

PERIODIC WAVES FOR THE REGULARIZED CAMASSA-HOLM EQUATION: EXISTENCE AND SPECTRAL STABILITY

FÁBIO NATALI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the existence and spectral stability of periodic traveling wave solutions for the regularized Camassa-Holm equation. For the existence of periodic waves, we employ tools from bifurcation theory to construct waves with the zero mean property and prove that waves with the same property may not exist for the well known Camassa-Holm equation. Regarding the spectral stability, we analyze the difference between the number of negative eigenvalues of a convenient linear operator, restricted to the space constituted by zero-mean periodic functions, and the number of negative eigenvalues of the matrix formed by the tangent space associated with the low-order conserved quantities of the evolution model. We also discuss the problem of orbital stability in the energy space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The regularized Camassa-Holm (rCH) equation

$$u_t + \omega u_x - u_{txx} + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued function and ω is a non-negative parameter. It is clear that equation (1.1) is a generalization of the well known Camassa-Holm (CH) equation in the sense that when $\omega = 0$ in (1.1), we obtain the classical CH. The presence of the drift term ωu_x in equation (1.1) causes some additional effects regarding the existence of smooth traveling waves. To explain better our purposes, let us construct some bridges between the classical CH and our model in (1.1). First, we need to set our problem:

In our paper, we consider the rCH equation (1.1) defined on the periodic domain $\mathbb{T} := [0, 2\pi]$. In order to simplify the notation, we write H_{per}^s instead of $H_{\text{per}}^s(\mathbb{T})$. It is well known that the rCH equation (1.1) conserves formally the mass, momentum, and energy given by

$$M(u) = \int_0^{2\pi} u dx, \quad (1.2)$$

Date: August 6, 2024.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76B15, 37K45, 35Q53.

Key words and phrases. regularized Camassa-Holm equation, periodic traveling waves, spectral stability, orbital stability.

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} (u_x^2 + u^2) dx, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} (u^3 + uu_x^2 + \omega u^2) dx. \quad (1.4)$$

Some qualitative aspects were determined for the CH equation (1.1) in periodic context \mathbb{T} and some of them can be adapted easily to show the same or a similar result for the rCH. In fact, local well-posedness in the space H_{per}^3 has been determined in [4] and [5]. The authors in [3], [9], [14], and [15] established local results in H_{per}^s for $s > \frac{3}{2}$.

Sufficient conditions for the existence of smooth, peaked, and cusped periodic traveling waves have been established in [20]. Orbital stability results of peaked solitary waves in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ was obtained in [6] and [7] but in the recent work [24], we showed that the perturbations to the peaked solitary waves actually grow in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Results of orbital stability of peaked periodic waves in H_{per}^1 was proven in [18] and [19]. Important to mention that when $\omega \neq 0$, there is no peaked solitary neither periodic waves for the problem (1.1).

The orbital stability of the smooth periodic traveling waves in H_{per}^1 was obtained in [21] with the inverse scattering transform for initial data u_0 in H_{per}^3 such that $m_0 := u_0 - u_0''$ is strictly positive. Spectral and orbital stability of the smooth periodic waves by using the analytic theory used for stability of periodic waves in other nonlinear evolution equations of KdV type have been determined by [11].

In [16] the author considered the model

$$u_t + \omega u_x - u_{txx} + 3uu_x = \gamma(2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}), \quad (1.5)$$

posed over the unbounded domain \mathbb{R} and proved the existence of solitary waves when $\omega \neq 0$. In addition, if $\gamma < 1$, the solitary wave is orbitally stable, and if $\gamma > 1$, there exist both orbitally stable and unstable smooth solitary waves. To demonstrate this, the author employed the abstract approach in [12]. It is important to mention that it is well known that the approach in [12] cannot be used to show orbital instability, only orbital stability, for the equation (1.1).

Let us describe our results. We have traveling waves of the form $u(x, t) = \phi(x - ct)$ with speed c that satisfy the third-order differential equation

$$-c\phi' + c\phi''' + \omega\phi' + 3\phi\phi' = 2\phi'\phi'' + \phi\phi''' \quad (1.6)$$

After integration of (1.6), we obtain the second-order differential equation

$$-(c - \phi)\phi'' + (c - \omega)\phi - \frac{3}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\phi'^2 + A = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where A is the constant of integration. We consider smooth 2π -periodic traveling wave solutions of (1.1) with the zero mean property which means that we are looking for

solutions $\phi \in H_{\text{per}}^\infty$ of the equation (1.7) satisfying $\int_0^{2\pi} \phi dx = 0$. Thus, the constant A needs to satisfy

$$A = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi'^2 dx + \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi^2 dx. \quad (1.8)$$

To the best of our knowledge, since one of the physical motivations for the equation (1.1) relates to shallow water wave problems, periodic waves with the zero mean property may better describe water propagation compared to positive waves that is more suitable in optics.

In order to prove the existence of periodic waves, we are going to use a different form compared with some standard approaches in the current literature for the existence of periodic waves. First, we show the existence of small amplitude periodic waves by the bifurcation theory established by Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem (see [2, Chapter 8]). The existence of small amplitude periodic waves and a compactness argument enables us to extend the local solutions to a global one in the sense that

$$c \in \left(\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty\right) \mapsto \phi \in H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2 \quad (1.9)$$

exists and it is a continuous curve depending on c . Here, $H_{\text{per,m,e}}^s$ denotes the Sobolev space constituted by periodic functions in H_{per}^s that are even and satisfy the zero mean property. In addition, as we will see later on, the existence of a continuous curve is not enough for our purposes. We need to consider an additional property concerning the smoothness of the mapping in (1.9) in terms of c to obtain the spectral stability.

