

IWASAWA'S MAIN CONJECTURE FOR RANKIN–SELBERG MOTIVES IN THE ANTICYCLOTOMIC CASE

YIFENG LIU, YICHAO TIAN, AND LIANG XIAO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the Iwasawa theory for cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL(n) \times GL(n+1)$ over CM fields along anticyclotomic directions, in the framework of the Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for unitary groups. We prove one-side divisibility of the corresponding Iwasawa main conjecture: when the global root number is 1, the p -adic L -function belongs to the characteristic ideal of the Iwasawa Bloch–Kato Selmer group; when the global root number is -1 , the square of the characteristic ideal of a certain Iwasawa module is contained in the characteristic ideal of the torsion part of the Iwasawa Bloch–Kato Selmer group (analogous to Perrin–Riou’s Heegner point main conjecture).

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Main results: the symmetric power setting	2
1.2. Main results: the automorphic setting	3
1.3. Structure and strategy	6
1.4. Notations and conventions	7
Acknowledgements	8
2. Recollection on hermitian structures	8
2.1. Unitary Satake parameters	8
2.2. Hermitian spaces, unitary Shimura varieties and sets	9
2.3. Generalized CM type and reflexive closure	11
2.4. Unitary abelian schemes	11
2.5. A CM moduli scheme	14
2.6. Unitary Deligne–Lusztig varieties	15
3. Abel–Jacobi image of basic locus	16
3.1. Initial setup	16
3.2. Unitary moduli schemes at hyperspecial level	17
3.3. Ekedahl–Oort stratification	19
3.4. Unitary moduli schemes at Siegel parahoric level	23
3.5. Tate–Thompson local system	29
3.6. Nearby cycles over Newton strata	34
3.7. Correspondences over Newton strata	36
3.8. Central nearby cycle of Tate–Thompson local system	43
3.9. Marginal nearby cycles of Tate–Thompson local system	44
3.10. Relation with Abel–Jacobi map	50
3.11. Appendix: Complements on Tate–Thompson representations	53
4. Explicit reciprocity laws for Rankin–Selberg motives	56
4.1. Setup for automorphic Rankin–Selberg motives	56
4.2. First explicit reciprocity law	57
4.3. Second explicit reciprocity law	60
4.4. Appendix: Complements on basic correspondences	65
4.5. Appendix: Intertwining Hecke operator in the nonsplit case	67

Date: July 18, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11G05, 11G18, 11G40, 11R34.

5. Iwasawa's main conjecture	69
5.1. Results toward the main conjecture	69
5.2. Iwasawa Selmer modules	72
5.3. Congruence modules	81
5.4. The lambda maps	88
5.5. The kappa maps	90
5.6. The Iwasawa Rankin–Selberg bipartite Euler system	94
5.7. Appendix: Generalized bipartite Euler systems	101
References	104

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of anticyclotomic Iwasawa theory dates back to the pioneer work of Bertolini and Darmon [BD05], in which they proved the one-side divisibility (p -adic L -function belongs to the characteristic ideal) of the (definite) Iwasawa main conjecture for rational elliptic curves along the anticyclotomic direction of an imaginary quadratic field, by constructing an Euler system that is a variant of Kolyvagin's original system [Kol90],¹ which was later streamlined by Howard known as the bipartite Euler system [How06]. Around the same time, Howard [How04b] proved the (same) one-side divisibility of an indefinite version of the anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for rational elliptic curves proposed by Perrin-Riou [PR87]. In years after, the results of Bertolini–Darmon and Howard have been generalized to modular forms of general weights or Hilbert modular forms (see, for example, [PW11, Lon12, CH15, Wan23]), all for $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ (or rather $\mathrm{GL}(1) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$).

In this article, we study the anticyclotomic Iwasawa theory for cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{GL}(n+1)$ over CM fields for an arbitrary positive integer n , in the framework of the Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture [GGP12] for unitary groups. This article can also be viewed as an upgrade of [LTXZZ1] to the level of Iwasawa algebra.

Let F/F^+ be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field, with the maximal abelian extension F^{ab} of F in a fixed algebraic closure \overline{F} of F . We define an *anticyclotomic extension* of F to be an extension \mathcal{F}/F contained in F^{ab} such that \mathcal{F}/F^+ is Galois for which the unique nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Gal}(F/F^+)$ acts on $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ by -1 . We say that an anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F is *free* if $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ is torsion free. For an anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F , we denote by $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ the set of primes of F ramified in \mathcal{F} and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ the set of primes of F^+ underlying those in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$.

1.1. Main results: the symmetric power setting. Consider two *modular* elliptic curves A and A' over F^+ such that $\mathrm{End}(A_{\overline{F}}) = \mathrm{End}(A'_{\overline{F}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and an integer $n \geq 1$.

Let p be a rational prime. We say that an anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F is *p -ordinary* (with respect to A, A') if every prime $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ divides p , splits in F , and satisfies that both A_v and A'_v have good ordinary reduction.²

Take a free p -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F . Combining with the recent breakthrough on the automorphy of symmetric powers of Hilbert modular forms [NT], the automorphy of quadratic base change [AC89], and [Liu, Theorem 5.2], one has an element

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \mathrm{Sym}^n A'_F) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

unique up to a scalar in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times , satisfying the following interpolation property: There exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that for every finite order character $\chi: \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ that is ramified at *all* places in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ and every embedding $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\iota \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \mathrm{Sym}^n A'_F)(\chi) = C \cdot \iota \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \left(\frac{q_v^{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}}}{\alpha_v^{2\psi(n-1)} \alpha'_v^{2\psi(n)}} \right)^{c_v(\chi)} \cdot L(n, \mathrm{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \mathrm{Sym}^n A'_F \otimes \iota\chi),$$

¹During the preparation of this article, we noticed that the preprint [BLV] has claimed a proof of the other direction of the definite anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for elliptic curves.

²In particular, the trivial extension F/F is a p -ordinary anticyclotomic extension.

where $\psi(N) := N^2 + (N-2)^2 + \dots$ for an integer $N \geq 0$; and for every $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$,

- ❖ q_v denotes the residue cardinality of F_v^+ ;
- ❖ α_v and α'_v denote the unique root in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times of the polynomials $T^2 - a(A_v)T + q_v$ and $T^2 - a(A'_v)T + q_v$, respectively;
- ❖ $c_v(\chi) > 0$ denotes the conductor of χ at (either place in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ above) v .

Note that n is the center of the (complex) L -function $L(s, \text{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \text{Sym}^n A'_F \otimes \iota\chi)$ in the classical convention.

As usual, we denote by T_p the p -adic Tate module (functor), and put $V_p := T_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $W_p := T_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$. For every finite extension F'/F , we have the Bloch–Kato Selmer groups [BK90]

$$\begin{aligned} H_f^1(F', \text{Sym}^{n-1} W_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sym}^n W_p(A')(1-n)) &\subseteq H^1(F', \text{Sym}^{n-1} W_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sym}^n W_p(A')(1-n)), \\ H_f^1(F', \text{Sym}^{n-1} T_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sym}^n T_p(A')(1-n)) &\subseteq H^1(F', \text{Sym}^{n-1} T_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sym}^n T_p(A')(1-n)), \end{aligned}$$

for the (canonically polarized) Galois representation $\text{Sym}^{n-1} V_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sym}^n V_p(A')(1-n)$ (see §5.1 for the precise definition). Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} V_p(A) \otimes \text{Sym}^n V_p(A')(1-n)) \\ &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left(\varinjlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H_f^1(F', \text{Sym}^{n-1} W_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sym}^n W_p(A')(1-n)), \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} V_p(A) \otimes \text{Sym}^n V_p(A')(1-n)) \\ &:= \left(\varinjlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H_f^1(F', \text{Sym}^{n-1} T_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sym}^n T_p(A')(1-n)) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p, \end{aligned}$$

where the colimit/limit are taken with respect to restriction/corestriction maps; they are naturally finitely generated modules over $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Theorem 1.1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer that is at most 2 when $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}$. Let A and A' be two modular elliptic curves over F^+ such that $\text{End}(A_{\overline{F}}) = \text{End}(A'_{\overline{F}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and that $A_{\overline{F}}$ and $A'_{\overline{F}}$ are not isogenous to each other. The following holds for all but finitely many rational primes p (see Remark 1.2.5 below for precise conditions on p):*

For every free p -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F (so that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a UFD), if $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \text{Sym}^n A'_F) \neq 0$, then

- (a) $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} V_p(A) \otimes \text{Sym}^n V_p(A')(1-n))$ is a torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module;
- (b) $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} V_p(A) \otimes \text{Sym}^n V_p(A')(1-n))$ vanishes;
- (c) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \text{Sym}^n A'_F)$ belongs to the characteristic ideal of the $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Sym}^{n-1} V_p(A) \otimes \text{Sym}^n V_p(A')(1-n))$.³

This theorem follows by combining Theorem 1.2.3(1) and Theorem 1.2.4(1) below. The above theorem recovers [LTXZZ1, Theorem 1.1.1] by taking $\mathcal{F} = F$.

1.2. Main results: the automorphic setting.

Definition 1.2.1. We say that a complex representation Π of $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ with $N \geq 1$ is *relevant* if

- (1) Π is an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation;
- (2) $\Pi \circ c \simeq \Pi^\vee$, where $c \in \text{Gal}(F/F^+)$ is the complex conjugation;
- (3) for every archimedean place w of F , Π_w is isomorphic to the (irreducible) principal series representation induced by the characters $(\arg^{1-N}, \arg^{3-N}, \dots, \arg^{N-3}, \arg^{N-1})$, where $\arg: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the *argument character* defined by the formula $\arg(z) := z / \sqrt{z\bar{z}}$.

It is clear that being relevant is stable under contragredient.

Take an integer $n \geq 1$, and denote by n_0 and n_1 the unique even and odd numbers in $\{n, n+1\}$, respectively. Consider two relevant representations Π_0 and Π_1 of $\text{GL}_{n_0}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\text{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, respectively, and a strong coefficient field $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ of both Π_0 and Π_1 (Remark 2.1.3(3)).

³For (c), one does not need the nonvanishing of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Sym}^{n-1} A_F \times \text{Sym}^n A'_F)$ since otherwise the conclusion is automatic.

Let φ be a p -adic place of E . We say that an anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F is φ -ordinary (with respect to Π_0, Π_1) if every prime $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ divides p , splits over F^+ , and satisfies that both $\Pi_{0,w}$ and $\Pi_{1,w}$ are unramified and φ -ordinary (Definition 2.1.5).

Take a φ -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F . For $\alpha = 0, 1$, we have the Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \varphi}$ of F with coefficients in E_φ associated with Π_0 , normalized so that $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \varphi} \simeq \rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \varphi}^\vee(1 - n_\alpha)$. In particular, $\rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)$ is polarizable. Similarly, we have Selmer groups

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)), \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)),$$

which are finitely generated modules over $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E_\varphi$. In addition, denote by $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ the maximal $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -torsion submodule of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$.

By Definition 1.2.1(2), the global Rankin–Selberg epsilon factor $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ is either 1 or -1 . In [Liu], we construct (see §5.1 for more details)

- ❖ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, an element

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi},$$

well-defined up to a scalar in E_φ^\times ;

- ❖ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -submodule

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)),$$

which is generated by, roughly speaking, the Abel–Jacobi image of a family of Gan–Gross–Prasad diagonal cycles in the anticyclotomic tower. Put

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) := \frac{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))}{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))}.$$

Notation 1.2.2. For every free φ -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F (so that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ is a UFD) and every finitely generated $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -module \mathcal{M} , we denote by $\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{M})$ the characteristic ideal of \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 1.2.3 (Theorem 5.1.3). *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer that is at most 2 when $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}$. Let Π_0 and Π_1 be two relevant representations of $\text{GL}_{n_0}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\text{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, respectively, and $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a strong coefficient field of both Π_0 and Π_1 . For every admissible prime φ of E in the sense of Definition 5.1.2 and every free φ -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F , the following holds:*

- (1) Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$. If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \neq 0$, then
 - (a) $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) = \mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$;
 - (b) $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ vanishes;
 - (c) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ belongs to $\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)))$.⁴
- (2) Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$. If $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \neq 0$, then
 - (a) $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ has $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -rank one;
 - (b) $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ is a torsion free $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -module of rank one;
 - (c) $\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)))^2$ is contained in $\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)))$.

The two cases of the above theorem confirm one direction of Conjecture 6.4 and Conjecture 7.6 in [Liu], respectively, for admissible φ . Together with Theorem 1.2.4(1) below, they generalize the works of [BD05] and [Ber95, How04a, How04b], respectively, to higher rank groups (that is, $n > 1$). On the other hand, by taking $\mathcal{F} = F$, the two cases of the above theorem together with Remark 5.5.3 recover Theorem 1.1.5 and Theorem 1.1.9 of [LTXZZ1], respectively, with the minor caveat that the notion of admissible primes of E in Definition 5.1.2 is slightly different from the one in [LTXZZ1, Definition 8.1.1]. Nevertheless, we have the following result, whose proof will be given after the statement of Theorem 5.1.3.

Theorem 1.2.4. *Let Π_0 and Π_1 be two relevant representations of $\text{GL}_{n_0}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\text{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, respectively, and $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a strong coefficient field of both Π_0 and Π_1 . If we are in the following two cases, then all but (effectively) finitely many primes of E are admissible in the sense of Definition 5.1.2:*

⁴For (1c), one does not need the nonvanishing of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ since otherwise the conclusion is automatic.

- (1) $\Pi_0 = (\text{Sym}^{n_0-1} \pi_{A_0})_F$ and $\Pi_1 = (\text{Sym}^{n_1-1} \pi_{A_1})_F$ for modular elliptic curves A_0 and A_1 over F^+ (with the associated automorphic representations π_{A_0} and π_{A_1} of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$, respectively) satisfying
- (a) $\text{End}(A_{0\overline{F}}) = \text{End}(A_{1\overline{F}}) = \mathbb{Z}$;
 - (b) $A_{0\overline{F}}$ and $A_{1\overline{F}}$ are not isogenous to each other.
- (2) Π_0 and Π_1 satisfy
- (a) there exists a very special inert prime l of F^+ (Definition 2.3.4) such that $\Pi_{0,l}$ is Steinberg, and $\Pi_{1,l}$ is unramified whose Satake parameter contains 1 exactly once;⁵
 - (b) for $\alpha = 0, 1$, there exists a nonarchimedean place w_α of F such that Π_{α, w_α} is supercuspidal.

Remark 1.2.5. In Case (1) of Theorem 1.2.4, we give more explicit conditions for admissible primes only using arithmetics of A_0 and A_1 . It suffices to consider the case $E = \mathbb{Q}$ as the conditions in Definition 5.1.2 are stable under extension of coefficients. Consider

- (S1): $p > 4n$;
- (S2): p is unramified in F and both A_0 and A_1 have good reduction at every p -adic place of F^+ ;
- (S3): the natural homomorphism $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F_{\text{rfix}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A_0[p](\overline{F})) \times \text{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A_1[p](\overline{F}))$ has the largest possible image (that is, isomorphic to $\text{G}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$), where $F_{\text{rfix}} \subseteq \overline{F}$ is the reflexive closure of F (Definition 2.3.2);⁶
- (S4): the polynomial

$$XY \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (1 - (-X)^i) \cdot \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times J \setminus \{(1,0)\}} (X^i Y^j - X) \cdot \prod_{j \in J} (Y^j + X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$$

is not identically zero on $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$, where $I := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |i| \leq n_0 - 1, i \text{ odd}\}$ and $J := \{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |j| \leq n_1 - 1, i \text{ even}\}$;⁷

- (S5): for every prime w of F whose underlying rational prime divides the conductors of either F , A_0 , or A_1 , if we let F'_w/F_w be the minimal totally ramified extension over which A_0 has good or multiplicative reduction, then

- ❖ $p \nmid [F'_w : F_w]$;
- ❖ $p \nmid \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (q_w^i - 1)$, where q_w denotes the residue cardinality of F_w ;
- ❖ when A_0 has good reduction over F'_w , the two roots α, β of the Satake polynomial of A_0 over F'_w belong to $(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)})^\times$ such that the image of

$$\{(\alpha/\beta)^{n_0-1}, (\alpha/\beta)^{n_0-3}, \dots, (\alpha/\beta)^{3-n_0}, (\alpha/\beta)^{1-n_0}\}$$

in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times$ does not contain q_w ;

- ❖ when A_0 has multiplicative reduction over F'_w , p does not divide the F_w -valuation of $j(A_0)$.

It is obvious that (S1,S2,S4,S5) together exclude only finitely many p ; so does (S3) by the famous result of Serre [Ser72].

We claim that if p satisfies the five conditions above, then it is an admissible prime in the sense of Definition 5.1.2. Indeed, (A1) is nothing but (S1); (A2) is implied by (S2); (A3) is implied by (S3); (A4) is implied by (S3); (A5) is implied by (S4); (A6) is implied by (S3) and (S5) by the proof of [LTXZZ2, Proposition 4.1].

Theorem 1.2.3(1) has a standard corollary, whose proof will be given at the end of §5.6.

Corollary 1.2.6. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer that is at most 2 when $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}$. Let Π_0 and Π_1 be two relevant representations of $\text{GL}_{n_0}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\text{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, respectively, and $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a strong coefficient field of both Π_0 and Π_1 . Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$. Then for every admissible prime \wp of E in the sense of Definition 5.1.2 and every free \wp -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F ,*

$$\dim_{E_\wp} H_f^1(F, \rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n)) \leq \sup \{m \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{L}_\mathcal{F}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathcal{F}^m\},$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_\mathcal{F}$ denotes the augmentation ideal of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\wp}$.

⁵Note that the Satake parameter of $\Pi_{1,l}$ has to contain 1 at least once by Definition 1.2.1(2).

⁶In particular, $F_{\text{rfix}} = F$ when F is Galois or contains an imaginary quadratic field.

⁷For example, this condition excludes $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ when $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, respectively.

Remark 1.2.7. In [Liu], it is conjectured that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ or $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$, depending on whether $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$ or $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, is automatically nonzero as long as the extension \mathcal{F}/F is *infinite*. However, currently we are unable to prove this, except for the case where $n = 1$ (with some extra conditions), which follows from [CV07].

Remark 1.2.8. The condition that $n \leq 2$ when $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}$ in Theorem 1.1.1, Theorem 1.2.3, and Corollary 1.2.6 is (only) due to Hypothesis 2.2.5. In other words, once Hypothesis 2.2.5 is known for $N \geq 4$ when $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}$, this condition can be removed.

Remark 1.2.9. Unlike most references concerning the Iwasawa theory for Selmer groups, we allow \mathcal{F}/F to ramify at more than one (p -adic) places, and even allow places ramified in \mathcal{F}/F to have infinite residue extension degree. The latter generality forces us to develop some new types of control theorems in the specialization of Iwasawa Selmer modules, which is the main focus for the second half of §5.2.

1.3. Structure and strategy. For simplicity of the introduction, we assume that the global root number is 1 and that the coefficient field E is \mathbb{Q} . The fundamental ideal for proving our theorems is the same as that of Bertolini–Darmon, namely, we will construct a bipartite Euler system over the Iwasawa algebra. A bipartite Euler system comes with two formulae relating local Galois cohomology with p -adic period integrals (or rather sums), known as the first and the second explicit reciprocity laws (in the Iwasawa algebra).

For every integer $k \geq 1$, the Euler system modulo p^k is indexed by a set \mathfrak{N}_k consisting of finite sets of certain “level-raising” primes of the base field F modulo p^k (these primes are all inert over F^+ and coprime to p). For every element $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_k$, we will have a \mathbb{Z}_p/p^k -module $C^{\mathfrak{n}, k}$, which encodes the “amount” of level-raising phenomenon at places in \mathfrak{n} . The explicit reciprocity laws themselves provide maps from $C^{\mathfrak{n}, k}$ to $C^{\mathfrak{n}', k}$ when $\mathfrak{n}' \setminus \mathfrak{n}$ is a singleton, which turn out to be isomorphisms (first/second according to whether the cardinality of \mathfrak{n} is even/odd).

Readers may notice that in our prequel [LTXZZ1], the reciprocity laws already appeared but without the variation in the Iwasawa algebra. One clear task for us in this article is to upgrade them to the level of Iwasawa algebra. On the other hand, we also need to know that maps from $C^{\mathfrak{n}, k}$ to $C^{\mathfrak{n}', k}$ encoded in the reciprocity laws are *isomorphisms* – this was proved in [LTXZZ1] only for the first law. For the second law, using certain Galois deformation argument, we reduce the problem to the *surjectivity* of the integral p -adic Abel–Jacobi map from the basic locus of certain unitary Shimura varieties after suitable Hecke localization, which generalizes the following famous theorem of Ribet [Rib90]: the integral p -adic Abel–Jacobi map from the supersingular locus of the modular curve $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ over \mathbb{F}_ℓ with $\ell \nmid pN$ is surjective after localizing at a non-Eisenstein ideal of the Hecke algebra away from $N\ell$.

The proof of Ribet’s theorem is to reduce the surjectivity of the Abel–Jacobi map to the surjectivity in the Ihara lemma for modular curves, in which the latter is known. We follow the same strategy by considering unitary Shimura varieties with the Siegel parahoric level. We formulate the correct version of the Ihara type lemma for unitary Shimura varieties at inert primes that is responsible for the surjectivity of the Abel–Jacobi map, via the so-called *Tate–Thompson local system*, which is analogous to the rank- ℓ local system over $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ corresponding to the Steinberg representation. In order to connect with the basic locus of the special fiber, we have to compute the nearby cycles of the Tate–Thompson local system; this is one of major technical difficulties of the article. As a result, we show that the surjectivity of a certain map, which we call the *boosting map*, defined in terms of the cohomology of the nearby cycles of the Tate–Thompson local system, implies the surjectivity of the Abel–Jacobi map from the basic locus, after some Hecke localizations. For the surjectivity of the boosting map itself, it can be reduced to the surjectivity of a map only involving generic fibers (see Remark 3.10.7) so that we can apply a certain “changing prime” trick. As a result, we are able to prove it for a large class of maximal Hecke ideals (for which we take localization) that are sufficient for our construction of the bipartite Euler system.⁸

We start the main part of the article by collecting in §2 certain background materials from the prequel [LTXZZ1] that will be systematically used later. In §3, we study the image of the basic locus of unitary Shimura varieties at good inert primes under the Abel–Jacobi map and its relation with the boosting map involving the Tate–Thompson local system. In §4, we upgrade the two explicit reciprocity laws from [LTXZZ1, §7] to the level of Iwasawa algebra. In §5, we give the precise statement and the proof of our main theorems toward the Iwasawa main conjecture formulated in [Liu], based on the (generalized) bipartite Euler system we construct.

⁸However, currently we are not able to prove the full Ihara type lemma under our expectation. See Remark 5.3.10.

1.4. Notations and conventions. In this subsection, we setup some common notations and conventions for the entire article, including appendices, unless otherwise specified. The notations in the previous two subsections will not be relied on from this moment, and should not be kept for further reading.

Generalities:

- ❖ Denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ the monoid of nonnegative integers.
- ❖ For a set S , we denote by $\mathbb{1}_S$ the characteristic function of S .
- ❖ The eigenvalues or generalized eigenvalues of a matrix over a field k are counted with multiplicity (namely, dimension of the corresponding eigenspace or generalized eigenspace); in other words, they form a multi-subset of an algebraic extension of k .
- ❖ For every rational prime p , we fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of \mathbb{Q}_p with the residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. For every integer $r \geq 1$, we denote by \mathbb{Q}_{p^r} the subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ that is an unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree r , by \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} its ring of integers, and by \mathbb{F}_{p^r} its residue field.
- ❖ For a nonarchimedean place v of a number field K , we write $\|v\|$ for the cardinality of the residue field of K_v .
- ❖ We use standard notations from the category theory. The category of sets is denoted by \mathbf{Set} . For a category \mathcal{C} , we denote by \mathcal{C}^{op} its opposite category, and denote by $\mathcal{C}/_A$ the category of morphisms to A for an object A of \mathcal{C} . For another category \mathcal{D} , we denote by $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the category of functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . We also use the symbol $-$ to indicate a virtual object.
- ❖ All rings are commutative and unital; and ring homomorphisms preserve units. For a (topological) ring L , a (topological) L -ring is a (topological) ring R together with a (continuous) ring homomorphism from L to R . However, we use the word *algebra* in the general sense, which is not necessarily commutative or unital.
- ❖ If a base ring is not specified in the tensor operation \otimes , then it is \mathbb{Z} .
- ❖ For a ring L and a set S , denote by $L[S]$ the L -module of L -valued functions on S of finite support.
- ❖ For an algebra A , we denote by $\mathbf{Mod}(A)$ the category of left A -modules.

Algebraic geometry:

- ❖ We denote by the category of schemes by \mathbf{Sch} and its full subcategory of locally Noetherian schemes by \mathbf{Sch}' . For a scheme S (resp. Noetherian scheme S), we denote by $\mathbf{Sch}/_S$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sch}'/_S$) the category of S -schemes (resp. locally Noetherian S -schemes). If $S = \mathbf{Spec} R$ is affine, we also write $\mathbf{Sch}/_R$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sch}'/_R$) for $\mathbf{Sch}/_S$ (resp. $\mathbf{Sch}'/_S$).
- ❖ The structure sheaf of a scheme X is denoted by \mathcal{O}_X .
- ❖ For two schemes X, Y over an affine scheme $\mathbf{Spec} R$, we write $X \times_R Y$ for $X \times_{\mathbf{Spec} R} Y$. When $Y = \mathbf{Spec} S$ for an R -ring S , we write $X \otimes_R S$ or even X_S for $X \times_{\mathbf{Spec} R} \mathbf{Spec} S$.
- ❖ For a scheme S in characteristic p for some rational prime p , we denote by $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$ the absolute p -power Frobenius morphism. For a perfect field κ of characteristic p , we denote by $W(\kappa)$ its Witt ring, and by abuse of notation, $\sigma: W(\kappa) \rightarrow W(\kappa)$ the canonical lifting of the p -power Frobenius map.
- ❖ For a smooth morphism $S \rightarrow T$ of schemes, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_{S/T}$ the relative tangent sheaf, which is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module.
- ❖ For a scheme S and (sheaves of) \mathcal{O}_S -modules \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , we denote by $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ the quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_S -linear homomorphisms from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{G} .
- ❖ For a positive integer r , we denote by \mathbf{GL}_r the scheme of invertible r -by- r matrices. Then \mathbf{GL}_1 is simply the multiplicative group $\mathbf{G}_m := \mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$; but we will distinguish between \mathbf{GL}_1 and \mathbf{G}_m according to the context.
- ❖ For a number field K , a commutative group scheme $G \rightarrow S$ equipped with an action by \mathcal{O}_K over some base scheme S , and an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, we denote by $G[\mathfrak{a}]$ the maximal closed subgroup scheme of G annihilated by all elements in \mathfrak{a} .
- ❖ By a *coefficient ring* for étale cohomology, we mean either a finite ring, or a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ , or the ring of integers of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ . In the latter two cases, we regard the étale cohomology as the continuous one. We say that a coefficient ring L is *n-coprime* for a positive integer n if n is invertible in L in the first case, and $\ell \nmid n$ in the latter two cases.

Ground fields:

- ❖ Let $c \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ be the complex conjugation.
- ❖ Throughout the article, we fix a *subfield* $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ that is a CM number field.
- ❖ Let $F^+ \subseteq F$ be the maximal subfield on which c acts by the identity.
- ❖ Let \bar{F} be the Galois closure of F in \mathbb{C} and denote by F^{ab} the maximal abelian extension of F in \bar{F} . Put $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} := \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/\mathcal{F})$ for every subfield $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bar{F}$.
- ❖ Denote by Σ and Σ^+ the sets of places of F and F^+ , respectively. For every $w \in \Sigma$, denote by $w^c \in \Sigma$ its complex conjugation.
- ❖ For every finite set \square of places of \mathbb{Q} , denote by Σ_{\square} and Σ_{\square}^+ the sets of places of F and F^+ above \square , respectively. When $\square = \{v\}$ is a singleton, we simply write Σ_v and Σ_v^+ for $\Sigma_{\{v\}}$ and $\Sigma_{\{v\}}^+$, respectively. Denote by $\tau_{\infty} \in \Sigma_{\infty}$ the default complex embedding of F .
- ❖ Denote by Σ_{bad}^+ (resp. Σ_{bad}) the union of Σ_p^+ (resp. Σ_p) for all p that ramifies in F .
- ❖ For every place v of F^+ , we put $F_v := F \otimes_{F^+} F_v^+$, fix an algebraic closure \bar{F}_v^+ of F_v^+ containing \bar{F} , and put $\Gamma_{F_v^+} := \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v^+/F_v^+)$ as a subgroup of Γ_{F^+} .
- ❖ For every nonarchimedean place w of F , we
 - identify the Galois group Γ_{F_w} with $\Gamma_{F_v^+} \cap \Gamma_F$ (resp. $c(\Gamma_{F_v^+} \cap \Gamma_F)c$), where v is the underlying place of F^+ , if the embedding $F \hookrightarrow \bar{F}_v^+$ induces (resp. does not induce) the place w ;
 - let $I_{F_w} \subseteq \Gamma_{F_w}$ be the inertia subgroup;
 - let κ_w be the residue field of F_w , and identify its Galois group Γ_{κ_w} with Γ_{F_w}/I_{F_w} ;
 - denote by $\phi_w \in \Gamma_{F_w}$ a lifting of the *arithmetic* Frobenius element in Γ_{κ_w} .

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Ruiqi Bai, Hao Fu, David Hansen, and Xuhua He for useful comments and discussion, and Dongwen Liu and Binyong Sun for sharing their early manuscript [LS]. We also like to thank Wei Zhang and Xinwen Zhu for collaboration on the prequels [LTXZZ1, LTXZZ2] of this article. Y. L. is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China No. 2022YFA1005300. Y. T. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12225112, 12231001), and CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research (Grant No. YSBR-033). L. X. is supported by a grant from New Cornerstone Foundation, and grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12231001, 12321001, 12071004).

2. RECOLLECTION ON HERMITIAN STRUCTURES

In this section, we collect certain background materials from the prequel [LTXZZ1] that will be systematically used in the current article as well, with some complements. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer.

2.1. Unitary Satake parameters. Let Π be a relevant representation of $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (Definition 1.2.1) with the coefficient field $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ [LTXZZ1, Definition 3.1.1].

Definition 2.1.1 (Abstract Satake parameter). Let L be a ring. For a multi-subset $\alpha := \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\} \subseteq L$, we put

$$P_{\alpha}(T) := \prod_{i=1}^N (T - \alpha_i) \in L[T].$$

Consider a nonarchimedean place v of F^+ not in Σ_{bad}^+ .

- (1) Suppose that v is inert in F . We define an (*abstract*) *Satake parameter* in L at v of rank N to be a multi-subset $\alpha \subseteq L$ of cardinality N . We say that α is *unitary* if $P_{\alpha}(T) = (-T)^N \cdot P_{\alpha}(T^{-1})$.
- (2) Suppose that v splits in F . We define an (*abstract*) *Satake parameter* in L at v of rank N to be a pair $\alpha := (\alpha_1; \alpha_2)$ of multi-subsets $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \subseteq L$ of cardinality N , indexed by the two places w_1, w_2 of F above v . We say that α is *unitary* if $P_{\alpha_1}(T) = c \cdot T^N \cdot P_{\alpha_2}(T^{-1})$ for some constant $c \in L^{\times}$.

For two Satake parameters α_0 and α_1 in L at v of rank n_0 and n_1 , respectively, we may form their tensor product $\alpha_0 \otimes \alpha_1$ in the obvious way, which is of rank $n_0 n_1$. If α_0 and α_1 are both unitary, then so is $\alpha_0 \otimes \alpha_1$.

Notation 2.1.2. We denote by Σ_{Π} the smallest (finite) set of nonarchimedean places of F containing Σ_{bad} such that Π_w is unramified for every $w \in \Sigma \setminus (\Sigma_{\infty} \cup \Sigma_{\Pi})$, and by $\Sigma_{\Pi}^+ \subseteq \Sigma^+$ the subset underlying Σ_{Π} .

- (1) For every $w \in \Sigma \setminus (\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_\Pi)$, let

$$\alpha(\Pi_w) := \{\alpha(\Pi_w)_1, \dots, \alpha(\Pi_w)_N\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

be the Satake parameter of Π_w .

- (2) For every $v \in \Sigma^+ \setminus (\Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_\Pi^+)$,
- ❖ if v is inert in F , then we put $\alpha(\Pi_v) := \alpha(\Pi_w)$ for the unique place w of F above v ;
 - ❖ if v splits in F into two places w_1 and w_2 , then we put $\alpha(\Pi_v) := (\alpha(\Pi_{w_1}); \alpha(\Pi_{w_2}))$;
- in particular, $\alpha(\Pi_v)$ is a unitary Satake parameter in \mathbb{C} at v of rank N .

Remark 2.1.3. Let Π be a relevant representation of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (Definition 1.2.1). Parts (1) and (2) below are recorded in [LTXZZ1, Proposition 3.2.4].

- (1) For every nonarchimedean place w of F , Π_w is tempered.
- (2) For every rational prime ℓ and every isomorphism $\iota_\ell: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, there is a semisimple continuous homomorphism

$$\rho_{\Pi, \iota_\ell}: \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

unique up to conjugation, satisfying that for every nonarchimedean place w of F , the Frobenius semisimplification of the associated Weil–Deligne representation of $\rho_{\Pi, \iota_\ell}|_{\Gamma_{F_w}}$ corresponds to the irreducible admissible representation $\iota_\ell \Pi_w | \det|_w^{\frac{1-N}{2}}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_N(F_w)$ under the local Langlands correspondence. Moreover, ρ_{Π, ι_ℓ}^c and $\rho_{\Pi, \iota_\ell}^\vee(1-N)$ are conjugate.

- (3) By [CH13, Proposition 3.2.5], there exists a number field $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ (which we call a *strong coefficient field* of Π in [LTXZZ1, Definition 3.2.5]) containing $\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ such that for every isomorphism $\iota_\ell: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the representation ρ_{Π, ι_ℓ} has coefficients in E_λ , where λ is the induced ℓ -adic place of E .

Definition 2.1.4. Let v be a nonarchimedean place of F^+ inert in F , and L a ring in which $\|v\|$ is invertible. Let $P \in L[T]$ be a monic polynomial of degree N satisfying $P(T) = (-T)^N \cdot P(T^{-1})$.

- (1) When N is odd, we say that P is *Tate generic at v* if $P'(1)$ is invertible in L .
- (2) When N is odd, we say that P is *intertwining generic at v* if $P(-\|v\|)$ is invertible in L .
- (3) When N is even, we say that P is *level-raising special at v* if $P(\|v\|) = 0$ and $P'(\|v\|)$ is invertible in L .
- (4) When N is even, we say that P is *intertwining generic at v* if $P(-1)$ is invertible in L .

The following definition will be used in §5.

Definition 2.1.5. Let \wp be a prime of a finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)$ inside \mathbb{C} (with the underlying rational prime p). For every $w \in \Sigma \setminus (\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_\Pi)$, we say that Π_w is \wp -*ordinary* if one can order $\alpha(\Pi_w)$ in a way such that for $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\|w\|^{\frac{N+1}{2}-i} \alpha(\Pi_w)_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$ under every isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ that induces \wp .

2.2. Hermitian spaces, unitary Shimura varieties and sets.

Definition 2.2.1 (Hermitian space). Let R be an $O_{F^+}[(\Sigma_{\mathrm{bad}}^+)^{-1}]$ -ring. A *hermitian space* over $O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R$ of rank N is a projective $O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R$ -module V of rank N together with a perfect pairing

$$(\ , \)_V: V \times V \rightarrow O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R$$

that is $O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R$ -linear in the first variable and $(O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R, c \otimes \mathrm{id}_R)$ -linear in the second variable, and satisfies $(x, y)_V = (y, x)_V^c$ for $x, y \in V$. We denote by $U(V)$ the group of $O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R$ -linear isometries of V , which is a reductive group over R .

Moreover, we denote by $V_\#$ the hermitian space $V \oplus O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R \cdot 1$, where 1 has norm 1. For an $O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} R$ -linear isometry $f: V \rightarrow V'$, we have the induced isometry $f_\#: V_\# \rightarrow V'_\#$.

Let v be a nonarchimedean place of F^+ not in Σ_{bad}^+ . Let $\Lambda_{N, v}$ be the unique up to isomorphism hermitian space over $O_{F_v} = O_F \otimes_{O_{F^+}} O_{F_v^+}$ of rank N , and $U_{N, v}$ its unitary group over $O_{F_v^+}$. We have the *local spherical Hecke algebra*

$$\mathbb{T}_{N, v} := \mathbb{Z}[U_{N, v}(O_{F_v^+}) \backslash U_{N, v}(F_v^+) / U_{N, v}(O_{F_v^+})],$$

with the unit element $\mathbb{1}_{U_{N, v}(O_{F_v^+})}$.

Definition 2.2.2 (Abstract unitary Hecke algebra). For a finite subset $\square \subseteq \Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_\infty^+$ containing Σ_{bad}^+ , we define the *abstract unitary Hecke algebra away from Σ^+* to be the restricted tensor product

$$\mathbb{T}_N^\square := \bigotimes_v' \mathbb{T}_{N,v}$$

over all places $v \in \Sigma^+ \setminus (\Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \square)$ with respect to unit elements.

We have the *Satake homomorphism*

$$\phi_\Pi : \mathbb{T}_N^{\Sigma_\Pi^+} \rightarrow O_{\mathbb{Q}(\Pi)}$$

from [LTXZZ1, Construction 3.1.10].

Now we introduce some categories of open compact subgroups, which will be used later.

Definition 2.2.3. Let V be a hermitian space over F of rank N . Let $\square \subseteq \Sigma^+ \setminus \Sigma_\infty^+$ be a finite subset. Recall the notion of neat open compact subgroups from [LTXZZ1, Definition 3.1.11(1)].

- (1) We define a category $\mathfrak{R}(V)^\square$ whose objects are neat open compact subgroups K of $U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, \square})$, and a morphism from K to K' is an element $g \in K \backslash U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, \square})/K'$ satisfying $g^{-1}Kg \subseteq K'$. Denote by $\mathfrak{R}'(V)^\square$ the subcategory of $\mathfrak{R}(V)^\square$ that allows only identity double cosets as morphisms.
- (2) We define a category $\mathfrak{R}(V)_{\text{sp}}^\square$ whose objects are pairs $K = (K_b, K_\#)$, where K_b is an object of $\mathfrak{R}(V)^\square$ and $K_\#$ is an object of $\mathfrak{R}(V_\#)^\square$ such that $K_b \subseteq K_\# \cap U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, \square})$, and a morphism from $K = (K_b, K_\#)$ to $K' = (K'_b, K'_\#)$ is an element $g \in K_b \backslash U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, \square})/K'_b$ such that $g^{-1}K_b g \subseteq K'_b$ and $g^{-1}K_\# g \subseteq K'_\#$.⁹ We have the obvious functors

$$\text{--}_b : \mathfrak{R}(V)_{\text{sp}}^\square \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(V)^\square, \quad \text{--}_\# : \mathfrak{R}(V)_{\text{sp}}^\square \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(V_\#)^\square$$

sending $K = (K_b, K_\#)$ to K_b and $K_\#$, respectively. Note that $\mathfrak{R}(V)_{\text{sp}}^\square$ is a non-full subcategory of $\mathfrak{R}(V)^\square \times \mathfrak{R}(V_\#)^\square$.

When \square is the empty set, we suppress it from all the notations above. When $\square = \Sigma_p^+$ for a rational prime p , we simply put p on the various superscripts.

We introduce hermitian spaces over F that will be used in this article.

Definition 2.2.4. Let V be a hermitian space over F of rank N .

- (1) We say that V is *standard definite* if it has signature $(N, 0)$ at every place in Σ_∞^+ .
- (2) We say that V is *standard indefinite* if it has signature $(N-1, 1)$ at $\tau_\infty|_{F^+}$ and $(N, 0)$ at other places in Σ_∞^+ .

For a standard indefinite hermitian space V over F of rank N , we have a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}(V, \text{--}) : \mathfrak{R}(V) &\rightarrow \text{Sch}_F \\ K &\mapsto \text{Sh}(V, K) \end{aligned}$$

of Shimura varieties associated with the reductive group $\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}} U(V)$ and the Deligne homomorphism described in [LTXZZ1, §3.2].

For a standard definite hermitian space V over F of rank N , we have a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}(V, \text{--}) : \mathfrak{R}(V) &\rightarrow \text{Set} \\ K &\mapsto \text{Sh}(V, K) := U(V)(F^+) \backslash U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / K. \end{aligned}$$

Hypothesis 2.2.5. For every standard indefinite hermitian space V over F of rank N , every discrete automorphic representation π of $U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ such that $\text{BC}(\pi)$ [LTXZZ1, Definition 3.2.3] exists and is a relevant representation of $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and every isomorphism $\iota_\ell : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, if $\rho_{\text{BC}(\pi), \iota_\ell}$ (Remark 2.1.3(2)) is irreducible, then

$$W^{N-1}(\pi) := \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)]} \left(\iota_\ell \pi^\infty, \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{R}'(V)} H_{\text{ét}}^{N-1}(\text{Sh}(V, K)_{\overline{F}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \right)$$

is isomorphic to the underlying $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\Gamma_F]$ -module of $\rho_{\text{BC}(\pi), \iota_\ell}^\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2.2.6. By [LTXZZ1, Proposition 3.2.11], Hypothesis 2.2.5 holds for $N \leq 3$, and for $N > 3$ if $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$.

⁹The subscript “sp” indicates that this notation will be related the special homomorphism of Shimura varieties later.

2.3. Generalized CM type and reflexive closure. We denote by $\mathbb{N}[\Sigma_\infty]$ the commutative monoid freely generated by the set Σ_∞ , which admits an action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ via the set Σ_∞ .

Definition 2.3.1. A *generalized CM type of rank N* is an element

$$\Psi = \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_\infty} r_\tau \tau \in \mathbb{N}[\Sigma_\infty]$$

satisfying $r_\tau + r_{\tau^c} = N$ for every $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$. For such Ψ , we define its *reflex field* $F_\Psi \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ to be the fixed subfield of the stabilizer of Ψ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$. A *CM type* is simply a generalized CM type of rank 1.

Definition 2.3.2. We define the *reflexive closure* of F , denoted by F_{rflx} , to be the subfield of \mathbb{C} generated by F and the intersection of F_Φ for all CM types Φ of F . Put $F_{\text{rflx}}^+ := (F_{\text{rflx}})^{c=1}$.

Remark 2.3.3. It is clear that F_{rflx} is a CM field finite Galois over F ; F_{rflx}^+ is the maximal totally real subfield of F_{rflx} and is finite Galois over F^+ . In many cases, we have $F_{\text{rflx}} = F$ and hence $F_{\text{rflx}}^+ = F^+$, for example, when F is Galois or contains an imaginary quadratic field.

Definition 2.3.4. We say that a prime \mathfrak{p} of F^+ is *special inert* if the following are satisfied:

- (1) \mathfrak{p} is inert in F ;
- (2) the underlying rational prime p of \mathfrak{p} is odd and is unramified in F ;
- (3) \mathfrak{p} is of degree one over \mathbb{Q} , that is, $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

By abuse of notation, we also denote by \mathfrak{p} for its induced prime of F .

We say that a special inert prime \mathfrak{p} of F^+ is *very special inert* if it is special inert and splits completely in F_{rflx}^+ .¹⁰

In what follows in this article, we will often take a rational prime p that is unramified in F , and an isomorphism $\iota_p: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. By composing with ι_p , we regard Σ_∞ also as the set of p -adic embeddings of F . We also regard \mathbb{Q}_p as a subfield of \mathbb{C} via ι_p^{-1} .

Notation 2.3.5. We introduce the following important notations.

- (1) In what follows, whenever we introduce some finite unramified extension $\mathbb{Q}_\eta^?$ of \mathbb{Q}_p , we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_\eta^?$ its ring of integers and put $\mathbb{F}_\eta^? := \mathbb{Z}_\eta^?/p\mathbb{Z}_\eta^?$.
- (2) For every $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}_p^\tau \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ the composition of $\tau(F)$ and \mathbb{Q}_p , which is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p . For a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{Z}_p^\tau$ and an \mathcal{O}_S -module \mathcal{F} with an action $\mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F})$, we denote by \mathcal{F}_τ the maximal \mathcal{O}_S -submodule of \mathcal{F} on which \mathcal{O}_F acts via the homomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$.
- (3) We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ the composition of \mathbb{Q}_p^τ for all $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$, which is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p . We can identify Σ_∞ with $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{Z}_p^\diamond) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_F, \mathbb{F}_p^\diamond)$. In particular, the p -power Frobenius map σ acts on Σ_∞ .
- (4) For a generalized CM type Ψ of rank N , we denote by $\mathbb{Q}_p^\Psi \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ the composition of \mathbb{Q}_p , F , and F_Ψ , which is contained in \mathbb{Q}_p^\diamond .
- (5) For a (functor in) scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_\eta^?$ written like ${}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta(\cdots)$, we put ${}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta(\cdots) := {}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta(\cdots) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\eta^?} \mathbb{F}_\eta^?$ and ${}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta^\eta(\cdots) := {}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta(\cdots) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\eta^?} \mathbb{Q}_\eta^?$. For a (functor in) scheme over $\mathbb{F}_\eta^?$ written like ${}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta^?(\cdots)$, we put ${}^s\overline{\mathbf{X}}_\eta^?(\cdots) := {}^s\mathbf{X}_\eta^?(\cdots) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\eta^?} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Similar conventions are applied to morphisms as well. In practice, ς will be a certain similitude factor.

2.4. Unitary abelian schemes. We first introduce some general notations about abelian schemes.

Notation 2.4.1. Let A be an abelian scheme over a scheme S . We denote by A^\vee the *dual abelian variety* of A over S . We denote by $H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)$ (resp. $\text{Lie}_{A/S}$, and $\omega_{A/S}$) for the *relative de Rham homology* (resp. *Lie algebra*, and *dual Lie algebra*) of A/S , all regarded as locally free \mathcal{O}_S -modules. We have the following *Hodge exact sequence*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S} \rightarrow H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S) \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S} \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on S . When the base S is clear from the context, we sometimes suppress it from the notation.

Definition 2.4.2 (Unitary abelian scheme). We prescribe a subring $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. Let S be a scheme in Sch/\mathbb{P} .

- (1) An \mathcal{O}_F -*abelian scheme* over S is a pair (A, i) in which A is an abelian scheme over S and $i: \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \text{End}_S(A) \otimes \mathbb{P}$ is a homomorphism of algebras sending 1 to the identity endomorphism.

¹⁰This is equivalent to that for every prime \mathfrak{q} of F^+ above p that is inert in F , $[F_{\mathfrak{q}}^+ : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is odd.

- (2) A *unitary O_F -abelian scheme* over S is a triple (A, i, λ) in which (A, i) is an O_F -abelian scheme over S , and $\lambda: A \rightarrow A^\vee$ is a quasi-polarization such that $i(a^\vee)^\vee \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ i(a)$ for every $a \in O_F$, and there exists $c \in \mathbb{P}^\times$ making $c\lambda$ a polarization.
- (3) For two O_F -abelian schemes (A, i) and (A', i') over S , a (quasi-)homomorphism from (A, i) to (A', i') is a (quasi-)homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ such that $\varphi \circ i(a) = i'(a) \circ \varphi$ for every $a \in O_F$. We will usually refer to such φ as an O_F -linear (quasi-)homomorphism.

Moreover, we will usually suppress the notion i if the argument is insensitive to it.

Definition 2.4.3 (Signature type). Let Ψ be a generalized CM type of rank N (Definition 2.3.1). Consider a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/_{O_{F_\Psi} \otimes \mathbb{P}}$. We say that an O_F -abelian scheme (A, i) over S has *signature type* Ψ if for every $a \in O_F$, the characteristic polynomial of $i(a)$ on $\text{Lie}_{A/S}$ is given by

$$\prod_{\tau \in \Sigma_\infty} (T - \tau(a))^{r_\tau} \in O_S[T].$$

Construction 2.4.4. Let K be an $O_{F_\Psi} \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -ring that is an algebraically closed field. Suppose that we are given a unitary O_F -abelian scheme (A_0, i_0, λ_0) over K of signature type Φ that is a CM type, and a unitary O_F -abelian scheme (A, i, λ) over K of signature type Ψ . For every set \square of places of \mathbb{Q} containing ∞ and the characteristic of K , if not zero, we construct a hermitian space

$$\text{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square}^{\lambda_0, \lambda} (\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^\square), \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{A}^\square))$$

over $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square = F \otimes_{F^+} (F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square)$, with the underlying $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square$ -module

$$\text{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square} (\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^\square), \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{A}^\square))$$

equipped with the pairing

$$(x, y) := i_0^{-1} \left((\lambda_{0*})^{-1} \circ y^\vee \circ \lambda_* \circ x \right) \in i_0^{-1} \text{End}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square} (\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^\square)) = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^\square.$$

Now we take a rational prime p that is unramified in F , and take the prescribed subring \mathbb{P} in Definition 2.4.2 to be $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We also choose an isomorphism $\iota_p: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and adopt Notation 2.3.5.

Definition 2.4.5. Let A and B be two abelian schemes over a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$. We say that a quasi-homomorphism (resp. quasi-isogeny) $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a *quasi- p -homomorphism* (resp. *quasi- p -isogeny*) if there exists some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ such that $c\varphi$ is a homomorphism (resp. isogeny). A quasi-isogeny φ is *prime-to- p* if both φ and φ^{-1} are quasi- p -isogenies. We say that a quasi-polarization λ of A is *p -principal* if λ is a prime-to- p quasi-isogeny.

Note that for a unitary O_F -abelian scheme (A, i, λ) , the quasi-polarization λ is a quasi- p -isogeny. To continue, take a generalized CM type $\Psi = \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_\infty} r_\tau \tau$ of rank N .

Remark 2.4.6. Let A be an O_F -abelian scheme of signature type Ψ over a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\tau}$ for some $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$. Then (2.1) induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee/S, \tau} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_\tau \rightarrow \text{Lie}_{A/S, \tau} \rightarrow 0$$

of locally free O_S -modules of ranks $N - r_\tau$, N , and r_τ , respectively. If S belongs to $\text{Sch}/_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\diamond}$, then we have decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S) &= \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_\infty} \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_\tau, \\ \text{Lie}_{A/S} &= \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_\infty} \text{Lie}_{A/S, \tau}, \\ \omega_{A/S} &= \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_\infty} \omega_{A/S, \tau} \end{aligned}$$

of locally free O_S -modules.

Notation 2.4.7. Take $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$. Let (A, λ) be a unitary O_F -abelian scheme of signature type Ψ over a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{Z}_p^\tau$. We denote

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\lambda, \tau}: H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_\tau \times H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_{\tau^c} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$$

the \mathcal{O}_S -bilinear pairing induced by the quasi-polarization λ , which is perfect if and only if λ is p -principal. Moreover, for an \mathcal{O}_S -submodule $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_\tau$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}^\perp \subseteq H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_{\tau^c}$ its (right) orthogonal complement under the above pairing, if λ is clear from the context.

We now review some notation for abelian schemes in characteristic p .

Notation 2.4.8. Let A be an abelian scheme over a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{F}_p$. Put

$$A^{(p)} := A \times_{S, \sigma} S,$$

where σ is the absolute Frobenius morphism of S . Then we

- (1) have a canonical isomorphism $H_1^{\text{dR}}(A^{(p)}/S) \simeq \sigma^* H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)$ of \mathcal{O}_S -modules;
- (2) have the Frobenius homomorphism $\text{Fr}_A: A \rightarrow A^{(p)}$ which induces the *Verschiebung map*

$$\mathbf{V}_A := (\text{Fr}_A)_*: H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{dR}}(A^{(p)}/S)$$

of \mathcal{O}_S -modules;

- (3) have the *Verschiebung* homomorphism $\text{Ver}_A: A^{(p)} \rightarrow A$ which induces the *Frobenius map*

$$\mathbf{F}_A := (\text{Ver}_A)_*: H_1^{\text{dR}}(A^{(p)}/S) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)$$

of \mathcal{O}_S -modules;

- (4) define $v_{A/S} \subseteq H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)$ to be the image of \mathbf{F}_A .

For a subbundle H of $H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)$, we denote by $H^{(p)}$ the subbundle of $H_1^{\text{dR}}(A^{(p)}/S)$ that corresponds to $\sigma^* H$ under the isomorphism in (1). In what follows, we will suppress A in the notations \mathbf{F}_A and \mathbf{V}_A if the reference to A is clear.

In Notation 2.4.8, we have $\ker \mathbf{F} = \text{im } \mathbf{V} = \omega_{A^{(p)}/S}$ and $\ker \mathbf{V} = \text{im } \mathbf{F}$. Take $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$. For a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{F}_p^\tau$ and an O_F -abelian scheme A over S , we have $(H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/S)_\tau)^{(p)} = H_1^{\text{dR}}(A^{(p)}/S)_{\sigma\tau}$ under Notations 2.3.5 and 2.4.8.

Notation 2.4.9. Suppose that $S = \text{Spec } R$ for a ring R of characteristic p . Then we have a canonical isomorphism $H_1^{\text{dR}}(A^{(p)}/R) \simeq H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/R) \otimes_{R, \sigma} R$.

- (1) By abuse of notation, we have
 - ❖ the (R, σ) -linear Frobenius map $\mathbf{F}: H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/R) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/R)$ and
 - ❖ if R is perfect, the (R, σ^{-1}) -linear *Verschiebung* map $\mathbf{V}: H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/R) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/R)$.
- (2) When $R = \kappa$ is a perfect field, recall that we have the *covariant* Dieudonné module $\mathcal{D}(A)$ associated with the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$, which is a free $W(\kappa)$ -module, such that $\mathcal{D}(A)/p\mathcal{D}(A)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/\kappa)$. Again by abuse of notation, we have
 - ❖ the $(W(\kappa), \sigma)$ -linear Frobenius map $\mathbf{F}: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ lifting the one above, and
 - ❖ the $(W(\kappa), \sigma^{-1})$ -linear *Verschiebung* map $\mathbf{V}: \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ lifting the one above, respectively, satisfying $\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V} \circ \mathbf{F} = p$.
- (3) When $R = \kappa$ is a perfect field and contains \mathbb{F}_p^τ for some $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$, applying Notation 2.3.5 to the $W(\kappa)$ -module $\mathcal{D}(A)$, we obtain $W(\kappa)$ -submodules $\mathcal{D}(A)_{\sigma^i \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we obtain
 - ❖ the $(W(\kappa), \sigma)$ -linear Frobenius map $\mathbf{F}: \mathcal{D}(A)_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)_{\sigma\tau}$ and
 - ❖ the $(W(\kappa), \sigma^{-1})$ -linear *Verschiebung* map $\mathbf{V}: \mathcal{D}(A)_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)_{\sigma^{-1}\tau}$
by restriction. We have canonical isomorphisms and inclusions:

$$\mathbf{V}\mathcal{D}(A)_{\sigma\tau}/p\mathcal{D}(A)_\tau \simeq \omega_{A^\vee, \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)_\tau/p\mathcal{D}(A)_\tau \simeq H_1^{\text{dR}}(A)_\tau.$$

To end this subsection, we propose the following definition analogous to Definition 2.4.2, which will be used later.

Definition 2.4.10 (Unitary p -truncated group). We call a finite flat group scheme that is annihilated by p a *p -truncated group*. Let S be a scheme in $\text{Sch}/\mathbb{Z}_{p,2}$.

- (1) A *unitary p -truncated group* over S is a tripe (G, i, λ) in which
 - ❖ G is a p -truncated group over S ;

- ❖ $i: \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \rightarrow \text{End}_S(G)$ is an algebra homomorphism sending 1 to the identity endomorphism;
 - ❖ $\lambda: G \rightarrow G^\vee$ is a *principal* polarization such that $i(a^c)^\vee \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ i(a)$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$.
- (2) A unitary p -truncated group over S is *superspecial* if it is isomorphic to a copy of supersingular unitary p -truncated groups of height 2.
 - (3) A unitary p -truncated group over S has signature (r, s) if for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, the characteristic polynomial of $i(a)$ on $\text{Lie}_{G/S}$ is given by $(T - a)^r(T - a^c)^s \in \mathcal{O}_S[T]$.

Moreover, we will usually suppress the notion i if the argument is insensitive to it.

2.5. A CM moduli scheme. In this subsection, we introduce an auxiliary moduli scheme parameterizing certain CM abelian varieties.

Definition 2.5.1. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}[(\text{disc } F)^{-1}]$ -ring.

- (1) A *rational skew-hermitian space* over $O_F \otimes R$ of rank N is a free $O_F \otimes R$ -module W of rank N together with an R -bilinear skew-symmetric perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W: W \times W \rightarrow R$$

satisfying $\langle ax, y \rangle_W = \langle x, a^c y \rangle_W$ for every $a \in O_F \otimes R$ and $x, y \in W$.

- (2) Let W and W' be two rational skew-hermitian spaces over $O_F \otimes R$, a map $f: W \rightarrow W'$ is a *similitude* if f is an $O_F \otimes R$ -linear isomorphism such that there exists some $c(f) \in R^\times$ satisfying $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle_{W'} = c(f) \langle x, y \rangle_W$ for every $x, y \in W$.
- (3) Two rational skew-hermitian spaces over $O_F \otimes R$ are *similar* if there exists a similitude between them.
- (4) For a rational skew-hermitian space W over $O_F \otimes R$, we denote by $\text{GU}(W)$ its *group of similitude* as a reductive group over R ; it satisfies that for every ring R' over R , $\text{GU}(W)(R')$ is the set of self-similitude of the rational skew-hermitian space $W \otimes_R R'$ over $O_F \otimes R'$.

We define a subtorus $T_0 \subseteq (\text{Res}_{O_F/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{G}_m) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[(\text{disc } F)^{-1}]$ such that for every $\mathbb{Z}[(\text{disc } F)^{-1}]$ -ring R , we have

$$T_0(R) = \{a \in O_F \otimes R \mid \text{Nm}_{F/F^+} a \in R^\times\}.$$

Now we take a rational prime p that is unramified in F . We take the prescribed subring \mathbb{P} in Definition 2.4.2 to be $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Remark 2.5.2. Let W_0 be a rational skew-hermitian space over $O_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of rank 1. Then $\text{GU}(W_0)$ is identified with $T_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[(\text{disc } F)^{-1}]} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Moreover, the set of similarity classes of rational skew-hermitian spaces W'_0 over $O_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of rank 1 such that $W'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{A}$ is similar to $W_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{A}$ is parameterized by

$$\ker^1(T_0) := \ker \left(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, T_0) \rightarrow \prod_{v \leq \infty} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, T_0) \right),$$

which is a finite abelian group.

Definition 2.5.3. Let Φ be a CM type. We say that a rational skew-hermitian space W_0 over $O_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of rank 1 has *type* Φ if for every $x \in W_0$ and every totally imaginary element $a \in F^\times$ satisfying $\text{Im } \tau(a) > 0$ for all $\tau \in \Phi$, we have $\langle ax, x \rangle_{W_0} \geq 0$.

Definition 2.5.4. For a rational skew-hermitian space W_0 over $O_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of rank 1 and type Φ and an open compact subgroup $K_0^p \subseteq T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$, we define a presheaf $\mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)$ on $\text{Sch}'_{/O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ as follows: for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, we let $\mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)(S)$ be the set of equivalence classes of triples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p)$, where

- ❖ (A_0, λ_0) is a unitary O_F -abelian scheme of signature type Φ over S such that λ_0 is p -principal;
- ❖ η_0^p is a K_0^p -level structure, that is, for a chosen geometric point s on every connected component of S , a $\pi_1(S, s)$ -invariant K_0^p -orbit of similitude

$$\eta_0^p: W_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_{0s}, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$$

of rational skew-hermitian spaces over $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$, where $H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_{0s}, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$ is equipped with the rational skew-hermitian form induced by λ_0 .

