

ON FUCHS' PROBLEM FOR FINITELY GENERATED ABELIAN GROUPS: THE SMALL TORSION CASE

I. DEL CORSO AND L. STEFANELLO

ABSTRACT. A classical problem, raised by Fuchs in 1960, asks to classify the abelian groups which are groups of units of some rings.

In this paper, we consider the case of finitely generated abelian groups, solving Fuchs' problem for such group with the additional assumption that the torsion subgroups are *small*, for a suitable notion of small related to the Prüfer rank. As a concrete instance, we classify for each $n \geq 2$ the realisable groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$.

Our tools require an investigation of the adjoint group of suitable radical rings of odd prime power order appearing in the picture, giving conditions under which the additive and adjoint groups are isomorphic.

In the last section, we also deal with some groups of order a power of 2, proving that the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ are realisable if and only if $0 \leq u \leq 3$ or $2^u + 1$ is a Fermat's prime.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The study of the group of units in the literature. The study of the group of units of unitary rings is a classical question. A milestone in this context is the celebrated Dirichlet's Unit Theorem (1846), which shows that the group of units of the ring of integers of a number field K is a finitely generated abelian group of the form $\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^g$, where $n \geq 1$ and g are determined by the structure of the field.

In a perfect analogue of this, Higman proved in 1940 that, given a finite abelian group T , the group ring $\mathbb{Z}T$ has group of units isomorphic to $\pm T \times \mathbb{Z}^g$ for a suitable explicit constant g .

The problem of the characterisation of the groups of unity was posed explicitly by Fuchs in [Fuc60, Problem 72].

Problem (Fuchs' problem). Characterise the groups which are the group of all units in a commutative and associative ring with identity.

This problem has been considered by various authors in subsequent years. We mention here some contributions.

In [Gil63], Gilmer considered the case of finite commutative rings, classifying the possible cyclic groups that arise in this case. Later, Fuchs' problem was addressed

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16U60, 20K99, 16N20.

Key words and phrases. Fuchs' problem, groups of units, finitely generated abelian groups, small rank, radical rings.

Both authors were a member of GNSAGA - INdAM and gratefully acknowledge support from the Departments of Mathematics of the Universities of Pisa, and the MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Pisa, CUP I57G22000700001. The authors have performed this activity in the framework of the PRIN 2022, title "Semiabelian varieties, Galois representations and related Diophantine problems".

by Hallett and Hirsch [HH65], and subsequently by Hirsch and Zassenhaus [HZ66]; a combination of their results with [Cor63] implies that if a finite group is the group of units of a reduced torsion-free ring, then it must satisfy some necessary conditions, namely, it must be a subgroup of a direct product of groups of a given family.

Fuchs' problem was then entirely solved for finite cyclic groups by Pearson and Schneider [PS70], who combined the result of Gilmer and the result of Hallett and Hirsch, and recently for indecomposable abelian groups by Chebolu and Lockridge [CL15].

Pearson and Schneider's approach also shows that for the addressing of Fuchs' problem in its generality one can reduce to consider finite rings and a special class of characteristic zero rings, which we term TN rings here. Motivated by this, in the papers [DCD18a, DCD18b] Dvornicich and the first author studied Fuchs' problem for rings of positive characteristic and of characteristic zero respectively, obtaining necessary conditions for a finite abelian group to be realisable as the group of all units of a ring (realisable, for short), and producing infinite families of both realisable and non-realisable groups. Later, the first author considered in [DC20] Fuchs' problem for finitely generated abelian groups, completely classifying the finitely generated abelian groups realisable as group of all units for the classes of integral domains, torsion free rings, and reduced rings. The main result of that paper is the classification of the finitely generated abelian groups that can be realised in the class of torsion free rings, which turn out to be all groups of the form

$$T \times \mathbb{Z}^r,$$

where T is a finite abelian group of even order, and r any value greater than or equal to $g(T)$, an explicit constant whose value depends on the structure of the group T (see [DC20, Theorem 5.1], or Theorem 5.1 in this paper).

1.2. The present contribution. In this paper, we approach Fuchs' problem for finitely generated abelian groups without any restriction on the class of rings. The methods developed in the paper allow us to classify the realisable finitely generated abelian groups with *small* torsion part, for a suitable notion of small related to the Prüfer rank.

Our investigation proceeds via the construction and the study of an exact sequence, in the two basic cases of the finite local rings and the TN rings, from which the general results can be deduced. In fact, if an abelian group is realisable as the group of units of some ring A , then it fits as the middle group in an exact sequence of the form

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{J} \hookrightarrow A^* \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{J})^* \rightarrow 1,$$

where \mathfrak{J} is an ideal of A contained into its nilradical \mathfrak{N} (see Proposition 2.9). The idea is that, for suitable choices for \mathfrak{J} , the units of A/\mathfrak{J} can be described by appealing to the results [DCD18a] and [DC20].

The other "ingredient" of the exact sequence is $1 + \mathfrak{J}$, which is the adjoint group of the radical ring \mathfrak{J} . We have some information about the group structure of \mathfrak{J} , and it is known that it must influence the structure of its adjoint group $1 + \mathfrak{J}$, somehow. However, the exact relationship is mostly unknown, and this remains the challenging aspect of the sequence to describe, in general. The methods we develop in Section 3 allows us to prove that if one of the two groups is *small*, then

\mathfrak{J} and $1 + \mathfrak{J}$ are isomorphic (see Theorem 3.5). In this paper we build upon this result.

When A is a finite local ring, then from [DCD18a, Theorem 3.1] we know that, by choosing \mathfrak{J} to be the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , the sequence splits. Therefore the realisable groups are of those of the form $\mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^* \times 1 + \mathfrak{m}$, where p is a prime and $\lambda \geq 1$, so the classification depends on the possible structure of the p -group $1 + \mathfrak{m}$: the results on radical ring we discussed above allows us to characterise this group in the case when it is small (see Theorem 4.5).

The class of TN rings contains the class of torsion-free rings, and since we know that all groups of the form $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$, where T is finite of even order, are realisable by torsion-free rings when the rank r is big enough, the question is to determine, for each T , the minimum value $r(T)$ for the rank in this wider class. In this case, we find it useful to specialise the exact sequence by choosing $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, the torsion subgroup of the nilradical, so that $A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is a torsion-free ring, whose group of units has the same rank of A^* . This choice turns out to be a good one also for the study $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, which in the relevant cases is finite, and our methods of Section 3 can be applied, when it is small. The exact sequence (1.1) is used in the paper both for bounding the rank from below for a fixed torsion part T , and for the construction of examples realising the minimum value $r(T)$ of the rank.

Our main result for TN rings is Theorem 6.9 in which we prove that a group of the form $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$, where T is a finite abelian group of even order with small Sylow subgroups, is realisable by a TN ring if and only if $r \geq r(T)$, where $r(T)$ is an explicit constant whose value depends on the structure of the group T .

As a corollary we get a complete classification of the realisable groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ (see Theorem 6.10 and Corollary 6.12). This result extends Pearson and Schneider's results on cyclic groups.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notation and some preliminary results; we propose in particular some reduction steps to deal with Fuchs' problem, in which the role of the adjoint groups of radical rings starts to emerge. In Section 3 we deal with the study of radical rings; we show in particular that in the small case, the additive and the adjoint group of a radical ring needs to be isomorphic. We employ this observation to deal with finite rings in Section 4 and with TN rings in Section 6, thanks also to some tools on torsion-free rings that we recall and specialise to our aim, in Section 5. Finally, Section 7 is devoted to some 2-groups; specifically, we solve Fuchs' problem for groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$, for $u \geq 0$. This is a challenging case for Fuchs' problem, as the result we develop in the rest of the work, related to the short exact sequence and the small rank, leave untouched the case $p = 2$.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout the paper we shall adopt the following classical notation: for q a power of a prime, \mathbb{F}_q denote a field with q elements; $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 2$) is the ring of classes modulo n ; and by ζ_n we denote any complex primitive n th root of 1.

All rings considered in this work (except when radical rings) are assumed to be associative and unitary, and to have finitely generated abelian group of units.

Given a ring A , we denote by A^* the group of units, and by A_0 its fundamental subring, namely, $A_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ depending on whether the characteristic of A is 0 or $n > 0$.

In the context of Fuchs' problem, a group G is called *realisable* (in a certain class \mathcal{C} of rings) if there exists a ring A (in \mathcal{C}) such that A^* is isomorphic to G .

In this paper we are interested in studying Fuchs' problem for finitely generated abelian groups, namely groups G that, up to isomorphism, can be written as

$$T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

where T is a finite abelian group and $r \geq 0$ is the rank of G , written $r = \text{rank } G$. Such a group is realisable if there exists a ring A such that $(A^*)_{\text{tors}}$, the torsion subgroup of A^* , is isomorphic to T , and the rank of A^* is r .

2.1. A reduction step. The following proposition shows that all the realisable finitely generated abelian groups can already be obtained in a more manageable subclass of rings.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a ring, and let $B = A_0[A^*]$ and $C = A_0[(A^*)_{\text{tors}}]$ be the subrings of A generated over A_0 by the units and the torsion units of A , respectively. Then $B^* = A^*$ and $C^* \cong (A^*)_{\text{tors}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_C}$ with $r_C \leq \text{rank } A^*$.*

Proof. We have $B \subseteq A$ and $C \subseteq A$, therefore $B^* \leq A^*$ and $C^* \leq A^*$. Since, by construction, $A^* \subseteq B^*$, we get $B^* = A^*$.

On the other hand, $(A^*)_{\text{tors}} \leq C^* \leq A^*$, so that C^* is a finitely generated abelian group with $(C^*)_{\text{tors}} = (A^*)_{\text{tors}}$ and $r_C \leq r_A$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let T be a finite abelian group and r a non negative integer. The following facts are equivalent.*

- (1) *The group $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable.*
- (2) *The group $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable in the class of commutative rings which are finitely generated over their fundamental subring.*
- (3) *There exists a commutative ring finitely generated and integral over its fundamental subring with group of units isomorphic to $T \times \mathbb{Z}^s$ for some $s \leq r$.*

Proof. We keep the notation of Proposition 2.1. The ring $B = A_0[A^*]$ is commutative finitely generated over A_0 and has the same group of units as A , proving that (1) \iff (2).

The ring $C = A_0[(A^*)_{\text{tors}}]$ is finitely generated and integral over A_0 : in fact, every torsion unit is an element of finite multiplicative order, so it is a root of a polynomial of type $x^n - 1$, therefore it is integral over A_0 , so the previous proposition shows that (1) \implies (3). As for the converse, we appeal to [CL15, Theorem 3.3] which guarantees that $\mathbb{F}_2[\mathbb{Z}] \cong \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Remark 2.3. The last corollary shows that to classify the finitely generated abelian groups that are realisable, we can limit ourself to the study of the class of commutative rings that are finitely generated and integral over their fundamental subring A_0 . (Moreover, the generators can also be chosen to be elements of finite multiplicative order.) This plays a key role in a second reduction step; see Proposition 2.6 below.

