

MCDUFF'S SECONDARY CLASS AND THE EULER CLASS OF FOLIATED SPHERE BUNDLES

SHUHEI MARUYAMA

ABSTRACT. Tsuboi proved that the Calabi invariant of the closed disk transgresses to the Euler class of foliated circle bundles and suggested looking for its higher-dimensional analog. In this paper, we construct a cohomology class of the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of a real-cohomologically acyclic manifold with sphere boundary, which is closely related to McDuff's secondary class, and prove that this cohomology class transgresses to the Euler class of foliated sphere bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})$ be the identity component of the group of orientation-preserving C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of the sphere S^{n-1} . Let $B\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta$ denote the classifying space of $\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta$ (for a topological group G , G^δ denotes the group G with the discrete topology). Let $e \in H^n(B\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$ be the Euler class of foliated S^{n-1} -bundles with coefficients in \mathbb{R} . Let D^n be the n -dimensional closed ball with a volume form ω . It is known that if the holonomy of a foliated D^n -bundle preserves the volume form ω , then the Euler class of the bundle is zero ([Mit92] and [HM94]; see also [Tsu00]). In other words, we have

$$r^*e = 0 \in H^n(B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(D^n)^\delta),$$

where $r: B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(D^n)^\delta \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta$ is the map induced by the restriction to the boundary.

For a fibration $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$, a class $x \in H^{n-1}(F)$ is said to *transgress to* $y \in H^n(B)$ if there exist an $(n-1)$ -cochain u on E and an n -cocycle v representing y such that

$$du = p^*v \quad \text{and} \quad [i^*u] = x,$$

where d is the coboundary map of the cochain complex we use (see [Bor55, Section 9]). Note that if a class $y \in H^n(B)$ satisfies $p^*y = 0$, then there exists an element $x \in H^{n-1}(F)$ which transgresses to y .

Let $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(D^n, S^{n-1})$ be the identity component of the group of volume-preserving C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of D^n which fix the boundary S^{n-1} pointwise. Considering the fibration $B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(D^n, S^{n-1})^\delta \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(D^n)^\delta \xrightarrow{r} B\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta$ and the fact $r^*e = 0$ stated above, we can ask which class transgresses to the Euler class e . For the case of the disk D^2 and the boundary S^1 , Tsuboi essentially proved in [Tsu00] that the Calabi invariant, which is a non-zero element of $H^1(B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(D^2, S^1)^\delta)$, transgresses to the Euler class of foliated S^1 -bundles

Key words and phrases. Euler class, groups of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, simplicial de Rham theory.

up to a non-zero constant multiple (see also [Bow11] and [Mor16]). Tsuboi ([Tsu00]) also suggested looking for analogous results in higher-dimensional cases.

In the present paper, we consider the above problem in the following slightly generalized setting. Let M be a compact connected orientable n -dimensional manifold with a volume form ω . We assume that the boundary ∂M is diffeomorphic to the sphere S^{n-1} and that $H^l(M, \partial M) = 0$ for every $0 < l < n$. Let $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)$ be the identity component of the group of volume-preserving C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of M . The boundary-restricting map $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\partial M)$ induces the fibration

$$B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^\delta \xrightarrow{j} B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta \xrightarrow{r} B \text{Diff}_0(\partial M)^\delta,$$

where $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)$ is the identity component of the subgroup of $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta$ whose elements fix the boundary pointwise.

In Section 3, we introduce a cohomology class $c(M) \in H^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^\delta)$. Note that if $n = 2$, the class $c(M)$ coincides with the Calabi invariant. Hence Tsuboi's theorem asserts that the class $c(D^2)$ for the closed disk D^2 transgresses to the Euler class of foliated S^1 -bundles. The main result of this paper is the following, which provides a higher-dimensional analog of Tsuboi's theorem.

Theorem 1.1. The class $c(M)$ transgresses to the Euler class $e \in H^n(B \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$ of foliated S^{n-1} -bundles up to a non-zero constant multiple.

If n is odd, then the real Euler class e is zero. We prove that $c(M) = 0$ if n is odd (Proposition 3.2). Hence the theorem is trivial when n is odd.

We also clarify a relation between the class $c(M)$ and McDuff's secondary class. Let N be the interior of M equipped with the volume form which is the restriction of ω to N (denoted by the same symbol ω). Let $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)$ be the identity component of the group of compactly supported C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of N which preserve the volume form ω . Let $\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}$ be the homotopy fiber of $B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)^\delta \rightarrow B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)$. Then McDuff's secondary class $s(N)$ is defined as an $(n-1)$ -th cohomology class of $\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}$ (see Section 2.3).

Let $\iota: \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)$ be the inclusion given by extending diffeomorphisms by the identity on ∂M . Then we have the maps

$$H^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^\delta) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)^\delta) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^{n-1}(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}).$$

Theorem 1.2. The pullback $f^* \iota^* c(M)$ coincides with McDuff's secondary class $s(N)$.

McDuff proved in [McD83] that the class $s(N)$ is non-zero if and only if n is even. Hence this, together with Proposition 3.2, implies the following.

Corollary 1.3. The class $c(M)$ is non-zero if and only if n is even.

Note that the definition of the class $c(M)$, Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 do not need the assumption $\partial M \cong S^{n-1}$ (see the beginning of Sections 3 and 4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Simplicial manifolds. In this section, we briefly review the notion of simplicial manifold (see [Dup78] for details).