Two triples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p)$ and $(A'_0, \lambda'_0, \eta_0^p)$ are equivalent if there exists a prime-to- p O_F -linear quasi-isogeny $\varphi_0: A_0 \rightarrow A'_0$ carrying (λ_0, η_0^p) to $(c\lambda'_0, \eta_0^p)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^\times$.

For an object $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p) \in \mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)(\mathbb{C})$, its first homology $H_1(A_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is a rational skew-hermitian space over $O_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ induced by λ_0 , which is of rank 1 and type Φ , and is everywhere locally similar to W_0 . Thus, by Remark 2.5.2, we obtain a map

$$w: \mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \ker^1(T_0)$$

sending $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p) \in \mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)(\mathbb{C})$ to the similarity class of $H_1(A_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.

It is known that when K_0^p is neat, $\mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)$ is represented by a scheme finite étale over $O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We define $\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p)$ to be the minimal open and closed subscheme of $\mathbf{T}_p^1(W_0, K_0^p)$ containing $w^{-1}(W_0)$. The group $T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$ acts on $\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p)$ via the formula

$$a \cdot (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p) = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p \circ a)$$

whose stabilizer is $T_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})K_0^p$. In fact, $T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})/T_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})K_0^p$ is the Galois group of the Galois morphism

$$\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

Definition 2.5.5. We denote by \mathfrak{T} the groupoid of $T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})/T_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})K_0^p$, that is, a category with a single object $*$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(*, *) = T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})/T_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})K_0^p$.

Remark 2.5.6. As $\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p)$ is an object in $\mathrm{Sch}/_{O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ with an action by $T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})/T_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})K_0^p$, it induces a functor from \mathfrak{T} to $\mathrm{Sch}/_{O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, which we still denote by $\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p)$. In what follows, we may often have another category \mathfrak{C} and will regard $\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p)$ as a functor from $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{T}$ to $\mathrm{Sch}/_{O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ as the composition of the projection functor $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ and the functor $\mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p): \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}/_{O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$.

Notation 2.5.7. For a functor $X: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}$ and a coefficient ring L , we denote

$$H_{\mathfrak{T}}^i(X, L(j)) \subseteq H_{\mathrm{ét}}^i(X(*), L(j)), \quad H_{\mathfrak{T}, c}^i(X, L(j)) \subseteq H_{\mathrm{ét}, c}^i(X(*), L(j))$$

the maximal L -submodules, respectively, on which $T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})/T_0(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})K_0^p$ acts trivially.

2.6. Unitary Deligne–Lusztig varieties. Let p be a rational prime and κ a field containing \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Consider a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \})$ in which \mathcal{V} is a finite dimensional κ -linear space, and $\{ , \}: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \kappa$ is a (not necessarily nondegenerate) pairing that is (κ, σ) -linear in the first variable and κ -linear in the second variable. For every κ -scheme S , put $\mathcal{V}_S := \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\kappa} O_S$. Then there is a unique pairing $\{ , \}_S: \mathcal{V}_S \times \mathcal{V}_S \rightarrow O_S$ extending $\{ , \}$ that is (O_S, σ) -linear in the first variable and O_S -linear in the second variable. For a subbundle $H \subseteq \mathcal{V}_S$, we denote by $H^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{V}_S$ its *right* orthogonal complement under $\{ , \}_S$.

Definition 2.6.1. We say that a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \})$ is *admissible* if there exists an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subspace $\mathcal{V}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\bar{\kappa}}$ such that the induced map $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \bar{\kappa} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\bar{\kappa}}$ is an isomorphism, and $\{x, y\} = -\{y, x\}^\sigma$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{V}_0$.

Definition 2.6.2. For a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \})$ and an integer h , we define a presheaf

$$\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)$$

on $\mathrm{Sch}/_{\kappa}$ such that for every $S \in \mathrm{Sch}/_{\kappa}$, $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)(S)$ is the set of subbundles H of \mathcal{V}_S of rank h such that $H^\perp \subseteq H$. We call $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)$ the (*unitary*) *Deligne–Lusztig variety* (see Proposition 2.6.3 below) attached to $(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \})$ of rank h .

Proposition 2.6.3. Consider an admissible pair $(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \})$. Put $N := \dim_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}$ and $d := \dim_{\kappa} \mathcal{V}^\perp$.

- (1) If $2h < N + d$ or $h > N$, then $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)$ is empty.
- (2) If $N + d \leq 2h \leq 2N$, then $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)$ is represented by a projective smooth scheme over κ of dimension $(2h - N - d)(N - h)$ with a canonical isomorphism for its tangent sheaf

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)/\kappa} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}^\perp, \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)}/\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)}$ is the universal subbundle.

- (3) If $N + d < 2h \leq 2N$, then $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ , \}, h)$ is geometrically irreducible.

Proof. This is [LTXZZ1, Proposition A.1.3]. □

Lemma 2.6.4. *Let $(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \})$ be an admissible pair with $\dim \mathcal{V} = 2r - 1$ for a positive integer r and $d = 0$ (so that $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)$ is smooth projective of dimension $r - 1$). We have*

$$\int_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)} c_{r-1}(\mathcal{H}^\dagger) = (-p)^{r-1} (1+p)(1+p^3) \cdots (1+p^{2r-3})$$

(which is interpreted as 1 when $r = 1$), where $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)}$ is the universal subbundle.

Proof. Since \mathcal{H}^\dagger is isomorphic to the p -Frobenius twist of the dual bundle of $(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)})/\mathcal{H}$, it suffices to show that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)} c_{r-1}(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)}/\mathcal{H}) = (1+p)(1+p^3) \cdots (1+p^{2r-3}).$$

It is clear that $(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)})/\mathcal{H}$ is globally generated by the constant bundle $\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)}$. Choose an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -subspace \mathcal{V}_0 of \mathcal{V} as in Definition 5.1.2 and an anisotropic vector $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$, which is possible as \mathcal{V} is nondegenerate. Let \mathcal{V}' be the orthogonal complement of v_0 in \mathcal{V} ; it is again admissible and nondegenerate with respect to the restriction $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}'$ of $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ to \mathcal{V}' . Note that we have a natural morphism $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}', \{ \cdot, \cdot \}', r-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)$ sending a point $H' \in \mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}', \{ \cdot, \cdot \}', r-1)(S)$ to $H' \oplus_{\mathcal{O}_S} v_0$, which is a closed embedding. Then the zero locus $Z(v_0)$ of v_0 , regarded as a global section of $(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)})/\mathcal{H}$, is identified with $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}', \{ \cdot, \cdot \}', r-1)$ via the assignment $H \in Z(v_0)(S)$ to $H \cap \mathcal{V}' \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_S$. As $\mathrm{DL}(\mathcal{V}', \{ \cdot, \cdot \}', r-1)$ is a finite scheme over κ of length $(1+p)(1+p^3) \cdots (1+p^{2r-3})$, we obtain (2.2).

The lemma is proved. \square

3. ABEL–JACOBI IMAGE OF BASIC LOCUS

In this section, we study the image of the basic locus of unitary Shimura varieties at good inert primes under the Abel–Jacobi map and its relation with a certain map involving a local system coming from the Siegel Iwahori subgroup (which we call the Tate–Thompson local system), generalizing the early study of Ribet in the case of modular curves.

3.1. Initial setup. We fix a special inert prime (Definition 2.3.4) \mathfrak{p} of F^+ (with the underlying rational prime p). We take the prescribed subring \mathbb{P} in Definition 2.4.2 to be $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We choose the following data

- ❖ a CM type Φ containing τ_∞ ;
- ❖ a rational skew-hermitian space W_0 over $O_F \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of rank 1 and type Φ (Definition 2.5.3);
- ❖ a neat open compact subgroup $K_0^p \subseteq T_0(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$;
- ❖ an isomorphism $\iota_p: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $\iota_p \circ \tau_\infty: F^+ \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ induces the place \mathfrak{p} of F^+ ;
- ❖ an element $\varpi \in F^+$ that is totally positive and satisfies $\mathrm{val}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varpi) = 1$, and $\mathrm{val}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varpi) = 0$ for every prime $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$ of F^+ above p .

We adopt Notation 2.3.5. In particular, \mathbb{F}_p^Φ contains \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Put $\mathbf{T} := \mathbf{T}_p(W_0, K_0^p) \otimes_{O_{F_\Phi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi$.

We fix a standard *indefinite* hermitian space (Definition 2.2.4) V over F of rank $N \geq 1$ that admits a self-dual lattice at every p -adic place of F^+ .

- ❖ Denote by \mathfrak{S} the *similitude group* consisting of totally positive elements ς in F^+ such that $N \mathrm{val}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\varsigma)$ is even for every $\mathfrak{q} \mid p$.
- ❖ For every $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{S}$, we denote by ${}^\varsigma V$ the hermitian space obtained from V via rescaling the hermitian form by ς . In particular, ${}^\varsigma V$ remains a standard indefinite hermitian space that admits a self-dual lattice at every p -adic place of F^+ .
- ❖ The groups $U({}^\varsigma V)$ are independent of $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{S}$, hence the categories $\mathfrak{R}({}^\varsigma V)^\square$ (Definition 2.2.3) are canonically identified, which we denote as \mathfrak{R}^\square .

Notation 3.1.1. For every integer $q \geq 2$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$\mathfrak{b}_{N,q} := q \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - (-q)^i), \quad \mathfrak{1}_{N,q}^j := \frac{-(-q)^{N+1-j} - (-q)^j - q + 1}{q^2 - 1},$$

which are all integers. Note that $\mathfrak{1}_{N,q}^j = \mathfrak{1}_{N,q}^{N+1-j}$.

3.2. Unitary moduli schemes at hyperspecial level. In this subsection, we recall the construction of unitary moduli schemes at the hyperspecial level and their basic locus by summarizing [LTXZZ1, §4] with a slight change of notation.

Take an element $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{S}$. We have the functor¹¹

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N: \mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $S \in \mathrm{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi}$, ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of sextuples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$, where

- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p)$ is an element in $\mathbf{T}(S)$;
- ❖ (A, λ) is a unitary O_F -abelian scheme of signature type $N\Phi - \tau_\infty + \tau_\infty^c$ over S (Definitions 2.4.2 and 2.4.3) such that λ is p -principal;
- ❖ η^p is a \mathbf{K}^p -level structure, that is, for a chosen geometric point s on every connected component of S , a $\pi_1(S, s)$ -invariant \mathbf{K}^p -orbit of isomorphisms

$$\eta^p: {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}}^{\lambda_0, \lambda}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\acute{e}t}(A_{0s}, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}), \mathbf{H}_1^{\acute{e}t}(A_s, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}))$$

of hermitian spaces over $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} = F \otimes_{F^+} \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}$. See Construction 2.4.4 (with $\square = \{\infty, p\}$) for the right-hand side.

Two sextuples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$ and $(A'_0, \lambda'_0, \eta'^p; A', \lambda', \eta'^p)$ are equivalent if there are prime-to- p O_F -linear quasi-isogenies $\varphi_0: A_0 \rightarrow A'_0$ and $\varphi: A \rightarrow A'$ such that

- ❖ φ_0 carries η_0^p to η'^p ;
- ❖ there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^\times$ such that $\varphi_0^\vee \circ \lambda'_0 \circ \varphi_0 = c\lambda_0$ and $\varphi^\vee \circ \lambda' \circ \varphi = c\lambda$; and
- ❖ the \mathbf{K}^p -orbit of maps $v \mapsto \varphi_* \circ \eta^p(v) \circ (\varphi_{0*})^{-1}$ for $v \in \mathbf{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ coincides with η'^p .

On the level of morphisms,

- ❖ a morphism $g \in \mathbf{K}^p \backslash \mathbf{U}({}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{V})(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty, p})/\mathbf{K}^{p'}$ of \mathfrak{R}^p maps ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ to ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^{p'})(S)$ by changing η^p to $\eta^p \circ g$; and
- ❖ a morphism a of \mathfrak{T} acts on ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ by changing η_0^p to $\eta_0^p \circ a$.

The image of ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N$ is contained in the subcategory spanned by quasi-projective smooth schemes of relative dimension $N - 1$. Note that for every object $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$, the pair $(A[\mathfrak{p}], \lambda[\mathfrak{p}])$ is a unitary p -truncated group of signature $(N - 1, 1)$ over S (Definition 2.4.10).

Remark 3.2.1. For two elements $\varsigma, \varsigma' \in \mathfrak{S}$ whose quotient belongs to \mathfrak{S}^2 , the functors ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N$ and ${}^{\varsigma'}\mathbf{M}_N$ are canonically identified via scaling the level structure by the unique square root of ς/ς' in \mathfrak{S} .

We then review the basic correspondence for the special fiber ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N$.

We have the functor

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{S}_N: \mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{S}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $S \in \mathrm{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi}$, ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{S}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of sextuples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p*})$, where

- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p)$ is an element in $\mathbf{T}(S)$;
- ❖ (A^*, λ^*) is a unitary O_F -abelian scheme of signature type $N\Phi$ over S such that $\ker \lambda^*[p^\infty]$ is trivial (resp. contained in $A^*[p]$ of rank p^2) if N is odd (resp. even);
- ❖ η^{p*} is, for a chosen geometric point s on every connected component of S , a $\pi_1(S, s)$ -invariant \mathbf{K}^p -orbit of isomorphisms

$$\eta^{p*}: {}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}}^{\varpi\lambda_0, \lambda^*}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\acute{e}t}(A_{0s}, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}), \mathbf{H}_1^{\acute{e}t}(A_s^*, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}))$$

of hermitian spaces over $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} = F \otimes_{F^+} \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}$.

¹¹The functor ${}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{M}_N$ below is nothing but $\mathbf{M}_p({}^{\varsigma}\mathbf{V}, -)$ in [LTXZZ1, §4], and similarly for \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{S} later.

The definitions of the equivalence relation and the action of morphisms in $\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}$ are omitted.

We have the functor

$$\begin{aligned} {}^s\mathbf{B}_N: \mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto {}^s\mathbf{B}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi}$, ${}^s\mathbf{B}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of decuples

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*}; \alpha),$$

where

- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$ is an element of ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$;
- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*})$ is an element of ${}^s\mathbf{S}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$; and
- ❖ $\alpha: A \rightarrow A^*$ is an O_F -linear quasi- p -isogeny (Definition 2.4.5) such that
 - (a) $\ker \alpha[p^\infty]$ is contained in $A[p]$;
 - (b) we have $\varpi \cdot \lambda = \alpha^\vee \circ \lambda^* \circ \alpha$; and
 - (c) the \mathbf{K}^p -orbit of maps $v \mapsto \alpha_* \circ \eta^p(v)$ for $v \in \mathbf{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ coincides with η^{p^*} .

The definitions of the equivalence relation and the action of morphisms in $\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}$ are omitted.

We obtain in the obvious way a correspondence

$$(3.1) \quad {}^s\mathbf{S}_N \xleftarrow{\pi} {}^s\mathbf{B}_N \xrightarrow{\iota} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}, \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi})/\mathbb{T}$, which we call the *basic correspondence* on ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N$, with ${}^s\mathbf{S}_N$ being the *source* of the basic correspondence.

Take a point $s^* = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*}) \in {}^s\mathbf{S}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, where κ is a field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ . Then $A^*_\kappa[p^\infty]$ is a supersingular p -divisible group by the signature condition and the fact that p is inert in F . From Notation 2.4.9(1), we have the (κ, σ) -linear Frobenius map

$$\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\sigma\tau_\infty} = \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty^c}.$$

We define a pairing

$$\{ , \}_{s^*}: \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty} \times \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty} \rightarrow \kappa$$

by the formula $\{x, y\}_{s^*} := \langle \mathbf{F}x, y \rangle_{\lambda^*, \tau_\infty^c}$ (Notation 2.4.7). To ease notation, we put

$$\mathcal{V}_{s^*} := \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty}.$$

The pair $(\mathcal{V}_{s^*}, \{ , \}_{s^*})$ is admissible of rank N (Definition 2.6.1). In particular, the Deligne–Lusztig variety $\text{DL}_{s^*} := \text{DL}(\mathcal{V}_{s^*}, \{ , \}_{s^*}, \lceil \frac{N+1}{2} \rceil)$ (Definition 2.6.2) is a geometrically irreducible projective smooth scheme in Sch/κ of dimension $\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Lemma 3.2.2. *In the diagram (3.1), take a point*

$$s^* = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*}) \in {}^s\mathbf{S}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$$

where κ is a field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ . Put $\mathbf{B}_{s^*} := \pi^{-1}(s^*)$, and denote by $(\mathcal{A}, \lambda, \eta^p; \alpha)$ the universal object over the fiber \mathbf{B}_{s^*} .

- (1) *The fiber \mathbf{B}_{s^*} is a smooth scheme over κ , with a canonical isomorphism for its tangent bundle*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{B}_{s^*}/\kappa} \simeq \mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^\vee, \tau_\infty}, \ker \alpha_{*, \tau_\infty} / \omega_{\mathcal{A}^\vee, \tau_\infty}).$$

- (2) *The restriction of ι to \mathbf{B}_{s^*} is locally on \mathbf{B}_{s^*} a closed immersion, with a canonical isomorphism for its normal bundle*

$$\mathcal{N}_{|\mathbf{B}_{s^*}} \simeq \mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^\vee, \tau_\infty}, \text{im } \alpha_{*, \tau_\infty}).$$

- (3) *The assignment sending a point $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*}; \alpha) \in \mathbf{B}_{s^*}(S)$ for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\kappa}$ to the subbundle*

$$\mathbf{H} := (\check{\alpha}_{*, \tau_\infty})^{-1} \omega_{A^\vee/S, \tau_\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/S)_{\tau_\infty} = \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^*/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_S = (\mathcal{V}_{s^*})_S,$$

where $\check{\alpha}: A^\star \rightarrow A$ is the (unique) O_F -linear quasi- p -isogeny such that $\check{\alpha} \circ \alpha = \varpi \cdot \text{id}_A$, induces an isomorphism

$$\zeta_{s^\star}: \mathbf{B}_{s^\star} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{DL}_{s^\star} = \text{DL}(\mathcal{V}_{s^\star}, \{ \cdot \}_{s^\star}, \lceil \frac{N+1}{2} \rceil)$$

(Definition 2.6.2). In particular, \mathbf{B}_{s^\star} is a geometrically irreducible projective smooth scheme in Sch/κ of dimension $\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Proof. This is [LTZZ1, Theorem 4.3.5]. □

To end this subsection, we introduce the notion of essential Frobenius morphisms, which will be used later.

Definition 3.2.3. Let A be an abelian scheme over a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{F}_p$. We have the Frobenius twist $A^{(p)}$ of A from Notation 2.4.8. Put

$$A^{(p^2)} := (A^{(p)})^{(p)}, \quad F_A := \ker(A \rightarrow A^{(p^2)}), \quad A^\phi := A/F_A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty].$$

For every unitary O_F -abelian scheme (A, i, λ) over S , we have the induced triple $(A^\phi, i^\phi, \lambda^\phi)$, which does not change the signature type by [LTZZ1, Lemma 3.4.12(2)].

We define the *essential Frobenius morphism* of ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N$ to be the morphism

$$\phi_M: {}^s\mathbf{M}_N \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}, \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p})/\mathbb{T}$ that sends $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$ to $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\phi, \lambda^\phi, \eta^{p\phi})$, where $\eta^{p\phi} = \eta^p$ under the canonical identification $H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_s, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}) = H_1^{\text{ét}}(A_s^\phi, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$.

Similarly, we have essential Frobenius morphisms ϕ_B and ϕ_S of ${}^s\mathbf{B}_N$ and ${}^s\mathbf{S}_N$, respectively, so that the following diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} {}^s\mathbf{S}_N & \xleftarrow{\pi} & {}^s\mathbf{B}_N & \xrightarrow{\iota} & {}^s\mathbf{M}_N \\ \phi_S \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_B & & \downarrow \phi_M \\ {}^s\mathbf{S}_N & \xleftarrow{\pi} & {}^s\mathbf{B}_N & \xrightarrow{\iota} & {}^s\mathbf{M}_N \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}, \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p})/\mathbb{T}$ commutes.

Lemma 3.2.4. Write $d = [\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi : \mathbb{F}_{p^2}]$. Then ϕ_M^d , ϕ_B^d and ϕ_S^d coincide with the absolute p^{2d} -Frobenius morphisms on ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N$, ${}^s\mathbf{B}_N$ and ${}^s\mathbf{S}_N$, respectively, up to a translation by a common morphism $a \in \mathfrak{T}$. In particular, ϕ_M , ϕ_B and ϕ_S are flat universal homeomorphisms of degree p^{2N-2} , $p^{2\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor}$ and 1, respectively.

Proof. The second statement follows from the first one. In fact, the first statement implies that ϕ_M , ϕ_B and ϕ_S are all universal homeomorphisms, which are in particular finite. Since their targets and sources are regular of the same dimension, they are flat by the miracle flatness criterion over regular local rings [Mat89, Theorem 23.1]. The degree part follows since ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N$, ${}^s\mathbf{B}_N$ and ${}^s\mathbf{S}_N$ are of pure dimensions $N-1$, $\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$ and 0, respectively.

We now show the first statement with a a morphism in \mathfrak{T} such that its action on \mathbb{T} gives the inverse of the absolute p^{2d} -Frobenius morphism. We only consider ϕ_M as the other two cases are similar. Since for every p -adic place \mathfrak{q} of F other than \mathfrak{p} , $F_A[\mathfrak{q}^\infty] = A[\mathfrak{q}]$, the data $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\phi, \lambda^\phi, \eta^{p\phi})$ and $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{(p^2)}, \lambda^{(p^2)}, \eta^{p(p^2)})$ represent the same object. The statement then follows. □

3.3. Ekedahl–Oort stratification. In this subsection, we study the Ekedahl–Oort stratification of the special fibers of the unitary moduli schemes introduced in the previous subsection, following the work [BW06].

Let κ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . For every $W(\kappa)$ -ring W with a compatible Frobenius map σ , consider the $W \times W$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{D}_W := (W \times W)[F, V] / \left((F(w_1, w_2) - (w_2^\sigma, w_1^\sigma)F, (w_1, w_2)V - V(w_2^\sigma, w_1^\sigma), FV - p, VF - p) \right)$$

in which w_1, w_2 are taken over all elements in W . For a \mathcal{D}_W -module \mathcal{D} ,

- ❖ we put $\mathcal{D}^\leftarrow := (1, 0)\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\rightarrow := (0, 1)\mathcal{D}$;
- ❖ we say that \mathcal{D} has signature $(r^\leftarrow, r^\rightarrow)$ if $\mathcal{D}^\leftarrow/\mathcal{V}\mathcal{D}^\rightarrow$ and $\mathcal{D}^\rightarrow/\mathcal{V}\mathcal{D}^\leftarrow$ are locally free $W \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ -modules of rank r^\leftarrow and r^\rightarrow , respectively.

- ❖ we say that \mathcal{D} is *left-leaning*, *right-leaning*, or *neutral* if \mathcal{D} is finite free over W satisfying that $\text{rank}_W \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow \geq \text{rank}_W \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow$, $\text{rank}_W \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow \leq \text{rank}_W \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow$, or $\text{rank}_W \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow = \text{rank}_W \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow$, respectively.

We recall the following definitions from [BW06].

- ❖ A *Dieudonné module* (over κ) is a neutral $D_{W(\kappa)}$ -module. A *quasi-unitary Dieudonné module* (over κ) is a Dieudonné module \mathcal{D} together with an alternating pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow W(\kappa)$ that is perfect after tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , satisfies $\langle Fx, y \rangle = \langle x, Vy \rangle^\sigma$ and such that both \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow and \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow are totally isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- ❖ A *Dieudonné space* (over κ) is a neutral D_κ -module satisfying $\ker V = \text{im } F$ and $\ker F = \text{im } V$. A *quasi-unitary Dieudonné space* (over κ) is a Dieudonné space \mathcal{D} together with an alternating pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \kappa$ that satisfies $\langle Fx, y \rangle = \langle x, Vy \rangle^\sigma$ and such that both \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow and \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow are totally isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.
- ❖ A quasi-unitary Dieudonné module or a quasi-unitary Dieudonné space is *unitary* if the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is perfect. It is clear that if \mathcal{D} is a (quasi-unitary, unitary) Dieudonné module, then the reduction $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ is a (quasi-unitary, unitary) Dieudonné space.
- ❖ Let $\mathcal{B}(m)$ for $m \geq 1$ and \mathcal{S} be the reduction of $\underline{B}(m)$ and \underline{S} in [BW06, §3.2], which are unitary Dieudonné spaces of signatures $(m-1, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$, respectively.

Definition 3.3.1. Let \mathcal{D} be a Dieudonné space (over κ) and C a D_κ -submodule of \mathcal{D} .

- (1) We define the *F-length* of a D_κ -submodule C of \mathcal{D} to be the maximal integer $l \geq 0$ such that one can find a sequence of nonzero elements $x_1, \dots, x_l \in C^\leftarrow$ such that $Fx_1 = 0$ and $Vx_i = Fx_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i < l$.
- (2) We define the *V-length* of a D_κ -submodule C of \mathcal{D} to be the maximal integer $l \geq 0$ such that one can find a sequence of nonzero elements $x_1, \dots, x_l \in C^\leftarrow$ such that $Vx_1 = 0$ and $Fx_i = Vx_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i < l$.
- (3) When \mathcal{D} is unitary, we denote by $C_{\mathcal{D}}^\perp$ (or simply C^\perp when \mathcal{D} is clear from the context) its annihilator under the (perfect) alternating pairing on \mathcal{D} , which is also a D_κ -submodule of \mathcal{D} .
- (4) When \mathcal{D} is unitary, we say that a D_κ -submodule C of \mathcal{D} is *Lagrangian* if $C = C^\perp$.

Remark 3.3.2. It is clear that a D_κ -submodule C of \mathcal{D} has positive F-length (resp. V-length) if and only if the intersection of C^\leftarrow with $\ker F$ (resp. $\ker V$) is nonzero.

The following lemma summarizes several properties concerning $\mathcal{B}(m)$ and \mathcal{S} .

Lemma 3.3.3. *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer.*

- (1) *Every Dieudonné space of signature $(m, 0)$ is isomorphic to (the underlying D_κ -module of) \mathcal{S}^m .*
- (2) *The composition map $\text{Hom}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B}(m)) \times \text{Hom}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{B}(m), \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S})$ is trivial.*
- (3) *When m is odd, $\mathcal{B}(m)$ has a unique left-leaning totally isotropic D_κ -submodule C that is not neutral. Moreover, C is Lagrangian, satisfies $\dim_\kappa C^\leftarrow - \dim_\kappa C^\rightarrow = 1$, and has both F-length and V-length $\frac{m+1}{2}$.*
- (4) *When m is even, every left-leaning totally isotropic D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ is neutral.*
- (5) *When m is even, the set of neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ can be written as $\{C(m)^l \mid 0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}\}$ in which $C(m)^l$ has F-length l and V-length $\frac{m}{2} - l$. Moreover, $C(m)^l$ has only finitely many neutral D_κ -submodules; and for every such submodule C , $\text{Hom}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, C(m)^l/C) = \{0\}$.*
- (6) *If C is a neutral totally isotropic D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ such that the induced map $V: (C^\perp/C)^\leftarrow \rightarrow (C^\perp/C)^\rightarrow$ is injective, then C is Lagrangian (in particular, m is even).*

Proof. For (1), let \mathcal{D} be a Dieudonné space of signature $(m, 0)$. Then $F: \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow$ and $V: \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow$ are (κ, σ) -linear and (κ, σ^{-1}) -linear isomorphisms, respectively. In particular, we have a (κ, σ^2) -linear isomorphism $V^{-1} \circ F: \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow$. We may choose a nonzero element $e \in \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow$ such that $V^{-1}Fe = -e$. Then $\mathcal{D}_1 := \kappa e \oplus \kappa Fe$ is a D_κ -submodule of \mathcal{D} that is isomorphic to \mathcal{S} . Moreover, the quotient $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_1$ is a Dieudonné space of signature $(m-1, 0)$. By induction on m , it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = \{0\}$, which is an easy exercise in linear algebra.

For (2), since $\text{End}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S}) = \kappa^{\sigma^2=1}$, it remains to show that \mathcal{S} is not a direct summand of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ as a D_κ -module. Indeed, the canonical permutation of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ (see [Moo01, Lemma 4.5]), which in our case is a permutation on the set $\{\leftarrow, \rightarrow\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$, is a cycle of length $2m$ (resp. a product of two cycles of length m) when m is odd (resp. even). Thus, \mathcal{S} cannot be a direct summand of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ when $m \geq 3$. When $m = 1, 2$, it is easy to rule out the possibility.

For (3–6), we choose bases $\mathcal{B}(m)^\leftarrow = \kappa e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \kappa e_m$ and $\mathcal{B}(m)^\rightarrow = \kappa f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \kappa f_m$ under which $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = (-1)^i \delta_{ij}$ and F and V are determined uniquely by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} Fe_2 &= f_1, & Fe_3 &= f_2, & \cdots & & Fe_m &= f_{m-1}, & Ff_1 &= (-1)^m e_m; \\ Ve_1 &= f_2, & Ve_2 &= f_3, & \cdots & & Ve_{m-1} &= f_m, & Vf_m &= e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\ker F|_{\mathcal{B}(m)^\leftarrow} = V(\mathcal{B}(m)^\rightarrow) = \kappa e_1$ and $\ker V|_{\mathcal{B}(m)^\leftarrow} = F(\mathcal{B}(m)^\rightarrow) = \kappa e_m$.

❖ When m is odd, we consider a complete flag \mathcal{F}_\bullet with¹²

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0 &= \{0\}, & \mathcal{F}_1 &= \kappa e_m, & \mathcal{F}_2 &= \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \kappa f_{m-1}, & \mathcal{F}_3 &= \mathcal{F}_2 \oplus \kappa e_{m-2}, & \cdots, & \mathcal{F}_m &= \mathcal{F}_{m-1} \oplus \kappa e_1, \\ \mathcal{F}_{m+1} &= \mathcal{F}_m \oplus \kappa f_m, & \mathcal{F}_{m+2} &= \mathcal{F}_{m+1} \oplus \kappa e_{m-1}, & \cdots, & & \mathcal{F}_{2m} &= \mathcal{B}(m). \end{aligned}$$

❖ When m is even, we consider two complete flags $\mathcal{F}_{1,\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2,\bullet}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{1,0} &= \{0\}, & \mathcal{F}_{1,1} &= \kappa e_m, & \mathcal{F}_{1,2} &= \mathcal{F}_{1,1} \oplus \kappa f_{m-1}, & \mathcal{F}_{1,3} &= \mathcal{F}_{1,2} \oplus \kappa e_{m-2}, & \cdots, & \mathcal{F}_{1,m} &= \mathcal{F}_{1,m-1} \oplus \kappa f_1; \\ \mathcal{F}_{2,0} &= \{0\}, & \mathcal{F}_{2,1} &= \kappa e_1, & \mathcal{F}_{2,2} &= \mathcal{F}_{2,1} \oplus \kappa f_2, & \mathcal{F}_{2,3} &= \mathcal{F}_{2,2} \oplus \kappa e_3, & \cdots, & \mathcal{F}_{2,m} &= \mathcal{F}_{2,m-1} \oplus \kappa f_m. \end{aligned}$$

For (3), we have $\dim_\kappa C^\leftarrow > \dim_\kappa C^\rightarrow$ since C is left-leaning but not neutral. As C^\rightarrow contains $V C^\leftarrow$, it follows that C^\leftarrow contains $\ker V|_{\mathcal{B}(m)^\leftarrow} = \mathcal{F}_1$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_1 + F\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq C$. Now the map $V: C^\leftarrow/\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow C^\rightarrow$ is a (κ, σ^{-1}) -linear isomorphism, which implies that $\mathcal{F}_3 \subseteq C$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_4 = \mathcal{F}_3 + F\mathcal{F}_3 \subseteq C$. By induction, we have $\mathcal{F}_m \subseteq C$. Since C is totally isotropic, we must have $C = \mathcal{F}_m$. It is clear that \mathcal{F}_m is Lagrangian, satisfies $\dim_\kappa \mathcal{F}_m^\leftarrow - \dim_\kappa \mathcal{F}_m^\rightarrow = 1$, and has both F-length and V-length $\frac{m+1}{2}$. Part (3) follows.

For (4), suppose that C is a left-leaning totally isotropic D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(m)$ that is not neutral. By the similar discussion in (3), we must have $\mathcal{F}_{1,m} \subseteq C$. Since C is totally isotropic, we have $C = \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ which is neutral. Part (4) follows.

For (5), let C be a neutral totally isotropic D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(m)$. Put $C_1 := C \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ and denote by C_2 the image of C in $\mathcal{F}_{2,m}$ under the natural projection $\mathcal{B}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2,m}$. Since D_κ -submodules of $\mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2,m}$ are all right-leaning but C is neutral, both C_1 and C_2 have to be neutral. It is clear that neutral D_κ -submodules of $\mathcal{F}_{1,m}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2,m}$ have the forms $\mathcal{F}_{1,2l}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2,2l'}$, respectively. Since C is totally isotropic, there exist unique integers $0 \leq l \leq l' \leq \frac{m}{2}$ such that $C_1 = \mathcal{F}_{1,2l}$ and $C_2 = \mathcal{F}_{2,m-2l'}$. We claim that $C \cap \mathcal{F}_{2,m-2l'} = C_2$. Assuming this, C must have the form $\mathcal{F}_{1,2l} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{2,m-2l'}$. Part (5) follows by taking $C(m)^l := \mathcal{F}_{1,m-2l} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{2,2l}$ and the easy fact that $\text{Hom}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}_{1,2l}/\mathcal{F}_{1,2l'}) = \text{Hom}_{D_\kappa}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}_{2,2l}/\mathcal{F}_{2,2l'}) = \{0\}$ for $l' \leq l$.

For the claim, it is trivial when $l = \frac{m}{2}$. Now assume $0 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}$. Then C contains an element of the form $f' + f_{m-2l}$ with $f' \in \oplus_{i < m-2l} \kappa f_i$. Now, every element in $f_{m-2l} + \oplus_{i < m-2l} \kappa f_i$ cannot be in the image of $F|_{C^\leftarrow}$ since otherwise C contains an element that is not perpendicular to $f_{m-2l+1} \in C$. In particular, $F: C^\leftarrow \rightarrow C^\rightarrow$ is not an isomorphism, which implies that $e_1 \in C$. Thus, $f_2 \in C$. If $2 < m - 2l$, then f_2 must be in the image of $F|_{C^\leftarrow}$ since otherwise the cokernel of $F: C^\leftarrow \rightarrow C^\rightarrow$ has rank at least two, which is impossible. Thus, $e_3 \in C$. The claim follows by induction.

Now we prove (6).

First assume m even. By the same notation and argument in the proof of (5), $C_1 = \mathcal{F}_{1,2l}$ and $C_2 = \mathcal{F}_{2,m-2l'}$ for integers $0 \leq l \leq l' \leq \frac{m}{2}$. If $l = l'$, then $\dim_\kappa C = m$ hence C is Lagrangian. If $l < l'$, then $C^\perp \cap \mathcal{F}_{1,m} = \mathcal{F}_{1,2l'}$. In particular, $e_{m-2l} \in C^\perp \setminus C$ but $V e_{m-2l} \in C$, which contradicts with the fact that $V: (C^\perp/C)^\leftarrow \rightarrow (C^\perp/C)^\rightarrow$ is injective.

Second assume m odd. Put $\mathcal{G} := \kappa \langle f_1, e_2, f_3, \dots \rangle$ so that $\mathcal{B}(m) = \mathcal{F}_m \oplus \mathcal{G}$. Put $C_1 := C \cap \mathcal{F}_m$ and denote by C_2 the image of C in \mathcal{G} under the projection $\mathcal{B}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by the previous orthogonal decomposition. We claim that $C_2 = 0$. Otherwise, C_2 is right-leaning but not neutral, which implies that C_1 is left-leaning but not neutral. By (3), we must have $C_1 = \mathcal{F}_m$, which implies that $C_2 = 0$. Thus, we have $C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_m \subseteq C^\perp$. Since C is neutral, $C \neq \mathcal{F}_m$. Let i be the smallest integer such that \mathcal{F}_i is not contained in C . Then i has to be odd. In particular, $e_{m+1-i} \in C^\perp \setminus C$ but $V e_{m+1-i} \in C$, which contradicts with the fact that $V: (C^\perp/C)^\leftarrow \rightarrow (C^\perp/C)^\rightarrow$ is injective. Thus, the case that m is odd cannot happen. \square

Notation 3.3.4. Take a point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in {}^S M_N(\mathbb{K}^p)(\kappa)$, where $\mathbb{K}^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$ and κ is an algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ .

¹²In fact, \mathcal{F}_\bullet is the canonical filtration of $\mathcal{B}(m)$.

- (1) We obtain a unitary Dieudonné module \mathcal{D}_x of signature $(N - 1, 1)$, whose underlying $D_{W(\kappa)}$ -module is $\mathcal{D}(A)_{\tau_\infty} \oplus \mathcal{D}(A)_{\tau_\infty^c}$ and the pairing is $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\lambda, \tau_\infty} \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\lambda, \tau_\infty^c}$. In particular, $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p = H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty} \oplus H_1^{\text{dR}}(A/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty^c}$.
- (2) By [BW06, Proposition 3.6] and Lemma 3.3.3(2), we have a unique integer $m_x \geq 1$ and a unique orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p = \mathcal{B}_x \oplus \mathcal{S}_x$ of unitary Dieudonné spaces such that $\mathcal{B}_x \simeq \mathcal{B}(m_x)$ and $\mathcal{S}_x \simeq \mathcal{S}^{N-m_x}$.
- (3) By Lemma 3.3.3(3), when m_x is odd, we have a unique left-leaning Lagrangian D_κ -submodule C_x of \mathcal{B}_x , which satisfies $\dim_\kappa C_x^- - \dim_\kappa C_x^+ = 1$ and contains both ν_{A, τ_∞} (Notation 2.4.8(4)) and $\omega_{A^\vee, \tau_\infty}$ by Remark 3.3.2.
- (4) By Lemma 3.3.3(5), when m_x is even, we have for $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m_x}{2}$ a unique neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodule C_x^l of \mathcal{B}_x that has F-length l and V-length $\frac{m_x}{2} - l$. By Remark 3.3.2, C_x^l contains ν_{A, τ_∞} (resp. $\omega_{A^\vee, \tau_\infty}$) if and only if $l \neq \frac{m}{2}$ (resp. $l \neq 0$).

Notation 3.3.5. Using m_x , we obtain the Ekedahl–Oort stratification

$${}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) = \bigcup_{1 \leq m \leq N} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$$

for every object $\mathbf{K}^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$, where ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is the locally closed reduced subscheme such that $m_x = m$ for every geometric point x of it. Furthermore, we

- ❖ adopt the convention that ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \emptyset$ for an integer m that is not in the range $1 \leq m \leq N$;
- ❖ denote by ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{[m]}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ the Zariski closure of ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ in ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$;
- ❖ put ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{]m[}(\mathbf{K}^p) := {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \setminus {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{[m]}(\mathbf{K}^p)$;
- ❖ denote by ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{K}^p) \subseteq {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ the basic locus, that is, the locally closed reduced subscheme on which $A[p^\infty]$ is supersingular;
- ❖ write ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ for ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{[4]}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ (resp. ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{K}^p)$) when $N \geq 4$ (resp. $N \leq 3$) as the “non-ordinary” locus.

Proposition 3.3.6. Take an object $\mathbf{K}^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$.

(1) For every integer $1 \leq m \leq N$,

$${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{[m]}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{\substack{m' \geq m \\ m' \text{ even}}} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m')}(\mathbf{K}^p) \cup \bigcup_{m' \text{ odd}} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m')}(\mathbf{K}^p), & m \text{ even,} \\ \bigcup_{\substack{m' \leq m \\ m' \text{ odd}}} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m')}(\mathbf{K}^p), & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

(2) We have ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \bigcup_{m \text{ odd}} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$. Moreover,

$${}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) = \left(\bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq N \\ m \text{ even}}} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) \right) \cup {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{K}^p)$$

is the Newton stratification of ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

(3) For every integer $1 \leq m \leq N$, ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is of pure dimension $\frac{m-1}{2}$ (resp. $N - \frac{m}{2}$) when m is odd (resp. even).

Proof. They are proved in [BW06, §5.4]. □

The following lemma and definition will be used later.

Lemma 3.3.7. Suppose that $N = 2r$ is even. Take a point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, where κ is an algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ .

- (1) For every neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodule \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, we have $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x) \oplus (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x)$ in which $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ and $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x$ are left-leaning and right-leaning Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{S}_x , respectively.
- (2) When m_x is odd, if \mathcal{E} is a neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x = C_x$ (Notation 3.3.4(3)).
- (3) When m_x is even, there are only finitely many neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof. We denote by \mathcal{E}' the image of \mathcal{E} in \mathcal{S}_x , which is right-leaning. Thus, $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ is left-leaning and totally isotropic. Since \mathcal{E} is totally isotropic, we have a short exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \frac{(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x)_{\mathcal{B}_x}^\perp}{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x}$$

of D_κ -modules.

For (1), we first assume that \mathcal{E}' is *not* neutral. Then $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ is not neutral as well, which implies that m_x is odd and $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x = C_x$ is Lagrangian by Lemma 3.3.3(3,4). By (3.3), we have $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x$, that is, $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x) \oplus (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x)$, satisfying that $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ and $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x$ are left-leaning and right-leaning Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{S}_x , respectively.

We then assume that \mathcal{E}' is neutral. Then $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ is neutral as well, whose rank over $\kappa \times \kappa$ we denote by l . Then the rank of \mathcal{E}' over $\kappa \times \kappa$ is $r - l$. Since \mathcal{E} is totally isotropic, we have $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x \subseteq (\mathcal{E}')_{\mathcal{S}_x}^\perp$. Then the sequence (3.3) implies that $(r - l) - (2r - m_x - (r - l)) \leq (m_x - l) - l$, which is an equality. Thus, we must have $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x = (\mathcal{E}')_{\mathcal{S}_x}^\perp$ and that the induced map

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}'}{(\mathcal{E}')_{\mathcal{S}_x}^\perp} \rightarrow \frac{(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x)_{\mathcal{B}_x}^\perp}{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x}$$

is an isomorphism of D_κ -modules. Since $\mathcal{E}'/(\mathcal{E}')_{\mathcal{S}_x}^\perp$ is a Dieudonné space of signature $(m_x - 2l, 0)$, we must have $m_x = 2l$ by Lemma 3.3.3(6). In particular, m_x is even; and $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x) \oplus (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x)$ in which $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ and $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x$ are neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{S}_x , respectively. Part (1) is proved.

Part (2) follows from (1) and the property of C_x in Notation 3.3.4(3).

Part (3) follows from (1), Lemma 3.3.3(5), and the easy fact that there are only finitely many neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of $\mathcal{S}_x \simeq \mathcal{S}^{2r-m_x}$.¹³ \square

Definition 3.3.8. Let (G, λ) be a unitary p -truncated group over a scheme $S \in \text{Sch}/\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ (Definition 2.4.10). We say that an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subgroup C of G is

- (1) *Lagrangian* if C is finite flat such that $\text{rank } G = (\text{rank } C)^2$ and that the composite homomorphism $C \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\lambda} G^\vee \rightarrow C^\vee$ vanishes;
- (2) *neutral* if for every geometric point $x \in S(\kappa)$ of characteristic p , the D_κ -module given by C is neutral.

3.4. Unitary moduli schemes at Siegel parahoric level. In this subsection, we define unitary moduli schemes at Siegel parahoric level, which is a key geometric object to define and study the Tate–Thompson local system.

From this point to the end of this section, we assume $N = 2r$ even. In particular, \mathfrak{S} is simply the group of totally positive elements \mathfrak{S} in F^+ .

Definition 3.4.1. For every $\zeta \in \mathfrak{S}$, we define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} {}^s\mathbf{P}_N: \mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto {}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi}$, ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of decuples

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta),$$

where

- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}) \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ and $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}) \in {}^s\mathfrak{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$;
- ❖ $\psi^\Delta: A^\Delta \rightarrow A^\blacktriangle$ is an O_F -linear quasi- p -isogeny such that
 - (a) $\ker \psi^\Delta[p^\infty]$ is contained in $A^\Delta[p]$;
 - (b) we have $\varpi \cdot \lambda^\Delta = \psi^{\Delta\vee} \circ \lambda^\blacktriangle \circ \psi^\Delta$; and
 - (c) the \mathbf{K}^p -orbit of maps $v \mapsto \psi_*^\Delta \circ \eta^{p\Delta}(v)$ for $v \in \mathbf{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$ coincides with $\eta^{p\blacktriangle}$.

The definitions of the equivalence relation and the action of morphisms in $\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}$ are similar to [LTXZZ1, Definition 4.3.3]. In what follows, for an object of $\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ as above, we denote by $\psi^\blacktriangle: A^\blacktriangle \rightarrow A^\Delta$ the O_F -linear quasi- p -isogeny such that $\psi^\blacktriangle \circ \psi^\Delta = \varpi \cdot \text{id}_{A^\Delta}$.

¹³The number of such submodules is $(1+p)(1+p^3)\cdots(1+p^{2r-m_x-1})$.

In $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}, \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi})/\mathbf{T}$, we have the morphism

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{f}: \mathfrak{P}_N \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_N$$

sending $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta)$ to $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta})$ and the morphism

$$\mathfrak{i}: \mathfrak{P}_N \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_N^{\varpi}$$

sending $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta)$ to $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \varpi\eta^{p\Delta}; \psi^\Delta)$.

Similar to Remark 3.2.1, \mathfrak{P}_N and \mathfrak{P}_N^{ϖ} are canonically identified. It is clear that under such identification, $\mathfrak{i} \circ \mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{i} \circ \mathfrak{i}$ coincide with the identity morphisms on \mathfrak{P}_N and \mathfrak{P}_N^{ϖ} , respectively.

Remark 3.4.2. By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 3.4.12(2)], $\mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is also the set of equivalence classes of septuples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; C)$ in which C (corresponding to $\ker \psi^\Delta[p^\infty]$) is an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subgroup of $A^\Delta[p]$ that is Lagrangian and neutral (Definition 3.3.8).

Definition 3.4.3. For every $\mathbf{K}^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$, let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; \mathcal{A}^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; \mathcal{A}^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta)$ be the universal object over $\mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$. We define

- (1) $\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)$ to be the reduced closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ on which $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}) = 0$;
- (2) $\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$ to be the reduced closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ on which $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}) = 0$;
- (3) $\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$ to be $\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p) \cap \mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$;
- (4) $\mathfrak{P}_N^?(\mathbf{K}^p)$ to be $\mathfrak{M}_N^?(\mathbf{K}^p) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)} \mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ for $? \in \{(m), [m], b\}$;
- (5) $\mathfrak{P}_N^{|m|}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ to be $\mathfrak{M}_N^{|m|}(\mathbf{K}^p) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)} \mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

For $? \in \{\Delta, \blacktriangle, \ddagger, (m), [m], b\}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{f}^?$ the restrictions of \mathfrak{f} in (3.4) to $\mathfrak{P}_N^?$ and by $\mathfrak{p}^?: \mathfrak{P}_N^? \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_N$ the natural inclusion morphism, and similarly for $\mathfrak{f}^{|m|}$.

Theorem 3.4.4. For every $\mathbf{K}^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$, we have

- (1) The scheme $\mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is quasi-projective and strictly semistable over \mathbf{T} of relative dimension $N - 1$, such that

$$\mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) = \mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p) \bigcup \mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p).$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)$, $\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$ and $\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$ are all smooth over \mathbf{T} .

- (2) The morphism \mathfrak{f} is proper.
- (3) The relative tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}$ fits canonically into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}, (\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta)^{-1}\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

of coherent sheaves over $\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

- (4) The relative tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}$ fits canonically into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}, (\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle)^{-1}\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

of coherent sheaves over $\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

- (5) The natural map $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}|_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}|_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)}$ between relative tangent sheaves induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \simeq \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\blacktriangle\vee},\tau_\infty}\right) \oplus \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}\right)$$

of coherent sheaves over $\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$ under the two exact sequences in (3,4). In particular, the two exact sequences in (3,4) both split over $\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

- (6) The morphism \mathfrak{f}^Δ is étale away from $\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$.
- (7) For an S -point $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta) \in \mathfrak{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ with $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{F}_p}$ regular, it belongs to $\mathfrak{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$) if and only if $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta(v_{A^\Delta/S,\tau_\infty}) = 0$ (resp. $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle(v_{A^\blacktriangle/S,\tau_\infty}) = 0$) (Notation 2.4.8(4)).
- (8) Under the isomorphism in (5), we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p) \cap \mathfrak{P}_N^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \cap \mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\vee},\tau_\infty}\right) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. For (1), the quasi-projectiveness follows from the fact that the induced morphism

$${}^s\mathbf{f} \times ({}^{s\varpi}\mathbf{f} \circ {}^s\mathbf{i}): {}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \times_{\mathbf{T}} {}^{s\varpi}\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$$

is a closed immersion and that the target is quasi-projective over \mathbf{T} by [LTXZZ1, Theorem 4.2.3].

For the remaining part of (1), take a point

$$x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta) \in {}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$$

for a perfect field κ containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ , and denote by \mathcal{O}_x the completed local ring of ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ at x . For every $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty$, we may identify $\mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_\tau$ as a $W(\kappa)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_\tau$ so that $p\mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_\tau \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_\tau$ for $\tau \in \{\tau_\infty, \tau_\infty^c\}$ and $\mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_\tau = \mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_\tau$ for $\tau \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \{\tau_\infty, \tau_\infty^c\}$.

By [LTXZZ1, Proposition 3.4.8] and the fact that λ^Δ and λ^\blacktriangle are p -principal, for every Artinian $W(\kappa)$ -ring R that is a quotient of \mathcal{O}_x , $\text{Hom}_{W(\kappa)}(\mathcal{O}_x, R)$ is the set of pairs of R -subbundles

$$M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{W(\kappa)} R, \quad M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{W(\kappa)} R$$

of rank 1 lifting $\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}/\kappa, \tau_\infty}$ and $\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}/\kappa, \tau_\infty}$, respectively, such that

- ❖ the image of $M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta$ is contained in $M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ under the natural map $\mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{W(\kappa)} R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{W(\kappa)} R$;
- ❖ the image of $M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ is contained in $p^{-1}M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta$ under the natural map $\mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{W(\kappa)} R \rightarrow p^{-1}\mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_{W(\kappa)} R$.

By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 3.4.12], for $\tau \in \{\tau_\infty, \tau_\infty^c\}$, $\mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_\tau/\mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_\tau$ is a κ -vector space of dimension r . In particular, we may assume

$$\mathcal{D}(A^\Delta)_{\tau_\infty} = pW(\kappa) \oplus W(\kappa) \oplus pW(\kappa) \oplus W(\kappa) \oplus \cdots, \quad \mathcal{D}(A^\blacktriangle)_{\tau_\infty} = W(\kappa)^{\oplus N}.$$

There are three possible cases.

- (i) If $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle(\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}) = 0$ but $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta(\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}) \neq 0$, then $M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta$ determines $M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ is generated by $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that $\mathcal{O}_x \simeq W(\kappa)[[x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_N]]$
- (ii) If $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta(\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}) = 0$ but $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle(\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}) \neq 0$, then $M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ determines $M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ is generated by $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. It follows that $\mathcal{O}_x \simeq W(\kappa)[[x_2, \dots, x_N]]$.
- (iii) If both $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta(\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}) = 0$ and $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle(\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}) = 0$, then without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ is generated by $(p, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ is generated by $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then there are unique generators of $M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta$ and $M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ of the form $(p, x_2, px_3, x_4, \dots)$ and $(x'_1, 1, x'_3, x'_4, \dots)$ with indeterminants in the maximal ideal of R . Then the two compatibility conditions between $M_{\tau_\infty}^\Delta$ and $M_{\tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ imply that $\mathcal{O}_x \simeq W(\kappa)[[x'_1, x_2, x_3, x'_4, x_5, x'_6, \dots]]/(x'_1x_2 - p)$.

Thus, ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is strictly semistable over \mathbf{T} of relative dimension $N - 1$. Moreover, ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is the locus where (i) or (iii) happens; and ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is the locus where (ii) or (iii) happens. Thus, (1) follows.

For (2), the properness of ${}^s\mathbf{f}$ follows from Remark 3.4.2.

For (3–5), we will use deformation theory. For common use, we consider a closed immersion $S \hookrightarrow \hat{S}$ in $\text{Sch}'_{\mathbf{T}}$ defined by an ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} with $\mathcal{I}^2 = 0$. Take an S -point $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta)$ in various schemes we will consider. By [LTXZZ1, Proposition 3.4.8], we need to lift $\omega_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ and $\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ to subbundles $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty} \subseteq \text{H}_1^{\text{cris}}(A^\Delta/\hat{S})_{\tau_\infty}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty} \subseteq \text{H}_1^{\text{cris}}(A^\blacktriangle/\hat{S})_{\tau_\infty}$, respectively, satisfying $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta(\hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ and $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle(\hat{\omega}_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}) \subseteq \hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$.

For (3), we need to first find lifting $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ that is contained in $\ker \psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ by definition; and then find lifting $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ that is contained in $(\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta)^{-1}\omega_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$. Thus, (3) follows. By a symmetric argument, (4) follows as well.

For (5), we need to find liftings $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ that are contained in $\ker \psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\blacktriangle$ and $\ker \psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta$, respectively. Thus, (5) follows.

For (6), it suffices to show that the natural map ${}^s\mathbf{f}_*^\Delta \mathcal{T}_{{}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{{}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}$ is an isomorphism away from the image of ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that over ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p) \setminus {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\blacktriangle(\mathbf{K}^p)$, the lifting $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\blacktriangle\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ is determined by $\hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty}$ as $\psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta(\hat{\omega}_{A^{\Delta\vee}, \tau_\infty})$.

For (7), we show the statement for ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)$ and the other one follows by symmetry. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\Delta/S) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*^\Delta} & \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\bullet/S) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*^\bullet} & \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\Delta/S) \\
\downarrow \mathbf{V}_{A^\Delta} & & \downarrow \mathbf{V}_{A^\bullet} & & \downarrow \mathbf{V}_{A^\Delta} \\
\mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^{\Delta(p)}/S) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*^{\Delta(p)}} & \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^{\bullet(p)}/S) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*^{\bullet(p)}} & \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^{\Delta(p)}/S) \\
\downarrow \mathbf{F}_{A^\Delta} & & \downarrow \mathbf{F}_{A^\bullet} & & \downarrow \mathbf{F}_{A^\Delta} \\
\mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\Delta/S) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*^\Delta} & \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\bullet/S) & \xrightarrow{\psi_*^\bullet} & \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\Delta/S)
\end{array}$$

of \mathcal{O}_S -modules. Since S is regular, the absolute Frobenius morphism of S is faithfully flat. It follows that $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\bullet(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\bullet\nu}/S,\tau_\infty}) = 0$ is equivalent to that $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^{\Delta(p)}(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\bullet(p)\nu}/S,\tau_\infty}) = 0$, which is equivalent to that the induce map $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^{\Delta(p)} \circ \mathbf{V}_{A^\bullet} : \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\bullet/S)_{\tau_\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^{\Delta(p)}/S)_{\tau_\infty}$ vanishes. This is further equivalent to the vanishing of its dual map, which is canonically identified with $\mathbf{F}_{A^\bullet} \circ \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^{\Delta(p)} : \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^{\Delta(p)}/S)_{\tau_\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A^\bullet/S)_{\tau_\infty}$. Thus, $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\bullet(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\bullet\nu}/S,\tau_\infty}) = 0$ if and only if $\psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta(\mathbf{V}_{A^\Delta/S,\tau_\infty}) = 0$.

For (8), since ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}$ is smooth over \mathbf{T} of dimension zero, $\mathcal{T}_{{}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p) \cap {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}$ is contained in the kernel of the induced map

$$({}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger)_* : \mathcal{T}_{{}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{{}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)/\mathbf{T}}$$

on the tangent bundle, in which the target is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}, \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^\Delta)_{\tau_\infty}/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty})$ by [LTXZZ1, Theorem 4.2.3]. It is straightforward to check that the restriction of $({}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger)_*$ to the second direct summand $\mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty})$ in (5) is the inclusion map

$$\mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}, \mathrm{H}_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{A}^\Delta)_{\tau_\infty}/\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}).$$

Thus, (8) follows.

The theorem is proved. \square

Notation 3.4.5. For every point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, where κ is an algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ , we denote by \mathbf{P}_x the induced reduced closed subscheme of (the scheme-theoretic fiber) ${}^s\mathbf{f}^{-1}(x) \subseteq {}^s\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)_\kappa$.

Proposition 3.4.6. For every $\mathbf{K}^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$, we have

- (1) The subscheme ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^b(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is set-theoretically contained in ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$.
- (2) The morphism

$${}^s\mathbf{f}^{\lfloor 2r-3\rfloor} : {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^{\lfloor 2r-3\rfloor}(\mathbf{K}^p) \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\lfloor 2r-3\rfloor}(\mathbf{K}^p)$$

is finite flat.

- (3) For every point $x \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^b(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, where κ is an algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ , \mathbf{P}_x is an irreducible proper scheme over κ of dimension $\frac{N-m_x-1}{2}$.
- (4) The morphisms

$${}^s\mathbf{f}^\Delta : {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta(\mathbf{K}^p) \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p), \quad {}^s\mathbf{f}^\bullet : {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\bullet(\mathbf{K}^p) \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$$

are both small.

- (5) The image of ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger$ is disjoint from ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(2)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ and the induced morphism

$${}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger : {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p) \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\mathrm{no}}(\mathbf{K}^p)$$

is semismall with respect to the Ekedahl–Oort stratification (of the target), under which the relevant strata are ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(4)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ (which is empty when $N = 2$) and ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

Proof. For (1), take a geometric point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\bullet, \lambda^\bullet, \eta^{p\bullet}; \psi^\Delta) \in {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^b(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$. By Lemma 3.3.7(1,2), $\ker \psi_{*,\tau_\infty}^\Delta$ contains both $\mathbf{V}_{A^\Delta,\tau_\infty}$ and $\omega_{A^{\Delta\nu},\tau_\infty}$. Then (1) follows by Theorem 3.4.4(7).

For (2), since ${}^s\mathbf{f}$ is a proper morphism between regular schemes of same (pure) dimension by Theorem 3.4.4(1,2), it suffices to show that for every geometric point $x \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{[2r-3]l}(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, the underlying set of ${}^s\mathbf{f}^{-1}(x)$ is finite by the miracle flatness criterion over regular local rings [Mat89, Theorem 23.1]. When κ has characteristic 0, this follows from the fact that ${}^s\mathbf{f}^p$ is finite étale. When κ has characteristic p , the underlying set ${}^s\mathbf{f}^{-1}(x)$ is the same as the set of neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodules of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{f}(x)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, which is finite by Proposition 3.3.6(1), Lemma 3.3.7(3) and (3) (for $m_x = N - 1$). Part (2) follows.

For (3), write $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$. We define a pairing $\{ , \}_x: \mathcal{S}_x^\rightarrow \times \mathcal{S}_x^\rightarrow \rightarrow \kappa$ by the formula $\{u, v\}_x := \langle \mathbf{V}^{-1}u, v \rangle$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{S}_x^\rightarrow, \{ , \}_x)$ is admissible of rank $N - m_x$ (Definition 2.6.1). By Lemma 3.3.7(1) and the fact that P_x is reduced, the intersection of $\ker \psi_{*, \tau_\infty}^\Delta$ (for the universal object over P_x) and $\mathcal{S}_x^\rightarrow \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{O}_{P_x}$ in $\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty} \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{O}_{P_x} = \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{A}/P_x)_{\tau_\infty}$ defines an element in $\text{DL}(\mathcal{S}_x^\rightarrow, \{ , \}_x, \frac{N-m_x+1}{2})(P_x)$ (Definition 2.6.2), which gives rise to a morphism $\zeta_x: P_x \rightarrow \text{DL}(\mathcal{S}_x^\rightarrow, \{ , \}_x, \frac{N-m_x+1}{2})$. Using Lemma 3.3.7(1,2), it is straightforward to check that for every algebraically closed field κ' containing κ , $\zeta_x(\kappa')$ is a bijection. It follows that ζ_x is a universal homeomorphism, which implies (3) by Proposition 2.6.3.

