

COMPOSITIONS OF RESOLVENTS: FIXED POINTS SETS AND SET OF CYCLES

Salihah Thabet Alwadani*

June 3, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the cycles and fixed point sets of compositions of resolvents using Attouch–Théra duality. We demonstrate that the cycles defined by the resolvent operators can be formulated in Hilbert space as the solution to a fixed point equation. Furthermore, we introduce the relationship between these cycles and the fixed point sets of the composition of resolvents.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H09, 47H05; Secondary 47A06, 90C25

Keywords: Displacement mapping, Attouch–Théra duality, maximally monotone operator, non-expansive mapping, , Fixed point set, resolvent operator, set-valued inverse.

1 Introduction

Throughout, we assume that

X is a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

and induced norm $\| \cdot \| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. For more details about Hilbert space we refer the reader to [17] and [15]. An operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is *nonexpansive* if it is Lipschitz continuous with constant 1, i.e.,

$$(\forall x \in X) (\forall y \in X) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|. \quad (1)$$

*Mathematics, Royal Commission Yanbu Colleges And Institutes, 46455, Yanbu, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: salihah.s.alwadani@gmail.com.

Nonexpansive operators have a major role in optimization because of the set of fixed points $\text{Fix } R := \{x \in X \mid x = Rx\}$ usually represents solutions to inclusion problems and optimization. For more details about the nonexpansive operators and the fixed point set we refer the reader to [15, 6, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 6, 11, 14, 18, 25] and [1, Chapter 3 and Chapter 6]. Moreover, $T : D \rightarrow X$ is *firmly nonexpansive* if

$$(\forall x \in D)(\forall y \in D) \quad \|Tx - Ty\|^2 + \|(\text{Id} - T)x - (\text{Id} - T)y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2. \quad (2)$$

Firmly nonexpansive operators are also central because of nice convergence properties for iterates and their correspondence with maximal monotone operators. Recall that a set-valued operator $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ with graph $\text{gra } A$ is *monotone* if

$$(\forall (x, u) \in \text{gra } A)(\forall (y, v) \in \text{gra } A) \quad \langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, A is *maximally monotone* if there exists no monotone operator $B : X \rightrightarrows X$ such that $\text{gra } B$ properly contains $\text{gra } A$, i.e., for every $(x, u) \in X \times X$,

$$(x, u) \in \text{gra } A \Leftrightarrow (\forall (y, v) \in \text{gra } A) \quad \langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0.$$

It is well known that monotone and maximally monotone operators have central roles in various areas of modern nonlinear analysis, see [15], [16], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], and [26] for background material. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ be maximally monotone operator and denote the associated *resolvent* by

$$J_A := (\text{Id} + A)^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

In [12], Minty observed that J_A is a firmly nonexpansive operators from X to X . For more information about the relation between firmly nonexpansive mappings and maximally monotone operators, see [13]. The Hilber product space,

$$\mathbf{X} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i \in I} \mid (\forall i \in I) x_i \in X \right\},$$

where $m \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ and $i = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let

$$A_i : X \rightrightarrows X \text{ be maximally monotone operators,} \quad (4)$$

with resolvents $J_{A_1}, J_{A_2}, \dots, J_{A_m}$ which we also write more simply as J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m . Set

$$\mathbf{A} = A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$J_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} : (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (J_1 x_1, J_2 x_2, \dots, J_m x_m). \quad (6)$$

Define the *circular right-shift operator*

$$\mathbf{R} : (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_m, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}). \quad (7)$$

Define the *fixed point sets of the cyclic compositions of resolvents*:

$$F_1 := \text{Fix}(J_1 J_m \dots J_2), \quad (8)$$

$$F_2 := \text{Fix}(J_2 J_1 J_m \dots J_3), \quad (9)$$

$$\vdots \quad (10)$$

$$F_m := \text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \dots J_1). \quad (11)$$

Definition 1.1. [1, Definition 5.1] Let $z_1 \in F_1$. Set $z_2 := J_2 z_1$, $z_3 := J_3 z_2$, \dots , $z_{m-1} := J_{m-1} z_{m-2}$, and $z_m := J_m z_{m-1}$. The tuple $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbf{X}$ is called a *cycle*.

