

PROBABILISTIC APPROACH TO HOMOGENIZATION FOR A TYPE OF MULTIVALUED DIRICHLET-NEUMANN PROBLEMS

HUIJIE QIAO

*School of Mathematics, Southeast University
Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, China
hjiaogean@seu.edu.cn*

ABSTRACT. The work is about homogenization for a type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems. First, we prove an average principle for general multivalued stochastic differential equations in the weak sense. Then for general forward-backward coupled multivalued stochastic systems, the other average principle is presented. Finally, we apply the result to a type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems and investigate its homogenization.

1. INTRODUCTION

The earliest study about homogenization of partial differential equations (PDEs for short) by probabilistic methods can be traced back to [11]. Then, Bensoussan, Lions and Papanicolaou [1] elaborated homogenization of a kind of linear parabolic PDEs through stochastic differential equations (SDEs for short). Later, Hu and Peng [13] studied homogenization of a kind of semilinear parabolic PDEs via forward-backward coupled SDEs. From then on, there have been a lot of results about homogenization for all kinds of semilinear parabolic PDEs, see [2–4, 7–10, 16, 17, 24, 25] and the references therein. Recently, in [12] Hu, Jiang and Wang observed homogenization of a kind of fully nonlinear parabolic PDEs via forward-backward coupled SDEs driven by G -Brownian motions.

In this paper, we develop the above mentioned probabilistic approach to homogenization for a type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems. Concretely speaking, consider the following system with the Dirichlet-Neumann boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial t} + (\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon)(t,x) + f(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x, u^\varepsilon(t,x)) \in \partial\varphi(u^\varepsilon(t,x)), & (t,x) \in (0,T] \times \mathcal{O}, \\ \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial \Gamma} + g(t,x, u^\varepsilon(t,x)) \in \partial\psi(u^\varepsilon(t,x)), & (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ u^\varepsilon(T,x) = \Phi(x), & x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ are convex lower semicontinuous functions, whose subdifferential operators $\partial\varphi, \partial\psi$ are maximal monotone operators (See Subsection 2.2), \mathcal{L}^ε is a second order differential operator defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon F)(t,x) = b^i(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x)\partial_i F(x) + \frac{1}{2}a^{ij}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x)\partial_i\partial_j F(x), \quad F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^m), \quad (2)$$

AMS Subject Classification(2020): 60H10, 35B27.

Keywords: A type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems, general forward-backward coupled multivalued stochastic systems, average principles.

This work was supported by NSF of China (No.12071071).

and $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \overline{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \Phi : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are all Borel measurable with $a(t, x) := (\sigma\sigma^*)(t, x)$. Here \mathcal{O} is an open connected bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m of the form $\mathcal{O} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \phi(x) > 0\}$ with $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \phi(x) = 0\}$, where $\phi \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $|\nabla\phi(x)| = 1$ for $x \in \partial\mathcal{O}$. The internal normal derivative of a function $v \in C^1(\partial\mathcal{O})$ is given by $\frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial \Gamma} = -\langle \nabla\phi(x), \nabla v(x) \rangle$ for all $x \in \partial\mathcal{O}$. $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is a small parameter. Under suitable assumptions we observe the convergence of solutions $u^\varepsilon(t, x)$ for the system (1) as ε tends to 0.

In order to study homogenization for the system (1), we will face two difficulties. One difficulty lies in the PDE itself. Since the operator $\partial\varphi$ is nonlinear and non-smooth, we can not straightly describe the limit of $\partial\varphi(u^\varepsilon(t, x))$. The other difficulty is from the Neumann boundary condition. As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we can not determine whether $\partial\psi(u^\varepsilon(t, x))$ converges. To overcome these two difficulties, we make use of the link between the system (1) and the following forward-backward coupled multivalued stochastic system,

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} = \nabla\phi(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s + b(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})ds + \sigma(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})dB_s, \\ K_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} = \int_t^s \nabla\phi(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r, \quad |K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s = \int_t^s I_{\{X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x} \in \partial\mathcal{O}\}}d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r, \\ X_t^{\varepsilon,t,x} = x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} \in \partial\varphi(Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})ds + \partial\psi(Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s - f(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})ds \\ \quad - g(s, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s + Z_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}dB_s, \\ Y_T^{\varepsilon,t,x} = \Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon,t,x}), \end{cases}$$

and prove two average principles for the latter.

In this work, first of all, we prove an average principle for general multivalued stochastic differential equations in the weak sense. Our conditions are weaker than that in some known results (cf. [22,32]). Then for forward-backward coupled multivalued stochastic systems, the other average principle is presented. Since $\int_s^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r$ appears, where $|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r$ is a continuous increasing process singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure, we work with the \mathcal{S} -topology on the space $D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ of right continuous \mathbb{R}^d -valued functions on $[0, T]$ which have left limits. Finally, we apply the result to a type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems and obtain its homogenization. Moreover, our result can cover [10, Theorem 4.1] and [29, Theorem 6.4] in some sense.

This paper is arranged as follows. In the next section, we introduce notations, maximal monotone operators and the \mathcal{S} -topology on $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$. In Section 3, main results are formulated. Proofs of two main theorems are placed in Section 4 and 5, respectively. In Section 6, we apply our result to a type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems.

The following convention will be used throughout the paper: C , with or without indices, will denote different positive constants whose values may change from one place to another.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we introduce notations and concepts, and recall some results used in the sequel.

2.1. Notations. In this subsection, we introduce some notations.

For convenience, we shall use $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ for norms of vectors and matrices, respectively. Furthermore, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^m . Let U^* denote the transpose of a matrix U .

Let $C(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the collection of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^m and $C^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^m which have continuous partial derivatives of order up to 2. $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ stands for the subspace of $C^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, consisting of functions whose derivatives up to order 2 are bounded. $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the collection of all functions in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with compact supports.

2.2. Maximal monotone operators. In this subsection, we introduce maximal monotone operators.

For a multivalued operator $A : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{R}^m}$, where $2^{\mathbb{R}^m}$ stands for all the subsets of \mathbb{R}^m , set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(A) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : A(x) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ Gr(A) &:= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m} : x \in \mathcal{D}(A), y \in A(x)\}.\end{aligned}$$

We say that A is monotone if $\langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0$ for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in Gr(A)$, and A is maximal monotone if

$$(x_1, y_1) \in Gr(A) \iff \langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0, \forall (x_2, y_2) \in Gr(A).$$

We give two examples to explain maximal monotone operators.

Examples 2.1. For a lower semicontinuous convex function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto (-\infty, +\infty]$, we assume $\text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\psi)) \neq \emptyset$, where $\text{Dom}(\psi) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m; \psi(x) < \infty\}$ and $\text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\psi))$ is the interior of $\text{Dom}(\psi)$. Define the subdifferential operator of the function ψ :

$$\partial\psi(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle y, z - x \rangle + \psi(x) \leq \psi(z), \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

Then $\partial\psi$ is a maximal monotone operator.

Examples 2.2. For a closed convex subset \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{R}^m , we suppose $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$. Define the indicator function of \mathcal{O} as follows:

$$I_{\mathcal{O}}(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

The subdifferential operator of $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial I_{\mathcal{O}}(x) &:= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle y, z - x \rangle \leq 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{O}\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{O}, \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } x \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{O}), \\ \Lambda_x, & \text{if } x \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where Λ_x is the exterior normal cone at x . By simple deduction, we know that $\partial I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a maximal monotone operator.

Take any $T > 0$ and fix it. Let \mathcal{V}_0 be the set of all continuous functions $K : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ with finite variations and $K_0 = 0$. For $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and $s \in [0, T]$, we shall use $|K|_0^s$ to denote the variation of K on $[0, s]$ and write $|K|_{TV} := |K|_0^T$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &:= \left\{ (X, K) : X \in C([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}), K \in \mathcal{V}_0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } \langle X_t - x, dK_t - y dt \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } (x, y) \in Gr(A) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

And about \mathcal{A} we have the following two results (cf. [6, 34]).

Lemma 2.3. For $X \in C([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ and $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$, the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $(X, K) \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) For any $x, y \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $(x_t, y_t) \in \text{Gr}(A)$, it holds that

$$\langle X_t - x_t, dK_t - y_t dt \rangle \geq 0.$$

(iii) For any $(X', K') \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds that

$$\langle X_t - X'_t, dK_t - dK'_t \rangle \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$. For any $a \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$, there exist $M_1 > 0$, and $M_2, M_3 \geq 0$ such that for any $(X, K) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq T$,

$$\int_s^t \langle X_r - a, dK_r \rangle \geq M_1 |K|_s^t - M_2 \int_s^t |X_r - a| dr - M_3 (t - s).$$

The following lemma is from [33, Proposition 3.4].

