

# NONCOMMUTATIVE PROJECTIVE PARTIAL RESOLUTIONS AND QUIVER VARIETIES

SØREN GAMMELGAARD AND ÁDÁM GYENGE

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  be a finite subgroup. We introduce a class of projective noncommutative surfaces  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$ , indexed by a set of irreducible  $\Gamma$ -representations. Extending the action of  $\Gamma$  from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , we show that these surfaces generalise both  $[\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma]$  and  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ . We prove that isomorphism classes of framed torsion-free sheaves on any  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$  carry a canonical bijection to the closed points of appropriate Nakajima quiver varieties. In particular, we provide geometric interpretations for a class of Nakajima quiver varieties using noncommutative geometry. Our results partially generalise several previous results on such quiver varieties.

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	5
3.	Quivers and quiver algebras	10
4.	The geometry of $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$	13
5.	Sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$ and Nakajima quiver varieties	17
6.	Connections to previous results	23
	Appendix A. A comparison with a projective stack compactifying $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$	25
	References	28

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , one can construct the quotient singularity  $X = \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^\Gamma)$ , and investigate various spaces attached to  $X$ : for instance, the Hilbert schemes of points on  $X$ , the equivariant Hilbert scheme  $n\Gamma\text{-Hilb}(V)$ , and resolutions of  $X$ . One can often construct such spaces as quiver varieties. Especially, when  $n = 2$ , such moduli spaces can often be constructed as *Nakajima quiver varieties* (see Section 1.1 for a list). These quiver varieties, first defined in [22] have become very useful in representation theory and algebraic geometry, not only because they provide constructions of interesting moduli spaces, but also because they satisfy desirable geometric properties: they are irreducible, have symplectic singularities, and when smooth, they are hyperkähler.

In this paper, we will find geometric interpretations of a large class of Nakajima quiver varieties. Those quiver varieties appearing in this paper will always be built from a particular quiver (the *McKay quiver*) canonically associated to  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  by the McKay correspondence [20]. We will use the McKay quiver to build several

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14A22; Secondary 16G20, 14E16.

*Key words and phrases*. noncommutative geometry, quiver variety, McKay correspondence, nongeneric.

noncommutative ‘partial resolutions’  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  of the singular scheme  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ , and we show that moduli spaces of *framed sheaves* on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  have a canonical bijection of closed points to Nakajima quiver varieties.

In more detail, choose a finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Then the quotient  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  is a Kleinian singularity (also known as, among other names, a du Val singularity, or a canonical surface singularity). The geometry of such singularities is of importance in the minimal model program and for theoretical physics (see e.g. [19], [16]). It has long been recognised that an approach to studying them is through the McKay correspondence [20]. Among other things, this correspondence associates a graph (the *McKay graph*) to the isomorphism class of  $\Gamma$ , which is an affine Dynkin graph. Replacing every edge in this graph by a pair of opposing arrows, we obtain a quiver, the *McKay quiver* associated to  $\Gamma$ . From this quiver, with some additional data, one can construct several Nakajima quiver varieties, which often turn out to be isomorphic to - or, at least, be in canonical bijection with - interesting moduli spaces attached to the singularity  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ . In various papers, e.g., [27, 5, 3, 7, 8, 13, 2], such moduli spaces were identified with Nakajima quiver varieties; we mention two of them here. We also give an overview of all known (at least, to us) interpretations of Nakajima quiver varieties built from the McKay quiver  $Q$  in Section 1.1.

Let  $Q_0 = \{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_r\}$  be the set of irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$ , such that  $\rho_0$  is trivial. For ease of notation, we will identify  $Q_0$  with  $\{0, \dots, r\}$ .

First, in [8], we showed with our coauthors that for any non-empty  $I \subseteq Q_0$  and for any dimension vector  $n_I \in \mathbb{N}^{|I|}$  there is an *orbifold Quot scheme*  $\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$  parametrising isomorphism classes of quotients of an equivariant rank 1 sheaf on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . It was also shown [8, 10] that  $\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$  is isomorphic to a particular Nakajima quiver variety.

When  $I = \{i\} \subset Q_0$  is a singleton with  $\dim \rho_i = 1$ , there is also an isomorphism [8, Proposition 3.4] (see also [10, Theorem 1.3])  $\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}_i^{n_i}(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  where  $\text{Quot}_i^{n_i}(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  is the classical Quot scheme parameterising  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\Gamma$ -submodules  $M$  of  $R_i := \text{Hom}(\rho_i, \mathbb{C}[x, y])$  such that  $\dim R_i/M = n_i$ . The Quot scheme corresponding to the subset  $I = \{0\}$  is thus isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of points  $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$ .

Second, the first author showed in [13] that there is a projective Deligne-Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  containing  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  as an open subscheme. On this stack, one can construct ([12]) moduli spaces of *framed sheaves* of rank  $\geq 1$ , satisfying certain cohomological conditions. The set of closed points of such a moduli space carries a canonical bijection to the closed points of a corresponding Nakajima quiver variety, again constructed from the McKay quiver of  $\Gamma$ . This construction turns out to also generalise  $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$ , which corresponds to the case where the rank is 1. The construction from [13], however, only focuses on the subset  $\{0\} \subset Q_0$ .

In this paper, we recast and continue the thread of these papers. Our objective is to unify and extend the above two results by finding a description of more general Nakajima quiver varieties as moduli spaces of higher rank framed sheaves for general index sets  $I \subseteq Q_0$  using a noncommutative geometric approach pioneered in [2].

We present two main results. First, we extend the cornering method from [9] to graded algebras and modules to construct a family of noncommutative projective spaces which generalise several previous constructions and members of which have decent properties. Recall that our index set  $Q_0 = \{0, \dots, r\}$  corresponds to the irreducible representations of a fixed finite subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *To each subset  $I \subseteq Q_0$  there corresponds a noncommutative Proj scheme  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ . If  $0 \in I$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  is a noncommutative partial projective resolution of  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ .*

In particular, our noncommutative  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  generalises the following spaces:

- for  $I = \{0, \dots, r\} = Q_0$  our space  $\mathbb{P}_I^2 := \mathbb{P}_{Q_0}^2$  is equivalent to the quotient stack  $[\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma]$ ;
- for  $I = \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_0^2$  is equivalent to the scheme-theoretic quotient  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ ,

where equivalent means that there is a natural equivalence of the respective categories of coherent sheaves.

Moreover, there is a noncommutative divisor  $\mathbb{P}_I^1 \subset \mathbb{P}_I^2$ , the complement of which coincides with the noncommutative *affine* scheme whose rank 1 Quot scheme was described in [8]. (We will make this assertion precise in Corollary 6.4.) These spaces can, if  $0 \in I$ , be interpreted as partial resolutions of projective Kleinian singularities. They carry rich geometric structures, such as an ample collection of line bundles, and hence they provide an interesting family of examples for noncommutative algebraic geometry.

Second, we enhance the main results of [27], [8], and [13] (on the level of bijections of points) by giving a quiver description of moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ . We do this using Nakajima quiver varieties of a specific type that we denote as  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(V, W)$  (we do not give the definition here, see Definition 2.8 for it). We will so far only note the Nakajima quiver varieties appearing here depend on two finite-dimensional  $\Gamma$ -representations  $V, W$ , and a subset  $I \subset Q_0$  of irreducible representations. Let  $V = \bigoplus \rho_i^{\mathbf{v}_i}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -representation satisfying a mild constraint on the vector  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ , and let  $W = \bigoplus \rho_i^{\mathbf{w}_i}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -representation with  $\mathbf{w}_i = 0$  for  $i \notin I$ . Let  $V_I = V|_I$ , and denote by  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V_I, W)$  the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$  of a torsion free sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  and a  $W$ -framing at  $\mathbb{P}_I^1$  such that  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{F}(-1)) = V_I$  (for the details, see Section 5.1).

Then we prove the following result in Theorem 5.15.

**Theorem 1.2.** *There is a Nakajima quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(V, W)$  and a canonical bijection*

$$\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V_I, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(V, W)(\mathbb{C}).$$

Our main tools to show Theorem 1.2 will be a handful of functors. We shall need a functor  $\tau_*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$  and its left adjoint  $\tau^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ . We also introduce a ‘restriction to the divisor at infinity’  $c^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^1)$ . All these functors are defined on the level of quiver representations. Especially  $\tau^*$  and  $\tau_*$  are induced by two functors arising from a *recollement* of quiver varieties [9].

As already mentioned, we also show that Theorem 1.2 generalises several earlier results on interpretations of Nakajima quiver varieties. In order to do this, we will also have to introduce an ‘open restriction’ functor  $r^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \Pi_I\text{-mod}$  where  $\Pi_I\text{-mod}$  should be thought of as the category of coherent sheaves on the *affine* noncommutative scheme  $\mathbb{P}_I^2 \setminus \mathbb{P}_I^1$ .

A natural question is whether the sets  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$  can be made to carry an intrinsic scheme structure, and then whether the bijection of Theorem 1.2 can be extended to an isomorphism of schemes. We are, however, not aware of any way of constructing moduli spaces of sheaves on noncommutative varieties as schemes or stacks, which is why we will consistently focus on establishing canonical bijections. A naive solution is to define the scheme structure on the moduli side by transferring the one from the quiver side. The topology of the quiver varieties  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(V, W)$  was investigated recently in [6]. Again, a scheme structure on  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$  would enable us to compare the topology of the two sides.

**1.1. Quiver varieties as moduli spaces.** We give here a brief overview of the already known interpretations of quiver varieties. For ease of notation, it is customary to write  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$  for the vectors collecting the multiplicities of the irreducible representations in  $V$  and  $W$  respectively. Then the quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(V, W)$  is also denoted as  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ . Here  $\theta$  is the stability parameter, and the underlying quiver  $Q$  is always obtained from the McKay quiver of  $\Gamma$ .

Let us first note that in [4, Section 4.5] (cf.[3]) a complete description of the wall-and-chamber structure is given for the space of stability parameters, for any pair of vectors  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}$ . Let  $\delta, \bar{\mathbf{1}}$  be the dimension vectors given by  $\delta_i = (\dim \rho_i)$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{1}} := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , i.e.  $(\bar{\mathbf{1}})_i = 1$  only for  $i = 0$ , corresponding to the trivial  $\Gamma$ -representation. We then have:

- Set  $\theta = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = n\delta$ , and  $\mathbf{w} = \bar{\mathbf{1}}$  or  $\mathbf{w} = 0$ . Then, by [3, Lemma 4.5] (cf. [18, Proposition 33]), there is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{M}_0(n\delta, \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$ .
- There is a chamber  $C^+$ , such that for  $\theta \in C^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(\mathbb{C})$  carries a canonical bijection to the closed points of a moduli space of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant framed sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  ([27, Theorem 1]).
- There is a chamber  $C^-$ , such that for  $\theta \in C^-$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is isomorphic to a moduli space of framed sheaves on a projective Deligne-Mumford stack containing the minimal resolution  $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  as an open substack ([24]).
- For the special case  $\mathbf{w} = \bar{\mathbf{1}}$  of the above, if  $\theta \in C^-$ , then there is an *isomorphism*  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(n\delta, \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hilb}^n(\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma})$  [18].
- For a general  $\theta$  lying in  $\delta^\perp$ , a particular wall of  $C^-$ , there is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(n\delta, \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}^n \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma}$  ([18]).
- There is a particular ray  $\langle \theta_0 \rangle$  in the boundary of  $C^+$ , such that if  $\mathbf{w} = (r, 0, \dots, 0)$  for a positive integer  $r$ , the closed points of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  are in canonical bijection with the closed points of a moduli space of framed sheaves on  $\mathcal{X}$ , a projective Deligne-Mumford stack containing  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  as an open substack. ([13]).
- For the special case  $\mathbf{w} = \bar{\mathbf{1}}$  of the above, there is an *isomorphism*  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(n\delta, \bar{\mathbf{1}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma)$  ([7, Corollary 6.3], [10, Corollary 6.6])
- For  $\theta_I$  lying in any boundary of  $C^+$ , and for  $\mathbf{w} = \bar{\mathbf{1}}$ , it was shown in [10, Corollary 6.7] that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(n\delta, \bar{\mathbf{1}})$  is isomorphic to an 'orbifold Quot scheme' (see [8, Section 3] for the definition).

We remark that the arguments of [7, 8], purporting to prove the last two points in the overview above on the level of (underlying reduced) schemes contained a flaw, which was recently patched by [10].

**1.2. Conventions and notation.** We work throughout over  $\mathbb{C}$ , thus all algebras appearing are  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. Given an algebra  $R$ , we write  $R - \text{mod}$  for the category of *left*  $R$ -modules,  $\text{mod} - R$  for the category of *right*  $R$ -modules.

