

# Form factors of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+$ within light-cone QCD sum rules

Hui-Hui Duan<sup>1\*</sup>, Yong-Lu Liu<sup>2†</sup>, Qin Chang<sup>1‡</sup>, and Ming-Qiu Huang<sup>2§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, Henan, People's Republic of China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, Hunan, People's Republic of China*

June 4, 2024

## Abstract

In this work, we calculated the form factors of the weak decay process  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+$ , where the final charm baryon represents an excited state with spin-parity  $\frac{1}{2}^-$ . Utilizing the light-cone QCD sum rules approach, we incorporated the contributions of the lowest two charm baryon states: the ground state  $\Lambda_c$  with  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$  and the excited state  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  with  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  in the hadronic representation of the  $\Lambda_b$  to  $\Lambda_c(2595)$  transition correlation function. This approach allows us to extract the form factors of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+$  from  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+$  transition. During the light-cone QCD sum rules procedure, we employed the light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) of the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon. Furthermore, by combining these form factors with the helicity amplitudes of the bottom baryon transition matrix elements, we calculated the differential decay widths for the processes  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . Additionally, within the lifetime of  $\Lambda_b^0$ , we obtained the absolute branching fractions for the semileptonic decays  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . With the branching fractions of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  calculated in this work, we also determined the parameter  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c(2595)^+)$  which tests the lepton flavor universality. This parameter is defined as the ratio of branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}r(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau)$  and  $\mathcal{B}r(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)$ . Our results provide a valuable theoretical test for these decay channels and offer insights into the LCDAs of bottom baryons, paving the way for further in-depth investigations.

## 1 Introduction

Form factors play a crucial role in the understanding of hadron weak decay processes. They are essential in investigating the decay widths of weak decay processes, providing insights into the underlying dynamics inside the hadrons. Within the Standard Model, the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element  $|V_{cb}|$  remains an imprecisely determined quantity. Traditionally, the values of  $|V_{cb}|$  have been primarily derived from both exclusive and inclusive semileptonic decays of  $B$  mesons to charm. However, to obtain a complementary and more robust determination, it is imperative to incorporate data from semileptonic decays of bottom baryons to charm. Currently, the only experimental data on semileptonic decays of bottom baryons to charm focus on the decays of the  $\Lambda_b^0$  baryon to the ground state  $\Lambda_c$  and its excited states [1]. Various models have investigated the decays of  $\Lambda_b^0$  to the ground state  $\Lambda_c^+$  with spin-parity quantum number  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ . Moreover, excited states of  $\Lambda_c$ , such as  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  (which we will refer to as  $\Lambda_c^*$  in the following context for brevity) and  $\Lambda_c(2625)^+$ , with spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  and  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ , also contribute to the semileptonic decay of  $\Lambda_b^0$ . The research of weak decay processes of bottom baryons with  $\Lambda_c$  excited states as final states will further enrich our understanding of these decay processes, and offer valuable insights into the dynamics of bottom baryon decays.

In our previous research, we calculated the weak decay form factors of the  $\Lambda_b^0$  to  $\Lambda_c^+$  transition, as well as the branching fractions of its semileptonic decay modes, utilizing the light-cone QCD sum rules approach and employing

---

\*duanhuihui@htu.edu.cn

†yongluliu@nudt.edu.cn

‡changqin@htu.edu.cn

§mqhuang@nudt.edu.cn

the LCDAs of the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon [2]. The application of light-cone QCD sum rules in assessing hadron weak decay form factors comprises two aspects: the calculation of the hadron weak decay correlation function using LCDAs of the final state hadron, and the employment of LCDAs of the initial state hadron. Notably, by incorporating the LCDAs of the initial  $\Lambda_b$  baryon, we can concurrently determine the weak decay form factors for final states with different parity quantum numbers.

The form factors associated with the transition  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  involve the spin-parity quantum number of baryon transition from  $\frac{1}{2}^+$  to  $\frac{1}{2}^-$ . Within the framework of light-cone QCD sum rules, these form factors can be derived from the analysis of the bottom baryon weak decay process involving the  $\frac{1}{2}^+$  to  $\frac{1}{2}^+$  transition. In our prior work on the calculation of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+$  form factors, we developed a methodology to isolate the contributions of the negative parity final state within the light-cone QCD sum rules framework. This methodology can be effectively applied in the current investigation to explore the phenomenology of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  transition.

Experimentally, the CDF Collaboration reported measurements of the ratio of branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)$  in 2009. In the same report, they also presented the branching fractions of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2625)^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$  relative to the branching fraction of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$  decay [3]. Notably, the spin-parity quantum numbers of the  $\Lambda_c(2595)^+$  and  $\Lambda_c(2625)^+$  baryons are  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  and  $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$  respectively [1]. These are the only two negative parity weak decay final states that have been experimentally determined in bottom baryon decays to date. In this work, we focus specifically on the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+$  weak decay process.

Theoretically, the form factors of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+$  and the semileptonic decay widths of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  have been investigated using various theoretical models and methods, including the light-front quark model [4], the constituent quark model [5], the covariant confined quark model [6], lattice QCD (LQCD) [7], heavy quark symmetry [8], and the Bakamjian-Thomas approach with quark model [9]. These diverse theoretical frameworks have provided their predictions within their respective validity ranges.

In this paper, we employ the light-cone QCD sum rule method to calculate the form factors of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  transition. By considering all Lorentz structures within the sum rules and isolating the  $\Lambda_c$  and  $\Lambda_c^*$  states in the correlation function, including all the contributions of excited and continuum states of  $\Lambda_c$  into the spectral integral of dispersion relation, we are able to dissociate the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  decay channel from the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+$  channel within the framework of the sum rules. In the main body of the text, we utilize the LCDAs of  $\Lambda_b$  in Ref. [10], which differ from the application in our previous works [2]. The LCDAs of  $\Lambda_b$  were initially explored in Ref. [11] and subsequently extrapolated to ground-state bottom baryons in Ref. [10]. The application of  $\Lambda_b$  baryon LCDAs in heavy hadron weak decays has been extensively researched, yielding promising results [12–27], yet compared to B meson LCDAs, this field still requires further development.

In the subsequent sections of this article, we present the theoretical framework for the form factors of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  within the light-cone QCD sum rules in Section 2. The numerical analysis of these form factors, as well as the semileptonic decay width and branching fractions of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ , is carried out in the helicity amplitude formalism and detailed in Section 3; the ratio  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$  of lepton flavor universality is also given in this section. Finally, we conclude this work and discuss our results in the last section.

## 2 Weak decay form factors of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+$

In the context of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-)$  transition, form factors of weak decay matrix element are generally defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Lambda_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle = & \bar{u}(p, s_1) \left[ \gamma_\mu f_1(q^2) + i \frac{f_2(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + \frac{f_3(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} q_\mu \right] u_{\Lambda_b}(p + q, s_2) \\ & - \bar{u}(p, s_1) \left[ \gamma_\mu g_1(q^2) + i \frac{g_2(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + \frac{g_3(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} q_\mu \right] \gamma_5 u_{\Lambda_b}(p + q, s_2). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here, the spin-parity quantum number of the final state charm baryon  $\Lambda_c$  is  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ .

For the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* (\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-)$  transition, where  $\Lambda_c^*$  possesses  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ , the form factors can be analogously defined as

$$\langle \Lambda_c^* | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | \Lambda_b \rangle = \bar{u}(p, s_1) \left[ \gamma_\mu f_1^*(q^2) + i \frac{f_2^*(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + \frac{f_3^*(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} q_\mu \right] \gamma_5 u_{\Lambda_b}(p + q, s_2)$$

$$-\bar{u}(p, s_1) \left[ \gamma_\mu g_1^*(q^2) + i \frac{g_2^*(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu + \frac{g_3^*(q^2)}{M_{\Lambda_b}} q_\mu \right] u_{\Lambda_b}(p+q, s_2). \quad (2)$$

This definition represents a generalized form for the decay of a  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$  baryon to a  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  state. It is different from the expression in Eq. (7) of Ref. [2] through the substitution  $f_i^*(q^2) \leftrightarrow -g_i^*(q^2)$ .

In the following context, we will employ the light-cone QCD sum rules method to calculate the form factors of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  transition. This method provides a valuable framework for calculating weak decay form factors of hadrons in regions of small momentum transfer squared  $q^2$ . The starting point for determining the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  form factors using light-cone QCD sum rules involves evaluating the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+$  weak decay correlation function,

$$T_\mu(p, q) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \{ j_{\Lambda_c}(x), j_\mu(0) \} | \Lambda_b(p+q) \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Here,  $p$  represents the momentum of the final state charm baryon, and  $q$  stands for the momentum transfer in the weak decay.  $j_\mu(x) = \bar{c}(x) \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b(x)$  is the weak decay  $V - A$  current of  $b$  quark decay to  $c$  quark. The interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}(x)$  pertains to the  $\Lambda_c$  baryon with spin-parity  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ . It has three types:

(1) *Scalar*

$$j_{\Lambda_c}^S(x) = \epsilon_{ijk} [u(x) C d(x)] \gamma_5 c(x), \quad (4)$$

(2) *Pseudoscalar*

$$j_{\Lambda_c}^P(x) = \epsilon_{ijk} [u(x) C \gamma_5 d(x)] c(x), \quad (5)$$

(3) *Axial-vector*

$$j_{\Lambda_c}^A(x) = \epsilon_{ijk} [u(x) C \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu d(x)] \gamma^\mu c(x). \quad (6)$$

In the subsequent calculations, the scalar interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^S$  does not contribute to the sum rules, and only  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P(x)$  and  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A(x)$  make contributions.

As a standard calculation procedure in the light-cone QCD sum rules, the correlation function (3) should be computed on both the hadronic and quark-gluon levels, and we will obtain a hadronic representation and a quark-gluon representation. From different calculation schemes, they can be decomposed into twelve different Lorentz structures for both hadronic and quark-gluon representations. Matching the coefficients of these Lorentz structures in the hadronic and quark-gluon representations of the correlation function, we can isolate and distinguish the contributions of  $\Lambda_c$  baryons with  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^*$  with  $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$  separately by solving linear equations. Actually, in our previous work, we have calculated the correlation function on the hadronic level, which will be given in the appendix for completeness [2]. On the quark-gluon level, we substitute the  $\Lambda_c$  interpolating current and the weak decay  $V - A$  current of  $b \rightarrow c$  into the correlation function. After applying Wick's theorem and treating the charm quark mass as finite, we obtain the correlation function on the quark-gluon level. For the different types of  $\Lambda_c$  baryon interpolating current, the correlation function on the quark-gluon level is different.

For the pseudoscalar current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$ , the correlation function  $T_\mu(p, q)$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu(p, q) &= i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \{ j_{\Lambda_c}(x), j_\mu(0) \} | \Lambda_b(p+q) \rangle \\ &= i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} (C \gamma_5)_{\alpha\beta} S_{\sigma\tau}(x) [\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5)]_{\tau\gamma} \langle 0 | \epsilon_{ijk} u_\alpha^{iT}(x) d_\beta^j(x) b_\gamma^k(0) | \Lambda_b(p+q) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Similarly, for the axial-vector current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$ , the correlation function  $T_\mu(p, q)$  can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu(p, q) &= i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \{ j_{\Lambda_c}(x), j_\mu(0) \} | \Lambda_b(p+q) \rangle \\ &= i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} (C \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} S_{\sigma\tau}(x) [\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5)]_{\tau\gamma} \gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \langle 0 | \epsilon_{ijk} u_\alpha^{iT}(x) d_\beta^j(x) b_\gamma^k(0) | \Lambda_b(p+q) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here,  $S(x)$  represents the free charm quark propagator. The matrix element  $\langle 0 | \epsilon_{ijk} u_\alpha^{iT}(x) d_\beta^j(x) b_\gamma^k(0) | \Lambda_b(p+q) \rangle$  can be decomposed into four  $\Lambda_b$  baryon light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs), which have been studied using

various models [10, 11, 15, 28]. These LCDAs are defined through the  $\Lambda_b$  current in heavy quark effective theory (HQET),

$$\bar{J}(x) = \epsilon^{abc} [\bar{d}^a(x)(A + B\not{v})\gamma_5\mathcal{C}^T\bar{u}^b(x)] \bar{h}_v^c(x) \quad (B = 1 - A),$$