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $\{X^n; n \geq 1\}$ and $\{K^n; n \geq 1\}$ are two sequences of continuous \mathbb{R}^m valued processes, defined on possibly different probability spaces $(\Omega^n, \mathcal{F}^n, \mathbb{P}^n)$, and converge in distribution to X and K , respectively. If for $n \geq 1$, K^n is of bounded variation a.s. and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \mathbb{P}^n \left(|K^n|_0^T > c \right) = 0,$$

then K is of bounded variation on $[0, T]$ and $\int_0^T \langle X_t^n, dK_t^n \rangle$ converges in distribution to $\int_0^T \langle X_t, dK_t \rangle$.

2.3. The \mathcal{S} -topology on $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$. In this subsection, we introduce the \mathcal{S} -topology on $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

First of all, we define the \mathcal{S} -topology ([15, Definition 2.3]). Here let $BV([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all \mathbb{R}^d -valued functions on $[0, T]$ with finite variations. Let $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of all continuous \mathbb{R}^d -valued functions on $[0, T]$ equipped with the uniformly convergence topology.

Definition 2.6. Let $\{x, x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$. We say that x_n converges to x in the \mathcal{S} -topology if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence $\{v^\varepsilon, v_n^\varepsilon : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset BV([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

(i) $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t) - v^\varepsilon(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x_n(t) - v_n^\varepsilon(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^\varepsilon(T) = v^\varepsilon(T)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$;

(iii) for any $f \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle f(t), dv_n^\varepsilon(t) \rangle = \int_0^T \langle f(t), dv^\varepsilon(t) \rangle.$$

The following criterion of tightness under the \mathcal{S} -topology is from [17, Theorem A.1].

Lemma 2.7. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a filtration. Suppose that $\{Z^\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a family of stochastic processes in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t^\varepsilon| + CV_{[0, T]}(Z^\varepsilon) \right) < \infty,$$

where $CV_{[0,T]}(Z^\varepsilon)$ denotes the conditional variation of Z^ε on $[0, T]$ defined by

$$CV_{[0,T]}(Z^\varepsilon) := \sup \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E} \left[Z_{t_{i+1}}^\varepsilon - Z_{t_i}^\varepsilon \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] \right| \right] < \infty$$

with “sup” meaning that the supremum is taken over all partitions of the interval $[0, T]$. Then $\{Z^\varepsilon\}$ is tight in the \mathcal{S} -topology. And there exists a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreasing to 0, a process Z in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, and a countable set $I \subset [0, T)$ satisfying that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [0, T] \setminus I$, $(Z_{t_1}^{\varepsilon_k}, \dots, Z_{t_n}^{\varepsilon_k})$ converges in distribution to $(Z_{t_1}, \dots, Z_{t_n})$.

Lemma 2.8. Let $(X^\varepsilon, M^\varepsilon)$ be a multidimensional process in $D([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^p)$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$) converging to (X, M) in the \mathcal{S} -topology. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t^{X^\varepsilon})_{t \geq 0}$ (resp. $(\mathcal{F}_t^X)_{t \geq 0}$) be the minimal complete admissible filtration for X^ε (resp. X). We assume that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_t^\varepsilon|^2 \right] < C_T, \quad \forall T > 0,$$

M^ε is a $\mathcal{F}^{X^\varepsilon}$ -martingale, and M is \mathcal{F}^X -adapted. Then M is a \mathcal{F}^X -martingale.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we formulate the main results.

3.1. The average principle for multivalued SDEs. In this subsection, we give an average principle for multivalued SDEs.

Given a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ on which a m -dimensional standard Brownian motion B is defined. Assume that $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the \mathbb{P} -augmentation for the natural filtration of B . Fix $T > 0$ and consider the following multivalued SDE: $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} \in -A(X_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi})ds + b(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi})ds + \sigma(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi})dB_s, \\ X_t^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} = \xi \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where A is a maximal monotone operator with $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$, $b : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ are all Borel measurable and ξ is a \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random vector.

We assume:

(\mathbf{H}_A) $0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$.

($\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1$) There exists a constant $L_1 > 0$ such that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $x, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |b(s, x_1) - b(s, x_2)|^2 + \|\sigma(s, x_1) - \sigma(s, x_2)\|^2 &\leq L_1|x_1 - x_2|^2, \\ |b(s, x)|^2 &\leq L_1(1 + |x|^2), \quad \|\sigma(s, x)\|^2 \leq L_1. \end{aligned}$$

($\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^2$) There exist $\bar{b} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\bar{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_+(\mathbb{R}^m)$, where $\mathbb{S}_+(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the set of nonnegative definite symmetric $m \times m$ real matrices, such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} b(s, x) ds = \bar{b}(x), \quad \lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} (\sigma \sigma^*)(s, x) ds = (\bar{\sigma} \bar{\sigma})(x).$$

(\mathbf{H}_σ^3) There exists a constant $\iota > 0$ such that

$$\langle (\sigma \sigma^*)(s, x)h, h \rangle \geq \iota|h|^2, \quad s \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^m, h \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Remark 3.1. (i) (\mathbf{H}_A) is equivalent to that $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) $\bar{b}, \bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}$ are Lipschitz continuous. Here we only justify $\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}$ and by the same way \bar{b} can be verified. In fact, by $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, it holds that for $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x_1) - (\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x_2)\| &= \left\| \lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} (\sigma\sigma^*)(s, x_1) ds - \lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} (\sigma\sigma^*)(s, x_2) ds \right\| \\
&\leq \lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} \|(\sigma\sigma^*)(s, x_1) - (\sigma\sigma^*)(s, x_2)\| ds \\
&\leq \lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} \|\sigma(s, x_1) - \sigma(s, x_2)\| \|\sigma^*(s, x_1)\| ds \\
&\quad + \lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} \|\sigma(s, x_2)\| \|\sigma^*(s, x_1) - \sigma^*(s, x_2)\| ds \\
&\leq 2L_1|x_1 - x_2|.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) By (ii) and (\mathbf{H}_σ^3) , we conclude that $\bar{\sigma}$ is Lipschitz continuous. Indeed, note that $(\bar{\sigma}(x_1) - \bar{\sigma}(x_2))(\bar{\sigma}(x_1) + \bar{\sigma}(x_2)) = (\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x_1) - (\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x_2)$ for $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, i = 1, 2$. Thus, one can obtain that

$$\|\bar{\sigma}(x_1) - \bar{\sigma}(x_2)\| \leq \|(\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x_1) - (\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x_2)\| \|(\bar{\sigma}(x_1) + \bar{\sigma}(x_2))^{-1}\| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|.$$

Under (\mathbf{H}_A) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, by [31, Theorem 2.8], Eq.(3) has a pathwise unique strong solution $(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})$. Then we construct the following multivalued SDE:

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi} \in -A(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi})ds + \bar{b}(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi})ds + \bar{\sigma}(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi})dB_s, \\ \bar{X}_t^{t,\xi} = \xi \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

By Remark 3.1 and [31, Theorem 2.8], (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^2)$ and (\mathbf{H}_σ^3) assure that Eq.(4) has a pathwise unique strong solution $(\bar{X}^{t,\xi}, \bar{K}^{t,\xi})$. The following theorem describes the relationship between Eq.(3) and Eq.(4).

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^2)$, (\mathbf{H}_σ^3) hold and $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$. Then it holds that $(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})$ converges weakly to $(\bar{X}^{t,\xi}, \bar{K}^{t,\xi})$ in $C([t, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \times C([t, T], \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The proof of the above theorem is placed in Section 4.

3.2. The average principle for backward stochastic variation inequalities. In this subsection, we present an average principle for backward stochastic variation inequalities (BSVIs for short).

