

On spectrally optimal duals of frames generated by graphs

Deepshikha ^{*} Aniruddha Samanta [†]

June 4, 2024

Abstract

Recently, the concept of frames generated by graphs has been introduced in [12]. In this paper, we study spectrally optimal dual frames of frames generated by graphs. We show that if the frame is generated by a connected graph, then its canonical dual frame is the unique spectrally optimal dual frame for 1-erasure and 2-erasures. Further, we show that the canonical dual frames of frames generated by disconnected graphs are non-unique spectrally optimal dual frames for 1-erasure and 2-erasures.

AMS Subject Classification(2010): 05C40, 05C50, 42C15, 42C40, 46C05.

Keywords. Frames generated by graphs, Dual frames, Spectrally optimal dual frames, Simple graphs, Laplacian matrix, Error operator.

1 Introduction

In order to solve certain complex problems in the non-harmonic Fourier series, Duffin and Schaeffer [15] developed the idea of frames. Frames are redundant sequences of vectors that span a vector space. The redundancy of frames makes them very useful in many applications, including data and image processing, signal transmission, image segmentation, coding theory, etc. Due to redundancy, the data transmitted using frames can be reconstructed with minimal error if erasures occur during the transmission.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Shyampur Siddheswari Mahavidyalaya, University of Calcutta, West Bengal 711312, India. Email: dpmmehra@gmail.com

[†]Theoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata-700108, India. Email: aniruddha.sam@gmail.com

Finite frames are widely used in frame theory due to their significant relevance in applications. In [12], authors introduced a new class of finite frames using simple graphs and called them frames generated by graphs. They established some graph properties using frame properties and vice-versa. Consequently, it provides a beautiful connection between the three classical branches of mathematics, namely, frame theory, graph theory, and matrix theory. Further, frames generated by graphs have some special properties, and some of them are stated in the preliminaries section of this article.

The problem of erasures commonly occurs due to transmission losses or disturbances during transmission, see [6, 7]. The problem of erasures can be handled in two ways. One way is to find a frame that can minimize the maximal error in reconstruction [17]. Another way is to find an optimal dual frame for a pre-selected frame in order to minimize the maximal error of reconstruction, see [18]. In this paper, we focus on the second approach. A dual frame of a pre-selected frame that minimizes the maximal error of reconstruction is called an optimal dual frame. Various measurements are used to measure the error operator. If operator norm is used, then we use the term optimal dual frame. If the spectral radius is used to measure the error operator, then dual frames that minimize the maximum error are called spectrally optimal dual frames (or, in short, *SOD*-frames). In [14], authors studied optimal dual frames of frames generated by graphs. In this paper, we study the spectrally optimal dual frame of frames generated by graphs. We establish some interesting properties of *SOD*-frames for 1-erasure and for 2-erasures.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide the basic definitions and results of frame theory, spectrally optimal dual frames, spectral graph theory, and frames generated by graphs required in the rest of the article. In Section 3, we study spectrally optimal dual frames of frames generated by graphs for 1-erasure. We show that the canonical dual frames of frames generated by connected graphs are the unique spectrally optimal dual frames for 1-erasure. Further, if a frame is generated by a disconnected graph, then its canonical dual is a non-unique *SOD*-frame for 1-erasure. Section 3 deals with spectrally optimal dual frames for 2-erasures. First, we compute the spectral radius of the error operator for 2-erasures of frames generated by graphs. We establish that canonical duals of frames generated by graphs are *SOD*-frames for 2-erasures. Further, we show that they are non-unique *SOD*-frames for 2-erasures if the frame is generated by a disconnected graph.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the rest of the article, we consider finite frames and \mathbb{C}^k is a k -dimensional Hilbert space. We use the notation $[n]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $M = [m_{i,j}]_{i \in [p], j \in [q]}$ to denote the matrix M of order $p \times q$ where $m_{i,j}$ is the (i, j) -th entry of M . Also, $\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ denotes the diagonal matrix of order n with respective diagonal entries $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

A sequence of vectors $\Phi := \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ in \mathbb{C}^k is called a *frame* for \mathbb{C}^k if there exist constants $0 < A_o \leq B_o$ such that for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$A_o \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in [n]} |\langle f, \phi_i \rangle|^2 \leq B_o \|f\|^2.$$

The constants A_o and B_o are called the *lower* and *upper frame bounds* of Φ , respectively. For a frame $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$, the *analysis operator* $T_\Phi : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined as $T_\Phi(f) = \{\langle f, \phi_i \rangle\}_{i \in [n]}$. The analysis operator T_Φ is linear and bounded. The adjoint of T_Φ , $T_\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$, is called a *synthesis operator* and defined by $T_\Phi^*(\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in [n]}) = \sum_{i \in [n]} \alpha_i \phi_i$. The canonical matrix representation of the synthesis operator is a $k \times n$ matrix such that its i^{th} column is the vector ϕ_i that is $[T_\Phi^*] = [\phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ \dots \ \phi_n]$. The *frame operator* $S_\Phi : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is the composition of the synthesis operator and analysis operator such that $S_\Phi(f) = \sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, \phi_i \rangle \phi_i$. The operator S_Φ is bounded, linear, self-adjoint, positive, and invertible. The composition $T_\Phi T_\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is called the *Gramian operator* of Φ . The canonical matrix representation of the Gramian operator is said to be the *Gramian matrix*, defined by $\mathcal{G}_\Phi = [\langle \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle]_{i,j \in [n]}$ where $\langle \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle$ is the (i, j) -th entry of \mathcal{G}_Φ . A frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ of \mathbb{C}^k is called a *dual frame* of Φ if for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $f = \sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, \phi_i \rangle \psi_i = \sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, \psi_i \rangle \phi_i$. The sequence $\{S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is also a dual frame of Φ and it is called the *canonical dual frame* of Φ . We denote the set of all dual frames of a frame Φ by D_Φ . The dual frames which are not canonical dual are called *alternate dual frames*. If $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]} \in D_\Phi$ then there exist a sequence $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in [n]} \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ such that $\psi_i = S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \mu_i$ for $i \in [n]$ and $\sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, \mu_i \rangle \phi_i = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}^k$. We say two frames $\Phi_1 = \{\phi_{1,i}\}_{i \in [n]}$ and $\Phi_2 = \{\phi_{2,i}\}_{i \in [n]}$ are *unitary equivalent* if there exists a unitary operator $V : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ such that $V(\phi_{1,i}) = \phi_{2,i}$ for all $i \in [n]$. Refer to [4, 8, 9, 11] for the general theory of frames and its applications.