The Hamiltonian form for the rCH equation (1.1) is given by

$$u_t = JF'(u), \quad J = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x, \quad F'(u) = \frac{3}{2}u^2 - uu_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + \omega u, \quad (1.10)$$

where J is a well-defined operator from H_{per}^s to H_{per}^{s+1} for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F'(u)$ is defined from H_{per}^s to H_{per}^{s-2} for $s > \frac{3}{2}$. As we have already mentioned before, the Cauchy problem associated with the problem (1.10) is locally well-posed in the space H_{per}^s for $s > \frac{3}{2}$ (see [3], [9], [14], and [15]) and the Cauchy problem associated with (1.10) makes sense.

The second-order equation (1.7) establishes that ϕ is a critical point of the Euler-Lagrange functional given by

$$\Lambda_c(u) := cE(u) - F(u) + AM(u). \quad (1.11)$$

In addition, the linearized operator around the wave ϕ is then gives

$$\mathcal{L} := -\partial_x(c - \phi)\partial_x + (c - \omega - 3\phi + \phi''), \quad (1.12)$$

which is related to the action functional (1.11) as $\mathcal{L} = \Lambda_c''(\phi)$. The linearized operator $\mathcal{L} : D(\mathcal{L}) = H_{\text{per}}^2 \subset L_{\text{per}}^2 \mapsto L_{\text{per}}^2$ is a self-adjoint, unbounded operator in L_{per}^2 equipped with the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

In our paper, we need to prove, to get the spectral and orbital stability of the periodic wave ϕ , that the number of negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} in (1.12) is one and it results to be

simple. In addition, we also have to prove that $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = [\phi']$. To do so, we employ some tools of the Floquet theory as in [10], [23], and [25].

To start with spectral and orbital stability framework, let us consider the perturbation v to the smooth traveling wave ϕ propagating with the same fixed speed c given by

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x - ct) + v(x - ct, t). \quad (1.13)$$

Substituting the change of variables (1.13) into the equation (1.1), we obtain

$$(1 - \partial_x^2)(v_t - cv_x) + \omega v_x + 3\partial_x(\phi v) + 2vv_x = \partial_x(\phi v_{xx} + \phi' v_x + \phi'' v) + 2v_x v_{xx} + vv_{xxx}. \quad (1.14)$$

Neglecting the higher order terms in v we obtain the linearized equation

$$v_t = -J\mathcal{L}v, \quad (1.15)$$

where J is given by (1.10) and \mathcal{L} is the linearized operator defined in (1.12).

Definition 1.1. *We say that the smooth periodic traveling wave $\phi \in H_{\text{per},m}^\infty$ is spectrally stable in the evolution problem (1.1) if the spectrum of $J\mathcal{L}$ in L_{per}^2 is located on the imaginary axis.*

Since J is not a one-to-one operator over L_{per}^2 , we need to consider it in a suitable subspace contained in L_{per} . By restricting the spectral problem $J\mathcal{L}v = \lambda v$ in the space $L_{\text{per},m}^2$ constituted by periodic functions in L_{per}^2 with the zero mean property, we obtain a new spectral problem

$$J\mathcal{L}|_{L_{\text{per},m}^2} v = J\mathcal{L}_\Pi v = \lambda v, \quad (1.16)$$

where \mathcal{L}_Π is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_\Pi := -\partial_x(c - \phi)\partial_x + (c - \omega - 3\phi + \phi'') + \frac{1}{2\pi}\langle \phi', \partial_x \cdot \rangle + \frac{3}{2\pi}\langle \phi, \cdot \rangle, \quad (1.17)$$

Thus, the Definition 1.1 reads as follows in the new context.

Definition 1.2. *We say that the smooth periodic traveling wave $\phi \in H_{\text{per},m}^\infty$ is spectrally stable in the evolution problem (1.1) if the spectrum of $J\mathcal{L}_\Pi$ in $L_{\text{per},m}^2$ is located on the imaginary axis.*

As a consequence of the spectral stability, we have, in our case the orbital stability in the energy space H_{per}^1 .

Definition 1.3. *We say that the smooth periodic traveling wave $\phi \in H_{\text{per},m}^\infty$ is orbitally stable in the evolution problem (1.1) in H_{per}^1 if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in H_{\text{per}}^s$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$ satisfying*

$$\|u_0 - \phi\|_{H_{\text{per}}^1} < \delta,$$

the global solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_{\text{per}}^s)$ with the initial data u_0 satisfies

$$\inf_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \|u(t, \cdot) - \phi(\cdot + r)\|_{H_{\text{per}}^1} < \varepsilon$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

To prove that ϕ is spectrally stable in the sense of Definition 1.2, we need the information that the number of negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} in (1.12) is one, which is simple and associated with the eigenfunction ϕ' . This information is crucial to use an index theorem contained Proposition 4.1 in [27] in order to establish that $\mathcal{L}_\Pi \geq 0$. This property ensures that the linear operator \mathcal{L}_Π is non-negative, which implies the spectral stability since the Hamiltonian-Krein index is zero (see [17, Theorem 5.2.11]). The orbital stability can be seen as a immediate consequence of the spectral stability by using the recent approach in [1, Sections 3 and 4].