First of all, we take $A = \partial I_{\mathcal{O}}$, where \mathcal{O} is an open connected bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m of the form $\mathcal{O} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \phi(x) \geq 0\}$ with $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \phi(x) = 0\}$ for a function $\phi \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $|\nabla\phi(x)| = 1$ for $x \in \partial\mathcal{O}$. Note that at any boundary point $x \in \partial\mathcal{O}$, $\nabla\phi(x)$ is a unit normal vector to the boundary, pointing towards the interior of \mathcal{O} . Then Eq.(3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = \nabla\phi(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s + b(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})ds + \sigma(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})dB_s, \\ K_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = \int_t^s \nabla\phi(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r, \quad |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s = \int_t^s I_{\{X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \in \partial\mathcal{O}\}} d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r, \\ X_t^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = \xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Consider the following BSVI:

$$\begin{cases} dY_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \in \partial\varphi(Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})ds + \partial\psi(Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s - f(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})ds \\ \quad - g(s, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s + Z_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}dB_s, \\ Y_T^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = \Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where φ, ψ are two lower semicontinuous convex functions with $\text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\varphi)) \neq \emptyset$, $\text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\psi)) \neq \emptyset$, and $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \overline{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Phi : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are continuous.

We assume:

$(\mathbf{H}_{\varphi,\psi})$ (i) $0 \in \text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\varphi)) \cap \text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\psi))$ such that

$$\varphi(y) \geq \varphi(0) = 0, \quad \psi(y) \geq \psi(0) = 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(ii)

$$\sup_{x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}} |\varphi(\Phi(x))| + \sup_{x \in \partial\mathcal{O}} |\psi(\Phi(x))| < \infty.$$

(iii) There exists a constant $L_2 > 0$ such that for all $\gamma > 0, s \in [t, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d, z \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla\varphi_\gamma(y), \nabla\psi_\gamma(y) \rangle &\geq 0, \\ \langle \nabla\varphi_\gamma(y), g(s, x, y) \rangle &\leq L_2 |\nabla\psi_\gamma(y)| (1 + |g(s, x, y)|), \quad x \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ \langle \nabla\psi_\gamma(y), f(s, x, y) \rangle &\leq L_2 |\nabla\varphi_\gamma(y)| (1 + |f(s, x, y)|), \quad x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \\ -\langle \nabla\varphi_\gamma(y), g(s, x, 0) \rangle &\leq L_2 |\nabla\psi_\gamma(y)| (1 + |g(s, x, 0)|), \quad x \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ -\langle \nabla\psi_\gamma(y), f(s, x, 0) \rangle &\leq L_2 |\nabla\varphi_\gamma(y)| (1 + |f(s, x, 0)|), \quad x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \end{aligned}$$

where φ_γ is the Moreau-Yosida approximations of φ and $\nabla\varphi_\gamma(y)$ is the derivative of $\varphi_\gamma(y)$ with respect to y , and similarly for $\psi_\gamma, \nabla\psi_\gamma(y)$.

$(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ There exist constants $L_3, L_4 > 0$ such that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}_+, y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(s, x_1, y_1) - f(s, x_2, y_2)|^2 &\leq L_3 (|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \\ |f(s, x, y)|^2 &\leq L_3 (1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2), \quad x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \\ |g(s, x_1, y_1) - g(s, x_2, y_2)|^2 &\leq L_4 (|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ |g(s, x, y)|^2 &\leq L_4 (1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2), \quad x \in \partial\mathcal{O}. \end{aligned}$$

(\mathbf{H}_f^2) There exists a $\bar{f} : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for any $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\lim_{\hat{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \int_0^{\hat{T}} f(s, x, y) ds = \bar{f}(x, y).$$

Remark 3.3. By $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , we know that for $x, x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{f}(x_1, y_1) - \bar{f}(x_2, y_2)|^2 &\leq L_3 (|x_1 - x_2|^2 + |y_1 - y_2|^2), \\ |\bar{f}(x, y)|^2 &\leq 2L_3 (1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Under $(\mathbf{H}_{\varphi,\psi})$ and $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$, by [26, Proposition 2.5], the system (6) has a unique solution $(Y^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Z^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, U^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, V^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})$. That is, $(Y^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Z^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, U^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, V^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})$ is a $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ -valued progressively measurable stochastic process such that for all $s \in [t, T], \mathbb{P}.a.s.$

$$Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = \Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \int_s^T U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} dr - \int_s^T V_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r + \int_s^T f(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr$$

$$+ \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r - (M_T^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - M_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), \quad (7)$$

where

$$M_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} := \int_t^s Z_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} dB_r,$$

and for all $s_1, s_2 \in [t, T], s_1 \leq s_2$ and all $v \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \langle U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, v - Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \rangle dr + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \varphi(Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr &\leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \varphi(v) dr, \\ \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \langle V_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, v - Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \rangle d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \psi(Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r &\leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \psi(v) d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we sometimes consider the extension that $X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = \xi, |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s = 0, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = Y_t^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Z_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = 0, U_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = 0, V_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} = 0$ for $0 \leq s < t$ in the sequel.

Next, we construct the following BSVI:

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi} \in \partial\varphi(\bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi}) ds + \partial\psi(\bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi}) d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_t^s - \bar{f}(\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi}) ds \\ \quad - g(s, \bar{X}_s^{t,\xi}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi}) d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_t^s + \bar{Z}_s^{t,\xi} dB_s, \\ \bar{Y}_T^{t,\xi} = \Phi(\bar{X}_T^{t,\xi}). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Based on Remark 3.3, Eq.(8) has a unique solution $(\bar{Y}^{t,\xi}, \bar{Z}^{t,\xi}, \bar{U}^{t,\xi}, \bar{V}^{t,\xi})$.

Now, it is the position to state the main result in this subsection.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1), (\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^2), (\mathbf{H}_\sigma^3), (\mathbf{H}_{\varphi,\psi}), (\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1), (\mathbf{H}_f^2)$ hold and $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty$. Then it holds that $Y^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}$ converges weakly to $\bar{Y}^{t,\xi}$ in $D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the \mathcal{S} -topology as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

Specially, taking $\xi = x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Assume that $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1), (\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^2), (\mathbf{H}_\sigma^3), (\mathbf{H}_{\varphi,\psi}), (\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) hold. Then $Y_t^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ converges to $\bar{Y}_t^{t,x}$ as ε tends to 0.*

The proofs of Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 are placed in Section 5.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2

In this section, our aim is to prove Theorem 3.2. We start with a key lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumption of Theorem 3.2, it holds that $\{(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})\}$ is tight in $C([t, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \times C([t, T], \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Proof. We only prove this result for $t = 0$. And by the same deduction, one can show this result for $t > 0$.

Step 1. We prove that

$$\sup_\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 \leq C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2), \quad (9)$$

$$\sup_\varepsilon \mathbb{E} |K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T \leq C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2), \quad (10)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of ε .

Note that $(X^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})$ satisfies the following equation

$$X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} = \xi - K_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} + \int_0^s b\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}\right) dr + \int_0^s \sigma\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}\right) dB_r.$$

Thus, by the Itô formula and Lemma 2.4, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 &= |\xi|^2 - \int_0^s \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle + 2 \int_0^s \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, b(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) \rangle dr \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^s \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, \sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) dB_r \rangle + \int_0^s \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr \\
&\leq |\xi|^2 - 2M_1 |K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^s + 2M_2 \int_0^s |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| dr + 2M_3 s + \int_0^s |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 dr \\
&\quad + \int_0^s |b(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})|^2 dr + 2 \int_0^s \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, \sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) dB_r \rangle \\
&\quad + \int_0^s \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr,
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 + 2M_1 \mathbb{E} |K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi|^2 + 2(M_2 + M_3)T + (2M_2 + 1) \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, r]} |X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 dr \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |b(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})|^2 dr + \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr \\
&\quad + 2C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} |\xi|^2 + 2(M_2 + M_3)T + (2M_2 + 1) \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, r]} |X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 dr \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \mathbb{E} |b(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})|^2 dr + \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 + C \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

So, $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$ and the Gronwall inequality yield (9).

Now, combining (9) with (11), we obtain (10).

