

# Construct ideal cotorsion pairs by recollement of triangulated categories

Qikai Wang, Haiyan Zhu

## Abstract

Let  $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'')$  be a recollement of triangulated categories. Two complete ideal cotorsion pairs in  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}''$  can be induced by a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^\perp)$  and  $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^\perp)$  are two complete ideal cotorsion pair in triangulated category, then  $(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J}, \langle \mathcal{I}^\perp, \mathcal{J}^\perp \rangle)$  is also a complete ideal cotorsion pair. In this way, a series of ideal cotorsion pairs in  $\mathcal{T}$  can be induced by two ideal cotorsion pairs in  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}''$ .

**Keywords:** Ideal cotorsion pair, Recollement, Triangulated category

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary	2
3. Ideal cotorsion pair in recollement of triangulated categories	6
3.1. From $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}'$ and $\mathcal{T}''$	9
3.2. From $\mathcal{T}'$ and $\mathcal{T}''$ to $\mathcal{T}$	13
References	22
4. Appendix	23

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The central role in approximation theory for the case of modules, or more general abelian or exact categories, is played by the notion of cotorsion pair, cf. [7]. Fu, Herzog et al. extended the study of classical cotorsion pairs to ideal cotorsion pairs, in exact categories in [4] and [5]. Furthermore, in [3], Breaz and Modoi extended ideal approximation theory to triangulated categories. They used ideal cotorsion pairs to solve problems that could not be solved using cotorsion pairs. For example in [5], if  $G$  is a finite group and  $\Phi$  is the ideal of phantom morphisms in the category  $k[G]\text{-Mod}$ , then  $\Phi^{n-1}$  is the object ideal generated by projective modules, where  $n$  is the nilpotency index of the Jacobson radical  $J$ .

In [6], the authors present a method to construct cotorsion pairs using intersections, which differs from the currently popular method of tilting objects. Assume that  $(\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$  are complete cotorsion pairs in triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_1[1]$ , then  $(\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{E}_2 * \mathcal{E}_1)$  is a complete cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{T}$ . It is worth noting that, in general, the intersection cannot be used to construct a new cotorsion pair for any two cotorsion pairs. It follows that the ideal cotorsion pair can do what classical cotorsion pairs cannot. Breaz and Modoi [3] extended the ME-extensions, introduced by Fu and Ivo in [5], to construct an ideal cotorsion pair within the triangular category known as the Toda bracket. Given a recollement of triangulated categories

$(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'')$ , as introduced by Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne in [2]. In this paper, the ideal cotorsion pair on  $\mathcal{T}$  is constructed from the ideal cotorsion pair on  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}''$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume there is a recollement of triangulated category as following:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i^* & & j^! \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{T}' & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{T}'' \\ & \nwarrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & i_! & & j_* \end{array}$$

$(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{T}''$  if and only if there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'', i_* \mathcal{I}' \rangle, \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The notations  $\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'', i_* \mathcal{I}' \rangle$  and  $\langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$  denote the Toda bracket (see Definition 2.13) of  $j_! \mathcal{I}'', i_* \mathcal{I}'$  and the Toda bracket of  $i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{J}''$ .

Moreover, there are also other ideal cotorsion pairs. In order to show the relationship between different ideal cotorsion pairs constructed from  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  and  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  more directly, we have the diagram in appendix.

In Section 2, we recall and introduce some basic notations and definitions, such as complete ideals, Toda brackets, adjoint pairs, and almost exact structures. Additionally, we provide some propositions to prepare for later sections. Section 3 mainly aims to construct complete ideal cotorsion pairs in the recollement of triangulated categories. First, we study the intersection of ideals in order to prove that the intersection of two special precover ideals is a special precover ideal. Then we provide a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we give an example to explain our results.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

Below, we provide some definitions and properties in categories.

**Definition 2.1.** [8, Section I.5] A morphism  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an **isomorphism** if there is a morphism  $g \in \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$  such that  $g \circ f = id_X, f \circ g = id_Y$ . Two objects  $X$  and  $Y$  are **isomorphic**, denoted by  $X \cong Y$ , if there is an isomorphism  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ . Moreover, two morphism  $x : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  and  $y : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  are **isomorphic**, denoted by  $x \cong y$ , if there is an isomorphism  $f_1 \in \mathcal{C}(X_1, Y_1)$  and  $f_2 \in \mathcal{C}(X_2, Y_2)$  such that  $f_2 \circ x = y \circ f_1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [8, Section I.4] (1) A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is **full**, if for any object  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , functor induce the map  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'(FX, FY), f \mapsto F(f)$  is surjective.

(2) A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is **faithful**, if for any object  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the induced map  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'(FX, FY), f \mapsto F(f)$  is injective.

(3) A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is **fully faithful**, if  $F$  is full and faithful.

(4) A functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  is **dense**, if for any object  $X'$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , there is an object  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $X' \cong FX$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [8, Section 4.1] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be categories. An **adjunction** from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is a triple  $(F, G, \sigma) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , where  $F, G$  are functors

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{B}.$$

and for any objects  $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a bijection of sets given by a function  $\sigma$

$$\sigma = \sigma_{A,B} : \mathcal{B}(FA, B) \cong \mathcal{A}(A, GB).$$

In addition, for all  $f : A' \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}(A', A)$  and  $g : B \rightarrow B' \in \mathcal{B}(B, B')$ , there are two commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(FA, B) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{A}(A, GB) \\ \downarrow (Ff, B) & & \downarrow (f, GB) \\ \mathcal{B}(FA', B) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{A}(A', GB) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(FA, B) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{A}(A, GB) \\ \downarrow (FA, g) & & \downarrow (A, Gg) \\ \mathcal{B}(FA, B') & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{A}(A, GB') \end{array}$$

In this case, we call  $F$  left adjoint of  $G$ ,  $G$  right adjoint of  $F$  and  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(F, G, \sigma)$  be an adjoint pair from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $f : FA \rightarrow B \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $g : A' \rightarrow GB' \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$  be morphisms. There are  $G(f) \circ \sigma(1_{FA}) \cong f$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(1_{GB'}) \circ F(g) \cong g$ .

Proof: Since  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair, there is  $f \cong \sigma(f) = \sigma(f \circ 1_{FA}) = G(f) \circ \sigma(1_{FA})$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\sigma(f)} & GB \\ & \searrow \sigma(1_{FA}) & \nearrow G(f) \\ & GFA & \end{array}$$

Similarly, since  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair,  $g \cong \sigma^{-1}(g) = \sigma^{-1}(1_{GB'} \circ g) = \sigma^{-1}(1_{GB'}) \circ F(g)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA' & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}(g)} & B' \\ & \searrow F(g) & \nearrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{GB'}) \\ & FGB' & \end{array}$$

□

In above lemma, it is easy to show that  $f = 0$  implies  $G(f) = 0$ . And  $G(f) = 0$  implies  $G(f) \circ \sigma(1_{FA}) = 0$ . That is, in this case, these three conclusions are equivalent. The same is true for  $g$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [2] Let  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}', \mathcal{T}''$  be triangulated categories. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  admits a recollement relative to  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}''$ , diagrammatically expressed by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i^* & & j_! \\ & \swarrow & \curvearrowright & \swarrow & \curvearrowright \\ \mathcal{T}' & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{T}'' \\ & \swarrow & \curvearrowleft & \swarrow & \curvearrowleft \\ & & i_! & & j_* \end{array}$$

if the six exact functors satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $(i_*, i_*)$ ,  $(i_*, i^!)$ ,  $(j_!, j^*)$  and  $(j^*, j_*)$  are adjoint pair;
- (2)  $i_*, j_!, j_*$  are fully faithful;
- (3)  $j^*i_* = 0$  (and also  $i^*j_! = 0$  and  $i^!j_* = 0$ );
- (4) Each object  $T$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  determines distinguished triangles

$$i_*i^!T \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow j_*j^*T \longrightarrow i_*i^!T[1] \quad \text{and} \quad j_!j^*T \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow i_*i^*T \longrightarrow j_!j^*T[1]$$

Below, we provide some properties of ideals.

