## Quantum turbulence, superfluidity, non-Markovian dynamics, and wave function thermalization

Aurel Bulgac,<sup>1</sup> Matthew Kafker,<sup>1</sup> Ibrahim Abdurrahman,<sup>2</sup> and Gabriel Wlazłowski<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-1560, USA

<sup>2</sup> Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology, Ulica Koszykowa, 75, 00-662, Warsaw, Poland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195–1560, USA

(Dated: June 4, 2024)

While quantum turbulence has been addressed both experimentally (predominantly for superfluid <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>He) and theoretically, the dynamics of various ensembles of quantized vortices was followed in time only until the vortices decay into phonons. How this "thermalization" is achieved is still an unelucidated question. Here, we report on the microscopic treatment of the real-time dynamics of an initial ensemble of quantized vortices and anti-vortices, with no phonons, at zero temperature, in a Unitary Fermi Gas (UFG). We follow the system in time until "thermalization" is achieved, and a clear non-Markovian evolution of the single particle occupation probabilities is observed.

Quantum turbulence, which occurs in the absence of or very small viscosity, shares similarities with classical turbulence, for which dissipation is a critical ingredient. Classical turbulence is studied typically with the Navier-Stokes equations for the vector velocity field  $\boldsymbol{u}$  of an incompressible fluid, which read

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{u} = \nu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{\nabla p}{\rho}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\nu$ , p, and  $\rho$  stand for the shear kinematic viscosity, pressure, and the matter density respectively. When the viscosity vanishes this equation describes the motion of an ideal fluid, derived by Euler in 1775 [1, 2]. The classical turbulence regime occurs when the dimensionless Reynolds number  $Re = uL/\nu$  is large or  $\nu \to 0$ , where L is the characteristic length of the flow. The solutions of time-dependent partial differential equations change qualitatively when the highest order of the spatial derivatives changes from second to first [3]. The Navier-Stokes equations can be derived from the Boltzmann transport equation [4-7], which consists of the free transport part, which describes the independent mean field particle motion, and the collision integral, responsible for local equilibration. The Boltzmann equation is strictly valid for dilute systems, when collisions are assumed to happen "instantaneously and at a particular point in space." [7] The evolution of the distribution function is described with the Boltzmann equation "over times long compared with the duration of collisions" and "over distances large compared with the size of the region in which collisions takes place." [7] Under such conditions, the mean free path exceeds the average separation between particles. These assumptions imply that different collisions are uncorrelated in time and space, and hence have a Markovian character, in a manner similar to the Langevin noise in Brownian motion.

Consider the simple example of one or more "fluids" made up of dust particles, thus non-interacting, and with sizes negligible in comparison to their average separation, and each "fluid" with its own velocity probability distribution, in the absence of any external fields. All elementary constituents follow trajectories with a constant velocity. Each such "fluid" satisfies the Euler equations, and moreover, each dust "fluid" satisfies the Navier-Stokes equations independently. One can have a very strange situation, for example, two or more interpenetrating "dust rivers," which do not affect each other, in which dust particles in each "river" follow their independent trajectories, which can even cross each other. Any small interaction between particles would "eliminate" crossings, leading to what in quantum mechanics is often referred to as avoided level crossings. One can define an average density and velocity at each point in space, but the time evolution of such a mixture cannot be described by Euler equations. No well-defined continuous limit from a fluid with interactions ( $\nu \neq 0$ ) to a ideal fluid exists, which may elucidate why turbulent motion appears so formally complex, see also Ref. [8] and earlier references therein for related situations.