Corollary 2.4. *A finitely generated abelian group is realisable in the class of rings of positive characteristic if and only if its torsion subgroup is realisable in the class of finite rings.*

Proof. With the notation of Proposition 2.1, if A_0 is finite, then $C = A_0[(A^*)_{\text{tors}}]$ is finite, so $C^* = (A^*)_{\text{tors}}$. \square

Remark 2.5. For the realisability of groups of type $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$, where T is a finite abelian group and $r \geq 0$, there is a completely different behaviour between the classes of characteristic zero and positive characteristic rings. In fact, by Corollary 2.4, $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable in positive characteristic only if T itself is realisable as the group of units of a (finite) ring, and all the results of [DCD18a] and of Section 4 apply in this case. In particular, not all finite abelian groups T can occur.

Instead, when considering rings of characteristic zero, in order for the group $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ to be realisable for some r , the group T must have even order (since -1 is a unit of order 2), and all the T 's of even order appear as the torsion part of a finitely generated abelian group of units (see [DC20, Theorem 5.1]). However, not all finite groups are realisable as a group of units, namely if we require that $r = 0$ (see [DCD18b]). Therefore, for characteristic zero rings the question is to determine the possible r such that $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is the group of units of some ring A , and Corollary 2.2 says that to answer this question it is sufficient to consider the finitely generated integral extensions of \mathbb{Z} .

2.2. A further reduction step. In view of our previous reduction, we can limit our study to the class of commutative rings that are finitely generated and integral over their fundamental subring. The following Proposition 2.6, due to Pearson and Schneider, shows that each ring in this class splits into a direct product of a finite ring and a rings whose torsion elements are nilpotent. (We recall that an element $a \in A$ is a torsion element if its additive order is finite, and a commutative ring A is *torsion-free* if 0 is its only torsion element. An element $a \in A$ is nilpotent if there exists n such that $a^n = 0$; the set ideal of nilpotent elements of A is called *nilradical*, and A is *reduced* if the nilradical is trivial.)

Proposition 2.6. [PS70, Proposition 1] *Let A be a commutative ring which is finitely generated and integral over its fundamental subring. Then A is isomorphic to a ring of the form $A_1 \times A_2$, where A_1 is a finite ring and the torsion ideal of A_2 is contained in its nilradical.*

Definition 2.7. A commutative ring A with A^* finitely generated is called a *TN ring* if its torsion ideal is contained in the nilradical.

Remark 2.8. A TN ring has characteristic 0, since otherwise 1 would be a torsion element and hence nilpotent. Note also that if A is a TN ring, then also $\mathbb{Z}[A_{\text{tors}}^*]$ is a TN ring.

Proposition 2.6 permits to split the study of Fuchs' problem into the study of the realisability of finite generated abelian group in the classes of finite rings and TN rings. The units of both these type of rings have been widely studied. In particular, in the case of finite groups a systematic, even if non exhaustive, study has been carried on in [DCD18a] for finite characteristic rings, of which finite rings are a subclass, and in [DCD18b] for characteristic 0 rings, of which TN rings are a subclass. In addition, both classes were also considered in [DC20], where the groups studied are finitely generated and abelian.

These papers, of which we recall the main results in the next sections, are the starting point of the present study, which improves the previous results for both classes of rings.

2.3. An exact sequence. For a commutative ring A , we denote by $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(A)$ its nilradical. In the following we shall make repeated use of the following proposition (see [DCD18a, Proposition 2.2]).

Proposition 2.9. *Let A be a commutative ring, and let \mathfrak{J} an ideal of A contained in \mathfrak{N} . Then the sequence*

$$(2.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{J} \hookrightarrow A^* \xrightarrow{\phi} (A/\mathfrak{J})^* \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\phi(x) = x + \mathfrak{J}$, is exact.

Remark 2.10. The previous proposition suggests addressing the problem of studying the units of A through the study of the units of one of its quotient of the form A/\mathfrak{J} , and the study of $1 + \mathfrak{J}$, for some $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$.

In view of the results of [DC20], the study of $(A/\mathfrak{J})^*$ can be done for some special choice for \mathfrak{J} : for example for $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{N}$ the ring A/\mathfrak{N} is a reduced ring and in [DC20, Theorem 6.4] there is the classification of the possible groups of units that can be realised by these rings.

However, our choice of $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$ is also conditioned by the fact that we need to study the group $1 + \mathfrak{J}$. Now, since \mathfrak{J} consists of nilpotent elements, $1 + \mathfrak{J}$ is the adjoint group of the radical ring \mathfrak{J} (see the next section for the definition). In the literature we can find some result for the study of \mathfrak{J} , and in the next section, we will develop some new ones, which will be useful in the present case.

In the end, our choice for \mathfrak{J} will be the result of a balance that allows us to have information both on $(A/\mathfrak{J})^*$ and on $1 + \mathfrak{J}$.

As a final remark, we notice that in general the sequence (2.1) does not split (see Example 7.2).

2.4. Some results in number theory. We state here, mainly without proofs, some standard results in number theory we will need in the paper. All of them can be found in any standard number theory book (see for example [FT93]).

2.4.1. p -adic rings. Let p be a prime number. Denote by \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic number and by \mathbb{Z}_p its ring of integers, namely the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{Q}_p . For each $\lambda \geq 1$ the field \mathbb{Q}_p admits a unique unramified extension U_λ of degree λ (inside a fixed algebraic closure): we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ its ring of integers, namely the integral closure of \mathbb{Z}_p into U_λ . Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) = \mathbb{Z}_p$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ has the following properties.

- $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ is a discrete valuation ring, and therefore a principal ideal domain (PID);
- $p\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ is the only non-zero prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ and every non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ is of the form $p^a\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ for some $a > 0$;
- for all $a \geq 0$, there exists a group isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)}{p^a\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)} \cong \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^a\mathbb{Z}} \right)^\lambda$$

(this can be easily obtained by noticing that $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank λ).

Proposition 2.11. *Every $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ -module is the λ -power of an abelian p -group.*

Proof. The structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID implies that N is isomorphic to the direct sum of modules of the form

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)}{p^a \mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)},$$

with $a > 0$. As there is a group isomorphism

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)}{p^a \mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)} \cong \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^a \mathbb{Z}} \right)^\lambda,$$

we conclude that N is the λ -power of an abelian p -group. \square

2.4.2. Cyclotomic rings. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , and denote by \mathcal{O}_K its ring of integers. The general theory guarantees that \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain, so all its non-zero ideals factors into a product of prime ideals. Moreover, and all its quotients \mathcal{O}_K/I , where $\{0\} \neq I \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is an ideal, are finite and can be described in terms of the factorisation of I .

We are interested in the case where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$; for such K it is known that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$. The next proposition specifies the previous information for the quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ (by “ideal” we mean non-zero ideal).

Notation 2.12. Given a prime p coprime to n , we write $\lambda(p, n)$ for the order of p modulo n .

Proposition 2.13. *Let $n \geq 1$.*

- *Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$, and let $I = \prod_{i=1}^s P_i^{a_i}$ be its factorisation into pairwise distinct prime ideals. Then there is a ring isomorphism*

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]}{I} \cong \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]}{P_i^{a_i}}.$$

- *Let $P \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ be a prime ideal, and let $(p) = P \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Then for all $a > 0$, $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]/P^a$ is a finite commutative local ring of order $p^{a\lambda(p,n)}$. In addition, if p is coprime to n , then there is a group isomorphism*

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]}{P^a} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^a \mathbb{Z})^{\lambda(p,n)}.$$

3. RADICAL RINGS

Recall that a ring N (necessarily without unity) is called *radical* if N equals its Jacobson radical. As shown in [Hun80, Chapter IX, Theorem 2.3], this is equivalent to asking that

$$1 + N = \{1 + x \mid x \in N\}$$

is a group under the multiplication of the ring, or that (N, \circ) is a group, where

$$x \circ y = x + y + xy.$$

Note that $(N, \circ) \cong 1 + N$, and we call this the *adjoint group* of N .

For example, given a ring A , every ideal of A consisting of nilpotent elements is a radical ring.

An important question in the study of radical ring and the related problems is understanding the relation between the additive group of the ring and the adjoint group (see for example [AD95, Dic97, FCC12]). A possible approach consists of realising that this problem is a specific version of a more general one. Given a

radical ring N , the left distributivity of the multiplication over the sum immediately yields

$$x \circ (y + z) = (x \circ y) - x + (x \circ z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in N$. This means that $(N, +, \circ)$ is a *brace*; see [Rum07, CJO14]. The relation between the operations of a brace was the object of study in [Bac16, Byo15, Nas19, TQ20, CDC22], for example. In the recent work [DC23], this study was taken in consideration for a suitable class of braces, termed *module braces*; for a radical ring N , this notion boils down to the usual notion of module over a (commutative) ring; see [DC23, Example 2]. More specifically, the main idea of [DC23] is to require some properties in relation to the rank of the groups, to find an interaction between them. Recall the following notion: given a PID D and a finite D -module M of order a power or a prime p , we can write M as direct sum of indecomposable cyclic D -modules, and we say that the *D -Prüfer rank* $\text{P-rank}_D M$ of M is the number of modules in this decomposition. (Note that when $D = \mathbb{Z}$, we just recover the usual Prüfer rank $\text{P-rank } M$ of an abelian p -group M .)

The next result is a rewriting of [DC23, Theorem 4.1], specialised in the case of a radical ring.

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be a prime number, and let D be a PID such that pD is a prime ideal of D . Let N be a radical ring of order a power of p such that N is a D -module. Assume that*

$$\text{P-rank}_D N < p - 1.$$

Then N and $1 + N$ have the same number of elements of each order. In particular, if the ring N is commutative, then $N \cong 1 + N$.

3.1. The case of small radical rings. In the following we give a converse of Theorem 3.1. Let D be a principal ideal domain, and let p be a prime such that pD is a prime ideal of D . Assume that D/pD is finite, and write $\lambda = [D/pD : \mathbb{F}_p]$, so that $D/pD \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}$.

