

# Bounds on $f$ -Divergences between Distributions within Generalized Quasi- $\varepsilon$ -Neighborhood

Xinchun Yu, Shuangqing Wei, Shao-Lun Huang, and Xiao-Ping Zhang

**Abstract**—A general reverse Pinsker’s inequality is derived to give an upper bound on  $f$ -divergences in terms of total variational distance when two distributions are close measured under our proposed generalized local information geometry framework. In addition, relationships between two  $f$ -divergences equipped with functions that are third order differentiable are established in terms of the lower and upper bounds of their ratio, when the underlying distributions are within a generalized quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood.

**Index Terms**—local information geometry,  $f$ -divergence, total variational distance, reverse Pinsker’s inequality

## I. INTRODUCTION

Relationships between different measures of probability distributions have long been a focus of interests in probability theory, statistics, and information theory [1][2]. The bounds of one measure in terms of another are particularly important in investigating the convergence rates of some statistical methods and machine learning algorithms. In this work, the common  $f$ -divergences are divided into two classes with respect to their first order differentiability at 1. We consider the bound of the  $f$ -divergences in one class in terms of any one in the other class when the involved pair of probability measures are close. The closeness is characterized by a notion of generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -neighborhood, which is a generalization of quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood from local information geometry. We provide reverse Pinsker inequality between the two classes of  $f$ -divergences with a typical example of the latter class as total variational distance. In addition, lower and upper bounds of one divergence in terms of another are provided when both  $f$ -functions are 3-rd order differentiable. The bounds depend on both the range of the ratio of the distribution pair and the characteristics of the second and third order derivatives of the related  $f$ - functions.

The contributions of our work are summarized as follows: (1) The generalized quasi- $\varepsilon$  neighborhood provides a way to characterize the closeness between two distributions without structural constraints. (2) We provide inequalities between different  $f$ -divergences, which generalize the inequalities in terms of  $\chi^2$  divergence to characterize mutual asymptotic equivalence in [3]. (3) Our results highlight the reverse

Pinsker’s inequality between total variation distance and other  $f$ -divergences in a general neighborhood setting, which has not reported in the literature. (4) We provide applications of the inequalities to sharpen the existing

## II. PRELIMINARIES

### A. Convex Functions

Let  $(u, v) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a finite or infinite interval, a function  $f : (u, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then the right derivative and left derivative

$$f'_+(s) = \lim_{t \downarrow s} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}, \quad f'_-(s) = \lim_{t \uparrow s} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \quad (1)$$

always exist and are finite on the whole domain  $(u, v)$ [4] [5]. Moreover,  $f'_+(s)$  is always right continuous and monotone nondecreasing.  $f'_-(s)$  is always left continuous and monotone nondecreasing. If  $f$  is not differentiable at  $a$ , for  $a \leq b$ , the Taylor expansion to the convex function  $f$  can be written as (Theorem 1 in [6])

$$f(b) = f(a) + f'_+(a)(b - a) + R_f^+(a, b) \quad (2)$$

where  $0 = R_f(a, a) \leq R_f(a, b)$ . While for  $a \geq b$ , the Taylor expansion to the convex function  $f$  can be written as

$$f(b) = f(a) + f'_-(a)(b - a) + R_f^-(a, b) \quad (3)$$

for  $a, b \in (u, v)$  where  $0 = R_f^-(a, a) \leq R_f^-(a, b)$ , and

$$R_f^+(a, b) = \int \mathbf{1}_{(a,b]}(s)(b - s)df'_-(s) \quad (4)$$

$$R_f^-(a, b) = \int \mathbf{1}_{(b,a]}(s)(s - b)df'_+(s) \quad (5)$$

If a convex function  $g(x)$  is twice differentiable at  $a$ , the Taylor formula can be written as

$$g(b) = g(a) + g'(a)(b - a) + \frac{1}{2}g''(a)(b - a)^2 + R_g''(a, b). \quad (6)$$

If  $f'''(x)$  exists for every  $x \in (a, b)$ , then there exists some  $\theta \in (a, b)$ ,

$$R_g''(a, b) = \frac{1}{6}f'''(\theta)(b - a)^3. \quad (7)$$

Xinchun Yu, Shao-Lun Huang and Xiao-Ping Zhang are with Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Ubiquitous Data Enabling, Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, China (e-mails: yuxinchun@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn, shaolun.huang@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn and xpzhang@ieec.org). Shuangqing Wei is with the Division of Electrical and Computer Engineering School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA (e-mail: swei@lsu.edu).

## B. $f$ -Divergences

**Definition 1.** Let  $(P_1, P_0)$  be a pair of probability measures defined on a common measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and suppose that  $P_1 \ll P_0$ . Given  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function such that  $f(1) = 0$ . The  $f$ -divergence from  $P_1$  to  $P_0$  is given by

$$D_f(P_1 \| P_0) = \int f\left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}\right) dP_0 \quad (8)$$

For these  $f$ , let  $f^* : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$f^*(t) = tf\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad t > 0. \quad (9)$$

It is well known that  $f^*$  is also convex,  $f^*(1) = 0$  and  $D_f(P \| Q) = D_{f^*}(P \| Q)$  if  $P \ll Q$ . Moreover, there is continuous extensions of  $f$  and  $f^*$  as follows.

$$f(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(t) \in (\infty, \infty], \quad (10)$$

$$f^*(0) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} f^*(t) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}. \quad (11)$$

Let  $f_c(t) = f(t) + c(t - 1)$ , the following properties are obvious: (1),  $D_f(P_1 \| P_0) = D_{f_c}(P_1 \| P_0)$ ; (2),  $f(0) + f^*(0) = f_c(0) + f_c^*(0)$ .

We list some common  $f$ -divergences as follows.

- 1) Total variation distance (TVD) with  $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}|t - 1|$ :

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) = \frac{1}{2}|P_1 - P_0| = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} |P_1(A) - P_0(A)|. \quad (12)$$

- 2) Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL divergence) with  $f(t) = t \log t$ :

$$D(P_1 \| P_0) = \mathbb{E}_{P_1} \left[ \log \frac{dP_1}{dP_0} \right]. \quad (13)$$

The relationship between KL divergence and TVD can be characterized by Pinsker's inequality

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}D(P_1 \| P_0)}. \quad (14)$$

- 3)  $\chi^2$ -Divergence with  $f(t) = t^2 - 1$ :

$$\chi^2(P_1 \| P_0) = \int \left( \frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1 \right)^2 dP_0. \quad (15)$$

- 4) Relative Entropy ( $P_1 \ll P_0$ ) with  $f(t) = -\log t$ :

$$D(P_0 \| P_1) = \mathbb{E}_{P_0} \left[ \log \frac{dP_0}{dP_1} \right]. \quad (16)$$

- 5) Jeffery's Divergence ( $P_1 \ll P_0$ ) with  $f(t) = (t - 1) \log t$ :

$$D_J(P_1 \| P_0) = D(P_1 \| P_0) + \bar{D}(P_0 \| P_1). \quad (17)$$

- 6) Hellinger Distance of order  $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$  with  $f_\alpha = \frac{t^\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1}$ :

$$H_\alpha(P_1, P_0) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left( 1 - \int (dP_1)^\alpha (dP_0)^{1-\alpha} \right). \quad (18)$$

Note that  $\chi^2$ -Divergence is the Hellinger distance of order 2, and  $\frac{1}{2}H_{\frac{1}{2}}$  is usually referred as Square Hellinger distance  $H^2$ .

