

A THIRD ORDER DYNAMICAL SYSTEM FOR GENERALIZED MONOTONE EQUATION

PHAM VIET HAI AND PHAN TU VUONG

ABSTRACT. We propose a third order dynamical system for solving a nonlinear equation in Hilbert spaces where the operator is cocoercive with respect to the solutions set. Under mild conditions on the parameters, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the generated trajectories as well as its asymptotic convergence to a solution of the equation. When the operator is strongly monotone with respect to the solutions set, we deliver an exponential convergence rate of e^{-2t} , which is significantly faster than the known results of second order dynamical systems. In particular, for convex optimization problems, the proposed dynamical system provides a fast convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ for the objective values. In addition, we discuss the applications of the proposed dynamical system to several splitting monotone inclusion problems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a continuous operator. We are interested in the following equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Find } x_* \text{ such that } U(x_*) = 0.$$

The symbol $Z(U)$ stands for the zeros set of the operator U , which is assumed to be non empty. The equation (1.1) looks simple, but it is general enough to cover numerous problems in optimization and variational analysis, e.g. the convex optimization problems, variational inequalities, monotone inclusions, fixed point problems, saddle point problems etc. Let us recall some particular examples below:

Optimization problem: Let $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and differentiable function. Then solving the optimization problem

$$(1.2) \quad \min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x)$$

is equivalent to solving problem (1.1) with $U := \nabla f$, where the notation ∇f denotes the gradient of f .

Monotone inclusion: Another important problem is to find a zero of the sum of two monotone operators

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Find } x_* \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } 0 \in A(x_*) + B(x_*),$$

where $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a monotone single-valued operator and $B : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ is a maximal monotone set-valued operator defined on \mathcal{H} . Let I be the identity operator and $J_B := (I - B)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of the operator B . Then the monotone inclusion (1.3) is a particular case of equation (1.1) with

$$U := I - J_{\gamma B}(I - \gamma A),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47J20, 49J40, 90C30, 90C52.

Key words and phrases. Dynamical system; Generalized monotonicity; Splitting inclusions; Convex optimization; Variational inequality; Fast convergence rate.

for some $\gamma > 0$.

Variational inequality: A crucial special case of Problem (1.3) is the following variational inequality (VI) problem

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Find } x_* \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } 0 \in A(x_*) + N_{\Omega}(x_*),$$

where Ω is a nonempty closed convex subset of \mathcal{H} and $N_{\Omega}(x_*)$ is the normal cone of Ω at x_* .

Fixed point problem: Let $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an operator. The fixed point problem:

$$\text{Find } x \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } x = F(x)$$

is equivalent to Problem (1.1) with $U := I - F$.

There are recent emerging research directions which use ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to investigate the solutions set of the optimization problems [3, 7, 8, 22], variational inequalities [13, 17, 25], monotone inclusions [14, 15] and equilibrium problems [24, 26] and many others. We refer the readers to [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 11, 22] and references therein for more examples. It is well understood in the literature that the gradient descent algorithm for solving optimization is a discrete version of the gradient flow (first order ODE), which provides a convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t})$. Meanwhile, the second order ODE, which associates with the Nesterov's algorithm, exhibits a fast convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^2})$. Using ODEs does not provide exclusively a novel insight of Nesterov's scheme, it is one of the productive methods to design algorithms with similar performances.

Motivated by the fast convergence rate of the second order ODE for solving optimization, this approach has been extended extensively to cocoercive equations [11], variational inequalities [25] as well as monotone inclusions [1, 12]. For solving equation (1.1), Boţ and Csetnek proposed a second order ODE where the operator U is cocoercive. They showed the existence and uniqueness of the generated trajectories as well as their weak asymptotic convergence to a zero of the operator U . The application to the monotone inclusion (1.3) and convex optimization was also discussed [11]. In particular, when the sum $A + B$ in (1.3) is strongly monotone, Boţ and Csetnek showed in [12] that the trajectories generated the second order ODE converges exponentially to the unique solution with the convergence rate of e^{-t} .

1.1. Higher order ODEs motivation. While the theory and results for first and second order ODE are well established as reviewed above, the idea of using higher order ODE approach is relatively new. In this paper, we will study the equation (1.1) via a **third order dynamical system**.

Third order dynamical systems for solving the optimization problem (1.2) has been studied recently by Attouch, Chbani and Riahi. In [4], they proposed the following ODE

$$(1.5) \quad x'''(t) + \frac{\alpha}{t}x''(t) + \frac{2\alpha - 6}{t^2}x'(t) + \nabla f(x(t) + tx'(t)) = 0,$$

called (TOGES). These authors first reformulated (1.5) as a second order dynamical system by temporal scaling techniques. Then the convergence analysis was obtained using Lyapunov's energy function which was well developed for second order ODE. More importantly, they showed a convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ in the sense that

$$f(x(t) + tx'(t)) - \inf_{\mathcal{H}} f \leq \frac{C}{t^3}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and obtained the convergence of the trajectories towards optimal solutions of (1.2). However, the convergence rate of $f(x(t))$ in (TOGES) is only $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t})$, which is not fully satisfied in the view of fast optimization. In a subsequent paper [5], the authors provided a modification of (TOGES), called (TOGES-V), as follow

$$(1.6) \quad x'''(t) + \frac{\alpha + 7}{t}x''(t) + \frac{5(\alpha + 1)}{t^2}x'(t) + \nabla f \left(x(t) + \frac{1}{4}tx'(t) \right) = 0,$$

where they obtained finally a fast convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ for $f(x(t)) - \inf_{\mathcal{H}} f$.

Recently, we proposed in [18] the following third order dynamical system for solving monotone inclusion (1.3)

$$(1.7) \quad y'''(t) + \alpha_2 y''(t) + \alpha_1 y'(t) + \alpha_0 (I - J_{\gamma B} (I - \gamma A))(y(t)) = 0,$$

where $\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \gamma$ are positive coefficients. Under suitable choices of these coefficients, we obtained the existence and uniqueness of the generated trajectories. When A and B satisfy a generalized monotone condition and the sum $A + B$ is strongly monotone, we showed that the trajectory $y(t)$ converges exponentially to the unique solution with a fast rate of $e^{-\rho t}$, for some positive ρ which could be chosen so that $\rho > 1$ (see [18, Theorem 3.7]). This rate is significantly faster than the rate e^{-t} obtained by Boç and Csetnek for second order ODE in [12]. We also discussed the fast exponential convergence results for solving variational inequality problem (1.4) where the operator A is strongly pseudo-monotone and Lipschitz continuous [18, Section 5].

1.2. Our contributions. Motivated by the strong evidence of fast convergence results for third order dynamical system, we associate to Problem (1.1) an ODE of the following form

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} x'''(t) + \beta_2(t)x''(t) + \beta_1(t)x'(t) + \beta_0(t)U(\phi_x(t)) = 0, \\ x(t_0) = x_0, x'(t_0) = x_1, x''(t_0) = x_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\phi_x(t) := x(t) + \lambda_1(t)x'(t) + \lambda_2(t)x''(t).$$

In contrast to the cocoerciveness assumption as required in [11], we will only assume that the operator U is cocoercive with respect to the zeros set $Z(U)$, which is called **quasi-cocoercive**. This assumption is strictly weaker the cocoerciveness and useful to tackle the inclusion problem (1.3) when A is merely monotone but not cocoercive. Our main contributions are summarized as follow:

- Existence and uniqueness of the trajectories $x(t)$ generated by (1.8).
- Weak asymptotic convergence trajectories $x(t)$ to a zero of the operator U .
- Fast exponential convergence rate of e^{-2t} for solving strongly monotone (with respect to the zeros set) equations.
- Fast rate $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ for the convex optimization (1.2), which covers the start of the art results in [5] as a special case.
- Weak asymptotic convergence for solving merely splitting monotone inclusions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we present some preliminary results and lemmas for establishing the convergence analysis. We investigate the existence and uniqueness of the trajectories as well as its asymptotic convergence in Section 3. In Section 4, we deliver a fast exponential convergence when the operator U is strongly monotone with respect to the zeros set. Section 5 discusses the fast convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ for convex optimization problems. Finally, applications to several monotone splitting inclusions are presented in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and lemmas which are useful in the sequel. We also present a new lemma for establishing the fast rate $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ in the following sections.

Definition 2.1. The single-valued operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is called

- (1) ω_u -cocoercive if $\omega_u > 0$ and

$$\langle U(x) - U(y), x - y \rangle \geq \omega_u \|U(x) - U(y)\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

- (2) ω_u -quasi-cocoercive if it is cocoercive with respect to the zeros set, i.e.

$$\langle U(x), x - x^* \rangle \geq \omega_u \|U(x)\|^2 \quad \forall x^* \in Z(U), \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

- (3) L_u -Lipschitz continuous if $L_u > 0$ and

$$\|U(x) - U(y)\| \leq L_u \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

- (4) ρ -strongly monotone if $\rho > 0$ and

$$\langle U(x) - U(y), x - y \rangle \geq \rho \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

- (5) ρ -strongly pseudomonotone if $\rho > 0$ and

$$\langle U(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle U(x), x - y \rangle \geq \rho \|x - y\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Remark 2.2. It is clear that the **quasi-cocoerciveness** is strictly weaker than the cocoerciveness. As we will see in Section 6, the forward-backward operator for strongly-pseudo monotone variational inequality and the forward-backward-forward operator for monotone inclusion are quasi-cocoercive, but not necessary cocoercive. Also, from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that if T is ω_u -cocoercive then it is $1/\omega_u$ -Lipschitz continuous.