Given a D -module M of order a power of p , write $\Omega(M)$ for the *socle* of M :

$$\Omega(M) = \{x \in M \mid px = 0\}.$$

Note that $\Omega(M)$ is a D -module annihilated by p , hence an \mathbb{F}_{p^λ} -vector space.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a D -module of order a power of p . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}} \Omega(M) = \text{P-rank}_D \Omega(M) = \text{P-rank}_D M.$$

Proof. The assumption on D shows that pD is the unique prime ideal of D such that $pD \cap \mathbb{Z} = (p)$; therefore, applying the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID, we find that we can write M as product of indecomposable cyclic D -modules

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r D/p^{a_i} D,$$

for some $a_i > 0$. In particular, the group isomorphisms

$$\Omega(M) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r p^{a_i-1} D/p^{a_i} D \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r D/pD.$$

yield the desired equalities. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let M be a D -module of order a power of p . Then*

$$\text{P-rank } M = \lambda \cdot \text{P-rank}_D M.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\text{P-rank } M = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Omega(M) = \lambda \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}} \Omega(M) = \lambda \cdot \text{P-rank}_D M. \quad \square$$

Definition 3.4. Let M be an abelian p -group, and let λ be a positive integer. We say that M is λ -small if $\text{P-rank } M < \lambda(p - 1)$.

We arrive to our main result, in which we rewrite and provide a converse to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5. *Let D be a principal ideal domain, and let p be a prime such that pD is a prime ideal of D and D/pD is finite; write $\lambda = [D/pD : \mathbb{F}_p]$. Let N be a commutative radical ring of order a power of p such that N is a D -module. If one between N and $1 + N$ is λ -small, then $N \cong 1 + N$.*

Proof. If we suppose that N is λ -small, then result follows from Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.3

Conversely, suppose that $1 + N$ is λ -small. To lighten the notation, write \dim for $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $M = \Omega(N)$. Then M is an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, and given $M^{(p)} = \{x^p \mid x \in M\}$ and $M_p = \{x \in M \mid x^p = 0\}$, there exists an obvious isomorphism

$$M/M_p \cong M^{(p)}$$

as \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces, which implies

$$\text{P-rank } N = \dim M = \dim M_p + \dim M^{(p)}.$$

Now note that M_p is contained in $\Omega(1 + N)$, by the well-known formula given in [FCC12, Lemma 3]. We derive that

$$\text{P-rank } N \leq \text{P-rank}(1 + N) + \dim M^{(p)}.$$

When $M^{(p)} = 0$, the inequality given implies that also N is λ -small, as claimed.

So suppose that $M^{(p)} \neq 0$, hence also $M^p \neq 0$. (Here for all i , we denote by M^i the ideal generated by the product of i elements of M .) As M is a finite radical ring, M is nilpotent (see [Hun80, Chapter IX, Proposition 2.13]), so we have the chain of strict inequalities

$$\dim M^p < \dim M^{p-1} < \dots < \dim M.$$

As each M^i is an \mathbb{F}_{p^λ} -vector space, we deduce that

$$\dim M^p \leq \dim M - \lambda(p - 1),$$

and combining this with the previous equation, we conclude that

$$\text{P-rank}(1 + N) \geq \lambda(p - 1),$$

a contradiction. \square

Remark 3.6. While in principle the previous result also holds for $p = 2$, it is never applicable, as if N is λ -small, then $\text{P-rank } N < \lambda$, that is, $\text{P-rank}_D N < 1$; this forces N to be trivial.

Remark 3.7. This result can be applied in the framework of Hopf–Galois structures, to which radical rings and braces are tightly connected (see [FCC12], [SV18], and [ST23]). Indeed, on the model of the main result of [FCC12], Theorem 3.5 proposes some circumstances under which the isomorphism class of the Galois group of a finite Galois extension and the type of a Hopf–Galois structure have to be the same.

4. FINITE RINGS

Let A be a finite commutative ring. As A is Artinian, the structure theorem for Artinian rings says that we can write A as the direct product of finite local rings. In particular, in order to find the groups realisable in the class of finite commutative rings, we can focus our attention on finite commutative local rings.

Given a finite local ring A , we write \mathfrak{m} for its unique maximal ideal. Note that the characteristic of A is the power of a prime p , and there exists $\lambda \geq 1$ such that

$$A/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}.$$

In this is the case, we write that A is of (p, λ) -type. We will study the question of the realisability of the groups in the class of finite commutative local rings of (p, λ) -type; the finite abelian groups realisable in the class of finite rings will be the direct product of a finite number of them.

Let A be a finite commutative local rings of (p, λ) -type. By [DCD18a, Theorem 3.1],

$$(4.1) \quad A^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^* \times 1 + \mathfrak{m}$$

so the question reduces to find the possible structure of $1 + \mathfrak{m}$, the adjoint group of the radical ring \mathfrak{m} .

The question seems to be difficult in general. Some information can be derived from the knowledge of the group structure of \mathfrak{m} , which can be studied with methods developed in [DC23]. First, we state an useful lemma [DC23, Lemma 4.8].

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a finite commutative local ring of (p, λ) -type. Then every A -module is also a $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ -module by restriction of scalars.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let p be a prime, and let A be a finite commutative local ring of (p, λ) -type. Then \mathfrak{m} is the λ -power of an abelian p -group.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, \mathfrak{m} is a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ -module. Then the result follows from Proposition 2.11. \square

The last corollary gives us the information that $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is an abelian p -group of order a power of p^λ . However, it turns out that \mathfrak{m} and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ are not necessarily isomorphic and, for $\lambda > 1$, the group $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is not necessarily the λ -power of a p -group (see [DCD18a, Example 2]). On the other hand, in view of the the constraints on the filtration of the group $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ given in [DCD18a, Theorem 3.1], it can not be any p -group if $\lambda > 1$, nor any group of order a power of p^λ (see [DCD18a, Proposition 4.1 and Example 1]).

Nevertheless, for p odd, it is possible to construct examples of rings for which both \mathfrak{m} and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ are isomorphic to the λ -power of a given abelian p -group. The following proposition is [DCD18a, Proposition 4.3].

Proposition 4.3. *Let p be an odd prime. For all $\lambda \geq 1$ and for every finite abelian p -group P , there exists a finite local commutative ring A of (p, λ) -type such that*

$$A^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^* \times P^\lambda.$$

The previous proposition shows that when $\lambda = 1$ and p is odd then $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ can be any abelian p -group, completely solving the question of groups realising in this case.

4.1. The case of small groups. In the case when \mathfrak{m} or $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is small, by applying Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 4.2 we can describe all the possibility for the group of units of A .

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a finite commutative local ring of (p, λ) -type. Suppose that one between \mathfrak{m} and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is λ -small. Then $\mathfrak{m} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{m}$. In particular, $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is the λ -power of a 1-small abelian p -group.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, \mathfrak{m} is a $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda)$ -module. In particular, we can apply Theorem 3.5 to prove that if one between \mathfrak{m} and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is λ -small, then $\mathfrak{m} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{m}$. By Corollary 4.2, we conclude that if this is the case, then $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is the λ -power of an abelian p -group. \square

The last proposition allows us to prove that, under some conditions, the rings obtained via Proposition 4.3 are the only ones we can obtain, so we can give some answer to Fuchs' problem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let λ be a positive integer, and let p be an odd prime. Then the finite abelian groups with λ -small Sylow p -subgroup appearing as groups of units of finite local commutative rings of (p, λ) -type are exactly those of the form*

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^* \times P^\lambda,$$

where P is a 1-small abelian p -group.

Proof. First, let P be a 1-small abelian p -group. By Proposition 4.3, there exists a finite commutative local ring A of (p, λ) -type such that

$$A^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^* \times P^\lambda.$$

Clearly the Sylow p -subgroup of A^* is λ -small.

Conversely, suppose that a group G with λ -small Sylow p -subgroup is realisable as group of units of a finite local commutative ring with A of (p, λ) -type. Then

$$G \cong A^* \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^* \times 1 + \mathfrak{m}.$$

By assumption, $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is λ -small; hence $\mathfrak{m} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is the λ -power of a 1-small abelian p -group by Proposition 4.4. \square

Remark 4.6. By Corollary 2.4, the finitely generated abelian groups that can be realised as the groups of unit of a finite characteristic ring are exactly those whose torsion subgroup is realisable. Therefore, the previous result gives families of both realisable and non-realisable finitely generated abelian groups of any rank, in the class of finite characteristic rings.

The following example shows how our result can be used to prove that some group is, or is not, realisable in the class of (finite) rings.

Example 4.7. Consider the group

$$G = (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/600\mathbb{Z}.$$

We claim that G is not realisable as the group of units of any ring. To show this, we first suppose that G is realisable in the class of finite rings. We write

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z},$$

and assume, by contradiction, that $G = A^*$ for some finite ring A . Let $A \cong A_1 \times \cdots \times A_s$, where each A_i is local of (p_i, λ_i) -type. Then

$$G \cong \prod_{i=1}^s (\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{\lambda_i} \times 1 + \mathfrak{m}_i).$$

When p is odd $\mathbb{F}_{p^\lambda}^*$ has even cardinality, so, having G a cyclic Sylow, 2-subgroup at most one of the p_i can be odd.

Looking at the Sylow 5-subgroup of G we contend that one of the p_i must be 5. In fact, otherwise there must be three primes p_i such that 5 divides $|\mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{\lambda_i}|$, and at least two of them, say p_1 and p_2 , need to be the prime 2. This means that $2^{\lambda_1} - 1$ and $2^{\lambda_2} - 1$ should divide 600, and therefore 75, and be divisible by 5, and it is immediate to see that the only possibility is $2^{\lambda_1} - 1 = 2^{\lambda_2} - 1 = 15$; this is not possible since G has just one factor of order 3.

So, G should have a factor of the form

$$\mathbb{F}_{5^\lambda}^* \times H,$$

where H is a 5 group. This forces $\lambda = 2$ and H to be a factor of

$$(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{P-rank } H \leq 3 < \lambda_5(5 - 1).$$

We can apply Theorem 4.5 to deduce that H is the 2-power of an abelian 5-group, that is, H is trivial or $H = (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2$.

But the $\mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}$ cannot be a factor of the group \mathbb{F}_{25}^* , so G is not realisable by finite rings.

Finally, we note that by Proposition 5.12 below, no factor of G may be realised as the group of units of a TN ring, deriving our claim.

5. TN RINGS: THE TOOLS

The aim of this section is to introduce the tools for the study of the group of units of TN rings. This machinery will then be used in the next section to classify, within a certain class of *small* finitely generated abelian groups, those that are realisable in the class of TN ring.

We begin our analysis with torsion-free rings, as if A is a TN ring, then $A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is a torsion-free ring, and its group of units appears in the short exact sequence (2.1).

5.1. A review on torsion-free rings. We collect here some facts about torsion-free rings proved in [DC20]. These results will be useful for the discussion of the general case.

The following theorem is [DC20, Theorem 5.1].