- 7) Jensen-Shannon Divergence with  $f(t) = t \log t - (1 + t) \log \frac{1+t}{2}$ :

$$JS(P_1 \| P_0) = D\left(P_1 \| \frac{P_1 + P_0}{2}\right) + D\left(P_0 \| \frac{P_1 + P_0}{2}\right). \quad (19)$$

## C. Generalized Quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -Neighborhood

In this section, we introduce a notion of generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -neighborhood to characterize the closeness between two distributions.

For a pair of probability measures  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  on a common measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and a small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ , let  $h(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1 \right]$ .

**Definition 2.** For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -neighborhood of a reference distribution  $P_0$  on  $\Omega$  is a set of distributions  $P_1$  which satisfy

$$P_0 - a.s. \quad -m \leq h(\mathbf{x}) \leq M \quad (20)$$

where  $m > 0, M > 0$ . Note that since  $\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} \geq 0$ , it is necessary that  $\varepsilon m \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any distribution  $P_1$  in the quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -neighborhood of  $P_0$ , the corresponding  $h(\mathbf{x})$  should satisfy  $\langle P_0, h \rangle \triangleq \int h(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 = 0$ .

*Proof.* From the fact that  $1 = \int dP_1 = \int dP_0 + \varepsilon \cdot \int h(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 = 1 + \varepsilon \cdot \int h(\mathbf{x}) dP_0$ , the conclusion is obvious.  $\square$

When  $M = m = \gamma$ , the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -neighborhood of  $P_0$  is denoted as generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_\gamma$  neighborhood of  $P_0$ . Especially, when  $\gamma = 1$ , the condition in (20) will lead to

$$\chi^2(P_1 \| P_0) = \int \frac{(dP_1 - dP_0)^2}{dP_0} = \int \varepsilon^2 h^2 dP_0 \leq \varepsilon^2. \quad (21)$$

This corresponds to the quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood defined in [7] where it is defined in a discrete probability space.

**Definition 3.** For a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of a reference distribution  $P_0(z)$  on a discrete probability space  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a set of distributions in a  $\chi^2$ -divergence ball of  $\varepsilon^2$  about  $P_0(\mathbf{x})$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(P_0) \triangleq \{P_1 : \chi^2(P_1 \| P_0) \leq \varepsilon^2\}$ , where for distributions  $P$  and  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  which satisfies  $\text{supp}(P) \subseteq \text{supp}(Q)$ ,

Note that the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_\gamma$  neighborhood of  $P_0$  with  $\gamma = 1$  is a subset of the quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $P_0$ . The generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_{(M,m)}$ -neighborhood includes several typical setting in the literature, which are listed as follows.

- 1) For any other distribution  $Q$  that is absolutely continuous respect to  $P_0$ , let  $P_1 = (1 - \lambda)P_0 + \lambda Q$  with  $\lambda$  close to 1, then we have

$$\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1 = -\lambda + \lambda \cdot \frac{dQ}{dP_0}. \quad (22)$$

If  $-m \leq \lambda \cdot \left( \frac{dQ}{dP_0} - 1 \right) \leq M$ , then  $P_1$  is in the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_\gamma$  neighborhood of  $P_0$ . Mutual asymptotic equivalence of  $f$ -divergences for  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  can be found in [8] and [13].

- 2) Two distributions  $P_s$  and  $P_{s_0}$  with parameter  $s$  and  $s_0$ , when  $s$  is close to  $s_0$ . For example, Gaussian local family  $\mathcal{N}(s, 1)$ ,
- 3) Truncated distributions of some typical distributions.

### III. MAIN RESULTS

In the following, we consider the inequalities of  $f$ -divergences between two types of  $f$ -functions in the situation where the distribution  $P_1$  in the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon(M, m)$ -neighborhood of  $P_0$ .

The first type:  $\{f : f \text{ is convex on } (0, \infty) \text{ and } f(1) = 0\}$ . In addition,  $f$  has unequal right derivative and left derivative at  $t = 1$ .

The second type:  $\{f : f \text{ is convex on } (0, \infty) \text{ and } f(1) = 0\}$ . In addition,  $f$  has third order derivative around  $t = 1$ .

For convenience, let  $\gamma = \max\{m, M\}$ , and the first type and the second type of  $f$ -divergences are denoted as  $D_f$  and  $D_g$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.** For any  $f$ -divergence  $g \in D_g$  with  $g''(1) > 0$  and any distribution  $P_1(\mathbf{x})$  in  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $P_0(\mathbf{x})$ . Define

$$\Delta = \int h^2(\mathbf{x}) dP_0, \quad (23)$$

$$\Gamma_{sup} = \sup_{\substack{\eta \in (-m, M) \\ \theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)}} g'''(\theta) \cdot \eta, \quad (24)$$

$$\Gamma_{inf} = \inf_{\substack{\eta \in (-m, M) \\ \theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)}} g'''(\theta) \cdot \eta. \quad (25)$$

For any  $c$  such that

$$c \geq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}}{3g''(1)}, \quad (26)$$

we have

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) \geq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \Delta \varepsilon, \quad D_g(P_1 \| P_0) \leq \frac{1+c}{2} \varepsilon^2 g''(1) \Delta. \quad (27)$$

Moreover, we can get the following ‘‘reverse’’ Pinsker’s inequality:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\Delta D_g(P_1 \| P_0)}{2(1+c)g''(1)\gamma^2}} \leq V_T(P_1, P_0). \quad (28)$$

*Proof.* We first utilize Taylor’s theorem to provide analytic expressions for  $D_f$  and  $D_g$ , and then we use the fact that  $\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}$  is finite to bound the term of remaining part of  $D_g$ . Finally, we get the upper bound of  $D_g$  and lower bound of  $D_f$ . For the first type  $D_f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(P_1 \| P_0) &= \int f\left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}\right) dP_0 \\ &= \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) > 0} \left[ f(1) + f'_+(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right) + R_f^+ \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}, 1\right) \right] dP_0 \\ &\quad + \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) < 0} \left[ f(1) + f'_-(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right) + R_f^- \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}, 1\right) \right] dP_0 \\ &= \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) > 0} \left[ f'_+(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right) + R_f^+ \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}, 1\right) \right] dP_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) < 0} \left[ f'_-(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right) + R_f^- \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}, 1\right) \right] dP_0 \\ &= \varepsilon \left[ \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) > 0} \left( f'_+(1) h(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} df'_+(\theta_1) h^2(\mathbf{x}) \right) dP_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) < 0} \left( f'_-(1) h(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} df'_-(\theta_2) h^2(\mathbf{x}) \right) dP_0 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $\theta_1 \in (1 - m\varepsilon, 1)$  and  $\theta_2 \in (1, 1 + M\varepsilon)$  and both depend on  $\mathbf{x}$ .

