

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE L^2 -RANGE OF THE GENERALIZED SPECTRAL PROJECTIONS RELATED TO THE HODGE-DE RHAM LAPLACIAN

ABDELHAMID BOUSSEJRA AND KHALID KOUFANY

ABSTRACT. Let $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ be the real hyperbolic space. In this paper, we present a characterization of the L^2 -range of the generalized spectral projections on the bundle of differential forms over $H^n(\mathbb{R})$. As an underlying result we show a characterization of the L^2 -range of the Poisson transform on the bundle of differential forms on the boundary $\partial H^n(\mathbb{R})$. This gives a positive answer to a conjecture of Strichartz on differential forms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and Preliminaries	4
3. The Helgason Fourier restriction theorem	7
4. Poisson transform on differential forms	9
5. Announcement of the main results	12
6. Asymptotic expansion for the τ -spherical functions	13
7. Proof of Theorem 5.2 – Strichartz’s conjecture for Poisson transform	22
8. Proof of Theorem 5.1 - Strichartz’s conjecture for spectral projections	24
9. Appendix	26
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $T_{\mathbb{C}}^*H^n(\mathbb{R})$ be the complexified cotangent bundle of the real hyperbolic space $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}) := \wedge^p T_{\mathbb{C}}^*H^n(\mathbb{R})$ be the p -th exterior vector bundle ($0 \leq p \leq n$). Its sections are differential p -forms on $H^n(\mathbb{R})$. We write $C^\infty(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$, $C_c^\infty(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ and $L^2(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ for smooth sections, smooth sections with compact support and square-integrable sections, respectively. Consider the exterior differentiation operator $d: C^\infty(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C^\infty(\wedge^{p+1} H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ and co-differentiation operator $\delta: C^\infty(\wedge^{p+1} H^n(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C^\infty(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$. Let $\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$ be the Hodge-de Rham Laplacian which is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$. It follows from The Kodaira-Hodge decomposition that any $F \in L^2(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$

Date: June 4, 2024.

Keywords : Strichartz’s conjecture, differential forms bundle, Poisson transform, Helgason-Fourier transform, generalized spectral projections.

2010 AMS Classification : 43A90, 43A85, 58J50

can be decomposed into a sum

$$F = F_d + F_\delta + F_0,$$

where $F_d \in \overline{dC_c^\infty(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))}$, $F_\delta \in \overline{\delta C_c^\infty(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))}$, and F_0 is an L^2 -harmonic form. The harmonic component F_0 is non zero only when n is even and $p = n/2$.

In [23], Strichartz started the spectral theory of the Hodge-de Rham Laplacian Δ with respect to the splitting of forms into d 's and δ 's. Let us explain his approach. By using a local presentation of p -forms, Strichartz find explicit expressions for operators \mathcal{Q}_λ^d and $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_d &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^d F \, d\lambda, \\ F_\delta &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta F \, d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^d F &= -(\lambda^2 + (\rho - p + 1)^2) \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^d F, \\ \Delta \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta F &= -(\lambda^2 + (\rho - p)^2) \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta F. \end{aligned}$$

He noticed that for a dense set of F and for any point z ,

$$\|F_\delta\|_2^2 = \pi \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r(z)} \langle \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta F, \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta F \rangle_x \, dx \, d\lambda, \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\|F_d\|_2^2 = \pi \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r(z)} \langle \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^d F, \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^d F \rangle_x \, dx \, d\lambda. \quad (1.2)$$

Then he made the following conjecture, *loc. cit.* [23, page 146]: “It is natural to conjecture that (1.1) and (1.2) continue to hold for all L^2 , and conversely, the finiteness of (1.1) and (1.2) should characterize the spectral decomposition of L^2 forms”.

The present paper deals with the proof of this conjecture. This will provide a generalization to the differential forms bundle on $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ of the “classical” Strichartz’s conjecture (see [23, Conjecture 4.5, Conjecture 4.6]) stated for scalar-valued functions on Riemannian symmetric spaces of non compact type.

The method used by Strichartz for (1.1) and (1.2) has the disadvantage of being local : he realized $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and used local harmonic analysis to define \mathcal{Q}_λ^d , $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta$ and proving (1.1) and (1.2) by direct computations.

Our method for handling this conjecture is based on representation theory. We will view $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ as the rank one symmetric space G/K where $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(n, 1)$ and $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$ its maximal compact subgroup. As working in the setting of the exterior bundle involve some difficulties, we shall identify $\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})$ with a G -homogeneous vector bundle $G \times_K \wedge^p \mathbb{C}^n$ and then use representation theory, in particular the Plancherel decomposition of $L^2(G \times_K \wedge^p \mathbb{C}^n)$. To be more precise, let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition and let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} . Let $\tau = \tau_p = \wedge^p \mathrm{Ad}^*$ ($0 \leq p \leq n$) be the p -th exterior product of the coadjoint representation of K on $V_\tau = \wedge^p \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^* \simeq \wedge^p \mathbb{C}^n$. Then as G -homogeneous vector bundles we have $\wedge^p T_c^* H^n(\mathbb{R}) = G \times_K V_\tau$. So we shall identify the space $L^2(\wedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ with the space $L^2(G, \tau)$ of square integrable $f : G \rightarrow V_\tau$, such that $f(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1} f(g)$ for $g \in G$ and $k \in K$. According to the Plancherel Theorem, the space $L^2(G, \tau)$ splits into a direct sum of a continuous part $L_{\mathrm{cont}}^2(G, \tau)$ and possibly of a discrete part $L_{\mathrm{disc}}^2(G, \tau)$. The latter

is non-trivial if $p = \frac{n}{2}$. Due to the Helgason Fourier inversion formula (see e.g. [22]), any $f \in L^2_{\text{cont}}(G, \tau)$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f \, d\lambda,$$

where $\widehat{M}(\tau)$ is the set of unitary irreducible representations of $M = \text{SO}(n-1)$ that occur in the restriction $\tau|_M$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau$ is the partial generalized spectral projection defined for $L^2(G, \tau)$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(g) = \nu_\sigma(\lambda) (\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau (\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(\cdot)))(g), \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$$

Above, $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau$ is the partial Helgason Fourier transform (see (2.3)), $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau$ is the Poisson transform (see (4.1)) and $\nu_\sigma(\lambda)$ is the Plancherel density. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f$ is (for a. e. $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$) a joint eigenform of the algebra of G -invariant differential operators acting on smooth sections of $G \times_K V_\tau$. Therefore the family of operators $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau := (\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau; \sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau))$ gives a spectral decomposition of the space of L^2 -forms of degree p .

Our main result is a characterization of the L^2 -range of the generalized spectral projection \mathcal{Q}_λ^τ , see Theorem 5.1. In particular, if $\tau = \tau_p$ with p generic (say $p \neq \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}$) we prove that the operator \mathcal{Q}^{τ_p} is an isomorphism from the space $L^2(G, \tau_p)$ of L^2 -differential p forms onto $\mathcal{E}_{p, \mathbb{R}^+}^2(G, \tau_p) \oplus \mathcal{E}_{p-1, \mathbb{R}^+}^2(G, \tau_p)$, where $\mathcal{E}_{p, \mathbb{R}^+}^2(G, \tau_p)$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{p-1, \mathbb{R}^+}^2(G, \tau_p)$) is the space of measurable closed p -eigenforms of Δ (resp. the space of measurable closed $(p-1)$ -eigenforms of Δ), see (5.1). The projection on $\mathcal{E}_{p, \mathbb{R}^+}^2(G, \tau_p)$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{p-1, \mathbb{R}^+}^2(G, \tau_p)$) being $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma_p}^{\tau_p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma_{p-1}}^{\tau_p}$). Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we prove that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{1}{R} \int_{B_R(0)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 = \gamma_0 \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}^2$$

for any L^2 -differential form $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$. This gives a positive answer to the conjecture of Strichartz on differential forms.

Notice that the operator \mathcal{Q}_λ^d (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\delta$) of Strichartz can then be seen as our $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma_p, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$).

To prove Theorem 5.1 we will first study the uniform L^2 -boundedness and the image characterization of $L^2(K, \sigma)$ by the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau$, where $L^2(K, \sigma)$ is the space of L^2 -sections of the bundle $K \times_M V_\sigma$. This is the purpose of the second main theorem of this paper, see Theorem 5.2. The key point of proving this theorem is to establish scattering formula for the τ -spherical functions, see Proposition 6.3.

For general studies of the Poisson transform on vector bundles see e.g. [4, 6, 7, 21, 24, 26].

Let us describe the content of this paper. After general preliminaries (section 2) we will set up the Helgason Fourier transform on the bundle of differential forms and prove a Helgason Fourier restriction theorem (section 3). We obtain then (section 4) as a consequence a uniform estimate of the Poisson transform. Once all materials are introduced we give the announcement of the main theorems (section 5). The delicate part of the paper (section 6) deals with the proof of the asymptotic expansion of τ -spherical functions from which we get the asymptotic expansion of Poisson integrals. The obtained asymptotic properties are then used in section 7 to prove Theorem 5.2. As a consequence, we prove Theorem 5.1 in Section 8. An appendix is added. It contains the proof of technical lemmas.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ be the n -dimensional real hyperbolic space ($n \geq 2$) viewed as the rank one symmetric space of the noncompact type G/K where $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(n, 1)$ and $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(n, 1)$ and $\mathfrak{k} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(n)$ be the Lie algebras of G and K respectively and write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ for the Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . The tangent space $T_o(G/K) \simeq \mathfrak{p}$ of $G/K = H^n(\mathbb{R})$ at the origin $o = eK$ will be identified with the vector space \mathbb{R}^n .

There exists an element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}H_0$ is a Cartan subspace in \mathfrak{p} . Let $A = \exp \mathfrak{a} = \{a_t = e^{tH_0}, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the corresponding analytic Lie subgroup of G . We define $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ by $\alpha(H_0) = 1$. Then the positive restricted root subsystem is $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\alpha\}$ and the corresponding positive Weyl chamber is $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}, \alpha(H) > 0\} \simeq (0, \infty)$. We will identify $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and \mathbb{C} via the map $\lambda\alpha \mapsto \lambda$. Then the half-sum of positive roots is $\rho = (n-1)\alpha/2 = (n-1)/2$.

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ be the positive root subspace, $N = \exp(\mathfrak{n})$, $A^+ = \exp(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ and $\overline{A^+} = \exp(\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+})$. The group G has an Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$. Thus, each $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as $g = k(g)e^{H(g)}n(g)$, where $k(g) \in K$, $H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $n(g) \in N$. Furthermore, according to the Cartan decomposition $G = K\overline{A^+}K$, any $g \in G$ decomposes as $g = k_1e^{A^+(g)}k_2$, where $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and where $A^+(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ uniquely determined by g .

In the Cartan decomposition, the Haar measure on G writes

$$\int_G dg f(g) = \int_K dk_1 \int_0^\infty dt (2 \sinh t)^{n-1} \int_K dk_2 f(k_1 a_t k_2). \quad (2.1)$$

Here K is equipped with its normalized Haar measure.