Theorem 5.1. *Let T be a finite abelian group of even order. The group $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable in the class of torsion-free rings if and only if $r \geq g(T)$, where $g(T)$ is a constant depending on the structure of T .*

The constant $g(T)$ is specified in [DC20, Equation (12)].

We now restrict our study to groups T with a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. Consider the decomposition of T into cyclic groups of order the power of a prime

$$(5.1) \quad T \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_i} \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\varepsilon \geq 1$, $s \geq 0$, and p_i is an odd prime with $a_i \geq 1$ for all i . Write s_0 for the cardinality of the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$. The value of $g(T)$ in case is

$$g(T) = \sum_{i=1}^s \left(\frac{\phi(2^\varepsilon p_i^{a_i})}{2} - 1 \right) + c(T),$$

where

$$c(T) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\phi(2^\varepsilon)}{2} - 1 \right)^* & \text{if } s_0 \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } s_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here ϕ denotes the Euler's totient function and $\left(\frac{\phi(2^\varepsilon)}{2} - 1 \right)^*$ denotes $\frac{\phi(2^\varepsilon)}{2} - 1$ except when $\varepsilon = 1$, in which case it is equal to 0 by convention.

Note that, by the description of $g(T)$, if T' is a subgroup of T of even order, then

$$(5.2) \quad g(T') \leq g(T)$$

(this is no more true when the Sylow 2-subgroup of T is not cyclic).

Following [DC20], we present explicitly two class of torsion-free ring useful to realise minimum values in the next sections. In the following example we keep the notation given in (5.1).

Example 5.2. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p_i^{a_i}}] \times \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}].$$

For all $i = 1, \dots, s$, take $\beta_i = (1, \dots, 1, \zeta_{p_i^{a_i}}, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $\zeta_{p_i^{a_i}}$ appears in the i th component, and define $B = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}][\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s]$ (here we are identifying B with a subring of \mathcal{M} via the diagonal embedding of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$). This is a reduced torsion-free ring.

As clarified in [DC20, Subsection 5.2], the following holds:

$$B^* \cong \begin{cases} T \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(T)} & \text{if } s_0 \neq 1, \\ T \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(T)+g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon \mathbb{Z})} & \text{if } s_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, in the case $s_0 \neq 1$, the ring B realises the minimum value of the rank of a torsion-free ring whose group of torsion units as in (5.1).

Example 5.3. Suppose that $s_0 = 1$, so that

$$(5.3) \quad T \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_i} \mathbb{Z},$$

with $1 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_v$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_i}}].$$

For all $i = 2, \dots, v$, take $\beta_i = (1, \dots, 1, \zeta_{p^{a_i}} 1, \dots, 1)$, where $\zeta_{p^{a_i}}$ appears in the i th component, and define $B = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_1}}][\beta_2, \dots, \beta_v]$ (here we are identifying B with a subring of \mathcal{M} via the diagonal embedding of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_1}}]$). This is a reduced torsion-free ring. Then as clarified in [DC20, Subsection 5.2]

$$B^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^g(T).$$

In particular, this ring realises the minimum value of the rank of a torsion-free ring whose group of torsion units T is as in (5.3).

Remark 5.4. Recall that a commutative ring is *connected* if it has no idempotents different from 0 and 1. We claim that any torsion-free ring with a unique element of multiplicative order 2 is connected, so this is true for the rings obtained in Examples 5.2 and 5.3.

In fact, let by ϵ an idempotent of B . Then $(1 - 2\epsilon)^2 = 1$, and therefore

$$1 - 2\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}.$$

This forces $2\epsilon = 0$ or $2(\epsilon - 1) = 0$. Since B is torsion-free, we can conclude that $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon = 1$, as claimed.

If B is a connected ring, then the group of units of the ring of Laurent polynomials $B[x, x^{-1}]$ is the group $\langle B^*, x \rangle \cong B^* \times \mathbb{Z}$. This construction preserves the property of the ring of being connected and also of being TN. Therefore, an immediate inductive argument gives the next proposition, which allows us to “increase the rank” of the group of units while remaining in the same class of rings.

Proposition 5.5. *Let B be a torsion-free reduced connected ring, and let $r \geq 1$. Write*

$$C = B[x_1, \dots, x_r, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_r^{-1}].$$

Then

$$C^* \cong B^* \times \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

For a next use, we recall the following classification result for finite abelian groups; see [DCD18b, Theorem B].

Theorem 5.6. *The finite abelian groups realisable in the class of torsion-free rings are exactly those of the form*

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^a \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^b \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^c,$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $a + b \geq 1$, and $a \geq 1$ if $c \geq 1$.

5.2. The role of ε . Recall that TN rings have characteristic zero, and therefore -1 is a unit of order of order 2. In addition, as TN rings A are assumed to have A^* finitely generated, $(A^*)_{\text{tors}}$ has even order.

For any finitely generated abelian group G , we denote by $\varepsilon(G)$ the minimum k such that G_{tors} has a cyclic factor of order 2^k in its decomposition into cyclic groups of prime power order. The value of $\varepsilon(A^*)$ is a significant invariant for the structure of the ring and especially for its group of units, as we will see in what follows.

For $n \geq 1$, say that a TN ring A is an n -ring if A has a subring isomorphic to the cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$. (When A is an n -ring, we identify $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ with its copy in A .) The concept of n -ring naturally aligns with the concept of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ -algebra.

Proposition 5.7. *Let A be a TN ring. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ -algebra.
- (2) A is an n -ring

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear. Conversely, assume that A is a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ -algebra, and let

$$(5.4) \quad \psi: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \rightarrow A, \quad z \mapsto z1$$

be the unit map. This map is injective, because if it has a non-trivial kernel, then 1 would be a torsion element, as all the quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ by non-trivial ideals are finite by Proposition 2.13. \square

The proof of the next proposition follows the same lines as that of [DCD18b, Theorem 5.1].

Proposition 5.8. *Let A be a TN ring. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a 2^ε -ring.
- (2) There exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $-1 = \alpha^{2^{\varepsilon-1}}$.

Proof. Assume that A is a 2^ε -ring, and let ψ be the map in (5.4). Then for $\alpha = \psi(\zeta_{2^\varepsilon})$, we have $\alpha^{2^{\varepsilon-1}} = -1$.

Assume now that (2) holds, and let $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow A$ be defined by $p(x) = p(\alpha)$. The polynomial $\mu(x) = x^{2^{\varepsilon-1}} + 1$ belongs to the kernel of φ_α . Now, $\mu(x)$ is the cyclotomic polynomial of the of the 2^ε th roots of units, so it is irreducible. By [DCD18b, Lemma 5.2], we obtain that $\ker(\varphi_\alpha) = (\mu(x))$, so $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(\mu(x)) \hookrightarrow A$. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let A be a TN ring. If $\varepsilon(A^*) = \varepsilon$, then A is a 2^ε -ring.*

Proof. In a finitely generated abelian group G with $\varepsilon(G) = \varepsilon$, every element of order 2 is a $2^{\varepsilon-1}$ -power. This implies that -1 is necessarily a $2^{\varepsilon-1}$ -power in A^* , and the result follows from Proposition 5.8. \square

5.3. The short exact sequence. We now analyse the information we can get from the exact sequence of Proposition 2.9, when A is a TN ring and $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$:

$$(5.5) \quad 1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \hookrightarrow A^* \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}})^* \rightarrow 1.$$

As already mentioned, this choice is particularly interesting since as A is TN, the torsion ideal of A is contained into \mathfrak{N} , whence in its torsion part $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$. Therefore, $A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is a torsion-free ring and the finitely generated abelian groups realisable in this case are known by [DC20].

We begin by presenting a consequence of Proposition 5.8.

Corollary 5.10. *Let A be a TN ring. If A is a 2^ε -ring, then $A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is a 2^ε -ring.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.8 there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $-1 = \alpha^{2^{k-1}}$, then the same equality holds modulo $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, so $A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is a 2^ε -ring. \square

Remark 5.11. The previous corollary also shows that the copy of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$ contained in A projects to a copy of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$ under the natural projection

$$A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}.$$

We derive a result in relation to finite group of units.

Proposition 5.12. *Let A be a TN ring such that A^* is finite. Then $\varepsilon(A^*) \leq 2$. In particular, if -1 is a 2^k -power, then $k \leq 1$.*

Proof. Write $\varepsilon(A^*) = \varepsilon$. By Corollaries 5.9 and 5.10, we deduce that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$ injects in $B = A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$; this injection can be restricted to the groups of units. Now, $B^* \cong A^*/1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is finite, so $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^{k+1}}]^*$ is also finite, and the Dirichlet's Units Theorem (see [FT93, Theorem 37]) implies that $\varepsilon(A^*) \leq 2$. The final assertion follows from Proposition 5.8. \square

The other group appearing in (5.5) is $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, which is the adjoint group of the radical ring $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$. In some cases, the methods developed in Section 3 allows us to determine its structure. In order to do so, we need to restrict the attention to some particular characteristic zero (and TN) rings. In light of Proposition 2.1, this will not be a limitation in what follows.

Proposition 5.13. *Let A be a TN ring that is finitely generated and integral over \mathbb{Z} . The following hold:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is a finite radical ring.
- (2) $\text{rank } A^* = \text{rank}(A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}})^*$.

Proof. As A is finitely generated and integral over \mathbb{Z} , it is also finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module, and the same holds for its subgroup \mathfrak{N} . It follows that its torsion part $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is finite. In addition, $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ is an ideal of A consisting of nilpotent elements, therefore it is a finite radical ring. In particular, $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \subseteq A_{\text{tors}}^*$ is a finite abelian group, and by

$$\left(\frac{A}{\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}} \right)^* \cong \frac{A^*}{1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}} \cong \frac{A_{\text{tors}}^*}{1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}} \times \mathbb{Z}^r,$$

where r is the rank of the group A^* , we obtain that

$$\text{rank } A^* = \text{rank}(A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}})^*. \quad \square$$

The same argument of the proof of the previous proposition, in the same hypothesis, shows the exactness of the sequence

$$(5.6) \quad 1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \hookrightarrow A_{\text{tors}}^* \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}})_{\text{tors}}^* \rightarrow 1.$$

Notation 5.14. Given a prime p , we write T_p for the Sylow p -subgroup of a finite abelian group T .

Consider the Sylow p -subgroup $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ of $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$. It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$; it follows that $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is again a radical ring, so $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is a subgroup of $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ and, by cardinality, we deduce that $(1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}})_p = 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$. In particular, also the sequence

$$(5.7) \quad 1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p} \hookrightarrow A_{\text{tors},p}^* \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}})_{\text{tors},p}^* \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. We want now to study the structure of $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$, in the case when Theorem 3.5 applies. First, we mention a consequence of [DC23, Proposition 4.10].