The following theorem is the main result of this paper and also summarizes the objectives outlined in the previous paragraphs.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ be fixed.*

(i) *There exists a continuous mapping $c \in (\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty) \mapsto \phi_c = \phi \in H_{\text{per},m}^\infty$ of 2π -periodic functions that solves equation (1.7) with constant A given by (1.8).*

(ii) *The linearized operator \mathcal{L} defined in (1.12) admits one negative eigenvalue which is simple and a simple zero eigenvalue associated with the eigenfunction ϕ' . The rest of the spectrum of \mathcal{L} in L_{per}^2 is strictly positive and bounded away from zero.*

(iii) *The 2π -periodic wave ϕ is spectrally and orbitally stable in the sense of Definitions 1.2 and 1.3, respectively.*

2. EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC TRAVELING WAVES - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4 – (i)

The existence of small amplitude periodic waves associated with the equation (1.7) will be shown in this section. After that, we are enabled to show that the local bifurcation theory used to determine the existence of small amplitude waves can be extended and the local solutions can be considered as global when $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ and the mapping $c \in (\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty) \mapsto \phi \in H_{\text{per},m}^2$ is continuous. This fact is a very important feature in our context since we cannot know to obtain periodic waves ϕ depending continuously on the parameter $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$. To do so, we use the theory contained in [2, Chapters 8 and 9]. First of all, we need to state the next result, which is Proposition 4.1 in [27].

Proposition 2.1. [27] *Let L be a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space H with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that L has $n(L)$ negative eigenvalues (counting their multiplicities) and $z(L)$ multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue bounded away from the positive spectrum of L . Let $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a linearly independent set in H and define*

$$H_0 := \{f \in H : \{ \langle f, v_j \rangle = 0 \}_{j=1}^N \}.$$

Let $A(\lambda)$ be the matrix-valued function defined by its elements

$$A_{ij}(\lambda) := \langle (L - \lambda I)^{-1} v_i, v_j \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad \lambda \notin \sigma(L).$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} n(L|_{H_0}) = n(L) - n_0 - z_0, \\ z(L|_{H_0}) = z(L) + z_0 - z_\infty, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where n_0 , z_0 , and p_0 are the numbers of negative, zero, and positive eigenvalues of $\lim_{\lambda \uparrow 0} A(\lambda)$ (counting their multiplicities) and $z_\infty = N - n_0 - z_0 - p_0$ is the number of eigenvalues of $A(\lambda)$ diverging in the limit $\lambda \uparrow 0$.

□

To prove the existence of small amplitude periodic waves, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists $a_0 > 0$ such that for all $a \in (0, a_0)$ there is an even local periodic solution ϕ for the problem (1.7). The small amplitude periodic waves are given by the following expansion:*

$$\phi(x) = a \cos(x) + \frac{a^2}{\omega} \cos(2x) + \mathcal{O}(a^3). \quad (2.2)$$

The wave speed c and the constant of integration A in (1.8) in this case are expressed as

$$c = \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{6a^2}{\omega} + \mathcal{O}(a^4) \quad \text{and} \quad A = a^2 + \mathcal{O}(a^4). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. We shall give the steps how to prove the existence of small amplitude periodic waves using [2, Chapter 8]. In fact, let $F : H_{\text{per},m,e}^2 \times (\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty) \rightarrow L_{\text{per},m,e}^2$ be the smooth map defined by

$$F(g, c) = -(c - g)g'' + (c - \omega)g - \frac{3}{2}g^2 + \frac{1}{2}g'^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g'^2 dx + \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g^2 dx, \quad (2.4)$$

where we recall that $H_{\text{per},m,e}^s$ indicates the Sobolev space constituted by periodic functions in H_{per}^s that are even and satisfy the zero mean property. We see that $F(g, c) = 0$ if, and only if, $g \in H_{\text{per},m,e}^2$ satisfies (1.7) with corresponding wave speed $c \in (\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty)$. The Fréchet derivative of the function F with respect to the first variable at the fixed point $(0, c_0)$ is then given by

$$D_g F(0, c_0)f = (-c_0 \partial_x^2 + (c_0 - \omega))f. \quad (2.5)$$

The nontrivial kernel of $D_g F(0, c_0)$ is determined by functions $h \in H_{\text{per},m,e}^2$ such that

$$\widehat{h}(k)(c_0 - \omega + c_0 k^2) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We see that $D_g F(0, c_0)$ has the one-dimensional kernel if, and only if, $c_0 = \frac{\omega}{1+k^2}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, we have

$$\text{Ker } D_g F(0, c_0) = [\tilde{\varphi}_k], \quad (2.7)$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_k(x) = \cos(kx)$. In addition, since $D_g \mathbf{F}(0, c_0)$ is a self-adjoint operator defined in $L^2_{\text{per},m,e}$ with domain in $H^2_{\text{per},m,e}$, we also have that the transversality condition $(-\partial_x^2 + 1)(\cos(kx)) \notin \text{Ker} D_g \mathbf{F}(0, c_0)^\perp = \text{Range} D_g \mathbf{F}(0, c_0)$ is satisfied.

Next, we define the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(g, c) \in U; \mathbf{F}(g, \omega) = 0\},$$

where

$$U = \left\{ (g, c) \in H^2_{\text{per},m,e} \times \left(\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty \right); g < c \right\}.$$

Let $(g, c) \in U$ be a real solution of $\mathbf{F}(g, \omega) = 0$. We want to show that

$$\mathcal{P}_\Pi h \equiv D_g \mathbf{F}(g, c)h = -\partial_x(c-g)\partial_x h + (c-\omega-3g+g'')h + \frac{1}{2\pi}\langle g', \partial_x \cdot \rangle + \frac{3}{2\pi}\langle g, \cdot \rangle, \quad (2.8)$$

