

INFINITE CLASS FIELD TOWER WITH SMALL ROOT DISCRIMINANT

ZUGAN XING* AND QI LIU

ABSTRACT. We extend Schoof's theorem from cyclic case to any finite case and apply this to construct a class of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/\varphi(n)\mathbb{Z}$ extensions of \mathbb{Q} , where m is either a power of 2 or an odd integer, and n be any integer such that m divides n . As an application, we give some number fields with small root discriminant, having infinite p -class field tower when $p = 3, 5, 7$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a number field. We define a sequence of extensions of K as follows: Let K_{i+1} be the maximal abelian unramified extension of K_i , for $i \geq 0$, and $K_0 = K$. Then, we call this sequence of fields the Hilbert class field tower of K . The Hilbert class field tower of K is called finite if there exists $i \geq 0$ such that $K_{i+1} = K_i$. Otherwise, it is called infinite. For a rational prime p , we define the p -Hilbert class field of K as the maximal abelian unramified p -extension of K . Similarly, we can define the p -class field tower of K .

In 1964, Golod and Shafarevich [2] proved $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19})$ has infinite 2-class field tower. Subsequently, in 1986, Schoof [11] gave a useful method to construct an infinite class field tower and produced infinitely many quadratic number fields admitting an infinite 2-class field tower.

Odlyzko's bounds [9] imply that any number field with infinite class field tower must have root discriminant at least 22.3. Furthermore, assuming the GRH, the bound becomes 44.3. It is an interesting task to find a number field with a small root discriminant having an infinite p -class field tower.

Martinet [7] found a number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{-1}, \sqrt{-46})$ with root discriminant ≈ 92.4 , having infinite class field tower. After that, If we fix a finite set S of finite places of K , Hajir, and Maire [4] gave an example with root discriminant ≈ 82.1 , having infinite S -tamely ramified 2-tower. Recently, Hajir, Maire, and Ramakrishna [5] used the "cutting" method to improve the root discriminant record of the former to 78.427.

For $p \geq 3$, Leshin [6] apply School's method to prove that a class of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ extensions of \mathbb{Q} with infinite class field tower, and then he find that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \sqrt[3]{79 \cdot 97})$ has infinite 3-class field tower, with root discriminant ≈ 1400.4 . We continue the work of Leshin, our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let m, n be two 2-power integers or two odd integers such that m divides n . Let h denote the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[p]{p})$. If h is greater than or equal to $\frac{12}{\varphi(n)m} + \frac{8}{\varphi(n)}$, where $\varphi(n)$ is the Euler function of n and p is a prime, then there exist infinitely many prime numbers q such that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[p]{pq})$ has an infinite class field tower.*

Date: 2024.May.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R29, 11R37.

Key words and phrases. Class field tower, ramification, root discriminant.

As an application, we find some new Kummer extension of cyclotomic number field, with infinite 3-class field tower and small root discriminant.

Corollary 1.2. *The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9, \sqrt[3]{7 \cdot 181})$ has infinite 3-class field tower with root discriminant ≈ 776.7 .*

Moreover we also obtain some new number field, with infinite p -class field and small root discriminant, where $p=5, 7$.

Corollary 1.3. *The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{40}, \sqrt[5]{3 \cdot 41})$ has infinite 5-class field tower with root discriminant ≈ 1196.2 .*

Corollary 1.4. *The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{35}, \sqrt[7]{5 \cdot 71})$ has infinite 7-class field tower with root discriminant ≈ 1608.8 .*

2. THE EXTENSION OF SHCOOF'S CRITERION

In this section, we extend Schoof's criterion for the existence of an infinite class field tower from cyclic extension to arbitrary algebraic extension.

Let K be a number field, with \mathcal{O}_K denoting its ring of integers, E_K the units of this ring, Cl_K the class group of \mathcal{O}_K , and h_K the order of Cl_K . Suppose K/k is a finite Galois extension with Galois group $\Delta = \text{Gal}(K/k)$, and \mathfrak{p} is a prime of k . Given a \mathbb{Z} -module M and rational prime number p , we denote by $d_p(M)$ the dimension over \mathbb{F}_p of $\mathbb{F}_p \otimes M$. In 1986, Schoof proved the following Classic conclusion.

