an R -matrix, $R \in \text{End}(\otimes^2 V)$. This vector space has dimension $\dim(V)^4$ and so, with very few exceptions, it is not practical to present this explicitly as a matrix. A more practical approach is to consider the R -matrix as an element of the centraliser algebra $\text{End}_{U_q(C)}(\otimes^2 V)$. There is a general method for obtaining an explicit description of an R -matrix in this sense which applies to representations with the property that V is irreducible as a $U_q(C)$ -module, see [DGZ94]. The adjoint representation does not have this property, except in type A .

In this section we introduce additional variables u, v as in §4.5. We extend the notation of Section 4.3 and define

$$[a_n \mathbf{n} + a_x \mathbf{x} + a_y \mathbf{y} + a] := \frac{\mathbf{p}^{a_n} \mathbf{u}^{a_x} \mathbf{v}^{a_y} \mathbf{q}^a - \mathbf{p}^{-a_n} \mathbf{u}^{-a_x} \mathbf{v}^{-a_y} \mathbf{q}^{-a}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}}$$

for $a_n, a_x, a_y, a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Heuristically, $\mathbf{u} = q^x$ and $\mathbf{v} = q^y$.

The ring $A_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q})$ is defined to be the subring generated by $\mathbf{p}^\pm, \mathbf{u}^\pm, \mathbf{v}^\pm, \mathbf{q}^\pm$ and $[a_n \mathbf{n} + a_x \mathbf{x} + a_y \mathbf{y} + a]$ for $a_n, a_x, a_y, a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The homomorphism $\psi: A_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is defined by $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q} \mapsto 1$ and

$$(8.1) \quad [a_n \mathbf{n} + a_x \mathbf{x} + a_y \mathbf{y} + a] \mapsto (a_n \mathbf{n} + a_x \mathbf{x} + a_y \mathbf{y} + a) \text{ for } a_n, a_x, a_y, a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$A_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}}$ possesses subrings $A_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{u}}$ and $A_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ corresponding respectively to elements with no dependence on \mathbf{v} , or \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} .

Finally, we allow some localisation: we define $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}$ to be the subring of $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q})$ containing $A_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{q}}$, $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ (cf §4.4), and the inverses of elements of the form $[\mathbf{x} + k \mathbf{n}]$, $[\mathbf{y} + k \mathbf{n}]$ and $[\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} + k \mathbf{n}]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. It contains obvious subrings $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$.


8.1. The change of normalisation. We come back to the issue of normalisation of ϕ and τ ; the latter was fixed in (5.3), and we now discuss how to relax this normalisation condition.

Given an invertible element $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}^\times$, consider the following map from $B(r, s)$ to $B(r, s)$ viewed as a free $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ -module with basis of diagrams D :

$$D \in B(r, s) \mapsto \alpha^{(-|D|+r-s)/2} D$$

where $|D|$ denotes the number of trivalent vertices of D , and we have used the easy lemma that $|D| \equiv r - s \pmod{2}$.

In particular, note the action on the generators:




Put together, these maps define an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}(\alpha)$, where the latter differs from $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}$ only in that:

- All the parameters stay the same (e.g., $\delta =$ ) is manifestly invariant) except ϕ and τ take their general form

$$\phi = \alpha \frac{[3n] [6n+2] [4n-2]}{[3n+1] [2n-1]}$$

$$\tau = \alpha \frac{[4n]}{[2n]} \left(\frac{[6n+2] [4n-2] [3n]}{[3n+1] [2n-1] [n]} + (q - q^{-1})^2 [n+1] \frac{[5n]}{[n]} \right)$$

To see this, apply the map above to the relation $\phi \delta =$ , and similarly

for τ .

- All the relations of its algebras and bimodules are transformed in the obvious way: given a relation $r = \sum_{i=1}^k \kappa_i D_i \in B(r, s)$ where the $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{k}_{p,q}$ and the D_i are diagrams, replace it with $r(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^k \kappa_i \alpha^{(-|D_i|+r-s)/2} D_i$.

The same argument works for the corresponding dilute categories, where diagrams are rescaled by a factor of $\alpha^{(-|D|+r-s)/2} D$ where r (resp. s) is now the number of left (resp. right) external legs.

In this section, we shall use a different (and perhaps less natural) value of α than in the rest of the paper, where it was set to 1; namely, we shall work in $\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}(1/[n+1])$. For instance, the skein relation (5.8) now takes the form

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{[6n]}{[3n][2n]} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} \right) =$$

$$- (q - q^{-1})^3 [n+1] \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} \right)$$

This convention will also make the expression of the R -matrix more symmetric.


8.2. The R -matrix. We define the R -matrix, denoted $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ by:

$$(8.3) \quad \check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{[x+n+1] [x-1]} \left([n+1] - \frac{p^{-1}u^{-1}S^\square - puS^{\square-1}}{q - q^{-1}} [x] \right.$$


$$+ \frac{[2n] [3n] [n+1] [x]}{[6n] [x+2n]} \left(-[n]K + T - \frac{1}{[n]}B - \frac{[2x]}{[x] [x+3n]}E \right.$$

$$\left. \left. + \frac{1}{[n]} \left(\frac{[6n] [x+2n]}{[3n] [x+3n]} - 1 \right) U - \frac{1}{[n]} \left(\frac{[2x+12n]}{[x+6n] [x+3n]} + 2 \right) O \right) \right)$$

where



and we also recall from §7.2



We claim that $\check{R}(u) \in A^\square(2) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_{p,q}} \mathbb{k}_{p,q,u}$. As defined in (8.3), $\check{R}(u)$ naively only makes sense after tensoring with the fraction field. Most coefficients obviously live in $\mathbb{k}_{p,q,u}$, except the part $\frac{p^{-1}u^{-1}S^\square - puS^{\square-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$ which requires discussion. First, the “dilute” parts of S^\square and $S^{\square-1}$ are identical and will contribute a coefficient of $[x + n]$, so we can simplify this expression to $\frac{p^{-1}u^{-1}S - puS^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$. Now write

$$\frac{p^{-1}u^{-1}S - puS^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{p^{-1}u^{-1} - pu}{q - q^{-1}}S^{-1} + \frac{p^{-1}u^{-1}(S - S^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}}$$

and apply the skein relation (8.2).

For future purposes, we note that the combinations of diagrams in (8.3) are not algebraically independent; for example, we have

$$(8.4) \quad T^2 = 2K + \phi B$$

$$(8.5) \quad E^2 = U + \delta O$$

$$(8.6) \quad E^2 S^\square = p^{12}U + \delta O$$

8.3. Main theorem. Define $\check{R}_1(u) = \check{R}(u) \otimes \text{id}_1^\square$, $\check{R}_2(u) = \text{id}_1^\square \otimes \check{R}(u)$.

Theorem 8.1. *The R -matrix (8.3) satisfies the following identities:*

- *Special values:*

$$(8.7) \quad \lim_{\mathbf{u} \rightarrow 0} \check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = S^\square$$

$$(8.8) \quad \check{R}(1) = -1$$

$$(8.9) \quad \lim_{\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \infty} \check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = S^{\square-1}$$

- *Invariance under bar involution:*

$$(8.10) \quad \overline{\check{R}(\mathbf{u})} = \check{R}(\mathbf{u})$$

- *The unitarity equation:*

$$(8.11) \quad \check{R}(\mathbf{u})\check{R}(\mathbf{u}^{-1}) = 1$$

- *The Yang–Baxter equation:*

$$(8.12) \quad \check{R}_1(\mathbf{u})\check{R}_2(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})\check{R}_1(\mathbf{v}) = \check{R}_2(\mathbf{v})\check{R}_1(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})\check{R}_2(\mathbf{u})$$

- *The crossing symmetry:*

$$(8.13) \quad \text{Rot}(\check{R}(\mathbf{u})) = \frac{[x] [n+x] [3n+x+1] [2n+x-1]}{[n+x+1] [x-1] [2n+x] [3n+x]} \check{R}(\mathbf{p}^{-3}\mathbf{u}^{-1})$$

The proof of the equalities (8.7)–(8.10) is elementary and left as an exercise.