Definition 2.3. The set-valued operator $B : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ is called *monotone* if

$$\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, u), (y, v) \in \text{Gra}B.$$

We also say that the operator B is *maximally monotone* if it is monotone and there is no monotone operator whose graph strictly contains the graph $\text{Gra}B$.

Let B be maximal monotone, then *resolvent* of B , defined by $J_B := (I - B)^{-1}$, has full domain. Moreover, it is single-valued and firmly-nonexpansive (i.e. cocoercive with modulus 1), see [9].

2.1. Absolutely continuous functions. Let $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} := [0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.4. A function $h : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is called *locally absolutely continuous* if for each interval $[t_0, t_1]$ one can find an integrable function $g : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ subject to the following equality

$$h(t) = h(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t g(s) ds \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

Remark 2.5. Note that a locally absolutely continuous function always is differentiable almost everywhere and its derivative is identical to its distributional derivative almost everywhere.

For $m \geq 1$, the space $L^m(X)$ consists of functions that are m -power Lebesgue integrable over $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 2.6 ([1]). Let $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Suppose that $u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is locally absolutely continuous. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$ provided that

$$u'(t) \leq v(t) \quad \text{for almost every } t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

Lemma 2.7 ([9]). Let $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Assume that the function $\vartheta : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfies conditions (i)-(ii).

- (i) For every $w \in \Omega$, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\vartheta(t) - w\|$ exists.
- (ii) Every weak sequential cluster point of $\vartheta(\cdot)$ belongs to the set Ω .

Then there exists $u \in \Omega$ such that $\vartheta(\cdot)$ converges weakly to u as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.8 below will be used to prove the convergence at the rate $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ for our dynamical system. In the statement, writing $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$ means that $f(t) \leq Cg(t)$ for every t , where C is some positive constant.

Lemma 2.8. Let $y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a absolutely continuous function. If $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function satisfying

$$g(y(t) + \xi ty'(t)) \leq \frac{M_1}{t^3} \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

where $\xi \neq \frac{1}{3}$, M_1 are positive constants, then there exist positive constants M_2, M_3 such that

$$g(y(t)) \leq \frac{M_2}{t^3} + \frac{M_3}{t^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Furthermore, if the constant $\xi < \frac{1}{3}$, then

$$g(y(t)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right).$$

Proof. Let $t, s \geq t_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\phi_y(t) = y(t) + \xi ty'(t)$. We have

$$\frac{1}{\xi} t^{\frac{1}{\xi}-1} \phi_y(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(t^{\frac{1}{\xi}} y(t) \right),$$

which yields, through integrating $t \in [s, s + \varepsilon]$ and then dividing both sides by $(s + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}$, that

$$\begin{aligned} y(s + \varepsilon) &= \left(\frac{s}{s + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} y(s) + \left[1 - \left(\frac{s}{s + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \right] \frac{1}{(s + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} - s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\xi} t^{\frac{1}{\xi}-1} \phi_y(t) dt \\ &= \left(\frac{s}{s + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} y(s) + \left[1 - \left(\frac{s}{s + \varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \right] \frac{1}{(s + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} - s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \int_{s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}}^{(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \phi_y(\tau^\xi) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using the convexity of the function g and Jensen inequality, the line above gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(y(s+\varepsilon)) &\leq \left(\frac{s}{s+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(s)) + \left[1 - \left(\frac{s}{s+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}\right] \frac{1}{(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} - s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \int_{s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}}^{(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} g(\phi_y(\tau^\xi)) d\tau \\ &\leq \left(\frac{s}{s+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(s)) + \left(\frac{1}{s+\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} \int_{s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}}^{(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \frac{M_1}{\tau^{3\xi}} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, after multiplying both sides by $(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}$ and dividing both sides by ε , that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left((s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(s+\varepsilon)) - s^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(s)) \right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}}^{(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \frac{M_1}{\tau^{3\xi}} d\tau.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\frac{d}{ds} [s^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(s))] \leq \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left(\int_{s^{\frac{1}{\xi}}}^{(s+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\xi}}} \frac{M_1}{\tau^{3\xi}} d\tau \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} = \frac{M_1}{\xi} s^{\frac{1}{\xi}-4},$$

and then

$$T^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(T)) - t_0^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(t_0)) = \int_{t_0}^T \frac{d}{ds} [s^{\frac{1}{\xi}} g(y(s))] \leq \frac{M_1}{\xi \left(\frac{1}{\xi} - 3\right)} \left(T^{\frac{1}{\xi}-3} - t_0^{\frac{1}{\xi}-3} \right).$$

□

The solution of dynamical system (1.8) is defined as follows.

Definition 2.9. A function $x(\cdot)$ is called a *strong global solution* of equation (1.8) if conditions (i)-(iii) hold.

- (i) The functions $x, x', x'' : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ are locally absolutely continuous.
- (ii) The equation $x'''(t) + \beta_2(t)x''(t) + \beta_1(t)x'(t) + \beta_0(t)U(\phi_x(t)) = 0$ holds for almost every $t \geq t_0$.
- (iii) $x(t_0) = x_0, x'(t_0) = x_1, x''(t_0) = x_2$.

3. A THIRD-ORDER DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

3.1. Existence and uniqueness of a solution.

Proposition 3.1 (Equivalent form). *The dynamical system (1.8) is equivalent to the first order system*

$$(3.1) \quad y'(t) = T(t, y(t)),$$

where $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ and the mapping $T : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T(t, y) &:= (y_2, y_3, -\beta_1(t)y_2 - \beta_2(t)y_3 - \beta_0(t)U(\phi_y(t))), \\ \phi_y(t) &:= y_1 + \lambda_1(t)y_2 + \lambda_2(t)y_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward and it is left to the reader. □

Proposition 3.2. *Consider the dynamical system (1.8), where the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is L_u -Lipschitz continuous and the functions $\beta_j : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ are locally integrable. Then for any $u_0, u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique strong global solution to the dynamical system (1.8).*

Proof. The key is to apply the Cauchy-Lipschitz-Picard theorem to the first order dynamical system (3.1) (see [19, Proposition 6.2.1]).

For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we show that $T(t, \cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous. Indeed, take arbitrarily $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3), z = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(t, y) - T(t, z)\|^2 = \|y_2 - z_2\|^2 + \|y_3 - z_3\|^2 \\ & + \|\beta_1(t)(y_2 - z_2) + \beta_2(t)(y_3 - z_3) + \beta_0(t)[U(\phi_y(t)) - U(\phi_z(t))]\|^2 \\ & \leq \|y - z\|^2 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(t)^2 \right) (\|y_2 - z_2\|^2 + \|y_3 - z_3\|^2 + \|U(\phi_y(t)) - U(\phi_z(t))\|^2) \\ & \leq \|y - z\|^2 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(t)^2 \right) (\|y - z\|^2 + L_u^2 \|\phi_y(t) - \phi_z(t)\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, taking into account the explicit form of ϕ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(t, y) - T(t, z)\|^2 \\ & \leq \|y - z\|^2 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(t)^2 \right) (\|y - z\|^2 + L_u^2 (1 + \lambda_1(t)^2 + \lambda_2(t)^2) \|y - z\|^2) \\ & = \left[1 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(t)^2 \right) (1 + L_u^2 (1 + \lambda_1(t)^2 + \lambda_2(t)^2)) \right] \|y - z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next is to prove $T(\cdot, y)$ is locally integrable for every $y \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Indeed, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \|T(t, y)\|^2 dt - (b - a) (\|y_2\|^2 + \|y_3\|^2) \\ & = \int_a^b \|\beta_1(t)y_2 + \beta_2(t)y_3 + \beta_0(t)[U(\phi_y(t)) - U(x_*)]\|^2 dt \\ & \leq \int_a^b \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(t)^2 \right) [\|y_2\|^2 + \|y_3\|^2 + L_u^2 \|y_1 - x_* + \lambda_1(t)y_2 + \lambda_2(t)y_3\|^2] dt \\ & \leq \int_a^b \left(\sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(t)^2 \right) [\|y\|^2 + L_u^2 (2 + \lambda_1(t)^2 + \lambda_2(t)^2) (\|y\|^2 + \|x_*\|^2)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. Weak convergence. The convergence analysis of the dynamical system (1.8) will be done by using the Lyapunov functions

$$(3.2) \quad y = \|x - x_*\|^2, \quad z_i = \|x^{(i)}\|^2,$$

where $x_* \in Z(U)$. Denote

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} A_2 = \frac{(2\omega_u - D)\beta_1}{\beta_0}, \\ A_1 = \frac{(2\omega_u - D)\beta_1\beta_2}{\beta_0} - \frac{\beta_0}{D}\lambda_1\lambda_2 - 3, \\ A_0 = \frac{(2\omega_u - D)\beta_1^2}{\beta_0} - \frac{\beta_0}{D}\lambda_1^2 - 2\beta_2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} B_1 = \frac{(2\omega_u - D)\beta_2}{\beta_0}, \\ B_0 = \frac{(2\omega_u - D)}{\beta_0}(\beta_2^2 - 2\beta_1) - \frac{\beta_0}{D}\lambda_2^2, \end{cases} \quad C_0 = \frac{2\omega_u - D}{\beta_0}.$$

Given two functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, writing $f \leq g$ ($f \geq g$) means that $f(t) \leq g(t)$ ($f(t) \geq g(t)$) respectively) for every $t \geq 0$.

