

# SHARP DISPERSIVE ESTIMATES FOR THE WAVE EQUATION ON THE 5-DIMENSIONAL LATTICE GRAPH

CHENG BI, JIAWEI CHENG, AND BOBO HUA

ABSTRACT. Schultz [24] proved dispersive estimates for the wave equation on lattice graphs  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  for  $d = 2, 3$ , which was extended to  $d = 4$  in [3]. By Newton polyhedra and the algorithm introduced by Karpushkin [15], we further extend the result to  $d = 5$ : the sharp decay rate of the fundamental solution of the wave equation on  $\mathbb{Z}^5$  is  $|t|^{-\frac{11}{6}}$ . Moreover, we prove Strichartz estimates and give applications to nonlinear equations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Discrete dispersive equations in the form of difference equations have attracted much attention in the literature of mathematics and physics, since they constitute a natural way to approach numerically real physical laws. Indeed, spatial discretization would be the first step to implement finite difference schemes, transferring an equation on a continuum domain to that on a lattice graph. As for discrete wave equations, they appear in physical applications such as lattice dynamics and can be used to describe the vibrations of atoms inside crystals. A fundamental model is the monotonic chains, see [6, 7, 19]. On general graphs, wave equations have been studied in [8, 12, 20].

In this paper, we consider dispersive and Strichartz estimates for the discrete wave equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = F(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = f_1(x), \quad \partial_t u(x, 0) = f_2(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Here the discrete Laplacian  $\Delta$  is defined by

$$\Delta u(x, t) := \sum_{j=1}^d (u(x + \mathbf{e}_j, t) + u(x - \mathbf{e}_j, t) - 2u(x, t)),$$

where  $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}_{j=1}^d$  is the standard basis of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

By the discrete Fourier transform, the fundamental solution of (1.1) is given by

$$(1.2) \quad G(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{\sin(t\omega(\xi))}{\omega(\xi)} d\xi, \quad \text{with } \omega(\xi) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^d (2 - 2\cos \xi_j) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\mathbb{T}^d = [-\pi, \pi]^d$  and  $x \cdot \xi = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j \xi_j$ , see Section 2.1.

The pioneering work on sharp dispersive estimates for  $G$  was initiated in Schultz [24], where he proves that  $G$  decays like  $|t|^{-2/3}$  and  $|t|^{-7/6}$  when  $d = 2$  and 3 respectively. On  $\mathbb{Z}^4$ , the authors [3, Theorem 1.1] proved a sharp upper bound of order  $|t|^{-3/2} \log |t|$  (or

$\mathcal{O}(|t|^{-3/2} \log |t|)$ , in short) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In all cases  $d = 2, 3, 4$ , the following oscillatory integral plays an important role,

$$(1.3) \quad I(v, t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{it\phi(v, \xi)} \frac{1}{\omega(\xi)} d\xi, \quad \phi(v, \xi) := v \cdot \xi - \omega(\xi).$$

Note that  $G(x, t)$  is the imaginary part of  $-I(x/t, t)$ .

Based on the analysis in [23, 24], we know the main obstacle is to describe long-time asymptotic behaviour for  $I$  when  $|v|$  is small, cf. Section 3. In this case,  $\phi(v, \cdot)$  has degenerate critical points and the method of stationary phase breaks down. When  $d \leq 3$ , in the terminology of [2], only stable singularities  $A_k$  ( $k \leq 5$ ) and  $D_4$  appear. As  $d$  increases, however, the singularity type becomes complicated. Instead of classifying all kinds of singularities, we seek a suitable way to obtain the stability of  $I$  and find its optimal decay rate, uniformly in  $v$ . Here uniformity in  $v$  for the decay is the key issue.

In the sense of V. I. Arnold [1], for an oscillatory integral

$$(1.4) \quad J(t, S, \psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{itS(\xi)} \psi(\xi) d\xi,$$

establishing the uniform estimate is to determine whether the decay estimate of  $J(t, S, \psi)$  could be extended to  $J(t, S + P, \psi)$  for  $P$  with sufficiently small norm. If  $d = 1$ , this is Van der Corput lemma, cf. [25, Chapter 8]. If  $d = 2$ , the answer is also affirmative by [16]. However, Arnold's conjecture is not always true when  $d \geq 3$ , even in the case that  $P$  is linear. See the counterexamples in [11, 26]. For more results we refer to [10, 13, 22], all these work are closely related to Newton polyhedra.

In general, when  $d \geq 3$  and  $S$  has degenerate critical points, it is difficult to establish the sharp uniform estimate of  $J(t, S + P, \psi)$ . Even for  $P = 0$ , it is still complicated to determine the oscillation index (cf. (2.3) below) of  $S$ . Nevertheless, Karpushkin proposed an algorithm in [15] to determine the uniform upper bound of  $J(t, S + P, \psi)$  when  $S$  is quasi-homogeneous. This algorithm reduces  $S + P$  to a family of polynomial phases and can be iterated several times to get the results, see Section 4.

For odd  $d \geq 5$ , we proved in the previous paper [3, Theorem 1.5] the upper bound  $\mathcal{O}(|t|^{-\frac{2d+1}{6}})$  for  $I(v, t)$  with a fixed  $v = v(d) := (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This velocity governs the decay rates when  $d = 3, 4$ . Due to the lack of uniformity in  $v$ , the dispersive estimate for  $G$  remains open when  $d \geq 5$ . In this paper, we prove the sharp decay estimate using Newton polyhedra and the algorithm of Karpushkin for  $d = 5$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists  $C > 0$  independent of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^5$  such that*

$$|G(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-\frac{11}{6}}.$$

**Remark 1.2.** (a) *A related model is the discrete Klein-Gordon equation*

$$\partial_t^2 u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + m_*^2 u(x, t) = 0,$$

where  $m_* > 0$  is the mass parameter. This model has been studied in Cuenin and Ikromov [5] for  $d = 2, 3, 4$  (see also [4]). Theorem 1.1 gives a partial answer to the

conjecture in [5]. Indeed, let  $G_*$  be the corresponding fundamental solution, then  $G$  has additional singularity at the origin compared with  $G_*$ . When  $d \geq 3$ , by the techniques in [23, 24] and slight modifications of our proof, one can show that  $G_*$  shares the same decay estimate with  $G$ . This yields sharp dispersive estimates for the discrete Klein-Gordon equation on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

(b) Theorem 1.1 is sharp in the sense that there exists  $c_0 \neq 0$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| t^{\frac{11}{6}} I(v(5), t) \right| = c_0,$$

where  $v(5) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}) \in \mathbb{R}^5$ , see Appendix.

For all  $d \geq 3$ , the main ingredient of the proof of the theorem is the uniform estimate of (1.4) with phase of the type

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{P}_m(z) \equiv \mathbf{P}_{m,d}^\Psi(z) := \left( \sum_{j=1}^m z_j \right)^3 - \sum_{j=1}^m z_j^3 + \Psi_{m,d}(z_{m+1}, \dots, z_d), \quad 2 \leq m \leq d-1,$$

where  $\Psi_{m,d}$  is a nondegenerate quadratic form. This polynomial appears naturally in the study of (1.3). Indeed, if  $\phi(v, \cdot)$  has a degenerate critical point  $\xi_0$  with corank  $m \in [2, d-1]$  (i.e.  $\text{rankHess}_\xi \phi(v, \xi_0) = d - m$ ), then  $\phi(v, \cdot)$  can be expressed as  $\mathbf{P}_m(z) + \mathcal{O}(|z|^4)$  near  $\xi_0$ . In particular,  $I(v(d), t)$  corresponds to the crucial phase  $\mathbf{P}_{d-1}$ , see [3, Lemma 3.6]. Note also that  $\mathbf{P}_2$  has  $D_4$  type singularity, and  $\mathbf{P}_3$  can be reduced to  $z_1 z_2 z_3 + \Psi_{3,d}$  (in this case  $\phi$  has  $T_{4,4,4}$  type singularity, cf. [5]).

In our context  $d = 5$ , the new case  $\mathbf{P}_4$  is the most complicated one, which has singularity of class  $O$ , cf. [2, p. 253]. Karpushkin's algorithm will be applied to this phase, see Section 4. More precisely, we consider the deformation of  $\mathbf{P}_4$  with rank  $\geq 2$ . Using change of variables, we reduce  $\mathbf{P}_4$  to homogenous polynomials with three variables, involving many parameters. Then we repeat this process and reduce the problem to oscillatory integrals in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , where the phases are expressed in adapted coordinate systems (cf. Section 2.2). Finally, we use results in [16, 26] to obtain the desired bound.

Note that the key difficulty is to deal with highly degenerate oscillatory integrals, and our approach is different from that in [5] for the discrete Klein-Gordon equation. To the best of the authors' knowledge, it is the first time to adopt Karpushkin's algorithm for estimating such oscillatory integrals with phase of corank  $> 3$ . Our results are indeed valid for any analytic perturbation. However, our iteration approach will be tedious as  $d$  increases. Furthermore, as a germ at the origin,  $\mathbf{P}_{d-1}$  is not finitely determined (cf. [21, Chapter 5]) for *even*  $d \geq 4$  since 0 is not its isolated critical point. For these reasons, the dispersive estimates when  $d \geq 6$  remain open.

By Theorem 1.1 and a well-known result in [18], we obtain the following Strichartz estimate. Also, a standard argument can be used for the global existence of the solutions to nonlinear equation (1.1) with small initial data, see Theorem 5.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $d = 5$  and  $u$  be the solution to (1.1). If indices  $q, r, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}$  satisfy*

$$(1.7) \quad q, r, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r} \geq 2, \quad \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{11}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \leq \frac{11}{6} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \right),$$

then there exists  $C = C(q, r, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q \ell^r} \leq C \left( \|f_1\|_{\ell^2} + \|f_2\|_{\ell^{\frac{10}{7}}} + \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}} \ell^{\frac{5\tilde{r}'}{5+\tilde{r}'}}} \right),$$

where  $p'$  denotes the conjugate index of  $p$  for any  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

The paper is organized as follows. We recall basic facts about the discrete setting and Newton polyhedra in Section 2. We also state some estimates concerning the stability of oscillatory integral in this section. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove the key result, Proposition 2.8, which is crucial for the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we prove Strichartz estimates and give applications to the nonlinear equations. In Appendix we show the sharpness of Theorem 1.1.

