

Black Flowers and Real Forms of Higher Spin Symmetries

R. Sammani,^{1,2} E.H Saidi^{1,2}

¹*LPHE-MS, Science Faculty, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco*

²*Centre of Physics and Mathematics, CPM- Morocco*

E-mail: sammani.rajaa@gmail.com, saidieh@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Using Chern-Simons formulation, we investigate higher spin (HS) black holes in AdS_3 with soft Heisenberg hair and establish linkage with the real forms of the underlying complexified gauge symmetries taken here as $SL(N, \mathbb{C})_{\text{L}} \times SL(N, \mathbb{C})_{\text{R}}$. We study the various conserved currents characterizing the HS black flowers (HS-BF) and show that they can be formed of layers indexed by the elements of the centre of the gauge symmetry. This feature follows from requiring the holonomy of the asymptotic gauge connection around the thermal cycle to sit in the centre \mathbb{Z}_N of the symmetry group. With regard to the compact subgroups of the real forms of the complexified gauge symmetry, we calculate the entropies of the HS-BF and verify that, unless we are considering trivial holonomies, there are no continuous paths joining the HS-BF to the core spin 2 black holes. As explicit illustrations, we give quantum field realisations of the soft Heisenberg hair in terms of bosonic and fermionic primary conformal fields and compute the HS-BF entropy as a function of the number of fermions occupying the ground state of the Heisenberg soft hair.

KEYWORDS: Higher spin black holes with soft hair, Real forms of complex groups, Black flowers entropy, Branches of HS-BH, Bosonic and Fermionic field models.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Asymptotic potentials in AdS_3	7
2.1	Structure of boundary potentials	7
2.2	Matrix potentials: realisations and examples	9
2.2.1	Highest weight representation	9
2.2.2	Diagonal representation	13
3	Real forms and boundary configurations	14
3.1	Real forms of complex Lie symmetries	17
3.1.1	Case of $\text{SL}(3)$ model	19
3.1.2	Beyond the $\text{SL}(3)$ symmetry	26
3.2	Solving the equations of motion	30
3.2.1	Topological gauge configuration	30
3.2.2	Fixing Lagrange multipliers	31
3.2.3	Using primary scalars and fermions	43
4	Boundary current algebras and HS-BF entropy	45
4.1	Current algebra and $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ real forms	45
4.1.1	Charges of the black flowers	46
4.1.2	Conformal weights of black flowers	47
4.2	Entropy of black flowers	48
5	Scalar and Fermi fields realisations	49
5.1	Charge potentials as primary scalars	50
5.2	Charge potentials as primary Fermions	54
5.2.1	Periodic fermions	55
5.2.2	Antiperiodic fermions	57
6	Conclusion and Discussions	58

1 Introduction

Since their inauguration in [1], the three dimensional black hole solutions of AdS_3 gravity in the Chern-Simons formulation [2, 3] were built in accordance with the well established

Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions (BC) [4]. However, over the few past years, it was evidenced that higher spin AdS₃ gravity admits stationary black hole solutions that transcend the customary Brown-Henneaux BC [5, 6]. An example of such configuration is the spin $s = 2$ gravity model constructed in [5]; it features (non) spherical black holes with $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})_R$ gauge symmetry yielding two copies of the asymptotic affine $\hat{u}(1)_k$ Heisenberg algebras generated by an infinite number of conserved charges $(J_m, \bar{J}_{\bar{m}})$ labelled by the integers m and \bar{m} . The often anticipated Brown-Henneaux boundary conformal symmetry emerges as a composite invariance through a twisted Sugawara construction and the regularity of the gauge configurations at the black hole (BH) horizon was confirmed to hold independently of the global charges. In addition, these black holes carry soft hair states [9, 10] with BH entropy S_{BH} given by the remarkable relation

$$2\pi\mathbf{n} (J_0 + \bar{J}_0)$$

where \mathbf{n} is a positive integer and where the J_0 and \bar{J}_0 are the zero modes commuting with all of the excited $J_m, \bar{J}_{\bar{m}}$ modes generating the affine $\hat{U}(1)_k \times \hat{U}(1)_{\bar{k}}$ algebra.

Higher spin (HS) extensions [11]-[14] of the previous spin $s=2$ AdS₃ gravity model were developed in [6] for the larger $SL(N, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(N, \mathbb{R})_R$ gauge symmetry with an emphasis on the $N=3$ case for illustrations. The associated asymptotic algebra is given by a greater product of affine Heisenberg symmetries $\prod_i \hat{U}(1)_{k_i} \times \hat{U}(1)_{\bar{k}_i}$ [15]; and similarly to the spin $s=2$ theory, the boundary W_N -invariance [17]-[19] can be built out of composites of Kac-Moody (KM) charges via an extended twisted Sugawara [20]. The generalised HS theory comprises black hole solutions dubbed higher spin black flowers (HS-BF_N) [16] whose entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$ for the $SL(3)_L \times SL(3)_R$ model has the form

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}} = 2\pi\mathbf{n} (J_0 + \bar{J}_0) + 3\pi\mathbf{m} (K_0 + \bar{K}_0)$$

where GPPTT credits the authors Grumiller- Perez- Prohazka- Tempo- Troncoso. The parameters \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{m} are positive integers, and the zero mode charges $(J_0, K_0; \bar{J}_0, \bar{K}_0)$ belong to the tensorial affine Heisenberg $\prod_{i=1}^2 \hat{U}(1)_{k_i} \times \hat{U}(1)_{\bar{k}_i}$ algebra generated by the infinite sets (J_n, K_m) and $(\bar{J}_{\bar{n}}, \bar{K}_{\bar{m}})$. By defining $\mathbf{I}_0^L = \mathbf{n}J_0 + 3\mathbf{m}K_0/2$ for the left sector and $\mathbf{I}_0^R = \mathbf{n}\bar{J}_0 + 3\mathbf{m}\bar{K}_0/2$ for the right one, the above entropy formula can be concisely presented as $2\pi(\mathbf{I}_0^L + \mathbf{I}_0^R)$. Moreover, we are able to recuperate the fundamental HS black hole entropy formula of [21] from the $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$ entropy of the higher black flower, as it is presumed to be continuously connected to the spin 2 BTZ black hole [6]. For instance, by setting $\mathbf{m} = 0$ in the above spin 3 formula, one recovers the entropy $2\pi\mathbf{n} (J_0 + \bar{J}_0)$

of the spin $s=2$ BH with gauge symmetry $SL(2)_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(2)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and generalised boundary conditions as in [5].

In this paper, we develop the study of higher spin black flowers (HS-BF_N) entropy with $SL(N, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(N, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ gauge symmetry and generalised boundary conditions as in eqs(2.2-2.3). We consider non trivial holonomies around thermal cycles taking values in the centre \mathbb{Z}_N of the gauge invariance. This discrete group is given by the set $\langle \omega^p \rangle_{1 \leq p < N}$ with $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/N)$, it permits to extend the trivial holonomies of [5, 6, 21] beyond the identity element $\omega^0 = I_{id}$ corresponding to $p = 0$. With this enlarged set of holonomies, we show amongst others that higher spin black flowers possess N layers labelled by the central group elements ω^p with $1 \leq p < N$. And for each layer of the HS-BF_N, the entropy $S_{\text{HS-BF}}^{\text{SL}_N}$ is a function of the rational number $p/N \pmod{N}$ such that

$$2\pi |\mathbb{I}_0^{\mathbb{L}}(p/N)| + 2\pi |\mathbb{I}_0^{\mathbb{R}}(p/N)|$$

where $\mathbb{I}_0^{\mathbb{L}}(p/N)$ and $\mathbb{I}_0^{\mathbb{R}}(p/N)$ are HS gauge invariants given by linear combinations of the commuting conserved charges like $\sum_{s=2}^N \Lambda_{p, \mathbf{n}_s}^{(s-1)} J_0^{(s)}$. In this latter expansion, the $J_0^{(s)}$ s are the zero modes of the affine Heisenberg-like symmetry $\prod \hat{U}(1)_{\mathbf{k}_i} \times \hat{U}(1)_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_i}$ while the $\Lambda_{p, \mathbf{n}_s}^{(s-1)}$ s designate quantized Lagrange multipliers determined by solving the regularity condition of the holonomy of the asymptotic gauge potentials. Contrary to what was obtained in [6] for the $N=3$ theory with trivial holonomy, there are no continuous paths joining the black flowers entropy $S_{\text{HS-BF}}^{\text{SL}_3}$ to the core $S_{\text{HS-BF}}^{\text{SL}_2}$, and more generally no continuous bridges leading any $S_{\text{HS-BF}}^{\text{SL}_N}$ towards $S_{\text{HS-BF}}^{\text{SL}_M}$ with $M < N$, once we consider holonomy layers beyond the identity $\omega^0 = I_{id}$. Therefore, descending from a higher black flower to a lower BF requires discrete leaps given by Weyl group transformations of the HS gauge algebra.

Besides the disrupted continuity, we uncover additional features. To rigorously study the thermal properties of higher spin black flowers including their entropies, one must promote the real gauge symmetry $SL(N, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(N, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ to one of the real forms of the complexified $SL(N, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(N, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$; a property previously noted for the Euclidean HS gravity investigated in [21] (see table I therein). As a result, new aspects of HS-BF_N emerge; in particular the close-knit relation between the branches of the HS black flowers and the real forms of the underlying complexified gauge symmetry which is showcased by the dependency of the entropy on the type of the real form.

In sum, and before delving into the specifics, we list here below some of our main contributions to the study of HS AdS₃ gravities having HS black flowers solutions with

(i) generalised boundary gauge constraints beyond the standard Brown-Henneaux conditions and (ii) asymptotic gauge potential holonomies sitting in the \mathbb{Z}_N centre:

- We first describe the extended BC of [5, 6] and exploit this construction to develop a new HS basis using the roots of the Lie algebra of the gauge symmetry with illustrative examples. It is founded on the Cartan-Weyl basis and is equivalent to the more usual one using the principal SL_2 representations. In this new basis, the left (a_φ, a_t) and the right $(\tilde{a}_\varphi, \tilde{a}_t)$ diagonal boundary gauge potentials of [6] have vanishing commutators $[a_t, a_\varphi]$ and $[\tilde{a}_t, \tilde{a}_\varphi]$ as they are taken in the Cartan subalgebra of the HS gauge invariance. Accordingly, the associated gauge field strengths reduce to flat Maxwell-like gauge curvatures given by $\partial_t a_\varphi - \partial_\varphi a_t = 0$. We then consider the a_t components as Lagrange multipliers constrained like $\partial_\varphi a_t = 0$ (say $a_t = \text{constant}$), giving in result $\partial_t a_\varphi = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{a}_\varphi = 0$. These boundary potential constraints are to be interpreted as boundary fields equations following from a 2D variational principle with Lagrange field parameters. As a result, our approach leads directly to the conserved total Hamiltonian and the conserved angular momentum of the HS theory with an infinite asymptotic conserved $\prod_i \hat{U}(1)_{\mathbf{k}_i} \times \hat{U}(1)_{\bar{\mathbf{k}}_i}$ charges while allowing us to retrieve known results in HS literature.
- Our construction also enables to interconnect the computation of the BH entropy, requiring regularity of the asymptotic gauge configurations around the thermal cycle, with the compact subgroups of the real forms of the complexified gauge symmetry. In fact in order to derive the thermal BH properties (4.24-4.25), one needs to perform a Wick rotation which leads to a complexification of the $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$ gauge symmetry towards one of the real forms of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$. In this regards, we give a concrete description of the HS branches observed in [6] using real forms of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(N, \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}$ including the real splits $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$, the compact $SU(N)$ and the non compact $SU(N_1, N_2)$ s. Moreover by allowing non trivial gauge holonomies of the boundary potentials, that is holonomies sitting in the centre \mathbb{Z}_N of the complexified gauge symmetry $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$, we find that the HS black flowers have several dissociated layers that cannot be continuously connected to the spin 2 AdS₃ black holes. The crossing between the various layers of the HS-BF_N requires discrete transitions as opposed to the prevailing consensus on HS-BF_N with trivial holonomies.
- Exploiting the conformal symmetry at the boundary of the HS-AdS₃ gravity, we

give bosonic and fermionic oscillator realisations of the BF particle excitations. We first recall properties on the free fields of compact and non compact affine KM-symmetries which are known to be closely related to the sign of the CS level k as first noticed by Dixon, Peskin, and Lykken for the $SU(2)_k$ and $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k$ conformal models; and successfully used in several applications like in recent studies regarding string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M$ with one unit of NS-NS flux ($k = 1$) [22, 23] and refs therein. For example, the operator product of the current operator $J_{su_2}^0(z)$ of the compact $SU(2)_k$ displays a positive CS level $+k$ as shown by $J_{su_2}^0(z) J_{su_2}^0(w) \sim +k/(z-w)^2$; unlike its homologue for the non compact $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k$, it exhibits a negative CS level $\tilde{k} = -k$ as shown by the corresponding OPE namely $J_{sl_{2,\mathbb{R}}}^0(z) J_{sl_{2,\mathbb{R}}}^0(w) \sim -k/(z-w)^2$. Focussing on the Cartan sectors of the real forms of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})_k$ with emphasis on the compact $SU(N, \mathbb{C})_k$, we give the quantum numbers characterising the A_{N-1} soft hair BH, describe the ground state of this black flowers and comment on their critical properties. For the bosonic realisation of the spin $s=3$ black flower, we show that the zero modes of the primary scalar fields sit in the \mathbb{Z}^4 lattice as given by eqs(5.25). As for the fermionic oscillator realisation, we distinguish two pictures given by periodic and anti-periodic boundary fermions. For both of these (anti-) periodicities, we find amongst others that the entropy of the black flowers (5.49) can be interpreted in terms of the number of fermionic particles living in the ground state of the HS-BF soft hair.

The organisation of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we describe the generalised boundary conditions of [5, 6] and set up the basic tools of the CS formulation in preparation for the upcoming study of black holes solutions. In section 3, we give new results on higher spin black flowers physics. In particular, we establish an affiliation between the thermodynamics of black hole solutions and the real forms of the complexified gauge symmetry of the HS AdS_3 gravity. We utilise field theory techniques on constrained hamiltonian to develop a method that allows to build the boundary field action. All for the purpose of deriving the HS black flowers entropies for the various real forms of the complex gauge group. In section 4, we derive the affine Heisenberg soft hair algebra with regard to the considered real forms and explicitly compute the entropy formulas for the various black flowers branches. In section 5, we realise the charge potentials in terms of bosonic and fermionic primary conformal fields on the horizon of the black hole flowers as concrete illustrations of our analysis. Last section is devoted to conclusion and discussions.

2 Asymptotic potentials in AdS₃

In this section, we lay the groundwork for our study by describing useful tools on higher spin (HS) AdS₃ gravity formulated in terms of two copies of Chern-Simons potentials $A_\mu(r, \varphi, t)$ and $\tilde{A}_\mu(r, \varphi, t)$ with opposite CS couplings ($\tilde{\mathfrak{k}} = -\mathfrak{k}$). These bulk potentials are valued in the Lie algebra of the HS gauge symmetry with some boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &\rightarrow A_r^{asym} \simeq g^{-1}\partial_r g \\ A_\pm &\rightarrow A_\pm^{asym} \simeq g^{-1}a_\pm g + g^{-1}\partial_\pm g \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

characterised by the gauge transformation g taken as in [5, 6]. The asymptotic A_\pm^{asym} and \tilde{A}_\pm^{asym} sit in the near horizon of the HS black holes (BH); they are generated by the boundary gauge potentials a and \tilde{a} investigated hereafter.

2.1 Structure of boundary potentials

We start by introducing the structure of the connections a and \tilde{a} living in the 2D boundary surface $\Sigma_{2D} = \partial\mathcal{M}_{3D}$ of the higher spin AdS₃ gravity expressed in terms of two Chern-Simons potentials. These boundary fields $a(\varphi, t)$ and $\tilde{a}(\varphi, t)$ are local 1-forms valued in the Lie algebra of the gauge symmetry $G \times \tilde{G}$. They allow to build the three dimensional CS gauge potentials A and \tilde{A} (2.1) of the bulk \mathcal{M}_{3D} through the following boundary condition

$$A = \mathfrak{b}^{-1}(d + a)\mathfrak{b} \quad , \quad \tilde{A} = \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1}(d + \tilde{a})\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} \quad (2.2)$$

The expressions of \mathfrak{b} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ are given by $e^{-L_+/\zeta_-}e^{-\rho L_-/2}$ and $e^{+L_+/\zeta_-}e^{+\rho L_-/2}$ [5, 6]. They have the typical structure $g = e^{\theta_- L_+}e^{\theta_0 L_0}e^{\theta_+ L_-}$ with real gauge parameters $\theta_{0,\pm}$ and the pure gauge 1-form $g^{-1}dg$ expanding like

$$g^{-1}dg = L_-d\theta_+ + (L_0 + \theta_+L_-)d\theta_0 + (L_+ + 2\theta_+L_0 + 2\theta_+\theta_+L_-)d\theta_- \quad (2.3)$$

where the $L_{0,\pm}$ generate the principal $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Interesting examples of such (non) spherical boundary conditions include the three following: **(i)** the $(\theta_+, \theta_0, \theta_-) \sim (0, \rho, 0)$ used in [24], **(ii)** the $(\theta_+, \theta_0, \theta_-) \sim (0, \rho, \zeta_-)$ with constant ζ_- of [25], and **(iii)** the $(\theta_+, \theta_0, \theta_-) \sim (\rho, 0, \zeta_-)$ considered in [5, 6] as well as in the present study.

From this description, it is clear that the connections a and \tilde{a} as well as the transformations $(\mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\mathfrak{b}})$ constitute fundamental ingredients in the formulation of higher spin AdS₃ gravity required for the study of the (non) spherical higher spin black holes properties with soft Heisenberg hair.

Recall that the 3D field action of this HS gauge theory is given by the difference of two Chern-Simons (CS) actions as follows [2, 3]

$$\mathcal{S}_{3D} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int tr(AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^3) - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int tr(\tilde{A}d\tilde{A} + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{A}^3) \quad (2.4)$$

where the CS coupling is equal to $k = l_{\text{AdS}}/(4G_3)$ with G_3 being the 3D Newton constant and l_{AdS} the AdS₃ radius related to the negative cosmological constant as $\Lambda = -1/l_{\text{AdS}}^2$. The gravity/gauge duality can be recognized through the CS fields relation to the HS dreibein e_μ and the HS-spin ω_μ potentials of the 3D gravity given by

$$A_\mu = \omega_\mu + \frac{1}{l_{\text{AdS}}}e_\mu \quad , \quad \tilde{A}_\mu = \omega_\mu - \frac{1}{l_{\text{AdS}}}e_\mu \quad (2.5)$$

from which we acquire the 3D space time metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the higher spin fields $\Phi_{\mu_1\dots\mu_s}$ as follows [11]

$$g_{\mu\nu} \sim Tr(e_\mu e_\nu) \quad , \quad \Phi_{\mu_1\dots\mu_s} \sim Tr(e_{(\mu_1}\dots e_{\mu_s)}) \quad (2.6)$$

The field equations of motion of (2.4) are given by the 2-form field strengths $F = dA + A \wedge A = 0$ and $\tilde{F} = d\tilde{A} + \tilde{A} \wedge \tilde{A} = 0$. With the substitution (2.2), they factorise as $F = g^{-1}\mathbf{f}g = 0$ and $\tilde{F} = \tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}\tilde{g} = 0$ with vanishing

$$\mathbf{f} = da + a \wedge a \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathbf{f}} = d\tilde{a} + \tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{a} \quad (2.7)$$

These constraints will prove to be instrumental in this investigation.

Recall also that here the two 1-forms a and \tilde{a} live on the boundary cylinder $\mathcal{C}_\varphi \times \mathbb{R}_t$ coordinated by the variables (φ, t) with $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_t$. In practice, one might use instead the complex $e^{t \pm i\varphi}$ (with periodicity $\varphi \equiv \varphi + 2\pi$) which can be associated with the compactification of the real σ - variable in the real $e^{t \pm \sigma}$ parameterising $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ (or Euclidean \mathbb{R}^2). Given that, the boundary a and \tilde{a} are generated by the differential forms $d\varphi$ and dt as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_\varphi d\varphi + a_t dt \\ \tilde{a} &= \tilde{a}_\varphi d\varphi + \tilde{a}_t dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

with components (a_φ, a_t) and $(\tilde{a}_\varphi, \tilde{a}_t)$ valued in the Lie algebra of the boundary gauge symmetry $G \times \tilde{G}$. They obey the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t a_\varphi - \partial_\varphi a_t + [a_t, a_\varphi] &= 0 \\ \partial_t \tilde{a}_\varphi - \partial_\varphi \tilde{a}_t + [\tilde{a}_t, \tilde{a}_\varphi] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

which are well-known in conformal (and affine) Toda theories as integrability conditions [26] for building conformal invariant models such as the Liouville and sine-Gordon equations [27, 28]. They will be explored further below in the context of higher spin black flowers.

Following the interesting studies [5, 6, 21], one distinguishes *two kinds* of equivalent matrix representations of the connections a and \tilde{a} namely: **(1)** the off diagonal highest weight (HW) representation ($a^{\text{HW}}, \tilde{a}^{\text{HW}}$) [4, 12, 29–31]; and **(2)** the diagonal realisation ($a^{\text{DIAG}}, \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}}$) [6, 21] thought of as

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= \sum_s \mathcal{J}_{(s)}^0 W_0^{(s)} \\ a_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \sum_s \lambda_{(s)}^0 W_0^{(s)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Pertaining to the purpose of our inquiry, we will use the diagonal representation as it permits **(i)** a refined formulation of the entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ for (non) spherical HS black holes; and **(ii)** the computation of conserved charges of the HS black flowers such as the classical gauge invariants

$$I_n = \int_0^\tau dt \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{in\varphi} \text{Tr} \left(a_t^{\text{DIAG}} a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} \right) \right] \quad (2.11)$$

and their twild homologue \tilde{I}_n . Considering time independent a_t^{DIAG} with constraints (2.9) on the velocity $\partial_t a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}}$, we can perform the trace $\text{Tr}(a_t^{\text{DIAG}} a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}})$ leading to the form $\sum G^{s\sigma} \lambda_{(s)}^0 \mathcal{J}_{(\sigma)}^0$ with metric $G^{s\sigma} = \text{Tr}(W_0^{(s)} W_0^{(\sigma)})$. The integration with respect to time is therefore

$$I_n = 2\pi \sum_s \mathbf{d}_s \lambda_{(s)}^0 J_n^{0(s)} \quad , \quad G^{s\sigma} = \frac{\mathbf{d}_s}{2\pi} \delta^{s\sigma} \quad (2.12)$$

with \mathbf{d}_s to be determined. The higher spin black hole entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SPIN } s}$ (see later analysis for details) is given by the sum of zero modes like $2\pi \sum_s \mathbf{d}_s \lambda_{(s)}^0 (J_0^{0(s)} + \tilde{J}_0^{0(s)})$ while assuming $\lambda_{(s)}^0 > 0$ and $J_0^{0(s)}, \tilde{J}_0^{0(s)} > 0$ otherwise one uses absolute values. This sum reads for spin 2 AdS₃ gravity like

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SPIN } 2} = 2\pi \mathbf{d}_2 \lambda_{(2)}^0 \left(J_0^{0(2)} + \tilde{J}_0^{0(2)} \right) \quad (2.13)$$

For completeness, as well as later comparisons between our findings and results in higher spin black holes literature, we briefly describe the two matrix representations here below along with some useful comments.