Assumption 3.1. *The functions $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ are locally integrable and satisfy*

$$(3.4) \quad \beta_2'' \geq 0 \geq \beta_2',$$

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_1'' \geq 0 \geq \beta_1',$$

$$(3.6) \quad \beta_0' \geq 0 \geq \beta_0''.$$

The functions $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ are locally integrable and satisfy

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_1' \geq 0,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_2' \geq 0.$$

The function $D : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad \bar{D} := \sup_{t \geq t_0} D(t) < 2\omega_u,$$

$$(3.10) \quad D^2 \geq \frac{\beta_0^2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\beta_1 \beta_2},$$

$$(3.11) \quad D'' \leq 0 \leq D'.$$

There exist positive constants $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad A_0(t) \geq \Delta_1 \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

$$(3.13) \quad B_0(t) \geq \Delta_2 \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

$$(3.14) \quad A_1(t) + 2 \geq \Delta_3 \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Remark 3.3. We will discuss in the later subsection how to select functions satisfying Assumption 3.1. For further analysis, we make the following remarks.

(1) Under Assumption 3.1, the lower bounds and upper bounds of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ exist and they are denoted as

$$(3.15) \quad 0 < c_0 := \inf \beta_0 \leq \sup \beta_0 =: \alpha_0 < \infty,$$

$$(3.16) \quad 0 < c_1 := \inf \beta_1 \leq \sup \beta_1 =: \alpha_1 < \infty,$$

$$(3.17) \quad 0 < c_2 := \inf \beta_2 \leq \sup \beta_2 =: \alpha_2 < \infty.$$

Moreover, we can find positive constants $\Delta_4, \Delta_5, \Delta_6$ subject to the followings

$$(3.18) \quad A_2(t) \leq \Delta_4 \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

$$(3.19) \quad B_1(t) \geq \Delta_5 \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

$$(3.20) \quad C_0(t) \geq \Delta_6 \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

(2) Under Assumption 3.1, the first-order derivatives of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are bounded.

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_2'(t_0) \leq \beta_2'(t) \leq 0, \\ \beta_1'(t_0) \leq \beta_1'(t) \leq 0, \\ 0 \leq \beta_0'(t) \leq \beta_0'(t_0). \end{cases}$$

(3) Under Assumption 3.1, the following inequalities hold

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_2'' &\geq 0 \geq \beta_1', \\ A_2'' &= -D'' \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} + 2D' \frac{\beta_1\beta_0' - \beta_1'\beta_0}{\beta_0^2} + (2\omega_u - D) \left(\frac{\beta_1''}{\beta_0} - 2 \frac{\beta_1'\beta_0'}{\beta_0^2} - \frac{\beta_1\beta_0''}{\beta_0^2} + \frac{2\beta_1}{\beta_0^3} (\beta_0')^2 \right) \geq 0, \\ -A_1' &= (2\omega_u - D) \left(-\frac{\beta_2'\beta_1}{\beta_0} - \frac{\beta_2\beta_1'}{\beta_0} + \frac{\beta_2\beta_1\beta_0'}{\beta_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{D} (\beta_0'\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \beta_0\lambda_1'\lambda_2 + \beta_0\lambda_1\lambda_2') \\ &\quad + D' \left(\frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{\beta_0} - \frac{\beta_0}{D^2} \lambda_1\lambda_2 \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.23) \quad A_2'' - A_1' + A_0 \geq A_0,$$

$$(3.24) \quad B_0 - B_1' = B_0 + (2\omega_u - D) \frac{\beta_2\beta_0' - \beta_2'\beta_0}{\beta_0^2} + D' \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} \geq B_0,$$

$$(3.25) \quad A_1 - A_2' + 2 = A_1 + (2\omega_u - D) \left(\frac{\beta_1\beta_0' - \beta_1'\beta_0}{\beta_0^2} \right) + D' \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} + 2 \geq A_1 + 2,$$

$$(3.26) \quad \beta_1 - \beta_2' \geq \beta_1 \geq c_1.$$

We are now in the position to establish the weak convergence of the trajectories generated by the dynamical system (1.8).

Theorem 3.4. *Consider the dynamical system (1.8), where the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is ω_u -quasi-cocoercive and is L_u -Lipschitz continuous. Assumption 3.1 holds. Let the parameters be denoted by (3.3), (3.12)-(3.14) and (3.18)-(3.20). If condition (3.27) holds*

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{1}{c_1} < \Delta_5 - \frac{\Delta_4^2}{\Delta_3},$$

then the following results hold.

- (i) x, x', x'' are bounded.
- (ii) $x', x'', x''', U(x) \in L^2$.
- (iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(x(t)) = 0$.
- (iv) The trajectory $x(\cdot)$ generated by the dynamical system (1.8) converges weakly to an element in $Z(U)$.

Proof. The convergence of equation (1.8) is done by using the functions defined in (3.2). By (3.27), we can take $\varepsilon > 0$ subject to the following

$$(3.28) \quad \frac{1}{c_1} < \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < \Delta_5 - \frac{\Delta_4^2}{\Delta_3}.$$

Since

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} y'(t) &= 2 \langle x'(t), x(t) - x_* \rangle, \\ y''(t) &= 2 \langle x''(t), x(t) - x_* \rangle + 2z_1(t), \\ y'''(t) &= 2 \langle x'''(t), x(t) - x_* \rangle + 3z_1'(t), \end{aligned}$$

by (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &y'''(t) + \beta_2(t)y''(t) + \beta_1(t)y'(t) \\ &= -2\beta_0(t) \langle U(\phi_x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle + 3z_1'(t) + 2\beta_2(t)z_1(t) \\ &= -2\beta_0(t) \langle U(\phi_x(t)), \phi_x(t) - x_* \rangle + 2\beta_0(t) \langle U(\phi_x(t)), \lambda_1(t)x'(t) + \lambda_2(t)x''(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + 3z_1'(t) + 2\beta_2(t)z_1(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator U is ω_u -quasi-cocoercive and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& y'''(t) + \beta_2(t)y''(t) + \beta_1(t)y'(t) \\
\leq & -(2\omega_u - D(t))\beta_0(t)\|U(x(t))\|^2 + \frac{\beta_0(t)}{D(t)}\|\lambda_1(t)x'(t) + \lambda_2(t)x''(t)\|^2 + 3z_1'(t) + 2\beta_2(t)z_1(t) \\
(3.30) \quad & = -\frac{2\omega_u - D(t)}{\beta_0(t)}\|x'''(t) + \beta_2(t)x''(t) + \beta_1(t)x'(t)\|^2 \\
& + \frac{\beta_0(t)}{D(t)}\|\lambda_1(t)x'(t) + \lambda_2(t)x''(t)\|^2 + 3z_1'(t) + 2\beta_2(t)z_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x''' + \beta_2x'' + \beta_1x'\|^2 \\
(3.31) \quad & = \beta_1z_1'' + \beta_1\beta_2z_1' + \beta_1^2z_1 + \beta_2z_2' + (\beta_2^2 - 2\beta_1)z_2 + z_3,
\end{aligned}$$

$$(3.32) \quad \|\lambda_1x' + \lambda_2x''\|^2 = \lambda_1^2z_1 + \lambda_2^2z_2 + \lambda_1\lambda_2z_1'.$$

Through substituting (3.31)-(3.32) back into (3.30) and then using the notations in (3.3), we get

$$(3.33) \quad 0 \geq y''' + \beta_2y'' + \beta_1y' + A_2z_1'' + A_1z_1' + A_0z_1 + B_1z_2' + B_0z_2 + C_0z_3.$$

After integrating the line above over $[t_0, t]$, there exists a constant $M_1 = M_1(t_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 \geq & y''(t) + \beta_2(t)y'(t) + [\beta_1(t) - \beta_2'(t)]y(t) + A_2(t)z_1'(t) + [A_1(t) - A_2'(t)]z_1(t) + B_1(t)z_2(t) \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t [\beta_2''(s) - \beta_1'(s)]y(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t [A_2''(s) - A_1'(s) + A_0(s)]z_1(s) ds \\
(3.34) \quad & + \int_{t_0}^t [B_0(s) - B_1'(s)]z_2(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t C_0(s)z_3(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.29), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 \geq & 2\langle x'', x - x_* \rangle + \beta_2y' + (\beta_1 - \beta_2')y + A_2z_1' + (A_1 - A_2' + 2)z_1 + B_1z_2 \\
(3.35) \quad & \geq \beta_2y' + (\beta_1 - \beta_2' - \varepsilon)y + A_2z_1' + (A_1 - A_2' + 2)z_1 + \left(B_1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)z_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we estimate $2\langle x'', x - x_* \rangle$ by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Setting

$$H := A_2z_1' + (A_1 - A_2' + 2)z_1 + \left(B_1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)z_2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& H = 2A_2\langle x'', x' \rangle + (A_1 - A_2' + 2)\|x'\|^2 + \left(B_1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \\
& \geq 2A_2\langle x'', x' \rangle + \Delta_3\|x'\|^2 + \left(\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \quad (\text{by (3.25), (3.14), (3.19)}) \\
& \geq -2A_2\|x''\| \cdot \|x'\| + \Delta_3\|x'\|^2 + \left(\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \\
(3.36) \quad & \geq -2\Delta_4\|x''\| \cdot \|x'\| + \Delta_3\|x'\|^2 + \left(\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \quad (\text{by (3.18)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & -2\Delta_4\|x''\| \cdot \|x'\| + \Delta_3\|x'\|^2 + \left(\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \\ & = \left(\sqrt{\Delta_3}\|x'\| - \frac{\Delta_4}{\sqrt{\Delta_3}}\|x''\|\right)^2 + \left(\Delta_5 - \frac{\Delta_4^2}{\Delta_3} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.38) \quad = \left(\|x''\|\sqrt{\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - \|x'\|\frac{\Delta_4}{\sqrt{\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}}\right)^2 + \left(\Delta_3 - \frac{\Delta_4^2}{\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right)\|x'\|^2.$$