In the case of superfluids (such as liquid helium 3 and 4, neutrons and protons in neutron stars, nuclear systems, cold atom systems) the interactions between the elementary constituents are strong, unlike in an ideal fluid, which is assumed to be in local equilibrium at all times in the case of ideal gases. However, at T = 0 the viscosity of the superfluids vanishes, and according to the Feynman conjecture [9, 10] quantized vortices can cross and reconnect and generate a "turbulent" evolution of the superfluid. One can infer that the main difference between classical and quantum turbulence, which according to Feynman [9] happens when quantized vortices cross and reconnect, is in the strength of the interactions between the elementary components of the fluid. Since at finite temperatures, but below the critical temperature, a superfluid has both normal and superfluid components [11, 12] the turbulent motion can have mixed characteristics of both quantum and classical fluids, due to the "friction" between the normal and superfluid components and the excitation of Kelvin waves [10], which leads to emission of phonons, apart from crossings and

reconnections of quantized vortex lines.

The Unitary Fermi Gas [13–16] is a remarkable strongly interacting quantum Fermi system, with a very large pairing gap and a large critical temperature [17, 18]. The fermion-fermion interaction is characterized by an infinite scattering length and a zero-range interaction [19], particles interact only in the s-wave, the scattering phase shift is  $\delta_0(k) \equiv \pi/2$ , and the two-fermion scattering cross section reaches its maximum allowed by unitarity  $\sigma(k) = 4\pi/k^2$ , where k is their relative wave vector. The only dimensional parameter that determines the properties of the UFG is the average inter-particle separation [16]. (We use units  $\hbar = m = 1$ .) The UFG is a pure quantum system with no classic limit. It has the remarkable behavior at any energy, both in and out of equilibrium, that the single-particle occupation probabilities  $n(\mathbf{k}) \to C/k^4$ , in the limit  $k \to \infty$  [20–22]. Thus, the total particle number  $\int d^3k n(\mathbf{k})$  converges very slowly, a patently non-Maxwellian behavior.

The real-time dynamics of the UFG is described within an extension of the Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory (TDDFT) to fermionic superfluids, the (Time-Dependent) Superfluid Local Density Approximation ((TD)SLDA) [23–26], which by design looks like the Time-Dependent-Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov approximation. It is trivial to show that the TDSLDA framework is fully equivalent to the description of a non-equilibrium evolution of a fermionic superfluid with Gorkov equations [27–29], or, alternatively, with a generalized fully quantum Boltzmann kinetic equation [30]. While in the (semi-)classical Boltzmann equation [4–7] one can introduce a phase-space distribution, in the deep quantum regime only the canonical/natural occupation probabilities can be meaningfully defined for a time-dependent system [31–35]. Unlike in the (semi-)classical Boltzmann equation, in TDSLDA the kinetic evolution of a manybody system can describe interference effects and entanglement [35–37]. For the justification, validity, verification, and structure of TDSLDA the reader can consult Refs. [23-26, 38] and studies referred therein. The energy density functional in TDSLDA unfortunately cannot be directly linked with the particle interactions in the Schrödinger equation, except by "fitting" its dimensionless parameters and reproducing accurate Quantum Monte Carlo data as described in Refs. [14, 23]. In this manner the infinite scattering length a and the zerorage interaction radius  $r_0$  become a set of finite dimensional parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\gamma$  [14, 23], similar to the running coupling constants in quantum field theory. The UFG is indeed a strongly interacting system with an estimated mean free path of a quasiparticle around the Fermi level given by  $\lambda \approx 1/(\sigma(k)n_0) \approx \mathcal{O}(n_0^{-1/3})$ , where  $n_0 = k_F^3/3\pi^2$  is the average number density. This is true only if the Pauli effect is not accounted for, which is crucial, see Refs. [5, 6]. The vacuum fermion-fermion scattering cross section  $\sigma(k) = 4\pi/k^2$  is strongly renormalized in the medium and it can lead to strong induced pwave interactions and even a *p*-wave pairing gap between

fermions with identical spins [39, 40], similar in spirit to the proton-neutron pairing in nuclear system [30]. The *p*wave correlations effects have not yet been incorporated into TDSLDA studies.