Theorem 2.1. (Schoof, [11]). *Suppose Δ is a cyclic group of prime order p , and let ρ denote the number of primes (both finite and infinite) of K that ramify in k . Then K has infinite l -class field tower if*

$$\rho \geq 3 + d_p(E_k / (E_k \cap N_{U_K/U_k} U_K)) + 2\sqrt{d_p(E_K) + 1},$$

where U_K is the idèle units of K .

We first review two facts of $d_p Cl_K$.

Proposition 2.2. ([11], Proposition 3.1) *The p -rank of Cl_K satisfies*

$$d_p Cl_K \geq d_p \hat{H}^0(\Delta, U_K) - d_p \Delta / [\Delta, \Delta] - d_p E_k / (E_k \cap N_{U_K/U_k} U_K).$$

Theorem 2.3. ([1], p.235) *K has an infinite p -class field tower if*

$$d_p Cl_K \geq 2 + 2\sqrt{d_p E_K + 1}.$$

With these facts, we can prove the criterion for the existence of an infinite class field tower of an algebraic extension.

Let S be the set of all (finite and infinite) primes of k , R be the set of all finite primes that are ramified in K , and let S_∞ denote the set of infinite primes of k . Fixed a prime \mathfrak{P} of K lying over $\mathfrak{p} \in S$, we denote by $I_{\mathfrak{P}}(K/k)$ and $D_{\mathfrak{P}}(K/k)$ the inertia group and decomposition group of \mathfrak{P} in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let p be a rational number such that $p \mid |\Delta|$. We set $\delta_p = 1$ if $p = 2$ and 0 otherwise. Let G' be the commutator subgroup of G . Then K has an infinite p -class field tower if*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in R} d_p I_{\mathfrak{P}}(K/k) / D'_{\mathfrak{P}}(K/k) \geq d_p \Delta / [\Delta, \Delta] + 2 - r_1^{ram}(k) \delta_p + L_p$$

where

$$L_p = d_p(E_k / (E_k \cap N_{U_K/U_k} U_K)) + 2\sqrt{d_p(E_K) + 1}.$$

Proof. By proposition 2.2 and theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that:

$$d_p \hat{H}^0(\Delta, U_K) \geq \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in R} d_p I_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) / D'_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) + r_1^{ram}(k) d_p(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

where $r_1^{ram}(k)$ be the number of real places of k becoming complex in K .

By the same argument as in the proof of ([8], 8.1.2), we have

$$\hat{H}^0(\Delta, U_K) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_{\infty}} \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), K_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\times}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S \setminus S_{\infty}} \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), U_{\mathfrak{F}}).$$

If $K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is unramified, the unit group $U_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is cohomologically trivial $G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -modules. Hence,

$$\hat{H}^0(\Delta, U_K) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_{\infty}} \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), K_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\times}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in R} \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), U_{\mathfrak{F}})$$

By ([3], Theorem 1.4),

$$\hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), U_{\mathfrak{F}}) = I(K_{\mathfrak{F}}^{Abel}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}) = I_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) / D'_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k),$$

and

$$d_p \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), U_{\mathfrak{F}}) = d_p I_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) / D'_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_p \hat{H}^0(\Delta, U_K) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in S_{\infty}} d_{p_i} \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), K_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\times}) + \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in R} d_p \hat{H}^0(G(K_{\mathfrak{F}}/k_{\mathfrak{p}}), U_{\mathfrak{F}}) \\ &\geq \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in R} d_p I_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) / D'_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) + r_1^{ram}(k) d_p \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

□

3. THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

3.1. The case in which m is odd. In this subsection, we always let m be a non-zero odd integer. Our construction is analogous to that of Schoof [11], Theorem 3.4. The following proposition will be used to construct the unramified extension of certain fields for proving Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. ([10], Theorem 2) *Let k be a field and m be a natural such that $\text{char}(k) \nmid m$. Denote with ω_m the number of the m -roots of unity in k . Then $\text{Gal}(k(\zeta_m, \sqrt[m]{a})/k)$ is abelian if and only if*

$$a^{\omega_m} = r^m \text{ for some } r \in k.$$

Lemma 3.2. (I). *Let p be a prime number, and $n, c \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then*

$$c \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n)^n \text{ if and only if } c \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n.$$

(II). *If $n = 2^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and c is an odd number, then*

$$c \in \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_n)^n \text{ if and only if } c \text{ is } n\text{-power in } \mathbb{Z}/2^{2k+1}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. (I). The sufficiency is clear. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod n$, then $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}_p$. So we reduce to the case $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$. Assume $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n)^n$, we have $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n, \sqrt[n]{c}) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n)$. Hence, $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n, \sqrt[n]{c})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is Abelian. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$c^{\omega_n} = r^n \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\omega_n = 1$. The necessity is proved.