2 Aim and outline of this paper

Our main results can be summarized as follows

- [Theorem 4.1](#) and [Theorem 4.3](#) sketched the relationship between the cycles and the fixed point sets of the composition of resolvents.
- The cycles that are defined by the resolvent operators can be formulated in Hilbert product space as a solution to a fixed point equation (see [Lemma 4.2](#) and [Lemma 4.6](#)).
- We study the set of classical cycles that are defined by using resolvent operators and the set of classical gap vectors see [Theorem 5.4](#).
- If one of the fixed point sets of composition of resolvents is not empty, then the individual fixed point sets are equal and their intersection is not empty (see [Lemma 4.7](#)).
- In [Section 5](#), we use Attouch–Théra duality to study the cycles and the fixed point sets of compositions of resolvents operators.

3 Attouch–Théra duality

Let A and B be two maximally monotone operators on X . The *primal problem* associated with (A, B) is to

$$\text{find } x \in X \text{ such that } 0 \in Ax + Bx. \quad (12)$$

The set of *primal solutions* associated with (A, B) are the solutions to the corresponding sum problem (12) are defined as

$$\text{psol}(A, B) := \text{zer}(A, B) = (A, B)^{-1}(0) = \left\{ x \in X \mid 0 \in (A + B)x \right\} \quad (13)$$

Now define $B^\circ := (-\text{Id}) \circ B \circ (-\text{Id})$ and $B^{-\circ} := (B^{-1})^\circ = (B^\circ)^{-1}$. This allows us to define the *dual pair* of (A, B) :

$$(A, B)^* := (A^{-1}, B^{-\circ}). \quad (14)$$

Then the *dual problem* associated with (A, B) is defined to be the primal problem associated with the dual pair $(A^{-1}, B^{-\circ})$:

$$\text{find } y \in X \text{ such that } 0 \in A^{-1}y + B^{-\circ}y = A^{-1}y - B^{-1}(-y). \quad (15)$$

The set of *dual solutions* associated with (A, B) are the solutions to the corresponding sum problem (15):

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) := \text{psol}(A, B)^* = \text{zer}(A^{-1} + B^{-\circ}) = \left\{ y \in X \mid 0 \in (A^{-1} + B^{-\circ})y \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Because $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$, $(A^\circ)^\circ = A$, and $(A^{-\circ})^{-\circ} = A$, we have

$$(A, B)^{**} = (A, B). \quad (17)$$

Lemma 3.1. Let A and B be maximally monotone on X . Let x and y in X . Then the following hold:

(i) If $\text{psol}(A, B) = \{x\}$, then

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax \cap (-Bx),$$

and

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax \cap B^\circ(-x).$$

(ii) If $\text{dsol}(A, B) = \{y\}$, then

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (A^{-1}y) \cap B^{-1}(-y),$$

and

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (A^{-1}y) \cap (-B^{-\circ}(y)).$$

(iii) If $\text{psol}(A, B) = \{x\}$ and Ax is a singleton, then $\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax$.

(iv) If $\text{psol}(A, B) = \{x\}$ and Bx and $B^\heartsuit(-x)$ are singleton, then

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = -Bx,$$

and

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = B^\heartsuit(-x).$$

(v) If $\text{dsol}(A, B) = \{y\}$ and $A^{-1}y$ is a singleton, then $\text{psol}(A, B) = A^{-1}y$.

(vi) If $\text{dsol}(A, B) = \{y\}$ and $B^{-1}(-y)$ and $(-B^{-\heartsuit}(y))$ are a singleton, then

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = B^{-1}(-y),$$

and

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (-B^{-\heartsuit}(y)).$$

Proof. (i): From (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \text{psol}(A, B) &\Leftrightarrow (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \neq Ax \cap (-Bx) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \neq Ax \cap \left((-\text{Id}) \circ B \circ (-\text{Id})(-x) \right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \neq Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \neq Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) \subseteq \text{dsol}(A, B). \\ &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \neq Ax \cap (-Bx) \subseteq \text{dsol}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{psol}(A, B) = \{x\}$ and by using (13), we obtain $Ax \cap (-Bx) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$, and $Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$.