We are primarily concerned with left modules. Thus *module* means, unless indicated otherwise, *left* module, and  $\text{Hom}_R(-, -)$  denotes the homomorphisms in  $R - \text{mod}$ . When defining a functor, we will also only write out a definition for *left* modules. In such cases, we will use the subscript  $\text{right}$  for the corresponding functor defined for *right* modules. Note that one of our main sources, [2], is concerned with *right* modules. When using results from *ibid*, we will consistently adapt their results to left modules, without remarking on this.

All gradings appearing will be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings. We will indicate the degree  $d$ -part of a graded algebra or module  $A$  by  $A_d$ , in the case where  $A = A_F$  already has a subscript, by  $A_{F,d}$ .

Given a scheme (or stack)  $X$ , a *point* of  $X$  always means a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued point.

Finally we shall write, given an arrow  $a$  in a quiver  $Q$ ,  $t(a)$  for the tail of  $a$ ,  $h(a)$  for the head.

**1.3. Structure of the paper.** Section 2 collects the necessary background materials on noncommutative projective geometry, quiver algebras, and Nakajima quiver varieties. In Section 3 we introduce the crucial technique of *cornering*, which we use in Section 4 to define the ‘noncommutative partial projective resolutions’ mentioned in Theorem 1.1, proving the same Theorem. The same section also defines the functors  $\tau_*, \tau^*$ . Then, in Section 5, we define what it means for a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_7^2$  to be *framed*, and we finally prove Theorem 1.2. Following this, Section 6 is devoted to showing that Theorem 1.2 indeed generalises [13, Theorem 1.2] and (on the level of closed points) [10, Corollary 6.7]. Following the main content, we show in Appendix A that the stack  $\mathcal{X}$  can in fact be replaced by  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$  in point 5) in the list of Section 1.1.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Balázs Szendrői, Alastair Craw, Ugo Bruzzo, Andrea Ricolfi, Erzsébet Lukács, Michele Graffeo, and Joachim Jelisiejew for helpful comments and questions. We thank Nanna Gammelgaard for pointing out several typos. S.G. was supported by a SISSA Mathematical Fellowship. Á.Gy. was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the “Élvonal (Frontier)” Grant KKP 144148.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Noncommutative geometry.** We collect here some results and definitions from [2].

Let  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  be a finitely generated graded algebra. Let  $\mathbf{gr}(R)$  be the category of finitely generated graded  $A$ -modules, and put

$$\mathbf{qgr}(R) := \mathbf{gr}(R)/\mathbf{tor}(R),$$

the quotient by the Serre subcategory  $\mathbf{tor}(R)$  of finite-dimensional graded modules. In more detail, the objects of  $\mathbf{qgr}(R)$  are the same as those of  $\mathbf{gr}(R)$ , while

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{qgr}(R)}(M, N) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{gr}(R)}(M', N/N')$$

where the limit is taken over all submodules  $M' \subset M, N' \subset N$  such that  $M/M', N' \in \mathbf{tor}(R)$ , the set of such pairs  $(M', N')$  being ordered by  $(M'_1, N'_1) \ll (M'_2, N'_2)$  if and only if  $M'_2 \subset M'_1$ , and  $N'_1 \subset N'_2$ . The construction induces a quotient functor

$$\pi : \mathbf{gr}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{qgr}(R).$$

If  $R$  is commutative, Serre’s theorem [15, p. II.5.15.] shows us that  $\mathbf{qgr}(R)$  is indeed equivalent to  $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathrm{Proj} R)$ . In noncommutative algebraic geometry the category  $\mathbf{qgr}(R)$  is thought of [1, page 236] as the category of coherent sheaves on a noncommutative scheme  $X = \mathrm{Proj}(R)$ :

$$\mathrm{Coh}(\mathrm{Proj} R) := \mathbf{qgr}(R).$$

We will thus use the word *sheaf* for an object of  $\mathbf{qgr}(R)$ . We write  $\mathcal{O}_X = \pi({}_R R)$ , where  ${}_R R$  is the ring  $R$  considered as a left module over itself. Given a sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$  and an integer  $d$ , choose an  $R$ -module  $M$  to represent  $\mathcal{F}$ . We set

$\mathcal{F}(d) = \pi(M(d))$ , where  $M(d)$  is given by  $M(d)_i = M_{d+i}$ . Furthermore, we set  $\text{Ext}_X^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \text{Ext}^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  to be the  $p$ th derived functor of  $\text{Hom}_{\text{qgr}(R)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ , and  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ext}^p(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F})$ .

We can, to a limited extent, make "internal" versions of these functors as follows. We have, for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and every positive  $k$ , a map  $R_k \rightarrow \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X(i), \mathcal{O}_X(i+k))$  given by left multiplication. This induces, for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$  a *right*  $R$ -module structure on  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X(k))$ , and we can set

$$\mathcal{F}^\vee := \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \pi_{\text{right}} \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X(k)) \right).$$

The functor  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is right exact, and we denote its derived functors by  $\mathcal{E}xt^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . One can also show that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \pi_{\text{right}} \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Ext}^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X(k)) \right).$$

**Definition 2.1** ([2]). We say that a sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$  is:

- (1) *locally free* if  $\mathcal{E}xt^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for all  $p > 0$ ,
- (2) *torsion-free* if it embeds into a locally free sheaf,
- (3) *Artin* if  $H^{>0}(X, \mathcal{F}(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We also have the following useful result:

**Proposition 2.2.** [1, Proposition 4.4.1] *Let  $R$  be a graded Noetherian algebra. The set  $\{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } R}(i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is ample, i.e., there is for every  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\text{Proj } R)$  an epimorphism  $\mathcal{O}(-n)^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  for some positive integers  $n, m$ .*

In general, for the category  $\text{Coh}(X)$  to behave well, we need  $R$  to satisfy some additional constraints, for instance that of *strong regularity*, see [2, Definition 1.1.1], cf. [1] for the definition. Especially, if  $R$  is strongly regular, there are two attached integers  $d, l$  (*dimension* and *Gorenstein parameter*), such that

- (1)  $\text{Ext}^{>d}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = 0$  for any  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\text{Proj } R)$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_{\text{mod } R}^d(R_0, R) = R_0(l)_{\text{right}}$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\text{mod } R}^i(R_0, R) = 0$  for all  $i \neq d$ .

If  $R$  is strongly regular, there is an important implication for  $\text{Coh}(\text{Proj } R)$ :

**Proposition 2.3. (Serre Duality.)** *Let  $R$  be a strongly regular algebra of dimension  $d$  and Gorenstein parameter  $l$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\text{Proj } R)$ . Then we have functorial isomorphisms*

$$\text{Ext}^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^{p-d}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}(-l))^\vee$$

*Proof.* This is [28, Theorem 2.3], c.f. [1, p.3]. □

**2.2. Some homological algebra.** We shall need the following result later. We expect it is known to experts, but we could not find a source.

Let  $A, B$  be rings, and let  $j^*: A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$ ,  $j_! : B\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$  be functors. Assume furthermore that  $j^*$  is exact,  $j_!$  is the left adjoint of  $j^*$ ,  $j_!$  is fully faithful, and that  $j_!$  is given by  $j_!(M) = S \otimes_B M$ , where  $S$  is a left  $A$ , right  $B$ -module.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $M, N \in B\text{-mod}$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_B^i(M, N) = \text{Ext}_A^i(j_!M, j_!N)$ .*

*Proof.* We will first show that if  $P$  is a projective  $B$ -module, then  $j_!P$  is projective. So assume that  $P$  is projective, let  $f: j_!P \rightarrow Q$  be any homomorphism, and let  $q: R \rightarrow Q$  be a surjective homomorphism. We wish to show that there is a  $g$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & j_!P & \\ \overset{g}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow f & \\ R & \xrightarrow{q} & Q \end{array}$$

From adjointness, the homomorphism  $f$  gives rise to a homomorphism  $f^\sharp: P \rightarrow j^*Q$ , and the exactness of  $j^*$  implies that  $j^*q: j^*R \rightarrow j^*Q$  is surjective. Thus, in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ \overset{g^\sharp}{\curvearrowright} & \downarrow f^\sharp & \\ j^*R & \xrightarrow{j^*q} & j^*Q \end{array}$$

there exists by projectivity of  $P$  a morphism  $g^\sharp$  making the diagram commute. Again by adjointness, the morphism  $g^\sharp$  induces a morphism  $g: j_!P \rightarrow R$ . It remains only to see that  $qg = f$ : Assume not, then  $qg - f \neq 0$ . But in the bijection  $\text{Hom}(j_!P, Q) \cong \text{Hom}(P, j^*Q)$ ,  $qg - f$  is mapped to  $(j^*q)g^\sharp - f^\sharp = 0$ .

Thus  $j_!P$  is projective.

Now consider a projective resolution of  $M$ :

$$\cdots \rightarrow P^k \rightarrow P^{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

and apply  $j_!$ . A projective module is flat, thus

$$\cdots \rightarrow j_!P^k \rightarrow j_!P^{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow j_!P^1 \rightarrow j_!P^0 \rightarrow j_!M \rightarrow 0$$

is indeed a resolution, and we have just shown that it is even more a *projective* resolution of  $j_!M$ . Now, to compute  $\text{Ext}^i(j_!M, j_!N)$  we apply  $\text{Hom}(-, j_!N)$  to this projective resolution, and chop off the last term. We get a complex

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(j_!P^k, j_!N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(j_!P^{k-1}, j_!N) \rightarrow \\ \cdots &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(j_!P^1, j_!N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(j_!P^0, j_!N) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{Ext}^i(j_!M, j_!N)$  is the  $i$ th cohomology object of this complex.

But by full faithfulness of  $j_!$ , this last complex is equal to

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^k, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^{k-1}, N) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^1, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P^0, N) \rightarrow 0,$$

and so its  $i$ th cohomology object  $\text{Ext}^i(M, N)$  must equal  $\text{Ext}^i(j_!M, j_!N)$ .

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**2.3. Algebras associated to  $\Gamma$ .** Once and for all fix a finite group  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We list the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$  as  $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$ , where  $\rho_0$  is the trivial representation.

Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ . The group  $\Gamma$  acts on the subring  $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \subset R$ : for  $g \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in R$ , we have  $(g \cdot f)(v) = f(g^{-1}v)$  for all  $v \in V$ . We extend this action by setting  $g \cdot z = z$  for every  $g \in \Gamma$ . Geometrically, this means that we have extended the  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to one on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that group action restricts to an action on the additional divisor  $\{z = 0\}$ .

Let  $R^\Gamma$  denote the  $\Gamma$ -invariant subring of  $R$ . The  $R^\Gamma$ -module  $R$  then decomposes into isotypical components [14, Proposition 4.1.15.]:

$$(2.1) \quad R \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq r} R_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \rho_i,$$

where  $R_i := \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho_i, R)$  is an  $R^\Gamma$ -module; note that  $R_0 = R^\Gamma$ .

Consider the skew-group algebra

$$S := \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] * \Gamma = R * \Gamma.$$

This algebra has a natural grading, defined by  $\deg x = \deg y = \deg z = 1$ , and  $\deg \gamma = 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *The embedding of the graded algebras  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \subset S$  induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Coh}(\text{Proj}(S)) \rightarrow \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z])) = \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2)$$

where  $\text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is the category of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on the commutative projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the definitions (cf. [2, Proposition 3.2.7.]) □

**2.4. McKay quivers.** We now associate two undirected graphs to the isomorphism class of  $\Gamma$ . First, the *McKay graph* of  $\Gamma$  has vertex set

$$\{0, 1, \dots, r\}$$

corresponding to the irreducible representations of  $\Gamma$ , where for each  $0 \leq i, j \leq r$ , we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_\Gamma(\rho_j, \rho_i \otimes V)$$

edges joining vertices  $i$  and  $j$ .

Second, let  $W = \bigoplus \rho_i^{\mathbf{w}_i}$  be a representation of  $\Gamma$ , and set  $\mathbf{w}$  to be the vector  $(\mathbf{w}_0, \dots, \mathbf{w}_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ . Applying the trick of Crawley-Boevey [11] we get the *framed McKay graph* as follows: extend the original McKay graph with a single framing node  $\infty$  and connect this new node to node  $i$  with  $\mathbf{w}_i$  edges,  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . The resulting graph then has vertex set

$$\{0, \dots, r\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

To each undirected graph above we will associate a quiver, obtained as follows. Let  $A_0$  be the set of vertices of the graph, and  $A_1$  the set of all pairs of an edge and an orientation of the same edge, thus  $(A_0, A_1)$  form a quiver. We denote by  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ , resp.  $Q^{\mathbf{w}} = (Q_0^{\mathbf{w}}, Q_1^{\mathbf{w}})$  the quivers obtained in this way from the McKay graph, resp. the framed McKay graph. These are called the *doubled McKay quiver* and the *doubled framed McKay quiver* respectively. Given an arrow  $a$  in either quiver, write  $\bar{a}$  for the opposing arrow, i.e.,  $a, \bar{a}$  correspond to the two possible orientations of the same edge.