**Notation.** We use  $|\cdot|$  and  $\cdot$  to denote the length and the inner product on Euclidean spaces, respectively, and  $\mathbb{A}^T$  the transpose of matrix  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\xi, r)$  (resp.  $B_{\mathbb{C}^d}(\xi, r)$ ) be the usual open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}^d$ ) with center  $\xi$  and radius  $r$ , while  $\overline{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\xi, r)$  (resp.  $\overline{B}_{\mathbb{C}^d}(\xi, r)$ ) denotes its closure.

The symbols  $C, c$  will be used throughout to denote implicit positive constants independent of  $(x, t)$ , which may vary from one line to the next. For non-negative functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we adopt the notation  $f \lesssim g$  if there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $f \leq Cg$ . For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the translation  $\tau_\xi f(z) := f(z + \xi)$ . Also, we use  $\partial_j$  to denote  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$ ,  $\nabla$  (or  $\nabla_\xi$ ) the usual gradient, and Hess (or Hess $_\xi$ ) the Hessian matrix. Moreover, we use  $\mathbf{w}(U)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{w}^{-1}(U)$ ) to denote the image (resp. preimage) of  $U$  under map  $\mathbf{w}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. The discrete setting.** We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  the standard  $d$ -dimensional integer lattice graph in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , that is,  $\mathbb{Z}^d := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_j \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ . For  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is the  $\ell^p$ -space of functions on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with respect to the counting measure, which is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\ell^p} := \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & p \in [1, \infty), \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x)|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We shall also use  $|f|_p$  to denote the  $\ell^p$  norm of  $f$  for notational convenience. Note that  $\ell^p$  spaces are nested, that is,  $\ell^p \subset \ell^q$  for  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ . Moreover, for functions  $f, g$

on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , the convolution product is given by

$$f * g(x) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x-y)g(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The discrete Fourier transform of function  $f$  is given by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

while the inverse transform is defined as

$$\check{f}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} f(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Applying the Fourier transform to both sides of (1.1), we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t) + \omega(\xi)^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t) = 0, \\ \hat{u}(\xi, 0) = \hat{f}_1(\xi), \quad \partial_t \hat{u}(\xi, 0) = \hat{f}_2(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases}$$

which gives

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left( \cos(t\omega(\xi)) \hat{f}_1(\xi) + \frac{\sin(t\omega(\xi))}{\omega(\xi)} \hat{f}_2(\xi) \right) d\xi, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In the notion of operator theory,

$$(2.1) \quad u(\cdot, t) = \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta})f_1 + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}f_2.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $f_1 \equiv 0$  unless otherwise stated. Then we get  $u = f_2 * G$ , where the  $G$  is as in (1.2). Moreover, let  $x = vt$ , the relation  $\omega(\xi) = \omega(-\xi)$  yields  $I(v, t) = I(-v, t)$ , which gives  $G(x, t) = -\text{Im } I(v, t)$ .

**2.2. Newton polyhedra.** Let  $S$  be a smooth real-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and real-analytic at 0 such that

$$(2.2) \quad S(0) = \nabla S(0) = 0.$$

Let  $J(t, S, \psi)$  be as in (1.4), where  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with support near the origin. Then the following asymptotic expansion holds (cf. e.g. [2, p. 181]),

$$(2.3) \quad J(t, S, \psi) \approx \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\rho=0}^{d-1} c_{\tau, \rho, \psi} t^\tau \log^\rho t, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where  $\tau$  runs through finitely many arithmetic progressions not depending on  $\psi$ , which consists of negative rational numbers. Let  $(\tau_S, \rho_S)$  be the maximum over all pairs  $(\tau, \rho)$  in (2.3) under the lexicographic ordering such that for any neighborhood  $U$  of the origin, there exists  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$  for which  $c_{\tau_S, \rho_S, \psi} \neq 0$ . We call  $\tau_S$  the *oscillation index* of  $S$  at 0 and  $\rho_S$  its *multiplicity*.

The pioneer work of Varchenko [26] connects (2.3) with the geometry of Newton polyhedra, which we shall recall in the following part. We will use basic notions from [26], see also [2, 9, 14].

The associated Taylor series of  $S$  at 0 can be written as

$$(2.4) \quad S(\xi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}(S)} s_\gamma \xi^\gamma, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{T}(S) = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^d : s_\gamma \neq 0\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathcal{T}(S) \neq \emptyset$ . The Newton polyhedron of  $S$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(S)$ , is the convex hull of the set

$$\bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{T}(S)} (\gamma + \mathbb{R}_+^d), \quad \text{where } \mathbb{R}_+^d = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \xi_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

The Newton distance  $d_S$  is defined as

$$d_S = \inf\{\varrho > 0 : (\varrho, \varrho, \dots, \varrho) \in \mathcal{N}(S)\}.$$

The principal face  $\mathcal{P}_S$  is the face on  $\mathcal{N}(S)$  of minimal dimension containing  $(d_S, \dots, d_S)$ . In particular, under certain nondegeneracy condition, it is proved in [26] that the oscillation index of  $S$  at 0 is  $\frac{1}{d_S}$  if  $d_S > 1$ .

Since  $d_S$  depends on the choice of coordinate systems, the height of  $S$  is given by

$$(2.5) \quad h_S := \sup\{d_{S,\xi}\},$$

where the supremum is taken over all local analytic coordinate systems  $\xi$  which preserve the origin, and  $d_{S,\xi}$  is the Newton distance in coordinates  $\xi$ . A given coordinate system  $\tilde{\xi}$  is said to be adapted to  $S$  if  $d_{S,\tilde{\xi}} = h_S$ .

If  $d = 2$ , the following results, derived by [26, Proposition 0.7, 0.8] and [9, Lemma 7.0], can recognize whether a given coordinate system is adapted. Note also that adapted coordinates may not exist when  $d \geq 3$  by the counterexample in [26].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $d = 2$ ,  $S$  be as in (2.2) and one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a)  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\mathcal{P}_S) = 0$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{P}_S$  is a single point.
- (b)  $\mathcal{P}_S$  is unbounded.
- (c)  $\mathcal{P}_S$  is a compact edge. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{P}_S \subset \{\xi : a_1 \xi_1 + \xi_2 = a_2\} \quad \text{with } a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N},$$

and  $f_{\mathcal{P}_S}(\cdot, 1)$  does not have a real root of multiplicity larger than  $\frac{a_2}{1+a_1}$ , where

$$f_{\mathcal{P}_S}(\xi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_S} s_\gamma \xi^\gamma.$$

Then the coordinate system is adapted.

For instance, one can verify both  $\xi_1^3 \xi_2$  and  $\xi_1^2 \xi_2^2$  are expressed in adapted coordinates with Newton distance 2, while for  $\mathbf{P}_{d-1}$  the Newton distance is  $\frac{6}{2d+1}$ , cf. [3].

**2.3. Results on uniform estimates.** As we mentioned in Section 1, it is natural to consider the stability of (1.4). We need some notation initiated from [15].

**Definition 2.2.** *For any  $r, s > 0$ , the space  $\mathcal{H}_r(s)$  is defined as*

$$\mathcal{H}_r(s) = \left\{ P : \begin{array}{l} P \text{ is holomorphic on } B_{\mathbb{C}^d}(0, r), \text{ continuous on } \\ \overline{B_{\mathbb{C}^d}(0, r)}, \text{ and } |P(w)| < s, \forall w \in \overline{B_{\mathbb{C}^d}(0, r)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(\beta, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be real-analytic at 0, we write

$$M(f) \preceq (\beta, p)$$

if for  $r > 0$  sufficiently small, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $C > 0$  and a neighbourhood  $U \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, r)$  of the origin such that

$$|J(t, f + P, \psi)| \leq C(1 + |t|)^\beta \log^p(|t| + 2) \|\psi\|_{C^N(U)}$$

for all  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{H}_r(\epsilon)$ , where  $J$  is as in (1.4),  $N = N(h) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|\psi\|_{C^N(U)} = \sup \{ |\partial^\gamma \psi(\xi)| : \xi \in U, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^d, \|\gamma\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^d)} \leq N \}$ .

The following theorem is a consequence of [16, Theorem 2.1] and [26, Theorem 0.6].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $d = 2$ ,  $S$  be as in (2.2) and  $h_S$  be as in (2.5), then there exist coordinate systems that are adapted to  $S$ . Moreover,  $M(S) \preceq (\tau_S, \rho_S)$  and  $\tau_S = -h_S^{-1}$ .

In the sequel, for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we write  $M(h, \xi) \preceq (\beta, p)$  if  $M(\tau_\xi h) \preceq (\beta, p)$ . Also, we write  $M(h_2) \preceq M(h_1) + (\beta_2, p_2)$ , if  $M(h_1) \preceq (\beta_1, p_1)$  implies that  $M(h_2) \preceq (\beta_1 + \beta_2, p_1 + p_2)$ . Moreover, if  $M(h_2) \preceq M(h_1) + (0, 0)$ , then we write  $M(h_2) \preceq M(h_1)$ . And if  $M(h) \preceq (\beta_2, p_2)$ , then we write  $M(h) + (\beta_1, p_1) \preceq (\beta_1 + \beta_2, p_1 + p_2)$ .

For a given weight

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \quad \text{with } 0 < \alpha_d \leq \dots \leq \alpha_1 < 1,$$

the one-parameter dilation is defined as

$$r^\alpha \xi := (r^{\alpha_1} \xi_1, \dots, r^{\alpha_d} \xi_d), \quad \forall r > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Definition 2.5.** A polynomial  $f$  is called  $\alpha$ -homogeneous of degree  $\varrho (\geq 0)$ , if

$$f(r^\alpha \xi) = r^\varrho f(\xi), \quad \forall r > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha, d}$  be the set of  $\alpha$ -homogeneous polynomials of degree 1,  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, d}$  be the linear space (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of  $\alpha$ -homogeneous polynomials of degree less than 1, and  $H_{\alpha, d}$  be the set of functions real-analytic at 0 with the associated Taylor's series having the form  $\sum_{\gamma \cdot \alpha > 1} a_\gamma \xi^\gamma$ , i.e. each monomial is  $\alpha$ -homogeneous of degree greater than 1.