For (4), we show the statement for ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\Delta$ and the case for ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\Delta$ is similar. Consider the stratification ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \bigcup_{m \text{ odd}} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ from Proposition 3.3.6(2). For every odd positive integer m and every geometric point $x \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, we have $\dim ({}^s\mathbf{f}^\Delta)^{-1}(x) = \frac{2r-m-1}{2}$ by (1,3). By Proposition 3.3.6(3), we have $\dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \frac{m-1}{2}$. Together, we have

$$2 \dim ({}^s\mathbf{f}^\Delta)^{-1}(x) + \dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = 2r - m - 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} < 2r - 1 = \dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p).$$

Thus, combining with (2), ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\Delta$ is small. Part (4) follows.

For (5), the claim that the image of ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger$ is disjoint from ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(2)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ follows from Theorem 3.4.4(7), Lemma 3.3.7(1), and Lemma 3.3.3(4,5). Then as ${}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p)$ is reduced, we obtain the induced morphism ${}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger: {}^s\mathbf{P}_N^\ddagger(\mathbf{K}^p) \rightarrow {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}(\mathbf{K}^p)$.

To show that the above induced morphism is semismall with respect to the Ekedahl–Oort stratification, we consider the filtration

$${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \bigcup_{m \neq 2} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p).$$

For every even positive integer $m \geq 4$ and every geometric point $x \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, we have $\dim ({}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x) = 0$ by (2). By Proposition 3.3.6(3), we have $\dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = 2r - \frac{m}{2}$. Together, we have

$$2 \dim ({}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x) + \dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = 0 + 2r - \frac{m}{2} \leq 2r - 2 = \dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}(\mathbf{K}^p),$$

in which the equality holds if and only if $m = 4$.

For every odd positive integer m and every geometric point $x \in {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p)(\kappa)$, we have $\dim ({}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x) = \frac{2r-m-1}{2}$ by (1,3). By Proposition 3.3.6(3), we have $\dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = \frac{m-1}{2}$. Together, we have

$$2 \dim ({}^s\mathbf{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x) + \dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\mathbf{K}^p) = 2r - m - 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \leq 2r - 2 = \dim {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}(\mathbf{K}^p),$$

in which the equality holds if and only if $m = 1$.

In particular, the relevant strata are ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(4)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$ and ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(\mathbf{K}^p)$. Part (5) follows. \square

The lemma below shows that the “involution” ${}^s\mathfrak{i}$ sends the fibers over ${}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}$ to a section of the basic locus of ${}^s\mathcal{W}\mathbf{M}_N$. Take an object $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*}) \in {}^s\mathcal{W}\mathbf{S}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$. Put $A^{*\prime} := A^*/I_{A^*}$, where I_{A^*} denotes the kernel of the relative Frobenius morphism $\ker \lambda^*[p^\infty] \rightarrow (\ker \lambda^*[p^\infty])^{(p)}$. Let $\lambda^{*\prime}: A^{*\prime} \rightarrow (A^{*\prime})^\vee$ be the unique \mathcal{O}_F -linear quasi-polarization satisfying $\lambda^* = \alpha^\vee \circ \lambda^{*\prime} \circ \alpha$, where $\alpha: A^* \rightarrow A^{*\prime}$ is the natural quotient morphism, which is indeed p -principal.

Lemma 3.4.7. *We have*

- (1) *The assignment $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^*, \lambda^*, \eta^{p^*}) \mapsto (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{*\prime}, \lambda^{*\prime}, \eta^{p^{*\prime}})$, where $\eta^{p^{*\prime}} = \eta^{p^*}$ under the canonical identification $\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_s^*, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}) = \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_s^{*\prime}, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$, gives an isomorphism ${}^s\mathfrak{i}_S: {}^s\mathcal{W}\mathbf{S}_N \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^s\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}$.*

(2) *There exists a unique lifting ς_{i_B} as the dashed arrow in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \varsigma \mathbf{P}_N^{(1)} \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed } \varsigma_{i_B} & \downarrow (\varsigma^{\varpi} \text{f} \circ \varsigma_i, \varsigma_{i_S}^{-1} \circ \varsigma^{\text{f}(1)}) \\ \varsigma^{\varpi} \mathbf{B}_N & \xrightarrow{(\iota, \pi)} \varsigma^{\varpi} \mathbf{M}_N \times_{\mathbf{T}} \varsigma^{\varpi} \mathbf{S}_N & \end{array}$$

which induces an isomorphism from $\varsigma^{\varpi} \mathbf{B}_N$ to the induced reduced closed subscheme of $\varsigma \mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\varsigma = 1$ and suppress it from all the left superscripts. Fix an object $K^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$. Put $\kappa := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

For (1), since both ${}^{\varpi} \mathbf{S}_N(K^p)$ and $\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(K^p)$ are smooth schemes over \mathbb{F}_p^{Φ} of dimension 0, it suffices to show that i_S induces a bijection ${}^{\varpi} \mathbf{S}_N(K^p)(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(K^p)(\kappa)$. We first explain that i_S does have the expected image. Indeed, take a point $s^{\star} = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{\star}, \lambda^{\star}, \eta^{p^{\star}}) \in {}^{\varpi} \mathbf{S}_N(K^p)(\kappa)$. Then we may write $A^{\star}[p^{\infty}] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N G_i$ with G_i supersingular of signature $(1, 0)$ such that $\ker \lambda^{\star}[p^{\infty}] = G_1[p]$. Then $A^{\star'} = G_1^{(p)} \oplus G_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus G_N$, which is superspecial of signature $(N-1, 1)$. In particular, $i_S(s^{\star}) \in \mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(K^p)(\kappa)$. We now construct an inverse (on the level of κ -points). Take a point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in \mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(K^p)(\kappa)$. We have the D_{κ} -module $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ from Notation 3.3.4(1). Let $J_A \subseteq A[p]$ be the subgroup given by the D_{κ} -submodule $(\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^{\leftarrow} \oplus \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^{\leftarrow}$ (which equals $(\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^{\leftarrow} \oplus \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)^{\leftarrow}$). Put $A^{\star} := A/J_A$ with the induced polarization λ^{\star} and level structure $\eta^{p^{\star}}$. It is straightforward to check that the assignment $x \mapsto (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{\star}, \lambda^{\star}, \eta^{p^{\star}})$ given in this way is inverse to i_S .

For (2), since \mathbb{F}_p^{Φ} is a perfect field, it suffices to show the statement over an arbitrary κ -point, say $s^{\star} = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{\star}, \lambda^{\star}, \eta^{p^{\star}})$, of ${}^{\varpi} \mathbf{S}_N(K^p)$. Then the diagram in (2) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{f}^{-1}(i_S(s^{\star})) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed } i_B & \downarrow \text{dashed } \varpi^{\text{f} \circ i} \\ \mathbf{B}_{s^{\star}} & \xrightarrow{\iota} \varpi \mathbf{M}_N(K^p)_{\kappa} & \end{array}$$

Suppose that we construct a morphism i_B rendering the diagram (3.5) commute, which turns out to be an isomorphism. Then the uniqueness of the lifting follows since ι hence $\varpi^{\text{f}} \circ i$ (in (3.5)) are generically immersions.

It remains to construct such i_B . In what follows, we still write $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{\star}, \lambda^{\star}, \eta^{p^{\star}})$ for its base change along any morphism $S \rightarrow s^{\star}$. Take a point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^{\star}, \lambda^{\star}, \eta^{p^{\star}}; \alpha) \in \mathbf{B}_{s^{\star}}(S)$. We obtain the data $y = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^{\star'}, \lambda^{\star'}, \eta^{p^{\star'}}; \psi)$, where ψ is the composition $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^{\star} \rightarrow A^{\star'}$. It is easy to see that y is an element of ${}^{\varpi} \mathbf{P}_N(S)$. We now define $i_B(x) = \varpi i(y)$, which belongs to $\text{f}^{-1}(i_S(s^{\star}))$. Since $\mathbf{B}_{s^{\star}}$ is reduced, i_B factors through the induced reduced fiber $\mathbf{P}_{i_S(s^{\star})}$; and that the diagram (3.5) commutes by construction. We now construct a morphism $\mathbf{P}_{i_S(s^{\star})} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{s^{\star}}$ that is inverse to i_B . Let $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{\star'}, \lambda^{\star'}, \eta^{p^{\star'}}; A, \lambda, \eta^p; \psi')$ be the universal object over $\mathbf{P}_{i_S(s^{\star})}$. We claim that $\ker \psi'[p^{\infty}]$ is contained in $J_{A^{\star'}}$ (appeared in the proof of (1)). Indeed, since $\mathbf{P}_{i_S(s^{\star})}$ is reduced, this can be easily checked at all geometric points. Thus, we obtain an \mathcal{O}_F -linear quasi- p -isogeny $\alpha: A \rightarrow A^{\star}$ hence a point $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^{\star}, \lambda^{\star}, \eta^{p^{\star}}; \alpha) \in \mathbf{B}_{s^{\star}}(\mathbf{P}_{i_S(s^{\star})})$. It is clear that the corresponding morphism is inverse to i_B .

The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 3.4.8. *Let $x \in \mathbf{S} \mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}(K^p)(\kappa)$ be a geometric point, where κ is an algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{F}_p^{Φ} .*

- (1) *The fiber $(\varsigma \text{f}^{\ddagger})^{-1}(x)$ is a smooth irreducible proper scheme over κ of dimension $r-1$. In particular, $(\varsigma \text{f}^{\ddagger})^{-1}(x) = \mathbf{P}_x$ under Notation 3.4.5.*
- (2) *The self-intersection number of $(\varsigma \text{f}^{\ddagger})^{-1}(x)$ in $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p^{\Phi}} \kappa$ equals $(-p)^{r-1}(1+p)(1+p^3) \cdots (1+p^{2r-3})$.*

Proof. For (1), by Theorem 3.4.4(8), the dimension of $\mathcal{T}_{(\varsigma \text{f}^{\ddagger})^{-1}(x)/\kappa}$ is at most $r-1$. Thus, (1) follows from Proposition 3.4.6(1,3). As a consequence, the normal bundle of $(\varsigma \text{f}^{\ddagger})^{-1}(x)$ in $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p^{\Phi}} \kappa$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}om(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta \vee}, \tau_{\infty}}, \ker \psi_{\ast, \tau_{\infty}}^{\Delta} / \omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta \vee}, \tau_{\infty}})$.

For (2), let $s^\star = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\star, \lambda^\star, \eta^{p^\star}) \in {}^s\mathcal{S}_N(\kappa)$ be the unique point whose image under s_{IS} is x in view of Lemma 3.4.7(1). Denote by $(\mathcal{A}, \lambda, \eta^p; \alpha)$ the universal object over the fiber B_{s^\star} . Denote by $\gamma: A^\star \rightarrow A^{\star'}$ the natural quotient homomorphism and put $\psi^\Delta := \gamma \circ \alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A^{\star'} \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star}$. Let $\psi^\Delta: A^{\star'} \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the unique homomorphism such that $\psi^\Delta \circ \psi^\Delta$ and $\psi^\Delta \circ \psi^\Delta$ are both the multiplication by ϖ . Put $\check{\alpha} := \psi^\Delta \circ \gamma: A^\star \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, so that both $\check{\alpha} \circ \alpha$ and $\alpha \circ \check{\alpha} = \varpi$ are the multiplication by ϖ . In other words, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \check{\alpha} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A^\star \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & A^{\star'} \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star} & \xrightarrow{\psi^\Delta} & \mathcal{A} \\ & \searrow & \psi^\Delta & \nearrow & & & \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

of abelian schemes over B_{s^\star} . Then under the isomorphism $B_{s^\star} \xrightarrow{\sim} ({}^s\mathfrak{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x)$ in Lemma 3.4.7(2), the universal object over $({}^s\mathfrak{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x)$ is

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^{\star'} \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star}, \lambda^{\star'} \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star}, \eta^{p^{\star'}} \otimes_{\kappa} B_{s^\star}; \mathcal{A}, \lambda, \eta^p; \psi^\Delta).$$

Moreover, by the computation in (1), the pullback of the normal bundle of $({}^s\mathfrak{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x)$ in ${}^s\mathcal{P}_N^{\ddagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \kappa$ to B_{s^\star} is

$$\mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{A^{\star'v}, \tau_\infty} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{B_{s^\star}}, \ker \psi_{\ast, \tau_\infty}^\Delta / (\omega_{A^{\star'v}, \tau_\infty} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{B_{s^\star}})\right).$$

To further compute the above bundle, we use the isomorphism $\zeta_{s^\star}: B_{s^\star} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{DL}_{s^\star} = \text{DL}(\mathcal{V}_{s^\star}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{s^\star}, r+1)$ from Lemma 3.2.2(3). By construction, $\mathcal{V}_{s^\star}^{-1} = \ker \gamma_{\ast, \tau_\infty}$ as subspaces of $\mathcal{V}_{s^\star} = \mathbb{H}_1^{\text{dR}}(A^\star/\kappa)_{\tau_\infty}$. Put $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}_{s^\star} / \mathcal{V}_{s^\star}^{-1}$ with the induced pairing $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$, which is again admissible but now nondegenerate. Taking quotient induces an isomorphism $\text{DL}(\mathcal{V}_{s^\star}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}_{s^\star}, r+1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)$ of Deligne–Lusztig varieties, whose universal objects we denote by \mathcal{H}_{s^\star} and \mathcal{H} , respectively. Now as indicated in the proof of [LTXZZI, Theorem 4.3.5(3)], $\mathcal{H}_{s^\star}^{-1} = \ker \check{\alpha}_{\ast, \tau_\infty}$, which implies that $\ker \psi_{\ast, \tau_\infty}^\Delta / (\omega_{A^{\star'v}, \tau_\infty} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{B_{s^\star}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ as bundles over $\text{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)$. As $\omega_{A^{\star'v}, \tau_\infty} \otimes_{\kappa} \mathcal{O}_{B_{s^\star}}$ is a trivial line bundle, we have

$$\int_{({}^s\mathfrak{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x)} c_{r-1}\left(\mathcal{H}om\left(\omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta v}, \tau_\infty}, \ker \psi_{\ast, \tau_\infty}^\Delta / \omega_{\mathcal{A}^{\Delta v}, \tau_\infty}\right)\right) = \int_{\text{DL}(\mathcal{V}, \{ \cdot, \cdot \}, r)} c_{r-1}(\mathcal{H}_{s^\star}^{-1}),$$

in which the left-hand side is nothing but the self-intersection number of $({}^s\mathfrak{f}^\ddagger)^{-1}(x)$ in ${}^s\mathcal{P}_N^{\ddagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \kappa$ and the right-hand side equals $(-p)^{r-1}(1+p)(1+p^3)\cdots(1+p^{2r-3})$ by Lemma 2.6.4.

The proposition is proved. \square

3.5. Tate–Thompson local system. In this subsection, we introduce the so-called Tate–Thompson local system, which will have a deep connection with the Abel–Jacobi map; it can be thought as the analogue of the ‘‘Steinberg’’ local system in the modular curve case. From now to the end of §3.10, we will suppress ς from all the left superscripts when $\varsigma = 1$.

Take a $\mathfrak{b}_{N,p}$ -coprime coefficient ring L (see Notation 3.1.1 for $\mathfrak{b}_{N,p}$). The Tate–Thompson local system (with coefficients in L) will be a direct factor of $\mathfrak{f}_*^\eta L$ that is the local system analogue of the Tate–Thompson representation $\Omega_{N,L}^1$ in §3.11. In particular, we need to find a (geometric) correspondence that encodes the element $Q_{Y,1}$ in that subsection. Moreover, since we eventually need to study nearby cycles of the Tate–Thompson local system, we need such correspondence to have a flat extension away from basic locus. It turns out that we are able to achieve this not for $Q_{Y,1}$, but for $Q_{Y,1}$ plus some copies of $Q_{Y,0}$ – the identity correspondence (see Remark 3.5.4), which is sufficient for our purpose.

Definition 3.5.1. We define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_N: \mathcal{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto \mathbf{N}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi}$, $\mathbf{N}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of septuples

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; H)$$

where

- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$ is an element of $\mathbf{M}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$;
- ❖ $H \subseteq A[\mathfrak{p}]$ is an O_F -stable finite locally free subgroup scheme of rank $p^{2(r-1)}$ satisfying
 - (a) H is isotropic under the Weil pairing on $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ induced by the polarization λ ; and
 - (b) Let $\pi: A \rightarrow A' := A/H$ be the quotient isogeny and $\lambda': A' \rightarrow A'^\vee$ the quasi-polarization on A' satisfying $\varpi\lambda = \pi^\vee \circ \lambda' \circ \pi$. If we equip A' with the canonically induced O_F -action, then (A', λ') is a unitary O_F -abelian scheme over S of the same signature $N\Phi - \tau_\infty + \tau_\infty^c$ as (A, λ) .

The definitions of the equivalence relation and the action of morphisms in $\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}$ are omitted.

Definition 3.5.2. We define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_N: \mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto \mathbf{R}_N(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi}$, $\mathbf{R}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of undecuples

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p^\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta; H),$$

where

- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p^\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta)$ is an element of $\mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$;
- ❖ $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; H)$ is an element of $\mathbf{N}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ satisfying $H \subseteq \ker(\psi^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}])$.

The definitions of the equivalence relation and the action of morphisms in $\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}$ are omitted.

By a similar argument as for Theorem 3.4.4(1), both $\mathbf{N}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ and $\mathbf{R}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ are quasi-projective strictly semistable schemes over \mathbf{T} of relative dimension $N - 1$. By definition, we have a natural commutative diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{R}_N & \\ g_1 \swarrow & & \searrow g_0 \\ \mathbf{N}_N & & \mathbf{P}_N \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f \\ & \mathbf{M}_N & \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}, \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi})/\mathbf{T}$, where all arrow are natural forgetful morphisms.

We put

$$\mathbf{Q}_N := \mathbf{R}_N \times_{\mathbf{N}_N} \mathbf{R}_N$$

so that for every $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi}$, $\mathbf{Q}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of quindecuples

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangleleft, \lambda^\blacktriangleleft, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleleft}; \psi^\blacktriangleleft; A^\blacktriangleright, \lambda^\blacktriangleright, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleright}; \psi^\blacktriangleright; H)$$

in which both $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangleleft, \lambda^\blacktriangleleft, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleleft}; \psi^\blacktriangleleft)$ and $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangleright, \lambda^\blacktriangleright, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleright}; \psi^\blacktriangleright)$ are elements of $\mathbf{R}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$; $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; H)$ is an element of $\mathbf{N}_N(\mathbf{K}^p)$ satisfying $H \subseteq \ker(\psi^\blacktriangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]) \cap \ker(\psi^\blacktriangleright[\mathfrak{p}])$.

Let $\mathbf{h}_<, \mathbf{h}_>: \mathbf{Q}_N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_N$ be the two morphisms forgetting $(A^\blacktriangleright, \lambda^\blacktriangleright, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleright}; \psi^\blacktriangleright)$ and $(A^\blacktriangleleft, \lambda^\blacktriangleleft, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleleft}; \psi^\blacktriangleleft)$, respectively. Put $\mathbf{g}_< := \mathbf{g}_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_<$ and $\mathbf{g}_> := \mathbf{g}_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_>$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Q}_N & \\ g_< \swarrow & & \searrow g_> \\ \mathbf{P}_N & & \mathbf{P}_N \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow f \\ & \mathbf{M}_N & \end{array}$$

in $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T}, \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi})/\mathbf{T}$.

Notation 3.5.3. For pairs $(X, ?) \in \{N, Q, R\} \times \{(m), [m], b\}$, we denote by $X_N^?$ the preimage of $M_N^?$ under the natural morphism $X_N \rightarrow M_N$, and by $g_0^?, g_1^?, h_<^?, h_>^?, g_<^?, g_>^?$ the corresponding restrictions of morphisms. We have similar notation for pairs in $\{N, Q, R\} \times \{[m]\}$.

We first study the generic fiber of the diagram (3.7).

Remark 3.5.4. Since g_1^η is finite étale, the diagonal embedding $R_N^\eta \rightarrow Q_N^\eta$ is both open and closed, whose image we denote by $Q_{N,0}^\eta$. Let $Q_{N,1}^\eta$ be the complement of $Q_{N,0}^\eta$ in Q_N^η , which is also both open and closed. Denote by ΔP_N^η the diagonal of $P_N^\eta \times_{M_N^\eta} P_N^\eta$, which is both open and closed. Then it is clear that the natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{N,1}^\eta & \longrightarrow & (P_N^\eta \times_{M_N^\eta} P_N^\eta) \setminus \Delta P_N^\eta \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q_N^\eta & \xrightarrow{(g_<, g_>)} & P_N^\eta \times_{M_N^\eta} P_N^\eta \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Via the pair of morphisms $(g_<^\eta, g_>^\eta)$, we obtain three finite étale correspondences of P_N^η given by Q_N^η , $Q_{N,0}^\eta$, and $Q_{N,1}^\eta$, satisfying

- ❖ the correspondence given by Q_N^η is the sum of those given by $Q_{N,0}^\eta$ and $Q_{N,1}^\eta$;
- ❖ the correspondence given by $Q_{N,0}^\eta$ is the identity correspondence (that is, the one given by the Hecke operator $Q_{Y,0}$ in the notation of §3.11) multiplied by $\frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1}$ (that is, the degree of the map g_0^η);
- ❖ the correspondence given by $Q_{N,1}^\eta$ is the Hecke correspondence given by the Hecke operator $Q_{Y,1}$ in the notation of §3.11.

Definition 3.5.5. Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q & \\ g_1 \swarrow & & \searrow g_2 \\ P_1 & & P_2 \\ f_1 \searrow & & \swarrow f_2 \\ & M & \end{array}$$

of schemes in which g_2 is finite flat, we define its induced (L -linear) *convolution map* to be the composition

$$Rf_{1*}L \rightarrow Rf_{1*}Rg_{1*}g_1^*L \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{2*}Rg_{2*}g_2^*L \rightarrow Rf_{2*}L$$

in which the first arrow is the adjunction map for g_1 and the last arrow is the trace map for g_2 .

Definition 3.5.6. We define $Q_N^\eta : \mathbf{f}_*L \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_*L$ to be the convolution map induced by the generic fiber of the diagram (3.7) between L -linear local systems on M_N^η . For every integer $0 \leq j \leq N+1$, put

$$\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j} := \ker \left(Q_N^\eta - \left(1_{N,p}^j + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} \right) : \mathbf{f}_*L \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_*L \right)$$

(see Notation 3.1.1 for $1_{N,p}^j$) so that $\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j} = \Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,N+1-j}$. We call $\Omega_{N,L}^\eta := \Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,1}$ the *Tate–Thompson local system* in view of Lemma 3.5.7 below.

Lemma 3.5.7. *The natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^r \Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j} \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_*L$$

is an isomorphism of L -linear local systems on M_N^η .

Proof. We first construct a Galois closure of the finite étale morphism \mathbf{f}^η . Let X_N be the unique up to isomorphism hermitian space over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of rank N . Define the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_N^\eta : \mathcal{R}^p \times \mathfrak{T} &\rightarrow \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Q}_p^\Phi} \\ \mathbf{K}^p &\mapsto \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_N^\eta(\mathbf{K}^p) \end{aligned}$$

such that for $S \in \text{Sch}'_{/\mathbb{Q}_p^\Phi}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_N^\eta(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ is the set of equivalence classes of septuples $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p, \eta_p)$, where $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in \mathbf{M}_N^\eta(\mathbf{K}^p)(S)$ and

$$\eta_p : X_N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^{\lambda_0[\mathfrak{p}], \lambda[\mathfrak{p}]}(A_0[\mathfrak{p}], A[\mathfrak{p}])$$

is an isometry of hermitian spaces over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} (over every connected component of S). Then the natural forgetful map $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_N^\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_N^\eta$ is a Galois morphism with the Galois group $U_N := \text{U}(X_N)(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Once fix a maximal isotropic \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subspace Y of X_N , we obtain a morphism $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_N^\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^\eta$ sending $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p, \eta_p)$ to $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p, \eta_p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; \psi^\Delta)$, in which $A^\Delta = A/C$, where C is the subgroup spanned by the image of $\eta_p(x)$ for every $x \in Y$. Then we have a sequence of finite étale morphisms

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_N^\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^\eta \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_N^\eta$$

in which the first one is Galois with the Galois group P_Y , where $P_Y \subseteq U_N$ is the subgroup stabilizing Y . Now we adopt the discussion in §3.11 for $\kappa/\kappa^+ = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p$. Then the lemma follows from Remark 3.5.4 and Lemma 3.11.2. \square

Remark 3.5.8. It is clear that $\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j}$ is self-dual for $0 \leq j \leq N+1$.

Notation 3.5.9. For a scheme X separable and of finite type over a field κ of characteristic p of pure dimension d , we denote by

- ❖ $D(X, L)$ the derived category of L -linear étale sheaves on X with bounded constructible cohomology, and
- ❖ $\text{Perv}(X, L) \subseteq D(X, L)$ the abelian subcategory consisting of F such that $F[d]$ is (constructible) perverse.

For an open subscheme U of X and an element $F \in \text{Perv}(U, L)$, we denote by $\text{IC}(X, F) \in \text{Perv}(X, L)$ the intermediate extension of F along the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow X$, and by $\text{IC}(\overline{X}, F) \in \text{Perv}(\overline{X}, L)$ the restriction of $\text{IC}(X, F)$ to $\overline{X} := X \otimes_\kappa \overline{\kappa}$.

By Theorem 3.4.4(1), the nearby cycles sheaf $\mathbf{R}\Psi L$ on \overline{P}_N admits an (increasing) monodromy filtration

$$0 = F_{-2}\mathbf{R}\Psi L \subseteq F_{-1}\mathbf{R}\Psi L \subseteq F_0\mathbf{R}\Psi L \subseteq F_1\mathbf{R}\Psi L = \mathbf{R}\Psi L$$

in $\text{Perv}(\overline{P}_N, L)$, in which $F_0\mathbf{R}\Psi L$ is the constant sheaf L on \overline{P}_N ; and we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{gr}_1^F \mathbf{R}\Psi L \simeq \overline{p}_*^\dagger L(-1)[-1], \quad \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi L \simeq \overline{p}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{p}_*^\Delta L, \quad \text{gr}_{-1}^F \mathbf{R}\Psi L \simeq \overline{p}_*^\dagger L[-1]$$

of graded objects.¹⁴ Put $F_{\geq 0}\mathbf{R}\Psi L := \mathbf{R}\Psi L / F_{-1}\mathbf{R}\Psi L$.

Since \mathbf{f} is proper by Theorem 3.4.4(2), we have a canonical isomorphism $\text{Rf}_* \mathbf{R}\Psi L \simeq \mathbf{R}\Psi(\mathbf{f}_* L)$ on \overline{M}_N . Then by Proposition 3.4.6(4,5), the filtration $\text{Rf}_* F_\bullet \mathbf{R}\Psi L$ induces a filtration $F_\bullet \mathbf{R}\Psi(\mathbf{f}_* L)$ of $\mathbf{R}\Psi(\mathbf{f}_* L)$ in $\text{Perv}(\overline{M}_N, L)$. Lemma 3.5.7 provides us with a filtration $F_\bullet \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$ of the nearby cycles sheaf $\mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$ again in $\text{Perv}(\overline{M}_N, L)$ for $0 \leq j \leq N+1$.

Definition 3.5.10. We call $\text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$ (resp. $\text{gr}_1^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$ and $\text{gr}_{-1}^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$) the *central nearby cycle* (resp. *marginal nearby cycles*) of $\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j}$.

We denote by $(\Omega_{E_S^{p,q}}^{\eta}, \Omega_{d_S^{p,q}}^{\eta})$ the $(\mathfrak{T}$ -invariant) spectral sequence converging to $H_{\mathfrak{T}}^{p+q}(\overline{M}_N, \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta})(r))$ (Notation 2.5.7) in the category $\text{Mod}(L[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi)])$ induced from the filtration $F_\bullet \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta})(r)$, which is canonically a direct summand of the weight spectral sequence of $\mathbf{R}\Psi L(r)$.

¹⁴Strictly speaking, the ‘‘canonical’’ isomorphisms for $\text{gr}_p^F \mathbf{R}\Psi L$ with $p \neq 0$ depend on the choice of an order between P_N^Δ and P_N^Δ , for which we fix one so that P_N^Δ comes first.

Consider the composite map

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta: H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) &\hookrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\mathbf{f}_*^\eta L)(r)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \mathbf{R}f_* F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi L(r)) = H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi L(r)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\varpi_{f_1 \circ i_1}} H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi L(r)) = H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r)) \end{aligned}$$

of $L[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi)]$ -modules, where the nearby cycle $\mathbf{R}\Psi L(r)$ in $H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi L(r))$ is the one for $\varpi \mathbf{M}_N$ hence is nothing but the constant sheaf $L(r)$ on $\overline{\varpi M}_N$ as $\varpi \mathbf{M}_N$ is smooth over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p^\Phi$. Define the map

$$(3.9) \quad \theta: \Omega_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{0,2r-1} = H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r))$$

of $L[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi)]$ -modules, which induces a map

$$(3.10) \quad \beta: \Omega_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{-1,2r} \rightarrow \text{coker } \theta,$$

which we call the *boosting map*.

Remark 3.5.11. For every prime q of F^+ above p , choose a self-dual O_{F_q} -lattice Λ_q in $V \otimes_F F_q$ together with an O_{F_q} -lattice chain $\Lambda_q \subseteq \varpi \Lambda_q \subseteq \varpi \Lambda_q$ satisfying $\varpi \Lambda_q = \varpi(\varpi \Lambda_q)^\vee$.¹⁵ Denote by K_p and ϖK_p the stabilizers of $\prod_{q|p} \Lambda_q$ and $\prod_{q|p} \varpi \Lambda_q$ in $\prod_{q|p} \text{U}(V)(F_q)$, respectively.

Recall from [LTXZZ1, §4.2] that we have *canonical* “moduli interpretation” isomorphisms of varieties over \mathbb{Q}_p^Φ :

$$\mathbf{M}_N^\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}(V, -K_p) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta, \quad \varpi \mathbf{M}_N^\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}(V, -\varpi K_p) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta$$

(Notation 2.3.5(5)) in $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p \times \mathfrak{Z}, \text{Sch}/_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\Phi})/\mathbf{T}^\eta$, where \mathfrak{Z} acts on $\text{Sh}(V, -K_p) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta$ via the second factor. We further have the isomorphism

$$\mathbf{P}_N^\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}(V, -(K_p \cap \varpi K_p)) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta$$

under which the two morphisms \mathbf{f}^η and $\varpi \mathbf{f}^\eta \circ \mathbf{i}^\eta$ coincide with the natural projection morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sh}(V, -(K_p \cap \varpi K_p)) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta &\rightarrow \text{Sh}(V, -K_p) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta, \\ \text{Sh}(V, -(K_p \cap \varpi K_p)) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta &\rightarrow \text{Sh}(V, -\varpi K_p) \times_F \mathbf{T}^\eta, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Similar to ϑ (3.8), we can define a map

$$\vartheta^\eta: H_{\text{ét}}^{2r-1}(\text{Sh}(V, -K_p)_{\overline{F}}, \Omega_{N,L}^\eta(r)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{2r-1}(\text{Sh}(V, -\varpi K_p)_{\overline{F}}, L(r))$$

on the level of the generic fibers, which is clearly Γ_F -equivariant. By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 4.2.4], the specialization maps induce isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{ét}}^{2r-1}(\text{Sh}(V, -K_p)_{\overline{F}}, \Omega_{N,L}^\eta(r)) &\simeq H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)), \\ H_{\text{ét}}^{2r-1}(\text{Sh}(V, -\varpi K_p)_{\overline{F}}, L(r)) &\simeq H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^{2r-1}(\text{Sh}(V, -K_p)_{\overline{F}}, \Omega_{N,L}^\eta(r)) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta^\eta} & H_{\text{ét}}^{2r-1}(\text{Sh}(V, -\varpi K_p)_{\overline{F}}, L(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, F_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & H_{\mathfrak{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r)) \end{array}$$

in the category $\text{Fun}(\mathfrak{R}^p, L[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi)])$.

¹⁵In particular, $\varpi \Lambda_q = \Lambda_q$ for $q \neq p$.

3.6. Nearby cycles over Newton strata. In this subsection, we perform a preliminary study of the nearby cycles of the Tate–Thompson local system over non-basic Newton strata.

Notation 3.6.1. Take an *even* integer $0 < m \leq N$.

- (1) For every integer $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}$, we denote by $P_N^{(m|l)}$ the maximal open and closed subscheme of $P_N^{(m)}$ such that for every geometric point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; \psi^\Delta) \in P_N^{(m|l)}(\kappa)$, the D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ given by $\ker \psi^\Delta$ contains C_x^l (Notation 3.3.4). In particular, $P_N^{(m|0)} = P_N^{(m)} \setminus P_N^\blacktriangle$ and $P_N^{(m|\frac{m}{2})} = P_N^{(m)} \setminus P_N^\Delta$. Denote by $f^{(m|l)} : P_N^{(m|l)} \rightarrow M_N^{(m)}$ the restriction of f to $P_N^{(m|l)}$.
- (2) For every integer $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}$, we denote by $R_N^{(m|l)}$ the preimage of $P_N^{(m|l)}$ under the morphism $g_0^{(m)}$, which is an open and closed subscheme of $R_N^{(m)}$, so that we have the induced morphism $g_0^{(m|l)} : R_N^{(m|l)} \rightarrow P_N^{(m|l)}$.

Lemma 3.6.2. *For every even integer $0 < m \leq N$, the morphisms $g_0^{(m)}$, $g_1^{(m)}$, $g_{<}^{(m)}$, and $g_{>}^{(m)}$ are all finite.*

Proof. First, the morphisms in question are clearly all proper. Thus, it remains to show that they are quasi-finite. We claim that it suffices to show that $g_0^{(m)}$ is quasi-finite. Indeed, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Q_N^{(m)} & & \\
 & h_{<}^{(m)} \swarrow & & \searrow h_{>}^{(m)} & \\
 R_N^{(m)} & & & & R_N^{(m)} \\
 & g_1^{(m)} \searrow & & \swarrow g_1^{(m)} & \\
 & & N_N^{(m)} & & P_N^{(m)} \\
 & & & \searrow f^{(m)} & \\
 & & & & M_N^{(m)}
 \end{array}$$

(Note: A curved arrow labeled $g_{>}^{(m)}$ also points from $Q_N^{(m)}$ to $P_N^{(m)}$.)

of schemes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , in which the upper-left square is Cartesian. By Proposition 3.4.6(2), $f^{(m)}$ is finite. Thus, if $g_0^{(m)}$ is quasi-finite, then $g_1^{(m)}$ is quasi-finite hence so is $h_{>}^{(m)}$ by base change. It follows that $g_{>}^{(m)}$ is quasi-finite. By a similar argument, we know that $g_{<}^{(m)}$ is also quasi-finite.

For $g_0^{(m)}$, we have the decomposition

$$P_N^{(m)} = \bigsqcup_{l=0}^{m/2} P_N^{(m|l)}$$

(Notation 3.6.1(1)) by Lemma 3.3.3(5). It suffices to show that the induced morphism $g_0^{(m|l)} : R_N^{(m|l)} \rightarrow P_N^{(m|l)}$ (Notation 3.6.1(2)) is quasi-finite. Take a point $y \in P_N^{(m|l)}(\kappa)$, where κ is an algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{F}_p^Φ , with $x := f(y) \in M_N^{(m)}(\kappa)$. Then y gives rise to a neutral Lagrangian D_κ -submodule \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ (Remark 3.4.2), which satisfies $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{B}_x) \oplus (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x) = C_x^l \oplus (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x)$ by Lemma 3.3.7(1). It suffices to show that there are only finitely many neutral D_κ -submodules of \mathcal{E} of κ -codimension 2. Let $C \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ be such a submodule. There are two cases.

Suppose that $C \cap \mathcal{B}_x = C_x^l$. Then $C = (C \cap \mathcal{B}_x) \oplus (C \cap \mathcal{S}_x)$ in which $C \cap \mathcal{S}_x$ is a neutral D_κ -submodule of $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x$ of κ -codimension 2. It is clear that there are only finitely many such submodules.

Suppose that $C \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ is a proper submodule of C_x^l . Let C' be the image of C in \mathcal{S}_x , which is right-leaning. Then $C \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ is left-leaning (and totally isotropic). By Lemma 3.3.3(4), $C \cap \mathcal{B}_x$ has to be neutral hence has κ -codimension 2 in C_x^l . By Lemma 3.3.3(5), the number of such submodules of C_x^l is finite and we must have $C' = C \cap \mathcal{S}_x = \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x$. In other words, $C = (C \cap \mathcal{B}_x) \oplus (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{S}_x)$.

In both cases, the number of neutral D_κ -submodules of \mathcal{E} of κ -codimension 2 is finite. The lemma follows. \square

Remark 3.6.3. Unlike $f^{(2r-1)}$, the morphism $g_0^{(2r-1)}$ is not finite as long as $r \geq 2$.

The following proposition confirms the flatness of the correspondence (3.7) over non-basic Newton strata, which is a crucial ingredient for our argument later.

Proposition 3.6.4. *The morphisms $\mathbf{g}_0^{]2r-1[}$, $\mathbf{g}_1^{]2r-1[}$, $\mathbf{g}_<^{]2r-1[}$, and $\mathbf{g}_>^{]2r-1[}$ are all finite flat, of degrees $\frac{p^{2r}-1}{p^2-1}$, $p+1$, $\frac{p^{2r}-1}{p-1}$, and $\frac{p^{2r}-1}{p-1}$, respectively.*

Proof. We first show that $\mathbf{g}_0^{]2r-1[} : \mathbf{R}_N^{]2r-1[} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{]2r-1[}$ is finite flat. Since both schemes are regular of dimension $N = 2r$, according to the miracle flatness criterion over regular local rings [Mat89, Theorem 23.1], it suffices to show that every fiber of $\mathbf{g}_0^{]2r-1[}$ is finite. Over the generic fiber, this is clear; over the special fiber, this was proved in Lemma 3.6.2. By the same argument, we see that $\mathbf{g}_1^{]2r-1[}$ is also finite flat. It follows that $\mathbf{h}_<^{]2r-1[}$ and $\mathbf{h}_>^{]2r-1[}$ are both finite flat by base change, hence so are $\mathbf{g}_<^{]2r-1[} = \mathbf{h}_<^{]2r-1[} \circ \mathbf{g}_0^{]2r-1[}$ and $\mathbf{g}_>^{]2r-1[} = \mathbf{h}_>^{]2r-1[} \circ \mathbf{g}_0^{]2r-1[}$. The statement on the degrees can be checked easily over the generic fibers. \square

Over each non-basic Newton strata $\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$, the restriction of the map \mathbf{Q}_N has a decomposition we now explain.

Notation 3.6.5. Take an even integer $0 < m \leq N$.

- (1) Denote by $\mathbf{Q}_N^{(m)}$ the induced convolution map of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Q}_N^{(m)} & \\ \mathbf{g}_<^{(m)} \swarrow & & \searrow \mathbf{g}_>^{(m)} \\ \mathbf{P}_N^{(m)} & & \mathbf{P}_N^{(m)} \\ \mathbf{f}^{(m)} \searrow & & \swarrow \mathbf{f}^{(m)} \\ & \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)} & \end{array}$$

in which all morphisms are finite flat by Proposition 3.4.6(2) and Proposition 3.6.4. For $0 \leq j \leq N+1$, put

$$\Omega_{N,L}^{(m),j} := \ker \left(\mathbf{Q}_N^{(m)} - \left(\mathbf{1}_{N,p}^j + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} \right) : \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} L \rightarrow \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} L \right),$$

and simply write $\Omega_{N,L}^{(m)}$ for $\Omega_{N,L}^{(m),1}$.

- (2) For a pair of integers $(l_<, l_>)$ satisfying $0 \leq l_<, l_> \leq \frac{m}{2}$, put

$$\mathbf{Q}_N^{(m|l_<,l_>)} := \mathbf{g}_<^{-1} \mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_<)} \cap \mathbf{g}_>^{-1} \mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_>)}$$

(Notation 3.6.1(1)) and denote by $\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l_<,l_>)} : \mathbf{Q}_N^{(m|l_<,l_>)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_<)}$ and $\mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l_<,l_>)} : \mathbf{Q}_N^{(m|l_<,l_>)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_>)}$ the corresponding restrictions.

- (3) For every pair of integers $(l_<, l_>)$ as in (1), denote by $\mathbf{Q}_N^{(m|l_<,l_>)}$ the induced convolution map of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Q}_N^{(m|l_<,l_>)} & \\ \mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l_<,l_>)} \swarrow & & \searrow \mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l_<,l_>)} \\ \mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_<)} & & \mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_>)} \\ \mathbf{f}^{(m|l_<)} \searrow & & \swarrow \mathbf{f}^{(m|l_>)} \\ & \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)} & \end{array}$$

in which all morphisms are finite flat by Proposition 3.4.6(2) and Proposition 3.6.4.

Remark 3.6.6. Take an even integer $0 < m \leq N$. Under the decomposition

$$\mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} L = \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m|0)} L \oplus \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m|1)} L \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m|\frac{m}{2})} L,$$

the map $Q_N^{(m)}$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_N^{(m|0,0)} & Q_N^{(m|1,0)} & \cdots & Q_N^{(m|\frac{m}{2},0)} \\ Q_N^{(m|0,1)} & Q_N^{(m|1,1)} & \cdots & Q_N^{(m|\frac{m}{2},1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_N^{(m|0,\frac{m}{2})} & Q_N^{(m|1,\frac{m}{2})} & \cdots & Q_N^{(m|\frac{m}{2},\frac{m}{2})} \end{pmatrix}$$

if we write elements in the column form.

Lemma 3.6.7. *Let $0 < m \leq N$ be an even integer. Under the identification $F_0R\Psi L = L$ on \bar{P}_N , we have*

$$F_0R\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{n,j})|_{\bar{M}_N^{(m)}} = \Omega_{N,L}^{(m),j}|_{\bar{M}_N^{(m)}}$$

for every $0 \leq j \leq N + 1$.

Proof. This is a formal consequence of Proposition 3.6.4. To reduce the burden in notation, we regard \mathbf{M}_N , \mathbf{P}_N , and \mathbf{Q}_N as their base change to the ring of integers of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and correspondingly for others. Put $m' := m + 2$ (resp. $m' := 2r - 1$) for $m < N$ (resp. $m = N = 2r$). Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Q}_N^{(m)} & \xrightarrow{i_Q} & \mathbf{Q}_N^{[m'l]} & \xleftarrow{j_Q} & \mathbf{Q}_N^\eta \\ \mathbf{g}_<^{(m)} \downarrow & & \mathbf{g}_<^{[m'l]} \downarrow & & \mathbf{g}_<^\eta \downarrow \\ \mathbf{P}_N^{(m)} & \xrightarrow{i_P} & \mathbf{P}_N^{[m'l]} & \xleftarrow{j_P} & \mathbf{P}_N^\eta \\ \mathbf{f}^{(m)} \downarrow & & \mathbf{f}^{[m'l]} \downarrow & & \mathbf{f}^\eta \downarrow \\ \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)} & \xrightarrow{i_M} & \mathbf{M}_N^{[m'l]} & \xleftarrow{j_M} & \mathbf{M}_N^\eta \end{array}$$

in which all vertical morphisms are finite flat by Proposition 3.6.4, $j_?$ is an open immersion and $i_?$ is a closed immersion for $? \in \{M, P, Q\}$. It induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & i_M^* \mathbf{Rf}_*^{[m'l]} L & \longrightarrow & i_M^* \mathbf{R}j_{M*} \mathbf{Rf}_*^\eta L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{g}_<^{(m)})_* (\mathbf{g}_<^{(m)})^* L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & i_M^* \mathbf{Rf}_*^{[m'l]} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{g}_<^{[m'l]})_* (\mathbf{g}_<^{[m'l]})^* L & \longrightarrow & i_M^* \mathbf{R}j_{M*} \mathbf{Rf}_*^\eta \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{g}_<^\eta)_* (\mathbf{g}_<^\eta)^* L \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{g}_>^{(m)})_* (\mathbf{g}_>^{(m)})^* L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & i_M^* \mathbf{Rf}_*^{[m'l]} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{g}_>^{[m'l]})_* (\mathbf{g}_>^{[m'l]})^* L & \longrightarrow & i_M^* \mathbf{R}j_{M*} \mathbf{Rf}_*^\eta \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{g}_>^\eta)_* (\mathbf{g}_>^\eta)^* L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Rf}_*^{(m)} L & \xrightarrow{\sim} & i_M^* \mathbf{Rf}_*^{[m'l]} L & \longrightarrow & i_M^* \mathbf{R}j_{M*} \mathbf{Rf}_*^\eta L \end{array}$$

in $\text{Perv}(\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}, L)$. The lemma then follows. \square

3.7. Correspondences over Newton strata. In this subsection, we study the restriction of the correspondence (3.7) defining the Tate–Thompson local system over non-basic Newton strata in view of certain finite étale correspondences.

Construction 3.7.1. Take an even integer $0 < m \leq N$.

- (1) Let $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$ be the universal object over $\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$. By [OZ02, Propositions 2.3 & 1.5 and the remark after Definition 1.2], the p -divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ admits a slope filtration

$$0 \subseteq A[p^\infty]^{>1/2} \subseteq A[p^\infty]^{\geq 1/2} \subseteq A[p^\infty]$$

in which $A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{>1/2}$ is the maximal (isoclinic) subgroup of slope $> 1/2$ and $A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{\geq 1/2}$ is the maximal subgroup of slope $\geq 1/2$. Put

$$A[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2} := A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{>1/2}[\mathfrak{p}], \quad A[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2} := A[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{\geq 1/2}[\mathfrak{p}], \quad A[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2} := A[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}/A[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2}.$$

In particular, $A[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}$ has rank $p^{2(N-m)}$ and is equipped with a principal polarization $\lambda[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}$ induced from $\lambda[\mathfrak{p}]$. For every geometric point $x \in \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}(\kappa)$, the \mathcal{D}_x -submodule of $\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ given by $A[\mathfrak{p}]_x^{>1/2}$ coincides with C_x^0 (Notation 3.3.4(4)).

- (2) We define $\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))}$ to be the scheme over $\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$ that parameterizes \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subgroups C of $A[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}$ that are Lagrangian and neutral (Definition 3.3.8). Note that when $m = N$, $\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} = \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$.
- (3) When $m < N$, define $\mathbf{N}_N^{((m))}$ to be the scheme over $\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$ that parameterizes \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subgroups H of $A[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}$ that are isotropic and neutral of rank p^{N-m-2} , and define $\mathbf{R}_N^{((m))}$ to be the scheme over $\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$ that parameterizes pairs (C, H) for C and H in $\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))}$ and $\mathbf{N}_N^{((m))}$, respectively. When $m = N$, put $\mathbf{N}_N^{((m))} = \mathbf{R}_N^{((m))} = \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} = \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$. In both cases, we have a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{R}_N^{((m))} & \\ \mathfrak{g}_1^{((m))} \swarrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{g}_0^{((m))} \\ \mathbf{N}_N^{((m))} & & \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \mathfrak{f}^{((m))} \\ & \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)} & \end{array}$$

similar to (3.6).

- (4) Put

$$\mathbf{Q}_N^{((m))} := \mathbf{R}_N^{((m))} \times_{\mathbf{N}_N^{((m))}} \mathbf{R}_N^{((m))}$$

so that we have a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Q}_N^{((m))} & \\ \mathfrak{g}_<^{((m))} \swarrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{g}_>^{((m))} \\ \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} & & \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \\ & \searrow \mathfrak{f}^{((m))} & \swarrow \mathfrak{f}^{((m))} \\ & \mathbf{M}_N^{(m)} & \end{array}$$

similar to (3.7), in which all morphisms are finite étale. As the diagonal $\Delta \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))}$ of $\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \times_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}} \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))}$ is open and closed, we may put

$$\mathfrak{h} \mathbf{Q}_N^{((m))} := \mathbf{Q}_N^{((m))} \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \times_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}} \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))}} \left(\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \times_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}} \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \right) \setminus \Delta \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))},$$

which is a closed subscheme of $\left(\mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \times_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}} \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))} \right) \setminus \Delta \mathbf{P}_N^{((m))}$.

- (5) Denote by

$$\mathfrak{h} \mathbf{Q}_N^{((m))} : \mathfrak{f}_*^{((m))} L \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_*^{((m))} L$$

the induced convolution map of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{((m))} & \\
 \mathfrak{h}g_{<}^{((m))} \swarrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{h}g_{>}^{((m))} \\
 P_N^{((m))} & & P_N^{((m))} \\
 f^{((m))} \searrow & & \swarrow f^{((m))} \\
 & M_N^{(m)} &
 \end{array}$$

in which all morphisms are finite étale. For $0 \leq j \leq N - m + 1$, put

$$\Omega_{N,L}^{((m)),j} := \ker \left(\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{((m))} - 1_{N-m,p}^j : f_*^{((m))} L \rightarrow f_*^{((m))} L \right),$$

and simply write $\Omega_{N,L}^{((m))}$ for $\Omega_{N,L}^{((m)),1}$. We regard $\Omega_{N,L}^{((m)),j}$ as zero for an integer j not in the above range.

- (6) Take a hermitian space X_{N-m} over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of (even) rank $N - m$ and put $U_{N-m} := U(X_{N-m})(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Define $\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)}$ to be the scheme over $M_N^{(m)}$ that parameterizes isometries

$$\eta_p^{1/2} : X_{N-m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^{\lambda_0[\mathfrak{p}], \lambda[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}}(A_0[\mathfrak{p}], A[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2})$$

of hermitian spaces over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . The natural morphism $\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)} \rightarrow M_N^{(m)}$ is a Galois morphism with the Galois group U_{N-m} . Indeed, we have a homomorphism $U_{N-m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{M_N^{(m)}}(\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)})$ given by the action on X_{N-m} such that over every geometric point $x \in M_N^{(m)}(\kappa)$, the fiber of x under $\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)} \rightarrow M_N^{(m)}$ is a disjoint union of $\mathrm{Spec} \kappa$ indexed by a U_{N-m} -principal homogeneous set.

When $0 < m < N$, fix a maximal isotropic \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subspace Y of X_{N-m} . We obtain a morphism $\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)} \rightarrow P_N^{((m))}$ sending $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p, \eta_p^{1/2})$ to $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; C)$, in which C is the subgroup spanned by the image of $\eta_p(x)$ for every $x \in Y$. Then we have a sequence of finite étale morphisms

$$\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)} \rightarrow P_N^{((m))} \rightarrow M_N^{(m)}$$

in which the first one is a Galois morphism with the Galois group P_Y , where $P_Y \subseteq U_{N-m}$ is the subgroup stabilizing Y .

Lemma 3.7.2. *For every even integer $0 < m \leq N$, the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-\frac{m}{2}} \Omega_{N,L}^{((m)),j} \rightarrow f_*^{((m))} L$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The case $m = N$ is trivial. Thus, we assume $0 < m < N$. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5.7 using Construction 3.7.1(6). We adopt the discussion in §3.11 with $\kappa/\kappa^+ = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p$. Then the correspondence in Construction 3.7.1(5) defining $\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{((m))}$ corresponds to the element $Q_{Y,1}$. Thus, the lemma follows from Lemma 3.11.2. \square

Lemma 3.7.3. *Let $0 < m \leq N$ be an even integer.*

- (1) *For every integer $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}$, the morphism*

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(ml)} : P_N^{(ml)} \rightarrow P_N^{((m))}$$

induced by the assignment

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; \psi^\Delta) \mapsto (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; C_{\psi^\Delta}),$$

where $C_{\psi^\Delta} := (\ker \psi^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}^\infty] \cap A[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}) / (\ker \psi^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}^\infty] \cap A[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2})$, is a flat universal homeomorphism. In particular, we may identify $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(m|l)} L$ with $\mathfrak{f}_*^{(m|l)} L$ via the adjunction isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{f}_*^{(m|l)} L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(m|l)} L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(m|l)} \mathrm{Rp}_*^{(m|l)} L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(m|l)} L.$$

(2) The morphism $\mathfrak{p}^{(m|0)}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. For (1), since $\mathfrak{f}^{(m|l)} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)} = \mathfrak{f}^{(m|l)}$ and $\mathfrak{f}^{(m|l)}$ is finite étale, the morphism $\mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)}$ is finite flat by Proposition 3.4.6(2). It is clear that $\mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)}$ induces a bijection on geometric points, which implies that $\mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)}$ is a flat universal homeomorphism.

For (2), we construct an inverse to $\mathfrak{p}^{(m|0)}$, which sends $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; C)$ to

$$(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; \psi^\Delta),$$

where ψ^Δ is the quotient map with the kernel that is the preimage of C in $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$. \square

Lemma 3.7.4. For every even integer $0 < m \leq N$, $Q_N^{(m|l_<, l_>)}$ is empty for integers $0 \leq l_<, l_> \leq \frac{m}{2}$ with $|l_< - l_>| \geq 2$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that in Lemma 3.3.3(5), the κ -codimension of $C(m)^{l_<} \cap C(m)^{l_>}$ in $C(m)^{l_<}$ or $C(m)^{l_>}$ is $2|l_< - l_>|$. \square

Proposition 3.7.5. Take an even integer $0 < m \leq N$.

(1) For every integer $0 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg g_{<}^{(m|l, l+1)} &= \deg g_{>}^{(m|l+1, l)} = p \cdot \frac{p^N - p^{N-m+2l}}{p^2 - 1}, \\ \deg g_{<}^{(m|l+1, l)} &= \deg g_{>}^{(m|l, l+1)} = \frac{p^{2l+2} - 1}{p^2 - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For every integer $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}$, if we identify $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(m|l)} L$ with $\mathfrak{f}_*^{(m|l)} L$ as in Lemma 3.7.3(1), then

$$Q_N^{(m|l, l)} = p^{2l} \cdot \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(m)} + \frac{p^{2l} - 1}{p + 1} + \frac{p^N - 1}{p^2 - 1}.$$

Proof. We define $Q_{N,0}^{(m|l, l)}$ via the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{N,0}^{(m|l, l)} & \longrightarrow & \Delta P_N^{(m)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q_N^{(m|l, l)} & \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)} \circ g_{<}^{(m|l, l)}, \mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)} \circ g_{>}^{(m|l, l)})} & P_N^{(m)} \times_{M_N^{(m)}} P_N^{(m)} \end{array}$$

so that $Q_{N,0}^{(m|l, l)}$ is an open and closed subscheme of $Q_N^{(m|l, l)}$. Denote by ${}^0g_{<}^{(m|l, l)}$ and ${}^0g_{>}^{(m|l, l)}$ the restrictions of $g_{<}^{(m|l, l)}$ and $g_{>}^{(m|l, l)}$ to $Q_{N,0}^{(m|l, l)}$, respectively, which are finite flat.

We define $Q_{N,1}^{(m|l, l)}$ via the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{N,1}^{(m|l, l)} & \longrightarrow & \left(P_N^{(m)} \times_{M_N^{(m)}} P_N^{(m)} \right) \setminus \Delta P_N^{(m)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q_N^{(m|l, l)} & \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)} \circ g_{<}^{(m|l, l)}, \mathfrak{p}^{(m|l)} \circ g_{>}^{(m|l, l)})} & P_N^{(m)} \times_{M_N^{(m)}} P_N^{(m)} \end{array}$$

so that $Q_{N,1}^{(m|l, l)}$ is an open and closed subscheme of $Q_N^{(m|l, l)}$. The upper arrow clearly factors through the closed subscheme $\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(m)}$ (of the target), so that we have induced morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}g_{<}^{(m|l, l)} : Q_{N,1}^{(m|l, l)} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(m)} \times_{g_{<}^{(m)}, P_N^{(m)}} P_N^{(m)}, \\ \mathfrak{h}g_{>}^{(m|l, l)} : Q_{N,1}^{(m|l, l)} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(m)} \times_{g_{>}^{(m)}, P_N^{(m)}} P_N^{(m)}, \end{aligned}$$

which are finite flat.

For (2), it suffices to show that

$$(3.11) \quad \deg^0 \mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l)} = \deg^0 \mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l,l)} = \frac{p^{2l}-1}{p+1} + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1},$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \deg^{\natural} \mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l)} = \deg^{\natural} \mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l,l)} = p^{2l}.$$

Thus, the whole proposition is reduced to the computation of degrees of certain finite flat morphisms, for which we adopt a method via rigid tubular neighbourhoods. In general, for an admissible p -adic formal scheme \mathfrak{X} with rigid generic fiber \mathfrak{X}_η and a locally closed subscheme $Y \subseteq X := \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$, we have the (rigid) tubular neighbourhood $\{Y\} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_\eta$ associated with Y , that is, the preimage under the specialization map $\mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$. For $\mathbf{X} \in \{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}\}$, we let \mathfrak{X} be the formal completion of $\mathbf{X}_N^{[2r-1]}$ along its special fiber. Then the diagram (3.7) induces a similar commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \{\mathbf{Q}_N^{(m|l_<,l_>)}\} & \\ \{\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l_<,l_>)}\} \swarrow & & \searrow \{\mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l_<,l_>)}\} \\ \{\mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_<)}\} & & \{\mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l_>)}\} \\ \{\mathbf{r}^{(m|l_<)}\} \swarrow & & \searrow \{\mathbf{r}^{(m|l_>)}\} \\ & \{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}\} & \end{array}$$

of rigid analytic spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p^Φ for integers $0 \leq l_<, l_> \leq \frac{m}{2}$. Let $(\mathcal{A}_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; \mathcal{A}, \lambda, \eta^p)$ be the universal object over $\{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}\}$. By [She14, Theorems 5.4 & 5.7], there is a canonical Hodge–Newton filtration by \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear finite locally free group schemes over $\{\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}\}$:

$$0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]$$

that lifts the canonical slope filtration on the similar group scheme over $\mathbf{M}_N^{(m)}$ introduced in Construction 3.7.1(1). In particular, $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2}$ and $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$ are \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear finite locally free group schemes of ranks p^m and p^{2N-m} , respectively, and are mutual annihilators under the (nondegenerate) hermitian pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda: \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}] \times \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}] \rightarrow \mu_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$$

induced by λ . In particular, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ induces a (nondegenerate) hermitian pairing on $\mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2} := \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2} / \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2}$. In what follows, \mathbb{K} denotes a complete algebraically closed field containing \mathbb{Q}_p^Φ .

First, we compute $\deg \mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l+1)}$, which equals $\deg \mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l+1,l)}$ by symmetry. By Proposition 3.6.4, $\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l+1)}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l+1)}\}$ have the same degree. Take a geometric point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangleleft, \lambda^\blacktriangleleft, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleleft}; \psi^\blacktriangleleft) \in \{\mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l)}\}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a hermitian space over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of dimension N such that the Lagrangian subspace $C^\blacktriangleleft := \ker(\psi^\blacktriangleleft)$ has dimension l in the quotient $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] / A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$. The fiber $\{\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l+1)}\}^{-1}(x)$ is bijective to the set of Lagrangian subspaces C^\blacktriangleright of $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ satisfying

- (1a) the image of C^\blacktriangleright in $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] / A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$ has dimension $l+1$;
- (1b) the codimension of $C^\blacktriangleleft \cap C^\blacktriangleright$ in C^\blacktriangleleft is 1 (so that $H = C^\blacktriangleleft \cap C^\blacktriangleright$).

