Zhenya Huang University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China huangzhy@ustc.edu.cn

> Shiwei Tong Tencent Company Shenzhen, China shiweitong@tencent.com

Yuting Ning* University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China ningyt@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Shangzi Xue University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China xueshangzi@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Xin Lin University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China linx@mail.ustc.edu.cn Jiayu Liu University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China jy251198@mail.ustc.edu.cn Qi Liu[†] University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China qiliuql@ustc.edu.cn

Enhong Chen University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China cheneh@ustc.edu.cn Shijin Wang iFLYTEK Research Hefei, China sjwang3@iflytek.com

ABSTRACT

Educational resource understanding is vital to online learning platforms, which have demonstrated growing applications recently. However, researchers and developers always struggle with using existing general natural language toolkits or domain-specific models. The issue raises a need to develop an effective and easy-to-use one that benefits AI education-related research and applications. To bridge this gap, we present a unified, modularized, and extensive library, *EduNLP*, focusing on educational resource understanding. In the library, we decouple the whole workflow to four key modules with consistent interfaces including data configuration, processing, model implementation, and model evaluation. We also provide a configurable pipeline to unify the data usage and model usage in standard ways, where users can customize their own needs. For the current version, we primarily provide 10 typical models from four categories, and 5 common downstream-evaluation tasks in

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

https://doi.org/XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

the education domain on 8 subjects for users' usage. The project is released at: https://github.com/bigdata-ustc/EduNLP.

KEYWORDS

Pre-trained Language Model, Educational Resources Understanding

1 INTRODUCTION

Online learning platforms have been increasingly popular worldwide in recent years, which collect and manage large volumes of different educational resources (e.g., questions and textbooks) to provide personalized services for learners, such as learning path planning and personalized exercise recommendation [29, 42, 46]. For example, Khan Academy¹ has collected more than 1 million exercises and served millions of users². To improve the quality of intelligent services, it is necessary to understand and analyze these educational resources to make the best of them [11, 40, 48]. Such demands promote growing attention in many awesome intelligent education products. For example, OpenAI has provided a guide about using their awesome ChatGPT in teaching³. Therefore, there is a need for researchers and developers from both academia and industry to incorporate cutting-edge data mining and AI techniques to promote both research and applications of AI in education [12, 33, 43].

Longhu Qin*

University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China qlonghu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Tong Xiao University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, China

tongxiao2002@mail.ustc.edu.cn

^{*}Both authors contributed equally to this research.

[†]Corresponding author.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

¹https://www.khanacademy.org/

²https://www.librarieswithoutborders.org/khan-academy/

³https://openai.com/blog/teaching-with-ai

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03-05, 2018, Woodstock, NY





Although there are already mature NLP toolkits or even promising LLMs (e.g., ChatGPT) that we could use [13, 21, 41, 45], they generally do not work well on such educational resources due to their unique characteristics. First, educational resources usually contain multiple necessary components besides texts. For example, as shown in Figure 2, the typical math questions consist of texts, formulas, images and additional side information (such as related knowledge concepts) [5, 32]. Second, different from the common corpus, educational resources are always coupled with much domain-specific knowledge and logic, which is crucial for analyses. For instance, to understand and reason on math questions, models are required to leverage complex math knowledge[23, 25– 27]. Directly adopting NLP models for semantic understanding is insufficient, as many logical features are overlooked [35, 48].

Recently, researchers have noticed the above issues and designed many domain-specific models for educational resource understanding, such as QuesNet [48], DisenQet [16], QuesCo [35], and JiuZhang [50, 51]. However, their implementations are usually tough to use, which brings obstacles to practical applications and further research. Even experienced researchers also struggle with the following two main issues. First, these implementations usually adopt inconsistent data formats and model interfaces, which makes it hard to reproduce across different models and datasets. Second, the modules (e.g., data preprocessing, model details) in the implementations are tightly coupled, which increases the difficulty of secondary development and further extension. Therefore, researchers/developers are eagerly seeking an easy-to-use toolkit to improve their efficiency, which raises a need to re-implement these methods in a unified way, benefiting the related fields.

To this end, we initiate the *EduNLP* project to provide a unified and modularized library for education resource understanding. We decouple the typical workflow, including data configuration, preprocessing, model implementation, and model evaluation, into four modules with unified interfaces. Based on this library, we would like to offer researchers and developers two key conveniences including easy-to-use reproducing and customized secondary development. Specifically, EduNLP has the following key features.

• EduNLP is a modularized framework. It decouples the whole workflow of model development into different modules including data configuration, data processing, model training, and model inference. We also encapsulate all modules and provide an easy-to-use pipeline interface to meet a variety of personalized needs of users, such as quick inference for downstream tasks, configurable preprocessing, etc.

- EduNLP is compatible with typical educational resource data. We design a standard item format (SIF) to standardize the common features in the data including text, formula, image, and attributes (e.g., knowledge concept label), etc. Based on SIF, we also provide useful preprocessing tools that support users in processing their data with parsing, segmentation, tokenization, etc, which could reduce the redundancy for development.
- EduNLP unifies the model development process. We design an abstract class as the base model for users to implement their own ones. We also provide vector interfaces for easy inference. Users can directly download the models in our library for usage, or pre-train/fine-tune models with new data on their own, etc.
- EduNLP is equipped with several common downstream tasks, facilitating fair evaluation. Users are also supported to develop their application tasks for standard evaluations.
- Primarily, we implement 10 typical models in our library by default with four categories including embedding models, Seq2Seq models, general pre-trained models, and educational pre-trained models. We also provide 5 common downstream evaluation tasks including knowledge prediction, difficulty prediction, etc, on 8 subjects (e.g., math, English).
- EduNLP is flexible and extensible. It is based on PyTorch [36] and is compatible with HuggingFace [45], which are two of the most popular frameworks in the NLP community, allowing researchers and developers to quickly adapt to our library and integrate new models into our library.

2 THE LIBRARY - EDUNLP

The overall framework of our library EduNLP is presented in Figure 1. The data, preprocess, model, and evaluation modules are the core components of our library, which compose the whole workflow from data input to model output. Based on the four core modules, we provide the scripts to pre-train, fine-tune, and evaluate each implemented model. We also design a pipeline module for facilitating pre-processing data and running existing models on downstream applications. Besides, our library also supports downloading pretrained models with a Model Hub and using external data. In the following, we will introduce each module in detail.

2.1 Data Module

A major guiding principle in the development of our toolkit is to provide standardized and procedural data processing workflows

for education resources. For reusability and extensibility, we design a standard data format for educational resources, and provide an unified data flow to feed the input data into models. Compatible with classical practice in DL community [1, 6, 36], our data flow can be described as as: input data \rightarrow *Dataset* \rightarrow *DataLoader* \rightarrow models.

2.1.1 Standard Data. An educational item (such as a test question or a textbook snippet) may contain complex components represented in various formats. For example, in Figure 2, the question is composed of normal texts ("The image ... function"), formulas ("y = kx + b" in text content), special tokens ("___" for blank to fill in text), images ("Figure 1") and side information ("Knowledge Concept" and "Difficulty"). Besides, some special words in text may be displayed in different styles (e.g., italic or bold). The complexity of the educational item leads to an inconsistent data format from different data sources, which presents difficulties in reusable data pre-processing and creating unified model structures.

Therefore, to facilitate future research and development, we define a standard item format (SIF) for educational resources compatible with most components. An example of the SIF format is also given in the bottom of Figure 2.