The following lemma is from [3, Section 2], which essentially dates back to [15].

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be real analytic at 0.

- (a) If  $\nabla f(0) \neq 0$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M(f) \preceq (-n, 0)$ .
- (b) If  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha, d}$  and  $P \in H_{\alpha, d}$ , then  $M(f + P) \preceq M(f)$ .
- (c) If  $g(\xi, z) = f(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j z_j^2$  with all  $c_j \neq 0$ , then  $M(g) \preceq M(f) + (-\frac{m}{2}, 0)$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $d = 5$  and  $\mathbf{P}_m$  be as in (1.6), it holds that

$$(i) \quad M(\mathbf{P}_3) \preceq (-2, 1), \quad (ii) \quad M(\mathbf{P}_4) \preceq \left(-\frac{11}{6}, 0\right).$$

**Remark 2.9.** The index is sharp and is matched with the Newton polyhedra, see [3] for the case  $\mathbf{P}_3$  and Appendix for the case  $\mathbf{P}_4$ . This proposition is the key in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We postpone the proof of Proposition 2.8 to Section 4.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The reader is recommended to have [3] at hand, since the following proof is similar to that of [3, Theorem 1.1], and we shall use the results in that paper without repeating all of the proofs here.

The strategy is as follows. For fixed  $v_0$ , we first study the long-time asymptotic behaviour for (1.3) with  $v = v_0$ . Then we prove the same decay estimate holds uniformly under (analytic) perturbation  $P$ , that is, when  $\phi(v_0, \cdot)$  is replaced by  $\phi(v_0, \cdot) + P$  in the integrand of (1.3). In our context, note that

$$(3.1) \quad |\phi(v, \xi) - \phi(v_0, \xi)| = |(v - v_0) \cdot \xi| \leq \pi^d |v - v_0|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d.$$

Therefore, the same estimate holds uniformly for  $I(v, t)$  as long as  $v$  belongs to some small neighborhood of  $v_0$ . Then it suffices to apply a finite covering since (1.1) has finite speed of propagation.

Now we begin the proof, a direct computation gives that  $|\nabla\omega| < 1$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , hence the critical points of  $\phi(v, \cdot)$  only appear when  $|v| < 1$ . Thanks to the results [24, Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2, Proposition 3.10], there exists  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}(d) \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|G(tv, t)| \lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$  provided  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $|v| > \mathbf{c}$ .

Thus we restrict the attention to **small**  $v$ . Since  $\omega$  is periodic, there exists  $\eta \in C_0^\infty((-2\pi, 2\pi)^d)$ ,  $\eta(0) = 1$  such that the integral in (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$I(v, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it\phi(v, \xi)} \eta(\xi) \omega(\xi)^{-1} d\xi, \quad vt \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

cf. e.g. [3, Section 3]. Choosing  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with support near the origin gives

$$(2\pi)^d I(v, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it\phi(v, \xi)} \eta(\xi) \omega(\xi)^{-1} \chi(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it\phi(v, \xi)} \eta(\xi) \omega(\xi)^{-1} (1 - \chi(\xi)) d\xi =: I_1 + I_2.$$

By [24, Proposition 2.3], we know  $I_1 = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-d+1})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . As for  $I_2$ , its asymptotic is determined by the critical points of the phase  $\phi(v, \cdot)$ .

Let  $0 \leq k \leq d$  be an integer. Note that  $\text{Hess}_\xi \phi(v, \xi) = -\text{Hess} \omega(\xi)$ , we set

$$\Sigma_k \equiv \Sigma_k(d) := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{T}^d \setminus \{0\} : \begin{array}{l} \exists v \in B_{\mathbb{R}^d}(0, \mathbf{c}) \text{ such that } \nabla_\xi \phi(v, \xi) = 0 \\ \text{and } \text{corank Hess}_\xi \phi(v, \xi) = k \end{array} \right\}.$$

Moreover, let  $\Omega_k := \nabla\omega(\Sigma_k)$ . By [3, Lemma 3.1, Corollary 3.2], we have (only the first quadrant  $[0, \pi]^5$  is considered by symmetry):

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $d = 5$ , then*

- (a)  $\Sigma_k$  consists of  $\xi$  with exactly  $(k + 1)$  components equal to  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  for  $k = 2, 3, 4$ .
- (b)  $\Sigma_5 = \emptyset$ .
- (c) there exists  $\mathbf{c}_* \in (\mathbf{c}, 1)$  such that  $\cup_{k=1}^4 \Omega_k \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^5}(0, \mathbf{c}_*)$ .
- (d)  $(\nabla\omega)^{-1}(\Omega_4) = \Sigma_4$  and  $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset, \forall i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$ .

Due to the compactness and Definition 2.3, in order to obtain the uniform estimate for  $I_2$ , it suffices to establish local bounds in  $B_{\mathbb{R}^5}(0, \mathbf{c}_*) \times \mathcal{U}$  and then use partition of



unity, where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the support of  $\eta\omega^{-1}(1-\chi)$ . Indeed, we have the following lemma, whose proof relies on (3.1) and can be found in [3, p. 13].

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any  $q_0 = (v_0, \xi_0) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^5}(0, \mathbf{c}_*) \times \mathcal{U}$ , suppose that*

$$(3.2) \quad M(\phi(v_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \preceq (\beta_{q_0}, p_{q_0}).$$

*Then  $|I_2| \lesssim (1 + |t|)^\beta \log^p(2 + |t|)$  for some  $(\beta, p)$ .*

In fact, we only need to handle finite pairs  $(\beta_{q_0}, p_{q_0})$  by a partition of unity, and  $(\beta, p)$  is their maximum in lexicographic order. The “worst” index appears exactly when  $q_0 \in \Sigma_4 \times \Omega_4$ . More precisely, to establish (3.2), it suffices to prove:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $d = 5$  and  $q_0 = (v_0, \xi_0) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^5}(0, \mathbf{c}_*) \times \mathcal{U}$ , then*

$$M(\phi(v_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \preceq (\beta, p), \quad \text{with } (\beta, p) = \begin{cases} (-11/6, 0), & \text{if } q_0 \in \Omega_4 \times \Sigma_4; \\ (-2, 1), & \text{if } q_0 \in \Omega_3 \times \Sigma_3; \\ (-13/6, 0), & \text{if } q_0 \in (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \times \Sigma_2; \\ (-2, 0), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Once proving Theorem 3.3, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. In summary, we have used Lemmas 2.7, 3.1, 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 (and hence Proposition 2.8).

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* We consider each case separately and use Taylor’s formula for  $\phi(v_0, \xi)$  at  $\xi = \xi_0$ .

**Case 1:  $q_0 \in \Omega_4 \times \Sigma_4$ .** By [3, Lemma 3.6], there exists invertible linear transformation  $\Phi$  which preserves the origin such that

$$\phi(v, \Phi(y) + \xi_0) = c + v \cdot \xi_0 + \Phi(y) \cdot (v - v_0) + \left( y_5^2 + \left( \sum_{j=1}^4 y_j \right)^3 - \sum_{j=1}^4 y_j^3 \right) + R_1(y)$$

holds for  $y$  near 0, where  $R_1 \in H_{\mathbf{w}_5, 5}$  (recall Definition 2.6) with  $\mathbf{w}_5 = (\frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Therefore, taking  $v = v_0$  gives

$$M(\phi(v_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \preceq M(\mathbf{P}_4) \preceq \left( -\frac{11}{6}, 0 \right),$$

where we used Lemma 2.7 (b)-(c) and Proposition 2.8 (ii).

**Case 2:  $q_0 \in \Omega_3 \times \Sigma_3$ .** By symmetries and Lemma 3.1 (a), we can assume that  $\xi_0 = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \xi_*)$  with  $\xi_* \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . We use a change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \xi_j = z_j + \frac{\pi}{2}, & j = 1, 2, 3, 5; \\ \xi_4 = z_4 - z_1 - z_2 - z_3 - z_5 \sin \xi_* + \xi_*. \end{cases}$$

Then a direct computation yields

$$\phi(v_0, \xi) = c + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\omega(\xi_0)^3} z_4^2 - \frac{\cos \xi_*}{\omega(\xi_0)} z_5^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\omega(\xi_0)} (z_1 + z_2)(z_1 + z_3)(z_2 + z_3) + R_2(z),$$

where  $R_2 \in H_{\mathbf{w}_{4,5}}$  with  $\mathbf{w}_4 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Note that

$$(3.3) \quad (z_1 + z_2)(z_2 + z_3)(z_1 + z_3) = \frac{1}{3} \left( (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)^3 - z_1^3 - z_2^3 - z_3^3 \right).$$

By Lemma 2.7 (b)-(c) and Proposition 2.8 (i), we have

$$M(\phi(v_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \asymp M(\mathbf{P}_3) \asymp (-2, 1).$$

**Case 3:**  $\mathbf{q}_0 \in (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \times \Sigma_2$ . In this case, a direct computation shows that the zero-eigenvectors of  $\text{Hess}_\xi \phi(q_0)$  are  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0)^T$  and  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_2 = (1, 1, -2, 0, 0)^T$ . Therefore, we let the matrix  $\mathbb{A} = (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_5)$ . By a change of variables  $\xi = \mathbb{A}z + \xi_0$  and then use a rotation in  $\{z_3, z_4, z_5\}$ , we get

$$\phi(v_0, \mathbb{A}z + \xi_0) = c + c_1(z_2^3 - z_1^2 z_2) + c_2 z_3^2 + c_3 z_4^2 + c_4 z_5^2 + R_3(z),$$

where  $c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 \neq 0$  and  $R_3 \in H_{\mathbf{w}_{3,5}}$  with  $\mathbf{w}_3 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Since  $z_2^3 - z_1^2 z_2$  has  $D_4^-$  type singularity, Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.4 give that

$$M(\phi(v_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \asymp M(\mathbf{P}_2) \asymp \left( -\frac{13}{6}, 0 \right).$$

**Case 4: otherwise.** Note that the rank of  $\phi$  at  $q_0$  is at least 4, the splitting lemma (cf. [21]) and Lemma 2.7 (c) imply a rough upper bound  $\mathcal{O}(|t|^{-2})$ , which meets our needs already.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.8

**4.1. Preparation.** We first give a little notation to state the algorithm (Theorem 4.3) in Karpushkin [15] and some simplification (Lemma 4.4), making it convenient to verify the conditions in his result.