Step 2. We prove that for any $\eta > 0$

$$\limsup_{l \downarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u \leq s+l \leq T} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| > \eta \right\} = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\limsup_{l \downarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u \leq s+l \leq T} |K_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - K_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| > \eta \right\} = 0. \tag{13}$$

First of all, it holds that for $0 \leq s \leq u \leq s+l \leq T$

$$X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} = -K_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} + K_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} + \int_s^u b(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) dr + \int_s^u \sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) dB_r. \tag{14}$$

The Itô formula, the Hölder inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$ imply that

$$|X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle + 2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, b(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) \rangle dr \\
&\quad + 2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, \sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) dB_r \rangle + \int_s^u \|\sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi})\|^2 dr \\
&\leq -2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle + \int_s^u |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 dr + L_1 \int_s^u (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2) dr \\
&\quad + 2 \left| \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, \sigma(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}) dB_r \rangle \right|. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute $-2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle$. Note that $0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$. Thus, there is a $\theta_0 > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ and $\theta < \theta_0$,

$$\left\{ x \in B(0, R) : d(x, (\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c) \geq \theta \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

where $B(0, R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : |x| \leq R\}$, $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^m and $(\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c$ denotes the complement of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$. Set

$$\rho_R(\theta) := \sup \left\{ |z| : z \in A(x) \text{ for all } x \in B(0, R) \text{ with } d(x, (\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c) \geq \theta \right\},$$

and by the local boundedness of A on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$, it holds that

$$\rho_R(\theta) < +\infty.$$

Again put for any $l > 0$

$$\vartheta_R(l) := \inf \left\{ \theta \in (0, \theta_0) : \rho_R(\theta) \leq l^{-1/2} \right\},$$

and we have that

$$\rho_R(l + \vartheta_R(l)) \leq l^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{l \downarrow 0} \vartheta_R(l) = 0.$$

Take $l_R > 0$ be such that $l_R + \vartheta_R(l_R) < \theta_0$. For $0 < l < l_R \wedge 1$, let $X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R}$ be the projection of $X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}$ on $\left\{ x \in B(0, R) : d(x, (\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c) \geq l + \vartheta_R(l) \right\}$. Thus, for $Z_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R} \in A(X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R})$, $\sup_{u \in [0, T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R$ and $0 < u - s < l$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle \\
&= -2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle - 2 \int_s^u \langle X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, dK_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} \rangle \\
&\leq -2 \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R}, Z_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi,l,R} \rangle dr + 2(l + \vartheta_R(l)) |K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T \\
&\leq 4l^{1/2}R + 2(l + \vartheta_R(l)) |K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T,
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore by (15)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0, T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}} \\
&\leq \left(4l^{1/2}R + 2(l + \vartheta_R(l)) |K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T \right) + \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} \int_s^u |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 dr
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +L_1 \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} \int_s^u \left(1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2\right) dr \\
& +C \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} \left| \int_s^u \langle X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}, \sigma\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}\right) dB_r \rangle \right| I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the above deduction and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}} \\
& \leq (4l^{1/2}R + 2(l + \vartheta_R(l)) \mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T) + C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)l \\
& + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_s^{s+l} |X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 \|\sigma\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}\right)\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}} \\
& \leq (4l^{1/2}R + 2(l + \vartheta_R(l)) \mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|_0^T) + C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)l \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}} + C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)l,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (10) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}} \\
& \leq (8l^{1/2}R + 4(l + \vartheta_R(l)) C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)) + C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)l. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u \leq s+l \leq T} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| > \eta \right\} \\
& = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u \leq s+l \leq T} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| > \eta, \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\} \\
& + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq u \leq s+l \leq T} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| > \eta, \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| > R \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq u \leq s+l} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}| \leq R \right\}} + \frac{1}{R^2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{u \in [0,T]} |X_u^{\varepsilon,0,\xi}|^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2} \left[(8l^{1/2}R + 4(l + \vartheta_R(l)) C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)) + C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)l \right] + \frac{1}{R^2} C(1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the Chebyshev inequality. Letting $l \rightarrow 0$ first and then $R \rightarrow \infty$, one can obtain (12). So, from (12) and (14), (13) follows.

Finally, combining (12), (13) with [14, Lemma 20.2], we conclude that $\{(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})\}$ is tight in $C([t, T], \mathcal{D}(A)) \times C([t, T], \mathbb{R}^m)$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumption of Theorem 3.2, it holds that for any $F \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $t \leq s \leq v \leq T$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[F(X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - F(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \int_s^v (\mathcal{L}F)(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \right] \right\}$$

$$\left. - \int_s^v \langle \partial F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), dK_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \rangle \right\} = 0,$$

where χ_s is a bounded continuous \mathcal{F}_s -measurable functional, $\bar{a}(x) := (\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma})(x)$ and the operator $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by

$$(\bar{\mathcal{L}}F)(x) := \bar{b}^i(x)\partial_i F(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{a}^{ij}(x)\partial_i\partial_j F(x). \quad (17)$$

Proof. First of all, applying the Itô formula to $F(X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - F(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \int_s^v (\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon F)\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) dr - \int_s^v \langle \partial F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), dK_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \rangle \\ &= \int_s^v \langle \partial F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), dB_r \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $a(s, x) := (\sigma\sigma^*)(s, x)$ and

$$(\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon F)\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) := b^i\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) + \frac{1}{2}a^{ij}\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i\partial_j F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}).$$

Note that $\int_t^\cdot \langle \partial F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), dB_r \rangle$ is a $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq t}$ -martingale. Thus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[F(X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - F(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \int_s^v (\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon F)\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) dr \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \int_s^v \langle \partial F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), dK_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \rangle \right] \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the above equality, one can have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[F(X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - F(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \int_s^v (\bar{\mathcal{L}}F)(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \int_s^v \langle \partial F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}), dK_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \rangle \right] \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v (\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon F)\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) dr - \int_s^v (\bar{\mathcal{L}}F)(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \right] \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v \left(b^i\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - b^i\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right] \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v \left(b^i\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})\partial_i F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right] \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v \left(\bar{b}^i(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})\partial_i F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})\partial_i F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right] \right\} \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v \left(a^{ij}\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i\partial_j F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - a^{ij}\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right)\partial_i\partial_j F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v \left(a^{ij} \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) \partial_i \partial_j F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{a}^{ij}(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \partial_i \partial_j F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right] \right\} \\
& + \mathbb{E} \left\{ \chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \left[\int_s^v \left(\bar{a}^{ij}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \partial_i \partial_j F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{a}^{ij}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \partial_i \partial_j F(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right] \right\} \\
& =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6,
\end{aligned}$$

where $r(\delta) := \lceil \frac{r-s}{\delta} \rceil \delta + s$ for any $0 < \delta < v - s$.

Next, for $|I_1 + I_3|$, by $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$ and Remark 3.1 (iii), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1 + I_3| & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ |\chi_s(X^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})| \int_s^v |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| dr \right\} \\
& \leq C \int_s^v \mathbb{E} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| ds \leq C \int_s^v \left(\mathbb{E} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} dr \\
& \leq C \left(\sup_{s \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq r \leq s + \delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq r \leq s + \delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For I_2 , we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_s^v \left(b^i \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \\
& = \int_0^{v-s} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\varrho + s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\varrho \\
& = \int_0^{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil \delta} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\varrho + s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\varrho \\
& \quad + \int_{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil \delta}^{v-s} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\varrho + s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\varrho \\
& = \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil - 1} \int_{k\delta}^{(k+1)\delta} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\varrho + s}{\varepsilon}, X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\varrho \\
& \quad + \int_{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil \delta}^{v-s} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\varrho + s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\varrho \\
& = \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil - 1} \varepsilon \int_{\frac{k\delta + s}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{(k+1)\delta + s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i(\tau, X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i(X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \partial_i F(X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \\
& \quad + \int_{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil \delta}^{v-s} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\varrho + s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F(X_{[\frac{\varrho}{\delta}] \delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\varrho.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the Hölder inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, it follows that

$$|I_2| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{v-s}{\delta} \rceil - 1} \left| \varepsilon \int_{\frac{k\delta + s}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{(k+1)\delta + s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i(\tau, X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i(X_{k\delta + s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \mathbb{E} \left| \int_{[\frac{v-s}{\delta}]\delta}^{v-s} \left(b^i \left(\frac{\rho+s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\rho}{\delta}]\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i (X_{[\frac{\rho}{\delta}]\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) \partial_i F (X_{[\frac{\rho}{\delta}]\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) d\rho \right| \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{v-s}{\delta}]-1} \left| \varepsilon \int_{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} \int_{[\frac{v-s}{\delta}]\delta}^{v-s} \left| b^i \left(\frac{\rho+s}{\varepsilon}, X_{[\frac{\rho}{\delta}]\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} \right) - \bar{b}^i (X_{[\frac{\rho}{\delta}]\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right| d\rho \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{v-s}{\delta}]-1} \left| \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \\
& \quad + C\delta \left(\mathbb{E} (1 + \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2) \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{v-s}{\delta}]-1} \left| \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(b^i (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{b}^i (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \\
& \quad + C\delta (1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the similar deduction to that for I_1, I_2, I_3 , one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4 + I_6| + |I_5| & \leq C \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq r \leq s+\delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i,j=1}^m \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{v-s}{\delta}]-1} \left| \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(a^{ij} (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{a}^{ij} (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(a^{ij} (\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{a}^{ij} (X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \\
& \quad + C\delta (1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, combining the above deduction, by $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^2)$ and the dominated convergence theorem we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6| \\
& \leq C \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \leq r \leq s+\delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} + C\delta (1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as δ tends to 0, (16) gives the required result. \square