We now introduce the *preprojective algebra*; in Section 2.6 below a variant of this, the graded preprojective algebra will be defined. Consider the following two-sided ideal of  $\mathbb{C}Q$ :

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{J} := \left\langle \sum_{\substack{a \in Q_1 \\ h(a)=i}} (a\bar{a} - \bar{a}a) \mid i \in Q_0 \right\rangle.$$

Replacing  $Q$  by  $Q^{\mathbf{w}}$  in this equation, we can similarly define an ideal  $\mathcal{J}^{\mathbf{w}} \subset \mathbb{C}Q^{\mathbf{w}}$ .



**Definition 2.6.** We define the *preprojective algebra*  $\Pi$  by  $\Pi := \mathbb{C}Q/\mathcal{J}$ . Similarly, define the *framed preprojective algebra*  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$  by  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}} := \mathbb{C}Q^{\mathbf{w}}/\mathcal{J}^{\mathbf{w}}$ .

Each vertex  $i \in Q_0$ , respectively  $Q_0^{\mathbf{w}}$  gives rise to a vertex idempotent  $e_i \in \Pi$  or  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ . Given a set of vertices  $I$ , we shall write  $e_I := \sum_{i \in I} e_i$ .

A module  $M$  over either of the algebras just defined consists of a vector space  $M_i = e_i M$  for each vertex  $i$ , and for every arrow  $a$  a linear map  $M_{h(a)} \rightarrow M_{t(a)}$  satisfying the relations  $\mathcal{J}$ , respectively  $\mathcal{J}^{\mathbf{w}}$ . If  $M$  is finite-dimensional, we can thus speak of its *dimension vector*  $\dim M = (\dim M_i) = (\dim e_i M)$ ,  $i$  running over the vertices. If  $M$  is a  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module, we will often write  $\dim M$  as  $(\dim_{\infty} M, \mathbf{v})$ , where  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$  is the dimension vector for the vertices except  $\infty$ .

**2.5. Nakajima quiver varieties associated to  $\Gamma$ .** Now we introduce the *Nakajima quiver varieties* associated to  $\Gamma$ . We shall only use them in Section 5.

Recall the definition of the framed preprojective algebra  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ . We call a tuple  $\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0^{\mathbf{w}}}$  a *stability parameter*. We say that a  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module  $M$  is  $\theta$ -semistable, respectively  $\theta$ -stable, if

- (1)  $\theta(\dim M) = 0$ , and
- (2) for every nonzero submodule  $N \subset M$ , we have  $\theta(\dim N) \geq 0$ , respectively  $> 0$ .

Given a dimension vector  $(1, \mathbf{v})$  for  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -modules, we say that a stability parameter  $\theta$  is *generic* if every semistable  $\Pi$ -module of dimension  $(1, \mathbf{v})$  is stable. A  $\Pi$ -module is *polystable* if it is a direct sum of  $\theta$ -stable modules.

Then two  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -modules  $M, N$  of the same dimension are  $S_{\theta}$ -equivalent if they have submodule series

$$0 \subset M_0 \subset \cdots \subset M_{t_1} = M, \quad 0 \subset N_0 \subset \cdots \subset N_{t_2} \subset N$$

such that every submodule  $Q$  appearing has  $\theta(\dim Q) > 0$ , and  $\bigoplus M_i/M_{i-1} \cong \bigoplus N_j/N_{j-1}$ .

The following theorem was proved in [17], using a GIT quotient construction.

**Theorem 2.7.** *There exists a coarse moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  of  $\theta$ -semistable  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -modules of dimension vector  $(1, \mathbf{v})$ . Every point in  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  corresponds to a  $S_{\theta}$ -equivalence class, thus  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is a fine moduli space if  $\theta$  is generic.*

**Definition 2.8** ([22]). The scheme  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is a *Nakajima quiver variety*.

As already mentioned, we will often, given explicit  $\Pi_0$ -graded (i.e.  $Q_0$ -graded) vector spaces  $V, W$ , simply write  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(V, W)$  for  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\dim V, \dim W)$ .

*Remarks 2.9.* The original definition of a Nakajima quiver variety (in [22]) was as a hyperkähler quotient, our definition is proved equivalent by Kuznetsov ([18]), using [17] and [11].

It is unknown whether Nakajima quiver varieties are reduced in general. Thus one sometimes explicitly works with the underlying reduced subscheme of the GIT quotient, this is done in e.g. [7]. We will in this paper only care about the underlying sets of closed points, so reducedness issues do not matter.

**Lemma 2.10** ([5]). *The Nakajima quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is quasiprojective, has symplectic singularities, is integral and irreducible. If  $\theta$  is generic (i.e. if every  $\theta$ -semistable  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module of dimension  $(1, \mathbf{v})$  is stable), then  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is hyperkähler.*

For any  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ , and a choice  $I \subset Q_0$ , we define  $\theta_I$  to be the stability parameter for  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -modules of dimension  $(1, \mathbf{v})$  to be that given by:

$$\theta_I(e_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in Q_0 \setminus I \\ -\sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{v}_i & \text{if } i = e_\infty \end{cases}$$

We will also from now on write  $\theta$  for  $\theta_{Q_0}$ .

Let  $M$  be a  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module of dimension  $(1, \mathbf{v})$ . It follows from Theorem 2.7 that if  $I \subset J \subset Q_0$ , there is a morphism  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_J}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ .

One of the main results of this paper, given in Theorem 5.15 below, is, as mentioned, a description of the quiver varieties  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  for such  $\theta_I$ , subject to some restrictions on  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}$ .

**2.6. Graded preprojective algebra.** We construct a *graded* preprojective algebra  $\Pi^\bullet$  as follows. Let  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$  be a double quiver of some undirected graph. Construct a "tripled" quiver  $Q^\bullet = (Q_0^\bullet, Q_1^\bullet)$  by adding to the double quiver a loop  $d_i$  at each vertex  $i$ , so  $Q_0^\bullet = Q_0$ . Consider the following ideals of  $\mathbb{C}Q^\bullet$ :

- (1)  $\mathcal{I}_1$ , generated by the expressions  $d_i a - a d_j$  for all  $a \in Q_1$  and all  $i \in Q_0$  such that  $h(a) = j, t(a) = i$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{I}_2 = \left\langle \sum_{\substack{a \in Q_1 \\ h(a)=i}} (a\bar{a} - \bar{a}a) \mid i \in Q_0 \right\rangle$ .

**Definition 2.11.** We set  $\Pi^\bullet = \mathbb{C}Q^\bullet / (\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2)$ .

This algebra was introduced in [2, Appendix A], with a more general construction.

**Notation.** From now on,  $\Pi^\bullet$  will always denote the graded preprojective algebra constructed from the McKay graph of  $\Gamma$ . We also fix some notation here: For a vertex  $i \in Q_0$ , let  $z_i$  be the element of  $\Pi^\bullet$  arising from the loop at vertex  $i$ . We set  $z = \sum_{i \in Q_0} z_i$ , and for an arbitrary  $I \subset Q_0$ , we set  $z_I = \sum_{i \in I} z_i$ .

### 3. QUIVERS AND QUIVER ALGEBRAS

**3.1. Morita equivalences.** The reason to introduce the algebra  $\Pi^\bullet$  is that the category  $\text{Coh}(\text{Proj } \Pi^\bullet)$  is equivalent to  $\text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , as we will show in this section.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The following pairs of algebras are Morita equivalent:*

- $\Pi$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \# \Gamma$ ,
- $\Pi^\bullet$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma$ ,
- $\Pi_0 = \Pi_0^\bullet$  and  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ .

*Furthermore, these Morita equivalences are compatible in the sense that the Morita equivalence induced between  $\Pi^\bullet / z\Pi^\bullet$  and  $(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma) / (z\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma)$  (recall our notation from Section 2.6) by the second equivalence in the list above agrees with the first.*

*Proof.* The first statement is [8, Proposition 2.3]. We recall the construction: for every  $\rho_i$  there is an idempotent  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  such that  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma f_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_i$ . Letting  $f = \sum f_i$ , there is an isomorphism  $f(\mathbb{C}[x, y] \# \Gamma)f \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi$ , and the categorical equivalence of modules is induced by mapping a  $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \# \Gamma$ -module  $M$  to  $fM$ . The second statement is [2, Proposition 6.0.1], and is proved in exactly the same fashion. The third follows from noting that the two above Morita equivalences preserve degree. Finally, the indicated compatibility follows from noting that  $\Gamma$  acts trivially on  $z$ .

□

**Lemma 3.2.** *The graded preprojective algebra  $\Pi^\bullet$  is strongly regular (see [2, p.2]), of global dimension 2 and Gorenstein parameter  $-3$ .*

*Proof.* It is shown in [2, Proposition 7.3.4, Proposition 7.2.3] that  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]\#\Gamma$  is strongly regular of global dimension 2 and Gorenstein parameter  $-3$ , but this algebra is Morita equivalent to  $\Pi^\bullet$  by Proposition 3.1. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *There are natural equivalences*

- $\iota_1: \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(\text{Proj } \Pi^\bullet)$
- $\iota_2: \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(\text{Proj } \Pi)$

*with the property that if  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}|_L \cong \iota_2^{-1}(\iota_1(\mathcal{F})/z\iota_1(\mathcal{F}))$ , where we are taking  $L \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  to be the line  $\{z = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ .*

*Proof.* The first equivalence is a special case of [2, Proposition 6.0.1], and the second can be proved *mutatis mutandis*, using Proposition 3.1. The final statement also follows from Proposition 3.1. □

### 3.2. Cornering.

**Definition 3.4.** Choose a nonempty  $I \subset Q_0$ , and set  $e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$ .

We define

$$\Pi_I^\bullet := e_I \Pi^\bullet e_I,$$

and similarly define  $\Pi_I := e_I \Pi e_I$ .

We fix  $I$  for the rest of the paper.

We call the algebras  $\Pi_I^\bullet, \Pi_I$  *cornered*, and the process of passing from  $\Pi^\bullet$  (for example) to  $e_I \Pi^\bullet e_I$  *cornering*. Note also that we have  $\Pi_I^\bullet / z_I \Pi_I^\bullet = \Pi_I$ .

There are functors  $j_! : \Pi_I^\bullet\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi^\bullet\text{-mod}$ ,  $j^* : \Pi^\bullet\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi_I^\bullet\text{-mod}$ , defined by

$$j_!(M) = \Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} M, \quad j^*(N) = e_I \Pi^\bullet \otimes_{\Pi^\bullet} N.$$

These form two out of the six functors of a *recollement* ([9]), and have the following properties:

**Lemma 3.5.** •  $j^*$  is exact;

- $j_!$  is fully faithful;
- $j_!$  is the left adjoint of  $j^*$  (and therefore right exact);
- given a  $\Pi_I$ -module  $M$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(j_! M, N) = 0$  for all  $k$  and every  $\Pi^\bullet / \Pi^\bullet e_I \Pi^\bullet$ -module  $N$ ;
- $j^* j_! = \text{id}$ .

*Proof.* The first four claims are all from [9, Section 3]. The fifth claim holds because

$$j^* j_! M = e_I \Pi^\bullet \otimes_{\Pi^\bullet} \Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} M = \Pi_I^\bullet \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} M = M.$$

□

**Lemma 3.6.** *The functors  $j^*, j_!$  take finite-dimensional modules to finite-dimensional modules.*

*Proof.* This is straightforward for  $j^*$  – as a vector space, we have  $j^* M = e_I M \subset M$  for any  $\Pi^\bullet$ -module  $M$ . For  $j_!$ , we can repeat the proof of [9, Lemma 3.6]. □

We will now show that the noncommutative algebras  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  have fairly nice properties.

**Proposition 3.7.** *The algebra  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  is noetherian.*

*Proof.* We show that  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  is left noetherian, right-noetherianness is shown similarly.