The numerical simulations described below were performed on supercomputers Frontier and Summit at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, using code W-SLDA Toolkit [41], specifically designed to describe the time evolution of a UFG accurately. The capabilities of the framework in describing complex phenomena involving quantum vortices was demonstrated in works [36, 42? – 49]. The code solves the TDSLDA equations on spatial 3D lattice of size  $N_x \times N_y \times N_z$ . Similar codes, but for nuclear systems, were described in [38, 50]. The UFG dynamics was simulated in a cubic box  $(N_x = N_y = N_z = 32)$ of size  $L^3 = (N_x l)^3$  with periodic boundary conditions and a finite lattice constant l. In this respect, our numerical implementation is similar to Lattice Quantum Chromodynamics and the only numerical approximation is in using a finite time-step, with well-controlled errors  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta t^5)$ . With this code, we evolved the  $2N_x^3 = 65,536$ complex, nonlinear, coupled, 3D+time, PDEs for up to  $3.75 \times 10^6$  time-steps with relative numerical errors of  $10^{-6}$ and  $10^{-8}$  respectively for the conservation of the total energy and of the total particle number.

The dynamics of the quantized linear and ring vortices in the UFG, their crossing, and their reconnections were studied previously in Ref. [42, 43, 48, 49]. The vortex dynamics is qualitatively similar to Feynman's conjecture [9]. In the present study we start with an ensemble of 12 linear vortices and anti-vortices, as an initial constrained state of an unpolarized UFG, at T = 0 [36], with vanishing total circulation. As the ensemble of vortices evolves in time vortices start bending, crossing and reconnecting, while emitting phonons, see movie [51]. Their total linear length shortens with time, until eventually no remnants of the initial vortex configuration survives, and the entire system reaches a "thermal" state. We have simulated an unpolarized UFG with the total number of particles  $N = N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow} \approx 1000$ , where  $N_{\uparrow}$  and  $N_{\downarrow}$  are the number of fermions with spin up and down. The average spatial number density is approximately  $n_0 = N/V \approx 0.03$ for the lattice constant l = 1. The size of the many-body Fock space for an unpolarized UFG  $(N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow})$  in such a cubic box for N fermions is [35]  $2^{(N_x N_y N_z)^2} \approx 0.3 \times 10^9$ . Similar results, but for shorter trajectories, were obtained for lattice sizes l = 0.8, 0.5 and correspondingly higher momenta cutoffs  $k_{cut} = \pi/l$ . One can use Bethe's approximate estimate of the energy level density of a fermion system [52]

$$\rho(E) \propto \exp[2\sqrt{aE}] \propto \exp(S(E)) \approx \mathcal{O}[\exp(cN)], \quad (2)$$

where S is the thermodynamic entropy,  $c \approx \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $N \approx 1,000$ .  $\rho(E) \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{100})$  in our case gives an idea of how many states the system has to visit in an energy shell  $\Delta E$  in order to satisfy Gibbs's equality between the infinite time average and the phase space average of any observable.



FIG. 1. The fractional sum  $0 \le \sigma_1(t) \le 2$  of the absolute differences between single-particle occupation probabilities  $n_m(t)$  at the initial time and at the final time t.



FIG. 2. The time-dependent occupation probabilities  $n_m(t)$ at several times during the evolution. Due to the *s*-wave collisions the single-particle occupation probabilities in the final "thermalized" state are drastically redistributed. The states are ordered in decreasing order of the initial occupation probability  $n_m(0)$ . Since  $\sum_m n_m(t) = N_{\uparrow} = N_{\downarrow}$  the initial high occupation probability quasiparticle states are depopulated at the expense of quasiparticle states with higher energies, but smaller than the upper cutoff energy  $\epsilon_{cut} \approx \mathcal{O}(\pi^2/2l^2)$ , see inset.