By (1a) and (1b), the codimension of $C^\blacktriangleleft \cap C^\blacktriangleright \cap A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2}$ in $C^\blacktriangleleft \cap A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2}$ has to be 1. The number of hyperplanes of C^\blacktriangleleft satisfying the previous condition is $\frac{p^N - p^{N-m+2l}}{p^2-1}$. Once $H = C^\blacktriangleleft \cap C^\blacktriangleright$ is fixed, the number of C^\blacktriangleright that is Lagrangian is p . Thus, $\deg \mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l+1)} = \deg \mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l+1,l)} = \deg \{\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l,l+1)}\} = p \cdot \frac{p^N - p^{N-m+2l}}{p^2-1}$.

Second, we compute $\deg \mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l+1,l)}$, which equals $\deg \mathbf{g}_>^{(m|l,l+1)}$ by symmetry. By Proposition 3.6.4, $\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l+1,l)}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l+1,l)}\}$ have the same degree. Take a geometric point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p^\Delta}; A^\blacktriangleleft, \lambda^\blacktriangleleft, \eta^{p^\blacktriangleleft}; \psi^\blacktriangleleft) \in \{\mathbf{P}_N^{(m|l+1)}\}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a hermitian space over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of dimension N such that the Lagrangian subspace $C^\blacktriangleleft := \ker(\psi^\blacktriangleleft)$ has dimension $l+1$ in the quotient $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] / A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$. The fiber $\{\mathbf{g}_<^{(m|l+1,l)}\}^{-1}(x)$ is bijective to the set of Lagrangian subspaces C^\blacktriangleright of $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ satisfying

- (2a) the image of C^\blacktriangleright in $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] / A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$ has dimension l ;

(2b) the codimension of $C^\triangleleft \cap C^\triangleright$ in C^\triangleleft is 1 (so that $H = C^\triangleleft \cap C^\triangleright$).

It is clear that choosing such C^\triangleright is equivalent to choosing hyperplanes in the image of C^\triangleleft in $A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]/A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$, which has $\frac{p^{2l+2}-1}{p^2-1}$ ways. Thus, $\deg g_{<}^{(m|l+1,l)} = \deg g_{>}^{(m|l,l+1)} = \deg \{g_{<}^{(m|l+1,l)}\} = \frac{p^{2l+2}-1}{p^2-1}$.

Third, we compute $\deg^0 g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}$, which equals $\deg^0 g_{>}^{(m|l,l)}$ by symmetry. By Proposition 3.6.4, $\deg^0 g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}$ coincides with the degree of the morphism $\{g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}\}: \{Q_{N,0}^{(m|l,l)}\} \rightarrow \{P_N^{(m|l)}\}$. Take a geometric point $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\triangleleft, \lambda^\triangleleft, \eta^{p\triangleleft}; A^\blacktriangleleft, \lambda^\blacktriangleleft, \eta^{p\blacktriangleleft}; \psi^\triangleleft) \in \{P_N^{(m|l)}\}(\mathbb{K})$. Then $A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a hermitian space over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of dimension N such that the Lagrangian subspace $C^\triangleleft := \ker(\psi^\triangleleft)$ has dimension l in the quotient $A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]/A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$. The fiber $\{g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}\}^{-1}(x)$ is bijective to the set of pairs (C^\triangleright, H) satisfying

- (3a) C^\triangleright is Lagrangian, whose image in $A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]/A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$ has dimension l ;
- (3b) The images of $C^\triangleleft \cap A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$ and $C^\triangleright \cap A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2}$ in $A^\triangleleft[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}$ coincide.
- (3c) H is contained in $C^\triangleleft \cap C^\triangleright$ and has codimension 1 in both C^\triangleleft and C^\triangleright .

When $C^\triangleleft = C^\triangleright$, the number of H in (3c) is $\frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1}$. When $C^\triangleleft \cap C^\triangleright$ has codimension 1 in both C^\triangleleft and C^\triangleright , it is an elementary exercise in linear algebra that the number of C^\triangleright satisfying (3a) and (3b) is $(p-1) \cdot |\mathbf{P}^{l-1}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})| = \frac{p^{2l}-1}{p+1}$. Thus, (3.11) follows.

Finally, we compute $\deg^h g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}$, which equals $\deg^h g_{>}^{(m|l,l)}$ by symmetry. By Proposition 3.6.4, we have

$$\sum_{l'=0}^{\frac{m}{2}} \deg g_{<}^{(m|l,l')} = \deg g_{<}^{|2r-1|} = \frac{p^{2r-1}}{p-1}.$$

By Lemma 3.7.4, we have $\deg g_{<}^{(m|l,l')} = 0$ unless $l' \in \{l-1, l, l+1\}$. By (1), we have

$$\deg g_{<}^{(m|l,l-1)} = \frac{p^{2l}-1}{p^2-1}, \quad \deg g_{<}^{(m|l,l+1)} = p \cdot \frac{p^N - p^{N-m+2l}}{p^2-1}.$$

On the other hand, $g_{<}^{(m|l,l)} = g_{<}^{(m)} \circ h_{g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}}$, in which $g_{<}^{(m)}$ is finite étale of degree $p \cdot \frac{p^{N-m}-1}{p^2-1}$. Now from the moduli interpretation it is easy to see that $h_{g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}}$ has constant degree. Then it follows from elementary computation that $\deg h_{g_{<}^{(m|l,l)}} = p^{2l}$. Thus, (3.12) follows.

The proposition is proved. \square

In the rest of this subsection, we prove some auxiliary results that will be used later.

Lemma 3.7.6. *For $m \in \{2, 4\}$ and every integer $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}$, the map $f^{(m|l)}: P_N^{(m|l)} \rightarrow M_N^{(m)}$ induces a bijection on the generic points.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7.3, for every integer $0 \leq l \leq \frac{m}{2}$, $(p^{(m|0)})^{-1} \circ p^{(m|l)}: P_N^{(m|l)} \rightarrow P_N^{(m|0)}$ is a flat universal homeomorphism such that $f^{(m|0)} \circ (p^{(m|0)})^{-1} \circ p^{(m|l)} = f^{(m|l)}$. Thus, the statement in lemma is mutually equivalent for different l .

When $m = 2$, we show that $f^{(2|0)}$ induces a bijection on the generic points. Take a generic point x of $M_N^{(2)}$. By [Ach14, Lemma 3.2], we may find a point y of $M_N^{(2r-1)}$ that specializes x . By Proposition 3.4.6(3), y has a unique preimage in P_N^\triangleleft , which we denote by y' . If there are two different generic points x' and x'' of $P_N^{(2|0)}$ that map to x under $f^{(2|0)}$, then both of them specializes to y' , which contradicts with Theorem 3.4.4(1). Thus, $f^{(2|0)}$ induces a bijection on the generic points.

When $m = 4$, we show that $f^{(4|1)}$ induces a bijection on the generic points. Take a generic point x of $M_N^{(4)}$. By [Ach14, Lemma 3.2], we may find a point y of $M_N^{(2r-1)}$ that specializes x . By Proposition 3.4.6(3), y has a unique preimage in P_N^\triangleleft , which we denote by y' . If there are two different generic points x' and x'' of $P_N^{(4|1)}$ that map to x under $f^{(4|1)}$, then both of them specializes to y' . However, both x' and x'' belong to $P_N^{\frac{\pm}{N}}$, which contradicts with Theorem 3.4.4(1). Thus, $f^{(4|1)}$ induces a bijection on the generic points.

The lemma is proved. \square

Remark 3.7.7. We conjecture that the Galois morphism $\widetilde{M}_N^{(m)} \rightarrow M_N^{(m)}$ in Construction 3.7.1(6) is a Galois cover, that is, it induces a bijection on the generic points. In particular, Lemma 3.7.6 should hold for every even integer $0 < m \leq N$.

By Lemma 3.7.3, we have morphisms $\mathfrak{p}^{(2|0)}: \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{(2)}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{(2|1)}: \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|0)}$, in which the first is an isomorphism and the second is a flat universal homeomorphism of degree p^{2r-1} . Put

$$(3.13) \quad \mathfrak{j}^{(2)} := (\mathfrak{p}^{(2|0)})^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}^{(2|1)}: \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|0)},$$

which satisfies $\mathfrak{f}^{(2|0)} \circ \mathfrak{j}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{f}^{(2|1)}$.

Lemma 3.7.8. *The morphism $\mathfrak{j}^{(2)}: \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|0)}$ (3.13) extends uniquely to a morphism $\mathfrak{j}: \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta$. Moreover,*

- (1) \mathfrak{j} satisfies $\mathfrak{f}^\Delta \circ \mathfrak{j} = \mathfrak{f}^\Delta$;
- (2) \mathfrak{j} is a flat universal homeomorphism of degree p^{2r-1} ;
- (3) \mathfrak{j} fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \varpi \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta & \xrightarrow{\varpi i} & \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{j}} & \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta & \xrightarrow{i} & \varpi \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta \\ \varpi \mathfrak{f}^\Delta \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \varpi \mathfrak{f}^\Delta \\ \varpi \mathbf{M}_N & \xrightarrow{\phi_M} & & & & & \varpi \mathbf{M}_N \end{array}$$

of schemes over \mathbb{T} .

Proof. We use the alternative modular interpretation for \mathbf{P}_N as in Remark 3.4.2. Write $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; C)$ for the universal object over \mathbf{P}_N^Δ . Recall that over $\mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)}$, $\mathfrak{j}^{(2)}$ sends C to $C' := C \cap A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{\geq 1/2} + A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]^{>1/2}$.

We need to extend C' to a flat subgroup of $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ over the entire \mathbf{P}_N^Δ that is still Lagrangian. Choose a nonzero element $J \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p} J = 0$. Then the composite map

$$q: A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta} A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \xrightarrow{1 \times J} A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta} A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \rightarrow \mu_p$$

of \mathbb{F}_p -linear finite flat groups over \mathbf{P}_N^Δ , in which the second arrow is induced by the polarization of A^Δ , is a nondegenerate quadratic form on $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$. Since C' is Lagrangian, $C' \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)}} C'$ is contained in the kernel of $q|_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)}}$. Thus, the scheme-theoretical closure $\overline{C' \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)}} C'}$ of $C' \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)}} C'$ in $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta} A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ is contained in the kernel of q . Let $\Gamma_J \subseteq A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta} A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ be the graph of J . Consider the intersection $\overline{C' \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(2|1)}} C'} \cap \Gamma_J$, which is a closed subgroup of $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}] \times_{\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta} A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$, and denote by $C'' \subseteq A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ its (isomorphic) image under the projection to the first factor. Then by construction, C'' is a closed subgroup of $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ extending C' and that every section of C'' is isotropic under the quadratic form q . Since p is odd, we conclude that C'' itself is isotropic under q hence has rank at most p^N at every geometric point. As \mathbf{P}_N^Δ is reduced, it follows that C'' is a flat subgroup of $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}]$ that is still Lagrangian.

Now we define the morphism \mathfrak{j} to be the one given by the point $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; C'') \in \mathbf{P}_N(\mathbf{P}_N^\Delta)$. Since \mathbf{P}_N^Δ is smooth over \mathbb{F}_p^Φ and \mathfrak{j} sends all generic points to \mathbf{P}_N^Δ , \mathfrak{j} indeed factors through the closed subscheme \mathbf{P}_N^Δ . The uniqueness of \mathfrak{j} is clear. Now we show the three properties.

Part (1) is clear as $\mathfrak{j}^{(2)}$ satisfies such property.

For (2), by (1) and the properness of \mathfrak{f}^Δ and \mathfrak{f}^Δ from Theorem 3.4.4(2), \mathfrak{j} is proper. Assuming \mathfrak{j} finite, then it is a finite morphism between regular schemes of the same dimension hence is flat by the miracle flatness criterion over regular local rings [Mat89, Theorem 23.1]. Now since generically \mathfrak{j} is a flat universal homeomorphism of degree p^{2r-1} , so is \mathfrak{j} . Thus, it remains to show that \mathfrak{j} is quasi-finite. Since the set of points of \mathbf{P}_N^Δ at which \mathfrak{j} is quasi-finite is open, it suffices to check that for every closed point x of \mathbf{P}_N^Δ , the set $\mathfrak{j}^{-1}(\{x\})$ is finite. Consider the composite morphism

$$\varpi \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta \xrightarrow{\varpi i} \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{j}} \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta \xrightarrow{i} \varpi \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta \xrightarrow{\varpi j} \varpi \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta$$

in which both \mathfrak{j} and $\varpi \mathfrak{j}$ (the similar morphism for $\varpi \mathbf{P}_N^\Delta$) are dominant and proper hence surjective; and both ϖi and i are isomorphism. It follows that \mathfrak{j} must induce a bijection on closed points simply by counting points. Part (2) follows.

For (3), it suffices to verify it over $\varpi \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|0)}$. Take a geometric point

$$x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; A^\Delta, \lambda^\Delta, \eta^{p\Delta}; \psi^\Delta) \in \varpi \mathbf{P}_N^{(2|0)}(k).$$

It follows that if we write $j(\varpi i(x)) = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\blacktriangle, \lambda^\blacktriangle, \eta^{p\blacktriangle}; A^\nabla, \lambda^\nabla, \eta^{p\nabla}; \psi^\blacktriangledown)$, then $G_{A^\Delta} := \ker(\psi^\blacktriangledown \circ \psi^\Delta)[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ satisfies that $G_{A^\Delta} \cap A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{>1/2} = A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{>1/2}[\mathfrak{p}^2]$ and that the image of G_{A^Δ} in $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{1/2}$ coincides with $A^\Delta[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]^{1/2}[\mathfrak{p}]$. It follows that $G_{A^\Delta} = F_{A^\Delta}$, that is, $\varpi f^\blacktriangle(i(j(\varpi i(x)))) = \phi_M(\varpi f^\Delta(x))$. Part (3) follows. \square

Notation 3.7.9. By the above lemma, the induced map $j: \mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta L \rightarrow \mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta \mathrm{R}j_* L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^\blacktriangle L$ is an isomorphism. For every element $l \in L$, we have the map

$$j_l: \mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta L \rightarrow \mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^\blacktriangle L = \mathrm{Rf}_* L$$

in $\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{M}_N, L)$ induced by the pair (id, j) . We also denote by j_l for its base change to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and the induced map on cohomology.

3.8. Central nearby cycle of Tate–Thompson local system. In this subsection, we compute the central nearby cycle $\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)$, or more generally $\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$, which are direct summands of

$$\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\mathfrak{f}_*^\eta L) = \overline{\mathrm{Rf}}_* \mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi L = \overline{\mathrm{Rf}}_* (\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_*^\blacktriangle L) = \overline{\mathrm{Rf}}_* \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Rf}}_* \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_*^\blacktriangle L = \overline{\mathrm{Rf}}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Rf}}_*^\blacktriangle L.$$

By Proposition 3.4.6(2,4) and Lemma 3.6.7, we have

$$\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j}) = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N, \mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})|_{\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N^{(2)}}) = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N, F_0 \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})|_{\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N^{(2)}}) = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j}|_{\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N^{(2)}}).$$

On the other hand, for every integer $0 \leq j \leq r$, $\Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j}$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Rf}_* L|_{\mathbb{M}_N^{(2)}}$ so that $\mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j})$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Rf}_* L$; for every integer j , $\Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j}$ from Construction 3.7.1(5) is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta L|_{\mathbb{M}_N^{(2)}} = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|0)} L$ via Lemma 3.7.3(2), so that $\mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j})$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta L$.

Proposition 3.8.1. *We have*

$$\mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j}) = \begin{cases} j_{(-p)^{-j}} \mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j}) \oplus j_{(-p)^{j-1-2r}} \mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j-2}), & 0 \leq j < r, \\ j_{(-p)^{-r}} \mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),r-1}) \oplus j_{(-p)^{-r-1}} \mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),r-2}), & j = r. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

(1) *the natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^r \mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rf}_*^\Delta L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^\blacktriangle L = \mathrm{Rf}_* L$$

is an isomorphism;

(2) *we have*

$$\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta) = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)}) = j_{(-p)^{-1}} \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)}).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the proposition after restriction to $\mathbb{M}_N^{(2)}$. Denote by

$$j_l^{(2)}: \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|0)} L \rightarrow \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|0)} L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|1)} L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2)} L$$

the restriction of j_l (Notation 3.7.9) to the open subscheme $\mathbb{M}_N^{(2)}$. We will show that

$$(3.14) \quad \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j} = \begin{cases} j_{(-p)^{-j}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j} \oplus j_{(-p)^{j-1-2r}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j-2}, & 0 \leq j < r, \\ j_{(-p)^{-r}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),r-1} \oplus j_{(-p)^{-r-1}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),r-2}, & j = r. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.7.2 and the assumption that $\mathfrak{b}_{N,p}$ is invertible in L , the natural map


$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^{r-1} j_{(-p)^{-j}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j} \oplus j_{(-p)^{j-1-2r}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),j-2} \right) \oplus \left(j_{(-p)^{-r}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),r-1} \oplus j_{(-p)^{-r-1}}^{(2)} \Omega_{N,L}^{(2),r-2} \right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2)} L$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, (1) follows from (3.14). Part (2) is a special case of (3.14).

To show (3.14), we use Remark 3.6.6 so that

$$\mathbb{Q}_N^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}_N^{(2|0,0)} & \mathbb{Q}_N^{(2|1,0)} \\ \mathbb{Q}_N^{(2|0,1)} & \mathbb{Q}_N^{(2|1,1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

under the decomposition $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2)}L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|0)}L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|1)}L$. The above matrix of operators are induced from the diagram



in which all morphisms are finite flat.

By Proposition 3.7.5, if we identify $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|0)}L$ and $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|1)}L$ with $f_*^{(2)}$ as in Lemma 3.7.3(1), then

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_N^{(2|0,0)} & Q_N^{(2|1,0)} \\ Q_N^{(2|0,1)} & Q_N^{(2|1,1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(2)} + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} & p^{2r-1} \\ 1 & p^{2\mathfrak{h}}Q_N^{(2)} + (p-1) + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(2)} + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} & p^{2r-1} \\ 1 & p^{2\mathfrak{h}}Q_N^{(2)} + (p-1) + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1_{N,p}^j + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} & \\ & 1_{N,p}^j + \frac{p^N-1}{p^2-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} p^2 (\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(2)} - 1_{N-2,p}^j) (\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(2)} - 1_{N-2,p}^{j-2}), & 0 \leq j < r, \\ p^2 (\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(2)} - 1_{N-2,p}^{r-1}) (\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(2)} - 1_{N-2,p}^{r-2}), & j = r. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $1_{N-2,p}^j - 1_{N-2,p}^{j'}$ is invertible in L for every pair of integers (j, j') satisfying $-2 \leq j < j' \leq r-1$ and $j' \geq 0$, (3.14) holds from easy linear algebra.

The proposition is proved. \square

3.9. Marginal nearby cycles of Tate–Thompson local system. In this subsection, we compute marginal nearby cycles $\mathrm{gr}_1^{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^r)$ and $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^r)$, which are direct summands of $\mathrm{R}\bar{f}_*\bar{p}_*^{\ddagger}L(-1)[-1] = \mathrm{R}\bar{f}_*^{\ddagger}L(-1)[-1]$ and $\mathrm{R}\bar{f}_*\bar{p}_*^{\ddagger}L[-1] = \mathrm{R}\bar{f}_*^{\ddagger}L[-1]$, respectively.

In this and the next subsection, for a closed subscheme Z of an ambient scheme, we denote by L_Z the push-forward of the constant sheaf L on Z along the closed immersion (when the ambient scheme is clear from the context).

Notation 3.9.1. We denote by

- ❖ $P_N^{(1)}$ the induced reduced closed subscheme of $P_N^{(1)}$, which is a closed subscheme of P_N^{\ddagger} by Proposition 3.4.6(1),
- ❖ $m^{(1)}: M_N^{(1)} \rightarrow M_N$ (or to M_N^{no} according to the context) the closed immersion,
- ❖ $f^{(1)}: P_N^{(1)} \rightarrow M_N^{(1)}$ the restriction of f , and
- ❖ $p^{(1)\Delta}: P_N^{(1)} \rightarrow P_N^{\Delta}$, $p^{(1)\blacktriangle}: P_N^{(1)} \rightarrow P_N^{\blacktriangle}$ and $p^{(1)\ddagger}: P_N^{(1)} \rightarrow P_N^{\ddagger}$ the corresponding closed immersions.

By Proposition 3.4.8(1), the diagram

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_N^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{p^{(1)\ddagger}} & \mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger} \\ f^{(1)} \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{\ddagger} \\ \mathbf{M}_N^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{m^{(1)}} & \mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, in which $f^{(1)}$ is projective smooth of dimension $r - 1$.

We first compute the complex $\mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L$ on \mathbf{M}_N^{no} . By the Poincaré duality and the base change, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$L_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}} \simeq (f^{(1)})^! L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r]$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger}, L)$. By adjunction, we have a canonical map $L \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}}$ in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger}, L)$. Together, by adjunction and the properness of f^{\ddagger} from Theorem 3.4.4(2), we have a canonical map

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L = \mathbf{R}f_!^{\ddagger} L \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r]$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}, L)$.

Lemma 3.9.2. *The fiber of the map (3.16) in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}, L)$ is an intermediate extension of $\mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L|_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(4)}}$ to \mathbf{M}_N^{no} . Moreover, the map (3.16) splits.*

Proof. Denote by F the fiber of (3.16). By Proposition 3.4.6(5), we know that f^{\ddagger} is small away from $\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}$, which implies that F is an intermediate extension of $\mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L|_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(4)}}$ to \mathbf{M}_N^{no} away from $\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}$. Thus, it remains to check that $m^{(1)*} F$ has perverse degree at most $2r-3$, and that $m^{(1)!} F$ has perverse degree at least $2r-1$ (note that the perversity on \mathbf{M}_N^{no} has been shifted by the dimension, which is $2r-2$). Since $\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}$ has dimension zero, the perversity degree coincides with the usual degree over it.

By the proper base change for (3.15), the map

$$m^{(1)*} \mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L \rightarrow m^{(1)*} L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r]$$

coincides with a map $\mathbf{R}f_!^{(1)} L_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}} \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r]$ that is a projection to the top degree relative cohomology, which implies that $m^{(1)*} F$ has degree at most $2r-3$.

By the proper base change together with the absolute purity for the subscheme $\mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}$ of \mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger} (of codimension $r-1$), the map

$$m^{(1)!} \mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L \rightarrow m^{(1)!} L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r]$$

coincides with a map $\mathbf{R}f_*^{(1)} L_{\mathbf{P}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r] \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r]$ that is a projection to the bottom degree relative cohomology, multiplied by the self-intersection number of the fibers of $f^{(1)}$ in \mathbf{P}_N^{\ddagger} . By Proposition 3.4.8(2), this number is same for every fiber and is invertible in L . It follows that that $m^{(1)!} F$ has degree at least $2r-1$ and also that (3.16) splits.

The lemma is proved. \square

By the above lemma, we obtain a *splitting* short exact sequence

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{IC}(\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}, \mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L|_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(4)}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}f_*^{\ddagger} L \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{M}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[2-2r] \rightarrow 0$$

in the abelian category $\text{Perv}(\mathbf{M}_N^{\text{no}}, L)$.

Proposition 3.9.3. *The natural maps*

$$\text{gr}_1^{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta}) \rightarrow L_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_N^{(1)}}(-r)[1-2r], \quad \text{gr}_{-1}^{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta}) \rightarrow L_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_N^{(1)}}(1-r)[1-2r]$$

obtained from (3.16) are both isomorphisms in $\text{Perv}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_N, L)$.

We start from a weaker statement.

Proposition 3.9.4. *The intersection $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta) \cap \mathrm{IC}(\overline{M}_N^{\mathrm{no}}, \mathrm{Rf}_*^\pm L|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}})[-1]$ is zero.*

The case for $N = 2$ is trivial as $M^{(4)}$ is empty. Now assume $N \geq 4$. It suffices to show that $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} = 0$.

Lemma 3.9.5. *The projection map $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4)} L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|0)} L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|1)} L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|2)} L \rightarrow \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|0)} L = f_*^{((4))} L$ (Lemma 3.7.3(2)) induces an isomorphism*


$$F_0 \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{N,L}^{((4))}|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$$

on $\overline{M}_N^{(4)}$. In particular, $F_0 \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$ is an L -linear local system.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6.7, we have $F_0 \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} = \Omega_{N,L}^{(4)}$. Thus, we need to study the map $Q_N^{(4)}$. We use Remark 3.6.6 so that

$$Q_N^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_N^{(4|0,0)} & Q_N^{(4|1,0)} & Q_N^{(4|2,0)} \\ Q_N^{(4|0,1)} & Q_N^{(4|1,1)} & Q_N^{(4|2,1)} \\ Q_N^{(4|0,2)} & Q_N^{(4|1,2)} & Q_N^{(4|2,2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

under the decomposition $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4)} L = \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|0)} L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|1)} L \oplus \mathrm{Rf}_*^{(4|2)} L$. The above matrix of operators are induced from the diagram



in which all morphisms are finite flat.

By Proposition 3.7.5, if we identify $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|0)} L$ and $\mathrm{Rf}_*^{(2|1)} L$ with $f_*^{(2)} L$ as in Lemma 3.7.3(1), then

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_N^{(4|0,0)} & Q_N^{(4|1,0)} & Q_N^{(4|2,0)} \\ Q_N^{(4|0,1)} & Q_N^{(4|1,1)} & Q_N^{(4|2,1)} \\ Q_N^{(4|0,2)} & Q_N^{(4|1,2)} & Q_N^{(4|2,2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h Q_N^{((4))} + \frac{p^N - 1}{p^2 - 1} & p^{2r-3}(p^2 + 1) & 0 \\ 1 & p^{2h} Q_N^{((4))} + (p-1) + \frac{p^N - 1}{p^2 - 1} & p^{2r-1} \\ 0 & p^2 + 1 & p^{4h} Q_N^{((4))} + (p-1)(p^2 + 1) + \frac{p^N - 1}{p^2 - 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a brutal computation, we find that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)} - 1_{N,p}^1 & p^{2r-3}(p^2 + 1) & 0 \\ 1 & p^{2\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)}} + (p-1) - 1_{N,p}^1 & p^{2r-1} \\ 0 & p^2 + 1 & p^{4\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)}} + (p-1)(p^2 + 1) - 1_{N,p}^1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = p^6 \left(\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)} - (1_{N-4,p}^1 + 1) \right) \left(\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)} - (1_{N-4,p}^{-1} + 1) \right) \left(\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)} - (1_{N-4,p}^{-3} + 1) \right).$$

Since for $0 \leq j \leq r-2$, both $1_{N-4,p}^{-1} - 1_{N-4,p}^j$ and $1_{N-4,p}^{-3} - 1_{N-4,p}^j$ are invertible in L , Lemma 3.7.2 implies that

$$\left(\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)} - (1_{N-4,p}^{-1} + 1) \right) \left(\mathfrak{h}Q_N^{(4)} - (1_{N-4,p}^{-3} + 1) \right)$$

is an automorphism of $f_*^{(4)}L$. The lemma then follows. \square

Lemma 3.9.6. *We have $\mathrm{gr}_0^{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} \simeq \Omega_{N,L}^{((4))}|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$.*

Proof. First, note that $\mathrm{gr}_0^{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$ is a direct summand of $(f_*^\Delta L \oplus f_*^\Delta L)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$, which is an L -linear local system by Lemma 3.7.3. Thus, it suffices to show the isomorphism in the lemma over an open dense subscheme of $\overline{M}_N^{(4)}$. By Proposition 3.8.1(2), we have $\mathrm{gr}_0^{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta) \simeq \mathrm{IC}(\overline{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})$. It suffices to show that for every generic point y of $\overline{M}_N^{(4)}$, we have $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})|_y \simeq \Omega_{N,L}^{((4))}|_y$.

Let x be the generic point of the trait $T := \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{M_N, y}$, with G_x its Galois group. Let $D_y \subseteq G_x$ be a decomposition subgroup at y (unique up to conjugation), $I_y \subseteq D_y$ its inertia, so that $G_y := D_y/I_y$ is the Galois group of y . Put $\tilde{x} := x \times_{M_N^{(2)}} \overline{M}_N^{(2)}$ (Construction 3.7.1(6)). If we denote by U the subgroup of U_{N-2} that fixes every point of \tilde{x} , then U is a quotient of G_x . In particular, we may regard $\Omega_{N-2,L}^1$ from Lemma 3.11.2 as an $L[G_x]$ -module, which gives the local system $\Omega_{N,L}^{(2)}|_x$ on x . As $\mathcal{O}_{M_N, y}$ is a discrete valuation ring, $\mathrm{IC}(\overline{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})|_y$ is the local system given by the $L[G_y]$ -module $(\Omega_{N-2,L}^1)^{I_y} = (\Omega_{N-2,L}^1)^I$, where I denotes the image of I_y in U . Now we compute $(\Omega_{N-2,L}^1)^I$ in several steps.

Step 1. Denote by \tilde{T} the normalization of T in \tilde{x} . Write $(A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p)$ for the object representing the morphism $\tilde{T} \rightarrow M_N$, with the level structure

$$(\eta_p^{1/2})_{\tilde{x}}: X_{N-2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^{\lambda_0[p], \lambda[p]^{1/2}}(A_0[p]_{\tilde{x}}, A[p]_{\tilde{x}}^{1/2})$$

over the generic fiber \tilde{x} . Denote by \mathfrak{Y} the set of closed points of \tilde{T} and \mathfrak{Q} the set of isotropic \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -lines in X_{N-2} .

Step 2. We now construct a natural map $L_- : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}$ through Step 4.

Take a point $y' \in \mathfrak{Y}$; put $W := \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}, y'}$, which is a discrete valuation ring. Let $x' \in \tilde{x}$ be the generic point of $\mathrm{Spec} W$, $\kappa(\overline{x'})$ the algebraic closure of the field defining x' (that is, the fraction field of W), and \overline{W} the integral closure of W in $\kappa(\overline{x'})$ with $\kappa(y')$ its residue field (which is an algebraic closure of the field defining y'). Put $\mathcal{D} := H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/\overline{W})_{\tau_\infty} \oplus H_1^{\mathrm{dR}}(A/\overline{W})_{\tau_\infty}$ (Notation 2.4.9(1)), which is naturally a neutral $D_{\overline{W}}$ -module of signature $(N-1, 1)$ (§3.3). The principal polarization λ induces a perfect alternating pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ that satisfies $\langle Fx, y \rangle = \langle x, Vy \rangle^\sigma$ and such that both \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow and \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow are totally isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Note that $v_{A/\overline{W}, \tau_\infty}$ (Notation 2.4.8(4)) is a saturated isotropic \overline{W} -submodule of \mathcal{D}^\leftarrow of rank 1. Since on $\mathcal{D}^\leftarrow \otimes_{\overline{W}} \kappa(\overline{y'})$, $\ker F$ and $\ker V$ has zero intersection, we know that $Fv_{A/\overline{W}, \tau_\infty}$ is a saturated isotropic \overline{W} -submodule of \mathcal{D}^\rightarrow of rank 1. Put $\mathcal{D}^{>1/2} := v_{A/\overline{W}, \tau_\infty} \oplus Fv_{A/\overline{W}, \tau_\infty}$, which is a saturated neutral $D_{\overline{W}}$ -submodule of \mathcal{D} of rank 2; it is isotropic since $m_{x'} = 2$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 1/2}$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{D}^{>1/2}$ in \mathcal{D} ; and finally put $\mathcal{D}^{1/2} := \mathcal{D}^{\geq 1/2}/\mathcal{D}^{>1/2}$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ is a neutral $D_{\overline{W}}$ -module, with a perfect alternating pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{1/2}$ induced from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Put $\mathcal{D}_{x'}^? := \mathcal{D}^? \otimes_{\overline{W}} \kappa(\overline{x'})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{y'}^? := \mathcal{D}^? \otimes_{\overline{W}} \kappa(\overline{y'})$.

Since $m_{x'} = 2$, the maps $F, V : \mathcal{D}_{x'}^{1/2\leftarrow} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{x'}^{1/2\rightarrow}$ are $(\kappa(\overline{x'}), \sigma)$ -linear and $(\kappa(\overline{x'}), \sigma^{-1})$ -linear isomorphisms, respectively. Put

$$\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} := (\mathcal{D}_{x'}^{1/2\leftarrow})^{F=V},$$

which is an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -vector space of dimension $N - 2$ and $\kappa(\bar{x}')$ -linearly spans $\mathcal{D}_{x'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$. We equip $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$ with a pairing $(\ , \) : \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \times \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ given by the formula $(e, f) = \langle e, \mathbf{F}f \rangle$, under which $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$ becomes a hermitian space over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of rank $N - 2$.

Since $m_{y'} = 4$, the maps $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V} : \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\rightarrow}$ have the following properties:

- (1) both $\ker \mathbf{F}$ and $\ker \mathbf{V}$ have dimension 1 and they have zero intersection;
- (2) $\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{1/2} := (\mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow})^{\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{V}}$ is an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -vector space of dimension $N - 4$, equipped with a similar hermitian pairing.

Step 3. Put

$$\mathcal{L}_{y'} := \ker(\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}),$$

which is an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear subspace of $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$. We claim that $\mathcal{L}_{y'}$ is an isotropic line in $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$ and that the induced map

$$(3.18) \quad (\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}) / \mathcal{L}_{y'} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{y'}^{1/2}$$

is an isometry of hermitian spaces over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

For every nonzero element $e \in \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$, we may choose an element $t \in \kappa(\bar{x}')$, unique up to a scalar in \bar{W}^\times , such that $te \in \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$ and maps nontrivially to $\mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{F}(te) = t^p \mathbf{F}(e) = t^p \mathbf{V}(e) = t^{p-1/p} t^{1/p} \mathbf{V}(e) = t^{p-1/p} \mathbf{V}(te) \in t^{p-1/p} \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\rightarrow}.$$

In particular, if $t \in \bar{W} \setminus \bar{W}^\times$, then the image of te in $\mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$ belongs to $\ker \mathbf{F}$, which has dimension 1 by (1). It follows that $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$ has dimension at least $N - 3$. However, we cannot have $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$ since otherwise we may find a nonzero element $f \in \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$ such that $\mathbf{F}f = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\rightarrow}$ hence $(e, f) = \langle e, \mathbf{F}f \rangle \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \bar{W}^\times = \{0\}$ for every $e \in \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$, contradicting with the nondegeneracy of $(\ , \)$. Thus, $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$ has dimension $N - 3$. For every $e \in \mathcal{L}_{y'}$, we have $(e, e) = \langle e, \mathbf{F}e \rangle \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \bar{W}^\times = \{0\}$, which implies that $\mathcal{L}_{y'}$ is totally isotropic. Now since the image of the map $\mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2} \cap \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{y'}^{1/2\leftarrow}$ is contained in $\mathcal{X}_{y'}^{1/2}$, $\mathcal{L}_{y'}$ has dimension at least 1 by (2). The dimension cannot be greater than 1 since otherwise we may find a nonzero element $e \in \mathcal{L}_{y'}$ such that $(e, f) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2}$, again a contradiction. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_{y'}$ is an isotropic line and it is straightforward to check that (3.18) is an isometry.

Step 4. We continue the construction of the map L_- claimed in Step 2. For the abelian scheme A_0 in the moduli interpretation, we define similarly $\mathcal{D}_0 := H_1^{\text{dR}}(A_0/\bar{W})_{\tau_\infty} \oplus H_1^{\text{dR}}(A_0/\bar{W})_{\tau_\infty^c}$. Now both $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V} : \mathcal{D}_0^\leftarrow \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0^\rightarrow$ are isomorphisms, so that $\mathcal{X}_0 := (\mathcal{D}_0^\leftarrow)^{\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{V}}$ is an \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -vector space of dimension 1 contained in \mathcal{D}_0^\leftarrow , equipped with a similar hermitian pairing. It is straightforward to check that the natural map

$$(3.19) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^{\lambda_0[\mathfrak{p}], \lambda[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}}(A_0[\mathfrak{p}]_{x'}, A[\mathfrak{p}]_{x'}^{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2})$$

is an isometry of hermitian spaces over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Thus, there is a unique element $L_{y'} \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that the image spanned by maps in $(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1/2})_{x'}(L_{y'}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_{x'}^{1/2})$ is exactly $\mathcal{L}_{y'}$. The map $L_- : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ has been constructed.

Step 5. Now we fix an element $L \in \mathfrak{L}$ contained in Y . Let $D_L \subseteq U_{N-2}$ be the subgroup stabilizing L . Put $X_{N-4} := L^\perp/L$, which is a hermitian space over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} of rank $N - 4$, and put $U_{N-4} := U(X_{N-4})(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then we have a natural homomorphism $D_L \rightarrow U_{N-4}$, whose kernel we denote by I_L .

Fix an element $y' \in \mathfrak{Y}$ such that $L_{y'} = L$, with $x' \in \bar{x}$ the generic point specializing to y' . Then we have $U = \text{Gal}(x'/x)$. Let $D \subseteq U$ be the decomposition subgroup at y' , which is contained in D_L by the existence of the map L_- . Now by the isometries (3.18), (3.19) and a similar isometry

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^{\lambda_0[\mathfrak{p}], \lambda[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}}(A_0[\mathfrak{p}]_{y'}, A[\mathfrak{p}]_{y'}^{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_{y'}^{1/2}),$$

the level structure $(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1/2})_{\bar{x}}$ induces a level structure

$$(\eta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{1/2})_{y'} : X_{N-4} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}}^{\lambda_0[\mathfrak{p}], \lambda[\mathfrak{p}]^{1/2}}(A_0[\mathfrak{p}]_{y'}, A[\mathfrak{p}]_{y'}^{1/2}).$$

Thus, we obtain a morphism $y' \rightarrow \bar{y} := y \times_{M_N^{(4)}} \widetilde{M}_N^{(4)}$ (Construction 3.7.1(6)). In particular, the inertia subgroup $I \subseteq D$ at y' is contained in I_L . If (U, D, I) is a specializing triple (Definition 3.11.4),¹⁶ then by Lemma 3.11.5

¹⁶In fact, we believe that $(U, D, I) = (U_{N-2}, D_L, I_L)$.

and Lemma 3.11.3, we have $(\Omega_{N-2,L}^1)^I \simeq \Omega_{N-4,L}^1$ as $L[G_y]$ -modules (via the natural homomorphism $G_y \simeq D/I \rightarrow D_L/I_L = U_{N-4}$). The lemma then follows by Lemma 3.7.2.

Step 6. Finally, we show that (U, D, I) is a specializing triple by verifying the five conditions in Definition 3.11.4. Conditions (1) and (2) have been verified. Condition (3) follows from Lemma 3.7.6 for $m = 2$. Conditions (4) and (5) follow from Lemma 3.7.6 (which in particular implies that every generic point of $P_N^{(2)}$ specializes to two generic points of $P_N^{(4)}$). \square

Remark 3.9.7. We expect that $\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(m)}} \simeq \Omega_{N,L}^{(m)}|_{\overline{M}_N^{(m)}}$ for every even integer $0 < m \leq N$.

Proof of Proposition 3.9.4. Recall that it suffices to show that $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} = 0$.

Note that $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}[1]$ is a direct summand of $\mathrm{Rf}_*^\ddagger L|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$, hence is an L -linear local system on $\overline{M}_N^{(4)}$. By Lemma 3.9.6, $\mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}$ is an L -linear local system of the same rank as $\Omega_{N,L}^{(4)}$. Combining with Lemma 3.9.5, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_0 \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{-1}^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}}[1] \rightarrow 0$$

of L -linear local systems (placed in degree 0) on $\overline{M}_N^{(4)}$, in which the first term is also of the same rank as $\Omega_{N,L}^{(4)}$. It follows that $\mathrm{gr}_{-1}^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{(4)}} = 0$. The proposition is proved. \square

Now we focus on the proof of Proposition 3.9.3.

Lemma 3.9.8. *The morphism $j: P_N^\Delta \rightarrow P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ from Lemma 3.7.8 preserves $P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle}$; and the restriction $j|_{P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle}}: P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle} \rightarrow P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle}$ is a flat universal homeomorphism of degree p^{2r-2} .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4.7(2) and Lemma 3.7.8(3), we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \varpi B_N & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle} & \xlongequal{\quad} & P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle} & \xrightarrow{j|_{P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle}}} & P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle} & \xlongequal{\quad} & P_N^{\langle 1 \rangle} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \varpi B_N \\
 & & \downarrow i_{\mathrm{op}}^{(1)\Delta} & & \downarrow p^{(1)\Delta} & & \downarrow p^{(1)\Delta} & & \downarrow i_{\mathrm{op}}^{(1)\Delta} & & \\
 & & \varpi P_N^\Delta & \xrightarrow{\varpi i} & P_N^\Delta & \xrightarrow{j} & P_N^\Delta & \xrightarrow{i} & \varpi P_N^\Delta & & \\
 & & \downarrow \varpi f^\Delta & & & & & & \downarrow \varpi f^\Delta & & \\
 & & \varpi M_N & \xrightarrow{\phi_M} & \varpi M_N & & & & \varpi M_N & & \\
 \iota & & & & & & & & & & \iota
 \end{array}$$

Since ι is generically an immersion, the composition of the top row must be ϕ_B in view of (3.2). Thus, the lemma follows from Lemma 3.2.4. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.9.3. By Remark 3.5.8 and the duality, it suffices to show the statement for $\mathrm{gr}_1^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)$. By (the dual statement of) Proposition 3.9.4 and the fact that (3.17) splits, the map

$$\mathrm{gr}_1^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta) \rightarrow L_{\overline{M}_N^{(1)}}(-r)[1-2r]$$

induced from (3.17) is a direct summand. Since $L_{\overline{M}_N^{(1)}}(-r)[1-2r]$ is indecomposable (in the abelian category $\mathrm{Perv}(\overline{M}_N, L)$), it suffices to show that $\mathrm{gr}_1^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)$ is nonzero.

Assume the converse that $\mathrm{gr}_1^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)$ vanishes. Then

$$\mathrm{Rf}_*^\ddagger L(-1)[-2] = \bigoplus_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq 1}}^r \mathrm{gr}_1^F \mathrm{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j}).$$

Consider the map $\mathrm{gr}_1^F \mathrm{R}\Psi L[-1] \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_0^F \mathrm{R}\Psi L$, which is identified with

$$\overline{p}_*^\ddagger L(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \overline{p}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{p}_*^\Delta L,$$

which is the Gysin map to the first factor and *negative* the Gysin map to the second factor. Applying $\overline{\text{Rf}}_*$, we obtain the map

$$\overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\ddagger L(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\blacktriangle L,$$

so that the image of the direct summand $L_{\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N^{(1)}}(-r)[1-2r]$ is contained in

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq 1}}^r \text{gr}_0^{\text{F}} \text{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j}) \subseteq \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\blacktriangle L.$$

Further applying $\overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!}$, we obtain the map

$$(3.20) \quad \overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\ddagger L(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\Delta L \oplus \overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\blacktriangle L,$$

which is identified with

$$(3.21) \quad \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^{(1)}(\overline{\text{p}}^{(1)\ddagger})^! L(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^{(1)}(\overline{\text{p}}^{(1)\Delta})^! L \oplus \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^{(1)}(\overline{\text{p}}^{(1)\blacktriangle})^! L.$$

By the purity theorem, all of the three terms in (3.21) can be canonically identified with $\overline{\text{Rf}}_*^{(1)} L(-r)[-2r]$. By Lemma 3.9.8 and Lemma 3.7.8(2), the map (3.21) coincides with the graph of $-p^{-1} \cdot (j|_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_N^{(1)}})^*$ under such identification. Now the direct summand $\overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} L_{\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N^{(1)}}(-r)[-2r]$ of $\overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} \overline{\text{Rf}}_*^\ddagger L(-1)[-2]$ coincides with $\text{R}^0 \overline{\text{f}}_*^{(1)} L(-r)[-2r]$, on which $(j|_{\overline{\mathbb{P}}_N^{(1)}})^*$ acts trivially. Thus, the image of $\overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} L_{\overline{\mathbb{M}}_N^{(1)}}(-r)[-2r]$ under (3.20), which is nonzero, has zero intersection with

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq 1}}^r \overline{\text{m}}^{(1)!} \text{gr}_0^{\text{F}} \text{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta,j})$$

by Proposition 3.8.1. This is a contradiction. Thus, the proposition is proved. \square

3.10. Relation with Abel–Jacobi map. In this subsection, we recall the Abel–Jacobi map for the basic locus and reveal a connection between such map and the boosting map (3.10).

For every $\varsigma \in \mathfrak{S}$, let $\varsigma \text{m}^{\text{b}}: \varsigma \mathbb{M}_N^{\text{b}} \rightarrow \varsigma \mathbb{M}_N$ be the closed immersion, and denote by $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{B}}_N, L)^\diamond$ the kernel of the cycle class map $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{B}}_N, L) \rightarrow \text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N, L(r))$.

Definition 3.10.1. We define the *essential Abel–Jacobi map*

$$\varsigma \alpha: \text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{B}}_N, L)^\diamond \rightarrow \text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N, L(r))_{p^{-2r} \phi_{\mathbb{M}}^*},$$

where the target means the maximal quotient of $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N, L(r))$ on which $p^{-2r} \phi_{\mathbb{M}}^*$ acts trivially, as follows: For a class $c \in \text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{B}}_N, L)^\diamond$, write $c' \in \text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N^{\text{b}}, (\varsigma \text{m}^{\text{b}})^! L(r))$ for its refined cycle class, whose image in $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N, L(r))$ vanishes. By the Gysin exact sequence, we may find an element $\tilde{c} \in \text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N \setminus \overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N^{\text{b}}, L(r))$ that lifts c' . Then we define $\varsigma \alpha(c)$ to be $(1 - p^{-2r} \phi_{\mathbb{M}}^*) \tilde{c}$.¹⁷

Remark 3.10.2. When $\mathbb{F}_p^\Phi = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, $\varsigma \alpha$ is nothing but the usual Abel–Jacobi map induced by the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence by Lemma 3.2.4.

¹⁷Indeed, by a similar argument of [LTXZZ1, Proposition 4.4.4], we know that $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}^*$ acts trivially on $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{S}}_N, L)$, so that $\phi_{\mathbb{S}}^*$ acts trivially on $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{B}}_N, L)$. By Lemma 3.2.4, this further implies that $\phi_{\mathbb{M}}^*$ acts on $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N^{\text{b}}, (\varsigma \text{m}^{\text{b}})^! L(r))$ by the scalar p^{2r} . Thus, $(1 - p^{-2r} \phi_{\mathbb{M}}^*) \tilde{c}$ is a well-defined element in $\text{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varsigma \mathbb{M}}_N, L(r))_{p^{-2r} \phi_{\mathbb{M}}^*}$.

By Proposition 3.8.1(2) and Proposition 3.9.3, the first page of $\Omega E_s^{p,q}$ is as follows:

$q \geq 2r + 1$	$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^q(\overline{M}_N, \text{IC}(M_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})(r)) \longrightarrow 0$
$q = 2r$	$H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{M}_N^{(1)}, L) \xrightarrow{\Omega d_1^{-1,2r}} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{M}_N, \text{IC}(M_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})(r)) \longrightarrow 0$
$q = 2r - 1$	$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{IC}(M_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})(r)) \longrightarrow 0$
$q = 2r - 2$	$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-2}(\overline{M}_N, \text{IC}(M_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})(r)) \xrightarrow{\Omega d_1^{0,2r-2}} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{M}_N^{(1)}, L(1))$
$q \leq 2r - 3$	$0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^q(\overline{M}_N, \text{IC}(M_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})(r)) \longrightarrow 0$
$\Omega E_1^{p,q}$	$p = -1 \qquad \qquad \qquad p = 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad p = 1$

Lemma 3.10.3. *The quotient L -module $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N, L(r))_{p^{-2r}\phi_M^*}$ is a quotient of coker θ (3.9).*

Proof. It suffices to show that the map

$$\overline{\omega}f_! \circ i_! : H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N, \text{gr}_0^{\text{F}}\text{R}\Psi L) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N, L)$$

sends $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^{\text{F}}\text{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta}))$ into $(1 - p^{-2r} \cdot \phi_M^*)H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N, L)$. By Proposition 3.8.1(2), every element in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^{\text{F}}\text{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^{\eta}))$ can be written as $j_{-p^{-1}}(c)$ for some element $c \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N, L)$. By Lemma 3.10.4 below, we have $\overline{\omega}f_! i_! j_{-p^{-1}}(c) = (1 - p^{-2r}\phi_M^*)\overline{\omega}f_! i_! c$ in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N^{[2r-1]}, L)$. However, since $\overline{\omega M}_N^{[2r-1]}$ is of codimension r in $\overline{\omega M}_N$ by Proposition 3.3.6(3), the restriction map $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N^{[2r-1]}, L)$ is injective, which implies that $\overline{\omega}f_! i_! j_{-p^{-1}}(c) = (1 - p^{-2r}\phi_M^*)\overline{\omega}f_! i_! c$ holds in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\omega M}_N, L)$ as well. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.10.4. *For every integer i and every $l \in L$, the map*

$$\overline{\omega}f_! \circ i_! : H_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\overline{P}_N^{[2r-1]}, \text{gr}_0^{\text{F}}\text{R}\Psi L) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\overline{\omega M}_N^{[2r-1]}, L)$$

sends $j_l(c)$ (Notation 3.7.9) to $(1 + p^{1-2r}l \cdot \phi_M^*)\overline{\omega}f_! i_! c$ for every $c \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\overline{M}_N^{[2r-1]}, \text{Rf}_*^{\Delta} L) = H_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\overline{P}_N^{[2r-1]} \cap \overline{P}_N^{\Delta}, L)$.

Note that i sends the open subscheme $\overline{P}_N^{[2r-1]}$ to $\overline{\omega P}_N^{[2r-1]}$.

Proof. By definition, we have $\overline{\omega}f_! i_! j_l(c) = \overline{\omega}f_! i_! c + l \cdot \overline{\omega}f_! i_! j^* c$. Let $c' \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\overline{\omega P}_N^{[2r-1]} \cap \overline{\omega P}_N^{\Delta}, L)$ be the unique element such that $c = i^*(\overline{\omega}j)^* c'$, where $\overline{\omega}j : \overline{\omega P}_N^{\Delta} \rightarrow \overline{\omega P}_N^{\Delta}$ is the morphism in Lemma 3.7.8 but for $\overline{\omega P}_N$. Then $\overline{\omega}f_! i_! c = p^{2r-1} \cdot \overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta} c'$ and $\overline{\omega}f_! i_! j^* c = \overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta}(\overline{\omega}j \circ i \circ j \circ \overline{\omega}i)^* c'$ by Lemma 3.7.8(1,2). By Lemma 3.7.8(3), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\omega P}_N^{[2r-1]} \cap \overline{\omega P}_N^{\Delta} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\omega}j \circ i \circ j \circ \overline{\omega}i} & \overline{\omega P}_N^{[2r-1]} \cap \overline{\omega P}_N^{\Delta} \\ \overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta} \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta} \\ \overline{\omega M}_N^{[2r-1]} & \xrightarrow{\phi_M} & \overline{\omega M}_N^{[2r-1]} \end{array}$$

commutes. By Proposition 3.4.6(2), the two vertical morphisms (which is the same one) are finite flat. It follows that the diagram is Cartesian up to a universal homeomorphism of degree one; hence we have $\overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta}(\overline{\omega}j \circ i \circ j \circ \overline{\omega}i)^* c' = \phi_M^* \overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta} c'$. As $\overline{\omega}f_!^{\Delta} c' = p^{1-2r} \cdot \overline{\omega}f_! i_! c$, the lemma follows. \square

Remark 3.10.5. We are not sure whether $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r))_{p^{-2r}\phi_M^*}$ coincides with $\text{coker } \theta$ when $N \geq 4$.

Put

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond := \ker\left((p_1^{(1)\Delta}, -p_1^{(1)\blacktriangle}) : H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{P}_N^\Delta, L(r)) \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{P}_N^\blacktriangle, L(r))\right).$$

Then the morphism $f^{(1)}$ (Notation 3.9.1) induces an isomorphism

$$f^{(1)*} : \Omega_{E_2}^{-1, 2r} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond.$$

Thus, the boosting map (3.10) can be written as

$$(3.22) \quad \beta : H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond \rightarrow \text{coker } \theta.$$

Now we reveal a hidden relation between (3.22) and the essential Abel–Jacobi map for $\overline{\varpi M}_N$.

Theorem 3.10.6. *The morphism i_B in Lemma 3.4.7(1) induces a map $i_B^* : H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varpi B}_N, L)^\diamond$, such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \text{coker } \theta \\ \downarrow i_B^* & & \downarrow \text{Lemma 3.10.3} \\ H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{\varpi B}_N, L)^\diamond & \xrightarrow{\varpi\alpha} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r))_{p^{-2r}\phi_M^*} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Lemma 3.4.7(1) gives us an isomorphism $i_B : B_N \xrightarrow{\sim} P_N^{(1)}$, so that $i_B^* = (i_B^{-1})_!$. It follows that the image of $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond$ under i_B^* is contained in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{B}_N, L)^\diamond$. Regarding the target of the map β as $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r))_{p^{-2r}\phi_M^*}$, we need to show that $\beta = \varpi\alpha \circ (i_B^{-1})_!$.

By Proposition 3.9.3, the natural map $\text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{[2r-1]}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)|_{\overline{M}_N^{[2r-1]}}$ is an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N^{[2r-1]}, \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N^{[2r-1]}, L(r)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\ H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L) & \xrightarrow{\rho_3} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{M}_N^{[2r-1]}, \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^b! \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_3} & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{\varpi M}_N^{[2r-1]}, \overline{\varpi \mathfrak{m}}^b! L(r)) \end{array}$$

in the category $\text{Mod}(L[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)])$. Here, the map σ_1 is the composition

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r)) &\hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \text{gr}_0^F \mathbf{R}\Psi(\mathbf{f}_*^\eta L)(r)) = H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N^\Delta, L(r)) \oplus H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N^\blacktriangle, L(r)) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N^\Delta, L(r)) \xrightarrow{i_!} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi P}_N^\blacktriangle, L(r)) \xrightarrow{\varpi f_!^\blacktriangle} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N, L(r)); \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for σ_2 and σ_3 .

Take an element $b \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{P}_N^{(1)}, L)^\diamond$, with a lift $\tilde{b} \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N, \mathbb{F}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{R}\Psi(\Omega_{N,L}^\eta)(r))$. By Proposition 3.8.1(2), $\rho_2(\tilde{b}) = j_{-p^{-1}}(c)$ for some element

$$c \in H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{M}_N^{[2r-1]}, \text{IC}(\overline{M}_N, \Omega_{N,L}^{(2)})(r)) \subseteq H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{P}_N^{[2r-1]} \cap \overline{P}_N^\Delta, L(r)).$$

By definition, $\beta(b)$ coincides with the image of $\varpi f_! i_! j_{-p^{-1}}(c)$, which belongs to $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N^{[2r-1]}, L(r))$, in the quotient $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r-1}(\overline{\varpi M}_N^{[2r-1]}, L(r))_{p^{-2r}\phi_M^*}$. By Lemma 3.10.4, we have $\varpi f_! i_! j_{-p^{-1}}(c) = (1 - p^{-2r} \cdot \phi_M^*) \varpi f_!^\blacktriangle i_! c$. On the other hand, $\varpi f_!^\blacktriangle i_! c$ is nothing but $\sigma_2(\rho_2(\tilde{b}))$. It remains to show that $\delta(\sigma_2(\rho_2(\tilde{b})))$ coincides with the refined cycle class of $(i_B^{-1})_! b$ under ι in $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r}(\overline{\varpi M}_N^{[2r-1]}, \overline{\varpi \mathfrak{m}}^b! L(r))$. By the commutativity of the diagram, $\delta(\sigma_2(\rho_2(\tilde{b}))) = \sigma_3(\rho_3(b))$, which is the refined cycle class of b under $\varpi f \circ i$, which is what we want by Lemma 3.4.7(2).

The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3.10.7. We explain the significance of Theorem 3.10.6. Take $K^p \in \mathfrak{R}^p$ and suppose that we have a ring \mathbb{T} of certain Hecke operators away from p acting on the Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(V, K^p K_p)$ and other related moduli schemes. For a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of \mathbb{T} , we care about the surjectivity of the localized map $(\varpi\alpha)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. By Theorem 3.10.6, the surjectivity of $(\varpi\alpha)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is implied by the surjectivity of $\beta_{\mathfrak{m}}$, which in turn is implied by the surjectivity of $\vartheta_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in (3.8) by the definition of the boosting map (3.10), which is further implied by the surjectivity of $\vartheta_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\eta}$ from Remark 3.5.11. Now, the surjectivity of $\vartheta_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\eta}$ is a problem only on the generic fibers, for which one can use information from places away from the place for the original problem (namely, \mathfrak{p}).

3.11. Appendix: Complements on Tate–Thompson representations. In this subsection, we prove several auxiliary results concerning Tate–Thompson representations. Fix a quadratic extension of local fields κ/κ^+ , with q the cardinality of κ^+ . Take an even nonnegative integer $N = 2r$.

Consider a hermitian space X_N over κ of rank N . Put $U_N := U(X_N)(\kappa^+)$. Fix a maximal isotropic κ -linear subspace Y of X_N and let $P_Y \subseteq U_N$ be the subgroup stabilizing Y . For every integer $0 \leq i \leq r$, denote by $Q_{Y,i}$ the subset of U_N consisting of g satisfying $\dim_{\kappa} gY/(Y \cap gY) = i$. Then $Q_{Y,i}$ is a double P_Y -coset; and we have $U_N = \coprod_{i=0}^r Q_{Y,i}$.

Take a ring L . The L -algebra $L[P_Y \backslash U_N / P_Y]$ is a free L -module generated by $Q_{Y,i} := \mathbb{1}_{Q_{Y,i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. In what follows, we write $Q_Y := Q_{Y,1}$ for short. For every left $L[P_Y \backslash U_N / P_Y]$ -module M and every $l \in L$, we put $M_l := \{m \in M \mid Q_Y m = lm\}$, which is an $L[P_Y \backslash U_N / P_Y]$ -submodule. Recall the constants from Notation 3.1.1.

Lemma 3.11.1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{b}_{N,q}$ is invertible in L . Then the natural map $L[T] \rightarrow L[P_Y \backslash U_N / P_Y]$ sending T to Q_Y induces an isomorphism*

$$L[T] \Big/ \prod_{j=0}^r (T - \mathfrak{1}_{N,q}^j) \rightarrow L[P_Y \backslash U_N / P_Y]$$

of finite étale L -rings.

Proof. We first show that

$$(3.23) \quad Q_Y Q_{Y,i} = \frac{q^{2i+2} - 1}{q^2 - 1} Q_{Y,i+1} + \frac{(q^{2i} - 1)(q - 1)}{q^2 - 1} Q_{Y,i} + \frac{q^{2i-1}(q^{2(r-i+1)} - 1)}{q^2 - 1} Q_{Y,i-1}$$

holds for every $0 \leq i \leq r$ (where $Q_{Y,r+1} = Q_{Y,-1} = 0$).


As the case for $i = 0$ is trivial, we assume $i \geq 1$. Denote by \mathfrak{Y} the set of maximal isotropic subspaces of X_N . Then $L[P_Y \backslash U_N / P_Y]$ acts on $L[\mathfrak{Y}]$, the L -module freely generated by \mathfrak{Y} . It suffices to show (3.23) with respect to the action on the element $[Y] \in L[\mathfrak{Y}]$. By definition,

$$Q_{Y,i}[Y] = \sum_{\dim_{\kappa} Y'/(Y \cap Y')=i} [Y']$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. In particular,

$$Q_Y Q_{Y,i}[Y] = \sum_{\dim_{\kappa} Y'/(Y \cap Y')=i} \sum_{\dim_{\kappa} Y''/(Y' \cap Y'')=1} [Y''].$$

Consider the diagram



of inclusions of κ -vector spaces in which the number by the arrow indicates the corresponding codimension. Fix an element Y'' in the above diagram and we count the number of Y' that fits. Since $\delta', \delta'' \in \{0, 1\}$, there are four cases.