Lemma 5.15. *Let A be a TN ring, and write $\varepsilon(A^*) = \varepsilon$. Then every finite A -module of order a power of a prime p is also a $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon))$ -module.*

Proof. Let N be a finite A -module of order a power of p . If $\varepsilon(A^*) = \varepsilon$, then A is a 2^ε -ring by Corollary 5.9. In particular, N is a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$ -module. Denote by I the annihilator of N in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$, so that N is a faithful $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]/I$ -module. By Proposition 2.13,

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]}{I} \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]}{P_i^{\alpha_i}},$$

where each term is a finite commutative local ring of type $(p, \lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon))$. We are in position to apply [DC23, Proposition 4.10], which yields that N is a $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon))$ -module. \square

This yields a description of the structure of $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$.

Corollary 5.16. *Let A be a TN ring that is finitely generated and integral over \mathbb{Z} , and write $\varepsilon(A^*) = \varepsilon$. If p is an odd prime, then $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is the $\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon)$ -power of an abelian p -group.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.13 and Lemma 5.15, the radical ring $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is a finite module over $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon))$ of order a power of p , so we can apply Proposition 2.11 to derive the assertion. \square

We arrive to the desired result.

Proposition 5.17. *Let A be a TN ring that is finitely generated and integral over \mathbb{Z} , and write $\varepsilon(A^*) = \varepsilon$. Let p be an odd prime such that one between $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ and $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is $\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon)$ -small. Then $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$. In particular, $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is the $\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon)$ -power of an abelian p -group.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.13 and Lemma 5.15, the radical ring $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors},p}$ is a finite module over $\mathbb{Z}_p(\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon))$ of order a power of p . In particular, we can apply Theorem 3.5 to prove that if one between \mathfrak{m} and $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is $\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon)$ -small, then $\mathfrak{m} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{m}$. By Corollary 5.16, we conclude that if this is the case, then $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is the $\lambda(p, 2^\varepsilon)$ -power of an abelian p -group. \square

6. REALISABILITY IN THE CLASS OF TN RINGS

The results of the previous section can be used to characterise the finitely generated abelian groups, with some additional condition on the torsion part, that can be realised in the class of TN rings.

As recalled in Theorem 5.1, every finite abelian group of even cardinality T is realisable as the torsion part of a finitely generated abelian group of units already within the class of torsion-free rings. In the torsion-free case, the structure of T gives a lower bound $g(T)$ for the possible rank of the group of units, and our aim is to understand—at least in some cases—which is the minimum rank $r(T)$ for which the group

$$T \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(T)}$$

is realisable in the wider class of TN rings. Clearly $r(T) \leq g(T)$.

In order to find the value of $r(T)$, on the one hand, we need to show that every TN ring A with $A^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ satisfies

$$r \geq r(T),$$

on the other hand, we have to construct a ring A with

$$A^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(T)}.$$

We proceed with the former in the next subsection, thanks to our result controlling the shape of $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, given a suitable TN ring A .

The latter is instead taken care of in the second subsection, where we present a construction not only to realise $T \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(T)}$, but also $T \times \mathbb{Z}^{r(T)+l}$ for all l , meaning that $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable in the class of TN rings if and only if $r \geq r(T)$.

As we mentioned before, our results concern a restricted class of abelian groups T , that we now describe. First, we assume that T_2 is cyclic, say $T_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}$ with $\varepsilon \geq 1$. Now write $\lambda_\ell = \lambda(\ell, 2^\varepsilon)$ for all odd primes ℓ . The second assumption is that if p_1, \dots, p_{s_0} are the distinct odd prime divisors of $|T|$ such that T_{p_i} is not a λ_{p_i} -power, then

$$\text{P-rank } T_{p_i} < \lambda_{p_i}.$$

(This assumption is always satisfied if T is cyclic.) If q_0, \dots, q_{t_0} are the remaining distinct odd prime divisors of T , then we can write $T_{q_j} = (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$, and therefore

$$T \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i} \times \prod_{j=0}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$$

When $s_0 = 1$, we simply write $p_1 = p$ and

$$T_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_i}\mathbb{Z},$$

where $1 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_v$.

We denote this class of groups as $\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$ (recall that $\varepsilon \geq 1$), and for groups of this class we will always use the notation given above.

6.1. Bounding from below. We begin by presenting a first lower bound.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$. Then*

$$r(T) \geq g \left(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i} \right).$$

Proof. Let A be a TN ring such that $(A^*)_{\text{tors}} \cong T$. As we are interested in a lower bound, because of Proposition 2.1, we can assume A to be of the form $A = \mathbb{Z}[(A^*)_{\text{tors}}]$. Write $B = A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, and we know that both A and $B = A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ are 2^ε -rings. In particular, B contains (a copy of) $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}]$ as a subring. In addition, as

$$\text{P-rank } \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}, p_i} < \text{P-rank } T_{p_i} < \lambda_{p_i} < \lambda_{p_i}(p-1),$$

we can apply Proposition 5.17 to find that $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}, p_i}$ is a λ_{p_i} -power, and therefore necessarily $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}, p_i}$ is trivial for all i . Therefore by using the short exact sequence (5.6) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}$$

injects in B_{tors}^* , resulting in

$$\text{rank } A^* = \text{rank } B^* \geq g(B_{\text{tors}}^*) \geq g\left(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}\right).$$

As this holds for all A with $A_{\text{tors}}^* \cong T$, we derive

$$r(T) \geq g\left(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}\right). \quad \square$$

For most of the cases, this bound will be sufficient to find the value of $r(T)$. We need now to take care of a ‘‘sporadic’’ case.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$. Assume also that $s_0 = 1$, and that there exists an odd prime $q \neq p$ such that T_q is not a $\lambda(q, 2^\varepsilon p^{a_1})$ -power. Then*

$$r(T) \geq g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Write $\rho = g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z})$. Note that for $\varepsilon \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$\rho = g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p),$$

so there is nothing to prove. Suppose now that $\varepsilon \geq 3$. We assume that there exists a TN ring A such that

$$A^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

with $r < \rho$. Replacing A with $\mathbb{Z}[(A^*)_{\text{tors}}]$, we can assume that A is integral and finitely generated by units of finite order over \mathbb{Z} , as in Proposition 2.1. The same therefore holds for the torsion-free ring $B = A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$. We know that B_{tors}^* contains (a copy of) $\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p$. Suppose that B_{tors}^* is strictly bigger. Then there exists an odd prime q such that

$$\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow B_{\text{tors}}^*$$

This is a contradiction, as

$$g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) > g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore,

$$B_{\text{tors}}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p, \quad 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \cong \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}},$$

and the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow A_{\text{tors}}^* \rightarrow B_{\text{tors}}^* \rightarrow 1$$

splits.

Now we claim that B is a $2^\varepsilon p^{a_1}$ -ring, where, p^{a_1} is the order of the smaller cyclic component of T_p , as in the given notation. This follows from the results of [DC20]. Indeed, the torsion free ring B fulfil the assumption of [DC20, Proposition 4.2], therefore, by the subsequent Remark 4.3, B injects in a product of cyclotomic rings \mathcal{M} , say, which in turn must be B_{tors}^* -admissible in the sense of [DC20, Section 5.1].

This means that \mathcal{M} has the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_i} u_i}] \times \prod_{i=1}^w \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon u'_i}]$$

for some u_i, u'_i . By [DC20, Lemma 4.4],

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{M}^* = \text{rank } B^* = r < \rho.$$

This yields that $w = 0$ and $u_i = 1$ for all i , because otherwise

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{M}^* = \sum_{i=1}^v \frac{\phi(2^\varepsilon p^{a_i} u_i) - 1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^w \frac{\phi(2^\varepsilon v_i) - 1}{2} \geq \rho > \text{rank } B^*.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{M} = \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_i}}],$$

and this implies that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{tors},p}^* \cong B_{\text{tors},p}^*$. Now note that \mathcal{M} contains a subring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^{a_1}}]$, via diagonal immersion. We deduce that the element $(\zeta_{p^{a_1}}, \dots, \zeta_{p^{a_1}})$, which has order p^{a_1} , is in the image of the injection

$$\iota: B \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M},$$

and therefore, taking the preimage of the copy of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^{a_1}}]$ in \mathcal{M} , we find that B also contains, as a subring, a copy of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^{a_1}}]$ (and thus of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_1}}]$).

Let us call β' the preimage of $(\zeta_{p^{a_1}}, \dots, \zeta_{p^{a_1}})$ under ι , and β the element of A_{tors}^* mapping to β' via the natural projection. The map

$$\varphi': \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}][x] \rightarrow B, \quad x \mapsto \beta'$$

has therefore kernel $(\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x))$, the ideal generated by the p^{a_1} -cyclotomic polynomial. Consider now

$$\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}][x] \rightarrow A, \quad x \mapsto \beta.$$

We claim that $\ker \varphi = (\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x))$. In this case, A would be a $2^\varepsilon p^{a_1}$ -ring, so $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ would be a module over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon p^{a_1}}]$. Since by assumption T_q is not a $\lambda(q, 2^\varepsilon p^{a_1})$ -power, then it can not be a subgroup of $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, giving a contradiction (recall Proposition 2.13).

We just need to prove the claim. First, observe that as β is an element of multiplicative order p^{a_1} , we have $x^{p^{a_1}} - 1 \in \ker \varphi$. Second, note that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^\varepsilon}][x] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\ & \searrow \varphi' & \downarrow \pi \\ & & B \end{array}$$

where $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} = B$ is the obvious projection. This implies that

$$\ker \varphi \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}) = \ker \varphi' = (\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)).$$

We just need to show that $\varphi(\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)) = 0$. We know that

$$\varphi(\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)) \in \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}},$$

which means that $d\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x) \in \ker \varphi$ and $\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)^c \in \ker \varphi$, where d is the order of $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$ (which is coprime to p) and $c \geq 1$.

If $c = 1$, the claim follows. If $c \geq 2$, then we can employ that fact that $x^{p^{a_1}} - 1 \in \ker \varphi$ and the equality

$$x^{p^{a_1}} - 1 = (x^{p^{a_1-1}} - 1)\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)$$

to derive that

$$\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)(x^{p^{a_1-1}} - 1, \Phi_{p^{a_1}}^{c-1}(x), d) \subseteq \ker \varphi.$$

But now $\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)^{c-1} = \Phi_p(x^{p^{a_1-1}})^{c-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (x^{p^{a_1-1}} - 1, \Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x)^{c-1}, d) &= (x^{p^{a_1-1}} - 1, \Phi_p(x^{p^{a_1-1}})^{c-1}, d) \\ &= (x^{p^{a_1-1}} - 1, \Phi_p(1)^{c-1}, d) = (x^{p^{a_1-1}} - 1, p^{c-1}, d) = (1), \end{aligned}$$

as p and d are coprime; this means that $\Phi_{p^{a_1}}(x) \in \ker \varphi$, as claimed. \square

6.2. Realising minimum values. To show that the lower bounds we found in the various cases are actually the minimum values assumed by the rank, we have to construct examples of TN rings whose groups of units realise those values. The idea for the construction is to use the exact sequence (5.5) “backwards”, finding explicitly a TN rings such that A^* fits into the sequence, after the other terms are “prescribed”. For the reasons we have already explained, these groups cannot be chosen as freely as possible; these limitations are matched in the statement of the next result.

