

Toward regular black holes in sixth-derivative gravity

Breno L. Giacchini* and Ivan Kolář†

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Charles University, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic*

(Dated: June 4, 2024)

We study spherically symmetric static solutions of the most general sixth-derivative gravity using series expansions. Specifically, we prove that the only solutions of the complete theory (i.e., with generic coupling constants) that possess a Frobenius expansion around the origin, $r = 0$, are necessarily regular. When restricted to specific branches of theories (i.e., imposing particular constraints on the coupling constants), families of potentially singular solutions emerge. By expanding around $r = r_0 \neq 0$, we identify solutions with black-hole horizons. Finally, we argue that, unlike in fourth-derivative gravity, the conditions $R = 0$ and $g_{tt}g_{rr} = -1$ are too restrictive for sixth-derivative gravity solutions.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade there has been a renewed interest in the important yet ambiguous role of higher derivatives in gravity. The perturbative quantization of Einstein gravity and even the quantization of matter fields on a curved background require the introduction of higher-derivative terms in the gravitational sector to renormalize loop divergences [1]. Such terms also appear in the low-energy regime of string theory [2, 3]. Alternatively, by considering higher-derivative terms at fundamental level one can formulate renormalizable [4] and super-renormalizable [5] models of quantum gravity. This comes with the drawback of having ghost-like particles in the spectrum, traditionally associated with violation of unitarity and other instabilities. Recently, however, considerable effort has been made to analyze scenarios in which the effect of ghosts can be controlled (at classical and quantum levels) and unitarity can be recovered [6–11]. Some of these constructions depend on more complicated higher-derivative actions, with metric derivatives higher than fourth [11] or even in the form of non-local operators [12–15].

Among the main issues that a quantum theory of gravity is expected to address it is the resolution of the spacetime singularities that occur in general relativity. In the absence of a satisfactory theory and an underlying regularization mechanism, phenomenological models of quantum-corrected regular black holes abound in the literature. The question of whether higher derivatives or non-locality could solve the problem already at classical level has been considered in different contexts [14–22], but owing to the complicated equations of motion and the humungous amount of possible higher-derivative correction terms, such studies most often involve a linearization of field equations. In this simplified setup it was shown that there is a significant difference between renormalizable theories defined by actions with four and with *more* than four metric derivatives. For instance, the latter models have a regular non-relativistic limit when coupled to normal matter, while the former still displays curvature singularities [19, 20].

Nevertheless, results concerning exact solutions of higher-derivative gravities are nearly absent in the literature. An important exception is the case of fourth-derivative gravity, for which several families of exact solutions are known (see, *e.g.*, [23–38] and references therein). It turns out that this model might not offer a resolution of the singularity problem at classical level. Indeed, it admits singular static spherically symmetric solutions — and the asymptotically flat solutions that couple to normal ghost-free matter appear to contain a naked singularity [26].

A suggestion that the situation could be different for gravity models with more derivatives was provided in the work [24], but a systematic study of the models and their solutions remained open. Recently, some exact solutions for the Einstein gravity augmented by the six-derivative Goroff–Sagnotti term have been obtained [39]. While this term can be regarded as a two-loop correction based on the quantization of general relativity [40], it is not the only one at this perturbative order. Moreover, taken alone it does not shed light to the features of the solutions of super-renormalizable gravity models, nor does it offer an immediate insight on the singularity problem, as divergent solutions also seem to exist [41].

Our goal in this short paper is to present some results regarding the static spherically symmetric solutions in gravity models defined by actions with up to six derivatives of the metric, especially concerning the occurrence of regular spacetime configurations. In the same spirit as [26], our basic assumption is that such higher-derivative action is the relevant one at some energy scale, regardless of whether it is a fundamental theory or an emergent one. We shall not attempt to discuss the complicated problem of ghosts and stability of the solutions, as this lies beyond the scope of this work.

