

# VOLUME FORMS ON BALANCED MANIFOLDS AND THE CALABI-YAU EQUATION

MATHEW GEORGE

ABSTRACT. We introduce the space of mixed-volume forms endowed with an  $L^2$  metric on a balanced manifold. A geodesic equation can be derived in this space that has an interesting structure and extends the equation of Donaldson [16] and Chen-He [6] in the space of volume forms on a Riemannian manifold. This nonlinear PDE is studied in detail and the existence of weak solution is shown for the Dirichlet problem, under a positivity assumption. Later we study the Calabi-Yau equation for balanced metrics and introduce a geometric criteria for prescribing volume forms that is closely related to the positivity assumption above. We call this assumption the sub-Astheno-Kähler condition. By deriving  $C^0$  a priori estimates, we show that the existence of solutions can be established on all sub-Astheno-Kähler manifolds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a Hermitian manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , we say that  $\omega$  is balanced if  $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$ . This is equivalent to requiring that the trace of the torsion endomorphism of  $\omega$  vanishes identically. These metrics were introduced by M. L. Michelsohn [38] in 1982 as an alternative to Kähler metrics, which are known to impose many topological and geometric restrictions on a complex manifold. Balanced metrics are natural in many ways. They can be seen as dual to Kähler metrics in a sense made precise by Michelsohn [38]. Recently they have gained relevance because of their applications in string theory, and in birational geometry. For example, the Strominger system [40] consists of a system of coupled nonlinear equations on a complex 3-fold  $X$  and a bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  over it, parts of which has been simplified by Fu and Yau [17] to the problem of finding a conformally balanced metric. This can be reduced to a Calabi-Yau-type equation for balanced metrics that will be discussed in Section 8. In birational geometry, balanced metrics are important as the existence of balanced metrics is preserved under birational transformations [1]. Hence it is thought that balanced metrics might give an important class of canonical metrics in non-Kähler geometry. For more details, we refer to [18, 19, 43] and references therein.

In this paper, we consider the space of mixed-volume forms on a balanced manifold. A geodesic equation is derived in this space which yields a new nonlinear PDE which we wish to study in-depth. We find an interesting positivity assumption coming from the study of this equation which is also related to the problem of prescribing volume forms for balanced metrics that can be written as an  $(n-1)$  Monge-Ampère equation similar to the Gauduchon conjecture [42].

The space of Kähler metrics on a Kähler manifold with an  $L^2$  metric structure has been studied extensively starting with Mabuchi [37], Donaldson [15], Semmes [39], and later by Chen [4] and many others. Similar structures have also been introduced in the space of volume forms on a Riemannian manifold by Donaldson [16]. Such spaces seem to have interesting properties. For example, the geodesic equation in the space of Kähler potentials can be transformed into a degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equation in one dimension higher. These find applications in geometric problems such as the uniqueness of constant scalar curvature metrics in a Kähler class when  $c_1(M) \leq 0$ . In the case of the space of Kähler metrics, geodesic rays are related to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture on the existence of cscK metrics.

These equations are generally degenerate and involves finding a weak solution to the geodesic equation corresponding to the given metric. It is of interest to extend such structures to Hermitian geometry. In the Kähler case, there are many simplifications especially in the variational computations that makes it possible to study these structures. Although this does not seem to be true in general, the balanced property might be sufficient in some cases.

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be an  $n$  dimensional closed balanced manifold. That is,  $(M, \omega)$  is a Hermitian manifold with the metric  $\omega$  satisfying  $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$ . Then for any smooth function  $\phi$  on  $M$ , define a  $(p, p)$  form by

$$\Omega_\phi = \omega^p + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\phi\omega^{p-1}).$$

If  $\omega^p$  is closed, then these forms are in the same  $p^{\text{th}}$  Bott-Chern cohomology class  $H_{BC}^{p,p}(M, \mathbb{R})$ . We consider the space of mixed-volume forms of order  $p$  parametrized by smooth functions on  $M$  in the following way.

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{V}_p = \{\phi \in C^\infty(M) : \Omega_\phi \wedge \omega^{n-p} > 0\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is an infinite dimensional manifold with tangent space at any point identified with the set of all smooth functions on  $M$ .

$$T_\phi \mathcal{V}_p \cong \{\psi \in C^\infty(M)\}$$

The space  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is endowed with the following  $L^2$  metric.

$$(1.2) \quad (\psi_1, \psi_2)_\phi = \left( \int_M \psi_1 \psi_2 \Omega_\phi \wedge \omega^{n-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The geodesic equation in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  with respect to this metric is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \phi_{tt}(n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi) - |\nabla\phi_t|^2 = -\frac{nX\phi_t^2}{2},$$

with boundary conditions

$$\phi(x, 0) = \phi_0, \quad \phi(x, 1) = \phi_1,$$

for a non-negative function  $X$  involving  $p$  and the torsion tensor of  $(M, \omega)$  (see Section 2).

The case that is particularly interesting is when  $p = n - 1$  so that  $\Omega_\phi = \omega_\phi^{n-1}$  defines a  $(1, 1)$  form which is also a balanced metric when  $\omega_\phi > 0$ . This cohomology relation is important, for instance in Calabi-Yau type theorems for balanced metrics, where we search for a balanced metric  $\omega_\phi$  with a prescribed volume form. In this case, the ellipticity cone is contained in  $\mathcal{V}_{n-1}$  defined above.

The space of volume forms on a Riemannian manifold was initially introduced by Donaldson in the context of a free boundary problem related to Nahm's equation [16]. This is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{V} = \{\phi \in C^\infty(M) : 1 - \Delta\phi > 0\}$$

with the metric on  $T_\phi M$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \|\psi\|^2 = \int_M \psi^2 (1 - \Delta\phi) dV$$

The geodesic equation in this case is

$$(1.6) \quad \phi_{tt}(1 - \Delta\phi) - \sum_k \phi_{tk}^2 = 0$$

This is sometimes also referred to as the Donaldson equation and was shown to have  $C^{1,\alpha}$  weak solutions by Chen-He [6]. The regularity was subsequently improved to  $C^{1,1}$  by Chu [9]. There have been subsequent works by Chen-He [7] and He [32] extending this equation to cover, in particular, certain cases of the Streets-Gursky equation [31]. In the case when  $M$  is Kähler, equation (1.3) will be identical to (1.6) since  $X = 0$  for Kähler manifolds.

We aim to study the equation (1.3) and show the existence of weak solutions. It is clear that the sign of  $X$  is an important factor for this equation. In this paper we assume that  $X \leq 0$ , so that the equation is degenerate elliptic. In later works, we hope to consider the geometric case when  $X \geq 0$  so that the equation is degenerate hyperbolic.

The techniques from [6] cannot be applied to equation (1.3) because of several reasons. Firstly, as the manifold is non-Kähler, there are additional third-order terms involved with the torsion tensor while deriving  $C^2$  estimates. This can be dealt with by using the largest eigenvalue of the complex Hessian. But the major obstacle in deriving estimates are the terms involving the function  $X$ . The structure of the equation is such that there are some important cancellations that enable us to overcome this.

For avoiding degeneracies, we will show solutions for the perturbed equation

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_{tt}(n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi) - |\nabla\phi_t|^2 = \epsilon - \frac{nX\phi_t^2}{2} \\ \phi(x, 0) = \phi_0, \\ \phi(x, 1) = \phi_1. \end{cases}$$

and then take limits as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Here  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  are assumed to be smooth. A subsolution  $\underline{\phi}$  is a smooth function satisfying the following.

$$(1.8) \quad \underline{\phi}_{tt}(n + nX\underline{\phi} + \Delta\underline{\phi}) - |\nabla\underline{\phi}_t|^2 > \epsilon - \frac{nX\underline{\phi}_t^2}{2}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(1.9) \quad \underline{\phi}(x, 0) = \phi_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\phi}(x, 1) = \phi_1.$$

Denote  $Y = M \times [0, 1]$ . The following estimates will be shown in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\phi \in C^4(Y)$  be solution of (1.7). Assume that a subsolution  $\underline{\phi}$  satisfying equation (1.8) and (1.9) exists and  $X \leq 0$ . Then we have the following estimates

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_Y |\phi_{tt}| &\leq C \\ \sup_Y |\partial\bar{\partial}\phi| &\leq C \sup_Y (1 + |\nabla\phi|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for a constant  $C$  that depends only on  $(M, \omega)$ ,  $\underline{\phi}$  and other known data.

We will show the construction of a subsolution  $\underline{\phi}$  for any boundary data  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  in Section 3. We remark that it is much easier to construct a subsolution if  $X \geq 0$ . In addition, an estimate for  $|\nabla\phi|$  can be obtained by the blow-up argument. This gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** Assuming  $X \leq 0$ , equation (1.3) has a unique  $C^{1,\alpha}$  solution  $\phi$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , satisfying the boundary conditions.

The regularity can further be extended to  $C^{1,1}$ , that is, the real Hessian of  $\phi$  has a uniform bound. Estimate for the real Hessian  $D^2\phi$  was obtained for the homogeneous

complex Monge-Ampère equation by Chu-Tosatti-Weinkove [10] to show  $C^{1,1}$  regularity. The same technique can be adapted to this setting also taking into account that  $M$  is only a Hermitian manifold. We do not include the calculation in this paper and refer to [9], [10], [11], and [33] for more details.

The second aim of this paper is to study a Calabi-Yau-type theorem for balanced metrics. We state the main statement here and rest of the details will be presented in Section 8.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a balanced manifold such that there exists a Hermitian metric  $\alpha$  on  $M$  with

$$(1.11) \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} \leq 0$$

as an  $(n-1, n-1)$  form. Then given a  $(1, 1)$  form  $\Psi$  in  $H_{BC}^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists another balanced metric  $\omega'$  such that  $[\omega'^{n-1}] = [\omega^{n-1}]$  in  $H_{BC}^{n-1, n-1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ , and

$$(1.12) \quad Ric^C(\omega') = \Psi.$$

Here  $Ric^C(\omega') = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\omega'^m$  is the Chern-Ricci form associated to the metric  $\omega'$ . This is also equivalent to prescribing a volume form for the metric  $\omega'$ . Note that the assumption  $X \leq 0$  can be obtained by taking the  $\alpha$ -trace of (1.11).

It can be shown that equation (1.12) can be transformed into the equation for an unknown function  $u$  and a constant  $b$

$$(1.13) \quad \det\left(\omega_h + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(\Delta u \alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u\right) + \chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u) + Eu\right) = e^{\psi+b} \det \alpha,$$

with

$$\tilde{\omega}_u = \omega_h + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(\Delta u \alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u\right) + \chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u) + Eu > 0.$$

Refer to Section 8 for the definitions of the terms involved in this equation. This equation has been observed in [42], where they solve it assuming that  $E = 0$ , and with additional symmetry assumptions on  $\chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u)$ . When  $E \neq 0$ , there are many complications, mostly caused by the fact that the maximum principle does not work for many of the arguments. This should be compared to the case of linear equations when the coefficient of the zeroth-order term does not have a good sign.

See [20, 21, 44, 45, 23, 27, 42] for the theory of equations involving  $(n-1)$  plurisubharmonic forms and [43] for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on balanced manifolds. Theorem 1.3 can be deduced as a consequence of the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** Assuming that there exists a Hermitian metric  $\alpha$  satisfying (1.11), there exists a unique constant  $b$  and a unique smooth function  $u$  that solves the equation (1.13).

We will show two different proofs of this theorem. The second proof is more general and will only use that  $tr_{\tilde{\omega}_u} E \leq 0$ . This suggests a strategy for solving the problem in general, by considering a continuity path along this direction. The assumption  $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-2} \leq 0$  seems to be interesting in itself and an in-depth study of this might be fruitful. In addition, it could also be interesting to figure out if this is also a necessary condition for this equation. We will discuss these questions in Section 9.

In the next section, the geodesic equation is derived and various properties of balanced metrics are shown. In the later sections, we derive interior and boundary a priori estimates for the solution. The  $C^2$  estimates will depend on the gradient terms in a quadratic way. Hence we can apply the blow-up argument to get gradient estimates. In Section 8, we show  $C^0$  estimates for the balanced Calabi-Yau equation.

**Acknowledgements:** I would like to thank my thesis advisor Professor Bo Guan for suggesting to work in this direction. I also thank Professor Ben Weinkove, Professor Song Sun, and Nicholas McCleerey for helpful discussions, and Bin Guo for clarifying some details in his paper with Professor Duong Phong.

## 2. THE GEODESIC EQUATION

Throughout this article, derivatives in the time variable will always be denoted by subscripts in  $t$ , so that  $\nabla\phi, \Delta\phi$  denote only the space derivatives given by the Chern connection of  $M$ . We begin by deriving several identities satisfied by a balanced metric.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume  $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$ . Then the following are true for any  $2 \leq p \leq n$ .

- (i)  $\partial\omega^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{n-p} = 0$
- (ii)  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{n-p} = (n-p)(p-1)\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \partial\omega \wedge \omega^{n-3}$
- (iii) Define a function  $X$  by

$$X\omega^n = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{n-p}.$$

Then  $X \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* First two parts are simple applications of the product rule.

$$\partial\omega^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{n-p} = (p-1)\omega^{p-2} \wedge \omega^{n-p} \wedge \partial\omega = \frac{p-1}{n-1}\partial\omega^{n-1} = 0$$

To get (ii), we compute

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{n-p} = (p-1)(p-2)\partial\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-3} + (p-1)\partial\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-2}$$

Applying  $\bar{\partial}$  to (i) with  $p = 2$  gives

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-2} = -(n-2) \partial\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \omega^{n-3}.$$

(ii) now follows by combining the above two equations. For showing (iii), we compute in orthonormal coordinates at a point. Following the convention in [38],

$$\partial\omega = \sqrt{-1}T_{jk}^l dz_j \wedge dz_k \wedge dz_{\bar{l}}$$

$$\bar{\partial}\omega = -\sqrt{-1}\overline{T_{ip}^q} dz_{\bar{i}} \wedge dz_{\bar{p}} \wedge dz_q$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\omega \wedge \partial\omega \wedge \omega^{n-3} &= (\sqrt{-1})^n (n-3)! (T_{jk}^l dz_j \wedge dz_k \wedge dz_{\bar{l}}) \wedge (\overline{T_{ip}^q} dz_{\bar{i}} \wedge dz_{\bar{p}} \wedge dz_q) \wedge \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{a < b < c} dz_1 \wedge dz_{\bar{1}} \wedge \dots \widehat{dz_a \wedge dz_{\bar{a}}} \dots \widehat{dz_b \wedge dz_{\bar{b}}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dz_c \wedge dz_{\bar{c}}} \wedge \dots dz_n \wedge dz_{\bar{n}} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \left( \sum_{j,k,l,j \neq l} |T_{jk}^l|^2 - \sum_{j,k,l} (T_{jk}^j \overline{T_{lk}^j} + T_{jk}^k \overline{T_{jl}^k}) \right) \omega^n \end{aligned}$$

Here the 2 in numerator comes from the anti-symmetry of  $T_{ij}^k$  in indices  $i$  and  $j$ . By using the fact that  $\sum_i T_{ij}^i = \sum_j T_{ij}^j = 0$  for balanced metrics, the second and third terms in the above expression vanishes.

It follows from above and using (ii) that at a point where  $g_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{p-1} \wedge \omega^{n-p} = \frac{2(n-p)(p-1)}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i \neq k} |T_{ij}^k|^2 \omega^n$$

where  $T_{ij}^k$  denote the components of the torsion tensor. This shows that  $X \geq 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* From (2.2), we can also make the following observation.

$$\mathcal{V}_p = \mathcal{V}_{n-p+1}$$

for all  $p$ . This is because the expression for  $X$  is symmetric with respect to this transformation and hence is clear from (2.4).

Next, we derive the equation of a geodesic segment joining  $\phi_0$  to  $\phi_1$  in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  by minimizing the following energy functional.

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{E} = \int_0^1 \|\dot{\phi}_t\|^2 dt = \int_0^1 \int_M \dot{\phi}_t^2 \Omega_{\phi} \wedge \omega^{n-p} dt$$

Let  $\phi^s(t, \cdot)$  be an end-point fixing variation of paths in  $\mathcal{V}_p$  such that  $\phi^s(\cdot, 0) = \phi_0(\cdot)$  and  $\phi^s(\cdot, 1) = \phi_1(\cdot)$ , with  $s \in [-1, 1]$ .

Using Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.4) \quad \Omega_\phi \wedge \omega^{n-p} = \omega^n + \frac{1}{n} \Delta \phi \omega^n + X \phi \omega^n.$$

Now the energy becomes

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{E} = \int_0^T \int_M \phi_t^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Delta \phi}{n}\right) \omega^n + \phi_t^2 \phi X \omega^n dt$$

Assuming that  $\phi^0 = \phi$  minimizes  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{E} \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \int_0^T \int_M 2\phi_t \psi_t \left(1 + \frac{\Delta \phi}{n}\right) + \phi_t^2 \frac{\Delta \psi}{n} \omega^n + (2\phi_t \psi_t \phi + \phi_t^2 \psi) X \omega^n dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \phi \Big|_{s=0}$  is the variational field. Performing standard variational calculus using integration by parts gives the following geodesic equation.

$$(2.7) \quad \phi_{tt}(n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi) - |\nabla\phi_t|^2 + \frac{nX\phi_t^2}{2} = 0$$

with  $\phi(., 0) = \phi_0$  and  $\phi(., 1) = \phi_1$ . An important point here is that integration by parts uses the balanced condition and hence this construction will not generalize easily to any Hermitian metric. From now on we will use the notation  $\phi(z, t)$  to denote the geodesic segment joining  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$ .

### 3. CONTINUITY METHOD

In this section, we will introduce some basic lemmas that are needed for rest of the calculations. In addition, we give some details of the continuity method, and construct explicit subsolutions for any given boundary data  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$ . First fix some notations. Let

$$A(\phi) = n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi,$$

and

$$G(\phi) = \phi_{tt}A(\phi) - \sum_k |\phi_{kt}|^2.$$

Note that  $G(\phi) > 0$  for a solution  $\phi$ . We also denote  $L(\phi) = \epsilon - \frac{nX\phi_t^2}{2} > 0$ .

Greek indices are used to denote both space and time variables whereas English indices are for space variables only. Denote

$$(3.1) \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}}, \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}, \gamma\bar{\delta}} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \phi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial \phi_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}}.$$

Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x, y, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \log(xy - \sum_k z_k^2)$$

It was proven in [16] and later also in [6] that

**Lemma 3.1.**  $f(x, y, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$  is concave in the set where  $x > 0$ ,  $y > 0$ , and  $xy - \sum_k z_k^2 > 0$ .

We need an extension of this lemma to the complex case. That is, we need to show that  $-\log(xy - \sum_k z_k \bar{z}_k)$  is plurisubharmonic. This follows directly from the following proposition. See Theorem 5.6 in Demailly's lecture notes [13].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_p$  be plurisubharmonic functions defined in a domain  $\Omega$  and  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function such that  $\chi(t_1, \dots, t_p)$  is non-decreasing in each  $t_j$ . Then  $\chi(u_1, \dots, u_p)$  is plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega$ .

It follows from the above two results that the function  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$(3.2) \quad g(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n) = -\log(xy - \sum_k |z_k|^2)$$

is plurisubharmonic in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  when  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $xy - \sum_k |z_k|^2 > 0$ .

Denote the nonlinear operator by

$$(3.3) \quad F(D^2\phi, \phi, z) = \phi_{tt}(n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi) - |\nabla\phi_t|^2$$

The continuity path is given by

$$(3.4) \quad P_s(D^2\phi, \phi, z) = sF(D^2\phi, \phi, z) + (1-s)(\phi_{tt} + A(\phi)) = \epsilon - \frac{nsX}{2}\phi_t^2$$

We show that there is a unique smooth solution for the Dirichlet problem

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} P_s(D^2\phi, D\phi, z) &= \epsilon - \frac{nsX}{2}\phi_t^2 \\ \phi(\cdot, 0) &= \phi_0, \quad \phi(\cdot, 1) = \phi_1 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $S = \{s \in [0, 1] \mid (3.5) \text{ has a unique smooth solution for } [0, s]\}$ . Clearly  $0 \in S$  and by implicit function theorem there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $[0, \delta] \subset S$ .

For showing that  $1 \in S$  and hence the equation (3.5) has a smooth solution, we will derive a priori estimates up to boundary for (3.5) in the following sections.

For simplicity, we will derive estimates for the equation at  $s = 1$ . That is, for the equation

$$(3.6) \quad F(D^2\phi, \phi, z) = L$$

The calculations for general  $s$  are similar. The linear operator associated to  $F$  at some  $\phi$  is given by

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{L}u = A(\phi)u_{tt} + \phi_{tt}\Delta u - 2\Re(\phi_{t\bar{k}}u_{tk})$$

It follows that the principal symbol can be written as the following  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix.

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A(\phi) & -\nabla_1\phi_t & \cdots & -\nabla_n\phi_t \\ -\nabla_{\bar{1}}\phi_t & \phi_{tt} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ -\nabla_{\bar{n}}\phi_t & 0 & 0 & \phi_{tt} \end{bmatrix}$$

We prove some basic results that will be useful later.

**Lemma 3.3.**  $F(D^2\phi, \phi, z)$  is elliptic at a solution  $\phi$  of (3.6).

*Proof.* We show this by proving that the matrix (3.8) is positive-definite.

From (3.6),

$$(3.9) \quad \sum |\phi_{tk}|^2 < \phi_{tt}A(\phi)$$

Given any vector  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we can compute

$$(3.10) \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\xi_\alpha\bar{\xi}_\beta = A(\phi)|\xi_t|^2 + \phi_{tt}\sum_k |\xi_k|^2 - \sum_k (\phi_{kt}\xi_{\bar{k}}\xi_t + \phi_{\bar{k}t}\xi_k\bar{\xi}_t)$$

From (3.9),

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_k \phi_{kt}\xi_{\bar{k}}\xi_t &\leq \sqrt{\sum_k |\phi_{kt}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_k |\xi_k|^2} \xi_t \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_{tt} \sum_k |\xi_k|^2 + A(\phi)|\xi_t|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\xi_\alpha\bar{\xi}_\beta > 0$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $F : \mathbb{S}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function defined on the space of symmetric matrices as follows

$$(3.12) \quad F(A) = A^{00} \sum_{i=1}^n A^{ii} - \sum_{i=1}^n (A^{i0})^2$$

Then

- (1)  $F$  is concave.
- (2) For all  $B$  such that  $F(B) > F(A)$

$$(3.13) \quad \sum F^{i\bar{j}}(B_{i\bar{j}} - A_{i\bar{j}}) \geq \epsilon \sum F^{i\bar{i}}$$

for some small  $\epsilon > 0$ .

*Proof.* For part one we refer to [16]. Part two is a special case of Theorem 2.17 from [26]. We give a simpler proof of this here.

Define

$$\Gamma^\sigma = \{A : F(A) > \sigma\}$$

Then since  $B \in \Gamma^{F(A)}$ , there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B - \epsilon I \in \Gamma^{F(A)}$ . Then by concavity of  $F$

$$(3.14) \quad F^{i\bar{j}}(B_{i\bar{j}} - \epsilon \delta_{ij} - A_{i\bar{j}}) \geq F(B - \epsilon I) - F(A) > 0$$

(3.13) follows. □

As a consequence of (3.13) we can write

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\underline{\phi} - \phi) &\geq \epsilon_1 \sum F^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} - C \sup(1 + |\phi_t|^2) \\ &= \epsilon(n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi + n\phi_{tt}) - C_1 \sup(1 + |\phi_t|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $\epsilon_1$  and  $C_1$ . Now we show that for any two smooth functions  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$ , the function

$$(3.16) \quad \Phi = t\phi_1 + (1-t)\phi_0 + at(t-1) + t^b(1-t)$$

will satisfy conditions (1.8) and (1.9), for large constants  $a$  and  $b$  chosen suitably.

First observe that for any  $t \in (0, 1)$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} (n + nX\phi + \Delta\phi) &= tA(\phi_1) + (1-t)A(\phi_0) + anXt(t-1) + nXt^b(1-t) \\ &\geq (\delta + anXt(t-1)) + nXt^b(1-t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is the lower bound of  $tA(\phi_1) + (1-t)A(\phi_0) > 0$ . So we have for  $b$  large

$$(3.18) \quad \Phi_{tt}(n + nX\Phi + \Delta\Phi) - |\nabla\Phi_t|^2 \geq (2a + c_0b^2)(\delta + anXt(t-1)) + nX(2a + c_0b^2)t^b(1-t)$$

for a small constant  $c_0$  that depends on  $t$ , and

$$(3.19) \quad |\nabla\phi_t|^2 - \frac{nX(\phi_t)^2}{2} \leq |\nabla\phi_1 - \nabla\phi_0|^2 - \frac{nX}{2}(3(\phi_1 - \phi_0)^2 + 3a^2(2t-1)^2 + 3b^2t^{2b-2}(1 - (1 + \epsilon_0)t)^2)$$

for a small positive constant  $\epsilon_0$ . Now the result follows since the first term in the right side of equation (3.18) can be used to control all the other terms in equations (3.18) and (3.19), by choosing  $a \gg 1$  and  $b \gg a$ .

#### 4. $C^0$ AND $C^1$ ESTIMATES

We use the subsolution to show that any solution of (3.6) is bounded. By maximum principle, it is clear that they are bounded above.

**Proposition 4.1.** A  $C^2$  solution  $\phi$  to (3.6) satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \underline{\phi} \leq \phi \leq \bar{\phi}$$

for some smooth bounded function  $\bar{\phi}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\phi}$  be a solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} n + u_{tt} + \Delta u + nXu = 0 & \text{in } M \times (0, 1) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi_0 \\ u(x, 1) = \phi_1 \end{cases}$$

Then since  $\phi$  is a subsolution of this equation, it follows from the maximum principle that  $\phi \leq \bar{\phi}$ .

For the lower bound, assume for contradiction that  $\phi < \underline{\phi}$  somewhere in the interior, so that  $\underline{\phi} - \phi$  attains a positive maximum at an interior point  $q$ .

From the subsolution, at the point  $q$ , we know that

$$(4.3) \quad F(\underline{\phi}) - F(\phi) > 0$$

So by concavity of  $F$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{L}(\underline{\phi} - \phi) > 0$$

But by Lemma 3.3

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(\underline{\phi} - \phi) \leq 0$$

which contradicts (4.4). □

Boundary and interior estimates for  $|\phi_t|$  will be shown now.

**Proposition 4.2.** For any solution  $\phi$  of (3.6), there is a uniform constant  $C$  so that

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_Y |\phi_t| \leq C$$

*Proof.* Since  $\phi_{tt} \geq 0$ , integrating from  $[0, t]$  and  $[t, 1]$  gives

$$(4.7) \quad \phi_t(t, z) \geq \phi_t(0, z), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_t(t, z) \leq \phi_t(1, z).$$

So it is enough to estimate  $\phi_t$  on the boundary. Observe that

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\underline{\phi}(t, z) - \underline{\phi}(0, z)}{t} \leq \phi_t(0, z) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\bar{\phi}(t, z) - \bar{\phi}(0, z)}{t}$$

This shows that  $|\phi_t(0, z)| \leq C$ . Similarly, one can show that  $|\phi_t(1, z)| \leq C$ . This proves the proposition. □

On the boundary  $|\nabla\phi|$  can be estimated by using  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$ . But direct interior gradient estimates are difficult. So instead we will use a blow-up argument to do this in Section 7.

## 5. INTERIOR $C^2$ ESTIMATES

We estimate  $\phi_{tt}$  and  $|\partial\bar{\partial}\phi|$  separately. Let  $Q = \phi_{tt} + (\underline{\phi} - \phi)$  attain maximum at  $z_0$  in the interior of  $Y$ . Then

$$(5.1) \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\phi_{tt\alpha\bar{\beta}} + F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\underline{\phi} - \phi)_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \leq 0$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \phi_{ttt} = -(\underline{\phi}_t - \phi_t),$$

which implies  $\phi_{ttt}$  is uniformly bounded at the point  $z_0$  from the results of Section 4.

Write the equation (3.6) as

$$(5.3) \quad \log(\phi_{tt}A(\phi) - \sum_k |\phi_{kt}|^2) = \log\left(\epsilon - \frac{nX\phi_t^2}{2}\right)$$

and differentiate by  $\partial_t\partial_t$  to get

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{G(\phi)}F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\phi_{tt\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{G(\phi)}F^{\alpha\bar{\beta},\gamma\bar{\delta}}\phi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}t}\phi_{\gamma\bar{\delta}t} + \frac{1}{G(\phi)}(nX\phi_{tt}^2 + 2nX\phi_t\phi_{ttt}) \\ & - \frac{1}{G(\phi)^2}\left(nX\phi_{tt}\phi_t + F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\phi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}t}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{L}L_{tt} - \frac{1}{L^2}L_t^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.5) \quad L_{tt} = -nX\phi_{tt}^2 - nX\phi_t\phi_{ttt}, \quad L_t^2 = (nX\phi_{tt}\phi_t)^2$$

Now there is an important cancellation between terms that are quadratic in  $\phi_{tt}$ .

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{L}L_{tt} - \frac{1}{L^2}L_t^2 - \frac{1}{G(\phi)}(nX\phi_{tt}^2 + 2nX\phi_t\phi_{ttt}) = \frac{-2\epsilon nX\phi_{tt}^2 - 3\epsilon nX\phi_t\phi_{ttt} + (3/2)n^2X^2\phi_t^3\phi_{ttt}}{L^2}$$

Here we used the equation  $G(\phi) = L$ . By concavity of  $F$  and plurisubharmonicity of (3.2), the second term in (5.4) is negative. Hence we get that

$$(5.7) \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\phi_{tt\alpha\bar{\beta}} \geq \frac{-3\epsilon nX\phi_t\phi_{ttt} + (3/2)n^2X^2\phi_t^3\phi_{ttt}}{L} \geq -6n \sup_Y |X\phi_t\phi_{ttt}|$$

where we used  $L \geq \min\{\epsilon, -nX\phi_t^2/2\}$ . This is a bounded quantity. Since  $A(\phi) > 0$ , by assuming that  $\phi_{tt} \gg 1$  at  $z_0$ , from (3.15) it is clear that

$$(5.8) \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\underline{\phi} - \phi)_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \gg 1$$

Inequalities (5.7) and (5.8) will together contradict (5.1). Hence the maximum for  $Q$  must be attained at the boundary of  $Y$ .

$$(5.9) \quad \sup_Y \phi_{tt} \leq C \sup_{\partial Y} (1 + \phi_{tt})$$

Next, we estimate  $\Delta\phi$  in the interior. We aim to get estimates of the form

$$(5.10) \quad \sup_Y |\Delta\phi| \leq C \sup_Y (1 + |\nabla\phi|^2).$$

There will be torsion terms with mixed third-order derivatives of the form  $\phi_{i\bar{j}k}$  in the calculations. To control this we will use the concavity of the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the complex Hessian  $\nabla^2\phi = (\phi_{i\bar{j}})$ . Consider the function

$$G = \log \lambda_1 + bt^2 - \sqrt{bt}$$

for a large constant  $b > 0$  to be determined later. Assume  $G$  attains maximum at an interior point  $z_0$ . Choose holomorphic coordinates at  $z_0$  that diagonalizes  $(\phi_{i\bar{j}})$ . Then

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \lambda_{1,\alpha\bar{\beta}} - F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\lambda_{1,\alpha} \lambda_{1,\bar{\beta}}}{\lambda_1^2} + 2bF^{tt} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \phi_{1\bar{1}\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sum_{p \neq 1} \frac{\phi_{p\bar{1}\alpha} \phi_{1\bar{p}\bar{\beta}} + \phi_{p\bar{1}\bar{\beta}} \phi_{1\bar{p}\alpha}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_p} + bA(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

where we used that at  $z_0$ ,

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,k} &= 0 \\ \lambda_{1,t} &= (\sqrt{b} - 2bt)\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{b}\lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

and  $F^{tt} = A(\phi)$ . Refer to [41, 24] for the derivative formula for the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . As in [41], it might be required to consider a small perturbation of the matrix  $\nabla^2 \phi$ , to make sure that  $\lambda_1$  is differentiable. To keep it simple we skip that detail here. The commutation formulas for covariant derivatives are given by

$$(5.13) \quad F^{k\bar{l}} \phi_{1\bar{1}k\bar{l}} = F^{k\bar{l}} \phi_{k\bar{l}1\bar{1}} + F^{k\bar{l}} g^{p\bar{q}} R_{k\bar{l}1\bar{q}} \phi_{p\bar{1}} - Rm *_{Fg} \nabla^2 \phi + F^{k\bar{l}} T_{1k}^p \phi_{p\bar{1}\bar{l}} + F^{k\bar{l}} \overline{T_{1\bar{l}}^q} \phi_{1\bar{q}k} + T *_F T *_F \nabla^2 \phi$$

where  $*_{Fg}$  denotes contraction of indices with respect to  $F^{k\bar{l}}$  and the metric  $g$ , and

$$(5.14) \quad F^{kt} \phi_{1\bar{1}kt} = F^{kt} \phi_{kt1\bar{1}} - F^{kt} g^{l\bar{m}} R_{1\bar{1}k\bar{m}} \phi_{t\bar{l}} - F^{kt} T_{1k}^m \phi_{m\bar{1}t}$$

It follows that

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \phi_{1\bar{1}\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}1\bar{1}} + \mathcal{E}$$

where the term  $\mathcal{E}$  can be estimated as

$$(5.16) \quad |\mathcal{E}| \leq C(\sup_{\partial Y} \phi_{tt} + \lambda_1) + \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sum_{p \neq 1} \frac{\phi_{p\bar{1}\alpha} \phi_{1\bar{p}\bar{\beta}} + \phi_{p\bar{1}\bar{\beta}} \phi_{1\bar{p}\alpha}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_p} + \epsilon F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\lambda_{1,\alpha} \lambda_{1,\bar{\beta}}}{\lambda_1^2}$$

Note that since  $\phi_{tt}$  is uniformly bounded we can assume that  $\sum F^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \leq C(\sup_{\partial Y} \phi_{tt} + \lambda_1)$ .

Take  $A$  to be the matrix

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{tt} & -\nabla_1 \phi_t & \cdots & -\nabla_n \phi_t \\ -\nabla_{\bar{1}} \phi_t & (1 + X\phi + \phi_{1\bar{1}}) & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ -\nabla_{\bar{n}} \phi_t & 0 & 0 & (1 + X\phi + \phi_{n\bar{n}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $F$  to be as in Lemma 3.4.

In this notation, we can write the PDE as

$$(5.18) \quad F(A) = L$$

Applying  $\nabla_{\bar{1}} \nabla_1$  and using concavity of  $F$  from Lemma 3.4 (1), we get

$$(5.19) \quad F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \phi_{\alpha\bar{\beta}1\bar{1}} + \phi_{tt}(nX\phi)_{1\bar{1}} \geq L_{1\bar{1}}$$

Denote  $K = \sup_X (1 + |\nabla u|^2)$ . For contradiction, we assume that  $\lambda_1 \gg K + \sup_{\partial Y} \phi_{tt}$ . Combining (5.15) and (5.19),

$$(5.20) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \phi_{1\bar{1}\alpha\bar{\beta}} \geq -C(\sqrt{b} + K) + \varepsilon$$

From (5.20) and (5.11),

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -C(\sqrt{b} + K) + \varepsilon + bA(\phi) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} F^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sum_{p \neq 1} \frac{\phi_{p\bar{i}\alpha} \phi_{i\bar{p}\bar{\beta}} + \phi_{p\bar{i}\bar{\beta}} \phi_{i\bar{p}\alpha}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_p} \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon)bA(\phi) - C(\sup_{\partial Y} \phi_{tt} + \lambda_1) - CK \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction if we choose  $b$  large enough since

$$A(\phi) \geq 1 + X\phi + \lambda_1 \geq \beta\lambda_1$$

for some constant  $\beta > 0$ . This shows that

$$\sup_Y |\Delta\phi| \leq C(K + \sup_{\partial Y} |\Delta\phi| + \sup_{\partial Y} \phi_{tt}).$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is enough to show  $C^2$  boundary estimates.

6.  $C^2$  BOUNDARY ESTIMATES

It is enough to show that  $|\phi_{kt}| \leq CK$  on the boundary. Estimates for  $\phi_{tt}$  and  $\Delta\phi$  on the boundary will follow from the equation and the boundary conditions respectively.

We derive the estimate around a boundary point corresponding to  $t = 0$  by constructing a local barrier function. The  $t = 1$  case can be done similarly. Let  $z_0$  be any point on the boundary  $t = 0$ . Consider a coordinate ball  $B_\delta$  centered at  $z_0 = 0$  of radius  $\delta$ . Then we define a barrier function  $h$  on  $\Omega_\delta = B_\delta \times [0, t_0]$  with  $0 < t_0 < 1$  given by

$$h = A(\phi - \underline{\phi}) + B|z|^2 + C(t - t^2) + (\phi - \underline{\phi})_k$$

where  $A, B, C$  are large multiples of  $K$  to be fixed later.  $C, C'$  and  $c_0$  will denote independent uniform constants.

First check that  $h \geq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_\delta$ . There are three cases.

- (1) If  $t = 0$ , then  $h = B|z|^2 \geq 0$ .
- (2) If  $t = t_0$ , then

$$h = A(\phi - \underline{\phi}) + B|z|^2 + C(t_0 - t_0^2) + (\phi - \underline{\phi})_k \geq 0$$

for  $C \gg K$ .

- (3) If  $z \in \partial B_\delta$ , then

$$h = A(\phi - \underline{\phi}) + B\delta^2 + C(t - t^2) + (\phi - \underline{\phi})_k \geq 0$$

for  $B \gg K$

Next, we compute  $\mathcal{L}h$ . From (3.15), it follows that

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{L}(\phi - \underline{\phi}) < -\epsilon \sum F^{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} = -\epsilon C_1(\phi_{tt} + A(\phi))$$

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{L}(B|z|^2 + C(t - t^2)) = 2nB\phi_{tt} - 2CA(\phi)$$

By differentiating equation (1.7) we also get

$$(6.3) \quad |\mathcal{L}(\phi - \underline{\phi})_k| \leq C(|\phi_{tt}| + |\phi_{tk}|)$$

From eqs. (6.1) to (6.3), it follows that  $\mathcal{L}(h) \leq 0$  for  $A$  large enough compared to  $B$  and  $C$ . It follows that  $h \geq 0$  on  $\Omega_\delta$  and since  $h(0) = 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \geq 0$$

at  $z_0$ , so that  $-\phi_{tk}(0) \leq C'K$ . Similarly by considering  $A(\phi - \underline{\phi}) + B|z|^2 + C(t - t^2) - (\phi - \underline{\phi})_k$ , we can get  $|\phi_{tk}(0)| \leq C'K$ .

To bound  $\phi_{tt}(0)$ , notice that  $A(\phi) > c_0 > 0$  at 0 and hence  $\phi_{tt} = \frac{|\phi_{kt}|^2 + L}{A(\phi)}$  is bounded at 0. Now we are done with all the boundary estimates and can write

$$\sup_Y (|\phi_{tt}| + |\Delta\phi|) \leq CK$$

We derive gradient estimates for  $\phi$  in the next section.

## 7. THE BLOW-UP ARGUMENT

The blow-up argument for gradient estimates is now shown. In this case, the argument is slightly simpler than many of the known equations ([4], [12], [41]). We fix a  $t \in [0, 1]$  and suppress it in the following argument. First consider the case when  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Assume that there is a sequence  $\phi_i$  of solutions such that

$$(7.1) \quad \sup |\nabla\phi_i| = \frac{1}{\epsilon_i}$$

and it is attained at  $z_i$ . Here  $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using (1.10), this implies that  $|\Delta\phi_i| \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon_i^2}$ . Take a convergent subsequence  $z_i \rightarrow z'$  and consider a coordinate ball  $B_\delta(0)$  centered at  $z'$ , small enough so that the metric is close to the euclidean metric. Define a sequence of rescaled functions

$$\tilde{\phi}_i(z) = \phi_i(z_i + \epsilon_i z)$$

defined in the ball  $B_{\delta/\epsilon_i}(0)$ . Correspondingly rescale the sub- and super-solutions,

$$\tilde{\underline{\phi}}_i(z) = \underline{\phi}(z_i + \epsilon_i z), \quad \tilde{\overline{\phi}}_i(z) = \overline{\phi}(z_i + \epsilon_i z),$$

defined in  $B_{\delta/\epsilon_i}(0)$ .

Then  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  satisfies the following

$$\tilde{\underline{\phi}}_i \leq \tilde{\phi}_i \leq \tilde{\overline{\phi}}_i, \quad |\nabla\tilde{\phi}_i(0)| = 1$$

and

$$\sup_{B_{\delta/\epsilon_i}(0)} |\nabla\tilde{\phi}_i| \leq 1, \quad \sup_{B_{\delta/\epsilon_i}(0)} |\Delta\tilde{\phi}_i| \leq C$$

Note that taking  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  would give functions defined on the whole of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . From the above bounds, it is clear that there is a limit function  $\tilde{\phi}$  defined in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . As  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , the sequence  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  converges in  $C^{1,\alpha}$  to  $\tilde{\phi}$  in any fixed ball  $B_l(0)$  and any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

We observe that

$$|\nabla\tilde{\phi}(0)|=1$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad \underline{\tilde{\phi}}(z') \leq \tilde{\phi}(z) \leq \overline{\tilde{\phi}}(z')$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

It is well-known fact that any bounded weakly subharmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is constant (Lemma 4.13 in [13]). Near  $z'$  we have

$$n + nX\phi + \frac{1}{\epsilon_i^2}\Delta\tilde{\phi}_i > 0$$

Taking  $i \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $\Delta\tilde{\phi} \geq 0$  in the weak sense, meaning that  $\int \tilde{\phi}\Delta\psi \geq 0$  for all non-negative compactly supported smooth functions  $\psi$ . This proves that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is weakly subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and hence is a constant, contradicting  $|\nabla\tilde{\phi}(0)|=1$ .

For  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ , the limiting functions will be defined in the complex half-plane. In addition, the sub- and the super-solutions are equal at the boundary. Hence by the same argument, and from (7.2) we deduce that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a constant, leading to the same contradiction. We refer to [4] for the argument presented for the degenerate Monge-Ampère equation and [14, 12] for Hessian equations.

### 8. CALABI-YAU THEOREM FOR BALANCED METRICS

Since S.-T. Yau [46] proved the Calabi conjecture in 1976, there has been great interest in establishing similar theorems in non-Kähler geometry. That is, to show the existence of special Hermitian metrics with prescribed Chern-Ricci forms.

An important result along these lines is the Gauduchon conjecture [22] that was resolved in 2017 [42]. Perhaps a more useful theorem from the perspective of geometry and mathematical physics would be to solve the same problem for balanced metrics. We refer to the related work of Fu-Wang-Wu [20] to how this implies the conformal balanced equation in the Strominger system. This asks for a Hermitian metric  $\omega'$  such that

$$(8.1) \quad d(\|\Omega\|_{\omega'}\omega'^2) = 0$$

for a non-vanishing holomorphic  $(3, 0)$  form  $\Omega$  on a 3 dimensional Hermitian manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . Fixing  $\|\Omega\|_{\omega'} = C$ , (8.1) says  $\omega'$  is a balanced metric. Then

$$\frac{\omega'^3}{\omega^3} = \frac{\|\Omega\|_{\omega}^2}{\|\Omega\|_{\omega'}^2} = e^k$$

for some function  $k$ . This problem has been considered by Fu-Wang-Wu, solving it on a flat torus in dimension 3 by considering some explicit parametrizations of the metric [20], and also on Kähler manifolds under non-negative curvature assumptions

[21]. The equation we consider is a bit different and will use more general cohomology relations similar to [42].

Given a balanced metric  $\omega$  and a background Hermitian metric  $\alpha$ , define a new metric  $\omega_u$  by

$$(8.2) \quad \omega_u^{n-1} = \omega^{n-1} + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(u\alpha^{n-1})$$

Clearly,  $\omega_u$  is also balanced as  $d\omega_u^{n-1} = 0$ . Given a  $(1, 1)$  form  $\Psi$  in  $c_1^{BC}(M)$ , we look for an unknown function  $u$ , such that

$$(8.3) \quad Ric^C(\omega_u) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\det\omega_u = \Psi$$

Written as a PDE in local coordinates this becomes

$$(8.4) \quad \det\left(\omega_h + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(\Delta_\alpha u \alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u\right) + \chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u) + Eu\right) = e^\psi \det\alpha$$

where  $\omega_h = \star\omega^{n-1}$ ,  $\chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u)$  is smooth  $(1, 1)$  form involving the torsion tensor and is linear in  $\nabla u$ , and

$$E = \star\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2}$$

is a  $(1, 1)$  form. Here  $\star$  is the Hodge star operator with respect to the metric  $\alpha$ . We refer to [42] for this transformation and the exact form of  $\chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u)$ . First we make the following definitions.

**Definition 8.1.** A Hermitian metric  $\alpha$  is called

- (i) **Sub-Astheno-Kähler** if  $\star\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} \leq 0$ .
- (ii) **Super-Astheno-Kähler** if  $\star\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} \geq 0$ .

We say that a Hermitian manifold is sub- or super-Astheno-Kähler if it admits such a metric.

Define the metric

$$(8.5) \quad \tilde{\omega}_u = \omega_h + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(\Delta_\alpha u \alpha - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u\right) + \chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u) + Eu > 0.$$

We show that assuming that  $E \leq 0$  as a  $(1, 1)$  form is sufficient for obtaining  $C^0$  estimates for this equation. This will be done in two different ways. First we use the ABP maximum principle introduced by Blocki [2] for complex Monge-Ampère equation and then extended to general fully nonlinear case admitting a  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsolution by Székelyhidi

[41]. The second proof will be based on the technique using the auxilliary Monge-Ampère equation. Note that the  $\alpha$ -trace of  $E$  is exactly the quantity  $X$  (for  $\alpha$ ) when  $p = n - 1$  from the previous sections.

$$X = tr_\alpha E$$

**Theorem 8.1.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact balanced manifold. Assume that  $E \leq 0$  on  $M$  for some Hermitian metric  $\alpha$ . Then for any solution  $u$  of equation (8.4)

$$\sup_M |u| \leq C,$$

for a uniform constant  $C$  that depends only on  $(M, \omega)$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\alpha$ .

We remark that due to the works of Tosatti-Weinkove [44, 45], Székelyhidi-Tosatti-Weinkove [42], and Guan-Nie [27], it is enough to derive  $C^0$  estimates, as all the other estimates will follow similar to those calculations. The  $C^2$  estimates can be obtained by simple modifications in the proof of Gauduchon conjecture [42], or the work of Guan-Nie [27]. Hence Theorem 8.1 gives an existence result for equation (8.4) satisfying the condition  $E \leq 0$ . In fact, the solution will be unique under this assumption. From [41], we recall the notion of a  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsolution, originally introduced by Guan [26].

**Definition 8.2.** Suppose that  $(M, \alpha)$  is a Hermitian manifold and  $\omega_h$  is a real  $(1, 1)$  form. We say that a smooth function  $\underline{u}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsolution for

$$f(\lambda(\alpha^{i\bar{k}}(\tilde{\omega}_u)_{j\bar{k}})) = h$$

if at each  $x \in M$ , the set

$$\{\lambda[\alpha^{i\bar{k}}(\tilde{\omega}_u)_{j\bar{k}}] + \Gamma_n\} \cap \partial\Gamma^{h(x)}$$

is bounded.

Here  $f$  is a symmetric function of eigenvalues with standard structure assumptions of [3]. From the definition it can be seen that 0 is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsolution to (8.4). A smooth function  $\underline{u}$  being a  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsolution implies that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  and  $R > 0$  such that at each  $x$

$$(8.6) \quad \{\lambda[\alpha^{i\bar{k}}(\tilde{\omega}_u)_{j\bar{k}}] - \delta \mathbf{1} + \Gamma_n\} \cap \partial\Gamma^{h(x)} \subset B_R(0)$$

*Proof of Theorem 8.1.* The proof uses a version of the ABP maximum principle.

First observe that  $tr_\alpha \tilde{\omega}_u > 0$ , would give the following elliptic equation.

$$(8.7) \quad \Delta_\alpha u + tr_\alpha \chi(\nabla u) + Xu + f(z) > 0$$

for some function  $f$ . Then from linear elliptic theory (Theorem 3.7 in [25]), using that  $X \leq 0$ , we obtain estimates for the supremum of the function  $u$ .

$$\sup_M u \leq C.$$

for  $C$  depending on  $f$  and the coefficients of the equation. So it is enough to estimate  $\inf_M u$ . Let  $m = \inf_M u$  be attained at a point  $z_0$  on  $M$  and assume that  $m < 0$ . Choose coordinates that takes  $z_0$  to the origin and consider the coordinate ball  $B(1) = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ , chosen small enough so that  $u \leq 0$  on  $B(1)$ . Let  $v = u + \kappa|z|^2$  for a small  $\kappa > 0$ , so that  $\inf_{B(1)} v = m = v(0)$ , and  $\inf_{z \in \partial B(1)} v(z) \geq m + \kappa$ .

Then by the ABP maximum principle for upper contact sets (Chapter 9 of [25], Proposition 10 in [41]), the set

$$\Gamma_\kappa^+ = \{x \in B(1) : |Dv(x)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{ and } v(y) - v(x) \geq Dv(x) \cdot (y - x) \text{ for all } y \in B(1)\}$$

satisfies

$$(8.8) \quad \int_{\Gamma_\kappa^+} \det D^2 v \geq c_0 \kappa^{2n}.$$

for some positive constant  $c_0$ . The following can be verified at any  $x \in \Gamma_\kappa^+$  as in [2],

- (1)  $D^2 v(x) \geq 0$ .
- (2)  $\det(D^2 v) \leq 2^{2n} (\det v_{i\bar{j}})^2$ .
- (3)  $u_{i\bar{j}}(x) \geq -\kappa \delta_{ij}$ .

From this and using  $E \leq 0$  we can conclude that in the set  $\Gamma_\kappa^+$

$$(8.9) \quad \tilde{\omega}_u \geq \omega_h + \frac{1}{(n-1)} (\Delta u \alpha - \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u) - \epsilon' \alpha$$

for a small  $\epsilon' > 0$  that depends on  $\kappa$ , which is fixed small enough depending on  $\alpha$ . Denoting

$$\beta = \omega_h + \frac{1}{(n-1)} (\Delta u \alpha - \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} u) - \epsilon' \alpha,$$

it follows that at  $x \in \Gamma_\kappa^+$

$$\lambda[\alpha^{i\bar{k}}(\tilde{\omega}_u)_{j\bar{k}}] \in \{\lambda[\alpha^{i\bar{k}}(\omega_h)_{i\bar{k}}] - \delta \mathbf{1} + \Gamma_n\}$$

for  $\delta$  small depending on  $\epsilon'$ .

From the equation (8.4), it is clear that  $\lambda[\alpha^{i\bar{k}}(\tilde{\omega}_u)_{j\bar{k}}] \in \partial \Gamma^\sigma$  for  $\sigma = e^\psi \det \alpha$ . Hence it follows from (8.6) that

$$|u_{i\bar{j}}| \leq C.$$

This gives a uniform bound for  $v_{i\bar{j}}$  in  $\Gamma_\kappa^+$ . Then it follows from the above using (8.8) that

$$c_0\epsilon^{2n} \leq C' \text{vol}(\Gamma_\kappa^+),$$

for some constant  $C' > 0$ . From the weak Harnack inequality (Theorem 8.18 in [25]) applied to equation (8.7), on  $B(1)$

$$\int_{B(1)} |u|^p \leq C(1 + \inf_{B(1)} |u|) \leq C'$$

This implies that  $|v|_{L^p}$  is bounded. In the set  $\Gamma_\kappa^+$ ,  $v(x) \leq v(0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Putting these together with the following calculation

$$(8.10) \quad \text{vol}(\Gamma_\kappa^+) \left| v(0) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right|^p \leq \int_{\Gamma_\kappa^+} |v|^p \leq C,$$

we get  $|m + \epsilon/2|^p \leq C\epsilon^{-2n}$ , which shows that  $m$  is bounded. □

*Remark 8.2.* The technique from above extends directly to the case of fully nonlinear equations of symmetric functions of eigenvalues under the  $\mathcal{C}$ -subsolution condition. Hence one can consider equations of the form

$$(8.11) \quad f(\lambda(\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u + \chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial}u) + Eu)) = \psi(z)$$

for some  $(1, 1)$  form  $E \leq 0$ .

*Remark 8.3.* Examples of non-Kähler balanced manifolds that admit a Hermitian metric  $\alpha$  so that  $E \leq 0$  can be inferred from [36], using explicit constructions on complex nilmanifolds.

Next we show how the  $C^0$  estimates can be obtained under a weaker assumption that  $\text{tr}_{\bar{\omega}_u} E \leq 0$ , by using the auxilliary Monge-Ampère equation. The method of auxilliary Monge-Ampere equation, inspired by the works of Chen-Cheng [5] on cscK metrics was developed by Phong-Guo-Tong [30] to give PDE proofs for  $L^\infty$  estimates to the Monge-Ampère equation, when the right-hand side is only  $L^p$  for any  $p > 1$ . This method was later extended to the case of more general fully nonlinear equations in [28] and equations involving  $(n - 1)$  forms and gradient terms in [29]. We show that this can be used to obtain  $C^0$  estimates for equation (8.4) under a weaker assumption. The method is similar and we only show the part of the proof that obtains a comparison between solution of equation (8.4) and the solution of the auxilliary Monge-Ampère equation. The argument for equation seen in Gauduchon conjecture has been treated in [29], where to deal with the gradient terms in this equation, Phong and Guo considers the real Monge-Ampère equation for comparison,

for which independent gradient estimates are available. Also see the related work of Klemyatin-Liang-Wang [35].

We write (8.4) as

$$(8.12) \quad \log \det(\tilde{\omega}_u) = \psi + \log \det \alpha.$$

The principal part of the linearization of this operator is given by

$$Dv = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \text{tr}_G \alpha g'^{i\bar{j}} - G^{i\bar{j}} \right) \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j v = D^{i\bar{j}} \partial_i \bar{\partial}_j v,$$

where for simplicity we used  $G^{i\bar{j}} = \tilde{\omega}_u^{i\bar{j}}$  and  $g'$  is the metric corresponding to  $\alpha$ .

It is not too difficult to show that  $D^{i\bar{j}}$  is positive definite at a solution  $u$ , and

$$\det(D^{i\bar{j}}) > \gamma > 0$$

for a constant  $\gamma$  that depends only on the right-hand side of equation (8.4).

Assume that  $u$  attains a negative minimum at  $z_0$ . Let  $B_{2r}$  denote the open ball of radius  $2r$  around  $z_0$  small enough so that the metric  $\alpha$  is close to the euclidean metric in this ball, and such that  $u \leq 0$  in  $B_{2r}$ . Similarly  $B_r$  is a the ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $z_0$ . The auxilliary real Monge-Ampère equation is given by

$$(8.13) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_{s,k}}{\partial x_a \partial x_b} \right) = \frac{\tau_k(-u_s)}{A_{s,k}} e^\sigma (\det g'_{i\bar{j}})^2$$

where  $\tau_k(x)$  is a sequence of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  that converges to the  $x \cdot \chi_{\mathbb{R}^+}$  from above,  $A_{s,k}$  is normalization constant so that the integral of the RHS is 1, and  $\sigma = 2n(\psi + \log(\det \alpha))$ .

The solution  $w_{s,k}$  with the boundary condition that  $w_{s,k} = 0$  on  $\partial B_{2r}$  exists, is bounded, and has a uniform bound for the gradient  $|\nabla w_{s,k}|$  (Lemma 11 in [29]). Let  $\epsilon' > 0$  be a small constant, and consider for any  $s \in (0, \epsilon' r^2)$ , the function  $u_s(z) = u(z) - u(z_0) + \epsilon' |z|^2 - s$ , and the function

$$\varphi = -\tilde{\epsilon}(-w_{s,k} + \Lambda)^{\frac{2n}{2n+1}} - u_s.$$

Here  $\Lambda$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  are constants to be chosen later that depends on the bounds on  $|w_{s,k}|$ ,  $|\nabla w_{s,k}|$  and other known quantities. Note that  $w_{s,k}$  is a convex function on  $B_{2r}$  and hence is non-positive. It can be immediately observed that  $u_s \geq 0$  on  $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$  and hence the set  $\Omega_s = \{z \in B_{2r} \mid u_s(z) < 0\}$  is contained in  $B_r$ .

The following formula follows directly by taking the  $\tilde{\omega}_u$ -trace of the definition (8.5) of the metric  $\tilde{\omega}_u$ .

$$(8.14) \quad -D^{i\bar{j}} u_{i\bar{j}} = -n + \text{tr}_G \omega_h + \text{tr}_G \chi + (\text{tr}_G E)u$$

for a small constant  $c_0 > 0$ . To show that  $\varphi \leq 0$  in  $B_{2r}$ , the point  $z_1$  where  $\varphi$  attains a maximum can be assumed to be in  $B_r$ . At  $z_1$ , the compatibility equation  $\nabla \varphi = 0$

implies

$$(8.15) \quad \begin{aligned} |G^{i\bar{j}}\chi_{i\bar{j}}(\partial u, \bar{\partial} u)| &\leq C \left| G^{i\bar{j}}T_{\bar{j}} \left( \frac{2n\tilde{\epsilon}}{2n+1} (-w_{s,k} + \Lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2n+1}} (w_{s,k})_i - \epsilon' \bar{z}_i \right) \right| \\ &\leq c_0 \text{tr}_G \omega_h \end{aligned}$$

where we use  $T_{\bar{j}}$  to denote the torsion coefficients that appear in  $\chi(\partial u, \bar{\partial} u)$ , and  $c_0$  is a small positive constant. In the last line,  $\Lambda$  and  $\epsilon'$  are chosen depending on  $|\nabla w_{s,k}|$ ,  $\omega_h$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ , and other background data to get this bound. Taking second derivatives of  $\varphi$ , we have at  $z_1$

$$(8.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq D^{i\bar{j}}\varphi_{i\bar{j}} \\ &\geq \frac{2n\tilde{\epsilon}}{2n+1} (-w_{s,k} + \Lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2n+1}} D^{i\bar{j}}(w_{s,k})_{i\bar{j}} - n + \text{tr}_G \omega_h + \text{tr}_G \chi - \epsilon' \text{tr}_G \alpha + (\text{tr}_G E)u \\ &\geq \frac{2n^2\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma^{1/n}}{2n+1} (-w_{s,k} + \Lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2n+1}} \frac{(-u_s)^{1/2n} e^{\frac{\sigma}{2n}} \det(g'_{i\bar{j}})^{\frac{1}{n}}}{A_{s,k}^{1/2n}} - n + (\text{tr}_G E)u \end{aligned}$$

where we used equation (8.14),

$$D^{i\bar{j}}(w_{s,k})_{i\bar{j}} \geq (\det D^{i\bar{j}})^{1/n} (\det(w_{s,k})_{i\bar{j}})^{1/n},$$

and the auxilliary equation (8.13). We also used (2) from proof of Theorem 8.1, and (8.15) here. Since by assumption  $(\text{tr}_G E)u \geq 0$ , by a clever choice of  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  in this equation we get that  $\varphi(z_1) \leq 0$ . To find the exact bound on  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ , set

$$r = \inf_M \left( \frac{2n^2\gamma^{1/n} e^{\sigma/2n} \det(g'_{i\bar{j}})^{1/n}}{(2n+1)A_{s,k}^{1/2n}} \right) > 0.$$

Then take  $\tilde{\epsilon} \geq \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^{\frac{2n}{2n+1}}$ .

This gives a comparison between  $u(z_0)$  and  $w_{s,k}$ . From here the argument is identical to [30], and we can obtain  $-\inf_M u \leq C$ , for a constant that depends on the background data, and the entropy of the function  $e^\psi \det(\alpha)$ .

Finally, we add some remarks on the openness part of the continuity method and uniqueness of the solution to equation (8.4). The openness can be shown by applying inverse function theorem to the linearized operator. This follows the same steps from [44] and we skip it here. For uniqueness, we could assume that there exist two distinct pairs  $(b_1, u_1)$  and  $(b_2, u_2)$  that solves the equation. Taking the difference of the equations and applying maximum principle as in [44] proves the uniqueness up to a constant. The only additional comment is that in [44], the solutions were normalized to  $\sup_M u = 0$ , otherwise it is only unique up to a constant. This is not possible in our

case because of the term  $Eu$ . But at the same time, if  $E \neq 0$ , then this condition is unnecessary as a translation of the solution will no longer solve the equation.