Let  $0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots$  be a chain of left  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -ideals, thus

$$(3.1) \quad \Pi_I^\bullet \rightarrow \Pi_I^\bullet/I_1 \rightarrow \Pi_I^\bullet/I_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

is a chain of surjective  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module homomorphisms. Then, because  $j_!$  is right exact,  $j_!\Pi_I^\bullet \rightarrow j_!(\Pi_I^\bullet/I_1) \rightarrow j_!(\Pi_I^\bullet/I_2) \rightarrow \dots$  is a chain of surjective  $\Pi^\bullet$ -module homomorphisms. But we have a surjective homomorphism  $\Pi^\bullet \rightarrow \Pi^\bullet e_I = j_!\Pi_I^\bullet$ , simply given by right-multiplying by  $e_I$ . Composing with this, we obtain a chain of surjective homomorphisms

$$(3.2) \quad \Pi^\bullet \rightarrow \Pi^\bullet e_I \rightarrow j_!(\Pi_I^\bullet/I_1) \rightarrow j_!(\Pi_I^\bullet/I_2) \rightarrow \dots,$$

and by the noetherianness of  $\Pi^\bullet$ , (3.2) must terminate, let us say at  $j_!(\Pi_I^\bullet/I_n)$ . Apply  $j^*$  to this chain, we find (since  $j^*j_! = \text{id}$ ) that (3.1) terminates at  $\Pi_I^\bullet/I_n$ . But then the original chain of ideals also terminates at  $I_n$ , so  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  is left noetherian.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *The algebra  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  has the following properties:*

- $\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet$  is semisimple,
- $\Pi_I^\bullet$  has polynomial growth, i.e., there are integers  $m_1, m_2$  such that

$$\dim \Pi_{I,k}^\bullet < m_1 k^{m_2} \quad \text{for all } k,$$

- For every two  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -modules  $M, N$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^{>2}(M, N) = 0$ .

*Proof.* The first claim follows from the fact that  $\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet$  is a direct sum of 1-dimensional algebras. The second claim follows from the inclusion of algebras  $\Pi_I^\bullet \subset \Pi^\bullet$  and the fact [2] that  $\Pi^\bullet$  has polynomial growth.

For the third, we must show that for every two (left)  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -modules  $M, N$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^{>2}(M, N) = 0$ . We find, for every  $k$ , that

$$\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(j_!M, j_!N),$$

by Lemma 2.4. The latter group vanishes for  $k > 2$  because  $\Pi^\bullet$  is strongly regular of dimension 2 by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* This is quite close to  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  being a strongly regular algebra, c.f. [2, Definition 1.1.1]. But the fourth condition for strong regularity does not hold in general: We would need to show that  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  is *Gorenstein* with parameters  $(2, l)$  for some  $l$ , i.e., that  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet, \Pi_I^\bullet)$  is nonzero if and only if  $k = 2$ , in which case we would have  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^2(\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet, \Pi_I^\bullet) = \Pi_{I,0}^\bullet(l)$ . But we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet, \Pi_I^\bullet) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(j_!\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet, j_!\Pi_I^\bullet) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(K, \Pi^\bullet e_I),$$

where  $K$  is the module spanned by the classes of paths  $p$  that start in  $I$  and cannot be factorised as  $p = qr$  with  $r \in \Pi_I^\bullet$ . Then  $K$  is only concentrated in one degree in the case  $I = Q_0$ , i.e., when  $\Pi_I^\bullet = \Pi^\bullet$ .

We also note here the following technical lemma.

**Proposition 3.10.** *There is a number  $n$  depending on  $\Gamma$  and  $I$  such that any class  $p$  in  $\Pi$  of length greater than  $n$  is equivalent to the class of a path passing through  $I$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first note that the algebra  $\Pi/\Pi e_I \Pi$  is finite-dimensional. This follows from [25, Proposition 2.1], because deleting a nonempty set of vertices and all their incident edges from an affine Dynkin diagram results in a disjoint union of finite Dynkin diagrams. Thus, in the homomorphism  $q: \Pi \rightarrow \Pi/\Pi e_I \Pi$ , there is an integer

$m$  such that the class of any path  $p \in \Pi$  of length greater than  $m$  is mapped to 0 by  $q$ . But this is what we wished to show.  $\square$

#### 4. THE GEOMETRY OF $\mathbb{P}_I^2$

We can now define the main object of our research.

**Definition 4.1.** We set  $\mathbb{P}_I^2 := \text{Proj } \Pi_I^\bullet$ .

Note that this really means that we are setting  $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) = \text{qgr}(\Pi_I^\bullet)$ .

Similarly, set  $\mathbb{P}_I^1 := \text{Proj } \Pi_I$ .

**Notation.** We shall write  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$  for  $\mathbb{P}_{Q_0}^2 = \text{Proj } \Pi^\bullet$ , and similarly  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^1$  for  $\mathbb{P}_{Q_0}^1 = \text{Proj } \Pi$ .

*Remark 4.2.* This is done to make our notation agree for these spaces with that of [2]. In *ibid*, these spaces are defined as (respectively)

$$\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2 := \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma, \quad \mathbb{P}_\Gamma^1 := \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[x, y] \# \Gamma,$$

but by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 the resulting categories of coherent sheaves are naturally equivalent. In this context, one can also use the notation  $[\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma]$  for  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$ .

*Remarks 4.3.*

- Instead of defining  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  using subalgebras of  $\Pi^\bullet$ , we could have used subalgebras of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma$ . Let  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$  be the idempotent such that  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma f_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_i$  as a  $\Gamma$ -representation. One can then show, using the Morita equivalences of Proposition 3.1, that  $\text{Coh}(\text{Proj } \Pi_I^\bullet) = \text{Coh}(\text{Proj } f_I(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma) f_I)$ , where  $f_I = \sum_{i \in I} f_i$ . We choose, however, to use subalgebras of  $\Pi^\bullet$ , because this makes it easier to define the functors  $\tau_*, \tau^*$ , and also because the degree-0 subalgebra  $\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet$  is a direct sum of 1-dimensional algebras, which is simpler to work with than  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ , the degree-0 subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \# \Gamma$ .

- We are not aware whether the noncommutative surfaces  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  have already appeared in the literature; a cursory survey suggests they may not have. We are, for instance, unable to decide whether  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  is among the surfaces considered in [26]: there it is required for surfaces  $\text{Proj } R$  to satisfy  $R_0$  being a field. This is not *a priori* true for  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ , except for the case where  $I$  is a singleton.

From Lemma 3.3, we see that  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma]$ , in the sense that there is a natural equivalence of categories  $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}([\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma])$ . We can describe at least one other of the spaces  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ :

**Proposition 4.4.** We have  $\Pi_{\{0\}}^\bullet = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Consider the algebra  $\Pi_{\{0\}}^\bullet/(z_0) = \Pi_{\{0\}}$ . By the construction in [7, Section 6],  $\Pi_{\{0\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[x, y]^\Gamma$ . Thus  $\Pi_{\{0\}}^\bullet/(z_0)$  is the quotient of the quiver algebra of a quiver with four loops at a single vertex, three of which correspond to the generators of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]^\Gamma$ . Because the class of the  $z_0$ -loop commutes with every other class in  $\Pi_{\{0\}}^\bullet$ , this proves that  $\Pi_{\{0\}}^\bullet = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]^\Gamma$ .  $\square$

We can now prove the first of the Theorems announced in the introduction.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* This now follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 4.4.  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** Define the functor  $c^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^1)$  ‘restriction to the line at infinity’ to be the functor induced by the following functor on the level of modules:  $M \in \Pi_I^\bullet\text{-mod} \mapsto M/zM \in \Pi_I\text{-mod}$ . It is clear that  $M/zM$  is finite-dimensional if  $M$  is, so  $c^*$  is well-defined.

We see that for  $I = Q_0$ , this  $c^*$  agrees with that already defined following Lemma 2.5 (when the Morita equivalences of Proposition 3.1 are applied).

Consider the functor  $j_!: \Pi_I^\bullet\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi^\bullet\text{-mod}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ , and let  $M \in \Pi_I^\bullet\text{-mod}$  be a module such that  $\pi(M) = \mathcal{F}$ . Set then

$$\tau^* \mathcal{F} = \pi(j_! M).$$

This is well-defined by Lemma 3.6. Similarly, let  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ , and let  $N \in \Pi^\bullet\text{-mod}$  be a module such that  $\pi(N) = \mathcal{E}$ . Set then

$$\tau_* \mathcal{E} = \pi(j^* N),$$

which is also well-defined by Lemma 3.6.

**Definition 4.6.** The above discussion defines two functors

$$\tau^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2), \quad \tau_*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2).$$

We will make heavy use of the two above functors.

**Lemma 4.7.** •  $\tau^*$  is left adjoint of  $\tau_*$  (and therefore right exact),

- $\tau_*$  is exact,
- $\tau^*$  is fully faithful,
- $\tau_* \tau^* = \text{id}$ ,
- For any two  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$  and any  $k$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}^k(\tau^* \mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

*Proof.* The first four statements follow directly from Lemma 3.5. The last follows from Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** The internal dualisation functors commute with  $\tau^*$ , i.e., if  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ , then  $(\tau^* \mathcal{F})^\vee = \tau_{\text{right}}^*(\mathcal{F}^\vee)$ .

*Proof.* We show this on the level of modules. If  $M$  is a  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module representing  $\mathcal{F}$ , then we wish to show that:

$$(j!)_{\text{right}} \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (\text{Hom}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}(M, \Pi_I^\bullet(k))) \right) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\Pi^\bullet}(j_! M, \Pi^\bullet(k)).$$

We find:

$$\begin{aligned} (j!)_{\text{right}} \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}(M, \Pi_I^\bullet(k)) \right) &= \left( \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}(M, \Pi_I^\bullet(k)) \right) \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} e_I \Pi^\bullet \\ &= \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}(M, e_I \Pi^\bullet(k)) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}(M, j^* \Pi^\bullet(k)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_{\Pi^\bullet}(j_! M, \Pi^\bullet(k)) \end{aligned}$$

as wanted.  $\square$

#### 4.1. Computing Ext-groups.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$ . Then, for every  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$  and every  $p$ , we have*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\tau^* \tau_*(\mathcal{F}), \tau^* \mathcal{G}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}).$$

*Proof.* Adjointness of  $\tau^*, \tau_*$  induces a morphism  $\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . If we let  $M$  be a module representing  $\mathcal{F}$ , then this morphism is given by  $\Pi^\bullet e_I \Pi^\bullet \otimes M \rightarrow M$ , which is injective. Thus we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives a long exact sequence (of vector spaces)

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{F}/\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^{p+1}(\mathcal{F}/\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) \end{array}$$

Serre duality on  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$  (which holds by Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 2.3) gives  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^q(\mathcal{F}/\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^{2-q}(\tau^* \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}/\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F}(-3))^\vee$ . Now let again  $M$  be a  $\Pi^\bullet$ -module representing  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $N$  a  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module representing  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}/\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{F}$  is represented by  $(M/j!j^*M)$ . However, because

$$j^*(M/j!j^*M) = e_I(M/\Pi^\bullet e_I M) = 0,$$

we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^{2-q}(j!N, M/j!j^*M(-3)) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^{2-q}(N, j^*(M/j!j^*M)(-3)) = 0.$$

This is true for any  $M, N$  respectively representing  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ . But this implies that the first and last Ext-groups in Equation (4.1) vanish. The claim follows.  $\square$

- Lemma 4.10.**
- Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$ , and assume that  $H^k(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} V_i$ , with the  $V_i$  finite-dimensional vector spaces.  $H^k(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \tau_* \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ .
  - Let  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma, I}^2)$ , and assume that  $H^k(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma, I}^2, \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ . Then  $H^k(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \tau^* \mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} U_i$ , with  $U_i = V_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Here again the  $V_i, U_i$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces.

*Proof.* We start with 1. Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated graded  $\Pi^\bullet$ -module representing  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $V = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(\Pi^\bullet, M)$ . Then we have:

$$\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(\Pi_I^\bullet, j^*M) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(j! \Pi_I^\bullet, M) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(\Pi^\bullet e_I, M) = e_I \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(\Pi^\bullet, M),$$

which equals  $V_I$ .

For the other, let  $N$  be a finitely generated graded  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module representing  $\mathcal{E}$ . We have

$$e_I H^k(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{E}) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^k(\Pi^\bullet e_I, j!N) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(j! \Pi_I^\bullet, j!N)$$

which by Lemma 2.4 equals

$$\text{Ext}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}^k(\Pi_I^\bullet, N) = H^k(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{E})$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Torsion-free and locally free sheaves.

**Notation.** Given  $I, J \subset Q_0$ , we will write  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I$  for the sheaf  $\pi(\Pi^\bullet e_I)$  on  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$ , and similarly  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_J$  for the sheaf  $\pi(e_I \Pi^\bullet e_J) = \tau_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_J)$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** *The sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k) = \tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}$  are locally free.*

*Proof.* This is simply because on the module level  $\Pi^\bullet e_I$  is a direct summand of  $\Pi^\bullet$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k)$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)$ , which is locally free, and so the higher Ext-groups vanish as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.** *The following cohomology groups vanish:*

- (1)  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(-k))$  for any  $k > 0$
- (2)  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k))$  for any  $k$
- (3)  $H^2(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k))$  for any  $k > -3$ .