A *simplicial manifold* X_\bullet is a sequence $X_\bullet = \{X_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ of manifolds endowed with smooth maps $\epsilon_i: X_p \rightarrow X_{p-1}$ and $\eta_i: X_p \rightarrow X_{p+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j &= \epsilon_{j-1} \epsilon_i \quad \text{for } i < j, \\ \eta_i \eta_j &= \eta_{j+1} \eta_j \quad \text{for } i \leq j, \text{ and} \\ \epsilon_i \eta_j &= \begin{cases} \eta_{j-1} \epsilon_i & \text{for } i < j \\ \text{id}_{X_p} & \text{for } i = j, j+1 \\ \eta_j \epsilon_{i-1} & \text{for } i > j+1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The (*fat*) *realization* $\|X_\bullet\|$ of a simplicial manifold X_\bullet is the quotient space of $\coprod_p \Delta^p \times X_p$ with the identifications $(\epsilon^i(t), x) \sim (t, \epsilon_i(x))$. Here $\Delta^p \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ is the standard p -simplex given by

$$\Delta^p = \{(t_0, \dots, t_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \mid t_0 + \dots + t_p = 1, t_i \geq 0 \text{ for each } i\}$$

and $\epsilon^i: \Delta^{p-1} \rightarrow \Delta^p$ is the i -th face map given by

$$\epsilon^i(t_0, \dots, t_{p-1}) = (t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_{p-1})$$

for $0 \leq i \leq p$. We define $\eta^i: \Delta^{p+1} \rightarrow \Delta^p$ by

$$\eta^i(t_0, \dots, t_{p+1}) = (t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_i + t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \dots, t_{p+1})$$

for $0 \leq i \leq p$.

Let G be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie group which acts on a manifold M by C^∞ -diffeomorphisms. These data induce four simplicial manifolds, BG_\bullet^δ , MG_\bullet^δ , \overline{BG}_\bullet and $\overline{BG}_\bullet \times M$, as follows.

For $p \geq 0$, we set $BG_p^\delta = (G^\delta)^p$ and define $\epsilon_i: BG_p^\delta \rightarrow BG_{p-1}^\delta$ and $\eta_i: BG_p^\delta \rightarrow BG_{p+1}^\delta$ by

$$\epsilon_i(g_1, \dots, g_p) = \begin{cases} (g_2, \dots, g_p) & i = 0 \\ (g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p) & 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \\ (g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}) & i = p \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta_i(g_1, \dots, g_p) = (g_1, \dots, g_i, \text{id}, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p),$$

where id denotes the unit element of G . Here we regard BG_p^δ as a 0-dimensional manifold. Similarly, we set $MG_p^\delta = (G^\delta)^p \times M$ and define $\epsilon_i: MG_p^\delta \rightarrow MG_{p-1}^\delta$ and $\eta_i: MG_p^\delta \rightarrow MG_{p+1}^\delta$ by

$$\epsilon_i(g_1, \dots, g_p; x) = \begin{cases} (g_2, \dots, g_p; x) & i = 0 \\ (g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p; x) & 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \\ (g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}; g_p(x)) & i = p \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta_i(g_1, \dots, g_p; x) = (g_1, \dots, g_i, \text{id}, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p; x).$$

Then it is directly checked that $BG_\bullet^\delta = \{BG_p^\delta\}_{p \geq 0}$ and $MG_\bullet^\delta = \{MG_p^\delta\}_{p \geq 0}$ are simplicial manifolds.

For $p \geq 0$, let $\text{Sing}^p(G)$ be the set of C^∞ singular p -simplices in G . We set $\overline{BG}_p = \text{Sing}^p(G)/G^\delta$, where the group G^δ acts on $\text{Sing}^p(G)$ by the multiplication on the right. Here we regard \overline{BG}_p as a 0-dimensional manifold. We define $\epsilon_i: \overline{BG}_p \rightarrow \overline{BG}_{p-1}$ and $\eta_i: \overline{BG}_p \rightarrow \overline{BG}_{p+1}$ by $\epsilon_i([\sigma]) = [\sigma \circ \epsilon^i]$ and $\eta_i([\sigma]) = [\sigma \circ \eta^i]$, respectively. Similarly, we define $\epsilon_i: \overline{BG}_p \times M \rightarrow \overline{BG}_{p-1} \times M$ and $\eta_i: \overline{BG}_p \times M \rightarrow \overline{BG}_{p+1} \times M$ by $\epsilon_i([\sigma], x) = ([\sigma \circ \epsilon^i], x)$ and $\eta_i([\sigma], x) = ([\sigma \circ \eta^i], x)$, respectively. Then $\overline{BG} = (\overline{BG}_p)_{p \geq 0}$ and $\overline{BG}_\bullet \times M = (\overline{BG}_p \times M)_{p \geq 0}$ turn out to be simplicial manifolds.

It is known that the realizations, $\|\overline{BG}_\bullet^\delta\|$, $\|\overline{MG}_\bullet^\delta\|$, $\|\overline{BG}_\bullet\|$ and $\|\overline{BG}_\bullet \times M\|$, provide models for BG^δ , $EG^\delta \times_{G^\delta} M$, \overline{BG} and $\overline{BG} \times M$, respectively (see [Dup78], [Bot78] and [Mat79]).