Proposition 6.3. *Let G be a finitely generated abelian group with $\varepsilon(G) = \varepsilon \geq 1$, and let $k \geq 1$. Suppose that G is realisable as $B^* = G$, where B satisfies the following properties:*

- B is torsion-free and reduced.
- B is a k -ring and it is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$ by some elements $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$.
- The ideal of B generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ intersects trivially $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$.

If H is a finite abelian group, whose order is odd and coprime to k , such that H_q is a $\lambda(q, k)$ -power for all prime divisors q of $|H|$, then the group

$$H \times G$$

is realisable in the class of TN rings.

Proof. Consider the decomposition of H into indecomposable factors

$$H \cong \prod_{j=1}^t (\mathbb{Z}/q_j^{b_j} \mathbb{Z})^{\lambda_j},$$

where $\lambda_j = \lambda(q_j, k)$.

Let Q_j to be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$ containing q_j . Consider the polynomial ring $B[x_1, \dots, x_t]$, call \mathcal{Q}_j the ideal of $B[x_1, \dots, x_t]$ generated by Q_j , and set

$$J = \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{Q}_j^{b_j} x_j + (\gamma_i x_j, x_j x_{j'})_{i=1, \dots, m, j, j'=1, \dots, t}.$$

By definition J is an ideal of B . Let

$$A = \frac{B[x_1, \dots, x_t]}{J}.$$

As $J \cap B = \{0\}$, A contains B .

By construction, the elements $\overline{x_j}$ (here the bar denotes that class modulo J) are nilpotent and torsion, and the isomorphisms

$$\frac{A}{(\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_t})} \cong \frac{B[x_1, \dots, x_t]/J}{(x_1, \dots, x_t)/J} \cong \frac{B[x_1, \dots, x_t]}{(x_1, \dots, x_t)} \cong B$$

implies that

$$\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} = (\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_t})$$

and that A is a TN ring. In addition:

- $\mathfrak{N} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{N}$ via $\bar{x} \mapsto 1 + \bar{x}$, as $\overline{x_j^2} = 0$ for all j .
- As a group, $\mathfrak{N} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \overline{(x_j)}$, because $\overline{x_j x_{j'}} = 0$ for all j, j' .

In order to compute the group structure of $\overline{(x_j)}$, we need to describe the annihilator $\text{Ann}(\overline{x_j})$ in A of $\overline{x_j}$, for all j . To lighten the notation, we consider the case $j = 1$, as the others are computed in the same way. Clearly,

$$\mathcal{Q}_1^{b_1} + (\gamma_i, \overline{x_j})_{i,j} \subseteq \text{Ann}(\overline{x_1}).$$

Conversely, suppose that $\alpha \in \text{Ann}(\overline{x_1})$. By the description of A , we can think of α as a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$ computed in the elements γ_i and $\overline{x_j}$. This implies that

$$0 = \alpha \overline{x_1} = c_0 \overline{x_1},$$

where $c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$, that is,

$$c_0 x_1 \in \sum_{j=1}^t \mathcal{Q}_j^{b_j} x_j + (\gamma_i x_j, x_j x_{j'})_{i=1, \dots, m, j, j'=1, \dots, t}.$$

As we are working in a polynomial ring, we may evaluate in $x_2 = \dots = x_t = 0$ to obtain that

$$c_0 x_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1^{b_1} x_1 + (\gamma_i x_1, x_j x_1)_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, t},$$

that is,

$$c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k] \cap \left(\mathcal{Q}_1^{b_1} + (\gamma_i, x_j)_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, t} \right).$$

The assumption on the ideal generated by the γ_i implies the right-hand side is exactly $\mathcal{Q}_1^{b_1}$, and the claim follows. The same argument also shows that the composition

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k] \hookrightarrow A \rightarrow \frac{A}{\mathcal{Q}_1^{b_1} + (\gamma_i, \overline{x_j})_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, t}}$$

is surjective with kernel $\mathcal{Q}_1^{b_1}$, and taking in consideration Proposition 2.13 we conclude that, for all j ,

$$\frac{A}{\text{Ann}(\overline{x_j})} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]}{\mathcal{Q}_j^{b_j}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q_j^{b_j} \mathbb{Z})^{\lambda_j},$$

and thus

$$1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \cong \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \overline{(x_j)} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \frac{A}{\text{Ann}(\overline{x_j})} \cong \prod_{j=1}^t (\mathbb{Z}/q_j^{b_j} \mathbb{Z})^{\lambda_j} \cong H$$

as groups.

Finally, since our assumptions guarantees that short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow 1$$

splits, we get

$$A^* \cong (1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}) \times C^* \cong H \times G. \quad \square$$

Remark 6.4. Notice that if $B = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k][\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m]$ is a ring that satisfies the hypothesis in the previous proposition, then the same holds for

$$\begin{aligned} C &= B[u_1, \dots, u_r, u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_r^{-1}] \\ &= \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k][\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m, u_1 - 1, \dots, u_r - 1, u_1^{-1} - 1, \dots, u_r^{-1} - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

In the case in which B is also connected, then, as stated in Proposition 5.5,

$$C^* \cong B^* \times \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

This means that if $H \times G$ is realisable as in the previous proposition and B is connected, then

$$H \times G \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

is also realisable in the class of TN rings.

Remark 6.5. The rings B constructed in Examples 5.2 and 5.3 satisfy the hypothesis of the Proposition 6.3 (and are also connected by Remark 5.4), when we choose the generators $\gamma_i = \beta_i - 1$, where the β_i 's are the elements defined in those examples.

We see now some examples.

Example 6.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$, and assume $s_0 \neq 1$. We apply the construction of Proposition 6.3, with $k = 2^\varepsilon$ and

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i} \times \mathbb{Z}^g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}).$$

The idea is that we can refer to Example 5.2 to construct a torsion-free and reduced ring B with $B^* \cong G$.

Now we observe that the group

$$H = \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 6.3, and therefore we can construct explicitly a TN ring A with

$$A^* \cong H \times G \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}).$$

To conclude, as B is connected, we can reason as in Remark 6.4 to find that we can also construct TN rings A_l with

$$A_l^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}) \times \mathbb{Z}^l$$

for all $l \geq 1$.

Example 6.7. Let $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$ with $s_0 = 1$. In this case,

$$T \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p \times \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$$

with $T_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}/p^{\alpha_i}\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that for all j , T_{q_j} is a $\lambda(q_j, 2^\varepsilon p^{\alpha_1})$ -power. We apply the construction of Proposition 6.3, with $k = 2^\varepsilon p^{\alpha_1}$ and

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p \times \mathbb{Z}^g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p).$$

The idea is that we can refer to Example 5.3 to construct a torsion-free and reduced ring B with $B^* \cong G$. We observe now that the group

$$H = \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 6.3, and therefore we can construct explicitly a TN ring A with

$$A^* \cong H \times G \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p)}.$$

To conclude, as B is connected, we can reason as in Remark 6.4 to find that we can also construct TN rings A_l with

$$A_l^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p)} \times \mathbb{Z}^l$$

for all $l \geq 1$.

Example 6.8. Let $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$ a group with $s_0 = 1$. As before,

$$T \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p \times \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$$

with $T_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_i}\mathbb{Z}$. But this time we do not assume that for all j , T_{q_j} is a $\lambda(q_j, 2^\varepsilon p^{a_1})$ -power. We apply the construction of Proposition 6.3, with $k = 2^\varepsilon$ and

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z})}$$

The idea is that we can refer to Example 5.2 to construct a torsion-free and reduced ring B with $B^* \cong G$. We observe now that the group

$$H = \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} (V_{q_j})^{\lambda_{q_j}}$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 6.3, and therefore we can construct explicitly a TN ring A with

$$A^* \cong H \times G \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z})}.$$

In addition, as B is connected, we can reason as in Remark 6.4 to find that we can also construct TN rings A_l with

$$A_l^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z})} \times \mathbb{Z}^l$$

for all $l \geq 1$.

6.3. The main result. We can finally summarise the previous discussion into the following result. Recall that if $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$, then the Sylow subgroups of T fulfil the following: $T_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}$ ($\varepsilon \geq 1$), and for the primes p_1, \dots, p_{s_0} such that T_{p_i} is not a $\lambda(p_i, 2^\varepsilon)$ -power, then

$$\text{P-rank } T_{p_i} < \lambda(p_i, 2^\varepsilon).$$

When $s_0 = 1$, we simply write $p_1 = p$ and

$$T_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^v \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_i}\mathbb{Z},$$

where $1 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_v$.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$. The group $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable in the class of TN rings if and only if*

$$r \geq r(T),$$

where

$$r(T) = \begin{cases} g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} T_{p_i}) & \text{in case C1,} \\ g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z} \times T_p) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon\mathbb{Z}) & \text{in case C2.} \end{cases}$$

The cases *C1* and *C2* are described as follows:

- *C1* : $s_0 \neq 1$, or $s_0 = 1$ and for all odd prime divisors $q \neq p$ of $|T|$, T_q is a $\lambda(q, 2^\varepsilon p^{a_1})$ -power
- *C2*: $s_0 = 1$ and there exists an odd prime divisor $q \neq p$ of $|T|$ such that T_q is not a $\lambda(q, 2^\varepsilon p^{a_1})$ -power.

Proof. Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 show the lower bounds for case *C1* and *C2*, respectively.

On the other hand, Examples 6.6 and 6.7 show that the value $r(T)$ is reached in case *C1*, and in Example 6.8 is presented a group of case *C2* realising the value $r(T)$ for that case. Finally, the rings of those examples have a connected torsion free quotient modulo $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, so by Remark 6.4 all groups $T \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ with $r \geq r(T)$ are realisable. \square

We detail now an application of the main result in the case of finitely generated abelian group with cyclic torsion part. Let $n = 2^\varepsilon ab$, where $\varepsilon \geq 1$, $a = \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} p_i^{a_i}$, and $b = \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} q_j^{b_j}$. Here the p_i are distinct primes such that $p_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^\varepsilon}$, and the q_j are distinct primes such that $q_j \equiv 1 \pmod{2^\varepsilon}$.