* breno.giacchini@matfyz.cuni.cz

† ivan.kolar@matfyz.cuni.cz

II. GENERAL SIXTH-DERIVATIVE GRAVITY

The gravity model we study is the most general extension of the Einstein–Hilbert action that includes terms with four and six derivatives of the metric, namely,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\alpha R + \beta_1 R^2 + \beta_2 R_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma_1 R \square R + \gamma_2 R_{\mu\nu} \square R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_3 R^3 + \gamma_4 R R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_5 R_{\mu\nu} R^\mu{}_\rho R^{\rho\nu} \right. \\ \left. + \gamma_6 R_{\mu\nu} R_{\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \gamma_7 R R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \gamma_8 R_{\mu\nu} R^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma\tau} R^{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} + \gamma_9 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\tau\upsilon} R^{\rho\sigma\tau\upsilon} + \gamma_{10} R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} R^\mu{}_\tau{}^\nu{}_\upsilon R^{\rho\sigma\tau\upsilon} \right], \quad (1)$$

where the constants $\alpha, \beta_{1,2}$ and $\gamma_{1,\dots,10}$ are, respectively, the coefficients of the terms with a total number of 2, 4 and 6 derivatives. Any other four- or six-derivative term can be cast as a combination of the terms in (1) and boundary or topological terms, that do not contribute to the equations of motion [42].

The variation of the above action with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ yields the equations of motion $H_{\mu\nu} = 0$, which we calculated using the package xACT [43, 44] for MATHEMATICA [45]. For a generic metric in the standard spherically symmetric coordinates,

$$ds^2 = -B(r)dt^2 + A(r)dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (2)$$

the field equations assume a diagonal form. Moreover, the generalized Bianchi identity $\nabla^\nu H_{\mu\nu} = 0$ acts as a constraint and we end up with only two independent equations, that can be taken to be $H_{tt} = 0$ and $H_{rr} = 0$. Together they form a system of coupled differential equations that is of sixth order for $B(r)$ and fifth order for $A(r)$. The terms of highest differential order are originated from the structures proportional to γ_1 and γ_2 in (1), as these are the ones that contain the largest number of derivatives acting on a single metric component.

III. SOLUTIONS OF THE GENERAL SIXTH-DERIVATIVE GRAVITY

Solutions of the system around a certain point $r = r_0$ can be obtained by assuming that the functions $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ are represented by Frobenius series. For expansions around $r = 0$ we use the ansatz

$$A(r) = r^s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^n, \quad B(r) = b_0 r^t \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n r^n \right), \quad (3)$$

with $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ yet to be determined, while around a generic point $r_0 \neq 0$ we use the more convenient representation in terms of $F(r) \equiv 1/A(r)$,

$$F(r) = \Delta^w \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \Delta^n, \quad B(r) = b_0 \Delta^t \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \Delta^n \right), \quad \Delta \equiv r - r_0 \quad (4)$$

with $w, t \in \mathbb{R}$ to be determined. The corresponding expansion of the field equations at lowest order constitute the system of indicial equations, for they determine the admissible values of s (or w) and t . After fixing this pair of parameters one can, in principle, solve the field equations order by order. We refer to the possible families of solutions by the pair of indexes $(s, t)_0$ and $(w, t)_{r_0}$, with the subscript label indicating whether this is a solution around $r = 0$ or $r = r_0 \neq 0$.

From the differential order of the field equations, one might expect that solutions could have up to 11 free parameters [this counting includes the parameter b_0 , which is not physical for it corresponds to the time re-scaling freedom of the metric (2)]. Although the field equations form a nonlinear system, the reasoning based on the differential order seems to work in quadratic gravity [26]. As we show below, all the solutions we found satisfy this upper bound.

A. Solutions around $r = 0$

If all the coefficients in the action (1) are non-zero and completely arbitrary (*i.e.*, no relation between them is assumed), the system of indicial equations around $r = 0$ only admit the solution

$$s = t = 0. \quad (5)$$

This result is obtained by calculating the field equations and the corresponding indicial equations for each of the terms in the action (1). The assumption that the coefficients in the action are completely arbitrary means that all those indicial equations must

be satisfied at the same time and it turns out that the solution $s = t = 0$ is the only one common to all of them. In other words, there is only one family of solutions of the type (3) around $r = 0$, with indicial structure $(0, 0)_0$.