Suppose $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a frame for \mathbb{C}^k and $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a dual frame of Φ . Then for $\Lambda \subset [n]$, the error operator $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda} : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is defined by

$$E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}(f) = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \langle f, \phi_i \rangle \psi_i.$$

For $1 \leq r < n$, let $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(r)} := \max\{\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}) : \Lambda \subset [n] \text{ and } |\Lambda| = r\}$, where $\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda})$ is the spectral radius of $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}$. A dual frame Ψ of the frame Φ is called a *spectrally optimal dual frame* (or simply *SOD-frame*) for 1-erasure if $\rho_{\Phi}^{(1)} := \min\{\rho_{\Phi, \tilde{\Psi}}^{(1)} : \tilde{\Psi} \in D_{\Phi}\} = \rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(1)}$. Spectrally optimal dual frames for r -erasures are defined inductively. For $1 < r < n$, a dual frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ of a frame $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is called *spectrally optimal dual frame for r -erasures* if Ψ is a spectrally optimal dual frame for $(r-1)$ -erasures and $\rho_{\Phi}^{(r)} := \min\{\rho_{\Phi, \tilde{\Psi}}^{(r)} : \tilde{\Psi} \in D_{\Phi}\} = \rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(r)}$. We denote the set of all SOD-frame of Φ for r -erasures by SOD_{Φ}^r .

Remark 2.1. [22] *If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a frame with dual frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$, then $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(1)} = \max\{|\langle \psi_i, \phi_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\}$.*

Theorem 2.1. [22] *Suppose $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a frame for \mathbb{C}^k . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *There exists a dual frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ of Φ such that $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(1)} = \rho_{\Phi}^{(1)} = \frac{k}{n}$.*
2. *There exists a dual frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ of Φ such that $|\langle \psi_i, \phi_i \rangle| = \frac{k}{n}$ for all $i \in [n]$.*

Theorem 2.2. [1] *Suppose $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a frame for \mathbb{C}^k and $V : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is an invertible operator. Then a dual frame $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is (unique) spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for r -erasures if and only if $V\Psi$ is (unique) spectrally optimal dual frame of $(V^{-1})^*\Phi$ for r -erasures.*

For the detailed study of optimal dual frames and spectrally optimal dual frames, readers may refer to [13, 19, 18, 22].

Graph theory is one of the classical branches of mathematics. Along with the deep theoretical aspect of graph theory, it has many real-life applications, which include measuring the connectivity of communication networks, hierarchical clustering, ranking of hyperlinks in search engines, image segmentation, and so on, see [21, 10, 5, 23]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph with the vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The vertices v_i and v_j are said to be *adjacent* if they are connected by an edge and are denoted by $v_i \sim v_j$. The number of vertices adjacent to v_i is known as the *degree of the vertex v_i* and is denoted by $d(v_i)$ or simply by d_i . The *degree matrix* of a graph G on n vertices, denoted by $D(G) := \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$. The *adjacency matrix* of G on n vertices is $A(G) := (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$, where

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } v_i \sim v_j \text{ and } i \neq j \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

The matrix $A(G)$ is simply written as A , if the graph G is clear from the context. The *Laplacian matrix* of a graph G is defined as $L(G) := D(G) - A(G)$. We simply write $L(G)$ as L if G is clear from the context. The Laplacian matrix is a positive semi-definite matrix. For a simple graph G on n vertices with k components, the rank of $L(G)$ is $n - k$. For a detailed study of matrices associated with simple graphs and other classes of graphs, readers may see [2, 24, 20, 25, 16, 3] and references therein. The transpose of a matrix M is denoted by M^t . If M_1 and M_2 are matrices, then $M_1 \oplus M_2$ denotes the block matrix $\begin{bmatrix} M_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & M_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

In [12], authors used Laplacian matrices of graphs to generate finite frames. First, let us see the definition of $L_G(n, k)$ -frames.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a simple graph on n vertices with $n - k$ components. Suppose L is the Laplacian matrix of G such that $L = M \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, 0, \dots, 0) M^*$. If $\{e_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is the standard canonical orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n and $B = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k}) M_1^*$, where M_1 is a submatrix of M formed by the first k columns then $\{B(e_i)\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a frame for \mathbb{C}^k and it is called an $L_G(n, k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^k .*

Next, we have the definition of frames generated by graphs.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ be a frame for \mathbb{C}^k with Gramian matrix \mathcal{G}_Φ . If G is a graph with Laplacian matrix L such that $\mathcal{G}_\Phi = L$, then Φ is called a frame generated by the graph G for \mathbb{C}^k . In short, we call Φ as a $G(n, k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^k .*

In [12], the authors have shown that every $L_G(n, k)$ -frame is a $G(n, k)$ -frame. Let us see some results related to frames generated by graphs.

Theorem 2.3 ([12]). *If G is a graph, then frames generated by G are unitary equivalent.*

The next theorem shows that the frame operator of any $L_G(n, k)$ -frame is a diagonal matrix.

Theorem 2.4 ([12]). *If G is a graph and Φ is an $L_G(n, k)$ -frame then the frame operator S_Φ of the frame Φ is a diagonal matrix. Further, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the non-zero eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of G then $S_\Phi = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$.*

The following theorem gives the family of dual frames of the frames generated by graphs.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a simple graph with components G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m having vertex sets $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n_1}\}, \{v_{n_1+1}, v_{n_1+2}, \dots, v_{n_2}\}, \dots, \{v_{n_{m-1}+1}, v_{n_{m-1}+2}, \dots, v_{n_m} (= v_n)\}$, respectively. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a $G(n, n - m)$ -frame with the frame operator S_Φ , then any dual frame of Φ is of the form $\{S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \nu_1\}_{i=1}^{n_1} \cup \{S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \nu_2\}_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \cup \dots \cup \{S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \nu_m\}_{i=n_{m-1}+1}^n$ where $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m$ are arbitrary vectors in \mathbb{C}^{n-m} .*