Taking the sum of (3.37) and (3.38), we can find a constant $\theta > 0$ for which

$$(3.39) \quad -2\Delta_4\|x''\| \cdot \|x'\| + \Delta_3\|x'\|^2 + \left(\Delta_5 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|x''\|^2 \geq \theta(\|x''\|^2 + \|x'\|^2).$$

Inequalities (3.36) and (3.39) give

$$(3.40) \quad H \geq \theta(\|x''\|^2 + \|x'\|^2).$$

From (3.35) and (3.40), we get

$$M_1 \geq \beta_2 y' + (\beta_1 - \beta_2' - \varepsilon)y + \theta(\|x''\|^2 + \|x'\|^2),$$

which implies, after dividing both sides by β_2 , that

$$\frac{M_1}{\beta_2} \geq y' + \frac{1}{\beta_2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2' - \varepsilon)y + \frac{1}{\beta_2}\theta(\|x''\|^2 + \|x'\|^2).$$

Using (3.17) and (3.26), the line above can be estimated as follows

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{M_1}{c_2} & \geq \frac{M_1}{\beta_2} \geq y' + \frac{1}{\beta_2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2' - \varepsilon)y + \frac{1}{\beta_2}\theta(\|x''\|^2 + \|x'\|^2) \\ & \geq y' + \frac{c_1 - \varepsilon}{\alpha_2}y + \frac{\theta}{\alpha_2}(\|x''\|^2 + \|x'\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

(i) Setting $\eta := \frac{c_1 - \varepsilon}{\alpha_2}$. Then by (3.28), we have $\eta > 0$. Through multiplying the inequality above with $e^{\eta s}$ and then integrating over $[t_0, t]$, we obtain

$$y(t) \leq y(t_0)e^{\eta(t_0-t)} + \frac{M_1}{\eta c_2}(1 - e^{\eta(t_0-t)}) \leq y(t_0)e^{\eta t_0} + \frac{M_1}{\eta c_2},$$

which means that x is bounded.

Inequality (3.41) leads to the following

$$\frac{M_1}{c_2} \geq y' + \frac{\theta}{\alpha_2}\|x'\|^2 = 2\langle x', x - x_* \rangle + \frac{\theta}{\alpha_2}\|x'\|^2 \geq \left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha_2} - \varepsilon_0\right)\|x'\|^2 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\|x - x_*\|^2,$$

where $\varepsilon_0 := \frac{\theta}{2\alpha_2}$ and the expression $2\langle x', x - x_* \rangle$ is estimated by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The inequality above shows x' is bounded. Since x, x' are bounded, by (3.41) x'' is bounded too.

(ii) Inequality (3.34) gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 & \geq y''(t) + \beta_2(t)y'(t) + [\beta_1(t) - \beta_2'(t)]y(t) + H - 2z_1 + \frac{z_2}{\varepsilon} \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t [A_2''(s) - A_1'(s) + A_0(s)]z_1(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t [B_0(s) - B_1'(s)]z_2(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t C_0(s)z_3(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (3.26), (3.40), (3.23), (3.24), (3.15), that

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\geq y''(t) + \beta_2(t)y'(t) + c_1y(t) - 2z_1 \\ &+ \Delta_1 \int_{t_0}^t z_1(s) ds + \Delta_2 \int_{t_0}^t z_2(s) ds + \Delta_6 \int_{t_0}^t z_3(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x', x'', x''' \in L^2$.

It follows from (1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\phi_x(t))\| &= \frac{1}{\beta_0(t)} \|x'''(t) + \beta_2(t)x''(t) + \beta_1(t)x'(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_0} \|x'''(t) + \beta_2(t)x''(t) + \beta_1(t)x'(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_0} (\|x'''(t)\| + \alpha_2\|x''(t)\| + \alpha_1\|x'(t)\|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $U(\phi_x(t)) \in L^2$, too.

Next is to prove $U(x(t)) \in L^2$. Indeed, by (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$2\omega_u > \frac{\beta_0(t)^2 \lambda_1(t) \lambda_2(t)}{\beta_1(t) \beta_2(t)} \geq \frac{\beta_0(t_0)^2}{\beta_1(t_0) \beta_2(t_0)} \lambda_1(t) \lambda_2(t),$$

which means, by (3.7)-(3.8), that λ_1, λ_2 are bounded above. Since the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is L_u -Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(\phi_x(t)) - U(x(t))\| &\leq L_u \|\lambda_1(t)x'(t) + \lambda_2(t)x''(t)\| \\ &\leq L_u \left(\|x'(t)\| \sup_{t \geq t_0} \lambda_1(t) + \|x''(t)\| \sup_{t \geq t_0} \lambda_2(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives $U(x(t)) \in L^2$.

(iii) We make use of Lemma 2.6. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|x'(t)\|^2 \right) &= \langle x''(t), x'(t) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x''(t)\|^2 + \|x'(t)\|^2) \in L^1, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|x''(t)\|^2 \right) &= \langle x'''(t), x''(t) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x'''(t)\|^2 + \|x''(t)\|^2) \in L^1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|U(x(t))\|^2 \right) &= \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} U(x(t)), U(x(t)) \right\rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \frac{d}{dt} U(x(t)) \right\|^2 + \|U(x(t))\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (L_u^2 \|x'(t)\|^2 + \|U(x(t))\|^2) \in L^1. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) We make use of Lemma 2.7. Indeed, inequality (3.33) can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq y''' + \frac{d}{dt}(\beta_2 y') + \frac{d}{dt}[(\beta_1 - \beta_2')y] + \frac{d}{dt}(A_2 z_1') + \frac{d}{dt}[(A_1 - A_2')z_1] + \frac{d}{dt}(B_1 z_2) \\ &\quad + (\beta_2'' - \beta_1')y + (A_2'' - A_1' + A_0)z_1 + (B_0 - B_1')z_2 \\ &\geq y''' + \frac{d}{dt}(\beta_2 y') + \frac{d}{dt}[(\beta_1 - \beta_2')y] + \frac{d}{dt}(A_2 z_1') + \frac{d}{dt}[(A_1 - A_2')z_1] + \frac{d}{dt}(B_1 z_2), \end{aligned}$$

which means that the function $h := y'' + \beta_2 y' + (\beta_1 - \beta_2')y + A_2 z_1' + (A_1 - A_2')z_1 + B_1 z_2$ is monotonically decreasing. By (3.21) and item (i), the function h is bounded. Consequently, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)$ exists. Note that item (ii) and (3.21) reveal that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [y''(t) + \beta_2(t)y'(t) + A_2(t)z_1'(t) + (A_1(t) - A_2'(t))z_1(t) + B_1(t)z_2(t)] = 0.$$

Thus, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_1(t) - \beta_2'(t)]y(t)$ exists. By (3.22) and (3.26), the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\beta_1(t) - \beta_2'(t)]$ exists and it is positive. We infer that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$ exists for every $x_* \in Z(U)$.

Next, we prove Lemma 2.7(ii). To that aim, let \hat{x} be a weak sequential cluster point of x ; meaning that there exists a sequence $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $x(s_n)$ converges weakly to \hat{x} . Since the operator U is maximally monotone, its graph is sequentially closed corresponding the weak-strong topology of the product $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(x(t)) = 0$, we also have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(x(s_n)) = 0$ and so $U(\hat{x}) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

3.3. Parameters choices. In the subsection, we give examples illustrating Theorem 3.4. Let

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_j(t) = p_j e^{-r_j t} + q_j, & \beta_0(t) = \frac{q_0}{p_0 e^{-r_0 t} + 1}, \\ \lambda_j(t) = \theta_j (1 - e^{-m_j t}). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.5. Consider equation (1.8), where the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is ω_u -quasi-cocoercive, L_u -Lipschitz continuous and the coefficients are of forms in (3.42). Here

$$(3.43) \quad q_j > 0, \theta_j > 0, p_j \geq 0, r_j \geq 0, m_j \geq 0.$$

Then the trajectory x generated by (1.8) converges weakly to an element in $Z(U)$ provided that

$$(3.44) \quad q_2 > \max \left\{ \frac{p_1 + q_1}{\sqrt{q_1}}; \sqrt{2(p_1 + q_1)} \right\},$$

$$(3.45) \quad p_0 < \frac{q_2 \sqrt{q_1}}{p_1 + q_1} - 1,$$

$$(3.46) \quad \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} > \max \left\{ \frac{2(p_2 + q_2)}{q_1^2}; \frac{1}{q_1 q_2}; \frac{q_2 + \frac{(p_1 + q_1)(p_0 + 1)}{\sqrt{q_1}}}{q_1 q_2^2 - (p_1 + q_1)^2 (p_0 + 1)^2} \right\},$$

$$(3.47) \quad 0 \leq \theta_1 < \sqrt{\frac{\omega_u}{q_0} \left(\frac{\omega_u q_1^2}{q_0} - 2(p_2 + q_2) \right)},$$

$$(3.48) \quad 0 \leq \theta_1 \theta_2 < \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{\omega_u q_1 q_2}{q_0} - 1; \theta_1 \sqrt{q_2^2 - 2(p_1 + q_1)} \right\},$$

$$(3.49) \quad 0 \leq \theta_1 \theta_2 < \frac{\omega_u q_1}{\omega_u q_1 q_2 - q_0} \left((q_1 q_2^2 - (p_1 + q_1)^2 (p_0 + 1)^2) \left(\frac{\omega_u}{q_0} \right)^2 - 2q_2 \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} + \frac{1}{q_1} \right).$$