which is a combination of two nonlocal heavy flavor baryon currents  $\bar{J}_1(x) = \epsilon^{abc}[\bar{d}^a(x)\gamma_5\mathcal{C}^T\bar{u}^b(x)]\bar{h}_v^c(x)$  and  $\bar{J}_2(x) = \epsilon^{abc}[\bar{d}^a(x)\not{v}\gamma_5\mathcal{C}^T\bar{u}^b(x)]\bar{h}_v^c(x)$ . LCDAs of  $\Lambda_b$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v_+} \langle 0 | [u(t_1)\mathcal{C}\gamma_5\not{n}d(t_2)] b_\gamma | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \psi^n(t_1, t_2) f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)} u_\gamma, \\ \frac{i}{2} \langle 0 | [u(t_1)\mathcal{C}\gamma_5\sigma_{\bar{n}n}d(t_2)] b_\gamma | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \psi^{n\bar{n}}(t_1, t_2) f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)} u_\gamma, \\ \langle 0 | [u(t_1)\mathcal{C}\gamma_5d(t_2)] b_\gamma | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \psi^\perp(t_1, t_2) f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)} u_\gamma, \\ v_+ \langle 0 | [u(t_1)\mathcal{C}\gamma_5\not{v}d(t_2)] b_\gamma | \Lambda_b \rangle &= \psi^{\bar{n}}(t_1, t_2) f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)} u_\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here,  $v_+ = v \cdot n$ ,  $n^\mu = (1, 0, 0, -1)$  represents a light-cone vector, while  $\bar{n}^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 1)$  is another light-cone vector, satisfying the conditions  $n^2 = \bar{n}^2 = 0$  and  $n_\mu \bar{n}^\mu = 2$ . If we consider the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon in rest,  $v^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(n^\mu + \bar{n}^\mu)$ , it will inherently possess  $v_+ = 1$  as stated in [29]. Additionally,  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes the charge conjugation matrix. Utilizing these relations and the inherent properties of the charge conjugation matrix and gamma matrices, the aforementioned equations (9) can be succinctly reformulated as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon^{ijk} \langle 0 | u_\alpha^i(t_1 n) d_\beta^j(t_2 n) b_\gamma^k | \Lambda_b \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{8} v_+ f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)} \Psi^n(t_1, t_2) (\not{n}\gamma_5 C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} u_{\Lambda_b \gamma}(v) + \frac{1}{4} f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)} \Psi^\perp(t_1, t_2) (\gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} u_{\Lambda_b \gamma}(v) \\ &- \frac{1}{8} f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)} \Psi^{n\bar{n}}(t_1, t_2) (i\sigma_{n\bar{n}}\gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} u_{\Lambda_b \gamma}(v) + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{v_+} f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)} \Psi^{\bar{n}}(t_1, t_2) (\not{v}\gamma_5 C)_{\alpha\beta} u_{\Lambda_b \gamma}(v). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In this context,  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  represent the positions of the light quarks within the diquark, while  $v$  signifies the velocity of the light diquark. Each LCDA  $\{\psi^n, \psi^{n\bar{n}}, \psi^\perp, \psi^{\bar{n}}\}$  possesses a distinct twist (dimension-spin), which we denote using the subscript numbers  $\{\psi_2, \psi_3^s, \psi_3^s, \psi_4\}$ . As discussed in [2], we have

$$\Psi_i(t_1, t_2) = \int_0^\infty \omega d\omega \int_0^1 du e^{-i\omega(t_1 u + t_2(1-u))} \tilde{\psi}_i(\omega, u). \quad (11)$$

Here,  $\omega$  and  $u$  represent the energy of the diquark and the fraction of each light quark, respectively. For the subsequent sum rule calculations, we adopt the LCDAs of the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon, as discussed in [10], which are given by:

$$\tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u) = \omega^2 u(1-u) \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{a_n C_n^{3/2}(2u-1)}{\epsilon_n^4 |C_n^{3/2}|^2} e^{-\omega/\epsilon_n}, \quad (12)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_3(\omega, u) = \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{a_n C_n^{1/2}(2u-1)}{\epsilon_n^3 |C_n^{1/2}|^2} e^{-\omega/\epsilon_n}, \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_4(\omega, u) = \sum_{n=0}^2 \frac{a_n C_n^{1/2}(2u-1)}{\epsilon_n^2 |C_n^{1/2}|^2} e^{-\omega/\epsilon_n}. \quad (14)$$

The subscript numbers indicate the twist of LCDAs.  $C_n^{3/2}(2u-1)$  and  $C_n^{1/2}(2u-1)$  are Gegenbauer polynomials. The squared magnitudes of these polynomials are given as  $|C_0^{1/2}|^2 = |C_0^{3/2}|^2 = 1$ ,  $|C_1^{1/2}|^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $|C_1^{3/2}|^2 = 3$ ,  $|C_2^{1/2}|^2 = \frac{1}{5}$ , and  $|C_2^{3/2}|^2 = 6$ .

Table 1 summarizes the parameters that characterize the LCDAs of the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon. Of particular interest is the parameter  $A$ , which varies from 0 to 1. In the case of twist-2 LCDAs, we observe that  $A$  cannot be set to 1. This constraint is indicative of the specific choice of interpolating current for the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon, and we will delve deeper into this aspect in the final section of our discussion.

By substituting the LCDAs into the quark-gluon correlation function, we obtain a set of Lorentz structures that are analogous to those encountered at the hadronic level. Specifically, the correlation function  $T_\mu(p, q)$  can be expressed as:

$$T_\mu(p, q) = \Pi_{v_\mu} v_\mu + \Pi_{\gamma_\mu} \gamma_\mu + \Pi_{q_\mu} q_\mu + \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} v_\mu \not{q} + \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} \gamma_\mu \not{q} + \Pi_{q_\mu \not{q}} q_\mu \not{q}$$

Table 1: Parameters in the light-cone distribution amplitudes of the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon.

Twist	$a_0$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$\epsilon_0$ [GeV]	$\epsilon_1$ [GeV]	$\epsilon_2$ [GeV]
2	1	-	$\frac{6.4-6.4A}{1.44-A}$	$\frac{2-1.4A}{6.7-A}$	-	$\frac{0.32-0.32A}{0.83-A}$
3s	1	-	$\frac{0.12A-0.04}{A+0.4}$	$\frac{0.56A+0.21}{A+1.6}$	-	$\frac{0.09-0.25A}{1.41-A}$
3a	-	1	-	-	$\frac{0.08+0.35A}{A+0.2}$	-
4	1	-	$\frac{0.07A-0.12}{1.34-A}$	$\frac{0.87-0.65A}{2-A}$	-	$\frac{9.3-5.5A}{30-A}$

$$+ \Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} v_\mu \gamma_5 + \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \gamma_5} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + \Pi_{q_\mu \gamma_5} q_\mu \gamma_5 + \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 + \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 + \Pi_{q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5. \quad (15)$$

To extract the desired form factors for the transitions  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$ , we match the coefficients of each Lorentz structure, disregarding the integral over the continuum spectrum (which is suppressed after Borel transformation). By solving the resulting linear equations, we arrive at the expressions for the form factors:

$$f_1(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c} M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu} + (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c})(M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} \right\}, \quad (16)$$

$$f_2(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu} + (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} \right\}, \quad (17)$$

$$f_3(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{q_\mu} + (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{q_\mu \not{q}} \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$f_1^*(q^2) = \frac{-e^{M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c^*} M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu} + (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c})(M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} \right\}, \quad (19)$$

$$f_2^*(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c^*} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu} + (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} \right\}, \quad (20)$$

$$f_3^*(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c^*} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{q_\mu} + (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q}} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{q_\mu \not{q}} \right\}, \quad (21)$$

$$g_1(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c} M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \gamma_5} - (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c})(M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \right\}, \quad (22)$$

$$g_2(q^2) = \frac{e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ -\Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} + (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \right\}, \quad (23)$$

$$g_3(q^2) = \frac{-e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{q_\mu \gamma_5} - (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \right\}, \quad (24)$$

$$g_1^*(q^2) = \frac{-e^{M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c^*} M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \gamma_5} - (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})(M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_c} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \right\}, \quad (25)$$

$$g_2^*(q^2) = \frac{-e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c^*}(M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} - (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \right\}, \quad (26)$$

$$g_3^*(q^2) = \frac{-e^{M_{\Lambda_c}^2/M_B^2}}{2f_{\Lambda_c^*}(M_{\Lambda_c} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})} \left\{ \Pi_{v_\mu \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{q_\mu \gamma_5} - (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} + 2M_{\Lambda_b} \Pi_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} - 2M_{\Lambda_b} (M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) \Pi_{q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} \right\}. \quad (27)$$