3 On spectrally optimal dual frame for 1-erasure

We begin this article by showing that if the canonical dual frame of a frame generated by a graph G is a spectrally optimal dual frame for r -erasures, then the canonical dual of any frame generated by G is a spectrally optimal dual frame for r -erasures.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be any graph with n vertices. If $\Phi_1 = \{\phi_{1,i}\}_{i \in [n]}$ and $\Phi_2 = \{\phi_{2,i}\}_{i \in [n]}$ are $G(n, k)$ -frames for \mathbb{C}^k , then the canonical dual of Φ_1 is (unique) spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ_1 for r -erasures if and only if the canonical dual of Φ_2 is (unique) spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ_2 for r -erasures.*

Proof. Since Φ_1 and Φ_2 are $G(n, k)$ -frames, by Theorem 2.3, there is a unitary operator $V : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ such that $V(\phi_{1,i}) = \phi_{2,i}$ for all $i \in [n]$. Let S_{Φ_1} and S_{Φ_2} are the frame operators of Φ_1 and Φ_2 , respectively. Then, for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\Phi_2}(f) &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, \phi_{2,i} \rangle \phi_{2,i} \\ &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, V\phi_{1,i} \rangle V\phi_{1,i} \\ &= VS_{\Phi_1}V^*(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_{\Phi_2} = VS_{\Phi_1}V^*$ that is $S_{\Phi_1}^{-1} = V^*S_{\Phi_2}^{-1}V$. Then, by Theorem 2.2, $S_{\Phi_1}^{-1}\Phi_1$ is (unique) SOD -frame of Φ_1 for r -erasures if and only if $VS_{\Phi_1}^{-1}\Phi_1 = VV^*S_{\Phi_2}^{-1}VV^*\Phi_2 = S_{\Phi_2}^{-1}\Phi_2$ is (unique) SOD -frame of $(V^{-1})^*\Phi_1 = V\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ for r -erasures. \square

In the following theorem, we show that the canonical dual of a frame generated by the connected graph is the unique SOD -frame for 1-erasure.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose G is a connected graph with n vertices. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n-1)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-1} , then canonical dual frame of Φ is the unique spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Further, $\rho_{\Phi}^{(1)} = \frac{n-1}{n}$.*

Proof. Let L be the Laplacian matrix of G with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0$. Then there exist an orthogonal matrix M consisting of eigenvectors of L and $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0)$ such that $L = MDM^*$ and $\phi_i = D_1M_1^*(e_i)$ where $D_1 = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{n-1}})$, M_1 is the matrix obtained from M by taking the first $n-1$ columns of M and $\{e_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is the standard canonical orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Note that $[1 \ 1 \ \dots \ 1]^t$ is an eigenvector of L corresponding to the eigenvalue 0. Hence, the last column of the matrix M is $\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ \dots \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right]^t$.

Suppose S_Φ is the frame operator of Φ . Then, by Theorem 2.4, $S_\Phi = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ and hence $S_\Phi^{1/2} = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{n-1}}) = D_1$. Thus $S_\Phi^{-1/2} = D_1^{-1}$. Then we have

$$|\langle S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i, \phi_i \rangle| = \|S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_i\|^2 = \|D_1^{-1}D_1M_1^*(e_i)\|^2 = \|M_1^*(e_i)\|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus, $|\langle S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i, \phi_i \rangle| = \frac{n-1}{n}$ for all $i \in [n]$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, $\rho_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi}^{(1)} = \rho_\Phi^{(1)} = \frac{n-1}{n}$. Therefore, the canonical dual $S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi$ is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Let $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ be a spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Then, by Theorem 2.5, there exists a vector $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\psi_i = S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu$ for all $i \in [n]$. Then $\sum_{i \in [n]} \langle f, \mu \rangle \phi_i = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Thus, $\sum_{i \in [n]} \langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(1)} &= \max\{|\langle S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu, \phi_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\} \\ &= \max\{\|S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_i\|^2 + \langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle : i \in [n]\} \\ &= \max\left\{\left|\frac{n-1}{n} + \langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle\right| : i \in [n]\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\sqrt{\left|\frac{n-1}{n} + \text{Re}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle\right|^2 + |\text{Im}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle|^2} : i \in [n]\right\} \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\left|\frac{n-1}{n} + \text{Re}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle\right| \leq \frac{n-1}{n}$ for all $i \in [n]$. Hence, $\text{Re}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle \leq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. Since $\sum_{i \in [n]} \langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle = 0$, $\text{Re}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle = 0$ for $i \in [n]$. Thus, $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(1)} = \max\left\{\sqrt{\left|\frac{n-1}{n}\right|^2 + |\text{Im}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle|^2} : i \in [n]\right\} = \frac{n-1}{n}$. This gives that $|\text{Im}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle|^2 = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. Hence, $\text{Im}\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. Then $\langle \mu, \phi_i \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$ and hence $\mu = 0$. Thus, $\Psi = S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi$ and $S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi$ is the unique spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 1-erasure. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose G is a connected graph with n vertices. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a $G(n, n-1)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-1} , then canonical dual frame of Φ is the unique spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 1-erasure.*

Proof. Proof follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. \square

In Theorem 3.2, we consider G to be a connected graph. In the following theorem, we show that Theorem 3.2 is partially true for disconnected graphs.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and $k > 1$ connected components. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n - k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-k} , then canonical dual frame of Φ is a non-unique spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 1-erasure.*

Proof. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k be the connected components of G with $|V(G_i)| = n_i$ for $i \in [k]$. Let the vertex set of G_i be $\{v_{l_{i-1}+1}, v_{l_{i-1}+2}, \dots, v_{l_i}\}$ for $i \in [k]$ where $l_0 = 0$ and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $l_i = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_i$. If L_i is the Laplacian matrix of G_i , then the Laplacian matrix of G is $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \dots \oplus L_k$. Suppose the spectral decomposition of L_i is $M_i D_i M_i^*$ where that is $L_i = M_i D_i M_i^*$ where $D_i = \text{diag}(\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_{n_i-1}^i, 0)$ and M_i is an orthogonal matrix consist of eigenvectors of L_i . Then $L = M D M^*$ where $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$ and $D = D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus D_k$. Let $\widetilde{D}_i = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1^i}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{n_i-1}^i})$ and \widetilde{M}_i be obtained from M_i by taking the first $n_i - 1$ columns for $i \in [k]$. Then $\widetilde{D}_i \widetilde{M}_i^*$ is the synthesis operator of frame say $\Phi_i = \{\phi_{i,j}\}_{j \in [n_i]}$ for \mathbb{C}^{n_i-1} . Let S_{Φ_i} be the frame operator of Φ_i for $i \in [k]$.

