

# QUIVER HECKE ALGEBRAS FOR BORCHERDS-CARTAN DATUM II

BOLUN TONG AND WAN WU\*

**ABSTRACT.** We give the crystal structure of the Grothendieck group  $G_0(R)$  of irreducible modules over the quiver Hecke algebra  $R$  constructed in [13]. This leads to the categorification of the crystal  $B(\infty)$  of the quantum Borcherds algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  and its irreducible highest weight crystal  $B(\lambda)$  for arbitrary Borcherds-Cartan data. Additionally, we study the cyclotomic categorification of irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

## Introduction

In [13], the authors introduced a family of quiver Hecke algebras (Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras)  $R(\nu)$  for  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ , associated with a Borcherds-Cartan datum  $(I, A)$  consisting of a countable set  $I$  and a symmetrizable Borcherds-Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  indexed by  $I$ . Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]} R(\nu)$ . Following the framework given by Khovanov-Lauda [9, 10], it was shown that the Grothendieck group  $K_0(R)$  of the category of finitely generated graded projective  $R$ -modules is isomorphic to the negative part of the corresponding quantum Borcherds algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular, when  $i$  is an imaginary index, i.e.  $a_{ii} \leq 0$ , the algebra  $R(ni)$  for  $\nu = ni$  can be considered as a deformation of the nilcoxeter algebra.

In comparison with [6], which also provides a categorification of these algebras, our construction imposes no such restriction as  $a_{ii} \neq 0$ . With this advantage, we could consider an arbitrary Borcherds-Cartan datum and use our construction to give a categorification of typical crystals and the irreducible highest weight modules over  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Historically, in the Kac-Moody cases, A. Lauda and M. Vazirani [11] studied the crystal structure on the categories of graded modules over the quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ , which categorify the negative part of the quantum Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  associated with a symmetrizable Cartan datum. They proved that, as crystals, the Grothendieck group of the graded irreducible modules over  $\mathcal{R}$  is isomorphic to the crystal  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$  of the quantum Kac-Moody algebra, derived from Kashiwara's crystal basis theory. Let  $\lambda \in P^+$  be a dominant integral weight. The crystal structure of the irreducible modules over the cyclotomic quiver

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 17B67, 16G20.

*Key words and phrases.* Categorification, quiver Hecke algebra, quantum Borcherds algebra.

\* Corresponding author.

Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  is isomorphic to the crystal  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  of the irreducible highest weight module  $\mathcal{V}(\lambda)$ . Consequently, one could compute the rank of the corresponding Grothendieck groups. In [3], Kang and Kashiwara proved that the cyclotomic quotient  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  provides a categorification of  $\mathcal{V}(\lambda)$ . That is, the Grothendieck group  $\mathcal{K}_0(R^\lambda)$  of the finitely generated graded projective modules over  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  has a natural  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure that is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{V}(\lambda)$ .

In the context of quantum Borchers algebra, similar results have been obtained in [6] and [5], with the condition that  $a_{ii} \neq 0$ . Particularly, in [6], a perfect basis theory for the quantum Borchers algebra is developed to categorify the crystals.

We extend these results to an arbitrary Borchers Cartan datum. We first define the Kashiwara operators on the Grothendieck group  $G_0(R)$  of the irreducible modules, which is slightly different from the Kac-Moody cases. Then we categorify the crystal  $B(\infty)$  of the quantum Borchers algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  and its irreducible highest weight crystal  $B(\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda \in P^+$ . In the final chapter, we construct an algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  that is ‘larger’ than  $R$  and assert that the irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V(\lambda)$  can be realized as a subspace of  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  or  $G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  for the cyclotomic algebra  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$ .

**Notations.** In this paper,  $\mathbb{K}$  is a fixed algebraically closed field. For a graded  $A$ -module  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  over a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A$ , its graded dimension is defined to be

$$\mathbf{Dim}M = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_{\mathbb{K}} M_n) q^n,$$

where  $q$  is a formal variable. For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the degree shift  $M\{m\}$  is the graded  $A$ -module obtained by setting  $(M\{m\})_n = M_{n-m}$ . More generally, for  $f(q) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m q^m \in \mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$ , we set  $M^f = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (M\{m\})^{\oplus a_m}$ .

Given two graded  $A$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-gr}}(M, N)$  is the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of grading-preserving homomorphisms. We define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space  $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$  to be

$$\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{A\text{-gr}}(M\{n\}, N) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{A\text{-gr}}(M, N\{-n\}).$$

## 1. Categorification of quantum Borchers algebras

### 1.1. Quantum Borchers algebras.

Let  $I$  be an index set possibly countably infinite. A symmetrizable Borchers-Cartan matrix is an integer-valued matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  satisfying

- (i)  $a_{ii} = 2, 0, -2, -4, \dots$ ,
- (ii)  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  for  $i \neq j$ ,
- (iii) there is a diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$  such that  $DA$  is symmetric.

We set  $I^{\text{re}} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 2\}$ ,  $I^{\text{im}} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} \leq 0\}$ , and  $I^{\text{iso}} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 0\}$ .

A Borchersds-Cartan datum is given by

- a symmetrizable Borchersds-Cartan matrix  $A$ ,
- a free abelian group  $P$ , called the weight lattice,
- $P^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$ , called the dual weight lattice,
- $\alpha_i \in P$  for  $i \in I$ , called the simple roots,
- $h_i \in P^\vee$  for  $i \in I$ , called the simple coroots,
- a symmetric bilinear form  $(\ , \ ) : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

such that  $\alpha_j(h_i) = a_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in I$ , and  $(\lambda, \alpha_i) = r_i \lambda(h_i)$  for all  $\lambda \in P, i \in I$ . In particular,

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = r_i a_{ij} = r_j a_{ji}.$$

The elements in  $P^+ = \{\lambda \in P \mid \lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$  are called dominant integral weights. The free abelian group  $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$  is called the root lattice. We identify the positive root lattice  $Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{N}\alpha_i$  with  $\mathbb{N}[I]$ .

Let  $q$  be an indeterminate. For each  $i \in I$ , set  $q_i = q^{r_i}$ . For  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \text{ and } [n]_i! = [n]_i [n-1]_i \cdots [1]_i.$$

The quantum Borchersds algebra  $U(= U_q(\mathfrak{g}))$  associated with a given Borchersds-Cartan datum is the  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by  $e_i, f_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and  $q^h$  ( $h \in P^\vee$ ), satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} q^0 &= 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h' \in P^\vee, \\ q^h e_j q^{-h} &= q^{\alpha_j(h)} e_j, \quad q^h f_j q^{-h} = q^{-\alpha_j(h)} f_j \quad h \in P^\vee, i \in I, \\ e_i f_j - f_j e_i &= \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{where } K_i = q^{r_i h_i}, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r e_i^{(r)} e_j e_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, j \in I \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, j \in I \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ e_i e_j - e_j e_i &= f_i f_j - f_j f_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \in I \text{ and } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n / [n]_i!$  and  $f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n / [n]_i!$  for  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The algebra  $U$  is  $Q$ -graded by assigning  $|e_i| = \alpha_i$  and  $|f_i| = -\alpha_i$ .

Let  $U^0$  (resp.  $U^+$ , resp.  $U^-$ ) be the subalgebra of  $U$  generated by  $q^h$  for  $h \in P^\vee$  (resp.  $e_i$  for  $i \in I$ , resp.  $f_i$  for  $i \in I$ ). Then we have the triangular decomposition  $U \cong U^- \otimes U^0 \otimes U^+$ .

So for each  $u \in U^-$ , there exist unique  $Q, R \in U^-$  such that

$$e_i u - u e_i = \frac{K_i Q - K_i^{-1} R}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

We set  $e'_i(u) = R$ . The operators  $e'_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) satisfy the quantum Serre relations (cf. [7, Lemma 3.4.2]) and they commute with the left multiplication by  $f_j$  as follow

$$e'_i f_j = \delta_{ij} + q_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j e'_i.$$

These lead to a left  $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure of  $U^-$ , where  $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the quantum Boson algebra with the generators  $e'_i, f_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i f_j &= \delta_{ij} + q_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j e'_i \quad \text{for } i, j \in I, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r e'_i{}^{(r)} e'_j e'_i{}^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, j \in I \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r f_i{}^{(r)} f_j f_i{}^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, j \in I \text{ and } i \neq j, \\ e'_i e'_j - e'_j e'_i &= f_i f_j - f_j f_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \in I \text{ and } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Define a twisted multiplication on  $U^- \otimes U^-$  by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-(|x_2|, |y_1|)} x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2$$

for homogeneous  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ . We have an algebra homomorphism  $\rho : U^- \rightarrow U^- \otimes U^-$  given by  $\rho(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) with respect to the above algebra structure on  $U^- \otimes U^-$ . There are two nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms on  $U^-$ , namely, Kashiwara's form  $(, )_K$  and Lusztig's form  $(, )_L$ , determined by

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1)_K &= 1; (e'_i x, y)_K = (x, f_i y)_K, \\ (1, 1)_L &= 1; (e'_i x, y)_L = (1 - q_i^2)(x, f_i y)_L \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y \in U^-$ . So that  $(f_i, f_i)_K = 1$ ,  $(f_i, f_i)_L = (1 - q_i^2)^{-1}$  for all  $i \in I$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ . The  $\mathcal{A}$ -form  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U$  is the  $\mathcal{A}$ -subalgebra of  $U$  generated by the  $e_i^{(n)}, f_i^{(n)}$  ( $i \in I^{\text{re}}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ) and  $q^h$  ( $h \in P^\vee$ ).