Where the coefficient quantities  $\Pi_{\Gamma_i}$  ( $\Gamma_i = \{v_\mu, \gamma_\mu, q_\mu, v_\mu \not{q}, \gamma_\mu \not{q}, q_\mu \not{q}\}$ ) and their counterparts with  $\gamma_5$ , denoted as  $\Pi_{\Gamma_i \gamma_5}$ , have the following generalized expressions, they depend on the different types of interpolating currents of the  $\Lambda_c$  baryon. For the pseudoscalar interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$ , the  $\Pi_{\Gamma_i}$  are given by

$$\Pi_{\Gamma_i} = \int_0^1 du \int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{\rho_{\Gamma_i}}{(p - \omega v)^2 - m_c^2} f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)}. \quad (28)$$

Similarly, for the axial-vector interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$ , the expressions for  $\Pi_{\Gamma_i}$  read

$$\Pi_{\Gamma_i} = \int_0^1 du \int_0^\infty d\sigma \left[ \frac{\rho_{\Gamma_i}^1}{(p - \omega v)^2 - m_c^2} + \frac{\rho_{\Gamma_i}^2}{[(p - \omega v)^2 - m_c^2]^2} \right] f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)}. \quad (29)$$

The detailed forms of  $\rho_{\Gamma_i}$ ,  $\rho_{\Gamma_i}^1$ , and  $\rho_{\Gamma_i}^2$  will be listed in the appendix.

To suppress contributions from higher-twist LCDAs, excited states, and continuum states of the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon, a Borel transformation is applied to both the hadronic and quark-gluon levels of the correlation function. In this work, we utilize the following Borel transformations:

$$\mathcal{B}_{M_B^2} \left( \frac{1}{(M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2 - p^{(\prime)2})^n} \right) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{\exp(-M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2/M_B^2)}{M_B^{2(n-1)}} \quad (30)$$

on hadronic level, and

$$\int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{\rho_i(\sigma)}{(p - \omega v)^2 - m_c^2} \rightarrow - \int_0^{\sigma_0} d\sigma \frac{\rho_{\Gamma_i}(\sigma) e^{-s/M_B^2}}{1 - \sigma}, \quad (31)$$

$$\int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{\rho_i(\sigma)}{[(p - \omega v)^2 - m_c^2]^2} \rightarrow \int_0^{\sigma_0} d\sigma \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^2} \frac{\rho_{\Gamma_i}(\sigma)}{M_B^2} e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_{\Gamma_i}(\sigma_0)}{(1 - \sigma_0)^2} \eta(\sigma_0) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}, \quad (32)$$

on quark-gluon level. Where the function  $\eta(\sigma)$  is defined as

$$\eta(\sigma) = \frac{d\sigma}{ds} = \frac{(1 - \sigma)^2}{(1 - \sigma)^2 M_{\Lambda_b} + m_c - q^2}. \quad (33)$$

This procedure ensures a more controlled extraction of the desired form factors and physical observables from the correlation functions.

In our prior investigations, the form factors  $f_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $g_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) have been thoroughly examined. Therefore, in the current work, we shall focus solely on the form factors  $f_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $g_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). Upon incorporating the LCDAs of the  $\Lambda$  baryon into these form factors, we discovered intriguing relations. Specifically, for both the pseudoscalar interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  and the axial-vector interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  of the  $\Lambda_c$  baryon, we observed that  $f_1^* = g_1^*$  and  $f_2^* = f_3^* = g_2^* = g_3^*$ .

## 3 Numerical analysis

### 3.1 Form factors

Before analyzing the numerical variations of the form factors, it is crucial to establish the fundamental input parameters within the framework of light-cone sum rules. The mass parameters of baryons and leptons used in this analysis are taken from the Particle Data Group (PDG) [1]. Specifically, the charm quark mass is the pole

Table 2: Mass parameters of baryons and leptons [1].

Parameters	Values
$M_{\Lambda_b^0}$	2.471 GeV
$M_{\Lambda_c^*}$	1.3217 GeV
$m_c$	$(1.67 \pm 0.07)$ GeV
$m_e$	0.51 MeV
$m_\mu$	105.658 MeV
$m_\tau$	1.77686 GeV

mass, while the other masses are the world averages reported by the PDG group. These values are summarized in Table 2.

Additional key parameters are the decay constants  $f_{\Lambda_c}$  of  $\Lambda_c$  and  $f_{\Lambda_c^*}$  of  $\Lambda_c^*$ , defined by  $\langle 0 | j_{\Lambda_c} | \Lambda_c(p) \rangle = f_{\Lambda_c} u_{\Lambda_c}(p)$  and  $\langle 0 | j_{\Lambda_c^*} | \Lambda_c^*(p) \rangle = f_{\Lambda_c^*} \gamma_5 u_{\Lambda_c^*}(p)$ . For these decay constants, we adopt the values obtained through QCD sum rules in [30], namely  $f_{\Lambda_c} = 0.022 \text{ GeV}^3$  and  $f_{\Lambda_c^*} = 0.035 \text{ GeV}^3$ . Furthermore, the decay constants  $f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)}$  and  $f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)}$  of  $\Lambda_b$ , defined by  $\epsilon^{abc} \langle 0 | [u^{aT}(0) \mathcal{C} \gamma_5 \psi^b(0)] b_\gamma^c(0) | \Lambda_b(v) \rangle = f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)} u_{\Lambda_b \gamma}(v)$  and  $\epsilon^{abc} \langle 0 | [u^{aT}(0) \mathcal{C} \gamma_5 d^b(0)] b_\gamma^c(0) | \Lambda_b(v) \rangle = f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)} u_{\Lambda_b \gamma}(v)$ , have been discussed in [11], it has  $f_{\Lambda_b}^{(1)} \simeq f_{\Lambda_b}^{(2)} \simeq 0.030 \text{ GeV}^3$ .

Utilizing the given parameters, we are able to determine the numerical values of the form factors  $f_i^*$  (with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $g_i^*$  (with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) by employing the expressions provided in equations (16) through (27) within the physical region,  $m_\ell^2 \leq q^2 \leq (M_{\Lambda_b^0} - M_{\Lambda_c^*})^2$ . However, it is important to note that light-cone QCD sum rules are not applicable across the entire physical region. Therefore, in this study, we restrict our analysis to the light-cone QCD sum rules' matching region, specifically  $0 \leq q^2 \leq 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ .

To suppress the unwanted impact of higher twist LCDAs of  $\Lambda_b$ , excited and continuum state of  $\Lambda_c$ , we have implemented Borel transformations at both the hadronic and theoretical levels. This introduces an additional Borel parameter,  $M_B$ , whose proper selection ensures the reliability and stability of our results. Specifically, we choose  $M_B^2$  to lie within the range of  $6 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq M_B^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Additionally, we require the threshold  $s_0$  of the heavy baryon, which should exceed the energy of the  $\Lambda_c^*$  baryon. In this work, we set  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + \Delta)^2$  with  $\Delta = (0.4 \pm 0.05) \text{ GeV}$ .

With these basic parameters in place, we aim to obtain the profiles of the  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  transition form factors across the entire physical region. To accomplish this, we extrapolate the form factors derived from light-cone sum rules to the entire physical region. For this purpose, we utilize  $z$ -series expansion fitting formulas, which are expressed as:

$$f_i(q^2)/g_i(q^2) = \frac{f_i(0)/g_i(0) (b_1(z(q^2) - z(0)) + b_2(z(q^2)^2 - z(0)^2) + 1)}{1 - q^2/M_B^2}. \quad (34)$$

Here,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are fitting parameters, which along with  $f_i(0)/g_i(0)$ , are listed in Table 3.  $M_{B_c} = 6.27447 \text{ GeV}$  represents the mass of the  $B_c$  meson. The function  $z(q^2, t_0)$  is defined by:

$$z(q^2, t_0) = \frac{\sqrt{t_+ - q^2} - \sqrt{t_+ - t_0}}{\sqrt{t_+ - q^2} + \sqrt{t_+ - t_0}}, \quad (35)$$

where  $t_+$  and  $t_0$  are given by:

$$t_+ = (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*})^2, \quad (36)$$

$$t_0 = (M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) \cdot \left( \sqrt{M_{\Lambda_b}} - \sqrt{M_{\Lambda_c^*}} \right)^2. \quad (37)$$

$z$ -series expansion provides an effective method for approximating form factors from the light-cone sum rules regions and extrapolating them to the entire physical domain. For the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  interpolating current, Table 3 presents the values of the form factors at  $q^2 = 0 \text{ GeV}^2$ , along with their corresponding fitting parameters  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . The central values in Table 3 are derived using the parameters  $m_c = 1.67 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $A = 0.1$ ,  $M_B^2 = 8 \text{ GeV}^2$ , and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.4)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The upper error bounds for  $f_1^*(0)$  and  $b_2$ , as well as the lower error bounds for  $b_1^*(0)$  of  $f_1^*$  and the upper error bound for  $b_2$  of  $f_2^*$ , correspond to the parameter set  $m_c = 1.74 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_B^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $A = 0$ ,

and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.35)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Conversely, the remaining errors are associated with the alternative parameter set of  $m_c = 1.6 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_B^2 = 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $A = 0.2$ , and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.45)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Furthermore, Figure 1 depicts the behavior of these form factors across the entire physical region.

Table 3: Form factors  $f_i^*$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) at zero momentum transfer square and the fitting parameters  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  corresponding to the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  interpolating current.

$f_i^*(q^2)$	$f_i^*(0)$	$b_1$	$b_2$
$f_1^*(q^2)$	$0.087_{-0.030}^{+0.045}$	$3.286_{-1.439}^{+0.715}$	$-35.311_{-7.458}^{+13.988}$
$f_2^*(q^2)$	$-0.070_{-0.013}^{+0.017}$	$-3.175_{-4.928}^{+3.967}$	$-34.746_{-9.536}^{+36.442}$




Figure 1: Form factors  $f_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) on the entire physical region with the interpolating current of  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$ . The central solid line correspond the parameters points  $A = 0.1$ ,  $m_c = 1.67 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_B^2 = 8 \text{ GeV}^2$  and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.4)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$  within the exponential models LCDAs. The shaded regions contain the errors from input parameters.

For the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  interpolating current, Table 4 summarizes the values of the form factors at  $q^2 = 0 \text{ GeV}^2$  and the associated fitting parameters  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . The upper error bounds for  $f_1^*(0)$  and  $b_2$ , as well as the lower error bounds for  $b_1^*(0)$  of  $f_1^*$  and the upper error bound for  $b_2$  of  $f_2^*$ , correspond to the parameter set  $m_c = 1.74 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_B^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $A = 0$ , and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.35)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The remaining errors correspond to the alternative parameter set of  $m_c = 1.60 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $M_B^2 = 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $A = 0.2$ , and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.45)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . The central values in Table 4 corresponding to the parameters  $m_c = 1.67 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $A = 0.1$ ,  $M_B^2 = 8 \text{ GeV}^2$ , and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.4)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ . Additionally, Figure 2 depicts the plots of the form factors on the entire physical region.

Table 4: Form factors  $f_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) at zero momentum transfer square and the fitting parameters  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  for the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  interpolating current.

$f_i^*(q^2)$	$f_i^*(0)$	$b_1$	$b_2$
$f_1^*(q^2)$	$-0.250_{-0.121}^{+0.090}$	$-1.149_{-2.492}^{+2.365}$	$-39.218_{-13.545}^{+17.967}$
$f_2^*(q^2)$	$0.206_{-0.080}^{+0.091}$	$-17.262_{-2.619}^{+3.119}$	$104.138_{-36.315}^{+32.067}$

### 3.2 Semileptonic decay of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{*+} \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$

In order to obtain the decay widths and branching ratios of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{*+} \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ , we will utilize the helicity amplitudes representation of baryon transition matrix element. The formula for differential decay width of semileptonic decay,






Figure 2: Form factors  $f_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) on the entire physical region with the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  interpolating current. The central solid line represents the parameters  $A = 0.1$ ,  $m_c = 1.67$  GeV, and  $s_0 = (M_{\Lambda_c} + 0.4)^2$  GeV<sup>2</sup> within the exponential LCDA models. The shaded regions contain the error bounds from the input parameters.

with the inclusion of lepton mass, can be written as referenced in [31, 32]:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{CKM}|^2}{192\pi^3} \frac{M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - M_{\Lambda_c}^2}{2M_{\Lambda_b}^3} \frac{(q^2 - m_\ell^2)^2}{q^2} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}^-}. \quad (38)$$