Let $\widetilde{D} = \widetilde{D}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widetilde{D}_k$ and $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{M}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widetilde{M}_k$. Then $\widetilde{\Phi} = \{\widetilde{\phi}_i\}_{i \in [n]} = \{\widetilde{D} \widetilde{M}^*(e_i)\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n - k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-k} . Also, the synthesis operator $T_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^*$ of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^*] &= [T_{\Phi_1}^*] \oplus [T_{\Phi_2}^*] \oplus \dots \oplus [T_{\Phi_k}^*] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,1} & \cdots & \phi_{1,n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \phi_{2,1} & \cdots & \phi_{2,n_2} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \phi_{k,1} & \cdots & \phi_{k,n_k} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \{\widetilde{\phi}_i\}_{i \in [n]} \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, it is enough to prove that the canonical dual of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is a non-unique spectrally optimal dual frame of $\widetilde{\Phi}$ for 1-erasure.

Let $S_{\widetilde{\Phi}}$ be the frame operator of $\widetilde{\Phi}$. Then $S_{\widetilde{\Phi}} = S_{\Phi_1} \oplus \dots \oplus S_{\Phi_k}$. Suppose $\max\{|\langle S_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \widetilde{\phi}_i, \widetilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\} = |\langle S_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \widetilde{\phi}_j, \widetilde{\phi}_j \rangle|$ where $j \in [l_{r-1} + 1, \dots, l_r]$ for some $r \in [k]$. Then $\rho_{\widetilde{\Phi}, S_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \widetilde{\Phi}}^{(1)} = |\langle S_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \widetilde{\phi}_j, \widetilde{\phi}_j \rangle| = 1 - \frac{1}{n_r} = \frac{n_r-1}{n_r}$. By Theorem 3.2, $\rho_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^{(1)} = \rho_{\Phi_r, S_{\Phi_r}^{-1} \Phi_r}^{(1)} = \frac{n_r-1}{n_r}$.

Suppose $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a dual frame of $\widetilde{\Phi}$. Since Ψ is a dual frame, there exist vectors $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$ in \mathbb{C}^{n-k} such that $\psi_i = S_{\widetilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \widetilde{\phi}_i + \mu_j$ for $i \in \{l_{j-1} + 1, l_{j-1} + 2, \dots, l_j\}$. Let

$$\mu_j = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nu_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \nu_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i-1}. \text{ Then by Theorem 2.5, } \Psi_r = \{S_{\Phi_r}^{-1} \phi_{r,i} + \nu_r\}_{i \in [n_r]} \text{ is a dual}$$

frame of Φ_r . By Theorem 3.2, $\rho_{\Phi_r, \Psi_r}^{(1)} \geq \frac{n_r-1}{n_r}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi}^{(1)} &= \max\{|\langle \psi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\} \\
&\geq \max\{|\langle \psi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in \{l_{r-1} + 1, \dots, l_r\}\} \\
&= \max\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i + \mu_j, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in \{l_{r-1} + 1, \dots, l_r\}\} \\
&= \max\{|\langle S_{\Phi_r}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_{r,i} + \nu_r, \tilde{\phi}_{r,i} \rangle| : i \in [n_r]\} \\
&= \rho_{\Phi_r, \Psi_r}^{(1)} \\
&\geq \frac{n_r - 1}{n_r} \\
&= \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}}^{(1)} = \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}$ is an *SOD*-frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$ for 1-erasure. Then by Theorem 3.1, $S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}$ is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure.

Let $\mu = [\mu_i]_{i \in [n]} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ such that $\mu_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \in [l_1] \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. By Theorem 2.5, $\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1,i}\}_{i \in [n]} = \{S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i + \mu\}_{i \in [l_1]} \cup \{S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]}$ is a dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$. Note that Ψ_1 is not the canonical dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi_1}^{(1)} &= \max\{|\langle \psi_{1,i}, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\} \\
&= \max(\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i + \mu, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [l_1]\} \cup \{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]\}) \\
&= \max(\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle + \langle \mu, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [l_1]\} \cup \{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]\}) \\
&= \max(\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\} \cup \{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\}) \\
&= \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}}^{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Ψ_1 is an *SOD*-frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$ for 1-erasure. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, the canonical dual of Φ is a non-unique *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and $k > 1$ connected components. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a $G(n, n - k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-k} , then the canonical dual frame of Φ is a non-unique spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 1-erasure.*

In the following example, we show explicitly that the canonical dual frames of frames generated by disconnected graphs are non-unique spectrally optimal dual frames for 1-erasure.

Example 3.1. Consider the graph G given in Figure 1. The graph G is a disconnected




Figure 1: Graph G

graph. The Laplacian matrix of G is $L(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Consider the frame $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [5]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ of \mathbb{C}^3 .

Then the Gramian matrix of Φ is $\mathcal{G}_\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = L(G)$. Thus, Φ is a

$G(5, 3)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^3 . Let S_Φ be the frame operator of Φ . Then the canonical dual frame of

Φ is $S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. By Theorem 2.5, the set of

dual frames of Φ is

$$D_\Phi = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + a \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}} + b \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} + a \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b \\ c \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e \\ f \\ \frac{1}{2} + g \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e \\ f \\ \frac{-1}{2} + g \end{bmatrix} \right\} : a, b, c, e, f, g \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

.