For the second type which has continuous third order derivative around  $t = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_g(P_1 \| P_0) &= \int g\left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}\right) dP_0 \\ &= \int \left[ g(1) + g'(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2} g''(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + R_g'' \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}, 1\right) \right] dP_0 \\ &= \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} g''(1) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right)^2 + \frac{1}{6} g'''(\theta) \left(\frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1\right)^3 \right] dP_0 \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} g''(1) \int h^2(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{6} \int g'''(\theta) h^3(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $\theta \in (1 - m \cdot \varepsilon, 1 + M \cdot \varepsilon)$  and it depends on the sample  $\mathbf{x}$ . We have the following inequalities for the term involving the third order derivative from (23), (24) and (25).

$$\int g'''(\theta) h^3(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 \geq \Delta \cdot \Gamma_{inf}. \quad (31)$$

$$\int g'''(\theta) h^3(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 \leq \Delta \cdot \Gamma_{sup} \quad (32)$$

Then, we arrive at the following bound for  $D_g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 g''(1) \Delta + \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^3 \Delta \Gamma_{inf} &\leq D_g(P_1 \| P_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 g''(1) \Delta + \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^3 \Delta \Gamma_{sup}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Since  $g''(1) > 0$ , for any  $c$  such that  $\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup} \leq 3cg''(1)$ , we further have

$$D_g(P_1 \| P_0) \leq \frac{1+c}{2} \varepsilon^2 g''(1) \Delta. \quad (34)$$

Consider TVD as a typical example of  $D_f$  with  $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}|t - 1|$ . The right derivative and left derivative are  $f'_-(1) = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $f'_+(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . In addition,  $f''(\theta) = 0$  for all  $\theta \neq 1$ . Therefore, from (29), we get a lower bound of  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} V_T(P_1, P_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \left[ \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) > 0} h(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 - \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) < 0} h(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\gamma} \varepsilon \left[ \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) > 0} h^2(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 + \int_{h(\mathbf{x}) < 0} h^2(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \varepsilon \int h^2(\mathbf{x}) dP_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \Delta \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Combine the inequalities (34) and (35), we finally have

$$\sqrt{\frac{2D_g(P_1\|P_0)}{g''(1)\Delta(1+c)}} \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{2\gamma V_T(P_1, P_0)}{\Delta}. \quad (36)$$

□

**Remark 1.** Note that  $\Delta$  and  $\gamma$  only depend on the distributions  $P_1$  and  $P_0$ , while  $c$  depends on both the distribution pair and the divergence  $g$ . From the definition of  $h(\mathbf{x})$ , we have

$$\Delta = \int h^2(\mathbf{x})dP_0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int \left( \frac{dP_1}{dP_0} - 1 \right)^2 dP_0 = \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{\varepsilon^2}, \quad (37)$$

and the quantity  $\frac{\Delta}{\gamma^2}$  can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\Delta}{\gamma^2} = \int \left( \frac{h(\mathbf{x})}{\gamma} \right)^2 dP_0 = \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{(\varepsilon\gamma)^2}. \quad (38)$$

From (37),  $\Delta$  measures the size of the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood respect to the standard quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood. From (20) and  $\gamma = \max\{m, M\}$ , the middle term of (38) is less than 1, hence  $\frac{\Delta}{\gamma^2}$  is a normalized coefficient. Furthermore, the inequalities in (27) can be rewritten as

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) \geq \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{2\gamma\varepsilon}, \quad (39)$$

and

$$D_g(P_1\|P_0) \leq \frac{1+c}{2}g''(1)\chi^2(P_1\|P_0). \quad (40)$$

**Remark 2.** Most of the  $f$ -divergences belong to the above type  $D_g$ . Another  $f$ -divergence belonging to  $D_f$  is  $\chi^s$  [9] with  $f_s(t) = |t-1|^s$ , which is also named as the generalized variational distance[10]. Hence, our results can be extended by replacing  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  by  $\chi^s(P_1, P_0)$ .

For  $\Gamma_{sup}$  and  $\Gamma_{inf}$ , we have the following detailed calculation procedures, which will be useful in next section.

(1) If  $g'''(\theta) \equiv 0$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{sup} = \Gamma_{inf} = 0. \quad (41)$$

(2) If  $\forall \theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)$ ,  $g'''(\theta) > 0$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{sup} = M \cdot \sup_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} g'''(\theta) \quad (42)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{inf} = -m \cdot \sup_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} g'''(\theta). \quad (43)$$

(3) If  $\forall \theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)$ ,  $g'''(\theta) < 0$ , we have

$$\Gamma_{sup} = -m \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} g'''(\theta) \quad (44)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{inf} = M \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} g'''(\theta) \quad (45)$$

If  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ , then  $m \rightarrow 0, M \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, from (42) to (45), it further results in

$$\Gamma_{sup} \downarrow 0, \quad \Gamma_{inf} \uparrow 0. \quad (46)$$

**Theorem 2.** For any two  $f$ -divergences equipped with functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  in  $D_g$  and any distribution  $P_1(\mathbf{x})$  in the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon$ - $(m, M)$ -neighborhood of  $P_0(\mathbf{x})$ . With  $\Delta$

defined in (23),  $\Gamma_{sup}^{(1)}$  and  $\Gamma_{sup}^{(2)}$  as defined in (24) for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  and  $\Gamma_{inf}^{(1)}$  and  $\Gamma_{inf}^{(2)}$  defined in (25) for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . For any  $c_1, \bar{c}_1, c_2, \bar{c}_2$  such that

$$3\bar{c}_1 g_1''(1) \leq \varepsilon \Gamma_{inf}^{(1)}, \varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}^{(1)} \leq 3c_1 g_1''(1), \quad (47)$$

$$3\bar{c}_2 g_2''(1) \leq \varepsilon \Gamma_{inf}^{(2)}, \varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}^{(2)} \leq 3c_2 g_2''(1), \quad (48)$$

we have

$$\frac{(1+\bar{c}_1)g_1''(1)}{(1+c_2)g_2''(1)} \leq \frac{D_{g_1}(P_1\|P_0)}{D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0)} \leq \frac{(1+c_1)g_1''(1)}{(1+\bar{c}_2)g_2''(1)}. \quad (49)$$