Let  $d \geq 2$ , weight  $\alpha$  be as in (2.6) and  $h \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,d}$ . We set

$$h_{\mathbb{S}} := h|_{\mathbb{S}_{\alpha,l}^{d-1}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbb{S}_{\alpha,l}^{d-1} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x|_{\alpha,l} := \left( x_1^{\frac{l}{\alpha_1}} + \cdots + x_d^{\frac{l}{\alpha_d}} \right)^{\frac{1}{l}} = 1 \right\},$$

while  $0 < l \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that all  $l/\alpha_j$  are even numbers.

Notice that  $h(0) = \nabla h(0) = 0$ . Let

$$\mathcal{Z}_h = \{ \mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{S}_{\alpha,l}^{d-1} : h_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathfrak{s}) = dh_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathfrak{s}) = 0 \},$$

where  $dh_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathfrak{s})$  is the differential of  $h_{\mathbb{S}}$  at  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{I}_{\nabla h}$  be the Jacobi ideal of  $h$  (cf. e.g. [21, p. 51]). We have the following definition, which is from [15].

**Definition 4.1.** A subspace  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,d}$  is said to be lower  $(h, \alpha)$ -versal, if

$$(\mathcal{I}_{\nabla h} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,d}) \oplus \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,d}.$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{T}(h)$  is defined in (2.4) and  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_\xi$  ( $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ) is the translation. Using [15, Proposition 4 on p. 1182, Lemma 21 on p. 1184], we have

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $h \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,d}$  and the first order partial derivatives of  $h$  be linearly independent. Then for any lower  $(h, \alpha)$ -versal subspace  $\mathcal{B} \neq 0$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0\}$  and any critical point  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $h + g$ , there exists monomial  $\iota \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha,d} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\iota) \in \mathcal{T}(\tau_{\mathfrak{b}}(h + g))$ .*

Note that Definition 2.3 carries over to real analytic manifolds. In the sequel, we write  $\|\alpha\|_1 := \|\alpha\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{N}^d)} (= \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j)$ .

**Theorem 4.3** (Theorem 1 in [15]). *Let  $h \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,d}$  and the following two conditions hold.*

- (a) *There exists a lower  $(h, \alpha)$ -versal subspace  $\mathcal{B} \neq 0$ , such that for any  $g \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0\}$  and any critical point  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $h + g$ , it holds that*

$$M(h + g, \mathfrak{b}) \preceq (\beta_1, p_1).$$

- (b)  $\mathcal{Z}_h \neq \emptyset$ , and

$$M(h_{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathfrak{s}) \preceq (\beta_2, p_2), \quad \forall \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{Z}_h.$$

Then  $M(h) \preceq (\beta, p)$ , where

$$(\beta, p) = \begin{cases} \max\{(\beta_1, p_1), (\beta_2, p_2), (-\|\alpha\|_1, 0)\}, & \text{if } \|\alpha\|_1 + \beta_2 \neq 0. \\ \max\{(\beta_1, p_1), (\beta_2, p_2 + 1)\}, & \text{if } \|\alpha\|_1 + \beta_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

If (a) holds and  $\mathcal{Z}_h = \emptyset$ , then

$$M(h) \preceq \max\{(\beta_1, p_1), (-\|\alpha\|_1, 0)\}.$$

Here the maximum is taken in the lexicographic order.

By Lemma 4.2, we know condition (a) can be simplified if the first order partial derivatives of  $h$  are linearly independent, see Section 4.2.

To simplify condition (b), we define the projection

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\alpha,l} : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\alpha,l}^{d-1} \\ \xi &\longmapsto \mathbf{r}^\alpha \xi, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{|\xi|_{\alpha,l}}, \end{aligned}$$

as well as the set

$$\mathcal{C}_h := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : \nabla h(\xi) = 0\}.$$

Then we have the following relations.

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $h \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,d}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_h \neq \emptyset$ , we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_{\alpha,l}(\mathcal{C}_h) = \mathcal{Z}_h.$$

Moreover, if all  $\alpha_j$  are equal, then

$$(4.2) \quad \text{rank Hess } h_{\mathfrak{s}}(\pi_{\alpha,l}(\xi_0)) = \text{rank Hess } h(\xi_0), \quad \forall \xi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_h.$$

*Proof.* We first prove (4.1). By the  $\alpha$ -homogeneity of  $h$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \partial_j h(r^\alpha \xi) = r^{1-\alpha_j} \partial_j h(\xi) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, d, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}_\alpha(h)(\xi) = h(\xi),$$

where  $\mathfrak{E}_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \xi_j \partial_j$  is the Euler vector field for  $\alpha$ . Thus, it follows easily that  $\pi_{\alpha,l}(\mathcal{C}_h) \subset \mathcal{Z}_h$ .

To see the reverse, taking  $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_d) =: (\mathfrak{s}', \mathfrak{s}_d) \in \mathcal{Z}_h$ , we assume that  $\mathfrak{s}_d > 0$ , and use the chart  $\xi' \mapsto (\xi', \Pi(\xi'))$ , where

$$\xi' = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{d-1}) \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(\xi') = \left( 1 - \left( \xi_1^{\frac{l}{\alpha_1}} + \dots + \xi_{d-1}^{\frac{l}{\alpha_{d-1}}} \right) \right)^{\frac{\alpha_d}{l}}.$$

Then  $h_{\mathbb{S}}(\xi') = h(\xi', \Pi(\xi'))$  and  $dh_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$  gives

$$(4.4) \quad \partial_j h(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\alpha_d}{\alpha_j} \mathfrak{s}_j^{\frac{l}{\alpha_j}-1} \partial_d h(\mathfrak{s}) \Pi(\mathfrak{s}')^{1-\frac{l}{\alpha_d}} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, d-1.$$

Combining (4.4) and the second equality in (4.3) with  $\xi = \mathfrak{s}$ , we get (note that  $\mathfrak{s}_d = \Pi(\mathfrak{s}')$ )

$$\alpha_d \partial_d h(\mathfrak{s}) \Pi(\mathfrak{s}')^{1-\frac{l}{\alpha_d}} = h(\mathfrak{s}).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{Z}_h$ , we have  $h(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ . Then  $\partial_d h(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ , which yields  $\nabla h(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$  by (4.4) again. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{C}_h$  and (4.1) is proved.

Now we prove (4.2). By the proof of (4.1), we know  $\mathcal{Z}_h \subset \mathcal{C}_h$ . It suffices to consider  $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_h$  since  $h \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,d}$ . Taking  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{Z}_h$ , we can choose  $l = 2\alpha_1$  and then  $\mathbb{S}_{\alpha,l}^{d-1} = \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , the standard sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover, we assume  $\mathfrak{s}_d > 0$ , then the standard chart is

$$\Pi(\xi') = (\xi', \sqrt{1 - |\xi'|^2}), \quad \xi' \in B_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}(0, 1).$$

Since  $\nabla h(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ , a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess } h_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathfrak{s}) &= \mathbf{H} \text{Hess } h(\mathfrak{s}) \mathbf{H}^T, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{d-1} & \nabla_{\xi'} \Pi(\mathfrak{s}') \end{pmatrix}, \\ &\text{and } \mathbb{I}_{d-1} \text{ is the } (d-1) \times (d-1) \text{ identity matrix.} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\partial_j h(r^\alpha \mathfrak{s}) = r \partial_j h(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ ,  $\forall r > 0$ . Taking derivative in  $r$  gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_d} = \partial_1 \Pi(\mathfrak{s}') \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_1} + \dots + \partial_{d-1} \Pi(\mathfrak{s}') \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_j \partial \xi_{d-1}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Therefore, we simplify  $\text{Hess } h(\mathfrak{s})$  and get that

$$\text{Hess } h_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_1^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_1 \partial \xi_{d-1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_{d-1} \partial \xi_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathfrak{s})}{\partial \xi_{d-1}^2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^T.$$

Since  $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbb{I}_{d-1} + \nabla_{\xi'} \Pi(\mathfrak{s}') (\nabla_{\xi'} \Pi(\mathfrak{s}'))^T$  is non-singular, we finish the proof of (4.2).  $\square$

With all the tools in hands, we are in a position to prove Proposition 2.8.

**4.2. Proof of Proposition 2.8 (i).** Take  $\gamma_1 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ . By (3.3), a change of coordinates and Lemma 2.7 (c), it suffices to prove

$$M(h) \preceq (-1, 1), \quad \text{with } h(z) = z_1 z_2 z_3.$$

Since  $(z_2 z_3, z_1 z_3, z_1 z_2)$  are linearly independent, Lemma 4.2 gives that for any lower  $(h, \gamma_1)$ -versal subspace  $\mathcal{B} \neq 0$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0\}$ , the rank of  $h + g$  at any critical point is nonzero. If the rank of  $h + g$  at critical point  $\mathfrak{b}$  is at least 2, the splitting lemma and Lemma 2.7 (c) yield

$$M(h + g, \mathfrak{b}) \preceq (-1, 0).$$

If the rank of  $h + g$  at critical point  $\mathfrak{b}$  is 1, it holds that (since  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_1, 3}$ )

$$(4.5) \quad \tau_{\mathfrak{b}}(h + g)(z) = c_0 + c(z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3)^2 + h, \quad \text{for some } c \neq 0, \quad c_0, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A change of variables  $y_1 = z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3 + \frac{z_2 z_3}{2c}$ ,  $y_2 = z_2$ ,  $y_3 = z_3$  gives

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{b}}(h + g)(z) = c_0 + c y_1^2 - y_2 y_3 (a_2 y_2 + a_3 y_3) - \frac{1}{4c} y_2^2 y_3^2.$$

By Lemma 2.7 (c) again, it suffices to prove that

$$M\left(y_2 y_3 (a_2 y_2 + a_3 y_3) + \frac{1}{4c} y_2^2 y_3^2\right) \preceq \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right).$$

We consider two cases  $a_2 = a_3 = 0$  and  $a_2^2 + a_3^2 > 0$ . It suffices to prove

$$M(y_1^2 y_2) \preceq \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad M(y_1^2 y_2^2) \preceq \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \quad \text{respectively.}$$

These two estimates can be derived by, for instance, a combination of Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.1 (alternatively, one can use Theorem 4.3 again). In conclusion, we verify condition (a) in Theorem 4.3 with  $(\beta_1, p_1) = (-1, 1)$ .