Proof. A straightforward computation shows

$$\begin{cases} c_0 = \frac{q_0}{p_0 + 1}, \\ c_1 = q_1, \\ c_2 = q_2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_0 = q_0, \\ \alpha_1 = p_1 + q_1, \\ \alpha_2 = p_2 + q_2. \end{cases}$$

Let $D(t) = \omega_u$. Note that condition (3.10) follows directly from (3.48). It follows from (3.44) and (3.48) that

$$0 < \Delta_2 := \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} [q_2^2 - 2(p_1 + q_1)] - \frac{q_0 \theta_2^2}{\omega_u} \leq B_0,$$

which gives (3.13). By (3.47), we get

$$0 < \Delta_1 := \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} q_1^2 - 2(p_2 + q_2) - \frac{q_0 \theta_1^2}{\omega_u} \leq A_0,$$

which gives (3.12). Again using (3.48), we observe $\theta_1 \theta_2 < \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} (\frac{\omega_u q_1 q_2}{q_0} - 1)$. Consequently,

$$0 < \Delta_3 := \omega_u \frac{q_1 q_2}{q_0} - 1 - \frac{q_0 \theta_1 \theta_2}{\omega_u} \leq A_1 + 2,$$

which gives (3.14). We have

$$A_2 = \frac{\omega_u \beta_1}{\beta_0} \leq \frac{\omega_u \alpha_1}{c_0} = \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} (p_1 + q_1)(p_0 + 1) =: \Delta_4,$$

$$B_1 = \frac{\omega_u \beta_2}{\beta_0} \geq \frac{\omega_u q_2}{q_0} =: \Delta_5.$$

Consider

$$\left[q_1 q_2^2 - (p_1 + q_1)^2 (p_0 + 1)^2 \right] \left(\frac{\omega_u}{q_0} \right)^2 - 2q_2 \frac{\omega_u}{q_0} + \frac{1}{q_1},$$

which is a quadratic form of the variable $\frac{\omega_u}{q_0}$ with the leading coefficient

$$q_1 q_2^2 - (p_1 + q_1)^2 (p_0 + 1)^2 > 0 \quad (\text{by (3.45)}).$$

Note that condition (3.46) gives

$$\frac{\omega_u}{q_0} > \frac{q_2 + \frac{(p_1 + q_1)(p_0 + 1)}{\sqrt{q_1}}}{q_1 q_2^2 - (p_1 + q_1)^2 (p_0 + 1)^2}.$$

Consequently, the quadratic form is always positive. Note that condition (3.27) is equivalent to (3.49). \square

Remark 3.6. It is not difficult to choose a set of parameters satisfying the system of inequalities (3.44)–(3.49). Indeed, given p_1, q_1 we first choose q_2 satisfying (3.44), then select p_0 so that (3.45) holds and so on until (3.49).

In the case when $p_j = 0$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, (1.8) is simplified to the third-order ODE with constant coefficients and Theorem 3.5 becomes the following result.

Corollary 3.7. *Consider equation (1.8), where the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is ω_u -quasi-cocoercive, L_u -Lipschitz continuous and $\beta_j \equiv q_j, \lambda_j \equiv 0$. Then the trajectory x generated by (1.8) converges weakly to an element in $Z(U)$ provided that*

$$(3.50) \quad q_2 > \sqrt{2q_1},$$

$$(3.51) \quad q_0 < \omega_u \frac{q_1^2}{2q_2}.$$

4. EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE

In the section, we estimate an exponential convergence of the trajectory generated by the dynamical system (1.8).

Assumption 4.1. *Assume that*

(i) *The operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is ρ -strongly monotone with respect to $Z(U)$, i.e.*

$$\langle U(x), x - x_* \rangle \geq \rho \|x - x_*\|^2 \quad \forall x_* \in Z(U), \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

(ii) *The operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is L_u -Lipschitz continuous.*

(iii) *The coefficients β_j, λ_j of the dynamical system (1.8) are constants.*

Theorem 4.1. Consider the dynamical system (1.8), under Assumption 4.1. Denote

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa := \frac{\rho}{L_u^2},$$

where ρ, L_u are the constants stated in Assumption 4.1. Then the trajectory $x(\cdot)$ generated by the dynamical system (1.8) converges to the unique solution x_* at the rate $\mathcal{O}(e^{-2t})$ provided conditions (4.2)-(4.9) hold.

$$(4.2) \quad \beta_2 > \max \left\{ 4 + \frac{12}{\rho\kappa}; 6; \frac{16}{\rho\kappa} \right\},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \max \left\{ 4(\beta_2 - 3); \frac{8}{\rho\kappa}(\beta_2 - 3) \right\} < \beta_1 < \frac{\beta_2(\beta_2 - 2)}{2},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{2}{\rho}(-2\beta_2 + \beta_1 + 4) < \beta_0 < \frac{\kappa\beta_1}{2} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{-2\beta_2 + \beta_1 + 4}{2(\beta_2 - 3)}; \frac{\beta_2 - 4}{3} \right\},$$

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \frac{-8 + \rho\beta_0 - 2\beta_1 + 4\beta_2}{2\rho\beta_0},$$

$$(4.6) \quad \beta_0 \left(\frac{2}{\kappa} - \rho \right) \lambda_1^2 + 2\beta_0\rho\lambda_2 < \frac{\kappa\beta_1}{2\beta_0}(4 + \beta_1 - 2\beta_2) - 2(\beta_2 - 3),$$

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_2 < \frac{12 + \beta_1 - 4\beta_2}{4\rho\beta_0},$$

$$(4.8) \quad \beta_0 \left(\frac{2}{\kappa} - \rho \right) \lambda_1\lambda_2 < \frac{\kappa\beta_1}{2\beta_0}(\beta_2 - 4) - 3,$$

$$(4.9) \quad \beta_0 \left(\frac{2}{\kappa} - \rho \right) \lambda_2^2 < \frac{\kappa}{2\beta_0}(\beta_2^2 - 2\beta_2 - 2\beta_1).$$

Proof. From Assumption 4.1, it is clear that $Z(U)$ is singleton. Let $x_* \in Z(U)$, then for any $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(4.10) \quad \langle U(\bar{v}), \bar{v} - x_* \rangle \geq \rho \|\bar{v} - x_*\|^2.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce

$$\|U(\bar{v})\| \cdot \|\bar{v} - x_*\| \geq \langle U(\bar{v}), \bar{v} - x_* \rangle \geq \rho \|\bar{v} - x_*\|^2,$$

which implies

$$\|U(\bar{v})\| \geq \rho \|\bar{v} - x_*\|.$$

Moreover, by the Lipschitz continuity

$$\|U(\bar{v})\|^2 \leq L_u^2 \|\bar{v} - x_*\|^2 \leq \frac{L_u^2}{\rho} \langle U(\bar{v}), \bar{v} - x_* \rangle,$$

or equivalently

$$(4.11) \quad \langle U(\bar{v}), \bar{v} - x_* \rangle \geq \frac{\rho}{L_u^2} \|U(\bar{v})\|^2 = \kappa \|U(\bar{v})\|^2.$$

Note that

$$(4.12) \quad \|\phi_x - x_*\|^2 = \lambda_2 y'' + \lambda_1 y' + y + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 z_1' + (\lambda_1^2 - 2\lambda_2) z_1 + \lambda_2^2 z_2.$$

Denote

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{cases} u_{1,2} := \frac{\kappa\beta_1}{2\beta_0}, \\ u_{1,1} := \frac{\kappa\beta_1\beta_2}{2\beta_0} - 3 - \left(\frac{2}{\kappa} - \rho\right) \beta_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \\ u_{1,0} := \frac{\kappa\beta_1^2}{2\beta_0} - 2\beta_2 - \frac{2\beta_0\lambda_1^2}{\kappa} + \beta_0\rho(\lambda_1^2 - 2\lambda_2), \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} u_{2,1} := \frac{\kappa\beta_2}{2\beta_0}, \\ u_{2,0} := \frac{\kappa}{2\beta_0}(\beta_2^2 - 2\beta_1) - \left(\frac{2}{\kappa} - \rho\right) \beta_0 \lambda_2^2. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\frac{2}{\kappa} - \rho = \frac{2L_u^2 - \rho^2}{\rho} > 0.$$