For $0 \leq p \leq n$, let τ_p be the fundamental (p -th exterior power of the coadjoint) representation of K on $V_{\tau_p} = \wedge^p \mathbb{C}^n$. The representation τ_p is irreducible when $k \neq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\tau_{\frac{n}{2}} = \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^+ \oplus \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^-$ with the corresponding decomposition of the representation space $\wedge^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathbb{C}^n = \wedge_+^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \wedge_-^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathbb{C}^n$, where $\wedge_{\pm}^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathbb{C}^n$ are eigenspaces for the Hodge operator \star :

$$\star = \pm i \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{Id} = \begin{cases} \pm \mathrm{Id} & \text{for } \frac{n}{2} \text{ even,} \\ \pm i \mathrm{Id} & \text{for } \frac{n}{2} \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The Hodge operator \star induces an equivalence $\tau_p \sim \tau_{n-p}$. We can therefore restrict to $0 \leq p \leq n/2$.

Let $M \simeq \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ be the centralizer of A in K . To distinguish between representations of $K = \mathrm{SO}(n)$ and representations of $M = \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ we will henceforth use the Greek letter σ to denote those representations of M .

Similarly, for $0 \leq q \leq n-1$, let us denote by σ_q the fundamental representation of $M = \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ on $\wedge^q(\mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_n) = \wedge^q \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$, where $(e_j)_{j=1}^n$ is the natural basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Then $\sigma_q \sim \sigma_{n-1-q}$ is irreducible for $q \neq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^+ \oplus \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^-$.

Recall the branching rules for $(K, M) = (\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathrm{SO}(n-1))$, see e.g. [8, 17, 22].

- (1) if $p \neq \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}$, then $\tau_{p|M} = \sigma_{p-1} \oplus \sigma_p$;
- (2) if $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then $\tau_{p|M} = \sigma_{p-1} \oplus \sigma_p^+ \oplus \sigma_p^-$;
- (3) if $p = \frac{n}{2}$, then $\tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M} = \tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M}^+ \oplus \tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M}^- = \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \oplus \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Since $\tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M}^+ \sim \tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M}^-$ and $\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \sim \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}$ we have also $\tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M} \sim 2\tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M}^\pm \sim 2\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \sim 2\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

We will refer to *generic case* for $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$ with $p \neq \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}$ (we will not deal with the well-known case $p = 0$, see e.g. [8], [18]) and *special cases* for the cases where n is odd and $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$ or n even and $p = \frac{n}{2}$.

We will hereafter denote by Λ the set of the above irreducible representations of K . To be more precise,

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\frac{(n-1)}{2}}, \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\pm} \right\}.$$

For $\tau \in \Lambda$ we denote by $\widehat{M}(\tau)$ the set of representations in \widehat{M} that occur (with multiplicity one) in the restriction of τ to M according to above branching rules. That is

$$\widehat{M}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \widehat{M}(\tau_p) = \{\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p\} & \text{for } p \neq \frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \\ \widehat{M}(\tau_p) = \{\sigma_{p-1}, \sigma_p^+, \sigma_p^-\} & \text{for } p = \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \widehat{M}(\tau_p^{\pm}) = \{\sigma_p\} & \text{for } p = \frac{n}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and let $G \times_K V_{\tau}$ be the homogeneous vector bundle over $H^n(\mathbb{R}) = G/K$ associated to τ . We identify its smooth sections space $C^\infty(G \times_V V_{\tau})$ with the space $C^\infty(G, \tau)$ of smooth $f : G \rightarrow V_{\tau}$ such that

$$f(gk) = \tau(k^{-1})f(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, k \in K. \quad (2.2)$$

Recall that $\tau = \tau_p$ (for some p) and $V_{\tau} = \bigwedge^p \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \simeq \bigwedge^p \mathbb{C}^n$. Since $\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})$ is canonically isomorphic to $G \times_K \bigwedge^p T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(G/K) \simeq G \times_K \bigwedge^p \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, we identify $C^\infty(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ with $C^\infty(G, \tau)$. This will enable us to identify a p -form on G/K with function $f : G \rightarrow V_{\tau}$ satisfying (2.2)

Since K is compact, we also identify $C_c^\infty(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ with $C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$. Here C_c^∞ means C^∞ with compact support. Similarly, we identify the space $L^2(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ of square integrable p -forms with the space $L^2(G, \tau)$ of V_{τ} -valued functions on G satisfying the transformation rule (2.2) and

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)} := \left(\int_{G/K} dg \|f(g)\|_{\tau}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$ denotes a norm on V_{τ} making τ unitary. Notice also that $\|f(gK)\|_{\tau} = \|f(g)\|_{\tau}$ is well defined for f satisfying (2.2).

On the other hand, for $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$, we consider the vector bundle $K \times_M V_{\sigma}$ over the boundary $\partial H^n(\mathbb{R}) = K/M = S^{n-1}$ and we identify its smooth sections space with the space $C^\infty(K, \sigma)$ of smooth $F : K \rightarrow V_{\sigma}$ such that

$$F(km) = \sigma(m)^{-1}F(k) \quad \text{for all } k \in K, m \in M.$$

We will also denote by $L^2(K, \sigma)$ (resp. $C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma)$) the space of square integrable V_{σ} -valued functions (resp. hyperfunction sections) on K satisfying the above covariant relation.

For a fixed τ in Λ and a given $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$ we define the partial Helgason Fourier transform of $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$, to be the V_{σ} -valued function on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \times K = \mathbb{C} \times K$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\tau} f(\lambda)(k) := \sqrt{d_{\tau, \sigma}} \int_G dg e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} P_{\sigma} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k))^{-1} f(g),$$

where $d_{\tau, \sigma} = \frac{\dim \tau}{\dim \sigma}$ and P_{σ} the orthogonal projection of V_{τ} onto its σ -isotypic component V_{σ} . One can see that $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\tau} f(\lambda)$ belongs to $C^\infty(K, \sigma)$. In the sequel we will set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} f(k) = \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{\tau} f(\lambda)(k). \quad (2.3)$$

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^\tau f$ of $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ is defined as the family

$$\mathcal{F}^\tau f = (\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f)_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Recall from the abstract Plancherel theorem that the space $L^2(G, \tau_p)$ of differential p -forms decomposes as follows

$$L^2(G, \tau_p) = L^2(G, \tau_p)_{\text{cont}} \oplus L^2(G, \tau_p)_{\text{disc}},$$

where $L^2(G, \tau_p)_{\text{cont}}$ (resp. $L^2(G, \tau_p)_{\text{disc}}$) is the continuous (resp. discrete) part of $L^2(G, \tau_p)$. We also mention that $L^2(G, \tau_p)_{\text{disc}}$ is trivial except for $p = \frac{n}{2}$.

Theorem 2.1 (see [22, Theorems 6.19 – 6.23, 2.27]). (1) *Let $\tau = \tau_p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then for a differential form $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ we have the following inversion and Plancherel formulas*

$$f(g) = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \sqrt{d_{\tau, \sigma}} \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \int_K dk e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(k), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}^2 = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^\tau = (\mathcal{F}_\sigma^\tau)_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)}$ extends to bijective isometry from $L^2(G, \tau)$ onto the space

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(K, \sigma), \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda).$$

(2) *Let $\tau = \tau_p^\pm$ with $p = \frac{n}{2}$. Then for a differential form $f^\pm \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau_p^\pm)$ we have the following inversion and Plancherel formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} f^\pm(g) &= \int_0^\infty \nu(\lambda) d\lambda \int_K dk e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_p^\pm(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, \lambda}^{\tau_p^\pm} f^\pm(k) \\ &\quad + 2^{1-2n} n \int_K dk e^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau_p^\pm(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, -\frac{i}{2}}^{\tau_p^\pm} f^\pm(k), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\|f^\pm\|_{L^2(G, \tau_p^\pm)}^2 = \int_0^\infty \nu(\lambda) d\lambda \left\| \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, \lambda}^{\tau_p^\pm} f^\pm \right\|_{L^2(K, \sigma_p)}^2 + 2^{1-2n} n \left\langle \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, -\frac{i}{2}}^{\tau_p^\pm} f^\pm, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, \frac{i}{2}}^{\tau_p^\pm} f^\pm \right\rangle_{L^2(K, \sigma_p)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{\tau_p^\pm} = \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p}^{\tau_p^\pm}$ extends to a bijective isometry from $L^2(G, \tau_p^\pm)$ onto

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(K, \sigma_p), \nu(\lambda) d\lambda) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\pi^\pm},$$

where π^\pm is the discrete series of G with trivial infinitesimal character.

For $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, $q = p-1, p$, the Plancherel densities $\nu_q(\lambda) := \nu_{\sigma_q}(\lambda)$ in the above theorem (part (1)) are given by

$$\nu_q(\lambda) = C_{p,q} \begin{cases} \left[\left(\frac{n+1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + 1 \right) \dots (n-1)n \right]^{-2} \lambda^2 \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\lambda^2 + k^2) & \text{when } n \text{ is odd} \\ 2^{-2n} \left[\left(\frac{n}{2} \right)! \right]^{-2} \pi^2 \frac{\tanh(\pi\lambda)}{\pi\lambda} \lambda^2 \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\lambda^2 + \left(k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right) & \text{when } n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where $C_{p,q} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{n^2 d_{p,q}^{-1}}{\lambda^2 + (\rho - q)^2}$ (p generic or $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $q = p - 1, p$) and $d_{p,q} = d_{\tau_p, \sigma_q}$.

For $p = \frac{n}{2}$, the Plancherel density $\nu(\lambda)$ in the above theorem (part (2)) is given by

$$\nu(\lambda) = \frac{8}{\pi} 2^{-2n} \left[\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)! \right]^{-2} \pi^2 \frac{\tanh(\pi\lambda)}{\pi\lambda} \lambda^2 \prod_{k=2}^{n/2} \left[\lambda^2 + \left(k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

Lemma 2.2. *For any $\tau \in \Lambda$ and any $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$, the following estimate holds,¹*

$$\nu_\sigma(\lambda) \asymp \lambda^2 (|\lambda| + 1)^{n-1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of (2.9) and (2.10). \square

3. THE HELGASON FOURIER RESTRICTION THEOREM

Next, we are interested in proving a Helgason Fourier restriction theorem of suitable sections of the bundle $G \times_K V_\tau$ and fixed $\tau \in \Lambda$.

Before stating the main theorem of this section we will first define the Radon transform of $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ as the V_τ -valued function (see e.g. [10])

$$\mathcal{R}^\tau f(g) = \mathcal{R}^\tau f(t, k) = e^{\rho t} \int_N f(ka_t n) dn, \quad g = ka_t n \in G.$$

Then, for each $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$ we define the partial Radon transform of $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, k) = \sqrt{d_{\tau, \sigma}} P_\sigma \mathcal{R}^\tau f(t, k).$$

For every real number $R > 0$ set $B(R) := \{gK \in G/K, d(o, gK) < R\}$, where $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the hyperbolic distance on $G/K = H^n(\mathbb{R})$. In view of the inequality (see [16, page 476]),

$$d(eK, ka_t nK) \geq |t| \quad k \in K, n \in N,$$

we easily see that, if $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B(R)$, then

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f \subset [-R, R] \times K. \quad (3.1)$$

Notice finally that the partial Radon transform is related to the partial Helgason Fourier transform by the following formula :

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(k) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma^\tau f(\lambda, k) = \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} [\mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k)](\lambda), \quad k \in K, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} \phi(\lambda) = \int_\mathbb{R} e^{-i\lambda t} \phi(t) dt$ is the Euclidean Fourier transform on $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}$.