Where the total decay width is obtained by integrating the differential decay width over the entire physical range:

$$\Gamma = \int_{m_\ell^2}^{M_-^2} \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}. \quad (39)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}^-}$  is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}^-} = & |H_{\frac{1}{2},0}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2},1}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-1}|^2 \\ & + \frac{m_\ell^2}{2q^2} \left( 3|H_{\frac{1}{2},t}|^2 + 3|H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2},1}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-1}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2},0}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The helicity amplitudes  $H_{\frac{1}{2},0}$ ,  $H_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ , and  $H_{\frac{1}{2},t}$  connected to the form factors for the  $\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-$  processes are expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^V &= \sqrt{\frac{Q_+}{q^2}} \left[ f_1^*(q^2) M_- + f_2^*(q^2) \frac{q^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} \right], & H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^A &= \sqrt{\frac{Q_-}{q^2}} \left[ M_+ g_1^*(q^2) - \frac{q^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_2^*(q^2) \right], \\ H_{\frac{1}{2},1}^V &= \sqrt{2Q_+} \left[ -f_1^*(q^2) - \frac{M_-}{M_{\Lambda_b}} f_2^*(q^2) \right], & H_{\frac{1}{2},1}^A &= \sqrt{2Q_-} \left[ -g_1^*(q^2) + \frac{M_+}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_2^*(q^2) \right], \\ H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^V &= \sqrt{\frac{Q_-}{q^2}} \left[ M_+ f_1^*(q^2) - \frac{q^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} f_3^*(q^2) \right], & H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^A &= \sqrt{\frac{Q_+}{q^2}} \left[ M_- g_1^*(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_3^*(q^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The negative helicity amplitudes can be derived from the positive ones in the following transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-\lambda, -\lambda_W}^V &= -H_{\lambda, \lambda_W}^V, \\ H_{-\lambda, -\lambda_W}^A &= H_{\lambda, \lambda_W}^A. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Here,  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_W$  represent the polarizations of the final  $\Lambda_c^*$  baryon and W-Boson, respectively.

Employing the  $V - A$  current, the total helicity amplitudes are formulated as:

$$H_{\lambda, \lambda_W} = H_{\lambda, \lambda_W}^V - H_{\lambda, \lambda_W}^A. \quad (43)$$

In the preceding equations (40) and (41),  $Q_{\pm}$  is defined as  $(M_{\Lambda_b} \pm M_{\Lambda_c^*})^2 - q^2$ ,  $M_{\pm}$  stands for  $M_{\Lambda_b} \pm M_{\Lambda_c^*}$ , and  $m_{\ell}$  denotes the mass of leptons. Based on these calculational procedures and input parameters, along with the Fermi constant  $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ , the graphical representations of the differential decay widths for the semileptonic decay channels of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{*+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  with the interpolating current types  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  and  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  are depicted in Figure 3, respectively.




Figure 3: Differential decay widths of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{*+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  ( $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$ ) with  $\Lambda_c$  interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  (left column) and  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  (right column).

The absolute branching fractions of the semileptonic decays  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{*+} \ell^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  depend on the mean lifetime of the  $\Lambda_b^0$  baryon and the value of the CKM matrix element  $|V_{cb}|$ . For this work, according to the PDG average values, the lifetime of  $\Lambda_b^0$  baryon is adopted as  $\tau_{\Lambda_b^0} = (1.471 \pm 0.009) \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ . However,  $|V_{cb}|$  is not a precise value up to now. Therefore, for a rough estimation, we resort to the values obtained from the fits of inclusive and exclusive semileptonic decays of the  $B$  meson in the PDG, specifically  $|V_{cb}| = (40.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$ .

Table 5: Decay widths and branching fractions of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ .

Decay channel	Decay width $\Gamma$ ( $ V_{cb} ^2 \times 10^{-12}$ GeV)		Branching fraction ( $\times 10^{-3}$ )	
	$j_{\Lambda_c}^P$	$j_{\Lambda_c}^A$	$j_{\Lambda_c}^P$	$j_{\Lambda_c}^A$
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	$0.253_{-0.095}^{+0.355}$	$2.520_{-1.009}^{+2.115}$	$0.941_{-0.354}^{+1.322}$	$9.376_{-3.757}^{+7.867}$
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	$0.252_{-0.095}^{+0.353}$	$2.508_{-1.004}^{+2.102}$	$0.936_{-0.352}^{+1.315}$	$9.330_{-3.736}^{+7.822}$
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+ \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$	$0.047_{-0.018}^{+0.078}$	$0.482_{-0.163}^{+0.366}$	$0.176_{-0.068}^{+0.290}$	$1.793_{-0.606}^{+1.363}$

Taking these input parameters into account, we derived the decay widths of the process  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  and calculated the absolute branching fractions for both the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  and  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  types interpolating currents. These results are tabulated in Table 5.

With these absolute branching fractions of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ , let's examine the value of lepton flavor universality  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$ .  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$  is the ratio of absolute branching fractions of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*) = \mathcal{B}r(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) / \mathcal{B}r(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)$ . In this work, for the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  type baryon interpolating current, we find that  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*) = 0.188_{-0.002}^{+0.019}$ ; while for the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  type, it is  $0.192_{-0.012}^{+0.020}$ . For a comparison, we tabulate our results and other researches in Table 6. Notably, the four values of  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$  presented in the final column of Table 6 correspond to four distinct models discussed in [5].

 Table 6: Lepton flavor universality ratio  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$  and compared with other works.

	$j_{\Lambda_c}^P$	$j_{\Lambda_c}^A$	[4]	[6]	[5]
$\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$	$0.188_{-0.002}^{+0.019}$	$0.192_{-0.012}^{+0.020}$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.03$	0.21, 0.22, 0.26, 0.31

## 4 Conclusion and discussion

In the framework of light-cone QCD sum rules, we derived the theoretical form of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*$  transition form factors. With the helicity amplitudes of baryon decay, we also gave the decay widths of semileptonic decay  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . Comparing with experimental values, we discovered that the parameters  $A$  in the LCDAs of bottom baryons only function effectively within a narrow range in the semileptonic decay channels  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . On the other hand, form factors of  $\Lambda_b^0$  to  $\Lambda_c^*$  transition and branching fractions of semileptonic decays  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  are sensitivity to the mass of the charm quark. These form factors satisfy the relations  $f_1^* = g_1^*$  and  $f_2^* = f_3^* = g_2^* = g_3^*$  for all  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  and  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  type interpolating currents. However, different interpolating current types of  $\Lambda_c$  baryon introduce opposing signs of form factors. Fortunately, these signs cancel out in the calculation of decay widths due to the squaring of the form factors.

Furthermore, based on the branching fractions measured by the CDF collaboration, the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  interpolating current appears to be more suitable within the light-cone sum rules framework. In contrast, the  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$  interpolating current tends to yield branching ratios that are smaller than those predicted by  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  and experimental measurements.

For small LCDAs parameter  $A$ , we observed that the  $\Lambda_b$  baryon current  $\bar{J}_2 = \epsilon^{abc} [\bar{d}(x) \not{x} \gamma_5 \mathcal{C}^T \bar{u}^b(x)] \bar{h}_v^c(x)$  and the  $\Lambda_c$  interpolating current  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$  make significant contributions to the light-cone sum rules of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . The  $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda_c^*)$  value derived in this work falls within the range of other studies, offering a viable region for future exploration.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF under Contract No. GZC20230738, National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12275067 and Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province under Grant No. 225200810030.