In the deep quantum regime, the only meaningful counterpart to the (semi-)classical phase-space distribution, are the single-particle occupation probabilities  $n_m(t)$ . Fig. 1 illustrates the dramatic change with time of the single-particle occupation probabilities. In the case of a time-dependent Hartree-Fock simulation the occupation probabilities will remain either 1 or 0 for all times. In the presence of s-wave interactions, the ini-



FIG. 3. The initial and final canonical occupation probabilities  $\tilde{n}_m(t) = v_m^2(t)$ , ordered by magnitude, which are the eigenvalues of the correspond instantaneous one-body density matrix, see Ref. [30, 53]. In the inset we show the ratio of the initial over final canonical occupation probabilities  $\tilde{n}_m^0/\tilde{n}_m^f$ . Since canonical occupation probabilities change with time there is no one-to-one correlation between their subscripts m.



FIG. 4. The average fluctuation of the number density as a function of time. Here  $n_0 = N/V$  is the average particle number density and V is the volume of the cubic simulation box. In the inset we show the relative number density fluctuations  $n(x, 0, z, t)/n_0 - 1$  at times  $t\epsilon_F = 10,000$  and 10,050, for a quarter of the lattice, after all vortices have disappeared.

tial single-particle occupation probabilities are those of a fermion system with pairing correlations in the presence of quantized vortices, see blue dots in Fig. 2. For states with relatively small quasi-particle energies the occupation probabilities are somewhat similar to the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) [54] single-particle distribution. For zero-range interactions and arbitrary scat-



FIG. 5. The time evolution of the average of absolute value of the pairing gap, divided by its value in the homogeneous UFG at the same number density. The inset shows the average fluctuation of this quantity.

tering length the occupation probabilities have the universal large momentum tail k-behavior of the momentum distribution  $n(k,t) \approx C/k^4$  for any many-fermions state [13, 15, 16, 20–22], see Fig. 3 for the canonical occupation probabilities. For  $k \gg k_F$  the energy of singleparticle states with high *m*-values is dominated by the kinetic energy alone and a power law behavior is apparent in these log-log plots for m > 3,000 at all times, see also Refs. [30, 35, 53]. For large momenta k the index  $m \approx 4\pi k^3/3$  (as in the case of non-interacting fermions) and  $\tilde{n}_m(t) \approx \tilde{C}/m^{4/3}$ .

In the case of a time-dependent system one has to introduce the canonical occupation probabilities at each time step, see Ref. [35], since particle occupation probabilities  $n_m(t)$  are not uniquely defined. The canonical occupation probabilities are gauge invariant and instantenous eigenstates of the time-dependent one-body density matrix [53]. Then the time-dependent many-body state acquires the BCS form

$$|\Phi(t)\rangle = \prod_{m} [u_m(t) + v_m(t)a_m^{\dagger}(t)a_{\overline{m}}^{\dagger}(t)]|0\rangle, \qquad (3)$$

$$\alpha_{m,\overline{m}}(t) = u_m(t)a_{m,\overline{m}}(t) \mp v_m(t)a_{\overline{m},m}^{\dagger}, \qquad (4)$$

where  $\alpha_{m,\overline{m}}(t)|\Phi(t)\rangle = 0$ ,  $u_m^2(t) + v_m^2(t) = 1$ ,  $0 \le u_m(t)$ ,  $v_m(t) \le 1$ ,  $N = 2\sum_m v_m^2(t)$ , and  $a_m^{\dagger}(t)$ ,  $a_{\overline{m}}^{\dagger}(t)$  are timedependent canonical creation fermionic operators [35]. Surprisingly, the initial and final canonical occupation probabilities  $\tilde{n}_m(t)$  are very similar, see Fig. 3.

In (semi-)classical Boltzmann kinetic approaches [4–7] a collision integral is added to the equations for the phase-space distribution, which is derived at each time step by using the hypothesis that the system attained a "molecular" equilibrium/chaos, and then evaluating the change in the phase-space distribution at each time step

using perturbation theory. This implies that the dynamics is slow enough that after each particle collision the system equilibrates. In this respect that collision integral plays the same role as the noise in the Langevin equation, which describes the Brownian motion. Consequently, one expects in a pure kinetic approach that the redistribution of the occupation probabilities should have a similar Markovian character, and that the departure of the phase-space distribution from its initial values as a function of time should be proportional to  $\sqrt{t}$ . However, the time evolution of the quantity  $\sigma_1(t)$  [30, 35, 53]