(II). By the conclusion of (I), $c \in \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_n)^n$ if and only if $c \in \mathbb{Q}_2^n$. We just to show $c \in \mathbb{Q}_2^n$ if and only if c is n -power in $\mathbb{Z}/2^{2k+1}\mathbb{Z}$. The necessity is clear. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an odd number such that

$$\alpha^n \equiv c \pmod{2^{2k+1}}.$$

Let $\beta = \alpha + 2^{2k+1}$ and $f(x) = x^n - c$. It is easy to check

$$|f(\beta)|_2 < |f'(\beta)|_2^2.$$

By Hensel's lemma, there exists a root of $f(x)$ in \mathbb{Q}_2 . \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let m, n, a be three integers and p be a prime number. Then*

- (I). *The prime ideals above p of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[m]{a})$ when $(p, m \cdot a) = 1$;*
 (II). *The prime ideals above p of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[p]{p})$ when $(p, n) = 1$.*

Proof. (I) Observe that the relative discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[m]{a})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ divides the norm of $x^m - a$, which equals $m^m a^{m-1}$. Therefore, if $(p, ma) = 1$, then p is not ramified.

(II) This can be inferred from Theorem 4.3 in [14]. \square

Suppose $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_l^{n_l} \in \mathbb{N}^+$ where $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$ is odd rational prime numbers, $n_1, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq l$. Let m be an odd integer satisfying m dividing n . We set F as the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, where ζ_n is the n -th primitive root of unity, s as a rational prime not dividing n , and H as the Hilbert class field of $F(\sqrt[m]{s})$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let s be an odd prime number. If t is a rational prime that completely splits in $H(\zeta_{n \cdot P(n)})$ where $P(n)$ is the product of prime factors of n , then the field $F(\sqrt[m]{st})$, denoted by K , satisfies $F(\sqrt[m]{s}, \sqrt[m]{t})/K$ being unramified everywhere.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & H(\zeta_{n \cdot P(n)}) & \\
 & | & \\
 & F(\sqrt[m]{s}) & \text{-----} & F(\sqrt[m]{s}, \sqrt[m]{t}) \\
 & | & \text{-----} & | \\
 & F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) & \text{-----} & F(\sqrt[m]{t}) \\
 & & \text{-----} & \\
 & & K &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. By lemma 3.3, The prime ideals of K ramified in $F(\sqrt[m]{s}, \sqrt[m]{t})$ that only be prime ideals above s, t and $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$.

We first check s and t . By lemma 3.3 I, the prime ideals above s in F is unramified in $F(\sqrt[m]{t})$. Hence, prime ideals above s in K is unramified in $F(\sqrt[m]{s}, \sqrt[m]{t})$. Similarly, It is easy to show the prime ideal above t is unramified in $F(\sqrt[m]{s}, \sqrt[m]{t})$.

Now, we set $n' = p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_l^{n_l}$ (resp. $m' = p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_l^{m_l}$), then $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdot n'$ (resp. $m = p_1^{m_1} \cdot m'$) and $(n', p_1) = 1$ (respect $(m', p_1) = 1$). By the choice of t , we know t is split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1+1}})$ which imply $t \equiv 1 \pmod{p_1^{n_1+1}}$. By [14] Theorem 5.4, $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{p_1}^{p_1^{n_1}}$. In other words, $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{p_1}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}})^{p_1^{n_1}}$, which imply $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{p_1}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}})^{p_1^{m_1}}$ and

$$\mathbb{Q}_{p_1}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}}, \sqrt[p_1^{m_1}]{t}) = \mathbb{Q}_{p_1}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}}).$$