**Definition 2.6.** [3, Section 2.2] A class of morphism  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$  called an ideal in the category  $\mathcal{C}$ , for any morphisms  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g : C \rightarrow D$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and morphism  $i : B \rightarrow C$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , there is  $g \circ i \circ f \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [3, Section 3.1] Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $C$  an object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We say that a morphism  $i : X \rightarrow C$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -precover for  $C$  if  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and all morphisms  $i' : X' \rightarrow C$  from  $\mathcal{I}$  factorize through  $i$ . The ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is a precovering(respectively, preenveloping) ideal if every object from  $\mathcal{C}$  has an  $\mathcal{I}$ -precover (respectively,  $\mathcal{I}$ -preenvelope)

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is special precovering if for any object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have a morphism  $i : X \rightarrow A$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  such that for any morphism  $i_1 : X_1 \rightarrow A$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  there is a morphism  $\tau : X_1 \rightarrow X$  making  $i_1 = i \circ \tau$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & & \\ \downarrow \tau & \searrow i_1 & \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & A \end{array}$$

Dually, the definition of  $\mathcal{I}$ -preenvelope and special preenveloping can be given.

In order to define the ideal cotorsion pair in a triangulated category, we will provide some fundamental definitions below.

**Definition 2.8.** [3, Section 2.1] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a full subcategory(closed with respect to isomorphisms) of  $\mathcal{T}$ . we say  $\mathcal{A}$  is **closed under extensions** if for every triangle  $B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow B[1]$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  are objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $C$  is an object in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We will denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  the class of all distinguished triangles  $\mathfrak{d} : B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow B[1]$  such that  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that every extension closed subcategory  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed with respect to finite coproducts.

**Definition 2.9.** [3, Section 2.1] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  which is closed under extensions. A class of triangles  $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an **almost exact structure** for  $\mathcal{A}$  if:

(i)  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed with respect to isomorphisms of triangles, finite coproducts and contains all splits triangles,

(ii)  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed with respect to base changes (homotopy pullbacks) constructed along morphisms from  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e. if  $\mathfrak{d} : C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C[1]$  is a triangle in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\alpha : X \rightarrow A$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  then the top triangle  $\mathfrak{d}\alpha$  in every homotopy cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{d}\alpha : & C & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & C[1] \\ & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{d} : & C & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & C[1] \end{array}$$

is in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

(iii)  $\mathfrak{C}$  is closed with respect to cobase changes (homotopy pushouts) constructed along morphisms from  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e. if  $\mathfrak{d} : C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C[1]$  is a triangle in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\beta : C \rightarrow Z$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  then the top triangle  $\beta\mathfrak{d}$  in every homotopy cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{d} : & C & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & C[1] \\ & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \beta\mathfrak{d} : & Z & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & Z[1] \end{array}$$

is in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an almost exact structure then a triangle:

$$\mathfrak{d} : \quad C \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{\varphi} C[1]$$

which lies in  $\mathfrak{C}$  will be called an  $\mathfrak{C}$ -triangle. Moreover, we will say that  $f$  is an  $\mathfrak{C}$ -inflation,  $g$  is an  $\mathfrak{C}$ -deflation, and  $\varphi$  is an  $\mathfrak{C}$ -phantom.

The class of all  $\mathfrak{C}$ -phantoms is denoted by  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$ .

Note that an almost exact structure  $\mathfrak{C}$  as in the definition above depends both on the triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  and the full subcategory  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, whenever we refer to an almost exact structure we assume that it is constructed in an extension closed subcategory  $\mathcal{A}$  of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Definition 2.10.** [3, Definition 2.2.2] A class of morphism  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  is called a **phantom  $\mathcal{A}$ -ideal** if

- (i)  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}[1]) = \cup_{A, B \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{T}(A, B[1])$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{E}$  is close with respect to sums of morphisms, and
- (iii)  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})[1]\mathcal{E}\text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ , i.e. for every chain of composable morphisms

$$B \xrightarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{i} C[1] \xrightarrow{f[1]} D[1]$$

in  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $i \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^\rightarrow$  we have  $f[1]ig \in \mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** [1] Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category, and  $\mathcal{A}$  a full subcategory which is closed under extensions. The following are equivalent for a class  $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  of triangles in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is closed with respect to isomorphism:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an almost exact structure;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}^\rightarrow[1]\Phi(\mathfrak{C})\mathcal{A}^\rightarrow \subseteq \Phi(\mathfrak{C})$  and  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$  is closed with respect to (finite direct) sums of morphisms.

Consequently,

- (i') If  $fc$  is an almost exact structure from  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$  is a phantom  $\mathcal{A}$ -ideal, and
- (ii') for every phantom  $\mathcal{A}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  the class

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D} \mid \text{the phantom of } \mathfrak{d} \text{ is in } \mathcal{I}\}$$

is an almost exact structure structure.

- (iii') The correspondences from (i') and (ii') above are inverse to each other.

There is an 1-to-1 correspondence between almost exact structures and phantom  $\mathcal{A}$ -ideals.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$  be a phantom  $\mathcal{A}$ -ideal in triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $i, j$  be morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We call  $i \perp j$  if  $j[1]\phi i = 0$  for any  $\phi \in \Phi(\mathfrak{C})$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$  be ideals in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The  $\mathcal{I}^\perp$  is the set of all morphisms  $j$  with  $i \perp j$  for any  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Similar,  ${}^\perp\mathcal{J}$  is the set of all morphisms  $i$  with  $i \perp j$  for any  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ .  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  is an ideal cotorsion pair if  $\mathcal{I}^\perp = \mathcal{J}$  and  ${}^\perp\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}$ .

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{d} : Y \xrightarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y[1]$  be a triangle in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $i : Y \rightarrow U$  and  $j : V \rightarrow Z$  are two morphisms then the **Toda bracket**  $\langle i, j \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$  is the set of all morphisms  $\xi : V \rightarrow U$  such that  $\xi = \xi'' \circ \xi'$ , where  $\xi' : V \rightarrow Z$  and  $\xi'' : Z \rightarrow U$  are morphisms which make the diagram

$$\mathfrak{d} : \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & V & & \\ & & & & \downarrow j & & \\ & & & \xi' & & & \\ & & & \swarrow & & & \\ & & & Z & \xrightarrow{g} & X & \longrightarrow Y[1] \\ & & & \uparrow & & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Z & & & & \\ \downarrow i & & \swarrow \xi'' & & & & \\ U & & & & & & \end{array}$$

commutative.

If  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are two classes of morphisms then the union of all Toda brackets  $\langle i, j \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$  with  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $j \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{C}$  is denoted by  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , and it is called the Toda bracket of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  induced by  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

### 3. IDEAL COTORSION PAIR IN RECOLLEMENT OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

This section is devoted to a proof of the statements of Theorem 1.1. Throughout the section, Triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  admits following recollement of triangulated categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \overset{i^*}{\curvearrowright} & & \overset{j!}{\curvearrowright} & \\ & \swarrow & & \swarrow & \\ \mathcal{T}' & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{T}'' \\ & \nwarrow & & \nwarrow & \\ & \underset{i_!}{\curvearrowright} & & \underset{j_*}{\curvearrowright} & \end{array}$$

Let  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$  be a phantom ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is a full subcategory of the triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{A}' = i^*\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}'' = j^*\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C}') = i^*\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$  and  $\Phi(\mathfrak{C}'') = j^*\Phi(\mathfrak{C})$ . Each object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  determines distinguished triangles in  $\mathcal{A}$

$$i_*i^!A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow j_*j^*A \longrightarrow i_*i^!A[1] \quad \text{and} \quad j_!j^*A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow i_*i^*A \longrightarrow j_!j^*A[1]$$

We start with the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are ideals in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is also an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

Proof: It is straightforward to check  $0 \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ . Since ideal is closed with respect to compositions with arbitrary morphisms and finite direct sums, the intersection of ideals is also closed with respect to compositions with arbitrary morphisms and finite direct sums.  $\square$

Next, we will declare the case of the intersection of the object ideals. This is also an important reason why we study the intersection of ideals.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an extension closed subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  an almost exact structure from  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are two classes of objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  closed under finite direct sums, then  $\text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D}) \subseteq \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E}) \cap \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{D})$ .*