We come now to the statement of the uniform continuity estimate for restriction of the Helgason Fourier transform.

Theorem 3.1 (Helgason Fourier restriction theorem). *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$.*

(1) *Suppose n even. There exists a positive constant C such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $R > 1$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)} \leq CR^{1/2} \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}, \quad (3.3)$$

for every $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$ supported in $B(R)$. In the special case $p = \frac{n}{2}$, the above estimate must be understood for $f \in L^2(G, \tau)_{\text{cont}}$ supported in $B(R)$.

(2) *Suppose n odd. There exists a positive constant C such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $R > 0$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)} \leq CR^{1/2} \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}, \quad (3.4)$$

¹Here $\phi \asymp \psi$ for two positive functions means that their quotient $\frac{\phi}{\psi}$ stay uniformly bounded.

for every $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$ supported in $B(R)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show (3.3) (resp. (3.4)) for $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$. By the Plancherel formula (2.6)- (2.8) we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}^2 = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \| \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2. \quad (3.5)$$

If $p = \frac{n}{2}$, the discrete term

$$2^{1-2n} n \left\langle \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, -\frac{i}{2}}^{\tau_\pm} f^\pm, \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_p, \frac{i}{2}}^{\tau_\pm} f^\pm \right\rangle_{L^2(K, \sigma_p)}$$

must be added to the right-hand side of (3.5). So, we need only to prove that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $R > 1$ (resp. $R > 0$) and $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B(R)$, we have

$$\nu_\sigma(\lambda) \| \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2 \leq CR \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \| \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2. \quad (3.6)$$

(1) Suppose n even. Use (3.2) to rewrite (3.6) as

$$\| \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, \cdot)](\lambda) \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2 \leq CR \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \| \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, \cdot)](\lambda) \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $\nu_\sigma(\lambda) \asymp \lambda^2(1 + |\lambda|)^{n-1}$ (see (2.11)), we may replace $\nu_\sigma(\lambda)$ by $\lambda^2(1 + |\lambda|)^{n-1}$ and $(1 + |\lambda|)^{n-1}$ by $\eta_\rho^2(\lambda)$, according to [1, Lemma 4]. Then the inequality (3.7) is equivalent to

$$CR^{-1} \| \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}[T * \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, \cdot)](\lambda) \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2 \leq \int_\mathbb{R} d\lambda \| \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}[T * \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, \cdot)](\lambda) \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where T is the tempered distribution on \mathbb{R} with $\mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}T(\lambda) = \lambda \eta_\rho(\lambda)$. Now, let us prove (3.8). As T has compact support, let $R_0 > 1$ such that $\text{supp } T \subset [-R_0, R_0]$. By (3.1) we have

$$\text{supp } T * \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k) \subset [-(R_0 + R), (R_0 + R)],$$

for all $k \in K$. Therefore by Schwartz inequality and the Euclidean Plancherel theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathcal{F}_e[T * \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, \cdot)](\lambda) \|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2 &\leq (R + R_0) \int_K dk \int_\mathbb{R} dt \| T * \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k)(t) \|^2 \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{R_0}{R}\right) R \int_K dk \int_\mathbb{R} d\lambda \| \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R}[T * \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k)](\lambda) \|^2, \end{aligned}$$

thus, the result follows.

(2) Suppose n odd. To show (3.6), let $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B(R)$. Since in this case $\nu(\lambda)^{1/2}$ is polynomial in λ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(k) \| &= \| \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k)(\lambda) \| \\ &= \| \mathcal{F}_\mathbb{R} \left[\nu_\sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^{1/2} (\mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k)) \right](\lambda) \| \\ &\leq \int_\mathbb{R} dt \| \left[\nu_\sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^{1/2} (\mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(t, k)) \right] \|. \end{aligned}$$

As $\nu_\sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{1/2}$ is a differential operator we have $\text{supp}[\nu_\sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{1/2} \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k)] \subset [-R, R]$. Then the Schwartz inequality and the Euclidean Plancherel formula imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f(k)\|^2 &\leq c_0 R \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda \left\| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\nu_\sigma \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{1/2} \mathcal{R}_\sigma^\tau f(\cdot, k) \right] \right\|^2 \quad c_0 = 1, 2 \\ &= c_0 R \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f(k)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then integrating both part of the above inequality with respect to k , the result follows from (2.6). \square

4. POISSON TRANSFORM ON DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Fix $\tau \in \Lambda$ and let $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}$, the Poisson transform on $C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma)$ is the map

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau: C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma) \longrightarrow C^\infty(G, \tau)$$

given by²

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau F(g) = \sqrt{d_{\tau,\sigma}} \int_K dk e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) F(k). \quad (4.1)$$

We point out that $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_p,\lambda}^{\tau_p} = \sqrt{d_{\tau,\sigma}} \Phi_p^{\rho-i\lambda}$, where $\Phi_p^{\rho-i\lambda}$ is the Poisson transform introduced in [13]. Furthermore, under conditions on λ as will become clear in the sequel, for $(\tau, \sigma) = (\tau_p, \sigma_p)$ ($1 \leq p \leq n/2$) it is proved in [13] that the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_p,\lambda}^{\tau_p}$ is an isomorphism from the space of $C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma_p)$ onto a certain space of coclosed p -eigenforms of the Laplacian. To be more precise, let us first review the description of the algebra $\mathbb{D}(G, \tau)$ of G -invariant differential operators acting on the space $C^\infty(G, \tau)$ of smooth differential forms.

Recall the following usual operators :

$d: C^\infty(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C^\infty(\bigwedge^{p+1} H^n(\mathbb{R}))$, the exterior differentiation operator;

$\star: C^\infty(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C^\infty(\bigwedge^{n-p} H^n(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\star\star = (-1)^{p(n-p)} \text{Id}$ the Hodge operator (duality) ;

$\delta = (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} \star d \star: C^\infty(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C^\infty(\bigwedge^{p-1} H^n(\mathbb{R}))$, the co-differentiation operator; and

$\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$ on $C^\infty(\bigwedge H^n(\mathbb{R}))$, the Hodge-de Rham Laplacian.

Then we have (see [14, Theorem 3.1], also [22, Corollary 2.2])

$$\mathbb{D}(G, \tau) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[d\delta, \delta d] & \text{if } \tau = \tau_p \text{ with } p \text{ generic,} \\ \mathbb{C}[d\delta, \star d] & \text{if } \tau = \tau_{(n-1)/2}, \\ \mathbb{C}[\Delta] & \text{if } \tau = \tau_{n/2}^\pm. \end{cases}$$

In all these cases, $\mathbb{D}(G, \tau)$ is a commutative algebra.

In the above, one should work with the equivalent operators of \star , d , δ and Δ according to the identification $C^\infty(\bigwedge^p H^n(\mathbb{R})) \simeq C^\infty(G, \tau_p)$, but we continue using the notation \star , d , δ and Δ .

For $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{2}$, we consider $\tau = \tau_p$ and $\sigma = \sigma_p$ with p generic, then we define the eigenspace

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p,\lambda}(G, \tau_p) = \{f \in C^\infty(G, \tau_p) : \Delta f = (\lambda^2 + (\rho - p)^2)f, \text{ and } d^*f = 0\}$$

²The parameter λ in [22] corresponds to $-\lambda$ in our paper.

of coclosed differential p -forms on $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ which are eigenforms of the Hodge Laplacian with eigenvalue $\lambda^2 + (\rho - p)^2$.

For $\tau = \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\pm}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}$ we consider the eigenspace of the Hodge Laplacian for the eigenvalue $\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}, \lambda}(G, \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\pm}) = \{f^{\pm} \in C^{\infty}(G, \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\pm}) : \Delta f^{\pm} = (\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4})f^{\pm}\}.$$

Then we have

Theorem 4.1 ([13, Theorem 2']). *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The Poisson transform*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_p, \lambda}^{\tau_p} : C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p, \lambda}(G, \tau_p)$$

is a G -isomorphism if and only if

$$i\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} - \rho \cup \{p - \rho\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Now, we need to define the eigenspaces that realizes the bijection in the other cases.

For the case $\tau = \tau_p$ and $\sigma = \sigma_p^{\pm}$ with $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$, we consider the eigenspace

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p^{\pm}, \lambda}(G, \tau_p) = \{f \in C^{\infty}(G, \tau_p) : \star df = \pm i^{p^2-1} \lambda f \text{ and } \delta f = 0\},$$

Finally, for the case $\tau = \tau_p$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{p-1}$, with p generic or $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$, we introduce the following eigenspace :

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}(G, \tau_p) = \{f \in C^{\infty}(G, \tau_p) : \Delta f = (\lambda^2 + (\rho - p + 1)^2)f \text{ and } df = 0\},$$

the eigenspace of closed differential p -forms on $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ which are eigenforms of the Hodge Laplacian with eigenvalue $\lambda^2 + (\rho - p + 1)^2$.

Corollary 4.2. (1) *For $p \neq \frac{n-1}{2}$, the Poisson transform*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}^{\tau_p} : C^{-\omega}(K; \sigma_{p-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}(G; \tau_p)$$

is a G -isomorphism if and only if

$$i\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} - \rho \cup \{\rho - p + 1\}. \quad (4.3)$$

(2) *For $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$, the Poisson transform*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_p^{\pm}, \lambda}^{\tau_p} : C^{-\omega}(K; \sigma_p^{\pm}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p^{\pm}, \lambda}(G; \tau_p)$$

is a G -isomorphism if and only if $i\lambda \notin -\mathbb{N} - \rho$.

Proof. Notice that the Hodge operator induces the equivalences $\tau_p \sim \tau_{n-p}$ and $\sigma_q \sim \sigma_{n-1-q}$.

(1) By using the identity, see [22]

$$\star(\mathcal{P}_{p-1, \lambda}^{\tau_p} f) = (-1)^{p(p-1)} \mathcal{P}_{n-p, \lambda}^{\tau_{n-p}}(\star f),$$

as well as the relations

$$d^* \star = (-1)^{n+1-p^2} \star d \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \star = \star \Delta,$$

we easily see that the following diagram is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma_{p-1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}^{\tau_p}} & \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}(G, \tau_p) \\ \downarrow \star & & \downarrow \star \\ C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma_{n-p}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{\sigma_{n-p}, \lambda}^{\tau_{n-p}}} & \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_{n-p}, \lambda}(G, \tau_{n-p}) \end{array}$$

is commutative and the first part of the corollary follows from Theorem 4.1.

(2) Let $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$. Then $\sigma_p = \sigma_p^+ \oplus \sigma_p^-$. According to [22, corollary 4.7]

$$\text{Im } \mathcal{P}_{\frac{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\pm}, \lambda}^{\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\pm}, \lambda}^{\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}}}(G, \tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}).$$

Since $(\star d)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} d^* d$ it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p, \lambda} = \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p^+, \lambda} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{\sigma_p^-, \lambda}$. This together with Theorem 4.1 give the desired result. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following uniform estimate for the Poisson transform.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$.*

(1) *Suppose n even. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$\sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 \leq C\nu(\lambda)^{-1} \|F\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2,$$

for any $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$.

(2) *Suppose n odd. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$\sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 \leq C\nu(\lambda)^{-1} \|F\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2,$$

for any $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$.