The main principles of our SIF format are as follows:

- Normal Text: Currently we only support Chinese and English. Only characters and punctuation in Chinese and English, as well as line breaks, are allowed.
- Formulas: Mathematical symbols like formulas, Roman characters and numbers need to be expressed in LATEX⁴ format, i.e., embedded as \$...\$.
- **Special tokens:** Represent underlines of blanks and brackets of choices with \$\SIFBlank\$ and \$\SIFChoice\$ respectively. For multi-choice questions, the options should be started with \$\SIFTag{options}\$ and separated by \$\SIFSep\$.
- Text styles: Text can be represented in different styles with \$\textf{text, style_labels}\$. Currently, we have defined some styles: b(bold), i(italic), u(underline), w(wave), d(dotted), t(title). The parameter style_labels can be a combination with these styles in alphabetical order.
- Images: Pictures and tables can be represented as Base64 or *FigureID*{*uuid*}. Especially, \$\FormFigureID{uuid}\$ is used to represent formula pictures, which can be further converted into latex formula LaTeX strings using our OCR module base on GAP[47] for multi-line formula recognition.
- **Side information:** Side information (such as knowledge concepts and difficulty), if any, can be additionally represented separately.

2.1.2 Dataset and Dataloader. To facilitate preparing data for model training, we develop the EduDataset as the container for the data, which automatically conducts data preprocessing to convert the input data into the form required by the model, including parsing, segmentation and tokenization (detailed in Section 2.2). Notably, EduDataset also supports multi-process acceleration for dataset processing. Following classical practice in DL community [1, 6, 36], we also develop the Dataloader class based on Dataset to organize the data stream in model training, such as sampling data and collating them into a batch.

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03-05, 2018, Woodstock, NY



Figure 2: An example of SIF item.

2.1.3 External Data. EduNLP allows usage of any external data collected by users or downloaded from existing data sources, as long as they are processed into SIF format, such as mathematical problems, reading comprehension, and essay questions. Moreover, to facilitate the use of educational resources data for developers, we collected some open-source datasets of test questions, including mathematical word problems [7, 19, 24, 37, 44, 49], equation problems [2, 30, 39] and so on. We have made these open datasets available with a data tool EduData⁵, which provides a convenient downloading interface for researchers to access the data. Specifically, users can directly obtain the data through the command edudata download DATASET_NAME.

2.2 Preprocess Module

When feeding the data into models, we need to pre-process the data, i.e., converting an educational item into a sequence of token ids. Considering the complex components in educational items, in EduNLP, we design the workflow of data processing as: Item Format Parse \rightarrow Component Segmentation \rightarrow Tokenization.

2.2.1 Item Format Parse. The module Parse is the foundation of the SIF format introduced in Section 2.1.1, which identifies different components in the text (e.g., letters, numbers, formulas, and math symbols) and converts them into SIF format. Specifically, this module implements essential format parsing tools including identifying Chinese characters, detecting English alphabets and numerals outside mathematical expressions, and converting special elements (e.g., blanks ('__') and parentheses ('()')) into predefined standard symbols (e.g., SIFChoice, SIFBlank).

For the convenience of the users, we provide two easy-to-use interfaces containing all the above processes:

- is_sif(): This function checks if the raw data adheres to the SIF format, allowing developers to quickly identify conversion requirements for compatibility with our library.
- to_sif(): This function converts non-SIF data into SIF format, simplifying preprocessing by eliminating developers' concerns over original data formats.

Furthermore, we also offer more advanced features including matching LATEX mathematical expressions, verifying their completeness, converting image-based formulas into LATEX format, and encoding images in Base64 format.

⁴https://www.latex-project.org/

⁵https://github.com/bigdata-ustc/EduData

2.2.2 Component Segmentation. As we mentioned before, educational resources usually contain multiple components besides text, such as formulas and images. Therefore, this module aims to identify these components and decompose the educational item into different segments based on the SIF format.

Specifically, given a SIF item, the segmentation involves identifying and categorizing various components (including plain text, mathematical expressions and graphical images and so on) in the content. We define special data structures for each component, i.e., TextSegment, FormulaSegment, FigureSegment, FigureFormulaSegment and TagSegment.

We provide an easy-to-use interface, seg(), for the segmentation process, which will yield a SegmentList object as a list of all the components. Besides, users can use the parameter symbol of the function to mask specific components. For example, if one would like to focus on pure text, he can set symbol to 'fgm' (i.e., formulas, figures and marks), and the specified components will be masked.

2.2.3 *Tokenization.* After getting the components in an educational item, this module tokenizes each component into a serialized sequence of tokens required by the models. The tokens can be pre-defined formula tokens, text tokens, and image tokens, etc.

Text tokenization typically refers to word segmentation, i.e., transforming a sentence into a sequence of words. In EduNLP, we provide multiple candidate tokenization methods, such as Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) based on Huggingface Tokenizers⁶, the Jieba Chinese tokenization algorithm⁷, and nltk tokenization⁸. Notably, we develop an EduVocab class to hold the vocabulary for educational resources tokenization.

Formulas tokenization is provided with two typical implementations, i.e., the linear parsing which only keeps the symbols in the formula, and the abstract syntax tree parsing which also keeps the structural information.

Linear parsing of formulas provides a standardized tokenization method for LATEX formulas. This is crucial because naive text-based serialization can inadvertently introduce information redundancy or loss. For instance, the fraction command '\frac' might be incorrectly tokenized into '\' and 'frac'. We have designed specialized formula rules to identify and process specific identifiers in LATEX, such as '{}', '\frac', and '\circ'. Abstract syntax tree (AST) parsing is implemented by the Formula class, which checks whether formulas are valid and parses the valid ones to AST. To yield a structureenhanced formula token sequence, we provide the ast_tokenize function to serialize the nodes.

Moreover, we provide the FormulaGroup class to parse multiple formulas into an AST forest. After acquiring the AST tree or forest, following [18], we further construct a formula graph by adding several relation edges. Based on the formula graph, EduNLP could support more complex modeling methods such as the graph neural network, which we plan to add in the future.

Images tokenization refers to instantiating image with base64 encodings or reading image from the related path with its UUID, which will convert images into tensors in models supporting image modeling such as QuesNet [48].

2.2.4 Tokenizer. To facilitate the standardized data processing and simplify usage, we design an interface Tokenizer that integrates Parse, Segmentation and Tokenization altogether. Tokenizer is called explicitly by the user or implicitly by EduDataset (see Section 2.1.2) to pre-process data for models.

We first provide two pre-packaged basic tokenizers:

- PureTextTokenizer processes the text in the item by segmenting words and applies linear parsing methods to formulas in textual format, while other elements such as images and tags are symbolized (e.g., replace the image with a special [FIGURE] token). It matches situations where only the text contents are required.
- AstFormulaTokenizer is similar to PureTextTokenizer, but employs structural tokenization based on abstract syntax tree parsing for formulas. It works in situations where the structural information of the formulas is non-trivial.

We also provide the CustomTokenizer class, enabling users to specify configurations for text, formula, and image processing on their demands.

Furthermore, building on basic tokenizers, we design several model-specific tokenizers to facilitate model training and inference. The model-specific tokenizers follow two special class structures:

- TokenizerForHuggingface is an abstract class designed to reuse Huggingface's tokenizers. For example, our implemented BertTokenizer replaces the basic tokenization SentencePiece in transformers.BertTokenizer with our basic tokenizers PureTextTokenizer or AstFormulaTokenizer. These tokenizers work for most general pre-trained language models.
- PretrainedEduTokenizer is an abstract class for all the customized tokenizers including ElmoTokenizer, DisenQTokenizer, QuesNetTokenizer and so on, which typically work for models specially designed for educational resources like DisenQNet and QuesNet. Users can also implement their customized tokenizers specially tailored to their own models based on this class.

2.3 Model Module

In this module, we organize several categories of models into unified implementations. We first design an abstract model that specifies several important interfaces, and then implement 4 categories of models based on the abstract model. For all implemented models, users can directly download and use our pre-trained models from the Model Hub, or pre-train their own model with our provided pre-training scripts.