*Proof.* For  $I = Q_0$ , this follows from the ordinary statements for sheaf cohomology on the (commutative!) projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and the equivalence  $\mathbf{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$ .

Otherwise, we compute, using that  $\tau^*$  is fully faithful, and that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k))$  has both a left and a right  $\Pi_0^\bullet$ -module structure:

$$\begin{aligned} H^p(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}, \tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) = \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k)) = e_I \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) e_I = e_I H^p(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) e_I \end{aligned}$$

which must vanish when  $H^p(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) = 0$ , i.e., as computed above.  $\square$

*Remark 4.13.* In the following proofs, we will heavily make use of [2, Propositions 2.0.1-2.0.4] in the category  $\mathbf{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$ . In *ibid*, these propositions are used on  $\mathbf{Coh}(\text{Proj } R)$  under the additional assumption that  $R$  is a *strongly regular* algebra, which  $\Pi_\Gamma^\bullet$  is not. But their proofs do work in our case as well, because only the ampleness of the collection  $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(i)\}$  and the vanishing of all  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi_\Gamma^\bullet}^{\geq 2}(M, N)$  are needed. These follow from Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 3.8.

**Lemma 4.14.** *The functor  $\tau_*$  takes locally free sheaves to locally free sheaves and torsion-free sheaves to torsion-free sheaves.*

*Proof.* We start by proving that the functor  $\tau_*$  preserves local freeness. Let  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free, i.e.,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) = 0$  for  $p > 0, k \geq 0$ . As

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\tau_* \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\tau_* \mathcal{E}, \tau_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k))) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{E}, \tau^* \tau_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k))) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^p(\mathcal{E}, \tau^* \tau_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k))) \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used Lemma 4.7, and Lemma 4.9 in the last equality. But note that

$$\tau^* \tau_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k)) = \pi(\Pi^\bullet e_I \Pi^\bullet e_I(k)) = \pi(\Pi^\bullet e_I(k)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k),$$

which means that  $\text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^p(\mathcal{E}, \tau^* \tau_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k))) = \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k))$ . But because  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}$ , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(k)) \subset \text{Ext}_{\Pi^\bullet}^p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(k)) = 0.$$

We then show that  $\tau_*$  takes a torsion-free sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$  to a torsion-free sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$  be a torsion-free sheaf contained in a locally free sheaf, then we simply use that  $\tau_*$  is left exact.  $\square$



**Lemma 4.15.** *The functor  $\tau^*$  takes locally free sheaves to locally free sheaves.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$  is locally free. Then it follows from [2, Proposition 2.0.2] that there is a resolution of  $\mathcal{F}$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_1)^{\oplus m_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_0)^{\oplus m_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now apply  $\tau^*$ : this functor is right exact, so we obtain an exact sequence

$$\tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_1)^{\oplus m_1} \rightarrow \tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_0)^{\oplus m_0} \rightarrow \tau^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

or, when dualised,

$$0 \rightarrow \tau^* \mathcal{F}^\vee \rightarrow (\tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_0)^{\oplus m_0})^\vee \rightarrow (\tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_1)^{\oplus m_1})^\vee.$$

The sheaves  $\tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-n_0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2} e_I(-n_0)$  are locally free by Lemma 4.11, and so  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}^\vee$  is also locally free by [2, Proposition 2.0.4 a]. But then we have

$$(\tau_{\text{right}}^* \mathcal{F}^\vee)^\vee = \tau^*(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) = \tau^* \mathcal{F},$$

which must thus be locally free by [2, Proposition 2.0.4]. □

It need not be true that  $\tau^*$  takes a torsion-free sheaf to a torsion-free sheaf. In Section 5.2 below we will introduce a modified version of  $\tau^*$  that handles this.

We will need the following definition and lemma in Section 6. They are adapted from [2, Definition 3.3.12, Lemma 3.3.13].

**Definition 4.16.** A sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$  is *z-torsion free* if the morphism  $(z_I \cdot): \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(1)$  is a monomorphism.

## 5. SHEAVES ON $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ AND NAKAJIMA QUIVER VARIETIES

### 5.1. Framing.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ , and fix a finite-dimensional  $\Pi$ -module  $W$ . Then  $W$  corresponds to a finite-dimensional  $\Gamma$ -representation  $\widehat{W} = \bigoplus W_i \otimes \rho_i$ . A *W-framing* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{F}}: c^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi(e_I \Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_{Q_0} \otimes W.$$

The pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$  will be called a *W-framed sheaf* or just a *framed sheaf*, and we will often suppress  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  from notation. A morphism of two framed sheaves  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}}), (\mathcal{G}, \phi_{\mathcal{G}})$  is a morphism  $t: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}} = \phi_{\mathcal{G}} \circ t$ . We denote the category of  $W$ -framed sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$  by

$$\mathbf{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W).$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $W$ -framed sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ , and  $W_i = 0$  for all  $i \notin I$ , then giving  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is equivalent to giving an isomorphism  $c^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_I \otimes_{\Pi_{I_0}} W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I^c := Q_0 \setminus I$ . Then this is an easy computation: We have

$$e_I \Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W = \left( e_I \Pi e_I \otimes_{\Pi_{I,0}} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i \right) \right) \oplus \left( e_I \Pi e_{I^c} \otimes_{\Pi_{I^c,0}} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I^c} W_i \right) \right),$$

and if  $\bigoplus_{i \in I^c} W_i = 0$ , the second summand vanishes. □

**Proposition 5.3.** *The functors  $\tau^*, \tau_*$  induce functors (which we write with the same notation)*

$$\tau_*: \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W) \rightarrow \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W), \quad \tau^*: \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W) \rightarrow \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W),$$

and we have  $\tau_* \tau^* = \text{id}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $W$ -framed sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely-generated left  $\Pi^\bullet$ -module representing  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow zM \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/zM = \Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W \rightarrow 0,$$

and because  $j^*$  is an exact functor, this gives  $j^*(M/zM) = (j^*M)/(j^*zM) = (j^*M)/(z_I j^*M)$ . Thus we have that  $(j^*M/j^*zM) = j^*\Pi^\bullet \otimes_{\Pi_0} W = e_I \Pi^\bullet \otimes_{\Pi_0} W$ .

In the other direction, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $W$ -framed sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ , represented by a finitely-generated module  $N$ , thus we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow z_I N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/z_I N = e_I \Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $j_!$ , we obtain

$$j_!(z_I N) \xrightarrow{a} j_! N \rightarrow j_!(N/z_I N) = \Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} e_I \Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W \rightarrow 0.$$

We first show that  $a$  is injective: We have  $j_!(z_I N) = \Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} z_I N = z \Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} N = z j_!(N)$ , which is clearly a submodule of  $j_!(N) = \Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} N$ . Furthermore, note that  $\Pi^\bullet e_I \otimes_{\Pi_I^\bullet} e_I \Pi = \Pi e_I \Pi$ . This is a subalgebra of  $\Pi$ . Consider the length filtration on  $\Pi$ : By Proposition 3.10, there is an integer  $p$  such that any element of length  $> p$  can be represented by a path passing through a vertex in  $I$ . But the space of elements of length  $\leq p$  is finite-dimensional. Thus  $\Pi^\bullet e_I \Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W$  and  $\Pi \otimes_{\Pi_0} W$  represent the same element of  $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^1)$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**5.2. Torsion-free inverse images.** As mentioned, the functor  $\tau^*$  may not preserve torsion-freeness. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} K_k)$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} L_k)$  be subsheaves of  $\mathcal{G} = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} G_k) \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ , and assume that  $c^* \mathcal{K} = c^* \mathcal{L} = 0$ . On the level of modules, this means that there is a  $k' \geq 0$  such that for all  $k \geq k'$  we have

- (1)  $K_k \subset G_k$ ,  $L_k \subset G_k$ , and
- (2)  $zK_k = K_{k+1}$ ,  $zL_k = L_{k+1}$ .

We can then set  $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L} = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq k'} K_k + L_k)$ , where the sums are taken as submodules of  $G_k$ . It is then clear that  $z(K_k + L_k) = K_{k+1} + L_{k+1}$  for all  $k \geq k'$ , and so  $c^*(\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{L}) = 0$ . It follows that there is a unique maximal subsheaf  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$  such that  $c^* \mathcal{T} = 0$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W)$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free. Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be the maximal subsheaf of  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}$  such that  $c^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ , and set

$$\tau^T(\mathcal{F}) := \tau^* \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

We call  $\tau^T \mathcal{F}$  the *torsion-free inverse image* of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The reason for the name is provided by the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(W)$ . Then  $\tau^T \mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to the image of  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}$  in  $(\tau^* \mathcal{F})^{\vee\vee}$ , and  $\tau^T \mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the kernel of the morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ ; we show that  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{K}$ . First, consider the exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee},$$

and use the equivalence of categories Lemma 3.3 to interpret this as a ( $\Gamma$ -equivariant) exact sequence of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . There is then an open subscheme  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  on which  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}$  is locally free, and by arguing as in [13, Lemma 4.4],  $U$  must contain  $\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^1$ . Then restrict (5.1) to  $U$ . Because  $(\tau^* \mathcal{F})|_U$  is locally free, it follows that  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} (\tau^* \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee})|_U$ , and so  $\mathcal{K}|_U = 0$ , so  $c^* \mathcal{K} = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

For the other inclusion, note that by [2, Proposition 2.0.4(5)],  $\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$  is locally free, and by [2, Proposition 3.3.9(10)]  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is *Artin*. It follows from [2, Proposition 2.0.9(1)] that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}^2(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}(3), \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$ , which by Serre duality gives  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) = 0$ . But then  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ , so we have  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{K}$ .

Finally,  $\tau^T \mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free because it is a subsheaf of the locally free sheaf  $\tau^* \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2(W)$  be torsion-free. Then  $\tau^T \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2(W)$ , and  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \tau^T \mathcal{F}(k)) = H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \tau^* \mathcal{F}(k))$  for all  $k$ .*

*Proof.* For the first, we know from the proof of the previous Lemma that there is an isomorphism  $c^* \tau^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} c^*(\tau^* \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee})$ , and so we must also have  $c^* \tau^T \mathcal{F} \cong c^* \tau^* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma} \otimes_{\Pi_0} W$ .

As for the claim about cohomology, choose any  $k$  and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k) \rightarrow \tau^* \mathcal{F}(k) \rightarrow \tau^T \mathcal{F}(k) \rightarrow 0,$$

giving the long exact sequence

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \tau^* \mathcal{F}(k)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \tau^T \mathcal{F}(k)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)).$$

By [2, Proposition 3.3.9(10), Definition 2.0.8],  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)) = H^2(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2, \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}(k)) = 0$ , giving the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2(W)$ , be torsion-free. Then  $\tau_* \tau^T \mathcal{F} = \tau_* \tau^* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Apply the exact functor  $\tau_*$  to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \tau^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau^T \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

giving

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \tau_* \tau^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau_* \tau^T \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $\tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a subsheaf of the torsion-free sheaf  $\tau_* \tau^* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ , so  $\tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is torsion-free. So then there is an embedding  $\tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , with  $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$  locally free, i.e.,  $\text{Hom}(\tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{G}) \neq 0$ . Then apply Lemma 4.7(5), to find  $\text{Hom}(\tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}(\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}, \tau^* \mathcal{G})$ . However, because  $c^*(\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$  (by Proposition 5.3),  $\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is again a Artin sheaf. Furthermore,  $\tau^* \mathcal{G}$  is locally free by Lemma 4.15. As in the proof of Lemma 5.5, we then have by Serre duality

$$\text{Hom}(\tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}, \tau^* \mathcal{G}) = \text{Ext}^2(\tau^* \mathcal{G}(3), \tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}}),$$

which by [2, Proposition 2.0.9(1)] is zero. This is a contradiction, thus  $\tau_* \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$  and  $\tau_* \tau^T \mathcal{F} = \tau_* \tau^* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** *Any torsion-free sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$  is  $z$ -torsion-free.*

*Proof.* For  $I = Q_0$ , this is [2, Lemma 3.3.13]. For an arbitrary  $I$  and a torsion-free  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$ , we simply note that  $\tau^T \mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free, and so  $z$ -torsion free by the result just mentioned. Applying the exact functor  $\tau_*$  to the monomorphism  $(z \cdot): \tau^T \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \tau^T \mathcal{F}(1)$  we obtain a monomorphism  $(z_I \cdot): \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_\Gamma^2)$  be torsion-free, and assume that  $c^* \mathcal{F} = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By [2, Proposition 3.3.9(10) and Proposition 2.0.9(4)],  $\tau^T(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ . But then  $\mathcal{F} = \tau_*\tau^T\mathcal{F} = 0$ .  $\square$

**5.3. The bijection to quiver varieties.** In this section we define certain sets of (isomorphism classes of) framed sheaves on the spaces  $\mathbb{P}_I^2$ , and show that they carry a canonical bijection to certain Nakajima quiver varieties.