- ❖ Suppose that $(\delta', \delta'') = (0, 0)$, which implies $\dim_{\kappa} Y''/(Y \cap Y'') = i$. In this case, the number of choices of $Y' \cap Y''$ is same as the cardinality of $\mathbb{P}^{i-1}(\kappa)$, which equals $\frac{q^{2i}-1}{q^2-1}$. The subset of elements $Y' \in \mathfrak{Y}$ with fixed intersection with Y'' has cardinality $q+1$, of which two do not fit the above diagram, namely, Y'' itself and $(Y' \cap Y'') + (Y' \cap Y'')^{\perp} \cap Y$ (which is different from Y'' since $i \geq 1$). Thus, the number of Y' that contributes to the sum equals $\frac{(q^{2i}-1)(q-1)}{q^2-1}$.
- ❖ Suppose that $(\delta', \delta'') = (1, 1)$, which implies $\dim_{\kappa} Y''/(Y \cap Y'') = i$. In this case, we have $Y' = (Y \cap Y') + (Y' \cap Y'')$ and $Y'' = (Y \cap Y'') + (Y' \cap Y'')$. Since Y' and Y'' are different maximal isotropic subspaces, there exists $x' \in Y \cap Y'$ and $x'' \in Y \cap Y''$ such that $(x', x'') \neq 0$, which contradicts with the fact that Y is also isotropic. Thus, this case cannot happen.
- ❖ Suppose that $(\delta', \delta'') = (0, 1)$, which implies $\dim_{\kappa} Y''/(Y \cap Y'') = i-1$. In this case, the number of choices of $Y \cap Y' \cap Y'' = Y \cap Y'$ is same as the cardinality of $\mathbb{P}^{r-i}(\kappa)$, which equals $\frac{q^{2(r-i+1)}-1}{q^2-1}$. The number of choices of $Y' \cap Y''$ with fixed intersection with $Y \cap Y''$ is same as the cardinality of $(\mathbb{P}^{i-1} \setminus \mathbb{P}^{i-2})(\kappa)$, which equals q^{2i-2} . Finally, the subset of elements $Y' \in \mathfrak{Y}$ with fixed intersection with Y'' has cardinality $q+1$, of which ones does not fit the above diagram, namely, Y'' itself. Thus, the number of Y' that contributes to the sum equals $\frac{q^{2i-1}(q^{2(r-i+1)}-1)}{q^2-1}$.
- ❖ Suppose that $(\delta', \delta'') = (1, 0)$, which implies $\dim_{\kappa} Y''/(Y \cap Y'') = i+1$ and $i < r$. In this case, the number of choices of $Y' \cap Y''$ is same as the cardinality of $\mathbb{P}^i(\kappa)$, which equals $\frac{q^{2i+2}-1}{q^2-1}$. Once $Y' \cap Y''$ is fixed, there is exactly one Y' that fits the diagram, namely, $(Y' \cap Y'') + (Y' \cap Y'')^{\perp} \cap Y$. Thus, the number of Y' that contributes to the sum equals $\frac{q^{2i+2}-1}{q^2-1}$.

Combining the four cases, (3.23) follows.

Since $q^{2i}-1$ is invertible in L for $1 \leq i \leq r$, (3.23) implies that $L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N / \mathbb{P}_Y]$ has the form $L[Q_Y]/f(Q_Y)$ for some monic polynomial $f(T) \in L[T]$ of degree $r+1$. Note that since $\mathfrak{b}_{N,q}$ is invertible in L , the difference $\mathbb{1}_{N,q}^j - \mathbb{1}_{N,q}^{j'}$ is invertible in L for $0 \leq j < j' \leq r$. It remains to show that $\mathbb{1}_{N,q}^j$ is a root of $f(T)$ for every $0 \leq j \leq r$. Indeed, we can show, by a straightforward but tedious computation, that (3.23) is satisfied with

$$Q_{Y,i} = (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^i (-q)^{(i-k)(i+j-k)+k(k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} r-j \\ i-k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{-q}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq r$$

[LTZZ1, Notation 1.3.1] for every $0 \leq j \leq r$. As the right-hand side for $Q_{Y,1}$ is nothing but $\mathbb{1}_{N,q}^j$, the lemma follows. \square

Now we study $L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N]$ as an $L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N / \mathbb{P}_Y]$ -module via left convolution. For every integer $0 \leq j \leq N+1$, put

$$\Omega_{N,L}^j := L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N]_{\mathbb{1}_{N,q}^j}$$

In particular, $\Omega_{N,L}^j = \Omega_{N,L}^{N+1-j}$.

Lemma 3.11.2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{b}_{N,q}$ is invertible in L . The natural map*

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^r \Omega_{N,L}^j \rightarrow L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N]$$

is an isomorphism of $L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N / \mathbb{P}_Y]$ -modules. Moreover,

- (1) $\Omega_{N,L}^j$ is stable under the right translation by U_N for $0 \leq j \leq r$;
- (2) $\Omega_{N,L}^0$ is a free L -module of rank 1;
- (3) $\Omega_{N,\mathbb{C}}^1$ is the Tate–Thompson representation from [LTZZ1, §C.2] (when $N = 0$, we regard the Tate–Thompson representation as the trivial representation).

Proof. The claim of the decomposition follows from Lemma 3.11.1.

Part (1) follows from the fact that the action of $L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N / \mathbb{P}_Y]$ on $L[\mathbb{P}_Y \setminus U_N]$ (via left convolution) commutes with the right translation.

For (2), it is clear that $\Omega_{N,L}^0$ is a free L -module generated by the characteristic function of U_N .

For (3), we may assume $N > 0$. Let Ω_N be the Tate–Thompson representation. Then Ω_N^{Py} , which is a $\mathbb{C}[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N / \text{P}_Y]$ -module via right convolution, has eigenvalue $1_{N,q}^1$ for the action of Q_Y by [LTXZZ1, Proposition C.2.1] and [Liu22, Lemma 2.2 & Remark 2.4]. Since Ω_N is (isomorphic to) a direct summand of $\mathbb{C}[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]$ as a $\mathbb{C}[\text{U}_N]$ -module and the fact that the left and right actions of $\mathbb{C}[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N / \text{P}_Y]$ on $\mathbb{C}[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]^{\text{Py}}$ coincide, we have $\Omega_N \simeq \Omega_{N,\mathbb{C}}^1$. Part (3) follows. \square

Now we suppose that $N > 0$. Fix a κ -line L contained in Y and let $\text{D}_L \subseteq \text{U}_N$ be the subgroup stabilizing L . Put $\text{X}_{N-2} := L^\perp / L$, which is a hermitian space over κ of rank $N - 2$, and put $\text{U}_{N-2} := \text{U}(\text{X}_{N-2})(\kappa^+)$. Then we have a natural homomorphism $\text{D}_L \rightarrow \text{U}_{N-2}$, whose kernel we denote by I_L . For every $L[\text{U}_N]$ -module M , M^L is naturally an $L[\text{U}_{N-2}]$ -module.

Lemma 3.11.3. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{b}_{N,q}$ is invertible in L . Then the $L[\text{U}_{N-2}]$ -module $(\Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{I}_L}$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{N-2,L}^1$.*

Proof. The case for $N = 2$ is trivial. We assume $N \geq 4$. By Lemma 3.11.2, we have $\Omega_{N,L}^1 \otimes_L L' = \Omega_{N,L'}^1$ for every L -ring L' . Thus, it suffices to show the lemma for every field L whose characteristic does not divide $\mathfrak{b}_{N,q}$.

Choose a κ -line $L' \subseteq X$ that is not contained in the orthogonal complement of L . Then we have an orthogonal decomposition $\text{X}_N = (L \oplus L') \oplus \text{X}_{N-2}$, from which we regard U_{N-2} as a subgroup of U_N so that $\text{D}_L = \text{I}_L \text{U}_{N-2}$ and $\text{D}_{L'} = \text{I}_{L'} \text{U}_{N-2}$. Choose an element $w \in \text{U}_N$ that switches L and L' and induces the identity map on X_{N-2} . Let Z be the orthogonal complement of L' in Y , which is a maximal isotropic subspace of X_{N-2} . We have isomorphisms

$$\rho: L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]^{\text{I}_L} = L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L} \oplus L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y w \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L} \oplus L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y \text{D}_{L'}]^{\text{I}_{L'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} L[\text{P}_Z \backslash \text{U}_{N-2}]^{\oplus 2}$$

of $L[\text{U}_{N-2}]$ -modules. For every subgroup H contained in U_{N-2} , ρ induces an isomorphism

$$L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]^{\text{I}_L \text{H}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(L[\text{P}_Z \backslash \text{U}_{N-2}]^{\text{H}} \right)^{\oplus 2}.$$

In particular, $\dim_L(\rho \Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{P}_Z} = \dim_L(\Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{P}_Y}$, which equals 1 by [LTXZZ1, Proposition C.2.1(2)].¹⁸ In particular, $\rho \Omega_{N,L}^1$ is isomorphic to an irreducible summand of $L[\text{P}_Z \backslash \text{U}_{N-2}]$. Now taking H to be a Borel subgroup of U_{N-2} contained in P_Z , we have $\dim_L(\rho \Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{H}} = \dim_L(\Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{I}_L \text{H}}$, which equals 1 by [LTXZZ1, Proposition C.2.1(2)]. Thus, by [LTXZZ1, Proposition C.2.1(2)], $\rho \Omega_{N,L}^1$ is isomorphic to either $\Omega_{N-2,L}^1$ or $\Omega_{N-2,L}^0$. If we are in the latter case, then $(\Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{D}_L} = (\Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{I}_L \text{U}_{N-2}} = (\rho \Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{U}_{N-2}} \neq 0$. Since $\text{D}_L \cap \text{P}_Y$ contains a Borel subgroup of U_N , we have $(\Omega_{N,L}^1)^{\text{P}_Y \text{D}_L} \neq 0$ again by [LTXZZ1, Proposition C.2.1(2)], which is impossible as $\text{P}_Y \text{D}_L = \text{U}_N$. The lemma is proved. \square

Definition 3.11.4. A *specializing triple* for U_N is a triple $(\text{U}, \text{D}, \text{I})$ of (abstract) subgroups of U_N satisfying

- (1) $\text{D} \subseteq \text{D}_L \cap \text{U}$;
- (2) $\text{I} \subseteq \text{I}_L \cap \text{U}$;
- (3) the natural map $\text{P} \backslash \text{U} \rightarrow \text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N$ is a bijection, where $\text{P} := \text{P}_Y \cap \text{U}$;
- (4) the natural map $\text{P} \backslash \text{U} / \text{D} \rightarrow \text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N / \text{D}_L$ is a bijection;
- (5) for every $u \in \text{U}$, if we denote by P_L^u (resp. P^u) the image of $\text{P}_Y \cap u \text{D}_L u^{-1}$ (resp. $\text{P} \cap u \text{D} u^{-1}$) in $u \text{D}_L u^{-1} / u \text{I}_L u^{-1}$ (resp. $u \text{D} u^{-1} / u \text{I} u^{-1}$), then the natural map $\text{P}^u \backslash u \text{D} u^{-1} / u \text{I} u^{-1} \rightarrow \text{P}_L^u \backslash u \text{D}_L u^{-1} / u \text{I}_L u^{-1}$ is a bijection.

Lemma 3.11.5. *If $(\text{U}, \text{D}, \text{I})$ is a specializing triple for U_N , then the natural map*

$$L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]^{\text{I}_L} \rightarrow L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]^{\text{I}}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N / \text{D}_L$ is a set of two elements, by Definition 3.11.4(4), we may choose an element $u \in \text{U}$ such that $\text{U} = \text{P} \text{D} \amalg \text{P} u \text{D}$ and $\text{U}_N = \text{P}_Y \text{D}_L \amalg \text{P}_Y u \text{D}_L$. We have a decomposition

$$L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{U}_N]^{\text{I}_L} = L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L} \oplus L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y u \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L}.$$

For the two factors, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L} \simeq L[\text{P}_L^1 \backslash \text{D}_L / \text{I}_L], \quad L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y u \text{D}_L]^{\text{I}_L} \simeq L[\text{P}_Y \backslash \text{P}_Y u \text{D}_L u^{-1}]^{u \text{I}_L u^{-1}} \simeq L[\text{P}_L^u \backslash u \text{D}_L u^{-1} / u \text{I}_L u^{-1}]$$

¹⁸Though [LTXZZ1, Proposition C.2.1] only stated for $L = \mathbb{C}$, its proof works for every field L whose characteristic does not divide $|\text{U}_N|$, that is, does not divide $\mathfrak{b}_{N,q}$.

of L -modules given by restrictions. We have the similar properties for $L[\mathbb{P}\backslash\mathbb{U}]^I$. They fit into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L[\mathbb{P}_Y\backslash\mathbb{U}_N]^{I_L} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & L[\mathbb{P}\backslash\mathbb{U}]^I \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ L[\mathbb{P}_L^1\backslash\mathbb{D}_L/I_L] \oplus L[\mathbb{P}_L^u\backslash u\mathbb{D}_L u^{-1}/uI_L u^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & L[\mathbb{P}^1\backslash\mathbb{D}/I] \oplus L[\mathbb{P}^u\backslash u\mathbb{D}u^{-1}/uIu^{-1}] \end{array}$$

induced by restrictions. By Definition 3.11.4(5), the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism, which implies that the restriction map $L[\mathbb{P}_Y\backslash\mathbb{U}_N]^{I_L} \rightarrow L[\mathbb{P}\backslash\mathbb{U}]^I$ is an isomorphism. However, such restriction map factors through $L[\mathbb{P}_Y\backslash\mathbb{U}_N]^I \rightarrow L[\mathbb{P}\backslash\mathbb{U}]^I$, which is an isomorphism by Definition 3.11.4(3). The lemma follows. \square

4. EXPLICIT RECIPROCITY LAWS FOR RANKIN–SELBERG MOTIVES

In this section, we upgrade the two explicit reciprocity laws from [LTXZZ1, §7] to the level of Iwasawa algebra.

4.1. Setup for automorphic Rankin–Selberg motives. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We denote by n_0 and n_1 the unique even and odd numbers in $\{n, n+1\}$, respectively. Write $n_0 = 2r_0$ and $n_1 = 2r_1 + 1$. In particular, we have $n = r_0 + r_1$.

In this and the next sections, we consider

- ❖ for $\alpha = 0, 1$, a relevant representation Π_α of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (Definition 1.2.1),
- ❖ a strong coefficient field $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ of both Π_0 and Π_1 (Remark 2.1.3(3)).

Put $\Sigma_{\min}^+ := \Sigma_{\Pi_0}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\Pi_1}^+$ (Notation 2.1.2) and denote by Σ_{\min} the set of places of F above Σ_{\min}^+ . We then have the Satake homomorphism

$$\phi_{\Pi_\alpha} : \mathbb{T}_{n_\alpha}^{\Sigma_{\min}^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$$

for $\alpha = 0, 1$.

For every prime λ of E , we have a continuous homomorphism

$$\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \lambda} : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n_\alpha}(E_\lambda)$$

associated with Π_α (Remark 2.1.3(3)) for $\alpha = 0, 1$, satisfying that $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \lambda}^c$ and $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \lambda}^\vee(1 - n_\alpha)$ are conjugate. We denote by \mathcal{O}_λ the ring of integers of E_λ . The following three assumptions are [LTXZZ1, Assumptions 7.1.1, 7.2.2–7.2.4], respectively.

Assumption 4.1.1. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, the Galois representation $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \lambda}$ is residually absolutely irreducible.

Assumption 4.1.2. Under Assumption 4.1.1, $\bar{\rho}_{\Pi_0, \lambda, +}$ [LTXZZ1, Remark 6.1.7] is rigid for $(\Sigma_{\min}^+, \Sigma_{r, I}^+)$ [LTXZZ1, Definition 6.3.3]; and $\bar{\rho}_{\Pi_0, \lambda}|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F(\zeta_\ell))}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Assumption 4.1.3. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, the composite homomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{n_0}^{\Sigma_{\min}^+} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi_0}} \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E/\lambda$ is cohomologically generic [LTXZZ1, Definition D.1.1].

By the global class field theory, we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{ab}}/F) \simeq F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$. Put

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+} := \ker(\mathrm{Nm}_{F/F^+} : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{O}_{F^+}} \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_F} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{F^+}})$$

as a commutative group scheme over \mathcal{O}_{F^+} . Denote by

$$\mathrm{Nm}_{F/F^+}^- : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{O}_{F^+}} \mathbf{G}_{m, \mathcal{O}_F} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}$$

the homomorphism sending a to a/a^c . Denote by F^{acyc} the fixed field of the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{ab}}/F) = F^\times \backslash \widehat{(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nm}_{F/F^+}^-} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+}^+),$$

which is then the maximal anticyclotomic extension of F .

Lemma 4.1.4. *Let v be a prime of F^+ inert in F . Then the unique place of F above v splits completely in F^{acyc} .*

Proof. The proof is borrowed from the one of [BD05, Lemma 2.5]. It is clear that v is unramified in F^{acyc} . Since v is inert in F and F^{acyc}/F^+ is an extension of dihedral type, the Frobenius element of v has order two in $\mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{acyc}}/F^+)$. The lemma follows. \square

4.2. First explicit reciprocity law. In this subsection, we refine the first explicit reciprocity law in [LTXZZ1, §7.2] so that it can be applied to study the Iwasawa theory.

We start by choosing

- ❖ a prime λ of E whose underlying rational prime ℓ satisfies $\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cap \Sigma_{\ell}^+ = \emptyset$, $\ell > 2(n_0 + 1)$, and that ℓ is unramified in F ,
- ❖ a positive integer m ,
- ❖ a (possibly empty) finite set $\Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+$ of nonarchimedean places of F^+ that are inert in F , whose underlying rational primes are disjoint from those of Σ_{\min}^+ , satisfying $\ell \nmid \|v\|(\|v\|^2 - 1)$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+$,
- ❖ a finite set Σ_{I}^+ of nonarchimedean places of F^+ containing $\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+$,
- ❖ a datum $\mathcal{V}^\circ = (\mathbf{V}_n^\circ, \mathbf{V}_{n+1}^\circ; \Lambda_n^\circ, \Lambda_{n+1}^\circ; \mathbf{K}_n^\circ, \mathbf{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$ in which
 - \mathbf{V}_n° is a standard *definite* hermitian space over F (Definition 2.2.4) of rank n and $\mathbf{V}_{n+1}^\circ = (\mathbf{V}_n^\circ)_\#$;
 - Λ_n° is a $\prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ -lattice in $\mathbf{V}_n^\circ \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+}$ satisfying $\Lambda_n^\circ \subseteq (\Lambda_n^\circ)^\vee$ and that $(\Lambda_{n,v}^\circ)^\vee / \Lambda_{n,v}^\circ$ has length one (resp. zero) when $v \in \Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+$ (resp. $v \notin \Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+$) and $\Lambda_{n+1}^\circ = (\Lambda_n^\circ)_\#$;
 - $(\mathbf{K}_n^\circ, \mathbf{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$ is an object in $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{V}_n^\circ)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$\mathbf{K}_N^\circ = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+} (\mathbf{K}_N^\circ)_v \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} \mathbf{U}(\Lambda_N^\circ)(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$$

for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$,

- ❖ a special inert prime (Definition 2.3.4) \mathfrak{p} of F^+ (with the underlying rational prime p) satisfying¹⁹
 - (PI1): Σ_{I}^+ does not contain p -adic places;
 - (PI2): ℓ does not divide $p(p^2 - 1)$;
 - (PI3): there exists a CM type Φ containing τ_∞ as in [LTXZZ1, §5.1] satisfying $\mathbb{Q}_p^\Phi = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ (and we choose remaining data in [LTXZZ1, §5.1] with $\mathbb{Q}_p^\Phi = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$);
 - (PI4): $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{0,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda^m$ is level-raising special at \mathfrak{p} ; $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{1,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda$ is Tate generic at \mathfrak{p} ; $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{0,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \alpha(\Pi_{1,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda^m$ is level-raising special at \mathfrak{p} (all in Definition 2.1.4);
 - (PI5): $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{\alpha,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda$ is intertwining generic at \mathfrak{p} (Definition 2.1.4) for $\alpha = 0, 1$;
 - (PI6): the natural map

$$\frac{(O_E/\lambda^m)[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^\circ, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^\circ)]}{\mathbb{T}_{n_0}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \cap \ker \phi_{\Pi_0}} \otimes_{O_\lambda} \frac{(O_E/\lambda^m)[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^\circ, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^\circ)]}{\mathbb{T}_{n_1}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \cap \ker \phi_{\Pi_1}} \rightarrow \frac{(O_E/\lambda^m)[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^\circ, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^\circ)]}{\mathbb{T}_{n_0}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+} \cap \ker \phi_{\Pi_0}} \otimes_{O_\lambda} \frac{(O_E/\lambda^m)[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^\circ, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^\circ)]}{\mathbb{T}_{n_1}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+} \cap \ker \phi_{\Pi_1}}$$

is an isomorphism of *nontrivial* O_λ -modules.

- ❖ a datum $\mathcal{V}' = (\mathbf{V}'_n, \mathbf{V}'_{n+1}; \Lambda'_n, \Lambda'_{n+1}; \mathbf{K}'_n, \mathbf{K}'_{n+1})$ in which
 - \mathbf{V}'_n is a standard *indefinite* hermitian space over F of rank n and $\mathbf{V}'_{n+1} = (\mathbf{V}'_n)_\#$;
 - Λ'_n is a $\prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ -lattice in $\mathbf{V}'_n \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+}$ satisfying $\Lambda'_n \subseteq (\Lambda'_n)^\vee$ and that $(\Lambda'_{n,v})^\vee / \Lambda'_{n,v}$ has length one (resp. zero) when $v \in \Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+ \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ (resp. $v \notin \Sigma_{\text{lr},\text{I}}^+ \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$) and $\Lambda'_{n+1} = (\Lambda'_n)_\#$;
 - $(\mathbf{K}'_n, \mathbf{K}'_{n+1})$ is an object in $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{V}'_n)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$\mathbf{K}'_N = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+} (\mathbf{K}'_N)_v \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} \mathbf{U}(\Lambda'_N)(\mathcal{O}_{F_v^+})$$

for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$,

together with a datum $\mathfrak{j} = (\mathfrak{j}_n, \mathfrak{j}_{n+1})$ in which $\mathfrak{j}_N: \mathbf{V}_N^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}'_N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$ are isometries sending $(\Lambda_N)^\rho$ to $(\Lambda'_N)^\rho$ and $(\mathbf{K}_N)^\rho$ to $(\mathbf{K}'_N)^\rho$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$, satisfying $\mathfrak{j}_{n+1} = (\mathfrak{j}_n)_\#$.²⁰

For $\alpha = 0, 1$, define the ideal

$$\mathfrak{n}_\alpha := \mathbb{T}_{n_\alpha}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \cap \ker \left(\mathbb{T}_{n_\alpha}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi_\alpha}} O_E \rightarrow O_E/\lambda^m \right)$$

of $\mathbb{T}_{n_\alpha}^{\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+}$.

¹⁹Note that comparing to [LTXZZ1, §7.2], we have incorporated (PI7) into (PI4).

²⁰Note that the pair $(\mathcal{V}', \mathfrak{j})$ is nothing but an indefinite uniformization datum for \mathcal{V}° in [LTXZZ1, Notation 5.10.1].

Definition 4.2.1 (First reciprocity map). Assume Assumptions 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 4.1.3, and Hypothesis 2.2.5 for both n and $n + 1$. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\text{sing}} : H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_p, H_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\overline{F}}, O_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \\ \rightarrow O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \end{aligned}$$

of O_φ -modules, called *first reciprocity map*, which turns out to be an isomorphism, to be the composition of the following four maps (we will freely use the notation from [LTXZZ1, §7.2].²¹):

❖ the canonical isomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_p, H_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\overline{F}}, O_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, H_{\mathfrak{I}}^{2n-1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, R\Psi O_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1))$$

induced by [LTXZZ1, (5.2)],

❖ the canonical O_λ -linear isomorphism

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, H_{\mathfrak{I}}^{2n-1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}, R\Psi O_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker } \Delta^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1).$$

by [LTXZZ1, Proposition 7.2.7],

❖ the canonical O_λ -linear isomorphism

$$\nabla_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)} : \text{coker } \Delta^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1).$$

by [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.2.8(2)], and

❖ the *inverse* of the automorphism

$$(p+1) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{n_0, p} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{n_1, p} : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1),$$

in which $(p+1)$ is invertible by (PI2); $\mathbb{I}_{n_0, p} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{n_1, p}$ is invertible by (PI4–6) and [LTXZZ1, Propositions B.3.5(1) & B.4.3(2)].

In order to apply the above construction in the context of the Iwasawa theory, we need to consider slightly more general open compact groups away from Σ_p^+ . Consider the following data:

❖ another object $(\tilde{K}_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ) \in \mathfrak{R}(V_n)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$\tilde{K}_N^\circ = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_p^+} K_{N, v}^\circ \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_p^+} \tilde{K}_{N, v}^\circ$$

(and put $\tilde{K}'_N := j_N(\tilde{K}_N^\circ)^p \times (K'_N)_p$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$),

❖ a Hecke operator

$$P \in O_\lambda[(\tilde{K}_n^\circ)^p \times (\tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ)^p \setminus U(V_n^\circ)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) \times U(V_{n+1}^\circ)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / (K_n^\circ)^p \times (K_{n+1}^\circ)^p],$$

❖ and a (finite anticyclotomic) extension \tilde{F}/F contained in F^{ab} that is fixed by the kernel of the composite map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F) = F^\times \setminus (\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_{F/F^+}^-} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \setminus \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \\ \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \setminus \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{K}_n^\circ = \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \setminus \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{K}'_n, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$ is a quotient of $\mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \setminus \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Notation 4.2.2. We introduce two notations based on the previous data.

(1) We have canonical maps

$$\text{Sh}(V_n, \tilde{K}_n^\circ) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \setminus \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{K}_n^\circ \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$$

of sets. For every element $\varsigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, we denote by $\text{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)_\varsigma$ the fiber of ς under the above composite map, and by

$$\mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)_\varsigma} \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n+1}^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ)]$$

²¹Careful readers may notice that in [LTXZZ1, §7.2], it is required that $(K_{n_0}^\circ)_v$ is a *transferable* open compact subgroup of $U(V_{n_0}^\circ)(F_v^+)$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\text{min}}^+$. However, as explained in [LTXZZ3, Remark 8.2], this requirement is unnecessary.

the characteristic function of the image of $\mathrm{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)_\zeta$ under the diagonal map

$$\Delta: \mathrm{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ) \times \mathrm{Sh}(V_{n+1}^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$$

of Shimura sets.

(2) We have canonical maps

$$\pi_0 \left(\mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}} \right) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{K}'_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$$

of sets. For every element $\zeta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)_\zeta$ the union of components parameterized by the fiber of ζ under the above composite map, which indeed is defined over \tilde{F} , and by

$$[\Delta \mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)_\zeta] \in Z^n((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}'_{n+1}))_{\tilde{F}})$$

the cycle given by the image of $\mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)_\zeta$ under the diagonal morphism

$$\Delta: \mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}'_{n+1})$$

of Shimura varieties.

The Hecke operator P induces maps

$$P_*: \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\mathrm{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ) \times \mathrm{Sh}(V_{n+1}^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ)] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\mathrm{Sh}(V_n^\circ, K_n^\circ) \times \mathrm{Sh}(V_{n+1}^\circ, K_{n+1}^\circ)],$$

$$P_*: Z^n((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}'_{n+1}))_{\tilde{F}})_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} \rightarrow Z^n((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, K'_n) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, K'_{n+1}))_{\tilde{F}})_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}.$$

Under Assumption 4.1.3, we have the Abel–Jacobi map

$$\mathrm{AJ}: Z^n((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{F}, H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2n-1}((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)).$$

The fixed algebraic closure $\bar{F}_\mathfrak{p}^+$ of $F_\mathfrak{p}^+$ containing \bar{F} from §1.4 induces a place \mathfrak{p}' of \tilde{F} above \mathfrak{p} . Combining with Lemma 5.2.2, we have the localization map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{loc}_\mathfrak{p}: H^1(\tilde{F}, H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2n-1}((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}, H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2n-1}((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \\ = H^1(F_\mathfrak{p}, H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2n-1}((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \end{aligned}$$

and the singular quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mathfrak{p}: H^1(F_\mathfrak{p}, H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2n-1}((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \\ \rightarrow H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(F_\mathfrak{p}, H_{\mathrm{ét}}^{2n-1}((\mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times_F \mathrm{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

The following result slightly generalizes [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.2.8].

Theorem 4.2.3 (First explicit reciprocity law). *Assume Assumptions 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 4.1.3, and Hypothesis 2.2.5 for both n and $n + 1$. Then for every collection of data $(\tilde{K}_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ), P, \tilde{F}$ as above,*

$$\varrho_{\mathrm{sing}} \left(\partial_\mathfrak{p} \mathrm{loc}_\mathfrak{p} \mathrm{AJ} \left(P_* [\Delta \mathrm{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)_\zeta] \right) \right) = P_* \mathbb{1}_{\Delta \mathrm{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)_{[j_n]_\zeta}}$$

holds for every $\zeta \in \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$. Here, $[j_n] \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the element from Lemma 4.4.2 applied to the isometry $j_n: V_n^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$.

Proof. In the proof, we will freely use the notation from [LTXZZ1, §7.2]. By enlarging Σ_1^+ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that $(\tilde{K}_N^\circ)_v = (K_N^\circ)_v$ for $v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_1^+$ and that P is supported at places in Σ_1^+ . Consider an arbitrary pair $(\hat{K}_n^\circ, \hat{K}_{n+1}^\circ) \in \mathfrak{R}(V_n^\circ) \times \mathfrak{R}(V_{n+1}^\circ)$ of the form

$$\hat{K}_N^\circ = \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_1^+} K_{N,v}^\circ \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_1^+} \hat{K}_{N,v}^\circ$$

(and put $\hat{K}'_N := j_N(\hat{K}_N^\circ)^p \times (K'_N)_p$) for $N \in \{n, n + 1\}$.

Denote by \hat{Q} the scheme parallel to Q but with respect to the object $(\hat{K}_n^\circ, \hat{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$. We have maps

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta}^n: C_n(\hat{Q}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda) &\rightarrow C^n(\hat{Q}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda), \\ \hat{\nabla}: C^n(\hat{Q}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\mathrm{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, \hat{K}_{n_0}^\circ) \times \mathrm{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, \hat{K}_{n_1}^\circ)] \end{aligned}$$

from [LTXZZ1, §5.11]. By (the proof of) [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.2.8(1)], $\hat{\nabla}$ induces a map

$$\hat{\nabla}_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)} : \text{coker } \hat{\Delta}_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, \hat{K}_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, \hat{K}_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1, (p+1)\mathbb{R}_{n_0, p}^\circ - \mathbb{I}_{n_0, p}^\circ),$$

which is compatible when we change $(\hat{K}_n^\circ, \hat{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$ (in the above form). As a consequence, we have the commutative diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{coker } \tilde{\Delta}_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)}^n & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)}} & \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1, (p+1)\mathbb{R}_{n_0, p}^\circ - \mathbb{I}_{n_0, p}^\circ) \\ \downarrow P_* & & \downarrow P_* \\ \text{coker } \Delta_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)}^n & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)}} & \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1, (p+1)\mathbb{R}_{n_0, p}^\circ - \mathbb{I}_{n_0, p}^\circ) \end{array}$$

in which $\tilde{\Delta}^n$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ are the corresponding maps for $(\tilde{K}_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$. Note that

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1, (p+1)\mathbb{R}_{n_0, p}^\circ - \mathbb{I}_{n_0, p}^\circ) = \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\circ, K_{n_0}^\circ) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\circ, K_{n_1}^\circ)] / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)$$

as pointed out in the proof of [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.2.8(1)].

We continue to put \sim on top of notation for objects with respect to $(\tilde{K}_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\circ)$. For example, we have schemes $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_N$ over \mathbf{T} (over $\mathcal{O}_{F_v} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$) for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$, and the blow-up morphism $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{P}} := \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n \times_{\mathbf{T}} \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{n+1}$. The ‘‘modular interpretation’’ isomorphism [LTXZZ1, (5.2)] gives a finite étale map $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n^\eta \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V_n', \tilde{K}'_{n_0})_{F_v}$. For every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, denote by $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n^\eta)_\zeta$ the preimage of $(\text{Sh}(V_n', \tilde{K}'_{n_0})_{F_v})_\zeta$, $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n)_\zeta$ the Zariski closure of $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n^\eta)_\zeta$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n$, $(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{sp}})_\zeta$ the graph of the diagonal embedding $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n)_\zeta \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n \times_{\mathbf{T}} \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{n+1} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}$, and finally $(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\text{sp}})_\zeta$ the strict transform of $(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\text{sp}})_\zeta$ along $\tilde{\sigma}$.

By the commutative diagram (4.2) and [LTXZZ1, Proposition 7.2.7], it remains to show that

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_{/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)} \text{cl}((\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{\text{sp}})_\zeta) = (p+1) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{n_0, p} \otimes \mathbb{T}_{n_1, p} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)_{[j_n]_\zeta}} \right)$$

holds for every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$. When $\tilde{F} = F$, it has been verified in (the proof of) [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.2.8(3)]. We now explain how to modify the argument for general \tilde{F} .

The uniformization map in [LTXZZ1, Construction 5.3.6] gives a finite map $\pi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)$. For every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, we denote by $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n^\circ)_\zeta$ the union of components parameterized by the preimage of $\text{Sh}(V_n^\circ, \tilde{K}_n^\circ)_\zeta$ under the above map. Examining the proof of [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.2.8(3)], we find that (4.3) follows from the following two claims:

- (1) The scheme $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n$ is the disjoint union of $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n)_\zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$.
- (2) The basic correspondence for the balloon stratum $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n^\circ \leftarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n^\circ \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n$ [LTXZZ1, (5.3)] restricts to a correspondence between $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n^\circ)_{[j_n]_\zeta}$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n)_\zeta$ for every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$.

Claim (1) follows from the fact that $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n$ is regular [LTXZZ1, Theorem 5.2.5] and that $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n^\eta$ is the disjoint union of $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n^\eta)_\zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$. Claim (2) follows from Lemma 4.4.2.

The theorem is proved. \square

4.3. Second explicit reciprocity law. In this subsection, we refine the second explicit reciprocity law in [LTXZZ1, §7.3] so that it can be applied to study the Iwasawa theory.

We start by choosing

- ❖ a prime λ of E , whose underlying rational prime ℓ satisfies $\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cap \Sigma_\ell^+ = \emptyset$,
- ❖ a positive integer m ,
- ❖ a (possibly empty) finite set $\Sigma_{\text{Ir}, \text{II}}^+$ of nonarchimedean places of F^+ that are inert in F , whose underlying rational primes are disjoint from those of Σ_{\min}^+ , satisfying $\ell \nmid \|v\|(\|v\|^2 - 1)$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\text{Ir}, \text{II}}^+$,
- ❖ a finite set Σ_{II}^+ of nonarchimedean places of F^+ containing $\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\text{Ir}, \text{II}}^+$,
- ❖ a datum $\mathcal{V} = (V_n, V_{n+1}; \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1}; K_n, K_{n+1})$ in which
 - V_n is a standard *indefinite* hermitian space over F (Definition 2.2.4) of rank n and $V_{n+1} = (V_n)_\#$;
 - Λ_n is a $\prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$ -lattice in $V_n \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+}$ satisfying $\Lambda_n \subseteq \Lambda_n^\vee$ and that $\Lambda_{n, v}^\vee / \Lambda_{n, v}$ has length one (resp. zero) when $v \in \Sigma_{\text{Ir}, \text{II}}^+$ (resp. $v \notin \Sigma_{\text{Ir}, \text{II}}^+$) and $\Lambda_{n+1} = (\Lambda_n)_\#$;

– (K_n, K_{n+1}) is an object in $\mathfrak{R}(V_n)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$K_N = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+} (K_N)_v \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_{\infty}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} U(\Lambda_N)(O_{F_v}^+)$$

for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$,

❖ a special inert prime (Definition 2.3.4) \mathfrak{p} of F^+ (with the underlying rational prime p) satisfying²²

(PII1): Σ_{Π}^+ does not contain p -adic places;

(PII2): ℓ does not divide $p(p^2 - 1)$;

(PII3): there exists a CM type Φ containing τ_{∞} as in §3.1 satisfying $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\Phi} = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ (and we choose remaining data in §3.1 with $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\Phi} = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$);

(PII4): $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{0,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda^m$ is level-raising special at \mathfrak{p} ; $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{1,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda$ is Tate generic at \mathfrak{p} ; $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{0,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \alpha(\Pi_{1,\mathfrak{p}})} \bmod \lambda^m$ is level-raising special at \mathfrak{p} (all in Definition 2.1.4);

❖ a datum $\mathcal{V}' = (V'_n, V'_{n+1}; \Lambda'_n, \Lambda'_{n+1}; K'_n, K'_{n+1})$ in which

– V'_n is a standard *definite* hermitian space over F of rank n and $V'_{n+1} = (V'_n)_{\#}$;

– Λ'_n is a $\prod_{v \notin \Sigma_{\infty}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} O_{F_v}$ -lattice in $V'_n \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\Sigma_{\infty} \cup \Sigma_{\min}}$ satisfying $\Lambda'_n \subseteq (\Lambda'_n)^{\vee}$ and that $(\Lambda'_{n,v})^{\vee} / \Lambda'_{n,v}$ has length one (resp. zero) when $v \in \Sigma_{\text{lr,II}}^+ \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ (resp. $v \notin \Sigma_{\text{lr,II}}^+ \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$) and $\Lambda'_{n+1} = (\Lambda'_n)_{\#}$;

– (K'_n, K'_{n+1}) is an object in $\mathfrak{R}(V'_n)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$K'_N = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+} (K'_N)_v \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_{\infty}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} U(\Lambda'_N)(O_{F_v}^+)$$

for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$,

together with a datum $\mathbf{j} = (j_n, j_{n+1})$ in which $j_N: V_N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \rightarrow V'_N \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$ are isometries sending $(\Lambda_N)^p$ to $(\Lambda'_N)^p$ and $(K_N)^p$ to $(K'_N)^p$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$, satisfying $j_{n+1} = (j_n)_{\#}$.

Introduce the following ideals of $\mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\Pi}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+}$, for $\alpha = 0, 1$

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} := \mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\Pi}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \cap \ker \left(\mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\Pi}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi_{\alpha}}} O_E \rightarrow O_E / \lambda \right), \\ \mathfrak{n}_{\alpha} := \mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\Pi}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \cap \ker \left(\mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\Pi}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^+} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi_{\alpha}}} O_E \rightarrow O_E / \lambda^m \right). \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.3.1. Under Assumption 4.1.3, the natural map $H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{B}_{n_0}, O_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}_0}^{\circ} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{B}_{n_0}, O_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}_0}$ is an isomorphism. In view of Definition 3.10.1 and Remark 3.10.2, we have the quotient Abel–Jacobi map

$$\mathfrak{U}_0 / \mathfrak{n}_0: H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\overline{B}_{n_0}, O_{\lambda}) / \mathfrak{n}_0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2n_0-1}(\overline{M}_{n_0}, O_{\lambda}(r_0)) / \mathfrak{n}_0),$$

where \mathfrak{U}_0 is the map in Definition 3.10.1 (and Remark 3.10.2) for $V = V_{n_0}$ and $\zeta = 1$.

Next, we would like to define the second reciprocity map ϱ_{unr} , analogous to the first one in Definition 4.2.1. To do this, we will freely use the notation from [LTXZZ1, §7.3]. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, put $V_{n_{\alpha}}^{\star} := V'_{n_{\alpha}}$, $\mathfrak{i}_{n_{\alpha}} := j_{n_{\alpha}}$ and choose $O_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattices $\{\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},q}^{\star}\}_{q|p}$ satisfying

- ❖ $\Lambda'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} \subseteq p^{-1} \Lambda'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}$,
- ❖ $p \Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} \subseteq (\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star})^{\vee}$ such that $(\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star})^{\vee} / p \Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star}$ has length $1 - \alpha$,
- ❖ $(\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star})_{\#} \subseteq \Lambda_{n_{\alpha+1},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} \subseteq p^{-1} (\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star})_{\#}^{\vee}$,
- ❖ $\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},q}^{\star} = \Lambda'_{n_{\alpha},q}$ for $\alpha = 0, 1$ and $q \neq \mathfrak{p}$.

Then $(V_n^{\star}, \mathfrak{i}_n, \{\Lambda_{n,q}^{\star}\}_{q|p})$ and $(V_{n+1}^{\star}, \mathfrak{i}_{n+1}, \{\Lambda_{n+1,q}^{\star}\}_{q|p})$ form definite uniformization data for V_n and V_{n+1} [LTXZZ1, Definition 4.4.1], respectively, satisfying the conditions in [LTXZZ1, Notation 4.5.7]. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, let $K_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star}$ be the stabilizer of $\Lambda_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star}$; denote by

$$T_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star'} \in \mathbb{Z}[K_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} \backslash U(V'_{n_{\alpha}})(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+) / K'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}], \quad T_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} \in \mathbb{Z}[K'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}} \backslash U(V'_{n_{\alpha}})(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+) / K_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star}]$$

the characteristic functions of $K_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} K'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}$ and $K'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}} K_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star}$, respectively; and put

$$T'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}} := T_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star} \circ T_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}^{\star'} \in \mathbb{Z}[K'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}} \backslash U(V'_{n_{\alpha}})(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+) / K'_{n_{\alpha},\mathfrak{p}}].$$

²²Note that comparing to [LTXZZ1, §7.3], we have incorporated (PII7) into (PII4).

Finally, put $K_{n_\alpha}^\star := i_{n_\alpha} \tilde{K}_{n_\alpha}^p \times K_{n_\alpha, p}^\star$ and $K_{sp}^\star := K_n^\star \cap K_{n+1}^\star$.

Definition 4.3.2 (Second reciprocity map). Assume Assumption 4.1.1, Assumption 4.1.3, and Hypothesis 2.2.5 for both n and $n+1$. We define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\text{unr}} : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times \text{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1})]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \\ \rightarrow H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_p, H_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}, K_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}, K_{n_1}))_{\overline{F}}, O_\lambda(n))/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \end{aligned}$$

of O_φ -modules, called *second reciprocity map*, as the composition of following four maps:

❖ the map

$$\begin{aligned} (T'_{n_0, p}^\star \otimes T'_{n_1, p}^\star)^{-1} \circ (1 \otimes I'_{n_1, p}): O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times \text{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1})]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\star, K_{n_0}^\star) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\star, K_{n_1}^\star)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1), \end{aligned}$$

in which the map

$$T'_{n_0, p}^\star \otimes T'_{n_1, p}^\star : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\star, K_{n_0}^\star) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\star, K_{n_1}^\star)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \rightarrow O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, K'_{n_0}) \times \text{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, K'_{n_1})]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)$$

is invertible by Lemma 4.3.4 below,

❖ the *inverse* of the endomorphism

$$1 \otimes T'_{n_1, p}^\star : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\star, K_{n_0}^\star) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\star, K_{n_1}^\star)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \rightarrow O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\star, K_{n_0}^\star) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\star, K_{n_1}^\star)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1),$$

which is invertible by the claim (1) in the proof of [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.3.4],

❖ the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_0/\mathfrak{n}_0 \otimes ((\iota_{n_1})! \circ \pi_{n_1}^*)/\mathfrak{n}_1 : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^\star, K_{n_0}^\star) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\star, K_{n_1}^\star)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \\ \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r_0-1}(\overline{M}_{n_0}, O_\lambda(r_0))/\mathfrak{n}_0) \otimes_{O_\lambda} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r_1}(\overline{M}_{n_1}, O_\lambda(r_1))/\mathfrak{n}_1)_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

❖ the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r_0-1}(\overline{M}_{n_0}, O_\lambda(r_0))/\mathfrak{n}_0) \otimes_{O_\lambda} H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r_1}(\overline{M}_{n_1}, O_\lambda(r_1))/\mathfrak{n}_1)_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_p, H_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}, K_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}, K_{n_1}))_{\overline{F}}, O_\lambda(n))/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3.3. We have

(1) By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 7.3.3], the map

$$(\iota_{n_1})! \circ \pi_{n_1}^* : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^\star, K_{n_1}^\star)] \simeq H_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(S_{n_1}, O_\lambda) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2r_1}(\overline{M}_{n_1}, O_\lambda(r_1))_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$$

is an isomorphism after localization at \mathfrak{m}_1 . It follows that ϱ_{unr} is surjective/bijective if the map $\mathcal{U}_0/\mathfrak{n}_0$ from Remark 4.3.1 is surjective/bijective.

(2) One can check that the map ϱ_{unr} does not depend on the choice of the auxiliary data $\{\Lambda_{n_\alpha, q}^\star\}_{q|p}$ (thought we do not need this in the argument below).

Lemma 4.3.4. *Let the notation be in Definition 4.3.2. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, both of the two maps*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n_\alpha, p}^{\star'} : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_\alpha}, K'_{n_\alpha})] &\rightarrow O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_\alpha}^\star, K_{n_\alpha}^\star)] \\ T_{n_\alpha, p}'^\star : O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_\alpha}^\star, K_{n_\alpha}^\star)] &\rightarrow O_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_\alpha}, K'_{n_\alpha})] \end{aligned}$$

become isomorphisms after localizing at \mathfrak{m}_α .

Proof. When $\alpha = 1$, the proof of (1) reduces to [LTXZZ1, Lemma 6.1.9] for $N = n_1$: indeed, by putting $V_{n_1}^\circ := {}^p V_{n_1}' (= {}^p V_{n_1}^\star)$ (here again, p means that we multiply the hermitian form by p) under which we take $\Lambda_{n_1, p}^\circ = \Lambda_{n_1}^\star$ and $\Lambda_{n_1, p}^\bullet = p^{-1} \Lambda_{n_1, p}'$, we reduce $T_{n_1, p}^{\star'}$ to $T_{n_1, p}^{\circ\bullet}$ and $T_{n_1, p}'^\star$ to $T_{n_1, p}^{\bullet\circ}$. When $\alpha = 0$, (1) follows from the same argument in the proof of [LTXZZ1, Lemma 6.1.9] by using Proposition 4.5.1 below instead of [LTXZZ1, Proposition B.3.5(1)]. \square

In order to apply the above construction in the context of the Iwasawa theory, we need to consider slightly more general open compact groups away from Σ_p^+ . Consider the following data:

❖ another object $(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1}) \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{V}_n)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_N = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_p^+} \mathbf{K}_{N,v} \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_p^+} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{N,v}$$

(and put $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_N := j_N(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_N)^p \times (\mathbf{K}'_N)_p$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$),

❖ a Hecke operator

$$\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda[(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)^p \times (\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1})^p \backslash \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{V}_n)(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p}) \times \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{V}_{n+1})(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p}) / (\mathbf{K}_n)^p \times (\mathbf{K}_{n+1})^p],$$

❖ and a (finite anticyclotomic) extension \tilde{F}/F contained in F^{ab} that is fixed by the kernel of the composite map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F) &= F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_{F/F^+}^-} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n = \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$ is a quotient of $\mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Notation 4.3.5. We introduce two notations based on the previous data.

(1) We have canonical maps

$$\pi_0(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)_{F^{\text{ab}}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$$

of sets. For every element $\varsigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, we denote by $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)_\varsigma$ the union of components parameterized by the fiber of ς under the above composite map, which indeed is defined over F , and by

$$[\Delta \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)_\varsigma] \in \mathbb{Z}^n((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1}))_{\tilde{F}})$$

the cycle given by the image of $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)_\varsigma$ under the diagonal morphism

$$\Delta: \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1})$$

of Shimura varieties.

(2) We have canonical maps

$$\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$$

of sets. For every element $\varsigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, we denote by $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n)_\varsigma$ the fiber of ς under the above composite map, and by

$$\mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n)_\varsigma} \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n) \times \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_{n+1})]$$

the characteristic function of the image of $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n)_\varsigma$ under the diagonal map

$$\Delta: \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n) \times \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_{n+1})$$

of Shimura sets.

The Hecke operator \mathbf{P} induces maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_* &: \mathbb{Z}^n((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1}))_{\tilde{F}})_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n, \mathbf{K}_n) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n+1}, \mathbf{K}_{n+1}))_{\tilde{F}})_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda}, \\ \mathbf{P}_* &: \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n) \times \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_{n+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_{n+1})] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_n, \mathbf{K}'_n) \times \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}'_{n+1}, \mathbf{K}'_{n+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Under Assumption 4.1.3, we have the Abel–Jacobi map

$$\text{AJ}: \mathbb{Z}^n((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{F}, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)).$$

The fixed algebraic closure \overline{F}_p^+ of F_p^+ containing \overline{F} from §1.4 induces a place \mathfrak{p}' of \tilde{F} above \mathfrak{p} . Combining with Lemma 5.2.2, we have the localization map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}} &: \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{F}, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{F}_{\mathfrak{p}'}, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)) \\ &= \mathbf{H}^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}))_{\tilde{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

By [LTXZZ1, Remark 7.3.5], the image of $\text{loc}_p \circ \text{AJ}$ is contained in the \mathcal{O}_λ -submodule

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_p, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}) \times_F \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}))_{\bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n))/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)).$$

The following result refines [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.3.4].

Theorem 4.3.6 (Second explicit reciprocity law). *Assume Assumption 4.1.1, Assumption 4.1.3, and Hypothesis 2.2.5 for both n and $n+1$. Then for every collection of data $(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1}), \mathbf{P}, \tilde{F}$ as above,*

$$\varrho_{\text{unr}}(\mathbf{P}_* \mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)}_{[j_n]_\zeta}) = \text{loc}_p \text{AJ}(\mathbf{P}_* [\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)]_{[j_n]_\zeta})$$

holds for every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$. Here, $[j_n] \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathcal{O}_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the element from Lemma 4.4.1 applied to the isometry $j_n: V_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$.

Proof. In the proof, we will freely use the notation from [LTXZZ1, §7.3]. By enlarging Σ_{Π}^+ , we may assume, without loss of generality, that $(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_N)_v = (\mathbf{K}_N)_v$ for $v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\Pi}^+$ and that \mathbf{P} is supported at places in Σ_{Π}^+ . Consider an arbitrary pair $(\hat{\mathbf{K}}_n, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1}) \in \mathfrak{R}(V_n) \times \mathfrak{R}(V_{n+1})$ of the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_N = \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\Pi}^+} \mathbf{K}_{N,v} \times \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\Pi}^+} \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{N,v}$$

(and put $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_N^* := j_N(\hat{\mathbf{K}}_N)^p \times (\mathbf{K}_N^*)_p$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$).

Put $\hat{}$ on top of notation for objects from [LTXZZ1, §7.3] and Definition 4.3.2 with respect to $(\hat{\mathbf{K}}_n, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1})$. We then have the map

$$\hat{\text{inc}}_{!/(n_0, n_1)}^{*,*}: \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^*, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{n_0}^*) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^*, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{n_1}^*)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}^{2n}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{n_0} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \hat{\mathbf{M}}_{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n))/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1)$$

defined in [LTXZZ1, Definition 4.6.1], which is compatible when we change $(\hat{\mathbf{K}}_n, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1})$ (in the above form). As a consequence, we have the commutative diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n_0}^*) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n_1}^*)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\text{inc}}_{!/(n_0, n_1)}^{*,*}} & \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}^{2n}(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{n_0} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n))/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \\ \mathbf{P}_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{P}_* \\ \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^*, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^*) \times \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^*, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^*)]/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_{!/(n_0, n_1)}^{*,*}} & \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}^{2n}(\mathbf{M}_{n_0} \times_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{M}_{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(n))/(\mathfrak{n}_0, \mathfrak{n}_1) \end{array}$$

in which $\hat{\text{inc}}_{!}^{*,*}$ is the corresponding map for $(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1})$. Note that by the last displayed formula in the proof of [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.3.4], the target of $\hat{\text{inc}}_{!/(n_0, n_1)}^{*,*}$ is naturally isomorphic to

$$\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}^{2r_0-1}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{n_0}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(r_0))/\mathfrak{n}_0) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} (\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}}^{2r_1}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_\lambda(r_1))/\mathfrak{n}_1)_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_{p^2})}$$

under which $\hat{\text{inc}}_{!/(n_0, n_1)}^{*,*}$ is identified with $\mathcal{U}_0/\mathfrak{n}_0 \otimes ((\mathfrak{t}_{n_1})! \circ \pi_{n_1}^*)/\mathfrak{n}_1$.

We put $\tilde{}$ on top of notation for objects with respect to $(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n+1})$. It is clear that $\det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n = \det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^* = \det \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{sp}}^*$, so that we can similarly define $\text{Sh}(V_n^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^*)_\zeta$, $\text{Sh}(V_n^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{sp}}^*)_\zeta$, and $\mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{sp}}^*)_\zeta}$. By the commutative diagram (4.4) and the claim (2) in the proof of [LTXZZ1, Theorem 7.3.4], it remains to show that

$$(4.5) \quad (\text{id} \times \tilde{\pi}_{n_1})_!(\text{id} \times \tilde{\iota}_{n_1})^* \hat{\text{inc}}_{!}^{*,*}(\mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{sp}}^*)_\zeta}) = \mathbf{T}_{n_1, p}^*(\text{id} \times \tilde{\pi}_{n_1})_!(\text{id} \times \tilde{\iota}_{n_1})^* \text{loc}_p([\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n)]_{[j_n]_\zeta})$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad (\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{I}'_{n_1, p}) \mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}'_n)_\zeta} = (\mathbf{T}'_{n, p}^* \otimes \mathbf{T}'_{n+1, p}^*) \mathbb{1}_{\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^*, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\text{sp}}^*)_\zeta}$$

hold for every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$.

We first consider (4.5). When $\tilde{F} = F$, it has been verified in [LTXZZ1, Theorem 4.6.2]. We now explain how to modify the argument for general \tilde{F} . The uniformization map in [LTXZZ1, Construction 4.4.2] gives a finite map $\pi_0(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^*)$. For every $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$, we denote by $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n)_\zeta$ the union of components parameterized by the preimage of $\text{Sh}(V_n, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_n^*)_\zeta$ under the above map. Examining the proof of [LTXZZ1, Theorem 4.6.2], we find that (4.5) follows from the following two claims:

- (1) The scheme $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n$ is the disjoint union of $(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_n)_\zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$.

- (2) The basic correspondence $\tilde{S}_n \leftarrow \tilde{B}_n \rightarrow \tilde{M}_n$ [LTXZZ1, (4.3)] restricts to a correspondence between $(\tilde{S}_n^\circ)_\varsigma$ and $(\tilde{M}_n)_{[j_n]_\varsigma}$ for every $\varsigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$.

Claim (1) follows from the fact that \tilde{M}_n is regular [LTXZZ1, Theorem 4.2.3] and that \tilde{M}_n^j is the disjoint union of $(\tilde{M}_n^j)_\varsigma$ for all $\varsigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F)$. Claim (2) follows from Lemma 4.4.1.

We then consider (4.6). Put $\tilde{K}_N^\dagger := \tilde{K}'_N \cap \tilde{K}_N^\star$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ and $\tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\dagger := \tilde{K}'_{\text{sp}} \cap \tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\star$. For the notation of hermitian spaces, we will always use V'_N . We have the following commutative diagram of Shimura sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}'_{n+1}) & \xleftarrow{\text{sh}_{n+1}^{\dagger'}} & \text{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\text{sh}_{n+1}^{\dagger\star}} & \text{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\star) \\
 & & \uparrow \text{sh}_\dagger^\dagger & & \uparrow \text{sh}_\dagger^\star \\
 & & \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\text{sh}_{\text{sp}}^{\dagger\star}} & \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\star) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{sh}_\dagger^\dagger & & \downarrow \text{sh}_\dagger^\star \\
 \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n) & \xleftarrow{\text{sh}_n^{\dagger'}} & \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_n^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\text{sh}_n^{\dagger\star}} & \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_n^\star)
 \end{array}$$

which is analogous to the right facet of the diagram in [LTXZZ1, Proposition 5.10.14], satisfying the following properties (following [LTXZZ1, Remark 4.5.8]):

- The lower-right square is Cartesian, in which sh_\dagger^\star has degree 1 (resp. $p+1$) when n is odd (resp. even).
- When n is odd, the upper-right square is Cartesian.
- When n is even, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}'_{n+1}) & \xleftarrow{\text{sh}_{n+1}^{\dagger'}} & \text{Sh}(V'_{n+1}, \tilde{K}_{n+1}^\dagger) \\
 \uparrow \text{sh}_\dagger^\dagger & & \uparrow \text{sh}_\dagger^\dagger \\
 \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n) & \xleftarrow{\text{sh}_n^{\dagger'} \circ \text{sh}_\dagger^\dagger} & \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\dagger)
 \end{array}$$

is Cartesian.

For (4.6), it suffices to show that for every $f \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_0}, \tilde{K}'_{n_0})] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} \mathcal{O}_\lambda[\text{Sh}(V'_{n_1}, \tilde{K}_{n_1}^\star)]$, we have

$$\sum_{s \in \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\star)_\varsigma} (\mathbf{T}_{n_0, p}^{\star'} f)(\text{sh}_\dagger^\star(s), \text{sh}_\dagger^\star(s)) = \sum_{s \in \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)_\varsigma} (\mathbf{T}_{n_1, p}^{\star} f)(s, \text{sh}_\dagger^\star(s)),$$

which follows from the exactly same argument in the proof of [LTXZZ1, Lemma 5.11.6] (but with the parity changed) by using properties (a,b,c) and the obvious fact that both the natural map $\text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}_{\text{sp}}^\star) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V'_n, \tilde{K}'_n)$ and the correspondence $\mathbf{T}_{n_0, p}^{\star'}$ preserve subsets labeled by ς .

The theorem is proved. \square

4.4. Appendix: Complements on basic correspondences. In this subsection, we study compatibility of determinant maps for basic correspondences constructed in [LTXZZ1, §4.3 & §5.3] with respect to uniformization data.

We first consider the situation in [LTXZZ1, §4.3]. Let V be a standard indefinite hermitian space over F of rank $N \geq 1$. Fix a definite uniformization data for V at \mathfrak{p} as in [LTXZZ1, Definition 4.4.1]; in particular, we have an isometry $\mathfrak{i}: V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow V^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$.

Take an object $K^p \in \mathcal{R}(V)^p$. Consider a pair (y, x^\sharp) in which

$$\diamond y = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^\star, \lambda^\star, \eta^{p\star}; \alpha) \in \mathbf{B}_p(V, K^p)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \text{ with images}$$

$$x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in \mathbf{M}_p(V, K^p)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p), \quad s = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\star, \lambda^\star, \eta^{p\star}) \in \mathbf{S}_p(V, K^p)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p);$$

$$\diamond x^\sharp = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\sharp, \lambda^\sharp, \eta^{p\sharp}) \in \mathbf{M}_p(V, K^p)(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p) \text{ lifting } x.$$

Put

$$V_{x^\sharp} := \text{Hom}_F^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\sharp}(\mathbf{H}_1(A_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}), \mathbf{H}_1(A^\sharp(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})), \quad V_s := \text{Hom}_{O_F}^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\star}(A_0, A^\star)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

which are naturally hermitian spaces over F . Then V_{x^\sharp} and V_s are isometric to V and V^\star , respectively. Choose isometries

- ❖ $\gamma_{x^\sharp}: V_{x^\sharp} \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ sending $\text{Hom}_F^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\sharp}(\mathbf{H}_1(A_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbf{H}_1(A^\sharp(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p))$ onto $\prod_{\text{ql}p} \Lambda_{n, q}$, and
- ❖ $\gamma_s: V_s \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\star$ sending $\text{Hom}_{O_F}^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\star}(A_0, A^\star)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ onto $\prod_{\text{ql}p} \Lambda_{n, q}^\star$.

We then have successive isometries

$$\begin{aligned} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} &\xrightarrow{\eta^p} \text{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}}^{\lambda_0, \lambda}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}), \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})) \xrightarrow{\rho} V_{x^\sharp} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x^\sharp}} V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}, \\ V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} &\xrightarrow{\eta^{p^\star}} \text{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}}^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\star}(\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}), \mathbf{H}_1^{\text{ét}}(A^\star, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})) \xrightarrow{\rho^\star} V_s \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} V^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}, \end{aligned}$$

in which ρ comes from the comparison theorem. We obtain two elements

$$\gamma_{x^\sharp} \circ \rho \circ \eta^p, \quad \mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \gamma_s \circ \rho^\star \circ \eta^{p^\star}$$

in $U(V)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p})/K^p$.