Let  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^-$  (resp.  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^+$ ) be the  $\mathcal{A}$ -subalgebra of  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U$  generated by  $f_i^{(n)}$  (resp.  $e_i^{(n)}$ ) and let  $\bar{\cdot}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra involution of  $U^-$  given by  $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$  and  $\bar{f}_i = f_i$ . Then the bar-involution  $\bar{\cdot}$  and the comultiplication  $\rho$  restrict to

$$\bar{\cdot} : {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^- \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^-, \quad \rho : {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^- \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^- \otimes {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^-.$$

The irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in P^+$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\lambda) &\cong U \left/ \left( \sum_{i \in I} U e_i + \sum_{h \in P^\vee} U(q^h - q^{\lambda(h)}) + \sum_{i \in I^{\text{re}}} U f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} + \sum_{i \in I^{\text{im}} \text{ with } \lambda(h_i)=0} U f_i \right) \right. \\ &\cong U^- \left/ \left( \sum_{i \in I^{\text{re}}} U^- f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} + \sum_{i \in I^{\text{im}} \text{ with } \lambda(h_i)=0} U^- f_i \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

The crystal bases of  $U^-$  (resp.  $V(\lambda)$ ) constructed in [1] will be denoted by  $B(\infty)$  (resp.  $B(\lambda)$ ).

A  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V$  is said to be integrable if it satisfies

(i)  $V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} V_\mu$  with each  $\dim V_\mu < \infty$ , where

$$V_\mu = \{v \in V \mid q^h = q^{\mu(h)} \text{ for all } h \in P^\vee\}.$$

(ii)  $\text{wt}(V) := \{\mu \in P \mid V_\mu \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^s (\lambda_i - Q_+)$  for finitely many  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in P$ ,

(iii)  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  are locally nilpotent on  $V$  for each  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ .

(iv) If  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ , then  $\mu(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for all  $\mu \in \text{wt}(V)$ .

(v) If  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$  and  $\mu(h_i) = 0$ , then  $f_i V_\mu = 0$ .

If  $V$  is an integrable highest weight module with highest weight  $\lambda \in P^+$ , then  $V \cong V(\lambda)$ .

## 1.2. KLR-algebras and the categorification of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^-$ .

We review the main results in [13] (see [9] for the explanation of the following diagrams).

Given a Borchers-Cartan datum. For  $\nu = \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i i \in \mathbb{N}[I]$  with  $\text{ht}(\nu) = \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i = n$ , we denote by  $\text{Seq}(\nu)$  the set of sequences  $\mathbf{i} = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n$  in  $I$  such that  $\nu = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n$ . The KLR-algebra  $R(\nu)$  is defined to be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra with generators:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\mathbf{i}} &= \begin{array}{c} \left| \cdots \right| \cdots \left| \cdots \right| \\ i_1 \quad i_k \quad i_n \end{array} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} = i_1 i_2 \dots i_n \in \text{Seq}(\nu) \text{ with } \deg(1_{\mathbf{i}}) = 0, \\ x_{k,\mathbf{i}} &= \begin{array}{c} \left| \cdots \right| \bullet \left| \cdots \right| \\ i_1 \quad i_k \quad i_n \end{array} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu), 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ with } \deg(x_{k,\mathbf{i}}) = 2r_{i_k}, \\ \tau_{k,\mathbf{i}} &= \begin{array}{c} \left| \cdots \right| \times \left| \cdots \right| \\ i_1 \quad i_k \quad i_{k+1} \quad i_n \end{array} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \text{ with } \deg(\tau_{k,\mathbf{i}}) = -(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_{k+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Subject to the following local relations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ crossing} \\ \text{Diagram: two strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ crossing} \end{array} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands } i \text{ and } j \\ \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands } i \text{ and } j \end{array} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0, \\ -a_{ij} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot} \\ \text{Diagram: strand } j \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } j \text{ with a dot} \end{array} - a_{ji} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \text{ with a dot} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \text{ with a dot} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \text{ with a dot} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \text{ with a dot} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } i \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } i \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } j \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } j \text{ with a dot} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } j \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } j \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } j \text{ with a dot} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ crossing strand } j \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot crossing strand } j \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ crossing twice} \\ \text{Diagram: two strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ crossing twice} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ crossing twice} \\ \text{Diagram: two strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ crossing twice} \end{array} = \sum_{c=0}^{-a_{ij}-1} c \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: strand } i \text{ with a dot} \\ \text{Diagram: strand } j \\ \text{Diagram: strand } i \end{array} - a_{ij} - 1 - c \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}, i \neq j \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: three strands } i, j, k \text{ crossing} \\ \text{Diagram: three strands } i, j, k \text{ crossing} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: three strands } i, j, k \text{ crossing} \\ \text{Diagram: three strands } i, j, k \text{ crossing} \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

For  $i, j \in \text{Seq}(\nu)$ , we set  ${}_i R(\nu)_j = 1_i R(\nu) 1_j$ . Then  ${}_i R(\nu)_j$  has a basis

$$\{x_{1,i}^{u_1} \cdots x_{n,i}^{u_n} \cdot \widehat{\omega}_j \mid u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega \in S_n \text{ such that } \omega(j) = i\},$$

where  $\widehat{\omega}_j \in {}_i \mathcal{R}(\nu)_j$  is uniquely determined by a fixed reduced expression of  $\omega$ .

Denote by

- $R(\nu)\text{-Mod}$  : the category of finitely generated graded  $R(\nu)$ -modules,
- $R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$  : the category of finite-dimensional graded  $R(\nu)$ -modules,
- $R(\nu)\text{-pMod}$  : the category of projective objects in  $R(\nu)\text{-Mod}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{B}_\nu$  be the set of equivalence classes (under isomorphism and degree shifts) of gr-irreducible  $R(\nu)$ -modules. Choose one representative  $S_b$  from each equivalence class and denote by  $P_b$  the gr-indecomposable projective cover of  $S_b$ . The Grothendieck group  $G_0(R(\nu))$  (resp.  $K_0(R(\nu))$ ) of  $R(\nu)$ -fMod (resp.  $R(\nu)$ -pMod) are free  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules:

$$G_0(R(\nu)) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{B}_\nu} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][S_b], \quad K_0(R(\nu)) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{B}_\nu} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][P_b].$$

Here,  $q[M] = [M\{1\}]$ . Let  $R = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]} R(\nu)$ ,  $\mathbb{B} = \bigsqcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]} \mathbb{B}_\nu$  and form

$$G_0(R) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]} G_0(R(\nu)), \quad K_0(R) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]} K_0(R(\nu)).$$

The  $K_0(R)$  and  $G_0(R)$  are dual to each other with respect to the bilinear pairing  $(\ , \ ) : K_0(R) \times G_0(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$  given by

$$(1.7) \quad ([P], [M]) = \mathbf{Dim}(P^\psi \otimes_{R(\nu)} M) = \mathbf{DimHOM}_{R(\nu)}(\overline{P}, M),$$

where  $\psi$  is the anti-involution of  $R(\nu)$  obtained by flipping the diagrams about horizontal axis and it turns a left  $R(\nu)$ -module into right,  $\overline{P} = \mathbf{HOM}(P, R(\nu))^\psi$ . There is also a symmetric bilinear form  $(\ , \ ) : K_0(R) \times K_0(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}((q))$  defined in the same way.

The  $G_0(R)$  and the  $K_0(R)$  are equipped with twisted bialgebras structure induced by the induction and restriction functors defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} : R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow R(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto R(\nu + \nu')1_{\nu, \nu'} \otimes_{R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')} M, \\ \text{Res}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} : R(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad N \mapsto 1_{\nu, \nu'} N, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1_{\nu, \nu'} = 1_\nu \otimes 1_{\nu'} \in R(\nu + \nu')$ .

For  $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu)$ , we set  $P_{\mathbf{i}} = R(\nu)1_{\mathbf{i}} \in R(\nu)\text{-pMod}$ . For  $i \in I^{re}$  and  $n \geq 0$ , we set

$$P_{i^{(n)}} = R(ni)\psi(e_{i,n})\left\{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot r_i\right\} \cong R(ni)e_{i,n}\left\{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot r_i\right\},$$

where  $e_{i,n}$  is the primitive idempotent  $x_{1,ni}^{n-1}x_{2,ni}^{n-2}\cdots x_{n-1,ni} \cdot \tau_{\omega_0}$  of  $R(ni)$  with  $\omega_0$  be the longest element in  $S_n$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** [13] *There is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -bialgebras isomorphism  $\gamma : \mathcal{A}U^- \rightarrow K_0(R)$  which sends  $\mathcal{A}U^-_\nu$  onto  $K_0(R(\nu))$ , given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^{(n)} &\mapsto [P_{i^{(n)}}] \quad \text{for } i \in I^{re}, n \geq 0, \\ f_i &\mapsto [P_i] \quad \text{for } i \in I^{im}. \end{aligned}$$

*Under this isomorphism, the Lusztig's form  $(\ , \ )_L$  corresponds to  $(\ , \ )$  on  $K_0(R)$ , the bar-involution corresponds to  $P \mapsto \overline{P}$ .*

Let  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-*}$  be the  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear dual of  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-}$ , which is also a twisted bialgebra with multiplication (resp. comultiplication) dual to the comultiplication (resp. multiplication) of  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-}$ . The dual map of the bar-involution on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-}$  gives an involution  ${}^{-} : {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-*} \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-*}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *We identify  $K_0(R)^*$  with  $G_0(R)$  via the nondegenerate bilinear pairing in (1.7). Then the dual map  $\gamma^* : G_0(R) \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-*}$  of  $\gamma$  is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -bialgebras isomorphism. Under this isomorphism, the bar-involution on  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U^{-*}$  corresponds to  $M \mapsto \text{HOM}_{\mathbb{K}}(M, \mathbb{K})^\psi$  of  $G_0(R)$ .*

### 1.3. $R(ni)$ -module $V(i^n)$ for $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ .

For each  $i \in I$ , the algebra  $R(ni)$  for  $\nu = ni$  is generated by  $x_{1,ni}, \dots, x_{n,ni}$  of degree  $2r_i$  and  $\tau_{1,ni}, \dots, \tau_{n-1,ni}$  of degree  $-(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ , subject to the local relations

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cccccccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = 0 & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2} \\ i \quad i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ i \quad i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} | \\ i \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 6} \\ i \quad i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ i \quad i \quad i \end{array} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}. \\
 \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 8} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = 0 & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 10} \\ i \quad i \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 12} \\ i \quad i \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 13} \\ i \quad i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 14} \\ i \quad i \quad i \end{array} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}.
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

We will abbreviate  $x_{k,ni}$  (resp.  $\tau_{l,ni}$ ) to  $x_k$  (resp.  $\tau_l$ ) since only one sequence is considered. In both cases,  $R(ni)$  has a basis  $\{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} \cdot \tau_\omega \mid \omega \in S_n, r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0\}$  and  $\{\tau_\omega \cdot x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} \mid \omega \in S_n, r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0\}$  as an alternative. We identify the polynomial algebra  $P_n = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with the subalgebra of  $R(ni)$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Then the center of  $R(ni)$  is  $P_n^{S_n}$ , consisting of all symmetric polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

Up to isomorphism and degree shifts, each  $R(ni)$  has a unique gr-irreducible module  $V(i^n)$ . If  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ , by the representation theory of the nil-Hecke algebras,  $V(i^n) \cong R(ni) \otimes_{P_n} L\{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}, r_i\}$  of graded dimension  $[n]_{i!}$ , where  $L$  is the one-dimensional trivial module over  $P_n$  with each  $x_k$  acts by 0. The gr-projective cover of  $V(i^n)$  is  $P_{i(n)}$ .

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$  be any composition of  $n$ . Define  $V(i^\mu) := V(i^{\mu_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(i^{\mu_r})$  to be a gr-irreducible module over the parabolic subalgebra  $R(\mu i) := R(\mu_1 i) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(\mu_r i)$  of  $R(ni)$ . Up to degree shifts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.8) \quad & \text{Ind}_{\mu i}^{ni} V(i^\mu) \cong V(i^n), \\
 & \text{soc Res}_{\mu i}^{ni} V(i^n) \cong V(i^\mu).
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{soc Res}_{(n-1)i}^{ni} V(i^n) \cong V(i^{n-1})$ .

If  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ , since  $R(ni)$  has only trivial idempotents,  $V(i^n)$  is the one-dimensional trivial module with the gr-projective cover  $R(ni)$ . The following Lemma shows the significant differences with the ‘real’ cases when we use Ind and Res to the irreducibles.



For any composition  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$  of  $n$ , denote by  $D_\mu$  (resp.  $D_\mu^{-1}$ ) the set of minimal length left (resp. right)  $S_\mu := S_{\mu_1} \times \dots \times S_{\mu_r}$ -coset representatives in  $S_n$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $i \in I^{im}$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$  be a composition of  $n$ . Let  $M$  be a graded irreducible  $R(\nu)$ -module for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ . Then*

- (i)  $Res_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^n) \cong V(i^\mu)$ ,  $Res_{(n-1)i}^{ni} V(i^n) \cong V(i^{n-1})$ .
- (ii)  $Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  has a unique (graded) maximal submodule  $H = Span\{\tau_u \otimes v \mid u \in D_\mu \setminus \{1\}\}$ , where  $v$  is a fixed nonzero element in  $V(i^\mu)$ . The graded head

$$hd \, Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu) \cong V(i^n).$$

Moreover, the  $R(\nu) \otimes R(ni)$ -module  $M \otimes Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  has a unique (graded) maximal submodule  $M \otimes H$ .

- (iii)  $Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  has a unique (graded) irreducible submodule  $V = Span\{\tau_\lambda \otimes v\} \cong V(i^n)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the longest shuffle in  $D_\mu$ . The graded socle

$$soc \, Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu) \cong V(i^n).$$

Moreover,  $M \otimes Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  has a unique (graded) irreducible submodule  $M \otimes V$ .

*Proof.* (i) is clear since  $V(i^n)$  is 1-dimensional. Let  $N = Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$ .

(ii) For any  $u \in D_\mu \setminus \{1\}$ , there is no  $\omega \in S_n$  such that  $\omega u = 1$  and  $l(\omega u) = l(\omega) + l(u)$ . So  $H$  is a (graded) maximal submodule of  $N$ . Note that any nonzero submodule of  $Ind_{\mu_i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  which contains an element of the form  $1 \otimes v + \sum_{u \in D_\mu \setminus \{1\}} k_u \tau_u \otimes v$  is equal to  $N$ . This shows the uniqueness of the maximal. For the second part, assume  $K$  is a nonzero submodule of  $M \otimes N$  containing an element

$$y \otimes (1 \otimes v) + \sum_{u \in D_\mu \setminus \{1\}} y_u \otimes (\tau_u \otimes v)$$

for some  $y, y_u \in M$  and  $y \neq 0$ . Since the ungraded  $R(\nu)$ -module  $\underline{M}$  is simple, we have  $M = R(\nu)y$ . Thus  $y \otimes (1 \otimes v) \in K$  and so  $K = M \otimes N$ , which implies  $M \otimes H$  is the unique maximal submodule of  $M \otimes N$ .

(iii) Let  $L$  be a nonzero submodule of  $N$  and  $m = \sum_{u \in D_\mu} k_u \tau_u \otimes v \in L$ ,  $m \neq 0$ . Choose an element  $u$  of minimal length such that  $k_u \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda = \omega u$ . Then  $l(\lambda) = l(\omega) + l(u)$ . If  $u'$  satisfies

$$k_{u'} \neq 0, \omega u' = z \in D_\mu \text{ and } l(z) = l(\omega) + l(u'),$$

then  $l(z) \leq l(\lambda), l(u') \geq l(u)$  implies  $z = \lambda$  and  $u = u'$ . Therefore  $\tau_\omega \cdot m = k_u \tau_\lambda \otimes v \in L$  and so  $V \subseteq L$ . Note that  $V$  is a submodule of  $N$  since for any  $\omega$  such that  $\omega \lambda \in D_\mu$  and  $l(\omega \lambda) = l(\omega) + l(\lambda)$ , we must have  $\omega = 1$ . This also shows that  $V$  is a trivial module, which is isomorphic to  $V(i^n)$ .

For the second part, let  $K$  be a nonzero submodule of  $M \otimes N$ . Choose a nonzero

$$z = \sum_{u \in D_\mu} y_u \otimes (\tau_u \otimes v) \in K$$

for some  $y_u \in M$ . Let  $u$  is of minimal length such that  $y_u \neq 0$  and let  $\lambda = \omega u$ . The same argument as above leads to

$$1_\nu \otimes \tau_\omega \cdot z = y_u \otimes (\tau_\lambda \otimes v) \in K.$$

It follows that  $M \otimes V \subseteq K$ . □

## 2. Crystal operators $\tilde{f}_i$ and $\tilde{e}_i$

For  $\nu, \nu' \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ , we define the co-induction functor by

$$\widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} : R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \text{HOM}_{R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')}(1_{\nu, \nu'} R(\nu + \nu'), M),$$

where the  $R(\nu + \nu')$ -module structure of  $\widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} M$  is given by

$$(z \cdot f)(x) = f(xz) \quad \text{for } z \in R(\nu + \nu'), x \in 1_{\nu, \nu'} R(\nu + \nu').$$

The co-induction functor is right adjoint to the restriction

$$(2.1) \quad \text{HOM}_{\nu + \nu'}(M, \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} N) \cong \text{HOM}_{\nu, \nu'}(\text{Res}_{\nu, \nu'} M, N)$$

for  $M \in R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')$ -Mod,  $N \in R(\nu + \nu')$ -Mod. It follows from [11, Thoerem 2.2] (the proof there also applies in our case) that for  $M \in R(\nu)$ -fMod and  $N \in R(\nu')$ -fMod, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Ind}_{\nu, \nu'} M \otimes N \cong \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu', \nu} N \otimes M\{-\nu \cdot \nu'\}$$

For  $i \in I$  and  $n \geq 0$ , define the functor

$$\Delta_{i^n} : R(\nu)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R(\nu - ni) \otimes R(ni)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto (1_{\nu - ni} \otimes 1_{ni})M.$$

So we have for  $M \in R(\nu)$ -Mod and  $N \in R(\nu - ni)$ -Mod,

$$(2.3) \quad \text{HOM}_\nu(M, \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu - ni, ni} N) \cong \text{HOM}_{\nu - ni, ni}(\Delta_{i^n} M, N).$$

Let  $\varepsilon_i(M) = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \Delta_{i^n} M \neq 0\}$  be the number of the largest  $i$ -tail in sequence  $\mathbf{k}$  such that  $1_{\mathbf{k}} M \neq 0$ .

The following three lemmas have been given in [9, Section 3.2].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $M \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be a gr-irreducible module. If  $N \otimes V(i^n)$  is a gr-irreducible submodule of  $\Delta_{i^n} M$  for some  $0 \leq n \leq \varepsilon_i(M)$ , then  $\varepsilon_i(N) = \varepsilon_i(M) - n$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $K \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be a gr-irreducible module with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Set  $M = \text{Ind}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\Delta_{i^n} M \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$ ,
- (ii) *hd*  $M$  is gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{hd } M) = n$ ,
- (iii) all other composition factors  $L$  of  $M$  have  $\varepsilon_i(L) < n$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $M \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be a gr-irreducible module with  $\varepsilon_i(M) = a$ . Then  $\Delta_{i^a} M$  is isomorphic to  $K \otimes V(i^a)$  for some gr-irreducible  $K \in R(\nu - ai)$ -fMod with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $M \simeq \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu-ai,ai} K \otimes V(i^a)$  in this case.*

The following lemma is also well known. The proof is dual to Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $K \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be a gr-irreducible module with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Set  $M = \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\Delta_{i^n} M \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$ ,
- (ii) *soc*  $M$  is gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{soc } M) = n$ ,
- (iii) all other composition factors  $L$  of  $M$  have  $\varepsilon_i(L) < n$ .

*Proof.* (i) By (2.2),  $\Delta_{i^n} M \cong \Delta_{i^n} \text{Ind}_{ni,\nu} V(i^n) \otimes K\{\nu \cdot ni\}$ . Then by the Mackey Theorem [13, Proposition 3.4] and  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ ,  $\Delta_{i^n} M$  is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ind}_{0,\nu,ni,0}^{\nu,ni} \diamond (\text{Res}_{0,ni,\nu,0}^{ni,\nu} V(i^n) \otimes K) \cong K \otimes V(i^n).$$

(ii) For each nonzero graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , by (2.3) and the irreducibility of  $K \otimes V(i^n)$ , we have  $\Delta_{i^n} N \rightarrow K \otimes V(i^n)$ . Suppose we are given a decomposition

$$\text{soc } M = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_s,$$

such that each  $N_k$  is gr-irreducible. Then  $K \otimes V(i^n)$  is a quotient of each  $\Delta_{i^n}(N_k)$  and  $\Delta_{i^n}(\text{soc } M)$ . But they are nonzero submodules of  $\Delta_{i^n} M$ , which is irreducible by (i). So  $\Delta_{i^n}(\text{soc } M) \cong \Delta_{i^n}(N_k) \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$  and so *soc*  $M$  must be gr-irreducible.

(iii) Our assertion follows from the irreducibility of *soc*  $M$  and the exactness of  $\Delta_{i^n}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $K \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Then*

$$\text{soc } \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n) \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2,  $\Delta_{i^n} \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n) \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$ . So by (2.1), we have

$$\text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n) \leftrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n).$$

Now the result follows from the previous lemma.  $\square$

The following lemma has appeared in [6, Lemma 3.9]. Our proof is different and includes the case where  $a_{ii} = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $i \in I^{im}$  and  $K \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be a gr-irreducible module with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$  be a composition of  $n$ . Set*

$$M = \text{Ind}_{\nu, \mu^i}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^\mu).$$

*Then  $\text{hd } M$  is gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{hd } M) = n$ . Moreover,  $\text{hd } M \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu, ni}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ .*

*Proof.* By the Mackey Theorem and  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ ,  $\Delta_{i^n} M \cong K \otimes \text{Ind}_{\mu^i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$ . For each nonzero quotient  $Q$  of  $M$ ,  $\Delta_{i^n} Q$  is a nonzero quotient of  $\Delta_{i^n} M$  (we have a nonzero homomorphism from  $K \otimes \text{Ind}_{\mu^i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  to  $\Delta_{i^n} Q$  by Frobenius reciprocity). So  $\text{hd } \Delta_{i^n} Q$  is a nonzero quotient of  $\text{hd } \Delta_{i^n} M$ . By Lemma 1.3(ii),  $\text{hd } \Delta_{i^n} M \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$ . Thus,

$$\text{hd } \Delta_{i^n} Q \cong \text{hd } \Delta_{i^n} M \cong K \otimes V(i^n).$$

Assume we have a decomposition

$$\text{hd } M = M/M_1 \oplus M/M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M/M_t,$$

such that each  $M/M_k$  is gr-irreducible. Then

$$\text{hd } (\Delta_{i^n} \text{hd } M) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^t \text{hd } (\Delta_{i^n} M/M_k).$$

But  $\text{hd } (\Delta_{i^n} \text{hd } M)$  and each  $\text{hd } (\Delta_{i^n} M/M_k)$  are all isomorphic to  $K \otimes V(i^n)$ , which implies  $\text{hd } M$  must be gr-irreducible. So  $M$  contains a unique graded maximal submodule  $J^{gr}(M)$ . Recall from Lemma 1.3(ii) that  $H$  denotes the unique (graded) maximal submodule of  $N = \text{Ind}_{\mu^i}^{ni} V(i^\mu)$  and  $N/H \cong V(i^n)$ . So we have  $\text{Ind}_{\nu, ni}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes H \subseteq J^{gr}(M)$  and a surjection  $\text{Ind}_{\nu, ni}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^n) \rightarrow \text{hd } M$ . By the exactness of  $\Delta_{i^n}$  and Lemma 2.2(i),  $K \otimes V(i^n) \rightarrow \Delta_{i^n} \text{hd } M$ . Therefore,  $\Delta_{i^n} \text{hd } M \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$  and by Lemma 2.3,  $\text{hd } M \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu, ni}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ .  $\square$

By the duality, we have:

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $i \in I^{im}$  and  $K \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be a gr-irreducible module with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$  be a composition of  $n$ . Set*

$$M = \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu, \mu^i}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^\mu).$$

*Then  $\text{soc } M$  is gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{soc } M) = n$ . Moreover,  $\text{soc } M \cong \text{soc } \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu, ni}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $M \cong \text{Ind}_{ni,\nu}(\text{Ind}V(i^{\mu'})) \otimes K$ , where  $\mu' = (\mu_r, \dots, \mu_1)$ .