2.2. Simplicial de Rham theory. In this section, we briefly review simplicial de Rham theory (see [Dup78] for details).

First we recall the notion of double complex. A (*first quadrant*) *double complex* $(A^{*,*}, d', d'')$ is a family $A^{*,*} = \{A^{p,q}\}_{p,q \geq 0}$ of modules equipped with differentials

$$d': A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p+1,q} \quad \text{and} \quad d'': A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p,q+1}$$

for every $p, q \geq 0$ with $d' \circ d' = 0$, $d'' \circ d'' = 0$ and $d' \circ d'' + d'' \circ d' = 0$. We set

$$A^n = \coprod_{p+q=n} A^{p,q}$$

for every $n \geq 0$. Then, the sum $d' + d''$ induces a cochain complex structure on $A^* = \{A^n\}_{n \geq 0}$. This cochain complex (A^*, d) is called the *total complex of a double complex* $(A^{*,*}, d', d'')$.

Definition 2.1. Let $(A^{*,*}, d', d'')$ be a double complex and (A^*, d) its total complex. Let n be a non-negative integer. For an element $a \in A^n$, let $\{a^{(l)}\}_{0 \leq l \leq n}$ be such that $a = a^{(0)} + \cdots + a^{(n)}$ and $a^{(l)} \in A^{n-l,l}$ for every l .

Let X_\bullet be a simplicial manifold. For an integer $m \geq 0$, a *simplicial m -form* λ on X_\bullet is a family $\lambda = \{\lambda_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ of m -forms $\lambda_p \in \Omega^m(\Delta^p \times X_p)$ satisfying

$$(\epsilon^i \times \text{id})^* \lambda_p = (\text{id} \times \epsilon_i)^* \lambda_{p-1} \in \Omega^m(\Delta^{p-1} \times X_p)$$

for every $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq p$. Let $A^m(X_\bullet)$ be the set of simplicial m -forms on X_\bullet . The exterior derivative $d: \Omega^m(\Delta^p \times X_p) \rightarrow \Omega^{m+1}(\Delta^p \times X_p)$ induces the map $d: A^m(X_\bullet) \rightarrow A^{m+1}(X_\bullet)$, which gives a cochain complex $(A^*(X_\bullet), d)$.

This cochain complex $(A^*(X_\bullet), d)$ can be seen as the total complex of a double complex $(A^{*,*}(X_\bullet), d_\Delta, d_X)$ defined as follows. For non-negative integers k and l with $k+l = m$, let $A^{k,l}(X_\bullet)$ be the set of simplicial m -forms $\lambda = \{\lambda_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ which has degree l in the X_p -variables for every $p \geq 0$. The map $d_\Delta: A^{k,l}(X_\bullet) \rightarrow A^{k+1,l}(X_\bullet)$ is the exterior derivative with respect to the variables in the standard simplex Δ^p and the map $d_X: A^{k,l}(X_\bullet) \rightarrow A^{k,l+1}(X_\bullet)$ is $(-1)^k$ times the exterior derivative with respect to the X_p -variables on each $\Delta^p \times X_p$.

There is another double complex $(\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(X), \delta, d'')$ defined as follows. For a simplicial manifold X_\bullet , we set $\mathcal{A}^{k,l}(X_\bullet) = \Omega^l(X_k)$. Let $\delta: \mathcal{A}^{k,l}(X_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1,l}(X_\bullet)$ be the map defined by

$$\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \epsilon_i^*$$

and $d'' : \mathcal{A}^{k,l}(X_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k,l+1}(X_\bullet)$ be $(-1)^k$ times the exterior derivative of the de Rham complex $\Omega^*(X_k)$. Then $(\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(X_\bullet), \delta, d'')$ gives rise to a double complex. Let $(\mathcal{A}^*(X_\bullet), d)$ denote its total complex.

Theorem 2.2 ([Dup76]). There are isomorphisms

$$H^*(\mathcal{A}^*(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow H^*(A^*(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow H^*(\|X_\bullet\|).$$

An isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow H^*(A^*(X_\bullet))$ is given as follows. For a sequence $I = (i_0, \dots, i_k)$ of integers $0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_k \leq p$, we set

$$\mu_I = \epsilon_{j_1} \cdots \epsilon_{j_{p-k}} : X_p \rightarrow X_k,$$

where $0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{p-k} \leq p$ is the complementary sequence of I . For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{k,l}(X_\bullet)$, we define a simplicial $(k+l)$ -form $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \{\mathcal{E}(\alpha)_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha)_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < k \\ k! \sum_{|I|=k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{j t_{i_j}} dt_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dt}_{i_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i_k} \right) \wedge \pi_p^* \mu_I^* \alpha & \text{if } p \geq k, \end{cases}$$

where the hat means that the term under the hat is omitted and $\pi_p : \Delta^p \times X_p \rightarrow X_p$ is the projection. This defines a map $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{A}^*(X_\bullet) \rightarrow A^*(X_\bullet)$, which induces an isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{A}^*(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow H^*(A^*(X_\bullet))$.

2.3. McDuff's secondary class. In this section we briefly explain the definition and properties of the secondary class $s(N)$ constructed by McDuff [McD83].

Let N be a connected orientable n -dimensional C^∞ -manifold without boundary and with a volume form ω . We assume that N is noncompact and that $H_c^i(N) = 0$ for all $i < n$. Let $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)$ be the identity component of the group of compactly supported C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of N which preserve ω .

Recall the notation from Definition 2.1. A simplicial m -form $\alpha = \alpha^{(0)} + \dots + \alpha^{(m)}$ on $\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N$ is said to be *compactly supported* if the restriction of each $\alpha^{(l)}$ to $\Delta^p \times \{S\} \times N$ has compact support for every $p \geq 0$ and for every $S \in \overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_p$.