It follows from (4.2)-(4.9), that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & -8 + 4(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2) - 2(\beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1) + \rho\beta_0 \\ & = (-8 + 4\beta_2 - 2\beta_1 + \rho\beta_0 - 2\rho\beta_0\lambda_1) + 4\rho\beta_0\lambda_2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.15) \quad 4u_{1,2} - 2u_{1,1} + u_{1,0} \geq 0, \quad (\text{by (4.6)})$$

$$(4.16) \quad 12 - 4(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1) \geq 0, \quad (\text{by (4.7)})$$

$$(4.17) \quad -4u_{1,2} + u_{1,1} \geq 0, \quad (\text{by (4.8)})$$

$$(4.18) \quad -2u_{2,1} + u_{2,0} \geq 0. \quad (\text{by (4.9)})$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} y''' + \beta_2 y'' + \beta_1 y' - 3z_1' - 2\beta_2 z_1 &= 2 \langle x''' + \beta_2 x'' + \beta_1 x', x - x_* \rangle \\ &= -2\beta_0 \langle U(\phi_x), x - x_* \rangle \\ &= -2\beta_0 \langle U(\phi_x), \phi_x - x_* \rangle + 2\beta_0 \langle U(\phi_x), \lambda_1 x' + \lambda_2 x'' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (4.10)-(4.11) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} & y''' + \beta_2 y'' + \beta_1 y' - 3z_1' - 2\beta_2 z_1 \\ & \leq -\beta_0 \rho \|\phi_x - x_*\|^2 - \beta_0 \kappa \|U(\phi_x)\|^2 + 2\beta_0 \langle U(\phi_x), \lambda_1 x' + \lambda_2 x'' \rangle \\ & \leq -\beta_0 \rho \|\phi_x - x_*\|^2 - \frac{\beta_0 \kappa}{2} \|U(\phi_x)\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_0}{\kappa} \|\lambda_1 x' + \lambda_2 x''\|^2 \\ & = -\beta_0 \rho \|\phi_x - x_*\|^2 - \frac{\kappa}{2\beta_0} \|x''' + \beta_2 x'' + \beta_1 x'\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_0}{\kappa} \|\lambda_1 x' + \lambda_2 x''\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.13), (3.31), (3.32) and (4.12), the last inequality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq y''' + (\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2)y'' + (\beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1)y' + \beta_0\rho y \\ &\quad + u_{1,2}z_1'' + u_{1,1}z_1' + u_{1,0}z_1 + u_{2,1}z_2' + u_{2,0}z_2. \end{aligned}$$

Through multiplying both sides by $e^{2(s-t_0)}$ and then integrating $s \in [t_0, t]$, we can find a positive constant $M_1 = M_1(t_0)$ subject to the following

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\geq e^{2(t-t_0)} [y''(t) + (\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 2)y'(t) + (-2(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2) + \beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1 + 4)y(t)] \\ &\quad + [-8 + 4(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2) - 2(\beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1) + \beta_0\rho] \int_{t_0}^t e^{2(s-t_0)} y(s) ds \\ &\quad + e^{2(t-t_0)} [u_{1,2}z_1'(t) + (-2u_{1,2} + u_{1,1})z_1(t)] \\ &\quad + (4u_{1,2} - 2u_{1,1} + u_{1,0}) \int_{t_0}^t e^{2(s-t_0)} z_1(s) ds \\ &\quad + e^{2(t-t_0)} u_{2,1}z_2(t) + (-2u_{2,1} + u_{2,0}) \int_{t_0}^t e^{2(s-t_0)} z_2(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.14), (4.15) and (4.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\geq e^{2(t-t_0)} [y''(t) + (\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 2)y'(t) + (-2(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2) + \beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1 + 4)y(t)] \\ &\quad + e^{2(t-t_0)} [u_{1,2}z_1'(t) + (-2u_{1,2} + u_{1,1})z_1(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Continue integrating the inequality above with respect to $t \in [t_0, \tau]$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1\tau + M_2 &\geq e^{2(\tau-t_0)}y'(\tau) + (\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 4)e^{2(\tau-t_0)}y(\tau) \\ &\quad + [12 - 4(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2) + \beta_1 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_1] \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e^{2(t-t_0)}y(t) dt \\ &\quad + u_{1,2}e^{2(\tau-t_0)}z_1(\tau) + (-4u_{1,2} + u_{1,1}) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} e^{2(t-t_0)}z_1(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (4.16) and (4.17), that

$$M_1\tau + M_2 \geq e^{2(\tau-t_0)}y'(\tau) + (\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 4)e^{2(\tau-t_0)}y(\tau)$$

or equivalently to saying that

$$(M_1\tau + M_2)e^{(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 6)(\tau-t_0)} \geq \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[e^{(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 4)(\tau-t_0)}y(\tau) \right].$$

After integrating the line above with respect to $\tau \in [t_0, T]$ and then dividing both sides by $e^{(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 4)(T-t_0)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y(T) &\leq e^{-(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 4)(T-t_0)} \int_{t_0}^T (M_1\tau + M_2)e^{(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 6)(\tau-t_0)} d\tau \\ &\quad + e^{-(\beta_2 + \beta_0\rho\lambda_2 - 4)(T-t_0)}y(t_0) = \mathcal{O}(e^{-2T}). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the case when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, conditions (4.5)-(4.9) can be omitted.

Corollary 4.2. *Consider the dynamical system (1.8), under Assumption 4.1. Denote (4.1), where ρ, L_u are the constants stated in Assumption 4.1. Then the trajectory $x(\cdot)$ generated by the dynamical system (1.8) converges to the unique solution x_* at the rate $\mathcal{O}(e^{-2t})$ provided conditions (4.2)-(4.4) hold.*

As an illustration, we apply Theorem 4.1 to the variational inequality (1.4) recalled below in an equivalent form:

$$(VI(V, \Omega)) \quad \text{Find } x_* \in \Omega \subseteq \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } \langle V(x_*), y - x_* \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in \Omega.$$

The solutions set of Problem VI(V, Ω) is denoted as $S(V, \Omega)$.

Assumption 4.2. *Assume that*

- (i) *The set $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is non empty, closed and convex.*
- (ii) *The operator $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is ℓ -strongly pseudo-monotone.*
- (iii) *The operator $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is M -Lipschitz continuous.*
- (iv) *Let ν be the parameter such that*

$$(4.19) \quad 0 < \nu < \frac{4\ell}{M^2}.$$

Remark 4.3. It is well-known that the solutions set $S(V, \Omega)$ is singleton provided that the operator $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is ℓ -strongly pseudo-monotone [20].

Proposition 4.4 ([25, Proposition 2.6]). *Let $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x_* \in S(V, \Omega)$. Under Assumption 4.2, it holds that*

$$(4.20) \quad \langle \bar{v} - \Pi_{\Omega}(\bar{v} - \nu V(\bar{v})), \bar{v} - x_* \rangle \geq \kappa_1 \|\bar{v} - \Pi_{\Omega}(\bar{v} - \nu V(\bar{v}))\|^2,$$

$$(4.21) \quad \|\bar{v} - \Pi_{\Omega}(\bar{v} - \nu V(\bar{v}))\| \geq \kappa_2 \|\bar{v} - x_*\|,$$

where

$$(4.22) \quad \kappa_1 := 1 - \frac{\nu M^2}{4\ell}, \quad \kappa_2 := \frac{\nu\ell}{1 + \nu\ell + \nu M}.$$

and Π_Ω stands for the projection operator onto Ω .

We associate to Problem VI(V, Ω) the dynamical system (1.8), in which the coefficients $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are positive constants and the operator $U := I - \Pi_\Omega(I - \nu V)$. In detail, the dynamical system takes the form

$$(4.23) \quad x'''(t) + \beta_2 x''(t) + \beta_1 x'(t) + \beta_0 [\phi_x(t) - \Pi_\Omega(\phi_x(t) - \nu V(\phi_x(t)))] = 0,$$

where

$$\phi_x(t) = x(t) + \lambda_1 x'(t) + \lambda_2 x''(t).$$

Under the suitable assumptions, the dynamical system (4.23) offers the convergence rate $\mathcal{O}(e^{-2t})$, which is better than the rate $\mathcal{O}(e^{-t})$ obtained by Hai and Vuong in [18] for the case when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$.

Corollary 4.5. *Consider the dynamical system (4.23), under Assumption 4.2. Then the trajectory $x(\cdot)$ generated by the dynamical system (4.23) converges to the unique solution x_* at the rate $\mathcal{O}(e^{-2t})$ provided conditions (4.2)-(4.9) hold, where κ_1, κ_2 are the constants stated in (4.20)-(4.22) and*

$$\kappa := \frac{\kappa_1 \kappa_2^2}{M^2}, \quad \rho := \kappa_1 \kappa_2.$$

5. CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

It is well-known that when the operator U is the gradient of a convex function f ; meaning that $U = \nabla f$, Problem (1.1) is precisely the one of finding stationary points of the function f and it links to minimizing the convex optimization problem (1.2). As discussed in Theorem 3.4, the trajectory generated by the dynamical system (1.8), where $U = \nabla f$, converges weakly to a solution of Problem (1.1). This system takes the following form

$$(5.1) \quad x'''(t) + \beta_2(t)x''(t) + \beta_1(t)x'(t) + \beta_0(t)\nabla f(\phi_x(t)) = 0,$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad \phi_x = x + \lambda_1 x' + \lambda_2 x''.$$

5.1. The fast rate of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$. In the subsection, we study the dynamical system (5.1) whose coefficients take the following forms

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_2(t) = \xi_2 t^2, & \lambda_1(t) = \xi_1 t, \\ \beta_2(t) = \frac{\nu_2}{t}, & \beta_1(t) = \frac{\nu_1}{t^2}, & \beta_0(t) \equiv \alpha_0. \end{cases}$$

Substituting (5.3) back into (5.1), we get

$$(5.4) \quad x'''(t) + \frac{\nu_2}{t}x''(t) + \frac{\nu_1}{t^2}x'(t) = -\alpha_0 \nabla f(x(t) + \xi_1 t x'(t) + \xi_2 t^2 x''(t)).$$

We will study two cases of the convergence property of the dynamical system (5.4).

★ **Case 1:** $\xi_2 \neq 0$.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that the function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable. Consider the dynamical system (5.4), where $\alpha_0 > 0$, $t_0 > 0$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \xi_2 > 0, \\ \nu_1 = \frac{\xi_1 + 1}{\xi_2}, \quad \nu_2 = \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} + 2. \end{cases}$$

The following assertions hold.