At present, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 4.1, there exist a subsequence $\{(X^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}, K^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi})\}$ and a pair process $(\hat{X}^{t, \xi}, \hat{K}^{t, \xi})$ defined on the other complete filtered probability space $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbb{P}}, (\hat{\mathcal{F}}_s)_{s \in [t, T]})$ such that as k tends to ∞ ,

$$(X^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}, K^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}) \rightarrow (\hat{X}^{t, \xi}, \hat{K}^{t, \xi}), \quad \text{weakly in } C([t, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \times C([t, T], \mathbb{R}^m),$$

which together with Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 4.2 yields that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left\{ \chi_s(\hat{X}^{t, \xi}, \hat{K}^{t, \xi}) \left[F(\hat{X}_v^{t, \xi}) - F(\hat{X}_s^{t, \xi}) - \int_s^v (\bar{\mathcal{L}}F)(\hat{X}_r^{t, \xi}) dr - \int_s^v \langle \partial F(\hat{X}_r^{t, \xi}), d\hat{K}_r^{t, \xi} \rangle \right] \right\} = 0,$$

where $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$. From this, it follows that $\hat{\mathbb{P}} \circ (\hat{X}^{t, \xi}, \hat{K}^{t, \xi})^{-1}$ is a solution of the martingale problem for $(\bar{\mathcal{L}}, \mathbb{P} \circ \xi^{-1})$. Note that the martingale problem for $(\bar{\mathcal{L}}, \mathbb{P} \circ \xi^{-1})$ is well-posed. Therefore, $\hat{\mathbb{P}} \circ (\hat{X}^{t, \xi}, \hat{K}^{t, \xi})^{-1} = \mathbb{P} \circ (\bar{X}^{t, \xi}, \bar{K}^{t, \xi})^{-1}$ and $(X^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}, K^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi})$ converges weakly to $(\bar{X}^{t, \xi}, \bar{K}^{t, \xi})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 3.4 AND COROLLARY 3.5

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5.

First of all, we recall that $(Y^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Z^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, U^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, V^{\varepsilon, t, \xi})$ solves Eq(7), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} &= \Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}) - \int_s^T U_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} dr - \int_s^T V_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^r + \int_s^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}\right) dr \\ &+ \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}) d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^r - (M_T^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - M_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}), \quad s \in [t, T], \quad \mathbb{P}.a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

Then we investigate the convergence of every part in the above equation.

For any $t \leq s \leq T$, set

$$\mathbf{U}_s^\varepsilon := \int_t^s U_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} dr, \quad \mathbf{V}_s^\varepsilon := \int_t^s V_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^r,$$

and we have the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, $\{(X^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, M^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, \mathbf{U}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{V}^\varepsilon)\}$ is tight in $C([t, T], \overline{\mathcal{O}}) \times C([t, T], \mathbb{R}^m) \times D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \times D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \times D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \times D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ under the \mathcal{S} -topology.*

Proof. First of all, by Lemma 4.1 and the fact that the \mathcal{S} -topology is weaker than the uniformly convergence topology, we know that $\{(X^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi})\}$ is tight under the \mathcal{S} -topology.

For $Y^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}$, by the similar deduction to that for [20, Theorem 9], it holds that

$$\sup_\varepsilon \left[\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 (dr + d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^r) \right] \leq C, \quad (18)$$

$$\sup_\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |Z_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 dr \leq C, \quad (19)$$

$$\sup_\varepsilon \left[\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 dr + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |V_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^r \right] \leq C, \quad (20)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on L_3, L_4 . Besides, for any partition π of $[t, T]$: $t = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = T$, the Hölder inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E}[Y_{t_{i+1}}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_{t_i}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \right| \right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| dr + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |V_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |f(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})| dr \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_s^T |g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi})| d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} + \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |V_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r \right)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_t^T (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| + |Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|) (dr + d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r) \\
& \leq T^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} + \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |V_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r \right)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + C \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T (1 + |Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|)^2 (dr + d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r) \right)^{1/2} (T + \mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + C \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} (T^2 + \mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T)^2)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (20), (18), (10), (9) and [21, Proposition 1] yields that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} CV_{[t, T]}(Y^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) < \infty.$$

Now, we deal with $M^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}$. By (19), $M^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}$ is a martingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \geq t}$, which yields that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E}[M_{t_{i+1}}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - M_{t_i}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \right| \right] = 0.$$

Moreover, again by (19) it holds that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |M_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| \leq C \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_t^T |Z_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |Z_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

For \mathbf{U}^ε , by (20) we have that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |\mathbf{U}_r^\varepsilon| \leq \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| dr \leq T^{1/2} \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\varepsilon} CV_{[t, T]}(\mathbf{U}^\varepsilon) &= \sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{U}_{t_{i+1}}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{U}_{t_i}^\varepsilon | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] \right| \right] \\
&\leq \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| dr \leq T^{1/2} \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the similar deduction to that for \mathbf{U}^ε , one can obtain that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |\mathbf{V}_r^\varepsilon| + CV_{[t, T]}(\mathbf{V}^\varepsilon) \right] < \infty.$$

Finally, collecting the above estimates, by Lemma 2.7 we conclude that $\{(Y^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, M^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, \mathbf{U}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{V}^\varepsilon)\}$ is tight with respect to the \mathcal{S} -topology. The proof is complete. \square

To study the convergence of $\int_s^T f(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}) dr$, we prepare the following limit result.

Lemma 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, it holds that*

$$\limsup_{l \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [t, T]} \sup_{s \leq r \leq s+l} \mathbb{E} |Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 = 0.$$

Proof. First of all, we have that for $l > 0$ and $t \leq s \leq r \leq s+l \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} &= - \int_r^{s+l} U_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} dv - \int_r^{s+l} V_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^v + \int_r^{s+l} f\left(\frac{v}{\varepsilon}, X_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}\right) dv \\ &\quad + \int_r^{s+l} g(v, X_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}) d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^v - \int_r^{s+l} Z_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} dB_v. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Itô formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &|Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 + \int_r^{s+l} \|Z_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}\|^2 dv \\ &= -2 \int_r^{s+l} \langle Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, U_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} \rangle dv - 2 \int_r^{s+l} \langle Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, V_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} \rangle d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^v \\ &\quad + 2 \int_r^{s+l} \langle Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, f\left(\frac{v}{\varepsilon}, X_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}\right) \rangle dv \\ &\quad + 2 \int_r^{s+l} \langle Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, g(v, X_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}) \rangle d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^v \\ &\quad - 2 \int_r^{s+l} \langle Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Z_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} dB_v \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From the above equality and $(\mathbf{H}_{f, g}^1)$, it follows that for any $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &|Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| < R \right\}} + \int_r^{s+l} \|Z_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}\|^2 dv I_{\left\{ \sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| < R \right\}} \\ &\leq 4R \int_r^{s+l} |U_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| dv + 4R \int_r^{s+l} |V_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^v \\ &\quad + 4RL_3^{1/2} \int_r^{s+l} (1 + |X_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| + |Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|) dv \\ &\quad + 4RL_4^{1/2} \int_r^{s+l} (1 + |X_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| + |Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|) d|K^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}|_t^v \\ &\quad - 2 \int_r^{s+l} \langle Y_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}, Z_v^{\varepsilon, t, \xi} dB_v \rangle I_{\left\{ \sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}| < R \right\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation on two sides, by the Hölder inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}|Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 I_{\{\sup_{s \in [t,T]} |Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| < R\}} + \mathbb{E} \int_r^{s+l} \|Z_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\|^2 dv I_{\{\sup_{s \in [t,T]} |Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| < R\}} \\
& \leq 4R \left(\mathbb{E} \int_r^{s+l} |U_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dv \right)^{1/2} (s+l-r)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 4R \left(\mathbb{E} \int_r^{s+l} |V_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_v \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^{s+l} - |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r) \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 4RL_3^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_r^{s+l} (1 + |X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| + |Y_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|)^2 dv \right)^{1/2} (s+l-r)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + 4RL_4^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \left(1 + \sup_{v \in [t,T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| + \sup_{v \in [t,T]} |Y_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^{s+l} - |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r)^2 \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (20), (18), (9) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s \leq r \leq s+l} \mathbb{E}|Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_{s+l}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 I_{\{\sup_{s \in [t,T]} |Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| < R\}} \\
& \leq CR \left(l^{1/2} + \left(\mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^{s+l} - |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s) \right)^{1/2} + \left(\mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^{s+l} - |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, by the similar deduction to that for (7) in [26], it holds that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^{s+l} - |K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^s)^2 = 0.$$

Finally, collecting the above estimates, we obtain the required limit by letting $l \rightarrow 0$ first and then $R \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete. \square

Next, we estimate $\int_s^T f(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr - \int_s^T \bar{f}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr$.