For a p -simplex $S \in \overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_p$, we take a representative $\sigma \in \text{Sing}^p(\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N))$ of S . Let $f_\sigma : \Delta^p \times \{S\} \times N \rightarrow N$ be the map defined by

$$f_\sigma(t, x) = \sigma(t)^{-1}(x).$$

Note that the pullback $f_\sigma^* \omega \in \Omega^n(\Delta^p \times \{S\} \times N)$ does not depend on the choice of the representatives of S . Hence we set $\Omega(S) = f_\sigma^* \omega$. Then $\Omega(S)$ induces an n -form $\Omega_p \in \Omega^n(\Delta^p \times \overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_p \times N)$, and these Ω_p 's give rise to a simplicial n -form $\Omega = \{\Omega_p\}_{p \geq 0} \in A^n(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N)$. Note that under the decomposition $\Omega = \Omega^{(0)} + \dots + \Omega^{(n)}$, the simplicial l -form $\Omega^{(l)}$ is compactly supported for $l < n$.

McDuff proved in [McD83] that there exists a simplicial $(n-1)$ -form $\Phi = \Phi^{(0)} + \dots + \Phi^{(n-1)}$ on $\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N$ such that $d\Phi = \Omega$ and that $\Phi^{(0)}, \dots, \Phi^{(n-2)}$ are compactly supported. In particular, the wedge product $\Phi \wedge \Omega$ is compactly supported. The simplicial $(2n-1)$ -form

$\Phi \wedge \Omega$ is closed since $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$. Hence, the integration along the fiber N provides a simplicial $(n-1)$ -form $\int_N (\Phi \wedge \Omega)$ on $\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_{\bullet}$, which is a closed form. We set

$$s(N) = \left[\int_N (\Phi \wedge \Omega) \right] \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}).$$

If n is odd, then $s(N) = 0$ since $\Phi \wedge \Omega = d(\frac{1}{2}\Phi \wedge \Phi)$. McDuff proved the following.

Theorem 2.3 ([McD83]). The class $s(N)$ is non-zero if n is even.

3. A CLOSED FORM IN $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|)$

Let M be a compact connected orientable n -dimensional manifold with boundary ∂M and with a volume form ω . In this section and the next section, we do *not* assume that the boundary is diffeomorphic to the sphere S^{n-1} . We assume that $\mathbb{H}^l(M, \partial M) = 0$ for all $l < n$. Let N be the interior of M . Since $\mathbb{H}_c^*(N) \cong \mathbb{H}^*(M, \partial M)$, the interior N satisfies the assumption in Section 2.3. In this section, we construct a closed form in $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|)$ which correspond to the class $s(N)$ under the maps

$$\mathbb{H}^{n-1}(\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(\|\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_{\bullet}\|).$$

Let $\Omega^p(M, \partial M)$ be the set of p -forms on M whose pullback to ∂M is 0. This gives a subcomplex of the de Rham complex $(\Omega^*(M), d)$, the cohomology of which is isomorphic $\mathbb{H}^*(M, \partial M)$.

By definition, every element of $\mathcal{A}^{k,l}(\|M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|)$ is identified with a map

$$c: (\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M))^k \rightarrow \Omega^l(M).$$

Under this identification, the coboundary map

$$\delta: \mathcal{A}^{k,l}(\|M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1,l}(\|M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}\|)$$

is given as

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta c(g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) \\ &= c(g_2, \dots, g_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i c(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+1} c(g_1, \dots, g_k)^{g_{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(g_1, \dots, g_k)^{g_{k+1}}$ denotes the pullback of $c(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in \Omega^l(M)$ by the diffeomorphism g_{k+1} .

Since each $B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_k^{\delta}$ is a 0-dimensional manifold, we have

$$\mathcal{A}^{k,0}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}) = \{c: (\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M))^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$$

and $\mathcal{A}^{k,l}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}) = 0$ for $l > 0$.

Let $\omega^{(n)}$ be an element of $\mathcal{A}^{0,n}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ corresponding to the volume form ω of M under the identification $\mathcal{A}^{0,n}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}) = \Omega^n(M)$. By the exactness of ω , we take an $(n-1)$ -form ϕ such that $d\phi = \omega$. We set $\phi^{(n-1)}$ to be an element of $\mathcal{A}^{0,n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ corresponding to ϕ . By the assumption $\mathbb{H}^l(M, \partial M) = 0$ for all $l < n$ and the zig-zag argument on the double complex $\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$, we obtain $\phi^{(l)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-l,l}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ such that $\phi = \phi^{(0)} + \dots + \phi^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$

satisfies $d\phi = \omega^{(n)}$ and $\phi^{(l)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1-l}) \in \Omega^l(M, \partial M)$ for every $0 \leq l \leq n-2$ and for every $(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1-l}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^{n-1-l}$. This is depicted as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \omega^{(n)} & \\
 & \uparrow d'' & \\
 \phi^{(n-1)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & 0 \\
 & \uparrow d'' & \\
 & \phi^{(n-2)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \\
 & & \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow d'' \\
 & & \phi^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\delta} 0
 \end{array}$$

Note that $\delta\phi^{(0)} = 0$ since $d''\delta\phi^{(0)} = -\delta d''\phi^{(0)} = -\delta\delta\phi^{(1)} = 0$ and the restriction of $\delta\phi^{(0)}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ on ∂M is 0.