Proof: For any morphism  $i \in \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D})$ , there is an object  $X \in \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D}$  such that  $1_X$  factor through  $i$ . Then we have  $i \in \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $i \in \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{D})$ , i.e.  $i \in \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E}) \cap \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{D})$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the class generated by an object  $D$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  be the class generated by an object  $E$  with  $D \neq E$ . Suppose that there is a nonzero morphism in  $\text{Hom}(D, E)$  or  $\text{Hom}(E, D)$ . Then  $\text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{D})$  is empty, but  $\text{Ideal}(\mathcal{E}) \cap \text{Ideal}(\mathcal{D})$  is not.*

The above lemma tells us that an intersection of object ideals can have more elements than an ideal of intersection of objects, which makes it possible for an intersection of ideals to have properties that the ideal of intersection of object does not.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are precovering ideals in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then so is  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ .*

Proof: For any object  $A \in \mathcal{T}$ , there is an  $\mathcal{I}_1$ -precovering  $i_1 : X_1 \rightarrow A$  for precovering ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1$ . Similarly, there is an  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -precover  $i_2 : X_2 \rightarrow A$  for precovering ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$ . Let the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{x_2} & X_2 \\ x_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow i_2 \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A \end{array}$$

be the pullback of  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ . That is  $i_1 \circ x_1 = i_2 \circ x_2 \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ . For any morphism  $i' : X' \rightarrow A$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , there are morphisms  $\tau_1 : X' \rightarrow X_1$  and  $\tau_2 : X' \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $i_1 \circ \tau_1 = i' = i_2 \circ \tau_2$  due to precovering ideals  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ . For pullback, there is a morphism  $\tau : X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $\tau_1 = x_1 \circ \tau$  and  $\tau_2 = x_2 \circ \tau$ , i.e. we have following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X' & & \\ & & \downarrow \tau & \searrow i' & \searrow \tau_2 \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{x_2} & X_2 \\ \tau_1 \swarrow & & & & \swarrow i_2 \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A & & \end{array}$$

That is  $i_1 \circ x_1 \circ \tau = i_1 \circ \tau_1 = i'$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is a precovering ideal.  $\square$

Next we want to show that intersection of two special precovering ideals is also a special precovering ideal, so we introduce the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $B_1 \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{i_1} A \rightarrow B_1[1]$  and  $B_2 \rightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{i_2} A \rightarrow B_2[1]$  be triangulars in category  $\mathcal{A}$ . Denote the pullback of  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  by  $C$ . Then there is a triangular*

$$B_1 \oplus B_2 \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \rightarrow B_1[1] \oplus B_2[1]$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Proof: Since pullback  $C$ , there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & B_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_2 & & \\ & & \downarrow b_2 & & \downarrow & & \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{b_1} & C & \xrightarrow{c_2} & C_2 & \longrightarrow & B_1[1] \\ & & \downarrow c_1 & & \downarrow i_2 & & \\ & & C_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A & \longrightarrow & B_1[1] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & B_2[1] & \xlongequal{\quad} & B_2[1] & & \end{array}$$

where two horizontal rows and the two vertical columns are triangular. Since  $i_1$  and  $c_1$  are  $\mathfrak{C}$ -inflations, the morphism  $i_1 \circ c_1$  is an  $\mathfrak{C}$ -inflation. Besides, we have  $i_1 \circ c_1 \circ (b_1, b_2) = (i_1 \circ c_1 \circ b_1, i_1 \circ c_1 \circ b_2) = 0$ . Then for any morphism  $k : K \rightarrow C$  such that  $i_1 \circ c_1 \circ k = 0$ , there is a morphism  $\tau_1 : K \rightarrow B_1$  such that  $c_1 \circ k = c_1 \circ b_1 \circ \tau_1$ . That is  $c_1 \circ (k - b_1 \circ \tau_1) = 0$ . Thus there is a morphism  $\tau_2 : K \rightarrow B_2$  such that  $k = b_1 \circ \tau_1 + b_2 \circ \tau_2 = (b_1, b_2) \circ \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . On other hand, for any morphism  $f : C \rightarrow F$  with  $f \circ (b_1, b_2) = 0$ , there are  $\eta_1 : C_1 \rightarrow F$  and  $\eta_2 : C_2 \rightarrow F$  such that  $f = \eta_1 \circ c_1 = \eta_2 \circ c_2$ . In addition,  $\eta_1 \circ c_1 \circ b_1 = \eta_2 \circ c_2 \circ b_1 = 0$  induce that there is a morphism  $\eta : A \rightarrow F$  such that  $\eta_1 = \eta \circ i_1$ , i.e.  $f = \eta \circ i_1 \circ c_1$ . Therefore,

$$B_1 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{(b_1, b_2)} C \xrightarrow{i_1 \circ c_1} A \longrightarrow B_1[1] \oplus B_2[1]$$

is a triangular in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{d} : B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B[1]$  be a triangular in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow A$  and  $g : B \rightarrow Y$  be morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there is  $g(\mathfrak{d}f) = (g\mathfrak{d})f$ .*

Proof: It is clear that the phantom morphisms of  $g(\mathfrak{d}f)$  and  $(g\mathfrak{d})f$  are both  $g[1] \circ \varphi \circ f$ .  $\square$

Similarly, we have following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{d} : B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B[1]$  be a triangular in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow A$ ,  $f_2 : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ ,  $g_1 : B \rightarrow Y_1$  and  $g_2 : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  be morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there is  $(\mathfrak{d}f_1)f_2 = \mathfrak{d}(f_1 \circ f_2)$  and  $(g_2 \circ g_1)\mathfrak{d} = g_2(g_1\mathfrak{d})$ .*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  be special precovering ideals in  $\mathcal{A}$  respect to  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is a special precovering ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

Proof: Due to special precovering ideals  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , for any object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , there are two commutative diagram of triangulars


$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & D_1[1] \\ \downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ B_1 & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A & \longrightarrow & B_1[1] \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D_2 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & D_2[1] \\ \downarrow j_2 & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ B_2 & \longrightarrow & C_2 & \xrightarrow{i_2} & A & \longrightarrow & B_2[1] \end{array}$$

with  $i_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1$ ,  $i_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $j_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1^\perp$  and  $j_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2^\perp$ . According to Lemma 3.7, there is following commutative diagram (except for the dotted arrows)





According to Lemma 3.5, there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D_1 \oplus D_2 & \xrightarrow{(d_1, d_2)} & E & \xrightarrow{i_1 \circ c_1 \circ \hat{e} \circ e} & A \\
 \downarrow j & & \downarrow \hat{e} \circ e & & \parallel \\
 B_1 \oplus B_2 & \xrightarrow{(b_1, b_2)} & C & \xrightarrow{i_1 \circ c_1} & A
 \end{array}$$

with  $j = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & 0 \\ 0 & j_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $j \in (\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2)^\perp$ ,  $i_1 \circ c_1$  is a special precover. Thus  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is also special precovering ideal.

### 3.1. From $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}'$ and $\mathcal{T}''$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $F$  be an functor from  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\mathcal{T}'$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}'$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}'$ . Then the **essential image** of the full subcategory  $\mathcal{A}$  by functor  $F$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}'$  denoted by  $F\mathcal{A}$  in which the objects are in the class  $\{A' \mid A' \cong FA, \exists A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Besides, the **inverse image** of the full subcategory  $\mathcal{A}'$  by functor  $F$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  denoted by  $F^{-1}\mathcal{A}'$  in which the objects are in the class  $\{A \mid FA \cong A', \exists A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}$ .

**Lemma 3.10.**  $i^*\mathcal{A} = i^!\mathcal{A} = i_*^{-1}\mathcal{A}$  and  $j^*\mathcal{A} = j_*^{-1}\mathcal{A} = j_!^{-1}\mathcal{A}$ .

Proof: The proof is similar, here we only show  $i^*\mathcal{A} = i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $j^*\mathcal{A} = j_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ . Since they are all full subcategories, we only need to show that the objects are the same. For any object

$A' \in i^*\mathcal{A}$ , there is an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A' \cong i^*A$ . And then  $i_*A' \cong i_*i^*A \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $A' \in i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ . Conversely, let  $A'_2$  be an object in  $i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ . There is  $A'_2 \cong i^*(i_*A'_2)$ , i.e.  $A'_2 \in i^*\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $i^*\mathcal{A} = i_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ .

On the other hand, for any object  $A''_1 \in j^*\mathcal{A}$ , there is an object  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that  $A''_1 \cong j^*A_1$ . Then, there is  $j_*A''_1 \cong j_*j^*A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ , i.e.  $A''_1 \in j_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ . Conversely, for any object  $A''_2 \in j_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ , there is  $A''_2 \cong j^*(j_*A''_2) \in j^*\mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $j^*\mathcal{A} = j_*^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.11.** Let  $F$  be an functor from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$  be an (phantom) ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}'$  be an (phantom) ideal of  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Then the **image** of the (phantom) ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  by functor  $F$  is the (phantom) ideal of  $\mathcal{A}'$  denoted by  $F\mathcal{I}$  which is the class  $\{f' \circ F(i) \circ g' \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } f', g' \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}')\}$ . Besides, the **inverse image** of the (phantom) ideal  $\mathcal{I}'$  by functor  $F$  is the (phantom) ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  denoted by  $F^{-1}\mathcal{I}'$  which is the class  $\{i \mid F(i) \in \mathcal{I}'\}$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** Let  $(F, G)$  be an adjoint pair with  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$  be an (phantom) ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{I}'$  be an (phantom) ideal in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

If  $G$  is fully faithful, then following are equivalence:

- (i)  $GFI \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ ;
- (ii)  $F\mathcal{I} = G^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ .

Dually, if  $F$  is fully faithful, then following are equivalence:

- (iii)  $FG\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}'$ ;
- (iv)  $G\mathcal{I}' = F^{-1}\mathcal{I}'$ .

Proof: We only state the equivalence between (i) and (ii) in ideal case, and the proof of the phantom ideal is exactly the same. First, we show that (i) implies (ii). For any morphism  $i_1 \in F\mathcal{I}$ , there is  $i_1 = g' \circ F(i) \circ f'$  with  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $f', g' \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}')$ . Since  $GF(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  and  $G(f'), G(g') \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $G(i_1) = G(g' \circ F(i) \circ f') = G(g') \circ GF(i) \circ G(f') \in \mathcal{I}$ . That is,  $F\mathcal{I} \subseteq G^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ . On the other hand, let  $i'$  be any morphism in  $G^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ . There is  $i' \cong FG(i') \in F\mathcal{I}$ , i.e.  $G^{-1}\mathcal{I} \subseteq F\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $F\mathcal{I} = G^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ .

In the end, we show how to get (i) from (ii). For any morphism  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $F(i) \in F\mathcal{I} = G^{-1}\mathcal{I}$ . That is,  $GF(i) \in \mathcal{I}$ , which means  $GFI \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** If  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$  is an ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $i_*i^*(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  and  $j_*j^*(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ , there are ideal cotorsion pairs  $(j^*\mathcal{I}, j^*\mathcal{J})$  in  $\mathcal{A}''$  and  $(i^*\mathcal{I}, i^*\mathcal{J})$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

Proof: First, we show that  $j_*j^*(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  and  $i_*i^*(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $j$  be any morphism in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Then for any morphism  $i_1, i_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ , considering following compositions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\xrightarrow{i_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} i_*i^!C[1] \xrightarrow{i_*i^!(j)[1]} i_*i^!D[1] \\ A_2 &\xrightarrow{i_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} j_*j^*C[1] \xrightarrow{j_*j^*(j)[1]} j_*j^*D[1] \end{aligned}$$

Then adjoint pairs induce

$$\begin{aligned} i_*i^!(j)[1] \circ \phi_1 \circ i_1 &\cong i^!(j)[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_{i_*i^!C[1]}) \circ i^*(\phi_1) \circ i^*(i_1) \\ &\cong j[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(1_{i_*i^!C[1]})) \circ i_*i^*(\phi_1) \circ i_*i^*(i_1) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} j_*j^*(j)[1] \circ \phi_2 \circ i_2 &\cong j^*(j)[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_{j_*j^*C[1]}) \circ j^*(\phi_2) \circ j^*(i_2) \\ &\cong j[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(1_{j_*j^*C[1]})) \circ j!j^*(\phi_2) \circ j!j^*(i) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $i_*i^!(j)$ ,  $j_*j^*(j)$  is in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Therefore,  $j_*j^*(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  and  $i_*i^!(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ .

For one thing, we claim that  $j^*\mathcal{I} \perp j^*\mathcal{J}$ . For any morphisms  $i'' \in j^*\mathcal{I}$ ,  $j'' \in j^*\mathcal{J}$  and  $\varphi'' \in \Phi(\mathcal{C}'')$ , considering following composition:

$$C'' \xrightarrow{g''} j^*E \xrightarrow{j^*(i)} j^*F \xrightarrow{f''} A'' \xrightarrow{\varphi''} B''[1] \xrightarrow{j''[1]} D''[1]$$

with  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $i'' = f'' \circ j^*(i) \circ g''$ . In addition,  $j!j^*(\Phi(\mathcal{C})) \subseteq \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $j!j^*(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  show that  $j!(\phi'') \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $j!(j'') \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then

$$j''[1] \circ \phi'' \circ f'' \circ j^*(i) \cong j_*(j'')[1] \circ j_*(\phi) \circ j_*(f'') \circ \sigma(1_{j^*F}) \circ i = 0.$$

For another, we show that  $(j^*\mathcal{I})^\perp \subseteq j^*\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $j'' : B'' \rightarrow C''$  be a morphism in  $(j^*\mathcal{I})^\perp$ . For any morphism  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ , considering following composition:

$$D \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\varphi} j_*B''[1] \xrightarrow{j_*(j''[1])} j_*C''[1]$$

Due to adjoint pair  $(j^*, j_*)$ , there is  $j_*(j'') \circ \varphi \circ i \cong j''[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_{j_*B[1]}) \circ j^*(\varphi) \circ j^*(i) = 0$ . Thus  $j'' \in \{f \mid j_*(f) \in \mathcal{J}\} = j^*\mathcal{J}$  due to Lemma 3.12.

And the third, we claim that  ${}^\perp(j^*\mathcal{J}) \subseteq j^*\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $i'' : D'' \rightarrow A''$  be a morphism in  ${}^\perp(j^*\mathcal{J})$ . Similar, for any morphisms  $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ , considering following composition:

$$j!D'' \xrightarrow{j!(i'')} j!A'' \xrightarrow{\varphi} B[1] \xrightarrow{j[1]} C[1]$$

Because of adjoint pair  $(j!, j^*)$ , there is  $j[1] \circ \varphi \circ j!(i'') \cong j^*(j)[1] \circ (j^*(\varphi) \circ \sigma(1_{j!A''})) \circ i'' = 0$ . By this way, we can conclude that  $j!(i'') \in \mathcal{I}$ , i.e.  $i'' \in \{f \mid j!(f) \in \mathcal{I}\} = j^*\mathcal{I}$  for Lemma 3.12. Further more, there is  ${}^\perp(j^*\mathcal{J}) \subseteq j^*\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $(j^*\mathcal{I}, j^*\mathcal{J})$  is an ideal cotorsion pair (respect to  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}'')$ ) in  $\mathcal{A}''$ .

Next we claim that  $(i^*\mathcal{I}, i^!\mathcal{J})$  is an ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

For one thing, we show that  $i^*\mathcal{I} \perp i^!\mathcal{J}$ . For any morphisms  $i' \in i^*\mathcal{I}$ ,  $j' \in i^!\mathcal{J}$  and  $\varphi' \in \Phi(\mathcal{C}')$ , there is  $i_*(i') \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $i_*(j') \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $i_*(\varphi') \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$ . Then  $i_*(j'[1] \circ \varphi' \circ i') = i_*(j'[1]) \circ i_*(\varphi') \circ i_*(i') = 0$  induce  $j'[1] \circ \varphi' \circ i'$  for faithful functor  $i_*$ .

For another thing, we show that  $(i^*\mathcal{I})^\perp \subseteq i^!\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $j'$  be a morphism in  $(i^*\mathcal{I})^\perp$ . For any morphisms  $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ , considering following composition:

$$D \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\varphi} i_*B'[1] \xrightarrow{i_*(j')[1]} i_*C'[1]$$

Cotorsion pair  $(i^*, i_*)$  implies that  $i_*(j')[1] \circ \varphi \circ i \cong j'[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_{i_*B'[1]}) \circ i^*(\varphi) \circ i^*(i)$ . According to  $i^*(i) \in i^*\mathcal{I}$  and  $i^*(\varphi) \in i^*\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus  $j'[1] \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_{i_*B'[1]}) \circ i^*(\varphi) \circ i^*(i) = 0$  which implies  $i_*(j') \in \mathcal{J}$ , i.e.  $j' \cong i^!i_*(j) \in i^!\mathcal{J}$ . Therefore, we can get that  $(i^*\mathcal{I})^\perp \subseteq i^!\mathcal{J}$ .