Lemma 4.4.1. *There exists a unique element $[\mathbf{i}] \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that for every choice of data $K^p, (y, x^\sharp), (\gamma_{x^\sharp}, \gamma_s)$ as above, the quotient*

$$\frac{\det(\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \gamma_s \circ \rho^\star \circ \eta^{p^\star})}{\det(\gamma_{x^\sharp} \circ \rho \circ \eta^p)} \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / \det K^p$$

coincides with $[\mathbf{i}]$ in $\mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \det K^p$.

Proof. The uniqueness is clear since

$$\mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_{K^p} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \det K^p.$$

Fix K^p . For every pair (y, x^\sharp) , put

$$[\mathbf{i}]_{(y, x^\sharp)} := \frac{\det(\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \gamma_s \circ \rho^\star \circ \eta^{p^\star})}{\det(\gamma_{x^\sharp} \circ \rho \circ \eta^p)} \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p}) / \det K^p.$$

It is clear that $[\mathbf{i}]_{(y, x^\sharp)}$ does not depend on the pair $(\gamma_{x^\sharp}, \gamma_s)$. It remains to show that for every other pair $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}^\sharp)$, we have $[\mathbf{i}]_{(y, x^\sharp)} = [\mathbf{i}]_{(\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}^\sharp)}$. Let \tilde{x} and \tilde{s} be the images of \tilde{y} in $\mathbf{M}_p(V, K^p)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and $\mathbf{S}_p(V, K^p)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, respectively.

We first assume $s = \tilde{s}$. By [LTXZZ1, Theorem 5.3.4] and the fact that $\mathbf{M}_p(V, K^p)$ is regular [LTXZZ1, Theorem 4.2.3], x^\sharp and \tilde{x}^\sharp are in the same geometric component of $\mathbf{M}_p^{\eta}(V, K^p)$, which implies that $[\mathbf{i}]_{(y, x^\sharp)} = [\mathbf{i}]_{(\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}^\sharp)}$. In general, we may pre-composite an isometry of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$ to move s to \tilde{s} . Then it follows from the previous case that $[\mathbf{i}]_{(y, x^\sharp)} = [\mathbf{i}]_{(\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}^\sharp)}$.

The lemma is proved. \square

We then consider the parallel situation in [LTXZZ1, §5.3]. Let V° be a standard definite hermitian space over F of rank $N \geq 1$. Fix an indefinite uniformization data for V° at \mathfrak{p} as in [LTXZZ1, Definition 4.4.1]; in particular, we have an isometry $\mathbf{j}: V^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \rightarrow V' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}$.

Take an object $K^{p^\circ} \in \mathfrak{R}(V^\circ)^p$. Consider a pair (y, x^\sharp) in which

- ❖ $y = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p; A^\circ, \lambda^\circ, \eta^{p^\circ}; \alpha) \in \mathbf{B}_p^\circ(V^\circ, K^{p^\circ})(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ with images
- $x = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A, \lambda, \eta^p) \in \mathbf{M}_p^\circ(V^\circ, K^{p^\circ})(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p), \quad s = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\circ, \lambda^\circ, \eta^{p^\circ}) \in \mathbf{S}_p^\circ(V^\circ, K^{p^\circ})(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p);$
- ❖ $x^\sharp = (A_0, \lambda_0, \eta_0^p; A^\sharp, \lambda^\sharp, \eta^{p^\sharp}) \in \mathbf{M}_p(V^\circ, K^{p^\circ})(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ lifting x .

Put

$$V_s := \text{Hom}_{O_F}^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\circ}(A_0, A^\circ)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad V_{x^\sharp} := \text{Hom}_F^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\sharp}(\mathbf{H}_1(A_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}), \mathbf{H}_1(A^\sharp(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})),$$

which are naturally hermitian spaces over F . Then V_s and V_{x^\sharp} are isometric to V° and V' , respectively. Choose isometries

- ❖ $\gamma_s: V_s \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\circ$ sending $\text{Hom}_{O_F}^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\circ}(A_0, A^\circ)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ onto $\prod_{\text{ql}p} \Lambda_{n, q}^\circ$, and
- ❖ $\gamma_{x^\sharp}: V_{x^\sharp} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$ sending $\text{Hom}_F^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\sharp}(\mathbf{H}_1(A_0(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbf{H}_1(A^\sharp(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p))$ onto $\prod_{\text{ql}p} \Lambda'_{n, q}$.

We then have successive isometries

$$\begin{aligned} V^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} &\xrightarrow{\eta^{\rho^\circ}} \mathrm{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}}^{\lambda_0, \lambda^\circ} (H_1^{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}), H_1^{\acute{e}t}(A^\circ, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})) \xrightarrow{\rho^\circ} V_s \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} V^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}, \\ V^\circ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} &\xrightarrow{\eta^{\rho}} \mathrm{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}}^{\lambda_0, \lambda} (H_1^{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}), H_1^{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})) \xrightarrow{\rho} V_{x^\#} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x^\#}} V' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, p}, \end{aligned}$$

in which ρ comes from the comparison theorem. We obtain two elements

$$\gamma_s \circ \rho^\circ \circ \eta^{\rho^\circ}, \quad j^{-1} \circ \gamma_{x^\#} \circ \rho \circ \eta^{\rho}$$

in $U(V^\circ)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, p})/\mathbb{K}^{\rho^\circ}$.

Lemma 4.4.2. *There exists a unique element $[j] \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that for every choice of data $\mathbb{K}^{\rho^\circ}, (y, x^\#), (\gamma_s, \gamma_{x^\#})$ as above, the quotient*

$$\frac{\det(j^{-1} \circ \gamma_{x^\#} \circ \rho \circ \eta^{\rho})}{\det(\gamma_s \circ \rho^\circ \circ \eta^{\rho^\circ})} \in \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det \mathbb{K}^{\rho^\circ}$$

coincides with $[j]$ in $\mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F^+} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \det \mathbb{K}^{\rho^\circ}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.4.2 and we omit. \square

Remark 4.4.3. It is straightforward to see that the element $[i]$ (resp. $[j]$) from Lemma 4.4.1 (resp. Lemma 4.4.2) is independent of the choice of the auxiliary Shimura data defining \mathbf{T} .

4.5. Appendix: Intertwining Hecke operator in the nonsplit case. In this subsection, we prove a result that is parallel to [LTXZZ1, Proposition B.3.5(1)] in the case where the hermitian space is *nonsplit*. We fix an unramified quadratic extension F/F^+ of nonarchimedean local fields and a uniformizer ϖ of F^+ . Let q be the residue cardinality of F^+ and \mathfrak{p} the maximal ideal of O_F . Let $N = 2r$ be an even positive integer. For every integer $m \geq 0$, denote by I_m and J_m the identity and anti-identity matrices of rank m , respectively.

Consider a hermitian space V_N over F (with respect to F/F^+) of rank N together with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ under which the hermitian form is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & & J_{r-1} \\ & & & \\ & \varpi & & \\ & & 1 & \\ J_{r-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Via this basis, we identify $U(V_N)$ as a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Res}_{F/F^+} \mathrm{GL}_N$. Denote by B_N the minimal parabolic subgroup of $U(V_N)$ stabilizing the chain $Fe_1 \subseteq Fe_1 \oplus Fe_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Fe_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Fe_{r-1}$. Then the Levi quotient of B_N is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{G}_{m, F}^{r-1} \times U(V_2)$ giving by the actions on e_1, \dots, e_{r-1}, V_2 , where $V_2 := Fe_r \oplus Fe_{r+1}$. For a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -ring L and an N -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in L^N$ satisfying $\alpha_i \alpha_{N+1-i} = 1$ and $\alpha_r = q$, we have the normalized principal series π_α of $U(V_N)(F^+)$ (with coefficients in L) of the character $\boxtimes_{i=1}^{r-1} \chi_{\alpha_i} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}$, where χ_{α_i} is the unramified character of F^\times sending ϖ to α_i .

Consider two lattices

$$\Lambda'_N = O_F e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus O_F e_N, \quad \Lambda_N^\star = \mathfrak{p}^{-1} e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{-1} e_r \oplus O_F e_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus O_F e_N$$

of V_N . Then $\Lambda'_N \subseteq (\Lambda'_N)^\vee$ such that $(\Lambda'_N)^\vee / \Lambda'_N$ has O_F -length 1; $\mathfrak{p} \Lambda_N^\star \subseteq (\Lambda_N^\star)^\vee$ such that $(\Lambda_N^\star)^\vee / \mathfrak{p} \Lambda_N^\star$ has O_F -length 1. Let K'_N and K_N^\star be the stabilizers of Λ'_N and Λ_N^\star , respectively, which are both special maximal subgroups of $U(V_N)(F^+)$. We have two commutative Hecke algebras

$$\mathbb{T}'_N := \mathbb{Z}[K'_N \backslash U(V_N)(F^+) / K'_N], \quad \mathbb{T}_N^\star := \mathbb{Z}[K_N^\star \backslash U(V_N)(F^+) / K_N^\star],$$

with units $\mathbb{1}_{K'_N}$ and $\mathbb{1}_{K_N^\star}$, respectively. Denote by $\mathbb{T}'_N^\star \in \mathbb{Z}[K'_N \backslash U(V_N)(F^+) / K_N^\star]$ and $\mathbb{T}_N^\star \in \mathbb{Z}[K_N^\star \backslash U(V_N)(F^+) / K'_N]$ the characteristic function of the cosets $K'_N K_N^\star$ and $K_N^\star K'_N$, respectively. Put $\mathbb{I}'_N := \mathbb{T}'_N^\star \circ \mathbb{T}_N^\star \in \mathbb{T}'_N$.

Proposition 4.5.1. *Let L be a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]$ -ring and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in L^N$ an N -tuple satisfying $\alpha_i \alpha_{N+1-i} = 1$ and $\alpha_r = q$. Then \mathbb{I}'_N acts on $(\pi_\alpha)^{K'_N}$ by the scalar*

$$q^{r^2-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{\alpha_i} + 2 \right).$$

Proof. Denote by f' and f^\star the unique element in π_α satisfying $f'|_{K'_N} \equiv 1$ and $f^\star|_{K_N^\star} \equiv 1$, respectively. Then $(\pi_\alpha)^{K'_N}$ and $(\pi_\alpha)^{K_N^\star}$ are free L -modules generated by f' and f^\star , respectively. The proposition follows from the following two identities:

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{T}_N^\star f^\star = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (1 + \alpha_i) \cdot f',$$

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{T}_N^\star f' = q^{r^2-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (1 + \alpha_i^{-1}) \cdot f^\star.$$

Put $B'_N := B_N(F) \cap K'_N$, $B_N^\star := B_N(F) \cap K_N^\star$, and $K_N^\dagger := K'_N \cap K_N^\star$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$, put

$$s'_i := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{i-1} & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \mathbb{I}_{2r-2i} & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_i^\star := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{i-1} & & & \\ & \varpi & & \\ & & \mathbb{I}_{2r-2i} & \\ & & & \varpi^{-1} \\ & & & & \mathbb{I}_{i-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

which belong to K'_N and K_N^\star , respectively. For every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}$, put

$$s'_I := \prod_{i \in I} s'_i, \quad s_I^\star := \prod_{i \in I} s_i^\star.$$

For (4.7), we have natural bijections

$$K'_N K_N^\star / K_N^\star = K'_N / K_N^\dagger = \prod_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} B'_N s'_I K_N^\dagger / K_N^\dagger.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_N^\star f^\star(1) &= \sum_{g \in K'_N K_N^\star / K_N^\star} f^\star(g) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \sum_{u \in B'_N / (B'_N \cap s'_I K_N^\dagger s'_I)} f^\star(us'_I) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \sum_{u \in B'_N / (B'_N \cap s'_I K_N^\dagger s'_I)} f^\star(us'_I s_I^\star) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \sum_{u \in B'_N / (B'_N \cap s'_I K_N^\dagger s'_I)} \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i q^{2i-2r-1} \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} |B'_N / (B'_N \cap s'_I K_N^\dagger s'_I)| \cdot \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i q^{2i-2r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that the cardinality of $B'_N / (B'_N \cap s'_I K_N^\dagger s'_I)$ is $\prod_{i \in I} q^{2r+1-2i}$, which implies (4.7).

For (4.8), we have natural bijections

$$K_N^\star K'_N / K'_N = K_N^\star / K_N^\dagger = \prod_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} B_N^\star s_I^\star K_N^\dagger / K_N^\dagger.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{T}_N^{\star} f'(1) &= \sum_{g \in \mathbf{K}_N^{\star} \mathbf{K}'_N / \mathbf{K}'_N} f'(g) \\
 &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{B}_N^{\star} / (\mathbf{B}_N^{\star} \cap s_I^{\star} \mathbf{K}_N^{\dagger} s_I^{\star})} f'(us_I^{\star}) \\
 &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{B}_N^{\star} / (\mathbf{B}_N^{\star} \cap s_I^{\star} \mathbf{K}_N^{\dagger} s_I^{\star})} f'(us_I^{\star} s_I^{\dagger}) \\
 &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{B}_N^{\star} / (\mathbf{B}_N^{\star} \cap s_I^{\star} \mathbf{K}_N^{\dagger} s_I^{\star})} \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i^{-1} q^{2r+1-2i} \\
 &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \left| \mathbf{B}_N^{\star} / (\mathbf{B}_N^{\star} \cap s_I^{\star} \mathbf{K}_N^{\dagger} s_I^{\star}) \right| \cdot \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i^{-1} q^{2r+1-2i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that the cardinality of $\mathbf{B}_N^{\star} / (\mathbf{B}_N^{\star} \cap s_I^{\star} \mathbf{K}_N^{\dagger} s_I^{\star})$ is $\prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\} \setminus I} q^{2r+1-2i}$, which implies (4.8).

The proposition is proved.²³ □

5. IWASAWA'S MAIN CONJECTURE

In this section, we give the precise statement and the proof of our main theorems toward the Iwasawa main conjecture formulated in [Liu].

We keep the setup in §4.1 and denote by $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \{\pm 1\}$ the global Rankin–Selberg epsilon factor. To meet with the common practice in the Iwasawa theory, in this section, we will turn ℓ -adic coefficients to p -adic ones for the Galois representation, and turn p -adic special inert primes to ℓ -adic ones. Throughout this section, F' will always stand for a *finite* extension of F .

5.1. Results toward the main conjecture. Take a prime \wp of E with the underlying rational prime p coprime to Σ_{\min}^+ , and denote by O_{\wp} the ring of integers of E_{\wp} (for which we fix a uniformizer also denoted by \wp).

Take a \wp -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F (with respect to Π_0, Π_1), which, we recall from §1.2, means that every prime $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ divides p (so that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \Sigma_p$), splits over F^+ , and satisfies that both $\Pi_{0,w}$ and $\Pi_{1,w}$ are unramified and \wp -ordinary (Definition 2.1.5). Put $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}} := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)$, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} := O_{\wp}[[\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}]]$ as a (compact) topological ring, and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}} := \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{O_{\wp}} E_{\wp}$. We also recall that \mathcal{F}/F is said to be *free* if $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is torsion free.

We first define two Iwasawa limits of Selmer groups associated with $\rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n)$. Denote by V the underlying $E_{\wp}[\Gamma_F]$ -module of the representation $\rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n)$. Choose a Γ_F -stable O_{\wp} -lattice T of V and put $W := V/T$.

For every (finite) extension F'/F and every place w' of F' , define the Bloch–Kato Selmer condition as

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, V) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w' \text{ is not above } p, \\ \ker \left(\mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}) \right), & \text{if } w' \text{ is above } p. \end{cases}$$

We then define $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, W)$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, T)$) to be the propagation of $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, V)$, that is, the image (resp. preimage) of $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, V)$ along the natural map $\mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, V) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, W)$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, V)$). The global Bloch–Kato Selmer groups are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H}_f^1(F', W) &:= \ker \left(\mathbf{H}^1(F', W) \rightarrow \prod_{w'} \frac{\mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, W)}{\mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, W)} \right), \\
 \mathbf{H}_f^1(F', T) &:= \ker \left(\mathbf{H}^1(F', T) \rightarrow \prod_{w'} \frac{\mathbf{H}^1(F'_{w'}, T)}{\mathbf{H}_f^1(F'_{w'}, T)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

²³A similar argument in the split case gives an alternative proof of [LTXZZ1, Proposition B.3.5(1)].

Finally, we put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T) &:= \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} \mathrm{Hom}_{O_\varphi} \left(\mathrm{H}_f^1(F', W), E_\varphi / O_\varphi \right), \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, T) &:= \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} \mathrm{H}_f^1(F', T),\end{aligned}$$

as $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -modules, where the transition maps are the (dual of) restriction maps and the corestriction maps, respectively. It is a standard fact that the $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -modules $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, T)$ are both finitely generated.

Definition 5.1.1. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) &:= \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T) \otimes_{O_\varphi} E_\varphi, \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) &:= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, T) \otimes_{O_\varphi} E_\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

which are finitely generated $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -modules independent of the choice of T . Denote by $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ the maximal $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -torsion submodule of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$.

In [Liu], the author defined

- ❖ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, an anticyclotomic φ -adic L -function $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ (which will be recalled in §5.4; see Proposition 5.4.4 and Definition 5.4.5), and
- ❖ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -submodule $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ (which will be recalled in §5.5; see Definition 5.5.2); and we put

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) := \frac{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))}{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))}.$$

Definition 5.1.2. We say that a prime φ of E with the underlying rational prime p is *admissible* (with respect to (Π_0, Π_1)) if

- (A1): $p > 4n$;
- (A2): Σ_{\min}^+ does not contain p -adic places (in particular, p is unramified in F);
- (A3): $\rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}$ is *residually* absolutely irreducible;²⁴
- (A4): for $\alpha = 0, 1$, there exists a prime w_α of F not in $\Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_p$ that splits over F^+ , such that the elements of the Satake parameter $\alpha(\Pi_{\alpha, w_\alpha})$ are distinct for which $\|w\|$ is not a ratio;
- (A5): same as (L5) in [LTXZZ1, Definition 8.1.1] but with $\mathcal{P}(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (1 - (-T)^i)$ in (L5-2) (so that the condition becomes stronger);
- (A6): same as (L6) in [LTXZZ1, Definition 8.1.1].

Theorem 5.1.3. *Assume Hypothesis 2.2.5 for both n and $n + 1$. For every admissible prime φ of E in the sense of Definition 5.1.2 and every free φ -ordinary anticyclotomic extension \mathcal{F}/F , the following holds (while invoking Notation 1.2.2).*

- (1) *Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$. If $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \neq 0$, then*
 - (a) $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) = \mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$;
 - (b) $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ vanishes;
 - (c) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ belongs to $\mathrm{char}_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \right)$.
- (2) *Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$. If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \neq 0$, then*
 - (a) $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ has $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -rank one;
 - (b) $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n))$ is a torsion free $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ -module of rank one;
 - (c) $\mathrm{char}_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \right)^2$ is contained in $\mathrm{char}_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)) \right)$.

This theorem implies Theorem 1.2.3 in view of Remark 2.2.6. The proof of Theorem 5.1.3 will be given in §5.6. Meanwhile, we prove Theorem 1.2.4.

²⁴Note that this is stronger than (L3) and (L4) in [LTXZZ1, Definition 8.1.1] combined.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.4. Case (1) has been explained in Remark 1.2.5. For Case (2), (A1,A2) clearly exclude only finitely primes; (A5,A6) exclude only finitely primes by the same argument for (L5,L6) in [LTXZZ1, Lemma 8.1.4]; and (A4) excludes only finitely primes by the proof of [LTXZZ1, Corollary D.1.4]. It remains to consider (A3). By [LTXZZ1, Proposition 4.2.3(1)] and the condition (2b), for all but finitely many \wp , both $\rho_{\Pi_0, \wp}$ and $\rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}$ are residually absolutely irreducible. Now by the condition (2a) and [LTXZZ1, Lemma 8.1.5], (A3) holds for all but finitely many \wp . The theorem is proved. \square

In the rest of this subsection, we make some early preparation.

Remark 5.1.4. In (5.1), for w' above p , $H_f^1(F'_{w'}, V)$ coincides with

$$\ker \left(H^1(F'_{w'}, V) \rightarrow H^1(I_{F'_{w'}}, V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}) \right)$$

since $H^1(\Gamma_{F'_{w'}}/I_{F'_{w'}}, (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{I_{F'_{w'}}})$ vanishes.

Lemma 5.1.5. *Suppose that \wp satisfies (A3,A4) in Definition 5.1.2. Then for $\alpha = 0, 1$, the composite homomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{n_\alpha}^{\Sigma^+} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n_\alpha}} O_E \rightarrow O_E/\wp$ is cohomologically generic in the sense of [LTXZZ1, Definition D.1.1].*

Proof. When $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$, this has been proved in [LTXZZ1, Proposition D.1.3]. We assume $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}$. Take an arbitrary element $N \in \{n, n+1\}$, and write Π for Π_0 or Π_1 , depending on whether $N = n_0$ or $N = n_1$. We need to prove that for every standard indefinite hermitian space V over F of rank N and every decomposable neat open compact subgroup $K \subseteq U(V)(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ that is hyperspecial away from a finite subset \square of rational primes containing Σ_{\min}^+ ,

$$(5.2) \quad H^i(\text{Sh}(V, K)_{\overline{F}}, O_\wp)_{\mathfrak{m}^\square} = 0, \quad i \neq N-1,$$

where \mathfrak{m}^\square denotes the kernel of the composite map $\mathbb{T}_N^\square \xrightarrow{\phi_\Pi} O_E \rightarrow O_E/\wp$. We would like to invoke the very recent result on the vanishing of torsion cohomology [HL]. However, there is a small caveat that our Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(V, K)$ is not of PEL type. To overcome this issue, we pass the problem to the Shimura variety for $\text{GU}(V)$, which is of PEL type.

Denote by \mathfrak{K} the set of decomposable neat open compact subgroups $K^G \subseteq \text{GU}(V)(\mathbb{A}^\infty)$ satisfying $K^G \cap U(V)(\mathbb{A}^\infty) = K$. For every $K^G \in \mathfrak{K}$, we denote by $\text{Sh}^G(V, K^G)$ the corresponding PEL type Shimura variety, defined over F (or \mathbb{Q} when $N = 2$); and we have a canonical morphism $\text{Sh}(V, K)_{\overline{F}} \rightarrow \text{Sh}^G(V, K^G)_{\overline{F}}$ (which does not descend to F in general). It is easy to see that one can find an element $K^G \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $\text{Sh}(V, K)_{\overline{F}}$ is identified with a connected component of $\text{Sh}^G(V, K^G)_{\overline{F}}$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, we may choose a prime w as in (A4) whose underlying rational prime $\underline{w} \notin \square$. Let $\mathbb{T}_{N, \underline{w}}^G$ be the spherical Hecke algebra of $\text{GU}(V_{\underline{w}})$, which is canonically a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{T}_{N, \underline{w}}$ of one variable (coming from the similitude factor). Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{w}}$ be the kernel of the composite map $\mathbb{T}_{N, \underline{w}} \xrightarrow{\phi_\Pi} O_E \rightarrow O_E/\wp$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{w}}^G$ the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_{N, \underline{w}}^G$ that is the inflation of $\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{w}}$. Now we show (5.2). By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 6.1.11] (which is applicable by (A3)) and the Poincaré duality, it suffices to show the vanishing of $H^i(\text{Sh}(V, K)_{\overline{F}}, O_\wp)_{\mathfrak{m}^\square}$ (for both Π and Π^\vee), or rather the vanishing of $H^i(\text{Sh}(V, K)_{\overline{F}}, O_\wp)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{w}}}$, for $i < N$. However, the latter is a direct summand of $H^i(\text{Sh}^G(V, K^G)_{\overline{F}}, O_\wp)_{\mathfrak{m}_{\underline{w}}^G}$, which vanishes for $i < N$ by [HL, Theorem 1.3] (which is applicable by (A4)).

The lemma is proved. \square

Definition 5.1.6. Let M be an $R[\Gamma_F]$ -module over a certain coefficient ring R . Let F'/F be a finite extension contained in \mathcal{F} .

- (1) We define the *conjugation isomorphism* to be the isomorphism

$$H^1(F', M) \simeq H^1(F', M^c)$$

obtained by sending an extension to its c -conjugate, which is R -linear but *inverse* $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$ -equivariant.

- (2) Similarly, for every place w of F , we have the local *conjugation isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F'_{w'}, M) \simeq \bigoplus_{w'|w^c} H^1(F'_{w'}, M^c).$$

Notation 5.1.7 (Hodge–Tate filtration). Take a prime $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $? \in \{c, \cdot\}$. Since $V^?$ is ordinary crystalline at w , there exists a unique increasing filtration $\text{Fil}_w^\bullet T^?$ (indexed by \mathbb{Z}) of $O_\varphi[\Gamma_{F_w}]$ -modules satisfying that

- ❖ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Gr}_w^j T^? := \text{Fil}_w^j T^? / \text{Fil}_w^{j-1} T^?$ is a free O_φ -module on which the inertia subgroup I_{F_w} acts via χ_{cyc}^{-j} , where $\chi_{\text{cyc}}: \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is the p -adic cyclotomic character,
- ❖ $\text{Fil}_w^j T^? = 0$ for $j < -n$ and $\text{Fil}_w^j T^? = T^?$ for $j > n - 1$.

We similarly define $(\text{Fil}_w^\bullet V^?, \text{Gr}_w^\bullet V^?)$ and $(\text{Fil}_w^\bullet W^?, \text{Gr}_w^\bullet W^?)$ in the obvious way.

Lemma 5.1.8. *For every $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ and every finite extension K/F_w , the sequence*

$$H^1(K, \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c) \rightarrow H^1(K, V^c) \rightarrow H^1(K, V^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})$$

is exact.

Proof. By [Car14, Theorem 1.1], we know that the $E_\varphi[\Gamma_K]$ -module V^c is pure of weight -1 . Since both Π_0 and Π_1 are φ -ordinary at w , V^c satisfies the Panchishkin condition in [Nek93, 6.7] with the filtration $\text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c \subseteq V^c$. Now the lemma follows from the first sentence in the proof of [Nek93, Lemma 6.8]. \square

5.2. Iwasawa Selmer modules. In this section, we define and study Selmer groups of Galois modules over the Iwasawa algebra. Let φ and \mathcal{F}/F be as in §5.1.

Put

$$\Upsilon := \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) \Big/ \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(O_{F_v^+}),$$

$\Lambda := O_\varphi[[\Upsilon]]$ as a (compact) topological ring, and $\Lambda_{E_\varphi} := \Lambda \otimes_{O_\varphi} E_\varphi$. Denote by \dagger the involution on Λ and Λ_{E_φ} induced by the inverse on Υ . We have the natural continuous surjective homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ induced from the natural quotient $\Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$. For every finite place w of F , denote by $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ the image of Γ_{F_w} in $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$, that is, the decomposition subgroup of w in $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Notation 5.2.1. Let Θ be a Λ -ring that is a finitely generated Λ -module, equipped with the induced topology.

- (1) We denote by Θ^\dagger the Λ -ring obtained by twisting the Λ -action by \dagger .
- (2) For every $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -module M , put $M_\Theta := M \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Theta$, regarded as an $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -module on which Γ_F acts on Θ via the quotient $\Gamma_F \rightarrow \Upsilon$.
- (3) The notation M_Θ^c means $M^c \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Theta$, rather than $(M_\Theta)^c$ (which is isomorphic to $(M^c)_{\Theta^\dagger}$ as an $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -module).

The lemma below shows that $H^1(F_v, M_\Theta)$ behaves nicely for a place v of F^+ that is inert in F .

Lemma 5.2.2. *Let w be a nonarchimedean place of F that is inert over F^+ . For every $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -module M and every Λ -ring Θ , the natural map $M \rightarrow M_\Theta$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^1(F_w, M_\Theta) = H^1(F_w, M) \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Theta.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 4.1.4, which implies that the decomposition group of F at w maps to the identity in Υ . \square

Recall that V is the underlying $E_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -module of the representation $\rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \varphi}(n)$. Fix a Γ_F -stable O_φ -lattice T of V together with a perfect symmetric Γ_F -invariant pairing

$$(5.3) \quad (\cdot, \cdot): T \times T^c \rightarrow O_\varphi(1).$$

Put $W := V/T$.

Take a Λ -ring Θ that is a finitely generated Λ -module (for example, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$), equipped with the induced topology. The Θ -linearization of (5.3) induces a perfect symmetric Γ_F -equivariant pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_\Theta: T_\Theta \times T_\Theta^c \rightarrow \Theta(1).$$

Denote by W^Θ and V^Θ the continuous Cartier and Tate duals of T_Θ^c and V_Θ^c , that is,

$$W^\Theta := \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(T_\Theta^c, E_\varphi/O_\varphi(1)), \quad V^\Theta := \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(V_\Theta^c, E_\varphi(1))$$

(continuous hom), respectively. It is clear from the pairing $(\ , \)_\Theta$ that when Θ as an O_φ -module is finitely generated, we have canonical Γ_F -equivariant isomorphisms $W^\Theta \simeq W_{\Theta^\dagger}$ and $V^\Theta \simeq V_{\Theta^\dagger}$.

For every nonarchimedean place w of F , we have the induced (continuous) local Tate pairing

$$(5.4) \quad \langle \ , \ \rangle_w : H^1(F_w, W^\Theta) \times H^1(F_w, T_\Theta^c) \rightarrow H^2(F_w, E_\varphi/O_\varphi(1)) = E_\varphi/O_\varphi(1),$$

which is perfect.

Definition 5.2.3. Suppose that Θ is p -torsion free (that is, $\Theta[p] = 0$). Let w be a place of F .

(1) Define the Bloch–Kato Selmer structure at w on V_Θ^c to be

$$H_f^1(F_w, V_\Theta^c) := \begin{cases} 0, & w \in \Sigma_\infty, \\ \ker \left(H^1(F_w, V_\Theta^c) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{I}_{F_w}, V_\Theta^c) \right), & w \in \Sigma \setminus (\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_p), \\ \ker \left(H^1(F_w, V_\Theta^c) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{I}_{F_w}, V_\Theta^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}) \right), & w \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}, \\ \ker \left(H^1(F_w, V_\Theta^c) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{I}_{F_w}, (V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_\Theta) \right), & w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}. \end{cases}$$

See Notation 5.1.7 for $\text{Fil}_w^\bullet V^c$.

(2) Define the Bloch–Kato Selmer structure $H_f^1(F_w, T_\Theta^c)$ at w on T_Θ^c to be the propagation of that on V_Θ^c along the map $T_\Theta^c \rightarrow V_\Theta^c$.

(3) Define the Bloch–Kato Selmer structure $H_f^1(F_w, W^\Theta)$ at w on W^Θ to be the annihilator of that on T_Θ^c under the local Tate pairing $\langle \ , \ \rangle_w$ (5.4).

We then have global Selmer groups $H_f^1(F, V_\Theta^c)$, $H_f^1(F, T_\Theta^c)$, and $H_f^1(F, W^\Theta)$ accordingly.

Remark 5.2.4. The Selmer groups defined above are functorial in Θ . More precisely, given a map $\Theta \rightarrow \Theta'$ of p -torsion free Λ -rings as above, the image of $H_f^1(F_w, V_\Theta^c)$ (resp. $H_f^1(F_w, T_\Theta^c)$, $H_f^1(F_w, W^\Theta)$) is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, V_{\Theta'}^c)$ (resp. $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta'}^c)$, $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Theta'})$) under the natural maps between relevant cohomology groups, for every place w of F ; thus, we have a similar statement for global Selmer groups.

Remark 5.2.5. When $\Theta = \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger$, Shapiro's lemma gives canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) &= \left(\varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', T^c) \right) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \dagger}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \\ H^1(F, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) &= \varinjlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', W), \\ H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) &= H^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \otimes_{O_\varphi} E_\varphi = \left(\varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', T^c) \right) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \dagger}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -modules (see [Col98, Proposition II.1.1] for more details). In particular, the conjugation isomorphisms (Definition 5.1.6) induce canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) &\simeq \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', T), \\ H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) &= H^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \otimes_{O_\varphi} E_\varphi \simeq \left(\varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', T) \right) \otimes_{O_\varphi} E_\varphi \end{aligned}$$

of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -modules.²⁵

The following two lemmas show the consistency between Definition 5.2.3 and the Selmer structures from the previous subsection.

Lemma 5.2.6. Take $\Theta = \Lambda_{F'}^\dagger$, for a finite extension F' of F contained in \mathcal{F} . Let w be a place of F .

²⁵We warn the readers that $H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$ is not isomorphic to $\varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', V)$ in general.

- (1) Under the isomorphism $H^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c) \simeq \bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F'_{w'}, V)$ from (the local analogue of) Remark 5.2.5, $H_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c)$ coincides with $\bigoplus_{w'|w} H_f^1(F'_{w'}, V)$ from (5.1).
- (2) Under the isomorphism $H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c) \simeq \bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F'_{w'}, T)$ from (the local analogue of) Remark 5.2.5, $H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c)$ coincides with $\bigoplus_{w'|w} H_f^1(F'_{w'}, T)$ from the one after (5.1).
- (3) Under the canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}) \simeq H^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{F'}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F'_{w'}, V),$$

the annihilator of $H_f^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c)$ with respect to the perfect pairing

$$H^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}) \times H^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H^2(F_w, E_\varphi(1)) = E_\varphi$$

coincides with $\bigoplus_{w'|w} H_f^1(F'_{w'}, V)$ from (5.1).

- (4) Under the canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(F_w, W_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}) \simeq H^1(F_w, W_{\Lambda_{F'}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F'_{w'}, W),$$

$H_f^1(F_w, W_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger})$ coincides with $\bigoplus_{w'|w} H_f^1(F'_{w'}, W)$ from the one after (5.1).

Proof. Part (2) follows from (1). Part (4) follows from (3).

Part (1) is obvious for $w \notin \Sigma_p$, follows from Lemma 5.1.8 for $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, and follows from Remark 5.1.4 for $w \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For (3), we may assume $w \in \Sigma_p$ since otherwise it is trivial. Then it follows from the well-known fact that $H_f^1(F'_{w'}, V)$ and $H_f^1(F'_{w'}, V^c)$ are mutual annihilators under the local Tate pairing for every p -adic place w' of F' . \square

Lemma 5.2.7. *Suppose that \mathcal{F}/F is free and $p > n$.*

- (1) For every place w of F , the natural map

$$H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c),$$

which exists by Remark 5.2.4, is an isomorphism.

- (2) Under the isomorphism

$$H^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \simeq \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', T)$$

from Remark 5.2.5, $H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, T)$.

- (3) Under the isomorphism

$$H^1(F, W_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \simeq \varinjlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', W)$$

from Remark 5.2.5, $\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_f^1(F, W_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}), E_\varphi/O_\varphi)$ coincides with $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)$.

Proof. For (1), the case for $w \in \Sigma_\infty$ is trivial. For $w \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{I}_{F_w}, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(\mathbb{I}_{F_w}, V_{\Lambda_{F'}^\dagger}^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}} \end{array}$$

in which the two rows are exact and the middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Thus, it suffices to show that the right vertical map is injective. However, this map is identified with

$$\left(H^1(I_{F_w}, V^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (O_{\wp}[[\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p) \right)^{\Gamma_{k_w}} \rightarrow \left(H^1(I_{F_w}, V^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E_{\wp}[[\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}]] \right)^{\Gamma_{k_w}}$$

as E_{\wp} -vector spaces, which is clearly injective. The case for $w \in \Sigma \setminus (\Sigma_{\infty} \cup \Sigma_p)$ is the same.

For $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, by a similar diagram, it suffices to show that map

$$H^1(I_{F_w}, (V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(I_{F_w}, (V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{\Lambda_{F'}^{\dagger}})$$

is injective. We claim that it is actually an isomorphism. It suffices to show that the maximal O_{\wp} -torsion submodule of $H^1(I_{F_w}, (T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{\Lambda_{F'}^{\dagger}})$ is annihilated by a power of \wp independent of F' , which follows from Lemma 5.2.10(1) below. The claim then follows.

Part (2) follows from (1).

For (3), it suffices to show that the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{F'}^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})$$

is an isomorphism for every place w of F , which is simply dual to the statement we just proved. \square

In view of Lemma 5.2.7(2), the lemma below confirms the torsion freeness of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, V)$ in Theorem 5.1.3 in a more general setting.

Lemma 5.2.8. *Suppose that $T/\wp T$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of Γ_F . If Θ is p -torsion free and integral, then the Θ -module $H_f^1(F, T_{\Theta}^c)$ is torsion free.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $H^1(F, T_{\Theta}^c)$ is Θ -torsion free. For every nonzero element $f \in \Theta$, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta \xrightarrow{f} T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta \rightarrow T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta / f\Theta \rightarrow 0$$

as Θ is integral. It suffices to show that $H^0(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta / f\Theta)$ vanishes. Indeed, since $T/\wp T$ is absolutely irreducible of dimension at least two, every Γ_F -stable subquotient of $T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta / f\Theta$ has trivial invariants. The lemma follows. \square

Notation 5.2.9. We denote by $\Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$ the subset of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ consisting of w that has finite residue extension degree in \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 5.2.10. *Let $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ be an element.*

- (1) *Suppose that the image of I_{F_w} in $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is torsion free and $p > n$. For every intermediate field $F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and every place w' of F' above w , the natural map $H^1(I_{F'_w}, T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c) \rightarrow H^1(I_{F'_w}, V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)$ is injective.*
- (2) *If $w \in \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists an integer $k(w) \geq 0$ such that for every $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module Ψ , $\wp^{k(w)}$ annihilates $H^0(F_w, W^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Psi)$.*

Proof. For (1), it is equivalent to showing that the map $H^0(I_{F'_w}, V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c) \rightarrow H^0(I_{F'_w}, W^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c)$ is surjective. The facts that the image of I_{F_w} in $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is torsion free and that p is unramified in F imply that the restriction of χ_{cyc} to $I_{F'_w} \cap \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ is nontrivial modulo p . When $p > n$, it follows that $H^0(I_{F'_w}, V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c) = \text{Gr}_w^0 V^c$ and $H^0(I_{F'_w}, W^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c) = \text{Gr}_w^0 W^c$; hence (1) follows.

For (2), the condition $w \in \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$ allows us to choose an element $\Phi \in \Gamma_{F_w} \cap \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$ that is a finite nonzero power Frobenius element. Clearly, we have $H^0(F_w, W^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Psi) \subseteq (W^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c)^{\Phi=1} \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Psi$. Since V is pure of weight -1 at w by [LTZZ1, Lemma 8.1.6] and is crystalline at w , $(V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)^{\Phi=1} = 0$, which implies that $(W^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c)^{\Phi=1}$ is annihilated by a power of \wp ; hence (2) follows. \square

Notation 5.2.11. For every closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$, we denote by

- ❖ E_x its residue field, which is a finite extension of E_{\wp} ,
- ❖ O_x the ring of integers of E_x and \wp_x the maximal ideal of O_x ,
- ❖ Θ_x the image of the natural homomorphism $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow O_x$, which is a subring of O_x of finite index,
- ❖ \mathfrak{B}_x the kernel of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Theta_x$.

Notation 5.2.12. For a Noetherian regular ring R and a finitely generated R -module M , denote by $\text{NF}_R(M)$ the minimal Zariski closed subset of $\text{Spec } R$ away from which M is locally free, which has (everywhere) codimension at least one.

(1) We put

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}} := \text{NF}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}} \left(\text{H}^2(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) \right),$$

where $F^{\text{ur}} \subseteq \overline{F}$ denotes the maximal extension of F that is unramified outside $\Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_p$.

(2) Denote by $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the Zariski closure of closed points x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ such that the natural map

$$\text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \mathfrak{P}_x \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \mathfrak{P}_x \text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$$

is *not* injective.

(3) For every prime $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, we put $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w} := f_w^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_w)$ where

$$f_w: \text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\varphi}[[\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}]] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\varphi}} E_{\varphi}$$

is the natural morphism and \mathcal{E}_w is the (finite) set of closed points of the target of f_w consisting of characters of $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ whose inflation to Γ_{F_w} is an eigen-character of the $E_{\varphi}[\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}]$ -module $\text{Gr}_w^0 V^{\text{c}} \oplus (\text{Gr}_w^0 V)^{\vee}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}} := \bigcup_{w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}.$$

Lemma 5.2.13. *Suppose that \mathcal{F}/F is free. Both of the Zariski closed subsets $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ have codimension at least two.*

Proof. We first consider $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$, which is easier to see. For $w \in \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, Lemma 5.2.10(2) implies that \mathcal{E}_w hence $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ are empty. For $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, since $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is torsion free, the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ is at least two, which implies that the codimension of $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ is at least two since the morphism f_w is flat.

We then consider $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}$. It follows from the definition that $\text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ -submodule of

$$\prod_{w \in \Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_p} \text{H}^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}),$$

which is, in particular, finitely generated.

Now for a closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ at which $\text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is locally free, we have

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}} \left(\text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}), E_x \right) = 0,$$

which implies that the map $\text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \mathfrak{P}_x \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \mathfrak{P}_x \text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is injective. It follows that $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in $\text{NF}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}} \left(\text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) \right)$. The lemma follows if we can show that the maximal torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ -submodule of $\text{H}^1(F^{\text{ur}}/F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is pseudo-null. For this, it suffices to show that for each $w \in \Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_p$, the maximal torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ -submodule of $\text{H}^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is pseudo-null.

For $w \in \Sigma_{\min}$ and $w \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, it is obvious that the $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ -modules $\text{H}^1(I_{F_w}, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ and $\text{H}^1(I_{F_w}, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})$, respectively, are torsion free, which implies that $\text{H}^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is torsion free as well.

For $w \in \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, Lemma 5.2.10(2) implies that the map $\text{H}^1(F_w, (V^{\text{c}} / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^{\text{c}})_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(I_{F_w}, (V^{\text{c}} / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^{\text{c}})_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}})$ is injective and that the $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ -module $\text{H}^1(F_w, (V^{\text{c}} / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^{\text{c}})_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}})$ is torsion free (using the same argument for Lemma 5.2.8). It follows that $\text{H}^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}}) / \text{H}_f^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^{\text{c}})$ is a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\varphi}}$ -submodule of $\text{H}^1(F_w, (V^{\text{c}} / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^{\text{c}})_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}})$ hence is torsion free as well.

For $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F},w}$ is at least two since $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is torsion free. For every nonzero prime element $f \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}} [f] = \mathrm{coker} \left(((V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})^{\mathrm{I}_{F_w}} \rightarrow ((V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/f\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}})^{\dagger}})^{\mathrm{I}_{F_w}} \right)^{\Gamma_{k_w}},$$

which is annihilated by at least two relatively prime elements in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$. Thus, the maximal torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ -submodule of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}}$ is pseudo-null. The same holds for $\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) / \mathrm{H}_f^1(F_w, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c)$ as it is a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ -submodule of $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}}$.

The lemma is proved. \square

The main result of this subsection is the following two control theorems (though we label them as propositions).

Proposition 5.2.14. *Suppose that $T/\varphi T$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of Γ_F . For every closed point x of $\mathrm{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}} \setminus (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}})$ (Notation 5.2.12), the natural map*

$$\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) / \mathfrak{P}_x \mathrm{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_f^1(F, V_{O_x}^c)$$

is injective.

Proof. In what follows, we abbreviate $\mathrm{H}^i(F, -)$ for $\mathrm{H}^i(F^{\mathrm{ur}}/F, -)$. As x does not locate in $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}$, it suffices to show that the natural map

$$\mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) / \mathfrak{P}_x \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{O_x}^c)$$

is an isomorphism for every closed point x of $\mathrm{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}} \setminus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Put $\Lambda_x := (\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}})_{(x)}$. Since Λ_x is a regular local ring, we may choose a sequence $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ that is regular in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ and generates $\ker(\Lambda_x \rightarrow E_x)$. For every $0 \leq i \leq d$, we have the natural map

$$q_i: \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) / (f_1, \dots, f_i) \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}^c) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c).$$

Our goal is to show that $q_d \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x$ is an isomorphism. We prove by induction on i that $q_i \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x$ is an isomorphism and that $\mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x$ is a finite free $\Lambda_x/(f_1, \dots, f_i)$ -module. When $i = 0$, the first statement is trivial and the second one follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Suppose the two statements hold for i . Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c \rightarrow V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}))^{\dagger}}^c \rightarrow 0$$

of $E_{\varphi}[\Gamma_F]$ -modules, which induces the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c)}{f_{i+1} \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}))^{\dagger}}^c) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c)[f_{i+1}] \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have $\mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c)[f_{i+1}] \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x = 0$ and that $q_i \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $q_{i+1} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x$ is an isomorphism. Since $\mathrm{H}^3(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c) = 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}))^{\dagger}}^c) = \frac{\mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c)}{f_{i+1} \mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i))^{\dagger}}^c)}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}^2(F, V_{(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}))^{\dagger}}^c) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}} \Lambda_x$ is a finite free $\Lambda_x/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1})$ -module. The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 5.2.15. *Suppose that \mathcal{F}/F is free, that $p \geq 2n + 1$, and that $T/\varphi T$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of Γ_F . For every affinoid subdomain \mathcal{U} of $\mathrm{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ ²⁶ disjoint from $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and every closed point x*

²⁶Here, the E_{φ} -ring $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ naturally defines a rigid analytic space over E_{φ} . By an affinoid subdomain of $\mathrm{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$, we mean an affinoid subdomain of that rigid analytic space.

of \mathcal{U} , both the kernel and the cokernel of the natural map

$$H_f^1(F, W^{O_x^\dagger}) \rightarrow H_f^1(F, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})[\mathfrak{P}_x]$$

are finite with orders bounded by a constant depending only on \mathcal{U} , $[E_x : E_\varphi]$ and $|O_x/\Theta_x|$.

To prove this proposition, we start with two lemmas on the local control.

Lemma 5.2.16. *Let w be a place of F not in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$. Suppose that \mathcal{F}/F is free and that if $w \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ with $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F},w}$ nontrivial, then $p \geq 2n + 1$. Then for every closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\varphi}$, both the kernel and the cokernel of the natural map*

$$(5.5) \quad \text{im} \left(H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta_x^\dagger}^c) \right) \rightarrow H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c)$$

(Remark 5.2.4) are finite with orders bounded by a constant depending only on $|O_x/\Theta_x|$.

Proof. It is clear that the kernel of (5.5) is contained in the kernel of the natural map $H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta_x^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c)$, which is a subquotient of $T^c \otimes_{O_\varphi} (O_x/\Theta_x)$ hence is finite with order bounded by a constant depending only on $|O_x/\Theta_x|$. Thus, it suffices to bound the order of the cokernel. When w is archimedean, the target of (5.5) is zero. In what follows, we assume that w is nonarchimedean.

First, suppose that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F},w}$ is trivial. Since the map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Theta_x$ is surjective,

$$\text{im} \left(H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta_x^\dagger}^c) \right) = \text{im} \left(H_f^1(F_w, T^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T^c) \right) \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Theta_x^\dagger = H_f^1(F_w, T^c) \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Theta_x^\dagger.$$

On the other hand, $H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c) = H_f^1(F_w, T^c) \otimes_{O_\varphi} O_x^\dagger$, and the map (5.5) is simply the one induced by the natural map $\Theta_x \rightarrow O_x$. It follows that the cokernel of (5.5) is finite with order bounded by a constant depending only on $|O_x/\Theta_x|$.

Second, suppose that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F},w}$ is nontrivial (hence infinite as $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is torsion free) and $w \notin \Sigma_p$. Then the conclusion follows from the same proof of [MR04, Lemma 5.3.13].

Last, suppose that w belongs to $\Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ with $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ nontrivial (so that $p \geq 2n + 1$). The proof relies on the Fontaine–Laffaille theory which we now recall. Note that F_w is an unramified extension over \mathbb{Q}_p and we denote by σ the Frobenius map on F_w . Take integers $a \leq 0 \leq b$ satisfying $b - a \leq p - 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}$ the category of O_{F_w} -modules M equipped with

- ❖ a decreasing filtration $\{\text{Fil}^i M\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by O_{F_w} -direct summands satisfying $\text{Fil}^a M = M$ and $\text{Fil}^{b+1} M = 0$;
- ❖ a σ -linear maps $\Phi^i: \text{Fil}^i M \rightarrow M$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying $\Phi^i|_{\text{Fil}^{i+1} M} = p\Phi^{i+1}$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi^i \text{Fil}^i M = M$;

and by $\mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}^{\text{f.l.}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}$ spanned by O_{F_w} -modules of finite length. Let $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_{F_w}]^{\text{f.l.}}$ be the category of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules of finite lengths equipped with a continuous action of Γ_{F_w} . Then the Fontaine–Laffaille theory provides us with an exact fully faithful functor

$$\mathbf{G}_w: \mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}^{\text{f.l.}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_{F_w}]^{\text{f.l.}}$$

Now let R be a local \mathbb{Z}_p -ring that is a finite free \mathbb{Z}_p -module. Denote by $R[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$ the category of finite free R -modules N equipped with a continuous action of Γ_{F_w} such that $N/p^k N$ belongs to the image of the functor \mathbf{G}_w above for every positive integer k . Then passing to the limit, \mathbf{G}_w induces an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{G}_w: \mathcal{MF}_w(R)_{[a,b]}^{\text{free}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$$

where $\mathcal{MF}_w(R)_{[a,b]}^{\text{free}}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}$ spanned by objects $(M, \text{Fil}^i M, \Phi^i)$ in which M is a free $O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ -module, each $\text{Fil}^i M$ is an $O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ -direct summand, and each Φ^i is R -linear.

For an object $N \in R[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$, we put

$$D_w(N) := \mathbf{G}_w^{-1}(N) = \varprojlim_k \mathbf{G}_w^{-1}(N/p^k N).$$

When $N \in R[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$ is unramified (for example, R with the trivial action of Γ_{F_w}), $D_w(N) = (N \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_{\check{F}_w})^{\Gamma_{F_w}}$ equipped with the trivial filtration and Φ^0 induced from the Frobenius map on \check{F}_w , where \check{F}_w denotes a complete maximal unramified extension of F_w .

Now consider a general object $N \in R[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$. We have forgetful functors $\mathcal{MF}_w(R)_{[a,b]}^{\text{free}} \rightarrow \mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}^{\text{free}}$ and $R[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$, which are compatible with \mathbf{G}_w .

(1) By [BK90, Lemma 4.4], we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}^{\text{free}}}^1(D_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), D_w(N)) \simeq D_w(N)/(\Phi^0 - 1)\text{Fil}^0 D_w(N)$$

of $O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ -modules, functorial in N .

(2) By [Bre99, Proposition 6], $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[a,b]}^{\text{cris}}$ is exactly the category of finite free \mathbb{Z}_p -modules N equipped with a continuous action of Γ_{F_w} such that $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is crystalline with Hodge–Tate weights in $[a, b]$. It follows that the image of the canonical inclusion

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{MF}_w(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{[a,b]}^{\text{free}}}^1(D_w(\mathbb{Z}_p), D_w(N)) \hookrightarrow H^1(F_w, N) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_{F_w}]}^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, N)$$

induced by \mathbf{G}_w coincides with $H_f^1(F_w, N)$.

We apply the above discussion to $[a, b] = [-n, n - 1]$ by noting that $T^c \in O_\varphi[\Gamma_{F_w}]_{[-n, n-1]}^{\text{cris}}$ and $p \geq 2n + 1$. For every $F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, the universal character $\chi_{w, F'}: \Gamma_{F_w} \rightarrow \Lambda_{F'}^\times$ is unramified. For every closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$, the induced character $\chi_{w, x}: \Gamma_{F_w} \rightarrow O_x^\times$ is also unramified. By (1,2) and Lemma 5.2.7(1), the natural map $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x}^c)$ is isomorphic to

$$\lim_{\leftarrow F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} \left(D_w(T_{\Lambda_{F'}}^c)/(\Phi^0 - 1)\text{Fil}^0 D_w(T_{\Lambda_{F'}}^c) \right) \rightarrow D_w(T_{O_x}^c)/(\Phi^0 - 1)\text{Fil}^0 D_w(T_{O_x}^c),$$

whose cokernel is a subquotient of the cokernel of the natural map

$$\delta_x: \lim_{\leftarrow F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} D_w(T_{\Lambda_{F'}}^c) \rightarrow D_w(T_{O_x}^c).$$

However, we have

$$D_w(T_{\Lambda_{F'}}^c) = D_w(T^c) \otimes_{O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_\varphi} D_w(\chi_{w, F'}^{-1}), \quad D_w(T_{O_x}^c) = D_w(T^c) \otimes_{O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_\varphi} D_w(\chi_{w, x}^{-1}),$$

and that δ_x is identified with the natural map

$$D_w(T^c) \otimes_{O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_\varphi} \left(\lim_{\leftarrow F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} D_w(\chi_{w, F'}^{-1}) \right) \rightarrow D_w(T^c) \otimes_{O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_\varphi} D_w(\chi_{w, x}^{-1})$$

of $O_{F_w} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} O_\varphi$ -modules, which itself is isomorphic to

$$D_w(T^c) \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow D_w(T^c) \otimes_{O_\varphi} O_x.$$

Thus, the cokernel of δ_x is finite with order at most $|O_x/\Theta_x|^{n(n+1)[F_w:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$.

The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 5.2.17. *Let w be a place in $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$. Suppose that \mathcal{F}/F is free and $p > n$. Then for every affinoid subdomain \mathcal{U} of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ disjoint from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ and every closed point x of \mathcal{U} , both the kernel and the cokernel of the natural map*

$$(5.6) \quad \text{im} \left(H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T_{O_x}^c) \right) \rightarrow H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x}^c)$$

(Remark 5.2.4) are finite with orders bounded by a constant depending only on \mathcal{U} , $[E_x : E_\varphi]$ and $|O_x/\Theta_x|$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2.16, it suffices to bound the order of the cokernel of (5.6). Moreover, as the number of elements needed to generate the O_x -module $H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x}^c)$ can be bounded independent of x , it suffices show that the cokernel is annihilated by a power of φ depending only on \mathcal{U} and $|O_x/\Theta_x|$.

By definition, the image of $H^1(F_w, (\text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$ in $H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$ is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$K := \text{coker} \left(H^1(F_w, (\text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x}^c) \right)$$

is annihilated by a power of \wp depending only on \mathcal{U} and $|O_x/\Theta_x|$. The O_x -module K fits into the exact sequence

$$\text{coker}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})\right) \rightarrow K \rightarrow \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (T^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})\right).$$

It suffices to show that all of

$$(5.7) \quad \text{coker}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})\right),$$

$$(5.8) \quad \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (T^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (T^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}}\right),$$

$$(5.9) \quad \ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (T^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (V^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\Gamma_{k_w}}\right)$$

are annihilated by a power of \wp depending only on \mathcal{U} and $|O_x/\Theta_x|$.

We first consider (5.8), which is nothing but $\mathrm{H}^1(k_w, ((T^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\mathrm{I}_{F_w}})$. As $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ is torsion free and $p > n$, the natural map $((\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\mathrm{I}_{F_w}} \rightarrow ((T^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\mathrm{I}_{F_w}}$ is an isomorphism. Since \mathcal{U} is away from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$, there exists an integer $k_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 0$ depending only on \mathcal{U} such that the ideal generated by the image of $\{\gamma - 1 \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{F_w}\}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{O_x}((\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})$ contains $\wp^{k_{\mathcal{U}}}$ for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} . It follows that $\mathrm{H}^1(k_w, ((\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})^{\mathrm{I}_{F_w}})$ can be annihilated by $\wp^{k_{\mathcal{U}}}$.

We then consider (5.9), which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}^{\Gamma_{k_w}}$, where \mathcal{Q} is an $O_x[\Gamma_{k_w}]$ -quotient of $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (W^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})$. By the same reason as above, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 W^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}}) = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{I}_{F_w}, (W^c / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W^c)_{O_x^{\dagger}})$ and further that $\mathcal{Q}^{\Gamma_{k_w}}$ can be annihilated by a power of \wp depending only on \mathcal{U} .

Finally, we consider (5.7). By local Tate duality, its Cartier dual is isomorphic to

$$\ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{O_x^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})\right).$$

As the kernel of the map $\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{O_x^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})$ is clearly annihilated by a power of \wp depending only on $|O_x/\Theta_x|$, it suffices to consider

$$\ker\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{O_x^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})\right),$$

which is isomorphic to

$$\text{coker}\left(\mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\mathfrak{B}_x^{\dagger}})\right),$$

where $(W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\mathfrak{B}_x^{\dagger}} := \text{coker}\left((W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{O_x^{\dagger}} \rightarrow (W / \mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}\right)$. As $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ is torsion free and $p > n$, the above cokernel coincides with

$$(5.10) \quad \text{coker}\left(\mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 W)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 W)_{\mathfrak{B}_x^{\dagger}})\right).$$

There are two cases. If $w \in \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, then by Lemma 5.2.10(2), (5.10) is annihilated by an absolute power of \wp not even depending on \mathcal{U} . If $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \setminus \Sigma'_{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists an intermediate abelian quotient $\Gamma_{F_w} \rightarrow \Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w} \rightarrow \Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ in which $\ker(\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w} \rightarrow \Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w})$ is a finite group of order coprime to p , such that the action of Γ_{F_w} on both sides of (5.10) factors through $\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}$. It follows that the Pontryagin dual of (5.10) coincides with

$$(5.11) \quad \ker\left(\left(\mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\mathfrak{B}_x^{\dagger}}\right)_{\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}} \rightarrow \left(\mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}}\right)_{\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}}\right),$$

which is isomorphic to

$$\ker\left(\mathrm{H}^d(\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}, \mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\mathfrak{B}_x^{\dagger}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^d(\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}, \mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}})\right)$$

where $d \geq 2$ is the \mathbb{Z}_p -rank of $\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}$. Now the above cokernel is a quotient of $\mathrm{H}^{d-1}(\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}, \mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\Theta_x^{\dagger}})$, on which $\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ acts trivially. Since \mathcal{U} is away from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$, there exists an integer $k_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 0$ depending only on \mathcal{U} such that the ideal generated by the image of $\{\gamma - 1 \mid \gamma \in \Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}\}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{O_x}(\mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\Theta_x^{\dagger}})$ contains $\wp^{k_{\mathcal{U}}}$ for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} . It follows that $\mathrm{H}^{d-1}(\Upsilon'_{\mathcal{F}, w}, \mathrm{Hom}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 T, O_{\wp})_{\Theta_x^{\dagger}})$ hence (5.11) can be annihilated by $\wp^{k_{\mathcal{U}}}$.

The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.2.15. By the dual statements of Lemma 5.2.16 and Lemma 5.2.17 via the local Tate pairing (5.4), it suffices to show that the natural map

$$H^1(F, W^{\Theta_x^\dagger}) = H^1(F, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}[\mathfrak{P}_x]) \rightarrow H^1(F, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})[\mathfrak{P}_x]$$

is an isomorphism for every closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$. Indeed, (A3) in Definition 5.1.2 implies that every $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -subquotient of $W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}$ has trivial Γ_F -invariants. Thus, the conclusion follows from (the same proof of) [MR04, Lemma 3.5.3]. The proposition is proved. \square

5.3. Congruence modules. In this subsection, we define the notion of congruence modules, which are algebraic quantities carried over through the process of arithmetic level raising.

From this point to the end of §5.6, we will

- ❖ assume Hypothesis 2.2.5 for both n and $n + 1$,
- ❖ assume that φ is an admissible prime of E in the sense of Definition 5.1.2; In particular, $T/\varphi T$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of Γ_F (of dimension $n(n + 1)$) by (A3).

Notation 5.3.1. We introduce the following notation.