For non-negative integers p, q, r and s , the *wedge product*

$\wedge: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}) \times \mathcal{A}^{r,s}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+r,q+s}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$
is defined by

$$\alpha \wedge \beta(g_1, \dots, g_{p+r}) = \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_p)^{g_{p+1} \cdots g_{p+r}} \wedge \beta(g_{p+1}, \dots, g_{p+r}),$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$, $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{r,s}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_{p+r}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^{p+r}$. It is straightforward to check that the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= \delta\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge \delta\beta \\
 d''(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= d''\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^q \alpha \wedge d''\beta
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

hold for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{r,s}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$. By extending the wedge product linearly, we obtain the wedge product on the total complex.

The wedge product $\phi \wedge \omega^{(n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{2n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ is a closed form since $d(\phi \wedge \omega^{(n)}) = \omega^{(n)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} = 0$. Since $\Omega^l(M) = 0$ if $l > n$, we have $\phi \wedge \omega^{(n)} = \phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1,n}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$. Then the integration along the fiber M provides a closed $(n-1)$ -form $\int_M \phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)}$ on $B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}$. We set

$$c(M) = \left[\int_M \phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} \right] \in H^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta}).$$

Lemma 3.1. The class $c(M)$ does not depend on the choice of ϕ .

Proof. Let $\psi = \psi^{(0)} + \dots + \psi^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ be another choice of ϕ . Then there exists $\zeta = \zeta^{(0)} + \dots + \zeta^{(n-2)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-2}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ such that $\phi - \psi = d\zeta$ and $\zeta^{(l)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-2}) \in \Omega^l(M, \partial M)$. Hence we have

$$\phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} - \psi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} = \delta\zeta^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} = \delta(\zeta^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)}),$$

which implies

$$\int_M \phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} - \int_M \psi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} = \delta \left(\int_M \zeta^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} \right).$$

□

Proposition 3.2. If n is odd, then the class $c(M)$ is zero.

Proof. By (3.1) and the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi \wedge \phi) &= d\phi \wedge \phi + \phi \wedge d\phi - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} \phi^{(2i-1)} \right) \wedge d\phi \\ &= \omega^{(n)} \wedge \phi + \phi \wedge \omega^{(n)} - 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} \phi^{(2i-1)} \right) \wedge \omega^{(n)} \\ &= 2\phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_M \phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)} = \int_M d(\phi \wedge \phi) = \int_M (\delta(\phi \wedge \phi) + d''(\phi \wedge \phi)) = \delta \left(\int_M \phi \wedge \phi \right),$$

where the last equality comes from the Stokes formula and $\phi^{(l)} \in \Omega^l(M, \partial M)$ for every $l < n - 1$. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let M and ω be as in Section 3. Let ϕ be an element of $\mathcal{A}^{n-1}(N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ appeared in the definition of $c(M)$. Let N be the interior of M . By abuse of notation, we use the same symbols $\omega, \omega^{(n)}$ and $\phi^{(l)}$ to denote the pullbacks to N . Let $\iota: \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)$ be the inclusion given by extending diffeomorphisms by the identity on ∂M . This induces a map

$$\iota^*: \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^{\delta}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)^{\delta}).$$

Let $\{e_0, \dots, e_p\} \subset \Delta^p$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^{p+1} . We define a map $f: \overline{\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)\|_{\bullet}} \rightarrow \overline{\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)\|_{\bullet}^{\delta}}$ by

$$f(t, [\sigma]) = (t, \sigma(e_0)\sigma(e_1)^{-1}, \dots, \sigma(e_{p-1})\sigma(e_p)^{-1})$$

on each $\Delta^p \times \overline{\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)\|_p}$, and define $F: \overline{\|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)\|_{\bullet}} \times N \rightarrow \overline{\|N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)\|_{\bullet}^{\delta}}$ by

$$F(t, [\sigma], x) = (t, \sigma(e_0)\sigma(e_1)^{-1}, \dots, \sigma(e_{p-1})\sigma(e_p)^{-1}; \sigma(e_p)\sigma(t)^{-1}(x))$$

on each $\Delta^p \times \overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_p \times N$. These maps form a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \|\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N\| & \xrightarrow{F} & \|N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta\| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \|\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet\| & \xrightarrow{f} & \|B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta\|. \end{array}$$

Since $\omega^{(n)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}^{0,n}(N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$, the simplicial n -form $\mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)}) = \{\mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)})_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)})_p = \pi_p^* \omega^{(n)} \in \Omega^n(\Delta^p \times N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta),$$

where $\pi_p: \Delta^p \times N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta \rightarrow N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta$ is the projection. Hence, by the definition of the simplicial n -form $\Omega \in A^n(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N)$ given in Section 2.3, we have $F^* \mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)}) = \Omega$.