After solving the equations of $H_{tt} = 0$ and $H_{rr} = 0$ up to nine orders in r , we are convinced that the solutions in this family are characterized by six parameters, which can be taken to be a_2, a_3, a_4, b_0, b_2 and b_4 . Among these, only five parameters are physical, for b_0 corresponds to the time re-scaling freedom of the metric. The free parameters appeared within the first five orders of the expansion; beyond this, at each new order we found two equations for two new parameters. The general structure of the solution is

$$A(r) = 1 + a_2 r^2 + a_3 r^3 + a_4 r^4 + \frac{b_3 \bar{a}_5}{\gamma_2(3\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} r^5 + O(r^6),$$

$$\frac{B(r)}{b_0} = 1 + b_2 r^2 + b_3 r^3 + b_4 r^4 + \frac{b_3 \bar{b}_5}{\gamma_2(3\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} r^5 + O(r^6),$$
(6)

where the parameters a_3 and b_3 are related through

$$3(4\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)b_3 = (8\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2)a_3, \quad (7)$$

and the quantities \bar{a}_5 and \bar{b}_5 depend polynomially on the coefficients a_2 and b_2 , and on the parameters of the model. The coefficients of the terms $O(r^6)$ are determined from the lower order ones.

A remarkable feature of these solutions is that the geometry is regular at $r = 0$ in the sense that all the curvature invariants constructed by contracting an arbitrary number of Riemann and metric tensors are bounded. This happens because the solution in Eq. (6) satisfies $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = b_1 = 0$. In particular, for the Kretschmann scalar we have

$$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \Big|_{r \rightarrow 0} = 12(a_2^2 + b_2^2) + O(r). \quad (8)$$

It is also interesting to notice that the solution in Eq. (6) explicitly requires $\gamma_2(3\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \neq 0$. This is precisely the condition for the model (1) to have sixth-order derivatives in both the spin-2 and spin-0 sectors. If this condition is not satisfied, there might still be non-trivial solutions of the type $(0, 0)_0$, but the structure and characteristic number of parameters of the solutions can be different from the ones of the most general model. For instance, if $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$ the field equations contain at most four derivatives acting on a single metric function, instead of six, and one might expect a reduction of the number of free parameters in a solution. In Sec. IV we shall discuss some important cases of incomplete sixth-derivative models, *i.e.*, in which some coefficients γ_i are null or assume other particular values.

B. Solutions around $r = r_0 \neq 0$

Differently from the solutions around $r = 0$, we have identified several families of solutions around a finite point $r = r_0 \neq 0$. This diversity is indicative of the various possibilities for the point r_0 , which can be, *e.g.*, a horizon or a generic point, depending on the indicial structure of the solution (4).

The solutions around a generic point are in the class $(0, 0)_{r_0}$. After solving the field equations up to three orders in $r - r_0$, we are convinced that such solutions are characterized by 11 free parameters ($f_0, \dots, f_4, b_0, \dots, b_4$ and r_0), which appear already at first order. This is precisely the maximal number of free parameters (including the non-physical parameter b_0) that we expected for a solution of the type (4), taking into account the differential order of the field equations. The situation here is analogous to the fourth-derivative gravity, where the family of solutions $(0, 0)_{r_0}$ around a generic point also has the maximal number of free parameters [26]. This counting of free parameters is confirmed by the analysis using the metric written in conformal-to-Kundt form, whose details will be reported elsewhere [41].

Expansions around a horizon $r = r_0$ correspond to the family $(1, 1)_{r_0}$. Similar analysis suggests that these solutions are characterized by 6 physical parameters and their existence allows us to conclude that there are black holes in sixth-derivative gravity. Since asymptotically flat regular black holes must have an even number of horizons, one expects to also encounter families of solutions expanded around a double (extreme) horizon. The search for such solutions is more complicated precisely because of their extremal nature, which makes them to be completely determined by the parameters of the model (with the only free parameters being the gauge one). Again, more details about these and other solutions will be provided in a separate work [41].