Consider $\rho_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi}^{(1)} = \max\{|\langle S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i, \phi_i \rangle| : i \in [5]\} = \max\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} = \frac{2}{3}$. Let $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [5]}$ be any dual frame of Φ . Then there exist scalars $a_o, b_o, c_o, d_o, e_o, f_o, g_o$ such that $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [5]} =$

$$\left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + a_o \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} a_o \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} + a_o \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} e_o \\ f_o \\ \frac{1}{2} + g_o \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} e_o \\ f_o \\ \frac{-1}{2} + g_o \end{array} \right] \right\}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(1)} &= \max\{|\langle \psi_i, \phi_i \rangle| : i \in [5]\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}a_o - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}b_o, \frac{2}{3} + \sqrt{2}b_o, \frac{2}{3} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}a_o - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}b_o, \frac{1}{2} + g_o, \frac{1}{2} - g_o \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} = \rho_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S^{-1}\Phi$ is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Consider

$$\Psi_1 = \{\psi_{1,i}\}_{i \in [5]} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2} \end{array} \right] \right\}.$$

Then Ψ_1 is a dual frame of Φ . Also, $\rho_{\Psi, \Phi}^{(1)} = \max\{|\langle \psi_{1,i}, \phi_i \rangle| : i \in [5]\} = \frac{2}{3}$. Thus, Ψ_1 is an alternate dual frame of Φ and an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Thus, the canonical dual of Φ is a non-unique spectrally optimal dual of Φ for 1-erasure.

4 On Spectrally optimal dual frames for 2-erasures

In this section, we study spectrally optimal dual frames of frames generated by graphs for 2-erasures. In the previous section, we establish that frames generated by graphs are spectrally optimal dual frames for 1-erasure. Here, we begin by measuring the error operator if canonical dual is used and 2-erasures occur.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose G is a connected graph on n vertices and $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n-1)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-1} . If S_{Φ} is the frame operator of Φ then $\rho_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} = 1$.*

Proof. Let L be the Laplacian matrix of G . Since Φ is an $L_G(n, n-1)$ -frame, there exist an orthogonal matrix M and diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0)$ such that $L = MDM^*$ and $\Phi = D_1M_1^*$ where M_1 is formed by taking the first $n-1$ columns of M and

$$D_1 = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{n-1}}). \text{ Note that the last column of } M \text{ is } \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then, by}$$

Theorem 2.4, $S_\Phi = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$. Let $\Lambda = \{i, j\} \subset [n]$ be arbitrary. Then, the error operator $E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda} : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ is defined by

$$E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}(f) = \langle f, \phi_i \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle f, \phi_j \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}(S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j) &= \langle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &= \langle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &\quad + \langle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &= \|S_\Phi^{-1/2} \phi_i\|^2 S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle S_\Phi^{-1/2} \phi_j, S_\Phi^{-1/2} \phi_i \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i \\ &\quad + \langle S_\Phi^{-1/2} \phi_i, S_\Phi^{-1/2} \phi_j \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j + \|S_\Phi^{-1/2} \phi_j\|^2 S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &= \|D_1^{-1/2} D_1 M_1^*(e_i)\|^2 S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle D_1^{-1/2} D_1 M_1^*(e_j), D_1^{-1/2} D_1 M_1^*(e_i) \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i \\ &\quad + \langle D_1^{-1/2} D_1 M_1^*(e_i), D_1^{-1/2} D_1 M_1^*(e_j) \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &\quad + \|D_1^{-1/2} D_1 M_1^*(e_j)\|^2 S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &= \|M_1^*(e_i)\|^2 S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + \langle M_1^*(e_j), M_1^*(e_i) \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i \\ &\quad + \langle M_1^*(e_i), M_1^*(e_j) \rangle S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j + \|M_1^*(e_j)\|^2 S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i - \frac{1}{n} S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i - \frac{1}{n} S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n} (S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i + S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\frac{n-2}{n}$ is an eigenvalue of $E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}$. Similarly, $E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}(S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i - S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}\right) (S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i - S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j) = S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_i - S_\Phi^{-1} \phi_j$. Then, the spectrum of $E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}$ is $\{1, \frac{n-2}{n}\}$. Hence, $\rho(E_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}) = 1$ for any $\Lambda \subset [n]$ such that $|\Lambda| = 2$. Thus, $\rho_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} = 1$. \square

In the following theorem, we show that the canonical dual frame of a frame generated by a connected graph is the unique spectrally optimal dual frame for 2-erasures.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ be an $L_G(n, n-1)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-1} with frame operator S_Φ . If $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is a dual frame of Φ then $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(2)} \geq 1$. Further, $\rho_{\Phi}^{(2)} = 1$ and $S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi$ is the unique SOD-frame for 2-erasures.*

Proof. Let L be the Laplacian matrix of G . Since Φ is an $L_G(n, n-1)$ -frame, there exist an orthogonal matrix M and diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0)$ such that $L =$

MDM^* and $\Phi = D_1M_1^*$ where M_1 is formed by considering the first $n-1$ columns of M and $D_1 = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{n-1}})$. Let S_Φ be the frame operator of Φ and $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ be any dual frame of Φ . Then by Theorem 2.5, there exist $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\psi_i = S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu$ for $i \in [n]$. Let $\Lambda = \{i, j\} \subset [n]$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}(\psi_i - \psi_j) &= \langle S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu - S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_j - \mu, \phi_i \rangle (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu) \\
&\quad + \langle S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu - S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_j - \mu, \phi_j \rangle (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_j + \mu) \\
&= (\|S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_i\|^2 - \langle S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_j, S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_i \rangle) (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu) \\
&\quad + (\langle S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_i, S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_j \rangle - \|S_\Phi^{-1/2}\phi_j\|^2) (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_j + \mu) \\
&= (\|M_1^*(e_i)\|^2 - \langle M_1^*(e_j), M_1^*(e_i) \rangle) (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu) \\
&\quad + (\langle M_1^*(e_i), M_1^*(e_j) \rangle - \|M_1^*(e_j)\|^2) (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_j + \mu) \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}\right) (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_i + \mu) + \left(-\frac{1}{n} - 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) (S_\Phi^{-1}\phi_j + \mu) \\
&= \psi_i - \psi_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, 1 is an eigenvalue of $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}$. Then $\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}) \geq 1$. Hence, $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(2)} = \max\{\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}) : \Lambda \subset [n] \text{ and } |\Lambda| = 2\} \geq 1$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1, $S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi$ is the unique SOD-frame for 2-erasures. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ be an $G(n, n-1)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-1} with frame operator S_Φ . Then canonical dual of Φ is the unique SOD-frame for 2-erasures and $\rho_\Phi^{(2)} = 2$.*