is a Fredholm operator of index zero. First, we need to prove that it has two linearly independent solutions and at most one belongs to $H^{2s}_{\text{per},m,e}$. Indeed, first we can determine that the operator $\mathcal{P} = -\partial_x(c-g)\partial_x + (c-\omega-3g+g'')$ defined in L^2_{per} with domain H^2_{per} can be rewritten as a Hill operator by using a similar change of variables as in (3.6) with g in place of ϕ . The Floquet theory in [10] and [23] then guarantees that $z(\mathcal{P}) \leq 2$. Since $(g, c) \in U$ is a solution of the equation $\mathbf{F}(g, c) = 0$, we see automatically that g is even and $\mathcal{P}_\Pi g' = 0$, being g' an odd function. By Proposition 2.1, we obtain that $z(\mathcal{P}_\Pi) = z(\mathcal{P}|_{L^2_{\text{per},e}}) + z_0 - z_\infty$. Since $z(\mathcal{P}|_{L^2_{\text{per},e}}) \leq 1$ with $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{P}) = [g']$, we obtain that $z_\infty = 0$ and $z(\mathcal{P}_\Pi) = z(\mathcal{P}) + z_0 \leq 2$. On the other hand, since g' is an odd function, it follows that $z(\mathcal{P}_\Pi) \leq 1$ as requested. In any case, that is, if $z(\mathcal{P}_\Pi) = 0$ or $z(\mathcal{P}_\Pi) = 1$, we can conclude using Fredholm alternative that the Fréchet derivative of \mathbf{F} in terms of g given by (2.8) is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

The local bifurcation established by Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem (see [2, Chapter 8] and the beginning of Chapter 9 in [2] for a more suitable explanation) guarantees the existence of an open interval I containing $c_0 > \frac{\omega}{2}$, an open ball $B(0, \alpha) \subset H^2_{\text{per},m,e}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and a smooth mapping

$$c \in I \mapsto \varphi := \varphi_c \in B(0, \alpha) \subset H^2_{\text{per},m,e}$$

such that $\mathbf{F}(\varphi, c) = 0$ for all $\omega \in I$ and $\varphi \in B(0, \alpha)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the point $(0, \tilde{c}_k)$ where $\tilde{c}_k := \frac{\omega}{1+k^2}$ is a bifurcation point. Moreover, there exists $a_0 > 0$ and a local bifurcation curve

$$a \in (0, a_0) \mapsto (\varphi_{k,a}, c_{k,a}) \in H^2_{\text{per},m,e} \times (0, +\infty) \quad (2.9)$$

which emanates from the point $(0, \tilde{c}_k)$ to obtain small amplitude even $\frac{2\pi}{k}$ -periodic solutions with the zero mean property for the equation (1.7). In addition, we have $c_{k,0} = \tilde{c}_k$, $D_a \varphi_{k,0} = \tilde{\varphi}_k$ and all solutions of $\mathbf{F}(g, \omega) = 0$ in a neighbourhood of $(0, \tilde{c}_k)$ belongs to the curve in (2.9) depending on $a \in (0, a_0)$.

Finally, to get the expression in (2.3), we can use a standard ansatz argument since equation (1.7) is a second order nonlinear equation.

□

Next, we extend the local solutions obtained in Proposition 2.2 to determine global solutions ϕ of equation (1.7) for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$, not just in a neighborhood to the right of the bifurcation point $\frac{\omega}{2}$.

Proposition 2.3. *The local solution obtained in Proposition 2.2 is global, that is, ϕ exists for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$. In addition, the pair $(\phi, c) \in U$ is continuous in terms of the parameter $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ and it satisfies (1.7).*

Proof. To obtain that the local curve (2.9) extends to a global one, we need to prove that every bounded and closed subset $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{S}$ is a compact set on $H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2 \times (\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty)$. To this end, we want to prove that \mathcal{R} is sequentially compact, that is, if $\{(g_n, c_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{R} , then there exists a subsequence of $\{(g_n, c_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges to a point in \mathcal{R} . Let $\{(g_n, c_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{R} . We obtain a subsequence with the same notation such that

$$c_n \rightarrow c \quad \text{in} \quad \left[\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty \right),$$

and

$$g_n \rightharpoonup g \quad \text{in} \quad H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2,$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. If $c = \frac{\omega}{2}$, we obtain from the expression for $c_n = \frac{\omega}{2} + \frac{6a_n^2}{\omega} + \mathcal{O}(a_n^4)$ in a neighbourhood of $\frac{\omega}{2}$ to the right that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, the solution g_n has the form in (2.2) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and it satisfies $g_n \rightarrow 0$ in $H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2$. Hence, the result is proved, but the zero solution is not interesting for our purposes. Now, if $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$, we automatically obtain that $g \neq c$ since g satisfies the zero mean property. Thus, $(g_n, c_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ implies that $g_n < c_n$ and

$$g_n'' = \frac{c_n - \omega}{c_n - g_n} g_n - \frac{3}{2(c_n - g_n)} g_n^2 + \frac{1}{2(c_n - g_n)} g_n'^2 + \frac{A_n}{c_n - g_n}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $A_n = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g_n'^2 dx + \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g_n^2 dx$. The right-hand side of (2.10) is a bounded element in $H_{\text{per,e}}^1$, that is, g_n'' is bounded in $H_{\text{per,e}}^1$. Since $g_n \in H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_{\text{per,m,e}}^3$, and by the compact embedding $H_{\text{per,m,e}}^3 \hookrightarrow H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2$ we obtain, modulus a subsequence, that

$$g_n \rightarrow g \quad \text{in} \quad H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2.$$

In other words, \mathcal{R} is compact in $H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2$ as requested.

Since the wave speed c of the wave given by (2.3) is not constant, we can apply [2, Theorem 9.1.1] to extend globally the local bifurcation curve given in (2.9). More precisely, there is a continuous mapping

$$c \in \left(\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty \right) \mapsto \phi \in H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2 \quad (2.11)$$

where ϕ solves equation (1.7). □

Remark 2.4. According to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, we can deduce that in the case of the classical CH equation, that is, $\omega = 0$ in equation (1.7), we do not have a smooth mapping $c \in (\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty) \mapsto \phi \in H_{\text{per,m,e}}^2$ of periodic waves for the CH equation with a fixed period. Using the arguments in [11, Section 2], we can deduce that, for fixed values of c_0 and A_0 in (1.7), there is a single solution with the zero mean property for the case $\omega = 0$, not a continuous curve that emanates from the equilibrium solution as determined above.