## 9. FINAL REMARKS

We state a few questions here that would be interesting to study further.

(i) It would be interesting to investigate manifolds that admit Hermitian metrics such that  $\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} \leq 0$ . Are there any strong topological restrictions for this condition? For example

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} = 0$$

is the astheno-Kähler condition of Jost-Yau [34], that is known to have some obstructions [8]. It was shown by Jost and Yau that holomorphic 1-forms on an astheno-Kähler manifold are  $\partial$ -closed. In fact, this holds under the weaker assumption  $\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} \geq 0$  by similar argument. If  $\gamma$  is a holomorphic 1-form, then

$$0 \geq \int \partial\gamma \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\gamma} \wedge \alpha^{n-2} = \int \gamma \wedge \bar{\gamma} \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2} \geq 0$$

This would imply that  $\partial\gamma = 0$ .

(ii) The condition  $tr_{\tilde{\omega}_u} E \leq 0$  suggests considering the continuity path

$$\Gamma_\kappa = \{u \in C^4(M) : \tilde{\omega}_u > 0 \text{ and } tr_{\tilde{\omega}_u} E \leq \kappa < 0\}$$

This would need one to derive independent upper bound for  $tr_{\tilde{\omega}_u} E$  for a solution  $u$ . Such an estimate could solve the equation (8.4) under the weaker assumption that there exist a balanced metric  $\omega$  and a Hermitian metric  $\alpha$  such that

$$tr_{\star\omega^{n-1}} (\star\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\alpha^{n-2}) \leq 0,$$

where  $\star$  is with respect to  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = \omega$ , this reduces to  $X$  from the geodesic equation above which clearly cannot be negative. But for a general  $\alpha$  this might be admissible in all balanced manifolds.

(iii) Due to the similarities to the Kähler-Einstein equation, it makes sense to conjecture that (8.4) might not admit solutions in general if  $E \geq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Lucia Alessandrini and Giovanni Bassanelli. The class of compact balanced manifolds is invariant under modifications. In *Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1993)*, volume 173 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 1–17. Dekker, New York, 1996.
- [2] Zbigniew Błocki. On the uniform estimate in the Calabi-Yau theorem, II. *Sci. China Math.*, 54(7):1375–1377, 2011.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, and J. Spruck. The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian. *Acta Math.*, 155(3-4):261–301, 1985.
- [4] Xiuxiong Chen. The space of Kähler metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 56(2):189–234, 2000.
- [5] Xiuxiong Chen and Jingrui Cheng. On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics (I)—A priori estimates. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(4):909–936, 2021.
- [6] Xiuxiong Chen and Weiyong He. The space of volume forms. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):967–1009, 2011.
- [7] Xiuxiong Chen and Weiyong He. A class of fully nonlinear equations. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 15(4):1029–1045, 2019.
- [8] Ionuț Chiose and Rareș Răşdeaconu. Remarks on astheno-Kähler manifolds, Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomology groups. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 63(3):Paper No. 24, 23, 2023.
- [9] Jianchun Chu.  $C^{1,1}$  regularity of geodesics in the space of volume forms. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 58(6):Paper No. 194, 7, 2019.
- [10] Jianchun Chu, Valentino Tosatti, and Ben Weinkove. On the  $C^{1,1}$  regularity of geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics. *Ann. PDE*, 3(2):Paper No. 15, 12, 2017.
- [11] Jianchun Chu, Valentino Tosatti, and Ben Weinkove. The Monge-Ampère equation for non-integrable almost complex structures. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 21(7):1949–1984, 2019.
- [12] Tristan C. Collins and Sebastien Picard. The Dirichlet problem for the  $k$ -Hessian equation on a complex manifold. *Amer. J. Math.*, 144(6):1641–1680, 2022.
- [13] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Complex analytic and differential geometry. 2012.
- [14] Sławomir Dinew and Sławomir Kołodziej. Liouville and Calabi-Yau type theorems for complex Hessian equations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 139(2):403–415, 2017.
- [15] S. K. Donaldson. Moment maps and diffeomorphisms. volume 3, pages 1–15. 1999. Sir Michael Atiyah: a great mathematician of the twentieth century.
- [16] Simon K. Donaldson. Nahm’s equations and free-boundary problems. In *The many facets of geometry*, pages 71–91. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010.
- [17] Ji-Xiang Fu and Shing-Tung Yau. The theory of superstring with flux on non-Kähler manifolds and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. *J. Differential Geom.*, 78(3):369–428, 2008.
- [18] Jixiang Fu. A survey on balanced metrics. In *Geometry and topology of manifolds*, volume 154 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 127–138. Springer, [Tokyo], 2016.
- [19] Jixiang Fu, Jun Li, and Shing-Tung Yau. Balanced metrics on non-Kähler Calabi-Yau threefolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 90(1):81–129, 2012.
- [20] Jixiang Fu, Zhizhang Wang, and Damin Wu. Form-type Calabi-Yau equations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 17(5):887–903, 2010.
- [21] Jixiang Fu, Zhizhang Wang, and Damin Wu. Form-type equations on Kähler manifolds of nonnegative orthogonal bisectional curvature. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 52(1-2):327–344, 2015.
- [22] Paul Gauduchon. La 1-forme de torsion d’une variété hermitienne compacte. *Math. Ann.*, 267(4):495–518, 1984.

- [23] Mathew George, Bo Guan, and Chunhui Qiu. Fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Hermitian manifolds for symmetric functions of partial Laplacians. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(6):Paper No. 183, 27, 2022.
- [24] Claus Gerhardt. Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 43(3):612–641, 1996.
- [25] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, volume 224 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [26] Bo Guan. Second-order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(8):1491–1524, 2014.
- [27] Bo Guan and Xiaolan Nie. Fully nonlinear elliptic equations with gradient terms on Hermitian manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):14006–14042, 2023.
- [28] Bin Guo and Duong H. Phong. Auxiliary Monge-Ampère equations in geometric analysis. *ICCM Not.*, 11(1):98–135, 2023.
- [29] Bin Guo and Duong H. Phong. On  $l^\infty$  estimates for fully nonlinear partial differential equations, 2023.
- [30] Bin Guo, Duong H. Phong, and Freid Tong. On  $L^\infty$  estimates for complex Monge-Ampère equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 198(1):393–418, 2023.
- [31] Matthew J. Gursky and Jeffrey Streets. A formal Riemannian structure on conformal classes and the inverse Gauss curvature flow. *Geom. Flows*, 4(1):30–50, 2019.
- [32] Weiyong He. The Gursky-Streets equations. *Math. Ann.*, 381(3-4):1085–1135, 2021.
- [33] Lidong Huang and Jiaogen Zhang. Fully nonlinear elliptic equations with gradient terms on compact almost Hermitian manifolds. *Math. Z.*, 303(2):Paper No. 36, 24, 2023.
- [34] Jürgen Jost and Shing-Tung Yau. A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry. *Acta Math.*, 170(2):221–254, 1993.
- [35] Nikita Klemiyatin, Shuang Liang, and Chuwen Wang. On uniform estimates for  $(n - 1)$ -form fully nonlinear partial differential equations on compact hermitian manifolds, 2023.
- [36] Adela Latorre and Luis Ugarte. On non-Kähler compact complex manifolds with balanced and astheno-Kähler metrics. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 355(1):90–93, 2017.
- [37] Toshiki Mabuchi. Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds. I. *Osaka J. Math.*, 24(2):227–252, 1987.
- [38] M. L. Michelsohn. On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry. *Acta Math.*, 149(3-4):261–295, 1982.
- [39] Stephen Semmes. Complex Monge-Ampère and symplectic manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 114(3):495–550, 1992.
- [40] Andrew Strominger. Superstrings with torsion. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 274(2):253–284, 1986.
- [41] Gábor Székelyhidi. Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 109(2):337–378, 2018.
- [42] Gábor Székelyhidi, Valentino Tosatti, and Ben Weinkove. Gauduchon metrics with prescribed volume form. *Acta Math.*, 219(1):181–211, 2017.
- [43] Valentino Tosatti and Ben Weinkove. Estimates for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian and balanced manifolds. *Asian J. Math.*, 14(1):19–40, 2010.
- [44] Valentino Tosatti and Ben Weinkove. The Monge-Ampère equation for  $(n - 1)$ -plurisubharmonic functions on a compact Kähler manifold. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 30(2):311–346, 2017.
- [45] Valentino Tosatti and Ben Weinkove. Hermitian metrics,  $(n - 1, n - 1)$  forms and Monge-Ampère equations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 755:67–101, 2019.

- [46] Shing Tung Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31(3):339–411, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA  
*Email address:* `george.924@buckeyemail.osu.edu`