Hence, $(1 - \zeta_{p_1^{n_1}})$ is split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}}, \sqrt[p_1^{m_1}]{t})$. From the assumption, We have the following field diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}}, \sqrt[p_1]{t}) & \text{---} & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[p_1]{t}) & \text{---} & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[t]{t}) \\
| & & | & & | \\
\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1^{n_1}}) & \text{---} & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) & \text{---} & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[t]{t}) \\
| & & | & & | \\
\mathbb{Q} & \text{---} & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n'}) & \text{---} & \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n'}, \sqrt[t]{t})
\end{array}$$

The the prime above p_1 in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[p_1]{t})$. On the other hands since p_1 prime to n' and m' , then the prime above p_1 in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n'})$ is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{n'}, \sqrt[t]{t})$, by the above field diagram then the prime above p_1 in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[t]{t})$. In this way we can deduce the prime above p_i in F are unramified in $F(\sqrt[t]{t})$, where p_i is the prime factor of n . So the prime ideals of K above p_i is unramified in $F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t})$. \square

3.2. The case in which m is 2-power. In this subsection, we set m as a power of 2 and construct a specific unramified field for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Assume $m = 2^k$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let n be a number such that $m \mid n$, and let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let s be an odd number. If t is a rational prime number which is split completely in $H(\zeta_{2^{2k+1}})$ where H is the Hilbert class field of $F(\sqrt[s]{s})$ and $(t, s) = 1$, then there exists a subfield of $F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t})$, denote by K , such that $F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t})/K$ is unramified everywhere.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H(\zeta_{2^{2k+1}}) & & \\
| & & \\
F(\sqrt[s]{s}) & \text{---} & F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t}) \\
| & \nearrow K & | \\
F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) & \text{---} & F(\sqrt[t]{t})
\end{array}$$

Proof. Let $K = F(\sqrt[st]{st})$. By lemma 3.3, the rational prime numbers which are ramified in $F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t})$ can only be s, t and 2. Similarly to the odd case. Since the prime ideals above s of \mathcal{O}_F are unramified in $F(\sqrt[t]{t})$, the prime ideals above s of \mathcal{O}_K are also unramified in $F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t})$. In the same way, the prime ideal above t of \mathcal{O}_K is unramified. By the choice of t , t is split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^{2k+1}})$ which mean that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{2k+1}}$. By the lemma 3.2, $t \in \mathbb{Q}_2(\zeta_m)^m$. Hence $F(\sqrt[t]{t})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ are unramified at $(1 - \zeta_n)$. (Actually, it is split completely). Thus, the prime ideals above 2 of \mathcal{O}_K are unramified in $F(\sqrt[s]{s}, \sqrt[t]{t})$. \square

Especially when $k = 2$, we can find additional unramified construction.

Proposition 3.6. *Let p and q be coprime rational prime numbers, which are odd. H is the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4, \sqrt[4]{p})$. If q is split completely in $H(\zeta_8)$, then*

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4, \sqrt[4]{p}, \sqrt[4]{q})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{pq}, \zeta_4)$$

is unramified everywhere.

Proof. By the choice of q , q is split completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, which imply $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

Similarly to the previous proposition, we need to check the ramification index of 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4)$. If $q \in \mathbb{Q}_2^4$, everything is done. If $q \notin \mathbb{Q}_2^4$, then

$$2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q})} = P_0^{2^{1-t}} \cdot (P_1 P_2^2 \cdots P_t^{2^{t-1}})^{2^{2-t}} \text{ where } t = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

by [13], Theorem 7. But $t = 0$ is impossible. Since when $t = 0$, we have

$$q \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \setminus \mathbb{Q}_2^2,$$

which imply $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not split completely at q . This is a contradiction. When $t = 1$,

$$2\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q})} = P_0 P_1^2.$$

Notice the ramification index of 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4)$ is even and $e(P_0|2) = 1$, then P_0 is totally ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4)$. So the ramification index of 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4)$ is 2. Therefore, the prime ideals above 2 of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4)$ is unramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{q}, \zeta_4)$. \square