Next, by Lemma 4.4 and the formula of Hess  $h(z)$ , we know

$$\mathcal{Z}_h = \{\pm e_j : j = 1, 2, 3\} \subset \mathbb{S}_{\gamma_1, \frac{2}{3}}^2 (= \mathbb{S}^2).$$

By Lemma 4.4 again, we know each critical point of  $h_{\mathbb{S}}$  is non-degenerate. Therefore,  $(\beta_2, p_2) = (-1, 0)$ .

As a result, Theorem 4.3 gives the desired estimate  $M(h) \preceq (-1, 1)$ .

**4.3. Proof of Proposition 2.8 (ii).** Now we deal with  $\mathbf{P}_4$ , which has  $O$  type singularity, see e.g. [2, p. 252]. To begin with, we give two elementary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose that*

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k=0}^4 a_k x_1^{4-k} x_2^k, \quad \text{with } (a_0, \dots, a_4) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Then  $M(f) \preceq (-\frac{1}{4}, 0)$  if and only if  $f(x_1, 1)$  or  $f(1, x_2)$  has a real root with multiplicity four. Otherwise, we have  $M(f) \preceq (-\frac{1}{3}, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a_0 \neq 0$ . We discuss the multiplicity for the roots of  $f(x_1, 1)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  by a similar argument in [21, p. 85]. Under proper linear transforms  $f$  can be reduced to one of the following forms:

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & x_1^4, x_1^3 x_2, x_1^2 x_2^2, x_1^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2), x_1^2 x_2(x_1 + x_2), \\ & (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(x_1^2 + a_1 x_1 x_2 + a_2 x_2^2), a_1^2 + a_2^2 \neq 0; x_1 x_2(x_1^2 + a_1 x_1 x_2 + a_2 x_2^2), a_2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1, we check that in each case (except  $x_1^4$ ), the coordinate system  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  is adapted. Consequently, Van der Corput lemma and Theorem 2.4 yield

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_1^4) &\preccurlyeq \left(-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right), & M(x_1^3 x_2) &\preccurlyeq \left(-\frac{1}{3}, 0\right), \\ M(x_1^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) &\preccurlyeq \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), & M(x_1^2 x_2(x_1 + x_2)) &\preccurlyeq \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

while for last two cases in (4.6), the index is  $(-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(m_4, m_5, m_6) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$f(r) = m_1 r^2 + m_2 r + m_3, \quad g(r) = m_4 r^2 + m_5 r + m_6.$$

*If there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $f^2 + c g^2 \not\equiv 0$  and has a real root with multiplicity four, then there exists  $c_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $f = c_0 g$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume

$$f(r)^2 + c g(r)^2 \equiv s(r - r_0)^4 \quad \text{for some } s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

If  $c > 0$ , then  $s > 0$  and  $r_0$  is the root of  $f$  and  $g$ , the conclusion is obvious.

If  $c < 0$ , since

$$f(r)^2 + c g(r)^2 = (f(r) + \sqrt{-c} g(r)) (f(r) - \sqrt{-c} g(r)),$$

we know both  $f + \sqrt{-c} g$  and  $f - \sqrt{-c} g$  have root  $r_0$  with multiplicity 2. Then the proof is finished.  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4(z) := (\sum_{j=1}^4 z_j)^3 - \sum_{j=1}^4 z_j^3$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . It suffices to show  $M(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4) \preccurlyeq (-\frac{4}{3}, 0)$  by Lemma 2.7 (c). We apply Theorem 4.3. Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 a_j \partial_j \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4(z) \equiv 0 \quad \implies \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 a_j\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 z_j\right)^2 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^4 a_j z_j^2,$$

the coefficient of  $z_1 z_2$  must be zero. So  $\sum_{j=1}^4 a_j = 0$ , which yields  $a_j = 0$  for all  $j$  as well. Therefore, the partial derivatives of first order of  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4$  are linearly independent.

Moreover,  $\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4} = \emptyset$ . Indeed, the stationary equation  $\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4(z) = 0$  gives

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^4 z_j\right)^2 = z_1^2 = z_2^2 = z_3^2 = z_4^2,$$

which has only zero solution. Then we use Lemma 4.4 to get the conclusion.

We are left with **condition (a) of Theorem 4.3**.

Let weight  $\gamma_2 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ ,  $\|\gamma_2\|_1 = \frac{4}{3}$ . By Lemma 4.2, for any lower  $(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4, \gamma_2)$ -versal subspace  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0\}$ , the rank of  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4 + g$  at its critical point is at least 1. Since  $\frac{3}{2} > \frac{4}{3}$ , it suffices to consider the cases that the rank equals to 1 or 2, by Lemma 2.7 (c) and the splitting lemma.

(1) **The rank 1 case.** Note that  $g \in \mathcal{L}_{\gamma_2, 4}$ , by symmetry we can assume that at the critical point  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4 + g$  is the 5-parameter deformation (which is the counterpart of (4.5)):

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1(z, \mathbf{a}, c, c_0) := c_0 + c(z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3 + a_4 z_4)^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4,$$

where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \neq 0$ .

It suffices to show  $M(\mathcal{F}_1) \preceq (-\frac{4}{3}, 0)$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ . We use a change of variables  $y_1 = z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 z_3 + a_4 z_4$  and  $y_j = z_j$  for  $j = 2, 3, 4$ . A direct calculation shows

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = c_0 + c \left( y_1 + \frac{3}{2c} (y_2 + y_3 + y_4)(y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + 2T) \right)^2 + \mathcal{F}_3 + \mathcal{F}_4 + \mathcal{F}_5,$$

where  $T = -a_2 y_2 - a_3 y_3 - a_4 y_4$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_5 \in H_{\tilde{\gamma}_2, 4}$  and

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_3 &= \mathcal{F}_3(y_2, y_3, y_4) = (y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + T)^3 - (T^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 + y_4^3), \\ \mathcal{F}_4 &= \mathcal{F}_4(y_2, y_3, y_4) = -\frac{9}{4c} (y_2 + y_3 + y_4)^2 (y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + 2T)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using a change of coordinates and Lemma 2.7 (b)-(c), it suffices to prove

$$(4.8) \quad M(\mathcal{F}_3 + \mathcal{F}_4) \preceq \left( -\frac{5}{6}, 0 \right).$$

We first focus on the case w.r.t.  $\mathbf{a}$ , in which  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_j} \equiv 0$  for some  $j$ . By symmetry we may assume that  $j = 2$ . Then a direct computation yields that this happens when

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{a} = (0, 1, 1) \text{ or } (1, 0, 0).$$

In these cases  $\mathcal{F}_3 = 3y_3 y_4 (y_3 + y_4)$ , which has  $D_4^-$  type singularity, and

$$\mathcal{F}_3 + \mathcal{F}_4 = -\frac{9}{4c} (y_2 + y_3 + y_4)^2 (y_2 - y_3 - y_4)^2 + 3y_3 y_4 (y_3 + y_4).$$

Consider the weight  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ , by Lemma 2.7 (b), in this case to prove (4.8) it suffices to establish the following estimate.

**Lemma 4.7.**  $M(z_1^4 + z_2 z_3 (z_2 + z_3)) \preceq (-\frac{11}{12}, 0)$ .

*Proof.* Using a change of variables  $z_1 = w_1$ ,  $z_2 + z_3 = w_2$ ,  $z_2 - z_3 = w_3$ , the polynomial can be written as a unimodal germ which has  $U_{12}$  type singularity in the terminology of Arnold, see [2, p. 273]. The uniform estimate associated to this phase has been studied by Karpushkin with index  $(-\frac{11}{12}, 0)$ , which is matched with the oscillation index, see [17] and the reference therein.  $\square$

Thus, we can assume that  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_j} \not\equiv 0$  for all  $j$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_3$  be as in (4.7). If  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_j} \neq 0$  for  $j = 2, 3, 4$ , then  $M(\mathcal{F}_3) \preceq (-\frac{5}{6}, 0)$ .*

Once proving Lemma 4.8, using Lemma 2.7 (b) again with weight  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ , we know  $M(\mathcal{F}_3 + \mathcal{F}_4) \preceq M(\mathcal{F}_3)$ , and we shall get that  $M(\mathcal{F}_1) \preceq (-\frac{4}{3}, 0)$  in the rank 1 case.

*Proof of Lemma 4.8.* We shall apply Theorem 4.3 on  $\mathcal{F}_3$ . We begin with the linear independence for the partial derivatives. Since

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_k} = 3(y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + T)^2 - 3a_k(y_2 + y_3 + y_4)(2T + y_2 + y_3 + y_4) - 3y_k^2, \quad k = 2, 3, 4.$$

Let  $\lambda_2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_2} + \lambda_3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_3} + \lambda_4 \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_4} = 0$  and display the coefficient of each monomial, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A(1 - a_j)^2 &= B(1 - 2a_j)^2 + \lambda_j, \quad j = 2, 3, 4, \\ A(1 - a_j)(1 - a_i) &= B(1 - 2a_j)(1 - 2a_i), \quad j \neq i, \quad 2 \leq i, j \leq 4, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \sum_{j=2}^4 \lambda_j$  and  $B = \sum_{j=2}^4 \lambda_j a_j$ . A discussion on whether  $A$  (or  $B$ ) equals to 0 gives that  $\lambda_j = 0$  for  $j = 2, 3, 4$ .