Let us consider the simplicial $(n-1)$ -form $F^* \mathcal{E}(\phi) \in A^{n-1}(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N)$. Since $d\phi = \omega^{(n)}$, we have $dF^* \mathcal{E}(\phi) = \Omega$. Hence $F^* \mathcal{E}(\phi)$ plays the role of Φ appeared in the definition of McDuff's secondary class $s(N)$. We set $\Phi = F^* \mathcal{E}(\phi)$. By the definition of \mathcal{E} , we have $\mathcal{E}(\phi \wedge \omega^{(n)}) = \mathcal{E}(\phi^{(0)} \wedge \omega^{(n)}) = \mathcal{E}(\phi^{(0)}) \wedge \mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)}) = \mathcal{E}(\phi) \wedge \mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)})$, and hence

$$F^* \mathcal{E}(\phi \wedge \omega^{(n)}) = F^* \mathcal{E}(\phi) \wedge F^* \mathcal{E}(\omega^{(n)}) = \Phi \wedge \Omega.$$

Lemma 4.1. The integration \int_N along N induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{A}^{n-1,n}(N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} & A^{n-1,n}(N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta) & \xrightarrow{F^*} & A^{n-1,n}(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet \times N) \\ \downarrow \int_N & & \downarrow \int_N & & \downarrow \int_N \\ \mathcal{A}^{n-1,0}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} & A^{n-1,0}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & A^{n-1,0}(\overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_\bullet). \end{array}$$

Proof. Let α be an element of $\mathcal{A}^{n-1,n}(N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$. Then $\int_N \alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1,0}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$ is given by

$$\left(\int_N \alpha \right) (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) = \int_N \alpha(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}),$$

and hence $\mathcal{E}(\int_N \alpha) = \left\{ \mathcal{E}(\int_N \alpha)_p \right\}_{p \geq 0} \in A^{n-1,0}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{E} \left(\int_N \alpha \right)_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < n-1 \\ (n-1)! \sum_{|I|=n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j t_{i_j} dt_{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dt}_{i_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dt_{i_{n-1}} \right) \wedge \pi_p^* \mu_I^* \left(\int_N \alpha \right) & \text{if } p \geq n-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi_p: \Delta^p \times B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta \rightarrow B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta$ is the projection.

By definition, the element $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \{\mathcal{E}(\alpha)_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ of $A^{n-1,n}(N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\alpha)_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < n-1 \\ (n-1)! \sum_{|I|=n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j t_{i_j} dt_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dt}_{i_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i_{n-1}} \right) \wedge (\pi'_p)^* \mu_I^* \alpha & \text{if } p \geq n-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi'_p: \Delta^p \times N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta \rightarrow N \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta$ is the projection. Hence the integration $\int_N \mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \{\int_N \mathcal{E}(\alpha)_p\}_{p \geq 0} \in A^{n-1,0}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$ along the fiber N is of the form

$$\int_N (\mathcal{E}(\alpha)_p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < n-1 \\ (n-1)! \sum_{|I|=n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j t_{i_j} dt_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dt}_{i_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i_{n-1}} \right) \wedge \pi_p^* \mu_I^* \left(\int_N \alpha \right) & \text{if } p \geq n-1. \end{cases}$$

This implies the commutativity of the left-hand side of the diagram.

Let $\lambda = \{\lambda_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ be an element of $A^{n-1,n}(B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_\bullet^\delta)$. By definition, the maps F and f provide a bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^p \times \overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_p \times N & \xrightarrow{F} & \Delta^p \times B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta \times N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^p \times \overline{B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)}_p & \xrightarrow{f} & \Delta^p \times B \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}^c(N)_p^\delta \end{array}$$

for each $p \geq 0$. Hence, by the property of the integration along the fiber, we have

$$\left(\int_N F^* \lambda \right)_p = \int_N F^*(\lambda_p) = f^* \int_N \lambda_p = \left(f^* \int_N \lambda \right)_p.$$

This implies the commutativity of the right-hand side of the diagram. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 4.1, we have $f^* \mathcal{E}(\int_N \phi \wedge \omega^{(n)}) = \int_N F^* \mathcal{E}(\phi \wedge \omega^{(n)}) = \int_N \Phi \wedge \Omega$. Since the left-hand side represents the class $f^* \iota^*(c(M))$ and the right-hand side represents the class $s(N)$, we have $f^* \iota^*(c(M)) = s(N)$. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let M and ω be as in Section 4. In this section, we *do* assume that the boundary ∂M is diffeomorphic to the sphere S^{n-1} . By the cohomology long exact sequence of the pair $(M, \partial M)$ and the assumption that $H^l(M, \partial M) = 0$ for every $l < n$, we have $H^l(M) = 0$ for every $0 < l < n$.

Recall that $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)$ denotes the identity component of the group of ω -preserving C^∞ -diffeomorphisms of M . Let $\overline{\omega}^{(n)}$ be the element of $\mathcal{A}^{0,n}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_\bullet^\delta)$ which corresponds to $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ under the canonical identification $\mathcal{A}^{0,n}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_\bullet^\delta) = \Omega^n(M)$. Let $i: \partial M \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion.

Lemma 5.1. There exists $\overline{\phi} = \overline{\phi}^{(0)} + \cdots + \overline{\phi}^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_\bullet^\delta)$ satisfying the following:

- (1) $d\bar{\phi} = \bar{\omega}^{(n)} + \delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)}$,
- (2) $\bar{\phi}^{(l)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) \in \Omega^l(M, \partial M)$ for every $l < n - 1$ and for every $(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^{n-l-1}$, and
- (3) for every $l < n - 1$, the pullback $i^*\bar{\phi}^{(l)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) \in \Omega^l(\partial M)$ coincides with $i^*\bar{\phi}^{(l)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l-1})$ whenever $g_k, h_k \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)$ satisfy $g_k|_{\partial M} = h_k|_{\partial M}$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n - l - 1$.