$$\sigma_1(t) = \frac{\sum_m |n_m(t) - n_m(0)|}{N},$$
 (5)

illustrated in Fig. 1 shows a clear piecewise linear dependence on time, depending on the character of the system at different stages during the evolution. We prefer to illustrate the non-Markovian behavior by focusing on  $0 \leq \sigma_1(t) \leq 2$  as  $\sigma_1(t)$  is a transparent measure of the fractional change in all occupied single-particle states. The quantity,  $\sigma_1(t)$ , reaches its lower limit in the case when the occupation probabilities do not change in time, e.g. in a time-dependent Hartree-Fock problem, when particle collisions are absent. In a UFG there is only one scale for the single-particle energies, as the mean field and the pairing field/collision term are of the order of the Fermi energy  $\epsilon_F = k_F^2/2$  [16], and those are the only quantities expected to control the occupation probabilities re-distributions. Moreover, unlike in the (semi-)classical Boltzmann evolution, the "collisions" are not isolated either in time or in space, the system is not dilute in this deep quantum limit, and the quantum "jumps" are thus correlated and particles are entangled. The equivalent of  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \neq 1 - f(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t)$  transition in the semi-classical Boltzmann equation [5, 6] is the action of the pairing gap  $u_m(\mathbf{r},\sigma,t) \to \Delta(\mathbf{r},t)v_m(\mathbf{r},-\sigma,t)$ or  $v_m(\mathbf{r}, -\sigma, t) \rightarrow -\Delta^*(\mathbf{r}, t)u_m(\mathbf{r}, \sigma, t)$  in the TDSLDA equations [30]. In a UFG  $u_m(\mathbf{r},\sigma,t)$ ,  $v_m(\mathbf{r},\sigma,t)$ , and  $\Delta(\mathbf{r}, t)$  are fully delocalized in space.

For very small times  $t\epsilon_F < 700$  the linear vortices start deforming slightly, but still do not cross [51]. In the next stage, for times  $700 < t\epsilon_F < 1200$ , the vortices cross, reconnect, and their overall length shortens. The dynamics result in the formation of a state costing four aligned vortices. They persist up to time  $t\epsilon_F \approx 4300$ , after which they start to reconnect again and finally annihilate. For times  $t\epsilon_F > 5000$  only local fluctuations of the number densities survive in the system. It is not surprising that the rate of change of  $\sigma_1(t)$  varies, depending on the presence, density, and character of vortices in the system.

After crossing and reconnections of the vortex lines, and "thermalization" of the system for times  $t\epsilon_F > 6,000$ , see Fig. 4, the system becomes quasi-homogeneous, apart from small quantum fluctuations of the number density  $\mathcal{O}(n(\vec{r},t)) \approx 0.01n_0$ . Judging by the final occupation probabilities in Fig. 2 (green dots) one would naively expect the final state would be characterized by a very high temperature. In the "thermal" regime ( $t\epsilon_F > 6,000$ ) the average spatial fluctuations of  $n(\mathbf{r},t)$  are of the order of 1%, see Fig. 4, and a similar order for the pairing gap, see Fig. 5. Furthermore, the pairing gap in the initial state, where quantized vortices are present, and in the final state, where the quantized vortices have "melted" into phonons, are surprisingly similar as well, the spatially averaged absolute value of the pairing gap changed by less than  $\approx 5\%$ , see Fig. 5, and in the final state these fluctuations are at the level of  $\approx 1\%$ . The entire system is now at a finite "effective" temperature T, below the critical temperature  $T_c \approx 0.16\epsilon_F$  [55]. Furthermore, it is characterized by relatively small amplitude thermal quantum fluctuations of the number density and of the pairing gap, see Figs. 4 and 5. While in the initial state there are currents, due to the presence of quantized vortices, which contribute about 10% to the total energy of the system, in the "thermalized" state the currents contribute only about 0.01% to the total energy and the system is basically at stand still.

