

REGULARITY OF THE SEMIGROUP OF TRANSFORMATIONS PRESERVING A LENGTH

Worachead Sommanee

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Chiang Mai Rajabhat University,
Chiang Mai, 50300, Thailand

E-mail: worachead_som@cmru.ac.th

Abstract

Let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a finite set ($n \geq 2$) and T_n the full transformation semigroup on X_n . For a positive integer $l \leq n - 1$, we define

$$T_n(l) = \{\alpha \in T_n : \forall x, y \in X_n, |x - y| = l \Rightarrow |x\alpha - y\alpha| = l\}$$

and

$$T_n^*(l) = \{\alpha \in T_n : \forall x, y \in X_n, |x - y| = l \Leftrightarrow |x\alpha - y\alpha| = l\}.$$

Then $T_n(l)$ and $T_n^*(l)$ are subsemigroups of T_n . In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T_n(l)$ to be regular. Moreover, we prove that $T_n^*(l)$ is a regular semigroup.

Keywords regularity; transformation; preserve a length.

AMS Subject Classification 20M20

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Regularity has played an important role in the development of semigroup theory. For a concept of regularity and transformation semigroups, we refer the reader to [2].

The *full transformation semigroup* is the collection of functions from a set X into X with composition, which is denoted by $T(X)$. It is well-known that $T(X)$ is a regular semigroup with identity element id_X , the identity function on X (see [3]). The regularity of various subsemigroups of $T(X)$ have been studied over a long period (see, for example [1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]).

For $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in T(X)$, the image of x under α is written as $x\alpha$. By the corresponding notation, we will compose functions from left to right: $x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta$. For a non-empty subset A of X , the image of a subset A of X under α is denoted by $A\alpha$. If $A = X$, then $X\alpha$ is the range (image) of α . We denote by $x\alpha^{-1}$ the set of all inverse images of x under α , that is, $x\alpha^{-1} = \{z \in X : z\alpha = x\}$. Here, $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of a set A .

Throughout the paper, we let $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($n \geq 2$) and l an integer such that $1 \leq l \leq n - 1$. We write T_n instead of $T(X_n)$. Define

$$T_n(l) = \{\alpha \in T_n : \forall x, y \in X_n, |x - y| = l \Rightarrow |x\alpha - y\alpha| = l\}$$

and

$$T_n^*(l) = \{\alpha \in T_n : \forall x, y \in X_n, |x - y| = l \Leftrightarrow |x\alpha - y\alpha| = l\}$$

where $|x - y|$ is the *absolute difference* of numbers x and y . Obviously, $\text{id}_{X_n} \in T_n^*(l)$ and $T_n^*(l) \subseteq T_n(l)$. Note that if $\alpha \in T_n(l)$, then we say that α *preserves the length l* . Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_n(l)$ and $x, y \in X_n$ such that $|x - y| = l$. Then $|x\alpha - y\alpha| = l$. Since $x\alpha, y\alpha \in X_n$ and β preserves the length l , we obtain $|(x\alpha)\beta - (y\alpha)\beta| = l$. Hence $\alpha\beta \in T_n(l)$ and so $T_n(l)$ is a subsemigroup of T_n . We call $T_n(l)$ the *semigroup of transformations preserving a length l* . Similarly, if $\alpha, \beta \in T_n^*(l)$, we can show that

$$|x(\alpha\beta) - y(\alpha\beta)| = l \Leftrightarrow |(x\alpha)\beta - (y\alpha)\beta| = l \Leftrightarrow |x\alpha - y\alpha| = l \Leftrightarrow |x - y| = l.$$

Hence $\alpha\beta \in T_n^*(l)$ and so $T_n^*(l)$ is a subsemigroup of T_n . It is also clear that $T_n^*(l)$ is a subsemigroup of $T_n(l)$.

Here, in Section 1, we present the new transformation semigroups $T_n(l)$ and $T_n^*(l)$. Moreover, we also introduce basic results for the semigroups $T_n(l)$ and $T_n^*(l)$. In Sections 2 and 3, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T_n(l)$ to be regular. Finally, in Section 4, we prove that $T_n^*(l)$ is a regular semigroup.

As in Clifford and Preston [2, page 2], if $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X_n$ (not necessarily distinct), we shall use the notation

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

to mean $\alpha \in T_n$ defined by $i\alpha = a_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The following remark will be useful in the paper.

Remark 1.1. The following hold:

1. If ($n \geq 3$ is odd and $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$) or ($n \geq 4$ is even and $l > \frac{n}{2}$), then $n - l < l$. For each $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - l\}$, there exists unique $y = x + l \in X_n$ such that $|x - y| = l$. On the other hand, for each $x \in \{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, n\}$, there exists unique $y = x - l \in X_n$ such that $|x - y| = l$. Moreover, $|x - y| \neq l$ for all $x \in \{(n - l) + 1, (n - l) + 2, \dots, l\}$ and all $y \in X_n$. Indeed, for $1 \leq k \leq 2l - n$, if $|[(n - l) + k] - y| = l$ for some $y \in X_n$, we get that $[(n - l) + k] - y = l$ or $[(n - l) + k] - y = -l$. In the former, we obtain $n - 2l + k = y > 0$, which implies that $k > 2l - n$, a contradiction. In the latter, we have $y = n + k \notin X_n$. So in this case, we can write

$$X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n - l, (n - l) + 1, \dots, l - 1, l, l + 1, l + 2, \dots, n\}$$

or

$$X_n = \{1, l + 1\} \cup \{2, l + 2\} \cup \cdots \cup \{n - l, n\} \cup \{(n - l) + 1, (n - l) + 2, \dots, l - 1, l\}.$$

2. If ($n \geq 3$ is odd and $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$) or ($n \geq 4$ is even and $l \leq \frac{n}{2}$), then $2l \leq n$. So, we can write

$$X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, l, l + 1, l + 2, \dots, 2l, 2l + 1, 2l + 2, \dots, 3l, 3l + 1, 3l + 2, \dots, n\}$$

or

$$X_n = \{1, 1 + l, 1 + 2l, \dots, 1 + m_1 l\} \cup \{2, 2 + l, 2 + 2l, \dots, 2 + m_2 l\} \cup \cdots \cup \{l, 2l, 3l, \dots, (1 + m_l)l\}$$

where $m_i \geq 1$ is the maximum integer such that $i + m_i l \leq n$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. It is clear that for each $x \in X_n$, there exists $y \in X_n$ such that $|x - y| = l$.

Proposition 1.1. $T_n(l)$ is never equal to T_n .

Proof. Let $\kappa \in T_n$ be the constant function with range $\{1\}$. Then $\kappa \notin T_n(l)$ since $|1 - (l+1)| = l$ but $|1\kappa - (l+1)\kappa| = |1 - 1| = 0 \neq l$. Hence, $T_n(l) \neq T_n$. \square

Proposition 1.2. $T_n(l) = T_n^*(l)$ if and only if $n = 2$ or $(n = 3$ and $l = 1)$.

Proof. If $n = 2$, then $l = 1$ and

$$T_2(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = T_2^*(1).$$

If $n = 3$ and $l = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_3(1) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= T_3^*(1). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume $n \geq 4$ or $(n = 3$ and $l = 2)$. We show $T_n(l) \neq T_n^*(l)$. Let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\alpha \in T_3(2) \setminus T_3^*(2)$, since $|2\alpha - 3\alpha| = |1 - 3| = 2$ but $|2 - 3| = 1 \neq 2$. Thus, $T_3(2) \neq T_3^*(2)$. Now, suppose that $n \geq 4$. We consider four cases: (i) n is even and $l > \frac{n}{2}$; (ii) n is odd and $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$; (iii) n is even and $l \leq \frac{n}{2}$; (iv) n is odd and $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Cases (i) & (ii). Using Remark 1.1 (1), we define $\alpha \in T_n(l)$ by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & 2 & l+2 & \cdots & n-l & n & (n-l)+1 & (n-l)+2 & \cdots & l-1 & l \\ 1 & l+1 & 1 & l+1 & \cdots & 1 & l+1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $|l\alpha - (l+1)\alpha| = |1 - (l+1)| = l$ while $|l - (l+1)| = 1 \neq l$ (since $l > \frac{n}{2} \geq 2$). Hence $\alpha \notin T_n^*(l)$.

Cases (iii) & (iv). Using Remark 1.1 (2), we can define $\alpha \in T_n(1)$ by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where $n\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

Since $|1\alpha - 4\alpha| = |1 - 2| = 1$ but $|1 - 4| = 3 \neq 1$, thus $\alpha \notin T_n^*(l)$. For $l \geq 2$, we define $\alpha \in T_n(l)$ by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & l & l+1 & \cdots & 2l & 2l+1 & \cdots & 3l & 3l+1 & \cdots & 4l & 4l+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & l+1 & \cdots & l+1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & l+1 & \cdots & l+1 & 1 & \cdots & n\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$n\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \{kl + t: k \geq 2 \text{ is even and } 1 \leq t \leq l\}, \\ l+1 & \text{if } n \in \{kl + t: k \geq 3 \text{ is odd and } 1 \leq t \leq l\}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $|2\alpha - (l+1)\alpha| = |1 - (l+1)| = l$ such that $|2 - (l+1)| = |1 - l| \neq l$. Hence $\alpha \notin T_n^*(l)$. Therefore, $T_n(l) \neq T_n^*(l)$. \square

2 Regularity of $T_n(1)$

We would like to acknowledge the use of GAP [4], a system for computational discrete algebra with the MONOID package.

Remark 2.1. Recall that the subsemigroup generated by A denoted by $\langle A \rangle$. Using the commands `gap_i Semigroup()`; and `gap_i IsRegularSemigroup()`; of GAP, we have the following facts.

- (1) $T_2(1) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is regular,
- (2) $T_3(1) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is regular,
- (3) $T_4(1) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is regular,
- (4) $T_5(1) = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$
is regular.

Theorem 2.1. $T_n(1)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Proof. By Remark 2.1, we have $T_n(1)$ is a regular semigroup when $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Conversely, let $n \geq 6$ and assume that $T_n(1)$ is regular. There are four cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose that $n \in \{6, 10, 14, 18, \dots\}$. Then $|\{1, 2, 3, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}| = |\{n, n-1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}+1\}| = \frac{n}{2}$ is odd. Define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & \frac{n}{2}-1 & \frac{n}{2} & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+3 & \frac{n}{2}+4 & \dots & n-2 & n-1 & n \\ n & n-1 & \dots & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \dots & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $\alpha \in T_n(1)$. Since $T_n(1)$ is regular, there exists $\beta \in T_n(1)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. We have $\frac{n}{2} = n\alpha = (n\alpha\beta)\alpha = (\frac{n}{2}\beta)\alpha$. Then we obtain $(\frac{n}{2})\beta = n$ and it follows that $(\frac{n}{2}+1)\beta = n-1$. Since $\frac{n}{2}+2 = (\frac{n}{2}+1)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}+1)\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta)\alpha$, we get $(\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta \in \{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+3, \dots, n-2\}$. We see that $(\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta \in \{n, n-2\}$, so $(\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta = n-2$. Next, we consider $\frac{n}{2}+3 = (\frac{n}{2}-2)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}-2)\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}+3)\beta)\alpha$. Thus $(\frac{n}{2}+3)\beta = \frac{n}{2}-2$. Since $|(\frac{n}{2}+3) - (\frac{n}{2}+2)| = 1$ but $|(\frac{n}{2}+3)\beta - (\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta| = |(\frac{n}{2}-2) - (n-2)| = \frac{n}{2} \neq 1$, this is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that $n \in \{8, 12, 16, 20, \dots\}$. We note that $|\{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2, \dots, n-3, n-2, n-1, n\}| = \frac{n}{2}$ is even. Define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & \frac{n}{2}-1 & \frac{n}{2} & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \dots & n-3 & n-2 & n-1 & n \\ n & n-1 & \dots & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \dots & \frac{n}{2}+2 & \frac{n}{2}+1 & \frac{n}{2} & \frac{n}{2}-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that $\alpha \in T_n(1)$. Since $T_n(1)$ is regular, there exists $\beta \in T_n(1)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Consider $\frac{n}{2} = (n-1)\alpha = ((n-1)\alpha\beta)\alpha = (\frac{n}{2}\beta)\alpha$. Then we obtain $\frac{n}{2}\beta = n-1$. Since $\frac{n}{2}+1 = (n-2)\alpha = ((n-2)\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}+1)\beta)\alpha$, we get $(\frac{n}{2}+1)\beta \in \{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+2, \frac{n}{2}+4, \dots, n-4, n-2\}$ and so $(\frac{n}{2}+1)\beta = n-2$. Since $((\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta)\alpha = ((n-3)\alpha)\beta\alpha = (n-3)\alpha = \frac{n}{2}+2$, which implies that $(\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta \in \{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+3, \dots, n-3\}$. But $(\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta \in \{n-1, n-3\}$, whence $(\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta = n-3$. Next, we consider $\frac{n}{2}+3 = (\frac{n}{2}-2)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}-2)\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n}{2}+3)\beta)\alpha$. Thus $(\frac{n}{2}+3)\beta = \frac{n}{2}-2$. We see that $|(\frac{n}{2}+3) - (\frac{n}{2}+2)| = 1$ but $|(\frac{n}{2}+3)\beta - (\frac{n}{2}+2)\beta| = |(\frac{n}{2}-2) - (n-3)| = \frac{n}{2}-1 \neq 1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 3. Suppose that $n \in \{7, 11, 15, 19, \dots\}$. Then $|\{2, 3, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2}\}| = |\{n, n-1, n-2, \dots, \frac{n+5}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}\}|$ and $|\{\frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}, \dots, n-2, n-1\}| = \frac{n-3}{2}$ is even. Define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & \frac{n-3}{2} & \frac{n-1}{2} & \frac{n+1}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \frac{n+5}{2} & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 & n \\ n-1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & \frac{n+7}{2} & \frac{n+5}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \frac{n+5}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \cdots & \frac{n+5}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \frac{n+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha \in T_n(1)$. Since $T_n(1)$ is regular, there exists $\beta \in T_n(1)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Consider $\frac{n+1}{2} = n\alpha = (n\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n+1}{2})\beta)\alpha$. Then we obtain $(\frac{n+1}{2})\beta = n$ and it follows that $(\frac{n+3}{2})\beta = n-1$. Since $\frac{n+5}{2} = (\frac{n+3}{2})\alpha = ((\frac{n+3}{2})\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n+5}{2})\beta)\alpha$, we get $(\frac{n+5}{2})\beta \in \{\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+7}{2}, \dots, n-2\}$ and so $(\frac{n+5}{2})\beta = n-2$. Next, we consider $\frac{n+7}{2} = (\frac{n-3}{2})\alpha = ((\frac{n-3}{2})\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n+7}{2})\beta)\alpha$. Thus $(\frac{n+7}{2})\beta = \frac{n-3}{2}$. We see that $|\frac{n+7}{2} - \frac{n+5}{2}| = 1$ but $|(\frac{n+7}{2})\beta - (\frac{n+5}{2})\beta| = |\frac{n-3}{2} - (n-2)| = \frac{n-1}{2} \neq 1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 4. Suppose that $n \in \{9, 13, 17, 21, \dots\}$. Define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & \frac{n-5}{2} & \frac{n-3}{2} & \frac{n-1}{2} & \frac{n+1}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 & n \\ n & n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & \frac{n+7}{2} & \frac{n+5}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \frac{n+5}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \cdots & \frac{n+5}{2} & \frac{n+3}{2} & \frac{n+1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that $|\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\}| = |\{n, n-1, \dots, \frac{n+5}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}\}|$ and $|\{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \dots, n-2, n-1\}| = \frac{n-1}{2}$ is even. It is clear that $\alpha \in T_n(1)$. Since $T_n(1)$ is regular, there exists $\beta \in T_n(1)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Consider $\frac{n+1}{2} = n\alpha = (n\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n+1}{2})\beta)\alpha$. Then we obtain $(\frac{n+1}{2})\beta = n$ and it follows that $(\frac{n+3}{2})\beta = n-1$. Since $\frac{n+5}{2} = (n-2)\alpha = ((n-2)\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n+5}{2})\beta)\alpha$, we get $(\frac{n+5}{2})\beta \in \{\frac{n-3}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}, \dots, n-2\}$ and so $(\frac{n+5}{2})\beta = n-2$. Next, we consider $\frac{n+7}{2} = (\frac{n-5}{2})\alpha = ((\frac{n-5}{2})\alpha\beta)\alpha = ((\frac{n+7}{2})\beta)\alpha$. Thus $(\frac{n+7}{2})\beta = \frac{n-5}{2}$. Since $|\frac{n+7}{2} - \frac{n+5}{2}| = 1$, this implies that $|(\frac{n+7}{2})\beta - (\frac{n+5}{2})\beta| = |\frac{n-5}{2} - (n-2)| = \frac{n+1}{2} \neq 1$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $T_n(1)$ is not regular. \square