## Appendix A Hadron representation of correlation function

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\mu(p, q) = & \frac{f_{\Lambda_c}}{M_{\Lambda_c}^2 - p^2} \{ 2M_{\Lambda_b} f_1 v_\mu - [(M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}) f_1 - \frac{M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - M_{\Lambda_c}^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} f_2] \gamma_\mu - [2f_1 - \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} (f_2 + f_3)] q_\mu \\
& - 2f_2 v_\mu \not{q} + [f_1 - \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} f_2] \gamma_\mu \not{q} + \frac{1}{M_{\Lambda_b}} [f_2 - f_3] q_\mu \not{q} \\
& - 2M_{\Lambda_b} g_1 v_\mu \gamma_5 - [(M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c}) g_1 + \frac{M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - M_{\Lambda_c}^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_2] \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + [2g_1 + \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} (g_2 + g_3)] q_\mu \gamma_5 \\
& + 2g_2 v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 - [g_1 + \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_2] \gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 - \frac{1}{M_{\Lambda_b}} [g_2 - g_3] q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 \} u_{\Lambda_b}(v) \\
& + \frac{f_{\Lambda_c^*}}{M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2 - p^2} \{ 2M_{\Lambda_b} f_1^* v_\mu - [(M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}) f_1^* + \frac{M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} f_2^*] \gamma_\mu - [2f_1^* + \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} (f_2^* + f_3^*)] q_\mu \\
& + 2f_2^* v_\mu \not{q} + [f_1^* + \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} f_2^*] \gamma_\mu \not{q} - \frac{1}{M_{\Lambda_b}} (f_2^* - f_3^*) q_\mu \not{q} \\
& - 2M_{\Lambda_b} g_1^* v_\mu \gamma_5 - [(M_{\Lambda_b} - M_{\Lambda_c^*}) g_1^* - \frac{M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - M_{\Lambda_c^*}^2}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_2^*] \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 + [2g_1^* - \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} (g_2^* + g_3^*)] q_\mu \gamma_5 \\
& - 2g_2^* v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 - [g_1^* - \frac{M_{\Lambda_b} + M_{\Lambda_c^*}}{M_{\Lambda_b}} g_2^*] \gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 + \frac{1}{M_{\Lambda_b}} (g_2^* - g_3^*) q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5 \} u_{\Lambda_b}(v). \tag{A.1}
\end{aligned}$$

## Appendix B Detail expressions of $\rho_{\Gamma_i}$ in the coefficient of correlation function on quark-gluon level

For the interpolating current of  $\Lambda_c$  baryon with the scalar type  $j_{\Lambda_c}^P$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{v_\mu} &= -2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^3 (1 - \sigma) \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu} &= \sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 [M_{\Lambda_b} (1 - \sigma) - m_c] \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{q_\mu} &= 2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{v_\mu \not{q}} &= 0, \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu \not{q}} &= -\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{q_\mu \not{q}} &= 0, \\
\rho_{v_\mu \gamma_5} &= 2\sigma (1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_b}^3 \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu \gamma_5} &= \sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 (M_{\Lambda_b} - \sigma M_{\Lambda_b} + m_c) \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{q_\mu \gamma_5} &= -2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{v_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} &= 0, \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} &= \sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_3^s(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{q_\mu \not{q} \gamma_5} &= 0. \tag{B.1}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $j_{\Lambda_c}^A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{v_\mu}^1 &= -2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 m_c \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{v_\mu}^2 &= -2\sigma (1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_b}^2 m_c [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu}^1 &= \sigma M_{\Lambda_b} [\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - (M_{\Lambda_c}^2 - q^2) - M_{\Lambda_b} m_c] \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u) + 2M_{\Lambda_b} [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu}^2 &= M_{\Lambda_b} [\sigma (1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - (1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_c}^2 - \sigma q^2 + (1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_b} m_c] [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{q_\mu}^1 &= 2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{q\mu}^2 &= 2M_{\Lambda_b} m_c [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{v_\mu q}^1 &= -2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{v_\mu q}^2 &= 0, \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu q}^1 &= -\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu q}^2 &= -M_{\Lambda_b} m_c [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{q\mu q}^1 &= 0, \\
\rho_{q\mu q}^2 &= 0, \\
\rho_{v_\mu \gamma_5}^1 &= -\rho_{v_\mu}^1, \\
\rho_{v_\mu \gamma_5}^2 &= -\rho_{v_\mu}^2, \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu \gamma_5}^1 &= -\sigma M_{\Lambda_b} (\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 - M_{\Lambda_c}^2 + q^2 - M_{\Lambda_b} m_c) \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - 2M_{\Lambda_b} [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu \gamma_5}^2 &= M_{\Lambda_b} [(1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_c}^2 - \sigma(1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_b}^2 + \sigma q^2 + (1 - \sigma) M_{\Lambda_b} m_c] [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{q\mu \gamma_5}^1 &= 2\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{q\mu \gamma_5}^2 &= -2M_{\Lambda_b} m_c [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{v_\mu q \gamma_5}^1 &= -\rho_{v_\mu q}^1, \\
\rho_{v_\mu q \gamma_5}^2 &= -\rho_{v_\mu q}^2, \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu q \gamma_5}^1 &= -\sigma M_{\Lambda_b}^2 \tilde{\psi}_2(\omega, u), \\
\rho_{\gamma_\mu q \gamma_5}^2 &= M_{\Lambda_b} m_c [\hat{\psi}_2(\omega, u) - \hat{\psi}_4(\omega, u)], \\
\rho_{q\mu q \gamma_5}^1 &= 0, \\
\rho_{q\mu q \gamma_5}^2 &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Where

$$\hat{\psi}_i(\omega, u) = \int_0^\omega d\tau \tau \tilde{\psi}_i(\tau, u). \tag{B.3}$$

## References

- [1] R. L. Workman, et al., Review of Particle Physics, PTEP 2022 (2022) 083C01. [doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac097](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097).
- [2] H.-H. Duan, Y.-L. Liu, M.-Q. Huang, Light-cone sum rule analysis of semileptonic decays  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ , Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (10) (2022) 951. [arXiv:2204.00409](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.00409), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10931-8](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10931-8).
- [3] T. Aaltonen, et al., First Measurement of the Ratio of Branching Fractions  $B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) / B(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \pi^-)$ , Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 032001. [arXiv:0810.3213](https://arxiv.org/abs/0810.3213), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.032001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.032001).
- [4] Y.-S. Li, X. Liu, F.-S. Yu, Revisiting semileptonic decays of  $\Lambda_{b(c)}$  supported by baryon spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 104 (1) (2021) 013005. [arXiv:2104.04962](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.04962), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.013005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.013005).
- [5] M. Pervin, W. Roberts, S. Capstick, Semileptonic decays of heavy lambda baryons in a quark model, Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 035201. [arXiv:nucl-th/0503030](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0503030), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.72.035201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.72.035201).
- [6] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, P. Santorelli, C.-T. Tran, Analyzing lepton flavor universality in the decays  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^{(*)} (\frac{1}{2}^\pm, \frac{3}{2}^-) + \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ , Phys. Rev. D 98 (5) (2018) 053003. [arXiv:1807.11300](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.11300), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.053003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.053003).
- [7] S. Meinel, G. Rendon,  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c^*(2595, 2625) \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  form factors from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 103 (9) (2021) 094516. [arXiv:2103.08775](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.08775), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094516](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094516).