Theorem 6.10. *The group*

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

is realisable as group of units of a TN ring if and only if $r \geq r(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$r(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon a\mathbb{Z}) & \text{in case } C1, \\ g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon a\mathbb{Z}) + g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon \mathbb{Z}) & \text{in case } C2. \end{cases}$$

The cases *C1* and *C2* are described as follows:

- *C1*: $s_0 \neq 1$, or $s_0 = 1$ and $q_j \equiv 1 \pmod{p_1^{a_1}}$ for all j ,
- *C2*: $s_0 = 1$ and $q_j \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_1^{a_1}}$ for some j .

Proof. Let ℓ be an odd prime dividing n . As $T = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, the only way that $T_\ell \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ is a λ_ℓ -power is when $\lambda_\ell = 1$, that is, when $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{2^\varepsilon}$. The result then follows from Theorem 6.9. \square

As a particular case, we get the cyclic groups one can realise in the class of TN rings.

Corollary 6.11. *The finite cyclic group realisable in the class of TN rings are exactly those of order $2h$, where h is odd, or $4h'$, where h' is odd and divisible only by primes q with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. Let $n = 2^\varepsilon ab$, where $\varepsilon \geq 1$, $a = \prod_{i=1}^{s_0} p_i^{a_i}$, and $b = \prod_{j=1}^{t_0} q_j^{b_j}$. Here the p_i are distinct primes such that $p_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2^\varepsilon}$, and the q_j are distinct primes such that $q_j \equiv 1 \pmod{2^\varepsilon}$. We need to show for which n

$$r(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

First, we appeal to Proposition 5.12 to derive that $\varepsilon = 1, 2$. In this case, $r(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ is equivalent to $a = 0$.

When $\varepsilon = 1$, then $a = 0$, as every odd prime q clearly satisfies $q \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. We find in this way the first assertion.

If instead $\varepsilon = 2$, then $a = 0$ if and only if every odd prime divisor q of n satisfies $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and the assertion follows once again. \square

Recall that by the results of [PS70] (see also [DCD18a, Corollary 4.2]), the finite cyclic groups realisable in the class of finite rings are those whose order is the product of a set of pairwise coprime integers of the form

- $p^\lambda - 1$, for p prime and $\lambda \geq 1$;
- $(p - 1)p^k$, for p odd prime and $k \geq 1$.

By Proposition 2.6, combining this result with Theorem 6.10, we find the classification of the finitely generated abelian groups with cyclic torsion part realisable as group of units of a ring.

Corollary 6.12. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$. The group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ is realisable as group of units of a ring if and only if*

- (1) *m is the product of a set of pairwise coprime integers of the form*
 - $p^\lambda - 1$, for p prime and $\lambda \geq 1$,
 - $(p - 1)p^k$, for p odd prime and $k \geq 1$,*and $r \geq 0$*
- (2) *$m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and*

$$r \geq \min_{d \in X} r(\mathbb{Z}/(m/d)\mathbb{Z}),$$

where X is the set of the divisors d of m which are products of pairwise coprime integers of the form $2^\lambda - 1$ and $\gcd(d, m/d) = 1$.

Example 6.13. The group $\mathbb{Z}/328\mathbb{Z}$ is not realisable as group of units, as $328 = 8 \cdot 41$ and the numbers are not in the list above. The results of [DC20], ensures that

$$\mathbb{Z}/328\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

is realisable in the class of torsion-free rings if and only if $r \geq g(\mathbb{Z}/328\mathbb{Z}) = 79$.

Now, $41 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, so that, with the notation of Theorem 6.10, we have,

$$n = 328 = 8 \cdot 41 = 2^\varepsilon b$$

with $\varepsilon = 3$ and $b = 41$. We conclude that

$$r(\mathbb{Z}/328\mathbb{Z}) = g(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) = 1,$$

proving that in the class of TN rings

$$\mathbb{Z}/328\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^r$$

is realisable if and only if $r \geq 1$. Finally, since the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/328\mathbb{Z}$ is not realisable, 1 is the minimum rank also when considering all rings with identity.

The assumption for a group $T \in \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon)$ to have $\text{P-rank } T_p < \lambda_p$, for the “bad” primes p , is due to the fact that it forces all T_p to be a subgroup of B_{tors}^* , in case A is a TN ring with $A^* \cong T$ and $B = A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$. If we just assume that T_p is λ_p -small, then the same conclusion cannot be derived, but we can still find some information in relation to the realisability in the class of TN rings of finite abelian group of a certain form.

Proposition 6.14. *Let T be a finite abelian group such that $T_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and for all prime divisors p of $|T|$ such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, T_p is 2-small. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *T is realisable in the class of TN rings.*
- *For all prime divisors p of $|T|$ such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, T_p is the square of a 1-small abelian group.*

Proof. All the groups of this form are realisable by Proposition [DCD18b, Proposition 5.8], where the rings considered can be seen to be TN, or by an application of the construction of Proposition 6.3.

Conversely, suppose that there exists $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ such that T_p is not the square of an abelian p -group. Let A be a TN ring with

$$A^* \cong T \times \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

We check that $r > 0$. Recall that for $B = A/\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$, we have $B_2^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ (see Corollary 5.10). Because of Proposition 2.1, we can assume A to be of the form $A = \mathbb{Z}[(A^*)_{\text{tors}}]$, so A is finitely generated and integral over \mathbb{Z} . As, by assumption, T_p is $\lambda_p = \lambda(p, 4)$ -small but not a λ_p -power, then $1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}, p}$ cannot be the whole group T_p by Proposition 5.17; thus the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}, p} \rightarrow A_{\text{tors}, p}^* \rightarrow B_{\text{tors}, p}^* \rightarrow 1$$

implies that $B_{\text{tors}, p}^*$ is nontrivial. We obtain that

$$r = \text{rank } B^* \geq g(B_{\text{tors}}^*) \geq g(\mathbb{Z}/2^\varepsilon p\mathbb{Z}) > 0. \quad \square$$

7. REALISABILITY OF ABELIAN 2-GROUPS

In the previous section we have restricted our study to groups with a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup. The principal reason is that Theorem 3.5, which is the tool we have employed to obtain control on the torsion part of the nilradical of TN rings, cannot be used for $p = 2$.

Nevertheless, some results of the realisability of abelian 2-groups are present in the literature. First of all we recall that if a finite abelian group T is realisable in the class of TN rings, then $\varepsilon(T) \leq 2$; see Proposition 5.12. In the class of TN rings, all finite groups with $\varepsilon = 1$ are realisable (see [DCD18b, Theorem 5.1]), whereas for $\varepsilon = 2$ we know that all 2-groups of the form type $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times P$ are realisable, where P is trivial or

$$P \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{e_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{e_{2r}}\mathbb{Z}$$

with $2 \leq e_1 \leq \cdots \leq e_{2r}$ and $e_{2j} - e_{2j-1} \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$ (see [DCD18b, Theorem 5.8]). Example 7.1 below shows that there are realisable groups outside this family.

In this section we study the realisability of groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ where $u \geq 0$, which is the simplest family of 2-groups for which the question of the realisability is open. In Theorems 7.4 and 7.6 we characterise the realisable ones, both in the class of TN rings and in that of general rings. These results allow us to exhibit an infinite family of non-realisable 2-groups.

For $u \leq 2$ the question of realisability is easily solved observing that $\mathbb{Z}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i]^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.

In [DCD18b, Example 2], it is claimed that also $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ is realisable in the class of TN rings, but, as shown in Example 7.1 below, that example has a mistake. Nevertheless, in Example 7.2 we realise $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ with a variation of the previous example.

Example 7.1. Consider

$$A = \mathbb{Z}[i][x, y]/(x^2 - y - 1, (1 + i)y, y^3).$$

With an argument similar to that of the proof of Proposition 6.3, we can derive the following facts:

- A is a TN ring.
- $\text{Ann}(\overline{y}) = ((1+i), \overline{y}^2)$.
- $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} = (\overline{y}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$.

Note that while $\overline{y} \in A$ has additive order 2, we have that $1 + \overline{y}$ has multiplicative order 4. The same holds for $1 + \overline{xy}$, and moreover $(1 + \overline{y})^2 = 1 + \overline{y}^2 = (1 + \overline{xy})^2$. In particular, $1 + \overline{y}$ and $1 + \overline{xy}$ generate a subgroup of $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ of order 8, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, not containing $1 + \overline{xy}^2$. We conclude that

$$1 + \mathfrak{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now take $A/\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}[i][x]/(x^2 - 1)$, and note that the Chinese Remainder Theorem gives an embedding

$$\mathbb{Z}[i][x]/(x^2 - 1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[i][x]/(x - 1) \times \mathbb{Z}[i][x]/(x + 1),$$

from which one can easily compute

$$(A/\mathfrak{N})^* = \langle \overline{x}, i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}.$$

(Here we are using the notation \overline{x} to denote the class of x modulo \mathfrak{N}). In particular, A^* has order 2^7 . It surely contains $\langle \overline{x}, i \rangle$, which has order 2^5 . One can check that

$$\langle \overline{x}, i, 1 + \overline{xy} \rangle$$

is a subgroup of A^* isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ which does not contain $1 + \overline{xy}^2$, hence

$$A^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that in this example, \mathfrak{N} and $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ are not isomorphic, and the short exact sequence (2.1) does not split.

Example 7.2. Let

$$A = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[i][x, y]}{(y^2, (1+i)y, x^v - y - 1, y(x-1))},$$

where $v \in \{2, 4\}$. With an argument similar to that of the proof of Proposition 6.3, we can derive the following facts:

- A is a TN ring.
- $\text{Ann}(\overline{y}) = ((1+i), \overline{y}, \overline{x} - 1)$.
- $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} = (\overline{y}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong 1 + \mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}}$.

As

$$A/\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}[i][x]/(x^v - 1),$$

we find $(A/\mathfrak{N})^* \cong \langle i \rangle \times \langle \overline{x} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/v\mathbb{Z}$. We get $|A^*| = 8v$ and, since $\overline{x}^v = \overline{y} + 1 \in \langle \overline{x} \rangle$, we conclude that

$$A^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2v\mathbb{Z}.$$

So, for $v = 4$ we have realised the group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$. For $v = 2$, we obtain again $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, but this examples shows that $\varepsilon(A^*)$ may be different than $\varepsilon(B^*)$. Note that also this example shows that the short exact sequence (2.1) does not necessarily split.

Summarising, $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ is realisable in the class of TN rings for $u = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The following proposition shows that these are the only cases.

Proposition 7.3. *The group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ is realisable in the class of TN rings if and only if $u \leq 3$.*

In order to prove this result, we need consequence of [Byo07, Theorem 6.1], reported below in the specialised case of braces.