In the next theorem, we show that if Φ is an $L_G(n, k)$ -frame generated by any graph G (need not be connected) with frame operator S_Φ then $\rho_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} = 1$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a simple graph on n vertices with k components and $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n-k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^k . If S_Φ is the frame operator of Φ then $\rho_{\Phi, S_\Phi^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} = 1$.*

Proof. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k be the components of G and $|V(G_i)| = n_i$, for $i \in [k]$. Suppose $V(G_i) = \{l_{i-1} + 1, l_{i-1} + 2, \dots, l_i\}$ where $l_j = n_1 + \dots + n_j$ for $j \in [k]$ and $l_0 = 0$. For $i \in [k]$, let $\lambda_{i,1} \geq \lambda_{i,2} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{i,n_i-1} > \lambda_{i,n_i} = 0$ be the eigenvalues of $L(G_i)$. Then there exist an orthogonal matrix M_i such that $L(G_i) = M_i D_i M_i^*$ where $D_i = \text{diag}(\lambda_{i,1}, \lambda_{i,2}, \dots, \lambda_{i,n_i-1}, 0)$, for $i \in [k]$, and $L(G) = MDM^*$ where $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$ and $D = D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus D_k$. Thus, the synthesis operator of an $L_G(n, n-k)$ -frame, say $\tilde{\Phi} = \{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is $B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k$ such that $B_j = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_{j,1}}, \sqrt{\lambda_{j,2}}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_{j,n_j-1}}) \tilde{M}_j^*$ (where \tilde{M}_j^* is formed by taking the

first $n_j - 1$ columns of M_j) is the synthesis operator of the $L_{G_i}(n_i, n_i - 1)$ -frame, say $\Phi_j = \{\phi_{j,i}\}_{i \in [n_j]}$ for \mathbb{C}^{n_j-1} , for $j \in [k]$. By Theorem 2.3, there is a unitary operator V such that $\phi_i = V\tilde{\phi}_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Let S_Φ and $S_{\tilde{\Phi}}$ be the frame operators of Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$, respectively. For $i \in [k]$, let S_{Φ_i} be the frame operator of Φ_i . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\tilde{\Phi}} &= BB^* \\ &= B_1 B_1^* \oplus \cdots \oplus B_k B_k^* \\ &= S_{\Phi_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\Phi_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} = S_{\Phi_1}^{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{\Phi_k}^{-1}$, and $S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} = VS_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}V^*$. Let $\Lambda = \{p, q\} \subset [n]$ be arbitrary. Now we proceed in the following cases.

Case I: Let $\Lambda \subset V(G_j)$ for some $j \in [k]$. Suppose $f = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ where $f_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i-1}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}(f) &= \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q \\ &= \langle f_j, \tilde{\phi}_{j,p-l_{j-1}} \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + \langle f_j, \tilde{\phi}_{j,q-l_{j-1}} \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ E_{\Phi_j, S_{\Phi_j}^{-1}\Phi_j, \Lambda'}(f_j) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \Lambda' = \{p - l_{j-1}, q - l_{j-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.1, $\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}) = \rho(E_{\Phi_j, S_{\Phi_j}^{-1}\Phi_j, \Lambda'}) = 1$.

Case II: Let $p \in V(G_s)$ and $q \in V(G_r)$ where $s \neq r$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}(S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_p) &= \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_p, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_p + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_p, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_q \\ &= \|S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2}\tilde{\phi}_p\|^2 S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_p + 0(S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_q) \\ &= \frac{n_s - 1}{n_s} S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}(S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_q) = \frac{n_r - 1}{n_r} S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_q$. Thus, $\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}) = \max\{\frac{n_s - 1}{n_s}, \frac{n_r - 1}{n_r}\} < 1$.

Hence, by Case I and Case II, $\max\{\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}) : \Lambda \subset [n] \text{ and } |\Lambda| = 2\} = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} &= \max\{\rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}) : \Lambda \subset [n] \text{ and } |\Lambda| = 2\} \\ &= \max\{\rho(VE_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}V^*) : \Lambda \subset [n] \text{ and } |\Lambda| = 2\} \\ &= \max\{\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}) : \Lambda \subset [n] \text{ and } |\Lambda| = 2\} = 1.\end{aligned}$$

□

In Theorem 3.3, we show that the canonical dual frames of a frame generated by disconnected graphs are non-unique *SOD*-frames for 1-erasure. Hence, it would be interesting to see whether canonical dual frames of frames generated by disconnected graphs are *SOD*-frames for 2-erasures or not. In the next two theorems, we show that canonical duals of $L_G(n, n-k)$ -frames, where G is a disconnected graph, are non-unique *SOD*-frames for 2-erasures.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and $k > 1$ components. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n-k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-k} with frame operator S_{Φ} , then the canonical dual frame $S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi$ of Φ is a spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 2-erasures. Further, $\rho_{\Phi}^{(2)} = 1$.*

Proof. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k be the connected components of G such that $|V(G_i)| = n_i$ for $i \in [k]$. Let the vertex set of G_i be $\{l_{i-1} + 1, l_{i-1} + 2, \dots, l_i\}$ for $i \in [k]$ where $l_0 = 0$ and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $l_i = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_i$. Let $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ be any dual frame of Φ . Then by Theorem 2.5, there exist $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ such that $\Psi = \bigcup_{j \in [k]} \{S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_{l_{j-1}+i} + \mu_j\}_{i \in [n_j]}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|V(G_1)| \geq 2$. Take $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$. Then, $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}(f) = \langle f, \phi_1 \rangle (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1) + \langle f, \phi_2 \rangle (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}(S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1 - (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1)) &= \langle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1 - S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 - \mu_1, \phi_1 \rangle (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1) \\ &\quad + \langle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1 - S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 - \mu_1, \phi_2 \rangle (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1) \\ &= (\langle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle - \langle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2, \phi_1 \rangle) (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1) \\ &\quad + (\langle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle - \langle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2, \phi_2 \rangle) (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1) \\ &= (\|S_{\Phi}^{-1/2}\phi_1\|^2 - \langle S_{\Phi}^{-1/2}\phi_2, S_{\Phi}^{-1/2}\phi_1 \rangle) (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1) \\ &\quad + (\langle S_{\Phi}^{-1/2}\phi_1, S_{\Phi}^{-1/2}\phi_2 \rangle - \|S_{\Phi}^{-1/2}\phi_2\|^2) (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_1}\right) (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_1}\right) (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1) \\ &= (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_1 + \mu_1) - (S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_2 + \mu_1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, 1 is an eigenvalue of $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}$. Hence, $\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}) \geq 1$. This gives that $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(2)} \geq \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}) \geq 1$. By Theorem 3.3, $S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi$ is spectrally optimal dual frame for 1-erasures and by Theorem 4.3, $\rho_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} = 1 \geq \rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(2)}$ for any dual frame Ψ of Φ . Thus, the canonical dual frame of Φ is a spectrally optimal dual frame for 2-erasures. \square