2.2 Matrix potentials: realisations and examples

We first describe the highest weight representation (HWR) [4, 12, 29–31]; then we attend to the diagonal realisation of the boundary gauge potentials.

2.2.1 Highest weight representation

We give the HWR for the simplest higher spin models with small ranked \mathfrak{r}_G gauge symmetries. This is the case of **(a)** the basic spin $s=2$ gravity with symmetry $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\text{L}} \times$

$SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ having a rank $\mathbf{r}_{sl_2} = 1$, and **(b)** the two spins gravities with rank $\mathbf{r}_G = 2$. For instance, we cite **(i)** the familiar HS model with $SL(3, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ algebra having the spins $\{s_1 = 2, s_2 = 3\}$; and **(ii)** the exceptional higher spin model with gauge symmetry $\mathbf{G}_2 \times \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_2$ giving the spin spectrum $\{s_1 = 2, s_2 = 6\}$ [32].

For the basic spin $s=2$ model, the HWR of the boundary gauge connection in terms of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ generators $L_{0,\pm}$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\varphi}^{\text{HW}} &= a_{\varphi}^{+} L_{+} + a_{\varphi}^{-} L_{-} \\ a_t^{\text{HW}} &= a_t^{+} L_{+} + a_t^0 L_0 + a_t^{-} L_{-} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where **(i)** the a_{φ}^{\pm} are the charge potentials with free a_{φ}^{-} and fixed $a_{\varphi}^{+} = 1$ that can be thought of as δ_{φ}^{+} ; while **(ii)** the time components a_t^0 and a_t^{\pm} are constrained by the eqs(2.9), they are functionals of a_{φ}^{-} . Quite similar expressions can be written down for $(\tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{\text{HW}}, \tilde{a}_t^{\text{HW}})$, they are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{\text{HW}} &= \tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{-} L_{-} + \tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{+} L_{+} \\ \tilde{a}_t^{\text{HW}} &= \tilde{a}_t^{-} L_{-} + \tilde{a}_t^0 L_0 + \tilde{a}_t^{+} L_{+} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

with $\tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{-} = 1$.

For the generic higher spin theory with $SL(N, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{L}} \times SL(N, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{R}}$ symmetry, we have the following generalised expansions

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\varphi}^{\text{HW}} &= a_{\varphi}^{+} L_{+} + a_{\varphi}^{-} L_{-} + \sum_{s=3}^N a_{\varphi}^{(1-s)} W_{1-s}^{(s)} \\ a_t^{\text{HW}} &= \sum_{s=2}^N \sum_{m_s=1-s}^{s-1} a_t^{(m_s)} W_{m_s}^{(s)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

with $a_{\varphi}^{+} = 1$. The set $\{W_{m_s}^{(s)}\}$ designate the $N^2 - 1$ generators of $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$ labelled by two integers (s, m_s) taking values as $2 \leq s \leq N$ and $1 - s \leq m_s \leq s - 1$. Similar relations can be written as well for the potentials \tilde{a}_{φ} and \tilde{a}_t of the right sector.

Before proceeding, take note of the following:

- (1)** The three leading $W_{m_2}^{(s=2)}$ are just the three generators $L_{0,\pm}$ of the principal $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the commutation relations $[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m}$. In the isospin $j = 1/2$ representation, we have the 2×2 matrix realisation

$$L_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

acting on the two eigenvectors $L_0 |q\rangle = q |q\rangle$ with $q = \pm 1/2$.

- (2) The angular components $\{a_\varphi^{(1-s)}, \tilde{a}_\varphi^{(s-1)}\}$ termed as the charge potentials are commonly scaled by a $(2\pi/k)$ factor. For the spin $s=2$, they are often denoted like $a_\varphi^- := a_{++}$ and $\tilde{a}_\varphi^+ := \tilde{a}_{--}$ with

$$a_{+++} = -\frac{2\pi}{k}\mathcal{L}_{+++} \quad , \quad \tilde{a}_{---} = -\frac{2\pi}{k}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{---} \quad (2.18)$$

More generally, we have $a_\varphi^{(1-s)} = -\frac{2\pi\eta_s}{k}\mathcal{W}_{+s}$ and $\tilde{a}_\varphi^{(s-1)} = -\frac{2\pi\eta_s}{k}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{-s}$ with some normalisation numbers η_s required by the canonical commutation relations of the W-symmetry [3, 19]. For the spin $s=3$ example, we get $a_\varphi^{--} = -\frac{\pi}{2k}\mathcal{W}_{+3}$ and $\tilde{a}_\varphi^{--} = -\frac{\pi}{2k}\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{-3}$. The charge potentials $(\mathcal{L}_{+++}, \mathcal{W}_{+s})$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{---}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{-s})$ are conserved quantities (constant of motion), they will be further discussed later on (see subsection 3.2).

- (3) The time components $a_t^{(m_s)}$ will fulfill the role of Lagrange multipliers, they are constrained by the field equations (2.9) and interpreted as chemical potentials in the thermodynamics of HS- black holes [12, 33–35].

To truly understand the usefulness of the HW representation, we take on the simple HS model with $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry. This group has eight traceless generators splitted in two subsets as $3 + 5$: (i) the isotriplet $W_{0,\pm}^{(s=2)} = L_{0,\pm}$ generate the principal $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ represented as

$$L_+ = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_- = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.19)$$

with $\text{real}(L_n)^* = L_n$ and $(L_n)^\dagger = (-)^n L_{-n}$ as well as

$$\text{Tr}(L_0 L_0) = 2, \quad \text{Tr}(L_- L_+) = -4 \quad (2.20)$$

(ii) the 5-uplet $W_{0,\pm 1,\pm 2}^{(s=3)}$ forming a 5-dim representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{+2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & W_+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
W_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \\
W_{-2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & W_- &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where $(W_n)^* = W_n$ and $(W_n)^\dagger = (-)^n W_{-n}$ as well as

$$Tr(W_0 W_0) = \frac{8}{3}, \quad Tr(W_- W_+) = -4, \quad Tr(W_{-2} W_{+2}) = 16 \tag{2.22}$$

with the remarkable orthogonality relation $Tr(L_0 W_0) = 0$. In this particular higher spin model, the potentials read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\varphi^{\text{HW}} &= L_+ + a_\varphi^- L_- + a_\varphi^{--} W_{--} \\
a_t^{\text{HW}} &= \sum_{m_2=-1}^{+1} a_t^{m_2} L_{m_2} + \sum_{m_3=-2}^{+2} a_t^{m_3} W_{m_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

with the constraint relations (2.9). As noticed before, this realisation can be recast like

$$\begin{aligned}
a_+^{\text{HW}} &= L_+ - \frac{2\pi}{k} \mathcal{L}_{++} L_- - \frac{\pi}{2k} \mathcal{W}_{+3} W_{--} \\
a_-^{\text{HW}} &= \sum_{m_2=-1}^{+1} a_-^{m_2} L_{m_2} + \sum_{m_3=-2}^{+2} a_-^{m_3} W_{m_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

where the components a_φ^{HW} and a_t^{HW} can be interpreted as a_+^{HW} (charges) and a_-^{HW} (Lagrange multipliers).

Quite similar relationships can be transcribed for the exceptional HS theory with $\mathfrak{G}_2 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_2$ symmetry. For this model, the boundary potentials read as

$$\begin{aligned}
a_+^{\text{HW}} &= L_+ - \frac{2\pi}{k} \mathcal{L}_{++} L_- - \frac{\pi}{2k} \mathcal{W}_{+6} W_{-5}^{(6)} \\
a_-^{\text{HW}} &= \sum_{m_2=-1}^{+1} a_-^{m_2} L_{m_2} + \sum_{m_5=-2}^{+5} a_-^{m_5} W_{m_5}^{(6)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$L_0, \quad L_\pm, \quad W_0^{(6)}, \quad W_\pm^{(6)}, \quad W_{\pm 2}^{(6)}, \quad W_{\pm 3}^{(6)}, \quad W_{\pm 4}^{(6)}, \quad W_{\pm 5}^{(6)} \tag{2.26}$$

are G_2 generators. The two diagonal operators are explicitly given by [32]

$$L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{20}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{80}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{100}{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{100}{7} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{80}{7} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{20}{7} \end{pmatrix}$$

2.2.2 Diagonal representation

For the rest of the analysis, we settle on this type of representation and set our boundary fields to obey the diagonal form. For the spin 3 case, the boundary potentials are valued in the Cartan subalgebra of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ generated by the two commuting L_0 and W_0 with the orthogonal property $Tr(L_0 W_0) = 0$. The 1-form connections read as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\text{DIAG}} &= (\Upsilon^0 + \mathcal{J}^0) L_0 + (\Gamma^0 + \mathcal{K}^0) W_0 \\ \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} &= (\tilde{\Upsilon}^0 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^0) L_0 + (\tilde{\Gamma}^0 - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^0) W_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

with L_0 and W_0 as in (2.21). They are real potentials as they verify:

$$\begin{aligned} (a^{\text{DIAG}})^* &= a^{\text{DIAG}} \quad , \quad (\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}})^* = \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} \\ (a^{\text{DIAG}})^\dagger &= a^{\text{DIAG}} \quad , \quad (\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}})^\dagger = \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Notice that for the particular case where $\Gamma^0 = \mathcal{K}^0 = 0$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}^0 = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^0 = 0$, the boundary potentials reduce to $a^{\text{DIAG}} = (\Upsilon^0 + \mathcal{J}^0) L_0$ and $\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} = (\tilde{\Upsilon}^0 - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^0) L_0$ meaning that they are in fact valued in the principal subset $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ of $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$.

In the above (2.27), the partial connections are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^0 &= \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 d\varphi \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}^0 = \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 d\varphi \\ \Upsilon^0 &= \Upsilon_t^0 dt \quad , \quad \Gamma^0 = \Gamma_t^0 dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^0 &= \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 d\varphi \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^0 = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 d\varphi \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}^0 &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 dt \quad , \quad \tilde{\Gamma}^0 = \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

with the reality property

$$(\mathcal{J}^0)^* = \mathcal{J}^0, \quad (\mathcal{K}^0)^* = \mathcal{K}^0, \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^0)^* = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^0, \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^0)^* = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^0 \quad (2.31)$$

The use of partial 1-form potentials is essential as **(i)** it distinguishes the charges $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}; \tilde{\mathcal{J}}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ from the Lagrange multipliers $(\Upsilon, \Gamma; \tilde{\Upsilon}, \tilde{\Gamma})$; and **(ii)** differentiates between the two diagonal $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R})_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{R})_{\mathcal{K}}$ for each copy of $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, the components of a^{DIAG} and \tilde{a}^{DIAG} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\varphi}^{\text{DIAG}} &= \mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0 L_0 + \mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0 W_0 & \tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{\text{DIAG}} &= -\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0 L_0 - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0 W_0 \\ a_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \Upsilon_t^0 L_0 + \Gamma_t^0 W_0 & \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 L_0 + \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 W_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Note that the diagonal potentials $(a^{\text{DIAG}}, \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}})$ are related to the highest weight gauge potentials $(a^{\text{HW}}, \tilde{a}^{\text{HW}})$ through $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ gauge transformations $a^{\text{HW}} = g_{\text{BRIDGE}}^{-1} a^{\text{DIAG}} g_{\text{BRIDGE}}$ and $\tilde{a}^{\text{HW}} = \tilde{g}_{\text{BRIDGE}}^{-1} \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} \tilde{g}_{\text{BRIDGE}}$ with commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Bulk} & : & A^{\text{HW}} \quad -\mathbf{G}_I- \rightarrow \quad A^{\text{DIAG}} \\ \downarrow \text{asympt.} & & \downarrow \mathbf{G}'_{II} \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \mathbf{G}_{II} \\ \mathbf{Boundary} & : & a^{\text{HW}} \quad -\mathbf{G}'_I- \rightarrow \quad a^{\text{DIAG}} \end{array} \quad (2.33)$$

where $(\mathbf{G}_I, \mathbf{G}_{II})$ and $(\mathbf{G}'_I, \mathbf{G}'_{II})$ are some gauge transformations. The bridge transformations between a^{HW} and a^{DIAG} have the structure $g_{\text{BRIDGE}} = g_1 g_2$ with $g_1 = \exp(\theta_- L_+ + \zeta_- W_+ + \zeta_{-2} W_{+2})$ and $g_2 = \exp(\mathcal{F}_+ L_- + \mathcal{G}_+ W_- + \mathcal{G}_{+2} W_{-2})$. They were computed in [6] where it was shown that the left charges $(\mathcal{L}_{++}, \mathcal{W}_{+3}) \equiv (\mathcal{L}_{\varphi\varphi}, \mathcal{W}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi})$ and their twild homologue $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{--}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{-3}) \equiv (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\varphi\varphi}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi})$ are related to $(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0, \mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\varphi\varphi} &= +\frac{\mathbf{k}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0)^2 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{2}{3} (\mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0)^2 \right] \\ \mathcal{W}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi} &= -\frac{\mathbf{k}}{6\pi} \left[-\frac{8}{9} (\mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0)^3 + \mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0 \left(2 (\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0)^2 + \partial_{\varphi} \mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0 \right) + 3 \mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0 \partial_{\varphi} \mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0 + \partial_{\varphi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\varphi\varphi} &= -\frac{\mathbf{k}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0)^2 + \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{2}{3} (\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0)^2 \right] \\ \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi} &= +\frac{\mathbf{k}}{6\pi} \left[-\frac{8}{9} (\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0)^3 + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0 \left(2 (\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0)^2 + \partial_{\varphi} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0 \right) + 3 \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0 \partial_{\varphi} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0 + \partial_{\varphi}^2 \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

These Sugawara like relationships between $(\mathcal{L}_{\varphi\varphi}, \mathcal{W}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi})$ and $(\mathcal{J}_{\varphi}^0, \mathcal{K}_{\varphi}^0)$ as well as the ones between their right counterparts $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\varphi\varphi}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi})$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi}^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi}^0)$ are well known in the Literature of the W-algebras extending the 2D boundary conformal invariance; they are generated by the so-called Miura transformations [36] of the W_3 -symmetry.

3 Real forms and boundary configurations

Because of the trivial commutation relation $[L_0, W_0] = 0$, the diagonal gauge connections commute; i.e: $[a_{\varphi}^{\text{DIAG}}, a_t^{\text{DIAG}}] = [\tilde{a}_{\varphi}^{\text{DIAG}}, \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}}] = 0$. Consequently, these gauge

potentials satisfy the abelian gauge field equations of motion given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} - \partial_\varphi a_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \tilde{a}_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} - \partial_\varphi \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Using (2.32), they split as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 - \partial_\varphi \Upsilon_t^0 &= 0 & \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 - \partial_\varphi \Gamma_t^0 &= 0 \\ \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 + \partial_\varphi \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 &= 0 & \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 + \partial_\varphi \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 &= 0\end{aligned},\tag{3.2}$$

These differential equations give dynamical relationships between the boundary charges $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0)$ of the HS theory $SL(3, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})_R$ and the associated Lagrange multipliers $(\Upsilon_t^0, \Gamma_t^0)$ and $(\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0, \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0)$.

In this section, we first describe our approach to deal with the equations of motion (3.1). Then, we investigate the linkage between black flowers and the conserved charges of the real forms of the complexified gauge symmetry $SL(3, \mathbb{C})_L \times SL(3, \mathbb{C})_R$. Certainly there are various methods to solve and work out the properties of the eqs(3.1), among which we cite:

- (a) the topological boundary gauge configuration. Here, the gauge curvatures $f^{\text{DIAG}} = da^{\text{DIAG}}$ and $\tilde{f}^{\text{DIAG}} = d\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}}$ vanish identically ($da^{\text{DIAG}} = d\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} = 0$); they correspond to exact boundary potential forms namely $a^{\text{DIAG}} = d\vartheta$ and $\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} = d\tilde{\vartheta}$. This asymptotic image of the potentials does not suit the goal of our study and will be accordingly dropped.
- (b) the fixed Lagrange multipliers. This solution is based on boundary potentials having constrained Lagrange multipliers like $\partial_\varphi \Upsilon_t = \partial_\varphi \Gamma_t = 0$. These conditions can be effectively fulfilled by just taking $\Upsilon_t = \text{CTE}_2$ and $\Gamma_t = \text{CTE}_3$; they allow explicit calculations and permit to extract interesting results without need of involved computations. It will be thoroughly examined for the remainder of the paper.
- (c) the primary scalar and/or fermionic fields. In this approach, we consider bosonic and fermionic conformal fields fulfilling boundary field equations of motion given by (3.1). This two-dimensional QFT model can be viewed as a boundary CFT_2 solution that permits interesting physical interpretations. For example, it allows to realise the Heisenberg soft hair states $|N_{\text{SOFT-HAIR}}\rangle$ at the horizon of the HS- black flowers [9] in terms of particles of the HS black flower. Later on this section, we delve into the key idea behind this proposal which we will further develop in section 5.

Before going into further details, we should first shed light on the significant role played by the real forms of the complex $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ in the derivation of the entropy $S_{\text{HS-BF}}$ of the thermal HS black flowers. Indeed, in order to calculate the entropies $S_{\text{HS-BF}}$ of the thermal HS- black holes, one needs to **(i)** compactify the time variable by performing a Wick rotation $t = it_E$ parameterising the thermal cycle $\mathcal{C}_{t_E} = [0, \beta]$ with β referring to the inverse of temperature; and **(ii)** demand regularity of the holonomy

$$\exp \left(i \int_{\mathcal{C}_{t_E}} a_{t_E} dt_E \right) \quad (3.3)$$

that must be equal to $(-)^{N+1} I_{id}$ due to the reality of the gauge symmetry $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$. In this regard, notice that for odd values of N , this holonomy must be equal to the identity I_{id} (termed below as trivial holonomy or neutral holonomy because I_{id} is the neutral element of \mathbb{Z}_N) while for even N , it is equal to $-I_{id}$. More generally, for the complexified $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$, one may replace this condition by $z I_{id}$ where the complex number z is constrained like $z^N = 1$ having N solutions given by $\omega^p = e^{2i\pi p/N}$ corresponding to the group elements of the \mathbb{Z}_N centre of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$. For odd N , we have only one real solution I_{id} while for even N we get both $\pm I_{id}$. As for the complex solutions, they depend on the rational p/N numbers associated to the phase $2p\pi/N$ beyond the values 0 and $\pi \bmod 2\pi$. Moreover, the Wick rotation $t = it_E$ maps the boundary cylinder $\mathcal{C}_\varphi \times \mathbb{R}_t$ parameterised by (φ, t) to the torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathcal{C}_\varphi \times \mathcal{C}_{t_E}$ coordinated by the periodic variables (φ, t_E) . Furthermore, under time compactification we have the following features:

- (1) the *real* partial connections $a_t^{\text{DIAG}} dt = \Upsilon + \Gamma$ and $\tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} dt = \tilde{\Upsilon} + \Gamma$ valued in $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ with L_0 and W_0 components as

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon &= (\Upsilon_t^0 L_0) dt & \Gamma &= (\Gamma_t^0 W_0) dt \\ \tilde{\Upsilon} &= (\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 L_0) dt & \tilde{\Gamma} &= (\tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 W_0) dt \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.4)$$

get mapped to the *pure imaginary* ones

$$\begin{aligned} i\Upsilon_E &= \Upsilon_{t_E}^0 (iL_0) dt_E & i\Gamma_E &= \Gamma_{t_E}^0 (iW_0) dt_E \\ i\tilde{\Upsilon}_E &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t_E}^0 (iL_0) dt_E & i\tilde{\Gamma}_E &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{t_E}^0 (iW_0) dt_E \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.5)$$

which no longer sit in the real $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$.

- (2) the real exponentiations of (3.4) valued in the real group $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ namely

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\int \Upsilon) &= \exp \left(\int (\Upsilon_t^0 L_0) dt \right) & \exp(\int \Gamma) &= \exp \left(\int (\Gamma_t^0 W_0) dt \right) \\ \exp(\int \tilde{\Upsilon}) &= \exp \left(\int (\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 L_0) dt \right) & \exp(\int \tilde{\Gamma}) &= \exp \left(\int (\tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 W_0) dt \right) \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.6)$$

get in turn mapped into the following complex U(1) phases

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(i \int \Upsilon_E) &= \exp\left(i \int (\Upsilon_{t_E}^0 L_0) dt_E\right) & \exp(i \int \Gamma_E) &= \exp\left(i \int (\Gamma_{t_E}^0 W_0) dt_E\right) \\ \exp(i \int \tilde{\Upsilon}_E) &= \exp\left(i \int (\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t_E}^0 L_0) dt_E\right) & \exp(i \int \tilde{\Gamma}_E) &= \exp\left(i \int (\tilde{\Gamma}_{t_E}^0 W_0) dt_E\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

These mappings show that under Wick rotation, the real matrices (L_0, W_0) are replaced by the *pure imaginary* (iL_0, iW_0) . Notice that the real (L_0, W_0) generates the real abelian subgroup $S[GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{R})]$ of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ with group elements as

$$g_{SL_3} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\zeta^0 L_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\eta^0 W_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\zeta^0 L_0} e^{-\eta^0 W_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \zeta^0, \eta^0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad g_{SL_3}^* = g_{SL_3}^\dagger = g_{SL_3}, \quad (3.8)$$

However, the pure imaginary (iL_0, iW_0) engender the complex unitary $S[U(1) \times U(1)]$ subgroup of $SU(3)$ with elements as

$$g_{SU_3} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\zeta^0 L_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\eta^0 W_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\zeta^0 L_0} e^{-i\eta^0 W_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{SU_3}^* = g_{SU_3}^\dagger = g_{SU_3}^{-1} \quad (3.9)$$

So, under the Wick rotation, the real $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ gets mapped into the complex unitary $SU(3)$. This relationship between $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $SU(3)$ is not unusual considering that they are, respectively, the split and compact real forms of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$. This feature indicates that the real forms of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ should play an important role in the investigation of black hole thermodynamics. As such, the various real forms of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ have to be monitored while computing the HS black holes entropies especially while descending towards the core spin 2 black holes of AdS_3 gravity. For these reasons, we briefly overview the real forms of the $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ series with concrete examples and graphical illustrations given by Tits-Satake diagrams [37, 38] in this upcoming subsection.