And the last we claim that  ${}^\perp(i^!\mathcal{J}) \subseteq i^*\mathcal{I}$ . Similarly, let  $i'$  be a morphism in  ${}^\perp(i^!\mathcal{J})$ . For any morphisms  $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathcal{C})$  and  $j \in \mathcal{J}$ , considering following composition:

$$i_*A \xrightarrow{i_*(i')} i_*B \xrightarrow{\phi} C[1] \xrightarrow{j[1]} D[1]$$

And cotorsion pair  $(i_*, i^!)$  induces that  $j[1] \circ \varphi \circ i_*(i') \cong i^!(j) \circ i^!(\varphi) \circ \sigma(1_{i_*B}) \circ i' = 0$ . Thus  $i_*(i') \in \mathcal{I}$ , i.e.  $i' \cong i^*i_*(i') \in i^*\mathcal{I}$ . Further more, there is  ${}^\perp(i^!\mathcal{J}) \subseteq i^*\mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $(i^*\mathcal{I}, i^!\mathcal{J})$  is an ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $(F, G)$  be an adjoint pair with  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a precovering ideal, then so is  $F\mathcal{I}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{I}$  is a special precovering ideal and  $G$  is fully faithful, then  $F\mathcal{I}$  is also special precovering ideal.*

Proof: At first, we show that  $F\mathcal{I}$  is precovering. For any object  $A'$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $GA'$  is an object in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is precovering in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have an  $\mathcal{I}$ -precover  $i : X \rightarrow GA'$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Since  $(F, G)$  is an adjoint pair, there is a morphism  $\sigma^{-1}(i) = \sigma^{-1}(1_{GA'}) \circ F(i)$  in  $F\mathcal{I}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FX & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}(i)} & A' \\ & \searrow F(i) & \nearrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{GA'}) \\ & FG(A') & \end{array}$$

Next we claim that  $\sigma^{-1}(i)$  is  $F\mathcal{I}$ -precover. Let  $n' \circ F(i_1) \circ m'$  be an morphism in ideal  $F\mathcal{I}$  that is we have following composition of morphisms:

$$X' \xrightarrow{m'} FC \xrightarrow{F(i_1)} FB \xrightarrow{n'} A'.$$

And then  $\sigma(n' \circ F(i_1)) = \sigma(n') \circ i_1$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Due to  $i$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -precover, there is a morphism  $\tau : C \rightarrow X$  such that  $\sigma(n') \circ i_1 = i \circ \tau$  i.e. there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & & \\ \downarrow \tau & \searrow i_1 & \\ & B & \\ & \searrow \sigma(n') & \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & GA' \end{array}$$

Thus  $n' \circ F(i_1) = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(n') \circ i_1) = \sigma^{-1}(i \circ \tau) = \sigma^{-1}(i) \circ F(\tau)$ . That means  $n' \circ F(i_1) \circ m' = \sigma^{-1}(i) \circ (F(\tau) \circ m')$ . Therefore,  $F\mathcal{I}$  is also precovering.

Moreover, we claim that  $F\mathcal{I}$  is also special precovering ideal. For any object  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ , there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & GA' \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ B & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & GA' \end{array}$$

By using functor  $F$ , there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} FC & \longrightarrow & FY & \longrightarrow & FGA' & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A' \\ \downarrow F(j) & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ FB & \longrightarrow & FX & \xrightarrow{F(i)} & FGA' & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A' \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $F\mathcal{I}$  is also special precovering ideal.  $\square$

3.2. From  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $\mathcal{T}''$  to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Lemma 3.15.**  $(j_! \mathcal{A}'', i_* \mathcal{A}')$  and  $(i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{A}'')$  are complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Proof: The proof is trivial.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.16.** Let  $\mathcal{J}'$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Then  $\langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle = \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ .

Proof: First, we show that  $\langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle \subseteq \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ . For any  $\xi \in \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ , there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & F \\
 & & & & \downarrow f \\
 & & & & j_* E'' \\
 & & & & \downarrow j_*(e'') \\
 & & & & j_* D'' \\
 & & & & \downarrow d \\
 & & & & B \\
 A & \xrightarrow{a} & C & \xrightarrow{b} & B \\
 \downarrow g & & \downarrow \xi' & & \\
 i_* G' & & & & \\
 \downarrow i_*(j') & & & & \\
 i_* H' & & & & \\
 \downarrow h & & & & \\
 K & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

with  $e'' \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A}'')$ ,  $j' \in \mathcal{J}'$  and  $\xi = \xi'' \circ \xi'$ . Then using functor  $i^!$ , there is  $i^!(b) \circ i^!(\xi') = i^!(b \circ \xi') = i^!(d \circ j_*(e'') \circ f) = i^!(d) \circ i^!(j_*(e'')) \circ i^!(f) = 0$ . Thus, there is a morphism  $\tau' : i^!F \rightarrow i^!A$ , such that  $i^!(\xi') = i^!(a) \circ \tau'$ . That is, there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & i^!F \\
 & & & & \downarrow i^!(f) \\
 & & & & i^!j_* E'' \\
 & & & & \downarrow i^!j_*(e'') \\
 & & & & i^!j_* D'' \\
 & & & & \downarrow i^!(d) \\
 & & & & i^!B \\
 i^!A & \xrightarrow{i^!(a)} & i^!C & \xrightarrow{i^!(b)} & i^!B \\
 \downarrow i^!(g) & & \downarrow i^!(\xi'') & & \\
 i^!i_* G' & & & & \\
 \downarrow i^!i_*(j') & & & & \\
 i^!i_* H' & & & & \\
 \downarrow i^!(h) & & & & \\
 i^!K & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Thus,  $i^!(\xi) = i^!(\xi'' \circ \xi') = i^!(\xi'') \circ i^!(a) \circ \tau' = i^!(h) \circ i^!i_*(j') \circ i^!(g) \circ \tau'$ . Since  $i^!i_*(j') \cong j' \in \mathcal{J}'$ , there is  $i^!(\xi) \in \mathcal{J}'$ .

Conversely, we claim that  $\{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\} \subseteq \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ . For any morphism  $j \in \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ , there is following commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & A \\
 & & & & \parallel \\
 & & & & \downarrow \sigma(1_{j^*A}) \\
 i_*i^!A & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!A})} & A & \xrightarrow{\sigma(1_{j^*A})} & j_*j^*A \\
 \downarrow i_*i^!(j) & & \swarrow j & & \\
 i_*i^!B & & & & \\
 \downarrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!B}) & & & & \\
 B & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Since  $i^!(f) \in \mathcal{J}'$ , there is  $\sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!B}) \circ i_*i^!(j) \in i_*\mathcal{J}'$ . In addition, Due to  $1_{j_*j^*A} = j_*(1_{j^*A}) \in j_*\mathcal{A}''$ , there is  $\sigma(1_{j^*A}) \in j_*\mathcal{A}''$ . Therefore, there is obviously  $j \in \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ , that is  $\{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\} \subseteq \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ .  $\square$

As for  $\mathcal{A}''$ , we have following similar conclusion.