*Proof.* Consider the inequalities (33) for  $g_1$ , from the conditions in (47), we have

$$D_{g_1}(P_1\|P_0) \geq \frac{1+\bar{c}_1}{2}\varepsilon^2 g_1''(1)\Delta, \quad (50)$$

and

$$D_{g_1}(P_1\|P_0) \leq \frac{1+c_1}{2}\varepsilon^2 g_1''(1)\Delta. \quad (51)$$

Similarly, for  $g_2$  we have

$$D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0) \geq \frac{1+\bar{c}_2}{2}\varepsilon^2 g_2''(1)\Delta, \quad (52)$$

and

$$D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0) \leq \frac{1+c_2}{2}\varepsilon^2 g_2''(1)\Delta. \quad (53)$$

Thus, from (51) and (52),

$$\frac{D_{g_1}(P_1\|P_0)}{(1+c_1)g_1''(1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\Delta \leq \frac{D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0)}{(1+\bar{c}_2)g_2''(1)} \quad (54)$$

which is equivalent to the right side of (49). After swapping the role of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , we have the left side of (49). □

Note that the inequalities in (49) are nontrivial only if  $1+\bar{c}_1 > 0$  and  $1+\bar{c}_2 > 0$ , which means with given  $m, M$ , we should choose  $\varepsilon$  with caution.

**Remark 3.** The following inequality has emerged in [11] and [2]:

$$D_f(P\|Q) \leq (f(0) + f^*(0))V_T(P, Q). \quad (55)$$

where  $f$  is the function equipped with  $f$ -divergence. Consider the  $f$ -divergence in left side of (55) in  $D_g$ , and we write it as  $D_g(P_1\|P_0)$  and  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  for convenience. From (55), we get a lower bound of  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  as a linear function of  $D_f$ ,

$$\frac{1}{g(0) + g^*(0)} D_g(P_1\|P_0) \leq V_T(P_1, P_0). \quad (56)$$

Compare the two lower bounds of  $V_T(P_1\|P_0)$  from (56) and (28), we have

$$\frac{1}{g(0) + g^*(0)} D_g(P_1\|P_0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\Delta D_g(P_1\|P_0)}{2(1+c)g''(1)\gamma^2}}, \quad (57)$$

$$\iff \frac{D_g(P_1\|P_0)}{\Delta} \leq \frac{(g(0) + g^*(0))^2}{2(1+c)g''(1)\gamma^2}, \quad (58)$$

$$\iff \varepsilon^2 \cdot \frac{D_g(P_1\|P_0)}{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)} \leq \frac{(g(0) + g^*(0))^2}{2(1+c)g''(1)\gamma^2}. \quad (59)$$

From (40), the left side of (59) is upper bounded by  $\frac{1+c}{2}g''(1)\varepsilon^2$ . Hence, the inequality (59) holds if

$$\frac{1+c}{2}g''(1)\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{[g(0) + g^*(0)]^2}{2(1+c)g''(1)\gamma^2}. \quad (60)$$

It is equivalent to

$$|\varepsilon(1+c)g''(1)\gamma| \leq |g(0) + g^*(0)|, \quad (61)$$

which obviously holds if  $\varepsilon$  is small. Thus, when  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  is sufficiently close so that  $P_1$  is in  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood and the condition (59) is satisfied, the lower bound of TVD in terms of  $f$ -divergence in  $D_g$  in (28) is tighter than (56).

In [12], it is proved that

$$\sup_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{A}(\delta, \hat{m}, \hat{M})} D_f(P\|Q) = \delta \left( \frac{f(\hat{m})}{1-\hat{m}} + \frac{f(\hat{M})}{\hat{M}-1} \right) \quad (62)$$

In the above formula (62), we have  $\hat{m} = 1 - \varepsilon m$  and  $\hat{M} = 1 + \varepsilon M$  where  $m$  and  $M$  are defined in Definition 2. For the same reason as above, the inequality (28) provides a refined lower bound of TVD in terms of square root of  $f$ -divergence with  $f$  in type  $D_g$  in a generalized  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood.

**Remark 4.** From Theorem 5 and (156) in [2], related upper and lower bounds are

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\beta \in (\beta_2, 1) \cup (1, \beta_1^{-1})} \kappa(\beta) D_{g_2}(P\|Q) &\leq D_{g_1}(P\|Q) \\ \sup_{\beta \in (\beta_2, 1) \cup (1, \beta_1^{-1})} \kappa(\beta) D_{g_2}(P\|Q) &\geq D_{g_1}(P\|Q). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where  $g_1, g_2$  can be any  $f$ -functions (not confined to the type  $D_g$ ). When the function  $\kappa$  is monotonically increasing, the inequalities in (63) are converted into

$$\kappa(\beta_2) D_g(P\|Q) \leq D_f(P\|Q) \leq \kappa(\beta_1^{-1}) D_g(P\|Q). \quad (64)$$

Compared with (63) and (64), our bounds focus on the situation when both  $\beta_1, \beta_2^{-1}$  are relatively close to 1 and we proceed to relate the supremum and infimum of  $\kappa(\beta)$  to the second and third order derivatives of  $g_1, g_2$ . Especially, the coefficients  $c_i, \bar{c}_i, i = 1, 2$  depend on both  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  and the second and third derivatives of  $g_1, g_2$ . In addition, our bounds in Theorem 2 don't rely on the monotonicity of  $\kappa$ , and thus can provide bounds of more pairs of  $f$ -divergences than the inequality (64).

**Remark 5.** From Theorem 2, tighter bounds can be found as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_1, c_2} \frac{(1 + \bar{c}_1)g_1''(1)}{(1 + c_2)g_2''(1)} D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0) \\ \leq D_{g_1}(P_1\|P_0) \\ \leq \min_{c_1, \bar{c}_2} \frac{(1 + c_1)g_1''(1)}{(1 + \bar{c}_2)g_2''(1)} D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

<sup>1</sup>We have  $\beta_1^{-1} = 1 + \varepsilon M, \beta_2 = 1 - \varepsilon m$  in our work

When  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ , from (46), (47) and (48), we have  $\bar{c}_1 g_1''(1) \leq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{inf}^1}{3} \rightarrow 0, c_1 g_1''(1) \geq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}^1}{3} \rightarrow 0, \bar{c}_2 g_2''(1) \leq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{inf}^2}{3} \rightarrow 0$  and  $c_2 g_2''(1) \geq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}^2}{3} \rightarrow 0$ . From the inequality (49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_1''(1)}{g_2''(1)} &\leftarrow \max_{\bar{c}_1, c_2} \frac{g_1''(1) + \bar{c}_1 g_1''(1)}{g_2''(1) + c_2 g_2''(1)} \\ &\leq \frac{D_{g_1}(P_1\|P_0)}{D_{g_2}(P_1\|P_0)} \\ &\leq \min_{c_1, \bar{c}_2} \frac{g_1''(1) + c_1 g_1''(1)}{g_2''(1) + \bar{c}_2 g_2''(1)} \rightarrow \frac{g_1''(1)}{g_2''(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Hence, the inequalities can be regarded as intermediate results between the limit behavior of Lemma 4 in [13] and the bounds in (63). When applying Theorem 2 for specific  $f$ -divergence pairs (1):  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  and  $D(P_0\|P_1)$ ; (2):  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  and  $\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)$ , the results indicate the limits indicated by (181) of Corollary 2 and (182) of Corollary 3.

In Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, although it is required that the distribution  $P_1$  is in a generalized quasi- $\varepsilon_\gamma$  (or  $\varepsilon_{(m,M)}$ ) neighborhood of  $P_0$ , with given  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon m \leq 1$ , the value of  $\gamma$  (or  $M$ ) can be large, which implies that the two distributions may not be very close. The sufficient conditions for these inequalities in both theorems depend on the conditions of the inequalities (26), (47) and (48), which further depend on the value domain of the ratio  $\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}$  and the properties of related  $f$ -functions. With given  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$ , the evaluation of  $\Gamma_{sup}$  and  $\Gamma_{inf}$  may be complicated when dealing with some specific divergences. Nevertheless, our derivation provides a general framework to bound a  $f$ -divergence in terms of another.

#### IV. APPLICATIONS TO SPECIFIC $f$ -DIVERGENCES

In this section, we will consider the conditions on specific functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  under which the inequalities in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 hold, i.e. the inequalities (26), (47) and (48). For both theorems, we list some applications to some particular  $f$ -divergences which belong to the second type  $D_g$ . The obtained bounds are compared to the similar inequalities shown in the literature.

##### A. $D(P_1\|P_0)$ and $V_T(P_1, P_0)$

For  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  with  $f(t) = t \log t$ , we have  $f''(t) = \frac{\log e}{t}$  and  $f'''(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} \log e$ . Since  $f'''(\theta) < 0$ , from (44) we get

$$\Gamma_{sup} = -m \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} g'''(\theta) = \frac{m}{(1-m \cdot \varepsilon)^2} \log e. \quad (67)$$

From (26), the qualified  $c$  for the inequality (28) should satisfy

$$c \geq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}}{3g''(1)} = \frac{\varepsilon m}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^2}. \quad (68)$$

Thus, we have the following bounds of  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  in terms of  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  from Theorem 1 and Pinsker's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta D(P_1\|P_0)}{2(1+c)\gamma^2 \log e}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0) \ln 2}{2(1+c)(\gamma\varepsilon)^2} D(P_1\|P_0)} \\ &\leq V_T(P_1, P_0) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} D(P_1\|P_0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

The tightest bound for (69) by taking equality for the inequality of  $c$  in (68). The ratio between the lower bound and the upper bound in (69) is expressed as

$$\frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{(\gamma\varepsilon)^2} \cdot \frac{\ln 2}{(1+c)} = \frac{\Delta}{\gamma^2} \cdot \frac{\ln 2}{(1+c)}, \quad (70)$$

which is the normalized coefficient multiplied by a constant. Especially, when  $P_1(\mathbf{x})$  is in the  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $P_0(\mathbf{x})$  with  $\varepsilon = 0.1$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , the inequality (26) is satisfied by  $c = 1$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{D(P_1\|P_0)\Delta\ln 2} \leq V_T(P_1, P_0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}D(P_1\|P_0)}. \quad (71)$$

The inequalities (69) and (71) provide direct quantitative relationships between  $\sqrt{D(P_1\|P_0)}$  and  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  as reverse Pinsker's inequalities in local settings.

**Remark 6.** Recently, the following lower bounds of TVD in terms of KL divergence have been found.

- 1) In Theorem 23 of [2], a linear lower bound of TVD in terms of KL divergence is given as <sup>2</sup>

$$D(P\|Q) \leq (\varphi(\beta_1^{-1}) - \varphi(\beta_2))V_T(P, Q), \quad (72)$$

where  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 0; \\ \frac{t \log t}{t-1} & t \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty); \\ \log e & t = 1. \end{cases} \quad (73)$$

From (72) and (69),

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(\beta_1^{-1}) - \varphi(\beta_2)} D(P_1\|P_0) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\Delta D(P_1\|P_0)}{2(1+c)\gamma^2 \log e}} \quad (74)$$

$$\iff D(P_1\|P_0) \leq \frac{(\varphi(\beta_1^{-1}) - \varphi(\beta_2))\Delta}{2(1+c)\gamma^2 \log e}. \quad (75)$$

When  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  is close so that (75) holds, the bound (69) is a tighter lower bound than (72).

- 2) In the case of finite alphabet, it is shown in Theorem 25 of [2] that

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \log \left( 1 + \frac{2V_T(P, Q)^2}{Q_{\min}} \right). \quad (76)$$

The left hand side of (71) is a refinement of (72) in the form of square root of  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  in a local setting, and is more straightforward than (76), which only applies for the situations of finite alphabet. In fact, when the probability space is discrete, we can always find some  $c$  that (68) is satisfied. In Section V, we will provide more detailed comparison between our results and (76).

From (71), we can see that  $\Delta$  is important for the quantity relationship between KL divergence and TVD when the two distributions are close.

<sup>2</sup>In Theorem 23 and Theorem 25 of [2],  $|P - Q| = 2V_T(P, Q)$ .

## B. $\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)$ and $V_T(P_1, P_0)$

We consider the specific pair from the inequality (28). For  $\chi^2$ -Divergence with  $g(t) = t^2 - 1$ , we have  $g''(t) = 2$  and  $g'''(t) = 0$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{sup} = 0$ . From (26), the qualified  $c$  for the inequality (28) should satisfy  $c \geq 0$ . Let  $c = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\Delta\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{\gamma^2}} \leq V_T(P_1, P_0) \quad (77)$$

From (37) in Remark 1, the above inequality is rewritten as

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{\gamma^2} \cdot \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{\varepsilon^2}} \quad (78)$$

$$= \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{2\varepsilon\gamma},$$

which is the same as (39). Especially, when  $P_1(\mathbf{x})$  is in the generalized  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $P_0(\mathbf{x})$  with  $\gamma = 1$ , the inequality (26) is satisfied by  $c = 0$ . Thus, we have

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)\Delta} = \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{2\varepsilon}, \quad (79)$$

which is the same as the inequality (78) with  $\gamma = 1$ .

**Remark 7.** In (159) of [2], a related lower bound of TVD in terms of  $\chi^2(P\|Q)$  is

$$\chi^2(P_1\|P_0) \leq 2 \cdot \max\{\beta_1^{-1} - 1, 1 - \beta_2\} \cdot V_T(P_1, P_0). \quad (80)$$

In the generalized  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood, we have  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon M}$  and  $\beta_2 = 1 - \varepsilon m$ , hence we have

$$\max\{\beta_1^{-1} - 1, 1 - \beta_2\} = \max\{\varepsilon M, \varepsilon m\} = \varepsilon\gamma \quad (81)$$

and the inequality (78) is equivalent to (80) in this local setting.