Theorem 7.4 (Byott). *Let $(N, +, \circ)$ be a brace of order 2^v , where $v \geq 3$. If (N, \circ) is cyclic, then $(N, +)$ is cyclic.*

Corollary 7.5. *Let A be a TN ring such that $\varepsilon(A^*) = 2$. If $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ has order 2^v , where $v \geq 3$, then $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ is not cyclic.*

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ is cyclic, so that also \mathfrak{N} is cyclic by Theorem 7.4. By Corollary 5.9, A contains a copy of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ as a subring, and therefore \mathfrak{N} is a $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module. In particular, we deduce that \mathfrak{N} is isomorphic to a direct sum of quotients of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$; as \mathfrak{N} is cyclic and $|\mathfrak{N}| = 2^v$, then we derive

$$\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+i)^v$$

by Proposition 2.13. But $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+i)^v$ is not a cyclic group, since it is annihilated by $2^{\lfloor (v+1)/2 \rfloor}$ (here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integral part of x). \square

We can now prove Proposition 7.3.

Proof of Proposition 7.3. We have just seen that for $u \leq 3$ the group is realisable.

Let $u \geq 4$, and suppose that there exists a TN ring A such that

$$A^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}.$$

As A^* is finite, the same holds for $1 + \mathfrak{N}$. We derive that $\mathfrak{N}_{\text{tors}} = \mathfrak{N}$. Let $B = A/\mathfrak{N}$. As $\varepsilon(A^*) = 2$, we can apply Corollary 5.9 to deduce that A contains an isomorphic copy of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ as a subring. By Corollary 5.10, the same holds for B ; more precisely, the composition

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] \hookrightarrow A \rightarrow B$$

is a ring injection. Let α and $\bar{\alpha}$ be the images of i in A and B , respectively. If $\gamma \in A^*$ is an element of order 2^u , then A^* is the direct product of the subgroups $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma \rangle$; indeed, if their intersection would not be trivial, then $-1 \in \langle \gamma \rangle$, so $-1 = \gamma^{2^{u-1}}$ and Proposition 5.12 forces $u = 2$, whereas we are assuming $u \geq 4$. In particular, $A^* = \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \gamma \rangle$. Now note that $\bar{\alpha}$ has still order 4 in B^* , so $B^* \cong A/1 + \mathfrak{N}$ implies that

$$\langle \alpha \rangle \cap 1 + \mathfrak{N} = \{1\};$$

we deduce that $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ is cyclic.

Theorem 5.6 limits the possibilities for B^* , which has an element $\bar{\alpha}$ of order 4, to the following:

$$B^* \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

We can now deal with the various cases.

- Suppose that $B^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Then $B^* = \langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ and $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ is cyclic of order 2^u ($u \geq 4$), and this is not possible, because of Corollary 7.5.
- If $B^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ would be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2^{u-1}\mathbb{Z}$, and this gives a contradiction exactly as before.

- If $B^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, then $1 + \mathfrak{N}$ is cyclic of order 2^{u-2} . Again, Corollary 7.5 finds a contradiction for $u \geq 5$.

To conclude, it remains the case $A^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$ and $B^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. We have $1 + \mathfrak{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $1 + y$, say. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 7.5, we get $\mathfrak{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and so $2y = 0$. Thus

$$1 + \mathfrak{N} = \{1, 1 + y, 1 + y^2, 1 + y + y^2 + y^3\}$$

and $(1 + y)^4 = 1 + y^4 = 1$, meaning that $y^4 = 0$. As y and y^2 are distinct elements of \mathfrak{N} ,

$$\mathfrak{N} = \{0, y, y^2, y + y^2\},$$

so we easily obtain that $y^3 = 0$. (Note that we can also derive $(1 + i)y = 0$).

Now let x be an element of order 16 in A^* . As

$$A^*/(1 + \mathfrak{N}) \cong B^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z},$$

we deduce that $x^4 \in 1 + \mathfrak{N}$ (and clearly x^4 has order 4). So

$$x^4 = 1 + y \text{ or } x^4 = 1 + y + y^2.$$

In addition, xy is a nilpotent element, and thus

$$xy \in \{0, y, y^2, y + y^2\}.$$

The case $xy = 0$ implies that the unit x is a zero divisor, a contradiction. Similar conclusion if $xy = y^2$, as in this case $xy^2 = 0$.

If $y(x - 1) = 0$, then $y(x^4 - 1) = y^2 = 0$ or $y(x^4 - 1) = y(y + y^2) = y^2 = 0$, contradiction. Finally, if $xy = y + y^2$, then $x - 1 - y$ is in the annihilator $\text{Ann}(y)$ of y . Recalling that $y^2 \in \text{Ann}(y)$, so $x^4 \equiv 1 + y \pmod{\text{Ann}(y)}$, we conclude that

$$x \equiv 1 + y \equiv x^4 \equiv (1 + y)^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{Ann}(y)},$$

and this implies that $y \in \text{Ann}(y)$, a contradiction. \square

Finally, we consider the realisability of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ without any assumption on the ring.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $u \geq 0$. The group $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ is realisable if and only if $u \leq 3$ or $2^u + 1$ is a Fermat's prime.*

Proof. Write $G_u = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$. If $u \leq 3$, then G_u is realisable in the class of TN rings as above. If $2^u + 1 = p$ is a Fermat's prime, then the group of units of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{F}_p$ is isomorphic to G_u .

Conversely, suppose that A is a ring with $A^* \cong G_u$; by Corollary 2.2, we can assume that A is commutative and finitely generated and integral over its fundamental subring, and therefore apply Proposition 2.6 to write $A = A_1 \times A_2$ with A_1 finite and A_2 TN. If A_1^* is trivial, then $A^* = A_2^*$, so $u \leq 3$. If A_1^* is not trivial, then $A_1^* = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$.

First, $A_1^* = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ implies $A_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$, and this implies $u \leq 2$ because of Proposition 5.12.

Second, suppose that $A_1^* = \mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$; by the main result of [PS70], $\mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$ is realisable in the class of finite rings if and only if

$$p^\lambda - 2^u = 1$$

for some odd prime p and $\lambda \geq 1$. When $\lambda \geq 2$, the couple $(p, 2)$ is a solution of a Catalan equation, and by the Mihăilescu's theorem, this implies $p = 3$, $\lambda = 2$ and $u = 3$. When $\lambda = 1$, we find

$$p = 2^u + 1,$$

that is, $2^u + 1$ needs to be a Fermat's prime. (The only known Fermat's primes $2^u + 1$ are obtained with $u = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16$.) In these cases $A = A_1 \times \mathbb{Z}[i]$ realise G_u .

Finally, if $A_1^* = G_u$, then, by Equation (4.1), A_1 is not local, but it is the product of two finite ring with groups of units $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2^u\mathbb{Z}$, respectively. This forces again $p = 2^u + 1$ to be a Fermat prime, and in this case $A_1 = \mathbb{F}_5 \times \mathbb{F}_p$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AD95] Bernhard Amberg and Oliver Dickenschied, *On the adjoint group of a radical ring*, Canad. Math. Bull. **38** (1995), no. 3, 262–270.
- [Bac16] D. Bachiller, *Counterexample to a conjecture about braces*, Journal of Algebra **453** (2016), 160–176.
- [Byo07] Nigel P. Byott, *Hopf–Galois structures on almost cyclic field extensions of 2-power degree*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), no. 1, 351–371.
- [Byo15] N. P. Byott, *Solubility criteria for Hopf–Galois structures*, New York J. Math. **21** (2015), 883–903.
- [CDC22] A. Caranti and I. Del Corso, *On the ranks of the additive and the multiplicative groups of a brace*, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) **13** (2022), no. 1, 31–46.
- [CJO14] F. Cedo, E. Jespers, and J. Okniński, *Braces and the Yang–Baxter equation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **327** (2014), 101–116.
- [CL15] S. K. Chebolu and K. Lockridge, *Fuchs' problem for indecomposable abelian groups*, J. Algebra **438** (2015), 325–336.
- [Cor63] A. L. S. Corner, *Every countable reduced torsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **13** (1963), no. 3, 687–710.
- [DC20] Ilaria Del Corso, *Finitely generated abelian groups of units*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **101** (2020), no. 1, 247–270.
- [DC23] ———, *Module braces: relations between the additive and the multiplicative groups*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **202** (2023), no. 6, 3005 – 3025.
- [DCD18a] I. Del Corso and R. Dvornicich, *Finite groups of units of finite characteristic rings*, Annali di Matematica **197** (2018), 66–671.
- [DCD18b] ———, *On Fuchs' Problem about the group of units of a ring*, Bull. London Math. Soc. (2018), no. 50, 274–292.
- [Dic97] Oliver Dickenschied, *On the adjoint group of some radical rings*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal **39** (1997), 35 – 41.
- [FCC12] S. C. Featherstonhaugh, A. Caranti, and L. N. Childs, *Abelian Hopf Galois structures on prime-power Galois field extensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 7, 3675–3684.
- [FT93] A. Fröhlich and M. J. Taylor, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Fuc60] L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, 3rd ed., Pergamon, Oxford, 1960.
- [Gil63] R. W. Gilmer, *Finite rings with a cyclic group of units*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 447–452.
- [HH65] J. T. Hallett and K. A. Hirsch, *Torsion-free groups having finite automorphism groups*, J. of Algebra **2** (1965), 287–298.
- [Hun80] Thomas W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 73, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980, Reprint of the 1974 original.
- [HZ66] K. A. Hirsch and H. Zassenhaus, *Finite automorphism groups of torsion free groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 545–549.
- [Nas19] T. Nasybullov, *Connections between properties of the additive and the multiplicative groups of a two-sided skew brace*, J. Algebra **540** (2019), 156–167.
- [PS70] K. R. Pearson and J. E. Schneider, *Rings with a cyclic group of units*, J. of Algebra **16** (1970), 243–251.

- [Rum07] W. Rump, *Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra **307** (2007), no. 1, 153–170.
- [ST23] Lorenzo Stefanello and Senne Trappeniers, *On the connection between Hopf–Galois structures and skew braces*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **55** (2023), no. 4, 1726–1748.
- [SV18] A. Smoktunowicz and L. Vendramin, *On skew braces (with an appendix by N. Byott and L. Vendramin)*, J. Comb. Algebra **2** (2018), no. 1, 47–86.
- [TQ20] Cindy Tsang and Chao Qin, *On the solvability of regular subgroups in the holomorph of a finite solvable group*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **30** (2020), no. 2, 253–265.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127
PISA, ITALY

Email address: ilaria.delcorso@unipi.it

URL: <http://people.dm.unipi.it/delcorso/>

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127
PISA, ITALY

Email address: lorenzo.stefanello@phd.unipi.it

URL: <https://people.dm.unipi.it/stefanello/>