Now we verify condition (a) of Theorem 4.3 for  $\mathcal{F}_3$ . By Lemmas 2.7 (c), it suffices to consider the rank 1 case. Similar to the previous proof, it suffices to prove

$$M(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_3) \preceq \left(-\frac{5}{6}, 0\right), \quad \text{where } \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_3 = c_*(y_2 + b_3 y_3 + b_4 y_4)^2 + \mathcal{F}_3, \quad c_* \neq 0.$$

By a change of variables  $\sigma_2 = y_2 + b_3 y_3 + b_4 y_4$ ,  $\sigma_3 = y_3$ ,  $\sigma_4 = y_4$ , we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_3 = c_* \sigma_2^2 + 3\sigma_2 Q_2 + Q_3 + \mathcal{F}_6 = c_* \left( \sigma_2 + \frac{3}{2c_*} Q_2 \right)^2 + Q_3 - \frac{9}{4c_*} Q_2^2 + \mathcal{F}_6,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_6 \in H_{\gamma_3, 3}$  with  $\gamma_3 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= Q_2(\sigma_3, \sigma_4) = (1 - a_2) \left( (1 - a_3 - b_3 + a_2 b_3) \sigma_3 + (1 - a_4 - b_4 + a_2 b_4) \sigma_4 \right)^2 \\ &\quad + a_2 \left( (a_3 - a_2 b_3) \sigma_3 + (a_4 - a_2 b_4) \sigma_4 \right)^2 - (b_3 \sigma_3 + b_4 \sigma_4)^2, \\ Q_3 &= Q_3(\sigma_3, \sigma_4) = \left( (1 - a_3 - b_3 + a_2 b_3) \sigma_3 + (1 - a_4 - b_4 + a_2 b_4) \sigma_4 \right)^3 \\ &\quad + \left( (a_3 - a_2 b_3) \sigma_3 + (a_4 - a_2 b_4) \sigma_4 \right)^3 + (b_3 \sigma_3 + b_4 \sigma_4)^3 - \sigma_3^3 - \sigma_4^3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that both  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$  should to be taken into account since, as we shall see, in some cases  $Q_3$  vanishes and the contribution would come from  $Q_2^2$ .

After a change of variables  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 = \sigma_2 + \frac{3}{2c_*} Q_2$  with  $\sigma_3, \sigma_4$  fixed, and using Lemma 2.7 (c), it remains to show

$$(4.10) \quad M \left( Q_3 - \frac{9}{4c_*} Q_2^2 \right) \preceq \left( -\frac{1}{3}, 0 \right).$$

Our strategy is to discuss whether  $Q_3$  vanishes. If  $Q_3 \equiv 0$ , then we show  $Q_2^2$  has desired estimate. If  $Q_3 \neq 0$ , by the properties of binary cubic forms (see e.g. [21, p. 85]) and the Van der Corput lemma, we also get the desired result.

Through an elementary (but tedious) computation on the coefficients of the monomials, we see that if  $Q_3 \equiv 0$ , it suffices to consider the following two cases (other possible cases are slightly but not essentially different):



- (1)  $a_2 = a_4 \neq 0$ ,  $a_3 = 1$ ,  $b_3 = 0$ ,  $b_4 = 1$ , and  $Q_3 - \frac{9}{4c_*}Q_2^2 = -\frac{9}{4c_*}(a_2\sigma_3^2 - \sigma_4^2)^2$ .  
(2)  $a_2 - a_4 = b_3 \neq 0$ ,  $b_4 = a_3 - a_2b_3 = 1$ , and  $b_3$  is the real root of the equation  $r^2 - r - 1 = 0$ . In this case,

$$Q_3 - \frac{9}{4c_*}Q_2^2 = -\frac{9}{4c_*}(-a_2b_3\sigma_3^2 + 2(1 - a_2)\sigma_3\sigma_4 + b_3\sigma_4^2)^2.$$

In both cases,  $Q_3(1, \sigma_4) - \frac{9}{4c_*}Q_2^2(1, \sigma_4)$  cannot process a root with multiplicity 4. Then we get (4.10) by Lemma 4.5. In conclusion, we verify condition (a) of Theorem 4.3 with  $(\beta_1, p_1) = (-\frac{5}{6}, 0)$ .

Now we turn to condition (b) of Theorem 4.3 for  $\mathcal{F}_3$ .

By Lemma 4.4, it suffices to show that for any possible critical point  $x_0 (\neq 0)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_3$ , we have  $\text{rankHess}\mathcal{F}_3(x_0) = 2$ . We argue by contradiction that  $x_0 = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$  is a critical point such that  $\text{rankHess}\mathcal{F}_3(x_0) \leq 1$ , then the three principal minors in  $\text{Hess}\mathcal{F}_3(x_0)$  are singular. Combining this with the fact  $\nabla\mathcal{F}_3(x_0) = 0$ , by a direct computation we get the following six equations in  $(a_2, a_3, a_4, w_1, w_2, w_3)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - a_2)S^2 &= w_1^2 - a_2T^2, & (1 - a_3)S^2 &= w_2^2 - a_3T^2, & (1 - a_4)S^2 &= w_3^2 - a_4T^2, \\ ((1 - a_2)^2S - a_2^2T - w_1)((1 - a_3)^2S - a_3^2T - w_2) &= ((1 - a_2)(1 - a_3)S - a_2a_3T)^2, \\ ((1 - a_2)^2S - a_2^2T - w_1)((1 - a_4)^2S - a_4^2T - w_3) &= ((1 - a_2)(1 - a_4)S - a_2a_4T)^2, \\ ((1 - a_3)^2S - a_3^2T - w_2)((1 - a_4)^2S - a_4^2T - w_3) &= ((1 - a_3)(1 - a_4)S - a_3a_4T)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S := T + w_1 + w_2 + w_3$ . Since  $x_0 \neq 0$ , we claim that under the assumption of Lemma 4.8, this system has no solution. In fact, we solve  $(a_2, a_3, a_4)$  from the first three equations and put them into the left three equations. Then we get a system of  $(T, w_1, w_2, w_3)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^3 &= T^3 + w_1^3 + w_2^3 + w_3^3, \\ S(w_2(T^2 - w_1^2)^2 + w_1(T^2 - w_2^2)^2) + (w_1^2 - w_2^2)^2ST &= w_1w_2(T^2 - S^2)^2 \\ &\quad + T((w_1^2 - S^2)^2w_2 + (w_2^2 - S^2)^2w_1), \\ S(w_3(T^2 - w_1^2)^2 + w_1(T^2 - w_3^2)^2) + (w_1^2 - w_3^2)^2ST &= w_1w_3(T^2 - S^2)^2 \\ &\quad + T((w_1^2 - S^2)^2w_3 + (w_3^2 - S^2)^2w_1), \\ S(w_3(T^2 - w_2^2)^2 + w_2(T^2 - w_3^2)^2) + (w_2^2 - w_3^2)^2ST &= w_2w_3(T^2 - S^2)^2 \\ &\quad + T((w_2^2 - S^2)^2w_3 + (w_3^2 - S^2)^2w_2). \end{aligned}$$

To solve these equations, we compute the resultant of the first and the  $j$ -th equation for  $j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$  and enumerate the solutions. Due to the symmetries, we take  $j = 4$  as an example and eliminate  $T$  (or  $w_3$ ) from the two equations, which gives  $w_1^2(w_1 + w_2 + Z)\mathcal{G} = 0$ , where

$$\mathcal{G} = Z^2(Z + w_1 + w_2)(w_1^2 + w_1w_2 + w_2^2) + w_1w_2(w_1 + w_2)(Z(w_1 + w_2) + w_1w_2), \quad Z = T \text{ or } w_3.$$

It is easy to check that  $w_1 = 0$  or  $w_1 + w_2 + Z = 0$  gives either  $x_0 = 0$  or  $\mathbf{a}$  is of type (4.9). The latter case leads to  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_3}{\partial y_j} \equiv 0$  for some  $j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ , contradicting to the assumption in Lemma 4.8. For left possible solutions,  $(w_3, T)$  should be two distinct real roots of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $Z$  (the case  $w_3 = T$  implies above trivial solutions). However, a calculation on the discriminant of this equation gives that  $\mathcal{G}$  never has all roots real.

As a consequence, we get  $(\beta_2, p_2) = (-1, 0)$  in condition (b) of Theorem 4.3. Finally, taking  $\alpha = \gamma_1 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  in Theorem 4.3, we have

$$M(\mathcal{F}_3) \preceq \max \left\{ \left( -\frac{5}{6}, 0 \right), (-1, 1) \right\} = \left( -\frac{5}{6}, 0 \right).$$

The proof of Lemma 4.8 is completed.  $\square$

Finally, in the rank 1 case we get  $(\beta_1, p_1) = (-\frac{4}{3}, 0)$  in Theorem 4.3.

**(2) The rank 2 case.** Like the rank 1 case, by symmetry we may assume that at the critical point  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4 + g$  is the 9-parameter deformation,

$W_1 := W_1(z, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) = c_0 + c_1(z_1 + a_2z_2 + a_3z_3 + a_4z_4)^2 + c_2(z_2 + b_1z_1 + b_3z_3 + b_4z_4)^2 + \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4$ ,  
where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_2, a_3, a_4)$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_3, b_4)$ ,  $c_1c_2 \neq 0$  and  $a_2b_1 \neq 1$  (since the rank is 2).