2.3.1 Unified Interface Design. To unify the implementation and usage of various models, we design an abstract model, i.e., BaseModel, that defines the necessary interfaces to work with other components. Therefore, based on the abstract model, all the models can be used with unified interfaces and easily connected to other components in our library. The abstract model is also compatible with HuggingFace Transformers [45], which allows direct adaption from a large number of models in HuggingFace to our library.

Specifically, compatible with the PyTorch and HuggingFace community, to implement a model, users should at least implement the

⁶https://huggingface.co/docs/tokenizers

⁷https://github.com/fxsjy/jieba

⁸https://www.nltk.org/

Table 1: We implemented 10 models in EduN

Category	Model	Year
Word Embedding	Word2Vec [34] Doc2Vec [20]	2013 2014
Seq2Seq Model	Elmo [38]	2018
General Pre-trained Language Model	BERT [10] RoBERTa [31] MacBERT [8]	2019 2019 2020
Educational Pre-trained Language Model	QuesNet [48] DisenQNet [16] JiuZhang [50] QuesCo [35]	2019 2021 2022 2023

__init__() function to define the model architecture and configurations, the forward() function to compute the loss and embeddings, the save_config() function to save the configuration file and the from_config() to initialize the model from an existing configuration file. More details and interfaces will be introduced in Section 3.3. Through these general interfaces for representation models, users can implement various models in a highly unified way, and directly reuse other components in our library with their new models, such as pipelines.

2.3.2 Implemented Models. We have implemented 10 models inherited from our abstract model BaseModel until now. The implemented models cover the general representation methods commonly used in the past years, and some representative language models specially designed for educational resources. We roughly divided these models into 4 categories: word embedding models, seq2seq models, general pre-trained language models, and educational pre-trained language models. Table 1 shows the details of these models.

For each implemented model, we provide the pre-training script in EduNLP (e.g., the pretrain_disenq() for pre-training Disen-QNet), and pre-train the model on our large-scale educational dataset. We also test the performance of these models on 5 downstream tasks with our multi-subject educational dataset (Section 4).

2.3.3 Vector Interfaces. To facilitate the inference of implemented models (i.e., converting educational items like test problems into vectors with the model), we provide two types of API interfaces for them, i.e. Item2Vector (I2V) and Token2Vector (T2V). Specifically, Token2Vector performs model inference to convert the tokenized items (e.g., token list) into embedding vectors. Item2Vector further integrates the data pre-processing and model inference, and directly converts the input educational items (e.g., test problems) into output vectors. The two interfaces both support using a local model or loading our pre-trained models remotely. Section 3.2.1 shows the examples of inference with Item2Vector and Token2Vector.

2.3.4 *Model Hub.* To facilitate easy use of these models with EduNLP, following HuggingFace Transformers [45], we build a Model Hub⁹ to save and distribute our pre-trained models. We have uploaded the models pre-trained and fine-tuned on our educational data to

Model Hub. We organize models with collections. Specifically, models with the same structure but different data or configurations can be uploaded in one collection for better management.

To download a model from the Model Hub, users can download one from the website or with our APIs (e.g., download a model with /api/getPretrainedModel). They can also download a model and load it with I2V container by the get_pretrained_i2v() function.

2.4 Evaluation Module

It is important to evaluate model output (e.g., the embedding vectors generated by the models) with unified downstream tasks. Therefore, EduNLP provides several common downstream tasks in real intelligent education scenarios [3, 15, 17, 28] for fair evaluation, i.e., knowledge prediction, difficulty prediction, discrimination prediction, quality prediction and similarity prediction.

- Knowledge prediction is a multi-label classification task. Given an educational item (such as a test question), the task aims to predict the related knowledge concepts from a predefined concept pool.
- **Difficulty prediction** is a regression task, which aims to quantify the degree of the difficulty of a given question. The difficulty score is defined on a scale from 0 to 1, where a higher score indicates a more difficult question.
- Discrimination prediction is a regression task, which aims to estimate the discrimination of a given problem for students with varying proficiency levels. A problem with good discriminatory score means that students with higher ability have a higher success rate on this question than those with lower ability. The discrimination prediction shares the same settings of difficulty prediction.
- Quality prediction aims to predict the quality of test questions. The quality scores of test questions are rated from 1 to 5. A high-quality score means that the question is presented in clear text content and standard formula formats and is of high logical consistency. It is worth noting that in this task, we need to first categorize the test questions into three scenarios (i.e., daily practice exercises, quizzes, and final exams) and then predict their quality scores.
- **Similarity prediction** aims to predict the similarity between two given educational items. Here we use the cosine similarity of the pre-trained item representations without further fine-tuning for zero-shot evaluation.

Please refer to Appendix D for more detailed task descriptions. For each downstream task, we implement a task-specific model following the same design with our abstract model. The task-specific model contains a pre-trained language model as the encoder and some prediction layers. For each downstream task, EduNLP implements several common evaluation metrics (Table 2). We provide fine-tuning functions in EduNLP (e.g., the finetune_disenq_for_ knowledge_prediction() function for DisenQNet on knowledge prediction task). We also provide scripts for fine-tuning and evaluating each task-specific model in examples/downstream.

2.5 Pipeline Module

To provide a unified and easy-to-use interface for downstream inference, we implement a configurable pipeline that integrates

⁹https://modelhub.bdaa.pro/

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03-05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

Table 2: We provide several evaluation metrics.

Task	Evaluation Metrics
Knowledge Prediction	precision, recall, f1-score
Difficulty Prediction	MAE, MSE, RMSE, NDCG, Pearson correlation coefficient, Spearman correlation coefficient
Discrimination Prediction	MAE, MSE, RMSE, NDCG, Pearson correlation coefficient, Spearman correlation coefficient
Quality Prediction	accuracy, MSE, R2Score, Person correlation coefficient
Similarity Prediction	Pearson correlation coefficient, Spearman correlation coefficient

all components in our library to form the whole workflow from data pre-processing to downstream tasks.

2.5.1 Task Pipeline. Pipelines are easy ways to use pre-trained models in downstream tasks. To set a pipeline for the downstream task, users only need to specify the task, model and tokenizer in the pipeline() interface. Then for the input educational items, the pipeline will automatically process the input with the configured tokenizer, construct Dataset and DataLoader, load the task-specific model, and feed the data into the model to get the predictions for the specified tasks.

2.5.2 *Pre-process Pipeline.* More flexibly, for users who only want to use our library to process the educational data without models, the pipeline could also be configured for data pre-processing. The general pre-processing process in our library consists of SIF format conversion, segmentation, and tokenization. Moreover, some of the steps may be not necessary, and sometimes there is a need to add more processing steps. To this end, EduNLP provides a configurable way to arrange the pre-processing steps of the pipeline.

Users can initialize a pre-processing pipeline with the pipeline() interface and add some initial steps in the meantime. After setting up a pipeline, users can still add new steps by pipeline.add_pipe() interface and set the configuration for the steps.

We also support the combined configuration of the pipeline for pre-processing and downstream task. Users can use the parameter task to specify the downstream tasks and pass a list of pre-processing steps to the parameter preprocess in the same pipeline. The pipeline will first process the input data with the configured steps, and then run the specified downstream tasks to get the predictions.

3 LIBRARY USAGE

In this section, we introduce how to use our library. Figure 3 shows the general procedure of EduNLP. We will illustrate some key usage of our library in this section, i.e., how to prepare the dataset, how to run existing models and how to implement a new model quickly. We will take DisenQNet [16] as an example in the following examples. Please refer to our documentation ¹⁰ for more detailed usage.