**Definition 5.10.** Choose a finite-dimensional  $\Pi_{I,0}^\bullet$ -module  $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ , and a finite-dimensional  $\Pi_0^\bullet$ -module  $W = \bigoplus_{i \in I} W_i$ . Let  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of  $W$ -framed sheaves  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free, and  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{F}(-1)) = V$ .

We say that  $V$  is *sufficient (for  $I$ )* if, for every  $i \notin I$ , we have  $2 \dim V_i \geq \sum_{i \text{ adjacent to } j} \dim V_j$ . Here,  $i$  adjacent to  $j$  means that there is a length-1 path in  $Q$  between  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Lemma 5.11.** Fix a  $\Pi_0$ -module  $W$ , and assume that  $W_i = 0$  for all  $i \notin I$ . Assume that  $M$  is a  $\theta_I$ -stable  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module, with  $\dim e_\infty M = 1$ . Then  $\dim M = (1, v)$ , where  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$  is such that every sufficient  $\Pi_0$ -module  $V$  with  $\dim_i V = v_i$  for every  $i \in I$  satisfies  $\dim V \geq v$ .

*Proof.* This is proved exactly as for [7, Lemma A.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.12.** Assume that  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $\Pi_{I,0}$ -module, and assume that  $U, U'$  are finite-dimensional sufficient  $\Pi_0$ -modules such that  $U|_I \cong U'|_I \cong V$ . Then there is a canonical bijection  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U', W)(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$  be a  $\theta_I$ -polystable  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module of dimension vector  $(1, \dim U)$ , with every  $M_\alpha$   $\theta_I$ -stable, representing a point of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)(\mathbb{C})$ . Then there is a unique  $\alpha$  (say  $\alpha = a$ ) such that  $\dim e_\infty M_a = 1$ . Because  $M_a$  is  $\theta_I$ -stable, every other  $M_\alpha$  is (see [7, Remark 4.7]) a vertex simple module. Furthermore, by Lemma 5.11,  $\dim M_a \leq \hat{v}$ , where  $\hat{v}$  is the dimension of the smallest sufficient  $\Pi_0$ -module  $\hat{V}$  satisfying  $\dim e_i \hat{V} = \dim e_i V$  for all  $i \in I$ . We can then build a  $\theta_I$ -polystable module of dimension  $(1, \dim U')$  as  $M_a \bigoplus_{i \notin I} \bigoplus S_i^{\dim_i U' - \dim_i M_a}$ . This construction can clearly be inverted, and so we have  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U', W)(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.13.* We are confident that this Lemma can be upgraded to an isomorphism of schemes, but we will not need that here.

The following result provides our path from  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2$  to  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -modules.

**Proposition 5.14.** Let  $V, W$  be finite-dimensional  $\Pi_0$ -modules. Then there is a canonical bijection

$$\mu: \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}}\mathbb{P}^2(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}_\theta(V, W).$$

Furthermore, this bijection is functorial, in the sense that if  $V \subset V'$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}}\mathbb{P}^2(V, W)$  and  $\mathcal{F}' \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}}\mathbb{P}^2(V', W)$ , with a morphism  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$  of framed sheaves, then there is a morphism of  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -modules  $\mu(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mu(\mathcal{F}')$ .

*Proof.* The first is [27, Theorem 1], combined with Lemma 3.3. The functoriality is not shown directly in [27], but it follows straightforwardly from the construction in *ibid.*  $\square$

**Theorem 5.15.** Choose finite-dimensional  $\Pi_{I,0}$ -modules  $V, W$ , and let  $V'$  be a sufficient  $\Pi_0^\bullet$ -module such that  $V'|_I = V$ . Consider  $W$  as a  $\Pi_0$ -module where  $W_i = 0$  for any  $i \notin I$ .

There is a canonical bijection  $\mu: \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(V', W)(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$ . Then, by Proposition 5.3, Lemma 4.10, and Lemma 5.6,  $\tau^T \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(U, W)$  for some  $U$  such that  $U|_I = V$ . Then, by Proposition 5.14  $\tau^T \mathcal{F}$  corresponds to a closed point  $y(\mathcal{F})$  of the Nakajima quiver variety  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(U, W)$ , and  $y(\mathcal{F})$  maps to a point  $g(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)$ . Let us show that this map is injective: Assume that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$  both map to  $g(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $y(\mathcal{F}) \neq y(\mathcal{G})$ , then  $y(\mathcal{F}), y(\mathcal{G})$  are  $\theta$ -stable points that are  $S$ -equivalent with respect to  $\theta_I$ . The polystable representative  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$  of this  $S$ -equivalence class will have ([7, Remark 4.7]) a unique summand  $M_\alpha$  such that  $\dim e_\infty M_\alpha \neq 0$ , let us call it  $M_a$ . Then  $M_a$  will be  $\theta$ -stable, and so corresponds to a  $\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(\hat{V}, W)$ -element  $\mathcal{H}$  for some  $\hat{V}$  such that  $\hat{V}|_I = V$ . Furthermore, it follows by Proposition 5.14 that there is an morphism  $t: \tau^T \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . The kernel  $\ker t$  must satisfy  $c^* \ker t = 0$ , so by Lemma 5.9,  $\ker t = 0$ . So  $t$  is injective.

Note, then (because  $c^* \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^1} \otimes W = c^* \tau^T \mathcal{F}$ ) that  $\mathcal{K} := \mathrm{coker} t$  also has a zero-dimensional framing. It follows from [2, Proposition 3.3.9(10)] that  $\mathcal{K}$  is Artin, and  $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}(-1)$ . Now construct the long exact sequence of Ext-functors from the short exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tau^T \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$$

to obtain

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{H}(-1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \tau^T \mathcal{F}(-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{H}(-1)).$$

It follows from [2, Lemma 4.2.12] that  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{H}(-1)) = 0$ . Because  $M_a \in \mathfrak{M}_\theta(\hat{V}, W)$ , it also follows that  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{F}(-1))|_I = H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{H}(-1))_I$ .

Now, seeing as  $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{K}(k)) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}^0(\mathcal{K})$ , applying  $\tau_*$  to (5.2), we find that  $\tau_* \mathcal{K} = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto g(\mathcal{F})$  is injective. By Lemma 5.12, Then  $g(\mathcal{F})$  then defines a unique point of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)$ . This defines a map of sets  $g: \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)$ .

Let us now note that  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(U, W)$  is nonempty. The stability parameter  $\theta$  lies in the interior of a chamber by [4, Lemma 4.1], thus by [23, Section 2.5] (c.f. [22, Theorem 4.1]), the morphism  $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(U, W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)$  is surjective.

We now construct the inverse map to  $g$ . So let  $x \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)$  be a point, and choose a lift of  $x$  to a point  $\tilde{x} \in \mathfrak{M}_\theta(U, W)$ . This point corresponds uniquely (again by Proposition 5.14) to a framed sheaf  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{x}) \in \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(U, W)$ , and we have  $\tau_* \mathcal{G}(\tilde{x}) \in \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$ . Let us first show the the map  $x \mapsto \tau_* \mathcal{G}(\tilde{x})$  is well-defined: Let  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_\theta(U, W)$  be two different lifts of  $x$ , corresponding to framed sheaves  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ . The  $\theta$ -stable modules respectively corresponding to  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2$  are then  $\theta_I$ -equivalent, and the polystable element of the equivalence class again has a unique direct summand  $M_a$  such that  $\dim e_\infty M_a = 1$ . Just as before,  $M_a$  then corresponds to framed sheaf  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V', W)$  for some  $V'$  with  $V|_I = V'|_I$ , together with injective morphisms  $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ . But as above, we find that  $\tau_* \mathcal{H} = \tau_* \mathcal{G}_1 = \tau_* \mathcal{G}_2$ , therefore the map  $f: x \mapsto \tau_* \mathcal{G}(\tilde{x})$  is well-defined.

Now we show that  $f$  is injective: Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(U, W)$ , lifting to respectively  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_\theta(U, W)$  and assume that  $\tau_* \mathcal{G}(x_1) = \tau_* \mathcal{G}(x_2)$ . By adjunction, we then have a morphism  $\psi: \tau^* \tau_* \mathcal{G}(x_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(x_2)$ , which factors (because  $\mathcal{G}(x_2)$  is torsion-free) through  $\tau^T \tau_* \mathcal{G}(x_1)$ , and  $\tau^T \tau_* \mathcal{G}(x_1)$  is by Lemma 4.10 an element of  $\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathrm{fr}}\mathbb{P}_I^2(V', W)$  for some  $V'$  with  $V|_I = V'|_I$ . Let  $y'$  be the  $\theta$ -stable  $\Pi^{\mathbf{w}}$ -module corresponding to  $\tau^T \tau_* \mathcal{G}(x_1)$ , there are then induced morphisms  $y' \rightarrow y_1$  and  $y' \rightarrow y_2$ . These morphisms must be surjective, because  $\dim y_1, \dim y_2$  are both smaller than  $\dim y'$  and  $y_1, y_2$  are stable. But this implies that the  $\theta_I$ -polystable module for  $y'$  contains

the polystable modules for  $y_1, y_2$  as direct summands, and so there must be an  $S$ -equivalence (with respect to  $\theta_I$ ) between any  $\theta_I$ -semistable modules corresponding to  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Then  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  must also represent the same  $S$ -equivalence class, and so they are the same point.

It is clear that  $f(g(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}$  for any  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$ , and  $g(f(x)) = x$  for any  $x \in \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(U, W)$ . Finally, by Lemma 5.12, we have now constructed a bijection  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_0}(V', W)(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**5.4. Factoring morphisms.** Consider now the setting of having two sets of representations  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset Q_0$ . Let us write  $j_{1!}, j_{1!}^*, \tau_{1*}, \tau_{1!}^*, \tau_1^T$  for the functors  $j_!, j^*, \tau_*, \tau^*, \tau^T$  defined with respect to  $I_1$ , similarly for the functors defined with respect to  $I_2$ . Let us define 'partial' versions of  $\tau_*, \tau^T$ : Set  $j_{1,2!}: \Pi_{I_1}^\bullet\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi_{I_2}^\bullet\text{-mod}$  be the functor given by  $j_{1,2!}(M) := e_{I_2} \Pi^\bullet e_{I_1} \otimes_{\Pi_{I_1}^\bullet} M$ . Similarly, define  $j_{1,2}^*: \Pi_{I_2}^\bullet\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi_{I_1}^\bullet\text{-mod}$  by  $j_{1,2}^*(N) := e_{I_1} \Pi^\bullet e_{I_2} \otimes_{\Pi_{I_2}^\bullet} N$ .

**Corollary 5.16.** *The functors  $j_{1!}, j_{1!}^*$  factor as  $j_{1!} = j_{2!} \circ j_{1,2!}$ , respectively  $j_{1!}^* = j_{1,2}^* \circ j_2^*$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious from the definition.  $\square$

Because of this factorisation, it is clear that  $j_{1,2!}, j_{1,2}^*$  take finite-dimensional modules to finite-dimensional modules. We then have:

**Corollary 5.17.** *The functors  $j_{1,2!}, j_{1,2}^*$  induce functors  $\tau_{1,2}^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{I_1}^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{I_2}^2)$ ,  $\tau_{1,2*}: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{I_2}^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{I_1}^2)$ , and we have factorisations*

$$\tau_{1*} = \tau_{1,2*} \circ \tau_{2*}, \quad \tau_{1!}^* = \tau_{2!}^* \circ \tau_{1,2}^*.$$

Furthermore, define  $\tau_{1,2}^T: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{I_1}^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_{I_2}^2)$  by setting  $\tau_{1,2}^T(\mathcal{F})$  to be the quotient of  $\tau_{1,2}^*(\mathcal{F})$  by its maximal subsheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $c^* \mathcal{S} = 0$ . Then there are factorisations

$$\tau_1^T = \tau_{2!}^T \circ \tau_{1,2}^*, \quad \tau_1^T = \tau_{2!}^T \circ \tau_{1,2}^T.$$

*Proof.* Also clear, using Proposition 5.3.  $\square$

The point of introducing these functors is to pose the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 5.18.** *For every  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset Q_0$ , let  $\theta_{1,2}$  be the morphism  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_2}(V, W) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_1}(V, W)$  induced by variation of GIT parameter  $\theta_{I_2} \rightsquigarrow \theta_{I_1}$ . Assume that  $V$  is sufficient for  $I_1$  and so also for  $I_2$ . Let  $f_{1,2}$  be the map of sets  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_{I_2}^2(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_{I_1}^2(V, W)$  induced by the functor  $\tau_{1,2*}$ , and let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be the bijections given by Theorem 5.15, for  $I_1$ , respectively  $I_2$ . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_2}(V, W)(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_{I_2}^2(V, W) \\ \downarrow \theta_{1,2} & & \downarrow f_{1,2} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\theta_1}(V, W)(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_{I_1}^2(V, W) \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

The conjecture holds when the rank of  $W$  is 1 by [7, Lemma 5.1], using [10, Corollary 6.6]. One possible approach to show the overall statement might be a generalisation of the Beilinson spectral sequence [2, Section 4] to the setting of  $\Pi^\bullet$ -modules.