- [8] J. Nieves, R. Pavao, S. Sakai,  $\Lambda_b$  decays into  $\Lambda_c^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$  and  $\Lambda_c^* \pi^-$  [ $\Lambda_c^* = \Lambda_c(2595)$  and  $\Lambda_c(2625)$ ] and heavy quark spin symmetry, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79 (5) (2019) 417. [arXiv:1903.11911](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6929-7](#).
- [9] D. Bečirević, A. Le Yaouanc, V. Morénas, L. Oliver, Heavy baryon wave functions, Bakamjian-Thomas approach to form factors, and observables in  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \left(\frac{1}{2}^\pm\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$  transitions, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (9) (2020) 094023. [arXiv:2006.07130](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.094023](#).
- [10] A. Ali, C. Hambrock, A. Y. Parkhomenko, W. Wang, Light-Cone Distribution Amplitudes of the Ground State Bottom Baryons in HQET, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 73 (2) (2013) 2302. [arXiv:1212.3280](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2302-4](#).
- [11] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, E. Gardi, Distribution Amplitudes of the  $\Lambda_b$  Baryon in QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* 665 (2008) 197–204. [arXiv:0804.2424](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.004](#).
- [12] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the Isgur-Wise function of the  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c$  transition with light-cone QCD sum rules (2009). [arXiv:0906.4206](#).
- [13] C.-D. Lü, Y.-M. Wang, H. Zou, A. Ali, G. Kramer, Anatomy of the pQCD Approach to the Baryonic Decays  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p\pi, pK$ , *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 034011. [arXiv:0906.1479](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.034011](#).
- [14] Y.-M. Wang, Y.-L. Shen, C.-D. Lu,  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p, \Lambda$  transition form factors from QCD light-cone sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 074012. [arXiv:0907.4008](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.074012](#).
- [15] T. Feldmann, M. W. Y. Yip, Form factors for  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$  transitions in the soft-collinear effective theory, *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 014035, [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 86, 079901 (2012)]. [arXiv:1111.1844](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.014035](#).
- [16] Y.-M. Wang, Y.-L. Shen, Perturbative Corrections to  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda$  Form Factors from QCD Light-Cone Sum Rules, *JHEP* 02 (2016) 179. [arXiv:1511.09036](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP02\(2016\)179](#).
- [17] Y.-J. Shi, Y. Xing, Z.-X. Zhao, Light-cone sum rules analysis of  $\Xi_{QQ'q} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q'}$  weak decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79 (6) (2019) 501. [arXiv:1903.03921](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7014-y](#).
- [18] J.-J. Han, Y. Li, H.-n. Li, Y.-L. Shen, Z.-J. Xiao, F.-S. Yu,  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p$  transition form factors in perturbative QCD, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 82 (8) (2022) 686. [arXiv:2202.04804](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10642-0](#).
- [19] C.-Q. Zhang, J.-M. Li, M.-K. Jia, R. Zhou, Nonleptonic two-body decays of  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi, \Lambda_c K$  in the perturbative QCD approach, *Phys. Rev. D* 105 (7) (2022) 073005. [arXiv:2202.09181](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.073005](#).
- [20] K.-S. Huang, W. Liu, Y.-L. Shen, F.-S. Yu,  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p, N^*(1535)$  form factors from QCD light-cone sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 83 (4) (2023) 272. [arXiv:2205.06095](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11349-6](#).
- [21] T. M. Aliev, M. Savci, S. Bilmis, Analysis of FCNC  $\Xi_{QQ} \rightarrow \Lambda_Q l^+ l^-$  decay in light-cone sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (3) (2022) 034017. [arXiv:2205.14012](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.034017](#).
- [22] R. Zhou, C.-Q. Zhang, J.-M. Li, M.-K. Jia, Investigating the color-suppressed decays  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \psi$  in the perturbative QCD approach, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (5) (2022) 053005. [arXiv:2206.04501](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.053005](#).
- [23] Y. Miao, H. Deng, K.-S. Huang, J. Gao, Y.-L. Shen,  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c$  form factors from QCD light-cone sum rules\*, *Chin. Phys. C* 46 (11) (2022) 113107. [arXiv:2206.12189](#), [doi:10.1088/1674-1137/ac8652](#).
- [24] R. Zhou, J.-M. Li, C.-Q. Zhang, Estimates of exchange topological contributions and CP-violating observables in  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \phi$  decay, *Phys. Rev. D* 107 (5) (2023) 053009. [arXiv:2210.15357](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.053009](#).
- [25] T. M. Aliev, S. Bilmis, M. Savci, The study of weak decays induced by  $\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^-$  transition in light-cone sum rules, *Phys. Lett. B* 847 (2023) 138287. [arXiv:2303.07505](#), [doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138287](#).

- [26] T. Feldmann, N. Gubernari, Non-factorisable contributions of strong-penguin operators in  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda \ell^+ \ell^-$  decays, JHEP 03 (2024) 152. [arXiv:2312.14146](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP03\(2024\)152](#).
- [27] Y.-J. Shi, Y. Xing, Z.-P. Xing, Heavy baryon decays into light meson and dark baryon within LCSR, Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (3) (2024) 306. [arXiv:2401.14120](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12663-3](#).
- [28] G. Bell, T. Feldmann, Y.-M. Wang, M. W. Y. Yip, Light-Cone Distribution Amplitudes for Heavy-Quark Hadrons, JHEP 11 (2013) 191. [arXiv:1308.6114](#), [doi:10.1007/JHEP11\(2013\)191](#).
- [29] A. G. Grozin, M. Neubert, Asymptotics of heavy meson form-factors, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 272–290. [arXiv:hep-ph/9607366](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.272](#).
- [30] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the  $\frac{1}{2}^\pm$  antitriplet heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. C 68 (2010) 479–486. [arXiv:1001.1652](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1365-8](#).
- [31] A. Faessler, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, Semileptonic decays of double heavy baryons in a relativistic constituent three-quark model, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 034025. [arXiv:0907.0563](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.034025](#).
- [32] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, V. V. Lyubushkin, P. Santorelli, Theoretical description of the decays  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda^{(*)}(\frac{1}{2}^\pm, \frac{3}{2}^\pm) + J/\psi$ , Phys. Rev. D 96 (1) (2017) 013003. [arXiv:1705.07299](#), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.013003](#).