3.1 Real forms of complex Lie symmetries

Here, we describe the construction of real forms of complex Lie groups (algebras) through the leading elements of the $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ series by using graphical illustrations given by Tits-Satake graphs. Recall that $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ has one Dynkin diagram with $N - 1$ nodes. However it has N Tits-Satake diagrams corresponding to the N real forms counting **(1)** the real split form $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$, **(2)** the compact real form $SU(N)$ and **(3)** the non compact $SU(N_1, N_2)$ s with $N_1 + N_2 = N$ containing amongst others the particular subgroups

$$SU(N_1) \times SU(N_2) \subset S[U(N_1) \times U(N_2)] \subset SU(N_1, N_2)$$

The leading member in the $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ family is the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group which has two real forms: **(a)** the real split form $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq SU(1, 1)$ and **(b)** the compact $SU(2)$. Using the complex variables (z_1, z_2) parameterising \mathbb{C}^2 , the global version of the groups $SU(2)$ and $SU(1, 1)$ can be defined as the set of linear unitary transformations leaving invariant the following hermitian quadratic forms

$$SU(2) : z_1 z_1^* + z_2 z_2^* \quad , \quad SU(1, 1) : z_1 z_1^* - z_2 z_2^*$$

The three generators $J_a^{sl_2}$ of the real forms of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ can be formulated in terms of the usual Cartan-Weyl (Chevalley) operators $(H_\alpha, E_{\pm\alpha})$ as follows

generators	$J_1^{sl_2}$	$J_2^{sl_2}$	$J_3^{sl_2}$	$g_{abelian}$
$sl(2, \mathbb{R})$	$E_{+\alpha} + E_{-\alpha}$	$E_{+\alpha} - E_{-\alpha}$	H_α	$e^{\theta_\alpha H_\alpha}$
$su(2)$	$i(E_{+\alpha} + E_{-\alpha})$	$E_{+\alpha} - E_{-\alpha}$	iH_α	$e^{i\theta_\alpha H_\alpha}$

(3.10)

with α being the usual simple root of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})_\alpha$, introduced here for later use as we aim towards a $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ generalization; and where:

(i) the hermitian $g_{abelian}^{\mathbb{R}} = e^{\theta_\alpha H_\alpha}$ with real parameter θ_α of the non compact group $GL(1, \mathbb{R})$ has the form¹

$$g_{abelian}^{\mathbb{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\theta_\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\theta_\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii) the complex $g_{abelian}^{i\mathbb{R}} = e^{i\theta'_\alpha H_\alpha}$ with real parameter θ'_α of the compact $U(1)$ taking values in $[0, 2\pi]$ is as

$$g_{abelian}^{i\mathbb{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta'_\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta'_\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the composition of these two group elements can be combined into the complex $g_{abelian}^{\mathbb{C}} = e^{z_\alpha H_\alpha} \in GL(1, \mathbb{C})_\alpha$ with complex parameter $z_\alpha = \theta_\alpha + i\theta'_\alpha$ such that

$$g_{abelian}^{\mathbb{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{z_\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-z_\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$



Notice also that the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the $SU(2)$ can be nicely discriminated via the Cartan involution ϑ as it operates differently on their Cartan generators [37, 38],

Cartan involution ϑ		α	H_α	$E_{+\alpha}$
compact $SU(2)_\alpha$	$\vartheta = +1$	$+\alpha$	H_α	$E_{-\alpha}$
real split $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_\alpha$	$\vartheta = -1$	$-\alpha$	$-H_\alpha$	$-E_{-\alpha}$

(3.11)

¹ This is an element of the real $SL(1, \mathbb{R})$ which is a subgroup of $GL(1, \mathbb{R})$.

thus permitting to draw different characteristic graphs known as Tits-Satake diagrams. The Tits-Satake diagrams of the real split form $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ and the complex $su(2)$ have one (un)ainted node as depicted in the last column of the following Table

$sl(2, C)$ real forms	Cartan subalgebra	Tits-Satake diagram	
$su(2) \simeq so(3)$	$u(1) \simeq so(2)$	black node	
$sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq so(1, 2)$	$gl(1, \mathbb{R}) \simeq so(1, 1)$	white node	

(3.12)

From this graphical representation, it is evident that a black node refers to an $SU(2)$ compact group while a white node designates an $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq SU(1, 1)$ non compact group.

3.1.1 Case of $SL(3)$ model

The previous construction holds also for higher dimensional Lie algebras beyond $SL(2)$. For instance, the complex Lie algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ has three real forms: the real split $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$, the compact real form $su(3)$ and the non compact $su(2, 1) \simeq su(1, 2)$. Using the complex variables (z_1, z_2, z_3) parameterising \mathbb{C}^3 , the global $SU(2, 1)$ and $SU(1, 2)$ can be respectively defined as the sets of linear unitary transformations leaving invariant the hermitian quadratic forms

$$z_1 z_1^* + z_2 z_2^* - z_3 z_3^* \quad , \quad z_1 z_1^* - z_2 z_2^* - z_3 z_3^*$$

The three real forms of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ are sketched in the Figure 1 where we display, for each real form, the subgroup associated to each positive root; see also Figure 2. The Cartan subalgebra of these real forms of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ has two diagonal generators $(H_{\alpha_1}, H_{\alpha_2})$ labelled by the two simple roots (α_1, α_2) of the complex $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$, they can be realised as

$$H_{\alpha_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad H_{\alpha_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.13)$$

showing that

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} & H_{\alpha_1} &= \frac{1}{4}(2L_0 + 3W_0) \\ W_0 &= \frac{2}{3}(H_{\alpha_1} - H_{\alpha_2}) & H_{\alpha_2} &= \frac{1}{4}(2L_0 - 3W_0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

with $(W_n)^* = W_n$ and $(W_n)^\dagger = (-)^n W_{-n}$ as well as

$$Tr(W_0 W_0) = \frac{8}{3}, \quad Tr(W_- W_+) = -4, \quad Tr(W_{-2} W_{+2}) = 16 \quad (3.15)$$




Figure 1: A sketch of the three real forms of $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ containing: (i) the real split form $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$, (ii) the real compact $su(3, \mathbb{C})$ and (iii) the $su(2, 1) \simeq su(1, 2)$.

Accordingly, the (L_0, W_0) are the generators of the algebra $gl(1, \mathbb{R})_+ \oplus gl(1, \mathbb{R})_-$ also denoted like $gl(1)_{L_0} \oplus gl(1)_{W_0}$.

In the next part, we develop a HS basis using simple roots of Lie algebra with illustrative examples. We shall first distinguish between the usual HS basis built on SL_2 representations and the HS basis founded on simple roots of Lie algebras (i.e Cartan-Weyl basis). Evidently, the two HS bases are connected via bridge transformations.

(1) HS basis using SL_2 representations

The matrix representation of the higher spin generators $W_{m_s}^{(s)}$ of the sl_N Lie algebra relies on decomposing the total group dimension as $\dim(sl_N) = \sum_{s=2}^N \dim(\mathbf{R}_s)$ with SL_2 representation dimensions given by $\dim(\mathbf{R}_s) = 2s - 1$ with $s = j + 1$. It is constructed as follows:

(i) single out the $L_{0,\pm} = W_{m_2}^{(2)}$ expanding like $\sum_{j,k} |j\rangle (L_{0,\pm})_{jk} \langle k|$ with representative matrices as

$$\begin{aligned}
(L_+)_{jk} &= -\sqrt{j(N-j)}\delta_{j+1,k} \\
(L_-)_{jk} &= +\sqrt{k(N-k)}\delta_{j,k+1} \\
(L_0)_{jk} &= +\frac{1}{2}(N+1-2j)\delta_{j,k} \\
Tr(L_0L_0) &= +\frac{N}{12}(N^2-1) \equiv \epsilon_N
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

then compute the powers $(L_{\pm})^{s-1}$ to get

$$(L_+)^{s-1} = (-)^{s-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N-s-1} \left(\prod_{n=0}^{s-2} \sqrt{(l+n)(N-l-n)} \right) |l\rangle \langle l+s-1| \quad (3.17)$$

$$(L_-)^{s-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{N-s-1} \left(\prod_{n=0}^{s-2} \sqrt{(l+n)(N-l-n)} \right) |l+s-1\rangle \langle l| \quad (3.18)$$

obeying the nilpotence property $(L_{\pm})^N = 0$.

(ii) consider the higher spin $W_{m_s}^{(s)}$ as isospins $j = s - 1$ representations. They are accordingly obtained by performing successive actions of ad_{L_-} on the highest weight state $(L_+)^{s-1}$ as follows

$$W_{m_s}^{(s)} = 2(-)^{s-m_s-1} \frac{(s-m_s-1)!}{(2s-2)!} (ad_{L_-})^{s-m_s-1} (L_+)^{s-1} \quad (3.19)$$

with the property

$$Tr(W_0^{(s)} W_0^{(s)}) = \frac{48\epsilon_N [(s-1)!]^4}{(2s-1)!(2s-2)!} \prod_{j=2}^{s-1} (N^2 - j^2) := \epsilon_N \varpi_s \quad (3.20)$$

The full structure of the HW representations is as sketched here after

$j = 1$	$j = 2$	\dots	$j = N - 1$	
L_+	$\rightarrow_{L_+} (L_+)^2$	$\rightarrow_{L_+} \dots$	$(L_+)^{N-1}$	(3.21)
$\downarrow ad_{L_-}^{m_2}$	$\downarrow ad_{L_-}^{m_3}$	\dots	$\downarrow ad_{L_-}^{m_N}$	
L_-	$\rightarrow_{L_-} (L_-)^2$	$\rightarrow_{L_-} \dots$	$(L_-)^{N-1}$	

with labels taking the values $1 - s \leq m_s \leq s - 1$.

(2) HS basis using roots system

This HS basis can be derived from the above construction by using the projectors $\mathbf{P}_l = |l\rangle \langle l|$, labeled by $l = 1, \dots, N$, and the basic step operators $\mathbf{E}_l = |l\rangle \langle l+1|$ as well as $\mathbf{F}_l = |l+1\rangle \langle l|$. These operators satisfy the properties $\mathbf{E}_l \mathbf{F}_l = \mathbf{P}_l$ and $\mathbf{F}_l \mathbf{E}_l = \mathbf{P}_{l+1}$. As such, the previous realisation of the sl_2 generators $L_{0,\pm}$ can be expanded in terms of $(\mathbf{P}_l, \mathbf{E}_l, \mathbf{F}_l)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= - \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{l(N-l)} \mathbf{E}_l \\ L_- &= \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{l(N-l)} \mathbf{F}_l \\ L_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^N (N+1-2l) \mathbf{P}_l \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where the charge operator L_0 can be recast as

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{[N/2]} (N+1-2l) (\mathbf{P}_l - \mathbf{P}_{N+1-l}) \quad (3.23)$$

For $N = 2$, the L_0 charge matrix reads as $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_2)$ and $L_+ = -\mathbf{E}_1$ as well as $L_- = +\mathbf{F}_1$. As for $N = 3$, we have

$$L_0 = \mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_3, \quad L_+ = -\sqrt{2}(\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2), \quad L_- = +\sqrt{2}(\mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_2) \quad (3.24)$$

with the properties $L_+^2 = 2(\mathbf{E}_1\mathbf{E}_2)$ and $L_+^3 = 0$. We can also take on the case with $N = 4$, where

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= -\sqrt{3}(\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_3) - \sqrt{4}\mathbf{E}_2 \\ L_- &= +\sqrt{3}(\mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_3) + \sqrt{4}\mathbf{F}_2 \\ L_0 &= +\frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{P}_1 - \mathbf{P}_4) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{P}_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Moreover, using $\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{P}_i - \mathbf{P}_{i+1}$, the L_0 is given by a linear combination of the diagonal \mathbf{H}_i 's as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}_2 + \mathbf{H}_3) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_2 \\ &= \frac{3}{2}(\mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}_3) + 2\mathbf{H}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

For generic N , we have

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{[N/2]} (N+1-2l) (\mathbf{H}_l + \mathbf{H}_{l+1} + \dots + \mathbf{H}_{N-l}) \quad (3.27)$$

In terms of the simple roots α_i of the sl_N Lie algebra, the above matrix realisations of the principal sl_2 can be rewritten in terms of the generators $(\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_l}, \mathbf{E}_{\pm\alpha_l})$ of sl_N as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= - \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{l(N-l)} \mathbf{E}_{+\alpha_l} \\ L_- &= + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{l(N-l)} \mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_l} \\ L_0 &= + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{[N/2]} (N+1-2l) \mathbf{h}_l \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

with

$$\mathbf{h}_l = \sum_{n=0}^{N-2l} \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_{l+n}} \quad (3.29)$$

(3) Abelian subgroups of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$









The two group elements g_1 and g_2 generating the Cartan subgroup of the real forms of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ are given by the following exponentiations

real forms	g_1	g_2	Cartan subgroup	Cartan geometry	
$SU(3)$	$e^{i\theta_{\alpha_1} H_{\alpha_1}}$	$e^{i\theta_{\alpha_2} H_{\alpha_2}}$	$U(1) \times U(1)$	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$	\simeq 2-Torus
$SU(2, 1)$	$e^{\theta_{\alpha_1} H_{\alpha_1}}$	$e^{i\theta_{\alpha_2} H_{\alpha_2}}$	$GL(1) \times U(1)$	$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$	\simeq cylindre I
$SU(1, 2)$	$e^{i\theta_{\alpha_1} H_{\alpha_1}}$	$e^{\theta_{\alpha_2} H_{\alpha_2}}$	$U(1) \times GL(1)$	$\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$	\simeq cylindre II
$SL(3, \mathbb{R})$	$e^{\theta_{\alpha_1} H_{\alpha_1}}$	$e^{\theta_{\alpha_2} H_{\alpha_2}}$	$GL(1) \times GL(1)$	$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$	\simeq real plane

(3.30)

where the real $\theta_{\alpha_1}, \theta_{\alpha_2}$ are the group parameters. From these expressions, we see that these abelian Lie subgroups have different geometrical interpretations leading to different values of entropy which showcases the dependency of the calculations on the type of real form of the complexified gauge symmetry.

The Tits-Satake diagrams of the above real forms of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ have two (un)ainted nodes, they are depicted in the next Table (3.31)

real forms	Cartan subalgebra		Tits-Satake diagram		
$su(3)$	$u(1)_{\alpha_1}$	$u(1)_{\alpha_2}$	2 black node		
$sl(3, \mathbb{R})$	$gl(1)_{\alpha_1}$	$gl(1)_{\alpha_2}$	2 white node		
$su(2, 1)$	$gl(1)_{\alpha_1}$	$u(1)_{\alpha_2}$	black \leftrightarrow white		
$su(1, 2)$	$u(1)_{\alpha_1}$	$gl(1)_{\alpha_2}$	white \leftrightarrow black		

(3.31)

where the diagrams of $su(2, 1)$ and $su(1, 2)$ are exchangeable under the permutation $\alpha_1 \leftrightarrow \alpha_2$. From this graphic representation, we learn interesting features; in particular the following:

A) Characterising the real forms:

Because each node \mathfrak{N}_i of the Dynkin (and Tits-Satake) diagrams is associated with a simple root α_i and a $SL(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ algebra, several other properties can be derived:

A.1) Real split form $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$:

The $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ has three *particular* non compact 3d subgroups $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, each one is associated with one of the positive roots α_1, α_2 and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$; they are given by $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_1}, SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_2}$ and the subgroup $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_3}$. These groups will be subsequently

visualised as straightlines in the real (ζ^0, η^0) plane defined as

$$\text{CART}_{sl_3} = \{ \Theta_{sl_3} = \zeta^0 L_0 + \eta^0 W_0 \mid \zeta^0, \eta^0 \in \mathbb{R} \} \quad , \quad \text{CART}_{sl_3} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$$

and depicted in Figure 2 with directions given by the Cartan generators L_0 (horizontal) and W_0 (vertical). Notice that the $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ is non compact and has no compact $SU(2)$ subgroup.




Figure 2: Plane of the Cartan subalgebra of $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ with the orthogonal generators L_0 (horizontal) and W_0 (vertical). Points in this plane are labelled as $\Theta = \zeta^0 L_0 + \eta^0 W_0$ with ζ^0, η^0 real and $\text{Tr}(L_0 W_0) = 0$. Colored regions represent 2D chambers in the Cartan plane of $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$. And transitions from one chamber to a neighboring one require crossing the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_i}$ lines.

The hermitian matrix elements Θ_{sl_3} of the Cartan plane of $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ expand like $\zeta^0 L_0 + \eta^0 W_0$ with real parameters ζ^0, η^0 and trace $\xi^2 = \text{Tr}(\Theta_{sl_3} \Theta_{sl_3}^\dagger)/2$ given by $(\zeta^0)^2 + \frac{4}{3}(\eta^0)^2$. By substituting $L_0 = H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2}$ and $W_0 = 2(H_{\alpha_1} - H_{\alpha_2})/3$, we can put the expansion of Θ_{sl_3} as follows $\theta_{\alpha_1} H_{\alpha_1} + \theta_{\alpha_2} H_{\alpha_2}$ with

$$\theta_{\alpha_1} = \zeta^0 + \frac{2}{3}\eta^0 \quad , \quad \theta_{\alpha_2} = \zeta^0 - \frac{2}{3}\eta^0$$

Using the Cartan matrix $K_{ij} = \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j$, we obtain $\xi^2 = (\theta_i K_{ij} \theta_j)/2$. From these relations, one can establish the three $sl(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_i}$ sub-Cartans within the Cartan of $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$. They are represented by straightlines in the real plane (ζ, η) such that:

- the Cartan of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_1}$ is given by the trajectory $\eta^0 = +3\zeta^0/2$.
- the Cartan of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_2}$ is represented by the straightline $\eta^0 = -3\zeta^0/2$.
- the Cartan of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_3}$ is characterised by the horizontal line $\eta^0 = 0$ and designates the isospin $j=1$ representation.
- the Cartan of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_3}$ in the isospin $j=2$ is given by the vertical line $\zeta^0 = 0$.

A.2) Real compact form $SU(3)$:

The compact $SU(3)$ has three particular $SU(2)$ subgroups, each one is linked to a positive root as follows

$$SU(2)_{\alpha_1} \quad , \quad SU(2)_{\alpha_2} \quad , \quad SU(2)_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \quad (3.32)$$

These subgroups are compact and will be considered below with more details especially when studying the distinct branches of HS black flowers and their reduction towards the spin 2 black holes. Here, the homologue of Figure 2 is a real 2-torus; i.e:

$$\text{CART}_{su_3} = \{ \Theta_{su_3} = \zeta^0 L_0 + \eta^0 W_0 \mid \zeta^0, \eta^0 \in [0, 2\pi] \} \quad , \quad \text{CART}_{sl_3} \simeq \mathbb{T}^2$$

A.3) Real non compact $SU(2, 1)$:

It has two particular subgroups, a compact $SU(2)$ and a non compact $SU(1, 1)$, in a one to one correspondence with the simple roots α_1 and α_2 . Hence the two following pictures:

- the compact subgroup $SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$ is engendered by α_1 while the non compact $SU(1, 1)_{\alpha_2}$ is generated by α_2 . Elements $\Theta_{su_{2,1}}$ in the Cartan subalgebra of $SU(2, 1)$ are complex, they have the structure $\theta_{\alpha_1} H_{\alpha_1} + i\theta_{\alpha_2} H_{\alpha_2}$ splitting like $\zeta^0 L_0 + \eta^0 W_0$ with complex parameters ζ^0 and η^0 taken as follows

$$\zeta^0 = w \quad , \quad \eta^0 = \frac{3}{2}\bar{w} \quad , \quad w = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{\alpha_1} + i\theta_{\alpha_2}) \quad (3.33)$$

- the inverse picture describes the case where the non compact $SU(1, 1)_{\alpha_1}$ subgroup is generated by α_1 while the compact $SU(2)_{\alpha_2}$ is the one associated with α_2 .

Below, we refer to these two possibilities as follows

Group	particular subgroups	
$SU(2, 1)_{12}$	$SU(1, 1)_{\alpha_1}$	$SU(2)_{\alpha_2}$
$SU(2, 1)_{21}$	$SU(1, 1)_{\alpha_2}$	$SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$

(3.34)

And the homologue of the Figure 2 is a real cylinder; i.e:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CART}_{su_{2,1}} &= \{ \Theta_{su_{2,1}} = \zeta^0 L_0 + \eta^0 W_0 \mid \zeta^0 \in [0, 2\pi], \eta^0 \in \mathbb{R} \} \\ &\simeq \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

B) Cartan subgroups:

By using (3.14), we have

real forms	$\exp(\zeta^0 L_0)$	$\exp(\eta^0 W_0)$	Cartan subgroup
$SU(3)$	$e^{i\zeta^0(H_{\alpha_1}+H_{\alpha_2})}$	$e^{\frac{2i}{3}\eta^0(H_{\alpha_1}-H_{\alpha_2})}$	$U(1)_+ \times U(1)_-$
$SU(2, 1)_{12}$	$e^{\zeta^0(H_{\alpha_1}+iH_{\alpha_2})}$	$e^{\frac{2}{3}\eta^0(H_{\alpha_1}-iH_{\alpha_2})}$	$GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \overline{GL(1, \mathbb{C})}$
$SU(1, 2)_{21}$	$e^{\zeta^0(iH_{\alpha_1}+H_{\alpha_2})}$	$e^{\frac{2}{3}\eta^0(iH_{\alpha_1}-H_{\alpha_2})}$	$GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \overline{GL(1, \mathbb{C})}$
$SL(3, \mathbb{R})$	$e^{\zeta^0(H_{\alpha_1}+H_{\alpha_2})}$	$e^{\frac{2}{3}\eta^0(H_{\alpha_1}-H_{\alpha_2})}$	$GL(1, \mathbb{R})_+ \times GL(1, \mathbb{R})_-$

(3.35)

where the parameters ζ^0 , η^0 are as previously provided. As we will see, they can be constrained by demanding the regularity of the gauge potentials around the thermal cycle. Note that the complex group $GL(1, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$.

The exponentiation of the circulation $\int_{\mathcal{C}_t} dt a_t^{\text{DIAG}}$ around some line \mathcal{C}_t has the geometric interpretations

$SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ real forms	$\exp(\int_{\mathcal{C}_t} dt a_t^{\text{DIAG}})$	Cartan geometry
$SU(3)$	$e^{i\Upsilon^0 L_0} e^{i\Gamma^0 W_0}$	$\mathbb{S}_+^1 \times \mathbb{S}_-^1$
$SU(2, 1)_{12}$	$e^{\Upsilon^0 L_0} e^{i\Gamma^0 W_0}$	$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}_-^1$
$SU(1, 2)_{21}$	$e^{i\Upsilon^0 L_0} e^{\Gamma^0 W_0}$	$\mathbb{S}_+^1 \times \mathbb{R}$
$SL(3, \mathbb{R})$	$e^{\Upsilon^0 L_0} e^{\Gamma^0 W_0}$	$\mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}$

(3.36)

for the left sector. As for the right sector, we have

$\widetilde{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ real forms	$\exp(\widetilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}})$	geometry
$\widetilde{SU}(3)$	$e^{-i\widetilde{\Upsilon}^0 L_0} e^{-i\widetilde{\Gamma}^0 W_0}$	$\widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_+^1 \times \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_-^1$
$\widetilde{SU}(2, 1)_{12}$	$e^{\widetilde{\Upsilon}^0 L_0} e^{-i\widetilde{\Gamma}^0 W_0}$	$\widetilde{\mathbb{R}} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_-^1$
$\widetilde{SU}(1, 2)_{21}$	$e^{-i\widetilde{\Upsilon}^0 L_0} e^{\widetilde{\Gamma}^0 W_0}$	$\mathbb{S}_+^1 \times \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$
$\widetilde{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$	$e^{\widetilde{\Upsilon}^0 L_0} e^{i\widetilde{\Gamma}^0 W_0}$	$\widetilde{\mathbb{R}} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}$

(3.37)

3.1.2 Beyond the $SL(3)$ symmetry

The above $sl(3)$ construction extends straightforwardly to encompass other real forms of the $sl(N, \mathbb{C})$ family as well as other Lie algebras of the Cartan classification. Building upon the $SL(2)$ and $SL(3)$ models, we give the HS generators $W_{m_2}^{(2)}$, $W_{m_3}^{(3)}$, $W_{m_4}^{(4)}$ of SL_4 in terms of the simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$.

A. HS spin basis using simple roots:

The generators $L_{0,\pm}$ of the principal sl_2 in terms of the Cartan-Weyl generators $\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_i}, \mathbf{E}_{\pm\alpha_i}$ of the Lie algebra sl_4 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= -\sqrt{3}\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1} - 2\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_2} - \sqrt{3}\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_3} \\ L_0 &= +\frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} + 2\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_2} + \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_3} \\ L_- &= +\sqrt{3}\mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_1} + 2\mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_2} + \sqrt{3}\mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

with $[\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_i}, \mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_i}] = \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_i}$. These expressions are invariant under the discrete mapping $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \leftrightarrow (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1)$ exchanging α_1 and α_3 while preserving α_2 . This discrete \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry is nothing other than the outer automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of $sl(4, \mathbb{C})$. The corresponding matrix realisation can be written as

$$L_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From these relations, one can deduce the highest weight states L_+^2 and L_+^3 of the isospin $j=2$ and $j=3$ representations namely

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^2 &= +\sqrt{12}(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) \\ L_+^3 &= -6\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

with $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha+\beta} = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}[\mathbf{E}_\alpha, \mathbf{E}_\beta]$. The associated matrix realisations are as

$$L_+^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_0^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.40)$$

The rest of the generators $W_{m_2}^{(2)}$ and $W_{m_3}^{(3)}$ are respectively given by successive actions of ad_{L_-} on the isospin $j=2$ and $j=3$ representations with highest weight states $(L_+)^j$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m_3}^{(3)} &= +(-)^{m_3} \frac{(2-m_3)!}{12} (ad_{L_-})^{2-m_3} (L_+)^2 \\ W_{m_4}^{(4)} &= -(-)^{m_4} \frac{(3-m_4)!}{360} (ad_{L_-})^{3-m_4} (L_+)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Besides the charge operator L_0 , the other diagonal generators of sl_4 are

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{(3)} &= +\frac{1}{6} (ad_{L_-})^2 (L_+)^2 \\ W_0^{(4)} &= -\frac{1}{60} (ad_{L_-})^3 (L_+)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

they can be expanded like

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{(3)} &= +\frac{1}{3}(\{L_-, L_+\} + 4L_0L_0) \\ W_0^{(4)} &= -\frac{1}{5}(4L_0^3 + L_0\{L_+, L_-\} + L_-\{L_0, L_+\} + L_+\{L_-, L_0\}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

With the matrix representations

$$W_0^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_0^{(4)} = -\frac{3}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.44)$$

indicating that $Tr(W_0^{(3)}W_0^{(3)}) = 16$ and $Tr(W_0^{(4)}W_0^{(4)}) = \frac{36}{5}$. Moreover, with the formula $\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{P}_i - \mathbf{P}_{i+1}$, the diagonal generators can be recast as linear combinations of the Cartans as follows

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{(2)} &= +\frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} + 2\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_2} + \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_3} \\ W_0^{(3)} &= +2(\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} - \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_3}) \\ W_0^{(4)} &= -\frac{3}{5}(\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} - 2\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_2} + \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_3}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

They form an orthogonal set such that $Tr(L_0W_0^{(s)}) = Tr(W_0^{(3)}W_0^{(4)}) = 0$. The five isospin $j = 2$ states with HW $6L_+^2$ can be also read in terms of the simple roots as follows

$$\begin{aligned} W_{++}^{(3)} &= +\sqrt{3}(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} + \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) \\ W_+^{(3)} &= -8\sqrt{3}(\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1} - \mathbf{E}_{\alpha_3}) \\ W_0^{(3)} &= +2(\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} - \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_3}) \\ W_-^{(3)} &= -72\sqrt{3}(\mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_1} - \mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_3}) \\ W_{--}^{(3)} &= +576\sqrt{3}(\mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} - \mathbf{E}_{-\alpha_2-\alpha_3}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Similar relationships can be written down for the 7 states of $W_{m_4}^{(4)}$ with HW $W_{+++}^{(4)} = -6\mathbf{E}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}$.

B. the simple weight vectors γ_i of \mathbf{SL}_4 :

Using (3.45), we can introduce three weight vectors $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ sitting in \mathbb{R}^4 via the correspondence:

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(W_0^{(2)}W_0^{(2)}) &= \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \\ Tr(W_0^{(3)}W_0^{(3)}) &= \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_2 \\ Tr(W_0^{(4)}W_0^{(4)}) &= \gamma_3 \cdot \gamma_3 \\ Tr(W_0^{(i+1)}W_0^{(j+1)}) &= \gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

These weight vectors are related to the simple roots $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ of SL_4 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= +\frac{3}{2}\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_3 & \alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{5}\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_2 - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_3 \\ \gamma_2 &= +2\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_3 & \alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{5}\gamma_1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 \\ \gamma_3 &= -\frac{3}{5}\alpha_1 + \frac{6}{5}\alpha_2 - \frac{3}{5}\alpha_3 & \alpha_3 &= \frac{1}{5}\gamma_1 - \frac{1}{4}\gamma_2 - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_3 \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

These are orthogonal vectors with lengths $\gamma_1^2 = 5$, $\gamma_2^2 = 16$ and $\gamma_3^2 = \frac{36}{5}$. Their expression in terms of the canonical weight vectors \mathbf{e}_i read as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= +\frac{3}{2}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_3 - \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{e}_4 \\ \gamma_2 &= +2\mathbf{e}_1 - 2\mathbf{e}_2 - 2\mathbf{e}_3 + 2\mathbf{e}_4 \\ \gamma_3 &= -\frac{3}{5}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{6}{5}\mathbf{e}_2 - \frac{6}{5}\mathbf{e}_3 + \frac{3}{5}\mathbf{e}_4 \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

C. Commuting Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{R})$:

The homologue of **Figure 2** describing the $SL(4, \mathbb{R})$ Cartan geometry CART_{sl_4} is given by the 3-dimensional space ($\dim \text{CART}_{sl_4} = 3$) with planes, straightlines and chambers as given below:

- 2-dim Cartan planes CART_{sl_3} associated with the $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}$ Lie subalgebras separating 3-dim chambers.
- various straightlines CART_{sl_2} of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_i}$ Lie subalgebras carving up 2-dim chambers in the $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})_{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}$ planes.

The typical diagonal matrix elements Θ_{sl_4} of the Cartan subspace CART_{sl_4} expand like

$$\Theta_{sl_4} = \zeta_{(2)}^0 W_0^{(2)} + \zeta_{(3)}^0 W_0^{(3)} + \zeta_{(4)}^0 W_0^{(4)}$$

with three real parameters ζ_{s-1}^0 and associated HS generators $W_0^{(s)}$. By using the alternative (3.45), we get instead

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{sl_4} &= \left(\frac{3}{2}\zeta_{(2)}^0 + 2\zeta_{(3)}^0 - \frac{3}{5}\zeta_{(4)}^0\right)\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} \\ &+ \left(2\zeta_{(2)}^0 + \frac{6}{5}\zeta_{(4)}^0\right)\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{2}\zeta_{(2)}^0 - 2\zeta_{(3)}^0 - \frac{3}{5}\zeta_{(4)}^0\right)\mathbf{H}_{\alpha_3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

The two dimensional subspaces CART_{sl_3} sitting in (3.50) are obtained upon imposing a constraint relation on these parameters, for instance the condition

$$\zeta_{(4)}^0 = \frac{5}{2}\zeta_{(2)}^0 - \frac{10}{3}\zeta_{(3)}^0 \quad (3.51)$$

restricts the above relation to

$$\Theta_{sl_3} = 4\zeta_{(3)}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1} + (5\zeta_{(2)}^0 - 4\zeta_{(3)}^0) \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_2} \quad (3.52)$$

If we moreover set $5\zeta_{(2)}^0 - 4\zeta_{(3)}^0$, we reach the CART_{sl_2} having the elements $\Theta_{sl_2} = 5\zeta_{(2)}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\alpha_1}$.

We conclude this description by noting that the complexified gauge symmetry group $SL(4, \mathbb{C})$ has four real forms given by

$$SL(4, \mathbb{R}) \quad , \quad SU(4) \quad , \quad SU(2, 2) \quad , \quad SU(3, 1) \simeq SU(1, 3) \quad (3.53)$$

where the compact real form $SU(4)$ has $3+3$ compact $SU(2)_\alpha$ subgroups labelled by the 6 positive roots

$$\alpha_1, \quad \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_3, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \quad (3.54)$$

and typical group elements of the Cartan subgroup are given by $e^{i\Theta_{sl_N}}$ with angular matrix $\Theta_{sl_N} = \sum \zeta_{s-1}^0 W_0^{(s)}$ expanding as in (3.50).

3.2 Solving the equations of motion

Since the equations of motion (3.2) play an important role in the study of HS black holes, we find it imperative to shed more light on their solutions. Strictly speaking, the boundary $(a^{\text{DIAG}}, \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}})$ involved in these relations are not arbitrary fields seeing that they must obey (3.2) and undergo certain gauge transformations. Thus, the fundamental variables are a priori obtained by solving the field equations (3.2); which can be achieved in various ways depending on the targeted features. Below, we give three types of solutions: the first two are described in details here after while the third is briefly addressed as it will be further investigated in section 5.

3.2.1 Topological gauge configuration

The first type of (3.2)'s solutions is given by the trivial realisation with boundary potentials taken as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 &= \partial_\varphi \vartheta_1^0 & \Upsilon_t^0 &= \partial_t \vartheta_1^0 & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 &= \partial_\varphi \tilde{\vartheta}_1^0 & \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 &= \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta}_1^0 \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 &= \partial_\varphi \vartheta_2^0 & \Gamma_t^0 &= \partial_t \vartheta_2^0 & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 &= \partial_\varphi \tilde{\vartheta}_2^0 & \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 &= \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta}_2^0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

where the fields $\vartheta_i^0(\varphi, t)$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}_i^0(\varphi, t)$ are arbitrary scalar functions. This solution reflects the topological nature of the abelian potentials a^{DIAG} and \tilde{a}^{DIAG} where the vanishing of the curvatures $f = da^{\text{DIAG}}$ and $\tilde{f} = d\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}}$ is assured by

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\text{DIAG}} &= d\vartheta_1 + d\vartheta_2 = (d\vartheta_1^0) L_0 + (d\vartheta_2^0) W_0 \\ \tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} &= d\tilde{\vartheta}_1 + d\tilde{\vartheta}_2 = (d\tilde{\vartheta}_1^0) L_0 + (d\tilde{\vartheta}_2^0) W_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

with $d^2\vartheta_i^0 = 0$ and $d^2\tilde{\vartheta}_i^0 = 0$. Here, gauge invariant objects are given by topological defects [39–41]. This realisation treats $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0; \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0)$ and $(\Upsilon_t^0, \Gamma_t^0; \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0, \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0)$ on a somehow equal footing which makes it less significant for our study of higher spin black holes and will be dropped for the rest of the analysis.

3.2.2 Fixing Lagrange multipliers

The second type of solutions fulfilling the constraint (3.2) is given by the interesting realisation where the angular gradient of Lagrange multipliers namely $(\partial_\varphi \Upsilon_t^0, \partial_\varphi \Gamma_t^0)$ and $(\partial_\varphi \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0, \partial_\varphi \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0)$ are assumed to be vanishing quantities; that is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varphi \Upsilon_t^0 &= 0 & \partial_\varphi \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 &= 0 \\ \partial_\varphi \Gamma_t^0 &= 0 & \partial_\varphi \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.57)$$

requiring $(\Upsilon_t^0, \Gamma_t^0)$ and $(\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0, \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0)$ φ -independent functions but they may still be time dependent. Below, we consider the simple case where both Υ_t^0 and Γ_t^0 are constant and study the arising features.

A) Case of constant Lagrange multipliers:

We satisfy the requirements of the eqs(3.57) by setting the Lagrange multipliers to take constant real numbers as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_t^0 &= \lambda^0 & \Gamma_t^0 &= \Lambda^0 \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 &= \tilde{\lambda}^0 & \tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 &= \tilde{\Lambda}^0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.58)$$

which by putting into (2.32), the real line functionals

$$\mathbf{W}_{a_\tau} = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau a_t^{\text{DIAG}} dt\right) \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{a_\tau} = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} dt\right) \quad (3.59)$$

read explicitly as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{a_\tau} &= \exp\left[\tau \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 + \lambda^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4}{3}\Lambda^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 - \lambda^0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \\ \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{a_\tau} &= \exp\left[\tau \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\Lambda}^0 + \tilde{\lambda}^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4}{3}\tilde{\Lambda}^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\Lambda}^0 - \tilde{\lambda}^0 \end{pmatrix}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

with $\det \mathbf{W}_{a_\tau} = \det \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{a_\tau} = 1$ and $\mathbf{W}_{a_\tau}^* = \mathbf{W}_{a_\tau}$ as well as $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{a_\tau}^* = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{a_\tau}$.

A.1) Spin 2 branches in higher spin 3 theory

The matrices $T = \lambda^0 L_0 + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 W_0$ and $\tilde{T} = \tilde{\lambda}^0 L_0 + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\Lambda}^0 W_0$ in the above exponentials belong to the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$; they read explicitly as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 + \lambda^0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4}{3}\Lambda^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 - \lambda^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.61)$$

A similar formula is also available for \tilde{T} . These matrices capture important data on the black holes entropies provided by the constraints on the values of the chemical potentials (λ^0, Λ^0) . Because $\mathfrak{sl}(3)$ contains three basic $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ s in one to one correspondence with its 3 positive roots namely

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{positive roots} & : & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ \text{\textit{sl}(2)s} & : & \text{\textit{sl}(2)}_{\alpha_1} & \text{\textit{sl}(2)}_{\alpha_2} & \text{\textit{sl}(2)}_{\alpha_3} \\ \text{Cartans} & : & H_{\alpha_1} & H_{\alpha_2} & H_{\alpha_3} = H_{\alpha_1} + H_{\alpha_2} \end{array} \quad (3.62)$$

we can identify several 2-dim chambers in the parameter space of (λ^0, Λ^0) ; see Figure 2 where we set the identification $(\lambda^0, \Lambda^0) = (\zeta, \eta)$. Below, we give the different boundary lines chambers of the Cartan subspace:

- **Boundary line $\Lambda^0 = 0$ and $\lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}$** : In this case, the matrix (3.61) reduces to

$$T_{j=1} = \lambda^0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.63)$$

with L_0 corresponding to the Cartan of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\alpha_3}$ in the isospin representation $j = 1$. This line corresponds to the horizontal axis in Figure 2.

- **Boundary line $\lambda^0 = 0$ and $\Lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}$** : Here, the matrix (3.61) becomes

$$T_{j=2} = \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.64)$$

where the W_0 is the Cartan of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\alpha_3}$ in the isospin representation $j = 2$. This line corresponds to the vertical line in Figure 2

- **Boundary line $\lambda^0 = +\frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0$** : the matrix (3.61) is given by

$$T_{\alpha_1} = \lambda^0 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.65)$$

T_{α_1} generate the Cartan of $sl(2)_{\alpha_1}$ in the isospin $j=2$ representation.

- **Line** $\lambda^0 = -\frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0$; the matrix (3.61) reads as

$$T_{\alpha_2} = \lambda^0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.66)$$

Similarly, T_{α_2} generate the Cartan of $sl(2)_{\alpha_2}$ in isospin $j=2$ representation.

Using the boundary $sl(2)$ straightlines, the 4+4 chambers having $\Lambda^0 \geq 0$ are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}_{++} & : \quad 0 \leq \Lambda^0 \leq +\frac{2}{3}\lambda^0, \quad \lambda^0 \geq 0 \\ \text{II}_{++} & : \quad +\frac{2}{3}\lambda^0 \leq \Lambda^0 \leq \infty, \quad \lambda^0 \geq 0 \\ \text{I}_{-+} & : \quad -\frac{3}{2}\lambda^0 \leq \Lambda^0 \leq \infty, \quad \lambda^0 \leq 0 \\ \text{II}_{-+} & : \quad 0 \leq \Lambda^0 \leq -\frac{2}{3}\lambda^0, \quad \lambda^0 \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

A.2) regularity around thermal cycle

Using the quantities (3.60) and the real forms of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$, we have the values

$sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ real forms	\mathbf{W}_{a_β}	$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}_{a_\beta}$
$su(3)$	$e^{i\beta\lambda L_0} e^{i\beta\Lambda W_0}$	$e^{-i\beta\lambda L_0} e^{-i\beta\Lambda W_0}$
$su(2, 1)_{12}$	$e^{i\beta\lambda L_0} e^{\beta\Lambda W_0}$	$e^{-i\beta\lambda L_0} e^{\beta\Lambda W_0}$
$su(2, 1)_{21}$	$e^{\beta\lambda L_0} e^{i\beta\Lambda W_0}$	$e^{\beta\lambda L_0} e^{-i\beta\Lambda W_0}$
$sl(3, \mathbb{R})$	$e^{\beta\lambda L_0} e^{\beta\Lambda W_0}$	$e^{\beta\lambda L_0} e^{\beta\Lambda W_0}$

(3.68)

From these relations, it is evident that the regularity of the holonomies around the thermal cycle can be only imposed on the compact $su(3)$ and $su(2)$ forms inside the real forms $su(2, 1)_{12}$ and $su(2, 1)_{21}$. Accordingly, we demand the following regularity conditions

$su(3)$	$e^{i\beta\lambda L_0} e^{i\beta\Lambda W_0}$	=	zI_{id}
$su(2, 1)_{12}$	$e^{i\beta\lambda L_0}$	=	zI_{id}
$su(2, 1)_{21}$	$e^{i\beta\Lambda W_0}$	=	zI_{id}

(3.69)

where we have set $\tau = i\beta$ and where a priori $z = 1$ for a trivial holonomy. However, it will be taken below like $z^N = 1$, that is in the centre of $SU(N)$ and $SU(N_1, N_2)$ with $N_1 + N_2 = N$ to prevent any loss of generality. For the compact case where $N = N_1 = 3$, the condition $z^3 = 1$ is fulfilled by the complex numbers $z = \omega^p$ with $\omega = \exp(i2\pi/3)$ and p an integer mod 3. These three ω^p elements form the discrete abelian group




Figure 3: The three layers of the \mathbb{Z}_3 group centre of the $SL(3)$.

$\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{I_{id}, \omega, \omega^{-1}\}$ with three layers as depicted by Figure 3. Notice that in [6], the holonomies (3.68) took values in the subgroup $\{I_{id}\}$ of the centre \mathbb{Z}_3 (neutral holonomy). However, below we shall consider all three layers of **Figure 3**. Note that for the compact part of $su(2, 1)$, we have $\Lambda = 0$ for $su(2, 1)_{12}$ and $\lambda^0 = 0$ for $su(2, 1)_{21}$.

• **Compact real form $su(3)$:**

In the case of the compact real form $su(3)$, the associated constraint $e^{i\beta\lambda L_0} e^{i\beta\Lambda W_0} = zI_{id}$ is given by the matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta(\frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 + \lambda^0)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{4}{3}\beta\Lambda^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\beta(\frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 - \lambda^0)} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i2\pi p/3} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\pi N_+} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i2\pi M} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i2\pi N_-} \end{pmatrix}$$

where N_{\pm} and M are integers and where we have used $p \equiv p+3q$ indicating that $p \equiv -2p \pmod{3}$. This leads to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 + \lambda^0 &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta} (N_+ + \frac{1}{3}p) \\ -\frac{4}{3}\Lambda^0 &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta} (-M - \frac{2}{3}p) \\ \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 - \lambda^0 &= \frac{2\pi}{\beta} (N_- + \frac{1}{3}p) \end{cases} \quad (3.70)$$

solved by $M = N_+ + N_-$ and the quantized

$$\Lambda^0 = \frac{3\pi}{2\beta} \left(M + \frac{2}{3}p \right), \quad \lambda^0 = \frac{\pi}{\beta} (2N + M) \quad (3.71)$$

labeled by three integers (N, M, p) such that $\Lambda^0 \equiv \Lambda_{0,M,p}^0$ and $\lambda^0 \equiv \lambda_{N,M,0}^0$. This discrete solution indicates that the dependence on the central parameter z is captured only by the spin $s = 3$ component Λ^0 . Because p can take three values $0, 1, 2 \pmod{3}$, we then distinguish three sectors for $\Lambda^0 = \Lambda^0(p)$ namely

$p \pmod{3}$		0	1	2
spin $s=2$	$\lambda_{N,M,0}^0$	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}(2N + M)$	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}(2N + M)$	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}(2N + M)$
spin $s=3$	$\Lambda_{0,M,p}^0$	$\frac{\pi}{2\beta}(3M)$	$\frac{\pi}{2\beta}(3M + 1)$	$\frac{\pi}{2\beta}(3M + 2)$

(3.72)

Notice that $\Lambda^0(p)$ can vanish only when $p = 0$ corresponding to trivial holonomies in the subgroup $\{I_{id}\}$ of the centre \mathbb{Z}_3 . Notice also that for the branch $M = 0$, we have

$p \pmod 3$		0	1	2	
spin s=2	λ^0	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}2N$	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}2N$	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}2N$	(3.73)
spin s=3	$\Lambda^0(p)$	0	$\frac{\pi}{2\beta}$	$\frac{\pi}{\beta}$	

showing that (i) the spin $s = 3$ has non trivial contributions $\frac{\pi}{2\beta}$ and $\frac{\pi}{\beta}$ stemming from the central values $2\pi p/3$; and (ii) the crossing of the line $\Lambda^0 = 0$ [the $SU(2)_{\alpha_3}$ direction] requires a discrete jump by an amount of $2\pi p/3$.

• **Real form** $su(2, 1)$:

Quite similar relations hold for the real form $su(2, 1) \simeq su(1, 2)$.

For the case $\Lambda^0 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta\lambda^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\beta\lambda^0} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i2\pi p/3} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\pi N_0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i2\pi N_0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.74)$$

giving

$$\begin{cases} \lambda^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \left(N_0 + \frac{p}{3} \right) \\ 0 = \frac{2\pi}{3\beta} p \\ \lambda^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \left(N_0 - \frac{p}{3} \right) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \lambda^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} N \quad (3.75)$$

solved by $p = 0 \pmod 3$, and the quantized $\lambda^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} N$ can be denoted like $\lambda_N^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} N$.

For the case $\lambda^0 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta\frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\frac{4}{3}\beta\Lambda^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\beta\frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i2\pi p/3} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\pi N} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i2\pi M} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i2\pi N} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.76)$$

giving

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}\Lambda^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \left(N + \frac{1}{3}p \right) \\ \frac{4}{3}\Lambda^0 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \left(M + \frac{2}{3}p \right) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Lambda^0 = \frac{3\pi}{\beta} \left(N + \frac{1}{3}p \right) \quad (3.77)$$

solved by $M = 2N$ and then $\Lambda^0 = \frac{3\pi}{\beta} \left(N + \frac{1}{3}p \right)$ can be written like $\Lambda_{N,p}^0 = \frac{3\pi}{\beta} \left(N + \frac{1}{3}p \right)$.

B) Eqs(3.2) from a variational principle and BH entropy

Here, we go over the variational principle leading to the relations (3.2) in preparation for the derivation of our $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ formula for the entropy of HS black flowers. As a follow up, we compare the well-known $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$, calculated by GPPTT (*Grumiller et al*) in [6] [see eq(4.5) there], with our $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ and comment on the conserved quantities for this family of HS black holes.

B.1) Variational principle

Using the hypothesis (3.57), the field equations (3.2) reduce down to the remarkable relations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi &= (\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0) L_0 = 0 & \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi &= (\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0) L_0 = 0 \\ \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi &= (\partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0) W_0 = 0 & \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi &= (\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0) W_0 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

These relations can be interpreted as 2D field equations of motion descending from a 2D action principle as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \lambda} &= \partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi & , & & \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \tilde{\lambda}} &= \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \Lambda} &= \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi & , & & \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \tilde{\Lambda}} &= \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

here the $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ are functions of the variables (φ, t) ; i.e:

$$\mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi, t) \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi, t) \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi(\varphi, t) \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi(\varphi, t) \quad (3.80)$$

we find that the action \mathcal{H} is a linear combination of two objects \mathbb{I} and $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ such that $\beta \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{I} + \tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \mathbb{I} &= \frac{k}{4} \int_0^\beta dt \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} [\lambda \partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi + \Lambda \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi] \right) \\ 2\pi \tilde{\mathbb{I}} &= \frac{k}{4} \int_0^\beta dt \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} [\tilde{\lambda} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi + \tilde{\Lambda} \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

with Lagrange multipliers (λ, Λ) and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\Lambda})$ being constant parameters as previously chosen. An alternative approach to derive (3.78) is to consider instead of H the quantity $\beta \mathcal{P} = \mathbb{I} - \tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ defining the angular momentum.

B.2) Deriving BH entropy

We show below that the absolute values $|\mathbb{I}|$ and $|\tilde{\mathbb{I}}|$ are closely related to the GPPTT entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$ given by,

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}} = \pi (2n + m) (J_0 + \bar{J}_0) + 3\pi m (K_0 + \bar{K}_0) \quad (3.82)$$

with an affiliation to the boundary hamiltonian given by the variation $\delta S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}} = \beta (\delta \mathcal{H}^{\text{GPPTT}})$. In what follows, we establish that:

- (i) the entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$ denotes a particular layer of the black flower solution of higher spin gravity with symmetry $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$. It is associated with the neutral holonomy $\{I_{id}\}$ sitting in the $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{I_{id}, \omega, \omega^2\}$ centre of $SU(3)$.
- (ii) the eq (3.82) corresponds to the maximal value of two gauge invariants

$$\mathbf{I}^\pm = 2\pi |\mathbf{I} \pm \tilde{\mathbf{I}}| \quad (3.83)$$

where the left sector charge (and equivalently the right) is given by $\mathbf{I} = 2\pi \mathfrak{N} J_0 + 3\pi \mathfrak{M} K_0$ with \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{M} to be determined. Due to the inequality $|\mathbf{I} \pm \tilde{\mathbf{I}}| \leq |\mathbf{I}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{I}}|$, the invariants (3.83) are upper bounded by the maximal value

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}} = 2\pi (|\mathbf{I}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{I}}|) \quad (3.84)$$

defining the entropy of the HS-BF.

To prove that $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ comprises $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$, we must calculate the integrals in eq(3.81). However, this requires a certain knowledge of the dependencies of $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ on the Euclidean time $t = it_E$ and the angular variable φ . In fact, in addition to the Lagrange multipliers being constants in our description, we can impose a reliance on the Euclidean time in (3.80) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi) \cos(\pi \frac{t_E}{\beta}) \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi) \cos(\pi \frac{t_E}{\beta}) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi(\varphi) \cos(\pi \frac{t_E}{\beta}) \\ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi(\varphi) \cos(\pi \frac{t_E}{\beta}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

with t_E varying in the 1-cycle $[0, \beta]$. Notice that the above factorisation of the variables (φ, t_E) follows from the equations of motion (3.78) demanding the equality of the time derivatives (velocities) of these relations at $t_E = 0$ and $t_E = \beta$. For example, the $\mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E)$ obey $\partial_{t_E} \mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi) \sin(\pi \frac{t_E}{\beta})$ which vanishes at $t_E = n\beta$. The integration in \mathbf{I} (as well as in $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$) with respect to the Euclidean time coordinate gives

$$2\pi \mathbf{I} = \left(\frac{k\beta}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} [\lambda \mathcal{J}_\varphi + \Lambda \mathcal{K}_\varphi] \right) \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta dt_E \partial_{t_E} \left(\cos(\pi \frac{t_E}{\beta}) \right) \right] \quad (3.86)$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\mathbb{I} &= \frac{k\beta}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} [\lambda \mathcal{J}_\varphi + \Lambda \mathcal{K}_\varphi] \\ 2\pi\tilde{\mathbb{I}} &= \frac{k\beta}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} [\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi + \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi] \end{aligned} \quad (3.87)$$

By substituting $\lambda = \lambda^0 L_0$, $\Lambda = \Lambda^0 W_0$ and $\mathcal{J}_\varphi = \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 L_0$, $\mathcal{K}_\varphi = \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 W_0$ along with their twild homologue as well as using the properties $\text{Tr}(L_0 L_0) = 2$ and $\text{Tr}(W_0 W_0) = \frac{8}{3}$, we bring the above relation to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I} &= \beta \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \left[\lambda^0 \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 + \frac{4}{3} \Lambda^0 \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 \right] \\ \tilde{\mathbb{I}} &= \beta \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \left[\tilde{\lambda}^0 \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 + \frac{4}{3} \tilde{\Lambda}^0 \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

with the periodicities $\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\varphi^0(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0(\varphi)$. The integration with respect to the angular variable gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I} &= \beta [\lambda^0 J_0^0 + \Lambda^0 K_0^0] \\ \tilde{\mathbb{I}} &= \beta [\tilde{\lambda}^0 \tilde{J}_0^0 + \tilde{\Lambda}^0 \tilde{K}_0^0] \end{aligned} \quad (3.89)$$

where we have used

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \mathcal{J}_\varphi = \frac{2}{k} J_0 \quad , \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \mathcal{K}_\varphi = \frac{3}{2k} K_0 \quad (3.90)$$

Putting (3.71) into (3.89), we have for (λ, Λ)

real forms	invariant I
$su(3)$	$2\pi\mathfrak{N}J_0^0 + 3\pi\mathfrak{M}K_0^0$
$su(2,1)_{12}$	$(2\pi N) J_0^0$
$su(1,2)_{21}$	$(3\pi\mathfrak{M}) K_0^0$
$sl(3, \mathbb{R})$	$\beta [\lambda^0 J_0^0 + \Lambda^0 K_0^0]$

(3.91)

with

$$\mathfrak{N} = n + \frac{1}{2}m \quad , \quad \mathfrak{M} = \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{3}p \quad (3.92)$$

where \mathfrak{M} evidently depends on the group elements of the $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{I_{id}, \omega, \omega^2\}$ centre of $SU(3)$. The equivalent expressions for $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}$ are generated by $(\tilde{J}_0^0, \tilde{K}_0^0)$.

B.3) Comments on $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ and $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPP/T}}$ entropies

Our generalised solution is given by the maximal value $S_{\text{HS-BH}} = 2\pi(|\mathbb{I}| + |\tilde{\mathbb{I}}|)$; it reads for the compact real form $SU(3)$ of the complexified gauge symmetry as follows

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}} = 2\pi |\mathfrak{N}| \left(|J_0^0| + |\tilde{J}_0^0| \right) + 3\pi |\mathfrak{M}| \left(|K_0^0| + |\tilde{K}_0^0| \right) \quad (3.93)$$

where $(|J_0^0|, |K_0^0|)$ and $(|\tilde{J}_0^0|, |\tilde{K}_0^0|)$ [for short $(|J_0|, |K_0|)$ and $(|\tilde{J}_0|, |\tilde{K}_0|)$] are the absolute value of the zero modes of conserved $\prod \hat{U}(1)_k$ currents. This entropy can be also presented as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{HS-BH}} &= 2\pi \left| n + \frac{1}{2}m \right| \left(J_0 + \tilde{J}_0 \right) + \\ & 3\pi \left| \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{3}p \right| \left(K_0 + \tilde{K}_0 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.94)$$

The number n, m are integers ($n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$) with p belonging to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. This reformulation of the expression helps to expose several features:

- **Case $p = 0 \bmod 3$:**

With this parametrisation, the higher spin black hole entropy relation coincides with the entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$ given by $\pi(2n + m)(J_0 + \bar{J}_0) + 3\pi m(K_0 + \bar{K}_0)$. Because $S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}} > 0$, we can assure the fulfillment of this condition by taking n and m to be positive integers with $J_0 + \bar{J}_0 > 0$; that is $\text{Re}(J_0) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(K_0) > 0$. Additionally, by setting $m = 0$, the GPPTT reduces to

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}|_{m=0} = 2n\pi (J_0 + \bar{J}_0) \quad (3.95)$$

recuperating therefore the spin 2 black holes characterised by the even integer $2n$. As we will see below [see eq(3.98)], this even parity is closely related to the identity element in the $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{I_{id}, -I_{id}\}$ group centre of $SU(2)$.

- **Case $p \neq 0 \bmod 3$ and $m=0$:**

By setting $m = 0$, the BH entropy (3.94) reads as follows

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}} = 2\pi n (J_0 + \bar{J}_0) + \pi|p| (K_0 + \bar{K}_0) \quad (3.96)$$

we therefore have

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}} = S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}|_{m=0} + \pi|p| (K_0 + \bar{K}_0) \quad (3.97)$$

indicating that for non trivial holonomies, the branch $m=0$ has a contribution stemming from the spin $s=3$ fields. As such, for $p \neq 0 \bmod 3$, one cannot continuously go from the entropy $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ of the HS black flowers towards the entropy of the spin 2 black hole; a leap is required as depicted by Figure 4.

- **Case of spin $s=2$ gravity**

Here, the generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ are in the isospin $j=1/2$, they are given by the 2×2 matrices (2.17). The centre of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is given by $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{I_{id}, -I_{id}\}$ and is represented by the two layers of Figure 5. The regularity of the thermal holonomy




Figure 4: The different branches joining the higher spin $s=3$ black flowers to the spin $s=2$ black holes carrying $SU(2)$ symmetry. Except for trivial holonomies, the descent is given by discrete transitions showcasing the fact that \mathbb{Z}_2 is not a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_3 .




Figure 5: Centre \mathbb{Z}_2 of the compact real form $SU(2)$ of the spin $s=2$ AdS_3 gravity. The associated chemical potential λ^0 is given by $2n\pi/\beta$ for $z=1$ and $(2n+1)\pi/\beta$ for $z=-1$.

reads in general as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta\lambda^0} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\beta\lambda^0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi N} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi N} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.98)$$

where N is an integer solved as $\beta\lambda^0 = \pi N$. For even integer $N = 2n$, the value $\lambda^0 = 2n\pi/\beta$ corresponds to the central identity element I_{id} of the group centre \mathbb{Z}_2 while for odd integer $N = 2n+1$, the value $\lambda^0 = (2n+1)\pi/\beta$ lead to the central element $-I_{id}$.

- **From $SU(3)$ towards the three $SU(2)$ s**

Generic elements $\Theta = \zeta L_0 + \eta W_0$ in the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ Lie algebra with real parameters (ζ, η) read in the Cartan basis like $\theta_1 H_{\alpha_1} + \theta_2 H_{\alpha_2}$ with θ_i as follows

$$\theta_1 = \zeta + \frac{2}{3}\eta \quad , \quad \theta_2 = \zeta - \frac{2}{3}\eta \quad (3.99)$$

we recover the three $SU(2)_{\alpha_i}$ subgroups within $SU(3)$ by putting appropriate constraints on the parameters (ζ, η) such as

subgroup	constraint eq	
$SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$	$\eta = +\frac{3}{2}\zeta$	(3.100)
$SU(2)_{\alpha_2}$	$\eta = -\frac{3}{2}\zeta$	
$SU(2)_{\alpha_3}$	$\eta = 0$	

These constraint relations extend to the HS-BH entropy (3.94) having two blocks $S_L + S_R$: the first S_L of the left gauge symmetry $SU(3)_L$ is given by $\pi|2n + m|J_0 + \pi|\frac{3}{2}m + p|K_0$ with n, m integers and p integer mod 3. The second S_R is associated with the right gauge symmetry $SU(3)_R$ and is formulated as $\pi|2n + m|\tilde{J}_0 + \pi|\frac{3}{2}m + p|\tilde{K}$. Focusing on the left sector contribution,

$$S_L = \pi|2n + m|J_0 + \pi|\frac{3}{2}m + p|K_0 \quad (3.101)$$

by imagining J_0 and K_0 in terms of the Cartan charges of the $SU(3)_L$ symmetry and using the correspondence $J_0 \leftrightarrow L_0$ as well as $K_0 \leftrightarrow W_0$ in addition to the similarity of S_L with the Cartan charge matrix $\Theta_{sl_3} = \zeta L_0 + \eta W_0$, we obtain the following values for the chemical potentials

subgroup	constraint eq	$\beta\lambda^0$	$\beta\Lambda^0$	
$SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$	$3m = -2p$	$2\pi n - \frac{1}{3}p $	0	(3.102)
$SU(2)_{\alpha_2}$	$m = -2n$	0	$\pi 3n - p $	
$SU(2)_{\alpha_3}$	$m = 4n - 2p$	$2\pi 3n - p $	$2\pi 3n - p \pi$	

with $p = 0, 1, 2$. For $p = 0$, the transition is only continuous when considering $SU(3)$ towards $SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$ and $SU(2)_{\alpha_3}$ for which the chemical potential takes the value $\beta\lambda^0 = 2n\pi$. The passage between the $\{s = 2, 3\}$ and the $\{s = 2\}$ black holes is illustrated by the Figure 6 where the branches of the black flowers are represented by the three $SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$, $SU(2)_{\alpha_2}$ and $SU(2)_{\alpha_3}$ subgroups of the compact real form $SU(3)$. The bridging between the three $SU(2)_{\alpha_i}$ branches is generated by Weyl transformations of $SU(3)$; for example, the passage from $SU(2)_{\alpha_3}$ branch (black straight line in Figure 6) towards the $SU(2)_{\alpha_1}$ branch (red straight line in Figure 6) is given by the discrete transformation $\sigma_{\alpha_2}(\alpha_3) = \alpha_1$ acting as

$$\sigma_{\alpha_2} : \alpha_3 \rightarrow \alpha_3 - \frac{2(\alpha_3 \cdot \alpha_2)}{(\alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_2)} \alpha_2 \quad (3.103)$$

where $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ with the inner products $\alpha_3 \cdot \alpha_2 = 1$ and $\alpha_2^2 = 2$. Similarly, the bridge $SU(2)_{\alpha_3} \rightarrow SU(2)_{\alpha_2}$ (blue straight line in Figure 6) is given by the Weyl transformation $\sigma_{\alpha_1}(\alpha_3) = \alpha_2$ with the reflection $\sigma_{\alpha_3}(\alpha_3) = -\alpha_3$.




Figure 6: Transitions from HS black flowers with $SU(3)$ symmetries to spin $s=2$ black holes with $SU(2)$ subsymmetries.

C) Fourier modes of the conserved currents

The periodicity properties of the angular variable φ reading as

$$\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0(\varphi) \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0(\varphi) \quad (3.104)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0(\varphi + 2\pi) = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0(\varphi) \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0(\varphi + 2\pi) = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0(\varphi) \quad (3.105)$$

allow to expand the charge potentials in Fourier series as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-in\varphi} J_n^0 & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{+in\varphi} \tilde{J}_n^0 \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-in\varphi} K_n^0 & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{+in\varphi} \tilde{K}_n^0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.106)$$

where (J_n^0, K_n^0) and $(\tilde{J}_n^0, \tilde{K}_n^0)$ are Fourier modes. The normalisation factors $\frac{2}{k}$ and $\frac{3}{2k}$ insure appropriate constant structures for the W_3 -algebra. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{k} J_n^0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{+in\varphi} \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 & \frac{2}{k} \tilde{J}_n^0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{-in\varphi} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 \\ \frac{3}{2k} K_n^0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{+in\varphi} \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 & \frac{3}{2k} \tilde{K}_n^0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{-in\varphi} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (3.107)$$

D) Edge invariants

The conserved charges (I, \tilde{I}) given by (3.87) are, in fact, the zero mode (I_0, \tilde{I}_0) of the

two infinite families $(\mathbf{I}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_n)$ defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\mathbf{I}_n &= \frac{k\beta}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{in\varphi} \text{Tr} [\lambda\mathcal{J}_\varphi + \Lambda\mathcal{K}_\varphi] \\ 2\pi\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_n &= \frac{k\beta}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{-in\varphi} \text{Tr} [\tilde{\lambda}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi + \tilde{\Lambda}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi] \end{aligned} \quad (3.108)$$

By integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_n &= \beta [\lambda^0 J_n^0 + \Lambda^0 K_n^0] \\ \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_n &= \beta [\tilde{\lambda}^0 \tilde{J}_n^0 + \tilde{\Lambda}^0 \tilde{K}_n^0] \end{aligned} \quad (3.109)$$

Being a linear combination of (J_n^0, K_n^0) and $(\tilde{J}_n^0, \tilde{K}_n^0)$, they satisfy the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} i \{\mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{I}_m\}_{\text{PB}} &= \pm \frac{\beta k}{2} (\lambda^0 + \frac{4}{3}\Lambda^0) n\delta_{n+m,0} \\ i \{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_m\}_{\text{PB}} &= \pm \frac{\beta k}{2} (\tilde{\lambda}^0 + \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\Lambda}^0) n\delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.110)$$

At the quantum level, the above Poisson brackets get mapped to the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{k}{2} n\delta_{n+m,0} & [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n^0, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{k}{2} n\delta_{n+m,0} \\ [\mathbf{K}_n^0, \mathbf{K}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{2k}{3} n\delta_{n+m,0} & [\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{2k}{3} n\delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.111)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{I}_n, \mathbf{I}_m] &= \pm \frac{k}{2}\beta (\lambda^0 + \frac{4}{3}\Lambda^0) n\delta_{n+m,0} \\ [\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_m] &= \pm \frac{k}{2}\beta (\tilde{\lambda}^0 + \frac{4}{3}\tilde{\Lambda}^0) n\delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.112)$$

Substituting (3.71), we obtain:

real forms	\mathbf{I}_n
$su(3)$	$2\pi\mathfrak{N}J_n^0 + 3\pi\mathfrak{M}K_n^0$
$su(2)_{L_0}$	$2\pi N J_n^0$
$su(2)_{W_0}$	$3\pi\mathfrak{M}K_n^0$
$su(2,1)_{12}$	$(2\pi N) J_n^0$
$su(1,2)_{21}$	$(3\pi\mathfrak{M}) K_n^0$

(3.113)

where we set $\mathfrak{N}_0 = N + M/2$ and $\mathfrak{M} = M/2 + p/3$. Similar relations hold also for the twild sector $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_n$.

3.2.3 Using primary scalars and fermions

The third approach to work out the solutions of eq(3.2) exploits primary conformal field theory realisations to build effective 2D conformal field models while assuming the conditions $\partial_\varphi\Upsilon_t^0 = \partial_\varphi\Gamma_t^0 = 0$ and $\partial_\varphi\tilde{\Upsilon}_t^0 = \partial_\varphi\tilde{\Gamma}_t^0 = 0$. Here we think about the boundary

potentials in terms of scalar and/or fermi fields with conformal stress tensor realised in terms of oscillating fields. In this regard, notice that for the spin 2 gravity model, the affine symmetry in the AdS₃ boundary surface can be either the compact real form SU(2)_k or the non compact SL(2,ℝ)_k depending on the considered gauge symmetry of the CS model. These boundary symmetries are known to have different bosonic and fermionic realisations [42–44]; a characteristic property shared by all real forms of the SL(N,ℂ)_k family.

Here, we will restrict the analysis to the Cartan sectors of the real forms of the affine symmetry $SL(3, \mathbb{C})_k$ and consider the two following typical conformal field representations:

(a) **Bosonic realisation** for which the conserved currents $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 &\sim \partial_\varphi X & , & & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 &\sim \partial_\varphi \tilde{X} \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 &\sim \partial_\varphi Y & , & & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 &\sim \partial_\varphi \tilde{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (3.114)$$

where (X, Y) and (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) are primary scalar fields with periodic property $X(\varphi + 2\pi) = X(\varphi)$. Substituting into (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_\varphi X &= 0 & , & & \partial_t \partial_\varphi \tilde{X} &= 0 \\ \partial_t \partial_\varphi Y &= 0 & , & & \partial_t \partial_\varphi \tilde{Y} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.115)$$

which are just the usual field equations of motion governing the free scalar fields.

(b) **Fermionic representation** for which the conserved charge potentials $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0)$ are realised as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 &\sim \psi^1 \psi^2 & , & & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 &\sim \tilde{\psi}^1 \tilde{\psi}^2 \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 &\sim \chi^1 \chi^2 & , & & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 &\sim \tilde{\chi}^1 \tilde{\chi}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.116)$$

The four pairs of conformal primary fields (ψ^i, χ^i) and $(\tilde{\psi}^i, \tilde{\chi}^i)$ are (i) time independent

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi^i &= 0 & , & & \partial_t \tilde{\psi}^i &= 0 \\ \partial_t \chi^i &= 0 & , & & \partial_t \tilde{\chi}^i &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.117)$$

thus they are only functions of the variable φ ; and (ii) either periodic or antiperiodic Fermi fields.

These realisations assume the existence of realistic quantum scalar and/or Fermi field excitations at the boundary of the AdS₃ geometry which should incite interesting thermodynamics for our HS black holes. An expanded and more elaborated discussion of this CFT₂ model will be reported in section 5.

4 Boundary current algebras and HS-BF entropy

We first investigate the current algebras of the underlying higher spin symmetries of the previous black flowers with generalised boundary conditions (2.2) in connection with the real forms of the complexified $\mathfrak{sl}(N, \mathbb{C})$. Then, we construct the quantum states making the HS soft Heisenberg hair of these black holes. We conclude this section with explicit entropy computations of the various higher spin black flowers. The analysis will mainly focus on the higher spins $s=2,3$ black flowers, yet it can be seamlessly generalised.

4.1 Current algebra and $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ real forms

We begin by recalling that the conserved currents $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ given by the expansions (3.106) generate an infinite dimensional symmetry on the 2D boundary gauge theory. Pertaining to the case of real forms of the affine $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(3, \mathbb{C})_L \times \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(3, \mathbb{C})_R$, the 2D invariance consists of 2+2 isomorphic infinite dimensional Heisenberg symmetries namely: the 2 left sector sub-symmetries $\hat{u}(1)_{\mathcal{J}} \times \hat{u}(1)_{\mathcal{K}}$ of the affine $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(3, \mathbb{C})_L$ and the 2 right sector $\hat{u}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} \times \hat{u}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$ contained in the affine $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(3, \mathbb{C})_R$.

In this regard, the vanishing property of the left partial charge potential $da^{\text{DIAG}} = \mathcal{J}^0 L_0 + \mathcal{K}^0 W_0 = 0$ with periodic $\mathcal{J}^0 = \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 d\varphi$ and $\mathcal{K}^0 = \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 d\varphi$ shows that the currents \mathcal{J}_φ^0 and \mathcal{K}_φ^0 are conserved ($\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 = \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 = 0$). And due to their periodicity property, they expand in Fourier modes as $\sum J_n^0 e^{-in\varphi}$ and $\sum K_n^0 e^{-in\varphi}$ inducing two infinite series of conserved charges $\{J_n^0\}$ and $\{K_n^0\}$. Therefore, \mathcal{J}_φ^0 and \mathcal{K}_φ^0 generate the following current algebras (affine Kac-Moody for quantum) written for the different real forms as

$\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(3, \mathbb{C})_L$ real forms	current algebra
$\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(3)$	$\hat{u}(1)_{\mathcal{J}} \times \hat{u}(1)_{\mathcal{K}}$
$\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2, 1)$	$\hat{u}(1)_{\mathcal{J}} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\mathcal{K}}$
$\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(1, 2)$	$\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\mathcal{J}} \times \hat{u}(1)_{\mathcal{K}}$
$\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(3, R)$	$\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\mathcal{J}} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\mathcal{K}}$

(4.1)

A similar description is valid for the conserved $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0$ of the right sector, the associated current algebras are given by

$$\hat{u}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} \times \hat{u}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}, \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} \times \hat{u}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}, \quad \hat{u}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}, \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} \times \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1)_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}} \quad (4.2)$$

defined by Poisson brackets like

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{J}_{\varphi'}^0\} &= \pm \frac{4\pi}{k} \partial_\varphi \delta_{\varphi-\varphi'} & \{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\varphi'}^0\} &= \pm \frac{4\pi}{k} \partial_\varphi \delta_{\varphi-\varphi'} \\ \{\mathcal{K}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{K}_{\varphi'}^0\} &= \pm \frac{6\pi}{k} \partial_\varphi \delta_{\varphi-\varphi'} & \{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\varphi'}^0\} &= \pm \frac{4\pi}{k} \partial_\varphi \delta_{\varphi-\varphi'} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The two sectors are distinguished by the sign of the CS coupling $\tilde{k} = -k$; and the $\hat{u}(1)$ and $\hat{gl}(1)$ can be differentiated by the spectrum of k as described below.

By expressing the Fourier expansions of $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi^0, \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0)$ in terms of the classical modes (J_n^0, K_m^0) and $(\tilde{J}_n^0, \tilde{K}_m^0)$, the above brackets expand as follows

$$\begin{aligned} i \{J_n^0, J_m^0\}_{\text{PB}} &= \pm \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} & i \{\tilde{J}_n^0, \tilde{J}_m^0\}_{\text{PB}} &= \pm \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} \\ i \{K_n^0, K_m^0\}_{\text{PB}} &= \pm \frac{2k}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0} & i \{\tilde{K}_n^0, \tilde{K}_m^0\}_{\text{PB}} &= \pm \frac{2k}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

At the quantum level, these Poisson brackets get mapped to the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} & [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n^0, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} \\ [\mathbf{K}_n^0, \mathbf{K}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{2k}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0} & [\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_m^0] &= \pm \frac{2k}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

with Kac-Moody levels $\pm \frac{k}{2}$, $\pm \frac{2k}{3}$ [6] and adjoint conjugations $(\mathbf{J}_n^0)^\dagger = \mathbf{J}_{-n}^0$, $(\mathbf{K}_n^0)^\dagger = \mathbf{K}_{-n}^0$; similar relations are available for the twild sector.

Notice that the $[\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] = +\frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0}$ with positive k defines the infinite dimensional $\hat{u}(1)_{k,\mathcal{J}}$; this is a subalgebra of the affine Kac-Moody $\widehat{su}(2)_k \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_k$ where the container $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_k$ refers either to $\widehat{su}(3)_k$ or to $\widehat{su}(2,1)_k$ as in the Table (4.1). However, the $[\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] = -\frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0}$ with the minus sign defines the infinite algebra $\hat{gl}(1)_{k,\mathcal{J}}$, a subalgebra of the affine $\widehat{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_k \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_k$ with $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_k$ being either the $\widehat{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})_k$ or the $\widehat{su}(2,1)_k$. For instance, the affine $\widehat{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_k$ is given by the commutation relations [42, 45, 46],

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] &= -\frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} \\ [\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^\pm] &= \pm \mathbf{J}_{n+m}^\pm \\ [\mathbf{J}_n^+, \mathbf{J}_m^-] &= -2\mathbf{J}_{n+m}^0 + k n \delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where the first row defines precisely the subalgebra $\hat{gl}(1)_{k,\mathcal{J}}$. With these symmetries, one can determine the charges of the conformal weights of the states of the HS soft Heisenberg hair in the $SL(3)_L \times SL(3)_R$ gravity model.

4.1.1 Charges of the black flowers

The zero modes $(\mathbf{J}_0^0, \mathbf{K}_0^0)$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0^0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0^0)$ of the conserved currents on the boundary surface commute with all the mode generators of the current algebras (4.5) namely

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}_0^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] &= 0 & [\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0^0, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_m^0] &= 0 \\ [\mathbf{K}_0^0, \mathbf{K}_m^0] &= 0 & [\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0^0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_m^0] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

As such, they give the charges (q_2, q_3) and $(\tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3)$ labelling the quantum states of the HS theory. Denoting these particle states as $|q_2, q_3\rangle$ and $|\tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3\rangle$ and (i) considering the example of the algebras $[\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{J}_m^0] = +\frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0}$ and $[\mathbf{K}_n^0, \mathbf{K}_m^0] = +\frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0}$ with

$k > 0$, then (ii) setting $\mathbf{a}_n = \sqrt{2/(kn)}\mathbf{J}_n^0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_n^\dagger = \sqrt{2/(kn)}\mathbf{J}_{-n}^0$ as well as $\mathbf{b}_n = \sqrt{2/(kn)}\mathbf{K}_n^0$ and $\mathbf{b}_n^\dagger = \sqrt{2/(kn)}\mathbf{K}_{-n}^0$, the above (4.7) becomes

$$[\mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{a}_m^\dagger] = \delta_{n+m,0} \quad , \quad [\mathbf{b}_n, \mathbf{b}_m^\dagger] = \delta_{n+m,0} \quad , \quad [\mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{b}_m] = [\mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{b}_m^\dagger] = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

Using these canonical commutation relations, we can build the associated HW representations ($\mathbf{a}_0 = \mathbf{J}_0^0$ and $\mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{K}_0^0$) characterised by the ground states

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0 |q_2, q_3\rangle &= q_2 |q_2, q_3\rangle \quad , \quad \mathbf{a}_{+n} |q_2, q_3\rangle = 0 \\ \mathbf{b}_0 |q_2, q_3\rangle &= q_3 |q_2, q_3\rangle \quad , \quad \mathbf{b}_{+n} |q_2, q_3\rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where q_2 and q_3 are integers. The excited states generating the two HW representations are built per usual as follows

$$|N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle = \mathcal{N}_{\text{NORM}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\mathcal{J}}} \mathbf{a}_{n_i}^\dagger \prod_{j=1}^{N_{\mathcal{K}}} \mathbf{b}_{m_j}^\dagger |q_2, q_3\rangle \quad (4.10)$$

$$|\tilde{N}_0; \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3\rangle = \mathcal{N}_{\text{NORM}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\mathcal{J}}} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{n_i}^\dagger \prod_{j=1}^{N_{\mathcal{K}}} \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{m_j}^\dagger |\tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3\rangle \quad (4.11)$$

with normalisations $\mathcal{N}_{\text{NORM}}$ and excitation numbers

$$N_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathcal{J}}} n_i \quad , \quad \tilde{N}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\mathcal{K}}} m_j \quad (4.12)$$

Because of the commutation relations (4.7), all the excited states of the above HW representations have the same charges under $\mathbf{a}_0 = \mathbf{J}_0^0$ and $\mathbf{b}_0 = \mathbf{K}_0^0$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0 |N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle &= q_2 |N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle \\ \mathbf{b}_0 |N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle &= q_3 |N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

with mean values

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N_0 | \mathbf{a}_0 | N_0 \rangle &= q_2 & \langle \tilde{N}_0 | \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_0 | \tilde{N}_0 \rangle &= \tilde{q}_2 \\ \langle N_0 | \mathbf{b}_0 | N_0 \rangle &= q_3 & \langle \tilde{N}_0 | \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_0 | \tilde{N}_0 \rangle &= \tilde{q}_3 \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

These quantum states define the soft Heisenberg hair states of the HS black holes (black flowers) [5, 6], they are characterised by the charges q_2, q_3 and \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3 independently of \mathbf{J}_n^0 and \mathbf{K}_n^0 .

4.1.2 Conformal weights of black flowers

The Fourier modes ($\mathbf{J}_n^0, \mathbf{K}_n^0$) and ($\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n^0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^0$) are eigenvalues of the scale operators \mathfrak{L}_0 and $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0$ of the Virasoro algebras

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{L}_n, \mathfrak{L}_m] &= (n-m) \mathfrak{L}_{n+m} + \frac{c_L}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_{n+m,0} \\ [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_n, \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_m] &= (n-m) \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{n+m} + \frac{c_R}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

with $\mathfrak{L}_n = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\varphi} \mathcal{L}_{\varphi\varphi} / (2\pi)$ with $\mathcal{L}_{\varphi\varphi}$ as in (2.34). We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{J}_n^0] &= -n\mathbf{J}_n^0 & [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n^0] &= -n\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n^0 \\ [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{K}_n^0] &= -n\mathbf{K}_n^0 & [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^0] &= -n\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

By using the change $\mathbf{a}_n = \sqrt{2/(kn)}\mathbf{J}_n^0$ and $\mathbf{b}_n = \sqrt{2/(kn)}\mathbf{K}_n^0$, the above relations read as $[\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{a}_n] = -n\mathbf{a}_n$ and $[\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger] = n\mathbf{a}_n^\dagger$. Similar formulas can be written for the modes $(\mathbf{b}_n, \mathbf{b}_n^\dagger)$ as well as for the twild partners. These eigenvalue equations can be solved in terms of the oscillator numbers $\mathbf{a}_n^\dagger \mathbf{a}_n$, $\mathbf{b}_n^\dagger \mathbf{b}_n$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_n^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n$ like $\mathfrak{L}_0 = \sum n(\mathbf{a}_n^\dagger \mathbf{a}_n + \mathbf{b}_n^\dagger \mathbf{b}_n)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0 = \sum n(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_n^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_n + \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n^\dagger \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n)$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{J}_0^0] &= [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{a}_0] = 0 & [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0^0] &= [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_0] = 0 \\ [c_L, \mathbf{J}_0^0] &= [c_L, \mathbf{a}_0] = 0 & [c_R, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0^0] &= [c_R, \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_0] = 0 \\ [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{K}_0^0] &= [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathbf{b}_0] = 0 & [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0^0] &= [\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_0] = 0 \\ [c_L, \mathbf{K}_0^0] &= [c_L, \mathbf{b}_0] = 0 & [c_R, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0^0] &= [c_R, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_0] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

In the left sector, the quantum states $|q_2, q_3\rangle$ given by the highest weight state representations (4.9) are also designated by the central charge c_L and the conformal weight h . The soft Heisenberg hair states are then distinguished by their central charge and their conformal scales as

$$\mathfrak{L}_0 |N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle = h |N_0; q_2, q_3\rangle \quad , \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_0 |\tilde{N}_0; \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3\rangle = \tilde{h} |\tilde{N}_0; \tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3\rangle \quad (4.18)$$

with conformal weights

$$h = N_0 = \sum_i n_i \quad , \quad \tilde{h} = \tilde{N}_0 = \sum_j m_j \quad (4.19)$$

4.2 Entropy of black flowers

Following [5, 6], the entropy of the thermal HS soft Heisenberg hair black hole is obtained as follows:

(i) We perform a Wick rotation $t = it_E$ with Euclidean time parameterising the cycle $[0, \beta]$ where β is the inverse of the BH temperature. Under this compactification, the potentials (a_{t_E}, a_φ) given by eq(2.32) become complex fields with $\tilde{a}_{\varphi/t_E} = \bar{a}_{\varphi/t_E}$ reading as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 L_0 + \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 W_0 & \bar{a}_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= -\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 L_0 - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0 W_0 \\ a_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \lambda^0 L_0 + \Lambda^0 W_0 & \bar{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \bar{\lambda}^0 L_0 + \bar{\Lambda}^0 W_0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

(ii) We use the formula [21]

$$\mathbb{S}_{\text{HS-BH}} = \frac{k}{\epsilon_N} \int_0^\beta dt_E \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} [a_{t_E} a_\varphi - \bar{a}_{t_E} \bar{a}_\varphi] \quad (4.21)$$

with $SL(N)$ normalisation as $\epsilon_N = N(N^2 - 1)/12$ and $\epsilon_3 = 2$. By substituting (4.20) and computing the trace, the above $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ reads as

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_0^\beta dt_E \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} \left[\lambda^0 \mathcal{J}_\varphi^0 + \bar{\lambda}^0 \bar{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi^0 + \frac{4}{3} (\Lambda^0 \mathcal{K}_\varphi^0 + \bar{\Lambda}^0 \bar{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi^0) \right] \quad (4.22)$$

For time independent potentials, the angular integration while assuming real Lagrange parameters gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{HS-BH}} &= \frac{k\beta}{2} \left[\frac{2}{k} \lambda^0 (J_0^0 + \bar{J}_0^0) + \frac{4}{3} \frac{3}{2k} \Lambda^0 (K_0^0 + \bar{K}_0^0) \right] \\ &= \beta \left[\lambda^0 (J_0^0 + \bar{J}_0^0) + \Lambda^0 (K_0^0 + \bar{K}_0^0) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Comparing this relation to (3.89), we learn that $S_{\text{HS-BH}}$ as defined in [6] corresponds in our construction to $S_{\text{HS-BH}} = 2\pi (\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{I}})$ while assuming the positivity of \mathbf{I} and $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$. Using (3.71), the entropy of the black flowers in terms of the real forms of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ is

real form	$S_{\text{HS-BH}}$	
$su(3)_{L_0, W_0}$	$2\pi \mathfrak{N} (J_0^0 + \bar{J}_0^0) + 3\pi \mathfrak{M} (K_0^0 + \bar{K}_0^0)$	(4.24)
$su(2)_{L_0}$	$2\pi N (J_0^0 + \bar{J}_0^0)$	
$su(2)_{W_0}$	$3\pi \mathfrak{M} (K_0^0 + \bar{K}_0^0)$	
$su(2, 1)_{12}$	$2\pi N (J_0^0 + \bar{J}_0^0)$	
$su(1, 2)_{21}$	$3\pi \mathfrak{M} (K_0^0 + \bar{K}_0^0)$	

where $\mathfrak{N} = N + M/2$ and $\mathfrak{M} = M/2 + p/3$ with N and M integers.

By using (4.14), we have

real form	$S_{\text{HS-BH}}$	$r_{\text{HS-BH}}$	
$su(3)_{L_0, W_0}$	$2\pi \mathfrak{N} (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2) + 3\mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$	$\mathfrak{N} (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2) + \frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$	(4.25)
$su(2)_{L_0}$	$2\pi N (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2)$	$N_0 (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2)$	
$su(2)_{W_0}$	$3\pi \mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$	$\frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$	
$su(2, 1)_{12}$	$2\pi N (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2)$	$N (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2)$	
$su(1, 2)_{21}$	$3\pi \mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$	$\frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$	

In what follows, we give a realisation of the HS soft Heisenberg hair states in terms of excitations of conformal primary field operators.

5 Scalar and Fermi fields realisations

In this section, we develop the free field realisations (3.114-3.116) by conceiving the boundary potentials $(a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}}, a_t^{\text{DIAG}})$ and $(\tilde{a}_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}}, \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}})$ as conformal primary fields with

quantum excitations. Here, the physical charge potentials are imagined as realistic 2D scalars and/or 2D Fermi fields sitting at the boundary of AdS₃; and then at the horizon of the HS black holes. Because the boundary charge potentials

$$(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi) \quad , \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi) \quad (5.1)$$

are affine Heisenberg conserved currents, they carry conformal weights and so they can be concretely realised in terms of primary conformal fields. We first investigate the bosonic realisation (3.114), then we turn to the fermionic one (3.116).

5.1 Charge potentials as primary scalars

Here, the conserved currents $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ are imagined in terms of two real 2D scalar fields Φ and Π living on the boundary of AdS₃ and splitting into left and right moving fields as

$$\Phi = \Phi_L + \Phi_R \quad , \quad \Pi = \Pi_L + \Pi_R \quad (5.2)$$

Generally speaking, these fields are functions of the coordinate variables $\xi^\pm = \sigma \pm t$ namely $\Phi_L(\xi^+)$, $\Phi_R(\xi^-)$, $\Pi_L(\xi^+)$ and $\Pi_R(\xi^-)$. Under the Wick rotation $t = it_E$, the hyperbolic coordinates ξ^\pm become complex variables $z^\pm = \sigma \pm it_E$. Consequently, the left and right chiralities get mapped into holomorphic $\Phi_L(z)$ (resp. $\Pi_L(z)$) and antiholomorphic $\Phi_R(\bar{z})$ (resp. $\Pi_R(\bar{z})$). The non vanishing two-points correlations of these 2D scalar fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_L(z) \Phi_L(w) \rangle &\sim \log(z-w) & \langle \Pi_L(z) \Pi_L(w) \rangle &\sim \log(z-w) \\ \langle \Phi_R(\bar{z}) \Phi_R(\bar{w}) \rangle &\sim \log(\bar{z}-\bar{w}) & \langle \Pi_R(\bar{z}) \Pi_R(\bar{w}) \rangle &\sim \log(\bar{z}-\bar{w}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

from which we deduce other two-points correlations, in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}_L(z) \Phi_L(w) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{z-w} & \langle \mathcal{K}_L(z) \Pi_L(w) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{z-w} \\ \langle \mathcal{J}_R(\bar{z}) \Phi_R(\bar{w}) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{\bar{z}-\bar{w}} & \langle \mathcal{K}_R(\bar{z}) \Pi_R(\bar{w}) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{\bar{z}-\bar{w}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_L(z) &= \frac{\partial \Phi_L(z)}{\partial z} & \mathcal{J}_R(\bar{z}) &= \frac{\partial \Phi_R(\bar{z})}{\partial \bar{z}} \\ \mathcal{K}_L(z) &= \frac{\partial \Pi_L(z)}{\partial z} & \mathcal{K}_R(\bar{z}) &= \frac{\partial \Pi_R(\bar{z})}{\partial \bar{z}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Notice that the commutation relations (4.5) can be also formulated as OPE with z -complex coordinate variable as follows [47]

$$\mathcal{J}_z(z_1) \mathcal{J}_z(z_2) \simeq \frac{k/2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}_z(z_1) \mathcal{K}_z(z_2) \simeq \frac{2k/3}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \quad (5.6)$$

likewise for the right moving currents.

To make contact between (5.2) and (3.114) that we recall here after ($\sigma = i\varphi$),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_\varphi &= \partial_\varphi X & , & & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi &= \partial_\varphi \tilde{X} \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi &= \partial_\varphi Y & , & & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi &= \partial_\varphi \tilde{Y}\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

with $\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi = \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi = 0$, we restrict the fields Φ and Π to depend only on the angular coordinate as

$$\Phi_L(\varphi), \quad \Phi_R(\varphi), \quad \Pi_L(\varphi), \quad \Pi_R(\varphi)\tag{5.8}$$

then set

$$\Phi_L = X, \quad \Pi_L = Y, \quad \Phi_R = \tilde{X}, \quad \Pi_R = \tilde{Y}\tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_L &= \mathcal{J}_\varphi & , & & \mathcal{J}_R &= \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi \\ \mathcal{K}_L &= \mathcal{K}_\varphi & , & & \mathcal{K}_R &= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

Putting into the boundary potentials (2.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned}a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= (\partial_\varphi X) L_0 + (\partial_\varphi Y) W_0 & , & & \tilde{a}_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= -(\partial_\varphi \tilde{X}) L_0 - (\partial_\varphi \tilde{Y}) W_0 \\ a_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \lambda^0 L_0 + \Lambda^0 W_0 & , & & \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \tilde{\lambda}^0 L_0 + \tilde{\Lambda}^0 W_0\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

and by substituting into (2.34-2.35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\varphi\varphi} &= +\frac{\mathbf{k}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\varphi X)^2 + \partial_\varphi^2 X + \frac{2}{3} (\partial_\varphi Y)^2 \right] \\ \mathcal{W}_{\varphi\varphi\varphi} &= -\frac{\mathbf{k}}{6\pi} \left[-\frac{8}{9} (\partial_\varphi Y)^3 + \partial_\varphi Y (2 (\partial_\varphi X)^2 + \partial_\varphi^2 X) + 3\partial_\varphi X \partial_\varphi^2 Y + \partial_\varphi^3 Y \right]\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

same expressions can be written for the twild partners. Given the identifications (5.9-5.10), we can determine the mode expansions of the four (anti) chiral scalar fields (X, Y) and (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})

$$(X, Y, \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \in \mathbb{R}^4\tag{5.13}$$

Recall that these scalars are free boundary fields related to $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ as in eq(5.7), so we can define them as follows

$$\begin{aligned}X - X_0 &= \int_0^\varphi d\varphi' \mathcal{J}_{\varphi'} \\ Y - Y_0 &= \int_0^\varphi d\varphi' \mathcal{K}_{\varphi'}\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

with reality properties $X^* = X$ and $Y^* = Y$. The right \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are given by similar integrals. By substituting the expansions (3.106) into the above (5.14), we obtain the

following

$$\begin{aligned}
X - X_0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} J_n \left(\int_0^\varphi d\varphi' e^{-in\varphi'} \right) \\
Y - Y_0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} K_n \left(\int_0^\varphi d\varphi' e^{-in\varphi'} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

similarly for \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} . The (X_0, Y_0) and their twild homologue $(\tilde{X}_0, \tilde{Y}_0)$ are integration constants to be determined by demanding periodic scalar fields under the mapping $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + 2\pi$. Notice that the above relations involve the integrals $\int_0^\varphi d\varphi' e^{-in\varphi'}$ whose values depend on whether the integer n is vanishing or not; we have **(i)** the result $(1 - e^{-in\varphi}) / (in)$ for $n \neq 0$, and **(ii)** the value φ for $n = 0$. So, the mode expansions of the scalar fields read as follows:

- *left sector*

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= X_0 + \frac{2}{k} J_0 \varphi + \frac{4}{k} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} J_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \\
&= \frac{2}{k} \left(x_0 + J_0 \varphi + 2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} J_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= Y_0 + \frac{3}{2k} K_0 \varphi + \frac{6}{2k} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} K_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \\
&= \frac{3}{2k} \left(y_0 + K_0 \varphi + 2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} K_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

- *Right sector*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{X} &= \tilde{X}_0 + \frac{2}{k} \tilde{J}_0 \varphi + \frac{4}{k} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} \tilde{J}_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \\
&= \frac{2}{k} \left(\tilde{x}_0 + \tilde{J}_0 \varphi + 2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} \tilde{J}_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Y}(\varphi) &= \tilde{Y}_0 + \frac{3}{2k} K_0 \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{6}{2k} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} \tilde{K}_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \\
&= \frac{3}{2k} \left(\tilde{y}_0 + K_0 \tilde{\varphi} + 2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} \tilde{K}_n e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

From these equations, we learn that the fields expansion modes (α_n^X, α_n^Y) and $(\tilde{\alpha}_n^X, \tilde{\alpha}_n^Y)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n^X &= J_n & \tilde{\alpha}_n^X &= \tilde{J}_n \\
\alpha_n^Y &= K_n & \tilde{\alpha}_n^Y &= \tilde{K}_n
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

These relationships show that the modes (α_n^X, α_n^Y) and $(\tilde{\alpha}_n^X, \alpha_n^Y)$ satisfy the Poisson brackets (4.4), and upon quantization they obey the infinite dimensional commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_n^X, \alpha_m^X] &= \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} & [\tilde{\alpha}_n^X, \tilde{\alpha}_m^X] &= \frac{k}{2} n \delta_{n+m,0} \\ [\alpha_n^Y, \alpha_m^Y] &= \frac{2k}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0} & [\tilde{\alpha}_n^Y, \tilde{\alpha}_m^Y] &= \frac{2k}{3} n \delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

with zero modes acting on ground state as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^X |q\rangle &= q_X |q\rangle & \tilde{\alpha}_0^X |\tilde{q}\rangle &= \tilde{q}_X |\tilde{q}\rangle \\ \alpha_0^Y |q\rangle &= q_Y |q\rangle & \tilde{\alpha}_0^Y |\tilde{q}\rangle &= \tilde{q}_Y |\tilde{q}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

and $\alpha_{\pm n}^Z |q\rangle = \tilde{\alpha}_{\pm n}^Z |\tilde{q}\rangle = 0$ (Z for X and Y). Notice also that by substituting (5.14), the conservation relations $\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi = \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi = 0$ imply $\partial_\varphi (\partial_t X) = 0$ and $\partial_\varphi (\partial_t Y) = 0$ and again similar formulas arise for the twild sector. These relations are naturally solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t X &= 0 & , & & \partial_t Y &= 0 \\ \partial_t \tilde{X} &= 0 & , & & \partial_t \tilde{Y} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

in agreement with the expansions (5.16-5.19). Additionally, while we have the periodicity $\mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi + 2l\pi) = \mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi + 2l\pi) = \mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi)$ as well for their twilds homologue, the scalars (X, Y) and (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) satisfy a *pseudo-periodicity* as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X(\varphi + 2l\pi) &= X(\varphi) + \frac{4\pi l}{k} J_0 & \tilde{X}(\varphi - 2l\pi) &= \tilde{X}(\varphi) - \frac{4\pi l}{k} \tilde{J}_0 \\ Y(\varphi + 2l\pi) &= Y(\varphi) + \frac{6\pi l}{2k} K_0 & \tilde{Y}(\varphi - 2l\pi) &= \tilde{Y}(\varphi) - \frac{6\pi l}{2k} \tilde{K}_0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

To have periodic $X(\varphi)$, $Y(\varphi)$ and $\tilde{X}(\varphi)$, $\tilde{Y}(\varphi)$, we must think about the integral constants (x_0, y_0) and $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$ as elements of the 4-dim lattice $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \{\mathbf{r}_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}^4\}$ with site vectors $\mathbf{r}_l = (x_{l_1}, y_{l_2}, \tilde{x}_{l_3}, \tilde{y}_{l_4})$ given by the discrete series

$$\begin{aligned} x_{l_1} &= (2\pi J_0) l_1 & , & & \tilde{x}_{l_3} &= (2\pi \tilde{J}_0) l_3 \\ y_{l_2} &= (2\pi K_0) l_2 & , & & \tilde{y}_{l_4} &= (2\pi \tilde{K}_0) l_4 \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

where the four l_i 's are integers that can be combined into an integer vector $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4)$. Points in the lattice $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ obeys the additive law $\mathbf{r}_{l+m} = \mathbf{r}_l + \mathbf{r}_m$; it is an abelian group isomorphic to the lattice $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^4$ with parameters $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$ equal to $(J_0, K_0, \tilde{J}_0, \tilde{K}_0)$. Using the lattice variables $\mathbf{r}_\Lambda = (x_\Lambda, y_\Lambda, \tilde{x}_\Lambda, \tilde{y}_\Lambda)$, the scalars (X, Y) and (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) solving the boundary field equations of motion are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{2}{k} x_\Lambda + \frac{4}{k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} & \tilde{X}(\varphi) &= \frac{2}{k} \tilde{x}_\Lambda + \frac{4}{k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{J}_n \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \\ Y &= \frac{3}{2k} y_\Lambda + \frac{6}{2k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} & \tilde{Y}(\varphi) &= \frac{3}{2k} \tilde{y}_\Lambda + \frac{6}{2k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{K}_n \frac{\sin(\frac{n}{2}\varphi)}{n} e^{-\frac{i}{2}n\varphi} \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

they satisfy the periodicities

$$\begin{aligned} X(\varphi + 2l_1\pi) &= X(\varphi) & \tilde{X}(\varphi + 2l_3\pi) &= \tilde{X}(\varphi) \\ Y(\varphi + 2l_2\pi) &= Y(\varphi) & \tilde{Y}(\varphi + 2l_4\pi) &= \tilde{Y}(\varphi) \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

The quantum excitations of black holes soft hair states are given by

$$\left| N_0, \tilde{N}_0 \right\rangle = \mathcal{N} \prod_i \alpha_{-n_i}^X \prod_j \alpha_{-m_j}^Y \prod_k \tilde{\alpha}_{-\tilde{n}_k}^{\tilde{X}} \prod_l \tilde{\alpha}_{-\tilde{m}_l}^{\tilde{Y}} |q_X, q_Y, \tilde{q}_X, \tilde{q}_Y\rangle \quad (5.28)$$

with N_0 and \tilde{N}_0 being functions of the integers (n_i, m_j) and $(\tilde{n}_k, \tilde{m}_l)$. The HS soft hair entropy is given by (4.25), it is independent of the excitation numbers.

5.2 Charge potentials as primary Fermions

Here, the conserved currents $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ are conceived as densities of pairs of free 2D fermionic fields (ψ^\pm, χ^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}^\pm, \tilde{\chi}^\pm)$ living on the boundary of AdS₃ and splitting as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\pm &= \psi_L^\pm + \psi_R^\pm & \tilde{\psi}^\pm &= \tilde{\psi}_L^\pm + \tilde{\psi}_R^\pm \\ \chi^\pm &= \chi_L^\pm + \chi_R^\pm & \tilde{\chi}^\pm &= \tilde{\chi}_L^\pm + \tilde{\chi}_R^\pm \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

In parallel with the bosonic case, under the Wick rotation, the left and right chiralities get mapped into holomorphic $\psi_L(z)$ [resp. $\chi_L(z)$] and antiholomorphic $\psi_R(\bar{z})$ [resp. $\chi_R(\bar{z})$]. The non vanishing two-points correlations of these 2D Fermi fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_L^+(z) \psi_L^-(w) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{z-w} & \langle \chi_L^+(z) \chi_L^-(w) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{z-w} \\ \langle \psi_R^+(\bar{z}) \psi_R^-(\bar{w}) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{\bar{z}-\bar{w}} & \langle \chi_R^+(\bar{z}) \chi_R^-(\bar{w}) \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{\bar{z}-\bar{w}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

from which we learn the conserved (holomorphic and antiholomorphic) currents in terms of the fermionic densities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_L(z) &\sim \psi_L^+ \psi_L^-(z) & \mathcal{J}_R(\bar{z}) &\sim \psi_R^+ \psi_R^-(\bar{z}) \\ \mathcal{K}_L(z) &\sim \chi_L^+ \chi_L^-(z) & \mathcal{K}_R(\bar{z}) &\sim \chi_R^+ \chi_R^-(\bar{z}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

To establish a contact between these primary conformal fermions and the solutions (3.116) that we recall here after

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi &\sim \psi^+ \psi^- & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi &\sim \tilde{\psi}^+ \tilde{\psi}^- \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi &\sim \chi^+ \chi^- & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi &\sim \tilde{\chi}^+ \tilde{\chi}^- \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

we proceed as for the scalar fields. First, because $\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi = \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi = 0$, the Fermi fields are functions of the angular coordinate only

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_L^\pm(\varphi) &, & \psi_R^\pm(\varphi) &, & \chi_L^\pm(\varphi) &, & \chi_R^\pm(\varphi) \\ \tilde{\psi}_L^\pm(\varphi) &, & \tilde{\psi}_R^\pm(\varphi) &, & \tilde{\chi}_L^\pm(\varphi) &, & \tilde{\chi}_R^\pm(\varphi) \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

Thinking about (i) the left and the right chiralities of the Fermi fields like

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_L^\pm &= \psi^\pm & \chi_L^\pm &= \chi^\pm \\ \psi_R^\pm &= \tilde{\psi}^\pm & \chi_R^\pm &= \tilde{\chi}^\pm \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.34)$$

and (ii) the conserved currents (5.5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_L &= \mathcal{J}_\varphi & \mathcal{J}_R &= \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi \\ \mathcal{K}_L &= \mathcal{K}_\varphi & \mathcal{K}_R &= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.35)$$

The boundary potentials (2.32) become

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= +(\psi^+\psi^-)L_0 + (\chi^+\chi^-)W_0 & a_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \lambda^0L_0 + \Lambda^0W_0 \\ \tilde{a}_\varphi^{\text{DIAG}} &= -(\tilde{\psi}^+\tilde{\psi}^-)L_0 - (\tilde{\chi}^+\tilde{\chi}^-)W_0 & \tilde{a}_t^{\text{DIAG}} &= \tilde{\lambda}^0L_0 + \tilde{\Lambda}^0W_0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.36)$$

From the conservation relations $\partial_t \mathcal{J}_\varphi = \partial_t \mathcal{K}_\varphi = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi = \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi = 0$ with $(\mathcal{J}_\varphi, \mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi)$ taken as in (5.32), we learn that the above fermionic fields are free Fermi fields as they obey

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi^\pm &= 0 & , & \quad \partial_t \tilde{\psi}^\pm &= 0 \\ \partial_t \chi^\pm &= 0 & , & \quad \partial_t \tilde{\chi}^\pm &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

These equations (of motion) indicate that (ψ^\pm, χ^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}^\pm, \tilde{\chi}^\pm)$ are functions of the angular variable φ only as in (5.33).

Moreover, because of their quadratic forms (5.32), the periodicity properties $\mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{J}_\varphi(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{K}_\varphi(\varphi)$ and their twild homologue can be realized in two different ways namely by considering periodic or antiperiodic fermions as described subsequently:

5.2.1 Periodic fermions

In this case, the fermionic fields (ψ^\pm, χ^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}^\pm, \tilde{\chi}^\pm)$ obey the periodicity property

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= \psi^\pm(\varphi) & \tilde{\psi}^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= \tilde{\psi}^\pm(\varphi) \\ \chi^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= \chi^\pm(\varphi) & \tilde{\chi}^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= \tilde{\chi}^\pm(\varphi) \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.38)$$

in agreement with $\mathcal{J}(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$. These periodicities can be solved by using the following expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n \pm 1/2}^\pm e^{-in\varphi} & \tilde{\psi}^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\psi}_{n \pm 1/2}^\pm e^{+in\varphi} \\ \chi^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{m \pm 1/2}^\pm e^{-im\varphi} & \tilde{\chi}^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\chi}_{m \pm 1/2}^\pm e^{+im\varphi} \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.39)$$

they permit to express the conserved currents as $\mathcal{J}_\varphi \sim \psi^+\psi^-$ and $\mathcal{K}_\varphi \sim \chi^+\chi^-$ as well as $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi \sim \psi^+\psi^-$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi \sim \tilde{\chi}^+\tilde{\chi}^-$. Their Fourier modes (J_n, K_n) and $(\tilde{J}_n, \tilde{K}_n)$ in terms of the fermionic modes (ψ_r^\pm, χ_r^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}_r^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_r^\pm)$ are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varphi &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n e^{-in\varphi} & \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{J}_n e^{+in\varphi} \\ \mathcal{K}_\varphi &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K_n e^{-in\varphi} & \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{K}_n e^{+in\varphi} \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.40)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} \psi_{n-r}^+ \psi_r^- & \tilde{J}_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} \tilde{\psi}_{n-r}^+ \tilde{\psi}_r^- \\ K_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} \chi_{n-r}^+ \chi_r^- & \tilde{K}_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} \tilde{\chi}_{n-r}^+ \tilde{\chi}_r^- \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.41)$$

with positive $k > 0$. At the quantum level, the Fourier modes (ψ_r^\pm, χ_r^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}_r^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_r^\pm)$ are promoted to fermionic operators $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^\pm, \boldsymbol{\chi}_r^\pm)$ and $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_r^\pm, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_r^\pm)$ with adjoint conjugations $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^-)^\dagger = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-r}^+$ and $(\boldsymbol{\chi}_r^-)^\dagger = \boldsymbol{\chi}_{-r}^+$ as well as $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_r^-)^\dagger = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{-r}^+$ and $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_r^-)^\dagger = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{-r}^+$ with non vanishing anticommutation relations given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^-, \boldsymbol{\psi}_s^+\} &= \sqrt{k/2} \delta_{r+s,0} & \{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_r^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_s^+\} &= \sqrt{k/2} \delta_{r+s,0} \\ \{\boldsymbol{\chi}_r^-, \boldsymbol{\chi}_s^+\} &= \sqrt{2k/3} \delta_{r+s,0} & \{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_r^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_s^+\} &= \sqrt{2k/3} \delta_{r+s,0} \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.42)$$

and normal ordered mode currents as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n-r}^+ \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{n-r}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_r^- : \\ \mathbf{K}_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \boldsymbol{\chi}_{n-r}^+ \boldsymbol{\chi}_r^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{n-r}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_r^- : \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.43)$$

from which we learn the expression of the zero modes, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-r}^+ \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{-r}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_r^- : \\ \mathbf{K}_0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \boldsymbol{\chi}_{-r}^+ \boldsymbol{\chi}_r^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{-r}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_r^- : \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.44)$$

These are fermionic numbers acting on the ground state $|N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle \otimes |\tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi\rangle$ with left moving $N_\psi + N_\chi$ and right moving $\tilde{N}_\psi + \tilde{N}_\chi$ fermions constrained like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_0 |N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle &= N_\psi |N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle & \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 \left| \tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi \right\rangle &= \tilde{N}_\psi \left| \tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi \right\rangle \\ \mathbf{K}_0 |N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle &= N_\chi |N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle & \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0 \left| \tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi \right\rangle &= \tilde{N}_\chi \left| \tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.45)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_n |N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle &= 0 & \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n |\tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi\rangle &= 0 \\ \mathbf{K}_n |N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle &= 0 & \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n |\tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.46)$$

as well as excited states as follows

$$|\Psi, \tilde{\Psi}\rangle = \mathcal{N} \prod_i \mathbf{J}_{-n_i} \prod_j^Y \mathbf{J}_{-m_j} \prod_k \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_{-\tilde{n}_k} \prod_l \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_{-\tilde{m}_l} |\tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi\rangle \quad (5.47)$$

Using the mean value relations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} | \mathbf{J}_0 | \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} \rangle &= N_\psi & \langle \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} | \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 | \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} \rangle &= \tilde{N}_\psi \\ \langle \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} | \mathbf{K}_0 | \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} \rangle &= N_\chi & \langle \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} | \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0 | \Psi, \tilde{\Psi} \rangle &= \tilde{N}_\chi \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.48)$$

the entropy of the HS black flowers read as follows

real form	$S_{\text{HS-BH}}$
$su(3)_{L_0, W_0}$	$2\pi\mathfrak{N} (N_\psi + \tilde{N}_\psi) + 2\pi\mathfrak{M} (N_\chi + \tilde{N}_\chi)$
$su(2)_{L_0}$	$2\pi N (N_\psi + \tilde{N}_\psi)$
$su(2)_{W_0}$	$3\pi M (N_\chi + \tilde{N}_\chi)$
$su(2, 1)_{12}$	$2\pi N (N_\psi + \tilde{N}_\psi)$
$su(1, 2)_{21}$	$3\pi M (N_\chi + \tilde{N}_\chi)$

(5.49)

where we have used $\mathfrak{N}_0 = N + M/2$ and $\mathfrak{M} = M/2 + p/3$ and where N and M are positive integers.

5.2.2 Antiperiodic fermions

In this sector, the fermionic fields (ψ^\pm, χ^\pm) obey the antiperiodicity property

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= -\psi^\pm(\varphi) & \tilde{\psi}^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= -\tilde{\psi}^\pm(\varphi) \\ \chi^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= -\chi^\pm(\varphi) & \tilde{\chi}^\pm(\varphi + 2\pi) &= -\tilde{\chi}^\pm(\varphi) \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.50)$$

preserving $\mathcal{J}(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi + 2\pi) = \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$. These anti-periodicities can be solved by using the following expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_n^\pm e^{-i(n \pm \frac{1}{2})\varphi} & \tilde{\psi}^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\psi}_n^\pm e^{-i(n \pm \frac{1}{2})\varphi} \\ \chi^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_m^\pm e^{-i(m \pm \frac{1}{2})\varphi} & \tilde{\chi}^\pm &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2k}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\chi}_m^\pm e^{-i(m \pm \frac{1}{2})\varphi} \end{aligned} \quad , \quad (5.51)$$

using these modes (ψ_n^\pm, χ_n^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}_n^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_n^\pm)$, we can express the currents \mathcal{J}_φ , \mathcal{K}_φ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi$, $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi$ like $\mathcal{J}_\varphi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_n e^{-in\varphi}$ and $\mathcal{K}_\varphi = \sum K_n e^{-in\varphi}$ as well as $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varphi = \sum \tilde{J}_n e^{+in\varphi}$ and

$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_\varphi = \sum \tilde{K}_n e^{+in\varphi}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n-m}^+ \psi_m^- & \tilde{J}_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\psi}_{n-m}^+ \tilde{\psi}_m^- \\ K_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{n-m}^+ \chi_m^- & \tilde{K}_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\chi}_{n-m}^+ \tilde{\chi}_m^- \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

At the quantum level, the Fourier modes (ψ_n^\pm, χ_n^\pm) and $(\tilde{\psi}_n^\pm, \tilde{\chi}_n^\pm)$ are promoted to operators $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_n^\pm, \boldsymbol{\chi}_n^\pm)$ and $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_n^\pm, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_n^\pm)$ with non vanishing anticommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{\boldsymbol{\psi}_n^-, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m^+\} &= \sqrt{k/2} \delta_{n+m,0} & \{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_n^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_m^+\} &= \sqrt{k/2} \delta_{n+m,0} \\ \{\boldsymbol{\chi}_n^-, \boldsymbol{\chi}_m^+\} &= \sqrt{2k/3} \delta_{n+m,0} & \{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_n^-, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_m^+\} &= \sqrt{2k/3} \delta_{n+m,0} \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

each verifies an adjoint conjugation like $(\boldsymbol{\psi}_n^-)^\dagger = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-n}^+$. The corresponding current modes are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n-m}^+ \boldsymbol{\psi}_m^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_n &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{n-m}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_m^- : \\ \mathbf{K}_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \boldsymbol{\chi}_{n-m}^+ \boldsymbol{\chi}_m^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{n-m}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_m^- : \end{aligned} \quad (5.54)$$

from which we learn the expression of the zero modes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-m}^+ \boldsymbol{\psi}_m^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{J}}_0 &= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{-m}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_m^- : \\ \mathbf{K}_0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \boldsymbol{\chi}_{-m}^+ \boldsymbol{\chi}_m^- : & \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_0 &= \frac{3}{2k} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{-m}^+ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_m^- : \end{aligned} \quad (5.55)$$

with $\langle N_\psi, N_\chi | \mathbf{J}_0 | N_\psi, N_\chi \rangle = N_\psi$ and $\langle N_\psi, N_\chi | \mathbf{K}_0 | N_\psi, N_\chi \rangle = N_\chi$ and higher spin black hole entropies as in eq(5.49).

6 Conclusion and Discussions

To bring this paper to a close, we summarise our main results along with a few additional comments. Setting out with higher spin black flowers solutions of 3D Anti-de Sitter gravity with (1) generalised boundary conditions as in eq(2.2) and (2) gauge symmetry defined by real forms of the complexified $SL(N, \mathbb{C})_L \times SL(N, \mathbb{C})_R$ group, we considered non trivial holonomies $W_{[C_t]} = P \exp(i \int_{C_t} a)$ taken values in the discrete group \mathbb{Z}_N with boundary potentials circling the thermal cycle $[C_t]$. We showed that these HS black flowers are in fact of various types depending on the selected real form of the complex $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ whether it is the real split $SL(N, \mathbb{R})$, the compact $SU(N)$ or one of the several non compact $SU(N_1, N_2)$ s. We also characterised the BH flowers by two infinite sets of

conserved charges, one left \mathbf{I}_r^L and another right \mathbf{I}_r^R ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$), obeying an affine Heisenberg-like algebra. These (left/right) gauge invariants \mathbf{I}_r expand like $\sum_{s=2}^N \Lambda^{(s-1)} \mathcal{W}_r^{(s)}$ where the $\Lambda^{(s-1)}$ are real Lagrange multipliers and where $\mathcal{W}_r^{(s)}$ are the $(N-1)$ affine $\text{GL}(1)_k$ charges of the underlying affine $\text{SL}(N)_k$. Focussing on the compact $\text{SU}(N)$ theory [similar discussion follows for the $\text{SU}(N_1, N_2)$ s] and demanding non trivial holonomies sitting in the centre of the gauge symmetry, the conserved charges \mathbf{I}_r as well as the associated Lagrange multipliers $\Lambda_{n_s, p_N}^{(s-1)}$ become quantized values with $n_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ parametrising higher spins and an additional $p_N = 0, \dots, N-1 \pmod N$ sweeping the N group elements of the group centre \mathbb{Z}_N .

Furthermore, by borrowing ideas from constrained Hamiltonian systems, we **(a)** developed an approach to address the vanishing condition of the gauge curvature on the boundary surface of the AdS_3 space in order to compute the conserved $\mathbf{I}_r[\Lambda]$; and **(b)** ascertained that the HS black flowers entropy is intimately related to the invariants

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{HS-BH}}^+ = 2\pi |\mathbf{I}_0^L + \mathbf{I}_0^R| \quad , \quad \mathcal{I}_{\text{HS-BH}}^- = 2\pi |\mathbf{I}_0^L - \mathbf{I}_0^R| \quad (6.1)$$

via the upper bound given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_N} = 2\pi |\mathbf{I}_0^L| + 2\pi |\mathbf{I}_0^R| \quad (6.2)$$

Assuming that $\Lambda_{n_s, p}^L = \Lambda_{n_s, p_N}^R \geq 0$, the entropy $\mathbf{S}_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_N}$ can be factorised like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_2} &= 2\pi \lambda_{n_2, p_2} \left(\left| \mathcal{W}_0^{(2)} \right| + \left| \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_0^{(2)} \right| \right) \\ \mathbf{S}_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_3} &= 2\pi \Lambda_{n_2, p_2} \left(\left| \mathcal{W}_0^{(2)} \right| + \left| \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_0^{(2)} \right| \right) + 3\pi \Lambda_{n_3, p_3} \left(\left| \mathcal{W}_0^{(3)} \right| + \left| \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_0^{(3)} \right| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

with $\lambda_{n_2, p_2} = n_2 + p_2/2$ for the SU_2 theory and $\Lambda_{n_2, p_2} = n_2 + n_3/2$ as well as $\Lambda_{n_3, p_3} = n_3/2 + p_3/3$ for SU_3 . This entropy comprises the familiar Grumiller- Perez- Prohazka- Tempo- Troncoso (GPPTT) entropy $\mathbf{S}_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{GPPTT}}$ as a particular phase associated with the trivial holonomy where $p_N = 0 \pmod N$.

At the quantum level, the classical invariants \mathbf{I}_0 and $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_0$ get promoted to operators denoted like $\mathbf{I}_0 = \sum_{s=2}^N \Lambda_L^{(s-1)} \mathbf{W}_0^{(s)}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_0 = \sum_{s=2}^N \Lambda_R^{(s-1)} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_0^{(s)}$ implying an interpretation of the HS-BF in terms of the vacuum expectation value. The operator \mathbf{I}_0 (similarly for $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_0$) is taken in the Cartan subalgebra of $\text{SL}(N)_0$ which is the zero mode of the affine $\text{SL}(N)_k$. Thus, given a highest weight representation state $|\mathbf{q}\rangle$ of $\text{SL}(N)_0$ labelled by the $N-1$ charges like $|q_2, \dots, q_N\rangle$ with eigenvalue equation $\mathbf{W}_0^{(s)} |\mathbf{q}\rangle = q_s |\mathbf{q}\rangle$ and positive

integer q_s , the invariant I_0 can be considered as the mean $\langle \mathbf{q} | I_0 | \mathbf{q} \rangle = \sum_{s=2}^N q_s \Lambda^{(s-1)}$ leading to the *discrete* HS black flower entropy relation

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_N} = 2\pi \sum_{s=2}^N (q_s + \tilde{q}_s) |\Lambda_{n_s, p_N}^{(s-1)}| \quad (6.4)$$

For SU_2 of the spin 2 gravity model, we get $2\pi (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2) \lambda_{n_2, p_2}$ with $\lambda_{n_2, p_2} = n_2 + p_2/2$ where n_2 is an integer and $p = 0, 1 \pmod{2}$. As for the higher spin SU_3 theory, we obtain $2\pi (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2) \Lambda_{n_2, p_2}^{(1)} + 2\pi (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3) \Lambda_{n_3, p_3}^{(2)}$ where $\Lambda_{n_2, p_2}^{(1)} = n_2 + n_3/2$ and $\Lambda_{n_3, p_3}^{(2)} = n_3/2 + p_3/3$ with n_2, n_3 being integers and $p = 0, 1, 3 \pmod{3}$. Within this perspective, the generators $\mathbf{W}_0^{(s)}$ can be interpreted in terms of number operators with eigenvalues $N_s^{\text{PARTICLES}}$ (bosonic N_s^{BOSE} and/or fermionic N_s^{FERMI}). For instance, the ground state with N_s^{FERMI} fermions gives

$$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_N} = 4\pi \sum_{s=2}^N N_s^{\text{FERMI}} |\Lambda_{n_s, p_N}^{(s-1)}| \quad (6.5)$$

To carry out explicit calculations, we mainly focused on the leading values $N = 2, 3, 4$ of the $\text{SL}(N)$ family; however, the construction holds for all N . Now, the 2D boundary potentials $a^{\text{DIAG}} = a_\varphi d\varphi + a_t dt$ and $\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} = \tilde{a}_\varphi d\varphi + \tilde{a}_t dt$ are generally valued in the Cartan subalgebra of the gauge symmetry as exhibited by eqs(2.27-2.32). For the example of the HS $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ model, we have $a_{\varphi/t} = a_{\varphi/t}^0 L_0 + a_{\varphi/t}^0 W_0$ and $\tilde{a}_{\varphi/t} = \tilde{a}_{\varphi/t}^0 L_0 + \tilde{a}_{\varphi/t}^0 W_0$ with L_0 and W_0 being related to the usual commuting Cartan $H_{\alpha_1}, H_{\alpha_2}$ generators as in (3.14). And because $[a_\varphi, a_t] = 0$ and $[\tilde{a}_\varphi, \tilde{a}_t] = 0$, the flatness conditions of the non abelian 2D gauge curvatures reduce to $da^{\text{DIAG}} = d\tilde{a}^{\text{DIAG}} = 0$ giving the dynamical constraints $\partial_t a_\varphi - \partial_\varphi a_t = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{a}_\varphi - \partial_\varphi \tilde{a}_t = 0$. Assuming constant Lagrange multipliers ($a_t = cte$, $\tilde{a}_t = cte$), these relations become $\partial_t a_\varphi = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{a}_\varphi = 0$.

In our approach, we considered the above conditions $\partial_t a_\varphi = 0$ and $\partial_t \tilde{a}_\varphi = 0$ as fields equations of motion following from a variational principle with 2D boundary field functionals $\mathcal{S}_{\text{BND}}^\pm$ interpreted in terms of total Hamiltonian and total angular momentum. These boundary quantities are explicitly given by eqs(3.78)-(3.81) and can be shortly presented like

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{BND}}^\pm = \frac{k}{8\pi} \int_0^\beta dt_E \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \text{Tr} (v \partial_{t_E} a_\varphi \pm \tilde{v} \partial_{t_E} \tilde{a}_\varphi) \quad (6.6)$$

where the Lagrange multipliers are taken as $v = \lambda^0 L_0 + \Lambda^0 W_0$. The boundary fields $a_\varphi(\varphi, t_E)$ (and equivalently $\tilde{a}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E)$) are time dependent and read explicitly like $-\frac{\beta}{2} a_\varphi(\varphi) \times \cos(\frac{\pi}{\beta} t_E)$ with the property $a_\varphi(\varphi, t_E = \beta) = -a_\varphi(\varphi, t_E = 0) = \frac{\beta}{2} a_\varphi(\varphi)$ giving vanishing velocities $\partial_{t_E} a_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) = 0$ and $\partial_{t_E} \tilde{a}_\varphi(\varphi, t_E) = 0$.

With this construction, we were able to successfully replicate the previously established results of 3D BH literature and gain further insights on the different branches of

black flowers considered in [6]. Contrary to what was shown in [6] for trivial holonomies corresponding to $\omega^{p=0} = I_{id}$, non trivial holonomies discretize the HS black holes descent towards spin 2 black holes as there are no continuous links joining the black flowers entropy to the spin 2's one. In consequence, to get to the core BTZ BH, one requires discrete transitions generated by a Weyl transformation of the compact real form of the complexified gauge symmetry as given by (3.103).

Additionally, we uncovered a close-knit relationship between the entropy calculation of HS soft hair BHs and the real forms of the complexified gauge symmetry. To study the thermodynamical properties of black holes, we must switch to the Euclidean framework with time coordinate $t_E = it$ which leads to a complexification of the gauge symmetry and then to one of its real forms as described by eqs(3.4)-(3.7) for $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ as well as eqs(3.35)-(3.37).

Focusing on the HS model with $SL(3, \mathbb{R})_L \times SL(3, \mathbb{R})_R$, and demanding regularity of the boundary potentials on the thermal 1-cycle, we computed the black flower entropies for the various compact $SU(2)$ real forms sitting inside the complexified gauge symmetry $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$. The values of these entropies are collected in the tables (4.24-4.25). And by using the vacuum expectation values of the classical conserved charges I_0^L and I_0^R as in (6.4), the black flowers entropies become

real form	$S_{\text{HS-BH}}^{\text{SL}_3}$
$su(3)_{L_0, W_0}$	$2\pi \mathfrak{N} (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2) + \mathfrak{M} (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$
$su(2)_{L_0}$	$2\pi N (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2)$
$su(2)_{W_0}$	$3\pi M (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$
$su(2, 1)_{12}$	$2\pi N (q_2 + \tilde{q}_2)$
$su(1, 2)_{21}$	$3\pi M (q_3 + \tilde{q}_3)$

(6.7)

where $\mathfrak{N} = N + M/2$ and $\mathfrak{M} = M/2 + p/3$ with N, M integers. The objects (q_2, q_3) and $(\tilde{q}_2, \tilde{q}_3)$ designate the charges of the ground state associated to the zero modes of the four affine $\hat{u}(1)_k$ Heisenberg current algebras.

We concluded our study by giving (i) a bosonic field realisation in terms of free left (X, Y) and right (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) bosons. and (ii) a fermionic representation using left (ψ^\pm, χ^\pm) and right $(\tilde{\psi}^\pm, \tilde{\chi}^\pm)$ (anti) periodic fermions. Interestingly, for a fermionic ground state $|N_\psi, N_\chi\rangle$, we found amongst others that the black flower entropy is given by $2\pi|\mathfrak{N}|(N_\psi + \tilde{N}_\psi) + 2\pi|\mathfrak{M}|(N_\chi + \tilde{N}_\chi)$ where (N_ψ, N_χ) and $(\tilde{N}_\psi, \tilde{N}_\chi)$ define the fermionic densities of the ground state of the HS soft hair black hole.

References

- [1] Banados, M., Teitelboim, C., and Zanelli, J. Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime. *Physical Review Letters*, 69(13), 1849, (1992)
Banados, M. (1999, July). Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 484, No. 1, pp. 147-169). American Institute of Physics.
- [2] Achucarro, A., and Townsend, P. K. A Chern-Simons action for three-dimensional anti-de Sitter supergravity theories. *Physics Letters B*, 180 (1-2), 89-92. (1986).
- [3] Witten, E. 2 + 1 dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system. *Nuclear Physics B*, 311(1), 46-78. (1988).
- [4] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, “Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* 104, 207 (1986).
- [5] H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez, D. Tempo et al., Soft Heisenberg hair on black holes in three dimensions, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 101503, arXiv: 1603.04824 [hep-th]
- [6] Daniel Grumiller, Alfredo Perez, Stefan Prohazka, David Tempo, Ricardo Troncoso, Higher Spin Black Holes with Soft Hair, *JHEP* 10 (2016) 119, arXiv:1607.05360 [hep-th].
- [7] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1997) [DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-2256-9] [INSPIRE].
- [8] Ojeda, E., and Pérez, A. (2020). Integrable systems and the boundary dynamics of higher spin gravity on AdS3. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2020(11), 1-29.
- [9] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, Soft Hair on Black Holes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (2016) 231301
- [10] Grumiller, D., Pérez, A., Sheikh-Jabbari, M. M., Troncoso, R., & Zwickel, C. (2020). Spacetime structure near generic horizons and soft hair. *Physical Review Letters*, 124(4), 041601.
- [11] Campoleoni, A., Fredenhagen, S., Pfenninger, S., & Theisen, S. (2010). Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional gravity coupled to higher-spin fields. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2010(11), 1-36.
- [12] Gutperle, M., and Kraus, P. (2011). Higher spin black holes. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2011(5), 1-24.
- [13] A. Campoleoni, D. Francia and C. Heissenberg, Asymptotic symmetries and charges at null infinity: from low to high spins, *EPJ Web Conf.* 191, 06011 (2018)

- [14] Lovrekovic, I. (2023). Holography of New Conformal Higher Spin Gravities in 3d. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12301.
- [15] M. R. Setare, H. Adami, Nucl. Phys. B 914, 220, (2017), The Heisenberg algebra as near horizon symmetry of the black flower solutions of Chern-Simons-like theories of gravity, arXiv:1606.05260v2 [hep-th]
- [16] Gokhan Alkac, Ercan Kilicarslan, Bayram Tekin, Asymptotically flat black holes in 2+1 dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 93, 084003 (2016), arXiv:1601.06696v3 [hep-th].
- [17] M. R. Gaberdiel and T. Hartman, Symmetries of Holographic Minimal Models, JHEP 1105 (2011) 031, [1101.2910].
- [18] A. Campoleoni, S. Fredenhagen and S. Pfenninger, Asymptotic W-symmetries in three-dimensional higher-spin gauge theories, JHEP 1109 (2011) 113, [1107.0290].
- [19] A. Campoleoni and M. Henneaux, Asymptotic symmetries of three-dimensional higher-spin gravity: the metric approach, JHEP 03 (2015) 143, [1412.6774].
- [20] Ben-Zvi, D., & Frenkel, E. (2003). Geometric Realization of the Segal–Sugawara Construction. arXiv preprint math/0301206.
- [21] C. Bunster, M. Henneaux, A. Perez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, Generalized Black Holes in Three-dimensional Spacetime, JHEP 1405 (2014) 031, [1404.3305].
- [22] Lorenz Eberhardt and Matthias R. Gaberdiel, Strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$, arXiv:1904.01585v1 [hep-th].
- [23] N. M. McStay, R. A. Reid-Edwards, Symmetries and Covering Maps for the Minimal Tension String on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$, JHEP 04 (2024) 048, arXiv:2306.16280v3 [hep-th].
- [24] Banados, M., Teitelboim, C., and Zanelli, J. Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 484, No. 1, pp. 147-169). American Institute of Physics.
- [25] Grumiller, D., and Riegler, M. (2016). Most general AdS3 boundary conditions. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2016(10), 1-23.
- [26] Bowcock, P., Corrigan, E., Dorey, P. E., & Rietdijk, R. H. (1995). Classically integrable boundary conditions for affine Toda field theories. Nuclear Physics B, 445(2-3), 469-500.
- [27] Tanaka, K. (1986). Two-dimensional Liouville, sine-Gordon and nonlinear sigma-models. II Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970), 93, 63-68.
- [28] E.H Saidi, Quantum line operators from Lax pairs, Journal of Mathematical Physics 61, 063501 (2020), arXiv:1812.06701 [hep-th].

- [29] Feigin, B., & Frenkel, E. (1990). Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. *Physics Letters B*, 246(1-2), 75-81.
- [30] Özer, H. T., and Filiz, A. (2020). Exploring new boundary conditions for $N=(1, 1)$ $N=(1, 1)$ extended higher-spin AdS_3 AdS_3 supergravity. *The European Physical Journal C*, 80, 1-15.
- [31] Özer, H. T., and Filiz, A. (2022). $N=(2, 2)$ extended $sl(3|2)$ Chern–Simons AdS_3 supergravity with new boundaries. *The European Physical Journal C*, 82(5), 472.
- [32] Chen, B., Long, J., and Wang, Y. (2012). Black holes in truncated higher spin AdS_3 gravity. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2012(12), 1-21.
- [33] J. de Boer and J.I. Jottar, Thermodynamics of higher spin black holes in AdS_3 , *JHEP* 01 (2014) 023 [arXiv:1302.0816].
- [34] Ghosh, A., & Bhamidipati, C. (2020). Thermodynamic geometry and interacting microstructures of BTZ black holes. *Physical Review D*, 101(10), 106007.
- [35] Upadhyay, S., & Ganai, P. A. (2019). A modified thermodynamics of rotating and charged BTZ black hole. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.00767.
- [36] Deckmyn, A. (1993). On the generalized Miura transformation. *Physics Letters B*, 298(3-4), 318-328.
- [37] Henneaux, M., Persson, D., and Spindel, P. Spacelike singularities and hidden symmetries of gravity. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 11, 1-232. (2008).
- [38] Sammani, R., Boujakhrou, Y., Saidi, E. H., Laamara, R. A., & Drissi, L. B. (2023). Higher spin AdS_3 gravity and Tits-Satake diagrams. *Physical Review D*, 108(10), 106019.
- [39] K. G. Wilson, Confinement of quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* 10, 2445 (1974).
- [40] Boujakhrou, Y., Saidi, E. H., Ahl Laamara, R., & Btissam Drissi, L. (2023). 't Hooft lines of ADE-type and topological quivers. *SciPost Physics*, 15(3), 078.
- [41] Youssra Boujakhrou, El Hassan Saidi, On Exceptional 't Hooft Lines in 4D-Chern-Simons, *Nuclear Physics B*, 980, 115795, Theory, arXiv:2204.12424 [hep-th], doi10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2022.115795.
- [42] Lorenz Eberhardt and Matthias R. Gaberdiel, Strings on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$, *High Energ. Phys.* 2019, 35 (2019), arXiv:1904.01585 [hep-th]
- [43] Kazuhiro Kimura, On Free Boson Representations of the Quantum Affine Algebra $U_q(sl_2)$, arXiv:hep-th/9212039.

- [44] N. M. McStay, R. A. Reid-Edwards, Symmetries and Covering Maps for the Minimal Tension String on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$, JHEP 04 (2024) 048, arXiv:2306.16280v3 [hep-th].
- [45] L. J. Dixon, M. E. Peskin, and J. Lykken, $N = 2$ superconformal symmetry and $SO(2, 1)$ current algebra, Nucl. Phys. B325 (1989) 329 .
- [46] Will McElgin, Notes on the $SL(2,R)$ CFT, arXiv:1511.07256 [hep-th].
- [47] J. Polchinski. String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2007.