In the next theorem, we show the existence of an alternate dual frame of a frame generated by a disconnected graph such that the alternate dual is a spectrally optimal dual frame for 2-erasures.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and $k > 1$ connected components. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $L_G(n, n-k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-k} with frame operator S_{Φ} , then there exist an alternate dual frame of Φ such that it is a spectrally optimal dual frame of Φ for 2-erasures.*

Proof. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k be the connected components of G such that $|V(G_i)| = n_i$ for $i \in [k]$. Let the vertex set of G_i be $\{v_{l_{i-1}+1}, v_{l_{i-1}+2}, \dots, v_{l_i}\}$ for $i \in [k]$ where $l_0 = 0$ and for $i \geq 1$, $l_i = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_i$. Let $\tilde{\Phi}$ be the $L_G(n, k)$ -frame as obtained in Theorem 4.3. By Theorem 4.4, $S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}$ is a spectrally optimal dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$ for 2-erasures and

$\rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}}^{(2)} = 1$. Let $\mu = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-k}]^t \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ such that $\mu_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = l_1 + 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Then

$\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [n]} = \{S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i + \mu\}_{i \in [l_1]} \cup \{S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]}$ is an alternate dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi}^{(1)} &= \max\{|\langle \psi_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n]\} \\ &= \max\left(\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i + \mu, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [l_1]\} \cup \{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]\}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle + \langle \mu, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [l_1]\} \cup \{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]\}\right) \\ &= \max\left(\{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [l_1]\} \cup \{|\langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{\phi}_i \rangle| : i \in [n] \setminus [l_1]\}\right) = \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.3, Ψ is a spectrally optimal dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$ for 1-erasure. Let $\Lambda = \{p, q\} \subset [n]$ be arbitrary. Then $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}(f) = \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q$. Now we will proceed in cases.

Case I: Let $\Lambda \subseteq V(G_j)$ for some $j \in [k]$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}(\psi_p + \psi_q) &= \langle \psi_p + \psi_q, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle \psi_p + \psi_q, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q \\
&= \begin{cases} \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q + 2\mu, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q + 2\mu, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} (\|S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p\|^2 + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle + 2\langle \mu, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle) \psi_p \\ \quad + (\|S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q\|^2 + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle + 2\langle \mu, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle) \psi_q & \text{if } i = 1 \\ (\|S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p\|^2 + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle) \psi_p \\ \quad + (\|S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q\|^2 + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle) \psi_q & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_1}\right) - \frac{1}{n_1} + 0 \right) \psi_p + \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_1}\right) - \frac{1}{n_1} + 0 \right) \psi_q & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_j}\right) - \frac{1}{n_j} \right) \psi_p + \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n_j}\right) - \frac{1}{n_j} \right) \psi_q & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{2}{n_j}\right) (\psi_p + \psi_q).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}(\psi_p - \psi_q) = \psi_p - \psi_q$. Thus, $\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}) = 1$.

Case II: Let $p \in V(G_r)$ and $q \in V(G_s)$ where $r, s \in [k] \setminus \{1\}$ and $r \neq s$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}(\psi_p) &= \langle \psi_p, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle \psi_p, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q \\
&= \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q \\
&= \|S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p\|^2 \psi_p + \langle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_p, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1/2} \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_r}\right) \psi_p + 0 \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_r}\right) \psi_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}(\psi_q) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n_s}\right) \psi_q$. Hence, $\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}) = \max\left\{1 - \frac{1}{n_r}, 1 - \frac{1}{n_s}\right\} < 1$.

Case III: Let $p \in V(G_1)$ and $q \in V(G_r)$ where $r \neq 1$. Then $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}(f) = \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle \psi_p + \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle \psi_q = \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_p \rangle (S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_p + \mu) + \langle f, \tilde{\phi}_q \rangle S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_q$. The matrix representation of $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}$ with respect to the standard canonical orthonormal basis is of the form, $[E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}] = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{bmatrix}$ for some matrices E_1 of order $n_1 - 1$ and E_2 of order $(n - k) - (n_1 - 1)$. Then, the matrix representation of $E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}$ with respect to the standard canonical orthonormal basis is

$$[E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}] = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ E_3 & E_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_{l_1-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha_i \text{ is the } i^{\text{th}}\text{-component of}$$

$\tilde{\phi}_p$. Thus, $\rho(E_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi, \Lambda}) = \rho([E_{\tilde{\Phi}, S_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1}\tilde{\Phi}, \Lambda}]) = 1$. Then, by Theorem 4.4, $\rho_{\tilde{\Phi}, \Psi}^{(2)} = 1 = \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}}^{(2)}$. Hence, Ψ is an alternate dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that Ψ is a spectrally optimal dual frame of $\tilde{\Phi}$ for 2-erasures. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, there exists an alternate dual frame of Φ , which is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 2-erasures. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose G is a graph with n vertices and $k > 1$ connected components. If $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ is an $G(n, n - k)$ -frame for \mathbb{C}^{n-k} with frame operator S_{Φ} , then there exist an alternate dual frame of Φ such that it is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 2-erasures.*

In the following example, we show explicitly the existence of an alternate dual frame that is an *SOD*-frame for 2-erasures of a frame generated by a disconnected graph.