Proof. Since λ is real and τ is unitary, we have

$$\int_{B(R)} d(gK) \langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} F(g), f(g) \rangle_{\tau} = \int_K dk \langle F(k), \mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} f(k) \rangle_{\sigma},$$

for any $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$ and $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset B(R)$. Hence

$$\left| \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} F(g), f(g) \rangle_{\tau} \right| \leq \|F\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)} \left(\int_K dk \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} f(k)\|_{\sigma}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

and by the Helgason Fourier restriction theorem (Theorem 3.1) we get

$$\left| \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} F(g), f(g) \rangle_{\tau} \right| \leq C\nu(\lambda)^{-1/2} R^{1/2} \|F\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)} \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}.$$

The desired estimate follows by taking the supremum over all f with $\|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)} = 1$. \square

To get a more accurate on the L^2 -range of $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau$, Proposition 4.3 motivates us to introduce the eigenspace

$$\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\lambda}^2(G, \tau) = \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\lambda}(G, \tau) \cap B^*(G, \tau),$$

where $B^*(G, \tau)$ is the space of functions $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(G, \tau)$ such that

$$\|f\|_*^2 = \begin{cases} \sup_{R>1} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|f(g)\|_\tau^2 < \infty & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|f(g)\|_\tau^2 < \infty & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

According to Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the Poisson transforms

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau : L^2(K, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\lambda}^2(G, \tau) \quad (4.4)$$

are continuous injective linear maps for any $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. We will show below, that (4.4) are in fact bijective maps.

5. ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. For $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$ (resp. $f \in L^2(G, \tau)_{\text{cont}}$ for $p = \frac{n}{2}$) we define the function $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f \in C^\infty(G, \tau)$ for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f(g) = \sqrt{d_{\tau,\sigma} \nu_\sigma(\lambda)} \int_K dk e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k))^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f(k)$$

Then according to the Helgason Fourier inversion formula ((2.5),(2.7)) we have the following spectral decomposition

$$f = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f.$$

Next, we define the operator $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau = \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau \right)_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} : L^2(G, \tau) \rightarrow \oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\lambda}(G, \tau)$. The family $(\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ are called here generalized spectral projections. Write

$$\mathcal{Q}^\tau f(\lambda, g) = \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau f(g) \text{ for a.e. } (\lambda, g) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times G.$$

To investigate an image characterization of \mathcal{Q}^τ , let us introduce the space

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau) := \oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau) \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau)$ is the space of V_τ -valued measurable functions $\psi(\lambda, g)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ such that $\psi(\lambda, \cdot) \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\lambda}(G, \tau)$ for a.e. $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $\|\psi\|_+ < \infty$, with

$$\|\psi\|_+^2 := \begin{cases} \sup_{R>1} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\psi(\lambda, g)\|_\tau^2 < \infty & n \text{ even,} \\ \sup_{R>0} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\psi(\lambda, g)\|_\tau^2 < \infty & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

We also set for $\phi = (\phi_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)}$

$$\|\phi\|_+^2 = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \|\phi_\sigma\|_+^2. \quad (5.2)$$

Now, we state our main theorems.

Theorem 5.1 (Strichartz's conjecture for the spectral projections). *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$.*

(1) *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$ (resp. $f \in L^2(G, \tau_p^\pm)_{\text{cont}}$ when $p = \frac{n}{2}$) we have*

$$C^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)} \leq \|\mathcal{Q}^\tau f\|_+ \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}, \quad (5.3)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 = \gamma_0 \|f\|_{L^2(G, \tau)}^2. \quad (5.4)$$

where γ_0 is a constant depending on n and p .

(2) For $\tau \neq \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^\pm$ The linear map \mathcal{Q}^τ is a topological isomorphism from $L^2(G, \tau)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau)$.

(3) For $\tau = \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^\pm$ The linear map \mathcal{Q}^τ is a topological isomorphism from $L^2(G, \tau)_{\text{cont}}$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau)$.

Since the spectral projection $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(g) = \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau (\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f)(g) \quad \text{for a.e. } \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

the above theorem will be a consequence of the following:

Theorem 5.2 (Strichartz's conjecture for the Poisson transform). *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$, $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.*

(1) *There exists a positive constant C independent of λ such that for any $f \in L^2(K, \sigma)$,*

$$C^{-1} \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)} \leq \|\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f\|_* \leq C \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1/2} \|f\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}. \quad (5.5)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 = \gamma_0 \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1} \|f\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2. \quad (5.6)$$

(2) *The Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau$ is a topological isomorphism from $L^2(K, \sigma)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \lambda}^2(G, \tau)$.*

The rest of the paper will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.1.

6. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR THE τ -SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

Let (τ, V_τ) be an irreducible representation of K . A function $\Phi: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\tau)$ with $\Phi(e) = \text{Id}$ is called τ -spherical if

(a) Φ is τ -radial, i.e.,

$$\Phi(k_1 g k_2) = \tau(k_2)^{-1} \Phi(g) \tau(k_1)^{-1}, \quad \forall k_1, k_2 \in K, \forall g \in G;$$

(b) $\Phi(\cdot)v$ is an eigenfunction for the algebra $\mathbb{D}(G, \tau)$ for one nonzero $v \in V_\tau$ (hence for all $v \in V_\tau$).

Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, define the Eisenstein integral

$$\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau(g) = d_{\tau, \sigma} \int_K dk e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) P_\sigma \tau(k)^{-1}, \quad (6.1)$$

where P_σ is the projection of V_τ onto the isotypic component V_σ . Then $\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau$ is the τ -spherical function associated to the principal series representation $\pi_{\sigma, \lambda} = \text{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\sigma \otimes e^{\rho - i\lambda} \otimes 1)$.

Moreover, since $\tau|_M$ is multiplicity free any τ -spherical function is given by (6.1), for some $(\sigma, \lambda) \in \widehat{M}(\tau) \times \mathbb{C}$.

We have the following asymptotic behavior for $\Re(i\lambda) > 0$ (see [5, Proposition 5.2]),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{(\rho - i\lambda)t} \Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(a_t) = d_{\tau, \sigma} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau) P_{\sigma}, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau)$ is the generalized Harish-Chandra c -function given by

$$\mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau) = \int_{\overline{N}} d\overline{n} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(\overline{n})} \tau(\kappa(\overline{n})) \in \text{End}_M(V_{\tau}). \quad (6.3)$$

Above $d\overline{n}$ denotes the Haar measure on $\overline{N} = \theta(N)$ with the normalization

$$\int_{\overline{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\overline{n}))} d\overline{n} = 1.$$

The integral (6.3) converges for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re(i\lambda) > 0$ and it has a meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} .

Let M' be the normalizer of A in K . Then the Weyl group $W = M'/M$ has two elements. We denote by ω a representative of the non-trivial element. Notice that $\omega \cdot \pi_{\sigma_q, \lambda} := \pi_{\omega \cdot \sigma_q, -\lambda}$. For p generic and $q = p-1, p$ we have $\omega \cdot \sigma_q = \sigma_q$, hence $\Phi_{\sigma_q, -\lambda}^{\tau_p} = \Phi_{\sigma_q, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$. For $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$, $\omega \cdot \sigma_{p-1} = \sigma_{p-1}$ and $\omega \cdot \sigma_p^{\pm} = \sigma_p^{\mp}$, so $\Phi_{\sigma_{p-1}, -\lambda}^{\tau_p} = \Phi_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$ while $\Phi_{\sigma_p^{\pm}, -\lambda}^{\tau_p} = \Phi_{\sigma_p^{\mp}, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$. Finally, for $p = \frac{n}{2}$, $\omega \cdot \sigma_p = \sigma_p$, so $\Phi_{\sigma_p, -\lambda}^{\tau_p} = \Phi_{\sigma_p, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$.

By the Cartan decomposition the τ -spherical function is completely determined by its restriction to A . Since $\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}(a_t) \in \text{End}_M(V_{\tau})$ it follows from Schur's lemma that it is scalar on each M -isotypic components of V_{τ} . Thus, there exists $\varphi_{\eta, \lambda} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(a_t) = \sum_{\eta \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \varphi_{\eta, \lambda}(t) \text{Id}_{V_{\eta}}.$$

The scalar components of $\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}$ have been given explicitly in [22]. Let us give more details.

Generic case. In the sequel we shall use the notation $\Phi_{q, \lambda}^p = \Phi_{\sigma_q, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$ where $q = p-1, p$.

(1) The scalar components $\varphi_{p-1, \lambda}, \varphi_{p, \lambda}$ of $\Phi_{p, \lambda}^p$ are given by

$$\varphi_{p-1, \lambda}(t) = \phi_{\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t), \quad (6.4)$$

$$\varphi_{p, \lambda}(t) = \frac{n}{n-p} \phi_{\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2})}(t) - \frac{p}{n-p} \cosh(t) \phi_{\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t). \quad (6.5)$$

(2) The scalar components $\varphi_{p-1, \lambda}, \varphi_{p, \lambda}$ of $\Phi_{p-1, \lambda}^p$ are given by

$$\varphi_{p-1, \lambda}(t) = \frac{n}{p} \phi_{\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2})}(t) - \frac{n-p}{p} \cosh(t) \phi_{\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t), \quad (6.6)$$

$$\varphi_{p, \lambda}(t) = \phi_{\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t). \quad (6.7)$$

Special case $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$.

(1) The scalar components $\varphi_{p-1,\lambda}, \varphi_{p,\lambda}^+, \varphi_{p,\lambda}^-$ of $\Phi_{\sigma_{p-1,\lambda}}^{\tau_p}$ are given by

$$\varphi_{p-1,\lambda}(t) = \frac{2n}{n-1} \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2})}(t) - \frac{n+1}{n-1} \cosh(t) \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t), \quad (6.8)$$

$$\varphi_{p,\lambda}^\pm(t) = \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t). \quad (6.9)$$

(2) The scalar components $\varphi_{p-1,\lambda}, \varphi_{p,\lambda}^+, \varphi_{p,\lambda}^-$ of $\Phi_{\sigma_p^\pm}^{\tau_p}$ are given by

$$\varphi_{p-1,\lambda}(t) = \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t), \quad (6.10)$$

$$\varphi_{p,\lambda}^+(t) = \frac{2n}{n+1} \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2})}(t) - \frac{n-1}{n+1} \cosh(t) \phi_\lambda^{(n/2, -1/2)}(t) \pm \frac{i2\lambda}{n+1} \sinh(t) \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t), \quad (6.11)$$

$$\varphi_{p,\lambda}^-(t) = \frac{2n}{n+1} \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2})}(t) - \frac{n-1}{n+1} \cosh(t) \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t) \mp \frac{i2\lambda}{n+1} \sinh(t) \phi_\lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}(t). \quad (6.12)$$

Special case $p = \frac{n}{2}$. The scalar component $\varphi_{p,\lambda}^\pm$ of $\Phi_{\sigma_p}^{\tau_p^\pm}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{p,\lambda}^+(t) = \varphi_{p,\lambda}^-(t) = \cosh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \phi_{2\lambda}^{(\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}+1)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right). \quad (6.13)$$

Above $\phi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ denotes the Jacobi function

$$\phi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{i\lambda + \alpha + \beta + 1}{2}, \frac{-i\lambda + \alpha + \beta + 1}{2}; \alpha + 1; -\sinh^2 t\right),$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \dots$, (see, e.g. [22] [20]).