In the sequel, the strategy is the same as the proof of  $M(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_3) \preceq (-\frac{5}{6}, 0)$  in the proof of Lemma 4.8 (under (4.10)). We first introduce a little notation, let

$$\mu_1 := a_2b_1 - 1, \quad (\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5) := \frac{1}{\mu_1}(a_3b_1 - b_3, a_4b_1 - b_4, a_2b_3 - a_3, a_2b_4 - a_4).$$

As before, in  $W_1$  we set  $y_1 = z_1 + a_2z_2 + a_3z_3 + a_4z_4$ ,  $y_2 = z_2 + b_1z_1 + b_3z_3 + b_4z_4$  and  $y_k = z_k$  for  $k = 3, 4$ . By a direct computation parallel to that of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_3$ , it suffices to prove the following counterpart of (4.10),

$$(4.11) \quad M(W_3 + \tilde{c}_1W_4^2 + \tilde{c}_2W_5^2) \preceq \left( -\frac{1}{3}, 0 \right),$$

where  $\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_2 \neq 0$ ,

$$W_3 = y_3y_4(y_3 + y_4) - \left( (\mu_2y_3 + \mu_3y_4) + (\mu_4y_3 + \mu_5y_4) \right) \\ \times \left( (1 - \mu_2)y_3 + (1 - \mu_3)y_4 \right) \left( (1 - \mu_4)y_3 + (1 - \mu_5)y_4 \right),$$

and

$$W_4 = (b_1 - 1) \left( (\mu_2y_3 + \mu_3y_4) + (\mu_4y_3 + \mu_5y_4) - (y_3 + y_4) \right)^2 \\ + (\mu_4y_3 + \mu_5y_4)^2 - b_1(\mu_2y_3 + \mu_3y_4)^2, \\ W_5 = (a_2 - 1) \left( (\mu_2y_3 + \mu_3y_4) + (\mu_4y_3 + \mu_5y_4) - (y_3 + y_4) \right)^2 \\ + (\mu_2y_3 + \mu_3y_4)^2 - a_2(\mu_4y_3 + \mu_5y_4)^2.$$

If  $W_3 \neq 0$ , then we finish by Van der Corput lemma. If  $W_3 \equiv 0$ , using Lemma 4.6 we shall show that  $\tilde{c}_1W_4^2 + \tilde{c}_2W_5^2$  do not possess a root with multiplicity four. Thus (4.11) is valid by Lemma 4.5.

We classify all cases that  $W_3 \equiv 0$ . A direct calculation shows that, except for the trivial solutions, it suffices to consider the following two cases:

- (1)  $\mu_3 = 1, \quad \mu_2 = -\mu_4 = -\mu_5, \quad \mu_2(\mu_2^2 - (\mu_2 + 1)) = 0.$
- (2)  $\mu_2 = \mu_5 = 1, \quad \mu_3 = \mu_4, \quad \mu_3(\mu_3^2 - (\mu_3 + 1)) = 0.$

We only consider (1), since (2) is similar. If  $\mu_2 \neq 0$ , then  $\mu_5^2 + \mu_5 = 1$  and

$$W_4 = (b_1 - 1)\mu_5 y_3^2 + 2y_3 y_4 - b_1 \mu_5 y_4^2, \quad W_5 = (a_2 - 1)\mu_5 y_3^2 - 2a_2 y_3 y_4 + \mu_5 y_4^2,$$

with the discriminants

$$\Delta_{W_4} = 4(\mu_5^2 b_1(b_1 - 1) + 1), \quad \Delta_{W_5} = 4(a_2^2 - \mu_5^2 a_2 + \mu_5^2).$$

Regard them as the quadratic form in  $b_1$  and  $a_2$ , respectively. Since  $\mu_5^2 < 4$ , we know both  $\Delta_{W_4}$  and  $\Delta_{W_5}$  are positive. Therefore, noting that  $a_2 b_1 \neq 1$ , a combination of Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.5 gives (4.11).

If  $\mu_5 = 0$ , then

$$W_4 = (b_1 - 1)y_3^2 - b_1 y_4^2, \quad W_5 = (a_2 - 1)y_3^2 + y_4^2.$$

We use Lemmas 4.5, 4.6 again to get the conclusion.

As a result, we obtain (4.11), thus  $M(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4) \preceq (-\frac{4}{3}, 0)$  by Theorem 4.3.

## 5. SPACE-TIME ESTIMATES AND NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

To begin with, for  $1 \leq q, r < \infty$ , the mixed space-time Lebesgue spaces  $L_t^q \ell^r$  are Banach spaces endowed with the norms

$$\|\tilde{F}\|_{L_t^q \ell^r} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\tilde{F}(x, t)|^r \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

with natural modifications for the case  $q = \infty$  or  $r = \infty$ . By an abuse of notations, for any function  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(x, t)$  defined on  $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(t)$  sometimes for simplicity. Recall that  $\sqrt{-\Delta}$  has been defined in (2.1).

In this section, the proofs follow the same line as those of [3, Theorems 1.4, 5.9].

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By Duhamel's formula,

$$(5.1) \quad u(x, t) = \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta})f_1(x) + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}f_2(x) + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(t-s)\sqrt{-\Delta}}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}F(x, s)ds.$$

Considering the space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^5)$ , we set the operators  $U_{\pm}(t) := \chi_{[0, \infty)}(t) e^{\pm it\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ , where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function. From Theorem 1.1, we know that  $|(G * f)(t)|_{\ell^\infty} \lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-11/6} |f|_1$  provided  $f \in \ell^1$ . Then as a direct consequence of Keel and Tao [18, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$\|e^{it\sqrt{-\Delta}}F_1\|_{L_t^q \ell^r} \lesssim |F_1|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\sqrt{-\Delta}}F_2(s) ds \right\|_{L_t^q \ell^r} \lesssim \|F_2\|_{L_t^{q'} \ell^{r'}}$$

for indices satisfying (1.7) and  $(F_1, F_2) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times L_t^{\tilde{q}'} \ell^{\tilde{r}'}$ . This together with (5.1) gives

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q \ell^r} \lesssim |f_1|_2 + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} f_2 \right|_2 + \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} F \right\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} \ell^{\tilde{r}'}}.$$

Finally, we utilize the boundedness of the operator  $(\sqrt{-\Delta})^{-1}$ , see e.g. [3, Lemma 5.5], to finish the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.1.** *In (1.1), let  $F = |u|^{k-1}u$  with  $k \geq 3$  and  $f_1 \equiv 0$ . If  $f_2 \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^5)$  with  $|f_2|_1$  sufficiently small, then for any  $p \in [2, +\infty]$ , the global solution  $u_{(k)}$  exists in  $\ell^p$  with*

$$|u_{(k)}(t)|_p \lesssim (1 + |t|)^{-\frac{11}{6}(1-\frac{2}{p})}.$$

*Proof.* For the convenience of notations we write  $\zeta_p = \frac{11}{6} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)$ . We only prove the theorem for  $p = k$ , while the case for general  $p \geq 2$  is similar.

For the contraction mapping principle to work, we need to estimate the  $\ell^q$  norm of the solution, i.e.  $G(t) * f_2$ , in terms of time and the  $\ell^p$  norm of initial data  $f_2$ . By interpolation between Theorem 1.1 and a trivial inequality  $|G(t)| \leq C$ , we deduce

$$|G(t)|_k \leq C_k (1 + |t|)^{-\zeta_k}.$$

Therefore, by Young's inequality it holds that

$$(5.2) \quad |G(t) * f_2|_q \leq |G(t)|_r |f_2|_p \leq C_r (1 + |t|)^{-\zeta_r} |f_2|_p$$

where  $\frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ .

Now we consider the metric space

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ \tilde{F} : \mathbb{Z}^5 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \|\tilde{F}\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |t|)^{\zeta_k} |\tilde{F}(\cdot, t)|_k \leq 2C_0 |f_2|_1 \right\},$$

with  $C_0 = C_0(k)$  to be determined later and the map  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\Lambda \tilde{F} := \Lambda \tilde{F}(t) = G(t) * f_2 + \int_0^t G(t-s) * F(\tilde{F}(s)) ds.$$

Given that  $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{M}$ , we see that

$$(1 + |t|)^{\zeta_k} |\Lambda \tilde{F}(t)|_k \leq |f_2|_1 + \int_0^t \left( \frac{1 + |t|}{1 + |t-s|} \right)^{\zeta_k} |\tilde{F}(s)|_k^k ds \leq |f_2|_1 + \|\tilde{F}\|_{\mathcal{M}}^k \mathbf{U}_k,$$

where we have used (5.2) and  $\mathbf{U}_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |s|)^{(1-k)\zeta_k} ds < \infty$ , verified by  $k \geq 3$ . Taking the supremum, choosing proper  $C_0$  and supposing  $|f_2|_1$  sufficiently small in order, we know  $\Lambda \tilde{F} \in \mathcal{M}$ . One can also check that

$$\|\Lambda u_1 - \Lambda u_2\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq C_k \mathbf{U}_k (2C_0 |f_2|_1)^{k-1} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Therefore,  $\Lambda$  is a contraction as long as  $|f_2|_1$  is sufficiently small. Finally,  $\Lambda$  admits a fixed point in  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is the global solution to (1.1).  $\square$

## 6. APPENDIX

Let  $d = 5$  and  $\mathbf{P}_4$  be as in (1.6), note that  $\mathbf{P}_4$  is finitely determined (cf. [21, Chapter 5]). We prove that the oscillation index (cf. Section 2.2) of  $\mathbf{P}_4$  at 0 is  $-\frac{11}{6}$  with multiplicity 0. Then one can verify (1.5) by the proof of [3, Lemma 3.6] and the following proof with slight modifications.