**Lemma 3.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}''$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}''$ .  $\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle = \{i \mid j^*(i) \in \mathcal{I}''\}$*

**Proposition 3.18.** *If  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  is an ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then  $(i_*\mathcal{I}')^\perp = \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$*

Proof: For any morphism  $i = f \circ i_*(i') \circ g$  in  $i_*\mathcal{I}'$  with  $i' \in \mathcal{I}'$  and morphism  $j \in \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ . Due to adjoint pair  $(i_*, i^!)$ , there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 i_*i^!i_*C' & \longrightarrow & i_*i^!i_*C' & \longrightarrow & i_*i^!D & \longrightarrow & i_*i^!E \\
 \downarrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!i_*B'}) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!E}) \\
 A & \xrightarrow{g} & i_*B' & \xrightarrow{i_*(i')} & i_*C' & \xrightarrow{f} & D & \xrightarrow{j} & E
 \end{array}$$

Since  $(i_*, i^!)$  is adjoint pair and  $Id \cong i^!i_*$ , there is  $i^!i_*(i') \cong i' \in \mathcal{I}'$ . Due to  $i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'$ , there is  $i^!(j) \circ i^!(f) \circ i^!i_*(i') = 0$ . In addition, there is following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(B, i^!i_*B) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} & \text{Hom}(i_*B, i_*B) \\
 \downarrow (\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1}, i^!i_*B) & & \downarrow (i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1}), i_*B) \\
 \text{Hom}(i^!i_*B, i^!i_*B) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} & \text{Hom}(i_*i^!i_*B, i_*B)
 \end{array}$$

That is  $i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1}) = i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1}) \circ 1_{i_*B} = i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1}) \circ \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(1_{i_*B})) = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1}) \circ \sigma(1_{i_*B}) = \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!i_*B'})$ . Therefore,  $i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})) = i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1})^{-1} = i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})^{-1})^{-1} = (\sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!i_*B'}))^{-1}$ . Then, there  $(\sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!i_*B}))^{-1} = i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B}))$ . Thus, there is

$$\begin{aligned}
 j \circ f \circ i_*(i') \circ g &= j \circ f \circ i_*(i') \circ \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!i_*B}) \circ i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})) \circ g \\
 &= \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!E}) \circ i_*i^!(j) \circ i_*i^!(f) \circ i_*i^!i_*(i') \circ i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})) \circ g \\
 &= \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!E}) \circ i_*(i^!(j) \circ i^!(f) \circ i^!i_*(i')) \circ i_*(\sigma(1_{i_*B})) \circ g \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

On other hand, we claim that  $(i_*\mathcal{I}')^\perp \subseteq \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ . Let  $j$  be any morphism in  $(i_*\mathcal{I}')^\perp$ . For any morphism  $i' \in \mathcal{I}'$ , focus on following composition of morphisms

$$A' \xrightarrow{i'} i^!B \xrightarrow{i^!(j)} i^!C.$$

By using functor  $i_*$ , there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} i_*A' & \xrightarrow{i_*(i')} & i_*i^!B & \xrightarrow{i_*i^!(j)} & i_*i^!C \\ & & \downarrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!B}) & & \downarrow \sigma^{-1}(1_{i^!C}) \\ & & B & \xrightarrow{j} & C \end{array}$$

Due to Lemma 2.4,  $\sigma^{-1}(i_{i^!C}) \circ i_*(i^!(j) \circ i') = j \circ \sigma^{-1}(i_{i^!B}) \circ i_*(i') = 0$  induces  $i^!(j) \circ i' = 0$ . That is,  $i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'$ . Thus,  $(i_*\mathcal{I}')^\perp \subseteq \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ .

Therefore,  $(i_*\mathcal{I}')^\perp = \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\}$ . □

As for  $\mathcal{A}''$ , we have following similar conclusion.

**Lemma 3.19.** *If  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  is an ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}''$ , then  $\{i \mid j^*(i) \in \mathcal{I}''\} = {}^\perp(j_*\mathcal{J}'')$*

**Proposition 3.20.** *If  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , then  $(i_*\mathcal{I}', \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair.*

Proof: According to Lemma 3.14, if  $\mathcal{I}'$  is a special precovering ideal, then  $i_*\mathcal{I}'$  is also a special precovering ideal. Lemma 3.18 show that if  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair, then  $(i_*\mathcal{I}', \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{J}'\})$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair. And Lemma 3.16 claim that  $(i_*\mathcal{I}', \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair. □

As for  $\mathcal{A}''$ , we have following similar conclusion.

**Proposition 3.21.** *If  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}''$ , then  $(j_!\mathcal{I}'', \langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair.*

**Lemma 3.22.** [3, Theorem 4.4.3] *Suppose that there are enough  $\mathcal{C}$ -injective morphisms. If  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are special precovering ideals in  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J})^\perp = \langle \mathcal{J}^\perp, \mathcal{I}^\perp \rangle$ .*

Further, we can get other cotorsion pairs.

**Proposition 3.23.** *Let  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  be a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Following are equivalence:*

- (i)  $(i_*\mathcal{I}', \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair;
- (ii)  $(\langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, i_*\mathcal{J}')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair.

Proof: "(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)"

Since Lemma 3.15, there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{A}')$ . Further more, Lemma 3.22 induces that there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(\langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle i_*\mathcal{A}')$ . Then we show that  $\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle i_*\mathcal{A}' = i_*\mathcal{J}'$ . For any morphism  $m \in \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle i_*\mathcal{A}'$  there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & E \\
& & & & \downarrow g \\
& & & & D \\
& & & \swarrow \xi' & \downarrow f \\
A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & C \\
\downarrow j & \swarrow \xi'' & & & \\
F & & & & 
\end{array}$$

with  $j \in i_*\mathcal{J}'$ ,  $f \in j_*\mathcal{A}''$ ,  $g \in i_*\mathcal{A}'$  and  $m = \xi'' \circ \xi' \circ g$ . cotorsion pair  $(i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{A}'')$  implies  $b \circ \xi' \circ g = f \circ g = 0$ . Thus, there is a morphism  $\tau$  such that  $\xi' \circ g = a \circ \tau$ . Then  $m = \xi'' \circ \xi' \circ g = \xi'' \circ a \circ \tau = j \circ \tau \in i_*\mathcal{J}'$ . Therefore, there is  $\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle i_*\mathcal{A}' \subseteq i_*\mathcal{J}'$ . On the other hand, for any morphism  $j \in i_*\mathcal{J}'$ , there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & A \\
& & & & \downarrow g \\
& & & & i_*B' \\
& & & \swarrow & \downarrow b \\
i_*B' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & i_*B' & \xrightarrow{b} & 0 \\
\downarrow f \circ i_*(j') & \swarrow f \circ i_*(j') & & & \\
D & & & & 
\end{array}$$

with  $j' \in i_*\mathcal{J}'$  and  $j = f \circ i_*(j') \circ g$ . In addition,  $g \in i_*\mathcal{A}'$  and  $b \in j_*\mathcal{A}''$  induce  $j \in \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle i_*\mathcal{A}'$ . Therefore,  $\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle i_*\mathcal{A}' = i_*\mathcal{J}'$ .

"(i)  $\Leftarrow$  (ii)" Similarly, Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.22 induces that there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(i_*\mathcal{A}' \langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle)$ . Next we show that  $i_*\mathcal{A}' \langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle = i_*\mathcal{I}'$ . For any morphism  $n \in i_*\mathcal{A}' \langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle$ , there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & D \\
& & & & \downarrow i \\
& & & \swarrow \xi' & A \\
C & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & A \\
\downarrow f & \swarrow \xi'' & & & \\
E & & & & \\
\downarrow g & \swarrow \delta & & & \\
F & & & & 
\end{array}$$

with  $i \in i_*\mathcal{I}'$ ,  $f \in j_!\mathcal{A}''$ ,  $g \in i_*\mathcal{A}'$  and  $n = g \circ \xi'' \circ \xi'$ . Since  $(j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{A}')$  is cotorsion pair, there is  $g \circ \xi'' \circ a = g \circ f = 0$ . Then there is a morphism  $\delta$ , such that  $\delta \circ b = g \circ \xi''$ . Thus,  $n = g \circ \xi'' \circ \xi' = \delta \circ b \circ \xi' = \delta \circ i \in i_*\mathcal{I}'$ . Therefore,  $i_*\mathcal{A}' \langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle \subseteq i_*\mathcal{I}'$ . Conversely, for any morphism  $i \in i_*\mathcal{I}'$ , there is following commutative diagram



$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & A \\
& & & & \swarrow i_*(i') \circ g \\
& & & & \downarrow i_*(i') \circ g \\
0 & \longrightarrow & i_*C' & \xlongequal{\quad} & i_*C' \\
\downarrow c & & \parallel & & \\
i_*C' & & & & \\
\downarrow f & & & & \\
D & & & & 
\end{array}$$

with  $i' \in \mathcal{T}'$  and  $f \circ i_*(i') \circ g = i$ . Moreover,  $f \in i_*\mathcal{A}'$  and  $c \in j_!\mathcal{A}''$  induce  $i \in i_*\mathcal{A}'\langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{T}' \rangle$ . Therefore, there is  $i_*\mathcal{A}'\langle j_!\mathcal{A}'', i_*\mathcal{T}' \rangle = i_*\mathcal{T}'$ .  $\square$

As for  $\mathcal{A}''$ , we have following similar conclusion.