## C. $H_\alpha(P_1, P_0)$ and $V_T(P_1, P_0)$

For Hellinger distance of order  $\alpha$ , it is easy to get  $g''(t) = \alpha t^{\alpha-2}$  and  $g'''(t) = \alpha(\alpha-2)t^{\alpha-3}$ . For  $\varepsilon\gamma \leq 1$ , the range  $(1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)$  lies on the positive half axis, and whether  $g'''(\theta)$  is positive or not only depends on  $\alpha$ . Thus, we have from (42) and (44):

$$\Gamma_{sup} = \sup_{\substack{\kappa \in (-m, M) \\ \theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)}} g'''(\theta)\eta \quad (82)$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{\eta \in (-m, M) \\ \theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)}} \eta\alpha(\alpha-2)\theta^{\alpha-3} \quad (83)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -m \times \inf_{\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)} \alpha(\alpha-2)\theta^{\alpha-3}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0 & \alpha = 2; \\ M \times \sup_{\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)} \alpha(\alpha-2)\theta^{\alpha-3}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ M \times \sup_{\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)} \alpha(\alpha-2)\theta^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad (84)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -m\alpha(\alpha-2) \times \sup_{\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)} \frac{1}{\theta^{3-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0 & \alpha = 2; \\ M\alpha(\alpha-2) \times \sup_{\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)} \frac{1}{\theta^{3-\alpha}}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ M\alpha(\alpha-2) \times \sup_{\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)} \theta^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad (85)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -m \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{(1-\varepsilon m)^{3-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0, & \alpha = 2; \\ M \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{(1-\varepsilon m)^{3-\alpha}}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ M \cdot \alpha(\alpha-2)(1+\varepsilon M)^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

The qualified region of  $c$  for the inequality (28) can be calculated from (26) as follows.

$$c \geq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}}{3g''(1)} = \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{sup}}{3\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{-\varepsilon m(\alpha-2)}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^{3-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0, & \alpha = 2; \\ \frac{\varepsilon M(\alpha-2)}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^{3-\alpha}}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ \frac{\varepsilon M(\alpha-2)(1+\varepsilon M)^{\alpha-3}}{3}, & \alpha \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

We have the following bound of  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  in terms of  $H_\alpha(P_1, P_0)$  from Theorem 1:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\Delta H_\alpha(P_1 \| P_0)}{2\alpha(1+c)\gamma^2}} \leq V_T(P_1, P_0). \quad (88)$$

The tightest bound for (88) by taking equalities for the inequalities of  $c$  in (87).

Consider some special case. For example, when  $\alpha = 2$ , Hellinger distance of order 2 is  $\chi^2$ -Divergence and  $c \geq 0$ , the inequality (88) becomes (77). When  $P_1(x)$  is in the generalized quasi- $\varepsilon\gamma$ -neighborhood of  $P_0(x)$  with  $\varepsilon = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1$ , the inequality (26) is satisfied by  $c = 1$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{\alpha} H_\alpha(P_1 \| P_0)} \leq V_T(P_1, P_0). \quad (89)$$

#### D. $D(P_1 \| P_0)$ and $D(P_0 \| P_1)$

Let  $g_1(t) = t \log t$  and  $g_2(t) = -\log t$ , it is easy to get  $g_1''(t) = \frac{\log e}{t}$ ,  $g_2''(t) = \frac{\log e}{t^2}$ ,  $g_1'''(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} \log e$  and  $g_2'''(t) = -\frac{2}{t^3} \log e$ . For  $\varepsilon\gamma \leq 1$ , we have  $g_1'''(\theta) < 0$  and  $g_2'''(\theta) < 0$  for  $\theta \in (1-\varepsilon m, 1+\varepsilon M)$ . From (44) and (45), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{sup}^{(1)} &= -m \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \log e \right] \\ &= m \cdot \sup_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \log e \\ &= m \cdot \frac{\log e}{\inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \theta^2} \\ &= \frac{m \log e}{(1-\varepsilon m)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{inf}^{(1)} &= M \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \left[ -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \log e \right] \\ &= -M \cdot \sup_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \log e \\ &= -M \cdot \frac{\log e}{\inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \theta^2} \\ &= \frac{-M \log e}{(1-\varepsilon m)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{sup}^2 &= -m \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \left[ -\frac{2}{\theta^3} \log e \right] \\ &= m \cdot \sup_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \frac{2}{\theta^3} \log e \\ &= m \frac{2 \log e}{\inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \theta^3} \\ &= \frac{2m \log e}{(1-\varepsilon m)^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{inf}^2 &= M \cdot \inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \left[ -\frac{2}{\theta^3} \log e \right] \\ &= -M \cdot \sup_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \frac{2}{\theta^3} \log e \\ &= -M \frac{2 \log e}{\inf_{\theta \in (1-m\varepsilon, 1+M\varepsilon)} \theta^3} \\ &= \frac{-2M \log e}{(1-\varepsilon m)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Then, we further have

$$c_1 \geq \frac{\varepsilon m}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^2}, c_2 \geq \frac{2\varepsilon m}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^3}, \quad (94)$$

and

$$\bar{c}_1 \leq \frac{-\varepsilon M}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^2}, \bar{c}_2 \leq \frac{-2\varepsilon M}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^3}. \quad (95)$$

The inequality from Theorem 2 for the pair of  $D(P_1 \| P_0)$  and  $D(P_0 \| P_1)$  is expressed as

$$\frac{1 + \bar{c}_1}{1 + c_2} \leq \frac{D(P_1 \| P_0)}{D(P_0 \| P_1)} \leq \frac{1 + c_1}{1 + \bar{c}_2}. \quad (96)$$

From the above values of  $c_i, \bar{c}_i, i = 1, 2$ , we have the tightest bound for (96) by taking equalities for the inequalities of  $c_1, \bar{c}_1, c_2, \bar{c}_2$  in (94) and (95):

$$\frac{D(P_1 \| P_0)}{D(P_0 \| P_1)} \geq \frac{1 - \frac{\varepsilon M}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^2}}{1 + \frac{2\varepsilon m}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^3}} = 1 - \frac{2\varepsilon m + \varepsilon M(1-\varepsilon m)}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^3 + 2\varepsilon m} \quad (97)$$

$$\frac{D(P_1 \| P_0)}{D(P_0 \| P_1)} \leq \frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon m}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^2}}{1 - \frac{2\varepsilon M}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^3}} = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon m(1-\varepsilon m) + 2\varepsilon M}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^3 - 2\varepsilon M} \quad (98)$$

In Theorem 6 in [2], the authors use the ratio of  $g_i(t) - g_i'(1)(t-1), i = 1, 2$  and the domain of  $\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}$  to bound  $\frac{D(P_1 \| P_0)}{D(P_0 \| P_1)}$ . Here, we explicitly bound it using the domain of  $\frac{dP_1}{dP_0}$  and the quantity relationships between the second and third order derivatives of the  $g_i, i = 1, 2$ .