In what follows, we provide proofs of the remaining identities of Theorem 8.1.

Remark 8.2. *Applying the homomorphism ψ in (8.1) to $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ results in the rational R -matrix $\check{R}(x)$, which was anticipated in [Wes03b], and which interpolates the R -matrices associated to Yangians of exceptional Lie algebras that appear in [CP91].*

8.4. Proof of the Yang–Baxter equation for the top part. In this section, we show how one can prove the Yang–Baxter equation (8.12) directly, though we only give the details for the top part $A^\square(\mathcal{B})/J^{\square(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) \cong \hat{A}(\mathcal{B})$. The R -matrix simplifies there to

$$\check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{[x+n+1][x-1]} \left([n+1] - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{-1}\mathbf{u}^{-1}S - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{u}S^{-1}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}}[x] \right)$$

For practical purposes, one can get rid of the denominator before substituting this expression into (8.12). Computing the difference between the l.h.s. and the r.h.s. produces a Laurent polynomial in \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , all the coefficients of which must vanish. By direct calculation, one

finds that these coefficients give rise to 8 linearly independent equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= S_1 S_2 S_1 - S_2 S_1 S_2 \\
0 &= S_2 S_1 S_2^{-1} - S_1^{-1} S_2 S_1 \\
0 &= S_2 S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1} - S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1} S_1 \\
0 &= S_1 S_2 S_1^{-1} - S_2^{-1} S_1 S_2 \\
0 &= S_1 S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} - S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} S_2 \\
0 &= S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} - S_2^{-1} S_1^{-1} S_2^{-1} \\
0 &= S_1^{-2} (S_1 + s s^*) (S_1 + 1) (S_1 - s) (S_1 - s^*) - S_2^{-2} (S_2 + s s^*) (S_2 + 1) (S_2 - s) (S_2 - s^*) \\
0 &= s s^* (S_2 S_1^{-1} S_2 - S_1 S_2^{-1} S_1) + (s s^*)^2 (S_1^{-1} S_2 S_1^{-1} - S_2^{-1} S_1 S_2^{-1}) \\
&\quad + s(s-1)s^*(s^*-1)(S_2 S_1^{-1} + S_1^{-1} S_2 - S_2^{-1} S_1 - S_1 S_2^{-1}) \\
&\quad + (s-1)(s^*-1)(S_2^2 - S_1^2) + (s-1)^2 (s^*-1)^2 (S_2 - S_1)
\end{aligned}$$

where for compactness we have written $s = \mathbf{q}^{-2}$, $s^* = \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}^2$. The first 6 equations are equivalent to the braid relation (6.16). The seventh equation is a direct consequence of the cubic relation (6.17) satisfied by S_1 and S_2 . Finally, substituting $S_i^{-1} = -1 + s^{-1} + s^{*-1} + (s^{-1} + s^{*-1} + (s s^*)^{-1}) S_i - (s s^*)^{-1} S_i^2$ (from the cubic relation again) in the last equation results in

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_1^2 S_2 S_1^2 - S_2^2 S_1 S_2^2 + (s^* + s - 1)(S_2 S_1 S_2^2 + S_2^2 S_1 S_2 - S_1^2 S_2 S_1 - S_1 S_2 S_1^2) + S_1 S_2^2 S_1 - S_2 S_1^2 S_2 \\
&+ (s^* + s - 2)(s^* + s - 1)(S_1 S_2 S_1 - S_2 S_1 S_2) - S_1^2 S_2 + S_1 S_2^2 - S_2 S_1^2 + S_2^2 S_1 - S_1^2 + S_2^2 - S_1 + S_2
\end{aligned}$$

which up to the braid relation (6.16) is nothing but the additional relation (6.18) satisfied by S_1 and S_2 .

In principle, one can use the same strategy to prove the Yang–Baxter equation in the whole of $A^\square(\mathcal{B})$, either by using a noncommutative Gröbner basis as in the proof of Proposition 6.11, or in fact by simple application of the diagrammatic rules of Appendix A – as has been noted before, only the top part involves nontrivial overlap, so once the top part has been shown to be zero using the reasoning above, the remaining expression in $J^{\square(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ is amenable to direct simplification using diagrammatic substitutions. We have successfully implemented both methods by computer, but neither proofs are human-readable.

Instead, we propose in what follows a proof of both unitarity equation (8.11) and Yang–Baxter equation (8.12) by going over to representations, in the same spirit as some of the proofs of §6.


8.5. Proof of unitarity. We refer to §7.3 for the representation content of $A^\square(2)$.

The top representations are one-dimensional, sending S to -1 , q^{-2} , q^2p^2 , respectively, and all other generators in (8.3) to 0. Explicitly, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{2,X_2}^\square(\check{R}(\mathbf{u})) &= -1 \\ \rho_{2,Y_2}^\square(\check{R}(\mathbf{u})) &= \frac{[x+1]}{[x-1]} \\ \rho_{2,Y_2^*}^\square(\check{R}(\mathbf{u})) &= \frac{[x-n-1]}{[x+n+1]}\end{aligned}$$

which obviously satisfies (8.11).

There is a single cut length 1 representation, of dimension 3, which one can think of as the action on the top part of $B^\square(2, 1)$, which is spanned by



In this basis, the matrices of the generators occurring in (8.3) are


$$\rho_{2,L}^\square(S^\square) = \begin{pmatrix} -p^6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{2,L}^\square(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \phi & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{2,L}^\square(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \rho_{2,L}^\square(K) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

all other matrices being zero.

It is natural to perform the change of basis $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ to separate the trivial eigenvector of all these matrices; then

$$A\rho_{2,L}^\square(\check{R}(\mathbf{u}))A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{[n-x+1][x+1][2n-x]}{[n+x+1][x-1][2n+x]} \left(1 - \frac{2[6n-2x][2n][3n][n+1]}{[n-x+1][x+1][2n-x][3n-x][6n]}\right) & \frac{[2n][3n][x][n+1]}{[n+x+1][x-1][6n][2n+x]} & 0 \\ \frac{2[6n+2][4n-2][2n][3n]^2[x]}{[3n+1][2n-1][n+x+1][x-1][6n][2n+x][n]} & 1 + \frac{2[6n+2x][2n][3n][n+1]}{[n+x+1][x-1][6n][2n+x][3n+x]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

There is a single cut length 0 representation, of dimension 2, which one can think of as the action on $B^\square(2, 0)$, which is spanned by



The corresponding matrices are

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{2,I}^\square(S^\square) &= \begin{pmatrix} p^{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \rho_{2,I}^\square(H) &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \rho_{2,I}^\square(E) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \rho_{2,I}^\square(U) &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \rho_{2,I}^\square(O) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

all other matrices being zero.

Computing, one finds:

$$\rho_{2,I}^\square(\check{R}(\mathbf{u})) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{[n-x+1][x+1][2n-x][3n-x]}{[n+x+1][x-1][2n+x][3n+x]} \left(1 - \frac{[n+1][2n][3n][2x][6n-x]}{[6n][n-x+1][x+1][2n-x][3n-x][x]}\right) & -\frac{[2n][3n][2x][n+1]}{[n+x+1][x-1][6n][2n+x][3n+x]} \\ -\frac{[4n][6n+1][5n-1][3n][2x]}{[n+x+1][x-1][6n][2n+x][3n+x]} & 1 + \frac{[n+1][2n][3n][2x][6n+x]}{[6n][n+x+1][x-1][2n+x][3n+x][x]} \end{pmatrix}$$

In both representations above, one can check by direct calculation that the unitarity equation (8.11) is satisfied.

As a byproduct, we've found an alternative description (in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{u})$) of the R -matrix. Recall the idempotents π_R of $A(\varrho)$ with $R \in Irr^{\leq 2} = \{I, L, X_2, Y_2, Y_2^*\}$, cf (5.17). Because the top part of $A^\square(\varrho)$ coincides with that of $A(\varrho)$, the idempotents π_R , $R \in Irr^2 = \{X_2, Y_2, Y_2^*\}$, are still central idempotents inside $A^\square(\varrho)$. Then

$$\check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = -\pi_{X_2} + \frac{[x+1]}{[x-1]}\pi_{Y_2} + \frac{[x-n-1]}{[x+n+1]}\pi_{Y_2^*} + \check{R}_L(\mathbf{u}) + \check{R}_I(\mathbf{u})$$

where the last two terms are obtained by multiplying $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ by the central idempotents corresponding to irreps $V_{2,L}^\square$ and $V_{2,I}^\square$ respectively; their matrix representations are given above.


8.6. Proof of Yang–Baxter equation. We now prove (8.12) in each representation of $A^\square(\varrho)$, cf. §7.3, grouping them by cut length as in (5.16).

8.6.1. *Cut length three.* This is the top part of $A^\square(\varrho)$, which is just $\hat{A}(\varrho)$. In these representations, all generators are sent to zero except S_1 and S_2 which are sent to (6.19)–(6.24). One can easily compute the image of l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (8.12) under such representations, and check that they are equal: in the same order of representations, one finds

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} (-1) \\ \left(\frac{[x+1]}{[x-1]} \frac{[y+1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[x+y+1]}{[x+y-1]} \right) \\ \left(\frac{[-n+x-1]}{[n+x+1]} \frac{[-n+y-1]}{[n+y+1]} \frac{[-n+x+y-1]}{[n+x+y+1]} \right) \\ \frac{[n+1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[-n+x-1]}{[n+y+1]} \frac{[x+1]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ \frac{[-n+x-1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[x+y-1]}{[n+x+y+1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ \frac{[-n+x-1]}{[n+x+1]} \frac{[y+1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[x+y-1]} \frac{[n+x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{[n+1]}{[n]} \frac{[2n]}{[n+y+1]} \frac{[x+1]}{[x+y-1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ \frac{[x+1]}{[x+y]} \frac{[-n+x+y]}{[-n+x+y+1]} \frac{[n+1]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ \frac{[x+y-1]}{[n+1]} \frac{[n+x+y+1]}{[2n]} \frac{[y+1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ \frac{[x+1]}{[x-1]} \frac{[-n+y-1]}{[n+y+1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[x+y-1]} \frac{[n+x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ \frac{[-n+y-1]}{[n+1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[x+y-1]} \frac{[n+x+y+1]}{[n+x+y+1]} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{[x+1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[x+y-1]}{[x+y]} \\ -\frac{[x+1]}{[y-1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[x+y-1]} \\ -\frac{[x+1]}{[x-1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[x+y-1]} \\ -\frac{[x+1]}{[x-1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[y+1]} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{[n+1]}{[n+y+1]} \frac{[-n+x-1]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ -\frac{[-n+y-1]}{[n+1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \\ -\frac{[-n+x-1]}{[n+x+1]} \frac{[x+y]}{[n+x+y+1]} \end{array} \right)$$

8.6.2. *Cut length two.* In this section we implicitly work over the fraction field in some intermediate steps, noting that this is harmless for the purpose of proving identities such as (8.12).

We can think of the cut length 2 representations as arising from the action of $A^\square(\varrho)$ on $B^\square(\varrho, 2)/J^{\square(1)}(\varrho, 2)$. Here's a basis of the latter:



The three representations can be obtained by multiplying on the right by π_R , $R \in Irr^2$, see (5.16). In fact, it is clear that in the second row we only need to consider the first in the list of three diagrams with the same location of the mark; i.e.,

$$(8.14) \quad V_{3,R}^\square = V_{3,R} \oplus \text{span} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \text{diagram 1} \\ \text{diagram 2} \\ \text{diagram 3} \end{array} \right\} \quad R \in Irr^2$$

The nondilute part $V_{3,R}$ has already been investigated as an $A(\beta)$ representation; in particular, the action of S_1 and S_2 is known, cf. (6.50)–(6.52). Let us recover these matrices diagrammatically.

First note that there is an easy eigenspace of S_1 , namely $\text{span} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram 1} \\ \text{diagram 2} \\ \text{diagram 3} \end{array} \right\}$,

with eigenvalue $-\mathfrak{p}^6$ (cf. Definition 5.1). This gives us an eigenvector for each $R \in Irr^2$, see (5.16):

$$\omega_R = \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \pi_R \end{array} \quad R \in Irr^2$$

In diagrammatic terms, the antidiagonal matrix P with 1s on the antidiagonal is interpreted as the operation of vertical mirror image of diagrams of $B^\square(\beta, \varrho)/J^{\square(1)}(\beta, \varrho)$. Therefore, we set

$$\alpha_R = P\omega_R = \begin{array}{c} \text{diagram} \\ \pi_R \end{array} \quad R \in Irr^2$$

which is an eigenvector of S_2 with eigenvalue $-\mathfrak{p}^6$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{Y_2} &= \mathfrak{q} S_1 \alpha_{Y_2} - \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \alpha_{Y_2} - \mathfrak{q} \omega_{Y_2} \\ \beta_{Y_2^*} &= -\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} S_1 \alpha_{Y_2^*} + \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{p} \alpha_{Y_2^*} + \mathfrak{q}^{-1} \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \omega_{Y_2^*} \end{aligned}$$

Then the bases $(\alpha_R, \beta_R, \omega_R)$, $R = Y_2, Y_2^*$, give rise to the matrices (6.51)–(6.52).

Finally, for the irrep X_2 , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{X_2} &= \mathfrak{q}^{-2} \mathfrak{p}^{-6} \frac{[2\mathfrak{n} - 1]}{(q - q^{-1}) [2] [4\mathfrak{n} - 2]} (S_1 + 1)(S_1 - \mathfrak{q}^{-2})(S_1 + \mathfrak{p}^6) \alpha_{X_2} - \frac{[\mathfrak{n}] [2\mathfrak{n} - 1] [6\mathfrak{n}]}{[2\mathfrak{n}] [3\mathfrak{n}] [4\mathfrak{n} - 2]} \omega_{X_2} \\ \beta_{X_2} &= P\gamma_{X_2} \end{aligned}$$

The basis $(\alpha_{X_2}, \beta_{X_2}, \gamma_{X_2}, \omega_{X_2})$ gives rise to the matrix (6.50).

We now write the matrices for the various generators appearing in the definition (8.3) of the R -matrix. For the second summand of (8.14), we shall use the diagrammatic basis – one could change bases so that S_1 is lower triangular in every block, but there is no particular

reason to do so. We find:

$$\rho_{3,X_2}(S_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ -q^{-1} & q^{-2} & 0 & 0 & & & \\ q & -(p-1)(p+1) & q^2 p^2 & 0 & & & \\ -1 & -q^{-1} p^2 (p^2 - 1 + p^{-2}) & -q p^4 (p^2 - 1 + p^{-2}) & -p^6 & & & \\ \hline & & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,X_2}(T_1) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline (q - q^{-1})^2 & \frac{[2n-2][6n]}{[n-1][n+1][2n]} & -\frac{[6n][6n+2]}{[n+1][2n][3n+1]} & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & \\ (q - q^{-1})^2 & \frac{[2n-2][6n]}{[n-1][n+1][2n]} & -\frac{[6n][6n+2]}{[n+1][2n][3n+1]} & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,X_2}(B_1) = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,X_2}(E_1) = 0$$

$$\rho_{3,Y_2}(S_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} q^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ q^{-1} & -1 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ 1 & q^{-1} p^2 (p^2 - q p^{-1}) (p^2 + q p^{-1}) & -p^6 & & & & \\ \hline & & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & q^{-2} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,Y_2}(T_1) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline -\frac{[4n-2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1]} & -\frac{[3n-1][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & & \\ -\frac{[4n-2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1]} & -\frac{[3n-1][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,Y_2}(B_1) = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,Y_2}(E_1) = 0$$


$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2^*}(S_1^\square) &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
q^2 p^2 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
-qp & -1 & 0 & & & 0 \\
1 & -q^{-1} p^3 (qp^2 - p^{-2}) (qp^2 + p^{-2}) & -p^6 & & & \\
\hline
& & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
& & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & & 0 & 0 & q^2 p^2
\end{array} \right) \\
\rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2^*}(T_1) &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
& & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & 0 \\
& & & & & 1 \\
\hline
\frac{[6n+2]}{[n][3n+1]} & \frac{[4n-2][4n+1][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & \\
\frac{[6n+2]}{[n][3n+1]} & \frac{[4n-2][4n+1][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & &
\end{array} \right) \\
\rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2^*}(B_1) &= \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
0 & 0 & & \\
\hline
1 & 1 & 0 & \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array} \right) \\
\rho_{\mathcal{B}, Y_2^*}(E_1) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

With the chosen bases, P is *block* antidiagonal, so that the generators S_2, T_2, B_2, E_2 , are obtained from S_1, T_1, B_1, E_1 , by rotation of each block by 180 degrees. The other generators, namely the K_i, U_i and O_i , can be derived from the algebraic relations (8.4)–(8.6).

It is now simple, in principle, to check (8.12) by substituting the matrices above and performing the matrix multiplications. In practice, a computer is still needed to check equality of left- and right-hand-sides.

Cut lengths 1 and 0 are very similar to cut length 2, and we skip the intermediate steps.

8.6.3. *Cut length one.* We can think of the cut length 1 representations as arising from the action of $A^\square(\mathcal{B})$ on $B^\square(\mathcal{B}, 1)/J^{(0)}(\mathcal{B}, 1)$. Here's a basis of the latter:



The matrices are then given by


$$\rho_{3,L}(S_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc|cc} q^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ q^{-1}p^3 & -p^6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ -1 & q^{-1}p^3(q-1)(q+1) & -1 & 0 & 0 & & & & 0 & 0 \\ qp^{-3} & -p(p^3+q^2p^{-1}-p^{-1}) & qp(p^4-1+p^{-4}) & q^2p^2 & 0 & & & & & \\ q^2 & -p^5(q-1)(q+1)(q^{-1}p^2+qp^{-2}) & qp^6(q^{-1}p^2+qp^{-2})(p^4-1+p^{-4}) & p^7(q-1)(q+1)(q^{-1}p^2+qp^{-2}) & p^{12} & & & & & \\ \hline & & 0 & & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & -p^6 \\ \hline & & 0 & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,L}(T_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & -\frac{[3n][4n-2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1]} & -\frac{[3n][4n-2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1]} & 0 & & & & & \\ & 0 & & \frac{[n][n+1][2n-1]}{[4n-2]} & \frac{[n][n+1][2n-1]}{[4n-2]} & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & -\frac{[2n][2n-2]-[n-1]^2}{[n-1][n][n+1]} & -\frac{[2n][2n-2]-[n-1]^2}{[n-1][n][n+1]} & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & -\frac{[4n-2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1]} & -\frac{[4n-2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1]} & 0 & & & & & \\ \hline 1 & -\frac{[6n+2]}{[3n+1]} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 1 & -\frac{[6n+2]}{[3n+1]} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 0 & \frac{[3n][4n-2][6n+2]}{[n][n+1][2n-1][3n+1]} & & & & & \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,L}(B_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,L}(E_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc} & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & & 0 \\ \hline 1 & -\frac{[4n-2][6n+1]}{[n+1][2n-1]} & \frac{[4n-2][5n][6n+1]}{[n+1][2n-1]} & \frac{[4n-2][4n][6n+1]}{[n+1][2n-1][2n]} & \frac{[4n][5n-1][6n+1]}{[n+1][2n]} & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \end{array} \right)$$

8.6.4. *Cut length zero.* Finally, we can think of the cut length 0 representations as arising from the action of $A^\square(\beta)$ on $B^\square(\beta, \theta)$. Here's a basis of the latter:



$$\rho_{3,I}(S_1^\square) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -p^6 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & p^{12} & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,I}(T_1) = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|c|c} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \phi & & & & \\ \phi & & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \\ \hline 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,I}(B_1) = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|c|c} 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ \hline & 1 & 1 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\rho_{3,I}(E_1) = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|c|c} 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

and the generators indexed 2 are obtained from those indexed 1 by rotation of each block by 180 degrees.

8.7. Proof of crossing symmetry. The crossing relation, (8.13), can be reformulated as follows. Define

$$\check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{[6\mathbf{n}]^2 [\mathbf{n}]}{[3\mathbf{n}]^2 [2\mathbf{n}]} [\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x} + 1] [\mathbf{x} - 1] [2\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}] [3\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}] \check{R}(\mathbf{u})$$

Then the coefficients of $\check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{u})$ as a linear combination of trivalent diagrams are in $A_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{u}}$ and coprime (i.e., up to a power of $\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}$, they are coprime Laurent polynomials in $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{u}$), and

$$(8.15) \quad \text{Rot}(\check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{u})) = \check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{p}^{-3}\mathbf{u}^{-1})$$

Separate further the diagrams as follows: introduce

$$I = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{blue box with two red horizontal lines} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$D = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{blue box with red diagonal line from top-left to bottom-right} \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{blue box with red diagonal line from bottom-left to top-right} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

so that


$$1 = I + D^2 + O$$

$$S^{\square} = S + D + O$$

$$B = D + D^2$$

Under rotation Rot , these combinations of diagrams are either fixed points or two-cycles, according to

$$(I, U) \quad (S, S^{-1}) \quad (K, H) \quad (E, D^2) \quad T \quad D \quad O$$

where we have been forced to introduce $H =$  as the rotation of K .

Now compare

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[3n]^2 [2n]}{[6n]^2 [n]} \text{Rot}(\check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{u})) &= [n+1][2n+x][3n+x](U+E+O) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{-1}\mathbf{u}^{-1}S^{-1} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{u}S}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} [x][2n+x][3n+x] + [x][n+x][2n+x][3n+x](D+O) \\ &\quad + \frac{[2n] [3n] [n+1] [x]}{[6n]} \left(-[n][x+3n]H + [x+3n]T - \frac{[x+3n]}{[n]}(D+E) - \frac{[2x]}{[x]}D^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{[n]} \left(\frac{[6n]}{[3n]}[x+2n] - [x+3n] \right) I - \frac{1}{[n]} \left(\frac{[2x+12n]}{[x+6n]} + 2[x+3n] \right) O \right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[3n]^2 [2n]}{[6n]^2 [n]} \check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{p}^{-3}\mathbf{u}^{-1}) &= [n+1][n+x][x](I+D^2+O) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2\mathbf{u}S - \mathbf{p}^{-2}\mathbf{u}^{-1}S^{-1}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} [3n+x][n+x][x] + [x][n+x][2n+x][3n+x](D+O) \\ &\quad + \frac{[2n] [3n] [n+1] [3n+x]}{[6n]} \left(-[n][x]K + [x]T - \frac{[x]}{[n]}(D+D^2) - \frac{[2(3n+x)]}{[3n+x]}E \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{[n]} \left(\frac{[6n]}{[3n]}[x+n] - [x] \right) U - \frac{1}{[n]} \left(\frac{[2x-6n]}{[x-3n]} + 2[x] \right) O \right) \end{aligned}$$

We find that the coefficients of T and D , which are explicitly invariant under $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{p}^{-3}\mathbf{u}^{-1}$, are the same in both expressions. Then comes $D^2 \leftrightarrow E$: the coefficient of D^2 in the first expression is $-\frac{[2n][3n][n+1]}{[6n]}[2x]$; in the second, $[n+1][n+x][x] - \frac{[2n][3n][n+1]}{[n][6n]}[3n+x][x]$. These are indeed equal. Therefore the same is true of the coefficient of E .

With a bit more effort, one checks that the coefficient of O is also invariant under $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{p}^{-3}\mathbf{u}^{-1}$. In the end, taking the difference of the two sides of (8.15) leads to

$$\frac{[6n][n][n+1]}{[3n]} [x][x+3n] \left(\frac{S - S^{-1}}{\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1}} - \frac{[n+1][2n][3n]}{[6n]} (H - K + U - I) \right)$$

which is nothing but (a multiple of) the skein relation (8.2).

Remark 8.3. *An alternative proof goes as follows. Define $U^\square = U + E + O$. Then it is not hard to see that (8.15) is equivalent to the following identity in $A^\square(3) \otimes \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{u}}$:*

$$U_1^\square U_2^\square \check{R}_1^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{u}) = U_1^\square \check{R}_2^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{p}^{-3}\mathbf{u}^{-1})$$

The latter can be checked representation by representation, similarly to the proof of the Yang–Baxter equation in §8.6 (and U_1^\square is sent to zero in all representations except $\rho_{3,L}^\square$ and $\rho_{3,I}^\square$).

Remark 8.4. *In the course of the proof, we have introduced another form of the crossing symmetry relation, namely (8.15), which is more aesthetically pleasing. However, a drawback is that the form of the R -matrix that appears, $\check{R}^{\text{poly}}(\mathbf{u})$, no longer satisfies the unitarity*

equation (8.11). One may wonder if it is possible to normalise the R -matrix in such a way that it satisfies both unitary and crossing symmetry relations in this improved form. This is not possible if we stay within the realm of rational functions. If however we work in the analytic setting, that is, we specialise \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} to complex numbers, and allow $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ to be a meromorphic function of \mathbf{u} , then it is possible to find such a normalisation.

Assume $|\mathfrak{p}| < 1$ (analogous statements can be made for $|\mathfrak{p}| > 1$). Define

$$f(\mathbf{u}) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{12i} \mathfrak{q}^{-2} \mathbf{u}^2) (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{12i+2} \mathfrak{q}^2 \mathbf{u}^2) (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{12i+4} \mathbf{u}) (1 - \mathfrak{p}^{12i+6} \mathbf{u})$$

and

$$\kappa(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \frac{f(\mathbf{u}^{-1}) f(\mathfrak{p}^6 \mathbf{u})}{f(\mathbf{u}) f(\mathfrak{p}^6 \mathbf{u}^{-1})}$$


Then it is not hard to check that $\kappa(\mathbf{u})^{-1} \check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ satisfies both unitarity equation (8.11) and crossing relation in the form (8.15).

8.8. Specialisations. In all exceptional cases except A_1 and A_2 , the minimal affinisation of the q -deformed adjoint representation L_C is of the form $L_C \oplus I_C$, and one expects that the R -matrix $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ is mapped (up to normalisation) under the functor Ξ_C to the universal R -matrix of the corresponding quantised loop algebra in that minimal affinisation. This can be checked by explicit computation (in the most complicated case of $C = E_8$, this can also be seen by comparing with the results of [ZJ20]).

If $C \in \{A_1, A_2\}$, the minimal affinisation of L_C is simply L_C , and so the interpretation of our solution of the Yang–Baxter equation must be different. We investigate these two cases below.


8.8.1. A_1 . In this section $C = A_1$. Because the tensor square of the fundamental representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ is $L_C \oplus I_C$, we expect a connection between our dilute diagrammatic category and the Temperley–Lieb category. We briefly sketch this connection here.

We attach to the fundamental (two-dimensional) representation another type of line (depicted in green), with no vertices and the single rule that




$$\text{blue circle with green circle inside} = \varepsilon := -(q + q^{-1})$$

The correspondence between the two diagrammatic approaches is that



$$\text{blue rectangle with 2 green lines} = \text{blue rectangle with 1 red line} + \text{blue rectangle with 2 white squares}$$


and conversely one can write



$$\begin{aligned} \text{blue rectangle with 2 white squares} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{blue rectangle with 2 green arcs} \\ \text{blue rectangle with 1 red line} &= \text{blue rectangle with 1 red rectangle and 2 green lines} := \text{blue rectangle with 2 green lines} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{blue rectangle with 2 green arcs} \end{aligned}$$

which are the usual Jones projectors. In particular, one has $\delta = \varepsilon^2 - 1 = q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}$, which matches with our formula (5.3) at $\mathfrak{p} = q^{1/3}$, $\mathfrak{q} = q$.

If we now define the trivalent vertex as



where α is an irrelevant normalisation, cf §8.1, then all the identities of Appendix A are automatically satisfied.

Now introduce the fundamental R -matrix

$$\check{r}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{-1}}{q\mathbf{u} - q^{-1}\mathbf{u}^{-1}} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \text{---} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Then it is not hard to show that setting $\mathfrak{p} = q^{1/3}$, $\mathfrak{q} = q$, in the definition (8.3) of $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ and choosing α appropriately, one has


$$\frac{[x-1]}{[x+1]} \check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = \check{r}_2(q^{1/3}\mathbf{u})\check{r}_1(\mathbf{u})\check{r}_3(\mathbf{u})\check{r}_2(q^{-1/3}\mathbf{u})$$

where \check{r}_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are defined as morphisms in $\text{Hom}(4, 4)$ of the Temperley–Lieb category.

The interpretation is that our R -matrix $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ is the R -matrix between representations of the quantised loop algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2)[z^\pm])$ of the form of a tensor product of evaluation representations, namely, $V(z) \otimes V(q^{1/3}z)$, where $V(z)$ is the affinisation of the fundamental representation. Note that this tensor product *is* irreducible for the quantised loop algebra.

8.8.2. A_2 . In this section $C = A_2$. Because the tensor product of the two fundamental representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$ is $V \otimes V^* \cong L_C \oplus I_C$, we expect a connection between our dilute diagrammatic category and [Kup96, §4]. The situation is very similar to the A_1 case.


We introduce *oriented* green lines, with



and the same formula for the opposite orientation. We have



and conversely one can write



Once again $\delta = \varepsilon^2 - 1 = (q + q^{-1})^2(q^2 + q^{-2})$, which matches (5.3) at $\mathfrak{p} = q^{1/2}$, $\mathfrak{q} = q$.

The trivalent vertex is

The various R -matrices between fundamental representations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \check{r}_{V,V}(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \rightarrow \\ \hline \rightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{-1}}{q\mathbf{u} - q^{-1}\mathbf{u}^{-1}} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \rightarrow \rightarrow \\ \hline \leftarrow \leftarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \check{r}_{V^*,V^*}(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \leftarrow \\ \hline \leftarrow \\ \hline \end{array} + \frac{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{-1}}{q\mathbf{u} - q^{-1}\mathbf{u}^{-1}} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \rightarrow \rightarrow \\ \hline \leftarrow \leftarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \check{r}_{V,V^*}(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \rightarrow \leftarrow \\ \hline \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} + \frac{q^{-1}\mathbf{u} - q\mathbf{u}^{-1}}{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{-1}} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \rightarrow \leftarrow \\ \hline \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \check{r}_{V^*,V}(\mathbf{u}) &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \hline \rightarrow \leftarrow \\ \hline \end{array} + \frac{q^{-1}\mathbf{u} - q\mathbf{u}^{-1}}{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{-1}} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \rightarrow \leftarrow \\ \hline \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \hline \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced trivalent vertices, with additional relations

We then have

$$\frac{[x-1]}{[x+1]} \check{R}(\mathbf{u}) = \check{r}_{V^*,V;2}(q^{3/2}\mathbf{u}) \check{r}_{V,V;1}(\mathbf{u}) \check{r}_{V^*,V^*;3}(\mathbf{u}) \check{r}_{V,V^*;2}(q^{-3/2}\mathbf{u})$$


The interpretation is that our R -matrix $\check{R}(\mathbf{u})$ is the R -matrix between (irreducible) representations of the quantised loop algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3)[z^\pm])$ of the form of a tensor product of evaluation representations, namely, $V(z) \otimes V^*(q^{3/2}z)$.

APPENDIX A. THE DIAGRAMMATIC RELATIONS

In this appendix, we summarise the diagrammatic relations that were found as we were building the various spaces of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(r, s)$.

A.1. The basic relations. The relations below are based on the first few Hom spaces, though the parameters appearing in them can only be fixed via the study of the two-string algebra in §5, see in particular (5.1) and Definition 5.1.

In order for $\text{End}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(\theta)$ to be of rank 1, we have to impose the relation




$$\text{blue circle with red inner circle} = \delta \text{ blue circle}$$

with


$$\delta = \frac{[6n+1][5n-1][4n]}{[n+1][2n]}$$

In order for $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(\theta, 1)$ to be zero, we must have



$$\text{blue circle with red loop and red line} = 0$$

In order for $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(1, 1)$ to be of rank 1, we must have




$$\text{blue circle with red line and red loop} = \phi \text{ blue circle with red line}$$

where we write ϕ under the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \alpha \frac{[6n+2][4n-2][3n]}{[3n+1][2n-1][n]} \\ &= \alpha (p^2 + 1 + p^{-2})(p^2q^{-1} + p^{-2}q)(p^3q + p^{-3}q^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

In order for $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(1, 2)$ to be of rank 1, we must have



$$\text{blue circle with red Y-junction} = \tau \text{ blue circle with red Y-junction}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \alpha \frac{[4n]}{[2n]} \left(\frac{[6n+2][4n-2][3n]}{[3n+1][2n-1][n]} + (q - q^{-1})^2 [n+1] \frac{[5n]}{[n]} \right) \\ &= \alpha (p + p^{-1}) (q^2p^2 + p^4 + q^2 - p^2 - 1 - p^{-2} + q^{-2} + p^{-4} + q^{-2}p^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

There is an arbitrary parameter left α in the definition of ϕ and τ , which can be chosen freely, only the ratio τ/ϕ being fixed, cf (5.29). In §5, §6, as well as in the rest of this appendix, α is set to 1 (cf Definition 5.1), whereas in §8, $\alpha = 1/[n+1]$ (cf §8.1).

A.2. The square-square relation. From further study of $A(2) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(2)$, one finds the following relation:

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} = b_0 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6} \\ \text{Diagram 7} \end{array} + b_1 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 8} \\ \text{Diagram 9} \end{array} + b_2 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 10} \\ \text{Diagram 11} \end{array} + b_3 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 12} \\ \text{Diagram 13} \end{array} + b_4 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 14} \\ \text{Diagram 15} \end{array}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= -\frac{[n+1]^2 [6n+2] [4n-2] (q-q^{-1})^2}{[n+1] [3n]^2 [2n-1]} \\ b_1 &= \frac{[n+1] [6n+2] [4n-2] (p^5 + q^2p + p^3 + p^{-3} + q^{-2}p^{-1} + p^{-5})}{[n]^2 [3n+1] [2n-1]} \\ b_2 &= \frac{[3n]^4 ([n] [3n-1] + [2n]) ([6n+2] [n] - [3n+1]) (q^2p + p^3 - p - p^{-1} + p^{-3} + q^{-2}p^{-1})}{[n]^2 [n]^3 [3n+1]} \\ b_3 &= -\frac{[n+1] (q^2p^5 - q^2p^3 - p^5 - q^2p - 2p^3 - q^2p^{-1} + 2p + q^{-2}p^3 + q^2p^{-3} + 2p^{-1} - q^{-2}p - 2p^{-3} - q^{-2}p^{-1} - p^{-5} - q^{-2}p^{-3} + q^{-2}p^{-5})}{[n]^2} \\ b_4 &= \frac{[2n] (q^2p^2 + q^2 - 3 + q^{-2} + q^{-2}p^{-2})}{[n]} \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, the coefficients can be written in terms of the eigenvalues of H and of the basic parameters above as:

$$\begin{aligned} b_4 &= \xi + \zeta + \zeta^* \\ b_3 &= \xi\zeta + \xi\zeta^* + \zeta\zeta^* \\ b_0 &= \xi\zeta\zeta^* \\ b_1 &= \delta^{-1}(\phi - \xi)(\phi - \zeta)(\phi - \zeta^*) \\ b_2 &= \phi^{-1}(\tau - \xi)(\tau - \zeta)(\tau - \zeta^*) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= (q - q^{-1}) (pq - p^{-1}q^{-1}) \\ \zeta &= -\frac{[4n-2]}{[n] [2n-1]} \\ \zeta^* &= \frac{[n+1] [6n+2]}{[n] [3n+1]} \end{aligned}$$

A.3. The square-pentagon relation. From the study in §6.1 of $B(2, 3) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_{\leq 3}}(2, 3)$, one finds the following relation:


$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 16} \\ \text{Diagram 17} \\ \text{Diagram 18} \\ \text{Diagram 19} \\ \text{Diagram 20} \\ \text{Diagram 21} \\ \text{Diagram 22} \\ \text{Diagram 23} \\ \text{Diagram 24} \\ \text{Diagram 25} \\ \text{Diagram 26} \\ \text{Diagram 27} \\ \text{Diagram 28} \\ \text{Diagram 29} \\ \text{Diagram 30} \\ \text{Diagram 31} \\ \text{Diagram 32} \\ \text{Diagram 33} \\ \text{Diagram 34} \\ \text{Diagram 35} \\ \text{Diagram 36} \\ \text{Diagram 37} \\ \text{Diagram 38} \\ \text{Diagram 39} \\ \text{Diagram 40} \\ \text{Diagram 41} \\ \text{Diagram 42} \\ \text{Diagram 43} \\ \text{Diagram 44} \\ \text{Diagram 45} \\ \text{Diagram 46} \\ \text{Diagram 47} \\ \text{Diagram 48} \\ \text{Diagram 49} \\ \text{Diagram 50} \\ \text{Diagram 51} \\ \text{Diagram 52} \\ \text{Diagram 53} \\ \text{Diagram 54} \\ \text{Diagram 55} \\ \text{Diagram 56} \\ \text{Diagram 57} \\ \text{Diagram 58} \\ \text{Diagram 59} \\ \text{Diagram 60} \\ \text{Diagram 61} \\ \text{Diagram 62} \\ \text{Diagram 63} \\ \text{Diagram 64} \\ \text{Diagram 65} \\ \text{Diagram 66} \\ \text{Diagram 67} \\ \text{Diagram 68} \\ \text{Diagram 69} \\ \text{Diagram 70} \\ \text{Diagram 71} \\ \text{Diagram 72} \\ \text{Diagram 73} \\ \text{Diagram 74} \\ \text{Diagram 75} \\ \text{Diagram 76} \\ \text{Diagram 77} \\ \text{Diagram 78} \\ \text{Diagram 79} \\ \text{Diagram 80} \\ \text{Diagram 81} \\ \text{Diagram 82} \\ \text{Diagram 83} \\ \text{Diagram 84} \\ \text{Diagram 85} \\ \text{Diagram 86} \\ \text{Diagram 87} \\ \text{Diagram 88} \\ \text{Diagram 89} \\ \text{Diagram 90} \\ \text{Diagram 91} \\ \text{Diagram 92} \\ \text{Diagram 93} \\ \text{Diagram 94} \\ \text{Diagram 95} \\ \text{Diagram 96} \\ \text{Diagram 97} \\ \text{Diagram 98} \\ \text{Diagram 99} \\ \text{Diagram 100} \end{array} = c_0 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 101} \\ \text{Diagram 102} \end{array} + c_1 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 103} \\ \text{Diagram 104} \end{array} + c_2 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 105} \\ \text{Diagram 106} \end{array} + c_3 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 107} \\ \text{Diagram 108} \end{array} + c_4 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 109} \\ \text{Diagram 110} \end{array} + c_5 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 111} \\ \text{Diagram 112} \end{array} + c_6 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 113} \\ \text{Diagram 114} \end{array} + c_7 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 115} \\ \text{Diagram 116} \end{array} + c_8 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 117} \\ \text{Diagram 118} \end{array} + c_9 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 119} \\ \text{Diagram 120} \end{array} + c_{10} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 121} \\ \text{Diagram 122} \end{array} + c_{11} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 123} \\ \text{Diagram 124} \end{array} + c_{12} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 125} \\ \text{Diagram 126} \end{array} + c_{13} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 127} \\ \text{Diagram 128} \end{array} + c_{14} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 129} \\ \text{Diagram 130} \end{array} + c_{15} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 131} \\ \text{Diagram 132} \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 = c_4 &= \frac{[n+1]^2}{[n]^4} (q^2 p^3 - 2 q^2 p - 2 p^3 + q^2 p^{-1} + p + p^{-1} + q^{-2} p - 2 p^{-3} - 2 q^{-2} p^{-1} + q^{-2} p^{-3}) \\
c_2 = c_3 &= \frac{[6n]^2 [n+1]^2}{[n]^3 [2n] [3n]^2} \\
c_5 &= \frac{[n+1]}{[n]^2} (2 q^2 p^3 + p^5 - q^2 p - 2 p^3 + 2 q^2 p^{-1} + 2 q^{-2} p - 2 p^{-3} - q^{-2} p^{-1} + p^{-5} + 2 q^{-2} p^{-3}) \\
c_6 = c_9 &= \frac{[n+1]}{[n]^2} (2 q^2 p + p^3 - 2 p - 2 p^{-1} + p^{-3} + 2 q^{-2} p^{-1}) \\
c_7 = c_8 &= \frac{[n+1]}{[n]^2} (q^2 p^3 + p^5 + q^2 p - p^3 + q^2 p^{-1} - p - p^{-1} + q^{-2} p - p^{-3} + q^{-2} p^{-1} + p^{-5} + q^{-2} p^{-3}) \\
c_{10} &= \frac{p^3 [n]^2 + q p^2 [n] - 2 [n+1] - q^{-1} [n] + p^{-3} [n]^2 + p^{-2} [n+1]}{[n]^2} \\
c_{11} = c_{14} &= -\frac{[6n] [n+1]}{[n] [2n] [3n]} \\
c_{12} = c_{13} &= -\frac{[n+1]}{[n]^2} \\
c_{15} &= 2 \frac{[n+1]}{[n]^2} - \frac{[6n]}{[n]^2 [3n]} ([n+1]^2 + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

and we postpone the definition of c_0 .

This relation can be verified by checking that l.h.s. and r.h.s. have the same inner product with every element of the basis $\mathcal{B}(5)$ whose diagrams are on the r.h.s. For example, one such inner product is obtained by closing the diagrams in the following fashion:




$$= \delta\phi^2\tau^2$$

where we have computed the resulting diagram by using the existing rules of §A.1. On the other hand, performing the same closure on the r.h.s. leads to

$$\delta\phi c_2 + \delta^2\phi c_3 + \delta\phi c_4 + \delta\phi^2 c_5 + \delta\phi^2 c_6 + \delta\phi\tau c_8 + \delta\phi^3 c_{10} + \delta\phi^3 c_{11} + \delta\phi\tau^2 c_{12} + \delta\phi\tau^2 c_{14} + \delta\phi^2\tau c_{15}$$

One can check that these two expressions are equal.

The exact same computation, but with the external connectivity rotated one step clockwise, namely,



$$= \delta\phi\tau^3$$

leads to the following expression for c_0 :

$$c_0 = \tau^3 - (c_3 + \delta c_4 + \phi(c_6 + c_7) + \tau c_9 + \phi^2(c_{11} + c_{12}) + \tau^2(c_{10} + c_{13}) + \tau \phi c_{15})$$

REFERENCES

- [Car05] R.W. Carter, *Lie algebras of finite and affine type*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. v. 13, Cambridge University Press, 2005, doi:10.1017/CB09780511614910.
- [CdM96] Arjeh M. Cohen and Ronald de Man, *Computational evidence for Deligne's conjecture regarding exceptional Lie groups*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série 1. Mathématique **322** (1996), no. 5, 427–432, <https://pure.tue.nl/ws/files/1531345/588273.pdf>.
- [CdM99] ———, *On a tensor category for the exceptional Lie groups*, Computational Methods for Representations of Groups and Algebras, Birkhäuser Basel, 1999, pp. 121–137, doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-8716-8_6.
- [CKM14] Sabin Cautis, Joel Kamnitzer, and Scott Morrison, *Webs and quantum skew Howe duality*, Mathematische Annalen **360** (2014), no. 1-2, 351–390, doi:10.1007/s00208-013-0984-4.
- [CP91] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley, *Fundamental representations of Yangians and singularities of R-matrices*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) **1991** (1991), no. 417, 87–128, doi:10.1515/crll.1991.417.87.
- [Cvi08] Predrag Cvitanović, *Group theory*, Princeton University Press, 2008, doi:10.1515/9781400837670.
- [DdM96] Pierre Deligne and Ronald de Man, *The exceptional series of Lie groups. 2.*, Comptes Rendus de l'academie des sciences. Serie I Mathématique **323** (1996), no. 6, 577–582.
- [Del96] Pierre Deligne, *The exceptional series of Lie groups*, Comptes Rendus de l'academie des sciences. Serie I Mathématique **322** (1996), no. 4, 321–326.
- [Dev22] Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.7)*, 2022, <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [DG02] Pierre Deligne and Benedict H Gross, *On the exceptional series, and its descendants*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique **335** (2002), no. 11, 877–881, doi:10.1016/s1631-073x(02)02590-6.
- [DGZ94] Gustav W. Delius, Mark D. Gould, and Yao-Zhong Zhang, *On the construction of trigonometric solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Nuclear Physics B **432** (1994), no. 1-2, 377–403, doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90607-6.
- [Dri89] V. G. Drinfel'd, *Almost cocommutative Hopf algebras*, Algebra i Analiz (1989), 30–46.
- [ER06] Pavel Etingof and Eric Rains, *Central extensions of preprojective algebras, the quantum Heisenberg algebra, and 2-dimensional complex reflection groups*, Journal of Algebra **299** (2006), no. 2, 570–588, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.01.005.
- [FY89] Peter J. Freyd and David N. Yetter, *Braided compact closed categories with applications to low dimensional topology*, Advances in Mathematics **77** (1989), no. 2, 156–182, doi:10.1016/0001-8708(89)90018-2.
- [GS] Daniel Grayson and Michael Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <https://macaulay2.com/>.
- [HR05] Tom Halverson and Arun Ram, *Partition algebras*, European Journal of Combinatorics **26** (2005), no. 6, 869–921, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2004.06.005.
- [Jan96] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, vol. 6, American Mathematical Soc., 1996.
- [Jim89] Michio Jimbo, *Introduction to the Yang-Baxter equation*, International Journal of Modern Physics A **4** (1989), no. 15, 3759–3777, doi:10.1142/S0217751X89001503.
- [JS91a] André Joyal and Ross Street, *The geometry of tensor calculus, I*, Advances in Mathematics **88** (1991), no. 1, 55–112, doi:10.1016/0001-8708(91)90003-p.
- [JS91b] ———, *Tortile Yang–Baxter operators in tensor categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **71** (1991), no. 1, 43–51, doi:10.1016/0022-4049(91)90039-5.
- [Kup96] Greg Kuperberg, *Spiders for rank 2 Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **180** (1996), no. 1, 109–151, doi:10.1007/BF02101184.
- [Lit44] D. E. Littlewood, *On invariant theory under restricted groups*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London. Ser. A. **239** (1944), 387–417, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1944.0003>. MR 0012299.

- [LM02] Joseph M. Landsberg and Laurent Manivel, *Triality, exceptional Lie algebras and Deligne dimension formulas*, *Advances in Mathematics* **171** (2002), no. 1, 59–85, doi:10.1006/aima.2002.2071.
- [LM06] ———, *The sextonions and $E_{7\frac{1}{2}}$* , *Advances in Mathematics* **201** (2006), no. 1, 143–179, doi:10.1016/j.aim.2005.02.001.
- [LR97] Robert Leduc and Arun Ram, *A ribbon Hopf algebra approach to the irreducible representations of centralizer algebras: The Brauer, Birman–Wenzl, and type A Iwahori–Hecke algebras*, *Advances in Mathematics* **125** (1997), no. 1, 1–94, doi:10.1006/aima.1997.1602.
- [Lus16] George Lusztig, *The canonical basis of the quantum adjoint representation*, *Journal of Combinatorial Algebra* **1** (2016), no. 1, 45–57.
- [MM10] Gunter Malle and Jean Michel, *Constructing representations of Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups*, *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics* **13** (2010), 426–450.
- [MST24] Scott Morrison, Noah Snyder, and Dylan P. Thurston, *Towards the quantum exceptional series*, 2024.
- [Res87] Nicolai Yu. Reshetikhin, *Quantized universal enveloping algebras, the Yang–Baxter equation and invariants of links, i*, Tech. report, Akad. Nauk St. Petersburg. Inst. Yarn. Math., 1987, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/191314>.
- [RT90] Nicolai Yu. Reshetikhin and Vladimir G. Turaev, *Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **127** (1990), no. 1, 1–26, doi:10.1007/bf02096491.
- [RW14] Martin Rubey and Bruce W. Westbury, *A combinatorial approach to classical representation theory*, 2014.
- [Sel10] Peter Selinger, *A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories*, *New structures for physics*, Springer, 2010, pp. 289–355.
- [Shu94] Mei Chee Shum, *Tortile tensor categories*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **93** (1994), 57–110.
- [SS15] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden, *Stability patterns in representation theory*, *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, vol. 3, Cambridge University Press, 2015, pp. 1–108, doi:10.1017/fms.2015.10.
- [SW07] A. Sikora and Bruce W. Westbury, *Confluence theory for graphs*, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* **7** (2007), 439–478, doi:10.2140/agt.2007.7.439.
- [SW24] Alistair Savage and Bruce W. Westbury, *Quantum diagrammatics for F_4* , *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **228** (2024), no. 11, 107731, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2024.107731.
- [TW01] Imre Tuba and Hans Wenzl, *Representations of the braid group B_3 and of $SL(2, Z)$* , *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **197** (2001), no. 2, 491–510, doi:10.2140/pjm.2001.197.491.
- [Vog11] Pierre Vogel, *Algebraic structures on modules of diagrams*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **215** (2011), no. 6, 1292–1339, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2010.08.013.
- [Wes95] Bruce W. Westbury, *The representation theory of the Temperley–Lieb algebras*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **219** (1995), 539–565.
- [Wes03a] ———, *Invariant tensors and diagrams*, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **18** (2003), 49–82, doi:https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X03017968.
- [Wes03b] ———, *R-matrices and the magic square*, *Journal of Physics A* **36** (2003), 1947, doi:10.1088/0305-4470/36/7/310.
- [Wes06] ———, *Sextonions and the magic square*, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **73** (2006), 455–474, doi:10.1112/S0024610706022605.
- [Wes08] ———, *Invariant tensors for the spin representation of $\mathfrak{so}(7)$* , *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **144** (2008), 217–240, doi:10.1017/S0305004107000722.
- [Wes15] ———, *Extending and quantising the Vogel plane*, 2015, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.08307v1>.
- [Wes22] ———, *A universal plane of diagrammatic categories*, 2022, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2211.04270.
- [XZ18] Ying Xu and R. B. Zhang, *Quantum correspondences of affine Lie superalgebras*, *Mathematical Research Letters* (2018), 1009–1036, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.01142>.
- [Yet89] David N. Yetter, *Category theoretic representations of knotted graphs in S_3* , *Advances in Mathematics* **77** (1989), no. 2, 137–155.
- [ZJ20] Paul Zinn-Justin, *The trigonometric E_8 R-matrix*, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **110** (2020), no. 12, 3279–3305, doi:10.1007/s11005-020-01330-9.

BRUCE W. WESTBURY

Email address: `brucewestbury@gmail.com`

PAUL ZINN-JUSTIN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE,
VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA

Email address: `pzinn@unimelb.edu.au`