Figure 3: An illustrative usage flow of EduNLP.

3.1 Processing New Data

Before training a model, it is essential to process the data and ensure it is in the appropriate format.

As mentioned in Section 2.2, we provide the is_sif() and to_sif() functions for users to first check whether their data is in SIF format and convert their raw data into SIF format if not. Then users can instantiate a tokenizer that conducts the whole data pre-processing (i.e., parsing, segmentation and tokenization) and converts tokenized items into ids as the inputs to models. One step further, the users should prepare the dataset based on the data and tokenizer with our Dataset for training.

Code 1 shows an example of preparing the data.

```
from EduNLP.SIF import to_sif
# converting data to SIF format
sif_data = to_sif(raw_data)
# get a tokenizor
from EduNLP.Pretrain import DisenQTokenzier
tokenizer = DisenQTokenizer(vocab_path, text_params=
        text_params)
# prepare dataset
from EduNLP.Pretrain import DisenQDataset
dataset = DisenQDataset(sif_data, tokenizer=tokenizer)
```

Code 1: A simple example for preparing data.

3.2 Running Existing Models

Users can directly load pre-trained model checkpoints and perform inference with them. Besides, EduNLP also supports users to pretrain and fine-tune the implemented models with their own data.

3.2.1 Inference with a Pre-trained Model. To infer with an existing model, we provide an easy-to-use interface (i.e., Item2Vector (I2V)) that can convert educational items (e.g., questions) to vectors. We provide an API to directly initialize an I2V instance with our pre-trained models, i.e., get_pretrained_i2v(model_name). It will download the model from our Model Hub and load the model with I2V. One can also load local models with model-specific I2V interfaces. After loading models with I2V, one can use the function infer_item_vector() to get the vectors of items and use the function infer_token_vector() to get the embeddings of all tokens in each item. Code 2 shows an example of using I2V interface for inference. We also provide another interface, Token2Vector (T2V), to convert tokenized items (i.e., tokens) to vectors, which is a subprocess of I2V.

Huang, Ning and Qin, et al.

 $^{^{10}} https://edunlp.readthedocs.io/en/latest$

Code 2: A simple example of using the I2V container.

One step further, if users have their own data, they can also pre-train and fine-tune the models with their own data.

3.2.2 Pre-training and Fine-tuning Models. To pre-train an implemented model with EduNLP, users only need to process the dataset as we introduced in Section 3.1 and specify the training configurations. Then the pre-training can be run with simple interfaces in EduNLP.Pretrain. These functions will construct the dataset, initialize the model with specified configurations and then pre-train the model. The pre-training leverages the Trainer class in Hugging-Face, supporting all the training features inherently. The pre-trained model will be saved to output_dir. Code 3 shows an example of pre-training a model. We provide code examples for pre-training all the implemented models in the folder examples/pretrain in EduNLP.

For downstream tasks, we have implemented five downstream tasks in EduNLP. Users can load the pre-trained language models and fine-tune them for a task-specific model. We implemented some interfaces to finetune the models, such as finetune_disenq_for_knowledge_prediction(). Code 3 shows an example of fine-tuning a pre-trained DisenQNet for knowledge prediction. The fine-tuning also leverages the Trainer class in HuggingFace Transformers. One can also directly run the scripts in examples/downstreams.

```
from EduNLP.Pretrain import pretrain_disenqnet,
    finetune_disenqnet_for_knowledge_prediction
# pre-training
pretrain_disenqnet(train_items, output_dir,data_params=
    data_params, train_params=train_params)
# fine-tuning
finetune_disenqnet_for_knowledge_prediction(fine_items,
    task_output_dir, pretrained_model=output_dir,
    train_params=train_params, data_params=data_params)
```

Code 3: A simple example for pre-training a DisenQNet model and fine-tuning it for knowledge prediction.

3.3 Implementing a New Model

All the new models should inherit the BaseModel class defined in our library. It is worth noting that our BaseModel is compatible with HuggingFace Transformers, so that the user can also directly reuse a model or layer from HuggingFace. Based on our unified interface design, it is convenient to implement a new model by instantiating 4 mandatory functions as follows:

- __init__() function. In this function, the user should perform parameter initialization, configuration setting, modules and layers definition and so on.
- forward() function. This function calculates the loss and item embedding. The user should first define a new output class, which should be a subclass of ModelOutput, for

the new model. The forward() function should return an instance of the new output class.

- save_config() function. This function saves the configuration files. It can be directly reused from the BaseModel if there is no special operations other than saving all the configuration parameters to one json file.
- from_config() function. This function is a classmethod which initializes the new model with parameters from a configuration file.

We have also implemented the save_pretrained() and from_pretrained() functions to save and load pre-trained checkpoints, which can be directly reused in general. One can also rewrite these two functions if needed.

In addition, to support easy inference, one can also implement the corresponding I2V (Item2Vector) and T2V (Token2Vector) class for the new model by re-written inference functions. More details are available in our documentation.

4 EXPERIMENTS

To evaluate the models in EduNLP, we conduct extensive experiments to compare their performances on 8 subjects and 5 downstream tasks which are common in educational scenarios.

4.1 Dataset and Setup

We construct 8 large-scale educational datasets in different subjects as our pre-training corpus, consisting of millions of problems and papers from primary, junior high, and senior high school levels. The data is of high quality and in a unified format, including problem type, statement, answer, and analysis. Table 3 shows the detailed statistics with our data used in the library. Based on the datasets, we pre-train our implemented models on each subject. More training details can be found in Appendix B.

For evaluation, we create a benchmark with 5 task-specific tagged datasets from real-world data. For example, the difficulty prediction and discrimination prediction dataset based on classical test theory [9] with actual student answer records. Please refer to Appendix D for the introduction of our datasets.

4.2 Experimental Performance

We conduct extensive experiments to test our models' performance and their domain generalization on diverse subject datasets.

4.2.1 Performance on downstream tasks. We use our implemented common educational tasks to evaluate the performances of all the implemented models in EduNLP. Due to the limited annotations of our dataset, we evaluate all the tasks in the math subject. Table 4 shows the performances of different models across 5 educational downstream tasks. For general language models, we further pre-trained them with the same educational pre-training dataset (i.e., getting Edu-BERT with BERT, Edu-MacBERT with MacBERT and Edu-RoBERTa with RoBERTa).

4.2.2 Performance on Different Subjects. As we mentioned in Section 4.1, we pre-train models on 8 large-scale datasets of different subjects and get subject-specific pre-trained models. Since JiuZhang [50] and QuesCo [35] are designed for educational math

				•	0 1	5		
Subject	English	Math	Chinese	Physics	Chemisty	Biology	History	Geography
#Problem	403515	1495807	300336	889468	694154	443520	991212	218677

Table 3: Statistics for pre-training corpus on 8 subjects.

Table 4: Performance comparison between different models for five educational downstream tasks, i.e., difficulty prediction, knowledge concept prediction, discrimination prediction, similarity prediction, quality prediction and paper segmentation.