Recall that  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4(\xi) = (\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j)^3 - \sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j^3$  for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , the Newton distance  $d_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4} = \frac{3}{4}$ . By the additivity of the oscillation index, it suffices to prove the following assertion.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There exist  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$  with  $\psi(0) \neq 0$ , and  $c_\psi \neq 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{i\lambda \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_4(\xi)} \psi(\xi) d\xi = c_\psi \lambda^{-\frac{4}{3}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} \log \lambda\right), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Using a change of variables

$$\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 2w_1, \quad \xi_1 - \xi_2 = 2w_2, \quad \xi_3 + \xi_4 = 2w_3, \quad \xi_3 - \xi_4 = 2w_4,$$

it suffices to consider  $J(\lambda, f, \psi)$  for proper  $\psi$  (see (6.2) below), where

$$f(w) = 4(w_1 + w_3)^3 - (w_1^3 + w_3^3) - 3w_1w_2^2 - 3w_3w_4^2, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Let  $C \gg 1$  be a constant, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{w : |w_1| \leq C\lambda^{-1}, |w_3| \leq C\lambda^{-1}\}, & D_2 &= \{w : |w_1| \geq C\lambda^{-1}, |w_3| \geq C\lambda^{-1}\}, \\ D_3 &= \{w : |w_1| > C\lambda^{-1}, |w_3| < C\lambda^{-1}\}, & D_4 &= \{w : |w_1| < C\lambda^{-1}, |w_3| > C\lambda^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$J(\lambda, f, \psi) = \int_{D_1} + \int_{D_2} + \int_{D_3} + \int_{D_4} =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4.$$

It is easy to see that  $J_1 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2})$ , while on  $D_3$ , the method of stationary phase gives

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\lambda w_1 w_2^2} \psi(w) dw_2 = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\lambda|w_1|}} \psi(w_1, 0, w_3, w_4) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1}|w_1|^{-1}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty,$$

Inserting this to  $J_3$  yields an upper bound  $|J_3| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ . The same estimate is valid for  $J_4$ . Now it suffices to consider  $J_2$ . Similar to (6.1), we obtain that

$$(6.2) \quad J_2 = c\lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{V}} e^{i\lambda(4(w_1+w_3)^3 - (w_1^3+w_3^3))} |w_1 w_3|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi_1(w_1, w_2) dw_1 dw_2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-2} \log^2 \lambda),$$

provided  $\lambda$  large enough, where  $\mathcal{V} = \{(w_1, w_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |w_1| > C\lambda^{-1}, |w_3| > C\lambda^{-1}\}$ , and  $\psi_1 = \psi_0((w_1 + w_3)^6, w_1^6 w_3^6)$  for some  $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  supported in  $B_{\mathbb{R}^2}(0, 1)$ .

Denote by  $\mathbf{K}$  the integrand in (6.2), by symmetries it suffices to estimate

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \int_{\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{D}} \mathbf{K} dw_1 dw_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = \int_{\mathcal{V} \cap \{(w_1, w_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : w_1 > 0, w_3 < 0\}} \mathbf{K} dw_1 dw_2,$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the triangle with vertices  $(C\lambda^{-1}, C\lambda^{-1})$ ,  $(1/2, 1/2)$  and  $(1 - C\lambda^{-1}, C\lambda^{-1})$ . We begin with  $\mathcal{K}_1$ , a change of variables  $s_1 = w_1 + w_3$ ,  $s_2 = w_1 w_3$  gives

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \int_{\frac{2}{\lambda}}^1 \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}}^{\frac{s_1^2}{4}} \frac{e^{3i\lambda s_1(s_1^2+s_2)}}{\sqrt{s_2(s_1^2-4s_2)}} \psi_1(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \lambda), \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where the remainder comes from the integral on the triangle with vertices  $(2C\lambda^{-1}, C^2\lambda^{-2})$ ,  $(1, \lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda^{-1}))$  and  $(1, 1/4)$  in  $(s_1, s_2)$ -plane.

A change of variable  $r = 4s_2/s_1^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{4}{\lambda^2}}^1 \left( \int_{\frac{2}{\lambda\sqrt{r}}}^1 e^{3i\lambda s_1^3(1+r/4)} \psi_1(s_1, s_1^2 r/4) ds_1 \right) \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r(1-r)}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{4}{\lambda^2}}^1 \left( \int_0^1 e^{3i\lambda s_1^3(1+r/4)} \psi_1(s_1, s_1^2 r/4) ds_1 \right) \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r(1-r)}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Using the method of stationary phase (cf. [25, Chapter 8 §5]) to the inner integral yields the asymptotic  $\mathcal{K}_1 = c_1 \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \lambda)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Similar argument can be applied to  $\mathcal{K}_2$ . Indeed, a simple computation gives that

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \int_{\{(w_1, w_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2: 0 < w_1 < 1, -1 < w_3 < 0\}} \mathbf{K} dw_1 dw_2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

In coordinate systems  $(s_1, s_2)$  where  $s_1 = w_1 + w_3$  and  $s_2 = -w_1 w_3$ , we have

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i\lambda s_1(s_1^2-s_2)}}{\sqrt{s_1^2+4s_2}} \psi_1(s_1, s_2) ds_1 \right) \frac{ds_2}{\sqrt{s_2}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}) =: \mathcal{K}_3 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Writing  $r = s_1/\sqrt{s_2}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_3 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i\lambda s_2^{\frac{3}{2}} r(r^2-1)}}{\sqrt{r^2+4}} \psi_1(\sqrt{s_2} r, s_2) dr \right) \frac{ds_2}{\sqrt{s_2}} \quad (\text{let } \tau = s_2^{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i\lambda \tau r(r^2-1)} \tau^{-\frac{2}{3}} \psi_1(\tau^{\frac{1}{3}} r, \tau^{\frac{2}{3}}) d\tau \right) \frac{dr}{\sqrt{r^2+4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $r(r^2 - 1)$  has zeros  $0, \pm 1$ , we split

$$\mathcal{K}_3 = \int_{|r| < C\lambda^{-1}} + \int_{C\lambda^{-1} < |r| < 1 - C\lambda^{-1}} + \int_{|r-1| < C\lambda^{-1}} + \int_{|r| > 1 + C\lambda^{-1}} =: +\mathcal{K}_4 + \mathcal{K}_5 + \mathcal{K}_6 + \mathcal{K}_7.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{K}_4 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{K}_6 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-1})$ . For the left two terms we use stationary phase method as in the estimate of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  (for  $\mathcal{K}_7$  we use a change of variable  $y = r^3 \tau$  in addition), and get that both of them have asymptotics  $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

As a consequence, we check that there exists  $c_0 \neq 0$  such that  $J_2 = c_0 \lambda^{-\frac{4}{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log \lambda)$ , which completes the proof of Proposition 6.1.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

C.Bi is grateful to Prof. James Montaldi and Titus Piezas III for helpful discussions and suggestions. B.Hua is supported by NSFC, No. 12371056, and by Shanghai Science and Technology Program [Project No. 22JC1400100].

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Arnold. *Remarks on the method of stationary phase and on the Coxeter numbers*. Uspehi Mat. Nauk **28** (1973), no. 5(173), 17–44.
- [2] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps. Volume 1*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts, Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous based on a previous translation by Mark Reynolds, Reprint of the 1985 edition. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012, xii+382.
- [3] C. Bi, J. Cheng, and B. Hua. *The Wave Equation on Lattices and Oscillatory Integrals* (2023), arXiv:2312.04130.
- [4] V. Borovyk and M. Goldberg. *The Klein-Gordon equation on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and the quantum harmonic lattice*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **107** (2017), no. 6, 667–696.
- [5] J.-C. Cuenin and I. A. Ikromov. *Sharp time decay estimates for the discrete Klein-Gordon equation*. Nonlinearity **34** (2021), no. 11, 7938–7962.
- [6] M. T. Dove. *Introduction to lattice dynamics*. eng. Cambridge topics in mineral physics and chemistry ; 4. 1993.
- [7] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs. *Quantum mechanics and path integrals*. Emended. Emended and with a preface by Daniel F. Styer. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2010, xii+371.
- [8] J. Friedman and J.-P. Tillich. *Wave equations for graphs and the edge-based Laplacian*. Pacific J. Math. **216** (2004), no. 2, 229–266.
- [9] M. Greenblatt. *The asymptotic behavior of degenerate oscillatory integrals in two dimensions*. J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 6, 1759–1798.
- [10] M. Greenblatt. *Stability of oscillatory integral asymptotics in two dimensions*. J. Geom. Anal. **24** (2014), no. 1, 417–444.
- [11] P. T. Gressman. *Uniform estimates for cubic oscillatory integrals*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 7, 3419–3442.
- [12] F. Han and B. Hua. *Uniqueness class of the wave equation on graphs* (2020). arXiv: 2009.12793.
- [13] I. A. Ikromov and D. Müller. *On adapted coordinate systems*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 6, 2821–2848.
- [14] I. A. Ikromov and D. Müller. *Uniform estimates for the Fourier transform of surface carried measures in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and an application to Fourier restriction*. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **17** (2011), no. 6, 1292–1332.

- [15] V. N. Karpushkin. *Uniform estimates of oscillating integrals with a parabolic or a hyperbolic phase*. Trudy Sem. Petrovsk. (1983), no. 9, 3–39.
- [16] V. N. Karpushkin. *A theorem on uniform estimates for oscillatory integrals with a phase depending on two variables*. Trudy Sem. Petrovsk. (1984), no. 10, 150–169, 238.
- [17] V. N. Karpushkin. *Dependence on amplitude of uniform estimates for oscillatory integrals*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **44** (1989), no. 5(269), 163–164.
- [18] M. Keel and T. Tao. *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*. Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no. 5, 955–980.
- [19] P. G. Kevrekidis. *Non-linear waves in lattices: past, present, future*. IMA J. Appl. Math. **76** (2011), no. 3, 389–423.
- [20] Y. Lin and Y. Xie. *Application of Rothe’s method to a nonlinear wave equation on graphs*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **59** (2022), no. 3, 745–756.
- [21] J. Montaldi. *Singularities, bifurcations and catastrophes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021, xvii+430.
- [22] D. H. Phong, E. M. Stein, and J. A. Sturm. *On the growth and stability of real-analytic functions*. Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), no. 3, 519–554.
- [23] P. Schultz. *Nonlinear wave equations in multidimensional lattices*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–New York University. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1996, 160.
- [24] P. Schultz. *The wave equation on the lattice in two and three dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **51** (1998), no. 6, 663–695.
- [25] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Vol. 43. Princeton Mathematical Series. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, xiv+695.
- [26] A. N. Varčenko. *Newton polyhedra and estimates of oscillatory integrals*. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **10** (1976), no. 3, 13–38.

CHENG BI: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

*Email address:* `cbi21@m.fudan.edu.cn`

JIawei CHENG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

*Email address:* `chengjw21@m.fudan.edu.cn`

BOBO HUA: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LMNS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA; SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

*Email address:* `bobohua@fudan.edu.cn`