(ii): From (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \text{dsol}(A, B) &\Leftrightarrow (A^{-1} + B^{-\heartsuit})^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow A^{-1}(y) \cap (-B^{-\heartsuit}(y)) \neq \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow A^{-1}(y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) \neq \emptyset \\ &\Leftrightarrow \emptyset \neq A^{-1}(y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) \subseteq \text{psol}(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{dsol}(A, B) = \{y\}$ and by using (16), we obtain

$$A^{-1}(y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) = \text{psol}(A, B),$$

(iii): From (i), we have $Ax \cap (-Bx) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$, and $Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$. Since Ax is a singleton then we obtain

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax \cap (-Bx) = Ax,$$

and

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) = Ax.$$

(iv): From (i), we have $Ax \cap (-Bx) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$, and $Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$. Since Bx and $B^\heartsuit(-x)$ are singleton then we obtain

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax \cap (-Bx) = -Bx,$$

and

$$\text{dsol}(A, B) = Ax \cap B^\heartsuit(-x) = B^\heartsuit(-x).$$

(v): From (ii), we have $(A^{-1}y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) = \text{psol}(A, B)$, and $(A^{-1}y) \cap (-B^{-\heartsuit}(y)) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$. Since $A^{-1}y$ is a singleton then we obtain

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (A^{-1}y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) = A^{-1}y,$$

and

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (A^{-1}y) \cap (-B^{-\heartsuit}(y)) = A^{-1}y.$$

(vi): From (ii), we have $(A^{-1}y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) = \text{psol}(A, B)$, and $(A^{-1}y) \cap (-B^{-\heartsuit}(y)) = \text{dsol}(A, B)$. Since $B^{-1}(-y)$ and $(-B^{-\heartsuit}(y))$ are singleton then we obtain

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (A^{-1}y) \cap B^{-1}(-y) = B^{-1}(-y),$$

and

$$\text{psol}(A, B) = (A^{-1}y) \cap (-B^{-\heartsuit}(y)) = -B^{-\heartsuit}(y).$$

■

For more information about the Attouch–Théra duality, we refer the reader to [8].

4 Correspondence of Properties and Results

Theorem 4.1. The following are equivalent

- (i) Cycle exists.
- (ii) The fixed point sets of cyclic compositions of resolvents $F_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. " (i) \Rightarrow (ii) ": Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m)$ be a cycle. Then by [Definition 1.1](#), we have $z_1 = J_1 z_m, z_2 = J_2 z_1, z_3 = J_3 z_2, \dots, z_{m-1} = J_{m-1} z_{m-2}$, and $z_m = J_m z_{m-1}$. This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= J_1 J_m \dots J_3 J_2 z_1 \\ z_2 &= J_2 J_1 \dots J_4 J_3 z_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdots \\
z_i &= J_i J_{i-1} \cdots J_1 J_m \cdots J_{i+1} z_i \\
& \vdots \\
z_m &= J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1 z_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $z_1 \in F_1, z_2 \in F_2, \dots, z_i \in F_i, \dots, z_m \in F_m$ by (8)-(11). This implies that $F_1 \neq \emptyset, F_2 \neq \emptyset, \dots, F_i \neq \emptyset, \dots, F_m \neq \emptyset$.

"(ii) \Rightarrow (i)": Let $F_m \neq \emptyset$ and $z_m \in F_m$. Then

$$z_m \in \text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1) z_m,$$

by (11). Therefore,

$$z_m = J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1 z_m$$

Next, Applying J_1 we obtain

$$J_1 z_m = J_1 (J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2) (J_1 z_m),$$

which is equivalent to $J_1 z_m \in \text{Fix}(J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2) \Leftrightarrow J_1 z_m \in F_1 \neq \emptyset$. Additionally, let $z_2 \in F_2$ such that $z_2 = J_2 z_1$. Keep doing this we obtain,

$$z_{m-2} = J_{m-2} J_{m-3} \cdots J_1 J_m J_{m-1} z_{m-2}.$$

Then,

$$J_{m-1} z_{m-2} = J_{m-1} (J_{m-2} J_{m-3} \cdots J_1 J_m) (J_{m-1} z_{m-2}),$$

and $J_{m-1} z_{m-2} \in \text{Fix}(J_{m-1} J_{m-2} J_{m-3} \cdots J_1 J_m)$, which is equivalent to $J_{m-1} z_{m-2} \in F_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, let $z_{m-1} \in F_{m-1}$ such that $z_{m-1} = J_{m-1} z_{m-2}$. Then we obtain

$$z_{m-1} = J_{m-1} J_{m-2} J_{m-3} \cdots J_1 J_m z_{m-1},$$

and

$$J_m z_{m-1} = J_m (J_{m-1} J_{m-2} J_{m-3} \cdots J_1) J_m z_{m-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$J_m z_{m-1} \in \text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} J_{m-2} J_{m-3} \cdots J_1).$$

This is equivalent to $J_m z_{m-1} \in \text{Fix } F_m \neq \emptyset$. All these together give $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m) \in \mathbf{X}$ satisfying that

$$(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m) = (J_1 z_m, J_2 z_1, \dots, J_{m-1} z_{m-2}, J_m z_{m-1}).$$

■

Lemma 4.2. Let $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{X}$ is a cycle. Then

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{J}_A(\mathbf{Rz}), \quad (18)$$

and solving (18) is equivalent to solve

$$0 \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})(\mathbf{z}). \quad (19)$$

Proof. Given that $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbf{X}$ is a cycle. Then Definition 1.1 gives that $z_1 = J_1 z_m, z_2 = J_2 z_1, z_3 = J_3 z_2, \dots, z_{m-1} = J_{m-1} z_{m-2}$, and $z_m = J_m z_{m-1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z} &= (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m) = (J_1 z_m, J_2 z_1, \dots, J_{m-1} z_{m-2}, J_m z_{m-1}) \\ &= (J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{m-1}, J_m)(z_m, z_1, \dots, z_{m-2}, z_{m-1}) \\ &= \mathbf{J}_A(\mathbf{R}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m)) \\ &= \mathbf{J}_A(\mathbf{Rz}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{J}_A(\mathbf{Rz}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{A})^{-1}(\mathbf{Rz})$ by (3). Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathbf{Rz} \in \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z}) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})(\mathbf{z}).$$

■

Define the set of all cycles by

$$\mathbf{Z} := \text{Fix}(\mathbf{J}_A \mathbf{R}). \quad (20)$$

Define

$$F_i := \{z \in X \mid z = J_i \dots J_1 J_m \dots J_{i+1} z\}. \quad (21)$$

Moreover,

$$Q_i : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow X : \mathbf{z} \mapsto z_i. \quad (22)$$

The relationship between the fixed point set of composition of m resolvents F_i 's and the set of all cycles \mathbf{Z} are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. For every $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, the following hold;

(i) F_i are closed and convex. Moreover,

$$F_m = (J_m J_{m-1} \dots J_3 J_2)(F_1) = (J_m J_{m-1} \dots J_3)(F_2) = \dots = J_m J_{m-1}(F_{m-2}) = J_m(F_{m-1}). \quad (23)$$

$$F_{m-1} = (J_{m-1} \dots J_3 J_2 J_1)(F_m) = (J_{m-1} \dots J_3 J_2)(F_1) = \dots = J_{m-1}(F_{m-2}). \quad (24)$$

$$\vdots \quad (25)$$

$$F_2 = (J_2 J_1 J_m \dots J_4)(F_3) = (J_2 J_1 J_m \dots J_5)(F_4) = \dots = J_2 J_1(F_m) = J_2(F_1). \quad (26)$$

$$F_1 = (J_1 J_m \dots J_4 J_3)(F_2) = (J_1 J_m \dots J_4)(F_3) = \dots = (J_1 J_m)(F_{m-1}) = J_1(F_m). \quad (27)$$

- (ii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix } J_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m F_i$ and if $F_i = \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix } J_i = \emptyset$.
(iii) For $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, $J_{i+1}(F_i) = (F_{i+1})$, and $J_1(F_m) = F_1$. Therefore,

$$J_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R}(F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_m) = F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_m. \quad (28)$$

- (iv) $F_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $F_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathbf{Z} = \emptyset$.
(v) \mathbf{Z} is closed and convex, and $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_m$.
(vi) The mapping $Q_i|_{\mathbf{Z}} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow F_i$ is bijective and $Q_i(\mathbf{Z}) = F_i$.

Proof. (i): Since each J_i is firmly nonexpansive, so nonexpansive, then by [18, Lemma 2.1.12 (ii)], the composition

$$J_i \cdots J_1 J_m \cdots J_{i+1}$$

is nonexpansive. Then by [18, Proposition 2.1.11] F_i is closed and convex. Let $x \in F_m \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2 J_1) \Leftrightarrow x = J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2 J_1 x$. Then,

$$J_1 x = J_1 (J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2 J_1) x = (J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2) (J_1 x)$$

Therefore, $J_1 x \in \text{Fix}(J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2) \Leftrightarrow J_1 x \in F_1$. It follows that

$$J_1(F_m) \subseteq F_1 \quad (29)$$

Moreover,

$$(J_2 J_1)(F_m) = (J_2 J_1)(\text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1)) \subseteq J_2(\text{Fix}(J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2)) \quad (30)$$

$$\subseteq \text{Fix}(J_2 J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_4 J_3) = F_2, \quad (31)$$

hence

$$(J_3 J_2 J_1)(F_m) = (J_3 J_2 J_1)(\text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1)) \subseteq (J_3 J_2)(\text{Fix}(J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_3 J_2)) \quad (32)$$

$$\subseteq J_3(\text{Fix}(J_2 J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_4 J_3)) \quad (33)$$

$$\subseteq \text{Fix}(J_3 J_2 J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_5 J_4) = F_3, \quad (34)$$

until finally

$$F_m = \text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1) = (J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1)(\text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1)) \quad (35)$$

$$\subseteq (J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2)(\text{Fix}(J_1 J_m \cdots J_3 J_2)) \quad (36)$$

$$\vdots \quad (37)$$

$$\subseteq J_m(\text{Fix}(J_{m-1} J_{m-2} \cdots J_2 J_1 J_m)) = J_m(F_{m-1}) \quad (38)$$

$$\subseteq \text{Fix}(J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 J_1) = F_m. \quad (39)$$

Hence, equality holds throughout (35)-(39) and we are done. The same approach will verify (24)-(27).

(ii): It is well known that $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix} J_i \subseteq F_1$, $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix} J_i \subseteq F_2$, \dots , $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix} J_i \subseteq F_m$. Hence

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix} J_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m F_i.$$

This also implies that $\cap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix} J_i = \emptyset$ if $F_i = \emptyset$. (iii): From (i), we have

$$J_1(F_m) = F_1, \quad J_2(F_1) = F_2, \quad \dots, \quad J_m(F_{m-1}) = F_m. \quad (40)$$

Using (6), (7) and (40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R}(F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{m-1} \times F_m) &= J_{\mathbf{A}}(F_m \times F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{m-1}) \\ &= (J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m)(F_m \times F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{m-1}) \\ &= F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{m-1} \times F_m. \end{aligned}$$

(iv): Clear from the definition of F_i, F_j and \mathbf{Z} .

(v): Since $J_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R}$ is nonexpansive and because $\mathbf{Z} = \text{Fix} J_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R}$, by [18, Proposition 2.1.11], \mathbf{Z} is closed and convex. Moreover, let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in \text{Fix} J_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{z} = J_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{z}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= J_1 J_m \dots J_2 z_1, \\ &\vdots \\ z_i &= J_i J_{i-1} \dots J_1 J_m \dots J_{i+1} z_i, \\ &\vdots \\ z_m &= J_m J_{m-1} \dots J_1 z_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{m-1} \times F_m$. Since this is true for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}$, therefore,

$$\mathbf{Z} \subseteq F_1 \times F_2 \times \dots \times F_{m-1} \times F_m.$$

(vi): It is clear that (i) that $Q_i : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow F_i$ is surjective. To show Q_i is injective, suppose $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m), \tilde{\mathbf{z}} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}_m) \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $Q_i(\mathbf{z}) = Q_i(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}) \Rightarrow z_i = \tilde{z}_i$. Because \mathbf{z} and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ are cycles then we obtain

$$z_{i+1} = J_{i+1} z_i = J_{i+1} \tilde{z}_i = \tilde{z}_{i+1} \quad (41)$$

$$\vdots \quad (42)$$

$$z_m = J_m z_{m-1} = J_m \tilde{z}_{m-1} = \tilde{z}_m \quad (43)$$

$$z_1 = J_1 z_m = J_1 \tilde{z}_m = \tilde{z}_1 \quad (44)$$

$$z_2 = J_2 z_1 = J_2 \tilde{z}_1 = \tilde{z}_2 \quad (45)$$

$$\vdots \quad (46)$$

$$z_{i-1} = J_{i-1} z_{i-2} = J_{i-1} \tilde{z}_{i-2} = \tilde{z}_{i-1}, \quad (47)$$

hence from (41)-(47), we have

$$\mathbf{z} = \tilde{\mathbf{z}}.$$

■

Lemma 4.4. Let $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix } J_i \neq \emptyset := D$. Then the following are hold:

- (i) We have $F_i = D$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{Z} = \{(z, z, \dots, z) \mid z \in D\} = D^m \cap \mathbf{D}$.

Proof. (i): J_i is firmly nonexpansive for every $1 \leq i \leq m$, then by [15, Corollary 4.51], we have

$$(\forall (1 \leq i \leq m)), \text{Fix}(J_i J_{i-1} \cdots J_1 J_m \cdots J_{i+1}) = D.$$

(ii): Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= J_1 J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_2 z_1 \Leftrightarrow z_1 \in F_1 = D \\ z_2 &= J_2 J_1 J_m \cdots J_3 z_2 \Leftrightarrow z_2 \in F_2 = D \\ &\vdots \\ z_m &= J_m J_{m-1} J_{m-2} \cdots J_1 z_m \Leftrightarrow z_m \in F_m = D, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \in F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_m = D \times D \times \cdots \times D = D^m.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = (z, z, \dots, z) \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{z} \in D^m \cap \mathbf{D}.$$

■

Remark 4.5. When $m = 2$, we have $J_1(F_2) = F_1$, and $J_2(F_1) = F_2$.

Proof. Let $z \in F_1$ and $\tilde{z} \in F_2$, then we have $z = J_1 J_2 z$ and $J_2 z = J_2 J_1 (J_2 z)$. Therefore,

$$J_2(F_1) \subseteq F_2 \quad (48)$$

Moreover, $\tilde{z} \in F_2$, then we have $\tilde{z} = J_2 J_1 \tilde{z}$ and $J_1 \tilde{z} = J_1 J_2 (J_1 \tilde{z})$. Thus,

$$J_1(F_2) \subseteq F_1 \quad (49)$$

Now apply J_1 and J_2 to (48) and (49) respectively, we get

$$F_1 \subseteq J_1(F_2) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 \subseteq J_2(F_1).$$

Hence, we have $F_1 = J_1(F_2)$ and $F_2 = J_2(F_1)$. ■

Lemma 4.6. Recall from (20) that $\mathbf{Z} = \text{Fix } J_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{Z} = \text{Fix } J_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R} = \text{Fix } J_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{R}}{2} \right).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Fix } (J_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} = J_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{x} &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{R} \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow 0 \in (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{R} \mathbf{x})}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})}{2} \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \mathbf{x} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{R}}{2} \right) \mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})}{2} \quad \text{adding and subtracting } \frac{\mathbf{x}}{2} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{R}}{2} \right) \mathbf{x} \in \left(\mathbf{Id} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A} \right) (\mathbf{x}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} = J_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{R}}{2} \right) (\mathbf{x}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \text{Fix } J_{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{R}}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that $\text{Fix } J_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then, the following are equivalent

- (i) $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix } J_i \neq \emptyset$.
- (ii) $F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_m \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i): Let $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix } J_i \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow F_1 \neq \emptyset, F_2 \neq \emptyset, \dots, F_m \neq \emptyset$ and from Lemma 4.4(i), we have

$$F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_m = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Fix } J_i.$$

(ii): Let $F_1 = F_2 = \dots = F_m \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Theorem 4.3 (iv) we have $\mathbf{Z} \neq \emptyset$ ■

5 Consequences of Attouch-Théra duality

Recall (5) that

$$\mathbf{A} = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_m.$$

From now on, suppose that

$$\mathbf{A} \text{ is maximally monotone on } \mathbf{X}, \quad (50)$$

and

$$\mathbf{C} := \text{zer } \mathbf{A} \text{ is not empty.} \quad (51)$$

Recall (20) that

$$\mathbf{Z} := \text{Fix}(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{R}).$$

Proposition 5.1. The following holds:

- (i) $\mathbf{Z} = \text{psol}(\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}$ is maximally monotone.
- (iii) \mathbf{Z} is closed and convex.

Proof. (i): Combine Lemma 4.2 and (13). (ii): Note that $\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}$ is linear, full domain, and maximally monotone by [1, Theorem 7.1]. Moreover, \mathbf{A} is maximally monotone by assumption. Therefore, the sum is maximally monotone by [15, Corollary 25.5 (i)] (iii): It follows directly from (i) and Theorem 4.3 (v). ■

Theorem 5.2. Recall from Lemma 4.2, the primal (Attouch-Théra) problem,

$$0 \in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})(\mathbf{z}),$$

for the pair $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})$. Then the Attouch-Théra dual problem is

$$0 \in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \quad (52)$$

or,

$$0 \in (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + N_{\mathbf{D}^\perp})(\mathbf{y}) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T}\right)(\mathbf{y}) \quad (53)$$

Moreover,

$$\text{dsol}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) = \text{zer} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + N_{\mathbf{D}^\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T} \right). \quad (54)$$

Proof. The dual pair of $(\mathbf{A}, (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}))$ is

$$(\mathbf{A}, (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}))^* = (\mathbf{A}^{-1}, (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-\circ}).$$

Because of the linearity of \mathbf{R} , we have

$$(\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-\circ} = (-\mathbf{Id}) \circ (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1} \circ (-\mathbf{Id}) = (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}.$$

Hence, Attouch-Théra dual problem simplifies to

$$0 \in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}).$$

From [2, Theorem 2.8 (i)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + \left(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T}\right)(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in (\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp})(\mathbf{y}) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T}\right)(\mathbf{y}), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (53). Next, by (16), (52), and (53), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dsol}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) &= \text{zer} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1} \right) \\ &= \text{zer} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T} \right). \end{aligned}$$

■

Proposition 5.3. The solution set of (52) is at most a singleton and possibly empty.

Proof. See [1, Proposition 9.2].

■

Theorem 5.4. Let $\text{psol}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{Z}$ and recall from (54) that

$$\text{dsol}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) = \text{zer} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T} \right).$$

Then

$$\text{dsol}(\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) = (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Id})\mathbf{Z} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \mathbf{J}_{2(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp} + \mathbf{T})}(\mathbf{0}) \right\}, & \text{if } \mathbf{Z} \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } \mathbf{Z} = \emptyset \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

Moreover, if $\mathbf{y}^* := \mathbf{J}_{2(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp} + \mathbf{T})}(\mathbf{0})$ exists, then the following are hold:

- (i) $\mathbf{y}^* \in \mathbf{D}^\perp$.
- (ii) \mathbf{y}^* is the only vector that makes $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y} \cap -(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp}\mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y})$, nonempty

$$(iii) \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^* \cap (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{D})$$

Proof. By using (16), we have $\mathbf{y} \in \text{dsol}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &\in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{z} &\in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ and } -\mathbf{z} \in (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{y} &\in \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{z}) \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})(-\mathbf{z}) \quad (\forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

hence, for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dsol}(\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) &= \cup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}} \{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}\} \\ &= (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Id})\mathbf{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, if $\mathbf{Z} \neq \emptyset$, then using [2, Theorem 2.4] and (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &\in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{0} &\in \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp}\right)(\mathbf{y}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{0} &\in \left(\mathbf{Id} + 2\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp}\right)\right)(\mathbf{y}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{y} &= \left(\mathbf{Id} + 2\left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp}\right)\right)^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{J}_{2(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{T} + \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}^\perp})}(\mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

However, if $\mathbf{Z} = \emptyset$, then using [7, Proposition 2.4 (v)]

$$\emptyset = \text{dsol}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}) = \text{dsol}(\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R}).$$

(i): By [2, Theorem 2.7], we have $\text{dom}(\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{R})^{-1} = \mathbf{D}^\perp$. This implies that

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{D}^\perp.$$

(ii): Combine Proposition 5.3 and [7, Proposition 2.4].

(iii): Combine (i), (ii), and [1, Proposition 9.3 (i)] where $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}$ is replaced by \mathbf{A}^{-1} . ■

Lemma 5.5. Denote by $\mathbf{y}^* = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ the unique solution of (53). Then the following hold:

- (i) The mapping $J_1 : F_m \rightarrow F_1$ is bijective on F_m and it is given by $J_1(z) = z - y_1$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ the mapping $J_{i+1} : F_i \rightarrow F_{i+1}$ is bijective and is given by $J_{i+1}(z) = z - y_{i+1}$.

(ii) The fixed point sets $F_1 = F_m - y_1$ and $F_{i+1} = F_i - y_{i+1}$.

Proof. (i): Let z and \tilde{z} be in F_m satisfying that $J_1 z = J_1 \tilde{z}$. Our goal is to show that J_1 is injective on F_m . Then, we have

$$z = J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_i J_{i-1} \cdots J_1 z$$

and

$$\tilde{z} = J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_i J_{i-1} \cdots J_1 \tilde{z}.$$

Since $J_1 z = J_1 \tilde{z}$, then we obtain $z = \tilde{z}$. Thus, J_1 is an injective mapping on F_m . Moreover, Remark 4.5 shows that J_1 is a surjective mapping on F_m . Therefore, J_1 is a bijective mapping on F_m . For every $z \in F_m$, we have

$$z = J_m J_{m-1} \cdots J_i J_{i-1} \cdots J_1 z. \quad (56)$$

Set $z_1 = J_1 z$, $z_2 = J_2 z_1$, \cdots , $z_{m-1} = J_{m-1} z_{m-2}$, $z_m = J_m z_{m-1}$. Therefore, using (56), we have $z = J_m z_{m-1}$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{m-1}, z)$. Therefore, Theorem 5.4 gives

$$(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_m) = (z, z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{m-1}) - (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_{m-1}, z_m)$$

and therefore, $y_1 = z - z_1 \Rightarrow z_1 = z - y_1 \Rightarrow J_1 z = z - y_1$. The proof of J_i is the same as J_1 .

(ii): It follows from (i) that for every $z \in F_m$, we obtain $J_1 z = z - y_1$. Then by Theorem 4.3(iii) we have $F_1 = F_m - y_1$. The proof for $F_{i+1} = F_i - y_{i+1}$ is the same as F_1 . ■

References

- [1] S. ALWADANI, *On the behaviour of algorithms featuring compositions of projectors and proximal mappings with no solutions*, University of British Columbia , 2021. <https://dx.doi.org/10.14288/1.0403824>.
- [2] S. ALWADANI, *Additional Studies on Displacement Mapping with Restrictions*, arXiv , 2024. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.13510>.
- [3] S. ALWADANI, H. H. BAUSCHKE, AND X. WANG, *Fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings: finitely many linear reflectors*, (2020). <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.12582.pdf>.
- [4] S. ALWADANI, H. H. BAUSCHKE, J. P. REVALSKI, AND X. WANG, *The difference vectors for convex sets and a resolution of the geometry conjecture*, *Open Journal of Mathematical Optimization and Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, vol. 2, pp. 1–18, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.5802/ojmo.7>.

- [5] S. ALWADANI, H. H. BAUSCHKE, AND X. WANG, *The Attouch-Théra Duality, Generalized Cycles and Gap Vectors*, January (2021), <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.05857>.
- [6] H. H. BAUSCHKE, *The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space*, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, (1996), pp. 150–159.
- [7] H. H. BAUSCHKE, R. BOŢ, W. HARE, AND W. M. MOURSI, *Attouch–Théra duality revisited: paramonotonicity and operator splitting*, *Journal of Approximation Theory*. 164 (2012), pp. 1065–1084.
- [8] H. ATTOUCH AND M. THÉRA, *A general duality principle for the sum of two operators*, *Journal of Convex Analysis*. 3 (1996), pp. 1–24.
- [9] H. H. BAUSCHKE AND J. M. BORWEIN, *On the convergence of von Neumann’s alternating projection algorithm for two sets*,
- [10] H. H. BAUSCHKE AND J. M. BORWEIN, *Dykstra’s alternating projection algorithm for two sets*, *Journal of Approximation Theory* 79 (1994), pp. 418–443.
- [11] H. H. BAUSCHKE, J. M. BORWEIN, AND A. S. LEWIS, *The method of cyclic projections for closed convex sets in Hilbert space*, *Contemporary Mathematics*. 204 (1997), pp. 1–38.
- [12] G. J. MINTY, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, *Duke Mathematical Journal*. 29 (1962), pp. 341–346.
- [13] H. H. BAUSCHKE, S. MOFFAT, AND X. WANG, *Firmly nonexpansive mappings and maximally monotone operators: correspondence and duality*, *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, 20 (2012), pp. 131–153.
- [14] H. H. BAUSCHKE, P. L. COMBETTES, AND D. R. LUKE, *Finding best approximation pairs relative to two closed convex sets in Hilbert spaces*, *J. Approx. Theory* 127 (2004), pp. 178–192.
- [15] H. H. BAUSCHKE AND P. L. COMBETTES, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, second ed., 2017.
- [16] J. M. Borwein and J. D. Vanderwerff: *Convex functions: constructions, characterizations and counterexamples*, *Cambridge University Press*, 2010.
- [17] S. K. BERBERIAN, *Introduction to Hilbert space*, Oxford University Press, 1961.
- [18] A. CEGIELSKI, *Iterative Methods for Fixed Point Problems in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer, 2012.

- [19] R.S. BURACHIK AND A.N. USEM, *Set-Valued Mappings and Enlargements of Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [20] S. SIMONS, *Minimax and Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [21] S. SIMONS, *From Hahn-Banach to Monotonicity*, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [22] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications II/A: Linear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [23] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications II/B: Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [24] E. ZEIDLER, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications I: Fixed Point Theorems*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [25] W. CHENEY AND A.A GOLDSTEIN, *Proximity maps for convex sets*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 10 (1959), pp. 448–450.
- [26] J. E. MARTÍNEZ-LEGAZ AND B. F. SVAITER, *Monotone operators representable by lsc convex functions*, Set-Valued Analysis, 164 (2005), pp. 21–46.