We recall below some known results on Jacobi functions that will be needed in the sequel (see [12, 20]).

For $\lambda \notin i\mathbb{Z}$, $\phi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}$ has the following development

$$\phi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) \Psi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(t) + c_{\alpha,\beta}(-\lambda) \Psi_{-\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(t), \quad (6.14)$$

where

$$c_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{-i\lambda + \alpha + \beta + 1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{i\lambda + \alpha + \beta + 1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{i\lambda + \alpha - \beta + 1}{2}\right)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(t) &= (2 \sinh t)^{i\lambda - \alpha - \beta - 1} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + 1 - i\lambda}{2}, \frac{-\alpha + \beta + 1 - i\lambda}{2}; 1 - i\lambda; -\frac{1}{\sinh^2 t}\right) \\ &= e^{(i\lambda - \alpha - \beta - 1)t} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Furthermore, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\Psi_\lambda^{\alpha,\beta}(t) = e^{(i\lambda - \alpha - \beta - 1)t} (1 + e^{-2t} \Theta(\lambda, t)), \quad \text{with } |\Theta(\lambda, t)| \leq C.$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $t \geq 1$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re(i\lambda) > 0$. There exists a meromorphic \mathbb{C} -valued function $c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)$ such that*

$$d_{\tau, \sigma} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau) P_\sigma = c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau) P_\sigma.$$

Moreover,

(1) *in the generic case*

$$c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{i\lambda + \rho - p}{2(n-p)} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) & \text{for } (\tau, \sigma) = (\tau_p, \sigma_p) \\ \frac{i\lambda - \rho + p - 1}{2p} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) & \text{for } (\tau, \sigma) = (\tau_p, \sigma_{p-1}) \end{cases} \quad (6.16)$$

(2) *in the special cases $p = \frac{n-1}{p}, \frac{n}{2}$,*

$$c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{i\lambda - 1}{n-1} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) & \text{for } (\tau, \sigma) = (\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}) \\ \frac{2i\lambda}{n+1} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) & \text{for } (\tau, \sigma) = (\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^\pm) \\ \frac{1}{2} c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}+1}(2\lambda) & \text{for } (\tau, \sigma) = (\tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^\pm, \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}) \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) The generic case. A direct computation using (6.14) and (6.15) together with the identity $c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) = \frac{i\lambda + \rho}{2n} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$ gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{(\rho - i\lambda)t} \Phi_{q, \lambda}^p(a_t) = c_q(\lambda, p) \text{Id}_{\Lambda^q \mathbb{C}^{n-1}}, \quad \text{if } \Re(i\lambda) > 0,$$

where

$$c_{p-1}(\lambda, p) = \frac{i\lambda - \rho + p - 1}{2p} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda), \quad c_p(\lambda, p) = \frac{i\lambda + \rho - p}{2(n-p)} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda).$$

Then the identity

$$d_{\tau_p, \sigma_q} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau_p) P_{\sigma_q} = c_q(\lambda, p) P_{\sigma_q},$$

follows from (6.2).

(2) The special cases. In the same manner we get

$$d_{\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^\pm} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) P_{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^\pm} = \frac{i2\lambda}{n+1} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) P_{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^\pm},$$

$$d_{\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}) P_{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}} = \frac{i\lambda - 1}{n-1} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) P_{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, \frac{n}{2}+1}(2\lambda) \text{Id}.$$

□

The main result of this section is to establish, for real parameter λ , an asymptotic expansion of the translated τ -spherical functions $\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau(g^{-1}x)$, ($x, g \in G$). Before stating the result let us say, that $f_1, f_2 \in B^*(G, \tau)$ are asymptotically equivalent, and we denote $f_1 \simeq f_2$ if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|f_1(g) - f_2(g)\|_\tau^2 = 0. \quad (6.17)$$

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$, $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any $x \in G$ we have the following asymptotic expansion in $B^*(G, \tau)$,*

$$\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(x)v \simeq d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(x))v, \quad (6.18)$$

where $v \in V_{\tau}$ and $x = k_1(x)e^{A^+(x)}k_2(x)$.

Proof. Let us first show that the right hand-side of (6.18) belongs to $B^*(G, \tau)$. Since $d_{\tau, \sigma} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau) = c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)P_{\sigma}$ and $\dim \sigma = \dim s\sigma$ for any $s \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} dx \left\| [\tau^{-1}(k_2(x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} d_{\tau, \sigma} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(x))]v \right\|^2 \\ \leq \sum_{s \in W} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} e^{-2\rho A^+(x)} |c_{s\sigma}(s\lambda, \tau)|^2 \|P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(x))v\|^2 dx \\ \leq \frac{2 \|v\|^2}{R} |c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \int_0^R dt e^{-2\rho t} (2 \sinh t)^{2\rho} \\ \leq 2 \|v\|^2 |c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $R > 0$, what needed to be shown. Above we have also used the fact $|c_{s\sigma}(s\lambda, \tau)|^2 = |c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)|^2$.

Now we prove the asymptotic expansion. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(R)} dx \left\| \Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(x)v - d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(x))v \right\|^2 \\ = \int_0^R \int_K \left\| \left[\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(at) - d_{\tau, \sigma} \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \right] \tau^{-1}(k)v \right\|^2 (2 \sinh t)^{2\rho} dk dt. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a positive constant C_{λ} such that for every $t > 0$ we have

$$\left\| \left[\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(at) - d_{\tau, \sigma} \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \right] \tau^{-1}(k)v \right\| \leq C_{\lambda} e^{-\rho t - t} \|v\|. \quad (6.19)$$

It is clear that (6.19) implies that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} dx \left\| \Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(x)v - d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(x))v \right\|^2 = 0.$$

So, we are left to prove the estimate (6.19). By continuity it is sufficient to prove it for $t \geq 1$.

Case p generic and $q = p$. First, we have $s\sigma_p = \sigma_p$, for any $s \in W$. Let us temporarily use the notation $\Phi_{p, \lambda}^p = \Phi_{\sigma_p, \lambda}^{\tau_p}$. According to (6.14) we may rewrite the scalar components of

$\Phi_{p,\lambda}^p$ (see (6.4), (6.5)) as

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{p-1,\lambda}(t) &= \sum_{s \in W} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho - 1)t} (1 + e^{-2t} \Theta_1(s\lambda, t)) \\ \varphi_{p,\lambda}(t) &= \frac{n}{n-p} \sum_{s \in W} c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2}}(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} (1 + e^{-2t} \Theta_2(s\lambda, t)) \\ &\quad - \frac{p}{n-p} (1 + e^{-2t}) \sum_{s \in W} \frac{1}{2} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} (1 + e^{-2t} \Theta_3(s\lambda, t))\end{aligned}$$

with $|\Theta_i(\lambda, t)| \leq C_i$, $i = 1, 2$ for all $t \geq 1$. Now, using the identity

$$c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda) = \frac{i\lambda + \rho}{2n} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda),$$

a direct calculation yields to

$$\varphi_{p,\lambda}(t) = \frac{n}{(n-p)} \sum_{s \in W} \frac{is\lambda + \rho - p}{is\lambda + \rho} c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2}}(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} + e^{-(\rho+2)t} \Theta(\lambda, p, t),$$

with $|\Theta(\lambda, p, t)| \leq C$ for all $t \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, let $v \in V_{\tau_p}$ and write $v = v_{p-1} + v_p$. Since $c_p(\lambda, p) = \frac{i\lambda + \rho - p}{i\lambda + \rho} c_{\frac{n}{2}-1, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$ (see (6.16)), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{p,\lambda}^p(a_t)v &= e^{-t} \sum_{s \in W} c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} (1 + e^{-2t} \Theta_1(s\lambda, t)) v_{p-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{(n-p)} \left[\sum_{s \in W} c_p(\lambda, p) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} + e^{-(\rho+2)t} \Theta(\lambda, p, t) \right] v_p\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\Phi_{p,\lambda}^p(a_t)v - \frac{n}{(n-p)} \left[\sum_{s \in W} c_p(s\lambda, p) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} \right] v_p = e^{-t} e^{-\rho t} K(\lambda, p, t)v,$$

where $K(\lambda, p, t) \in \text{End}(V_{\tau_p})$ with $\|K(\lambda, p, t)\| \leq C_\lambda$ for all $t \geq 1$. As $\frac{n}{n-p} = d_{\tau_p, \sigma_p}$ and $d_{\tau_p, \sigma_p} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau_p) = \mathbf{c}_p(\lambda, \tau_p) P_{\sigma_p}$ we get

$$\Phi_{p,\lambda}^p(a_t)v - \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} d_{\tau_p, \sigma_p} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau_p) P_{\sigma_p} v = e^{-t} e^{-\rho t} K(\lambda, p, t)v,$$

and consequently

$$\| \Phi_{p,\lambda}^p(a_t) - \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} d_{\tau_p, \sigma_p} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau_p) P_{\sigma_p} \| \leq C_\lambda e^{-t} e^{-\rho t}$$

for all $t \geq 1$, as to be shown.

Case p generic and $q = p - 1$. The proof is similar to the previous case, so we omit it.

Special case $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$. For $\sigma = \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}-1}$ the proof is similar to the generic case. We shall now deal with the case $\sigma = \sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^+$. Using (6.11)-(6.12) and following the same method as before, we get

$$\varphi_{p,\lambda}^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{s \in W} (is\lambda \pm i\lambda) c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(s\lambda) e^{(is\lambda - \rho)t} + e^{-\rho t} e^{-t} I^\pm(\lambda, t),$$

with $|I^\pm(\lambda, t)| \leq C_\lambda$ for all $t \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\Phi_{\sigma_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^+}^{\tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}}(a_t)v = \frac{2i\lambda}{n+1}c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)e^{(i\lambda-\rho)t}v_p^+ - \frac{2i\lambda}{n+1}c_{\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}(-\lambda)e^{(-i\lambda-\rho)t}v_p^- + e^{-t}e^{-\rho t}I^+(\lambda, t),$$

and the estimate (6.19) follows.

For the case $\sigma = \sigma_{(n-1)/2}^-$ the result follows from the following remark. If $\psi_{p-1, \lambda}, \psi_{p, \lambda}^+, \psi_{p, \lambda}^-$ are the components of $\Phi_{\sigma_{(n-1)/2}^-, \lambda}$ then

$$\psi_{p-1, \lambda} = \varphi_{p-1, \lambda} \quad \psi_{p, \lambda}^\mp = \varphi_{p, \lambda}^\pm.$$

Special case $p = \frac{n}{2}$. It is similar to the generic case using (6.13), so we omit details. \square

Next, we will prove the following asymptotic for the translated τ -spherical functions.

Proposition 6.3 (Key formula). *Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $g \in G$ be fixed. Then for any $x \in G$ we have the following expansion in $B^*(G, \tau)$*

$$\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau(g^{-1}x)v \simeq d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau(k_2(x))^{-1} \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k_1(x))} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k_1(x)))^{-1}v,$$

where $v \in V_\tau$ and $x = k_1(x)e^{A^+(x)}k_2(x)$.