(i) *It holds that*

$$f(x(t) + \xi_1 tx'(t) + \xi_2 t^2 x''(t)) - f_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right) \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

(ii) *If the parameters ξ_1, ξ_2 satisfy*

$$(5.5) \quad \xi_2 < 9,$$

$$(5.6) \quad \xi_2 + 2\sqrt{\xi_2} < \xi_1 < \frac{1}{3}(4\xi_2 + 9),$$

then we have

$$(5.7) \quad f(x(t)) - f_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right).$$

Proof. Note that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi'_x(t) &= (1 + \xi_1)x'(t) + (\xi_1 + 2\xi_2)tx''(t) + \xi_2 t^2 x'''(t) \\ &= -\alpha_0 \xi_2 t^2 \nabla f(\phi_x(t)) \quad (\text{by (5.4)}). \end{aligned}$$

(i) Let us define the function

$$V(t) := \frac{\alpha_0 t^3}{3\xi_2} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] + \frac{1}{2} \|t^2 x''(t) + \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} tx'(t) + (\nu_1 - \nu_2 + 2)(x(t) - x_*)\|^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{\alpha_0 t^2}{\xi_2} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] + \frac{\alpha_0 t^3}{3\xi_2} \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), \phi'_x(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle t^2 x'''(t) + \nu_2 tx''(t) + \nu_1 x'(t), t^2 x''(t) + \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} tx'(t) + (\nu_1 - \nu_2 + 2)(x(t) - x_*) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (5.4) and (5.8), that

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{\alpha_0 t^2}{\xi_2} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] - \frac{\alpha_0^2 t^5}{3} \|\nabla f(\phi_x(t))\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 t^4 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), x''(t) \rangle - \alpha_0 \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} t^3 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), x'(t) \rangle \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 (\nu_1 - \nu_2 + 2) t^2 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle = \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), \phi_x(t) - x_* \rangle - \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), \xi_1 tx'(t) + \xi_2 t^2 x''(t) \rangle,$$

we continue

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{\alpha_0 t^2}{\xi_2} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] - \frac{\alpha_0^2 t^5}{3} \|\nabla f(\phi_x(t))\|^2 \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 (\nu_1 - \nu_2 + 2) t^2 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), \phi_x(t) - x_* \rangle \\ &\leq \alpha_0 \left(\frac{1}{\xi_2} - (\nu_1 - \nu_2 + 2) \right) t^2 [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$V(t_0) \geq V(t) \geq \frac{\alpha_0 t^3}{3\xi_2} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*].$$

(ii) Consider the quadratic function

$$h(z) = z^2 - (\xi_1 - \xi_2)z + \xi_2.$$

By (5.6), the function $h(z)$ has two distinct positive roots, denoted as p, q . Again using (5.6), we have $6 > \xi_1 - \xi_2 = p + q$ and $h(3) = 9 - 3\xi_1 + 4\xi_2 > 0$. Hence, $0 < p, q < 3$. Now we can write ϕ_x in the following form

$$\phi_x(t) = (x(t) + qtx'(t)) + pt(x(t) + qtx'(t))'.$$

Using Lemma 2.8, we get

$$f(x(t) + qtx'(t)) - f_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right), \quad f(x(t)) - f_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right).$$

□

Remark 5.2. The dynamical system (5.4) and the $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{t^3})$ convergence result obtained in Theorem 5.1 are totally new for convex optimization problems.

★ *Case 2:* $\xi_2 = 0$.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that the function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable. Consider the dynamical system (5.4), where $\alpha_0 > 0$, $t_0 > 0$ and*

$$\nu_1 = \left(\frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1}\right)(\nu_2 - 2) - \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2}.$$

Suppose that

$$(5.9) \quad \nu_2 \geq 6 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}.$$

Then it holds that

$$(5.10) \quad f(x(t) + \xi_1 tx'(t)) - f_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^3}\right),$$

$$(5.11) \quad f(x(t)) - f_* \leq \frac{M_1}{t^3} + \frac{M_2}{t^{\frac{1}{\xi_1}}}.$$

Proof. It follows from (5.9), that

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{3}{\xi_1} \leq \frac{1}{\xi_1}(\nu_2 - 2) - \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2}.$$

To prove (5.10), we consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &:= \frac{\alpha_0 t^3}{\xi_1} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] + \frac{1}{2} \|t^2 x''(t) + (\nu_2 - 2)tx'(t) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1}(\nu_2 - 2) - \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2}\right) (x(t) - x_*)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.4), where $\xi_2 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{3\alpha_0 t^2}{\xi_1} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] + \frac{\alpha_0 t^3}{\xi_1} \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), \phi'_x(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle -\alpha_0 t^2 \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), t^2 x''(t) + (\nu_2 - 2)tx'(t) + \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1}(\nu_2 - 2) - \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2}\right) (x(t) - x_*) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi'_x = (1 + \xi_1)x' + \xi_1 tx''$, the line above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \frac{3\alpha_0 t^2}{\xi_1} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] + \left(\frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1} - (\nu_2 - 2) \right) \alpha_0 t^3 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), x'(t) \rangle \\ &\quad - \alpha_0 \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1} (\nu_2 - 2) - \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2} \right) t^2 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle \\ &= \frac{3\alpha_0 t^2}{\xi_1} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] - \alpha_0 \left(\frac{1}{\xi_1} (\nu_2 - 2) - \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2} \right) t^2 \langle \nabla f(\phi_x(t)), \phi_x(t) - x_* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using the convexity of the function f , we get

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &\leq \left(\frac{3}{\xi_1} - \frac{1}{\xi_1} (\nu_2 - 2) + \frac{1 + \xi_1}{\xi_1^2} \right) \alpha_0 t^2 [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*] \\ &\leq 0 \quad (\text{by (5.12)}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$V(t_0) \geq V(t) \geq \frac{\alpha_0 t^3}{\xi_1} [f(\phi_x(t)) - f_*].$$

To show (5.11), we make use of Lemma 2.8 for the function $g(z) := f(z) - f_*$. \square

Remark 5.4. In the case when $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, Theorem 5.3 reduces to the results by Attouch et al obtained in [5]. Notably, our convergence analysis is carried out directly without using temporal scaling and second order ODE reformulation.

5.2. Ergodic convergence. In the subsection, we study the dynamical system (5.1) when $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are positive constants and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. It turns out that this case offers the ergodic convergence at the rate $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$, similar to that of [11] for second order ODE.

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that the function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and the gradient ∇f is M -Lipschitz. Consider the dynamical system (5.1), where the coefficients $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ are positive constants satisfying condition (5.13).*

$$(5.13) \quad \beta_0 < \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2}{M}.$$

Then it holds that

$$f \left(\frac{1}{t - t_0} \int_{t_0}^t x(s) ds \right) - f_* = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right).$$

Proof. Consider the quadratic function

$$h(x) = 2M\beta_2 x^2 - 4\beta_1\beta_2 x + 2\beta_0\beta_1.$$

By (5.13), the function $h(x)$ has two distinct roots x_1, x_2 subject to the following

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{\beta_0}{2\beta_2} < \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) = \frac{\beta_1}{M} < \frac{2\beta_1}{M}.$$

Note that

$$(5.15) \quad h \left(\frac{\beta_0}{2\beta_2} \right) = \frac{M\beta_0^2}{2\beta_2} > 0, \quad h \left(\frac{2\beta_1}{M} \right) = 2\beta_0\beta_1 > 0.$$

By (5.14)-(5.15), the roots x_1, x_2 verify

$$\frac{\beta_0}{2\beta_2} < x_1 < x_2 < \frac{2\beta_1}{M}.$$

Let $A \in (x_1, x_2)$. Since $h(x) < 0$ for $x \in (x_1, x_2)$, we have $h(A) < 0$ and so

$$\frac{1}{2A\beta_2 - \beta_0} < \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{2\beta_1}{AM} - 1 \right).$$

Take B to be a constant satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\beta_2(2A\beta_2 - \beta_0)} \leq B < \frac{1}{\beta_0\beta_2} \left(\frac{2\beta_1}{AM} - 1 \right).$$

Then

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2A} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_2} + B\beta_0 \right) - B\beta_2 \leq 0, \\ \varepsilon := & \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_2} + B\beta_0 \right) AM > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the function $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) = & \frac{1}{2\beta_0\beta_2} \|x''(t) + \beta_2x'(t) + \beta_1(x(t) - x_*)\|^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2}B\|x''(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\beta_1\|x'(t)\|^2 + f(x(t)) - f_*. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) = & \frac{1}{\beta_0\beta_2} \langle x'''(t) + \beta_2x''(t) + \beta_1x'(t), x''(t) + \beta_2x'(t) + \beta_1(x(t) - x_*) \rangle \\ & + B \langle x'''(t), x''(t) \rangle + B\beta_1 \langle x''(t), x'(t) \rangle + \langle \nabla f(x(t)), x'(t) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by (5.1), that

$$V'(t) = -\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \langle \nabla f(x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle - B\beta_2\|x''(t)\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\beta_2} + B\beta_0 \right) \langle \nabla f(x(t)), x''(t) \rangle.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle \nabla f(x(t)), x''(t) \rangle & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(A\|\nabla f(x(t))\|^2 + \frac{\|x''(t)\|^2}{A} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(AM \langle \nabla f(x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle + \frac{\|x''(t)\|^2}{A} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) & \leq -\varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle + \left[\frac{1}{2A} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_2} + B\beta_0 \right) - B\beta_2 \right] \|x''(t)\|^2 \\ & \leq -\varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x(t)), x(t) - x_* \rangle \quad (\text{by (5.16)}) \\ & \leq -\varepsilon [f(x(t)) - f_*]. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout integrating with respect to $t \in [t_0, s]$ and then dividing both sides by $s - t_0$, the last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V(t_0)}{s - t_0} &\geq \frac{V(s)}{s - t_0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{s - t_0} \int_{t_0}^s [f(x(t)) - f_*] dt \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{s - t_0} \int_{t_0}^s [f(x(t)) - f_*] dt \\ &\geq f \left(\frac{1}{s - t_0} \int_{t_0}^s x(t) dt \right) - f_*, \end{aligned}$$