On the double complex $\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$, the condition (1) in Lemma 5.1 is depicted as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \bar{\omega}^{(n)} & \\
 & \uparrow d'' & \\
 \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & 0 \\
 & \uparrow d'' & \\
 & \bar{\phi}^{(n-2)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow d'' \\
 & & \bar{\phi}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)}.
 \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. By $H^n(M) = 0$, we take $\phi \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$ such that $d\phi = \omega$. Let $\bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}$ be the element corresponding to ϕ under the canonical isomorphism $\Omega^{n-1}(M) \cong \mathcal{A}^{0,n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$. By $d''\delta\bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} = -\delta d''\bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} = 0$ and $H^{n-1}(M) = H^{n-1}(M, \partial M) = 0$, we take an element $\bar{\psi}^{(n-2)}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{1,n-2}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ such that $\delta\bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} + d''\bar{\psi}^{(n-2)} = 0$ and that $\bar{\psi}^{(n-2)}(g) \in \Omega^{n-2}(M, \partial M)$ for every $f \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)$.

For $l < n - 1$, we define $\bar{\phi}^{(l)}$ and $\bar{\psi}^{(l-1)}$ inductively as follows. Assume that $\bar{\phi}^{(l+1)}$ satisfies the conditions in (2) and (3) and there exists $\bar{\psi}^{(l)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-l-1,l}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)_{\bullet}^{\delta})$ such that $\delta\bar{\phi}^{(l+1)} + d''\bar{\psi}^{(l)} = 0$ and that $\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(f_1, \dots, f_{n-l-1}) \in \Omega^l(M, \partial M)$ for every $(f_1, \dots, f_{n-l-1}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^{n-l-1}$. We fix $(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^{n-l-1}$, and take $(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l-1}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^{n-l-1}$ satisfying $g_k|_{\partial M} = h_k|_{\partial M}$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n - l - 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d''i^* \left(\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) - \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l-1}) \right) \\
 &= -i^* \left(\delta\bar{\phi}^{(l+1)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) - \delta\bar{\phi}^{(l+1)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l-1}) \right) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $l > 0$, then there exists $\zeta' \in \Omega^{l-1}(\partial M)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad d''\zeta' = i^*\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-l-1}) - i^*\bar{\psi}^{(l)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l-1})$$

since $H^l(\partial M) = H^l(S^{n-1}) = 0$. Since $i^*: \Omega^{l-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{l-1}(\partial M)$ is surjective, we take $\zeta \in \Omega^{l-1}(M)$ such that $i^*\zeta = \zeta'$. We set $\bar{\phi}^{(l)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l}) = \bar{\psi}^{(l)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-l}) + d''\zeta$. Then $\bar{\phi}^{(l)}$ satisfies the conditions in (2) and (3) by (5.1). Since $d''\delta\bar{\phi}^{(l)} = -\delta d''\bar{\phi}^{(l)} = -\delta d''(\bar{\psi}^{(l)} + d''\zeta) = \delta\delta\bar{\phi}^{(l+1)} = 0$ and $H^l(M) = H^l(M, \partial M) = 0$, there exists $\bar{\psi}^{(l-1)}$ such that $\delta\bar{\phi}^{(l)} + d''\bar{\psi}^{(l-1)} = 0$ and that $\bar{\psi}^{(l-1)}(f_1, \dots, f_{n-l}) \in \Omega^{l-1}(M, \partial M)$ for every $(f_1, \dots, f_{n-l}) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^{n-l}$.

If $l = 0$, then there exists a constant a such that

$$a = i^*\bar{\psi}^{(0)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) - i^*\bar{\psi}^{(0)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}).$$

We set $\bar{\phi}^{(0)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) = \bar{\psi}^{(0)}(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) + a$. Then $\bar{\phi}^{(0)}$ satisfies the conditions in (2) and (3). The resulting $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^{(0)} + \dots + \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}$ satisfies (1), (2) and (3). \square

Recall that $r: B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_0(\partial M)^\delta$ is the map induced by the boundary-restricting map.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^{(0)} + \dots + \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}$ be as in Lemma 5.1. Then there exists a unique closed n -form $\chi \in \mathcal{A}^n(B\text{Diff}_0(\partial M)^\delta)$ such that $r^*\chi = \delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)}$.

Proof. Since $d''\delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)} = 0$, the element $\delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)}(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \Omega^0(M)$ is a constant function for every $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^n$. By (2) in Lemma 5.1, the constant $\delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ depends only on $(g_1|_{\partial M}, \dots, g_n|_{\partial M})$. Hence, for every $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \text{Diff}_0(\partial M)^n$, we set

$$\chi(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)}(g_1, \dots, g_n),$$

where g_k is an element of $\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)$ such that $g_k|_{\partial M} = \gamma_k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n$. It is easily verified that such χ is unique and a closed form. \square

Definition 5.3. Let $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^{(0)} + \dots + \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}$ be as in Lemma 5.1. We set

$$u = \int_M \bar{\phi}^{(0)} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta).$$

For the fibration $B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M, \partial M)^\delta \xrightarrow{j} B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta \xrightarrow{r} B\text{Diff}_0(\partial M)^\delta$, we obtain the following transgression formula.

Theorem 5.4 (transgression formula). Let $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^{(0)} + \dots + \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta)$ be as in Lemma 5.1. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{A}^n(B\text{Diff}_0(\partial M)^\delta)$ and $u \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(B\text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta)$ be as in Lemma 5.2 and Definition 5.3, respectively. Then the following hold:

$$[j^*u] = c(M) \quad \text{and} \quad du = \text{vol}(M) \cdot r^*\chi.$$

In particular, the class $c(M)$ transgresses to $\text{vol}(M) \cdot [\chi]$.