3 Regularity of $T_n(l)$ for $2 \leq l \leq n-1$

For the case $l = n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(n-1) &= \{\alpha \in T_n : 1\alpha = 1 \text{ and } n\alpha = n\} \cup \{\alpha \in T_n : 1\alpha = n \text{ and } n\alpha = 1\} \\ &= PG_{\{1, n\}}(X_n) \text{ as defined in [6].} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [6, Theorem 2.2] that $T_n(n-1)$ is a regular semigroup.

From now on, we let $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq l \leq n-2$. Note that $2 \leq n-l$ and $l+2 \leq n$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $n \geq 5$ is odd, then $T_n(l)$ is not regular.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 5$ be an odd integer. We consider two cases: $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ or $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$.

Case 1. Assume that $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Using Remark 1.1 (1), we can define

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-l & (n-l)+1 & \cdots & l-1 & l & l+1 & l+2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 2 & l+1 & l+1 & \cdots & l+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\alpha \in T_n(l)$. If $T_n(l)$ is regular, then there exists $\beta \in T_n(l)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. It follows that $2 = l\alpha = (l\alpha\beta)\alpha = (2\beta)\alpha$ and so $2\beta = l$. Since $|2 - (l+2)| = l$, this implies that $|l - (l+2)\beta| = |2\beta - (l+2)\beta| = l$. Hence $(l+2)\beta = 0$ or $(l+2)\beta = 2l > n$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, $T_n(l)$ is not regular.

Case 2. Assume that $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$. Then $l \leq \frac{n+1}{2} - 1 = \frac{n-1}{2}$, whence $2l+1 \leq n$. Using Remark 1.1 (2), we can define $\alpha \in T_n(l)$ by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & l+1 & l+2 & l+3 & \cdots & kl+1 & kl+2 & kl+3 & \cdots & n \\ l+1 & l+1 & 3 & \cdots & 2l+1 & 1 & l+3 & \cdots & u_k & v_k & kl+3 & \cdots & n\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $k \geq 2$,

$$u_k = (kl + 1)\alpha = \begin{cases} (k + 1)l + 1 & \text{if } (k + 1)l + 1 \leq n, \\ (k - 1)l + 1 & \text{if } (k + 1)l + 1 > n, \end{cases}$$

$$v_k = (kl + 2)\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ l + 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad n\alpha = \begin{cases} n - l & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ n & \text{if } 2 \leq r \leq l - 1 \end{cases}$$

when r is the remainder of a division of $n - 1$ by l . Note that if $n\alpha = 1$ (that is, $r = 1$), then $n = kl + 2$ for some a positive odd integer k . Suppose that there is an element $\beta \in T_n(l)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. Consider $1 = (l + 2)\alpha = ((l + 2)\alpha)\beta\alpha = (1\beta)\alpha$ and $2l + 1 = (l + 1)\alpha = ((l + 1)\alpha)\beta\alpha = ((2l + 1)\beta)\alpha$. By the definition of α , we obtain $1\beta = kl + 2$ for some $k \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ and $(2l + 1)\beta \in \{l + 1, 3l + 1\}$. Let $(l + 1)\beta = z \in X_n$. Assume that $(2l + 1)\beta = l + 1$. Since $|(l + 1) - 1| = l$ and $|(2l + 1) - (l + 1)| = l$, whence $|(l + 1)\beta - 1\beta| = l$ and $|(2l + 1)\beta - (l + 1)\beta| = l$. That is, $|z - (kl + 2)| = l$ and $|l + 1 - z| = l$ where $k \geq 1$ is odd. Thus there are four possible cases to consider:

- (i) $z - (kl + 2) = l$ and $l + 1 - z = l$,
- (ii) $z - (kl + 2) = l$ and $l + 1 - z = -l$,
- (iii) $z - (kl + 2) = -l$ and $l + 1 - z = l$,
- (iv) $z - (kl + 2) = -l$ and $l + 1 - z = -l$.