where the line above uses the Jensen inequality. The proof is complete. \square

6. SPLITTING MONOTONE INCLUSIONS

In this section, we discuss the applications of the proposed third order ODE to the following three operators splitting inclusion

$$(6.1) \quad \text{Find } x_* \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } 0 \in A(x_*) + B(x_*) + C(x_*),$$

where $A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a single-valued operator and $B, C : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ are maximal monotone set-valued operators defined on \mathcal{H} . The solutions set of (6.1) is denoted by $Z(A+B+C)$. This monotone inclusion provides a very general framework and has many applications in optimization [16]. When A is ω_A cocoercive, Davis and Yin introduced in [16] the following operator

$$T_{DY} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad T_{DY} := J_{\gamma B} \circ (2J_{\gamma C} - I - \gamma A \circ J_{\gamma C}) + I - \gamma J_{\gamma C},$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 2\omega_A)$. Then the solutions set $Z(A+B+C)$ can be characterized in terms of T_{DY} by

$$Z(A+B+C) = J_{\gamma C}(\text{Fix}(T_{DY})),$$

where $\text{Fix}(T_{DY})$ stands for the set of fixed points of T_{DY} . Now let us define the operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$U := I - T_{DY} = \gamma J_{\gamma C} - J_{\gamma B} \circ (2J_{\gamma C} - I - \gamma A \circ J_{\gamma C})$$

then it holds

$$Z(A+B+C) = J_{\gamma C}(\text{Fix}(T_{DY})) = J_{\gamma C}(Z(U)).$$

Hence, we can deduce the convergence results established in the previous sections of the third order ODE for solving (6.1), provided that U is (quasi) cocoercive. Indeed, we can verify this condition in the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *For all $\gamma \in (0, 2\omega_A)$, the operator U is cocoercive.*

Proof. It follows from [16, Proposition 2.1] that T_{DY} is α -averaged with coefficient

$$\alpha := \frac{2\omega_A}{4\omega_A - \gamma} \in (0, 1).$$

Therefore, $U = I - T_{DY}$ is $\frac{1}{2\alpha}$ -cocoercive [10, Remark 3.2 and Corollary 3.5]. \square

Remark 6.2. If $C = 0$, then the monotone inclusion (6.1) reduces to the two operators splitting monotone inclusion (1.3). In this case, the T_{DY} is nothing but the forward-backward operator, i.e. $T_{DY} = J_{\gamma A}(I - \gamma B) = T_{FB}$.

If $A = 0$ then (6.1) reduces to

$$(6.2) \quad \text{Find } x_* \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } 0 \in B(x_*) + C(x_*),$$

where $B, C : \mathcal{H} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{H}$ are maximal monotone set-valued operators. In this case, T_{DY} coincides with the Douglas-Rachford operator studied in [21]

$$T_{DY} = J_{\gamma B} \circ (2J_{\gamma C} - I) + I - \gamma J_{\gamma C} = T_{DR}.$$

Forward-backward-forward operator. When $C = 0$ and A is only merely monotone and not cocoercive, we will employ the forward-backward-forward operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ proposed by Tseng in [23]

$$(6.3) \quad U := I - J_{\gamma B}(I - \gamma A) - \gamma [Ax - A \circ J_{\gamma B}(I - \gamma A)],$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. We have the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.3. [15, Proposition 1] *Assume that A is monotone and L -Lipschitz continuous and B is maximal monotone. Then it holds*

- (i) $Z(A + B) = Z(U)$;
- (ii) U is Lipschitz continuous;
- (iii) If $\gamma < \frac{1}{L}$ then U is quasi-cocoercive with modulus $\omega = \frac{1-\gamma L}{(1+\gamma L)^2}$.

Hence, the convergence results of the third order ODE discussed previously for solving (1.3) also follow.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abbas, H. Attouch, and Benar F. Svaiter. Newton-like dynamics and forward-backward methods for structured monotone inclusions in Hilbert spaces. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 161(2):331–360, 2014.
- [2] Cristian Daniel Alecsa, Szilárd Csaba László, and Titus Pința. An extension of the second order dynamical system that models Nesterov’s convex gradient method. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 84(2):1687–1716, 2021.
- [3] Hedy Attouch, Zaki Chbani, Juan Peypouquet, and Patrick Redont. Fast convergence of inertial dynamics and algorithms with asymptotic vanishing viscosity. *Math. Program.*, 168(1-2 (B)):123–175, 2018.
- [4] Hedy Attouch, Zaki Chbani, and Hassan Riahi. Fast convex optimization via a third-order in time evolution equation. *Optimization*, 71(5):1275–1304, 2022.
- [5] Hedy Attouch, Zaki Chbani, and Hassan Riahi. Fast convex optimization via a third-order in time evolution equation: TOGES-V an improved version of TOGES*. *Optimization*, 73(3):575–595, 2024.
- [6] Hedy Attouch and Jalal Fadili. From the ravine method to the Nesterov method and vice versa: a dynamical system perspective. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 32(3):2074–2101, 2022.
- [7] Hedy Attouch and Juan Peypouquet. The rate of convergence of Nesterov’s accelerated forward-backward method is actually faster than $1/k^2$. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 26(3):1824–1834, 2016.
- [8] Hedy Attouch, Juan Peypouquet, and Patrick Redont. A dynamical approach to an inertial forward-backward algorithm for convex minimization. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 24(1):232–256, 2014.
- [9] Heinz H. Bauschke and Patrick L. Combettes. *Convex analysis and monotone operator theory in Hilbert spaces*. CMS Books Math./Ouvrages Math. SMC. Cham: Springer, 2nd edition edition, 2017.
- [10] Heinz H. Bauschke, Walaa M. Moursi, and Xianfu Wang. Generalized monotone operators and their averaged resolvents. *Math. Program.*, 189(1-2 (B)):55–74, 2021.
- [11] Radu Ioan Boț and Ernő Robert Csetnek. Second order forward-backward dynamical systems for monotone inclusion problems. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 54(3):1423–1443, 2016.
- [12] Radu Ioan Boț and Ernő Robert Csetnek. Convergence rates for forward-backward dynamical systems associated with strongly monotone inclusions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 457(2):1135–1152, 2018.
- [13] Radu Ioan Boț, Ernő Robert Csetnek, and Phan Tu Vuong. The forward-backward-forward method from continuous and discrete perspective for pseudo-monotone variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 287(1):49–60, 2020.
- [14] Radu Ioan Boț and David Alexander Hulett. Second order splitting dynamics with vanishing damping for additively structured monotone inclusions. *J. Dyn. Differ. Equations*, 36(1):727–756, 2024.
- [15] Radu Ioan Boț, Michael Sedlmayer, and Phan Tu Vuong. A relaxed inertial forward-backward-forward algorithm for solving monotone inclusions with application to gans. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(8):1–37, 2023.

- [16] Damek Davis and Wotao Yin. A three-operator splitting scheme and its optimization applications. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.*, 25(4):829–858, 2017.
- [17] Nguyen Thi Thu Ha, J. J. Strodiot, and Phan Tu Vuong. On the global exponential stability of a projected dynamical system for strongly pseudomonotone variational inequalities. *Optim. Lett.*, 12(7):1625–1638, 2018.
- [18] Pham Viet Hai and Phan Tu Vuong. Third order dynamical systems for the sum of two generalized monotone operators. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 2024.
- [19] Alain Haraux. *Systèmes dynamiques dissipatifs et applications. (Dissipative dynamical systems and applications)*, volume 17 of *Rech. Math. Appl.* Paris etc.: Masson, 1991.
- [20] Do Sang Kim, Phan Tu Vuong, and Pham Duy Khanh. Qualitative properties of strongly pseudomonotone variational inequalities. *Optim. Lett.*, 10(8):1669–1679, 2016.
- [21] Pierre-Louis Lions and B. Mercier. Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 16:964–979, 1979.
- [22] Weijie Su, Stephen Boyd, and Emmanuel J. Candès. A differential equation for modeling Nesterov’s accelerated gradient method: theory and insights. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 17:43, 2016. Id/No 153.
- [23] Paul Tseng. A modified forward-backward splitting method for maximal monotone mappings. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 38(2):431–446, 2000.
- [24] Le Van Vinh, Van Nam Tran, and Phan Tu Vuong. A second-order dynamical system for equilibrium problems. *Numer. Algorithms*, 91(1):327–351, 2022.
- [25] Phan Tu Vuong. A second order dynamical system and its discretization for strongly pseudomonotone variational inequalities. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 59(4):2875–2897, 2021.
- [26] Phan Tu Vuong and Jean Jacques Strodiot. A dynamical system for strongly pseudo-monotone equilibrium problems. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 185(3):767–784, 2020.

(P. V. Hai) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, HANOI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KHOA TOAN-TIN, DAI HOC BACH KHOA HANOI, 1 DAI CO VIET, HANOI, VIETNAM.
Email address: hai.phamviet@hust.edu.vn

(P. T. Vuong) MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SO17 1BJ, SOUTHAMPTON, UK
Email address: t.v.phan@soton.ac.uk