Example 4.1. Consider the frame $\Phi = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in [5]}$ generated by graph G given in Example 3.1. The canonical dual frame $S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi$ is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Now, we will show that $S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi$ is a non-unique *SOD*-frame of Φ for 2-erasures. First, we give the matrix representation of error operators. For $\Lambda = \{i, j\} \subset [5]$, $E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \Lambda}(f) = \langle f, \phi_i \rangle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_i + \langle f, \phi_j \rangle S_{\Phi}^{-1}\phi_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,2\}}] &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,3\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{2,3\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{4,5\}}] &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,4\}}] = [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \\ [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{2,4\}}] &= [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{2,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{3,4\}}] = [E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{3,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,2\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,3\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{2,3\}}) = \max\{1, \frac{1}{3}, 0\} = 1$, $\rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{4,5\}}) = \max\{1, 0\} = 1$ and $\rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,4\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{1,5\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{2,4\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{2,5\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{3,4\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi, \{3,5\}}) = \max\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\} = \frac{2}{3}$. Thus, $\rho_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)} = \max\{1, \frac{2}{3}\} = 1$. Let $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [5]}$ be any dual frame of Φ . Then there exist scalars $a_o, b_o, c_o, e_o, f_o, g_o$ such that

$$\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in [5]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + a_o \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_o \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{6}} + a_o \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_o \\ f_o \\ \frac{1}{2} + g_o \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_o \\ f_o \\ \frac{-1}{2} + g_o \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

For $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$, $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}(f) = \langle f, \phi_1 \rangle \psi_1 + \langle f, \phi_2 \rangle \psi_2$. For $f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} + a_o \\ \frac{-1}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{bmatrix}$ —

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_o \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2}} + b_o \\ c_o \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Thus, 1 is an eigenvalue of } E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda} \text{ and hence } \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda}) \geq 1. \text{ There-}$$

fore, $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi}^{(2)} \geq 1 = \rho_{\Phi, S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi}^{(2)}$ for any dual frame Ψ of the frame Φ . Thus, $S_{\Phi}^{-1}\Phi$ is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 2-erasures. Consider the dual frame Ψ_1 given in Example 3.1. It is shown in Example 3.1 that Ψ_1 is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 1-erasure. Further, we have

$$[E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,2\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,3\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{2,3\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{4,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,4\}}] = [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{2,4\}}] = [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{2,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{3,4\}}] = [E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{3,5\}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now $\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,2\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,3\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{2,3\}}) = \max\{1, \frac{1}{3}, 0\} = 1$, $\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{4,5\}}) = \max\{1, 0\} = 1$ and $\rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,4\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{1,5\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{2,4\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{2,5\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{3,4\}}) = \rho(E_{\Phi, \Psi_1, \{3,5\}}) = \max\{\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0\} = \frac{2}{3}$. Thus, $\rho_{\Phi, \Psi_1}^{(2)} = \max\{1, \frac{2}{3}\} = 1$. Hence, Ψ_1 is an *SOD*-frame of Φ for 2-erasures. Therefore, the canonical dual is a non-unique *SOD*-frame of Φ for 2-erasures.

Acknowledgments

Aniruddha Samanta expresses thanks to the National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Department of Atomic Energy, India, for providing financial support in the form of an NBHM Post-doctoral Fellowship (Sanction Order No. 0204/21/2023/R&D-II/10038). The second author also acknowledges excellent working conditions in the Theoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute Kolkata.

References

- [1] F. Arabyani-Neyshaburi, A. A. Arefijamaal and G. Sadeghi, Numerically and spectrally optimal dual frames in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **604**(2020), 52–71.
- [2] R. B. Bapat, Graphs and matrices, Springer, London; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.
- [3] R. B. Bapat, D. Kalita and S. Pati, On weighted directed graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **436**(1)(2012),99–111.
- [4] J. Benedetto and S. Li, The theory of multiresolution analysis frames and applications to filter banks, *Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal.*, **5**(1998), 389–427.
- [5] N. Biggs, Algebraic graph theory, Cambridge Mathematical Library, second edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [6] B. G. Bodmann and V. I. Paulsen, Frames, graphs and erasures, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **404**(2005), 118–146.
- [7] P. G. Casazza and J. Kovačević, Equal-norm tight frames with erasures, *Adv. Comput. Math.*, **18**(2003), 387–430.
- [8] P. G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, Finite Frames: Theory and Applications, Birkhäuser, 2012.
- [9] O. Christensen, An introduction to frames and Riesz bases, Second edition, Birkhäuser, 2016.
- [10] D. Cvetković and S. Simić, Graph spectra in computer science, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **434**(6)(2011), 1545–1562.
- [11] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann and Y. Meyer, Painless nonorthogonal expansions, *J. Math Phys.*, **27**(1986), 1271–1283.
- [12] Deepshikha, Frames generated by graphs, arXiv preprint, arxiv: 2405.16891, 2024.
- [13] Deepshikha and A. Samanta, Averaged numerically optimal dual frames for erasures, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **71**(2)(2023), 301–316.
- [14] Deepshikha and A. Samanta, On walk-regular graphs and optimal duals of frames generated by graphs, arXiv preprint, arxiv: 2405.18189, 2024.
- [15] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72**(1952), 341–366.
- [16] K. Guo and B. Mohar, Hermitian adjacency matrix of digraphs and mixed graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **85**(1)(2017),217–248.
- [17] R. Holmes and V. Paulsen, Optimal frames for erasures, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **377**(2004), 31–51.
- [18] J. Lopez and D. Han, Optimal dual frames for erasures, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **432**(1)(2010), 471–482.

- [19] J. Leng and D. Han, Optimal dual frames for erasures II, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **435(6)**(2011), 1464–1472.
- [20] R. Mehatari, M. R. Kannan and A. Samanta, On the adjacency matrix of a complex unit gain graph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **70(9)**(2020), 1798–1813.
- [21] N. Nisan and A. Wigderson, *On rank vs. communication complexity*, *Combinatorica* **15(4)**(1995), 557–565.
- [22] S. Pehlivan, D. Han and R. Mohapatra, Linearly connected sequences and spectrally optimal dual frames for erasures, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **265(11)**(2013), 2855–2876.
- [23] J. Shi and J. Malik, Normalized cuts and image segmentation, *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, **22(8)** (2000), 888–905.
- [24] A. Samanta and M. R. Kannan, Gain distance matrices for complex unit gain graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **345(1)**(2022), 112634.
- [25] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **4(1)**(1982),47–74.