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, it holds that for $t \leq s \leq T$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_s^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) dr - \int_s^T \bar{f}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \right| \right] = 0.$$

Proof. First of all, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) dr - \int_s^T \bar{f}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^T \left(f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) - f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) \right) dr \right| \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^T \left(f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) - \bar{f}(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right| \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^T \left(\bar{f}(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \right| \\
& =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For $J_1 + J_3$, by $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) and Remark 3.3, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 + J_3 &\leq L_3^{1/2} \mathbb{E} \int_s^T (|X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}| + |Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|) dr \\
&\leq (2TL_3)^{1/2} \left(\int_s^T (\mathbb{E}|X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 + \mathbb{E}|Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2) dr \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (2L_3)^{1/2} T \left(\sup_{v \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \leq r \leq v+\delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sup_{v \in [t, T]} \sup_{v \leq r \leq v+\delta} \mathbb{E}|Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (2L_3)^{1/2} T \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{v \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \leq r \leq v+\delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{v \in [t, T]} \sup_{v \leq r \leq v+\delta} \mathbb{E}|Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $r(\delta) := \lfloor \frac{r-s}{\delta} \rfloor \delta + s$ for any $0 < \delta < T - s$.

For J_2 , by the similar deduction to that for I_2 in the proof of Lemma 4.2, one can have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^T \left(f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) - \bar{f}(X_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{r(\delta)}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) dr \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor - 1} \varepsilon \int_{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor \delta}^{T-s} \left(f\left(\frac{\varrho+s}{\varepsilon}, X_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) - \bar{f}(X_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\varrho.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , (9), (18) and Remark 3.3 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor - 1} \varepsilon \int_{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor \delta}^{T-s} \left| f\left(\frac{\varrho+s}{\varepsilon}, X_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) - \bar{f}(X_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{\lfloor \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \rfloor \delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right| d\varrho \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor - 1} \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \\
&\quad + C\delta \left(\mathbb{E} \left(1 + \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 + \sup_{r \in [t, T]} |Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor - 1} \left| \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C\delta (1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + C)^{1/2} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above deduction, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}\right) dr - \int_s^T \bar{f}(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) dr \right| \\
&\leq (2L_3)^{1/2} T \left(\sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{v \in [t, T]} \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \leq r \leq v+\delta} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - X_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{v \in [t, T]} \sup_{v \leq r \leq v+\delta} \mathbb{E} |Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi} - Y_v^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&+ \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{T-s}{\delta} \rfloor - 1} \left| \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{(k+1)\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \varepsilon \int_0^{\frac{k\delta+s}{\varepsilon}} \left(f(\tau, X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(X_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}, Y_{k\delta+s}^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}) \right) d\tau \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C\delta (1 + \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + C)^{1/2} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ first and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$, by (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 5.2, we get the required convergence. \square

Now we are going to prove Theorem 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. First of all, by Lemma 5.1, Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 2.7, we know that there exists a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreasing to 0, four processes $\hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}$ in $D([t, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, and a countable set $I \subset [t, T]$ such that $(X^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, K^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, Y^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, M^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon_k}, \mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon_k})$ converges in distribution to $(\bar{X}^{t,\xi}, \bar{K}^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}})$ on $[t, T] \setminus I$.

We observe the limits of $\int_s^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}) d|K^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}|_t^r$ and $\int_s^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_k}, X_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}\right) dr$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. On one hand, $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ and Lemma 2.5 imply that for any $t \leq s \leq T$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_s^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}) d|K^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}|_t^r &= \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}) \langle \nabla \phi(X_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}), dK_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi} \rangle \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{in distribution}} \int_s^T g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) \langle \nabla \phi(\bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}), d\bar{K}_r^{t,\xi} \rangle \\
&= \int_s^T g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_t^r.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3, (9) and (18), it holds that as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_s^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon_k}, X_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}, Y_r^{\varepsilon_k,t,\xi}\right) dr \xrightarrow{\text{in distribution}} \int_s^T \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) dr.$$

Now we take the limit in (7) and obtain that for any $s \in [t, T] \setminus I$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}_s^{t,\xi} &= \Phi(\bar{X}_T^{t,\xi}) - (\hat{\mathbf{U}}_T - \hat{\mathbf{U}}_s) - (\hat{\mathbf{V}}_T - \hat{\mathbf{V}}_s) + \int_s^T \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_r - (\hat{M}_T^{t,\xi} - \hat{M}_s^{t,\xi}).\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

Since $\hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}$ are càdlàg in s , the above equation holds for any $s \in [t, T]$. Moreover, from the above equation, it follows that $\hat{M}^{t,\xi}$ is $(\mathcal{F}_s^{B, \hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}})_{s \in [t, T]}$ -adapted.

Next, we know that $M^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}$ is a martingales with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_s^{B, Y^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}, M^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}, \mathbf{U}^{\varepsilon_k}, \mathbf{V}^{\varepsilon_k}})_{s \in [t, T]}$. Furthermore, by (19), it holds that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |M_s^{\varepsilon_k, t, \xi}|^2 \right] \leq 4 \sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \|Z_r^{\varepsilon, t, \xi}\|^2 dr \leq C.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.8, we have that $\hat{M}^{t,\xi}$ is a martingales with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_s^{B, \hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}})_{s \in [t, T]}$.

In the following, we notice that $(\bar{Y}^{t,\xi}, \bar{Z}^{t,\xi}, \bar{U}^{t,\xi}, \bar{V}^{t,\xi})$ solves uniquely the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi} &= \Phi(\bar{X}_T^{t,\xi}) - (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_T - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_s) - (\bar{\mathbf{V}}_T - \bar{\mathbf{V}}_s) + \int_s^T \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_r - (\bar{M}_T^{t,\xi} - \bar{M}_s^{t,\xi}),\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}_s := \int_t^s \bar{U}_r^{t,\xi} dr, \quad \bar{\mathbf{V}}_s := \int_t^s \bar{V}_r^{t,\xi} d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_r, \quad \bar{M}_s^{t,\xi} := \int_t^s \bar{Z}_r^{t,\xi} dB_r.$$

Since $\mathbb{E} \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_r^{t,\xi}\|^2 dr < \infty$ and B is $(\mathcal{F}_s^{B, \hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}})_{s \in [t, T]}$ -Brownian motion, $\bar{M}^{t,\xi}$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_s^{B, \hat{Y}^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}})_{s \in [t, T]}$ -martingale.