3.3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let H denote the Hilbert class field of $F(\zeta_n)$. We choose q satisfying the split condition in Proposition 3.4 (resp. Proposition 3.5) when n is odd (resp. 2-power). By the Chebotarev Density Theorem, there exist infinitely many prime q such that q splits completely in H and $F(\sqrt[m]{p}, \sqrt[m]{q})/F(\sqrt[m]{pq})$ is an unramified extension. On the other hand, we apply Proposition 2.4 to the extension L over H , where $L = H(\sqrt[m]{q})$. Since q is totally ramified in the extension $F(\sqrt[m]{q})/F$ and unramified in the extension H/F .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H & \text{-----} & L \\
 | & & | \\
 F(\sqrt[m]{p}) & \text{-----} & F(\sqrt[m]{p}, \sqrt[m]{q}) \\
 | & \nearrow & | \\
 F & F(\sqrt[m]{pq}) & F(\sqrt[m]{q}) \\
 | & \nearrow & | \\
 F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) & \text{-----} & F(\sqrt[m]{q})
 \end{array}$$

Then

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in R} d_{\mathfrak{p}_i} I_{\mathfrak{F}}(K/k) \geq mh\varphi(n)d_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) = mh\varphi(n), \text{ where } \mathfrak{p}_i | m.$$

By the Dirichlet's unit theorem,

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \leq \frac{\varphi(n)mh}{2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\varphi(n)m^2h}{2} + 1}.$$

As $r_1^{\text{ram}}(k) = 0$, it suffices to show

$$mh\varphi(n) \geq 3 + \frac{\varphi(n)mh}{2} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\varphi(n)m^2h}{2} + 1}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\varphi(n)mh}{2} \geq \frac{3}{m} + 2\sqrt{\frac{\varphi(n)h}{2} + \frac{1}{m^2}}.$$

Given that $h \geq 12/\varphi(n)m + 8/\varphi(n)$, it follows that L has an infinite \mathfrak{p}_i -class field tower. Since $K/F(\sqrt[m]{pq})$ is an unramified extension, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n, \sqrt[m]{pq})$ also has an infinite class field tower. \square

3.4. The application of Theorem 1.1. We apply Theorem 1.1. in the case $m = 3, 5, 7$ to find an infinite class field tower. By [12], we can obtain the following results.

Corollary 3.7. *The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{35}, \sqrt[7]{5 \cdot 71})$ has infinite 7-class field tower with root discriminant ≈ 1608.8 .*

Corollary 3.8. *The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{40}, \sqrt[5]{3 \cdot 41})$ has infinite 5-class field tower with root discriminant ≈ 1196.2 .*

Corollary 3.9. *The number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9, \sqrt[3]{7 \cdot 181})$ has infinite 3-class field tower with root discriminant ≈ 776.7 .*

REFERENCES

- [1] John William Scott Cassels, Albrecht Fröhlich, et al. Algebraic number theory: Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the london mathematical society (a nato advanced study institute) with the support of the international mathematical union. (*No Title*), 1967.
- [2] Evgeniy Solomonovich Golod and Igor Rostislavovich Shafarevich. On the class field tower. *Izvestiya Rossijskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 28(2):261–272, 1964.
- [3] Georges Gras and Henri Cohen. *Class Field Theory: from theory to practice*. Springer, 2003.
- [4] Farshid Hajir and Christian Maire. Tamely ramified towers and discriminant bounds for number fields—ii. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 33(4):415–423, 2002.
- [5] Farshid Hajir, Christian Maire, and Ravi Ramakrishna. Cutting towers of number fields. *Annales mathématiques du Québec*, 45(2):321–345, 2021.
- [6] Jonah Leshin. On infinite class field towers ramified at three primes. *New York J. Math*, 20:27–33, 2014.
- [7] Jacques Martinet. Tours de corps de classes et estimations de discriminants. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 44:65–73, 1978.
- [8] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [9] Andrew M Odlyzko. Bounds for discriminants and related estimates for class numbers, regulators and zeros of zeta functions: a survey of recent results. *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, 2(1):119–141, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Schinzel. Abelian binomials, power residues and exponential congruences. *Acta Arithmetica*, 32(3):245–274, 1977.
- [11] René Schoof. Infinite class field towers of quadratic fields. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 372:209–220, 1986.
- [12] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version x.y.z)*, YYYY. <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [13] William Yslas Vélez. The factorization of p in $\mathbb{Q}(a^{1/p^k})$ and the genus field of $\mathbb{Q}(a^{1/n})$. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 11(1):1–19, 1988.
- [14] Filippo Viviani. Ramification groups and artin conductors of radical extensions of \mathbb{Q} . *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, 16(3):779–816, 2004.