Model	Knowledge		Difficulty		Discrimination		Quality		Similarity		
mouer	$Precision (\uparrow)$	Recall (\uparrow)	F1 (†)	RMSE (↓)	NDCG@all (\uparrow)	MAE (↓)	MSE (↓)	ACC (↑)	MSE (↓)	PCC (↑)	SCC (↑)
Word2Vec	0.5826	0.6597	0.6188	1.3844	0.8148	0.2032	0.0687	0.7013	0.1237	0.312	0.366
Doc2Vec	0.5461	0.6426	0.5905	1.3417	0.8212	0.1735	0.0462	0.7234	0.2388	0.3487	0.2825
Elmo	0.5397	0.6226	0.5782	1.4836	0.8142	0.2147	0.0875	0.7291	0.113	0.3557	0.3425
Edu-BERT	0.6197	0.7021	0.6583	1.1133	0.8241	0.1242	0.0248	0.7348	0.0582	0.6504	0.6407
Edu-MacBERT	0.6084	0.6997	0.6513	1.0993	0.8051	0.1245	0.0249	0.7242	0.0606	0.6189	0.6003
Edu-RoBERTa	0.6089	0.6986	0.6506	1.1041	0.8394	0.1241	0.0248	0.7283	0.0604	0.6269	0.6067
QuesNet	0.5964	0.6738	0.6328	1.3332	0.8102	0.1481	0.0432	0.7307	0.3349	0.3845	0.4516
DisenQNet	0.5956	0.678	0.6342	1.5737	0.8244	0.1965	0.0453	0.7062	0.1209	0.475	0.4711
JiuZhang	0.548	0.6486	0.5941	1.2788	0.8445	0.1203	0.0232	0.7242	0.0605	0.538	0.5402
QuesCo	0.6036	0.6883	0.6432	1.1084	0.8737	0.1167	0.0221	0.7504	0.0567	0.7314	0.6986

Table 5: Model performance on 8 subjects and two tasks. We use F1-score and RMSE as the metrics for the knowledge prediction task and difficulty prediction tasks respectively. Note that '-' indicates DisenQNet lacks sufficient knowledge tags for pretraining.

Task	Model	English	Math	Chinese	History	Geometry	Physics	Chemistry	Biology
	Word2Vec	0.5691	0.6188	0.8735	0.5905	0.6399	0.7275	0.5693	0.7873
Knowledge	Doc2Vec	0.5757	0.5905	0.8886	0.5711	0.637	0.726	0.5603	0.7905
Prediction	DisenQNet	0.6450	0.6342	0.5676	-	-	-	-	-
(F1 ↑)	Edu-BERT	0.6914	0.6583	0.921	0.7175	0.7651	0.7882	0.6477	0.8117
	Edu-RoBERTa	0.6638	0.6506	0.9151	0.7086	0.732	0.7723	0.6439	0.8117
	Word2Vec	1.2787	1.3844	0.9506	1.2365	1.1901	0.9484	0.9165	1.2671
Difficulty	Doc2Vec	1.2106	1.3417	0.9087	1.2142	1.1268	0.9024	0.8706	1.2488
Prediction	DisenQNet	0.9628	1.5737	0.8822	-	-	-	-	-
(RMSE ↓)	Edu-BERT	0.9897	1.1133	0.8764	1.2088	0.9011	0.7496	0.7604	0.9958
	Edu-RoBERTa	0.9759	1.1041	0.8042	1.2492	1.0826	0.7556	0.9517	1.2462

questions, we do not pre-train and evaluate them on other subjects. We selected some representative models in each category we introduced in Section 2.3.2 and compare them on 8 subjects with the knowledge prediction task and difficulty prediction task as examples. Table 5 presents the results.

5 DISCUSSION

We developed EduNLP specifically for the processing of educational resources due to the inadequacies of existing toolkits, which can handle general textual data but fall short in dealing with typical educational data with diverse content components. However, when large language models are increasingly dominating traditional NLP tasks, is it necessary to design such a sophisticated toolkit specially for educational resources? Our answer is that it is still absolutely crucial and necessary for the following reasons.

First, high-quality data is essential for effective modeling, even for LLMs. EduNLP provided users with a standardized processing flow to handle high-quality data. Second, domain-specific LLMs should be further trained with relevant tasks that consider domain characteristics[14], which is also a common solution for other resources such as code[4, 22, 52]. LMs usually perform better in educational tasks after trained in educational resources (Appendix C.1). As for general LLMs, they often struggle to achieve satisfactory results on educational tasks without fine-tuning(Appendix C.2). Third, our library aims to provide a practical tool for researchers and developers, supporting them to start with educational resources easily. EduNLP is compatible with current popular frameworks (e.g. Huggingface Transformers). Users can quickly adapt to our toolkit and benefit from our features designed for educational resources.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced *EduNLP*, a unified and modularized library for educational resource understanding to facilitate application and research in both industry and academics. EduNLP consists of four key modules covering the whole workflow (i.e., Data, Preprocess, Model, and Evaluation), with a unified interface for easy usage and secondary development. For data, we designed a standard item format for education resources and provided useful tools for data preprocessing. For model, we designed an abstract model as the

interface, and implemented 10 pre-trained models of 4 categories for educational resources in the framework. We also evaluated these models with 5 unified downstream tasks in education scenarios. In the future, we will continue expanding EduNLP with more pretrained models. Moreover, we hope to incorporate the capability of educational resource generation in the next stage of EduNLP. We invite researchers and practitioners to join and enrich EduNLP, and help push forward the research on educational resource analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Martín Abadi, Ashish Agarwal, Paul Barham, Eugene Brevdo, Zhifeng Chen, Craig Citro, Greg S. Corrado, Andy Davis, Jeffrey Dean, Matthieu Devin, Sanjay Ghemawat, Ian Goodfellow, Andrew Harp, Geoffrey Irving, Michael Isard, Yangqing Jia, Rafal Jozefowicz, Lukasz Kaiser, Manjunath Kudlur, Josh Levenberg, Dandelion Mané, Rajat Monga, Sherry Moore, Derek Murray, Chris Olah, Mike Schuster, Jonathon Shlens, Benoit Steiner, Ilya Sutskever, Kunal Talwar, Paul Tucker, Vincent Vanhoucke, Vijay Vasudevan, Fernanda Viégas, Oriol Vinyals, Pete Warden, Martin Wattenberg, Martin Wicke, Yuan Yu, and Xiaoqiang Zheng. 2015. TensorFlow: Large-Scale Machine Learning on Heterogeneous Systems. https://www.tensorflow.org/ Software available from tensorflow.org.
- [2] Aida Amini, Saadia Gabriel, Shanchuan Lin, Rik Koncel-Kedziorski, Yejin Choi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2019. MathQA: Towards Interpretable Math Word Problem Solving with Operation-Based Formalisms. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), Jill Burstein, Christy Doran, and Thamar Solorio (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 2357–2367. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1245
- [3] Matthew Byrd and Shashank Srivastava. 2022. Predicting Difficulty and Discrimination of Natural Language Questions. In Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Dublin, Ireland, 119–130. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.aclshort.15
- [4] Guanzheng Chen, Xin Li, Zaiqiao Meng, Shangsong Liang, and Lidong Bing. 2023. Clex: Continuous length extrapolation for large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16450 (2023).
- [5] Jiaqi Chen, Jianheng Tang, Jinghui Qin, Xiaodan Liang, Lingbo Liu, Eric Xing, and Liang Lin. 2021. GeoQA: A Geometric Question Answering Benchmark Towards Multimodal Numerical Reasoning. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021. 513–523.
- [6] François Chollet et al. 2015. Keras. https://keras.io.
- [7] Karl Cobbe, Vineet Kosaraju, Mohammad Bavarian, Mark Chen, Heewoo Jun, Lukasz Kaiser, Matthias Plappert, Jerry Tworek, Jacob Hilton, Reiichiro Nakano, et al. 2021. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168 (2021).
- [8] Yiming Cui, Wanxiang Che, Ting Liu, Bing Qin, Shijin Wang, and Guoping Hu. 2020. Revisiting pre-trained models for Chinese natural language processing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.13922 (2020).
- [9] Robert F DeVellis. 2006. Classical test theory. Medical care (2006), S50-S59.
- [10] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), Jill Burstein, Christy Doran, and Thamar Solorio (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 4171–4186. https://doi. org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423
- [11] Songtao Fang, Zhenya Huang, Ming He, Shiwei Tong, Xiaoqing Huang, Ye Liu, Jie Huang, and Qi Liu. 2021. Guided Attention Network for Concept Extraction.. In IJCAI. 1449–1455.
- [12] Wensheng Gan, Zhenlian Qi, Jiayang Wu, and Jerry Chun-Wei Lin. 2023. Large language models in education: Vision and opportunities. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (BigData). IEEE, 4776–4785.
- [13] Matt Gardner, Joel Grus, Mark Neumann, Oyvind Tafjord, Pradeep Dasigi, Nelson F. Liu, Matthew Peters, Michael Schmitz, and Luke S. Zettlemoyer. 2017. AllenNLP: A Deep Semantic Natural Language Processing Platform. arXiv:arXiv:1803.07640
- [14] Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A Smith. 2020. Don't Stop Pretraining: Adapt Language Models to Domains and Tasks. In Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. 8342–8360.
- [15] Wei Huang, Enhong Chen, Qi Liu, Yuying Chen, Zai Huang, Yang Liu, Zhou Zhao, Dan Zhang, and Shijin Wang. 2019. Hierarchical multi-label text classification: An attention-based recurrent network approach. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on information and knowledge management. 1051–1060.

- [16] Zhenya Huang, Xin Lin, Hao Wang, Qi Liu, Enhong Chen, Jianhui Ma, Yu Su, and Wei Tong. 2021. DisenQNet: Disentangled Representation Learning for Educational Questions. In Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (Virtual Event, Singapore) (KDD '21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 696–704. https://doi.org/10.1145/3447548.3467347
- [17] Zhenya Huang, Qi Liu, Enhong Chen, Hongke Zhao, Mingyong Gao, Si Wei, Yu Su, and Guoping Hu. 2017. Question difficulty prediction for READING problems in standard tests. In Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (<conf-loc>, <city>San Francisco</city>, <state>California</state>, <country>USA</country>, </conf-loc>) (AAAI'17). AAAI Press, 1352–1359.
- [18] Zhenya Huang, Qi Liu, Weibo Gao, Jinze Wu, Yu Yin, Hao Wang, and Enhong Chen. 2020. Neural mathematical solver with enhanced formula structure. In Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. 1729–1732.
- [19] Rik Koncel-Kedziorski, Subhro Roy, Aida Amini, Nate Kushman, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2016. MAWPS: A Math Word Problem Repository. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Kevin Knight, Ani Nenkova, and Owen Rambow (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, San Diego, California, 1152–1157. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N16-1136
- [20] Quoc Le and Tomas Mikolov. 2014. Distributed representations of sentences and documents. In International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 1188–1196.
- [21] Junyi Li, Tianyi Tang, Gaole He, Jinhao Jiang, Xiaoxuan Hu, Puzhao Xie, Zhipeng Chen, Zhuohao Yu, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2021. TextBox: A Unified, Modularized, and Extensible Framework for Text Generation. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations. 30–39.
- [22] Raymond Li, Loubna Ben Allal, Yangtian Zi, Niklas Muennighoff, Denis Kocetkov, Chenghao Mou, Marc Marone, Christopher Akiki, Jia Li, Jenny Chim, et al. 2023. Starcoder: may the source be with you! arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06161 (2023).
- [23] Xin Lin, Zhenya Huang, Hongke Zhao, Enhong Chen, Qi Liu, Hao Wang, and Shijin Wang. 2021. Hms: A hierarchical solver with dependency-enhanced understanding for math word problem. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, Vol. 35. 4232–4240.
- [24] Wang Ling, Dani Yogatama, Chris Dyer, and Phil Blunsom. 2017. Program Induction by Rationale Generation: Learning to Solve and Explain Algebraic Word Problems. In Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), Regina Barzilay and Min-Yen Kan (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Vancouver, Canada, 158–167. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P17-1015
- [25] Jiayu Liu, Zhenya Huang, Xin Lin, Qi Liu, Jianhui Ma, and Enhong Chen. 2022. A cognitive solver with autonomously knowledge learning for reasoning mathematical answers. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM). IEEE, 269–278.
- [26] Jiayu Liu, Zhenya Huang, Zhiyuan Ma, Qi Liu, Enhong Chen, Tianhuang Su, and Haifeng Liu. 2023. Guiding Mathematical Reasoning via Mastering Commonsense Formula Knowledge. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. 1477–1488.
- [27] Jiayu Liu, Zhenya Huang, Chengxiang Zhai, and Qi Liu. 2023. Learning by applying: A general framework for mathematical reasoning via enhancing explicit knowledge learning. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 37. 4497–4506.
- [28] Qi Liu, Zai Huang, Zhenya Huang, Chuanren Liu, Enhong Chen, Yu Su, and Guoping Hu. 2018. Finding Similar Exercises in Online Education Systems. In Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (London, United Kingdom) (KDD '18). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1821–1830. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3219819.3219960
- [29] Qi Liu, Zhenya Huang, Yu Yin, Enhong Chen, Hui Xiong, Yu Su, and Guoping Hu. 2019. Ekt: Exercise-aware knowledge tracing for student performance prediction. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 33, 1 (2019), 100–115.
- [30] Tianqiao Liu, Qiang Fang, Wenbiao Ding, Hang Li, Zhongqin Wu, and Zitao Liu. 2021. Mathematical Word Problem Generation from Commonsense Knowledge Graph and Equations (Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing). Association for Computational Linguistics, 4225– 4240. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.emnlp-main.348
- [31] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach. arXiv:1907.11692 [cs.CL]
- [32] Pan Lu, Hritik Bansal, Tony Xia, Jiacheng Liu, Chunyuan Li, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Hao Cheng, Kai-Wei Chang, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. 2023. Mathvista: Evaluating mathematical reasoning of foundation models in visual contexts. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02255 (2023).
- [33] Xinyi Lu, Simin Fan, Jessica Houghton, Lu Wang, and Xu Wang. 2023. ReadingQuizMaker: A Human-NLP Collaborative System that Supports Instructors to Design High-Quality Reading Quiz Questions. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03-05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–18.

- [34] Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781 (2013).
- [35] Yuting Ning, Zhenya Huang, Xin Lin, Enhong Chen, Shiwei Tong, Zheng Gong, and Shijin Wang. 2023. Towards a holistic understanding of mathematical questions with contrastive pre-training. In Proceedings of the Thirty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Thirty-Fifth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Thirteenth Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence (AAAI'23/IAAI'23/EAAI'23). AAAI Press, Article 1504, 10 pages. https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v37i11.26573
- [36] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, et al. 2019. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library. Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).
- [37] Arkil Patel, Satwik Bhattamishra, and Navin Goyal. 2021. Are NLP Models really able to Solve Simple Math Word Problems?. In Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 2080–2094. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.naacl-main.168
- [38] Matthew E. Peters, Mark Neumann, Mohit Iyyer, Matt Gardner, Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. Deep Contextualized Word Representations. In Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers), Marilyn Walker, Heng Ji, and Amanda Stent (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, New Orleans, Louisiana, 2227–2237. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N18-1202
- [39] Jinghui Qin, Lihui Lin, Xiaodan Liang, Rumin Zhang, and Liang Lin. 2020. Semantically-Aligned Universal Tree-Structured Solver for Math Word Problems. In Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP). Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 3780-3789. https://doi.org/10.18655/v1/2020.emnlp-main.309
- [40] Ahmed Tlili, Jingjing Zhang, Zacharoula Papamitsiou, Sven Manske, Ronghuai Huang, Kinshuk, and H Ulrich Hoppe. 2021. Towards utilising emerging technologies to address the challenges of using Open Educational Resources: a vision of the future. Educational Technology Research and Development 69 (2021), 515-532.
- [41] Jianing Wang, Nuo Chen, Qiushi Sun, Wenkang Huang, Chengyu Wang, and Ming Gao. 2023. HugNLP: A Unified and Comprehensive Library for Natural Language Processing. In Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 5111–5116. https://doi.org/10.1145/3583780. 3614742
- [42] Tianqi Wang, Fenglong Ma, Tang Tang, Longfei Zhang, and Jing Gao. 2022. Textbook Enhanced Student Learning Outcome Prediction. In Proceedings of the 2022 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM). SIAM, 352–360.
- [43] Xu Wang, Simin Fan, Jessica Houghton, and Lu Wang. 2022. Towards processoriented, modular, and versatile question generation that meets educational needs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.00355 (2022).
- [44] Yan Wang, Xiaojiang Liu, and Shuming Shi. 2017. Deep Neural Solver for Math Word Problems. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Martha Palmer, Rebecca Hwa, and Sebastian Riedel (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Copenhagen, Denmark, 845– 854. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/D17-1088
- [45] Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, et al. 2019. Huggingface's transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03771 (2019).
- [46] Zhengyang Wu, Ming Li, Yong Tang, and Qingyu Liang. 2020. Exercise recommendation based on knowledge concept prediction. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 210 (2020), 106481.
- [47] Zhe Yang, Qi Liu, Kai Zhang, Shiwei Tong, and Enhong Chen. 2024. GAP: A Grammar and Position-Aware Framework for Efficient Recognition of Multi-Line Mathematical Formulas. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. 901–910.
- [48] Yu Yin, Qi Liu, Zhenya Huang, Enhong Chen, Wei Tong, Shijin Wang, and Yu Su. 2019. QuesNet: A Unified Representation for Heterogeneous Test Questions. In Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (Anchorage, AK, USA) (KDD '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1328–1336. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 3292500.3330900
- [49] Wei Zhao, Mingyue Shang, Yang Liu, Liang Wang, and Jingming Liu. 2020. Ape210k: A large-scale and template-rich dataset of math word problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.11506 (2020).
- [50] Wayne Xin Zhao, Kun Zhou, Zheng Gong, Beichen Zhang, Yuanhang Zhou, Jing Sha, Zhigang Chen, Shijin Wang, Cong Liu, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2022. JiuZhang: A Chinese Pre-trained Language Model for Mathematical Problem Understanding. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (Washington DC, USA) (KDD '22). Association for Computing

Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 4571–4581. https://doi.org/10.1145/3534678. 3539131

- [51] Xin Zhao, Kun Zhou, Beichen Zhang, Zheng Gong, Zhipeng Chen, Yuanhang Zhou, Ji-Rong Wen, Jing Sha, Shijin Wang, Cong Liu, and Guoping Hu. 2023. JiuZhang 2.0: A Unified Chinese Pre-trained Language Model for Multi-task Mathematical Problem Solving (*KDD* '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 5660–5672. https://doi.org/10.1145/3580305.3599850
- [52] Qinkai Zheng, Xiao Xia, Xu Zou, Yuxiao Dong, Shan Wang, Yufei Xue, Zihan Wang, Lei Shen, Andi Wang, Yang Li, et al. 2023. Codegeex: A pre-trained model for code generation with multilingual evaluations on humaneval-x. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17568 (2023).

A CORPUS EXAMPLES

Our pre-training datasets are extensive collections of practice quizzes, mock exams, and test problems. These data have been sourced from various educational supplementary materials providers. After data cleaning like filtering out HTML tags, unifying data structure and standardizing data formatting, we obtain the SIF datasets. Table 10 showcases illustrative examples of the SIF questions in different subject.

B TRAINING SETTINGS

During pre-training, we utilize the AdamW optimizer as the common optimizer for all models. We pre-train subject-specific models for each subject. Specifically, for RNN-based models, we pre-train them with a batch size of 64 and a learning rate of 10^{-3} . For transformer-based models, we generally use a batch size of 32 and a learning rate of 10^{-5} . We report the specific configurations we used for pre-training in our experiments in Table 6

Model	Training Settings
Word2Vec & Doc2Vec	window=5, min_count=20 sg=1(W2V), dm=1(D2V), epoch=10
Elmo	AdamW, learning_rate=5 e^{-3} batch_size=64, epoch=5
BERT & RoBERTa & MacBERT	AdamW, learning_rate=5 <i>e</i> ⁻⁵ batch_size=32, epoch=5
QuesNet & DisenQNet	AdamW, learning_rate=5 <i>e</i> ⁻⁴ batch_size=64, epoch=10
Jiuzhang	AdamW, learning_rate=3 e^{-5} batch_size=32, epoch=2(stage1), 1(stage2), 1(stage3)
QuesCo	AdamW, learning_rate=5 <i>e</i> ⁻⁵ batch_size=8, epoch=1

C DISCUSSION ABOUT LLMS

C.1 Effects of domain-specific training

As we mentioned in Section 5, LMs usually perform better in educational tasks after trained in educational resources. To prove it, we take BERT as an example, comparing the performance of original LMs and Edu-LMs that are further pre-trained on our SIF data in the similarity prediction task. Table 7 shows that Edu-BERT performs much better than the original BERT, indicating the domain-specific training is important for LMs used in educational tasks.

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03-05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

Table 7: Edu-BERT that are further pre-trained on our SIF data perform much better than the original BERT in the similarity prediction task.

Metrics	BERT	Edu-BERT
PCC	0.3694	0.6504
SCC	0.4133	0.6407

C.2 Failure cases of LLMs in educational tasks

As we mentioned in Section 5, We also tried prompting-based LLMs, but they did not achieve satisfactory results. Instances of ChatGPT are shown in Table 8. Specially, for knowledge prediction(KP), LLMs struggle to precisely predict knowledge labels due to output variability and lack of knowledge hierarchical information. For difficulty prediction(DP), LLMs demonstrate defect in perceiving difficulty compared to humans, even with contextual examples.

Table 8: Examples of bad cases of ChatGPT on two typical educational tasks.

Knowledge Prediction
#Input : 设数列 a_n 的前n项和为 S_n ,若 $S_n = 2a_n - 2^{n+1}$ ($n \in$
N+),则数列an的通项公式为\$\SIFBlank\$.
#Label: [数列, 数列的概念和基本表示, 数列的递归关系]
#Prediction : [数列的通项公式, 数列的前n项和公式, 求和
公式的运用]
Difficulty Prediction
#Input : 学校周三要排语文、数学、英语、物理、化学和
生物6门不同的课程,若第一节不排语文且第六节排生物,则
不同的排法共有多少种?
#Label : 0.14
#Prediction : 0.75

D DOWNSTREAM TASKS

Our evaluation consists of two evaluation protocols, namely freezing evaluation and unfreezing evaluation. Specifically, freezing means that we freeze the language model as the encoder and only train the prediction networks, while unfreezing evaluation means that we will fine-tune the language model as well as the prediction networks. In our experiments, we adopt freezing evaluation for difficulty prediction, discrimination prediction and similarity prediction, and unfreezing evaluation for knowledge prediction and quality prediction.

For each downstream task, we construct high-quality tagged datasets. Table 9 provides illustrative data examples for each downstream task within the math subject domain.

Though we have introduced our downstream tasks in Section 2.4, more detailed descriptions of these tasks and our settings are as follows.

• Knowledge Prediction: The related knowledge concepts are organized into a 3-level knowledge hierarchy in our case, where knowledge concepts in lower-layers are considered as subclasses of those in upper-layers. We adopt different pre-trained language models as encoders of questions, and

Table 9: Examples of data samples of downstream tasks.
Knowledge Prediction
#Input:函数\$f(x)\$是定义在\$R\$上的奇函数,且对任意\$x \in
R\$都有\$f(x+6)=f(x)+f(3-x)\$,则\$f(2010)\$的值为\$\SIFBlank\$
#Output : Knowledge=[三角函数, 三角函数及其恒等变换,
半角的三角函数]
Difficulty Prediction
#Input: 若连续掷两次骰子, 第一次掷得的点数为\$m\$,第二
次掷得的点数为\$n\$,则点\$P(m,n)\$落在圆\$x^{2} + y^{2} = 16\$
内的概率是 \$\SIFBlank\$
#Output : Difficulty=0.6316
Discrimination Prediction
#Input: 若连续掷两次骰子, 第一次掷得的点数为\$m\$,第二
次掷得的点数为\$n\$,则点\$P(m,n)\$落在圆\$x^{2} + y^{2} = 16\$
内的概率是 \$\SIFBlank\$
#Output : Discrimination=0.4153
Quality Prediction
#Input : 设\$A\$, \$B\$是椭圆\$c: \frac{x^2}{3} + \frac{y^2}{m} =
1\$长轴的两个端点, 若\$C\$上存在点\$M\$满足\$\angle
\mathrm{AMB}=120^{\circ}\$, 则\$m\$的 取 值 范 围
是\$\SIFBlank\$
#Output : score=5 (highest ratings), label=2 (exam problems)
Similarity Prediction
#Input:
Problem1=己知\$ \sin \left($\frac{\psi}{4} - x \right) = \frac{1}{3}$
\$, \$\sin 2 x\$的值为\$\SIFBlank\$
$Problem2= \square \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
\frac{3}{5} \$, 则\$\cos 2\theta\$等于\$\SIFBlank\$
#Output: Similarity=0.733

feed the extracted semantic representations into HARL [15] for knowledge prediction, which is the network specifically designed for hierarchical classification.

- **Difficulty prediction:** The difficulty score is calculated with real-world student response data with classical test theory [9]. Specifically, we use 1-pass_rate of each question as its difficulty. A higher difficulty means that the question is harder and fewer students can answer correctly. We use a simple linear layer with a Sigmoid function as the prediction network.
- **Discrimination prediction:** Following classical test theory, the discrimination score is formally defined as $D = P_u P_i$, where P_u is the correct response rate of the group with upper ability and P_i represent the correct response rate of the lower group. It measures whether and how higher-ability students performing better than lower-ability students. We use a simple linear layer with a Sigmoid function as the prediction network.
- Quality prediction: We annotate the quality scores in a semi-automatic way based on an assumption that real exam papers, which are designed by expert committees and contain comprehensive questions, have higher scores than mock and practice papers. We annotate real exam papers, mock papers and practice papers with basic ratings of 5, 4 and 3 respectively. Lower-quality samples are generated by randomly removing formulas, images, or text from question

Table 10: Examples of SIF items of different subjects.

English	content : Knowing what colors look good \$\SIFBlank\$ your skin is of great importance when you buy clothes.
	options: A:beyond, B:within, C:against, D:over
	answer: \$C\$
	knowledge: Vocabulary, Pronunciation, Phrases difficulty: 0.569
Math	content : \$\SIFChoice\$函数\$f(x)\$是定义在\$R\$上的奇函数,且对任意\$x \in R\$都有\$f(x+6)=f(x)+f(3-
	x)\$,则\$f(2010)\$的值为\$\SIFChoice\$
	options : A:\$2010\$, B: \$- 2010\$, C:\$0\$, D:不确定
	answer: \$C\$
	knowledge: 三角函数, 三角函数及其恒等变换, 半角的三角函数 difficulty: 0.396
Chinese	content: 对下面文段中加点词的解释有错误的一项是\$\SIFChoice\$ 蒋氏大戚,汪然出涕曰:"君将哀
	而\$\textf生,u\$之乎?则吾\$\textf斯,u\$役之个幸,未若复吾赋个幸之甚也,\$\textf向,u\$吾个为斯役,则久
	已\$\textf病,u\$矣。"
	options : A:
	C:\$\textf向,u\$ 音个为斯役向:从前, D:则久已\$\textf病,u\$矣病:患病
	answer: \$D\$
	knowledge: None difficulty: 0.053
Physics	content: 如图所示,在一个凸透镜的主光轴上距光心\$2\$倍焦距的地方放一点光源\$S,\$在透镜的另一侧距
	光心\$3\$倍焦距的地万垂直于王光轴放置的屏上得到一个亮圆斑,若将透镜的上半部遮住,则\$\SIFChoice\$
	\$\FigureID001b16ae-e7af-11eb-be0e-40f2e9c851ac\$
	options: A:拼上先圆斑上半部消失, B:併上先圆斑下半部消失, C:併上先圆斑仍元整,但先度佩弱, D:併上
	党圆 <u>堆</u> 且侄佩小
	answer: \$C\$
	knowledge: None difficulty: 0.732
Chemistry	content: 呆化合物在氧气中元至燃烧后生成_氧化恢和水,已知生成的_氧化恢和水的质量比
	为\$22\$:\$9\$,\$则该化合物的化学式可能定\$\SIFChoice\$
	options: A:\$C_2H_2\$, B:\$C_2H_4\$, C:\$C_2H_5OH\$, D:\$CH_4\$
	answer: \$B\$
D: 1	Knowledge : None difficulty : 0.496
Biology	content: 卜列大丁入净皿裙半衡调节的叙述中,止佛的定\$\SIFChoice\$
	options: A:胰岛细胞产生的激系均能降低血糖浓度, B:胰岛\$\alpha\$细胞和\$\beta\$细胞分泌的激系协问调
	卫
	里的糖別51起的,別以停止吃糖个用约肌可有思
	answer: \$C\$
	Knowledge : None difficulty : 0.496
History	content: 1902年2月4月,采后跑又仕《新氏丛报》上反衣《论氏族兄ず乙人穷》,指出: 今日飲救中
	国,几他不局,小兀建攻一氏肤土义之国豕间口。 为此,采归旭 \$\SIFCnoice\$
	options: A:土ҡ国豕禹丁八氏,土矢将相定八॥的公仆, B:信助经子的外化省定君土々制, C:亘恆仲氏 初一近辺院 亦沈固方 p ナ北尼ナに利労 后对去却に果吐 迷信
	1X、 以以阮、 又厶囟付, D:土尔仄土刁祔子, 以刈 又尚刁虑怀、 还后
	answer: ݡレᅍ knowladza,近代中国近代中国的田相潮流,维新田相, 光年
Coogramb	KIIOWICUGE. 但下国, 但下国时心恐怖机, 地利心恐 dillicuity: 0.293
Geography	content. T为大两个,ய为于地球上两种文工是又是的定补GFChoice和。
	可加加尔· 布尔风的二角侧, D·M// 区域风的增始有, C·江市久土地展现家, D·江音可拉推田中久现 了海洋出物位乙
	」1941十工17月107日 answar: \$D\$
	answer: ملع knowladge: Nonedifficulty: 0.805
	KIIOWIEuge. NOIle uniteury: 0.003

contents, which will be rated from 1 to 4 based on different portions of removal. For modeling, we employ two simple linear layers with Softmax and Sigmoid functions for scenario classification and rating regression, respectively. The metric accuracy evaluates the scenario classification and MSE evaluates the quality score prediction.

• **Similarity prediction:** We invited three experts to label the similarities of question pairs from 0 to 10, which will be scaled to 0-1. When determining the similarities of question

pairs, experts are expected to consider the similarity of their related knowledge, required ability and quality. We directly used the pre-trained language models as frozen encoders for question representations without any further fine-tuning, Conference acronym 'XX, June 03-05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

and use the cosine similarity of representations as the predicted similarity.

Received 20 February 2007; revised 12 March 2009; accepted 5 June 2009