**Proposition 3.24.** *Let  $(\mathcal{T}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  be a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{A}''$ . Following are equivalence:*

- (i)  $(j_!\mathcal{T}'', \langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair;
- (ii)  $(\langle j_!\mathcal{T}'', i_*\mathcal{A}' \rangle, j_*\mathcal{J}'')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair.

Proof: "(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)" Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.22 induces that there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(\langle j_!\mathcal{T}'', i_*\mathcal{A}' \rangle, j_*\mathcal{A}''\langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$ . Then we claim  $j_*\mathcal{A}''\langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle = j_*\mathcal{J}''$ . For any morphism  $m \in j_*\mathcal{A}''\langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle$  there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & D \\
& & & & \swarrow \xi' \\
& & & & \downarrow j \\
C & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & A \\
\downarrow f & & \swarrow \xi'' & & \downarrow \\
E & & & & \\
\downarrow g & & \swarrow \delta & & \\
F & & & & 
\end{array}$$

with  $g \in j_*\mathcal{A}''$ ,  $f \in i_*\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $j \in j_*\mathcal{J}''$  and  $m = g \circ \xi'' \circ \xi'$ .  $(i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{A}'')$  implies  $g \circ \xi'' \circ a = g \circ f = 0$ . Then there is a morphism  $\delta$  such that  $\delta \circ b = g \circ \xi''$ . Thus  $m = g \circ \xi'' \circ \xi' = \delta \circ b \circ \xi' = \delta \circ j \in j_*\mathcal{J}''$ . Conversely, for any morphism  $j \in j_*\mathcal{J}''$ , there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & A \\
& & & & \swarrow j_*(j'') \circ g \\
& & & & \downarrow j_*(j'') \circ g \\
0 & \xrightarrow{c} & j_*C'' & \xlongequal{\quad} & j_*C'' \\
\downarrow c & & \parallel & & \\
j_*C'' & & & & \\
\downarrow f & & & & \\
D & & & & 
\end{array}$$

with  $j = f \circ j_*(j'') \circ g$ . Since  $f \in j_*\mathcal{A}''$ ,  $c \in i_*\mathcal{A}'$ , there is  $j \in j_*\mathcal{A}''\langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle$ . Therefore,  $j_*\mathcal{A}''\langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle = j_*\mathcal{J}''$ .

"(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)" Similarly, Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.22 induces that there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'' , i_* \mathcal{A}' \rangle_{j_! \mathcal{A}'}, \langle i_* \mathcal{A}' , j_* \mathcal{I}'' \rangle)$ . Then we claim  $\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'' , i_* \mathcal{A}' \rangle_{j_! \mathcal{A}'} = j_* \mathcal{I}''$ . Let  $n$  be a morphism in  $\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'' , i_* \mathcal{A}' \rangle_{j_! \mathcal{A}'}$ , there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & E \\
 & & & & \downarrow g \\
 & & & & D \\
 & & & \swarrow \xi' & \downarrow f \\
 A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & C \\
 \downarrow i & \searrow \xi'' & & & \\
 F & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

with  $i \in j_! \mathcal{I}''$ ,  $f \in i_* \mathcal{A}'$ ,  $g \in j_! \mathcal{A}''$  and  $n = \xi'' \circ \xi' \circ g$ . cotorsion pair  $(j_! \mathcal{A}' , i_* \mathcal{A}')$  induces  $b \circ \xi' \circ g = f \circ g = 0$ . Then there is a morphism  $\tau$  such that  $\xi' \circ g = a \circ \tau$ . Thus  $n = \xi'' \circ \xi' \circ g = \xi'' \circ a \circ \tau = i \circ \tau \in j_! \mathcal{I}''$ . On the other hand, for any morphism  $i \in j_! \mathcal{I}''$ , there is following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & A \\
 & & \downarrow g \\
 & & j_! B'' \\
 & & \downarrow b \\
 j_! B'' & \xrightarrow{=} & j_! B'' \xrightarrow{b} 0 \\
 \downarrow f \circ j_!(i'') & \swarrow f \circ j_!(i'') & \\
 D & & 
 \end{array}$$

with  $i'' \in \mathcal{I}''$  and  $i = f \circ j_!(i'') \circ g$ .  $g \in j_! \mathcal{A}''$  and  $b \in i_* \mathcal{A}'$  state  $i \in \langle j_! \mathcal{I}'' , i_* \mathcal{A}' \rangle_{j_! \mathcal{A}'}$ . Therefore, we have the conclusion  $\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'' , i_* \mathcal{A}' \rangle_{j_! \mathcal{A}'} = j_* \mathcal{I}''$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.25.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}'$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $\mathcal{I}''$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}''$ . There is an ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \{i \mid i^*(i) \in \mathcal{I}' , j^*(i) \in \mathcal{I}''\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In addition, we have the conclusion  $i^* \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}' = \{i' \mid i_*(i') \in \mathcal{I}\}$  and  $j^* \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'' = \{i'' \mid j_!(i'') \in \mathcal{I}\}$ .*

Proof: First, it is clear that  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, we show that  $i_* \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . For any morphism  $i \in i_* \mathcal{I}'$ , there is a morphism  $i' \in \mathcal{I}'$  such that  $i = g \circ i_*(i') \circ f$  with  $f, g \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then,

$$j^*(i) = j^*(g \circ i_*(i') \circ f) = j^*(g) \circ j^* i_*(i') \circ j^*(f) = 0 \in \mathcal{I}''$$

and

$$i^*(i) = i^*(g \circ i_*(i') \circ f) = i^*(g) \circ i^* i_*(i') \circ i^*(f) \in \mathcal{I}'$$

induce that  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  i.e.  $i_* \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . In addition, definition show that  $i^* \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}'$ . That is,  $i_* i^* \mathcal{I} \subseteq i_* \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . According to Lemma 3.12, there is  $i^* \mathcal{I} = \{i' \mid i_*(i') \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . And  $i_* \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  means  $\mathcal{I}' \subseteq \{i' \mid i_*(i') \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . Thus,  $i_* \mathcal{I}' \subseteq \mathcal{I} \subseteq \{i' \mid i_*(i') \in \mathcal{I}\} = i^* \mathcal{I}$ , i.e.  $i_* \mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}$ .

On the other hand, let  $g \circ j_!(i'') \circ f$  be any morphism in  $j_! \mathcal{I}''$  with  $i'' \in \mathcal{I}''$ . There are

$$j^*(g \circ j_!(i'') \circ f) = j^*(g) \circ j^* j_!(i'') \circ j^*(f) \in \mathcal{I}''$$

and

$$i^*(g \circ j_!(i'') \circ f) = i^*(g) \circ i^* j_!(i'') \circ i^*(f) = 0 \in \mathcal{I}'.$$

Then, we have the conclusion that  $j_! \mathcal{I}'' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{I}'' \subseteq \{i'' \mid j_!(i'') \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . In addition, it is clearly that  $j^* \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}''$  for definition. Thus, there is  $j_! j^* \mathcal{I} \subseteq j_! \mathcal{I}'' \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . Lemma 3.12 show that  $j^* \mathcal{I} = \{i'' \mid j_!(i'') \in \mathcal{I}\}$ . Therefore,  $j^* \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}'' \subseteq \{i'' \mid j_!(i'') \in \mathcal{I}\} = j^* \mathcal{I}$  which means  $j^* \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}''$ .  $\square$

In the same way, we claim following conclusion without proof.

**Lemma 3.26.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}'$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}'$ ,  $\mathcal{J}''$  be an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}''$ . There is an ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \{j \mid i^!(j) \in \mathcal{I}', j^*(j) \in \mathcal{I}''\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In addition, we have the conclusion  $i^! \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}' = \{j' \mid i_*(j') \in \mathcal{J}\}$  and  $j^* \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}'' = \{j'' \mid j_!(j'') \in \mathcal{J}\}$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:**

Assume that  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{T}'$  and  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair in  $\mathcal{T}''$ . According to proposition 3.20 and 3.21, there are complete ideal cotorsion pairs  $(i_* \mathcal{I}', \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle)$  and  $(j_! \mathcal{I}'', \langle i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . In addition, Lemma 3.22 show that there is a complete ideal cotorsion pair  $(\langle j_! \mathcal{I}'', i_* \mathcal{I}' \rangle, \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle \langle i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$ .