Our results present a clear scenario of the thermalization of the wave function of an isolated superfluid system during a non-equilibrium evolution. The thermalization of a many-body wave function is a topic with a very long history [56–63], which typically conclude "that a generic initial state will approach thermal equilibrium at least as fast as"  $t_{therm} \approx \mathcal{O}(\hbar/\delta)$  [59]. A time-dependence occurs only if the initial state is a mixture of eigenstates within a finite energy interval  $\delta$ . The "wave function thermalization" process discussed here is different from that discussed previously in literature. The UFG is a pure quantum system with no classical limit, and Berry's conjecture does not apply [64, 65].

AB designed the study, analyzed and interpreted the results of the simulations, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. GW wrote the code used in these simulations and MK, IA, and GW performed the numerical simulations. All authors participated in writing of the final version of the manuscript. The funding for AB from the Office of Science, Grant No. DE-FG02-97ER41014 and also the partial support provided by NNSA cooperative Agreement DE-NA0003841 is greatly appreciated. MK was supported by NNSA cooperative Agreement DE-NA0003841. The work of I.A. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy through the Los Alamos National Laboratory. The Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by Triad National Security, LLC, for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. 89233218CNA000001. GW was supported by the Polish National Science Center (NCN) under Contract No. UMO-2022/45/B/ST2/00358. This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility, which is a U.S. DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725.

- Sir H. Lamb, Hydrodymamics (Cambridge University Press, 1975).
- [2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluids Mechanics*, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 6 (Pergamon Press, New York, 1959).
- [3] G. B. Whitham, *Linear and nonlinear waves* (Willey & Sons, Inc., 1974).
- [4] L. Boltzmann, "Weitere Studien über das Wärmegleichgewicht unter Gasmolekülen," Sitzungsberichte Akademie der Wissenschaften 66, 275 (1872).
- [5] L. W. Nordheim, "On the Kinetic Method in the New Statistics and its Application in the Electron Theory of Conductivity," Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A119, 689 (1928).
- [6] E. A. Uehling and G. E. Uhlenbeck, "Transport Phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac Gases. I," Phys. Rev. 43, 552 (1933).
- [7] L.D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Physical Kinetics*, Vol. 10 (Pergamnon Press, Oxford, England, 1981).
- [8] D. Bandak, A. A. Mailybaev, G. L. Eyink, and N. Goldenfeld, "Spontaneous Stochasticity Amplifies Even Thermal Noise to the Largest Scales of Turbulence in a Few Eddy Turnover Times," Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 104002 (2024).
- [9] R. P. Feynman, "Application of quantum mechanics to liquid helium II," Prog. Low Temp. Phys. 1, 17 (1955).
- [10] C. F. Barenghi, L. Skrebek, and K. R. Sreenivasa, "Introduction to quantum turbulence," Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci 111, No. suppl. 1, 4647 (2014).

- [11] L. Tisza, "Transport phenomena in helium II," Nature 141, 913 (1938).
- [12] L. D. Landau, "Two-fluid model of liquid helium II," J. Phys. USSR 1, 71 (1941).
- [13] E. Braaten, "Universal Relations for Fermions with Large Scattering Length," Chap. 6, pp. 193–231, vol. 836 of [16] (2012).
- [14] A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, and P. Magierski, "The unitary fermi gas: From monte carlo to density functionals," Chap. 9, pp. 305 – 374, vol. 836 of [16] (2012).
- [15] Y. Castin and F. Werner, "The unitary Fermi gas and its Symmetry Properties," Chap. 5, pp. 127 – 191, vol. 836 of [16] (2012).
- [16] W. Zwerger, ed., The BCS-BEC Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 836 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2012).
- [17] A. Bulgac, J. E. Drut, and P. Magierski, "Spin 1/2 Fermions in the Unitary Regime: A Superfluid of a New Type," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 090404 (2006).
- [18] P. Magierski, G. Wlazłowski, and A. Bulgac, "Onset of a Pseudogap Regime in Ultracold Fermi Gases," Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 145304 (2011).
- [19] H. A. Bethe, "Theory of the effective range in nuclear scattering," Phys. Rev. 76, 38 (1949).
- [20] S. Tan, "Energetics of a strongly correlated Fermi gas," Ann. Phys. 323, 2952 (2008).
- [21] S. Tan, "Large momentum part of a strongly correlated Fermi gas," Ann. Phys. **323**, 2971 (2008).
- [22] S. Tan, "Generalized virial theorem and pressure relation