## 6. CONNECTIONS TO PREVIOUS RESULTS

In this section we show that Theorem 5.15 generalises previous results on quiver varieties - at least on the level of bijections of closed points.

**6.1. Equivariant Quot schemes.** Recall that we have defined the two dimension vectors  $\delta = n(\dim \rho_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ ,  $\bar{1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ . Then [10, Corollary 6.7] (which strengthens and corrects results of [8]) shows that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(n\delta, \bar{1})$  is isomorphic to an *equivariant Quot scheme*  $\text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$ . This space is (see [8, Section 3.2] for the definition) the moduli space of isomorphism classes of  $\Pi_I$ -module quotients  $e_I \Pi e_0 \rightarrow Q$ , such that  $\dim e_i Q = n\delta_i$ .

We will show that this description agrees, on the level of closed points, with that from Theorem 5.15.

To start, we define an 'open restriction' functor  $r^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \Pi_I\text{-mod}$ , constructed very similarly to [2, Section 5.1]. Let  $M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k$  be a graded  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module, then we can define  $\hat{r}^* M = M/(z_I - e_I)M$ , which obtains the structure of a  $\Pi_I^\bullet / ((z_I - e_I)\Pi_I^\bullet) = \Pi_I$ -module. We then have

$$\Pi_I = \hat{r}_* \Pi_I^\bullet = \varinjlim \Pi_{I,k}^\bullet,$$

where the direct limit is taken with respect to the injective morphisms  $(z_I \cdot): \Pi_{I,k}^\bullet \rightarrow \Pi_{I,k+1}^\bullet$ . This allows us to rewrite  $\hat{r}^*$  as

$$\hat{r}^*: M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k \mapsto \varinjlim M_k,$$

again with the limit taken over the morphisms  $(z_I \cdot): M_k \rightarrow M_{k+1}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *The functor  $\hat{r}^*: \Pi_I^\bullet\text{-mod} \rightarrow \Pi_I\text{-mod}$  factors through  $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ . Write  $r^*: \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2) \rightarrow \Pi_I\text{-mod}$  for the induced functor. Then  $r^*$  is exact.*

*Proof.* This is precisely the proof of [2, Lemma 5.1.2], with  $\Pi_I^\bullet, \Pi_I$  in place of  $A^\tau$ , respectively  $\mathcal{B}^\tau$  of *loc.cit.*  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_I^2)$ , with  $c^* \mathcal{Q} = 0$ , and a surjective morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ . There is a  $\Pi_I$ -module  $Q'$  such that  $\mathcal{Q} = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} z_I^k Q')$ , and  $\dim r^* \mathcal{Q} = \dim Q'$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a graded  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module  $Q = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} Q_k$  such that  $\pi(Q) = \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\psi: \Pi_I^\bullet e_0 \rightarrow Q$  is surjective. (This can always be done: if  $\psi: \Pi_I^\bullet e_0 \rightarrow Q$  has a finite-dimensional cokernel, replace  $Q$  by  $\text{im } \psi$ . Then  $\pi(\text{im } \psi) = \pi(Q) = \mathcal{Q}$ .)

Now  $c^* \mathcal{Q} = 0$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{Q} = z_I \mathcal{Q}$ , which is equivalent to there being an  $m > 0$  such that  $z Q_k = Q_{k+1}$  for all  $k \geq m$ . Then  $\pi(Q) = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq m} Q_k)$ , and so we can assume that  $z_I^k Q_0 = Q_k$ , and we can take  $Q' = Q_0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.3.* It need not be true that  $r^* \mathcal{Q} = Q'$ , because the actions of the elements of  $\Pi_I^\bullet$  not contained in  $\{z_i\}_{i \in I}$  on  $\bigoplus_{k \geq m} Q_k$  are not necessarily zero.

In [10, Corollary 6.7], it is shown that there is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_I}(n\delta, \bar{1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$ , where the latter space is the moduli space of quotients  $e_I \Pi e_0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  of  $\Pi_I$ -modules  $Z$  such that  $\dim e_i Z = n_i$ . We now show that the bijection on closed points induced by this isomorphism agrees with that shown in Theorem 5.15.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $V$  be a  $\Pi_0$ -module of dimension  $n\delta$ ,  $W$  a  $\Pi_0$ -module of dimension  $\bar{1}$ . Then there is a canonical bijection  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W) \cong \text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_I^2(V, W)$ . There is thus an isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}: c^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi(e_I \Pi e_0)$ , and we have  $\dim e_i H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{F}(-1)) = n \dim \rho_i$ . Let  $M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k$  be a graded  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -module such that  $\pi(M) = \mathcal{F}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}$  is also  $z_I$ -torsion free by Lemma 5.8. On the level of modules, the isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  combined with the  $z_I$ -torsion-freeness means that there is a  $k' > 0$  such that

- (1)  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  induces an isomorphism  $\iota: \bigoplus_{k \geq k'} M_{k+1}/z_I M_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{k \geq k'} (e_I \Pi e_0)_k$
- (2)  $z_I: M_k \rightarrow M_{k+1}$  is injective for all  $k \geq k'$ .

Because  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{k'} M_k$  is finite-dimensional,  $\pi(M) = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq k'} M_k)$ . Thus we can replace  $M$  by  $\bigoplus_{k \geq k'} M_k$ .

We then use the injective endomorphism  $(z_I \cdot): M \rightarrow M$  to construct a *different* grading on  $M$ , which we will call the  $z$ -grading. For this grading, set  $M_{0_z} := M \setminus z_I M$ ,  $M_{k_z} := \{0\} \cup (z_I^k M \setminus z_I^{k+1} M)$ . Then  $M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_{k_z}$ . It follows that, as  $\Pi$ -modules, we have

$$M_{0_z} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_{k+1}/z_I M_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} ((e_I \Pi e_0)(k'))_k.$$

We can then construct an injective morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi(e_I \Pi^\bullet e_0)$  by building a module homomorphism

$$t: M \rightarrow e_I \Pi^\bullet e_0$$

as follows: Let  $m \in M$ . If  $m = 0$  set  $t(m) = 0$ . Otherwise, it is enough to define  $t$  for elements homogeneous with respect to the  $z$ -grading. So take  $m \in M_{k_z}$  for some  $k$ . Thus we can write  $m = z_I^k m'$  with  $m' \in M_{0_z}$ . We then set  $t(m) = z_I^k \iota(m')$ , viewing  $e_I \Pi^\bullet e_0$  as  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (z_I^k e_I \Pi e_0)$ . It is easily seen that  $t$  is a morphism of  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -modules.

Finally, we must show that  $t$  is injective, it is again enough to check for  $z$ -homogeneous elements. So let  $m \in M_{k_z}$ , and suppose that  $t(m) = t(z_I^k m') = 0$ . Then  $0 = t(z_I^k m') = z_I^k t(m') = z_I^k \iota(m')$ , so since  $e_I \Pi^\bullet e_0$  is  $z$ -torsion-free,  $\iota(m') = 0$ . But  $\iota$  is injective, so  $m' = 0$ , and  $t$  is injective.

We thus have an injective sheaf morphism  $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0$ , and we can make a short exact sequence

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Restricting to  $\mathbb{P}_I^1$ , we find  $c^* \mathcal{Q} = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{Q} = z_I \mathcal{Q}$ .

Let  $Q = r^* \mathcal{Q}$ , by Lemma 6.2 we can assume that  $\mathcal{Q} = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} z_I^k Q')$  with  $\dim Q = \dim Q'$ .

Applying  $r^*$  to (6.1), we find that  $Q$  is a quotient of  $r^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0 = \hat{r}^*(e_I \Pi^\bullet e_0) = e_I \Pi e_0$ . Now twist (6.1) by  $(-1)$  and take the following piece of the long exact cohomology sequence:

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0(-1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{Q}(-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{F}(-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0(-1)).$$

Since  $H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0(-1)) \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-1)) = 0$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2} e_0(-1)) \subset H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_I^2}(-1)) = 0$  by Lemma 4.12, we have

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{Q}(-1)) = H^1(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{F}(-1)) = V.$$

But now we can apply that

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{Q}(-1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}_I^2, \mathcal{Q}) = \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I^\bullet}(\bigoplus z_I^k \Pi_I, \bigoplus z_I^k Q') = \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I}(\Pi_I, Q')$$

and so we find that  $\dim V_i = \dim e_i V = \dim e_i \text{Hom}_{\Pi_I}(\Pi_I, Q') = \dim e_i Q' = \dim e_i Q$ . This proves one direction of the required bijection.



For the other, let  $Q \in \text{Quot}_I^{n_I}([\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma])$ . Thus  $Q$  is (up to isomorphism) a  $\Pi_I$ -module such that  $Q$  is a quotient of  $e_I \Pi e_0$ , and  $\dim e_i Q = n \delta_i$ . and let  $Q = \pi(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} z_I^k Q')$ , where  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} z_I^k Q'$  has the induced  $\Pi_I^\bullet$ -structure. By construction, we have a surjection  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma} e_0 \rightarrow Q$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the kernel of this morphism. Using the same argument as in the previous paragraph in reverse, we then find that  $\dim_i H^1(\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma, \mathcal{F}) = \dim e_i Q'$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**6.2. Framed sheaves on a compactification.** There is ([13]) a projective two-dimensional Deligne-Mumford stack  $\mathcal{X}$  with a stacky divisor  $d_\infty$ , containing  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  as an open substack, we repeat the definition in Definition A.1.

**Definition 6.5.** A *framed sheaf of rank  $r$*  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$  of a torsion-free coherent sheaf of rank  $r$   $\mathcal{F}$ , and an isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F}|_{d_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{d_\infty}^{\oplus r}$ .

A morphism  $f: (\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \phi_{\mathcal{G}})$  of framed sheaves of rank  $r$  consists of a morphism of sheaves  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathcal{G}} \circ f = \phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

We define a framed sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$  by changing  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$  in the definition above, and changing  $d_\infty$  for  $r_\infty := \{z = 0\}/\Gamma$ .

We shall often suppress  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  from notation, and simply write  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$ .

Now set  $\mathbf{w} = (r, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The main result of [13] is that  $\mathfrak{M}_{\theta_{\{0\}}}(n\delta, \mathbf{w})$  parametrises the set  $X_{r,n}$  of isomorphism classes of framed torsion-free sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  of rank  $r$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that  $\dim H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{d_\infty}) \cong n\delta$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_{d_\infty}$  is the ideal sheaf of  $d_\infty$ .

We will show in Appendix A that this stack is actually unnecessary, and it is enough to use its coarse moduli scheme  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$  in place of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $r_\infty$  in place of  $d_\infty$ . We now show that our description from Theorem 5.15 also generalises this.

**Corollary 6.6.** *The set  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_0^2(n\delta, \mathbf{w})(\mathbb{C})$  has a canonical bijection to the set  $X_{r,n}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume Proposition A.3. We thus wish to show that a  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}_{\{0\}}^2(n\delta, \mathbf{w})$  corresponds to an isomorphism class of framed sheaves on the (commutative!) scheme  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ . By Proposition 4.4, we thus have  $\mathbb{P}_{\{0\}}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ , and so  $\mathcal{F}$  can be identified with a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ . The framing isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}: e^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi((\Pi e_0)^{\oplus r})$  induces, by Lemma 3.3, an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}|_{r_\infty}$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{r_\infty}^{\oplus r}$ .

It remains to show that the notions of torsion-free sheaf and sheaf cohomology on  $\mathbb{P}_{\{0\}}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$  agree, but this is straightforward.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. A COMPARISON WITH A PROJECTIVE STACK COMPACTIFYING $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$

We start by giving an overview of some constructions from [13]. Consider the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ , and note that it is free on the locus  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\{o\} \cup L)$ , where  $L = \{z = 0\}, o = (0 : 0 : 1)$ . Thus the quotient stack  $[(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\{o\} \cup L))/\Gamma]$  is represented by the scheme  $(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\{o\} \cup L))/\Gamma$ .