C. Solutions with $R = 0$ and with $g_{tt}g_{rr} = -1$

To close this section, it is important to mention two classes of solutions that, although prominent in general relativity and quadratic gravity, they do not seem to be very relevant for a generic sixth-derivative gravity model.

Firstly, in fourth-derivative gravity, metrics with vanishing Ricci scalar ($R = 0$) played an important role in the identification of certain classes of asymptotically flat solutions [26]. Here, nevertheless, the trivial flat spacetime is the only solution in the form of Eqs. (2) and (3) that satisfies this condition. This can be verified by comparing the solution (6) with the expansion of the equation $R = 0$ order by order. The lower order equations generate constraints between the free parameters of (6) and, at order r^8 , it forces $a_i = 0 = b_i$ for $i \geq 1$.

Also, solutions such that $g_{tt}g_{rr} = -1$ — *i.e.*, defined by a single metric function $A(r) = 1/B(r)$ — can be shown to have no free parameters, with all the coefficients in (6) being determined by the constants in the action. Simply put, we have verified that the constraints between the free parameters in (6) are such that $a_i = 0$ for $i = 3, \dots, 10$, but it might happen that a_2 is a nonzero constant. Although the assumption $A(r) = 1/B(r)$ is often employed in the construction of phenomenological models of regular black holes, our result implies that it might be too restrictive in gravity models with more than four derivatives. Indeed, the lack of free parameters makes it difficult to associate solutions of this type with matter sources. This observation might serve as a motivation for further studies of regular effective geometries with $g_{tt}g_{rr} \neq -1$ (see, *e.g.*, [22, 46]).

IV. EFFECT OF THE TERMS REQUIRED BY RENORMALIZABILITY

Up to this point the discussion concerned the most general (complete) sixth-derivative gravity model. There are various branches, though, that can be analyzed if some of the coefficients in the action (1) are switched off or taken in particular combinations. A more detailed consideration of these specific scenarios will be carried out in a separate work [41], but here we would like to address the question of the effect of the terms required by renormalizability. In fact, while the action (1) emerges in its most general form from quantum corrections to general relativity, it need not contain all those six-derivative structures if (1) is taken to be the action of a super-renormalizable gravity.

From the point of view of renormalizability, a sixth-derivative gravity model must have sixth-order derivatives in its spin-2 and spin-0 sectors. In terms of the action (1), this corresponds to the requirements $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $3\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \neq 0$. Also, since the counterterms can have up to four metric derivatives [5], for multiplicative renormalizability we must have $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0$, and a cosmological constant. We omit the latter, for our interest is on the higher derivative's effects. Therefore, the first five terms in Eq. (1) with the restrictions mentioned above suffice to yield a super-renormalizable model; omitting or including cubic-curvature structures will not affect the renormalizability.

In this spirit, super-renormalizable models can be formulated in terms of other structures quadratic in curvature and with two covariant derivatives, such as $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\square R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ and $C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\square C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, because they also yield sixth-order derivatives of the metric. Although they only differ from combinations of $R\square R$ and $R_{\mu\nu}\square R^{\mu\nu}$ by cubic and boundary terms, such models are not equivalent if the action does not contain *all* the terms present in (1).

In Table I we summarize the families of solutions that exist around $r = 0$ for a super-renormalizable action with all the second- and fourth-derivative structures, and different combinations of sixth-derivative terms (with arbitrary coefficients). Here we restrict considerations to integer values of s and t .