- (1) Denote by \mathfrak{Q}_0 the set of primes of F^+ not in $\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cup \Sigma_p^+$ that are *inert* in F . We sometimes also regard \mathfrak{Q}_0 as a subset of Σ according to the context.
- (2) Denote by \mathfrak{N}_0 the set of (possibly empty) finite sets consisting of elements in \mathfrak{Q}_0 with *distinct* underlying rational primes. For $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_0$, put $\mathfrak{n}l := \mathfrak{n} \cup \{l\}$ for $l \in \mathfrak{Q}_0$ whose underlying rational prime is coprime to \mathfrak{n} , and $\mathfrak{n}/l := \mathfrak{n} \setminus \{l\}$ for $l \in \mathfrak{n}$.
- (3) Following [How06], we define a *directed* graph \mathfrak{X}_0 with vertices $v(\mathfrak{n})$ indexed by elements in \mathfrak{N}_0 , and arrows $a(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}l)$ from $v(\mathfrak{n})$ to $v(\mathfrak{n}l)$ for $l \in \mathfrak{Q}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{n}$.
- (4) For every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_0$, put $\epsilon(\mathfrak{n}) := (-1)^{|\mathfrak{n}|}$, and denote by $\underline{\mathfrak{n}}$ the set of underlying rational primes of \mathfrak{n} .
- (5) Finally, put

$$\mathfrak{N}_0^{\text{def}} := \{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_0 \mid \epsilon(\mathfrak{n}) = \epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)\}, \quad \mathfrak{N}_0^{\text{indef}} := \{\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_0 \mid \epsilon(\mathfrak{n}) \neq \epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)\}.$$

We now attach to the graph \mathfrak{X}_0 some data.

Notation 5.3.2. We start from the *initial datum* $\mathcal{V} = (V_n, V_{n+1}; \Lambda_n, \Lambda_{n+1}; K_n, K_{n+1})$ in which

- ❖ V_n is a standard definite/indefinite hermitian space (Definition 2.2.4 over F of rank n when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = +1/-1$ and $V_{n+1} = (V_n)_\sharp$), satisfying the following: there exists an irreducible admissible representation π_α of $U(V_{n_\alpha})(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$ whose base change is Π_α for $\alpha = 0, 1$, such that

$$\text{Hom}_{U(V_n)(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)}(\pi_0 \boxtimes \pi_1, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0,$$

where $U(V_n)$ is regarded as the subgroup of $U(V_{n_0}) \times U(V_{n_1})$ that is the graph of the natural homomorphism $U(V_n) \rightarrow U(V_{n+1})$;

- ❖ Λ_n is a self-dual $\prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} O_{F_v}$ -lattice in $V_n \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_{\min}}$ and $\Lambda_{n+1} = (\Lambda_n)_\sharp$;
- ❖ (K_n, K_{n+1}) is an object in $\mathfrak{R}(V_n)_{\text{sp}}$ (Definition 2.2.3) of the form

$$K_N = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+} (K_N)_v \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} U(\Lambda_N)(O_{F_v^+})$$

for $N \in \{n, n + 1\}$ such that $(\pi_\alpha)^{K_{n_\alpha}} \neq 0$ for $\alpha = 0, 1$.

- (1) For every vertex $v(\mathfrak{n})$ of \mathfrak{X}_0 , we choose a datum $\mathcal{V}^{\mathfrak{n}} = (V_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, V_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{n}}; \Lambda_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, \Lambda_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{n}}; K_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, K_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{n}})$ in which
 - ❖ $V_n^{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a standard definite/indefinite hermitian space over F of rank n when $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_1^{\text{def}}/\mathfrak{N}_1^{\text{indef}}$ and $V_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{n}} = (V_n^{\mathfrak{n}})_\sharp$;
 - ❖ $\Lambda_n^{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a $\prod_{v \notin \Sigma_\infty^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} O_{F_v}$ -lattice in $V_n^{\mathfrak{n}} \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_{\min}}$ satisfying $\Lambda_n^{\mathfrak{n}} \subseteq (\Lambda_n^{\mathfrak{n}})^\vee$ and that $(\Lambda_{n,v}^{\mathfrak{n}})^\vee/\Lambda_{n,v}^{\mathfrak{n}}$ has length one (resp. zero) when $v \in \mathfrak{n}$ (resp. $v \notin \mathfrak{n}$), and $\Lambda_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{n}} = (\Lambda_n^{\mathfrak{n}})_\sharp$;

❖ $(\mathbf{K}_n^n, \mathbf{K}_{n+1}^n)$ is an object in $\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{V}_n^n)_{\text{sp}}$ of the form

$$\mathbf{K}_N^n = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+} (\mathbf{K}_N^n)_v \times \prod_{v \notin \Sigma_{\infty}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+} \mathbf{U}(\Lambda_N^n)(O_{F_v^+})$$

for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$,

together with a datum $\mathbf{j}^n = (\mathbf{j}_n^n, \mathbf{j}_{n+1}^n)$ in which $\mathbf{j}_N^n: \mathbf{V}_N^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \mathfrak{l}} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_N^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \mathfrak{l}}$ are isometries sending $(\Lambda_N^n)^{\mathfrak{l}}$ to $(\Lambda_N^n)^{\mathfrak{l}}$ and $(\mathbf{K}_N^n)^{\mathfrak{l}}$ to $(\mathbf{K}_N^n)^{\mathfrak{l}}$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$, satisfying $\mathbf{j}_{n+1}^n = (\mathbf{j}_n^n)_{\#}$.

When $n = \emptyset$, we just take \mathcal{V}^{\emptyset} to be \mathcal{V} and \mathbf{j}^{\emptyset} to be the identity.

(2) For every arrow $a = a(n, n\mathfrak{l})$ of \mathfrak{X}_0 , we choose a datum $\mathbf{j}^a = (\mathbf{j}_n^a, \mathbf{j}_{n+1}^a)$ in which

$$\mathbf{j}_N^a: \mathbf{V}_N^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_N^{n\mathfrak{l}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty, \ell}$$

(with ℓ the underlying rational prime of \mathfrak{l}) are isometries sending $(\Lambda_N^n)^{\ell}$ to $(\Lambda_N^{n\mathfrak{l}})^{\ell}$ and $(\mathbf{K}_N^n)^{\ell}$ to $(\mathbf{K}_N^{n\mathfrak{l}})^{\ell}$ and such that $\mathbf{j}_N^{n\mathfrak{l}} = (\mathbf{j}_N^a)^{\mathfrak{l}} \circ (\mathbf{j}_N^n)^{\mathfrak{l}}$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$, satisfying $\mathbf{j}_{n+1}^a = (\mathbf{j}_n^a)_{\#}$.

(3) For every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_0$, put

$$\mathcal{M}^n := \begin{cases} O_{\varphi}[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^n) \times \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^n)], & n \in \mathfrak{N}_0^{\text{def}}, \\ H_{\text{ét}}^{2n-1}((\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^n) \times_F \text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^n))_{\overline{F}}, O_{\varphi}(n)), & n \in \mathfrak{N}_0^{\text{indef}}, \end{cases}$$

which are modules over O_{φ} and $O_{\varphi}[\Gamma_F]$, respectively, both finitely generated over O_{φ} .

(4) For every $m \in \mathfrak{N}_0$ and $\alpha = 0, 1$, we

❖ put

$$\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}^m := \mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{l}}^+}$$

which acts on \mathcal{M}^n for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_0$ satisfying $n \subseteq m$;

❖ for every integer $k \geq 1$, put

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha}^{m,k} := \mathbb{T}_{\alpha}^m \cap \ker \left(\mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}}^{\Sigma_{\min}^+} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi_{\alpha}}} O_E \rightarrow O_E/\varphi^k \right);$$

❖ write \mathfrak{m}_{α}^m for $\mathfrak{n}_{\alpha}^{m,1}$, which is a maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}_{α}^m .

We fix a finite subset $\square_{\mathcal{V}} \subseteq \Sigma^+ \setminus (\Sigma_{\infty}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\min}^+ \cup \Sigma_p^+)$ such that for $\alpha = 0, 1$, the O_{φ} -subrings of $\text{End}_{O_{\varphi}} \mathcal{M}^{\emptyset}$ generated by $\bigotimes_{v \in \square_{\mathcal{V}}} \mathbb{T}_{n_{\alpha}, v}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}^{\emptyset}$ are the same. The subset $\square_{\mathcal{V}}$ depends on the choice of $(\mathbf{K}_n, \mathbf{K}_{n+1})$ in the initial datum \mathcal{V} .

Definition 5.3.3. Let $k \geq 1$ be a positive integer.

- (1) We denote by \mathfrak{L}_k the set of primes \mathfrak{l} of F^+ (with the underlying rational prime ℓ) satisfying
 - (C1): $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathfrak{L}_0$ and $\Sigma_{\ell}^+ \cap \square_{\mathcal{V}} = \emptyset$;
 - (C2): p does not divide $\ell \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (1 - (-\ell)^i)$;
 - (C3): \mathfrak{l} is a very special inert prime (Definition 2.3.4);
 - (C4): $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{0,\mathfrak{l}})} \bmod \varphi^k$ is level-raising special at \mathfrak{l} ; $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{1,\mathfrak{l}})} \bmod \varphi$ is Tate generic at \mathfrak{l} ; $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{0,\mathfrak{l}}) \otimes \alpha(\Pi_{1,\mathfrak{l}})} \bmod \varphi^k$ is level-raising special at \mathfrak{l} (all in Definition 2.1.4);
 - (C5): $P_{\alpha(\Pi_{\alpha,\mathfrak{l}})} \bmod \varphi$ is intertwining generic at \mathfrak{l} (Definition 2.1.4) for $\alpha = 0, 1$.
- (2) We denote by \mathfrak{N}_k the set of (possibly empty) finite sets consisting of elements in \mathfrak{L}_k with *distinct* underlying rational primes.
- (3) We define the directed graph \mathfrak{X}_k to be the full subgraph of \mathfrak{X}_0 spanned by \mathfrak{N}_k .
- (4) We say that an element \mathfrak{l} of \mathfrak{L}_k is *effective* if $\mathcal{M}^{(\mathfrak{l})}/(\mathfrak{m}_0^{(\mathfrak{l})}, \mathfrak{m}_1^{(\mathfrak{l})})$ is nontrivial, and that an element n of \mathfrak{N}_k is *effective* if it either empty or contains an effective element of \mathfrak{L}_k . We denote by $\mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$ the subset of \mathfrak{N}_k of effective elements. See Remark 5.3.10 for the reason of introducing this notion.

Remark 5.3.4. We have the following remarks concerning Definition 5.3.3.

- (1) It is clear from the definition that $\mathfrak{L}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{L}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{L}_2 \supseteq \dots$.
- (2) Since both Π_0 and Π_1 are tempered, we have $\bigcap_k \mathfrak{L}_k = \emptyset$.
- (3) For every $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathfrak{L}_k$ with the underlying rational prime ℓ , there is a unique decomposition

$$T/\varphi^k T = (T/\varphi^k T)^{\phi_{\mathfrak{l}}=1} \oplus (T/\varphi^k T)^{\phi_{\mathfrak{l}}=\ell^2} \oplus S$$

of $O_\varphi[\Gamma_{F,I}]$ -modules such that $H^i(F_1, S) = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, both $H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, T^c/\varphi^k T^c)$ and $H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, T^c/\varphi^k T^c)$ are free O_φ/φ^k -modules of rank one.

- (4) For every $I \in \mathfrak{L}_1$, the set $\{k \geq 1 \mid I \in \mathfrak{L}_k\}$ has a maximum, which we denote by $k(I)$.
- (5) By (A5) in Definition 5.1.2 and the Chebotarev density theorem, \mathfrak{L}_k has infinitely many elements for every $k \geq 1$.
- (6) By (A3,A4,A6) in Definition 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.1.5, for every arrow $a = a(n, nI) \in \mathfrak{X}_k$ with $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$, Assumptions 4.1.1, 4.1.3, 4.1.3 (which are required in Definition 4.2.1 and Theorem 4.2.3) are satisfied for the data $\lambda = \varphi$, $m = k$, $\Sigma_{\text{lr},I}^+ = n$, $\mathcal{V}^\circ = \mathcal{V}^n$, $p = I$, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}^{nI}$, and $j = j^a$.
- (7) By (A3,A4,A6) in Definition 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.1.5, for every arrow $a = a(n, nI) \in \mathfrak{X}_k$ with $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, Assumption 4.1.1 and Assumption 4.1.3 (which are required in Definition 4.3.2 and Theorem 4.3.6) are satisfied the data $\lambda = \varphi$, $m = k$, $\Sigma_{\text{lr},II}^+ = n$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^n$, $p = I$, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}^{nI}$, and $j = j^a$.
- (8) Similar to Remark 4.3.1, for every arrow $a = a(n, nI)$ of \mathfrak{X}_k with $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$ and every $m \in \mathfrak{N}_k$ satisfying $nI \subseteq m$, we have the map $U_0^a/n_0^{m,k}$ for the reduction of the Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n)$ at the place I .

Put $\mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}} := \mathfrak{N}_k \cap \mathfrak{N}_0^{\text{def}}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}} := \mathfrak{N}_k \cap \mathfrak{N}_0^{\text{indef}}$. For every $I \in \mathfrak{L}_1$, we fix isomorphisms

$$(5.12) \quad H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, T^c/\varphi^{k(I)} T^c) \simeq O_\varphi/\varphi^{k(I)}, \quad H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, T^c/\varphi^{k(I)} T^c) \simeq O_\varphi/\varphi^{k(I)}$$

once and for all, which is possible by Remark 5.3.4(3,4).

We now introduce congruence modules.

Definition 5.3.5. Let $k \geq 1$ be a positive integer.

- (1) For $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k$, we define the *congruence module* $(\text{mod } \varphi^k)$ at n to be

$$C^{n,k} := \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(\mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{n,k}, n_1^{n,k}), O_\varphi/\varphi^k), & n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}, \\ \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]}(\mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{n,k}, n_1^{n,k}), T^c/\varphi^k T^c), & n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}. \end{cases}$$

- (2) For every arrow $a = a(n, nI)$ of \mathfrak{X}_k with $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$, we define the *reciprocity map*

$$\varrho^{a,k}: C^{n,k} \rightarrow C^{nI,k}$$

of O_φ -modules, which is an isomorphism for $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}} \cap \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$, as follows. When $n \notin \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$, we define $\varrho^{a,k}$ to be the zero map. Now suppose that $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$. By Proposition 5.3.7(2) below, we may apply Definition 4.2.1 to the data $\lambda = \varphi$, $m = k$, $\Sigma_{\text{lr},I}^+ = n$, $\Sigma_1^+ = \Sigma_{\text{min}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\text{II}}^+$, $\mathcal{V}^\circ = \mathcal{V}^n$, $p = I$, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}^{nI}$, and $j = j^a$ to obtain an isomorphism

$$\varrho_{\text{sing}}: H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^{nI}/(n_0^{nI,k}, n_1^{nI,k})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{n,k}, n_1^{n,k}).$$

We then define $\varrho^{a,k}$ to be the composition of the following four maps:

- ❖ the natural map

$$C^{n,k} = \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(\mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{n,k}, n_1^{n,k}), O_\varphi/\varphi^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(\mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{nI,k}, n_1^{nI,k}), O_\varphi/\varphi^k),$$

which is an isomorphism by Proposition 5.3.7(1) below,

- ❖ the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(\mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{nI,k}, n_1^{nI,k}), O_\varphi/\varphi^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^{nI}/(n_0^{nI,k}, n_1^{nI,k})), O_\varphi/\varphi^k)$$

that is the dual of ϱ_{sing} ,

- ❖ the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^{nI}/(n_0^{nI,k}, n_1^{nI,k})), O_\varphi/\varphi^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{n,k}, n_1^{n,k})), H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, T^c/\varphi^k T^c))$$

induced by (5.12), and

- ❖ the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n/(n_0^{n,k}, n_1^{n,k})), H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_1, T^c/\varphi^k T^c)) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{nI,k}$$

that is the inverse of the natural isomorphism from Lemma 5.3.8 below.

(3) For every arrow $a = a(n, n\ell)$ of \mathfrak{X}_k with $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, we define the *reciprocity map*

$$\varrho^{a,k} : C^{n,k} \rightarrow C^{n\ell,k}$$

of O_φ -modules, which is an isomorphism for $n \in (\mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}} \cap \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, as follows. When $n \notin \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$, we define $\varrho^{a,k}$ to be the zero map. Now suppose that $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$. By Proposition 5.3.7(2) below, we may apply Definition 4.3.2 to the data $\lambda = \emptyset$, $m = k$, $\Sigma_{\text{Ir},\text{II}}^+ = n$, $\Sigma_{\text{II}}^+ = \Sigma_{\text{min}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\text{n}}^+$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^n$, $p = 1$, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}^{n\ell}$, and $j = j^a$ to obtain a map

$$\varrho_{\text{unr}} : \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k}) \rightarrow H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k})),$$

which is an isomorphism when $n \neq \emptyset$ by Remark 4.3.3(1) and Proposition 5.3.7(3) below. We then define $\varrho^{a,k}$ to be the composition of the following four maps:

❖ the map

$$C^{n,k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]}(\mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k}), T^c / \varphi^k T^c)$$

which is an isomorphism by Proposition 5.3.7(1) below,

❖ the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]}(\mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k}), T^c / \varphi^k T^c) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k})), H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, T^c / \varphi^k T^c))$$

from Lemma 5.3.8 below,

❖ the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k})), H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, T^c / \varphi^k T^c)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k})), O_\varphi / \varphi^k)$$

induced by (5.12), and

❖ the map

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_1, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k})), O_\varphi / \varphi^k) \rightarrow C^{n\ell,k} = \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(\mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n\ell,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n\ell,k}), O_\varphi / \varphi^k)$$

that is the dual of ϱ_{unr} .

Remark 5.3.6. We have the following remarks concerning the above construction.

- (1) By our choice of (K_n, K_{n+1}) in the initial data in Notation 5.3.2, $C^{0,k}$ is nontrivial for every $k \geq 1$.
- (2) The fact that $\varrho^{a,k}$ is an isomorphism for $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}} \cap \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$ together with (1) imply that when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, every element of \mathfrak{Q}_k is effective.
- (3) Note that \mathfrak{X}_k is a subgraph of \mathfrak{X}_{k-1} , and we have a natural reduction map $C^{n,k} \rightarrow C^{n,k-1}$ for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k$ with $k \geq 2$. It follows from the construction that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{n,k} & \xrightarrow{\varrho^{a,k}} & C^{n\ell,k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^{n,k-1} & \xrightarrow{\varrho^{a,k-1}} & C^{n\ell,k-1} \end{array}$$

commutes for every arrow $a = a(n, n\ell)$ of \mathfrak{X}_k with $k \geq 2$.

Proposition 5.3.7. *Let k be a positive integer.*

- (1) For every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$ and every $m \in \mathfrak{N}_k$ containing n , the natural map $\mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n,k})$ is bijective.
- (2) For every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$, the cardinality of $C^{n,k}$ is same as that of $C^{0,k}$; in particular, $C^{n,k}$ is nontrivial by Remark 5.3.6(1).
- (3) For every arrow $a = a(n, n\ell)$ of \mathfrak{X}_k with $n \in (\mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}} \cap \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ and every $m \in \mathfrak{N}_k$ containing $n\ell$, the map $\mathcal{U}_0^a / \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}$ from Remark 5.3.4(8) is bijective.

Proof. We prove the three statements simultaneously by induction on $\nu(n)$. When $\nu(n) = 0$, that is, $n = \emptyset$, (1) follows from (C1) in Definition 5.3.3(1); (2) is obvious; and (3) is vacuous. Now we consider an element $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$ with $\nu(n) > 0$ and assume that (1–3) are known for every $n' \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$ with $\nu(n') < \nu(n)$.

We first consider the case where $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$ and $\nu(n) > 1$. Choose an element l' of n so that n/l' remains effective; and write $a' = a(n/l', n)$. Then $n/l' \in (\mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}} \cap \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $\nu(n/l') = \nu(n) - 1$, for which (3) applies. Apply

Definition 4.3.2 to the data $\lambda = \varphi$, $m = k$, $\Sigma_{\text{r},\text{II}}^+ = n/l'$, $\Sigma_{\text{II}}^+ = \Sigma_{\text{min}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\text{m}/l'}^+$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{n/l'}$, $\mathfrak{p} = l$, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}^n$, and $j = j^{a'}$. We obtain a map

$$\varrho_{\text{unr}}: \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^{n/l'} / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})),$$

which is an isomorphism by Remark 4.3.3(1). Thus, (1) for n follows from (1) for n/l' . Taking dual of ϱ_{unr} and by (5.12), we obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi} \left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^{n/l'} / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})), \mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, T^c / \varphi^k T^c) \right) \simeq C^{n,k}.$$

By Lemma 5.3.8 below and (1) for n/l' , the left-hand side is canonically isomorphic to $C^{n/l',k}$. Thus, (2) for n follows. Part (3) is vacuous for n .

We then consider the case where $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$ and $\nu(n) = 1$, so that $n = \{l\}$ for some effective element $l \in \mathfrak{L}_k$. We have

$$\mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}) = O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^n)] / \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k} \otimes_{O_\varphi} O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^n)] / \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}.$$

On the other hand, the Künneth formula induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^\emptyset / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})) \\ & \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^\emptyset, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^\emptyset)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0)) / \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}) \otimes_{O_\varphi} \left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2r_1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^\emptyset, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^\emptyset)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_1)) / \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k} \right)_{\Gamma_{F_l}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.3.8 below and (1,2) for $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{N}_k$, in order to show (1,2) for n , it suffices to show that

- (a) $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^n)] / \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^\emptyset, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^\emptyset)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0)) / \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k})$ have the same cardinality;
- (b) $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^n)] / \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}$ and $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2r_1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}^\emptyset, \mathbf{K}_{n_1}^\emptyset)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_1)) / \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k} \right)_{\Gamma_{F_l}}$ have the same cardinality.

Part (a) follows from Lemma 5.3.9 below, which is applicable since $C^{\emptyset,k}$ is nontrivial and $C^{n,k}$ is also nontrivial by Definition 5.3.3(4). Part (b) follows from Lemma 4.3.4(1) (for $\alpha = 1$) and [LTXZZ1, Lemma 7.3.3(2)]. Part (3) is vacuous for n .

Finally we consider the case where $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$. Choose an element l' of n so that n/l' remains effective; and write $a' = a(n/l', n)$. Then $n/l' \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$ with $\nu(n/l') = \nu(n) - 1$, for which (2) applies. Apply Definition 4.2.1 to the data $\lambda = \varphi$, $m = k$, $\Sigma_{\text{r},\text{I}}^+ = n/l'$, $\Sigma_{\text{I}}^+ = \Sigma_{\text{min}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\text{m}/l'}^+$, $\mathcal{V}^\circ = \mathcal{V}^{n/l'}$, $\mathfrak{p} = l$, $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}^n$, and $j = j^{a'}$. We obtain an isomorphism

$$\varrho_{\text{sing}}: \mathbf{H}_{\text{sing}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^{n/l'} / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}).$$

Thus, (1) for n follows from (1) for n/l' , using Lemma 5.3.8 below. Taking dual of ϱ_{sing} and by (5.12), we obtain an isomorphism

$$C^{n/l',k} \simeq \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi} \left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{sing}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})), \mathbf{H}_{\text{sing}}^1(F_l, T^c / \varphi^k T^c) \right).$$

By Lemma 5.3.8 below, the right-hand side is canonically isomorphic to $C^{n,k}$. Thus, (2) for n follows.

It remains to show (3) for n . By Lemma 5.3.9 below and (2) for n , it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{U}_0^a / \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}$ is surjective. By Nakayama's lemma, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{U}_0^a / \mathfrak{m}_0^m$ is surjective. As explained in Remark 3.10.7, by Theorem 3.10.6 (applied to ${}^\varpi \mathbf{V}_{n_0}$, where $\varpi \in F^+$ is an element chosen as in §4.1 for $\mathfrak{p} = l$ with the additional requirement that $\text{val}_{l'}(\varpi) = 0$ for every prime l'' of F^+ that has the same residue characteristic with l'), the definition of the boosting map (3.10) and Remark 3.5.11, it suffices to show that the Γ_F -equivariant map

$$\vartheta^\eta: \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^n, {}^\varpi \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, \Omega_{n_0, O_\varphi}^\eta(r_0)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^n, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))$$

(from Remark 3.5.11 with $\mathbf{V} = {}^\varpi \mathbf{V}_{n_0}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = l$) is surjective after taking quotient by \mathfrak{m}_0^m . By Lemma 5.3.8 below, it suffices to show that the induced map $\mathbf{H}_{\text{sing}}^1(F_l, \vartheta^\eta / \mathfrak{m}_0^m)$ is surjective. Denote by $\Omega_{n_0, O_\varphi}[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{n/l'}, {}^\varpi \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{n/l'})]$ the O_φ -module of Ω_{n_0, O_φ} -equivariant O_φ -valued functions on (the Shimura set) $\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{n/l'}, {}^\varpi \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{n/l'})$ (once again, the local

system Ω_{n_0, O_φ} is defined with respect to the place l . Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_{V'}, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, \varpi K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, \Omega_{n_0, O_\varphi}^\eta(r_0))/\mathfrak{m}_0^m) & \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1}} & H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_{V'}, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))/\mathfrak{m}_0^m) \\
\uparrow \textcircled{4} & & \simeq \uparrow \textcircled{5} \\
F_{-1}H^1(I_{F_{V'}}, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, \varpi K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, \Omega_{n_0, O_\varphi}^\eta(r_0))/\mathfrak{m}_0^m) & \xrightarrow{\textcircled{2}} & F_{-1}H^1(I_{F_{V'}}, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))/\mathfrak{m}_0^m) \\
\downarrow \textcircled{6} & & \simeq \downarrow \textcircled{7} \\
\Omega_{n_0, O_\varphi}[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^{n/l'}, \varpi K_{n_0}^{n/l'})]/\mathfrak{m}_0^{m/l'} & \xrightarrow{\textcircled{3}} & O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^{n/l'}, K_{n_0}^{n/l'})]/\mathfrak{m}_0^{m/l'}
\end{array}$$

in which the map $\textcircled{1}$ is $H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_{V'}, \vartheta^\eta/\mathfrak{m}_0^m)$; the map $\textcircled{2}$ is $F_{-1}H^1(I_{F_{V'}}, \vartheta^\eta/\mathfrak{m}_0^m)$; the map $\textcircled{3}$ is dual to the map \mathbf{i} defined above [LTXZZ1, Corollary 6.3.5] (for $V_N = \varpi V_{n_0}^{n/l'}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = l$); the maps $\textcircled{4}$ and $\textcircled{5}$ are the maps from [LTXZZ1, Lemma 5.9.3(6)]; the maps $\textcircled{6}$ and $\textcircled{7}$ are the surjective maps from [LTXZZ1, Proposition 6.3.1(4)]. Since $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^{n/l'}, K_{n_0}^{n/l'})]/\mathfrak{m}_0^{m/l'}$ is nontrivial by (2), we may apply [LTXZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4(4)] to conclude that both $\textcircled{5}$ and $\textcircled{7}$ are isomorphisms.²⁷ By (the dual statement of) [LTXZZ1, Corollary 6.3.5], it follows that $H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_{V'}, \vartheta^\eta/\mathfrak{m}_0^m)$ is surjective.

The proposition is all proved. \square

Lemma 5.3.8. *For every integer $k \geq 1$ and every elements $m, n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$ satisfying $n \subseteq m$, the $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -module $\mathcal{M}^n/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many modules of the form $T^c/\varphi^{k'}T^c$ with $k' \leq k$. In particular,*

(1) *for every element $l \in n$, the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]}(\mathcal{M}^n/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}), T^c/\varphi^k T^c) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^n/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})), H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_l, T^c/\varphi^k T^c))$$

is an isomorphism;

(2) *for every element $l \in m \setminus n$, the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}_{O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]}(\mathcal{M}^n/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}), T^c/\varphi^k T^c) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{O_\varphi}(H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, \mathcal{M}^n/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k})), H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, T^c/\varphi^k T^c))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The second statement follows from the first, Remark 5.3.4(3), and the fact that $T/\varphi T$ is an absolutely irreducible representation. Now we show the first statement.

For $\alpha = 0, 1$, denote by \mathbb{T}_α^m the O_φ -subring of $\text{End}_{O_\varphi} \mathcal{M}^n$ generated by \mathbb{T}_α^m . If \mathfrak{m}_α^m maps surjectively to \mathbb{T}_α^m for some $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, then $\mathcal{M}^n/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{m,k}) = 0$. Otherwise, \mathfrak{m}_α^m generates a maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}_α^m for $\alpha = 0, 1$. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, let

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^m} : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_\alpha}((\mathbb{T}_\alpha^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^m})$$

be the homomorphism constructed similarly as in the proof of [LTXZZ2, Theorem 3.38], lifting the (absolutely irreducible) residue representation of $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \varphi}^c(r_\alpha)$. By the Künneth formula, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{(\mathfrak{m}_0^m, \mathfrak{m}_1^m)}^n = H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))_{\mathfrak{m}_0^m} \otimes_{O_\varphi} H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^n, K_{n_1}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_1))_{\mathfrak{m}_1^m}.$$

By the same argument above [LTXZZ1, Proposition 6.4.1], we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))_{\mathfrak{m}_0^m} &\simeq \left(H_0 \otimes_{(\mathbb{T}_0^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_0^m}} (\mathbb{T}_0^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_0^m}^{\oplus n_0} \right), \\
H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^n, K_{n_1}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_1))_{\mathfrak{m}_1^m} &\simeq \left(H_1 \otimes_{(\mathbb{T}_1^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_1^m}} (\mathbb{T}_1^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_1^m}^{\oplus n_1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

of $O_\lambda[\Gamma_F]$ -modules for some $(\mathbb{T}_\alpha^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^m}$ -module H_α (with trivial Γ_F -action) for $\alpha = 0, 1$. Since for $\alpha = 0, 1$, the natural map $O_\varphi \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}_\alpha^m)_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^m}$ induces an isomorphism $O_\varphi/\varphi^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_\alpha^m/\mathfrak{n}_\alpha^{m,k}$, it remains to show that $(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_0^m} \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^{m,k}) \otimes$

²⁷We warn the readers that [LTXZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4] does not apply to $\textcircled{4}$ and $\textcircled{6}$ because the rigidity assumption in [LTXZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4(b)] fails for $(\Sigma_{\min}^+ \cup \{l\}, n)$.

$(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_1^{\text{nl}}} \bmod \mathfrak{n}_1^{\text{m},k})$ and $T^c/\varphi^k T^c$ are isomorphic liftings of $T^c/\varphi T^c$ – this follows from the Chebotarev density theorem and [Car94, Théorème 1]. \square

Lemma 5.3.9. *Let k be a positive integer. For every arrow $a(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{nl})$ of \mathfrak{X}_k with $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{Y}_k^{\text{indef}}$ and every $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{Y}_k$ containing \mathfrak{nl} , if both of the following two O_φ -modules*

$$O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\text{m},k}, \quad H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\text{m},k})$$

are nontrivial, then they have the same cardinality.

Proof. We adopt the same strategy in the proof of the counterpart of this lemma in [LTZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4]. We follow the setup in [LTZZ1, §6.4] and make necessary changes. Take $\lambda = \varphi$, $\Sigma_{\text{lr}}^+ = \mathfrak{n}$, $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma_{\text{min}}^+ \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{m}/l}^+$, and $\mathfrak{p} = l$.

We have global deformation rings²⁸

$$\mathbf{R}^{\text{mix}}, \quad \mathbf{R}^{\text{unr}} = \mathbf{R}^{\text{mix}}/(\mathfrak{x}), \quad \mathbf{R}^{\text{ram}} = \mathbf{R}^{\text{mix}}/(\mathfrak{s} - \ell^{-2r}), \quad \mathbf{R}^{\text{cong}} = \mathbf{R}^{\text{mix}}/(\mathfrak{s} - \ell^{-2r}, \mathfrak{x}),$$

where ℓ is the underlying rational prime of l (rather than p in the reference). Let \mathbf{T}^{unr} and \mathbf{T}^{ram} be the O_φ -subrings of

$$\text{End}_{O_\varphi}\left(H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))\right), \quad \text{End}_{O_\varphi}\left(O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]\right)$$

generated by \mathbf{T}_0^{m} .²⁹ The assumption in the statement implies that both $(\mathbf{T}^{\text{unr}})_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}}$ and $(\mathbf{T}^{\text{ram}})_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}}$ are nontrivial. By [LTZZ2, Theorem 3.38], we have

- ❖ the natural homomorphisms $\mathbf{R}^{\text{unr}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{T}^{\text{unr}})_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{\text{ram}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{T}^{\text{ram}})_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}}$ are both isomorphisms;
- ❖ $H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}} \simeq H \otimes_{\mathbf{R}^{\text{unr}}} (\mathbf{R}^{\text{unr}})^{\oplus n_0}$ for a free \mathbf{R}^{unr} -module H of rank, say, d_{unr} ;
- ❖ $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}}$ is a free \mathbf{R}^{ram} -module of rank, say, d_{ram} .

By the same argument for [LTZZ1, Proposition 6.4.1], we have $d_{\text{unr}} = d_{\text{ram}}$. It is straightforward to show that $H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))_{\mathfrak{m}_0^{\text{m}}}$ is isomorphic to $H \otimes_{\mathbf{R}^{\text{unr}}} \mathbf{R}^{\text{cong}}$. Thus, for the lemma, it remains to show that the quotient map $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})] \rightarrow O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})] \otimes_{\mathbf{R}^{\text{ram}}} \mathbf{R}^{\text{cong}}$ becomes an isomorphism after taking quotient by $\mathfrak{n}_0^{\text{m},k}$. In other words, it remains to show that the element \mathfrak{x} , which measures the monodromy operator at l , acts by zero on the quotient $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\text{m},k}$. The heuristic reason for the previous claim is that the Galois representation “associated with” $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\text{m},k}$ should be isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many copies of $T_0^c/\varphi^k T_0^c$, where T_0 denotes a Γ_F -stable O_φ -lattice of $\rho_{\Pi_0, \varphi}(r_0)$, which is unramified at l .

To rigorously show this, we need to use the level-raising isomorphism in [LTZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4] at an auxiliary element $l' \in \mathfrak{Q}_k \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ (which exists by Remark 5.3.4(5)). Put $\mathfrak{m}' := \mathfrak{m}l' \in \mathfrak{Y}_k$. By [LTZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4(4)], we have a natural isomorphism

$$(5.13) \quad O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathfrak{m}',k} \simeq H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_{l'}, H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}'}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}'})_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathfrak{m}',k})$$

that is equivariant under the action of the local deformation ring at l , in particular, the element \mathfrak{x} . By [LTZZ1, Theorem 6.3.4(5)], $H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}'}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}'})_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathfrak{m}',k}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many copies of $T_0^c/\varphi^k T_0^c$, which is unramified at l . It follows that the action of \mathfrak{x} on the right-hand side of (5.13) is zero, hence also on the left-hand side.

The lemma follows as the natural map $O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathfrak{m}',k} \rightarrow O_\varphi[\text{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0}^{\text{nl}})]/\mathfrak{n}_0^{\text{m},k}$ is surjective. \square

Remark 5.3.10. We conjecture that when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, the map $\mathcal{U}_0^a/\mathfrak{m}_0^{\{1\}}$ is also surjective for $a = a(\emptyset, \{l\})$, which can be regarded as an analogue of the Ihara lemma. However, we are unable to prove it – this is the reason for introducing effective elements.

²⁸However, there is a typo on [LTZZ1, Page 281], namely, the formula $r_{\text{mix}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(t)v' = xv + v'$ should be $r_{\text{mix}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(t)v = xv' + v$.

²⁹Note that the roles \mathbf{T}^{unr} and \mathbf{T}^{ram} are switched from those in [LTZZ1, §6.4] since now the Shimura variety has the hyperspecial level at l and the Shimura set does not.

5.4. The lambda maps. This subsection has two goals. First, we construct for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$ with $k \geq 1$ a map

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}: \mathcal{C}^{n,k} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} / \wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$$

compatible with respect to k . Second, we recall from [Liu] the definition of the \wp -adic L -function $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$ and its relation with $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{0,k}$ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$.

Definition 5.4.1. A *frame* (at $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$) is a collection of isomorphisms $U(\Lambda_{N,v}) \simeq \text{GL}_{N, O_{F_v^+}}$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$, such that for every $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$, the natural embedding $U(\Lambda_{n,v}) \hookrightarrow U(\Lambda_{n+1,v})$ corresponds to the assignment $h \mapsto \text{diag}(h, 1)$.

We choose a frame, through which we regard $\pi_{\alpha,v}^{\vee}$ as an (unramified) irreducible representation of $\text{GL}_{n_{\alpha}}(F_v^+)$ for $\alpha = 0, 1$ and every $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$. Since it is ordinary, we may write its Satake parameter as $\{\omega_{\alpha,v,1}, \dots, \omega_{\alpha,v,n_{\alpha}}\}$ such that $\omega_{\alpha,v,i}^{\natural} := \sqrt{\|v\|^{n_{\alpha}+1-2i}} \omega_{\alpha,v,i} \in O_{\wp}^{\times}$. Put

$$\omega(\pi_{0,v}^{\vee}, \pi_{1,v}^{\vee}) := \prod_{\alpha=0}^1 \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha}} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \omega_{\alpha,v,i}^{\natural} \in O_{\wp}^{\times}$$

for later use.

Denote by $I_{N,v} \subseteq \text{GL}_N(O_{F_v^+}) = K_{N,v}$ the upper-triangular Iwahori subgroup for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$. For $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ and $\alpha = 0, 1$, we define the *ordinary projection operator* $P_{\alpha,v} \in O_{\wp}[\mathbb{I}_{n_{\alpha},v} \backslash \text{GL}_{n_{\alpha}}(F_v^+) / \text{GL}_{n_{\alpha}}(O_{F_v^+})]$ to be

$$P_{\alpha,v} := \prod_{i=1}^{n_{\alpha}-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (\|v\|^{i-j} \omega_{\alpha,v,i}^{\natural} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{n_{\alpha},v,j-1} - \mathbf{V}_{n_{\alpha},v,j}) \prod_{j=i+1}^{n_{\alpha}} (\omega_{\alpha,v,i}^{\natural} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{n_{\alpha},v,j-1} - \|v\|^{j-i} \mathbf{V}_{n_{\alpha},v,j}) \right) \\ \in O_{\wp}[\mathbb{I}_{n_{\alpha},v} \backslash \text{GL}_{n_{\alpha}}(F_v^+) / \text{GL}_{n_{\alpha}}(O_{F_v^+})],$$

following [KMS00, Proposition 4.2]. Then $P_{\alpha,v}$ induces an isomorphism from $(\pi_{\alpha,v}^{\vee})^{\text{GL}_{n_{\alpha}}(O_{F_v^+})}$ to the ordinary line in $(\pi_{\alpha,v}^{\vee})^{\mathbb{I}_{n_{\alpha},v}}$. We then put

$$P_v := P_{0,v} \otimes P_{1,v} \in O_{\wp}[\mathbb{I}_{n,v} \backslash \text{GL}_n(F_v^+) / \text{GL}_n(O_{F_v^+})] \otimes_{O_{\wp}} O_{\wp}[\mathbb{I}_{n+1,v} \backslash \text{GL}_{n+1}(F_v^+) / \text{GL}_{n+1}(O_{F_v^+})].$$

Put $\mathbb{I}_{N, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \mathbb{I}_{N,v}$ and $K_{N, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} K_{N,v}$ for $N \in \{n, n+1\}$. Put

$$P := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} P_v \in O_{\wp}[\mathbb{I}_{n, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \times \mathbb{I}_{n+1, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \backslash \text{GL}_n(F_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}^+) \times \text{GL}_{n+1}(F_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}^+) / K_{n, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \times K_{n+1, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}].$$

Notation 5.4.2. For every $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$ and every $c_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we put

$$\mathfrak{U}_{c_v} := \{(x, x^{-1}) \mid x \in 1 + p^{c_v} O_{F_v^+}\} \subseteq F_v^{\times}.$$

For a tuple $c = (c_v)_v \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$, we

- ❖ put $p^c := (p^{c_v})_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \in \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} O_{F_v^+} \setminus \{0\}$;
- ❖ put $\mathfrak{U}_c := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \mathfrak{U}_{c_v}$, which maps naturally to Υ with a finite kernel;
- ❖ denote by $F_c \subseteq {}^{\wp}\mathcal{F}$ the fixed subfield of (the image of) \mathfrak{U}_c , which is a finite extension of F ;
- ❖ put

$${}^c g_n := \begin{pmatrix} (p^c)^n & & & \\ & (p^c)^{n-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & p^c \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_n(F_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}^+),$$

$${}^c g_{n+1} := \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 & 1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 1 & & & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (p^c)^n & & & \\ & (p^c)^{n-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_{n+1}(F_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}^+),$$

- ❖ put ${}^c \mathbb{I}_{n+1, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} := {}^c g_{n+1} \cdot \mathbb{I}_{n+1, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \cdot ({}^c g_{n+1})^{-1}$ and ${}^c \mathbb{I}_{n, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} := {}^c g_n \cdot \mathbb{I}_{n, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \cdot ({}^c g_n)^{-1} \cap {}^c \mathbb{I}_{n+1, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$,

❖ define

$${}^c\mathbf{P} \in O_\varphi[{}^c\mathbf{I}_{n,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \times {}^c\mathbf{I}_{n+1,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \setminus \mathrm{GL}_n(F_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}}^+) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(F_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}}^+)/\mathbf{K}_{n,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \times \mathbf{K}_{n+1,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}}]$$

to be the convolution of the characteristic function of $({}^c\mathbf{I}_{n,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \times {}^c\mathbf{I}_{n+1,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}})({}^c g_n, {}^c g_{n+1})(\mathbf{I}_{n,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}} \times \mathbf{I}_{n+1,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}})$ and \mathbf{P} .

Notation 5.4.3. Let $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_0$ be an element. For $N \in \{n, n+1\}$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$, we identify $\mathrm{GL}_{N,O_{F_v}^+}$ with $\mathrm{U}(\Lambda_{N,v}^{\mathfrak{n}})$ via the frame and the isometry $j_{N,v}^{\mathfrak{n}}$. Put ${}^c\mathbf{K}_N^{\mathfrak{n}} := (\mathbf{K}_N^{\mathfrak{n}})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \times {}^c\mathbf{I}_{N,\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}}$ for $N \in \{n+1\}$, so that $({}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, {}^c\mathbf{K}_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{n}}) \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{V}_n^{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathrm{sp}}$.

We are ready to define the map $\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k}$. When $\mathfrak{n} \notin \mathfrak{N}_k^{\mathrm{eff}}$, we define $\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k}$ to be the zero map. Suppose that $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\mathrm{eff}}$. Take an element $\phi \in C^{\mathfrak{n},k}$. Consider a tuple $\mathfrak{c} = (\mathfrak{c}_v)_v \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$. By [Liu, Lemma 4.11], $\Gamma(F_c/F)$ is naturally a quotient of the target of the determinant map

$$\det: \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, {}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det {}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}},$$

so that for every $\zeta \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_c/F)$, we have the subset $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, {}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}})_\zeta$ of $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, {}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}})$ that is the fiber of ζ under the determinant map. Put

$$\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k}(\phi)_\mathfrak{c} := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \omega(\pi_{0,v}^\vee, \pi_{1,v}^\vee)^{-\mathfrak{c}_v} \sum_{\zeta \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_c/F)} \phi({}^c\mathbf{P}_* \mathbb{1}_{\Delta \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, {}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}})_\zeta})[\zeta] \in (O_\varphi/\varphi^k)[\mathrm{Gal}(F_c/F)],$$

in which ${}^c\mathbf{P}_* \mathbb{1}_{\Delta \mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_n^{\mathfrak{n}}, {}^c\mathbf{K}_n^{\mathfrak{n}})_\zeta}$ is regarded as an element in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{n}}/(\mathfrak{n}_0^{\mathfrak{n},k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{\mathfrak{n},k})$, the domain of ϕ . By [Liu, Proposition 5.1], there exists a (unique) element

$$\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k}(\phi) \in \lim_{\leftarrow \mathfrak{c}} (O_\varphi/\varphi^k)[\mathrm{Gal}(F_c/F)] = \Lambda/\varphi^k \Lambda$$

whose image in $(O_\varphi/\varphi^k)[\mathrm{Gal}(F_c/F)]$ is $\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k}(\phi)_\mathfrak{c}$. It is clear that the construction is compatible with respect to k , that is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\mathfrak{n},k} & \xrightarrow{\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k}} & \Lambda/\varphi^k \Lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^{\mathfrak{n},k-1} & \xrightarrow{\lambda^{\mathfrak{n},k-1}} & \Lambda/\varphi^{k-1} \Lambda \end{array}$$

commutes for $k \geq 2$. In particular, when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\mathrm{def}}$ for every $k \geq 1$ hence we obtain a map

$$\lambda^{\emptyset,\infty}: C^{\emptyset,\infty} := \lim_{\leftarrow k} C^{\emptyset,k} \rightarrow \Lambda = \lim_{\leftarrow k} \Lambda/\varphi^k \Lambda$$

as the limit of $\lambda^{\emptyset,k}$.

Proposition 5.4.4. *Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$.*

- (1) *There exists an element $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \Lambda_{E_\varphi}$, unique up to a scalar in E_φ^\times , satisfying the following property: There exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that for every finite order character $\chi: \Upsilon \rightarrow (\overline{E_\varphi})^\times$ of conductor \mathfrak{U}_c for some $\mathfrak{c} = (\mathfrak{c}_v)_v \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$ and every E -linear embedding $\iota: \overline{E_\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\iota \mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)(\chi) = C \cdot \iota \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \left(\frac{\|v\|^{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}}}{\omega(\pi_{0,v}, \pi_{1,v}) \omega(\pi_{0,v}^\vee, \pi_{1,v}^\vee)} \right)^{\mathfrak{c}_v} \cdot L\left(\frac{1}{2}, (\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \otimes \iota(\chi \circ \mathrm{Nm}_{F/F^+}^-)\right).$$

- (2) *Possibly after shrinking $(\mathbf{K}_{n,v}, \mathbf{K}_{n+1,v})$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+$, there exists an element $\phi \in C^{\emptyset,\infty}$ such that $(\lambda^{\emptyset,\infty}(\phi)^\dagger)^2$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ generate the same ideal of Λ_{E_φ} .*

Proof. For (1), the uniqueness is clear; and the existence follows from [Liu, Theorem 5.2].

For (2), we have a map

$$\phi_-: O_\varphi[\mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_0}, \mathbf{K}_{n_0})][\ker \phi_{\Pi_0}^\vee] \otimes_{O_\varphi} O_\varphi[\mathrm{Sh}(\mathbf{V}_{n_1}, \mathbf{K}_{n_1})][\ker \phi_{\Pi_1}^\vee] \rightarrow C^{\emptyset,\infty}$$

induced by the Petersson inner product with respect the counting measure. It follows from the construction that for φ^\vee in the source,

$$\lambda^{\emptyset,\infty}(\phi_{\varphi^\vee}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{P}\varphi^\vee},$$

where \mathcal{P} is defined in [Liu, Proposition 5.1]. Since $P_{\alpha,v}$ induces an isomorphism from $(\pi_{\alpha,v}^\vee)^{\text{GL}_{n_\alpha}(O_{F_v^+})}$ to the ordinary line in $(\pi_{\alpha,v}^\vee)^{I_{n_\alpha,v}}$ for $\alpha = 0, 1$ and $v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+$, (2) follows from [Liu, Proposition 5.7 & Corollary 5.3]. \square

Definition 5.4.5. Denote by $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ the composition of $\lambda^{n,k}$ with the natural map $\Lambda/\wp^k \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover,

- ❖ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, denote by $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}$ the composition of $\lambda^{\theta,\infty}$ with the natural map $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$;
- ❖ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ the image of $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$.

Corollary 5.4.6. *Possibly after shrinking $(K_{n,v}, K_{n+1,v})$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+$, there exists an element $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\theta,\infty}$ such that $(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)^\dagger)^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ generate the same ideal of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 5.4.4(2). \square

Remark 5.4.7. In the upcoming article [LS], the authors are able to evaluate $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ at all finite order characters. In particular, they show that there is no exceptional zero for $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ at classical points. As a consequence, the nonvanishing of $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ at the trivial character is equivalent to the nonvanishing of $L(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$.

5.5. The kappa maps. This subsection has two goals. First, we construct for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$ with $k \geq 1$ a map

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k} : C^{n,k} \rightarrow H_{(n)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\wp} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}})$$

(a submodule of $H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\wp} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}})$ to be defined below) compatible with respect to k . Second, we recall from [Liu] the definition of the Λ -submodule $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n))$ and its relation with $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,k}$ when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$.

We choose a frame (Definition 5.4.1) and keep the relevant notation from the previous subsection. When $n \notin \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$, we define $\kappa^{n,k}$ to be the zero map. Suppose that $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$. Take an element $\phi \in C^{n,k}$. Consider a tuple $c = (c_v)_v \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$. By [Liu, Lemma 4.11], $\Gamma(F_c/F)$ is naturally a quotient of

$$\pi_0(\text{Sh}(V_n^n, {}^c K_n^n)_{\overline{F}}) = \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(F^+) \backslash \mathbf{G}_{F/F^+}(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty) / \det {}^c K_n^n.$$

For every $\varsigma \in \text{Gal}(F_c/F)$, we denote by $\text{Sh}(V_n^n, {}^c K_n^n)_\varsigma$ the union of geometric components indexed over ς , which is defined over F_c . Then we have

$$[\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^n, {}^c K_n^n)_\varsigma] \in Z^n((\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, {}^c K_{n_0}^n) \times_F \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^n, {}^c K_{n_1}^n))_{F_c}),$$

hence

$${}^c P_*[\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^n, {}^c K_n^n)_\varsigma] \in Z^n((\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n) \times_F \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^n, K_{n_1}^n))_{F_c})_{O_\wp}$$

and

$$\text{AJ}({}^c P_*[\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^n, {}^c K_n^n)_\varsigma]) \in H^1(F_c, \mathcal{M}^n / (\mathfrak{n}_0^{n,k}, \mathfrak{n}_1^{n,k})).$$

We put

$$\kappa^{n,k}(\phi)_c := \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+} \omega(\pi_{0,v}^\vee, \pi_{1,v}^\vee)^{-c_v} \cdot H^1(F_c, \phi) (\text{AJ}({}^c P_*[\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n^n, {}^c K_n^n)_1])) \in H^1(F_c, T^c/\wp^k T^c).$$

By (the same proof of) [Liu, Proposition 7.1], there exists a (unique) element

$$\kappa^{n,k}(\phi) \in \varprojlim_c H^1(F_c, T^c/\wp^k T^c) = H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\wp} \Lambda/\wp^k \Lambda)$$

whose image in $H^1(F_c, T^c/\wp^k T^c)$ is $\kappa^{n,k}(\phi)_c$. It is clear that the construction is compatible with respect to k , that is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{n,k} & \xrightarrow{\kappa^{n,k}} & H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\wp} \Lambda/\wp^k \Lambda) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^{n,k-1} & \xrightarrow{\kappa^{n,k-1}} & H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\wp} \Lambda/\wp^{k-1} \Lambda) \end{array}$$

commutes for $k \geq 2$. In particular, when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$ for every $k \geq 1$ hence we obtain a map

$$\kappa^{\theta,\infty} : C^{\theta,\infty} := \varprojlim_k C^{\theta,k} \rightarrow H^1(F, T_\Lambda^c) = \varprojlim_k H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\wp} \Lambda/\wp^k \Lambda)$$

as the limit of $\kappa^{\theta,k}$.

Notation 5.5.1. Recall the natural homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$.

- (1) Denote by $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ (resp. $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}$) the composition of $\kappa^{n,k}$ (resp. $\kappa^{\theta,\infty}$) with the natural map

$$H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda / \wp^k \Lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} / \wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) \quad \text{resp.} \quad H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda) \rightarrow H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

- (2) Denote by $\dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ the composition of $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ with the tautological \dagger -linear isomorphism

$$H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} / \wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} / \wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}).$$

Similarly, when $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, we have

$$\dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty} : C^{\theta,\infty} \rightarrow H^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}) = \varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', T).$$

Definition 5.5.2. Suppose that $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$. For every intermediate field $F \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \wp \mathcal{F}$, we define

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, \rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n))$$

to be the $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$ -submodule generated by the image of $\dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)$ in $\varprojlim_{F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}} H^1(F', V)$, which belongs to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}, V)$,

for every $\phi \in C^{\theta,\infty}$ (with respect to all possible pairs $(K_{n,v}, K_{n+1,v})$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+$ in the initial datum \mathcal{V}).³⁰

Remark 5.5.3. It is straightforward to check, by the multiplicity one property of local Bessel models, that the nonvanishing of $\mathcal{K}(F, \rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n)) \subseteq H_f^1(F, \rho_{\Pi_0, \wp} \otimes \rho_{\Pi_1, \wp}(n))$ is equivalent to the nonvanishing of the element $AJ_{\wp}^{\Pi_0, \Pi_1}(\Delta \text{Sh}(V_n, K_n))$ in [LTXZZ1, Theorem 8.3.2].

In the rest of this subsection, we study local properties of the map $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$.

Definition 5.5.4. Let Θ be as in Definition 5.2.3 and $k \geq 1$ an integer.

- (1) For every place w of F , we define $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)$ to be the propagation of the Selmer structure $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta}^c)$ from Definition 5.2.3(2) along the quotient map $T_{\Theta}^c \rightarrow T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c$.
- (2) For $w \in \mathfrak{L}_k$, we denote by $H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)$ the image of the natural map

$$H^1(F_w, (T^c/\wp^k T^c)^{\phi_w = \ell^2} \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, (T^c/\wp^k T^c) \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta) = H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c).$$

- (3) For $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k$, define³¹

$$H_{(n)}^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) := \ker \left(H^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) \rightarrow \prod_{w \notin \Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_{\rho} \cup \mathfrak{N}_n} \frac{H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)}{H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)} \times \prod_{w \in \mathfrak{N}_n} \frac{H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)}{H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)} \right),$$

$$H_{f(n)}^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) := \ker \left(H^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) \rightarrow \prod_{w \notin \mathfrak{N}_n} \frac{H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)}{H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)} \times \prod_{w \in \mathfrak{N}_n} \frac{H^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)}{H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)} \right) \subseteq H_{(n)}^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c).$$

We sometimes also write $H_{(n)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta/\wp^k \Theta)$ and $H_{f(n)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta/\wp^k \Theta)$ for $H_{(n)}^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)$ and $H_{f(n)}^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)$, respectively, to be visually more clear.

Remark 5.5.5. For $w \in \mathfrak{L}_k$, it is easy to show that $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) = H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)$. On the other hand, by Remark 5.3.4(3) and Lemma 5.2.2, the natural maps

$$H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) \leftarrow H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_w, T^c/\wp^k T^c) \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Theta$$

are both isomorphisms. In particular, (5.12) provides us with two isomorphisms

$$H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) \simeq \Theta/\wp^k \Theta, \quad H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c) \simeq \Theta/\wp^k \Theta;$$

³⁰It is straightforward to check that this definition coincides with [Liu, Notation 7.5(1)].

³¹We warn the readers that the submodule $H_{f(n)}^1(F, T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c)$ defined below does depend on the lattice T and also Θ , not only on the $O_{\wp}[\Gamma_F]$ -module $T_{\Theta/\wp^k\Theta}^c$ in its notation.

hence for every $w \in \mathcal{L}_k$, we have the localization map

$$\text{loc}_w : H_{(n)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Theta / \wp^k \Theta) \rightarrow \begin{cases} H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k \Theta}^c) \simeq \Theta / \wp^k \Theta, & w \in n, \\ H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Theta/\wp^k \Theta}^c) \simeq \Theta / \wp^k \Theta, & w \notin n. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.5.6. *Let w denote a place of F .*

- (1) *Suppose that $w \notin \Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_p \cup n$. For every $k \geq 1$ and every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, the image of $\text{loc}_w \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $w \in n$. For every $k \geq 1$ and every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, the image of $\text{loc}_w \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$.*
- (3) *Suppose that $w \in \Sigma_{\min} \cup (\Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})$. There exists an integer constant $k(w) \geq 0$ such that for every $k \geq 1$ and every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, the image of $\text{loc}_w \circ (\wp^{k(w)} \cdot \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k})$ is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$.*
- (4) *Suppose that $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ and that \mathcal{F}/F is free. For every $k \geq 1$ and every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, the image of $\text{loc}_w \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ is contained in*

$$\ker \left(H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H^1(I_{F_w}, (T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}) \right).$$

As a consequence of (1) and (2), the image of $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_{(n)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_\varphi} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} / \wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}})$.

Proof. Take an element $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$ with $k \geq 1$. We may assume $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{eff}}$ since otherwise $\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k} = 0$. We recall some constructions from the proof of Lemma 5.3.8. For $\alpha = 0, 1$, denote by T_α^n the O_φ -subring of $\text{End}_{O_\varphi} \mathcal{M}^n$ generated by T_α^n . Then \mathfrak{m}_α^n generates a maximal ideal of T_α^n for $\alpha = 0, 1$ by Proposition 5.3.7(2). For $\alpha = 0, 1$, let

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^n} : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_\alpha}(T_\alpha^n / \mathfrak{m}_\alpha^n)$$

be the corresponding lifting the (absolutely irreducible) residue representation of $\rho_{\Pi_\alpha, \varphi}^c(r_\alpha)$. There is a decomposition of $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -modules

$$T^c := \mathcal{M}_{(\mathfrak{m}_0^n, \mathfrak{m}_1^n)}^n = T_0^c \otimes_{O_\varphi} T_1^c,$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^c &:= H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_0-1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_0))_{\mathfrak{m}_0^n} \simeq \left(H_0 \otimes_{(T_0^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_0^n}} (T_0^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_0^n}^{\oplus n_0} \right), \\ T_1^c &:= H_{\text{ét}}^{2r_1}(\text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^n, K_{n_1}^n)_{\overline{F}}, O_\varphi(r_1))_{\mathfrak{m}_1^n} \simeq \left(H_1 \otimes_{(T_1^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_1^n}} (T_1^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_1^n}^{\oplus n_1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $(T_\alpha^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^n}$ -module H_α (with trivial Γ_F -action) for $\alpha = 0, 1$. Moreover, as $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -modules, $(\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_0^n} \bmod \mathfrak{n}_0^{n,k}) \otimes (\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_1^n} \bmod \mathfrak{n}_1^{n,k}) \simeq T^c / \wp^k T^c$. Put $T := (T_0^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_0^n} \otimes_{O_\varphi} (T_1^n)_{\mathfrak{m}_1^n}$ and $H := H_0 \otimes_{O_\varphi} H_1$ as a T -module. Below, we put $F'_c := F_c \cap \mathcal{F}$ for every tuple $c \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$.

For (1), we have that V^c is unramified at w . It follows easily that $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) = H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$. Now since $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^n}$ is also unramified at w for $\alpha = 0, 1$, it follows from [Rub00, Lemma 1.3.5 & Lemma 1.3.8] that the image of $\text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$ hence in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$. Part (1) is proved.

For (2), there exists a unique decomposition $T^c = \mathbf{M}_0 \oplus \mathbf{M}_1$ of $T[\Gamma_{F_w}]$ -modules satisfying:

- (a) \mathbf{M}_1 is unramified at w and $H^i(F_w, \mathbf{M}_1) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (b) \mathbf{M}_0 admits a unique $T[\Gamma_{F_w}]$ -linear filtration $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{L}' \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \rightarrow 0$ in which \mathbf{L}' (resp. \mathbf{L}) is isomorphic to H on which Γ_w acts via the cyclotomic character (resp. trivially), and the monodromy map induces an injective map from \mathbf{L} to \mathbf{L}' .

The $O_\varphi[\Gamma_{F_w}]$ -linear map $T^c / \wp^k T^c \rightarrow (T^c / \wp^k T^c)_{\Gamma_{F_w}}$ induces a map $H^1(F_w, T^c / \wp^k T^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, (T^c / \wp^k T^c)_{\Gamma_{F_w}})$, whose kernel coincides with $H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T^c / \wp^k T^c)$. Thus, in view of Lemma 4.1.4, it suffices to show that the natural map

$$H^1(F_w, T^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, (T^c)_{\Gamma_{F_w}})$$

vanishes. It is clear from (a) and (b) that $(T^c)_{\Gamma_{F_w}} = \mathbf{L}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$H^1(F_w, T^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow H^2(F_w, \mathbf{L}' \oplus \mathbf{M}_1) \rightarrow H^2(F_w, T^c).$$

It follows from (a) and (b) that $H^1(F_w, L) \simeq H$ and $H^2(F_w, L' \oplus M_1) = H^2(F_w, L') \simeq H$, which are finite free O_φ -modules of the same rank. Now since the monodromy map is an injective map from L to L' , $H^2(F_w, T^c)$ is O_φ -torsion. It follows that the map $H^1(F_w, T^c) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, L)$ vanishes, which implies (2).