By the Itô formula, it holds that for any two stopping times $t \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 \leq T$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}& |\hat{Y}_{\tau_1}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\tau_1}^{t,\xi}|^2 + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d[\hat{M}^{t,\xi} + \hat{\mathbf{U}} + \hat{\mathbf{V}} - \bar{M}^{t,\xi} - \bar{\mathbf{U}} - \bar{\mathbf{V}}]_r \\ & + 2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, d(\hat{\mathbf{U}}_r + \hat{\mathbf{V}}_r) - d(\bar{\mathbf{U}}_r + \bar{\mathbf{V}}_r) \rangle \\ & = |\hat{Y}_{\tau_2}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\tau_2}^{t,\xi}|^2 + 2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) - \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) \rangle dr \\ & + 2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) - g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,\xi}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}) \rangle d|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_r \\ & - 2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}, d(\hat{M}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{M}_r^{t,\xi}) \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

where $[\hat{M}^{t,\xi} + \hat{\mathbf{U}} + \hat{\mathbf{V}} - \bar{M}^{t,\xi} - \bar{\mathbf{U}} - \bar{\mathbf{V}}]$ is the quadratic variation process of $\hat{M}^{t,\xi} + \hat{\mathbf{U}} + \hat{\mathbf{V}} - \bar{M}^{t,\xi} - \bar{\mathbf{U}} - \bar{\mathbf{V}}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_s^{t,\xi} &= \xi, |\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_s^t = 0, \hat{Y}_s^{t,\xi} = \hat{Y}_t^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}_s^{t,\xi} = 0, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_s = 0, \hat{\mathbf{V}}_s = 0, \quad 0 \leq s < t, \\ \bar{X}_s^{t,\xi} &= \bar{X}_T^{t,\xi}, |\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_s^t = |\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_T^t, \hat{Y}_s^{t,\xi} = \hat{Y}_T^{t,\xi}, \hat{M}_s^{t,\xi} = \hat{M}_T^{t,\xi}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_s = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_T, \hat{\mathbf{V}}_s = \hat{\mathbf{V}}_T, \quad s \geq T,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi} &= \bar{Y}_t^{t,\xi}, \bar{M}_s^{t,\xi} = 0, \bar{\mathbf{U}}_s = 0, \bar{\mathbf{V}}_s = 0, \quad 0 \leq s < t, \\ \bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi} &= \bar{Y}_T^{t,\xi}, \bar{M}_s^{t,\xi} = \bar{M}_T^{t,\xi}, \bar{\mathbf{U}}_s = \bar{\mathbf{U}}_T, \bar{\mathbf{V}}_s = \bar{\mathbf{V}}_T, \quad s \geq T,\end{aligned}$$

and we extend (24) to any two stopping times $0 \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. Thus, from [21, Lemma 7] and $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E}|\hat{Y}_{\tau_1}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\tau_1}^{t,\xi}|^2 + \mathbb{E}\left([\hat{M}^{t,\xi} - \bar{M}^{t,\xi}]_{\tau_2} - [\hat{M}^{t,\xi} - \bar{M}^{t,\xi}]_{\tau_1}\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}|\hat{Y}_{\tau_2}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\tau_2}^{t,\xi}|^2 + 2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})\mathbb{E}\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} |\hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}|^2 d(r + |\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_t^r).\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

Set $\kappa_s(\omega) := s + |\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_t^{s \wedge T}$, and $s \mapsto \kappa_s$ is a continuous strictly increasing and bijective function and κ^{-1} denotes its inverse mapping. Let us consider the stopping times $\tau_1 = \kappa_{s_1}^{-1}$ and $\tau_2 = \kappa_{s_2}^{-1}$, where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2$ are non random. From (25), it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_{s_1}^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_{s_1}^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_{s_2}^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_{s_2}^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 + 2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathbb{E}\left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_r^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_r^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 dr,$$

which together with the Gronwall inequality yields that

$$\mathbb{E}e^{2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})s_1} \left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_{s_1}^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_{s_1}^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}e^{2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})s_2} \left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_{s_2}^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_{s_2}^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2.$$

Note that

$$e^{2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})s} \left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_s^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_s^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 = 0, \quad \text{for any } s \geq \kappa_T, \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} e^{2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})s} \left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_s^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_s^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 \leq \sup_{r \in [t, T]} e^{2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})\kappa_r} \left|\hat{Y}_r^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_r^{t,\xi}\right|^2.$$

Besides, by the similar deduction to that in [20, Theorem 9], it holds that for any $\mu \geq 0$, there exists a constant C such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [t, T]} e^{\mu|\bar{K}^{t,\xi}|_t^s} (|\hat{Y}_s^{t,\xi}|^2 + |\bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi}|^2) \leq C.$$

As $s_2 \rightarrow \infty$, by the dominated convergence theorem we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}e^{2(L_3^{1/2} + L_4^{1/2})s_1} \left|\hat{Y}_{\kappa_{s_1}^{-1}}^{t,\xi} - \bar{Y}_{\kappa_{s_1}^{-1}}^{t,\xi}\right|^2 = 0$$

for any $s_1 \geq 0$, which yields that

$$\hat{Y}_s^{t,\xi} = \bar{Y}_s^{t,\xi}, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..$$

and by (25)

$$\hat{M}_s^{t,\xi} = \bar{M}_s^{t,\xi}, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..$$

Finally, combining the above deduction with (22) and (23), we conclude that

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_s + \hat{\mathbf{V}}_s = \bar{\mathbf{U}}_s + \bar{\mathbf{V}}_s, \quad s \in [t, T], \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s..$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Corollary 3.5. Since $Y_t^{\varepsilon, t, x}$ and $\bar{Y}_t^{t, x}$ are deterministic, we know that

$$Y_t^{\varepsilon, t, x} = \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon, t, x}) - (\mathbf{U}_T^\varepsilon + \mathbf{V}_T^\varepsilon) + \int_t^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon, t, x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon, t, x}\right) dr\right]$$

$$+ \int_t^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}) d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r]$$

and

$$\bar{Y}_t^{t,x} = \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi(\bar{X}_T^{t,x}) - (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_T + \bar{\mathbf{V}}_T) + \int_t^T \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_t^T g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,x}) d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^r \right].$$

Next, we set

$$\mathcal{K}^\varepsilon := \Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon,t,x}) - (\mathbf{U}_T^\varepsilon + \mathbf{V}_T^\varepsilon) + \int_t^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) dr + \int_t^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}) d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r,$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{K}} := \Phi(\bar{X}_T^{t,x}) - (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_T + \bar{\mathbf{V}}_T) + \int_t^T \bar{f}(\bar{X}_r^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_t^T g(r, \bar{X}_r^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,x}) d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^r,$$

and the Hölder inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{K}^\varepsilon|^2 &\leq 5\mathbb{E}|\Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon,t,x})|^2 + 5\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{U}_T^\varepsilon|^2 + 5\mathbb{E}|\mathbf{V}_T^\varepsilon|^2 + 5\mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^T f\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}\right) dr \right|^2 \\ &\quad + 5\mathbb{E} \left| \int_t^T g(r, X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,x}) d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r \right|^2 \\ &\leq 5C + 5T\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |U_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 dr + 5 \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |V_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r \right) (\mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T) \\ &\quad + C \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T (1 + |Y_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|)^2 (dr + d|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^r) \right) (T + \mathbb{E}|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T) \\ &\quad + C \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [t,T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|^2 \right) (T^2 + \mathbb{E}(|K^{\varepsilon,t,\xi}|_t^T)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (20), (18), (10), (9) and [21, Proposition 1] assure that

$$\sup_\varepsilon \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{K}^\varepsilon|^2 \leq C,$$

which implies that $\{\mathcal{K}^\varepsilon\}$ is uniformly integrable. Besides, by the proof of Theorem 3.4, it holds that \mathcal{K}^ε converges in distribution to $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$.

Finally, combining the above deduction, we have that $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{K}^\varepsilon$ converges to $\mathbb{E}\bar{\mathcal{K}}$. That is, $Y_t^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ converges to $\bar{Y}_t^{t,x}$. The proof is complete.

6. APPLICATION

In this section, we apply our result to a type of multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problems and study its homogenization.

First of all, we consider the system (1) with the functions $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ decoupled in the sense that $\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \varphi_1(u_1) + \dots + \varphi_d(u_d)$ and $\psi(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \psi_1(u_1) + \dots + \psi_d(u_d)$, where $\varphi_i, \psi_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ are lower semicontinuous convex functions; hence $\partial\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \partial\varphi_1(u_1) \times \dots \times \partial\varphi_d(u_d)$ and similarly for $\partial\psi$.

Consider two following systems of parabolic variation inequalities

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i^\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon(t,x) + f_i\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x, u^\varepsilon(t,x)\right) \in \partial\varphi_i(u_i^\varepsilon(t,x)), & (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathcal{O}, i = 1, \dots, d \\ \frac{\partial u_i^\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial n} + g_i(t,x, u^\varepsilon(t,x)) \in \partial\psi_i(u_i^\varepsilon(t,x)), & (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \partial\mathcal{O}, i = 1, \dots, d \\ u^\varepsilon(T,x) = \Phi(x), & x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i(t,x)}{\partial t} + (\bar{\mathcal{L}}u_i)(t,x) + \bar{f}_i(x, u(t,x)) \in \partial\varphi_i(u_i(t,x)), & (t,x) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, i = 1, \dots, d \\ \frac{\partial u_i(t,x)}{\partial n} + g_i(t,x, u(t,x)) \in \partial\psi_i(u_i(t,x)), & (t,x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\mathcal{O}, i = 1, \dots, d \\ u(T, x) = \Phi(x), & x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

where \mathcal{L}^ε and $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ are defined in (2) and (17), respectively. The following theorem describes the relationship between the system (26) and the system (27).