Sixth-derivative terms in the action	$(s, t)_0$ solution family	Number of free parameters
$R\square R, R_{\mu\nu}\square R^{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\square R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$	$(0, 0)_0$	$6 \rightarrow 5$
$R\square R, R_{\mu\nu}\square R^{\mu\nu}$	$(0, 0)_0$ $(1, -1)_0$	$6 \rightarrow 5$ $3 \rightarrow 2$
$R\square R, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\square R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$	$(0, 0)_0$	$6 \rightarrow 5$
$R\square R, C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\square C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$	$(0, 0)_0$ $(2, 2)_0$	$6 \rightarrow 5$ $8 \rightarrow 7$
$R_{\mu\nu}\square R^{\mu\nu}, C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\square C^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$	$(0, 0)_0$	$6 \rightarrow 5$

TABLE I. Summary of solutions found around $r = 0$. The arrow in the counting of the number of parameters indicates the reduction of parameters after taking into account the freedom to re-scale the time coordinate.

The fourth-derivative gravity also admits solutions with indicial structures $(0, 0)_0$, $(1, -1)_0$ and $(2, 2)_0$, but the number of free parameters are different [23, 26]. The solutions $(0, 0)_0$ can be obtained as a particular case of (6) by adjusting the coefficients γ_i .

The family of solutions $(1, -1)_0$ here is defined by 2 physical parameters, so it is smaller than the one of fourth-derivative gravity, which has 3 parameters [26]. This family contains the Schwarzschild solution, which turns out to be the only solution in this class that satisfies $R = 0$ (and, besides that, $g_{tt}g_{rr} = -1$). Solutions in this family are singular, with the Kretschmann scalar behaving like r^{-6} as $r \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand, the family $(2, 2)_0$ here is larger than in fourth-derivative gravity, for it has two more free parameters. These solutions are also singular, with the Kretschmann scalar behaving like r^{-8} as $r \rightarrow 0$. However, they are only present in some models constructed with the Weyl tensor. For instance, the Einstein–Hilbert action supplemented by the Goroff–Sagnotti term

$C^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta}C^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\rho\sigma}C^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu}$ also seems to admit a solution $(2, 2)_0$, characterized by 3 physical free parameters, which we obtained by solving the field equations up to nine orders in r .

V. CONCLUSIONS

There is an important difference between fourth- and sixth-derivative gravity in what concerns the space of static spherically symmetric solutions. In fact, while the former admits families of singular solutions with indicial structure $(1, -1)_0$ and $(2, 2)_0$ [23], in a generic sixth-derivative gravity described by the action (1) (with arbitrary and unrelated couplings) all the solutions of the form of Eqs. (2) and (3) are regular at $r = 0$. A similar contrast between these higher-derivative gravities has already been noticed for the linear version of the models [19], and it is rewarding to see that this result has a counterpart at nonlinear level.

We have also identified solutions that contain a horizon. The fact that we only found regular solutions around $r = 0$ might suggest that these black hole solutions are regular. In order to confirm this statement, however, it would be necessary to use numerical methods or to prove that the solutions with horizon also have a representation in terms of Frobenius series around the origin. Indeed, there may still exist singular solutions of non-Frobenius type, or the regular solutions at $r = 0$ may possess singularities for finite values of $r \neq 0$. We expect that this work will motivate further research on this important topic for the understanding of the role of higher derivatives in gravity.

Regarding incomplete sixth-derivative models, we discussed the influence of the terms required by renormalizability on the space of solutions. In particular, we identified renormalizable models that have singular solutions of the type $(1, -1)_0$, and others admitting solutions $(2, 2)_0$. Nevertheless, since these models are obtained by a special tuning between the coefficients in the general action (1), we conjecture that the solution which is equivalent to Schwarzschild in general relativity is in the class $(0, 0)_0$ — because it is the one common to all models with sixth-order field equations. The situation here is similar to fourth-derivative gravity, for which the asymptotically flat solution that couples to normal ghost-free matter is in the $(2, 2)_0$ class, although the model admits Schwarzschild as solution [26].

Last but not least, we found that solutions satisfying the constraints $R = 0$ or $g_{tt}g_{rr} = -1$ do not seem to be of much relevance in gravity theories with more than four metric derivatives. Therefore, like in the works [22, 46], it might be necessary to relax those conditions to construct phenomenological models of regular black holes aimed at reproducing aspects of solutions of higher-derivative gravity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Robert Švarc for the fruitful discussions and helpful comments on our work. Both authors acknowledge financial support by Primus grant PRIMUS/23/SCI/005 from Charles University. Additionally, I.K. appreciates the support from the Charles University Research Center Grant No. UNCE24/SCI/016.

-
- [1] R. Utiyama and B. S. DeWitt, *Renormalization of a classical gravitational field interacting with quantized matter fields*, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 608 (1962).
 - [2] P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings*, Nucl. Phys. B **258**, 46 (1985).
 - [3] B. Zwiebach, *Curvature Squared Terms and String Theories*, Phys. Lett. B **156**, 315 (1985).
 - [4] K. S. Stelle, *Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 953 (1977).
 - [5] M. Asorey, J. L. López and I. L. Shapiro, *Some remarks on high derivative quantum gravity*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 5711 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9610006.
 - [6] C. M. Bender and P. D. Mannheim, *No-ghost theorem for the fourth-order derivative Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 110402 (2008), arXiv:0706.0207.
 - [7] A. Salvio and A. Strumia, *Quantum mechanics of 4-derivative theories*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 227 (2016), arXiv:1512.01237.
 - [8] C. Deffayet, S. Mukohyama and A. Vikman, *Ghosts without Runaway Instabilities*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 041301 (2022), arXiv:2108.06294.
 - [9] D. Anselmi, *On the quantum field theory of the gravitational interactions*, J. High Energy Phys. **06**, 086 (2017), arXiv:1704.07728.
 - [10] J. F. Donoghue and G. Menezes, *Unitarity, stability and loops of unstable ghosts*, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 105006 (2019), arXiv:1908.02416.
 - [11] L. Modesto and I. L. Shapiro, *Superrenormalizable quantum gravity with complex ghosts*, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 279 (2016), arXiv:1512.07600.
 - [12] N. V. Krasnikov, *Nonlocal Gauge Theories*, Theor. Math. Phys. **73**, 1184 (1987) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **73**, 235 (1987)].
 - [13] Yu. V. Kuz'min, *Finite nonlocal gravity*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **50**, 1011 (1989) [Yad. Fiz. **50**, 1630 (1989)].
 - [14] L. Modesto, *Super-renormalizable Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 044005 (2012), arXiv:1107.2403.

- [15] T. Biswas, E. Gerwick, T. Koivisto and A. Mazumdar, *Towards singularity and ghost free theories of gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 031101 (2012), arXiv:1110.5249.
- [16] A. A. Tseytlin, *On singularities of spherically symmetric backgrounds in string theory*, Phys. Lett. B **363**, 223 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9509050.
- [17] V. P. Frolov, *Mass-gap for black hole formation in higher derivative and ghost free gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 051102 (2015), arXiv:1505.00492.
- [18] L. Buoninfante, A. S. Koshelev, G. Lambiase and A. Mazumdar, *Classical properties of non-local, ghost- and singularity-free gravity*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **09**, 034 (2018), arXiv:1802.00399.
- [19] B. L. Giacchini and T. de Paula Netto, *Weak-field limit and regular solutions in polynomial higher-derivative gravities*, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 217 (2019), arXiv:1806.05664.
- [20] N. Burzillà, B. L. Giacchini, T. de Paula Netto and L. Modesto, *Higher-order regularity in local and nonlocal quantum gravity*, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, 462 (2021), arXiv:2012.11829.
- [21] V. P. Frolov and J. Pinedo Soto, *Nonlocal modification of the Kerr metric*, Symmetry **15**, 1771 (2023), arXiv:2308.00114.
- [22] T. de Paula Netto, B. L. Giacchini, N. Burzillà and L. Modesto, *Regular black holes from higher-derivative and nonlocal gravity: The smeared delta source approximation*, arXiv:2308.12251.
- [23] K. S. Stelle, *Classical Gravity with Higher Derivatives*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 353 (1978).
- [24] B. Holdom, *On the fate of singularities and horizons in higher derivative gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 084010 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0206219.
- [25] H. Lü, A. Perkins, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle, *Black Holes in Higher-Derivative Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 171601 (2015), arXiv:1502.01028.
- [26] H. Lü, A. Perkins, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle, *Spherically Symmetric Solutions in Higher-Derivative Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 124019 (2015), arXiv:1508.00010.
- [27] Y. F. Cai, G. Cheng, J. Liu, M. Wang and H. Zhang, *Features and stability analysis of non-Schwarzschild black hole in quadratic gravity*, J. High Energy Phys. **01** 108 (2016), arXiv:1508.04776.
- [28] X. H. Feng and H. Lu, *Higher-Derivative Gravity with Non-minimally Coupled Maxwell Field*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76** 178 (2016), arXiv:1512.09153.
- [29] B. Holdom and J. Ren, *Not quite a black hole*, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 084034 (2017), arXiv:1612.04889.
- [30] K. Kokkotas, R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Non-Schwarzschild black-hole metric in four dimensional higher derivative gravity: analytical approximation*, Phys. Rev. D **96** 064007 (2017), arXiv:1705.09875.
- [31] K. Goldstein and J. J. Mashiyane, *Ineffective Higher Derivative Black Hole Hair*, Phys. Rev. D **97** 024015 (2018), arXiv:1703.02803.
- [32] R. Švarc, J. Podolský, V. Pravda and A. Pravdová, *Exact black holes in quadratic gravity with any cosmological constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** 231104 (2018), arXiv:1806.09516.
- [33] A. Bonanno and S. Silveravalle, *Characterizing black hole metrics in quadratic gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 101501 (2019), arXiv:1903.08759.
- [34] J. Podolský, R. Švarc, V. Pravda and A. Pravdová, *Black holes and other exact spherical solutions in Quadratic Gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 024027 (2020), arXiv:1907.00046.
- [35] A. Salvio and H. Veermäe, *Horizonless ultracompact objects and dark matter in quadratic gravity*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **02**, 018 (2020), arXiv:1912.13333.
- [36] B. Holdom, *2-2-holes simplified*, Phys. Lett. B **830**, 137142 (2022), arXiv:2202.08442.
- [37] J. Daas, K. Kuijpers, F. Saueressig, M. F. Wondrak and H. Falcke, *Probing quadratic gravity with the Event Horizon Telescope*, Astron. Astrophys. **673**, A53 (2023), arXiv:2204.08480.
- [38] S. Silveravalle and A. Zuccotti, *Phase diagram of Einstein-Weyl gravity*, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 6 (2023), arXiv:2210.13877.
- [39] J. Daas, C. Laporte and F. Saueressig, *On the impact of perturbative counterterms on black holes*, arXiv:2311.15739.
- [40] M. H. Goroff and A. Sagnotti, *Quantum gravity at two loops*, Phys. Lett. **B160**, 81 (1985); *The ultraviolet behavior of Einstein gravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B266**, 709 (1986).
- [41] B. L. Giacchini and I. Kolář, *in preparation*.
- [42] Y. Decanini and A. Folacci, *Irreducible forms for the metric variations of the action terms of sixth-order gravity and approximated stress-energy tensor*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 4777 (2007), arXiv:0706.0691.
- [43] J. M. Martín-García, *xAct: Efficient tensor computer algebra for the Wolfram Language*, <http://xact.es>, accessed: 2023-11-20.
- [44] D. Brizuela, J. M. Martín-García and G. A. Mena Marugan, *xPert: Computer algebra for metric perturbation theory*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 2415 (2009) arXiv:0807.0824.
- [45] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 13.3, <https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica/>.
- [46] N. Burzillà, B. L. Giacchini, T. de Paula Netto and L. Modesto, *Regular multi-horizon Lee-Wick black holes*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **11**, 067 (2023), arXiv:2308.12810.