For (3), recall from Definition 5.2.3(3) that we have the submodule $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \subseteq H^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$; and we further define $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ to be the propagation of $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ along the natural map $W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger} \rightarrow W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}$. Then by Lemma 5.2.6, $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$ and $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ are mutual annihilators under the local Tate pairing (5.4). We have three cases.

First, suppose that $w \in \Sigma_{\min}$. Let $k_2(w) \geq 0$ be an integer such that the torsion O_φ -submodule of $H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c)$ is annihilated by $\varphi^{k_2(w)}$. We claim that the image of $\varphi^{k(w)} \cdot \text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$, where $k(w) := k_1(w) + k_2(w)$ in which $k_1(w)$ is from Lemma 5.5.7 below. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger} \rightarrow W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger} \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger} \rightarrow 0$$

of $O_\varphi[\Gamma_F]$ -modules induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} & H^0(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(I_{F_w}, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} & H^0(I_{F_w}, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(I_{F_w}, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(I_{F_w}, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \end{array}$$

of O_φ -modules. It is straightforward to see that the kernel of the map $H^1(I_{F_w}, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \rightarrow H^1(I_{F_w}, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ is annihilated by $\varphi^{k_2(w)}$, which implies that $\varphi^{k_2(w)} \cdot H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ is contained in $H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$. Combining with Lemma 5.5.7 below, we know that the image of $\varphi^{k(w)} \cdot \text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is perpendicular to $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ under the local Tate pairing, hence is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$.

Next, suppose that $w \in \Sigma_p \setminus \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$ (so that w is unramified in $\varphi\mathcal{F}$). Let $k(w) \geq 0$ be the integer such that $\varphi^{k(w)}$ generates the different ideal of the local field extension E_φ/\mathbb{Q}_p (in particular, $k(w)$ is independent of w). We claim that the image of $\varphi^{k(w)} \cdot \text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$. For every tuple $c \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$, $F_{c,w}$ is a product of finitely many finite unramified extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p and we have the subspace

$$H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_{c,w}, T^c/\varphi^k T^c) \subseteq H^1(F_{c,w}, T^c/\varphi^k T^c) := \bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F_{c,w'}, T^c/\varphi^k T^c)$$

classifying crystalline extensions (with Hodge–Tate weights in $[-n, n-1]$) as defined in [LTXZZ1, Definition 2.2.5]. Put

$$H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) := \varprojlim_c H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_{c,w}, T^c/\varphi^k T^c) \subseteq \varprojlim_c H^1(F_{c,w}, T^c/\varphi^k T^c) = H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c).$$

We define similarly for the subspace $H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \subseteq H^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$. By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 2.4.3] and (A1) in Definition 5.1.2, we have $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c) \subseteq H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$. By [Rub00, Lemma 1.3.8(i)], we also have $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}) \subseteq H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$. Since T^c is a crystalline representation (with Hodge–Tate weights in $[-n, n-1]$), it follow again by [LTXZZ1, Lemma 2.4.3] and (A1) that the image of $\text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$. By [LTXZZ1, Lemma 2.2.7] and (A1), the image of $\varphi^{k(w)} \cdot \text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is perpendicular to $H_{\text{ns}}^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$ hence $H_f^1(F_w, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger})$. It follows that the image of $\varphi^{k(w)} \cdot \text{loc}_w \circ \kappa^{n,k}$ is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger/\varphi^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger}^c)$.

For (4), as V^c is also ordinary crystalline at w , we have the Hodge–Tate filtration as in Notation 5.1.7 for V^c as well. For every tuple $c \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$, the image of the map

$$\text{loc}_w \circ \text{AJ}: Z^n((\text{Sh}(V_{n_0}^n, K_{n_0}^n) \times_F \text{Sh}(V_{n_1}^n, K_{n_1}^n))_{F_c})_{O_\varphi} \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F_c}}^c) = \bigoplus_{w'|w} H^1(F_{c,w'}, T^c)$$

is contained in $\bigoplus_{w'|w} H_f^1(F_{c,w'}, T^c)$, where $H_f^1(F_{c,w'}, T^c)$ is the propagation of $H_f^1(F_{c,w'}, V^c)$. By Lemma 5.2.6(1) (for V), the image of $\text{loc}_{w'} \circ \text{AJ}$ is contained in the kernel of $H^1(F_{c,w'}, T^c) \rightarrow H^1(I_{F_{c,w'}}, V^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} V^c)$, which is same as the kernel of $H^1(F_{c,w'}, T^c) \rightarrow H^1(I_{F_{c,w'}}, T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)$ by Lemma 5.2.10(1) (for V). Since $p > 4n$, the map $\phi: T^c \rightarrow T^c / \wp^k T^c$ must preserve Hodge–Tate filtrations for every $\phi \in C^{n,k}$, which implies (4).

The proposition has been proved. \square

Lemma 5.5.7. *For every prime w of F not above p , there exists an integer $k_1(w) \geq 0$ such that $H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$ is annihilated by $\wp^{k_1(w)}$ for every $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. When $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F},w}$ is infinite, $H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) = 0$ by (the same argument for) [BD05, Lemma 2.4].

Now suppose that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F},w}$ is finite. Then we may take a finite nonzero power Frobenius element $\Phi \in \Gamma_{F_w}$ that maps trivially to $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$. It suffices to show the existence of $k_1(w)$ such that $H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F'}/\wp^k \Lambda_{F'}}^c)$ is annihilated by $\wp^{k_1(w)}$ for every $k \geq 0$ and every $F \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. We have

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(F_w, T_{\Lambda_{F'}/\wp^k \Lambda_{F'}}^c) \subseteq H^1(I_{F_w}, T_{\Lambda_{F'}/\wp^k \Lambda_{F'}}^c)^{\Phi=1} = H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c / \wp^k T^c)^{\Phi=1} \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{F'}/\wp^k \Lambda_{F'}.$$

The term $H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c / \wp^k T^c)^{\Phi=1}$ sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c) / \wp^k H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c))^{\Phi=1} \rightarrow H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c / \wp^k T^c)^{\Phi=1} \rightarrow H^2(I_{F_w}, T^c)^{\Phi=1}.$$

Now, $(H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c) / \wp^k H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c))^{\Phi=1}$ sits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c)^{\Phi=1} / \wp^k H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c)^{\Phi=1} \rightarrow (H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c) / \wp^k H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c))^{\Phi=1} \rightarrow H^1(\Phi, H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c)).$$

Since V is pure of weight -1 at w by [LTXZZ1, Lemma 8.1.6], we have

$$H^2(I_{F_w}, V^c)^{\Phi=1} = H^1(I_{F_w}, V^c)^{\Phi=1} = H^1(\Phi, H^1(I_{F_w}, V^c)) = 0,$$

which implies that $H^1(I_{F_w}, T^c / \wp^k T^c)^{\Phi=1}$ is annihilated by $\wp^{k_1(w)}$ for some integer $k_1(w) \geq 0$ independent of k . The lemma then follows. \square

5.6. The Iwasawa Rankin–Selberg bipartite Euler system. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 5.1.3 via the so-called Iwasawa Rankin–Selberg bipartite Euler system.

For every integer $k \geq 0$, denote by $\mathfrak{X}_k^{\text{arrow}}$ the set of arrows of \mathfrak{X}_k . For every integer $k \geq 1$, we call the data

$$(5.14) \quad (\{\mathcal{V}^n, j^n, C^{n,k} \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}_k\}, \{j^a, \varrho^{a,k} \mid a \in \mathfrak{X}_k^{\text{arrow}}\}, \{\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k} \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}\}, \{\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k} \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}\})$$

defined from the previous three subsections the \mathcal{F} -Iwasawa Rankin–Selberg bipartite Euler system for (Π_0, Π_1) modulo \wp^k (with respect to the initial data \mathcal{V}). It enjoys the following remarkable relations.

Theorem 5.6.1. *Let $a = a(n, n!)$ be an arrow of \mathfrak{X}_k for some integer $k \geq 1$.*

(1) *When $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{def}}$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{n,k} & \xrightarrow{\varrho^{a,k}} & C^{n!,k} \\ \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k} \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n!,k} \\ \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} & \xleftarrow{[j_n^a]^{-1} \cdot \text{loc}_1} & H_{(n!)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where $[j_n^a]$ is the element from Theorem 4.2.3 (for $V_n^{\circ} = V_n^n$, $V_n' = V_n^{n!}$, $p = 1$) regarded as an (invertible) element in Λ .

(2) *When $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{n,k} & \xrightarrow{\varrho^{a,k}} & C^{n!,k} \\ \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n,k} \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n!,k} \\ H_{(n)}^1(F, T^c \otimes_{O_{\wp}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}) & \xrightarrow{[j_n^a] \cdot \text{loc}_1} & \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\wp^k \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where $[j_n^a]$ is the element from Theorem 4.3.6 (for $V_n = V_n^n$, $V'_n = V_n^{nl}$, $p = 1$) regarded as an (invertible) element in Λ .

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 4.2.3 and Theorem 4.3.6 (for $\tilde{F} = F_c \cap \mathcal{F}$ with all $c \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^{\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^+}$), respectively, along with the constructions of the relevant maps. \square

Now we can focus on the proof of Theorem 5.1.3. We start with two lemmas while recalling Notation 5.2.11.

Lemma 5.6.2. *For every closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ and every integer $k \geq 1$,*

- (1) *the natural map $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c) / \varphi_x^k H_f^1(F, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H_f^1(F, T_{(O_x^\dagger / \varphi_x^k O_x^\dagger)}^c)$ is injective;*
- (2) *the natural map $H_f^1(F, W_{O_x / \varphi^k O_x}) \rightarrow H_f^1(F, W_{O_x})[\varphi^k]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For (1), since the Selmer structure on $T_{O_x^\dagger}^c$ is defined as the propagation of the one on $V_{O_x^\dagger}^c$, the quotient O_x -module $H^1(F_w, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c) / H_f^1(F_w, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c)$ is torsion free. Thus, (1) follows from [MR04, Lemma 3.7.1].

For (2), since $T/\varphi T$ is absolutely irreducible of dimension at least two, (2) follows by [MR04, Lemma 3.5.4]. \square

Lemma 5.6.3. *For every nonzero element $s \in H^1(F, T/\varphi T)$ and every $k \geq 1$, there are infinitely many elements $l \in \mathcal{L}_k$ such that $\text{loc}_l(s) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $H^0(F, T/\varphi T) = 0$, we may identify $H^1(F, T/\varphi T)$ with $H^1(F, T/\varphi^k T)[\varphi]$. Denote by S the O_φ -submodule of $H^1(F, T/\varphi^k T)$ generated by s , which is free over O_φ/φ of rank one. Choose an element $l \in \mathcal{L}_k$, which is possible by Remark 5.3.4(5). Let γ be the element in [LTXZZ1, Notation 2.6.2] given by a Frobenius element at l . By [LTXZZ1, Proposition 2.6.6] (with $m = k$ and $m_0 = k - 1$) and (A3) in Definition 5.1.2, (S, γ) -abundant 1-tuple exists. Then by [LTXZZ1, Proposition 2.6.7] and the Chebotarev density theorem, we may find infinitely many elements $l \in \mathcal{L}_k$ such that $\text{loc}_l(s) \neq 0$. \square

From now on, we assume \mathcal{F}/F free. We study specializations of the \mathcal{F} -Iwasawa Rankin–Selberg bipartite Euler system (5.14) at closed points. For every closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$, write sp_x for various specialization maps induced by the map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow O_x$.

Proposition 5.6.4. *For every affinoid subdomain \mathcal{U} of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ disjoint from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (Notation 5.2.12), there exists an integer $k_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 0$ such that for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} , the image of the map*

$$\text{sp}_x \circ (\varphi^{k_{\mathcal{U}}} \cdot \dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n, k_{\mathcal{U}}})$$

is contained in $H_{f(n)}^1(F, T_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}^c)$ (Definition 5.5.4(3)) for every $k \geq 1$ and every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_k^{\text{indef}}$ (including $k = \infty$ and $n = \emptyset$ when applicable). Here, $\dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n, k}$ is the map in Notation 5.5.1(2).

Proof. By Proposition 5.5.6, it suffices to show that for every $w \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}$, there exists an integer $k(w)_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 0$ such that for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} and every integer $k \geq 1$,

$$\varphi^{k(w)_{\mathcal{U}}} \cdot \ker \left(H^1(F_w, T_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{I}_{F_w}, (T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}) \right)$$

is contained in $H_f^1(F_w, T_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}^c)$.

Consider

$$\ker \left(H^1(F_w, (T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{I}_{F_w}, (T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}) \right) \simeq H^1(\kappa_w, ((T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger})^{\mathbf{I}_{F_w}}),$$

which coincides with $H^1(\kappa_w, ((\text{Gr}_w^0 T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger})^{\mathbf{I}_{F_w}})$ as $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}, w}$ is torsion free and $p > n$. Since \mathcal{U} is away from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$, there exists an integer $k_1(w)_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 0$ depending only on \mathcal{U} such that the ideal generated by the image of $\{\gamma - 1 \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{F_w}\}$ in $\text{End}_{O_x}((\text{Gr}_w^0 T^c)_{O_x^\dagger})$ contains $\varphi^{k_{\mathcal{U}}}$ for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} . It follows that $\varphi^{k_1(w)_{\mathcal{U}}}$ annihilates $H^1(\kappa_w, ((\text{Gr}_w^0 T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger})^{\mathbf{I}_{F_w}})$ for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} and every $k \geq 1$. In other words,

$$\varphi^{k_1(w)_{\mathcal{U}}} \cdot \ker \left(H^1(F_w, T_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}^c) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{I}_{F_w}, (T^c / \text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}) \right)$$

is contained in the image of the map

$$H^1(F_w, (\text{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}) \rightarrow H^1(F_w, T_{(O_x/\varphi^k O_x)^\dagger}^c).$$

Now we consider

$$\text{coker}\left(\mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^\dagger}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{(O_x/\wp^k O_x)^\dagger})\right),$$

which is contained in $\mathrm{H}^2(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^\dagger})$, whose Cartier dual is isomorphic $\mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (W/\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{O_x})$. Again, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (W/\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} W)_{O_x}) = \mathrm{H}^0(F_w, (\mathrm{Gr}_w^0 W)_{O_x})$. Since \mathcal{U} is away from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}, w}$, there exists an integer $k_2(w)_{\mathcal{U}}$ depending only on \mathcal{U} such that $\wp^{k_2(w)_{\mathcal{U}}}$ annihilates $\mathrm{H}^2(F_w, (\mathrm{Fil}_w^{-1} T^c)_{O_x^\dagger})$ for every closed point x of \mathcal{U} . Thus, we may take $k(w)_{\mathcal{U}} = k_1(w)_{\mathcal{U}} + k_2(w)_{\mathcal{U}}$.

The proposition is proved. \square

Take an affinoid subdomain \mathcal{U} as in Proposition 5.6.4 and a closed point x in \mathcal{U} . Put

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\mathcal{U}, x}^{n, k} &:= \mathrm{sp}_x \circ (\wp^{k_{\mathcal{U}}} \cdot \dagger \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n, k}): \mathcal{C}^{n, k} \rightarrow O_x/\wp^k O_x, \\ \kappa_{\mathcal{U}, x}^{n, k} &:= \mathrm{sp}_x \circ (\wp^{k_{\mathcal{U}}} \cdot \dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{n, k}): \mathcal{C}^{n, k} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{f(n)}^1(F, T_{(O_x/\wp^k O_x)^\dagger}^c) \end{aligned}$$

(including $k = \infty$) whenever applicable. Here, $\dagger \lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n, k}$ denotes the composition of $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{n, k}$ (Definition 5.4.5) and the involution \dagger on $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For every pair of integers $1 \leq k \leq j$, put

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}, x}(k, j) := \min \left\{ \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{n, k}} \mathrm{ind} \left(\lambda_{\mathcal{U}, x}^{n, k}(\phi), O_x/\wp^k O_x \right) \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{def}} \right\},$$

where $\mathrm{ind} \left(\lambda_{\mathcal{U}, x}^{n, k}(\phi), O_x/\wp^k O_x \right) := \sup \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \lambda_{\mathcal{U}, x}^{n, k}(\phi) \in \wp^i O_x/\wp^k O_x \right\}$. As $\delta_{\mathcal{U}, x}(k, j) \leq \delta_{\mathcal{U}, x}(k, j+1)$, we may put

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}, x}(k) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\mathcal{U}, x}(k, j) \leq \infty.$$

We omit \mathcal{U} in all the subscripts when it is irrelevant or clear from the context.

Proposition 5.6.5. *Let x be a closed point of $\mathrm{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\wp}$ disjoint from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $k \geq 1$ an integer.*

(1) *When $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$, if $\lambda_x^{0, k} \neq 0$, then*

- (a) $\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c)$ vanishes;
- (b) $\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, W_{O_x})$ has O_x -corank zero;
- (c) we have

$$\mathrm{length}_{O_x} \left(\mathrm{Hom}_{O_x} \left(\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, W_{O_x}), E_x/O_x \right) \right) + 2\delta_x(k) = 2 \cdot \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0, \infty}} \mathrm{length}_{O_x} \left(O_x/\lambda_x^{0, \infty}(\phi) \right).$$

(2) *When $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, if $\kappa_x^{0, k} \neq 0$, then*

- (a) $\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c)$ is free of rank one over O_x ;
- (b) $\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, W_{O_x})$ has O_x -corank one;
- (c) we have

$$\mathrm{length}_{O_x} \left(\mathrm{Hom}_{O_x} \left(\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, W_{O_x}), E_x/O_x \right)^{\mathrm{tor}} \right) + 2\delta_x(k) = 2 \cdot \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0, \infty}} \mathrm{length}_{O_x} \left(\mathrm{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_x^\dagger}^c)/O_x \kappa_x^{0, \infty}(\phi) \right).$$

Proof. Let j be an integer at least $2k$. We apply the discussion in §5.7 to the following situation:

$$R = O_x/\wp^k O_x, \quad T = T_{O_x/\wp^k O_x}, \quad \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_j, \quad (\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}) = (f, \Sigma_{\min} \cup \Sigma_p),$$

so $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_j$. By (A3) in Definition 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.6.3, T satisfies Hypotheses 5.7.1. By [How06, Lemma 3.3.4(d)], the Selmer structure $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})$ is self-dual and cartesian.

Assume $\lambda_x^{0, k} \neq 0$ and $\kappa_x^{0, k} \neq 0$ in (1) and (2), respectively. By Theorem 5.6.1 and Proposition 5.6.4, we know that $\mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{def}} = \mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{even}}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{indef}} = \mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{odd}}$ by the same argument for [How06, Lemma 3.3.5], hence the data $(\{\mathcal{C}^{n, k}\}, \{\varrho^{a, k}\}, \{\lambda_x^{n, k}\}, \{\kappa_x^{n, k}\})$ form a generalized bipartite Euler system for $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{L})$ (Definition 5.7.2). By the same argument for [How06, Lemma 3.3.6] (using Lemma 5.7.3), we have $(\mathcal{C}^{n, k})^{\mathrm{free}} = \mathcal{C}^{n, k}$ (Notation 5.7.4) for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{indef}} = \mathfrak{N}_j^{\mathrm{odd}}$ containing an effective element in \mathfrak{L}_j (Definition 5.3.3(4)).

We first consider (1). By Remark 5.3.6(2) and the construction of $\varrho^{a, k}$ in Definition 5.3.5, we may take $v = v(\emptyset)$ as an effective vertex (Definition 5.7.2) of the generalized bipartite Euler system $(\{\mathcal{C}^{n, k}\}, \{\varrho^{a, k}\}, \{\lambda_x^{n, k}\}, \{\kappa_x^{n, k}\})$. As

$\emptyset \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$, we may write $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x/\wp^k O_x}) = M \oplus M$ for an $O_x/\wp^k O_x$ -module M . Applying Proposition 5.7.8(2) to $\mathfrak{n} = \emptyset$, we obtain the equality

$$\text{length}_{O_x} M + \delta_x(k, j) = \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}} \text{ind} \left(\lambda_x^{\theta,k}(\phi), O_x/\wp^k O_x \right)$$

of (finite) integers. This implies that M is annihilated by an ideal of O_x strictly containing $\wp^k O_x$. By Lemma 5.6.2(2) and the relation $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c) = \varprojlim_j H_f^1(F, W_{O_x})[\wp^j]$, we obtain (1a) and (1b), together with an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_x} \left(H_f^1(F, W_{O_x}), E_x/O_x \right) \simeq M \oplus M$$

of O_x -modules. Finally, we have

$$\min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}} \text{ind} \left(\lambda_x^{\theta,k}(\phi), O_x/\wp^k O_x \right) = \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}} \text{ind} \left(\lambda_x^{\theta,\infty}(\phi), O_x \right) = \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}} \text{length}_{O_x} \left(O_x/\lambda_x^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) \right).$$

Thus, (1c) follows by taking $j \rightarrow \infty$.

We then consider (2). Take an element $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}$ such that $\kappa_x^{\theta,k}(\phi) \neq 0$ so in particular $\kappa_x^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) \neq 0$. Write $\kappa_x^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) = c \cdot s_\phi$ for some elements $c \in O_x$ and $s_\phi \in H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c) \setminus \wp_x H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c)$. By Lemma 5.6.2(1), the image of s_ϕ in $H_f^1(F, T_{(O_x/\wp_x O_x)^\dagger}^c) = H_f^1(F, T/\wp T) \otimes_{O_\wp/\wp} O_x/\wp_x$, say \bar{s}_ϕ , is nonzero. By Lemma 5.6.3, we may find an element $l \in \mathfrak{L}_j$ such that $\text{loc}_1(\bar{s}_\phi) \neq 0$. By Theorem 5.6.1(2), we have $\mathcal{C}^{(l),k} \neq \emptyset$, that is, l is effective (Definition 5.3.3(4)). By the construction of $\varrho^{a,k}$ in Definition 5.3.5, we may take $v = v(l)$ as an effective vertex of the generalized bipartite Euler system $(\{\mathcal{C}^{n,k}\}, \{\varrho^{a,k}\}, \{\lambda_x^{n,k}\}, \{\kappa_x^{n,k}\})$. As $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$, we may write $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x/\wp^k O_x}) = O_x/\wp^k O_x \oplus M \oplus M$ for an $O_x/\wp^k O_x$ -module M . Applying Proposition 5.7.8(2) to $\mathfrak{n} = \emptyset$, we obtain the equality

$$\text{length}_{O_x} M + \delta_x(k, j) = \min_{\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\infty}} \text{ind} \left(\kappa_x^{\theta,k}(\phi), H_f^1(F, T_{(O_x/\wp_x^k O_x)^\dagger}^c) \right)$$

of (finite) integers. This implies that M is annihilated by an ideal of O_x strictly containing $\wp^k O_x$. By Lemma 5.6.2(2) and the relation $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c) = \varprojlim_j H_f^1(F, W_{O_x})[\wp^j]$, we obtain (2a) and (2b), together with an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{O_x} \left(H_f^1(F, W_{O_x}), E_x/O_x \right)^{\text{tor}} \simeq M \oplus M$$

of O_x -modules. Finally, Lemma 5.6.2(1) implies that

$$\text{ind} \left(\kappa_x^{\theta,k}(\phi), H_f^1(F, T_{(O_x/\wp_x^k O_x)^\dagger}^c) \right) = \text{length}_{O_x} \left(H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c) / O_x \kappa_x^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) \right).$$

Thus, (2c) follows by taking $j \rightarrow \infty$.

The proposition is proved. \square

We need one more lemma from geometry. Let \mathcal{M} be a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module. Suppose that \mathcal{F}/F is infinite and consider a height one prime \mathfrak{q} of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$ remains a height one prime of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$. We say that a closed point x of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$ is a \mathfrak{q} -clean point for \mathcal{M} if x locates in the vanishing locus of \mathfrak{q} but not in $\text{NF}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}/\mathfrak{q}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}}(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}/\mathfrak{q}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp})$ (Notation 5.2.12).

Lemma 5.6.6. *In the situation above, for every \mathfrak{q} -clean point x for \mathcal{M} and every proper Zariski closed subset \mathfrak{Z} of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$, there exists a sequence $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ of closed points of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp} \setminus \mathfrak{Z}$ such that*

- (1) Θ_{x_m} and Θ_x are isomorphic as O_\wp -rings for every $m \geq 1$;
- (2) $\text{length}_{O_\wp} \left(\Theta_{x_m} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_x \right)$ tends to infinity when $m \rightarrow \infty$;³²
- (3) we have

$$\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{M}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_\wp} (\mathcal{M} / \mathfrak{P}_{x_m} \mathcal{M});$$

where $l_m := \text{length}_{O_\wp} \left(\Theta_{x_m} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\mathfrak{q} \right)$ is a sequence of positive integers that tends to infinity by (2).

³²This means that the sequence $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ approaches to x under the natural p -adic topology on the set of closed points of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_\wp}$.

Proof. By [Bou98, Chap. VII §4.4, Theorem 5], there exists uniquely a finite collection of pairs $\{(q_i, n_i) \mid i \in I\}$ in which q_i 's are prime ideals of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ of height one and n_i are positive integers such that \mathcal{M} is pseudo-isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/q_i^{n_i}$, that is, there exists a map

$$\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/q_i^{n_i}$$

of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -modules such that the support of $\ker \phi \oplus \operatorname{coker} \phi$ has codimension at least two in $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$.

As $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a finite free \mathbb{Z}_p -module, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $O_{\wp}[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$ for a positive integer d (as \mathcal{F}/F is infinite). Write (t_1, \dots, t_d) for the coordinates of x in $\overline{E_{\wp}}$. Then $\Theta_x = O_{\wp}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$. As x is a q -clean point of \mathcal{M} , it is contained in the open subset

$$\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{q_i \neq q} \operatorname{Spec}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/q_i) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\ker \phi) \right)$$

of $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$. Then for every $m \geq 1$, there exists $(c_1, \dots, c_d) \in (O_{\wp}^{\times})^d$ such that $x_m := (t_1 + c_1 \wp^m, \dots, t_d + c_d \wp^m)$ does not locate in

$$3 \cup \left(\bigcup_{q_i \neq q} \operatorname{Spec}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/q_i) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\ker \phi) \right).$$

It is clear that the sequence $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ satisfies (1) and (2).

It remains to check (3). As $\operatorname{ord}_q(\operatorname{char}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{q_i=q} n_i$, it suffices to show that the lengths of the following O_{\wp} -modules are uniformly bounded when $m \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\bigoplus_{q_i \neq q} (\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/q_i^{n_i}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m}, \quad \ker \phi \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m}, \quad \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}(\operatorname{coker} \phi, \Theta_{x_m}).$$

Put

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := \bigoplus_{q_i \neq q} (\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/q_i^{n_i}) \oplus \ker \phi, \quad \mathcal{M}_2 := \operatorname{coker} \phi.$$

For \mathcal{M}_1 , as x does not belong to its support, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that the $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_x$ is annihilated by \wp^{m_0} . For $m \geq 1$, we have $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} (\Theta_{x_m}/\wp^m \Theta_{x_m}) = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} (\Theta_x/\wp^m \Theta_x)$, which is annihilated by \wp^{m_0} . It follows that when $m > m_0$, $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m}$ is also annihilated by \wp^{m_0} . Since $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m}$ can be generated as an O_{\wp} -module by a finite set whose cardinality is independent of $m \geq 1$, $\operatorname{length}_{O_{\wp}}(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m})$ are bounded independently of m .

For \mathcal{M}_2 , we choose a resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow 0$ in which \mathcal{M}_3 is a finitely generated flat $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module. Then $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{M}_2, \Theta_{x_m}) = (\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m})^{\operatorname{tor}}$ for every $m \geq 1$. Write $\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m} = \Theta_{x_m}^{r_m} \oplus M_m$ for some integer $r_m \geq 0$ and some finitely generated torsion Θ_{x_m} -module M_m . Write $\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_x = \Theta_x^r \oplus M$ in the similar way. Let m_0 be a positive integer such that \wp^{m_0} annihilates M . Since $\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} (\Theta_{x_m}/\wp^m \Theta_{x_m}) = \mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} (\Theta_x/\wp^m \Theta_x)$, we have for $m > m_0$ that $r_m = r$ and M_m is annihilated by \wp^{m_0} . Since $\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m}$ hence M_m can be generated as an O_{\wp} -module by a finite set whose cardinality is independent of $m \geq 1$, $\operatorname{length}_{O_{\wp}}((\mathcal{M}_4 \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Theta_{x_m})^{\operatorname{tor}})$ are bounded independently of m .

The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1.3. Denote by $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the common vanishing locus of the image of the map $\dagger \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta, \infty}$ or $\dagger \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta, \infty}$, depending on whether $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = 1$ or $\epsilon(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) = -1$, as a Zariski closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$. By Corollary 5.4.6 and Definition 5.5.2, the premises of the statements imply that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a proper Zariski closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$, possibly after shrinking $(K_{n,v}, K_{n+1,v})$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\min}^+$ in the initial datum \mathcal{V} . Recall the proper Zariski closed subsets $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}}$ from Notation 5.2.12.

We first consider (1). Choose a closed point x of $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\wp}} \setminus (\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{F}})$ (Notation 5.2.12, which is nonempty by Lemma 5.2.13) and an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_x^{\theta, k} \neq 0$. By Proposition 5.6.5(1a,1b), $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c) = 0$ and $H_f^1(F, W_{O_x})$ has O_x -corank zero. Thus, (1a) follows by Proposition 5.2.15; and (1b) follows by Lemma 5.2.8 and Proposition 5.2.14.

For (1c), we may assume that \mathcal{F}/F is infinite since otherwise the statement follows from (1a). By Corollary 5.4.6, it suffices to show that for every height one prime \mathfrak{q} of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ is a height one prime of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$,

$$(5.15) \quad \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T))) \leq 2 \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\dagger\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)\right)\right)$$

holds for every $\phi \in C^{\theta,\infty}$. Take an element $\phi \in C^{\theta,\infty}$ such that $\dagger\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) \neq 0$ (otherwise, (5.15) is trivial) and choose a \mathfrak{q} -clean point x for both $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\dagger\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)$ away from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Applying Lemma 5.6.6 to x and $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$, we obtain a sequence $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ (contained in an affinoid subdomain around x disjoint from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T))) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)/\mathfrak{P}_{x_m}\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)), \\ \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/\dagger\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)\right)\right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(\dagger\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi), \mathfrak{P}_{x_m})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta_{x_m} \simeq \Theta_x$ for every $m \geq 1$ and $l_m \rightarrow \infty$, we have by (the Pontryagin dual of) Proposition 5.2.15 that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}(\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)/\mathfrak{P}_{x_m}\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(\text{Hom}_{O_x}\left(H_f^1(F, W_{O_{x_m}}), E_{x_m}/O_{x_m}\right)\right),$$

and by trivial reasons that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}/(\dagger\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi), \mathfrak{P}_{x_m})\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(O_{x_m}/\lambda_{x_m}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)\right).$$

Now (5.15) follows from Proposition 5.6.5(1c) by taking, for every $m \geq 1$, an integer $k_m \geq 1$ satisfying $\lambda_{x_m}^{\theta, k_m} \neq 0$.

We then consider (2). Choose a closed point x of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}} \setminus (\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{F}})$ (which is nonempty) and an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\kappa_x^{\theta, k} \neq 0$ (which is possible by Proposition 5.2.14 and Lemma 5.6.2(1)). By Proposition 5.6.5(2a,2b), $H_f^1(F, T_{O_x}^c)$ is free of rank one and $H_f^1(F, W_{O_x})$ has O_x -corank one. Thus, (2a) follows by Proposition 5.2.15; and (2b) follows by Lemma 5.2.8 and Proposition 5.2.14.

For (2c), we may assume that \mathcal{F}/F is infinite since otherwise the statement is trivial. By Definition 5.5.2, it suffices to show that for every height one prime \mathfrak{q} of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$ remains a height one prime of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F},E_{\varphi}}$,

$$(5.16) \quad \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T))) \leq 2 \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\dagger\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)\right)\right)$$

holds for every $\phi \in C^{\theta,\infty}$, where $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T)$ denotes the maximal $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$ -torsion submodule of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}, T)$. Take an element $\phi \in C^{\theta,\infty}$ such that $\dagger\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) \neq 0$ (otherwise, (5.16) is trivial). We make the following claim:

(*): There exists a \mathfrak{q} -clean point x for both $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T)$ and $H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\dagger\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)$ away from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that the natural map

$$(5.17) \quad H_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/\mathfrak{P}_x H_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H_f^1(F, V_{O_x}^c)$$

is injective.

Assume the claim and choose one such point x . Applying Lemma 5.6.6 to x and $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$, we obtain a sequence $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ (contained in an affinoid subdomain around x disjoint from $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T))) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T)/\mathfrak{P}_{x_m}\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T)), \\ \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\text{char}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\dagger\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)\right)\right) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\dagger\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) + \mathfrak{P}_{x_m}H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta_{x_m} \simeq \Theta_x$ for every $m \geq 1$ and $l_m \rightarrow \infty$, we have by (the Pontryagin dual of) Proposition 5.2.15 that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T)/\mathfrak{P}_{x_m}\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(\text{Hom}_{O_{x_m}}\left(H_f^1(F, W_{O_{x_m}}), E_{x_m}/O_{x_m}\right)^{\text{tor}}\right).$$

We claim that

$$(5.18) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\dagger\kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi) + \mathfrak{P}_{x_m}H_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)\right) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} l_m^{-1} \cdot \text{length}_{O_{\varphi}}\left(H_f^1(F, T_{O_{x_m}}^c)/O_{x_m}\kappa_{x_m}^{\theta,\infty}(\phi)\right).$$

Assuming this, (5.16) follows from Proposition 5.6.5(2c) by taking, for every $m \geq 1$, an integer $k_m \geq 1$ satisfying $\kappa_{x_m}^{\emptyset, k_m} \neq 0$. To check (5.18), it suffices to show that

$$c_m := \text{length}_{O_\varphi} \left(\text{coker} \left(\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) / \mathfrak{P}_{x_m} \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_{x_m}^\dagger}^c) \right) \right)$$

is uniformly bounded independent of m . Since the map (5.17) is injective and $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$ has rank one, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that the map

$$\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) / (\mathfrak{P}_x, \wp^{k_0}) \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{(O_x/\wp^{k_0}O_x)^\dagger}^c)$$

is nonzero. By Lemma 5.6.6(1,2), there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for every integer $m \geq m_0$, $(\mathfrak{P}_{x_m}, \wp^{k_0}) = (\mathfrak{P}_x, \wp^{k_0})$ and $O_{x_m}/\wp^{k_0}O_{x_m} = O_x/\wp^{k_0}O_x$. In particular, for $m \geq m_0$, the map

$$\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) / (\mathfrak{P}_{x_m}, \wp^{k_0}) \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{(O_{x_m}/\wp^{k_0}O_{x_m})^\dagger}^c)$$

is nonzero. Since this map factors through $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_{x_m}^\dagger}^c) / \wp^{k_0} \mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_{x_m}^\dagger}^c)$ and $\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{O_{x_m}^\dagger}^c)$ is a free O_{x_m} -module of rank one, we have

$$c_m \leq \text{length}_{O_\varphi} (O_x/\wp^{k_0-1}O_x) + \text{length}_{O_\varphi} (O_x/\Theta_x)$$

for every $m \geq m_0$. Thus, (5.18) hence (2c) have been confirmed.

It remains to prove the claim (*). We say that an element of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ is *geometrically linear* if it is an irreducible polynomial that becomes a product of linear functions over $\overline{E_\varphi}$. Put $\mathfrak{Q}_0 := \text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / \mathfrak{q} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ as a Zariski closed subset of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ of codimension one, and

$$\mathfrak{R}_0 := (\mathfrak{Q}_0 \cap (\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}})) \bigcup \text{NF}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / \mathfrak{q} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}} \left(\mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{F}, T) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / \mathfrak{q} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} \right) \\ \bigcup \text{NF}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / \mathfrak{q} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}} \left(\left(\mathbf{H}_f^1(F, T_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) / \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^\dagger \kappa_{\mathcal{F}}^{\emptyset, \infty}(\phi) \right) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / \mathfrak{q} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} \right),$$

which is a *proper* Zariski closed subset of \mathfrak{Q}_0 by Lemma 5.2.13. Let $d \geq 1$ be the rank of $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{F}/F)$. We construct, by induction, a regular sequence (f_1, \dots, f_d) of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ consisting of geometrically linear functions satisfying the following property: For every $1 \leq i \leq d$, $\mathfrak{Q}_i := \mathfrak{Q}_0 \cap \text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ is nonempty; and there is a Zariski closed subset \mathfrak{R}_i of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ containing $\mathfrak{R}_0 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_i$ but *not* \mathfrak{Q}_i such that the natural map

$$q_i: \mathbf{H}^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) / (f_1, \dots, f_i) \mathbf{H}^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(F, V_{/i}^c),$$

regarded as a map of coherent sheaves on $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$, is injective away from \mathfrak{R}_i , where we abbreviate $\mathbf{H}^i(F, -)$ for $\mathbf{H}^i(F^{\text{ur}}/F, -)$ (Notation 5.2.12) and put $V_{/i}^c := V^c \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}} (\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i))^\dagger$. Then (*) holds for every closed point of $\mathfrak{Q}_d \setminus \mathfrak{R}_d$ (which in fact consists of only one point) as $(\mathfrak{Q}_d \setminus \mathfrak{R}_d) \cap (\mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathfrak{Y}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \emptyset$.

Now we construct such a sequence. When $i = 1$, we may choose an arbitrary nonzero geometrically linear element f_1 that vanishes at some closed point of $\mathfrak{Q}_0 \setminus \mathfrak{R}_0$; as q_1 is injective on the entire $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1)$, we may take $\mathfrak{R}_1 := \mathfrak{R}_0 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$. Suppose that we have already constructed (f_1, \dots, f_i) for $1 \leq i < d$ (with the subset \mathfrak{R}_i). Let \mathcal{M}_i be the cokernel of q_i , which is a coherent sheaf on $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$. Then since $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ is a UFD, there exists a Zariski closed subset \mathfrak{M}_i of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ of codimension at least two and a finite multi-subset D_i of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_i \simeq \bigoplus_{g \in D_i} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i, g)$$

away from \mathfrak{M}_i . As \mathfrak{Q}_i is a nonempty closed subset of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ of pure codimension one that is not contained in \mathfrak{R}_i , $\mathfrak{Q}_i \setminus (\mathfrak{R}_i \cup \mathfrak{M}_i)$ is nonempty. Thus, we may choose a geometrically linear element f_{i+1} in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi}$ whose image in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_i)$ is nonzero and does not divide nonzero elements in D_i , such that it vanishes at some closed point of $\mathfrak{Q}_i \setminus (\mathfrak{R}_i \cup \mathfrak{M}_i)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{R}_{i+1} := \text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_\varphi} / (f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}) \cap (\mathfrak{R}_i \cup \mathfrak{M}_i)$ is a Zariski

closed subset containing $\mathfrak{N}_0 \cap \mathfrak{Q}_{i+1}$ but *not* \mathfrak{Q}_{i+1} . It remains to check that q_{i+1} is injective away from \mathfrak{N}_{i+1} . By construction, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/(f_1, \dots, f_i) H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in D_i} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i, g) \rightarrow 0$$

over $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i) \setminus (\mathfrak{N}_i \cup \mathfrak{M}_i)$. By our choice of f_{i+1} ,

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i)} \left(\bigoplus_{g \in D_i} \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i, g), \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_i, f_{i+1}) \right) = 0,$$

hence the induced map

$$H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/(f_1, \dots, f_i, f_{i+1}) H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/f_{i+1} H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$$

is injective after restriction to $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1}) \setminus \mathfrak{N}_{i+1}$. On the other hand, the natural map

$$H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)/f_{i+1} H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c) \rightarrow H^1(F, V_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}}^c)$$

is injective on the entire $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(f_1, \dots, f_{i+1})$. Thus, we have accomplished the step for $i + 1$.

The theorem is all proved. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2.6. Let x be the origin of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, that is, the point defined by the augmentation ideal \mathfrak{A}_F of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. As \mathcal{F}/F is free, \mathcal{F}/F is a \mathbb{Z}_p^d -extension for some integer $d \geq 0$. We may assume that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ is nonzero since otherwise the corollary is trivial. Let m be the order of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ at x , that is, the maximal integer such that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1) \in \mathfrak{A}_F^m$.

When $d = 0$, the corollary follows from Theorem 1.2.3(1b). When $d = 1$, by Theorem 1.2.3(1c) and Lemma 5.2.7(3) we know that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_f^1(F, W^{\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}})[\mathfrak{A}_x] \leq m$; hence the corollary follows from Proposition 5.2.15 and Lemma 5.2.7(2). We now deduce the general case for $d > 1$ to the case $d = 1$. Let \mathcal{L}_m be the leading term of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ of degree m . Denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ the augmentation ideal of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ and by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the projective space over \mathbb{Q}_p of dimension $d - 1$ defined by the graded ring $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^i / \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^{i-1}$, so that \mathcal{L}_m defines a hypersurface of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of dimension m . Choose a point $t \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ that is not contained in the above hypersurface. There exists a unique intermediate field $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that \mathcal{F}_t/F is a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension and the tangent line of the subscheme $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\text{Spec } \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is given by t . By our choice of t , $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Pi_0 \times \Pi_1)$ is nonzero and its order at the origin x is again m . In other words, we have reduced the case to $d = 1$. The corollary has been proved. \square

5.7. Appendix: Generalized bipartite Euler systems. In this subsection, we generalize Howard's notion of bipartite Euler systems over Artinian local rings.

Let R be a principal Artinian local ring of maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue characteristic $p > 3$, with a continuous action of Γ_F .

Let T be a free R -module of finite rank at least two equipped with a compatible continuous action of Γ_F and a perfect symmetric pairing

$$(\ , \) : T \times T \rightarrow R(1)$$

satisfying $(x^\sigma, y^{c\sigma c}) = (x, y)^\sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \Gamma_F$. In particular, for every place w of F , we have the local Tate pairing

$$(\ , \)_w : H^1(F_w, T) \times H^1(F_w^c, T) \rightarrow R$$

which is perfect. Throughout this subsection, we are given a self-dual, cartesian Selmer structure $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}})$ on T [How06, Definition 2.1.1 & Definition 2.2.2].

Let \mathcal{L} be a set consisting of primes l of F satisfying

- ❖ $l \notin \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \Sigma_{\{2, p\}}$,
- ❖ l is inert over F^+ ,
- ❖ there is a unique Γ_{F_l} -stable decomposition $T = T_0 \oplus T_1 \oplus S$ of R -modules satisfying $T_0 \simeq R$, $T_1 \simeq R(1)$, and $H^i(F_l, S) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For every $l \in \mathcal{L}$, define $H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_l, T)$ to be the image of the natural map $H^1(F_l, T_l) \rightarrow H^1(F_l, T)$, so that we have

$$H^1(F_l, T) = H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, T) \oplus H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_l, T)$$

in which each summand is a free R -module of rank one and is maximal isotropic under the local Tate pairing.

Denote by \mathfrak{N} the set of (possibly empty) finite sets consisting of elements in \mathcal{L} with *distinct* underlying rational primes. For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, put $n_l := n \cup \{l\}$ for $l \in \mathcal{L} \setminus n$, and $n/l := n \setminus \{l\}$ for $l \in n$. Following [How06], we define a *directed* graph \mathfrak{X} with vertices $v(n)$ indexed by elements in \mathfrak{N} , and arrows $a(n, n_l)$ from $v(n)$ to $v(n_l)$ for $l \in \mathcal{L} \setminus n$. Denote by $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{arrow}}$ the set of arrows of \mathfrak{X} .

For every $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, define the Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}(n)$ by the formula

$$H_{\mathcal{F}(n)}^1(F_w, T) := \begin{cases} H_{\mathcal{F}}^1(F_w, T), & w \notin n, \\ H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_w, T), & w \in n. \end{cases}$$

Then $(\mathcal{F}(n), \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \cup n)$ is again a self-dual, cartesian Selmer structure on T . We denote by $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(n)}(F, T)$ the corresponding global Selmer group. By the same argument for [How06, Proposition 2.2.7], there exists a unique element $e(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ and a finitely generated R -module M_n , unique up to isomorphism, such that $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(n)}(F, T) \simeq R^{e(n)} \oplus M_n \oplus M_n$.

Following [How06, Definition 2.2.8], we denote by $\mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$ and $\mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$ the subsets of \mathfrak{N} for which $e(n) = 0$ and $e(n) = 1$, respectively. For $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, define the stub module to be

$$\text{Stub}_n := \begin{cases} \mathfrak{m}^{\text{length}(M_n)} \cdot R, & n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}, \\ \mathfrak{m}^{\text{length}(M_n)} \cdot \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(n)}(F, T), & n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}, \end{cases}$$

which is a cyclic R -module.

Hypothesis 5.7.1. We introduce two hypotheses for future use.

- (1) The residue representation $T/\mathfrak{m}T$ is absolutely irreducible.
- (2) For every nonzero element $s \in H^1(F, T/\mathfrak{m}T)$, there are infinitely many elements $l \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\text{loc}_l(s) \neq 0$.

Definition 5.7.2. A *generalized bipartite Euler system* for $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ is a collection of data

$$\left(\{C_n \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}\}, \{\varrho_a \mid a \in \mathfrak{X}^{\text{arrow}}\}, \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}\}, \{\kappa_n \mid n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}\} \right)$$

in which

- ❖ C_n is a finitely generated R -module;
- ❖ $\varrho_a: C_n \rightarrow C_{n_l}$ is an R -linear map for $a = a(n, n_l)$;
- ❖ $\lambda_n: C_n \rightarrow R$ is an R -linear map;
- ❖ $\kappa_n: C_n \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(n)}(F, T)$ is an R -linear map;

satisfying that for every arrow $a = a(n, n_l) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\text{arrow}}$, the following holds:

- (1) when $n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$, there exists an isomorphism $\psi_a: H_{\text{ord}}^1(F_l, T) \simeq R$ such that

$$\lambda_n = \psi_a \circ \text{loc}_l \circ \kappa_{n_l} \circ \varrho_a: C_n \rightarrow R;$$

- (2) when $n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$, there exists an isomorphism $\psi_a: H_{\text{unr}}^1(F_l, T) \simeq R$ such that

$$\lambda_{n_l} \circ \varrho_a = \psi_a \circ \text{loc}_l \circ \kappa_n: C_n \rightarrow R.$$

We say that a vertex $v = v(n_*)$ of \mathfrak{X} is an *effective vertex* for a generalized bipartite Euler system, if $C_{n_*} \neq 0$ and ϱ_a is a bijection for every arrow $a = a(n, n_l)$ with $n_* \subseteq n$.

In what follows, we fix a generalized bipartite Euler system for $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ with an effective vertex $v(n_*)$.

Lemma 5.7.3. *Assume Hypothesis 5.7.1. Let $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ be an element containing n_* . If $\lambda_n \neq 0$ (resp. $\kappa_n \neq 0$) when $n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$ (resp. $n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$), then M_n does not contain R .*

Proof. This follows from the same proof of [How06, Proposition 2.3.5]. □

Notation 5.7.4. For every $n \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$, we denote by C_n^{free} the R -submodule of C_n generated by elements ϕ such that $\kappa_n(\phi)$ is contained in a free R -submodule of $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(n)}(F, T)$.

Lemma 5.7.5. *Assume Hypothesis 5.7.1. For every element $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}$ containing \mathfrak{n}_* , we have $\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_{\mathfrak{n}}) \subseteq \text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ when $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$ and $\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\text{free}}) \subseteq \text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ when $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$.*

Proof. This follows from the same proof of [How06, Theorem 2.3.7]. \square

We have the Euler system sheaf $\text{ES}(\mathfrak{X})$ (with $\psi_v^a := (\psi_a)^{-1}$ for $v = v(\mathfrak{n})$ with $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$), the stub sheaf $\text{Stub}(\mathfrak{X})$, and the core subgraph $\mathfrak{X}^{\heartsuit} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ spanned by core vertices (that is, those $v = v(\mathfrak{n})$ such that $\text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}} \simeq R$), similarly defined as in [How06, §2.4].

Definition 5.7.6. For two vertices v and v' of \mathfrak{X} , a (*directed*) *path* from v to v' in \mathfrak{X} is a finite sequence of vertices $v = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k = v'$ such that there is an arrow a_i from v_i to v_{i+1} for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. In particular, if $v = v(\mathfrak{n})$ and $v' = v(\mathfrak{n}')$, then there exists a path from v to v' if and only if $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}'$.

We say that a path is *invertible* if the map $\psi_{v_i}^{e_i} : \text{Stub}(v_i) \rightarrow \text{Stub}(e_i)$ is an isomorphism for every $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then an invertible path from v to v' induces a surjective map $\text{Stub}(v') \rightarrow \text{Stub}(v)$.

Lemma 5.7.7. *Assume Hypothesis 5.7.1.*

- (1) *Every path of $\mathfrak{X}^{\heartsuit}$ is invertible.*
- (2) *The core subgraph $\mathfrak{X}^{\heartsuit}$ is path connected.*
- (3) *For every vertex v of \mathfrak{X} , there exists a core vertex v^{\heartsuit} that can be either even or odd and admits an invertible path from v .*

Proof. The three parts follow from [How06, Lemma 2.4.8, Proposition 2.4.11, and Lemma 2.4.9], respectively. \square

For every R -module M and $m \in M$, put $\text{ind}(m, M) := \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \in \mathfrak{m}^i M\}$. The following proposition generalizes [How06, Theorem 2.5.1] with a similar proof.

Proposition 5.7.8. *Assume Hypothesis 5.7.1. Suppose that we are given a generalized bipartite Euler system for $(T, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ with an effective vertex $v(\mathfrak{n}_*)$, satisfying that $C_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\text{free}} = C_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$ containing \mathfrak{n}_* .*

- (1) *We have*

$$\min \left\{ \min_{\phi \in C_{\mathfrak{n}}} \text{ind}(\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi), R) \mid \mathfrak{n}_* \subseteq \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}} \right\} = \min \left\{ \min_{\phi \in C_{\mathfrak{n}}} \text{ind}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi), \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{n})}(F, T)) \mid \mathfrak{n}_* \subseteq \mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}} \right\}.$$

- (2) *If we denote by $\delta \leq \infty$ the quantity in (1), then $\{\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi) \mid \phi \in C_{\mathfrak{n}}\}$ (resp. $\{\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi) \mid \phi \in C_{\mathfrak{n}}\}$) generates $\mathfrak{m}^{\delta} \text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$) containing \mathfrak{n}_* .*

Note that by Lemma 5.7.5, the image of $\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}$ or $\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is indeed contained in $\text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}$ containing \mathfrak{n}_* .

Proof. Note that for every path from v to v' of the core subgraph $\mathfrak{X}^{\heartsuit}$, the induced map $\text{Stub}(v') \rightarrow \text{Stub}(v)$ is an isomorphism. In particular, by Lemma 5.7.7(1,2), there exists a unique integer $\delta \geq 0$ at most the length of R such that for every core vertex $v = v(\mathfrak{n})$ with \mathfrak{n} containing \mathfrak{n}_* , the image of $\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}$ or $\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}$ generates $\mathfrak{m}^{\delta} \text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Now let $v = v(\mathfrak{n})$ be a general vertex of \mathfrak{X} with \mathfrak{n} containing \mathfrak{n}_* . By Lemma 5.7.7(3), we may find a core vertex v^{\heartsuit} that admits a path from v . It follows that the image of $\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}$ or $\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}$ generates $\mathfrak{m}^{\delta} \text{Stub}_{\mathfrak{n}}$. It remains to show that δ is just the quantity in (1). Indeed, for every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{even}}$ containing \mathfrak{n}_* , we have

$$\delta \leq \min_{\phi \in C_{\mathfrak{n}}} \text{ind}(\lambda_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi), R);$$

and the equality holds if $v(\mathfrak{n})$ is a core vertex. Similarly, for every $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{N}^{\text{odd}}$ containing \mathfrak{n}_* , we have

$$\delta \leq \min_{\phi \in C_{\mathfrak{n}}} \text{ind}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi), \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{n})}(F, T));$$

and the equality holds if $v(\mathfrak{n})$ is a core vertex. By Lemma 5.7.7(3), we know that there are both odd and even core vertices admitting paths from $v(\mathfrak{n}_*)$. The proposition follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ach14] J. D. Achter, *Irreducibility of Newton strata in $GU(1, n - 1)$ Shimura varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **1** (2014), 79–88, DOI 10.1090/S2330-1511-2014-00011-0. MR3240772 ↑41
- [AC89] J. Arthur and L. Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 120, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. MR1007299 ↑2
- [Ber95] M. Bertolini, *Selmer groups and Heegner points in anticyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions*, Compositio Math. **99** (1995), no. 2, 153–182. MR1351834 ↑4
- [BD05] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon, *Iwasawa’s main conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 1, 1–64, DOI 10.4007/annals.2005.162.1. MR2178960 ↑2, 4, 56, 94
- [BLV] M. Bertolini, M. Longo, and R. Venerucci, *The anticyclotomic main conjectures for elliptic curves*. arXiv:2306.17784. ↑2
- [BK90] S. Bloch and K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400. MR1086888 (92g:11063) ↑3, 79
- [Bou98] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra. Chapters 1–7*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French; Reprint of the 1989 English translation. MR1727221 ↑98
- [Bre99] C. Breuil, *Une remarque sur les représentations locales p -adiques et les congruences entre formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **127** (1999), no. 3, 459–472 (French, with English and French summaries). MR1724405 ↑79
- [BW06] O. Bültel and T. Wedhorn, *Congruence relations for Shimura varieties associated to some unitary groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **5** (2006), no. 2, 229–261, DOI 10.1017/S1474748005000253. MR2225042 ↑19, 20, 22
- [Car14] A. Caraiani, *Monodromy and local-global compatibility for $l = p$* , Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 7, 1597–1646, DOI 10.2140/ant.2014.8.1597. MR3272276 ↑72
- [Car94] H. Carayol, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet, p -adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture* (Boston, MA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 165, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 213–237, DOI 10.1090/conm/165/01601 (French). MR1279611 ↑87
- [CH13] G. Chenevier and M. Harris, *Construction of automorphic Galois representations, II*, Camb. J. Math. **1** (2013), no. 1, 53–73, DOI 10.4310/CJM.2013.v1.n1.a2. MR3272052 ↑9
- [CH15] M. Chida and M.-L. Hsieh, *On the anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for modular forms*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 5, 863–897, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X14007787. MR3347993 ↑2
- [Col98] P. Colmez, *Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations de de Rham d’un corps local*, Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), no. 2, 485–571, DOI 10.2307/121003 (French). MR1668555 ↑73
- [CV07] C. Cornut and V. Vatsal, *Nontriviality of Rankin-Selberg L -functions and CM points*, L -functions and Galois representations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 320, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 121–186, DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511721267.005. MR2392354 ↑6
- [GGP12] W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross, and D. Prasad, *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, Astérisque **346** (2012), 1–109 (English, with English and French summaries). Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I. MR3202556 ↑2
- [HL] L. Hamann and S. Y. Lee, *Torsion vanishing for some Shimura varieties*. arXiv:2309.08705. ↑71
- [How04a] B. Howard, *The Heegner point Kolyvagin system*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 6, 1439–1472, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X04000569. MR2098397 ↑4
- [How04b] ———, *Iwasawa theory of Heegner points on abelian varieties of GL_2 type*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 1, 1–45, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12411-X. MR2072210 ↑2, 4
- [How06] ———, *Bipartite Euler systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **597** (2006), 1–25, DOI 10.1515/CRELLE.2006.062. MR2264314 ↑2, 81, 96, 101, 102, 103
- [KMS00] D. Kazhdan, B. Mazur, and C.-G. Schmidt, *Relative modular symbols and Rankin-Selberg convolutions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **519** (2000), 97–141, DOI 10.1515/crll.2000.019. MR1739728 ↑88
- [Kol90] V. A. Kolyvagin, *Euler systems*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 435–483. MR1106906 (92g:11109) ↑2
- [LS] D. Liu and B. Sun, *Nearly ordinary p -adic period integrals*. in preparation. ↑8, 90
- [Liu22] Y. Liu, *Theta correspondence for almost unramified representations of unitary groups*, J. Number Theory **230** (2022), 196–224, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2021.03.027. ↑55
- [Liu] ———, *Anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions for Rankin–Selberg product*. arXiv:2306.07039. ↑2, 4, 6, 69, 70, 88, 89, 90, 91
- [LTXZZ1] Y. Liu, Y. Tian, L. Xiao, W. Zhang, and X. Zhu, *On the Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjecture for Rankin-Selberg motives*, Invent. Math. **228** (2022), no. 1, 107–375, DOI 10.1007/s00222-021-01088-4. MR4392458 ↑2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 17, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 29, 33, 50, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70, 71, 75, 85, 86, 87, 91, 93, 94, 95
- [LTXZZ2] ———, *Deformation of rigid conjugate self-dual Galois representations*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) to appear. ↑5, 8, 86, 87
- [LTXZZ3] ———, *Survey on bounding Selmer groups for Rankin–Selberg motives*, Simons Symposium. to appear. ↑58
- [Lon12] M. Longo, *Anticyclotomic Iwasawa’s main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87** (2012), no. 2, 303–353, DOI 10.4171/CMH/255. MR2914851 ↑2
- [Mat89] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. MR1011461 ↑19, 27, 35, 42
- [MR04] B. Mazur and K. Rubin, *Kolyvagin systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (2004), no. 799, viii+96, DOI 10.1090/memo/0799. MR2031496 ↑78, 81, 95

- [Moo01] B. Moonen, *Group schemes with additional structures and Weyl group cosets*, Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), Progr. Math., vol. 195, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001, pp. 255–298. MR1827024 ↑20
- [Nek93] J. Nekovář, *On p -adic height pairings*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1990–91, Progr. Math., vol. 108, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993, pp. 127–202, DOI 10.1007/s10107-005-0696-y. MR1263527 ↑72
- [NT] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne, *Symmetric power functoriality for Hilbert modular forms*. arXiv:2212.03595. ↑2
- [OZ02] F. Oort and T. Zink, *Families of p -divisible groups with constant Newton polygon*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 183–201. MR1938119 ↑36
- [PR87] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p -adiques, théorie d’Iwasawa et points de Heegner*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **115** (1987), no. 4, 399–456 (French, with English summary). MR0928018 ↑2
- [PW11] R. Pollack and T. Weston, *On anticyclotomic μ -invariants of modular forms*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1353–1381, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X11005318. MR2834724 ↑2
- [Rib90] K. A. Ribet, *On modular representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ arising from modular forms*, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), no. 2, 431–476, DOI 10.1007/BF01231195. MR1047143 ↑6
- [Rub00] K. Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 147, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000. Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study. MR1749177 ↑92, 93
- [Ser72] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331 (French). MR0387283 (52 #8126) ↑5
- [She14] X. Shen, *On the Hodge-Newton filtration for p -divisible groups with additional structures*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **13** (2014), 3582–3631, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnt036. MR3229763 ↑40
- [Wan23] H. Wang, *On the anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms of parallel weights*, Ann. Math. Qué. **47** (2023), no. 1, 195–248, DOI 10.1007/s40316-022-00208-7 (English, with English and French summaries). MR4569759 ↑2

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310058, CHINA

Email address: liuyf0719@zju.edu.cn

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, AMSS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA

Email address: yichaot@math.ac.cn

NEW CORNERSTONE LAB, BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA

Email address: lxiao@bicmr.pku.edu.cn