3. THE LINEARIZED OPERATOR - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4 – (ii)

To start with the spectral analysis, we first need a basic lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The spectrum of \mathcal{L} defined in L_{per}^2 with domain H_{per}^2 is purely discrete.*

Proof. Since $c - \phi > 0$ and $\phi \in H_{\text{per}}^\infty$, the linearized operator \mathcal{L} with the dense domain $H_{\text{per}}^2 \subset L_{\text{per}}^2$ is a self-adjoint, unbounded operator in L_{per}^2 . Consequently, $\sigma(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is purely discrete in L_{per}^2 due to the compact embedding of H_{per}^2 into L_{per}^2 . \square

The next three results describe the non-positive part of the spectrum of \mathcal{L} in L_{per}^2 . The proofs rely on Theorem 3.1 in [26] (see also the classical Floquet theory in [10] and [23]), on Sylvester's inertial law theorem in [22, Theorem 2.2] and on Theorem 3.1 in [25].

Proposition 3.2. [26] *Let $\mathcal{M}_r := -\partial_x^2 + Q(r, x)$ be the Schrödinger operator with the even, 2π -periodic, smooth potential $Q = Q(r, x)$, where $r = (r_1, r_2)$ is a pair defined in an open subset $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that $\mathcal{M}_r w = 0$ is satisfied by a linear combination of two solutions φ_1 and φ_2 satisfying $\varphi_1(x + 2\pi) = \varphi_1(x) + \theta\varphi_2(x)$, and $\varphi_2(x + 2\pi) = \varphi_2(x)$, with some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that φ_2 has two zeros on the period of Q . The zero eigenvalue of \mathcal{M}_r in L_{per}^2 is simple if $\theta \neq 0$ and double if $\theta = 0$. It is the second eigenvalue of \mathcal{M}_r if $\theta \leq 0$ and the third eigenvalue of \mathcal{M}_r if $\theta > 0$.* \square

Proposition 3.3. [22] *Let L be a self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space H and S be a bounded invertible operator in H . Then, SLS^* and L have the same inertia, that is the dimension of the negative, null, and positive invariant subspaces of H .* \square

We now characterize the non-positive spectrum of \mathcal{L} by using the results below.

Lemma 3.4. *Regarding the linear operator \mathcal{L} , we have $\frac{1}{c-\phi}\mathcal{L}g = 1$ for some $g \in D(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. First, we see that (1.7) is invariant under translations. This means that if ϕ is a solution of (1.7), we obtain that $\psi = \phi(\cdot - \pi/2)$ is also a periodic solution for the equation (1.7) which is odd. Therefore, since ϕ' is an odd element of $\ker(\mathcal{L})$, we obtain that ψ' results to be even and it is an element of the kernel of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = -\partial_x(c - \psi)\partial_x + (c - \omega - 3\psi + \psi'')$. Consider then, $\chi = \bar{y}(\cdot - \pi/2)$ the corresponding element of the formal equation $\mathcal{L}\bar{y} = 0$

associated to the translation solution ψ . Since \bar{y} is even, $\chi = \bar{y}(\cdot - \pi/2)$ and $\bar{y}(\cdot + \pi/2)$ result to be odd. Considering the change of variables $x = s + \pi$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \chi(x) dx \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{y}(x - \pi/2) dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \bar{y}(s + \pi/2) ds = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

that is, χ has the zero mean property.

Using the expanded operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = -(c - \psi)\partial_x^2 + \psi'\partial_x + (c - \omega - 3\psi + \psi'')$ and the fact that $c - \psi > 0$ for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$, we can consider the linear operator $\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{c - \psi}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $\{\chi, \psi'\}$ is still a fundamental set associated with the equation $\mathcal{S}w = 0$, we can define the following function

$$h(x) = \left(\int_0^x \chi(s) ds \right) \psi'(x) - \left(\int_0^x \psi'(s) ds \right) \chi(x). \quad (3.2)$$

Using the method of variation of parameters, we see that h is a particular solution (not necessarily periodic) of the equation

$$-\partial_x^2 u + \frac{\psi'}{c - \psi} \partial_x u + \frac{1}{c - \psi} (c - \omega - 3\psi + \psi'') u = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

in the sense that $Y = c_1\chi + c_2\psi' + h$ is a general solution associated with the equation (3.3), where c_1 and c_2 are real constants. We need to prove that h is periodic. In fact, it is clear $h(0) = 0$ and since $\int_0^{2\pi} \chi(x) dx = 0$, one has from (3.2) and the fact that ψ' is periodic that $h(2\pi) = 0$. Deriving (3.2) with respect to x , we obtain after some computations $h'(x) = \left(\int_0^x \chi(s) ds \right) \psi''(x) - \left(\int_0^x \psi'(s) ds \right) \chi'(x)$, so that $h'(0) = 0$. Since ψ is both odd and periodic, it follows that ψ' and ψ'' are also periodic, with ψ'' being an odd function. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h'(2\pi) &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \chi(s) ds \right) \psi''(2\pi) - \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \psi'(s) ds \right) \chi'(2\pi) \\ &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \chi(s) ds \right) \psi''(0) - (\psi(2\pi) - \psi(0)) \chi'(2\pi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that, h is periodic. Equality (3.3) is then satisfied for the periodic function h , that is,

$$-\partial_x^2 h + \frac{\psi'}{c - \psi} \partial_x h + \frac{1}{c - \psi} (c - \omega - 3\psi + \psi'') h = 1,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for $x = t + \pi/2$ and since $\phi(t) = \psi(t + \pi/2)$, we can define $g(t) = h(t + \pi/2)$ to obtain that g is 2π -periodic and it satisfies the equation $\frac{1}{c - \phi} \mathcal{L}g = 1$. This fact proves the lemma. \square

Remark 3.5. *Lemma 3.4 enables us to conclude that $\chi = \chi_c$ is non-periodic for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$, that is, \bar{y} is non-periodic and $\ker(\mathcal{L})$ is simple and generated by ϕ' . Indeed, suppose that for some $c^* > \frac{\omega}{2}$, we have that χ_{c^*} is periodic. From standard Floquet theory, we see that \bar{y} is an even function with two zeroes over the interval $[0, 2\pi)$ and*

thus, χ_{c^*} also has two zeroes over the same interval. Since χ_{c^*} is odd, we obtain that $\chi_{c^*}(0) = \chi_{c^*}(\pi) = 0$, and χ_{c^*} can be assumed, without loss of generality, to be positive over $(0, \pi)$ and negative over $(\pi, 2\pi)$. On the other hand, ψ_{c^*} is also odd, it also satisfies $\psi_{c^*}(0) = \psi_{c^*}(\pi) = 0$, and it is positive over $(0, \pi)$ and negative over $(\pi, 2\pi)$. By (3.1) and the periodicity of ψ'_{c^*} , we obtain that $1 \in \ker(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{c^*})^\perp$, and thus, by Lemma 3.4, it follows that $\psi_{c^*} = \psi_{c^*} - c_* + c_* \in \ker(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{c^*})^\perp = \text{range}(\mathcal{L}_{c^*})$. Then, $\int_0^{2\pi} \chi_{c^*}(x)\psi_{c^*}(x) dx = 0$, which is a contradiction since both χ_{c^*} and ψ_{c^*} are positive over $(0, \pi)$ and negative over $(\pi, 2\pi)$. Here, ψ_{c^*} indicates the the translated solution ψ at the point $c = c^*$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{c^*}$ denotes the associated linearized operator around the wave ψ_{c^*} .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ be fixed. The linearized operator $\mathcal{L} : D(\mathcal{L}) = H_{\text{per}}^2 \subset L_{\text{per}}^2 \rightarrow L_{\text{per}}^2$ admits one negative eigenvalue which is simple and a simple zero eigenvalue associated with the eigenfunction ϕ' . The rest of the spectrum of \mathcal{L} in L_{per}^2 is strictly positive and bounded away from zero.*

Proof. First, we transform the spectral problem $\mathcal{L}v = \lambda v$ to the spectral problem $\mathcal{M}_r w = \lambda w$ for the Schrödinger operator \mathcal{M}_r as in Proposition 3.2 where $r = (r_1, r_2) = (c, \omega)$. Indeed, we write $\mathcal{L}v = \lambda v$ as the second-order differential equation

$$p_1(x)v'' + p_2(x)v' + (p_3(x) + \lambda)v = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

with $p_1(x) := c - \phi(x)$, $p_2(x) := -\phi'(x)$, and $p_3(x) := -\phi''(x) + 3\phi(x) - c + \omega$. The Liouville transformation

$$D(x) = - \int_0^x \frac{\phi'(s)}{c - \phi(s)} ds = \ln \left(\frac{c - \phi(x)}{c - \phi(0)} \right) \quad (3.5)$$

is nonsingular since $c - \phi > 0$. This last fact enable us to use the following change of variables

$$v(x) = w(x)e^{-\frac{1}{2}D(x)} = w(x)\sqrt{\frac{c - \phi(0)}{c - \phi(x)}}. \quad (3.6)$$

into the second-order equation (3.4) to obtain the equivalent equation

$$-w''(x) + Q(r, x)w(x) = \lambda(c - \phi(x))^{-1}w(x), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$Q(r, x) := \frac{c - \omega - 3\phi(x)}{c - \phi(x)} + \frac{\phi''(x)}{2(c - \phi(x))} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\phi'(x)}{c - \phi(x)} \right)^2.$$

Next, the spectral problem (3.7) is equivalent to the spectral problem for the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{M}_r := -\partial_x^2 + Q(r, x)$ defined in L_{per}^2 with domain H_{per}^2 . Using Proposition 3.3 we see that the numbers of negative and zero eigenvalues of the spectral problem (3.7) coincides with those of the operator \mathcal{M}_r .

The operator \mathcal{M}_r satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.2 since Q is even, 2π -periodic, and smooth. Thus, if the set $\{y_1, y_2\}$ is a fundamental set for the equation $\mathcal{L}v = 0$, we obtain that

$$\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} := \left\{ \left(\frac{c - \phi(0)}{c - \phi} \right)^{-1/2} y_1, \left(\frac{c - \phi(0)}{c - \phi} \right)^{-1/2} y_2 \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

is the fundamental set of solutions associated with the equation $\mathcal{M}_r w = 0$. It follows from (3.8) that

$$\varphi_1(x + 2\pi) = \varphi_1(x) + \theta \varphi_2(x). \quad (3.9)$$

Furthermore, since $y_2 = \phi'$ has two zeros in \mathbb{T} , we obtain the same property for φ_2 . By the standard Floquet theory in [10] and [23], it follows that $\lambda = 0$ is the second or third eigenvalue of \mathcal{M} in L^2_{per} . In order to decide the exact position of $\lambda = 0$, we need to solve, for a fixed $r_0 = (c_0, \omega_0)$ the following linear initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varphi_1'' + Q(r_0, x)\varphi_1 = 0, \\ \varphi_1(0) = -\frac{1}{\varphi_2'(0)}, \\ \varphi_1'(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

Doing the numerical calculations using (2.3) for $a = 10^{-4}$ and $\omega = 1$, we obtain that $\theta = \frac{\varphi_1'(2\pi)}{\varphi_2'(0)} \approx -1.27 \times 10^2 < 0$. Combining Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.4, we obtain that the linearized operator $\mathcal{L} : D(\mathcal{L}) = H^2_{\text{per}} \subset L^2_{\text{per}} \rightarrow L^2_{\text{per}}$ admits one negative eigenvalue which is simple and a simple zero eigenvalue associated with the eigenfunction ϕ' as requested in proposition. \square

4. SPECTRAL STABILITY OF PERIODIC WAVES - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4 – (iii)

Here, we prove the spectral stability of periodic waves. To do so, we are going to suppose that

$$\ker(\mathcal{L}_{\Pi}) = [\phi'], \quad (4.1)$$

for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$. Assumption (4.1) guarantees the existence of a smooth curve of periodic waves with fixed period and satisfying the zero mean property by a standard application of the implicit function theorem. Thus, deriving equation (1.7) in terms of c , we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\frac{d\phi}{dc} \right) = \phi'' - \phi - \frac{dA}{dc}. \quad (4.2)$$

We can also calculate $\mathcal{L}\phi$ using again equation (1.7). In this case, we deduce

$$\mathcal{L}\phi = c(\phi'' - \phi) + \omega\phi - 2A. \quad (4.3)$$

In addition, we have

$$\mathcal{L}1 = (c - \omega) - 3\phi + \phi''. \quad (4.4)$$

Gathering the results obtained by (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\omega + 2\phi + (-\omega - 2c) \frac{d\phi}{dc} \right) = \omega(c - \omega) + (\omega + 2c) \frac{dA}{dc} - 4A := d_c. \quad (4.5)$$

The element $\omega + 2\phi + (-\omega - 2c) \frac{d\phi}{dc}$ is an even periodic function. In addition, it is an element in the kernel of \mathcal{L} if, and only if, $d_c = 0$ for some $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$. Since $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = [\phi']$ and ϕ' is odd, we see that $d_c \neq 0$ for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$. Let us calculate the exact signal of d_c for the small amplitude periodic waves. Depending on the signal of d_c for these specific waves, we can conclude that, since d_c never vanishes in the interval $(\frac{\omega}{2}, +\infty)$, d_c does not change its signal. Indeed, since in this case, we have

$$d_c = -\frac{\omega^2}{2} + 2\omega a + \mathcal{O}(a^2), \quad (4.6)$$

where $a > 0$ is sufficiently small. It follows from (4.6) that $d_c < 0$ for all $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$.

On the other hand, since $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = [\phi']$ and $d_c < 0$, we obtain $d_c \in \text{Ker}(\mathcal{L})^\perp$. Hence, since ϕ and $\frac{d\phi}{dc} \in H_{\text{per},m}^2$, it follows that

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^{-1}1, 1 \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{d_c} \left(\omega + 2\phi + (-\omega - 2c) \frac{d\phi}{dc} \right), 1 \right\rangle = \frac{2\pi\omega}{d_c} < 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Using Proposition 2.1 and since the kernel of \mathcal{L} is simple over the space L_{per}^2 , we obtain

$$n(\mathcal{L}_\Pi) = n(\mathcal{L}) - n_0 - z_0 = 1 - 1 - 0 = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_\Pi \geq 0$, and the wave ϕ is spectrally stable in the sense of Definition 1.2, as requested.

Remark 4.1. *An alternative way to see the dependence of ϕ in terms of the wave speed $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ can be established. In fact, since $z(\mathcal{L}) = n(\mathcal{L}) = 1$, we obtain by Proposition 2.1 that*

$$n(\mathcal{L}_\Pi) = n(\mathcal{L}) - n_0 - z_0 = 1 - n_0 - z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad z(\mathcal{L}_\Pi) = z(\mathcal{L}) + z_0 = 1 + z_0 \quad (4.9)$$

For the points $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ where $z(\mathcal{L}_\Pi) = 2$, we obtain $z_0 = 1$. By (4.9), it follows that $n(\mathcal{L}_\Pi) = 0$, and the wave is spectrally stable since the Hamiltonian-Krein index is zero (see [17, Theorem 5.2.11]). For the points $c > \frac{\omega}{2}$ where $z(\mathcal{L}_\Pi) = 1$, we guarantee that the assumption (4.1) is verified for these points. Thus, there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{I} containing c_0 and a smooth curve $c \in \mathcal{I} \mapsto \phi \in H_{\text{per},m,e}^\infty$, of 2π -periodic solutions of (1.7). The proof relies on a standard application of the implicit function theorem.

4.1. A remark on the orbital stability of periodic waves. To finish, we prove the orbital stability of periodic waves by assuming assumption (4.1). To this end, we follow the approach in [1], where the following result is a combination of Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.2. [1] *Let $V(u)$ be a conserved quantity in the time evolution of the rCH equation (1.1). Assume that the linearized operator \mathcal{L} at the periodic traveling wave ϕ admits a simple negative and a simple zero eigenvalue with $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = [\phi']$ satisfying $\langle V'(\phi), \phi' \rangle = 0$. Assume that there exists $\Upsilon \in H_{\text{per}}^2$ such that $\langle \mathcal{L}\Upsilon, v \rangle = 0$ for every $v \in L_{\text{per}}^2$ such that $\langle V'(\phi), v \rangle = 0$. If $\langle \mathcal{L}\Upsilon, \Upsilon \rangle < 0$, then ϕ is orbitally stable in the time evolution of the rCH equation (1.1) in H_{per}^1 .*

□

Let us consider $V(u) = M(u)$ as in (1.2). It follows that $V'(u) = 1$, and by Proposition 3.6, we obtain that the linearized operator \mathcal{L} in (1.12) admits a simple negative and a simple zero eigenvalue with $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}) = [\phi']$. Since $\langle V'(\phi), \phi' \rangle = 0$, we can take $\Upsilon = \omega + 2\phi + (-\omega - 2c)\frac{d\phi}{dc}$ to deduce that $\langle \mathcal{L}\Upsilon, v \rangle = 0$ for all v such that $\langle V'(\phi), v \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} v dx = 0$. Since $\langle \mathcal{L}\Upsilon, \Upsilon \rangle = d_c \langle 1, \Upsilon \rangle = d_c \omega < 0$, we conclude by Proposition 4.2 that ϕ is orbitally stable in H_{per}^1 .

Remark 4.3. *An important fact needs to be clarified. The notion of orbital stability in Definition 1.3 prescribes the existence of global solutions $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_{\text{per}}^s)$ for $s > \frac{3}{2}$. The local solutions $u \in C((-t_0, t_0), H_{\text{per}}^s)$ for some $t_0 > 0$ exist due to the local well-posedness theory in [3], [9], [14], and [15]. Since M , E , and F are conserved quantities, we can combine the local solution with the standard a priori estimates $M(u(t)) = M(u_0)$, $E(u(t)) = E(u_0)$, and $F(u(t)) = F(u_0)$ for all $t \geq 0$ in order to extend the local to global solutions near the smooth periodic waves in the case when they are stable by Proposition 4.2.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank J.C. Bronski and M.A. Johnson for fruitful discussions that improved the presentation of this work. F. Natali is partially supported by CNPq/Brazil (grant 303907/2021-5).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alves, F. Natali and A. Pastor, “Sufficient conditions for orbital stability of periodic traveling waves”, *J. Diff. Equat.*, 267 (2019), 879-901.
- [2] B. Buffoni and J. Toland, *Analytic theory of global bifurcation*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2016.
- [3] A. Constantin and J. Escher, “Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations”, *Acta Math.*, 181 (1998), 229–243.
- [4] A. Constantin and J. Escher, “Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51 (1998), 475–504.
- [5] A. Constantin and J. Escher, “On the blow-up rate and the blow-up set of breaking waves for a shallow water equation”, *Math. Z.*, 233 (2000), 75–91.
- [6] A. Constantin and L. Molinet, “Orbital stability of solitary waves for a shallow water equation”, *Physica D*, 157 (2001), 75–89.

- [7] A. Constantin and W.A. Strauss, “Stability of peakons”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53 (2000), 603–610.
- [8] A. Constantin and W.A. Strauss, “Stability of the Camassa–Holm solitons”, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 12 (2002), 415–422.
- [9] R. Danchin, “A few remarks on the Camassa–Holm equation”, *Diff. Int. Eqs.*, 14 (2001), 953–988.
- [10] M.S.P Eastham, *The spectral theory of periodic differential equations*, Scottish Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [11] A. Geyer, R.H. Martins, F. Natali, and D.E. Pelinovsky, ”Stability of smooth periodic travelling waves in the Camassa–Holm equation”, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 148 (2022), 27-61.
- [12] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah, and W. Strauss, ”Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry I”, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 74 (1987), 160-197.
- [13] J.K. Hale, *Ordinary differential equations*, Dover, New York, 1980.
- [14] S. Hakkaev, I.D. Iliev and K. Kirchev, “Stability of periodic travelling shallow-water waves determined by Newton’s equation”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 41 (2008), 085203.
- [15] A. Himonas and G. Misiolek, “The Cauchy problem for an integrable shallow water equation”, *Diff. Int. Eqs.*, 14 (2001), 821–831.
- [16] H. Kalisch, ”Stability of solitary waves for a nonlinear dispersive equation”, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys. A.*, 10 (2004), 709-717.
- [17] T. Kapitula and K. Promislow, *Spectral and Dynamical Stability of Nonlinear Waves*, New York, Springer-Verlag 2013.
- [18] J. Lenells, “Stability of periodic peakons”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2004 (2004), 485–499.
- [19] J. Lenells, “A variational approach to the stability of periodic peakons”, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 11 (2004), 151–163.
- [20] J. Lenells, “Traveling wave solutions of the Camassa–Holm equation”, *J. Diff. Eqs.*, 217 (2005), 393–430.
- [21] J. Lenells, “Stability for the periodic Camassa–Holm equation”, *Math. Scand.*, 97 (2005), 188–200.
- [22] O. Lopes, ”A class of isoinertial one parameter families of selfadjoint operators”, *Nonlinear Differential Equations: Methods, Models and Applications*, Progress Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston 2003.
- [23] W. Magnus and S. Winkler, *Hill’s equation*, New York, Wiley 1966.
- [24] F. Natali and D.E. Pelinovsky, “Instability of H^1 -stable peakons in the Camassa–Holm equation”, *J. Diff. Equat.*, 268 (2020), 7342–7363.
- [25] F. Natali and A. Neves, ”Orbital stability of periodic waves”, *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, (2014) 79, 1161–1179
- [26] A. Neves, “Floquet’s Theorem and the stability of periodic waves”. *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.*, 21 (2009), 555-565.
- [27] D.E. Pelinovsky, *Localization in Periodic Potentials: from Schrödinger Operators to the Gross–Pitaevskii Equation*, LMS Lecture Note Series, 390 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

(F. Natali) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA - UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE MARINGÁ, AVENIDA COLOMBO 5790, CEP 87020-900, MARINGÁ, PR, BRAZIL

Email address: fmanatali@uem.br