Proof. It follows from the estimate (6.18), that

$$\Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau(g^{-1}x)v \simeq d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(g^{-1}x)} \mathbf{c}(\tau, s\lambda) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}x))v. \quad (6.20)$$

We rewrite the right-hand side of (6.20) in the form

$$d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x) + H(g^{-1}k_1(x))} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k_1(x)))v + R_g(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_g(x) &= d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(g^{-1}x)} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}x))v \\ &\quad - d_{\tau, \sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_2(x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(x) + H(g^{-1}k_1(x)))} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma} \tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k_1(x)))v. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have to show that $R_g \simeq 0$. To do so, we need the following lemmas, which will be proved in the appendix (see Lemma A and Lemma B).

Lemma 6.4. *For any $g, x \in G$ we have*

$$A^+(gx) = A^+(x) + H(gk_1(x)) + E(g, x), \quad (6.21)$$

where the function E satisfies the estimate

$$0 < E(g, x) \leq e^{2(A^+(g) - A^+(x))}. \quad (6.22)$$

Lemma 6.5. *For any $g \in G$ we have*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{-1}(k_2(ge^{RH_0})) P_{\sigma} \tau^{-1}(k_1(ge^{RH_0})) = P_{\sigma} \tau^{-1}(\kappa(g)).$$

Now, use the identity (6.21), to rewrite $R_g(x)$ as $R_g(x) = I_g(x) + J_g(x)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_g(x) &= d_{\tau,\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}x)) \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(x) + H(g^{-1}k_1(x)))} \times \\ &\quad \times \left[e^{(is\lambda - \rho)E(g^{-1},x)} - 1 \right] \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}x))v, \\ J_g(x) &= d_{\tau,\sigma} \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)(A^+(x) + H(g^{-1}k_1(x)))} \times \\ &\quad \times \left[\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}x))\mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau)P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}x)) - \tau^{-1}(k_2(x))\mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau)P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k_1(x))) \right] v. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove that $I_g \simeq 0$ and $J_g \simeq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} dx \ \| I_g(x) \|^2 \leq \\ &2 \| v \|^2 |c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \sum_{s \in W} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} dx \ e^{-2\rho(A^+(x) + H(g^{-1}k_1(x)))} |e^{(is\lambda - \rho)E(g,x)} - 1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (6.22) we have the estimate $|E(g, x)| \leq c_g e^{-2A^+(x)}$ and therefore

$$|e^{(i\lambda - \rho)E(g,x)} - 1|^2 \leq (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) c_g^2 e^{-2A^+(x)},$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} dx \ \| I_g(x) \|^2 \leq \\ &2 \| c_g(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)v \|^2 |c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \int_{[0,R] \times K} e^{-4\rho t} (2 \sinh t)^\rho e^{-2\rho H(g^{-1}k)} dt dk, \end{aligned}$$

from which we get $I_g \simeq 0$, by $\int_K e^{-2\rho(H(g^{-1}k))} dk = 1$.

To complete the proof, we use the Cartan decomposition $x = ka_t h$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} dx \ \| J_g(x) \|^2 \\ &\leq 2 |c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \sum_{s \in W} \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \int_K dk dt \ e^{-2\rho(H(g^{-1}k))} \times \\ &\quad \times \| (\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}ka_t))P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}ka_t)) - P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k))) v \|^2 \\ &= 2 |c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \sum_{s \in W} \int_0^1 \int_K dk dt \ e^{-2\rho(H(g^{-1}k))} \times \\ &\quad \times \| (\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}ka_{tR}))P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}ka_{tR})) - P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k))) v \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.5 we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \| (\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}ka_{tR}))P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}ka_{tR})) - P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k))) v \|^2 = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-2\rho H(g^{-1}k)} \| (\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}ka_{tR}))P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}ka_{tR})) - P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k))) v \|^2 \\ &\leq 2e^{-2\rho(H(g^{-1}k))} \|v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and noting that $\int_K e^{-2\rho H(g^{-1}k)} dk = 1$, we obtain by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_K e^{-2\rho H(g^{-1}k)} \left\| \left(\tau^{-1}(k_2(g^{-1}ka_{tR})P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(k_1(g^{-1}ka_{tR})) - P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) \right) v \right\|^2 dk = 0.$$

Thus $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} \|J_g(x)\|^2 dx = 0$, and the proposition follows. \square

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $g \in G$ and $v \in V_\tau$, we define $p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g,v} \in L^2(K, \sigma)$ by

$$p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g,v}(k) = \sqrt{d_{\tau,\sigma}} e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} P_{s\sigma}\tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k))^{-1}v. \quad (6.23)$$

By the symmetric formula (see e.g. [11], Proposition 3.3) we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau(p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g,v})(x) = \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau(g^{-1}x)v. \quad (6.24)$$

Lemma 6.6. (1) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the set of finite combinations of $p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g,v}$ ($v \in V_\tau$ and $g \in G$) is a dense subspace of $L^2(K, \sigma)$.

(2) For any $s \in W = \{Id, w\} \simeq \{1, -1\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, There exists a unique unitary isomorphism $U_{s,\lambda} : L^2(K, \sigma) \rightarrow L^2(K, s\sigma)$ such that

$$U_{s,\lambda} p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g,v} = p_{s\sigma,s\lambda}^{g,v}. \quad (6.25)$$

Moreover, for $F_1 \in L^2(K, \sigma)$, $F_2 \in L^2(K, s\sigma)$ we have $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau F_1 = \mathcal{P}_{s\sigma,s\lambda}^\tau F_2$ if and only if $U_{s,\lambda} F_1 = F_2$ i.e. $U_{s,\lambda} = \left(\mathcal{P}_{s\sigma,s\lambda}^\tau\right)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau$.

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from the injectiveness of the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, see Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

(2) $U_{s,\lambda}$ is well defined in $\text{span}(p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g,v})$ since $\mathcal{P}_{s\sigma,s\lambda}^\tau$ remains injective for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The identity (6.25) follows from (6.24) and the identity

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau = \Phi_{s\sigma,s\lambda}^\tau. \quad (6.26)$$

Now, we show that $U_{s,\lambda}$ is unitary. Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V_\tau$. Then by using the symmetry formula (6.24) and (6.26) and noting that $P_{s\sigma}$ is self-adjoint, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle U_{s,\lambda} p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g_1,v_1}, U_{s,\lambda} p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g_2,v_2} \rangle_{L^2(K, s\sigma)} \\ &= d_{\tau,\sigma} \left\langle \int_K dk e^{(i\lambda - \rho)H(g_1^{-1}k)} e^{(-i\lambda - \rho)H(g_2^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g_2^{-1}k)) P_{s\sigma}\tau^{-1}(\kappa(g_1^{-1}k)) v_1, v_2 \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_{s\sigma,s\lambda}^\tau(g_1^{-1}g_2)v_1, v_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau(g_1^{-1}g_2)v_1, v_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle U_{s,\lambda} p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g_1,v_1}, U_{s,\lambda} p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g_2,v_2} \rangle_{L^2(K, s\sigma)} = \langle p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g_1,v_1}, p_{\sigma,\lambda}^{g_2,v_2} \rangle_{L^2(K, \sigma)}.$$

\square

Theorem 6.7. Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and let $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$, we have the following asymptotic expansion for the Poisson transform in $B^*(G, \tau)$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau F(x) \simeq \sqrt{d_{\tau,\sigma}} \tau(k_2(g))^{-1} \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) U_{s,\lambda} F(k_1(x)), \quad (6.27)$$

for any $x = k_1(x)e^{A^+(x)}k_2(x) \in G$.

Proof. Notice that both sides of (6.27) depend continuously on $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$. Therefore in view of the item (1) in Lemma 6.6, to prove the asymptotic expansion holds in $L^2(K, \sigma)$ it is sufficient to prove it for the functions $F = p_{\sigma, \lambda}^{g, v}$ (see (6.23)). Since

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} p_{\sigma, \lambda}^{g, v}(x) = \Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}(g^{-1}x)v,$$

we get by Proposition 6.3

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau} p_{\sigma, \lambda}^{g, v}(x) \simeq \sqrt{d_{\tau, \sigma}} \tau(k_2(x))^{-1} \sum_{s \in W} e^{(is\lambda - \rho)A^+(x)} \mathbf{c}(s\lambda, \tau) p_{s\sigma, s\lambda}^{g, v}(k_1(x)),$$

then we use the identity (6.25) to conclude. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 5.2 – STRICHARTZ'S CONJECTURE FOR POISSON TRANSFORM

Proof the item (1) of Theorem 5.2. The right hand-side of the estimate (5.5) follows from Proposition 4.3 and the left hand side from the equality (5.6). Now, let us prove (5.6). Let $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$ and let φ_F be the V_{τ} -valued function defined by

$$\varphi_F(g) = \sqrt{d_{\tau, \sigma}} \tau(k_2(g))^{-1} \left(\mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau) e^{(i\lambda - \rho)A^+(g)} F(k_1(g)) + \mathbf{c}(-\lambda, \tau) e^{(-i\lambda - \rho)A^+(g)} U_{\omega, \lambda} F(k_1(g)) \right).$$

Using change of variables $g = k_1 e^{tH_0} k_2$ (see (2.1)), the fact that τ and $U_{\omega, \lambda}$ are unitary and $d_{\tau, \sigma} \mathbf{c}(\lambda, \tau) P_{\sigma} = c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau) P_{\sigma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\varphi_F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 &= 2|c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2 \left(\frac{1}{R} \int_0^R dt (2e^{-t} \sinh t)^{n-1} \right) + \\ &+ 2\Re \left(c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau) \overline{c_{\omega\sigma}(\omega\lambda, \tau)} \int_K dk \langle F(k), U_{\omega, \lambda} F(k) \rangle \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R dt e^{2(i\lambda - \rho)t} (2 \sinh t)^{n-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

The Riemann-Lebesgue lemma implies

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R dt e^{2(i\lambda - \rho)t} (2 \sinh t)^{n-1} = 0.$$

Thus, taking the limit in (7.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\varphi_F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 &= 2|c_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \|F\|_{L^2 K, \sigma}^2 \\ &= \gamma_0 \nu_{\sigma}(\lambda, \tau)^{-1} \|F\|_{L^2 K, \sigma}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where γ_0 is a constant depending only on n and τ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^{\tau} F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 &= \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \left(\|\varphi_F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 + \|\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^{\tau} F(g) - \varphi_F(g)\|_{\tau}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\Re \langle \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^{\tau} F(g) - \varphi_F(g), \varphi_F(g) \rangle_{\tau} \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence, (5.6) follows from (7.2), Theorem 6.7 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau}$ is an isomorphism. Before, we need to establish some intermediate results. Let $\tau \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)$ of dimension d_{σ} . Let $\widehat{K}(\sigma) \subset \widehat{K}$ be the subset of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations containing σ upon restriction to K . Consider an element (δ, V_{δ}) in $\widehat{K}(\sigma)$. From [8] or [17] it follows that σ occurs in $\delta|_M$ with multiplicity

one, and therefore $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_M(V_\delta, V_\sigma) = 1$. Choose the orthogonal projection $P_\delta : V_\delta \rightarrow V_\sigma$ to be a generator of $\operatorname{Hom}_M(V_\delta, V_\sigma)$. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{v_j : j = 1, \dots, d_\delta = \dim V_\delta\}$ of V_δ . Then the family $\{\phi_j^\delta : 1 \leq j \leq d_\delta, \delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)\}$ defined by

$$k \mapsto \phi_j^\delta(k) = P_\delta(\delta(k^{-1})v_j)$$

is an orthogonal basis of the space $L^2(K, \sigma)$, see, e.g., [25]. Hence, the Fourier series expansion of each F in $L^2(K, \sigma)$ is given by

$$F(k) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} a_j^\delta \phi_j^\delta(k),$$

with

$$\|F\|_{L^2(K, \sigma)}^2 = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} |a_j^\delta|^2.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\delta, V_\delta) \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)$, define the following Eisenstein integrals

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \delta} := \Phi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{\tau, \delta} : G \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V_\delta, V_\tau)$$

by

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)(v) = d_{\tau, \sigma} \int_K dk e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)H(g^{-1}k)} \tau(\kappa(g^{-1}k)) P_\delta(\delta(k^{-1})v),$$

where $g \in G$ and $v \in V_\delta$.

Proposition 7.1. *We have*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)\|_{HS}^2 = 2 \dim \sigma |c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2.$$

Proof. Since $\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)v_j = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \sigma}^\tau(P_\delta \delta(\cdot)^{-1}v_j)(g)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, d_\delta$, then by (5.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \langle \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)v_j, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)v_j \rangle_\tau \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \langle \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \sigma}^\tau(P_\delta \delta(\cdot)^{-1}v_j)(g), \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \sigma}^\tau(P_\delta \delta(\cdot)^{-1}v_j)(g) \rangle_\tau \\ &= 2|c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \langle P_\delta \delta(\cdot)^{-1}v_j, P_\delta \delta(\cdot)^{-1}v_j \rangle_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \operatorname{tr}(\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)^* \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} \langle \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)v_j, \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)v_j \rangle_\tau \\ &= 2|c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \operatorname{tr}(P_\delta P_\delta^*) \\ &= 2|c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \dim \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

□

From the definition of $\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}$ one may check that $\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(k_1 g k_2) = \tau(k_2^{-1}) \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g) \delta(k_1^{-1})$ for any $g \in G$ and $k_1, k_2 \in K$. Thus if $g = k_1 a_t k_2$, then $\operatorname{tr}(\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)^* \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(g)) = \operatorname{tr}(\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(a_t)^* \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(a_t))$ and the following proposition holds.

Proposition 7.2. *We have*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \mathbf{tr} (\Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(a_t)^* \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(a_t)) (2 \sinh t)^{n-1} dt = 2 \dim \sigma |c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2. \quad (7.3)$$

Proof of the item (2) Theorem 5.2. We will now prove that the Poisson transform is a surjective map from $L^2(K, \sigma)$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \lambda}^2(G, \tau)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \lambda}^2(G, \tau)$. Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then by Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 there exists $F \in C^{-\omega}(K, \sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau F = f$. From the Fourier expansion $F(k) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} a_j^\delta P_\delta(\delta(k^{-1})) v_j$ we get $f(ka_t) = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} a_j^\delta \Phi_{\lambda, \delta}(a_t) v_j$, then using Schur's lemma, we can show that

$$\int_K dk \|f(ka_t)\|_\tau^2 = \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} \frac{|a_j^\delta|^2}{d_\delta} \mathbf{tr} (\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^*(a_t) \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(a_t)).$$

Therefore, for any $R > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_*^2 &\geq \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \int_K (2 \sinh t)^{n-1} dt dk \|f(ka_t)\|_\tau^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} \frac{|a_j^\delta|^2}{d_\delta} \frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \mathbf{tr} (\Phi_{\lambda, \tau}^*(a_t) \Phi_{\lambda, \tau}(a_t)) (2 \sinh t)^{n-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequality the summation over $\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)$ remains true in particular over $\delta \in \Pi$ for any finite subset $\Pi \subset \widehat{K}(\sigma)$. Thus by (7.3) we get,

$$\|f\|_*^2 \geq 2|c_\sigma(\lambda, \tau)|^2 \sum_{\delta \in \Pi} \sum_{j=0}^{d_\delta} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\delta} |a_j^\delta|^2 = \gamma_0 \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\delta \in \Pi} \sum_{j=0}^{d_\delta} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\delta} |a_j^\delta|^2.$$

Since Π is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\gamma_0 \nu_\sigma(\lambda)^{-1} \sum_{\delta \in \widehat{K}(\sigma)} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\delta} \frac{d_\sigma}{d_\delta} |a_j^\delta|^2 \leq \|f\|_*^2 < \infty,$$

which proves that $F \in L^2(K, \sigma)$ and completes the proof of the Theorem 5.2.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1 - STRICHARTZ'S CONJECTURE FOR SPECTRAL PROJECTIONS

Proof of the item (1) of Theorem 5.1. Assume that $p \neq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\tau = \tau_p$. Let $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$, and define $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\tau f$ as the function on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times G$ by $\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\tau f(\lambda, g) = \mathcal{Q}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f(g)$. Then by definition

$$\|\mathcal{Q}^\tau f\|_+^2 = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \|\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\tau f\|_+^2.$$

Using the identity $\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f = \nu(\lambda)\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f)$ and Theorem 5.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}_\sigma^\tau f\|_+^2 &= \sup_{R>0} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 \right] d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{Q}_{\sigma,\lambda} f\|_*^2 d\lambda \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K,\sigma)}^2 d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\mathcal{Q}^\tau f\|_+^2 \leq C \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K,\sigma)}^2 d\lambda = C \|f\|_{L^2(G,\tau)}^2,$$

by the Plancherel formula. This proves the right hand side of (5.3).

Furthermore, by (5.6) and (5.5) we have successively

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 = \gamma_0 \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K,\sigma)}^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 \leq \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K,\sigma)}^2.$$

Then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence and the Placherel formula (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau f(g)\|_\tau^2 &= \gamma_0 \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \int_0^\infty \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau f\|_{L^2(K,\sigma)}^2 \\ &= \gamma_0 \|f\|_{L^2(G,\tau)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which prove (5.4) and indeed the left hand side of (5.3).

The proof for $p = \frac{n}{2}$ is similar to the above taking in account $L^2(G, \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}^\pm)_{\text{cont}}$.

Proof of the item (2) of Theorem 5.1. Assume that $p \neq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\tau = \tau_p$. Linearity together with (5.3) imply the injectivity of \mathcal{Q}^τ . It remains then to prove that \mathcal{Q}^τ is onto. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau)$. Then for any λ , $\psi(\lambda, \cdot) = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\tau)} \psi_{\sigma,\lambda}$ with $\psi_{\sigma,\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \mathbb{R}_+}^2(G, \tau)$. Since

$$\sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\psi_{\sigma,\lambda}(g)\|_\tau^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.e. } \lambda \in (0, \infty),$$

then applying Theorem 5.2 we can assert the existence of $F_{\sigma,\lambda} \in L^2(K, \sigma)$ such that for a.e. λ we have $\psi_{\sigma,\lambda} = \nu_\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{P}_{\sigma,\lambda}^\tau F_{\sigma,\lambda}$. Furthermore, it follows from the estimate (5.5) that

$$\sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(R)} d(gK) \|\psi_{\sigma,\lambda}(g)\|_\tau^2 \geq C^{-2} \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \int_K dk \|F_{\sigma,\lambda}(k)\|_\sigma^2,$$

hence

$$C^{-2} \int_0^\infty \int_K dk \|F_{\sigma,\lambda}(k)\|_\sigma^2 \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \|\psi_{\sigma,\lambda}\|_+^2 < \infty,$$

which proves that

$$F_\lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\sigma)} F_{\sigma, \lambda} \in \oplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\sigma)} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(K, \sigma), \nu_\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda).$$

Now by the Plancherel theorem (Theorem 2.1), there exists $f \in L^2(G, \tau)$ such that $F_\lambda = \mathcal{F}^{\tau_p} f(\lambda) = (\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau f)$, thus, for any $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda, g) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}(\sigma)} \nu_\sigma(\lambda) \mathcal{P}_{\sigma, \lambda}^\tau \left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{p-1}, \lambda}^{\tau_p} f \right) (g) \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_\lambda^\tau(f)(g) = \mathcal{Q}^\tau f(\lambda, g), \end{aligned}$$

as to be shown.

Again, if $p = \frac{n}{2}$, the proof is similar to the above. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

9. APPENDIX

In this appendix we will provide the proof the lemmas 6.5 and Lemma 6.4, we have used to show the key formula in Proposition 6.3. For the convenience of the reader, we will recall their statement.

Elements of $G = \text{SO}_0(n, 1)$ are of the form $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c^\top & d \end{pmatrix}$ where A is $n \times n$ matrix, b, c are (column) vectors of \mathbb{R}^n and $d > 0$ a real number, with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} AA^\top &= I_n + cc^\top, \\ A^\top b &= dc, \\ b^\top b &= d^2 - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

The Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n, 1)$ is $\mathfrak{so}(n, 1) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R}), x^\top = -x \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{p} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & y \\ y^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (column)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The tangent space of G/K at eK is identified to \mathfrak{p} .

Fix the Cartan subspace $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}H_0$ of \mathfrak{p} where

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & e_1 \\ e_1^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p},$$

and $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The subgroups K, A and N are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \left\{ k = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : u \in \text{SO}(n) \right\}, \\ A &= \left\{ a_t = e^{tH_0} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t) & 0 & \sinh(t) \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ \sinh(t) & 0 & \cosh(t) \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \\ N &= \left\{ n_y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 & y^\top & \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 \\ -y & I_{n-1} & y \\ -\frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 & y^\top & 1 + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 \end{pmatrix} : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \text{ (column)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the action of K on the tangent space corresponds to the adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{p} : if $k = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in K$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $Ad(k)Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & uy \\ (uy)^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus the adjoint action of K on \mathfrak{p} is identified with the linear action of $SO(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

For any $g \in G$, we have the Cartan and the Iwasawa decompositions,

$$\begin{aligned} g &= k_1(g)e^{A^+(g)}k_2(g) \in K\overline{A^+}K \\ &= \kappa(g)e^{H(g)}n(g) \in KAN. \end{aligned}$$

Then a direct calculation yields³,

$$H(g) = \log |c^\top e_1 + d| \quad \text{and} \quad A^+(g) = \log \left(d + \sqrt{d^2 - 1} \right), \quad (9.2)$$

where $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c^\top & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma A. *For any $g, x \in G$ we have*

$$A^+(g^{-1}x) = A^+(x) + H(g^{-1}k_1(x)) + E(g, x),$$

where the function E satisfies the estimate

$$0 < E(g, x) \leq e^{2(A^+(g) - A^+(x))}.$$

Proof. Put $E(g, x) = A^+(g^{-1}x) - A^+(x) - H(g^{-1}k_1(x))$ and write $x = ke^{tH_0}h$ with respect to the Cartan decomposition. Then we have

$$E(g, ke^{tH_0}h) = A^+(g^{-1}ke^{tH_0}) - H(g^{-1}k) - t.$$

Next write $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c^\top & d \end{pmatrix}$ and $k = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then according to (9.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &E(g, ke^{tH_0}h) \\ &= \log \left(|\sinh(t)c^\top u e_1 + d \cosh(t)| + \sqrt{|\sinh(t)c^\top u e_1 + d \cosh(t)|^2 - 1} \right) - \log |c^\top u e_1 + d| - t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E(g, ke^{tH_0}h) &\leq \log \left(1 + e^{-2t} \frac{|c u e_1 - d|}{|c u e_1 + d|} \right) \\ &\leq \log \left(1 + e^{-2t} e^{2A^+(g)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

For $g \in G$, we denote by $\pi_o(g)$ its K -component in the polar decomposition $G = K \exp(\mathfrak{p})$. Then, if $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c^\top & d \end{pmatrix}$, one can prove that

$$\pi_o(g) = \begin{pmatrix} A - \frac{1}{1+d}bc^\top & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.3)$$

³Notice that from the relation (9.1), $d^2 - 1$ is positive

Recall also that if $g = k_1(g)e^{tH_0}k_2$ is a the Cartan decomposition of g , then

$$\pi_0(g) = k_1(g)k_2(g). \quad (9.4)$$

Lemma B. *For any $g \in G$ we have*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{-1}(k_2(ge^{RH_0})P_\sigma \tau^{-1}(k_1(ge^{RH_0})) = P_\sigma \tau^{-1}(\kappa(g)). \quad (9.5)$$

Proof. Case $\tau = \tau_p$ with p generic. Set $\mathbb{C}^n = \text{span}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} = \text{span}(e_2, \dots, e_n)$, then $\tau_{p|M} = \sigma_{p-1} \oplus \sigma_p$ with de decomposition of the representation space :

$$\bigwedge^p \mathbb{C}^n = e_1 \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \bigwedge^{p-1} \mathbb{C}^{n-1}.$$

The projection on σ_p is given by $P_{\sigma_p} \xi = e_1 \wedge \xi = \varepsilon_{e_1} \xi$ (the left exterior product by e_1) and the projection on σ_{p-1} is given by $P_{\sigma_{p-1}} \xi = \iota_{e_1} \xi = \langle \xi, e_1 \rangle$ (the interior product by e_1).

Since

$$\tau_p(k)\varepsilon_v \tau_p(k^{-1}) = \varepsilon_{kv} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_p(k)\iota_v \tau_p(k^{-1}) = \iota_{kv},$$

we have

$$P_{\sigma_p} \tau_p(k^{-1}) \xi = \tau_p(k^{-1})(\varepsilon_{ke_1} \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\sigma_{p-1}} \tau_p(k^{-1}) \xi = \tau_p(k^{-1})(\iota_{ke_1} \xi).$$

Thus, in the case $q = p$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \tau_p^{-1}(k_2(ge^{RH_0})) P_{\sigma_p} \tau_p^{-1}(k_1(ge^{RH_0})) \xi - P_{\sigma_p} \tau_p^{-1}(\kappa(g)) \xi \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \tau_p^{-1}(k_2(ge^{RH_0})) \tau_p^{-1}(k_1(ge^{RH_0})) \varepsilon_{k_1(ge^{RH_0})e_1} \xi - \tau_p^{-1}(\kappa(g)) \varepsilon_{\kappa(g)e_1} \xi \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (9.4) we have $\tau_p^{-1}(k_2(ge^{RH_0})) \tau_p^{-1}(k_1(ge^{RH_0})) = \pi_0(ge^{RH_0})^{-1}$ and from the Iwasawa decomposition $g = \kappa(g)a_t n_y$, we get $\pi_0(ge^{RH_0}) = \kappa(g)\pi_0(e^{tH_0}n_y e^{RH_0})$. But

$$e^{tH_0}n_y e^{RH_0} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t+R) - \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 & e^t y^\top & \sinh(t+R) + \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 \\ -e^{-R} y & I_{n-1} & e^{-R} y \\ \sinh(t+R) - \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 & e^t y^\top & \cosh(t+R) + \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.6)$$

Hence, if $\pi_0(e^{tH_0}n_y e^{RH_0}) = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then using (9.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B = & \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(t+R) - \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 & e^t y^\top \\ -e^{-R} y & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{1 + \cosh(t+R) + \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2} \times \\ & \begin{pmatrix} \sinh^2(t+R) - \frac{e^{2(t-R)}}{4} \|y\|^4 & e^t \left(\sinh(t+R) + \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 \right) y^\top \\ e^{-R} \left(\sinh(t+R) - \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 \right) y & e^{t-R} y y^\top \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and one can check easily that $B \rightarrow I_{n-1}$ when $R \rightarrow +\infty$.

On the other hand, $k_1(ge^{RH_0})e_1 = \kappa(g)k_1(e^{tH_0}n_y e^{RH_0})e_1$. Then from (9.6) we get

$$k_1(e^{tH_0}n_y e^{RH_0})e_1 = \left(\left(\cosh(t+R) + \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 \right)^1 - 1 \right)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(t+R) + \frac{e^{t-R}}{2} \|y\|^2 \\ e^{-R} y \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} e_1$$

and therefore, $k_1(ge^{RH_0})e_1 \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(g)e_1$. This proves (9.5) for $q = p$ in the generic case. The case $q = p - 1$ is similar to the above, and it is left to the reader.

Case $\tau_p = \tau_{\frac{n}{2}}$. In this case $\tau_{\frac{n}{2}|M} = \sigma_{\frac{n}{2}}$ and the projection P_σ on σ is the identity. Then (9.5) follows from the proof for p the generic and $q = p$.

Case $\tau_p = \tau_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. In this case $\tau_{p|M} = \sigma_{p-1} \oplus \sigma_p^+ \oplus \sigma_p^-$, and the representation space is decomposed as

$$\bigwedge^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathbb{C}^n = e_1 \wedge \left(\bigwedge^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \right) \oplus \bigwedge^{\frac{n-1}{2}}_+ \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \oplus \bigwedge^{\frac{n-1}{2}}_- \mathbb{C}^{n-1}.$$

The projection P_σ on σ_{p-1} is $\xi \rightarrow \varepsilon_{e_1} \xi = e_1 \wedge \xi$ and (9.5) follows from the proof for p generic. On the other hand, the projection $P_{\sigma_p^\pm}$ on σ_p^\pm is given by

$$P_{\sigma_p^\pm} \xi = \frac{1}{2} e_1 \wedge \xi \pm \frac{1}{2} i^{p(p+2)} \star (e_1 \wedge \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{e_1} \xi \pm \frac{1}{2} i^{p^2+2} \iota_{e_1} (\star \xi).$$

Again (9.5) follows from the proof for p generic. \square

We hereby announce that the Strichartz conjecture for the bundle of spinors over $H^n(\mathbb{R})$ is discussed in the forthcoming paper [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] Anker J.-Ph. A basic inequality for scattering theory on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type. *Amer. J. Math.* **113** (1991), no. 3, 391–398. [8](#)
- [2] Baldoni-Silva M.W. Branching theorems for semisimple Lie groups of real rank one. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **61** (1979), 229–250. [4](#), [5](#), [22](#)
- [3] Boussejra A.; Koufany K. Strichartz’s conjecture for spinors. [29](#)
- [4] Bensaïd S.; Boussejra A.; Koufany K. On Poisson transforms for spinors. *Tunis. J. Math.* **5** (2023) no.4, 771–792. [3](#)
- [5] Bensaïd S.; Boussejra A.; Koufany K. On Poisson transforms for differential forms on real hyperbolic spaces. [arXiv:2112.09994](#). [14](#)
- [6] Boussejra A.; Imesmad N.; Ouald Chaib A. L^2 -Poisson integral representations of eigensections of invariant differential operators on a homogeneous line bundle over the complex Grassmann manifold $SU(r, r + b)/S(U(r) \times U(r + b))$. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **61** (2022), no. 2, 399–426. [3](#)
- [7] Boussejra A., Ouald Chaib A. A characterization of the L^2 -range of the Poisson transforms on a class of vector bundles over the quaternionic hyperbolic spaces. *J. Geom. Phys.* **194** (2023), Paper No. 105019, 24 pp. [3](#)
- [8] Boussejra A. Sami H. Characterization of the L^p -Range of the Poisson Transform in Hyperbolic Spaces $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{F}^n)$. *J. Lie Theory* **12** (2002) no. 1, 1–14. [4](#), [5](#), [22](#)
- [9] Boussejra A.; Intissar A. Caractérisation des intégrales de Poisson-Szegö de $L^2(\partial B^n)$ dans la boule de Bergman B^n , $n \geq 2$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **315** (1992), no.13, 1353–1357.
- [10] Branson Thomas P.; Ólafsson, G.; Schlichtkrull, H. A Bundle valued Radon transform, with applications to invariant wave equations. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **45**, (1994), 429–461. [7](#)
- [11] Camporesi. R, The Helgason Fourier transform for homogeneous vector bundles over Riemannian symmetric spaces. *Pac. J. Math.* **179** (2) (1997) 263–300. [21](#)
- [12] Flensted-Jensen M. Paley-Wiener type theorems for a differential operator connected with symmetric spaces *Ark. Mat.* **10**(1972), 143–162. [15](#)
- [13] Gaillard P.-Y. Transformation de Poisson de formes différentielles. Le cas de l’espace hyperbolique. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **61** (1986), no. 4, 581–616. [9](#), [10](#)
- [14] Gaillard P.-Y. Invariant syzygies and semisimple groups. *Adv. Math.* **92** (1992), no. 1, 27–46. [9](#)
- [15] Helgason S. A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations. *Adv. Math.* **5** (1970) 1–154.
- [16] Helgason S. Groups and Geometric Analysis, Integral geometry, Invariant Differential operators and Spherical Functions. Academic Press, New York, 1984. [7](#)
- [17] Ikeda A., Taniguchi Y., Spectra and eigenforms of the Laplacian on S^n and $P^n(\mathbb{C})$. *Osaka J. Math.* **15** (1978), 515–546. [4](#), [22](#)
- [18] Ionescu Alexandru D. On the Poisson Transform on Symmetric Spaces of Real Rank One. *J. Funct. Anal.* **174** (2000), no.2, 513–523. [5](#)

- [19] Kaizuka K. A characterization of the L2-range of the Poisson transform related to Strichartz conjecture on symmetric spaces of noncompact type *Adv. Math.* **303** (2016), 464—501.
- [20] Koornwinder Tom H., Jacobi functions and analysis on non-compact semisimple Lie groups. *Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications*, 1–85, Math. Appl., *Reidel, Dordrecht*, 1984. [15](#)
- [21] Olbrich M. Die Poisson-Transformation für homogene Vektorbiindel, Ph.D. Thesis, Humboldt Universitlt, Berlin, 1994. [3](#)
- [22] Pedon E., Analyse harmonique des formes différentielles sur l’espace hyperbolique réel. Thèse Université Henri Poincaré-Nancy (1997). [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [14](#), [15](#)
- [23] Strichartz Robert S. Harmonic analysis as spectral theory of Laplacians. *J. Funct. Anal.* **87** (1989) 51–148. [2](#)
- [24] van der Ven, H., Vector valued Poisson transforms on Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank one. *J. Funct. Anal.* **119** (1994), no. 2, 358–400. [3](#)
- [25] Wallach N. R. Harmonic analysis on homogenous spaces. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. **19**. *Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York*, 1973. xv+361 pp. [23](#)
- [26] Yang, A. Poisson transform on vector bundles. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **350** (3), 857-887 (1998). [3](#)

ABDELHAMID BOUSSEJRA : DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY IBN TOFAIL, KENITRA, MOROCCO

Email address: boussejra.abdelhamid@uit.ac.ma

KHALID KOUFANY : UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, CNRS, IECL, F-54000 NANCY, FRANCE

Email address: khalid.koufany@univ-lorraine.fr