For the cases (i) and (iv), we get that $2z = (k + 1)l + 3$, this is a contradiction since $2z$ is even while $(k + 1)l + 3$ is odd. For the cases (ii) and (iii), we obtain $(k - 1)l = -1$, this is a contradiction since $(k - 1)l$ is even. And if $(2l + 1)\beta = 3l + 1$, then a contradiction is obtained using an analogous argument. Therefore, α is not a regular element of $T_n(l)$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $n \geq 6$ is even such that $l \neq \frac{n}{2}$, then $T_n(l)$ is not regular.*

Proof. Assume that $n \geq 6$ is even such that $l \neq \frac{n}{2}$. If $l > \frac{n}{2}$, we let α be as defined in **Case 1** of Lemma 3.1. By using the same proof, we obtain α is not a regular element in $T_n(l)$. Next, suppose that $l < \frac{n}{2}$. Then $2l + 1 \leq n$. Let u_k and v_k be as defined in **Case 2** of Lemma 3.1. Define $\alpha \in T_n(l)$ by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & l+1 & l+2 & l+3 & \cdots & kl+1 & kl+2 & kl+3 & \cdots & n \\ l+1 & l+1 & 3 & \cdots & 2l+1 & 1 & l+3 & \cdots & u_k & v_k & kl+3 & \cdots & n\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$n\alpha = \begin{cases} n - l & \text{if } r = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd and } r = 1, \\ l + 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } r = 1, \\ n & \text{if } 2 \leq r \leq l - 1 \end{cases}$$

such that q is the quotient and r is the remainder of a division of $n - 1$ by l . Note that if $n\alpha = 1$ (that is, q is odd and $r = 1$), then $n = ql + 2$ where q is odd. By the same proof as given for **Case 2** of Lemma 3.1, we obtain α is not a regular element in $T_n(l)$. \square

Remark 3.1. If n is even and $l = \frac{n}{2}$, then $n = 2l$ and $n - l = l$. We note that $x + l \notin X_n$ for all $x \in \{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, n\}$. Of importance is the fact that for each $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, there exists unique $y = x + l \in X_n$ such that $|x - y| = l$. And, for each $x \in \{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, n\}$, there exists unique $y = x - l \in X_n$ such that $|x - y| = l$. Moreover, for any $\alpha \in T_n(\frac{n}{2})$ we can write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & 2 & l+2 & \cdots & l & 2l \\ 1\alpha & (l+1)\alpha & 2\alpha & (l+2)\alpha & \cdots & l\alpha & (2l)\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where for each $1 \leq x \leq l$,

$$(x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha) \in \{(i, l+i): 1 \leq i \leq l\} \cup \{(l+i, i): 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq l \leq n - 2$. Then $T_n(l)$ is regular if and only if n is even and $l = \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 that $T_n(l)$ is not regular when n is odd or $l \neq \frac{n}{2}$. Conversely, assume that n is even and $l = \frac{n}{2}$. We prove $T_n(l)$ is regular. Let α be any element in $T_n(l)$ and $u \in X_n\alpha$. By Remark 3.1, there exists unique $v \in X_n\alpha$ such that $|u - v| = l$. In fact, $(u, v) \in \{(i, l+i), (l+i, i): 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. We choose and fix $d_u \in u\alpha^{-1}$ and $d_v \in v\alpha^{-1}$ such that $|d_u - d_v| = l$. Define

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} u & v & z \\ d_u & d_v & z \end{pmatrix}$$

where $z \in X_n \setminus X_n\alpha$. Then β is well-defined and belongs to $T_n(l)$. Let $x \in X_n$. Thus $x\alpha \in X_n\alpha$ and $(d_{x\alpha})\alpha = x\alpha$. Accordingly, $((x\alpha)\beta)\alpha = (d_{x\alpha})\alpha = x\alpha$, that is, $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Therefore α is regular. \square

4 Regularity of $T_n^*(l)$

In this section, we prove that $T_n^*(l)$ is a regular semigroup.

For $n = 2$, we have $l = 1$. It is easy to see that $T_2^*(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is regular. Now, we suppose that $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that ($n \geq 3$ is an odd integer and $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$) or ($n \geq 4$ is an even integer and $l > \frac{n}{2}$). Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$. Then*

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & 2 & l+2 & \cdots & n-l & n & (n-l)+1 & \cdots & l \\ 1\alpha & (l+1)\alpha & 2\alpha & (l+2)\alpha & \cdots & (n-l)\alpha & n\alpha & ((n-l)+1)\alpha & \cdots & l\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\{(n-l)+1, (n-l)+2, \dots, l\}\alpha \subseteq \{(n-l)+1, (n-l)+2, \dots, l\}$, and for each $1 \leq x \leq n-l$,

$$(x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha) \in \{(i, l+i): 1 \leq i \leq n-l\} \cup \{(l+i, i): 1 \leq i \leq n-l\}$$

such that $\{x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha\} \cap \{y\alpha, (l+y)\alpha\} = \emptyset$ for all $x \neq y \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-l\}$.

Proof. By Remark 1.1 (1) and α preserves the length l , we can write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & 2 & l+2 & \cdots & n-l & n & (n-l)+1 & \cdots & l \\ 1\alpha & (l+1)\alpha & 2\alpha & (l+2)\alpha & \cdots & (n-l)\alpha & n\alpha & ((n-l)+1)\alpha & \cdots & l\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where for each $1 \leq x \leq n-l$,

$$(x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha) \in \{(i, l+i): 1 \leq i \leq n-l\} \cup \{(l+i, i): 1 \leq i \leq n-l\}.$$

Suppose that there exist $x, y \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-l\}$ such that $x \neq y$ but $\{x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha\} \cap \{y\alpha, (l+y)\alpha\} \neq \emptyset$. There are three possible cases to consider.

Case 1. $x\alpha = y\alpha$. Since $|(l+x)-x| = l$, it follows that $l = |(l+x)\alpha - x\alpha| = |(l+x)\alpha - y\alpha|$. Hence $|(l+x) - y| = l$. Then by Remark 1.1 (1), we get $x = y$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $x\alpha = (l+y)\alpha$. Since $|(l+y) - y| = l$, this implies that

$$l = |(l+y)\alpha - y\alpha| = |x\alpha - y\alpha|.$$

Thus $|x - y| = l$, which is impossible because $|x - y| \leq (n-l) - 1 < l - 1 < l$.

Case 3. $(l+x)\alpha = (l+y)\alpha$. Since $|(l+y) - y| = l$, $l = |(l+y)\alpha - y\alpha| = |(l+x)\alpha - y\alpha|$. Hence $|(l+x) - y| = l$. Then by Remark 1.1 (1), we get $x = y$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\{x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha\} \cap \{y\alpha, (l+y)\alpha\} = \emptyset$ for all $x \neq y \in \{1, \dots, n-l\}$ and so $\{1, \dots, n-l, l+1, l+2, \dots, n\}\alpha = \{1, 2, \dots, n-l, l+1, l+2, \dots, n\}$. If there is an element $x \in \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $x\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-l, l+1, l+2, \dots, n\}$, then there exists $y \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-l, l+1, l+2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x\alpha - y\alpha| = l$. Thus $|x - y| = l$, contrary to Remark 1.1 (1). Therefore $\{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}\alpha \subseteq \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *If ($n \geq 3$ is an odd integer and $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$) or ($n \geq 4$ is an even integer and $l > \frac{n}{2}$), then $T_n^*(l)$ is a regular semigroup.*

Proof. Assume that ($n \geq 3$ is an odd integer and $l \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$) or ($n \geq 4$ is an even integer and $l > \frac{n}{2}$). Let α be any element in $T_n^*(l)$. Then by Lemma 4.1, we can write

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & l+1 & 2 & l+2 & \cdots & n-l & n & (n-l)+1 & \cdots & l \\ 1\alpha & (l+1)\alpha & 2\alpha & (l+2)\alpha & \cdots & (n-l)\alpha & n\alpha & ((n-l)+1)\alpha & \cdots & l\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}\alpha \subseteq \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}$, and for each $1 \leq x \leq n-l$,

$$(x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha) \in \{(i, l+i): 1 \leq i \leq n-l\} \cup \{(l+i, i): 1 \leq i \leq n-l\}$$

such that $\{x\alpha, (l+x)\alpha\} \cap \{y\alpha, (l+y)\alpha\} = \emptyset$ for all $x \neq y \in \{1, \dots, n-l\}$. For $z\alpha \in \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}\alpha$, let $d_{z\alpha} \in (z\alpha)\alpha^{-1} \subseteq \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}$. Define

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1\alpha & (l+1)\alpha & 2\alpha & (l+2)\alpha & \cdots & (n-l)\alpha & n\alpha & z\alpha & w \\ 1 & l+1 & 2 & l+2 & \cdots & n-l & n & d_{z\alpha} & w \end{pmatrix}$$

where $w \in \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\} \setminus X_n\alpha$. It is easy to verify that $\beta \in T_n^*(l)$. Let $a \in X_n$. If $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-l, l+1, l+2, \dots, n\}$, then $a(\alpha\beta\alpha) = ((a\alpha)\beta)\alpha = a\alpha$. If $a \in \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}$, then $a\alpha \in \{(n-l) + 1, (n-l) + 2, \dots, l\}\alpha$ and so $a(\alpha\beta\alpha) = ((a\alpha)\beta)\alpha = (d_{a\alpha})\alpha = a\alpha$. Thus $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. Therefore α is regular. \square

From now on, we assume that ($n \geq 3$ is an odd integer and $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$) or ($n \geq 4$ is an even integer and $l \leq \frac{n}{2}$). To prove $T_n^*(l)$ is regular, we need the following seven lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$. If $x, y \in X_n$ such that $x < y$ and $x\alpha = y\alpha$, then $y = x + 2l$.*

Proof. Assume $x, y \in X_n$ such that $x < y$ and $x\alpha = y\alpha$. By Remark 1.1 (2), there exists $x+l \in X_n$ or $x-l \in X_n$. Since $|x - (x \pm l)| = l$, we obtain $|x\alpha - (x \pm l)\alpha| = l$. It follows that $(x \pm l)\alpha = x\alpha \pm l$. Using our assumption, we have

$$|(x\alpha \pm l) - x\alpha| = l \Rightarrow |(x \pm l)\alpha - y\alpha| = l \Rightarrow |(x \pm l) - y| = l \Rightarrow y = x \text{ or } y = x \pm 2l.$$

If $y = x$ or $y = x - 2l$, then $y \leq x$, a contradiction. Thus $y = x + 2l$. \square

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, let $A_i = \{i, i + l, i + 2l, \dots, i + m_i l\}$ when m_i is the maximum positive integer such that $i + m_i l \leq n$. By Remark 1.1 (2), we can write

$$X_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^l A_i$$

where $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Moreover, if $x \in A_i$ and $y \in A_j$ for some $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, then $|x - y| \neq l$. Note that $m_l \leq m_{l-1} \leq \dots \leq m_2 \leq m_1$ and $|A_i| = m_i + 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. If $x \in A_i$ and $x\alpha \in A_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, then $A_i\alpha \subseteq A_j$.*

Proof. Let $x \in A_i$ be such that $x\alpha \in A_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Suppose that $A_i\alpha \not\subseteq A_j$. Then there exists $y \in A_i$ such that $y\alpha \notin A_j$. If $y > x$, we let u is the first element of A_i such that $u > x$ and $u\alpha \notin A_j$. It follows that $u \leq y, u - l \in A_i$ and $(u - l)\alpha \in A_j$. Since $|(u - l)\alpha - u\alpha| \neq l$, which implies that $|(u - l) - u| \neq l$, a contradiction. If $y < x$, we let v is the last element of A_i such that $v < x$ and $v\alpha \notin A_j$. It follows that $y \leq v, v + l \in A_i$ and $(v + l)\alpha \in A_j$. Since $|v\alpha - (v + l)\alpha| \neq l$, this implies that $|v - (v + l)| \neq l$, a contradiction. Therefore $A_i\alpha \subseteq A_j$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $x \in A_i$ and $y \in A_j$. If $i \neq j$, then $x\alpha \neq y\alpha$.*

Proof. Assume that $i \neq j$. Thus $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$. This implies that $y \notin A_i$ and $x \neq y$. We assume that $x < y$ and $x\alpha = y\alpha$. Let $x = i + kl$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_i\}$. By Lemma 4.3, we get

$$i + (k + 2)l = (i + kl) + 2l = x + 2l = y \leq n.$$

Hence $y = i + (k + 2)l \in A_i$, a contradiction. Therefore $x\alpha \neq y\alpha$. \square

The next remark follows directly from Lemma 4.5.

Remark 4.1. Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $x \in X_n$. If $x \in A_i\alpha$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, then $x \notin A_j\alpha$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}, j \neq i$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ and $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$. If $m_i \geq 3$, then either $i\alpha < (i + l)\alpha < (i + 2l)\alpha < \dots < (i + m_i l)\alpha$ or $i\alpha > (i + l)\alpha > (i + 2l)\alpha > \dots > (i + m_i l)\alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose that $m_i \geq 3$. That is, $i, i + l, i + 2l, i + 3l \in X_n$. We see that $(i\alpha, (i + l)\alpha, (i + 2l)\alpha, (i + 3l)\alpha) \in \{(i\alpha, i\alpha + l, i\alpha + 2l, i\alpha + 3l), (i\alpha, i\alpha + l, i\alpha + 2l, i\alpha + l), (i\alpha, i\alpha + l, i\alpha, i\alpha + l), (i\alpha, i\alpha + l, i\alpha, i\alpha - l), (i\alpha, i\alpha - l, i\alpha, i\alpha + l), (i\alpha, i\alpha - l, i\alpha, i\alpha - l), (i\alpha, i\alpha - l, i\alpha - 2l, i\alpha - l), (i\alpha, i\alpha - l, i\alpha - 2l, i\alpha - 3l)\}$. If $(i + 3l)\alpha \notin \{i\alpha + 3l, i\alpha - 3l\}$, then $(i + 3l)\alpha \in \{i\alpha + l, i\alpha - l\}$ and so $|i\alpha - (i + 3l)\alpha| = |i\alpha - (i\alpha \pm l)| = l$ but $|i - (i + 3l)| = 3l \neq l$, a contradiction. Hence $(i + 3l)\alpha \in \{i\alpha + 3l, i\alpha - 3l\}$. It follows that $(i\alpha, (i + l)\alpha, (i + 2l)\alpha, (i + 3l)\alpha) \in \{(i\alpha, i\alpha + l, i\alpha + 2l, i\alpha + 3l), (i\alpha, i\alpha - l, i\alpha - 2l, i\alpha - 3l)\}$. For the case $(i\alpha, (i + l)\alpha, (i + 2l)\alpha, (i + 3l)\alpha) = (i\alpha, i\alpha + l, i\alpha + 2l, i\alpha + 3l)$. It is clear that either $(i + 4l)\alpha = i\alpha + 2l$ or $(i + 4l)\alpha = i\alpha + 4l$. If $(i + 4l)\alpha = i\alpha + 2l$, then $|(i + l)\alpha - (i + 4l)\alpha| = |(i\alpha + l) - (i\alpha + 2l)| = l$ but $|(i + l) - (i + 4l)| = 3l \neq l$, a contradiction. Thus $(i + 4l)\alpha = i\alpha + 4l$. We finally obtain that $(i + kl)\alpha = i\alpha + kl$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m_i$. Therefore

$$i\alpha < (i+l)\alpha < (i+2l)\alpha < \cdots < (i+m_i l)\alpha.$$

For the case $(i\alpha, (i+l)\alpha, (i+2l)\alpha, (i+3l)\alpha) = (i\alpha, i\alpha-l, i\alpha-2l, i\alpha-3l)$. It is obvious that either $(i+4l)\alpha = i\alpha-2l$ or $(i+4l)\alpha = i\alpha-4l$. If $(i+4l)\alpha = i\alpha-2l$, then $|(i+l)\alpha - (i+4l)\alpha| = |(i\alpha-l) - (i\alpha-2l)| = l$ while $|(i+l) - (i+4l)| = 3l \neq l$, a contradiction. Thus $(i+4l)\alpha = i\alpha-4l$. Similarly, we obtain $(i+kl)\alpha = i\alpha-kl$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m_i$. Therefore

$$i\alpha > (i+l)\alpha > (i+2l)\alpha > \cdots > (i+m_i l)\alpha.$$

□

Lemma 4.6 yields the following remark directly.

Remark 4.2. $|A_i\alpha| = |A_i|$ for all $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and all $m_i \geq 3$.

Lemma 4.7. Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j \geq 3$. If $m_i < m_j$, then $x \notin A_j\alpha$ for all $x \in A_i$.

Proof. Let $m_i < m_j$. Assume that $x \in A_j\alpha$ for some $x \in A_i$. Then by Lemma 4.4, we get $A_j\alpha \subseteq A_i$. It follows that $|A_j\alpha| \leq |A_i| = m_i + 1 < m_j + 1 = |A_j|$. That is, $|A_j\alpha| < |A_j|$, which contradicts Remark 4.2. Hence $x \notin A_j\alpha$ for all $x \in A_i$. □

Lemma 4.8. Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_i \geq 3$. Let $Z_{m_i} = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\} : m_j = m_i\}$. Then $A_j\alpha = A_{j\sigma}$ for all $j \in Z_{m_i}$ and for some $\sigma \in G(Z_{m_i})$, the permutation group on Z_{m_i} .

Proof. Let d be an integer such that $d \geq 0$ and $m_1 - d \geq 3$. Consider the proposition

$$P(d): A_j\alpha = A_{j\sigma} \text{ for all } j \in Z_{m_1-d} \text{ and for some } \sigma \in G(Z_{m_1-d}).$$

We shall prove this by a strong induction on d .

First, we prove that $P(0)$ holds. That is, $A_j\alpha = A_{j\sigma}$ for all $j \in Z_{m_1}$ and for some $\sigma \in G(Z_{m_1})$. Note that $m_1 \geq m_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq l$. Suppose that $Z_{m_1} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r\}$ such that $j_1 \neq j_2 \neq \cdots \neq j_r$. It is obvious that $1 \in Z_{m_1}$. For convenience, we assume that $j_1 = 1$. That is, $j_2, j_3, \dots, j_r \in \{2, 3, \dots, l\}$ where $m_{j_2} = m_{j_3} = \cdots = m_{j_r} = m_1 \geq 3$. It follows from Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7 that $A_{j_1}\alpha = A_1\alpha = A_{k_1}$ for some $k_1 \in Z_{m_1}$. Similarly, we have $A_{j_2}\alpha = A_{k_2}$ for some $k_2 \in Z_{m_1}$. If $k_2 = k_1$, we obtain $A_1\alpha = A_{j_2}\alpha$, that is, there exist $x \in A_1, y \in A_{j_2}$ such that $x\alpha = y\alpha$. Then by Lemma 4.5, we get $j_2 = 1 = j_1$, a contradiction. Hence $k_2 \neq k_1$. We also can show that $A_{j_3}\alpha = A_{k_3}$ for some $k_3 \in Z_{m_1} \setminus \{k_1, k_2\}$. At the end of this process we obtain $A_{j_r}\alpha = A_{k_r}$ for some $k_r \in Z_{m_1} \setminus \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{r-1}\}$. Since $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\} \subseteq Z_{m_1}$ and $|Z_{m_1}| = |\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}| = r$, which implies that $Z_{m_1} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$. We define $j_t\sigma = k_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r$. It is clear that $\sigma: Z_{m_1} \rightarrow Z_{m_1}$ is a bijection. Thus $\sigma \in G(Z_{m_1})$ and $A_{j_t}\alpha = A_{j_t\sigma}$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r$. Therefore $P(0)$ holds.

Now, assume that $P(d)$ holds for all $0 \leq d \leq k-1$ where $m_1 - (k-1) \geq 3$. Then $m_1 - d \geq m_1 - (k-1) \geq 3$ for all $0 \leq d \leq k-1$. We prove $P(k)$ holds where $m_1 - k \geq 3$. Suppose that $Z_{m_1-k} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s\}$ such that $q_1 \neq q_2 \neq \cdots \neq q_s$. Since $k > 0$, which implies that $1 \notin Z_{m_1-k}$ and so $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s \in \{2, 3, \dots, l\}$ where $m_{q_1} = m_{q_2} = \cdots = m_{q_s} = m_1 - k \geq 3$. We show that if $x \in A_p$ where $m_1 - k < m_p$, then $x \notin A_{q_t}\alpha$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. Let $x \in A_p$ such that $m_1 - k < m_p$. Then $m_p = m_1 - d$ for some $d \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, that is, $p \in Z_{m_1-d}$. If $x \in A_{q_t}\alpha$ for some $q_t \in Z_{m_1-k}$, then $x = y\alpha$ for some $y \in A_{q_t}$. By our induction hypothesis, we have $A_j\alpha = A_{j\sigma}$ for all $j \in Z_{m_1-d}$ where $\sigma \in G(Z_{m_1-d})$. Since $p \in Z_{m_1-d}$, there exists

unique $u \in Z_{m_1-d}$ such that $u\sigma = p$. Thus we get $A_u\alpha = A_{u\sigma} = A_p$ and so $x = z\alpha$ for some $z \in A_u$. Since $q_t \neq u$, we obtain $y\alpha \neq z\alpha$ by Lemma 4.5, this is a contradiction. Therefore $x \notin A_{q_t}\alpha$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. It follows from Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7 that for each $q_t \in Z_{m_1-k}$, $A_{q_t}\alpha = A_{q'_t}$ for some $q'_t \in Z_{m_1-k}$. If $q'_t = q'_j$ where $q_t, q_j \in Z_{m_1-k}$, we obtain $A_{q_t}\alpha = A_{q'_t} = A_{q'_j} = A_{q_j}\alpha$. Thus there exist $v \in A_{q_t}, w \in A_{q_j}$ such that $v\alpha = w\alpha$, we get $q_t = q_j$ by Lemma 4.5. This implies that $Z_{m_1-k} = \{q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_s\}$. We define $\delta: Z_{m_1-k} \rightarrow Z_{m_1-k}$ by $q_t\delta = q'_t$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. It is clear that $\delta \in G(Z_{m_1-k})$ and $A_{q_t}\alpha = A_{q'_t} = A_{q_t\delta}$ for all $1 \leq t \leq s$. So $P(k)$ holds. \square

Remark 4.3. Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j \geq 3$. If $m_i < m_j$, then $x \notin A_i\alpha$ for all $x \in A_j$. Indeed, if there is $x \in A_i\alpha$ for some $x \in A_j$ where $m_i < m_j$, then $x = y\alpha$ for some $y \in A_i$. Since $m_j \geq 3$, $A_j = A_k\alpha$ for some $m_k = m_j \geq 3$ by Lemma 4.8. Thus $x = z\alpha$ for some $z \in A_k$. Now, we have $y\alpha = z\alpha$ where $y \in A_i$ and $z \in A_k$ such that $m_i < m_k$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that $i = k$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 4.9. Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$ and $X_n\alpha \neq X_n$. If $u \in X_n \setminus X_n\alpha$, then ($u = j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j = 2$ and $j + 2l \in X_n\alpha$) or ($u = j + 2l$ where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j = 2$ and $j \in X_n\alpha$). In particular, $j + l \in X_n\alpha$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j = 2$.

Proof. Assume that $u \in X_n \setminus X_n\alpha$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ with $m_i \geq 3$, by Lemma 4.8 we have $A_i = A_j\alpha \subseteq X_n\alpha$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j = m_i$. Hence $u \notin A_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_i \geq 3$. That is, $u \in A_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_{i_1} = m_{i_2} = \dots = m_{i_r} = 1$ and $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_{j_1} = m_{j_2} = \dots = m_{j_s} = 2$. Note that $i_t + ql \notin X_n$ for all $1 \leq t \leq r$ and all $q \geq 2$. There are two possible cases to consider.

Case 1. $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} = \emptyset$ or $\{\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } (i_t\alpha, (i_t + l)\alpha) \in \{(i_k, i_k + l), (i_k + l, i_k): k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}\} \text{ for all } 1 \leq t \leq r\}$. Since $X_n\alpha \neq X_n$, by Lemma 4.4 and Remark 4.1 there exists $j_p \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$ such that $(j_p\alpha, (j_p + l)\alpha, (j_p + 2l)\alpha) \in \{(j_q, j_q + l, j_q), (j_q + l, j_q, j_q + l), (j_q + l, j_q + 2l, j_q + l), (j_q + 2l, j_q + l, j_q + 2l): q \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}\}$.

If $(j_p\alpha, (j_p + l)\alpha, (j_p + 2l)\alpha) \in \{(j_q, j_q + l, j_q), (j_q + l, j_q, j_q + l): q \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}\}$, it is easy to see that $j_q + 2l \notin X_n\alpha$ where $j_q + l \in X_n\alpha$ and $m_{j_q} = 2$. In this case, we have $u = j_q + 2l$ such that $m_{j_q} = 2$ and $j_q \in X_n\alpha$.

If $(j_p\alpha, (j_p + l)\alpha, (j_p + 2l)\alpha) \in \{(j_q + l, j_q + 2l, j_q + l), (j_q + 2l, j_q + l, j_q + 2l): q \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}\}$, then we get $j_q \notin X_n\alpha$ and $j_q + l \in X_n\alpha$ such that $m_{j_q} = 2$. In this case, we have $u = j_q$ such that $m_{j_q} = 2$ and $j_q + 2l \in X_n\alpha$.

Case 2. $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \neq \emptyset$ and $(i_t\alpha, (i_t + l)\alpha) \in \{(j_k, j_k + l), (j_k + l, j_k), (j_k + l, j_k + 2l), (j_k + 2l, j_k + l): k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}\}$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Clearly, $j_k + l \in X_n\alpha$ when $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Now, we partition into four subcases as follows:

Subcase 2.1 $(i_t\alpha, (i_t + l)\alpha) = (j_k, j_k + l)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Suppose that $j_k + 2l \in X_n\alpha$. That is, there exists $x \in X_n$ such that $x\alpha = j_k + 2l$. Since $|(i_t + l)\alpha - x\alpha| = |(j_k + l) - (j_k + 2l)| = l$, we obtain $|(i_t + l) - x| = l$. It follows that $x = i_t$ or $x = i_t + 2l$. If $x = i_t$, then $j_k + 2l = x\alpha = i_t\alpha = j_k$. Hence $2l = 0$, this is impossible. If $x = i_t + 2l$, then $x \notin X_n$, a contradiction. Therefore $j_k + 2l \notin X_n\alpha$.

Subcase 2.2 $(i_t\alpha, (i_t + l)\alpha) = (j_k + l, j_k)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Suppose that $j_k + 2l \in X_n\alpha$. That is, there exists $x \in X_n$ such that $x\alpha = j_k + 2l$. Since $|i_t\alpha - x\alpha| = |(j_k + l) - (j_k + 2l)| = l$, we obtain $|i_t - x| = l$. Thus $x = i_t \pm l$. If $x = i_t + l$, then $j_k + 2l = x\alpha = (i_t + l)\alpha = j_k$. Hence $2l = 0$, this is impossible. If $x = i_t - l$, then $x \notin X_n$ (since $1 \leq i_t \leq l$), a contradiction. Therefore $j_k + 2l \notin X_n\alpha$.

Subcase 2.3 $(i_t\alpha, (i_t + l)\alpha) = (j_k + l, j_k + 2l)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Suppose that $j_k \in X_n\alpha$. That is, there exists $x \in X_n$ such that $x\alpha = j_k$. Since $|i_t\alpha - x\alpha| = |(j_k + l) - j_k| = l$, we obtain $|i_t - x| = l$. Thus $x = i_t \pm l$. Since $i_t - l \notin X_n$, we have $x = i_t + l$. It follows that $j_k = x\alpha = (i_t + l)\alpha = j_k + 2l$. Hence $2l = 0$, this is impossible. Therefore $j_k \notin X_n\alpha$.

Subcase 2.4 $(i_t\alpha, (i_t + l)\alpha) = (j_k + 2l, j_k + l)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Suppose that $j_k \in X_n\alpha$. That is, there exists $x \in X_n$ such that $x\alpha = j_k$. Since $|(i_t + l)\alpha - x\alpha| = |(j_k + l) - j_k| = l$, we obtain $|(i_t + l) - x| = l$. This implies that that $x = i_t$ or $x = i_t + 2l$. Since $i_t + 2l \notin X_n$, we have $x = i_t$. It follows that $j_k = x\alpha = i_t\alpha = j_k + 2l$ and so $2l = 0$, this is impossible. Hence $j_k \notin X_n\alpha$.

From Subcases 2.1 and 2.2, we have $u = j_k + 2l$ such that $m_{j_k} = 2$ and $j_k \in X_n\alpha$. And from Subcases 2.3 and 2.4, we have $u = j_k$ such that $m_{j_k} = 2$ and $j_k + 2l \in X_n\alpha$. \square

Theorem 4.10. *If $(n \geq 3$ is an odd integer and $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$) or $(n \geq 4$ is an even integer and $l \leq \frac{n}{2})$, then $T_n^*(l)$ is a regular semigroup.*

Proof. Suppose $(n \geq 3$ is odd integer and $l < \frac{n+1}{2}$) or $(n \geq 4$ is an even integer and $l \leq \frac{n}{2})$. Let $\alpha \in T_n^*(l)$.

Case 1. $X_n\alpha = X_n$. Then α is bijective. Since T_n is a regular semigroup and $\alpha \in T_n^*(l) \subseteq T_n$, there exists $\beta \in T_n$ such that $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$. We prove that $\beta \in T_n^*(l)$. Let $x, y \in X_n$. Then there are $u, v \in X_n$ such that $u\alpha = x$ and $v\alpha = y$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| = l &\Leftrightarrow |u\alpha - v\alpha| = l \\ &\Leftrightarrow |u(\alpha\beta\alpha) - v(\alpha\beta\alpha)| = l \\ &\Leftrightarrow |(u\alpha\beta)\alpha - (v\alpha\beta)\alpha| = l \\ &\Leftrightarrow |(u\alpha)\beta - (v\alpha)\beta| = l \\ &\Leftrightarrow |x\beta - y\beta| = l. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\beta \in T_n^*(l)$.

Case 2. $X_n\alpha \neq X_n$. For $x \in X_n\alpha$, we choose and fix $d_x \in x\alpha^{-1}$. Thus $d_x\alpha = x$ for all $x \in X_n\alpha$. For $x \notin X_n\alpha$, by Lemma 4.9, we have $(x = j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j = 2$ and $j + l, j + 2l \in X_n\alpha)$ or $(x = k + 2l$ where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_k = 2$ and $k, k + l \in X_n\alpha)$. Define $\beta \in T_n$ by

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} v & \cdots & j & j+l & j+2l & \cdots & k & k+l & k+2l \\ d_v & \cdots & d_{j+2l} & d_{j+l} & d_{j+2l} & \cdots & d_k & d_{k+l} & d_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where $v \in X_n\alpha \setminus [\{j, j + l, j + 2l: j \notin X_n\alpha \text{ and } m_j = 2\} \cup \{k, k + l, k + 2l: k + 2l \notin X_n\alpha \text{ and } m_k = 2\}]$. Let $z \in X_n$. Then $z\alpha \in X_n\alpha$ and $z(\alpha\beta\alpha) = ((z\alpha)\beta)\alpha = (d_{z\alpha})\alpha = z\alpha$. Hence $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha$. We prove that $\beta \in T_n^*(l)$. Let $x, y \in X_n$. If $x, y \in X_n\alpha$, by the same proof as given for **Case 1** then is

$$|x - y| = l \Leftrightarrow |x\beta - y\beta| = l.$$

Assume that $x \notin X_n\alpha$ (or $y \notin X_n\alpha$). Let $x = j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_j = 2$ and $j + l, j + 2l \in X_n\alpha$. From the proof of Cases 1, 2 we have $(d_{j+l}, d_{j+2l}) \in \{(a, a + l), (a + l, a), (a + l, a + 2l), (a + 2l, a + l)\} \cup \{(b, b + l), (b + l, b)\}$ for some $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_a = 2$ and $m_b = 1$. Thus $|d_{j+2l} - d_{j+l}| = l$ where $d_{j+l}, d_{j+2l} \in A_a$ or

$d_{j+l}, d_{j+2l} \in A_b$ such that $m_a = 2$ and $m_b = 1$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x - y| = l &\Rightarrow |j - y| = l \\ &\Rightarrow y = j + l \\ &\Rightarrow |x\beta - y\beta| = |j\beta - (j + l)\beta| = |d_{j+2l} - d_{j+l}| = l. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} |x\beta - y\beta| = l &\Rightarrow |j\beta - y\beta| = l \\ &\Rightarrow |d_{j+2l} - y\beta| = l \\ &\Rightarrow y\beta = d_{j+l} \\ &\Rightarrow y = j + l \\ &\Rightarrow |x - y| = l. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = k + 2l$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_k = 2$ and $k, k + l \in X_n\alpha$. From the proof of Cases 1, 2 we have $(d_k, d_{k+l}) \in \{(a, a + l), (a + l, a), (a + l, a + 2l), (a + 2l, a + l)\} \cup \{(b, b + l), (b + l, b)\}$ for some $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $m_a = 2$ and $m_b = 1$. Hence $|d_k - d_{k+l}| = l$ where $d_k, d_{k+l} \in A_a$ or $d_k, d_{k+l} \in A_b$ such that $m_a = 2$ and $m_b = 1$. Then we have

$$|x - y| = l \Rightarrow |(k + 2l) - y| = l \Rightarrow y = k + l \Rightarrow |x\beta - y\beta| = |d_k - d_{k+l}| = l.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |x\beta - y\beta| = l &\Rightarrow |(k + 2l)\beta - y\beta| = l \\ &\Rightarrow |d_k - y\beta| = l \\ &\Rightarrow y\beta = d_{k+l} \\ &\Rightarrow y = k + l \\ &\Rightarrow |x - y| = l. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\beta \in T_n^*(l)$. □

We end this section by showing that $T_n^*(l)$ is not the largest regular subsemigroup of $T_n(l)$.

(a) Let $n = 5$ and $l = 3$. That is, $l \geq \frac{5+1}{2}$. We define $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$. It is obvious that $\alpha \in T_5(3)$ and $\alpha = \alpha\alpha\alpha$. Hence α is a regular element in $T_5(3)$ but $\alpha \notin T_5^*(3)$.

(b) Let $n = 6$ and $l = 2$. Then $l \leq \frac{6}{2}$. We define $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$. It is clear that $\alpha \in T_6(2)$ and $\alpha = \alpha\alpha\alpha$. Thus α is a regular element in $T_6(2)$ but $\alpha \notin T_6^*(2)$.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referees for their comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

Declarations

The author declares that there is no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] R. Chinram and W. Yonthanthum, Regularity of the semigroups of transformations with a fixed point set, *Thai J. Math.* **18**(3) (2020) 1261–1268.
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups (Vol I)*, Providence, RI, USA: American Mathematical Society (1961).
- [3] C. G. Doss, *Certain equivalence relations in transformation semigroups*, MA, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA (1955).
- [4] The GAP Group, *GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming*, Version 4.13.0, <http://www.gap-system.org> (March 2024).
- [5] S. Jitman, R. Srithus and C. Worawannotai, Regularity of semigroups of transformations with restricted range preserving an alternating orientation order, *Turk. J. Math.* **42**(4) (2018) 1913–1926.
- [6] E. Laysirikul, Semigroups of full transformations with the restriction on the fixed set is bijective, *Thai J. Math.* **14**(2) (2016) 497–503.
- [7] S. Mendes-Gonçalves and R. P. Sullivan, Regular elements and Green’s relations in generalized transformation semigroups, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **6**(1) 1350006.
- [8] H. Palasak, T. Chaichana and S. Chaopraknoi, Regularity of some invariant transformation semigroups, *Asian Eur. J. Math.* **9**(1) (2016) 2350162.
- [9] C. Pookpienlert and J. Sanwong, Regularity in semigroups of transformations with fixed sets, *Proc. 20th Annual Meeting in Mathematics*, Thailand (2015) 10–18.
- [10] K. Sangkhanan and J. Sanwong, Regularity and Green’s relations on semigroups of transformations with restricted range that preserve an equivalence, *Semigroup Forum* **100**(2) (2020) 568–584
- [11] R. Srithus, R. Chinram and C. Khongthat, Regularity in the semigroup of transformations preserving a zig-zag order, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **43**(2) (2020) 1761–1773
- [12] P. Zhao and M. Yang, Regularity and Green’s relations on semigroups of transformation preserving order and compression, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **49**(5) (2012) 1015–1025