for a strongly correlated Fermi gas," Ann. Phys. **323**, 2987 (2008).

- [23] A. Bulgac, "Local-density-functional theory for superfluid fermionic systems: The unitary Fermi gas," Phys. Rev. A 76, 040502 (2007).
- [24] A. Bulgac, "Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory and the Real-Time Dynamics of Fermi Superfluids," Ann. Rev. Nucl. and Part. Sci. 63, 97 (2013).
- [25] A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, and W. Wlazłowski, "Towards quantum turbulence in cold atomic fermionic superfluids," J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 50, 014001 (2016).
- [26] A. Bulgac, "Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory for Fermionic Superfluids: from Cold Atomic gases, to Nuclei and Neutron Star Crust," Physica Status Solidi B 256, 1800592 (2019).
- [27] L. P. Gorkov, "On the Energy Spectrum of Superconductors," Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 505 (1958).
- [28] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics (Prentice Hall, 1963).
- [29] L. P. Gorkov, "Developing BCS ideas in the former Soviet Union, ," in BCS: 50 Years, Developing BCS ideas in the former Soviet Union, edited by L. N. Cooper and D. Feldman (World Scientific, 2011) p. 107.
- [30] A. Bulgac, "Pure quantum extension of the semiclassical Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation," Phys. Rev. C 105, L021601 (2022).
- [31] A. J. Coleman, "Structure of fermion density matrices," Rev. Mod. Phys. 35, 668 (1963).
- [32] P.-O. Löwdin and H. Shull, "Natural orbitals in the quantum theory of two-electron systems," Phys. Rev. 101, 1730–1739 (1956).
- [33] P.-O. Löwdin, "Quantum theory of cohesive properties of solids," Adv. Phys. 5, 1 (1956).
- [34] E. R. Davidson, "Properties and Uses of Natural Orbitals," Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, 451 (1972).
- [35] A. Bulgac, M. Kafker, and I. Abdurrahman, "Measures of complexity and entanglement in many-fermion systems," Phys. Rev. C 107, 044318 (2023).
- [36] A. Bulgac, I. Abdurrahman, and G. Wlazłowski, "Sensitivity of time-dependent density functional theory to initial conditions," Phys. Rev. C 105, 044601 (2022).
- [37] A. Bulgac, "Entanglement entropy, single-particle occupation probabilities, and short-range correlations," Phys. Rev. C 107, L061602 (2023).
- [38] S. Jin, K. J. Roche, I. Stetcu, I. Abdurrahman, and A. Bulgac, "The LISE package: solvers for static and time-dependent superfluid local density approximation equations in three dimensions," Comp. Phys. Comm. 269, 108130 (202).
- [39] A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, and A. Schwenk, "Induced P-Wave Superfluidity in Asymmetric Fermi Gases," Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 020402 (2006).
- [40] A. Bulgac and S. Yoon, "Induced P-wave superfluidity within the full energy- and momentum-dependent Eliashberg approximation in asymmetric dilute Fermi gases," Phys. Rev. A 79, 053625 (2009).
- [41] "W-SLDA Toolkit," wslda.fizyka.pw.edu.pl (2023).
- [42] A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, M. M. Kelley, K. J. Roche, and G. Wlazłowski, "Quantized Superfluid Vortex Rings in the Unitary Fermi Gas," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 025301 (2014).
- [43] G. Wlazłowski, A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, and K. J. Roche, "Life cycle of superfluid vortices and quantum"

turbulence in the unitary Fermi gas," Phys. Rev. A **91**, 031602 (2015).