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^2)$, (\mathbf{H}_σ^3) , $(\mathbf{H}_{\varphi,\psi})$, $(\mathbf{H}_{f,g}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) hold. Then $u^\varepsilon(t,x)$ converges to $u(t,x)$ as ε tends to 0, where $u^\varepsilon(t,x)$ and $u(t,x)$ are the unique viscosity solutions of the system (26) and the system (27), respectively.*

Proof. By the nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula ([26, Theorem 5.2]), we know that $u^\varepsilon(t,x) := Y_t^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ and $u(t,x) := \bar{Y}_t^{t,x}$ are unique viscosity solutions of the system (26) and the system (27), respectively, where $Y^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ solves the following forward-backward coupled stochastic variational inequalities

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} = \nabla\phi(X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s + b(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})ds + \sigma(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})dB_s, \\ K_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} = \int_t^s \nabla\phi(X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r, \quad |K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s = \int_t^s I_{\{X_r^{\varepsilon,t,x} \in \partial\mathcal{O}\}}d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^r, \\ X_t^{\varepsilon,t,x} = x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \\ dY_s^{\varepsilon,t,x} \in \partial\varphi(Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})ds + \partial\psi(Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s - f(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})ds \\ \quad - g(s, X_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}, Y_s^{\varepsilon,t,x})d|K^{\varepsilon,t,x}|_t^s + Z_s^{\varepsilon,t,x}dB_s, \\ Y_T^{\varepsilon,t,x} = \Phi(X_T^{\varepsilon,t,x}). \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{Y}^{t,x}$ solves the following forward-backward coupled stochastic variational inequalities

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{X}_s^{t,x} = \nabla\phi(\bar{X}_s^{t,x})d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^s + \bar{b}(\bar{X}_s^{t,x})ds + \bar{\sigma}(\bar{X}_s^{t,x})dB_s, \\ \bar{K}_s^{t,x} = \int_t^s \nabla\phi(\bar{X}_r^{t,x})d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^r, \quad |\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^s = \int_t^s I_{\{\bar{X}_r^{t,x} \in \partial\mathcal{O}\}}d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^r, \\ \bar{X}_t^{t,x} = x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, \\ d\bar{Y}_s^{t,x} \in \partial\varphi(\bar{Y}_s^{t,x})ds + \partial\psi(\bar{Y}_s^{t,x})d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^s - \bar{f}(\bar{X}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x})ds \\ \quad - g(s, \bar{X}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x})d|\bar{K}^{t,x}|_t^s + \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}dB_s, \\ \bar{Y}_T^{t,x} = \Phi(\bar{X}_T^{t,x}). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by Corollary 3.5, it holds that $Y_t^{\varepsilon,t,x}$ converges to $\bar{Y}_t^{t,x}$ as ε tends to 0. Based on this, we conclude that $u^\varepsilon(t,x)$ converges to $u(t,x)$. That is, we establish homogenization for the system (26). \square

Remark 6.2. (i) If $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^m$, that is $K^{\varepsilon,t,x} = 0$, and $f(t,x,y)$ is independent of t , Theorem 6.1 becomes [10, Theorem 4.1].

(ii) If $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\varphi = \psi = 0$, Theorem 6.1 is just right [29, Theorem 6.4] with $f_2(z) = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bensoussan, J.L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou: *Asymptotic Analysis for Periodic Structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [2] R. Buckdahn, Y. Hu: Probabilistic approach to homogenizations of systems of quasilinear parabolic PDEs with periodic structures, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 32(1998)609-619.
- [3] R. Buckdahn, Y. Hu, S. Peng: Probabilistic approach to homogenization of viscosity solutions of parabolic PDEs, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 6(1999)395-411.
- [4] R. Buckdahn, N. Ichihara: Limit theorem for controlled backward SDEs and homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 51(2005)1-33.

- [5] E. Cépa: Équations différentielles stochastiques multivoques, in: Sém. Prob. XXIX, in: Lecture Notes in Math., 1995, pp. 86-107.
- [6] E. Cépa: Probleme de Skorohod Multivoque, *Ann. Prob.*, 26(1998)500-532.
- [7] F. Delarue: Auxiliary SDEs for homogenization of quasilinear PDEs with periodic coefficients, *Ann. Probab.*, 32(2004)2305-2361.
- [8] A. Diakhaby, Y. Ouknine: Generalized BSDEs weak convergence and homogenization of semilinear PDEs with the Wentzell-type boundary condition, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 28(2010)254-273.
- [9] A. Diakhaby, Y. Ouknine: Reflected BSDE and Locally Periodic Homogenization of Semilinear PDEs with Nonlinear Neumann Boundary Condition, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 34(2016)496-509.
- [10] E. H. Essaky, Y. Ouknine: Homogenization of Multivalued Partial Differential Equations via Reflected Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 22(2004)81-98.
- [11] M. Freidlin: The Dirichlet problem for an equation with periodic coefficients depending on a small parameter, *Teor. Veroyatnost. I Primenen*, 9(1964)133-139.
- [12] M. Hu, L. Jiang, F. Wang: An averaging principle for nonlinear parabolic PDEs via FBSDEs driven by G -Brownian motion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 508(2022)125893.
- [13] Y. Hu and S. Peng: A stability theorem of backward stochastic differential equations and its application, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 324 (1997)1059-1064.
- [14] Z. Huang: *Basis of Stochastic Analysis(in Chinese)*. Second ed.. Science Press, Beijing, 2001.
- [15] A. Jakubowski: A non-Skorohod topology on the Skorohod space, *Electron. J. Probab.*, 2(1997)1-21.
- [16] A. Lejay: A probabilistic approach to the homogenization of divergence-form operators in periodic media, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 28(2001)151-162.
- [17] A. Lejay: BSDE driven by Dirichlet process and semi-linear parabolic PDE. Application to homogenization, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 97(2002)1-39.
- [18] J. Li and S. Tang: Optimal stochastic control with recursive cost functional of stochastic differential systems reflected in a domain, *ESAIM: COCV*, 21(2015)1150-1177.
- [19] L. Maticiuc, E. Pardoux, A. Raşcanu, A. Zalinescu: Viscosity solutions for systems of parabolic variational inequalities, *Bernoulli*, 16(2010)258-273.
- [20] L. Maticiuc and A. Raşcanu: A stochastic approach to a multivalued Dirichlet-Neumann problem, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 120(2010)777-800.
- [21] L. Maticiuc and A. Raşcanu: On the continuity of the probabilistic representation of a semilinear Neumann-Dirichlet problem, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 126(2016)572-607.
- [22] L. N'Goran and M. N'Zi: Averaging principle for multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Random Operators and Stochastic Equations*, 9(2001)399-407.
- [23] M. N'Zi, Y. Ouknine: Equations différentielles stochastiques retrogrades multivoques, *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 17(1997)259-275.
- [24] Y. Ouknine, E. Pardoux: Homogenization of PDEs with non linear boundary condition. In Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications, III (Ascona, 1999). Progress in Probability, 52. Basel: Birkhauser, 229-242.
- [25] E. Pardoux: Homogenization of linear and semilinear second order parabolic PDEs with periodic coefficients: a probabilistic approach, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 167(1999)498-520.
- [26] E. Pardoux, A. Raşcanu: Continuity of the Feynman-Kac formula for a generalized parabolic equation, *Stochastics*, 89(2017)726-752.
- [27] E. Pardoux, A. Raşcanu: *Stochastic differential equations, backward SDEs, partial differential equations*, in: Series: Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, vol. 69, Springer, 2014.
- [28] E. Pardoux, S. Zhang: Generalized BSDEs and nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 110(1998)535-558.
- [29] H. Qiao: Average principles for forward-backward multivalued stochastic systems and homogenization for systems of nonlinear parabolic PDEs, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.06715>.
- [30] H. Qiao and J. Gong: Backward multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs and associated variational inequalities, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, 16(2023)819-845.

- [31] J. Ren, J. Wu and X. Zhang: Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 134(2010)391-404.
- [32] J. Xu and J. Liu: An averaging principle for multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 32(2014)962-974.
- [33] A. Zălinescu: Weak solutions and optimal control for multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 15(2008)511-533.
- [34] X. Zhang: Skorohod problem and multivalued stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 131(2007)175-217.