**Remark 8.** Theorem 6 in [2] provides the following bounds of  $\frac{D(P_1\|P_0)}{D(P_0\|P_1)}$ :

$$\kappa(\beta_2) \leq \frac{D(P_1\|P_0)}{D(P_0\|P_1)} \leq \kappa(\beta_1^{-1}) \quad (99)$$

where

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{t \log t + (1-t) \log e}{(t-1) \log e - \log t}. \quad (100)$$

In the generalized  $\varepsilon_\gamma$ -neighborhood, we have  $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon M}$  and  $\beta_2 = 1 - \varepsilon m$ . From (100), the numerator and denominator have the form as  $g(t) - g'(1)(t-1)$ . The tightest bounds can be evaluated at  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_1^{-1}$  due to the monotonicity of  $\kappa$  from Remark 11 in [2]. Since  $c_i, \bar{c}_i, i = 1, 2$  are obtained by evaluating the truncated Taylor's formula of  $g_1, g_2$ , our bounds are definitely looser than (99). However, the inequalities of (99) rely on the monotonicity of  $\kappa$  function in (100). When  $\kappa$  function involved with pair of  $f$ -divergence is not monotonic, our bounds in Theorem 2 are more convenient to calculate.

E.  $\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)$  and  $D(P_1\|P_0)$

Let  $g_1(t) = t^2 - 1$  and  $g_2(t) = t \log t$ , we have  $g_2''(t) = \frac{\log e}{t}$ ,  $g_1''(t) = 2$ ,  $g_2'''(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} \log e$  and  $g_1'''(t) = 0$ . For  $\varepsilon\gamma \leq 1$ , we have  $g_1'''(\theta) < 0$  and  $g_2'''(\theta) < 0$  for  $\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)$ . From (41) (90) and (91), we get  $\Gamma_{sup}^{(1)} = \Gamma_{inf}^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\Gamma_{sup}^{(2)} = \frac{m \log e}{(1 - \varepsilon m)^2}$ , and  $\Gamma_{inf}^{(2)} = \frac{-M \log e}{(1 - \varepsilon m)^2}$ . Thus, we have

$$c_1 \geq 0, \bar{c}_1 \leq 0 \quad (101)$$

and

$$c_2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon m \log e}{6(1 - \varepsilon m)^2}, \bar{c}_2 \leq -\frac{\varepsilon M \log e}{6(1 - \varepsilon m)^2}. \quad (102)$$

Finally, let  $c_1 = \bar{c}_1 = 0$ , the inequality from Theorem 2 for the pair of  $\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)$  and  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  is

$$\frac{2 \ln 2}{1 + c_2} \leq \frac{\chi^2(P_1\|P_0)}{D(P_1\|P_0)} \leq \frac{2 \ln 2}{1 + \bar{c}_2}, \quad (103)$$

and the tightest bound is obtained for (110) by taking equalities for the inequalities of  $c_2, \bar{c}_2$  in (102).

F.  $H_\alpha(P_1, P_0)$  and  $D(P_1\|P_0)$

Let  $g_1(t) = \frac{t^\alpha - 1}{\alpha - 1}$  and  $g_2(t) = t \log t$ , then  $g_1''(t) = \alpha t^{\alpha-2}$ ,  $g_1'''(t) = \alpha(\alpha - 2)t^{\alpha-3}$ ,  $g_2''(t) = \frac{\log e}{t}$  and  $g_2'''(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} \log e$ . For  $\varepsilon\gamma \leq 1$ , we have  $g_2'''(\theta) < 0$  for  $\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)$ , and the sign of  $g_1'''(\theta)$  depends on  $\alpha$ . From (42) and (44), we can get  $\Gamma_{sup}^{(1)}$  as the same as (86), and  $\Gamma_{inf}^{(1)}$  is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{inf}^{(1)} &= \inf_{\substack{\eta \in (-m, M) \\ \theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)}} g_1'''(\theta) \cdot \eta \\ &= \inf_{\substack{\eta \in (-m, M) \\ \theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)}} \eta \alpha (\alpha - 2) \theta^{\alpha-3} \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

$$= \begin{cases} M \times \inf_{\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)} \alpha (\alpha - 2) \theta^{\alpha-3}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0, & \alpha = 2; \\ -m \times \sup_{\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)} \alpha (\alpha - 2) \theta^{\alpha-3}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ -m \times \sup_{\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)} \alpha (\alpha - 2) \theta^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

$$= \begin{cases} M \alpha (\alpha - 2) \times \inf_{\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)} \frac{1}{\theta^{3-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0, & \alpha = 2; \\ -m \alpha (\alpha - 2) \times \sup_{\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)} \frac{1}{\theta^{3-\alpha}}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ -m \alpha (\alpha - 2) \times \sup_{\theta \in (1 - \varepsilon m, 1 + \varepsilon M)} \theta^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad (106)$$

$$= \begin{cases} M \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{(1+\varepsilon M)^{3-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0, & \alpha = 2; \\ -m \cdot \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2)}{(1-\varepsilon m)^{3-\alpha}}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ -m \cdot \alpha(\alpha-2)(1+\varepsilon M)^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (107)$$

The quantities of  $\Gamma_{sup}^{(2)}$  and  $\Gamma_{inf}^{(2)}$  are the same as (90) and (91), respectively. Therefore, the inequality of  $c_1$  is the same as (87), and we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}_1 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{inf}^{(1)}}{3g_1''(1)} = \frac{\varepsilon \Gamma_{inf}^{(1)}}{3\alpha} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon M(\alpha-2)}{3(1+\varepsilon M)^{3-\alpha}}, & 0 < \alpha < 2, \alpha \neq 1; \\ 0, & \alpha = 2; \\ -\frac{\varepsilon m(\alpha-2)}{3(1-\varepsilon m)^{3-\alpha}}, & 2 < \alpha < 3; \\ -\frac{\varepsilon m(\alpha-2)(1+\varepsilon M)^{\alpha-3}}{3}, & \alpha \geq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

and

$$c_2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon m}{3(1 - \varepsilon m)^2}, \bar{c}_2 \leq \frac{-\varepsilon M}{3(1 - \varepsilon m)^2}. \quad (109)$$

Finally, the inequality from Theorem 2 for the pair of  $H_\alpha(P_1, P_0)$  and  $D(P_1\|P_0)$  is

$$\alpha \ln 2 \cdot \frac{(1 + \bar{c}_1)}{(1 + c_2)} \leq \frac{H_\alpha(P_1\|P_0)}{D(P_1\|P_0)} \leq \alpha \ln 2 \cdot \frac{(1 + c_1)}{(1 + \bar{c}_2)}, \quad (110)$$

## V. DISCRETE PROBABILITY SPACE

In this section, we will investigate the equivalent conditions with the assumption in Theorem 1 when both  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  are in the same discrete probability space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ .

There are  $n$  elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , and the probability mass of  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  satisfy

$$P_1(x_i) = p_i, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (111)$$

$$P_0(x_i) = q_i, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (112)$$

With given  $\varepsilon$ , the function  $h$  is expressed as

$$h(x_i) = \frac{p_i - q_i}{\varepsilon \cdot q_i}, \quad (113)$$

With given  $(m, M)$ , then the requirement on  $h$  becomes

$$-m \leq h(x_i) \leq M \quad (114)$$

which is equivalent to

$$1 - m \cdot \varepsilon \leq \frac{p_i}{q_i} \leq 1 + M \cdot \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (115)$$



The generalized quasi- $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood is closely related to the strongly  $\delta$ -typical set  $\mathcal{T}_\delta(P_0)$ , which is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\delta(P_0) = \{P_1 : \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, |P_1(x) - P_0(x)| \leq \delta P_0(x)\}. \quad (116)$$

It is obvious that  $\delta = \varepsilon\gamma$  in our framework. Consider  $\Delta$  in this case. It is rewritten as

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i |h(x_i)|^2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_i^n \frac{(p_i - q_i)^2}{q_i}. \quad (117)$$

From (115),  $-m\varepsilon q_i \leq p_i - q_i \leq M\varepsilon q_i$  holds for all  $i$ , we further have

$$\Delta \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_i^n \frac{\varepsilon^2 q_i^2}{q_i} \leq \gamma^2. \quad (118)$$

**Example.** Let  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  be both generalized Bernoulli distributions (or categorical distributions) whose probability mass functions are

$$P_0(x=i) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i=1, \dots, n, \quad (119)$$

and

$$P_1(x=1) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{mn}, \quad P_1(x=2) = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{mn}, \quad (120)$$

$$P_1(x=i) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i=3, \dots, n,$$

where  $n > 2$  and  $m > \max\{10, n\}$  is a large integer. Then  $V_T(P_1, P_0)$  and  $D(P_1 \| P_0)$  between  $P_1$  and  $P_0$  are

$$V_T(P_1, P_0) = \frac{1}{mn}, \quad (121)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(P_1 \| P_0) &= \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2 * m^2} + \frac{1}{3 * m^3} - \dots\right) \log e \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2 * m^2} - \frac{1}{3 * m^3} - \dots\right) \log e \\ &\approx \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{m^2} + \frac{2}{3m^4}\right) \log e. \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$  where  $10 < k < m$  and  $\gamma = 1$ , then we have

$$h(1) = \frac{\frac{1}{mn}}{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{k}} = \frac{k}{m}, \quad h(2) = -\frac{k}{m}, \quad (123)$$

$$h(i) = 0, \quad i=3, \dots, n.$$

and

$$\Delta = \frac{2k^2}{nm^2}, \quad \min_i P_0(i) = \frac{1}{n}. \quad (124)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{D(P_1 \| P_0) \Delta \ln 2} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{m^2} + \frac{2}{3m^4}\right) \frac{2k^2}{nm^2} \cdot \log e \cdot \ln 2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \times \frac{k}{nm^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{mn} = V_T(P_1, P_0) \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

Now we compare the upper bounds of  $D(P_1 \| P_0)$  from (69) and (76) when  $m$  is sufficiently small in above case. The upper bound of  $D(P_1 \| P_0)$  from (69) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2(1+c)\gamma^2 V_T^2(P_1, P_0) \log e}{\Delta} \\ &= \frac{2(1+c) nm^2}{m^2 n^2 \cdot 2k^2} \cdot \log e \\ &= \frac{1+c}{nk^2} \cdot \log e \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

From the inequality  $\ln(1+x) \geq \frac{x}{1+x}$ ,  $x > 0$ , the upper bound from (76) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\log\left(1 + \frac{2V_T^2(P_1, P_0)}{\min P_0(i)}\right) \\ &= \log(1 + 2nV_T^2(P_1, P_0)) \\ &= \log\left(1 + \frac{2n}{m^2 n^2}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{2}{m^2 n}}{1 + \frac{2}{m^2 n}} \cdot \log e \\ &= \frac{2}{2 + m^2 n} \cdot \log e \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

If

$$2k^2 > (1+c)m^2 + \frac{2(1+c)}{n}, \quad (128)$$

we further have

$$\frac{1+c}{nk^2} \cdot \log e \leq \frac{2}{2 + m^2 n} \cdot \log e \quad (129)$$

Thus, the upper bound from (69) is a tighter upper bound than that from (76) in this example.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we proved new bounds on  $f$ -divergences under the framework of a local geometric setting. Our findings on the first order derivative permit us to divide these  $f$ -divergences into two types, which further leads to the reverse Pinsker's inequality when two distributions are close. Our technique on bounding one divergence by another relies on both the domain of the ratio of the pdfs, specific  $f$ -divergences, as well as their second and third order derivatives.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Verdú, "Total variation distance and the distribution of relative information," in *2014 Information Theory and Applications Workshop (ITA)*, 2014, pp. 1-3.
- [2] I. Sason and S. Verdú, "f-Divergence Inequalities," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol. 62, No. 11, pp. 5973-6006, Nov. 2016.
- [3] M. C. Pardo and I. Vajda, "On Asymptotic Properties of Information-Theoretic Divergences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol. 49, No. 7, pp. 1860-1868, Jul. 2003.
- [4] H. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 1965.
- [5] R. Tyrrell Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, 1997.
- [6] F. Liese and I. Vajda, "On Divergences and Informations in Statistics and Information Theory," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol. 52, No. 10, pp. 4394-4412, Oct. 2006.
- [7] S. Huang, C. Suh, and L. Zheng, "Euclidean information theory of networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 6795-6814, 2015.

- [8] Y. Polyanskiy, "Information theory methods in statistics and computer science," MIT course page, 2019-2020.
- [9] I. Vajda, *Convex Statistical Distances*. Leipzig, Germany, Springer, 1987.
- [10] S. S. Dargomir, "An upper bound for the Csiszár  $f$ -divergence in terms of the variational distance and applications," in *Inequalities for Csiszár  $f$ -divergence in Information Theory*. RGMIA Monographs, S. S. Dargomir and T. M. Rassias, Eds. Melbourne VIC, Australia: Victoria University, Australia, 2000.
- [11] A. Basu, H. Shioya, and C. Park, *Statistical Inference: The Minimum Distance Approach* (Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs on Statistics & Applied Probability). vol. 120, Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, Jun. 2011.
- [12] O. Binette, "A Note on Reverse Pinsker Inequalities," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 4094-4096, 2019.
- [13] I. Sason, "On  $f$ -Divergences: Integral Representations, Local Behavior, and Inequalities," *Entropy*, 2018, vol. 20, issue 5, 383.
- [14] I. Sason, "On Reverse Pinsker Inequalities," ArXiv eprints, 2015, arXiv: 1503.07118.