- [44] G. Wlazłowski, K. Sekizawa, M. Marchwiany, and P. Magierski, "Suppressed solitonic cascade in spinimbalanced superfluid fermi gas," Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 253002 (2018).
- [45] K. Hossain, K. Kobuszewski, M. M. Forbes, P. Magierski, K. Sekizawa, and G. Wlazłowski, "Rotating quantum turbulence in the unitary fermi gas," Phys. Rev. A 105, 013304 (2022).
- [46] M. Tylutki and G. Wlazłowski, "Universal aspects of vortex reconnections across the BCS-BEC crossover," Phys. Rev. A 103, L051302 (2021).
- [47] A. Barresi, A. Boulet, P. Magierski, and G. Wlazłowski, "Dissipative dynamics of quantum vortices in fermionic superfluid," Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 043001 (2023).
- [48] A. Bulgac, Y.-L. Luo, P. Magierski, K. J. Roche, and Y. Yu, "Real-Time Dynamics of Quantized Vortices in a Unitary Fermi Superfluid," Science **332**, 1288 (2011).
- [49] "Online supplement to A. Bulgac *et al.*, Science, 332, 1288 (2011),
  - http://faculty.washington.edu/bulgac//UFG/,".
- [50] P. Daniel, Z. Agata, C. Nicolas, M. Piotr, and W. Gabriel, "Time-dependent nuclear energy-density functional theory toolkit for neutron star crust: Dynamics of a nucleus in a neutron superfluid," (2024), arXiv:2403.17499 [nucl-th].
- [51] G. Wlazłowski, "The 12 quantized vortex dynamics simulation, youtube link," (2024).
- [52] H. A. Bethe, "An Attempt to Calculate the Number of Energy Levels of a Heavy Nucleus," Phys. Rev. 50, 332– 341 (1936).
- [53] A. Bulgac, M. Kafker, I. Abdurrahman, and I. Stetcu, "Non-Markovian character and irreversibility of real-time quantum many-body dynamics," (2023), arXiv:2312.14962 [nucl-th].
- [54] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, "Theory of superconductivity," Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- [55] M. J. H. Ku, A. T. Sommer, L. W. Cheuk, and M. W. Zwierlein, "Revealing the Superfluid Lambda Transition in the Universal Thermodynamics of a Unitary Fermi Gas," Science **335**, 563 (2012).
- [56] J. v. Neumann, "Beweis des Ergodensatzes und desH-Theorems in der neuen Mechanik," Zeitschrift für Physik 57, 30 (1929).
- [57] J. von Neumann, "Proof of the ergodic theorem and the H-theorem in quantum mechanics," The European Physical Journal H 35, 201 (2010).
- [58] M. Srednicki, "Entropy and area," Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 666 (1993).
- [59] M. Srednicki, "Chaos and quantum thermalization," Phys. Rev. E 50, 888 (1994).
- [60] M. Horoi, V. Zelevinsky, and B. A. Brown, "Chaos vs Thermalization in the Nuclear Shell Model," Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 5194 (1995).
- [61] S. Goldstein, J. L. Lebowitz, R. Tumulka, and N. Zanghì, "Long-time behavior of macroscopic quantum systems," The European Physical Journal H 35, 173 (2010).
- [62] M. Rigol and M. Srednicki, "Alternatives to Eigenstate Thermalization," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 110601 (2012).
- [63] C. Murthy, A. Babakhani, F. Iniguez, M. Srednicki, and N. Yunger Halpern, "Non-Abelian Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis," Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 140402 (2023).
- [64] M. V. Berry, "Regular and irregular semiclassical wave-

functions," J. Phys. A: Mathematical and General 10, 2083 (1977).

[65] M. V. Berry, "Les Houches LII, Chaos and Quantum Physics," (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991).