**Definition A.1** ([13, Definition 3.1]). We set

$$\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{o\}/\Gamma] \cup_{[(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (L \cup \{o\}))/\Gamma]} \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma.$$

Then we can show (see *ibid.*) that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a projective Deligne-Mumford stack, with a unique singular point, containing  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  as an open substack, the complement of which is a closed substack  $d_\infty$  isomorphic to  $[L/\Gamma]$ . The coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ , and we set  $r_\infty$  to be the scheme-theoretic image of  $d_\infty$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ . We can build the following commutative diagram of stacks and morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\
 \downarrow \pi|_L & & \downarrow \pi \\
 d_\infty & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\
 \downarrow k|_{d_\infty} & & \downarrow k \\
 r_\infty & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \\
 \\
 q \\
 \\
 \end{array}$$

There are functors  $D: \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $\pi^T: \text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2)$ . We also have that  $q_*(-)^\Gamma = k_* \circ D: \text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma)$ . Here  $\text{Coh}_\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is the category of  $\Gamma$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

We will need the following result of Mumford.

**Proposition A.2.** [21, p. 111] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group acting on a scheme  $X$ , such that the orbit of every closed point is contained in an open affine subscheme. Then there is a finite  $\Gamma$ -invariant morphism  $q: X \rightarrow X/\Gamma$  which is a categorical quotient, i.e. it has the universal property that any  $\Gamma$ -invariant morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  of schemes factors uniquely through  $X/\Gamma$ . The structure sheaf of  $X/\Gamma$  satisfies*

$$\mathcal{O}_{X/\Gamma}(U) = (\mathcal{O}_X(U))^\Gamma$$

for any open  $U \subset X/\Gamma$ , i.e.  $q_*(-)^\Gamma$  takes  $\mathcal{O}_X$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{X/\Gamma}$ .

The goal of this Appendix is to prove the following statement.

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathcal{X}(V, W)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of  $W$ -framed sheaves  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  with  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F}|_{d_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d_\infty}$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{d_\infty}) \cong V$ . There is then a canonical bijection of sets  $\text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathcal{X}(V, W) \cong \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathbb{P}^2(V, W)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}^{\text{fr}} \mathcal{X}(V, W)$ . Because  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$  is the coarse moduli space of  $\mathcal{X}$ , it holds that  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{d_\infty}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{r_\infty})$ , and that  $k_*k^T$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ .

So the only thing we need to show is the following: Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$  be a framed sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}$  of rank  $m$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a framing morphism on  $k_*\mathcal{F}$ , i.e. an isomorphism  $k_*\mathcal{F}|_{r_\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus m}$ .

In order to do this, we will first show that  $k_*\mathcal{F}$  is locally free in some neighbourhood of  $r_\infty$ . Since we only care about neighbourhoods of the framing divisors  $d_\infty \subset \mathcal{X}, r_\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^2/\Gamma$ , we can without loss of generality restrict to  $[(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{o\})/\Gamma] \subset \mathcal{X}$ . So then we can take  $\mathcal{F}$  to be a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant framed sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{o\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent  $\Gamma$ -equivariant torsion-free sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of rank  $m$ , and  $\phi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}|_L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus m}$ .

So the diagram we care about is (with a slight abuse of notation)

$$\text{(A.1)} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{o\} \\
 q|_L \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
 L/\Gamma & \xrightarrow{j} & (\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{o\})/\Gamma
 \end{array} .$$

Let us start with showing that  $q_*(\mathcal{F})^\Gamma$  is locally free on some neighbourhood of  $L/\Gamma$ .

It is shown in [13, lemma 4.11] that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free in a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $L$ . First, let us replace  $U$  by its intersection with all its  $\Gamma$ -translates, this is simply done to make  $U$   $\Gamma$ -invariant. Let  $p$  be a point in  $L$ . Then we can find an open  $V_p$  lying inside  $U$ , and containing the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $p$ , such that  $V_p$  trivialises  $\mathcal{F}$ . (For instance, note that  $U$  must be all of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  except some finite collection of points. Choose a curve  $C$  passing through all these points with  $p \notin C$ , and set  $V_p$  to be  $U \setminus C$ , then  $V_p$  is affine, and thus must trivialise  $\mathcal{F}$ .) Then we can set  $W_p$  to be the intersection of  $V_p$  with all its  $\Gamma$ -translates, especially the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $p$  lies in  $W_p$ . Now  $W_p$  trivialises  $\mathcal{F}$  as a locally free sheaf, but we must show it trivialises  $\mathcal{F}$  as an *equivariant* locally free sheaf. To do this, note that because  $W_p$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and connected, and  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, we must have a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}|_{W_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus \rho_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{W_p}^{m_i}$  for some integers  $m_i$  with  $\sum (m_i \dim \rho_i) = m$ . But restricting to  $W_p \cap L$ , this isomorphism becomes

$$\phi: \mathcal{O}_{W_p \cup L}^m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}|_{W_p \cap L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus \rho_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{W_p \cap L}^{m_i},$$

thus  $m_0 = m$ , and all the other  $m_i$ s vanish.

Construct such a  $W_p$  for every  $p$  in  $L$ , and set  $W := \bigcup_{p \in L} W_p$ . (By noetherianness, it is enough to use a finite number of the  $W_p$ , but we won't need that.) Then  $W$  is an open neighbourhood of  $L$  on which  $\mathcal{F}$  is *equivariantly* locally free.

Then  $W/\Gamma$  is an open neighbourhood of  $r_\infty$ , and it is covered by the  $W_p/\Gamma$ . Now, because we have an isomorphism  $t: \mathcal{F}|_{W_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{W_p}^m$ , it follows by Proposition A.2 that there is an isomorphism

$$t^\Gamma: q_*(\mathcal{F})^\Gamma|_{W_p/\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{W_p/\Gamma}^m,$$

so  $q_*(\mathcal{F})^\Gamma$  is locally free on  $W/\Gamma$ . We have now shown that  $q_*(\mathcal{F})^\Gamma$  is indeed locally free on some neighbourhood of  $L/\Gamma$ .

We now show the framing, i.e., that  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism  $j^*(q_*(\mathcal{F})^\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{r_\infty}^m$ . Note that we have, in (A.1), a base extension morphism  $(q|_L)_* i^*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow j^* q_* \mathcal{F}$ , or, taking  $\Gamma$ -invariants,  $(q|_L)_*(i^*(\mathcal{F}))^\Gamma \rightarrow (j^* q_* \mathcal{F})^\Gamma = j^*(q_* \mathcal{F})^\Gamma$ , and so  $\phi$  induces a morphism (again, using Proposition A.2 for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $L$ )

$$\psi: \mathcal{O}_{r_\infty}^m \xrightarrow{\phi^\Gamma} (q|_L)_*(i^*(\mathcal{F}))^\Gamma \rightarrow j^*(q_* \mathcal{F})^\Gamma.$$

We will show that  $\psi$  locally is an isomorphism, and thus an isomorphism. Choose an open equivariantly trivialising subscheme  $W_p$  as before, we can then restrict the diagram (A.1) to give (here we are again slightly abusing notation)

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L \cap W_p & \xrightarrow{i} & W_p \\ q|_L \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ (L \cap W_p)/\Gamma & \xrightarrow{j} & W_p/\Gamma \end{array} .$$

But because there is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}|_{W_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{W_p}^m$ , it is easy to see (again using Proposition A.2) that we have  $(q_* \mathcal{F}|_{W_p})^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{W_p/\Gamma}^m$ , and so we have

$$\psi|_{W_p \cap r_\infty}: \mathcal{O}_{L \cap W_p/\Gamma}^m \xrightarrow{\phi^\Gamma} (q|_L)_*(i^*(\mathcal{F}))^\Gamma \rightarrow j^*(q_* \mathcal{F})^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{L \cap W_p/\Gamma}^m.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin and J. J. Zhang. “Noncommutative projective schemes”. In: *Advances in mathematics* 109.2 (1994), pp. 228–287.
- [2] V. Baranovsky, V. Ginzburg, and A. Kuznetsov. “Quiver varieties and a non-commutative P<sup>2</sup>”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 134.3 (2002), pp. 283–318.
- [3] G. Bellamy and A. Craw. “Birational geometry of symplectic quotient singularities”. In: *Invent. Math.* 222.2 (2020), pp. 399–468.
- [4] G. Bellamy, A. Craw, and T. Schedler. *Birational geometry of quiver varieties and other GIT quotients*. 2023. arXiv: 2212.09623 [math.AG].
- [5] G. Bellamy and T. Schedler. “Symplectic resolutions of quiver varieties”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 27.3 (2021), Paper No. 36, 50.
- [6] L. Bertsch, Á. Gyenge, and B. Szendrői. “Euler Characteristics of Affine ADE Nakajima Quiver Varieties”. 2023.
- [7] A. Craw, S. Gammelgaard, Á. Gyenge, and B. Szendrői. “Punctual Hilbert schemes for Kleinian singularities as quiver varieties”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 8.6 (2021), pp. 680–704.
- [8] A. Craw, S. Gammelgaard, Á. Gyenge, and B. Szendrői. “Quot schemes for Kleinian orbifolds”. In: *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 17 (2021), Paper No. 099, 21.
- [9] A. Craw, Y. Ito, and J. Karmazyn. “Multigraded linear series and recollement”. In: *Math. Z.* 289.1-2 (2018), pp. 535–565.
- [10] A. Craw and R. Yamagishi. *The Le Bruyn-Procesi theorem and Hilbert schemes*. 2024. arXiv: 2312.08527 [math.AG].
- [11] W. Crawley-Boevey. “Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers”. In: *Compositio Math.* 126.3 (2001), pp. 257–293.
- [12] S. Gammelgaard. *Moduli spaces of framed sheaves on compactified Kleinian singularities*. 2024. arXiv: 2312.05960 [math.AG].
- [13] S. Gammelgaard. *Quiver Varieties and Framed Sheaves on Compactified Kleinian Singularities*. 2023. arXiv: 2306.09054 [math.AG].
- [14] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*. Vol. 255. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2009.
- [15] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, pp. xvi+496. ISBN: 0-387-90244-9.
- [16] J. Heckman, D. Morrison, and C. Vafa. “On the classification of 6D SCFTs and generalized ADE orbifolds”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2014 (2013), pp. 1–49. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:55437333>.
- [17] A. D. King. “Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras”. In: *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 45.180 (1994), pp. 515–530.
- [18] A. Kuznetsov. “Quiver varieties and Hilbert schemes”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 7.4 (2007), pp. 673–697, 767.
- [19] K. Matsuki. *Introduction to the Mori program*. English. Universitext. New York, NY: Springer, 2002. ISBN: 0-387-98465-8.
- [20] J. McKay. “Graphs, singularities, and finite groups”. In: *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979)*. Vol. 37. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, pp. 183–186.
- [21] D. Mumford. *Abelian varieties*. Vol. 5. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics. With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition. Published for the

- Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008, pp. xii+263.
- [22] H. Nakajima. “Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 76.2 (1994), pp. 365–416.
  - [23] H. Nakajima. “Quiver varieties and branching”. In: *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 5 (2009), Paper 003, 37.
  - [24] H. Nakajima. “Sheaves on ALE spaces and quiver varieties”. In: *Mosc. Math. J.* 7.4 (2007), pp. 699–722, 767.
  - [25] A. Savage and P. Tingley. “Quiver Grassmannians, quiver varieties and the preprojective algebra”. In: *Pacific J. Math.* 251 (2011), pp. 393–429.
  - [26] S. Sierra. “Classifying birationally commutative projective surfaces”. English. In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 103.1 (2011), pp. 139–196. ISSN: 0024-6115. DOI: 10.1112/plms/pdq054.
  - [27] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. “On the  $K$ -theory of the cyclic quiver variety”. In: *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 18 (1999), pp. 1005–1028.
  - [28] A. Yekutieli and J. Zhang. “Serre duality for noncommutative projective schemes”. English. In: *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 125.3 (1997), pp. 697–707. ISSN: 0002-9939. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-97-03782-9.

SCUOLA INTERNAZIONALE SUPERIORE DI STUDI AVANZATI, VIA BONOMEA 265, 34136 TRIESTE, ITALY

*Email address:* `sgammelg@sissa.it`

BUDAPEST UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, MŰEGYETEM RAKPART 3, H-1111, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

*Email address:* `gyenge.adam@ttk.bme.hu`