By the Mackey Theorem and  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ ,  $\Delta_{i^n}M \cong K \otimes \text{Ind}V(i^{\mu'})$ . For each nonzero graded submodule  $N$  of  $M$ ,  $\Delta_{i^n}N$  is a nonzero submodule of  $\Delta_{i^n}M$  (we have a nonzero homomorphism from  $\Delta_{i^n}N$  to  $K \otimes \text{Ind}V(i^{\mu'})$  by (2.1)). So  $\text{soc } \Delta_{i^n}N \hookrightarrow \text{soc } \Delta_{i^n}M$  is nonzero. By Lemma 1.3(iii),  $\text{soc } \Delta_{i^n}M \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$ . Thus,

$$\text{soc } \Delta_{i^n}N \cong \text{soc } \Delta_{i^n}M \cong K \otimes V(i^n).$$

Assume we have a decomposition

$$\text{soc } M = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \dots \oplus N_s,$$

such that each  $N_k$  is gr-irreducible. Then

$$\text{soc } (\Delta_{i^n} \text{soc } M) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^s \text{soc } (\Delta_{i^n} N_k).$$

Hence  $\text{soc } M$  must be gr-irreducible. Recall that the unique (graded) irreducible submodule of  $\text{Ind}_{\mu'i}^{ni}V(i^{\mu'})$  is isomorphic to  $V(i^n)$ . So we have  $\text{soc } M \hookrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu,ni}^{\nu+ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ . By the exactness of  $\Delta_{i^n}$  and Lemma 2.4(i),  $\Delta_{i^n} \text{soc } M \hookrightarrow K \otimes V(i^n)$ . Therefore  $\Delta_{i^n} \text{soc } M \cong K \otimes V(i^n)$  and then by Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.5,  $\text{soc } M \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n) \cong \text{soc } \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu,ni} K \otimes V(i^n)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $N \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(N) = a$ . Assume  $\Delta_{i^a}N \cong K \otimes V(i^a)$  for gr-irreducible  $K \in R(\nu - ai)$ -fMod with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Let  $M = \text{Ind}_{\nu,ni}^{\nu+ni} N \otimes V(i^n)$ . Then*

$$\text{hd } M \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}K \otimes V(i^{a+n}),$$

*which is gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{hd } M) = \varepsilon_i(N) + n$ . Moreover, if  $i \in I^{re}$ , all other composition factors of  $M$  with  $\varepsilon_i < \varepsilon_i(N) + n$*

*Proof.* The case when  $i \in I^{re}$  has been shown in [9, Section 3.2]. Suppose  $i \in I^{im}$ . Since  $N$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ind}K \otimes V(i^a)$ , so by the exactness and the transitivity of induction,  $M$  is a quotient of  $\text{Ind}K \otimes (\text{Ind}V(i^a) \otimes V(i^n))$ . Hence,  $\text{hd } M$  is a quotient of  $\text{hd } \text{Ind}K \otimes (\text{Ind}V(i^a) \otimes V(i^n))$ . Now the result follows from Lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** If  $i \in I^{im}$ ,  $M = \text{Ind}V(i) \otimes V(i)$  has a composition series

$$M \supseteq J^{gr}(M) = \text{Span}\{\tau_1 \otimes v\} \supseteq 0$$

with all composition factors isomorphic to  $V(i^2)$ . So the last part of Proposition 2.8 is not true for the imaginary cases.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $N \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(N) = a$ . Assume  $\Delta_{i^a} N \cong K \otimes V(i^a)$  for gr-irreducible  $K \in R(\nu - ai)$ -fMod with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Let  $M = \widehat{\text{Ind}}_{\nu, ni}^{\nu+ni} N \otimes V(i^n)$ . Then*

$$\text{soc } M \cong \text{soc } \widehat{\text{Ind}} K \otimes V(i^{a+n}) \quad (\cong \text{hd } \text{Ind} K \otimes V(i^{a+n}) \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind} N \otimes V(i^n)),$$

which is gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\text{soc } M) = \varepsilon_i(N) + n$ . Moreover, if  $i \in I^{re}$ , all other composition factors of  $M$  with  $\varepsilon_i < \varepsilon_i(N) + n$

*Proof.* Dualizing the proof of Proposition 2.8 and using Lemma 2.7 for  $i \in I^{im}$ .  $\square$

Assume  $i \in I$  and  $M \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be gr-irreducible. Assume further that  $\varepsilon_i(M) = a$  and  $\Delta_{i^a} M \cong K \otimes V(i^a)$  for some gr-irreducible  $K \in R(\nu - ai)$ -fMod with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . By Proposition 2.8, we can define

$$\tilde{f}_i M := \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu, i}^{\nu+i} M \otimes V(i) \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu-ai, (a+1)i}^{\nu+i} K \otimes V(i^{a+1}).$$

Furthermore, we deduce from Proposition 2.8 and 2.10 that, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i^n M &\cong \text{hd } \text{Ind} M \otimes V(i^n) \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind} K \otimes V(i^{a+n}) \\ &\cong \text{soc } \widehat{\text{Ind}} M \otimes V(i^n) \cong \text{soc } \widehat{\text{Ind}} K \otimes V(i^{a+n}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $M \cong \tilde{f}_i^a K$ . For the same  $M$ , another crystal operator  $\tilde{e}_i$  is defined to be

$$\tilde{e}_i M := \text{soc } (e_i M).$$

where  $e_i = \text{Res}_{\nu-i}^{\nu-i, i} \circ \Delta_i : R(\nu)$ -fMod  $\rightarrow R(\nu - i)$ -fMod. Then  $\tilde{e}_i M$  is either gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i M) = a - 1$  when  $a > 0$ , or equal to 0 when  $a = 0$ . It was proved in [13, Chapter 4] that, for any  $1 \leq n \leq a$ ,

$$\tilde{e}_i^n M \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind} K \otimes V(i^{a-n}).$$

So we see that  $K \cong \tilde{e}_i^a M$  and

$$(2.5) \quad \tilde{e}_i \tilde{f}_i M \cong M; \quad \tilde{f}_i \tilde{e}_i M \cong M \text{ if } \varepsilon_i(M) > 0.$$

Using the fact proved in [13, Chapter 4] that

$$(2.6) \quad \text{soc } (\Delta_{i^n} M) \cong \tilde{e}_i^n M \otimes V(i^n),$$

we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $M \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be gr-irreducible and let  $n \geq 0$ . If  $i \in I^{re}$ , then*

$$\text{soc } (e_i^n M) \cong (\tilde{e}_i^n M)^{[n]_i!}.$$

*If  $i \in I^{im}$ , then  $\text{soc } (e_i^n M) \cong \tilde{e}_i^n M$ .*

*Proof.* The case of  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$  has been proved in [9, Lemma 3.14]. We can assume  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ .

Assume  $\varepsilon_i(M) = a$  and  $\Delta_{i^a} M \cong K \otimes V(i^a)$  for a gr-irreducible  $K \in R(\nu - ai)\text{-fMod}$  with  $\varepsilon_i(K) = 0$ . Then  $M \cong \text{hd Ind} K \otimes V(i^a)$ . By Mackey's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{i^n} \text{Ind} K \otimes V(i^a) &\cong \text{Ind}_{\nu-ai, (a-n)_i, ni}^{\nu-ni, ni} K \otimes \Delta_{i^n} V(i^a) \\ &\cong \left( \text{Ind}_{\nu-ai, (a-n)_i}^{\nu-ni} K \otimes V(i^{a-n}) \right) \otimes V(i^n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $1_{\nu-ni} \otimes R(ni) \cdot \Delta_{i^n} M = 0$ . Let  $L$  be a gr-irreducible submodule of  $e_i^n M$ , then  $L$  is an  $R(\nu - ni) \otimes R(ni)$ -submodule of  $\Delta_{i^n} M$ , which is isomorphic to  $L \otimes V(i^n)$ . Since  $\text{soc}(\Delta_{i^n} M)$  is gr-irreducible, we must have  $L = \text{soc}(e_i^n M)$ . Apply (2.6), we have an isomorphism of  $R(\nu - ni) \otimes R(ni)$ -modules

$$L \otimes V(i^n) \cong L = \text{soc}(\Delta_{i^n} M) \cong \tilde{e}_i^n M \otimes V(i^n).$$

Thus  $L \cong \tilde{e}_i^n M$  as  $R(\nu - ai)$ -modules. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Categorification of crystals $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$

By the symmetry of the KLR-algebras, for a gr-irreducible  $M \in R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$ , we similarly define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i^\vee(M) &= \text{Res}_{i, \nu-i}^\vee M, \quad e_i^\vee(M) = \text{Res}_{\nu-i}^{i, \nu-i} \Delta_i^\vee(M), \\ \tilde{e}_i^\vee(M) &= \text{soc}(e_i^\vee M), \quad \tilde{f}_i^\vee(M) = \text{hd Ind}_{i, \nu}^{\nu+i} V(i) \otimes M, \\ \varepsilon_i^\vee(M) &= \max\{m \geq 0 \mid (\tilde{e}_i^\vee)^m M \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is an analogue of Theorem 5.5.1 in [8].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M \in R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$  be gr-irreducible with  $\varepsilon_i^\vee(M) = a$ . If  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ ,*

$$[e_i^\vee M] = [a]_i [\tilde{e}_i^\vee M] + \sum c_r [N_r]$$

*for some gr-irreducible  $N_r \in R(\nu - i)\text{-fMod}$  with  $\varepsilon_i^\vee(N_r) < a$ . Moreover,  $a$  is the maximal size of a Jordan block of  $x_{1,i}$  on  $M$  (with eigenvalue 0) for some  $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu)$  with  $i_1 = i$ .*

*If  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ , we have  $[e_i^\vee M] = [\tilde{e}_i^\vee M] + \sum c_r [N_r]$ , and  $x_{1,i} M = 0$  for any  $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu)$  with  $i_1 = i$ .*

For  $i \in I$  and  $u \in U^-$ , we set  $l_i(u) = \max\{m \geq 0 \mid (e_i')^m u \neq 0\}$  and

$$U_i^{-<k} = \{u \in U^- \mid l_i(u) < k\}.$$

**Definition 3.2.** A perfect basis of  $U^-$  is a basis  $B$  consisting of weight vectors, such that for any  $i \in I$ ,

(1) if  $b \in B$  with  $e'_i(b) \neq 0$ , then there is a unique  $\mathbf{e}_i(b) \in B$  such that

$$e'_i(b) = c \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(b) + U_i^{-<l_i(b)-1} \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{Q}(q) \setminus \{0\},$$

(2) if  $\mathbf{e}_i(b) = \mathbf{e}_i(b')$ , then  $b = b'$ .

The existence of the perfect basis follows from the existence of the ‘upper global basis’ of  $U^-$  (see [6]). If we set  $\text{wt}(b) = \mu \in Q_-$  for  $b \in B_\mu$  and

$$\mathbf{f}_i(b) = \begin{cases} b' & \text{if } \mathbf{e}_i(b') = b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \varepsilon_i(b) = \begin{cases} l_i(b) & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \text{wt}_i(b), \quad \text{where } \text{wt}_i(b) = \text{wt}(b)(h_i)$$

Then  $(B, \text{wt}, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i)$  is an abstract crystal defined in [2]. Moreover, it was shown in [6] that the abstract crystal arising from any perfect basis of  $U^-$  is isomorphic to  $B(\infty)$ . We next show that the set of isomorphism classes  $\mathbb{B}$  of gr-irreducible  $R$ -modules is a perfect basis of  $U^-$ , so that  $\mathbb{B} \cong B(\infty)$  as abstract crystals.

For  $[M] \in G_0(R)$ , we define  $\mathbf{f}_i[M] = [\text{Ind}V(i) \otimes M]$ . Then by the Mackey Theorem, we have the following equation in  $G_0(R)$ :

$$e_i^\vee \mathbf{f}_j[M] = \delta_{ij} + q_i^{-a_{ij}} \mathbf{f}_j e_i^\vee[M].$$

Let  $[M] \in G_0(R), [P] \in K_0(R)$ . We have by the property of pairing (1.7) that

$$(3.1) \quad ([P], e_i^\vee[M]) = (f_i[P], [M]), \quad ([P], \mathbf{f}_i[M]) = (e'_i[P], [M]).$$

It follows that  $G_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  is a  $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with the action of  $e'_i$  by  $e_i^\vee$  and  $f_i$  by  $\mathbf{f}_i$ . Note we have a linear isomorphism

$$\psi : G_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \rightarrow U^-, \quad [M] \mapsto u_M$$

such that, for any  $[P] \in U^-$ ,  $([P], [M]) = (u_M, [P])_K$ . Then by (3.1),

$$(u_{e_i^\vee M}, [P])_K = ([P], e_i^\vee[M]) = (f_i[P], [M]) = (u_M, f_i[P])_K = (e'_i u_M, [P])_K,$$

$$(u_{\mathbf{f}_i M}, [P])_K = ([P], \mathbf{f}_i[M]) = (e'_i[P], [M]) = (u_M, e'_i[P])_K = (f_i u_M, [P])_K,$$

thus  $u_{e_i^\vee M} = e'_i u_M$  and  $u_{\mathbf{f}_i M} = f_i u_M$ , which implies  $\psi$  is  $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -linear. Then by Lemma 3.1,  $\mathbb{B}$  is a perfect basis of  $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $G_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  with the data

$$\mathbf{e}_i([M]) = \tilde{e}_i^\vee[M], \quad l_i([M]) = \varepsilon_i^\vee(M).$$

For  $\lambda \in P^+$  and  $i \in I$ , we set  $\lambda_i = \lambda(h_i) \geq 0$ . The cyclotomic KLR-algebra  $R^\lambda(\nu)$  is defined to be the quotient of  $R(\nu)$  by  $\mathcal{J}_\nu^\lambda$ , which is the two sided ideal of  $R(\nu)$  generated by  $x_{1,i}^{\lambda_i}$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $R^\lambda = \bigoplus_\nu R^\lambda(\nu)$ .



We have an obvious corollary of Lemma 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\Lambda \in P^+$ ,  $M \in R(\nu)$ -fMod be gr-irreducible. Then  $\mathcal{J}_\nu^\lambda M = 0$  if and only if  $\varepsilon_i^\vee(M) \leq \lambda_i$  for all  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$  and  $\varepsilon_i^\vee(M) = 0$  for all  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$  with  $\lambda_i = 0$ .*

Define the projection functor by

$$\text{pr}_\lambda : R(\nu)\text{-fMod} \rightarrow R^\lambda(\nu)\text{-fMod}, \quad M \mapsto M/\mathcal{J}_\nu^\lambda M,$$

and the inflation functor  $\text{infl}_\lambda : R^\lambda(\nu)\text{-fMod} \rightarrow R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$  by regarding an  $R^\lambda(\nu)$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  as an  $R(\nu)$ -module.

Let  $\mathbb{B}^\lambda$  be the set of equivalence classes (under isomorphism and degree shifts) of gr-irreducible  $R^\lambda$ -modules. Then  $\mathbb{B}^\lambda$  is a basis of the Grothendieck group  $G_0(R^\lambda)$  of  $R^\lambda$ -fMod. For  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbb{B}^\lambda$ , we set  $\text{wt}^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \lambda - \nu$  and

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^\lambda &: R^\lambda(\nu)\text{-fMod} \rightarrow R^\lambda(\nu - i)\text{-fMod}, \quad \mathcal{M} \mapsto \text{pr}_\lambda \circ e_i \circ \text{infl}_\lambda \mathcal{M}, \\ \tilde{e}_i^\lambda &: \mathbb{B}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^\lambda \sqcup \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{M} \mapsto \text{pr}_\lambda \circ \tilde{e}_i \circ \text{infl}_\lambda \mathcal{M}, \\ \tilde{f}_i^\lambda &: \mathbb{B}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^\lambda \sqcup \{0\}, \quad \mathcal{M} \mapsto \text{pr}_\lambda \circ \tilde{f}_i \circ \text{infl}_\lambda \mathcal{M}, \\ \varepsilon_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i(\text{infl}_\lambda \mathcal{M}) & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}} \end{cases} \\ \varphi_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) &= \begin{cases} \max\{m \geq 0 \mid \text{pr}_\lambda \circ \tilde{f}_i^m \circ \text{infl}_\lambda \mathcal{M} \neq 0\} & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}} \\ \text{wt}_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) & \text{if } i \in I^{\text{im}}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It was proved in [11] that if  $i \in I^{\text{re}}$ , then  $0 \leq \varphi_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$  and  $\varphi_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \varepsilon_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) + \text{wt}_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M})$ . Therefore,  $(\mathbb{B}^\lambda, \text{wt}^\lambda, \tilde{e}_i^\lambda, \tilde{f}_i^\lambda, \varepsilon_i^\lambda, \varphi_i^\lambda)$  is an abstract crystal. The same proof as in [6, Lemma 5.13] shows that if  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ ,  $\text{wt}_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{f}_i^\lambda(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ . Then we see by a similar argument in [6, Theorem 5.14] that  $\mathbb{B}^\lambda \cong B(\lambda)$  as abstract crystals.

Let  $\lambda \in P^+$ . Denote the ( $\mathcal{A}$ -linear) graded duals of  $G_0(R)$  and  $G_0(R^\lambda)$  by

$$G_0^*(R) = \bigoplus_{\nu} G_0(R(\nu))^*, \quad G_0^*(R^\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\nu} G_0(R^\lambda(\nu))^*.$$

Note that  $G_0(R)$  and  $G_0(R^\lambda)$  are natural  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -modules, where  $e_i \in \mathcal{A}U^+$  acts on  $G_0(R^\lambda)$  by  $e_i^\lambda$ . Let  $*$  be the  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear anti-involution such that  $e_i^* = e_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $G_0^*(R)$ , similarly for  $G_0^*(R^\lambda)$ , is a left  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -module with the action given by

$$(3.2) \quad (z \cdot f)([M]) = f(z^*[M]) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{A}U^+, f \in G_0^*(R), [M] \in G_0(R).$$

$G_0^*(R)$  (resp.  $G_0^*(R^\lambda)$ ) has the dual basis  $\{\delta_M \mid [M] \in \mathbb{B}\}$  (resp.  $\{\delta_{\mathcal{M}} \mid [\mathcal{M}] \in \mathbb{B}^\lambda\}$ ), where

$$\delta_M([N]) = \begin{cases} q^r & \text{if } N \cong M\{r\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \delta_{\mathcal{M}}([\mathcal{N}]) = \begin{cases} q^r & \text{if } \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{M}\{r\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By [11, Lemma 7.6(ii)],  $G_0^*(R)$  (resp.  $G_0^*(R^\lambda)$ ) is generated by  $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$  as  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -module, where  $\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{K}$  as a module over  $R(0) = \mathbb{K}$  (resp.  $R^\lambda(0) = \mathbb{K}$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{A}V(\lambda)^*$  be the graded dual of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -form  $\mathcal{A}V(\lambda)$ . We define the  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{A}V(\lambda)^*$  by the same way in (3.2). Then  $\mathcal{A}V(\lambda)^*$  is generated by  $\delta_{v_\lambda}$ , the dual of the highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$ . Moreover, we have a  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -linear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}U^+ \left/ \left( \sum_{i \in I^{re}} \mathcal{A}U^+ e_i^{(\lambda_i+1)} + \sum_{i \in I^{im} \text{ with } \lambda_i=0} \mathcal{A}U^+ e_i \right) \right. \cong \mathcal{A}V(\lambda)^*$$

which sends  $\bar{\mathbf{1}}$  to  $\delta_{v_\lambda}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have*

- (i) *If  $i \in I^{re}$  and  $m \geq \lambda_i + 1$ , then  $e_i^{(m)} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{1}} = 0$  in  $G_0^*(R^\lambda)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $i \in I^{im}$  with  $\lambda_i = 0$ , then  $e_i \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{1}} = 0$  in  $G_0^*(R^\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Under the assumption of (i), we have  $R^\lambda(mi) = 0$  by the property of  $V(i^m)$ . For (ii), we have  $R^\lambda(i) = 0$  by the definition.  $\square$

By the above lemma, there are well-defined  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -linear epimorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}U^+ \rightarrow G_0^*(R), \quad \mathcal{A}V(\lambda)^* \rightarrow G_0^*(R^\lambda),$$

which sends  $\mathbf{1}$  to  $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ . Since  $\mathbb{B} \cong B(\infty)$  and  $\mathbb{B}^\lambda \cong B(\lambda)$ , we have for each  $\nu$  that

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}U_\nu^+) = \text{rank}(G_0^*(R(\nu))), \quad \text{rank}(\mathcal{A}V(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu}) = \text{rank}(G_0^*(R^\lambda(\nu))).$$

Hence these maps must be isomorphisms. We obtain  $\mathcal{A}U^+$ -linear isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}U^+ \cong G_0^*(R), \quad \mathcal{A}V(\lambda)^* \cong G_0^*(R^\lambda),$$

and  $\mathcal{A}V(\lambda) \cong G_0(R^\lambda)$  by the duality.

#### 4. Categorification of $V(\lambda)$

In this section, we construct an algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  that is ‘larger’ than  $R$  in the sense that it has more irreducible classes when  $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$ . We then form the cyclotomic quotient  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$  and realize  $V(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in P^+$ ) as a subspace of  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)$ , the Grothendieck group of  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda\text{-pMod}$ , using the framework given in [3].

4.1. The algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Given a Borchersds-Cartan datum and a  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$  with  $\text{ht}(\nu) = n$ . We define the generators of  $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$  to be those of  $R(\nu)$  and of the same degrees. They satisfy the modified local relations:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing with dots} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing with dots} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} \right)^2 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) < 0, \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} & \text{if } (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0, \\ -a_{ij} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on top-left} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on top-right} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on bottom-left} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on bottom-right} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on top-left} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on top-right} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on bottom-left} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: crossing with dot on bottom-right} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ right strand labeled } j \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two crossings} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ middle strand labeled } j, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two crossings} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ middle strand labeled } j, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} = \sum_{c=0}^{-a_{ij}-1} c \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ middle strand labeled } j, \text{ right strand labeled } i \end{array} - a_{ij} - 1 - c \quad \text{if } i \in I^{\text{re}}, i \neq j \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two crossings} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ middle strand labeled } j, \text{ right strand labeled } k \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two crossings} \\ \text{left strand labeled } i, \text{ middle strand labeled } j, \text{ right strand labeled } k \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

For  $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu)$ , set  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{K}[x_1(\mathbf{i}), \dots, x_n(\mathbf{i})]$  and form the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{P}_{\nu} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu)} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Each  $\omega \in S_n$  acts on  $\mathcal{P}_{\nu}$  by sending  $x_a(\mathbf{i})$  to  $x_{\omega(a)}(\omega(\mathbf{i}))$ .

We assign a graph  $\Lambda$  with vertices set  $I$  and an edge between  $i$  and  $j$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \neq 0$ , and choose an orientation for each edge. For  $f \in \mathcal{P}_i$ , we set  $1_i \cdot f = f$ ,  $x_{k,i} \cdot f = x_k(\mathbf{i})f$  and

$$\tau_{k,i} \cdot f = \begin{cases} \frac{f - s_k f}{x_k(\mathbf{i}) - x_{k+1}(\mathbf{i})} & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1} \in I^{\text{re}}, \\ (x_k(\mathbf{i})^{-\frac{a_{ii}}{2}} + x_{k+1}(\mathbf{i})^{-\frac{a_{ii}}{2}})s_k f & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1} = i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}, \\ s_k f & \text{if } (\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_{k+1}}) = 0 \text{ or if } i_k \leftarrow i_{k+1}, \\ (x_k(s_k \mathbf{i})^{-a_{i_{k+1}i_k}} + x_{k+1}(s_k \mathbf{i})^{-a_{i_k i_{k+1}}})s_k f & \text{if } i_k \rightarrow i_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

It's easy to check that  $\mathcal{P}_\nu$  is an  $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -module with the action above.

Denote by  $K_0(\mathcal{R})$  ( $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_\nu \mathcal{R}(\nu)$ ) the Grothendieck group of the category of finite generated gr-projective  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules. As in [13], [9] and [10], we endow  $K_0(\mathcal{R})$  with a twisted bialgebras structure and then we could obtain a twisted bialgebras embedding  $\Gamma : \mathcal{A}U^- \hookrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R})$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^{(n)} &\mapsto P_{i^{(n)}} \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{re}}, n \geq 0, \\ f_i &\mapsto P_i \quad \text{for } i \in I^{\text{im}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the meaning of  $P_{i^{(n)}}$  and  $P_i$  is the same as in section 1.2.

**Remark 4.1.**  $\Gamma$  is an isomorphism when  $I^{\text{iso}} = \emptyset$ . If  $I^{\text{iso}} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\Gamma$  is not surjective since for each  $n$ , the gr-irreducible classes of  $\mathcal{R}(ni)$ -modules can be labelled by the partitions of  $n$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $i \in I^{\text{im}}$ . Assume we add an additional relation  $x_1^b = 0$  in  $\mathcal{R}(2i)$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then  $x_2$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* If  $i \in I^{\text{iso}}$ , then  $x_2^b = \tau x_1^b \tau = 0$ . If  $i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}$ , we set  $a = -\frac{a_{ii}}{2} > 0$ . We show by downward induction on  $0 \leq k \leq 2b$  that  $x_1^{ka} x_2^{b+2ab-ka} = 0$ . The case where  $k = 2b$  is obvious. If  $ka \geq b$ , the claim is also obvious. So we may assume that  $ka < b$  and our claim is true for all  $n > k$ . Since  $\tau^2 = x_1^{2a} + 2x_1^a x_2^a + x_2^{2a}$ , we have

$$x_1^{ka} x_2^{b+2ab-ka} = x_1^{ka} x_2^{b+2ab-ka-2a} \tau^2 - 2x_1^{ka+a} x_2^{b+2ab-ka-a} - x_1^{ka+2a} x_2^{b+2ab-ka-2a}.$$

The last two terms in the right hand side are 0 by the induction hypothesis. It's enough to show that  $2ab - ka - 2a \geq 0$ , but this follows from  $2ab - ka - 2a > 2a^2k - ka - 2a \geq -1$ . Then the lemma follows by taking  $k = 0$ .  $\square$

For each  $i \in I$ , define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &: \mathcal{R}(\nu + i)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-Mod}, \quad N \mapsto 1_{\nu,i}N, \\ F_i &: \mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\nu + i)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \text{Ind}_{\nu,i}^{\nu+i} M \otimes \mathcal{R}(i), \\ \overline{F}_i &: \mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\nu + i)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \text{Ind}_{i,\nu}^{\nu+i} \mathcal{R}(i) \otimes M, \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition has been proved in [3].

**Proposition 4.3.**

(i) *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$E_i F_j \simeq \begin{cases} q_i^{-a_{ij}} F_j E_i & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ q_i^{-a_{ii}} F_i E_i \oplus (\text{Id} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t_i]) & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

where  $t_i$  is an indeterminate of degree  $2r_i$ .

(ii) *There exists a natural isomorphism  $\overline{F}_j E_i \simeq E_i \overline{F}_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and there is an exact sequence in  $\mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-Mod}$*

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{F}_i E_i M \rightarrow E_i \overline{F}_i M \rightarrow q^{-(\nu, \alpha_i)} M \otimes \mathbb{K}[t_i] \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\lambda \in P^+$  and  $i \in I$ . As in Section 2, we define the cyclotomic algebra  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)$  to be the quotient of  $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$  by the two sided ideal generated by  $x_{1, \mathbf{i}}^{\lambda_{\mathbf{i}}}$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in I$ , and form

$$\mathcal{R}^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu), \quad K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\nu} K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)), \quad G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\nu} G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)).$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$  with  $ht(\nu) = n$ . Then*

- (i)  $x_{k, \mathbf{i}}$  are nilpotent in  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)$  for all  $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Seq}(\nu)$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)$  is finite dimensional.
- (ii) If  $i \in I^{re}$ , then there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + ki) = 0$  for any  $k \geq m$ .
- (iii) If  $i \in I^{im}$  and  $(\lambda - \nu)(h_i) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (i) can be found in [11, Proposition 2.3]. Here, Lemma 4.2 deals with some new cases arise in the proof.

The proof of (ii) (resp. (iii)) is the same as [3, Lemma 4.3(ii)] (resp. [5, Lemma 4.4]).  $\square$

For each  $i \in I$ , define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^\lambda &: \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)\text{-Mod}, \quad N \mapsto 1_{\nu, i} N = 1_{\nu, i} \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i)} N, \\ F_i^\lambda &: \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i) 1_{\nu, i} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)} M. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument in [3, Section 4], one can prove:

**Proposition 4.5.** *The  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i) 1_{\nu, i}$  (resp.  $1_{\nu, i} \mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu + i)$ ) is a projective right (resp. left)  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)$ -module. In particular, the functor  $F_i^\lambda$  is exact, the functor  $E_i^\lambda$  sends finitely generated projective modules to finitely generated projective modules.*

**Remark 4.6.** Comparing with [3, Section 4], we need to modify some of settings there to suit our case. First, for  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$  with  $\text{ht}(\nu) = n$ , the intertwiner elements  $g_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) of  $\mathcal{R}(\nu + i)$  defined in [3, (4.14)] should be changed into

$$g_k = \sum_{\substack{i \in \text{Seq}(\nu+i), \\ a_{i_k i_{k+1}} \leq 0}} \tau_{k,i} + \sum_{\substack{i \in \text{Seq}(\nu+i), \\ i_k = i_{k+1} \in I^{re}}} (x_{k,i} - x_{k+1,i} - (x_{k,i} - x_{k+1,i})^2 \tau_{k,i}).$$

Second, the elements  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}(\nu + i)$  in [3, Lemma 4.19] should be changed by

$$A = \sum_{i \in \text{Seq}(\nu)} \left( x_{1,ii}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ i_k \neq i \text{ and } a_{ii_k} \neq 0}} (x_{1,ii}^{-a_{ii_k}} + x_{k+1,ii}^{-a_{i_k i}}) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ i_k = i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}}} (x_{1,ii}^{-\frac{a_{ii}}{2}} + x_{k+1,ii}^{-\frac{a_{ii}}{2}})^2 \right),$$

$$B = \sum_{i \in \text{Seq}(\nu)} \left( x_{n+1,\ddot{i}}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ i_k \neq i \text{ and } a_{ii_k} \neq 0}} (x_{n+1,\ddot{i}}^{-a_{ii_k}} + x_{k,\ddot{i}}^{-a_{i_k i}}) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ i_k = i \in I^{\text{im}} \setminus I^{\text{iso}}}} (x_{n+1,\ddot{i}}^{-\frac{a_{ii}}{2}} + x_{k,\ddot{i}}^{-\frac{a_{ii}}{2}})^2 \right).$$

By the exact same argument as in [3, Section 5], one can prove:

**Theorem 4.7.**

(1) For  $i \neq j \in I$ , there exists a natural isomorphism

$$q_i^{-a_{ij}} F_j^\lambda E_i^\lambda \simeq E_i^\lambda F_j^\lambda.$$

(2) Let  $\mu = \lambda - \nu$ . Then there exists a natural isomorphisms of endofunctors on  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)\text{-Mod}$ :

(i) If  $\mu(h_i) \geq 0$ , then

$$q_i^{-a_{ii}} F_i^\lambda E_i^\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\mu(h_i)-1} q_i^{2k} \text{Id} \simeq E_i^\lambda F_i^\lambda.$$

(ii) If  $\mu(h_i) < 0$ , so  $i \in I^{re}$  and then

$$q_i^{-a_{ii}} F_i^\lambda E_i^\lambda \simeq E_i^\lambda F_i^\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{-\mu(h_i)-1} q_i^{-2k-2} \text{Id}.$$

Define the functors  $\mathcal{E}_i^\lambda, \mathcal{F}_i^\lambda, K_i$  on each  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(\nu)\text{-Mod}$  by

$$\mathcal{E}_i^\lambda = E_i^\lambda, \mathcal{F}_i^\lambda = q_i^{1-(\lambda-\nu)(h_i)} F_i^\lambda, K_i = q_i^{(\lambda-\nu)(h_i)}$$

Then by the above theorem, the induced endomorphisms on  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)$  and  $G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)$  satisfy

$$[\mathcal{E}_i^\lambda, \mathcal{F}_i^\lambda] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$$

for all  $i, j \in I$ . Let  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)$  and  $G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)$ . Then Lemma 4.4 and [4, Proposition B.1] yield  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  and  $G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  integrable  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

Taking the subspace  $V^\lambda$  of  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  which is spanned by the elements of the form  $F_{i_1}^\lambda \cdots F_{i_s}^\lambda \cdot \mathbf{1}_\lambda$  for  $s \geq 0, i_1 \dots i_s \in I$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_\lambda$  is the trivial module over  $\mathcal{R}^\lambda(0)$ . Then  $V^\lambda$  is an integrable highest weight module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . So we have  $V^\lambda \cong V(\lambda)$ . The subspace of  $G_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  spanned by the same elements is also isomorphic to  $V(\lambda)$ .

**Remark 4.8.** If  $I^{\text{iso}} = \emptyset$ , then  $V^\lambda = K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$  and the Grothendieck group  $K_0(\mathcal{R}^\lambda)$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathcal{A}$ -form  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}V(\lambda)$ . This follows from a similar argument in the last part of [3, Section 6].

Although our construction of  $\mathcal{R}$  is more concise, we do not get a stronger result than [5].

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases for quantum generalized Kac Moody algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **90**(2) (2005) 395-438.
2. K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and D.-U. Shin, *Abstract crystals for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2007**(1) (2007) 1-19.
3. S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. Math. **190**(3) (2012) 699-742.
4. M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, J. Petersen and C.-M. Yung, *Perfect crystals and  $q$ -deformed Fock spaces*, Sel. Math. 2 (1996) 415-499.
5. S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, and S.-j. Oh, *Categorification of highest weight modules over quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:1106.2635.
6. S.-J. Kang, S.-j. Oh and E. Park, *Categorification of Quantum Generalized Kac-Moody Algebras and Crystal Bases*, Internat. J. Math. **23**(11) (2012) 13-88.
7. M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the  $q$ -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465-516.
8. A. Kleshchev, *Linear and projective representations of symmetric groups*, volume 163 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge U. Press, 2005.
9. M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13**(14) (2009) 309-347.
10. M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363**(5) (2011) 2685-2700.
11. A. Lauda and M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, arXiv:0909.1810v2.
12. A. Lauda and M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, Adv. Math. **228**(2) (2011) 803-861.
13. B. Tong and W. Wu, *Quiver Hecke algebras for Borcherds-Cartan datum*, J. Algebra. **630** (2023) 38-55.
14. M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011) 67-100.

HANKUK UNIVERSITY OF FOREIGN STUDIES, SEOUL, KOREA

*Email address:* `tbl_2018@hufs.ac.kr`

HARBIN ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY, HARBIN, CHINA

*Email address:* `wuwan1818@163.com`