Next, we claim that  $\langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle \langle i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$  is equal to  $\langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$ . First, for any morphism  $j \in \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle \langle i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$ , there is following commutative diagram:


$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & H \\
 & & & & \downarrow j_2 \\
 & & & & F \\
 & & D & \xrightarrow{d} & E & \xleftarrow{\xi_1} & \\
 & & \downarrow a_1 & & \downarrow f & & \\
 & & K & \xleftarrow{\xi_2} & & & \\
 & & \downarrow a_2 & & & & \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xleftarrow{\xi_3} & A & & \\
 \downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow \xi_4 & & & & \\
 M & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $j_1 \in i_* \mathcal{J}'$ ,  $a_2 \in j_* \mathcal{A}''$ ,  $a_1 \in i_* \mathcal{A}'$ ,  $j_2 \in j_* \mathcal{J}''$ ,  $\xi_4 \circ \xi_3 \in \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{A}'' \rangle$ ,  $\xi_2 \circ \xi_1 \in \langle i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$  and  $j = \xi_4 \circ \xi_3 \circ \xi_2 \circ \xi_1$ . Since  $(i_* \mathcal{A}', j_* \mathcal{A}'')$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair, there is  $a_2 \circ a_1 = 0$ . Then  $a_2 \circ \xi_2 \circ d = a_2 \circ a_1 = 0$  induce that there is a morphism  $\delta : F \rightarrow A$  such that  $a_2 \circ \xi_2 = \delta \circ f$ . That is there is following commutative diagram:


$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & H \\
 & & & & \downarrow j_2 \\
 & & & & F \\
 & & D & \xrightarrow{d} & E & \xleftarrow{\xi_1} & \\
 & & \downarrow a_1 & & \downarrow f & & \\
 & & K & \xleftarrow{\xi_2} & & & \\
 & & \downarrow a_2 & & & & \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xleftarrow{\xi_3} & A & & \\
 \downarrow j_1 & & \downarrow \xi_4 & & & & \\
 M & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Thus, due to  $\delta \circ j_2 \in j_* \mathcal{J}''$ , we get the conclusion that  $j \in \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$

On the other hand, for any morphism  $j \in \langle i_* \mathcal{J}', j_* \mathcal{J}'' \rangle$ , there is following commutative diagram:



with  $j' \in \mathcal{J}'$ ,  $j'' \in \mathcal{J}''$  and  $j = \xi_2 \circ \xi_1$ . Taking pushout through  $a$ , then taking pullback through  $d$ , there is following commutative diagram:



Since  $\xi_2 \circ f = b \circ i_*(j') \circ a$ , there is a morphism  $m : H \rightarrow M$  such that  $\xi_2 = m \circ h$ . In same way,  $d \circ j_*(j'') \circ c = f \circ \xi_1 = g \circ h \circ \xi_1$  induce that there is a morphism  $n : N \rightarrow L$  such that  $h \circ \xi_1 = l \circ n$ . Thus, there is  $m \circ l \circ n = m \circ h \circ \xi_1 = \xi_2 \circ \xi_1$ . This means that we have following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & N \\
& & & & \downarrow j_*(j'')_{oc} \\
& & & & \swarrow n \\
& & & & L \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{d_2} j_*D'' \\
& & & & \uparrow \\
& & & & i_*A' \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{a_1} L \\
& & & & \downarrow a_1 \\
& & & & L \\
& & & & \downarrow d_2 \\
& & & & j_*D'' \\
& & & & \uparrow \\
& & & & L \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{a_1} i_*A' \\
& & & & \downarrow b \circ i_*(j') \\
& & & & M \\
& & & & \swarrow m \circ l \\
& & & & L \\
& & & & \xrightarrow{a_1} i_*A'
\end{array}$$

That is  $j = \xi_2 \circ \xi_1 = m \circ l \circ n \in \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle \langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle$ . Therefore, we get the conclusion that  $\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{A}'' \rangle \langle i_*\mathcal{A}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle = \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle$ . Further,  $(\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair.

On the other hand, assume that  $(\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, \langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  is a complete ideal cotorsion pair. It follows from Lemma 3.13 that  $(i^*\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, i^!\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  and  $(j^*\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle, j^*\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle)$  are complete ideal cotorsion pairs. According to definition of Toda bracket, we have following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
i^*\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle &= \langle i^*j_!\mathcal{I}'', i^*i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle = \langle 0, i^*i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle = \mathcal{I}'; \\
i^!\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle &= \langle i^!i_*\mathcal{J}', i^!j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle = \langle i^!i_*\mathcal{J}', 0 \rangle = \mathcal{J}'; \\
j^*\langle j_!\mathcal{I}'', i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle &= \langle j^*j_!\mathcal{I}'', j^*i_*\mathcal{I}' \rangle = \langle j^*j_!\mathcal{I}'', 0 \rangle = \mathcal{I}''; \\
j^*\langle i_*\mathcal{J}', j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle &= \langle j^*i_*\mathcal{J}', j^*j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle = \langle 0, j^*j_*\mathcal{J}'' \rangle = \mathcal{J}''.
\end{aligned}$$


Therefore,  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  and  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  are complete ideal cotorsion pairs.  $\square$

**Remark:** By using Toda bracket and intersection, there are many ideal cotorsion pairs. In order to show the relationship between different ideal cotorsion pairs constructed from  $(\mathcal{I}', \mathcal{J}')$  and  $(\mathcal{I}'', \mathcal{J}'')$  more directly, we have the diagram in appendix.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Apostolos Beligiannis. Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories. *J. Algebra*, 227(1):268–361, 2000.
- [2] J. Bernstein, A.A. Beilinson, and P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. *Astérisque*, 100:1–172, 1982.
- [3] Simion Breaz and George Ciprian Modoi. Ideal cotorsion theories in triangulated categories. *J. Algebra*, 567:475–532, 2021.
- [4] X. H. Fu, P. A. Guil Asensio, I. Herzog, and B. Torrecillas. Ideal approximation theory. *Adv. Math.*, 244:750–790, 2013.
- [5] X. H. Fu and I. Herzog. Powers of the phantom ideal. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 112(4):714–752, 2016.
- [6] X. H. Fu, I. Herzog, J. S. Hu, and H. Y. Zhu. Lattice theoretic properties of approximating ideals. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 226(7):Paper No. 106986, 17, 2022.
- [7] Rüdiger Göbel and Jan Trlifaj. *Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules*, volume 41 of *De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2006.
- [8] S.M. Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

4. APPENDIX



I	II	III	IV	V	VI
$i_{\mathcal{T}'}j_{\mathcal{T}''}$	$j_{\mathcal{T}''}i_{\mathcal{T}'}$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle i_{\mathcal{T}'}$	$i_{\mathcal{T}'} \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle$	$i_{\mathcal{T}'} \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle j_{\mathcal{T}''}$
VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII
$\langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle i_{\mathcal{T}'}$	$j_{\mathcal{T}''} \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle$	$j_{\mathcal{T}''} \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle j_{\mathcal{T}''}$	$i_{\mathcal{T}'}$	$j_{\mathcal{T}''}$
XIII	XIV	XV	XVI	XVII	XVIII
$i_{\mathcal{T}'} \oplus j_{\mathcal{T}''}$	$\langle i_{\mathcal{T}'}, j_{\mathcal{T}''} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle \cap \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle$
XIX	XX	XXI	XXII	XXIII	XXIV
$\langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle$	$\langle \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle$	$\langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle \rangle$	$\langle i_{\mathcal{T}'}, \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle \rangle$
XXV	XXVI	XXVII	XXVIII	XXIX	XXX
$\langle \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle, j_{\mathcal{T}''} \rangle$	$\langle \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle, j_{\mathcal{T}''} \rangle$	$\langle i_{\mathcal{T}'}, \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle, \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle, \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle \rangle$	$i_{\mathcal{T}'} \cap j_{\mathcal{T}''}$
XXXI					
$\langle j_{\mathcal{A}'}, i_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle \oplus \langle j_{\mathcal{T}'}, i_{\mathcal{A}'} \rangle$					

The arrows in the above diagram represent embedded maps. All ideals in the diagram are ideal cotorsion pair with their right orthogonal class.