Proof. Since $du = \int_M \delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{(n)}$ and $\delta\bar{\phi}^{(0)} = r^*\chi$ by Lemma 5.2, we have

$$du = \text{vol}(M) \cdot r^*\chi.$$

By Lemma 5.1 (2) and the definition of $c(M)$, the form j^*u represents $c(M)$. \square

Finally, we prove that the class $[\chi]$ coincides with $-\text{vol}(M)$ times the Euler class of foliated S^{n-1} -bundles. Let us consider the double complex $\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(S^{n-1} \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$ for the simplicial manifold $S^{n-1} \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta$. It is known that the spectral sequence $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r^{*,*}\}_{r \geq 1}$ of the double complex $\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(S^{n-1} \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$ is isomorphic to the Serre spectral sequence of

$$(5.2) \quad S^{n-1} \rightarrow E \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta \times_{\text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta} S^{n-1} \rightarrow B \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta$$

from E^2 -page (see [Los93] for example). Hence the Euler class $e \in H^n(B \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta) \cong E_n^{n,0}$ of the bundle (5.2) is given by $-d_n^{0,n-1}(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in E_n^{0,n-1} \cong H^{n-1}(S^{n-1})$ is the element corresponding to the generator of integral cohomology $H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 5.5. The class $[\chi] \in H^{n-1}(B \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$ coincides with $-\text{vol}(M) \cdot e$.

Proof. We take $\bar{\omega}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{0,n}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta)$ and $\bar{\phi} = \bar{\phi}^{(0)} + \dots + \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta)$ as in Lemma 5.1. By Lemma 5.1 (3), each pullback $i^* \bar{\phi}^{(l)}$ to the boundary sphere descends to a form $\bar{\psi}^{(l)} \in \mathcal{A}^{n-l-1,l}(S^{n-1} \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$. By Lemma 5.1 (1), we have $d\bar{\psi} = \delta \bar{\psi}^{(0)}$. On the double complex $\mathcal{A}^{*,*}(S^{n-1} \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$, this is depicted as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow d'' \\
 \bar{\psi}^{(n-1)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow d'' \\
 & & \bar{\psi}^{(n-2)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \\
 & & \vdots \\
 & & \bar{\psi}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \delta \bar{\psi}^{(0)} \\
 & & \uparrow d''
 \end{array}$$

Note that $\delta \bar{\psi}^{(0)} = \chi$ by the definition of χ . By the definition of the spectral sequence of double complex, the zig-zag above implies that the form $\bar{\psi}^{(n-1)}$ lives to $E_n^{0,n-1}$ and that the image $d_n^{0,n-1}([\bar{\psi}^{(n-1)}])$ is equal to the class $[\chi] \in E_n^{n,0} = H^n(B \text{Diff}_0(S^{n-1})^\delta)$ (see [BT82, Section 14]).

Recall that $\bar{\phi}^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{0,n-1}(M \text{Diff}_{\omega,0}(M)^\delta)$ is the element corresponding to $\phi \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$ satisfying $d\phi = \omega$. By the Stokes formula, we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} i^* \phi = \int_M d\phi = \int_M \omega = \text{vol}(M),$$

which implies that $[\bar{\psi}^{(n-1)}] = \text{vol}(M) \cdot \alpha \in E_n^{0,n-1} \cong H^{n-1}(S^{n-1})$. By the definition of the Euler class, we have

$$[\chi] = d_n^{0,n-1}([\bar{\psi}^{(n-1)}]) = \text{vol}(M) \cdot d_n^{0,n-1}(\alpha) = -\text{vol}(M) \cdot e.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.5 imply the theorem. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Hitoshi Moriyoshi for helpful discussions and comments. The author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ1938 and JP23K12971.

REFERENCES

- [Bor55] Armand Borel, *Topology of Lie groups and characteristic classes*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1955), 397–432.
- [Bot78] Raoul Bott, *On some formulas for the characteristic classes of group-actions*, Differential topology, foliations and Gelfand-Fuks cohomology (Proc. Sympos., Pontificia Univ. Católica, Rio de Janeiro, 1976), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 652, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1978, pp. 25–61.
- [Bow11] Jonathan Bowden, *Flat structures on surface bundles*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **11** (2011), no. 4, 2207–2235.
- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [Dup76] Johan L. Dupont, *Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles*, Topology **15** (1976), no. 3, 233–245.
- [Dup78] ———, *Curvature and characteristic classes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 640, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
- [HM94] Steven Hurder and Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu, *Transverse euler class of foliations on non-atomic foliation cycles*, Contemporary Mathematics **161** (1994), no. 1994, 29–39.
- [Los93] Mark V. Losik, *Characteristic classes of transformation groups*, Differential Geom. Appl. **3** (1993), no. 3, 205–218.
- [Mat79] John N. Mather, *On the homology of haefliger’s classifying space*, pp. 71–116, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979.
- [McD83] Dusa McDuff, *Some canonical cohomology classes on groups of volume preserving diffeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), no. 1, 345–356.
- [Mit92] Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu, *On the self-intersections of foliation cycles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **334** (1992), no. 2, 851–860.
- [Mor16] Hitoshi Moriyoshi, *The Calabi invariant and central extensions of diffeomorphism groups*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 154, Springer, [Tokyo], 2016, pp. 283–297.
- [Tsu00] Takashi Tsuboi, *The Calabi invariant and the Euler class*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 2, 515–524.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY, KAKUMA-MACHI, KANAZAWA, ISHIKAWA, 920-1192, JAPAN

Email address: smaruyama@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp